2023 Georgia Balance of State Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring NEW Projects (PSH, RRH, Joint TH-RRH, Expansion, or Other) Annual Competition

Reviewer Name:	Date:
Organization Name:	
Project Name:	
HUD Project Type: ☐ PSH; ☐ RRH; ☐ Joint TH-RRH (is this for an	Expansion or DV Bonus?)
Requested Amount (General Information Question 6):	
Proposed Number of Individuals and/or Families to Serve (Total number of households, Question 5b, second chart):	

Please read each application fully first before scoring. Each scoring section has the question from the application that applies specifically to that scoring criteria. As the individual point amounts may vary just slightly, please read each scoring criteria fully prior to assigning a score.

There is a "Comments/Scoring Rationale" box following the scoring chart in each section. It is important that reviewers are able to provide rationalization for each project scoring, therefore, please provide comments on scoring rationale.

Threshold Information

Threshold Statements	Yes/No	Score
1. PSH & RRH Agencies submitting new projects	All the requirements checked and/or	
had 8 requirements to meet in order to be	addressed = Yes	
considered for this funding (Joint TH-RRH must	One or more of the requirements not	
also meet HUD minimum standards**).	checked or addressed = No	

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Reviewer Score
Applicant meets HUD's eligibility and threshold criteria.	Pass/Fail	
Applicant demonstrates adequate capacity to carry out grant (attachments required).*	Pass/Fail	
Project meets eligible costs or activities requirements.	Pass/Fail	
Project sufficiently demonstrates eligible populations will be served.	Pass/Fail	
Project shows required match & sufficient commitments for leveraging to implement project.	Pass/Fail	
Applicant does not have serious compliance or performance issues on current projects.	Pass/Fail	
Project demonstrates adequate impact or cost effectiveness.	Pass/Fail	
Project meets HUD Joint TH & PH-RRH Component Minimum Standards**	Pass/Fail	
Other, as identified by reviewers.	Pass/Fail	
Threshold Statements Comments		

Agency Capacity*	Possible Points	Score
Agency demonstrates	Response is clear and concise; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are	
they have the capacity	current (without concerns); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members;	
to carry out and	applicant has experience administering federal funds; and there are no	
implement the project	match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Excellent*	
proposed.	Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (any	
(20 possible points)	concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members; applicant has experience administering government funds; and there are no	
New project applicants	match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Good	
must sufficiently	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; financial statements	
describe experience	and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); board consists of local	
administering federally	volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience administering grant	
funded grants, and	funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity =	
submit the most recent	5 Adequate	
financial audit, IRS	Response and required documentation does not demonstrate experience	
Form 990, and list of current board	or capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the review team)	
members. New	*Local government applicants (county as recognising litely should receive full	
projects should also	*Local government applicants (county or municipality) should receive full	
adequately describe	points for this criteria provided that match has been adequately	
how project will reach	demonstrated.	
full operational		
capacity. New project	Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns from	
applications that do	HUD, DCA, or any other governmental or foundation funder, that doesn't	
not demonstrate	demonstrate a satisfactory corrective plan of action may lose additional	
capacity to carry out	points or be determined not to meet threshold.	
project may be		
rejected by the review		
team.		
team.	TOTAL (20 points maximum)	
Agency Capacity Comme		
Agency capacity comme		

Proposed Project Information

Agency Experience	Possible Points	Score
2.	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant	
PSH: Homeless and	experience of the applicant = 20	
Permanent Supportive Housing Experience	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15	
RRH: Homeless and Rapid Re-housing	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10	
Experience	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
Joint TH-RRH: Unsheltered and Youth Homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re- housing Experience	Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0	
(Question 1a, 1b, 1c & 1d)		
(20 possible points)		
Weighed heavily due to the importance of experience		
3. Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5	
Experience (Question 1e)	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3	
(5 possible points)	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2	
	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
	Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0	
	TOTAL	
Agency Experience Com	(25 points maximum)	
3-11-7 21-periodice com		

4. Monitoring	Agency has no open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns, and	
Findings or Concerns	there are no outstanding Federal debts = 4	
(Questions 1f & 1g)	Agency is currently working to address monitoring findings or concerns, but a response letter has not been received by applicant = 2	
(4 possible points)		
	Agency has open findings or concerns that aren't being addressed, or	
	findings or concerns were of a serious financial or programmatic nature	
	that causes capacity concerns = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(4 points maximum)	
Program Monitoring		

General Description	Possible Points	Score
5. Program Description	Response has a clear description of how the project meets the community need	
	for housing (or expansion if applicable) = 1	
(Question 2a and 3a)	Response has a clear description of the target population that will be served = 1	
	Response has a clear description of a plan to address the housing and support	
(8 possible points)	service needs of the participants = 1	
	Response has clear proposed outcomes, <u>and</u> the proposed outcomes seem	
(Each checked	reasonable = 1	
applicable box = 1	Response includes a description of planned and established partnerships = 1	
point)	Response is clear in describing why CoC support is necessary for the project = 1	
Proposed Project	Response clearly describes the plan to reach full project capacity in a timely	
Expansions will need to	manner = 1	
fully demonstrate need	Response clearly describes how project will target and prioritize people with	
(Question 3)	higher needs and who are most vulnerable = 1	
6. Estimated Schedule	Applicant provided a complete timeline showing when the project	
	implementation milestones will occur, and they seem reasonable = 3	
(O	Applicant provided a timeline showing when project implementation	
(Question 2d)	milestones will occur, but is missing 1 or 2 milestones or some of the	
(3 possible points)	milestones seem unrealistic = 2	
(5 possible points)	Implementation timeline is unclear or project has some feasibility concerns = 0	
7. Housing First Model	Description of how the project will incorporate a Housing First model	
6	of housing assistance that prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization	
(Question 2e)	in permanent housing that does not have service participation	
(3 possible points)	requirements or preconditions is thorough and leaves no unanswered	
(2 hossing hours)	questions = 3 points	
	Description is adequate but leaves some unanswered questions = 1 point	
	Explanation is unclear or does not align with a Housing First design = 0 points	

8. Housing First

(Question 2f)

(8 possible points)

Agencies were required to check each criteria that there would NOT be a policy or practice that would prevent project entry (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions) and to provide an explanation to support other requirements based on housing readiness. Projects that did not check one or more of the four barriers that would not prohibit participants from being enrolled in the project were required to provide an explanation. If explanation or other narratives indicate barrier is not one mandated by an outside authority, award zero points for related criteria.

Award two points for each criteria that was selected for not being a barrier to project entry.

- Having to little or little income: check = 2
- Active or history of substance abuse: check = 2
- Having a criminal record with exceptions for state/federal mandated restrictions: check = 2
- History of victimization (e.g. domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse): check = 2
- None of the above: check = 0
- Other requirements based on "housing readiness": if satisfactory explanation can award 1 point for relevant barrier if 2 points weren't awarded

Applicants that did not select any of the above policies/practices as not being a barrier for accepting a client into the project should describe the rules that would prevent entry into a project.

9. Housing First

(Question 2g)

(8 possible points)

Agencies were required to indicate which, if any, factors that there would NOT be a policy or practice that would cause a client to be terminated from the project. Projects that did not check one or more of the four items, that would not be a cause for termination, were required to provide an explanation. If explanation or other narratives do not back up answer award zero points for related criteria.

Award one point for each factor that was_selected and not a cause for termination.

- Failure to participate in supportive services: check = 2
- Failure to make progress on a service plan: check = 2
- Loss of income or failure to improve income: check = 2
- Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found for unassisted persons in the project's geographic area: check = 2
- None of the above (see below): check = 0

Applicants that did NOT select any of the above policies/practices for termination should describe rule violations that would cause a client to be terminated from the project and any corrective measures taken prior to termination.

10. Determinations	PSH projects:	
by Project Type	Response clearly describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people	
	with the most severe needs, <u>and</u> clearly explains the outreach process that will	
PSH: Prioritization of	be used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter = 6	
Chronically Homeless	Response describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the	
RRH and Joint TH-RRH:	most severe needs, and explains the outreach process that will be used to	
Leasing and Rental	engage people living on the streets and in shelter, but leaves some unanswered	
Assistance Procedure	questions = 4	
7.00.000.000	Response describes a minimal plan for identifying and prioritizing the people	
	with the most severe needs, and may or may not include an outreach process,	
(Question 2h)	and leaves unanswered questions = 1	
	Response unclear, incomplete, or severity of needs not considered = 0	
(6 possible points)	RRH and Joint TH-RRH projects:	
	Response is clear and describes a consistent plan regarding assistance = 6	
	Response gives an adequate description of the assistance plan, but leaves	
	unanswered questions= 3	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
11. Coordinated Entry	Agencies were required to explain and discuss:	
Participation	a) plans to assess clients using the appropriate VI-SPDAT, or participate in a	
	local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it relates to assessment) = 3 points	
(Question 2i)	if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement will be met	
	b) how the project will work to ensure they are prioritizing people with the	
Assessment,	highest needs or participate in a local Coordinated Entry implementation (as it	
Prioritization, &	relates to prioritization of clients and project acceptance of clients through the	
Eligibility	referral process) = 3 points if fully addressed and demonstrates requirement	
Requirements	will be met	
(9 possible points)	c) participant eligibility requirements around homelessness and disability (as	
(5 possible points)	applicable for PSH) for homeless persons to access and be accepted into this	
	program = 3 points if fully met and demonstrates requirement will be met	
	Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A-C).	
	Projects determined <i>not</i> willing to participate in the CoC's Coordinated Entry	
	System or <i>not</i> targeted for eligible populations may not meet threshold.	
	Award three points for each criteria that fully addresses and clearly	
	demonstrates each requirement will be met. (9 possible total points)	
	TOTAL	
General Description Cor	(45 points maximum)	
General Description Col	THE COLUMN TO TH	

APPROVED August 1, 2023

Supportive Services	Possible Points	Score
12. Educational Liaison	Response identifies a job position that serves as the educational liaison,	
(job title,	describes the roles of the position, and has a plan to ensure that children are enrolled in school, McKinney-Vento services, and other related programs = 5	
responsibilities, and services)	Response answers some of the above, but leaves unanswered questions = 3	
·	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
(Question 4a) (5 possible points)	response is unclear of incomplete – o	
13. Permanent	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist	
Housing Stability	participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. Response should also clearly demonstrate proposed housing type	
(Question 4b) (5 possible points)	meets the needs of participants, how project will work with landlords, assistance, and support to be provided to participants, and how project will work to help participants set goals. = 5	
(3 possible politis)	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. Response adequately demonstrates proposed housing type meets the needs of participants, how project will work with landlords, assistance and support to be provided to participants, and how project will work to help participants set goals = 4	
	Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3	
	Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
	Projects proposed to exclusively serve victims of domestic violence should also describe safety planning to address the needs of participants.	
14. Increase in Income (Question 4c and 4c- 1) (10 possible	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 10	
points)	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 7 Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
	Responses that do not include a specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs and	

ensure participants are assisted to obtain benefits from mainstream programs for which they may be eligible will not meet HUD threshold requirements.	

(25 points maximum) Supportive Services Comments		
	TOTAL	
	Response indicates that less than 7 services will be offered/provided to the participants = 0	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is questionable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 1	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, and description of services is clear, frequency is acceptable, and leaves no unanswered questions = 3	
(Question 4d and 4e) (5 possible points)	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is acceptable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
15. Supportive Services	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants in order to implement a comprehensive program, and description of services is clear, frequency is often, and leaves no unanswered questions = 5	

Housing Type and Location and Project Participants	Possible Points	Score
16. Prioritization	Response fully demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans or unaccompanied youth at 100% = 10	
(Question 5b-5c) (10 points possible)	Response fully demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 70-99% = 7	
New projects should	Response adequately demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 50-69% = 5	
sufficiently demonstrate need, targeting, and related	Response adequately demonstrates need and will dedicate units/beds for serving people who are veterans, or unaccompanied youth at 30-49% = 1	
partnerships (in the size and scope proposed).	Response indicates no dedicated units/beds for prioritization and a low or no percentage of subpopulations, or response is unclear or incomplete = 0	

17. Racial & Ethnic	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan to		
Equity (Identification	identify barriers to participation in this project and steps that will be taken to		
of barriers to	eliminate identified barriers = 10		
participation)			
	Response is clear and concise and adequately describes specific plan to		
(Question 5d)	identify barriers to participation in this project and steps that will be taken to		
(10 possible points)	eliminate identified barriers = 7		
	Response includes a plan to identify barriers to participation and steps to		
	eliminate barriers, but leaves unanswered questions = 3		
	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0		
18. Experience	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant		
working with	experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all three		
BIPOC, LGBTQ	populations = 10		
populations,	Despense gives an adequate description of related experience but the		
people living with	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the		
disabilities	experience is limited for two populations = 7		
	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is		
	limited to 1 population group = 5		
(Question 5e)			
/40 !!-! .	Response is clear and strategies are viable but experience with the		
(10 possible points)	populations Is limited or not present = 3		
	Response does not describe experience nor strategy to working with any of		
	these populations= 0		
TOTAL			
(30 points maximum)			
Housing Type and Location and Project Participants Comments			

Housing Type and Location and Project Participants Comments

Proposed Performance Measures	Possible Points	Score
19. Housing Stability	Response indicates that the project has a plan that is thorough and realistic that will help at least 85% of participants reach housing stability = 4	
(Question 6a) (4 possible points) Standard Baseline = 85% of households	Response indicates that the project has a less thorough or realistic plan to help 85% of participants reach housing stability = 2 Response does address or adequately describe how project will help participants reach housing stability, or narrative notes an anticipated rate less than 85% of households = 0	

20. Income	Response indicates that the project has a plan that is thorough and realistic		
(4 possible points)	that will help at least 54% of participant households increase income = 4 Response indicates that the project has a less thorough or realistic plan to		
Increase in Total Income	help at least 54% of participant households increase income = 2		
(Question 6b)	Response does not address or adequately describe how project will help participant households increase income = 0		
Standard Baseline = 54% of households	participant nousenous increase income – 0		
	TOTAL		
	(8 points maximum)		
Proposed Performance Measures Comments			

. oposea .	C C	 •••••

Budget	Possible Points	Score
21. Budget	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are complete, accurate,	
	and realistic, and leave no questions = 10	
(Question 8)	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts complete, accurate,	
(10 possible points)	and realistic, but leave unanswered questions = 7	
(10 possible politis)	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are acceptable, but leave unanswered questions = 5	
	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are not clear, complete,	
	accurate, or realistic, and/or leave too many unanswered questions = 0	
TOTAL		
(10 points maximum)		

Budget Comments

Project Match and Leveraging	Possible Points	Score
22. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Match:	
New projects must demonstrate required match resources equal to at		
least 25% of the total requested HUD funding, including project and	Well defined = 5	
administrative costs.	Acceptable = 3	
*New project applicants must attach agency commitments for match	Unacceptable = 0	
(specifically dedicated to this project).	(commitments required)	
23. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Leveraging (outside of match):	
The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 75% of the grant amount		
(above and beyond the match amount). For this section, agencies	75% or more = 9	
should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match	50-74% = 6	
resources listed above to insure no duplication.	40-49% = 3	
*New project applicants must attach agency commitments for	Less than 40% = 0	
leverage (specifically dedicated to this project).	(commitments required)	

TOTAL	
(14 points maximum)	
Match and Leveraging Comments	

TOTAL APPLICATION POINTS (181 maximum points):

Bonus Points	Possible Points	Score
Veteran Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for Veterans.	No = 0	
(5 possible points)		
	** Application**	
Youth Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for youth-	No = 0	
headed households (aged 18-24 yrs.		
old).	** Application**	
(5 possible points)		
Leveraging Housing Resources: PSH or	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
RRH project will utilize housing subsidies	Applicant demonstrates partnerships exist at or above 25% = 5	
or subsidized housing units not funded	exist at 01 above 25% – 5	
through ESG or CoC.	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
For PSH at least 25% of the units	exist, but 25% not demonstrated = 3	
included in project are subsidized by	exist, but 25% not demonstrated – 5	
Non-CoC, Non-ESG source.	No partnerships exist = 0	
Non coc, Non Esc source.	No partite ships exist = 0	
For RRH at least 25% of program		
participants are served by Non-CoC,		
Non-ESG source.		
(Outstier 3h)		
(Question 2b)		
(5 possible bonus points) Leveraging Healthcare Resources: PSH	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
or RRH project that will utilize	exist at or above 25% = 5	
healthcare resources to help	exist at 01 above 23% – 3	
participants. Value of Healthcare	Applicant demonstrates partnerships	
services provided is equal to at least	exist, but 25% not demonstrated = 3	
25% of proposed funding requested.	exist, but 25/6 flot defiloristrated – 5	
(Question 2c)	No partnerships exist = 0	
(Question 20)	וויט אמו נווכו זווואס פגוטנ – ט	
(5 possible bonus points)		

APPROVED August 1, 2023 Page **11** of **12**

Point in Time Coordinator – Bonus points available to project applications	Yes = 10	
submitted by an agency that served as a	No = 0	
homeless count coordinator for the		
Annual Point in Time Count conducted		
in February 2022.		
Coordinated Entry Implementation –	Yes = 20	
Project proposed is critical and proposed		
by applicant currently managing the	No = 0	
assessment, prioritization, and referral		
process for a Coordinated Entry		
implementation area.		
(20 possible bonus points)		

o possible bolius poliits)				
TOTAL POSSIBLE BONUS POINTS (50 maximum points):				
то	TAL APPLICATION PO	INTS (181 maxim		
	TOTAL BONUS PO	INTS (50 maximu	ım)	
	TOTAL POINTS (23	1) maximum)		
Overall Com	ments, Concerns or Recom	nmendations		
	,			

APPROVED August 1, 2023 Page 12 of 12