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John Wall and Associates has done over 2,800 market analyses, the majority of these being for apartment projects (both conventional and affordable). However, the firm is equipped for, and has done many other types of real estate market analyses, data mapping, troubled property analysis, shopping center master plans, industrial park master plans, housing and demographic studies, land planning projects, site analysis, location analysis and GIS projects. Clients include private developers, government officials, syndicators and lending institutions.

John Wall and Associates is a charter member of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA). All market analysts in our office have successfully passed the NCHMA peer review process and possess their HUD MAP certificates.

Bob Rogers has a Bachelor of Science degree in Business from Penn State University, and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Tennessee. He has been a market analyst with John Wall and Associates since 1992. He has served as Vice Chair and Co-Chair of the NCHMA Standards Committee (from 2004 to 2010). As Co-Chair, he led the revision of the NCHMA market study model content and market study terms. He was lead author for NCHMA's "Selecting Comparable Properties" best practices paper and also NCHMA's "Field Work" white paper. In 2007, he wrote "Ten Things Developers Should Know About Market Studies" for Affordable Housing Finance Magazine. In

2014 Mr. Rogers authored the draft NCHMA paper "Senior Housing Options".

Joe Burriss has a Bachelor of Science degree in Marketing from Clemson University, and has been a market analyst with John Wall and Associates since 1999. He has successfully completed the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA) peer review process, and has served as a member of the council's membership committee. In addition to performing market analysis, Mr. Burriss maintains many of the firm's client relationships and is responsible for business development.
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## Truth and Accuracy
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## Introduction

## Purpose

The purpose of this report is to analyze the apartment market for a specific site in Dublin, Georgia.

## Scope

Considered in this report are market depth, bedroom mix, rental rates, unit size, and amenities. These items are investigated principally through a field survey conducted by John Wall and Associates. Unless otherwise noted, all charts and statistics are the result of this survey.

In general, only complexes of 30 units or more built since 1980 are considered in the field survey. Older or smaller developments are sometimes surveyed when it helps the analysis. Developments with rent subsidized units are included, if relevant, and noted.

## Methodology

Three separate approaches to the analysis are used in this report; each is a check on the other. By using three generally accepted approaches, reasonable conclusions can be drawn. The three approaches used are:

The Statistical approach uses Census data and local statistics; 2010 is used as a base year. The population that would qualify for the proposed units is obtained from these figures.

The Like-Kind Comparison approach collects data on developments similar in nature to that which is being proposed and analyzes how they are doing. This approach assesses their strong points, as well as weak points, and compares them with the subject.

The last section, Interviews, assesses key individuals' special knowledge about the market area. While certainly subjective and limited in perspective, their collective knowledge, gathered and assessed, can offer valuable information.

Taken individually, these three approaches give a somewhat restricted view of the market. However, by examining them together, knowledge sufficient to draw reasonable conclusions can be achieved.

## Limitations

This market study was written according to the Client's Market Study Guide. To the extent this guide differs from the NCHMA Standard Definitions of Key Terms or Model Content Standards, the client's guide has prevailed.
(1) Statistical
(2) Like-Kind Comparison
(3) Interviews

## Regional Locator Map



Area Locator Map


## A. Executive Summary

The projected completion date of the proposed development is on or before 12/31/2023.

The market area consists of Census tracts 9501, 9502.01, 9502.02, 9503, 9504, 9505, 9506, 9507, 9508, 9509, 9510, and 9511 in Laurens County, as well as tract 9604 (30\%) in Wilkinson County.
The proposed development consists of 64 units of new construction.
The proposed development is for family households with incomes at $50 \%$ and $60 \%$ of AMI, and there are eight market rate units for households with no income restrictions. Net rents range from $\$ 436$ to $\$ 1,050$.

## A. 1 Development Description

- Address:

Airport Road and Pilot Place

- Construction and occupancy types:

New construction
Garden
Family

- Unit mix including bedrooms, bathrooms, square footage, income targeting, rents, and utility allowance:


## Table 1-Unit Mix

| AMI | Bedrooms | Baths | Number <br> of Units | Square <br> Feet | Net <br> Rent | Utility <br> Allow. | Gross <br> Rent | Target <br> Population |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 761 | 436 | 94 | 530 | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1,051 | 517 | 121 | 638 | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1,216 | 589 | 149 | 738 | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | 1 | 1 | 11 | 761 | 542 | 94 | 636 | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | 2 | 2 | 21 | 1,051 | 643 | 121 | 764 | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | 3 | 2 | 12 | 1,216 | 751 | 149 | 900 | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 761 | 725 | 94 | 819 | Market Rate |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1,051 | 875 | 121 | 996 | Market Rate |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1,216 | 1,050 | 149 | 1,199 | Market Rate |


| Total Units | 64 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Tax Credit Units | 56 |
| PBRA Units | 0 |
| Mkt. Rate Units | 8 |

- Any additional subsidies available including project based rental assistance:
There are none.
- Brief description of proposed amenities and how they compare to existing properties:
- Development Amenities:

Laundry room, clubhouse/community center, playground, access/security gate, and pavilion

- Unit Amenities:

Refrigerator, range/oven, microwave, dishwasher, washer/dryer connections, ceiling fan, HVAC, blinds, and cable pre-wired

- Utilities Included:

Trash
The subject's amenities, on average, are pretty comparable to those of other properties in the market area.

## A. 2 Site Description/Evaluation

- A brief description of physical features of the site and adjacent parcels: The site is currently open, undeveloped land. Adjacent parcels are woods, a nursery for garden plants, undeveloped land, an electrical supply house and Walmart.
- A brief overview of the neighborhood land composition (residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural):
The neighborhood is a mixture of residential, commercial, and undeveloped.
- A discussion of site access and visibility:

Access to the site is from both Pilot Place and Airport Road, and there are no problems with ingress and egress. The site has good visibility from all of the surrounding roads, and even though the site is behind Walmart, there would still be visibility from people coming to do their shopping.

- Any significant positive or negative aspects of the subject site:

The main positive aspect of the site is the good proximity to goods and services and transportation corridors, and there are no negative aspects of the site.

- A brief summary of the site's proximity to neighborhood services including shopping, medical care, employment concentrations, public transportation, etc.

The site is convenient to goods and services. Most of the newer development has happened in the area near the site.

- Discussion of public safety, including comments on local perceptions, maps, or statistics of crime in the area:
See section C.7. The site does not appear to be in a problematic area.
- An overall conclusion of the site's appropriateness for the proposed development:
The site is well-suited for the proposed development.


## A. 3 Market Area Definition

- A brief definition of the primary market area including boundaries of the market area and their approximate distance from the subject property:

The market area consists of Census tracts 9501, $9502.01,9502.02,9503$, 9504, 9505, 9506, 9507, 9508, 9509, 9510, and 9511 in Laurens County, as well as tract 9604 (30\%) in Wilkinson County.
N : Straight line—14 miles
E: County boundary-17 miles
S: Baker Church Road- 12 miles
W: County boundary-14 miles

## A. 4 Community Demographic Data

- Current and projected overall household and population counts for the primary market area:
2010 population $=46,261$; 2020 population $=45,461$;
2023 population $=45,268$
2010 households $=17,819 ; 2020$ households $=16,270$;
2023 households $=16,115$
- Household tenure:
$34.5 \%$ of the households in the market area rent.
- Household income:

Table 2-Percent of Renter Households in Appropriate Income Ranges for the Market Area

| AMI |  | 50\% |  | 60\% |  | 120\% |  | Tx. Cr. |  | Overall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower Limit |  | 18,170 |  | 21,810 |  | 28,080 |  | 18,170 |  | 18,170 |  |
| Upper Limit |  |  | 32,975 |  | 39,570 |  | 79,140 |  | 39,570 |  | 79,140 |
|  | Mkt. Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renter occupied: | Households | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# |
| Less than \$5,000 | 626 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 513 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 762 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$15,000 to \$19,999 | 598 | 0.37 | 219 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0.37 | 219 | 0.37 | 219 |
| \$20,000 to \$24,999 | 530 | 1.00 | 530 | 0.64 | 338 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 530 | 1.00 | 530 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 759 | 0.80 | 605 | 1.00 | 759 | 0.69 | 525 | 1.00 | 759 | 1.00 | 759 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 912 | - | 0 | 0.30 | 278 | 1.00 | 912 | 0.30 | 278 | 1.00 | 912 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 679 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 679 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 679 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 284 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0.17 | 47 | - | 0 | 0.17 | 47 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 258 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$150,000 or more | 81 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Total | 6,002 |  | 1,354 |  | 1,375 |  | 2,163 |  | 1,786 |  | 3,146 |
| Percent in Range |  |  | 22.6\% |  | 22.9\% |  | 36.0\% |  | 29.8\% |  | 52.4\% |

- Impact of foreclosed, abandoned and vacant, single and multifamily homes, and commercial properties in the PMA on the proposed development:
There are no signs of any abandonment or foreclosure that would impact the subject.


## A. 5 Economic Data

- Trends in employment for the county and/or region:

Employment has been stable over the past several years and has also continued to be so despite the pandemic.

- Employment by sector:

The largest sector of employment is:
Educational services, and health care and social assistance - $26.3 \%$

- Unemployment trends:

Over the last 12 months, the unemployment rate has been between $4.2 \%$ and $11.8 \%$. For 2020, the average rate was $6.7 \%$ while for 2019 the average rate was $4.6 \%$.

The graph below shows the county unemployment rate for the past five years.


Source: https://data.bls.gov/PDQWeb/la

- Recent or planned major employment contractions or expansions:

If there are any, they will be discussed in the Interviews section of the report. Because of the Covid-19 lockdown, many businesses have been negatively impacted. At the current time, it is unclear how many businesses will not re-open.

- Overall conclusion regarding the stability of the county's overall economic environment:

The current economic environment will not negatively impact the demand for additional or renovated rental housing.

## A. 6 Development Specific Affordability and Demand Analysis

- Number renter households income qualified for the proposed development:

Table 3-Number of Renter Households in Appropriate Income Ranges for the Market Area

| AMI <br> Lower Limit <br> Upper Limit |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 0 \%} \\ 18,170 \\ 32,975 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{6 0 \%} \\ 21,810 \\ 39,570 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 2 0 \%} \\ 28,080 \\ 79,140 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Tx. Cr. } \\ & \hline 18,170 \\ & 39,570 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Overall } \\ \hline 18,170 \\ 79,140 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mkt. Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renter occupied: | Households | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# |
| Less than \$5,000 | 626 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 513 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 762 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$15,000 to \$19,999 | 598 | 0.37 | 219 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0.37 | 219 | 0.37 | 219 |
| \$20,000 to \$24,999 | 530 | 1.00 | 530 | 0.64 | 338 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 530 | 1.00 | 530 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 759 | 0.80 | 605 | 1.00 | 759 | 0.69 | 525 | 1.00 | 759 | 1.00 | 759 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 912 | - | 0 | 0.30 | 278 | 1.00 | 912 | 0.30 | 278 | 1.00 | 912 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 679 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 679 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 679 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 284 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0.17 | 47 | - | 0 | 0.17 | 47 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 258 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$150,000 or more | 81 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Total | 6,002 |  | 1,354 |  | 1,375 |  | 2,163 |  | 1,786 |  | 3,146 |
| Percent in Range |  |  | 22.6\% |  | 22.9\% |  | 36.0\% |  | 29.8\% |  | 52.4\% |

- Overall estimate of demand:

Overall demand is 763 .

- Capture rates
- Overall:
8.4\%
- LIHTC units:
9.8\%

Table 4-Capture Rates by AMI Targeting

|  | Income <br> Range | Units | Total <br> Demand | Supply | Net <br> Demand | Capture <br> Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ AMI | $\$ 18,170-\$ 32,975$ | 12 | 487 | 14 | 473 | $2.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ AMI | $\$ 21,810-\$ 39,570$ | 44 | 360 | 11 | 349 | $12.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ AM | $\$ 28,080-\$ 79,140$ | 8 | 399 | 3 | 396 | $2.0 \%$ |
| All TC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | $\$ 18,170-\$ 39,570$ | 56 | 594 | 25 | 569 | $9.8 \%$ |

Table 4a-Capture Rates by Bedroom Targeting

|  |  | Income <br> Range | Units | Total <br> Demand | Nupply | Capture <br> Remand |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ AMI | 1 BR | $\$ 18,170-\$ 23,775$ | 3 | 153 | 11 | 142 | $2.1 \%$ |
|  | 2 BR | $\$ 21,870-\$ 28,550$ | 6 | 240 | 3 | 237 | $2.5 \%$ |
|  | 3 BR | $\$ 25,300-\$ 32,975$ | 3 | 95 | 0 | 95 | $3.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ AMI | 1 BR | $\$ 21,810-\$ 28,530$ | 11 | 114 | 9 | 105 | $10.5 \%$ |
|  | 2 BR | $\$ 26,190-\$ 34,260$ | 21 | 177 | 2 | 175 | $12.0 \%$ |
|  | 3 BR | $\$ 30,860-\$ 39,570$ | 12 | 70 | 0 | 70 | $17.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ AM | 1 BR | $\$ 28,080-\$ 57,060$ | 2 | 121 | 2 | 119 | $1.7 \%$ |
|  | 2 BR | $\$ 34,150-\$ 68,520$ | 3 | 199 | 1 | 198 | $1.5 \%$ |
|  | 3 BR | $\$ 41,110-\$ 79,140$ | 3 | 79 | 0 | 79 | $3.8 \%$ |

- Conclusion regarding the achievability of these capture rates:

The capture rates are achievable.

## A. 7 Competitive Rental Analysis

- Analysis of the competitive properties in or near the PMA
- Number of properties:

12 properties were surveyed.

- Rent bands for each bedroom type proposed:
$1 \mathrm{BR}=\$ 225$ to $\$ 975$
$2 \mathrm{BR}=\$ 469$ to $\$ 1,250$
$3 \mathrm{BR}=\$ 510$ to $\$ 925$
- Achievable market rents:
$1 \mathrm{BR}=\$ 914$
$2 \mathrm{BR}=\$ 1,155$
$3 \mathrm{BR}=\$ 1,255$


## A. 8 Absorption/Stabilization Estimate

- Number of units expected to be leased per month:

The subject should be able to lease 13 units per month.

- Number of units to be leased by AMI targeting:
$50 \% \mathrm{AMI}=12$
$60 \%$ AMI $=44$
Market rate $=8$
- Number of months required for the development to reach $93 \%$ occupancy:
The subject should be able to lease up within 5 months.


## A. 9 Overall Conclusion

Narrative detailing key conclusions of the report:

- The site appears suitable for the development. It is currently clear, undeveloped land.
- The neighborhood is compatible with the development. The immediate neighborhood is a mixture of residential, commercial and undeveloped.
- The location is well suited to the development, as goods and services are conveniently located.
- The population and household growth in the market area is negative.
- The economy seems to be stable. The county lost 1,442 jobs in April, 2020 but gained 2,551 between May 2020 and March 2021.
- The calculated demand for the development is reasonable.
- The capture rates for the development are reasonable. The overall LIHTC capture rate is $9.8 \%$.
- The most comparable apartments are Hillcrest (LIHTC), Meadowood Park (LIHTC) and Waterford Estates (LIHTC with some market rate units).
- Total vacancy rates of the most comparable developments are all $0.0 \%$.
- The average vacancy rate reported at comparable developments is $0.0 \%$.
- The average LIHTC vacancy rate is $0.0 \%$.
- The overall vacancy rate among apartments surveyed is $0.2 \%$.
- There are no concessions being given in the market.
- The net rents, given prevailing rents, vacancy rates, and concessions in the market area, are reasonable despite being higher than many properties in town.
- The proposed bedroom mix is reasonable for the market.
- The unit sizes are reasonable for the proposal.
- The subject's amenities are good and comparable to similarly priced apartments in the market area.
- The subject's value should be perceived as good.
- The subject's affordability is good from a programmatic gross rent standpoint, as all proposed gross rents are about $10 \%$ below maximum allowable levels.
- Both of those interviewed felt the development should be successful.
- The proposal would have no long term impact on existing LIHTC developments.


## A.9.1 Recommendations

None

## A.9.2 Notes

None
A.9.2.1 Strengths

- Location convenient to goods and services and transportation corridors
- Good calculated demand
- Hard market
- Gross rents all about $10 \%$ below maximum allowable levels
A.9.2.2 Weaknesses

Negative population and household growth in the market area

## A.9.3 Conclusion

The development, as proposed, should be successful.

## A. 10 DCA Summary Table

Table 5-DCA Summary Table


## A. 11 Demand

Table 6-Demand


## A.11.1 Market Bedroom Mix

The following bedroom mix will keep the market in balance over the long term. Diversity among projects is necessary for a healthy market.
Table 7-Market Bedroom Mix

| Bedrooms | Mix |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $30 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $50 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ |

## A.11.2 Absorption

Given reasonable marketing and management, the development should be able to rent up to $93 \%$ occupancy within 5 months - a few months longer if the development is completed in November, December, or January. The absorption rate determination considers such factors as the overall estimate of new household growth, the available supply of competitive units, observed trends in absorption of comparable units, and the availability of subsidies and rent specials. The absorption period is considered to start as soon as the first units are released for occupancy. With advance marketing and preleasing, the absorption period could be less.

## A. 12 NCHMA Capture Rate

NCHMA defines capture rate as:
The percentage of age, size, and income qualified renter households in the primary market area that the property must capture to achieve the stabilized level of occupancy. Funding agencies may require restrictions to the qualified households used in the calculation including age, income, living in substandard housing, mover-ship and other comparable factors. The capture rate is calculated by dividing the total number of units at the property by the total number of age, size and income qualified renter households in the primary market area. See penetration rate for rate for entire market area.
This definition varies from the capture rate used above.
Table 8-NCHMA Capture Rate

|  | Income <br> Qualified <br> Renter |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Households | Proposal | Capture <br> Rate |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ AMI: $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 1 7 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 3 2 , 9 7 5}$ | 1,354 | 12 | $0.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ AMI: $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 , 8 1 0} \mathbf{~ t o ~} \mathbf{3 9 , 5 7 0}$ | 1,375 | 44 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 120\% AMI: $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 , 0 8 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 7 9 , 1 4 0}$ | 2,163 | 8 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Overall Tax Credit: $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 1 7 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 3 9 , 5 7 0}$ | 1,786 | 56 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Overall Project: $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 1 7 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 7 9 , 1 4 0}$ | 3,146 | 64 | $2.0 \%$ |

## B. Development Description

The development description is provided by the developer.

## B. 1 Development Location

The site is on the west side of Dublin, Georgia. It is located at the intersection of Airport Road and Pilot Place.

## B. 2 Construction Type

New construction

## B. 3 Occupancy

The proposal is for occupancy by family households.

## B. 4 Target Income Group

Low income and unrestricted

## B. 5 Special Population

Four units designed for mobility impaired and two units designed for sensory impaired

## B. 6 Structure Type

Garden; the subject has three residential buildings; the residential buildings have three floors
Floor plans and elevations were not available at the time the study was conducted.

## B. 7 Unit Sizes, Rents and Targeting

Table 9-Unit Sizes, Rents and Targeting

| AMI | Bedrooms | Baths | Number of Units | Square Feet | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Net } \\ \text { Rent } \end{array}$ | Utility Allow. | Gross <br> Rent | Target Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50\% | 1 | 1 | 3 | 761 | 436 | 94 | 530 | Tax Credit |
| 50\% | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1,051 | 517 | 121 | 638 | Tax Credit |
| 50\% | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1,216 | 589 | 149 | 738 | Tax Credit |
| 60\% | 1 | 1 | 11 | 761 | 542 | 94 | 636 | Tax Credit |
| 60\% | 2 | 2 | 21 | 1,051 | 643 | 121 | 764 | Tax Credit |
| 60\% | 3 | 2 | 12 | 1,216 | 751 | 149 | 900 | Tax Credit |
| 120\% | 1 | 1 | 2 | 761 | 725 | 94 | 819 | Market Rate |
| 120\% | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1,051 | 875 | 121 | 996 | Market Rate |
| 120\% | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1,216 | 1050 | 149 | 1,199 | Market Rate |
|  | Total Units |  | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tax Credit Units |  | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PBRA Units |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mkt. Rate Units |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |

These pro forma rents will be evaluated in terms of the market in the Supply section of the study.

## B. 8 Development Amenities

Laundry room, clubhouse/community center, playground, access/security gate, and pavilion

## B. 9 Unit Amenities

Refrigerator, range/oven, microwave, dishwasher, washer/dryer connections, ceiling fan, HVAC, blinds, and cable pre-wired

## B. 10 Rehab

This is not applicable.

## B. 11 Utilities Included

Trash

## B. 12 Projected Certificate of Occupancy Date

It is anticipated that the subject will have its final certificates of occupancy on or before 12/31/2023.

## C. Site Evaluation

## C. 1 Date of Site Visit

Bob Rogers visited the site on May 11, 2021.

## C. 2 Physical Features of Site and Adjacent Parcels

- Physical features:

The site is currently clear, undeveloped land.

- Adjacent parcels:

N : Woods
E: Airport Road then electrical supply store
S: Pilot Place then Walmart
W: Nursery for garden plants

- Condition of surrounding land uses:

The surrounding land uses appear well-maintained.

- Positive and negative attributes:

Positive: proximity to goods and services and transportation corridors
Negative: none

## C. 3 Surrounding Roads, Transportation, Shopping, Employment, Community Services

The site fronts on Pilot Place and Airport Road.
The site is adjacent to a Walmart Supercenter, and most of the newer goods and services are located near the site. There is also new development near the interstate, which is easily accessible via US Highway 441 bypass. There are many jobs in the nearby businesses, the hospital, and the VA hospital. There is no fixed route public transportation in Laurens County.

Site and Neighborhood Photos and Adjacent Land Uses Map


## C. 4 Site and Neighborhood Photos



Photo 1 - the site


Photo 2 - small nursery for garden plants


Photo 3 - Walmart; looking south on Pilot Drive towards US Highway 80


Photo 4 - the site


Photo 5 - Walmart


Photo 6 - the site on the left; electrical supply on the right; looking north on Airport Road


Photo 7 - looking south on Airport Drive away from the site; Walmart is on the right

## C. 5 Site Location Map

## Site Location Map



- Listing of closest shopping areas, schools, employment centers, medical facilities and other important amenities with distance to site:

Table 10-Community Amenities

| Amenity | Distance |
| :--- | ---: |
| Walmart | Adjacent |
| Dublin Mall | $11 / 2$ miles |
| Hospital | 2 miles |
| VA Hospital | 2 miles |
| High school | 2 miles |
| Kroger | 2 miles |
| Elementary school | $21 / 2$ miles |
| Middle school | 4 miles |

## C. 6 Land Uses of the Immediate Area

Neighborhood Map


## C. 7 Public Safety Issues

According to the FBI, in 2019 the following crimes were reported to police:
Table 11-Crimes Reported to Police

|  | County |
| :--- | ---: |
| Violent Crime | 92 |
| Murder | 1 |
| Rape | 12 |
| Robbery | 8 |
| Assault | 71 |
| Property Crime | 523 |
| Burglary | 175 |
| Larceny | 291 |
| Motor Vehicle Theft | 57 |
| Arson | 3 |
| Source: 2019 Crime in the United S |  |

Source: 2019 Crime in the United States
https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2019/crime-in-the-u.s.-2019/topic-pages/tables/table-10/table-10.xls/view A crime map is in the appendix. The site does not appear to be in a problematic area.

## C. 8 Multifamily Residential Developments

Apartment Locations Map


## C. 9 Road and infrastructure Improvements

No major road or infrastructure projects were noted in the immediate area that would have direct impact on the subject.

## C. 10 Ingress, Egress, and Visibility

Access to the site is from both Pilot Place and Airport Road, and there are no problems with ingress and egress. The site has good visibility from all of the surrounding roads, and even though the site is behind Walmart, there would still be visibility from people coming to do their shopping. The proposed buildings will be visible from US Highway 80, though the view will be mostly blocked by Walmart and Tractor Supply Company.

## C. 11 Observed Visible Environmental or Other Concerns

There were no other visible environmental or other concerns.

## C. 12 Conclusion

The site is well-suited for the proposed development.

## D. Market Area

Market Area Map


## D. 1 Market Area Determination

The market area is the community where the development will be located and only those outlying rural areas that will be significantly impacted by the development, generally excluding other significant established communities. The market area is considered to be the area from which most of the prospective tenants will be drawn. Some people will move into the market area from nearby towns, while others will move away. These households are accounted for in the "Household Trends" section. The border of the market area is based on travel time, commuting patterns, the gravity model, physical boundaries, and the distribution of renters in the area. The analyst visits the area before the market area definition is finalized.

Housing alternatives and local perspective will be presented in the Development Comparisons section of this report.

## D. 2 Driving Times and Place of Work

Commuter time to work is shown below:
Table 12-Workers' Travel Time to Work for the Market Area (Time in Minutes)

|  | State | \% | County | \% | Market Area | \% | City |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total: | $4,502,437$ |  | 16,879 |  | 16,209 | 401 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Less than 5 minutes | 93,182 | $2.1 \%$ | 406 | $2.4 \%$ | 1,719 | $10.6 \%$ | 971 |
| 5 to 9 minutes | 339,955 | $7.6 \%$ | 1,720 | $10.2 \%$ | 4,675 | $28.8 \%$ | 1,968 |
| 10 to 14 minutes | 557,697 | $12.4 \%$ | 4,680 | $27.7 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ |  |  |
| 15 to 19 minutes | 672,907 | $14.9 \%$ | 2,899 | $17.2 \%$ | 2,845 | $17.6 \%$ | 676 |
| 20 to 24 minutes | 641,094 | $14.2 \%$ | 2,082 | $12.3 \%$ | 1,849 | $11.4 \%$ | 230 |
| 25 to 29 minutes | 277,292 | $6.2 \%$ | 616 | $3.6 \%$ | 515 | $3.2 \%$ | 27 |
| 30 to 34 minutes | 648,386 | $14.4 \%$ | 1,414 | $8.4 \%$ | 1,302 | $8.0 \%$ | 283 |
| 35 to 39 minutes | 149,659 | $3.3 \%$ | 398 | $2.4 \%$ | 401 | $2.5 \%$ | 167 |
| 40 to 44 minutes | 179,550 | $4.0 \%$ | 188 | $1.1 \%$ | 175 | $1.1 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 minutes | 444,833 | $9.9 \%$ | 1,162 | $6.9 \%$ | 1,087 | $6.7 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ |
| 60 to 89 minutes | 354,825 | $7.9 \%$ | 614 | $3.6 \%$ | 594 | $3.7 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| 90 or more minutes | 143,057 | $3.2 \%$ | 700 | $4.1 \%$ | 646 | $4.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |

Source: 2019-5yr ACS (Census)

## D. 3 Market Area Definition

The market area for this report has been defined as Census tracts 9501, 9502.01, 9502.02, 9503, 9504, 9505, 9506, 9507, 9508, 9509, 9510, and 9511 in Laurens County, as well as tract 9604 (30\%) in Wilkinson County (2010 Census). The market area is defined in terms of standard US Census geography so it will be possible to obtain accurate, verifiable information about it. The Market Area Map highlights this area.

## D.3.1 Secondary Market Area

The secondary market area for this report has been defined as Laurens County and parts of the adjacent counties. Demand will neither be calculated for, nor derived from, the secondary market area.

## E. Demographic Analysis

## E. 1 Population

## E.1.1 Population Trends

The following table shows the population in the state, county, market area, and city for several years that the Census Bureau provides data.
Table 13-Population Trends

| Year | State | County | Market Area | City |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2008 | $9,468,815$ | 48,034 | 46,315 | 16,308 |
| 2009 | $9,600,612$ | 48,163 | 46,260 | 16,262 |
| 2010 | $9,714,569$ | 48,264 | 46,267 | 16,276 |
| 2011 | $9,810,417$ | 48,203 | 45,977 | 16,315 |
| 2012 | $9,907,756$ | 48,028 | 45,902 | 16,200 |
| 2013 | $10,006,693$ | 47,886 | 45,790 | 16,181 |
| 2014 | $10,099,320$ | 47,802 | 45,753 | 16,189 |
| 2015 | $10,201,635$ | 47,451 | 45,619 | 15,889 |
| 2016 | $10,297,484$ | 47,418 | 45,705 | 15,869 |
| 2017 | $10,403,847$ | 47,405 | 45,655 | 15,828 |

Sources: 2010 through 2019 5yr ACS (Census)

## E.1.2 Age

Population is shown below for several age categories. The percent figures are presented in such a way as to easily compare the market area to the state, which is a "norm." This will point out any peculiarities in the market area.

Table 14-Persons by Age

|  | State | $\%$ | County | \% | Market Area | $\%$ | City | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $9,687,653$ |  | 48,434 |  | 46,261 |  | 16,201 |  |
| Under 20 | $2,781,629$ | $28.7 \%$ | 13,801 | $28.5 \%$ | 13,281 | $28.7 \%$ | 4,723 | $29.2 \%$ |
| 20 to 34 | $2,015,640$ | $20.8 \%$ | 8,665 | $17.9 \%$ | 8,206 | $17.7 \%$ | 3,085 | $19.0 \%$ |
| 35 to 54 | $2,788,792$ | $28.8 \%$ | 13,102 | $27.1 \%$ | 12,481 | $27.0 \%$ | 3,863 | $23.8 \%$ |
| 55 to 61 | 783,421 | $8.1 \%$ | 4,339 | $9.0 \%$ | 4,120 | $8.9 \%$ | 1,343 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 62 to 64 | 286,136 | $3.0 \%$ | 1,643 | $3.4 \%$ | 1,565 | $3.4 \%$ | 523 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 65 plus | $1,032,035$ | $10.7 \%$ | 6,884 | $14.2 \%$ | 6,612 | $14.3 \%$ | 2,664 | $16.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 plus | $2,101,592$ | $21.7 \%$ | 12,866 | $26.6 \%$ | 12,297 | $26.6 \%$ | 4,530 | $28.0 \%$ |
| 62 plus | $1,318,171$ | $13.6 \%$ | 8,527 | $17.6 \%$ | 8,177 | $17.7 \%$ | 3,187 | $19.7 \%$ |

Source: 2010 Census

## E.1.3 Race and Hispanic Origin

The racial composition of the market area does not factor into the demand for units; the information below is provided for reference.
Note that "Hispanic" is not a racial category. "White," "Black," and "Other" represent $100 \%$ of the population. Some people in each of those categories also consider themselves "Hispanic." The percent figures allow for a comparison between the state ("norm") and the market area.
Table 15-Race and Hispanic Origin

|  | State | $\%$ | County | $\%$ | Market Area | $\%$ | City | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $9,687,653$ |  | 48,434 |  | 46,261 |  | 16,201 |  |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | $8,833,964$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 2 \%}$ | 47,291 | $\mathbf{9 7 . 6 \%}$ | 45,195 | $\mathbf{9 7 . 7 \%}$ | 15,877 | $\mathbf{9 8 . 0 \%}$ |
| White | $5,413,920$ | $55.9 \%$ | 28,920 | $59.7 \%$ | 27,134 | $58.7 \%$ | 6,057 | $37.4 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $2,910,800$ | $30.0 \%$ | 17,268 | $35.7 \%$ | 16,972 | $36.7 \%$ | 9,302 | $57.4 \%$ |
| American Indian | 21,279 | $0.2 \%$ | 87 | $0.2 \%$ | 81 | $0.2 \%$ | 25 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Asian | 311,692 | $3.2 \%$ | 478 | $1.0 \%$ | 478 | $1.0 \%$ | 317 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian | 5,152 | $0.1 \%$ | 4 | $0.0 \%$ | 4 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some Other Race | 19,141 | $0.2 \%$ | 41 | $0.1 \%$ | 40 | $0.1 \%$ | 15 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | 151,980 | $1.6 \%$ | 493 | $1.0 \%$ | 486 | $1.1 \%$ | 161 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 853,689 | $\mathbf{8 . 8 \%}$ | 1,143 | $2.4 \%$ | 1,067 | $2.3 \%$ | 324 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 \%}$ |
| White | 373,520 | $3.9 \%$ | 435 | $0.9 \%$ | 405 | $0.9 \%$ | 114 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Black or African American | 39,635 | $0.4 \%$ | 56 | $0.1 \%$ | 55 | $0.1 \%$ | 27 | $0.2 \%$ |
| American Indian | 10,872 | $0.1 \%$ | 13 | $0.0 \%$ | 8 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian | 2,775 | $0.0 \%$ | 5 | $0.0 \%$ | 5 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian | 1,647 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some Other Race | 369,731 | $3.8 \%$ | 550 | $1.1 \%$ | 512 | $1.1 \%$ | 153 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | 55,509 | $0.6 \%$ | 84 | $0.2 \%$ | 82 | $0.2 \%$ | 29 | $0.2 \%$ |

Source: 2010 Census
Note that the "Native Hawaiian" category above also includes "Other Pacific Islander" and the "American Indian" category also includes "Alaska Native."

## E. 2 Households

## Renter Households by Age of Householder



## Source: 2010 Census

The graph above shows the relative distribution of households by age in the market area as compared to the state.

## E.2.1 Household Trends

The following table shows the number of households in the state, county, market area, and city for several years that the Census Bureau provides data.

Table 16-Household Trends

| Year | State | County | Market Area | City |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2008 | $3,468,704$ | 17,669 | 16,949 | 6,334 |
| 2009 | $3,490,754$ | 17,636 | 16,903 | 6,331 |
| 2010 | $3,508,477$ | 17,533 | 16,756 | 6,209 |
| 2011 | $3,518,097$ | 17,632 | 16,806 | 6,241 |
| 2012 | $3,540,690$ | 17,614 | 16,837 | 6,190 |
| 2013 | $3,574,362$ | 17,640 | 16,813 | 5,918 |
| 2014 | $3,611,706$ | 17,622 | 16,799 | 5,822 |
| 2015 | $3,611,706$ | 17,437 | 16,667 | 5,696 |
| 2016 | 3611706 | 17183 | 16468 | 5626 |
| 2017 | 3611706 | 17142 | 16426 | 5520 |

Sources: 2010 through 2019 5yr ACS (Census)

## E.2.2 Household Tenure

The table below shows how many units are occupied by owners and by renters. The percent of the households in the market area that are occupied by renters will be used later in determining the demand for new rental housing.
Table 17-Occupied Housing Units by Tenure

|  | State | \% | County | \% | Market Area | \% | City | \% |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Households | $3,585,584$ | - | 18,641 | - | 17,819 | - | 6,357 | - |
| Owner | $2,354,402$ | $65.7 \%$ | 12,318 | $66.1 \%$ | 11,667 | $65.5 \%$ | 3,041 | $47.8 \%$ |
| Renter | $1,231,182$ | $34.3 \%$ | 6,323 | $33.9 \%$ | 6,152 | $34.5 \%$ | 3,316 | $52.2 \%$ |

Source: 2010 Census
From the table above, it can be seen that $34.5 \%$ of the households in the market area rent. This percentage will be used later in the report to calculate the number of general occupancy units necessary to accommodate household growth.

## E.2.3 Projections

Population projections are based on the average trend from the most recent Census data. First the percent change in population is calculated for each pair of years.
Table 18-Population

| ACS Year | Market Area | Change | Percent Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | 46,315 | - | - |
| 2011 | 46,260 | -55 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2012 | 46,267 | 7 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2013 | 45,977 | -290 | $-0.6 \%$ |
| 2014 | 45,902 | -75 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| 2015 | 45,790 | -112 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| 2016 | 45,753 | -37 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2017 | 45,619 | -134 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| 2018 | 45,705 | 86 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 2019 | 45,655 | -50 | $-0.1 \%$ |

Sources: 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, and $20165 y r$ ACS (Census)
As seen in the table above, the percent change ranges from $-0.6 \%$ to $0.2 \%$. Excluding the highest and lowest observed values, the average is $-0.1 \%$. This value will be used to project future changes.
Household projections are based on the average trend from the most recent Census data. First the percent change in population is calculated for each pair of years.
Table 19-Households

| ACS Year | Market Area | Change | Percent Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | 16,949 | - | - |
| 2011 | 16,903 | -46 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| 2012 | 16,756 | -147 | $-0.9 \%$ |
| 2013 | 16,806 | 50 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2014 | 16,837 | 31 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 2015 | 16,813 | -24 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2016 | 16,799 | -14 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2017 | 16,667 | -132 | $-0.8 \%$ |
| 2018 | 16,468 | -199 | $-1.2 \%$ |
| 2019 | 16,426 | -42 | $-0.3 \%$ |

Sources: 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, and $20165 y r$ ACS (Census)
As seen in the table above, the percent change ranges from $-1.2 \%$ to $0.3 \%$.
Excluding the highest and lowest observed values, the average is $-0.3 \%$.
This value will be used to project future changes.
The average percent change figures calculated above are used to generate the projections that follow.

Table 20-Population and Household Projections

| Projections | Population | Annual Change | Households | Annual Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2020 | 45,461 | -147 | 16,270 | -189 |
| 2021 | 45,396 | -65 | 16,218 | -52 |
| 2022 | 45,332 | -64 | 16,166 | -52 |
| 2023 | 45,268 | -64 | 16,115 | -51 |
| 2020 to 2023 |  |  |  | -155 |

Source: John Wall and Associates from figures above

Tenure Map


## E.2.4 Household Size

Household size is another characteristic that needs to be examined. The household size of those presently renting can be used as a strong indicator of the bedroom mix required. Renters and owners have been shown separately in the tables below because the make-up of owner-occupied units is significantly different from that of renters. A comparison of the percent figures for the market area and the state ("norm") is often of interest.

Table 21-Housing Units by Persons in Unit

|  | State |  | County |  | Market Area | City |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Owner occupied: | $2,354,402$ | - | 12,318 | - | 11,667 | - | 3,041 | - |
| 1-person | 498,417 | $21.2 \%$ | 2,829 | $23.0 \%$ | 2,669 | $22.9 \%$ | 892 | $29.3 \%$ |
| 2-person | 821,066 | $34.9 \%$ | 4,520 | $36.7 \%$ | 4,270 | $36.6 \%$ | 1,106 | $36.4 \%$ |
| 3-person | 417,477 | $17.7 \%$ | 2,214 | $18.0 \%$ | 2,102 | $18.0 \%$ | 495 | $16.3 \%$ |
| 4-person | 360,504 | $15.3 \%$ | 1,714 | $13.9 \%$ | 1,619 | $13.9 \%$ | 312 | $10.3 \%$ |
| 5-person | 159,076 | $6.8 \%$ | 690 | $5.6 \%$ | 665 | $5.7 \%$ | 153 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 6-person | 60,144 | $2.6 \%$ | 237 | $1.9 \%$ | 231 | $2.0 \%$ | 53 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 7-or-more | 37,718 | $1.6 \%$ | 114 | $0.9 \%$ | 112 | $1.0 \%$ | 30 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Renter occupied: | $1,231,182$ | - | 6,323 | - | 6,152 | - | 3,316 | - |
| 1-person | 411,057 | $33.4 \%$ | 2,051 | $32.4 \%$ | 2,009 | $32.7 \%$ | 1,186 | $35.8 \%$ |
| 2-person | 309,072 | $25.1 \%$ | 1,589 | $25.1 \%$ | 1,536 | $25.0 \%$ | 820 | $24.7 \%$ |
| 3-person | 203,417 | $16.5 \%$ | 1,053 | $16.7 \%$ | 1,025 | $16.7 \%$ | 516 | $15.6 \%$ |
| 4-person | 155,014 | $12.6 \%$ | 852 | $13.5 \%$ | 831 | $13.5 \%$ | 404 | $12.2 \%$ |
| 5-person | 84,999 | $6.9 \%$ | 460 | $7.3 \%$ | 438 | $7.1 \%$ | 219 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 6-person | 37,976 | $3.1 \%$ | 178 | $2.8 \%$ | 176 | $2.9 \%$ | 91 | $2.7 \%$ |
| 7-or-more | 29,647 | $2.4 \%$ | 140 | $2.2 \%$ | 138 | $2.2 \%$ | 80 | $2.4 \%$ |

## Source: 2010 Census

The percent and number of large (5 or more persons) households in the market is an important fact to consider in developments with a significant number of 3 or 4 bedroom units. In such cases, this fact has been taken into account and is used to refine the analysis. It also helps to determine the upper income limit for the purpose of calculating demand. In the market area, $12.2 \%$ of the renter households are large, compared to $12.4 \%$ in the state.

## Renter Persons Per Unit For The Market Area



## E.2.5 Household Incomes

The table below shows the number of households (both renter and owner) that fall within various income ranges for the market area.

Table 22-Number of Households in Various Income Ranges

|  | State | $\%$ | County | $\%$ | Market Area | $\%$ | City | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total: | $3,758,798$ |  | 17,142 |  | 16,426 |  | 5,520 |  |
| Less than $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 256,027 | $6.8 \%$ | 2,132 | $12.4 \%$ | 2,031 | $12.4 \%$ | 969 | $17.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 , 9 9 9}$ | 167,485 | $4.5 \%$ | 1,491 | $8.7 \%$ | 1,507 | $9.2 \%$ | 461 | $8.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 , 9 9 9}$ | 174,868 | $4.7 \%$ | 1,199 | $7.0 \%$ | 1,147 | $7.0 \%$ | 501 | $9.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 , 9 9 9}$ | 180,334 | $4.8 \%$ | 1,083 | $6.3 \%$ | 1,025 | $6.2 \%$ | 391 | $7.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 , 9 9 9}$ | 178,396 | $4.7 \%$ | 809 | $4.7 \%$ | 747 | $4.5 \%$ | 255 | $4.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 , 9 9 9}$ | 181,342 | $4.8 \%$ | 958 | $5.6 \%$ | 935 | $5.7 \%$ | 421 | $7.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 3 5 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 3 9 , 9 9 9}$ | 165,233 | $4.4 \%$ | 1,126 | $6.6 \%$ | 1,054 | $6.4 \%$ | 285 | $5.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 4 4 , 9 9 9}$ | 165,385 | $4.4 \%$ | 1,013 | $5.9 \%$ | 890 | $5.4 \%$ | 182 | $3.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 4 5 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 4 9 , 9 9 9}$ | 154,356 | $4.1 \%$ | 630 | $3.7 \%$ | 620 | $3.8 \%$ | 180 | $3.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 5 9 , 9 9 9}$ | 289,741 | $7.7 \%$ | 994 | $5.8 \%$ | 957 | $5.8 \%$ | 285 | $5.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 7 4 , 9 9 9}$ | 375,873 | $10.0 \%$ | 1,613 | $9.4 \%$ | 1,552 | $9.4 \%$ | 443 | $8.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 9 9 , 9 9 9}$ | 473,216 | $12.6 \%$ | 1,569 | $9.2 \%$ | 1,548 | $9.4 \%$ | 477 | $8.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 4 , 9 9 9}$ | 325,385 | $8.7 \%$ | 970 | $5.7 \%$ | 921 | $5.6 \%$ | 184 | $3.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 9 , 9 9 9}$ | 208,013 | $5.5 \%$ | 525 | $3.1 \%$ | 489 | $3.0 \%$ | 175 | $3.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 9 , 9 9 9}$ | 219,647 | $5.8 \%$ | 517 | $3.0 \%$ | 504 | $3.1 \%$ | 153 | $2.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ or $\mathbf{~ m o r e ~}$ | 243,497 | $6.5 \%$ | 513 | $3.0 \%$ | 501 | $3.1 \%$ | 158 | $2.9 \%$ |

[^1]
## F. Employment Trends

The economy of the market area will have an impact on the need for apartment units.

## F. 1 Total Jobs

The following table shows how many people were employed in the county. These employed persons do not necessarily live in the county, so the trends are useful to determining the economic health of the area.

Table 23-Covered Employment

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 20,229 | 20,210 | 20,232 | 20,451 | 20,425 | 20,351 | 19,857 | 20,154 | 20,122 | 20,105 | 20,221 | 20,416 | 20,231 |
| 2002 | 19,917 | 20,001 | 20,152 | 20,059 | 20,107 | 19,875 | 19,404 | 19,789 | 19,869 | 19,916 | 20,047 | 20,023 | 19,930 |
| 2003 | 19,551 | 19,537 | 19,483 | 19,493 | 19,236 | 19,274 | 19,186 | 19,415 | 19,525 | 19,694 | 19,811 | 19,966 | 19,514 |
| 2004 | 19,902 | 20,035 | 20,100 | 19,972 | 20,155 | 20,078 | 19,844 | 20,080 | 20,017 | 20,427 | 20,451 | 20,516 | 20,131 |
| 2005 | 20,165 | 20,313 | 20,348 | 20,076 | 20,119 | 20,128 | 19,897 | 20,118 | 20,139 | 20,259 | 20,232 | 20,199 | 20,166 |
| 2006 | 20,184 | 20,121 | 20,235 | 20,283 | 20,482 | 20,380 | 19,996 | 20,414 | 20,496 | 20,518 | 20,567 | 20,842 | 20,377 |
| 2007 | 20,106 | 20,086 | 20,175 | 19,965 | 20,006 | 19,994 | 19,747 | 20,030 | 20,165 | 20,195 | 20,251 | 20,333 | 20,088 |
| 2008 | 19,794 | 19,884 | 20,082 | 19,904 | 19,647 | 19,498 | 19,261 | 19,288 | 19,270 | 19,425 | 19,373 | 19,418 | 19,570 |
| 2009 | 18,695 | 18,589 | 18,690 | 18,705 | 18,675 | 18,566 | 18,098 | 18,167 | 18,016 | 18,042 | 18,096 | 18,194 | 18,378 |
| 2010 | 17,663 | 17,832 | 18,032 | 18,193 | 18,167 | 17,980 | 17,922 | 17,891 | 17,869 | 17,737 | 17,741 | 17,833 | 17,905 |
| 2011 | 17,465 | 17,573 | 17,613 | 17,458 | 17,473 | 17,479 | 17,087 | 17,035 | 17,097 | 17,306 | 17,249 | 17,216 | 17,338 |
| 2012 | 16,778 | 16,877 | 16,884 | 16,873 | 16,978 | 16,900 | 16,622 | 16,710 | 16,907 | 16,890 | 17,015 | 17,025 | 16,872 |
| 2013 | 16,731 | 16,798 | 16,912 | 17,115 | 17,363 | 17,226 | 17,121 | 17,184 | 17,239 | 17,190 | 17,298 | 17,292 | 17,122 |
| 2014 | 17,041 | 17,101 | 17,160 | 17,259 | 17,281 | 17,243 | 17,128 | 17,346 | 17,311 | 17,421 | 17,545 | 17,606 | 17,287 |
| 2015 | 17,214 | 17,320 | 17,422 | 17,339 | 17,473 | 17,430 | 17,323 | 17,589 | 17,663 | 17,860 | 18,008 | 18,043 | 17,557 |
| 2016 | 17,759 | 17,867 | 17,868 | 17,953 | 18,081 | 18,075 | 17,792 | 17,961 | 17,968 | 18,043 | 18,425 | 18,392 | 18,015 |
| 2017 | 18,029 | 18,082 | 18,190 | 18,235 | 18,397 | 18,346 | 18,236 | 18,273 | 18,355 | 18,422 | 18,456 | 18,558 | 18,298 |
| 2018 | 18,315 | 18,436 | 18,646 | 18,479 | 18,671 | 18,685 | 18,604 | 18,830 | 18,956 | 18,813 | 18,964 | 18,974 | 18,698 |
| 2019 | 18,977 | 18,903 | 18,988 | 18,913 | 19,038 | 18,771 | 18,194 | 18,403 | 18,396 | 18,421 | 18,555 | 18,740 | 18,692 |
| 2020 | 18,213 (P) | 18,295 (P) | 18,078 (P) | 16,311 (P) | 16,828 (P) | 17,240 (P) | 17,554 (P) | 17,916 (P) | 18,165 (P) |  |  |  |  |



Source: http://data.bls.gov/pdq/querytool.jsp?survey=en

## F. 2 Jobs by Industry and Occupation

Table 24-Occupation of Employed Persons Age 16 Years And Over

|  | State | \% | County | \% | Market Area | \% | City | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 4,834,622 |  | 17,458 |  | 16,791 |  | 5,242 |  |
| Management, business, science, and arts occupations: | 1,819,005 | 38\% | 5,550 | 32\% | 5,329 | 32\% | 1,788 | 34\% |
| Management, business, and financial occupations: | 766,883 | 16\% | 1,910 | 11\% | 1,790 | 11\% | 525 | 10\% |
| Management occupations | 503,555 | 10\% | 1,496 | 9\% | 1,404 | 8\% | 383 | 7\% |
| Business and financial operations occupations | 263,328 | 5\% | 414 | 2\% | 386 | 2\% | 142 | 3\% |
| Computer, engineering, and science occupations: | 270,099 | 6\% | 360 | 2\% | 341 | 2\% | 109 | 2\% |
| Computer and mathematical occupations | 157,777 | 3\% | 179 | 1\% | 163 | 1\% | 12 | 0\% |
| Architecture and engineering occupations | 75,498 | 2\% | 146 | 1\% | 141 | 1\% | 73 | 1\% |
| Life, physical, and social science occupations | 36,824 | 1\% | 35 | 0\% | 37 | 0\% | 24 | 0\% |
| Education, legal, community service, arts, and media occupations: | 517,986 | 11\% | 1,770 | 10\% | 1,765 | 11\% | 667 | 13\% |
| Community and social service occupations | 75,167 | 2\% | 463 | 3\% | 463 | 3\% | 151 | 3\% |
| Legal occupations | 47,617 | 1\% | 84 | 0\% | 84 | 1\% | 33 | 1\% |
| Education, training, and library occupations | 307,123 | 6\% | 1,079 | 6\% | 1,070 | 6\% | 382 | 7\% |
| Arts, design, entertainment, sports, and media occupations | 88,079 | 2\% | 144 | 1\% | 148 | 1\% | 101 | 2\% |
| Healthcare practitioners and technical occupations: | 264,037 | 5\% | 1,510 | 9\% | 1,433 | 9\% | 487 | 9\% |
| Health diagnosing and treating practitioners and other technical occupations | 173,471 | 4\% | 692 | 4\% | 651 | 4\% | 217 | 4\% |
| Health technologists and technicians | 90,566 | 2\% | 818 | 5\% | 782 | 5\% | 270 | 5\% |
| Service occupations: | 788,398 | 16\% | 3,265 | 19\% | 3,251 | 19\% | 1,198 | 23\% |
| Healthcare support occupations | 109,160 | 2\% | 522 | 3\% | 512 | 3\% | 162 | 3\% |
| Protective service occupations: | 106,471 | 2\% | 576 | 3\% | 579 | 3\% | 250 | 5\% |
| Fire fighting and prevention, and other protective | 53,799 | 1\% | 133 | 1\% | 133 | 1\% | 61 | 1\% |
| service workers including supervisors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law enforcement workers including supervisors | 52,672 | 1\% | 443 | 3\% | 446 | 3\% | 189 | 4\% |
| Food preparation and serving related occupations | 271,840 | 6\% | 1,214 | 7\% | 1,204 | 7\% | 423 | 8\% |
| Building and grounds cleaning and maintenance occupations | 180,341 | 4\% | 587 | 3\% | 600 | 4\% | 253 | 5\% |
| Personal care and service occupations | 120,586 | 2\% | 366 | 2\% | 355 | 2\% | 110 | 2\% |
| Sales and office occupations: | 1,074,412 | 22\% | 3,447 | 20\% | 3,268 | 19\% | 1,052 | 20\% |
| Sales and related occupations | 524,492 | 11\% | 1,731 | 10\% | 1,669 | 10\% | 641 | 12\% |
| Office and administrative support occupations | 549,920 | 11\% | 1,716 | 10\% | 1,599 | 10\% | 411 | 8\% |
| Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations: | 434,576 | 9\% | 1,829 | 10\% | 1,713 | 10\% | 214 | 4\% |
| Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations | 25,419 | 1\% | 86 | 0\% | 80 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Construction and extraction occupations | 242,154 | 5\% | 975 | 6\% | 950 | 6\% | 119 | 2\% |
| Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations | 167,003 | 3\% | 768 | 4\% | 684 | 4\% | 95 | 2\% |
| Production, transportation, and material moving occupations: | 718,231 | 15\% | 3,367 | 19\% | 3,230 | 19\% | 990 | 19\% |
| Production occupations | 301,260 | 6\% | 1,265 | 7\% | 1,215 | 7\% | 267 | 5\% |
| Transportation occupations | 208,119 | 4\% | 1,163 | 7\% | 1,126 | 7\% | 271 | 5\% |
| Material moving occupations | 208,852 | 4\% | 939 | 5\% | 890 | 5\% | 452 | 9\% |

## Source: 2019-5yr ACS (Census)

## Occupation for the State and Market Area



Table 25-Industry of Employed Persons Age 16 Years And Over

|  | State | \% | County | \% | Market Area | \% | City | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | 4,834,622 |  | 17,458 |  | 16,791 |  | 5,242 |  |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining: | 51,378 | 1\% | 411 | 2\% | 379 | 2\% | 84 | 2\% |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting | 45,487 | 1\% | 258 | 1\% | 217 | 1\% | 52 | 1\% |
| Mining, quarrying, and oil and gas extraction | 5,891 | 0\% | 153 | 1\% | 163 | 1\% | 32 | 1\% |
| Construction | 322,629 | 7\% | 997 | 6\% | 952 | 6\% | 134 | 3\% |
| Manufacturing | 516,354 | 11\% | 1,939 | 11\% | 1,851 | 11\% | 399 | 8\% |
| Wholesale trade | 135,693 | 3\% | 266 | 2\% | 267 | 2\% | 37 | 1\% |
| Retail trade | 554,257 | 11\% | 2,660 | 15\% | 2,482 | 15\% | 903 | 17\% |
| Transportation and warehousing, and utilities: | 319,046 | 7\% | 1,137 | 7\% | 1,054 | 6\% | 325 | 6\% |
| Transportation and warehousing | 275,339 | 6\% | 977 | 6\% | 920 | 5\% | 289 | 6\% |
| Utilities | 43,707 | 1\% | 160 | 1\% | 134 | 1\% | 36 | 1\% |
| Information | 113,365 | 2\% | 205 | 1\% | 199 | 1\% | 74 | 1\% |
| Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing: | 300,552 | 6\% | 632 | 4\% | 611 | 4\% | 210 | 4\% |
| Finance and insurance | 204,008 | 4\% | 371 | 2\% | 350 | 2\% | 95 | 2\% |
| Real estate and rental and leasing | 96,544 | 2\% | 261 | 1\% | 261 | 2\% | 115 | 2\% |
| Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services: | 595,308 | 12\% | 808 | 5\% | 803 | 5\% | 257 | 5\% |
| Professional, scientific, and technical services | 354,029 | 7\% | 305 | 2\% | 293 | 2\% | 85 | 2\% |
| Management of companies and enterprises | 6,834 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Administrative and support and waste management services | 234,445 | 5\% | 503 | 3\% | 510 | 3\% | 172 | 3\% |
| Educational services, and health care and social assistance: | 1,002,203 | 21\% | 4,504 | 26\% | 4,423 | 26\% | 1,549 | 30\% |
| Educational services | 445,758 | 9\% | 1,553 | 9\% | 1,535 | 9\% | 453 | 9\% |
| Health care and social assistance | 556,445 | 12\% | 2,951 | 17\% | 2,888 | 17\% | 1,096 | 21\% |
| Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services: | 454,119 | 9\% | 1,710 | 10\% | 1,646 | 10\% | 658 | 13\% |
| Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 77,898 | 2\% | 50 | 0\% | 52 | 0\% | 36 | 1\% |
| Accommodation and food services | 376,221 | 8\% | 1,660 | 10\% | 1,594 | 9\% | 622 | 12\% |
| Other services, except public administration | 234,783 | 5\% | 759 | 4\% | 743 | 4\% | 172 | 3\% |
| Public administration | 234,935 | 5\% | 1,430 | 8\% | 1,383 | 8\% | 440 | 8\% |

Source: 2019-5yr ACS (Census)
Note: Bold numbers represent category totals and add to 100\%
Industry for the State and Market Area


Source: 2019-5yr ACS (Census)

## F. 3 Major Employers

Table 26-Major Employers
The following is a list of major employers in the county:

| Company | Product | Employees |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Carl Vinson VA Medical Center | Veterans Hospital | 1,575 |
| Fairview Park Hospital | General Acute Care Hospital | 789 |
| YKK AP | Extruded Architectural Aluminum Products | 437 |
| WestRock | Recycled Brown Paper | 292 |
| Flexsteel Industries, Inc. | Upholstered Furniture Manufacturer | 334 |
| Farmers Home Furniture | Furnishings, Appliances, and Electronics | 291 |
| Parker Aerospace, CSD | Aircraft Flight Control Systems | 230 |
| Best Buy, Inc. | Distribution of Electronics | 215 |
| Erdrich USA, Inc. | Automotive Brake Pistons, Punched and Stamped Auto Parts | 110 |
| Polymer Logistics | Sanitation and Distribution of Produce Crates | 85 |
| SteelFab of Dublin | Steel Fabrication | 107 |
| Dar Pro Solutions | Agribusiness | 73 |
| Dinex Emission, Inc. | Emission Control and Exhaust Systems; Mufflers | 65 |
| Sunshine Mills, Inc. | Dog Food Production | 54 |

Source: Dublin-Laurens County, GA Economic Development Authority

## F.3.1 New or Planned Changes in Workforce

If there are any, they will be discussed in the Interviews section of the report.

## F. 4 Employment (Civilian Labor Force)

In order to determine how employment affects the market area and whether the local economy is expanding, declining, or stable, it is necessary to inspect employment statistics for several years. The table below shows the increase or decrease in employment and the percentage of unemployed at the county level. This table also shows the change in the size of the labor force, an indicator of change in housing requirements for the county.

Table 27-Employment Trends

| Year | Civilian <br> Labor <br> Force | Unemployment | Rate (\%) | Employment | Employment Change <br> Number | Pct. | Annual <br> Change <br> Number | Pct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 21,250 | 915 | 4.5 | 20,335 | - | - | - | - |
| 2018 | 19,448 | 908 | 4.9 | 18,540 | -1,795 | -8.8\% | -100 | -0.5\% |
| 2019 | 19,516 | 858 | 4.6 | 18,658 | 118 | 0.6\% | 118 | 0.6\% |
| 2020 | 19,286 | 1,211 | 6.7 | 18,075 | -583 | -3.1\% | -583 | -3.1\% |
| A-20 | 18,596 | 1,963 | 11.8 | 16,633 | -1,442 | -8.0\% |  |  |
| M-20 | 18,929 | 1,531 | 8.8 | 17,398 | 765 | 4.6\% |  |  |
| J-20 | 18,786 | 1,343 | 7.7 | 17,443 | 45 | 0.3\% |  |  |
| J-20 | 18,904 | 1,352 | 7.7 | 17,552 | 109 | 0.6\% |  |  |
| A-20 | 18,803 | 1,148 | 6.5 | 17,655 | 103 | 0.6\% |  |  |
| S-20 | 19,006 | 1,076 | 6.0 | 17,930 | 275 | 1.6\% |  |  |
| O-20 | 19,688 | 1,009 | 5.4 | 18,679 | 749 | 4.2\% |  |  |
| N-20 | 19,897 | 1,001 | 5.3 | 18,896 | 217 | 1.2\% |  |  |
| D-20 | 19,859 | 1,106 | 5.9 | 18,753 | -143 | -0.8\% |  |  |
| J-21 | 19,973 | 1,005 | 5.3 | 18,968 | 215 | 1.1\% |  |  |
| F-21 | 20,019 | 899 | 4.7 | 19,120 | 152 | 0.8\% |  |  |
| M-21 | 19,990 | 806 | 4.2 | 19,184 | 64 | 0.3\% |  |  |

Source: State Employment Security Commission

## County Employment Trends



Source: State Employment Security Commission

## F. 5 Employment Concentrations Map

Employment Concentrations Map


## F. 6 Economic Summary

The largest number of persons in the market area is employed in the "Management, professional, and related occupations" occupation category and in the "Educational services, and health care and social assistance" industry category.
A change in the size of labor force frequently indicates a corresponding change in the need for housing. The size of the labor force has been stable over the past several years and has continued to be so despite the pandemic.

Employment has also been stable over the past several years and has also continued to be so despite the pandemic. The county lost 1,442 jobs in April, 2020 but gained 2,551 between May 2020 and March 2021.
Table 28-Median Wages by Industry

|  | State | County | City |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Overall | $\$ 36,061$ | $\$ 31,870$ | $\$ 29,485$ |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining: | $\$ 30,806$ | $\$ 41,289$ | $\$ 41,094$ |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting | $\$ 28,883$ | $\$ 42,667$ | $\$ 37,167$ |
| Mining, quarrying, and oil and gas extraction | $\$ 51,234$ | $\$ 41,133$ | - |
| Construction | $\$ 34,303$ | $\$ 36,212$ | $\$ 26,944$ |
| Manufacturing | $\$ 40,954$ | $\$ 41,497$ | $\$ 34,158$ |
| Wholesale trade | $\$ 47,502$ | $\$ 33,393$ | $\$ 43,224$ |
| Retail trade | $\$ 24,403$ | $\$ 22,629$ | $\$ 19,150$ |
| Transportation and warehousing, and utilities: | $\$ 44,690$ | $\$ 38,469$ | $\$ 26,146$ |
| Transportation and warehousing | $\$ 42,720$ | $\$ 36,340$ | $\$ 24,925$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 59,296$ | $\$ 50,156$ | $\$ 48,235$ |
| Information | $\$ 60,548$ | $\$ 47,417$ | $\$ 36,750$ |
| Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing: | $\$ 51,915$ | $\$ 37,313$ | $\$ 36,429$ |
| Finance and insurance | $\$ 56,594$ | $\$ 40,529$ | $\$ 38,393$ |
| Real estate and rental and leasing | $\$ 42,137$ | $\$ 35,951$ | $\$ 36,250$ |
| Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services: | $\$ 46,504$ | $\$ 24,375$ | $\$ 19,141$ |
| Professional, scientific, and technical services | $\$ 65,069$ | $\$ 48,702$ | $\$ 69,306$ |
| Management of companies and enterprises | $\$ 70,266$ | - | - |
| Administrative and support and waste management services | $\$ 26,209$ | $\$ 17,127$ | $\$ 9,167$ |
| Educational services, and health care and social assistance: | $\$ 38,228$ | $\$ 36,642$ | $\$ 36,847$ |
| Educational services | $\$ 40,610$ | $\$ 37,277$ | $\$ 36,150$ |
| Health care and social assistance | $\$ 36,510$ | $\$ 36,513$ | $\$ 37,616$ |
| Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodations and food services | $\$ 16,086$ | $\$ 15,647$ | $\$ 17,605$ |
| Arts, entertainment, and recreation | $\$ 21,029$ | $\$ 36,458$ | $\$ 30,000$ |
| Accommodation and food services | $\$ 15,605$ | $\$ 15,544$ | $\$ 17,605$ |
| Other services except public administration | $\$ 25,660$ | $\$ 21,334$ | $\$ 23,661$ |
| Public administration | $\$ 47,855$ | $\$ 39,017$ | $\$ 39,207$ |

Source: 2019-5yr ACS (Census)
Note: Dashes indicate data suppressed by Census Bureau; no data is available for the market area.

## Wages by Industry for the County



2010-5yr ACS (Census)
A downturn in the economy and thus a corresponding increase in unemployment will impact LIHTC properties without rental assistance. LIHTC properties without rental assistance require tenants who either earn enough money to afford the rent or have a rent subsidy voucher. When there is an increase in unemployment, there will be households where one or more employed persons become unemployed. Some households that could afford to live in the proposed units will no longer have enough income. By the same token, there will be other households that previously had incomes that were too high to live in the proposed units that will now be income qualified.

## Percent of Workers by Industry for the Market Area



Source: 2019-5yr ACS (Census)

## G. Development-Specific Affordability \& Demand Analysis

Several economic factors need to be examined in a housing market study. Most important is the number of households that would qualify for apartments on the basis of their incomes. A variety of circumstances regarding restrictions and affordability are outlined below.
These minimum and maximum incomes are used to establish the income range for households entering the development. Only households whose incomes fall within the range are considered as a source of demand.

Income data have been shown separately for owner and renter households. Only the renter household income data are used for determining demand for rental units.

Gross rent includes utilities, but it excludes payments of rental assistance by federal, state, and local entities. In this study, gross rent is always monthly.

## G. 1 Income Restrictions

## G.1.1 Establishing Tax Credit Qualifying Income Ranges

It is critical to establish the number of households that qualify for apartments under the tax credit program based on their incomes. The income ranges are established in two stages. First, the maximum incomes allowable are calculated by applying the tax credit guidelines. Then, minimum incomes required are calculated. According to United States Code, either $20 \%$ of the units must be occupied by households who earn under $50 \%$ of the area median gross income (AMI), OR $40 \%$ of the units must be occupied by households who earn under $60 \%$ of the AMI. Sometimes units are restricted for even lower income households. In many cases, the developer has chosen to restrict the rents for $100 \%$ of the units to be for low income households.

Table 29-Maximum Income Limit (HUD FY 2021)

| Pers. | VLIL | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0} \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 22,200 | 22,200 | 26,640 | 53,280 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 25,350 | 25,350 | 30,420 | 60,840 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 28,550 | 28,550 | 34,260 | 68,520 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 31,700 | 31,700 | 38,040 | 76,080 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 34,250 | 34,250 | 41,100 | 82,200 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 36,750 | 36,750 | 44,100 | 88,200 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 39,300 | 39,300 | 47,160 | 94,320 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 41,850 | 41,850 | 50,220 | 100,440 |

Source: Very Low Income (50\%) Limit and 60\% limit: HUD, Low and Very-Low Income Limits by Family Size Others: John Wall and Associates, derived from HUD figures
The table above shows the maximum tax credit allowable incomes for households moving into the subject based on household size and the
percent of area median gross income (AMI). Because the county is below the national non-metro, and because the site is not an ineligible area as determined by RD and because the subject is not using bond funds, the national non-metro figures have been substituted for the standard income limits.

After establishing the maximum income, the lower income limit will be determined. The lower limit is the income a household must have in order to be able to afford the rent and utilities. The realistic lower limit of the income range is determined by the following formula:

Gross rent $\div 35 \%$ [or $30 \%$ or $40 \%$, as described in the subsections above] x 12 months = annual income
This provides for up to $35 \%$ [or $30 \%$ or $40 \%$ ] of adjusted annual income (AAI) to be used for rent plus utilities.
The proposed gross rents, as supplied by the client, and the minimum incomes required to maintain $35 \%$ [or $30 \%$ or $40 \%$ ] or less of income spent on gross rent are:
Table 30-Minimum Incomes Required and Gross Rents

|  | Bedrooms | Number <br> of Units | Net <br> Rent | Gross <br> Rent | Minimum <br> Income <br> Required | Target <br> Population |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 1 | 3 | 436 | 530 | $\$ 18,171$ | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%} \%$ | 2 | 6 | 517 | 638 | $\$ 21,874$ | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 3 | 3 | 589 | 738 | $\$ 25,303$ | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | 1 | 11 | 542 | 636 | $\$ 21,806$ | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | 2 | 21 | 643 | 764 | $\$ 26,194$ | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | 3 | 12 | 751 | 900 | $\$ 30,857$ | Tax Credit |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0} \%$ | 1 | 2 | 725 | 819 | $\$ 28,080$ | Market Rate |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ | 2 | 3 | 875 | 996 | $\$ 34,149$ | Market Rate |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ | 3 | 3 | 1050 | 1199 | $\$ 41,109$ | Market Rate |

Source: John Wall and Associates from data provided by client
From the tables above, the practical lower income limits for units without rental assistance can be established. Units with rental assistance will use $\$ 0$ as their lower income limit.

When the minimum incomes required are combined with the maximum tax credit limits, the income ranges for households entering the development can be established. Only households whose incomes fall within the ranges can be considered as a source of demand. Note that both the income limits and the amount of spread in the ranges are important.

## G.1.2 Households Not Receiving Rental Assistance

Most households do not receive rental assistance. With respect to estimating which households may consider the subject a possible housing
choice, we will evaluate the gross rent as a percent of their income according to the following formula:
gross rent $\div \mathrm{X} \% \mathrm{x} 12$ months $=$ annual income
$\mathrm{X} \%$ in the formula will vary, depending on the circumstance, as outlined in the next two sections.

## G.1.3 Households Qualifying for Tax Credit Units

Households who earn less than a defined percentage (usually $50 \%$ or $60 \%$ ) of the county or MSA median income as adjusted by HUD (AMI) qualify for low income housing tax credit (LIHTC) units. Therefore, feasibility for developments expecting to receive tax credits will be based in part on the incomes required to support the tax credit rents.
For those tax credit units occupied by low income households, the monthly gross rent should not realistically exceed $35 \%$ of the household income.

## G. 2 Households Living in Market Rate Units

Minimum incomes for low (and sometimes moderate) income households in market rate units have been calculated the same as low income households in tax credit units (i.e., $35 \%$ of income for gross rent).

The maximum likely income for market rate units is established by using $20 \%$ of income to be spent on gross rent.
Households in luxury/upscale apartments typically spend less than $30 \%$ of their income on rent plus utilities. In other words, the percent of income spent on rent goes down as the income goes up.

## G. 3 Affordability

The most important information from the tables above is summarized in the table below. Income requirements for any PBRA units will be calculated for the contract rent.

Table 31-Qualifying Income Ranges by Bedrooms and Persons Per Household

| AMI | Bedrooms | Income |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Persons | Gross <br> Rent | Based Lower Limit |  | Upper <br> Limit |
| 50\% | 1 | 1 | 530 | 18,170 | 4,030 | 22,200 |
| 50\% | 1 | 2 | 530 | 18,170 | 7,180 | 25,350 |
| 50\% | 2 | 2 | 638 | 21,870 | 3,480 | 25,350 |
| 50\% | 2 | 3 | 638 | 21,870 | 6,680 | 28,550 |
| 50\% | 2 | 4 | 638 | 21,870 | 9,830 | 31,700 |
| 50\% | 3 | 3 | 738 | 25,300 | 3,250 | 28,550 |
| 50\% | 3 | 4 | 738 | 25,300 | 6,400 | 31,700 |
| 50\% | 3 | 5 | 738 | 25,300 | 8,950 | 34,250 |
| 50\% | 3 | 6 | 738 | 25,300 | 11,450 | 36,750 |
| 60\% | 1 | 1 | 636 | 21,810 | 4,830 | 26,640 |
| 60\% | 1 | 2 | 636 | 21,810 | 8,610 | 30,420 |
| 60\% | 2 | 2 | 764 | 26,190 | 4,230 | 30,420 |
| 60\% | 2 | 3 | 764 | 26,190 | 8,070 | 34,260 |
| 60\% | 2 | 4 | 764 | 26,190 | 11,850 | 38,040 |
| 60\% | 3 | 3 | 900 | 30,860 | 3,400 | 34,260 |
| 60\% | 3 | 4 | 900 | 30,860 | 7,180 | 38,040 |
| 60\% | 3 | 5 | 900 | 30,860 | 10,240 | 41,100 |
| 60\% | 3 | 6 | 900 | 30,860 | 13,240 | 44,100 |
| 120\% | 1 | 1 | 819 | 28,080 | 25,200 | 53,280 |
| 120\% | 1 | 2 | 819 | 28,080 | 32,760 | 60,840 |
| 120\% | 2 | 2 | 996 | 34,150 | 26,690 | 60,840 |
| 120\% | 2 | 3 | 996 | 34,150 | 34,370 | 68,520 |
| 120\% | 2 | 4 | 996 | 34,150 | 41,930 | 76,080 |
| 120\% | 3 | 3 | 1,199 | 41,110 | 27,410 | 68,520 |
| 120\% | 3 | 4 | 1,199 | 41,110 | 34,970 | 76,080 |
| 120\% | 3 | 5 | 1,199 | 41,110 | 41,090 | 82,200 |
| 120\% | 3 | 6 | 1,199 | 41,110 | 47,090 | 88,200 |

Sources: Gross rents: client; Limits: tables on prior pages; Spread: calculated from data in table

## G.3.1 Upper Income Determination

DCA requires using 1.5 persons per bedroom, rounded up to the nearest whole person for the upper income limit determination.

## G.3.2 Programmatic and Pro Forma Rent Analysis

The table below shows a comparison of programmatic rent and pro forma rent.

Table 32-Qualifying and Proposed and Programmatic Rent Summary

|  | 1-BR | 2-BR | 3-BR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 50\% Units |  |  |  |
| Number of Units | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| Max Allowable Gross Rent | $\$ 594$ | $\$ 713$ | $\$ 824$ |
| Pro Forma Gross Rent | $\$ 530$ | $\$ 638$ | $\$ 738$ |
| Difference (\$) | $\$ 64$ | $\$ 75$ | $\$ 86$ |
| Difference (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 \%}$ |

60\% Units

| Number of Units | 11 | 21 | 12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Max Allowable Gross Rent | $\$ 713$ | $\$ 856$ | $\$ 989$ |
| Pro Forma Gross Rent | $\$ 636$ | $\$ 764$ | $\$ 900$ |
| Difference (\$) | $\$ 77$ | $\$ 92$ | $\$ \mathbf{8 9}$ |
| Difference (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 0} \%$ |

120\% Units

| Number of Units | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Max Allowable Gross Rent | -- | -- | -- |
| Pro Forma Gross Rent | $\$ 819$ | $\$ 996$ | $\$ 1,199$ |
| Difference (\$) | -- | -- | -- |
| Difference (\%) | -- | -- | -- |

## Targeted Income Ranges



An income range of $\$ 18,170$ to $\$ 32,975$ is reasonable for the $50 \%$ AMI units.
An income range of $\$ 21,810$ to $\$ 39,570$ is reasonable for the $60 \%$ AMI units.
An income range of $\$ 28,080$ to $\$ 79,140$ is reasonable for the market rate units.
An income range of $\$ 18,170$ to $\$ 39,570$ is reasonable for the tax credit units.
An income range of $\$ 18,170$ to $\$ 79,140$ is reasonable for the project overall.

## G.3.3 Households with Qualified Incomes

The table below shows income levels for renters and owners separately. The number and percent of income qualified renter households is calculated from this table.

Table 33-Number of Specified Households in Various Income Ranges by Tenure

|  | State | \% | County | $\%$ | Market Area | $\%$ | City | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Owner occupied: | $2,377,773$ |  | 11,005 |  | 10,424 |  | 2,434 |  |
| Less than $\$ 5,000$ | 53,401 | $2.2 \%$ | 508 | $4.6 \%$ | 486 | $4.7 \%$ | 162 | $6.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$ | 38,735 | $1.6 \%$ | 426 | $3.9 \%$ | 405 | $3.9 \%$ | 52 | $2.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 14,999$ | 69,357 | $2.9 \%$ | 736 | $6.7 \%$ | 745 | $7.1 \%$ | 170 | $7.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 15,000$ to $\$ 19,999$ | 77,116 | $3.2 \%$ | 593 | $5.4 \%$ | 548 | $5.3 \%$ | 90 | $3.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 24,999$ | 83,675 | $3.5 \%$ | 560 | $5.1 \%$ | 496 | $4.8 \%$ | 85 | $3.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 34,999$ | 177,625 | $7.5 \%$ | 1,000 | $9.1 \%$ | 923 | $8.9 \%$ | 239 | $9.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ | 267,122 | $11.2 \%$ | 1,835 | $16.7 \%$ | 1,652 | $15.8 \%$ | 244 | $10.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$ | 424,095 | $17.8 \%$ | 1,909 | $17.3 \%$ | 1,830 | $17.6 \%$ | 491 | $20.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 99,999$ | 339,152 | $14.3 \%$ | 1,265 | $11.5 \%$ | 1,264 | $12.1 \%$ | 331 | $13.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 149,999$ | 431,885 | $18.2 \%$ | 1,230 | $11.2 \%$ | 1,152 | $11.1 \%$ | 286 | $11.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 150,000$ or more | 415,610 | $17.5 \%$ | 943 | $8.6 \%$ | 924 | $8.9 \%$ | 284 | $11.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,086 |
| Renter occupied: | $1,381,025$ |  | 6,137 |  | 602 |  | 355 | $11.5 \%$ |
| Less than $\$ 5,000$ | 85,177 | $6.2 \%$ | 644 | $10.5 \%$ | 626 | $10.4 \%$ | 400 | $13.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$ | 78,714 | $5.7 \%$ | 554 | $9.0 \%$ | 513 | $8.5 \%$ | 291 | $9.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 14,999$ | 98,128 | $7.1 \%$ | 755 | $12.3 \%$ | 762 | $12.7 \%$ | 411 | $13.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 15,000$ to $\$ 19,999$ | 97,752 | $7.1 \%$ | 606 | $9.9 \%$ | 598 | $10.0 \%$ | 306 | $9.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 24,999$ | 96,659 | $7.0 \%$ | 523 | $8.5 \%$ | 530 | $8.8 \%$ | 30 |  |
| $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 34,999$ | 182,113 | $13.2 \%$ | 767 | $12.5 \%$ | 759 | $12.6 \%$ | 437 | $14.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ | 217,852 | $15.8 \%$ | 934 | $15.2 \%$ | 912 | $15.2 \%$ | 403 | $13.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$ | 241,519 | $17.5 \%$ | 698 | $11.4 \%$ | 679 | $11.3 \%$ | 237 | $7.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 99,999$ | 134,064 | $9.7 \%$ | 304 | $5.0 \%$ | 284 | $4.7 \%$ | 146 | $4.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 149,999$ | 101,513 | $7.4 \%$ | 265 | $4.3 \%$ | 258 | $4.3 \%$ | 73 | $2.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 150,000$ or more | 47,534 | $3.4 \%$ | 87 | $1.4 \%$ | 81 | $1.3 \%$ | 27 | $0.9 \%$ |

Source: 2019-5yr ACS (Census)

The percent of renter households in the appropriate income ranges will be applied to the renter household growth figures to determine the number of new renter households that will be income qualified to move into each of the different unit types the subject will offer.

Table 34-Percent of Renter Households in Appropriate Income Ranges for the Market Area

| AMI <br> Lower Limit Upper Limit |  |  | 50\% |  | 60\% |  | 120\% |  | Tx. Cr. |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 18,170 |  | 21,810 |  | 28,080 |  | 18,170 |  | 18,170 |
|  |  |  | 32,975 |  | 39,570 |  | 79,140 |  | 39,570 |  | 79,140 |
| Renter occupied: | Mkt. Area <br> Households | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# |
| Less than \$5,000 | 626 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 513 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 762 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$15,000 to \$19,999 | 598 | 0.37 | 219 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0.37 | 219 | 0.37 | 219 |
| \$20,000 to \$24,999 | 530 | 1.00 | 530 | 0.64 | 338 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 530 | 1.00 | 530 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 759 | 0.80 | 605 | 1.00 | 759 | 0.69 | 525 | 1.00 | 759 | 1.00 | 759 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 912 | - | 0 | 0.30 | 278 | 1.00 | 912 | 0.30 | 278 | 1.00 | 912 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 679 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 679 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 679 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 284 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0.17 | 47 | - | 0 | 0.17 | 47 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 258 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$150,000 or more | 81 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Total | 6,002 |  | 1,354 |  | 1,375 |  | 2,163 |  | 1,786 |  | 3,146 |
| Percent in Range |  |  | 22.6\% |  | 22.9\% |  | 36.0\% |  | 29.8\% |  | 52.4\% |

Source: John Wall and Associates from figures above
The table above shows how many renter households are in each income range. The number and percent are given in the last two rows (e.g., 1,354 , or $22.6 \%$ of the renter households in the market area are in the $50 \%$ range.)

## Change in Renter Household Income



Sources: 2018 and 2019-5yr ACS (Census)
The above table shows the change in renter households in various income ranges. The more current data is reflected on the left axis.

Median Household Income Map


## G. 4 Demand

## G.4.1 Demand from New Households

## G.4.1.1 New Households

It was shown in the Household Trends section of this study that 0 new housing units will be needed by the year of completion due to household growth. It was shown in the Tenure section that the area ratio of rental units to total units is $34.5 \%$. Therefore, 0 of these new units will need to be rental.

The table "Percent of Renter Households in Appropriate Income Ranges for the Market Area" shows the percentage of renter households in various income ranges. These percentages are applied to the total number of new rental units needed to arrive at the number of new rental units needed in the relevant income categories:
Table 35-New Renter Households in Each Income Range for the Market Area

|  | New <br> Renter <br> Households | Percent <br> Income <br> Qualified | Demand <br> due to new <br> Households |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ AMI: $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 1 7 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 3 2 , 9 7 5}$ | 0 | $22.6 \%$ | 0 |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ AMI: $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 , 8 1 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 3 9 , 5 7 0}$ | 0 | $22.9 \%$ | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ AMI: $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 , 0 8 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 7 9 , 1 4 0}$ | 0 | $36.0 \%$ | 0 |
| Overall Tax Credit: $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 1 7 0}$ to $\$ \mathbf{3 9 , 5 7 0}$ | 0 | $29.8 \%$ | 0 |
| Overall Project: $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 1 7 0}$ to $\$ 79, \mathbf{1 4 0}$ | 0 | $52.4 \%$ | 0 |

Source: John Wall and Associates from figures above

## G.4.2 Demand from Existing Households

## G.4.2.1 Demand from Rent Overburden Households

A household is defined as rent overburdened when it pays $30 \%$ or more of its income on gross rent (rent plus utilities). Likewise, the household is highly rent overburdened if it pays $35 \%$ or more of its income on gross rent. For tax credit units without rental assistance, households may pay $35 \%$ of their incomes for gross rent. Therefore, up to $35 \%$ of income for gross rent is used in establishing affordability in the "Demand from New Households" calculations. Hence, only highly (paying in excess of 35\%) rent overburdened households are counted as a source of demand for tax credit units without rental assistance.

For units with rental assistance (tenants pay only $30 \%$ of their income for gross rent), any households paying more than $30 \%$ for gross rent would benefit by moving into the unit so all overburdened households in the relevant income range are counted as a source of demand.

The following table presents data on rent overburdened households in various income ranges.
Table 36-Percentage of Income Paid For Gross Rent (Renter Households in Specified Housing Units)

|  | State |  | County |  | Market Area |  | City |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$10,000: | 163,891 |  | 1,198 |  | 1,139 |  | 755 |  |
| 30.0\% to 34.9\% | 3,127 | 1.9\% | 63 | 5.3\% | 63 | 5.5\% | 43 | 5.7\% |
| $35.0 \%$ or more | 101,867 | 62.2\% | 653 | 54.5\% | 621 | 54.5\% | 437 | 57.9\% |
| \$10,000 to \$19,999: | 195,880 |  | 1,361 |  | 1,360 |  | 702 |  |
| 30.0\% to 34.9\% | 8,584 | 4.4\% | 95 | 7.0\% | 100 | 7.4\% | 45 | 6.4\% |
| 35.0\% or more | 154,162 | 78.7\% | 978 | 71.9\% | 979 | 72.0\% | 504 | 71.8\% |
| \$20,000 to \$34,999: | 278,772 |  | 1,290 |  | 1,289 |  | 743 |  |
| 30.0\% to 34.9\% | 34,333 | 12.3\% | 192 | 14.9\% | 191 | 14.8\% | 97 | 13.1\% |
| 35.0\% or more | 175,105 | 62.8\% | 272 | 21.1\% | 271 | 21.0\% | 131 | 17.6\% |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999: | 217,852 |  | 934 |  | 912 |  | 403 |  |
| 30.0\% to 34.9\% | 39,255 | 18.0\% | 104 | 11.1\% | 104 | 11.4\% | 72 | 17.9\% |
| 35.0\% or more | 59,988 | 27.5\% | 157 | 16.8\% | 157 | 17.2\% | 105 | 26.1\% |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999: | 241,519 |  | 698 |  | 679 |  | 237 |  |
| 30.0\% to 34.9\% | 22,946 | 9.5\% | 22 | 3.2\% | 22 | 3.2\% | 21 | 8.9\% |
| 35.0\% or more | 16,812 | 7.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999: | 134,064 |  | 304 |  | 284 |  | 146 |  |
| 30.0\% to 34.9\% | $3,714$ | 2.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 35.0\% or more | 2,250 | 1.7\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| \$100,000 or more: | 149,047 |  | 352 |  | 339 |  | 100 |  |
| $30.0 \% \text { to } 34.9 \%$ | $897$ | 0.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 35.0\% or more | 713 | 0.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |

Source: 2019-5yr ACS (Census)
From the previous table, the number of rent overburdened households in each appropriate income range can be estimated in the table below.
Table 37-Rent Overburdened Households in Each Income Range for the Market Area

| 35\%+ Overburden <br> AMI |  |  | 50\% |  | 60\% |  | 120\% |  | Tx. Cr. | Overall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower Limit |  |  | 18,170 |  | 21,810 |  | 28,080 |  | 18,170 |  | 18,170 |
| Upper Limit | Mkt. Area |  | 32,975 |  | 39,570 |  | 79,140 |  | 39,570 |  | 79,140 |
|  | Households | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# |
| Less than \$10,000: | 621 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| \$10,000 to \$19,999: | 979 | 0.18 | 179 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0.18 | 179 | 0.18 | 179 |
| \$20,000 to \$34,999: | 271 | 0.87 | 234 | 0.88 | 238 | 0.46 | 125 | 1.00 | 271 | 1.00 | 271 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999: | 157 | - | 0 | 0.30 | 48 | 1.00 | 157 | 0.30 | 48 | 1.00 | 157 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999: | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 0 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999: | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0.17 | 0 | - | 0 | 0.17 | 0 |
| \$100,000 or more: | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Column Total | 2,028 |  | 414 |  | 286 |  | 282 |  | 498 |  | 607 |

[^2]
## G.4.2.2 Demand from Substandard Conditions

The Bureau of the Census defines substandard conditions as 1) lacking plumbing, or 2) 1.01 or more persons per room.
Table 38-Substandard Occupied Units

|  | State | \% | County | \% | Market Area | \% | City | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Owner occupied: | 2,377,773 |  | 11,005 |  | 10,424 |  | 2,434 |  |
| Complete plumbing: | 2,371,905 | 100\% | 10,968 | 100\% | 10,385 | 100\% | 2,417 | 99\% |
| 1.00 or less | 2,344,943 | 99\% | 10,831 | 98\% | 10,247 | 98\% | 2,386 | 98\% |
| 1.01 to 1.50 | 20,661 | 1\% | 119 | 1\% | 120 | 1\% | 21 | 1\% |
| 1.51 or more | 6,301 | 0\% | 18 | 0\% | 18 | 0\% | 10 | 0\% |
| Lacking plumbing: | 5,868 | 0\% | 37 | 0\% | 39 | 0\% | 17 | 1\% |
| 1.00 or less | 5,568 | 0\% | 37 | 0\% | 39 | 0\% | 17 | 1\% |
| 1.01 to 1.50 | 241 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| 1.51 or more | 59 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Renter occupied: | 1,381,025 |  | 6,137 |  | 6,002 |  | 3,086 |  |
| Complete plumbing: | 1,374,548 | 100\% | 6,131 | 100\% | 5,996 | 100\% | 3,086 | 100\% |
| 1.00 or less | 1,318,641 | 95\% | 5,815 | 95\% | 5,679 | 95\% | 2,976 | 96\% |
| 1.01 to 1.50 | 39,624 | 3\% | 261 | 4\% | 263 | 4\% | 80 | 3\% |
| 1.51 or more | 16,283 | 1\% | 55 | 1\% | 55 | 1\% | 30 | 1\% |
| Lacking plumbing: | 6,477 | 0\% | 6 | 0\% | 6 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| 1.00 or less | 5,977 | 0\% | 6 | 0\% | 6 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| 1.01 to 1.50 | 118 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| 1.51 or more | 382 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Total Renter Substandard |  |  |  |  | 324 |  |  |  |

Source: 2019-5yr ACS (Census)
From these tables, the need from substandard rental units can be drawn. There are 324 substandard rental units in the market area.
From the figures above the number of substandard units in each appropriate income range can be estimated in the table below.

Table 39-Substandard Conditions in Each Income Range for the Market Area

|  | Total <br> Substandard <br> Units | Percent <br> Income <br> Qualified | Demand <br> due to <br> Substandard |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ AMI: $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 1 7 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 3 2 , 9 7 5}$ | 324 | $22.6 \%$ | 73 |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ AMI: $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 , 8 1 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 3 9 , 5 7 0}$ | 324 | $22.9 \%$ | 74 |
| 120\% AMI: $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 , 0 8 0} \mathbf{~ t o ~} \mathbf{\$ 7 9 , 1 4 0}$ | 324 | $36.0 \%$ | 117 |
| Overall Tax Credit: $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 1 7 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 3 9 , 5 7 0}$ | 324 | $29.8 \%$ | 96 |
| Overall Project: $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 1 7 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 7 9 , 1 4 0}$ | 324 | $52.4 \%$ | 170 |

[^3]
## G. 5 Demand for New Units

The demand components shown in the previous section are summarized below.

Table 40-Demand for New Units

|  |  | 60\% AMI: \$21,810 to \$39,570 |  | $0 \angle S^{\prime} 6 \varepsilon \$ \text { Ol 0 0 0 I }$ | O <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Housing Units Required | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rent Overburden Households | 414 | 286 | 282 | 498 | 621 |
| Substandard Units | 73 | 74 | 117 | 96 | 170 |
| Demand | 487 | 360 | 399 | 594 | 791 |
| Less New Supply | 14 | 11 | 3 | 25 | 28 |
| Net Demand | 473 | 349 | 396 | 569 | 763 |

* Numbers may not add due to rounding.


## G. 6 Capture Rate Analysis Chart

Table 41-Capture Rate by Unit Size (Bedrooms) and Targeting

|  |  | Income Range | Units | Total Demand | Supply | Net <br> Demand | Capture Rate | Absrptn. | Average Mkt. Rent | Mkt. Rent Range | Prop. <br> Rents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50\% AMI | 1 BR | \$18,170-\$23,775 | 3 | 153 | 11 | 142 | 2.1\% | 13 / mo | 914 | \$225-\$975 | 436 |
|  | 2 BR | \$21,870-\$28,550 | 6 | 240 | 3 | 237 | 2.5\% | 13/mo | 1,155 | \$469-\$1,250 | 517 |
|  | 3 BR | \$25,300-\$32,975 | 3 | 95 | 0 | 95 | 3.2\% | 13/mo | 1,255 | \$510-\$925 | 589 |
| 60\% AMI | 1 BR | \$21,810-\$28,530 | 11 | 114 | 9 | 105 | 10.5\% | 13 / mo | 914 | \$225-\$975 | 542 |
|  | 2 BR | \$26,190-\$34,260 | 21 | 177 | 2 | 175 | 12.0\% | 13/mo | 1,155 | \$469-\$1,250 | 643 |
|  | 3 BR | \$30,860-\$39,570 | 12 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 17.1\% | 13/mo | 1,255 | \$510-\$925 | 751 |
| 120\% AM | 1 BR | \$28,080-\$57,060 | 2 | 121 | 2 | 119 | 1.7\% | 13/mo | 914 | \$225-\$975 | 725 |
|  | 2 BR | \$34,150-\$68,520 | 3 | 199 | 1 | 198 | 1.5\% | 13/mo | 1,155 | \$469-\$1,250 | 875 |
|  | 3 BR | \$41,110-\$79,140 | 3 | 79 | 0 | 79 | 3.8\% | 13/mo | 1,255 | \$510-\$925 | 1,050 |
|  | 50\% AMI | \$18,170-\$32,975 | 12 | 487 | 14 | 473 | 2.5\% | - | - | - | - |
|  | 60\% AMI | \$21,810-\$39,570 | 44 | 360 | 11 | 349 | 12.6\% | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL <br> for Project | 120\% AM | \$28,080-\$79,140 | 8 | 399 | 3 | 396 | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - |
|  | All TC | \$18,170-\$39,570 | 56 | 594 | 25 | 569 | 9.8\% |  | - | - | - |
|  | Overall | \$18,170-\$79,140 | 64 | 791 | 28 | 763 | 8.4\% |  | - | - | - |

* Numbers may not add due to rounding.

The capture rate is not intended to be used in isolation. A low capture rate does not guarantee a successful development, nor does a high capture rate assure failure; the capture rate should be considered in the context of all the other indicators presented in the study. It is one of many factors considered in reaching a conclusion.

## H. Competitive Analysis (Existing Competitive Rental Environment)

This section contains a review of statistical data on rental property in the market area and an analysis of the data collected in the field survey of apartments in the area.

## H. 1 Survey of Apartments

John Wall and Associates conducted a survey of apartments in the area. All of the apartments of interest are surveyed. Some of them are included because they are close to the site, or because they help in understanding the context of the segment where the subject will compete. The full details of the survey are contained in the apartment photo sheets later in this report. A summary of the data focusing on rents is shown in the apartment inventory, also later in this report. A summary of vacancies sorted by rent is presented in the schedule of rents, units, and vacancies.
Table 42-List of Apartments Surveyed

| Name | Units | Vacancy Rate | Type | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brookington | 96 | $0.0 \%$ | Conventional |  |
| Carriage Hill | 60 | $0.0 \%$ | Conventional |  |
| Claxton Point North | 136 | $0.0 \%$ | Conventional |  |
| Emerald Pointe | 64 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | LIHTC $(30 \%, 50 \%, \& 60 \%)$ | Unable to update info |
| Freedom's Path | 50 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | LIHTC $50 \%$ \& 60\%) | Not under construction yet |
| Highland Woods | 24 | $4.2 \%$ | Conventional |  |
| Hillcrest | 48 | $0.0 \%$ | LIHTC $(50 \%$ \& 60\%) | Comparable |
| Meadowood Park | 80 | $0.0 \%$ | LIHTC (50\% \& 60\%) | Comparable |
| Pecan Ridge | 52 | $0.0 \%$ | Conventional |  |
| Shamrock Village | 70 | $0.0 \%$ | Section 8/Section 236 |  |
| Waterford Estates | 56 | $0.0 \%$ | LIHTC (50\% \& 60\%) | Comparable |
| Woodlawn West | 56 | $0.0 \%$ | Conventional | 16 additional units under construction |

## H.1.1 Comparables

The apartments in the market most comparable to the subject are listed below:

Table 43-Comparison of Comparables to Subject

|  | Approximate <br> Distance | Reason for Comparability | Degree of Comparability |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hillcrest | 3.9 miles | LIHTC | High |
| Meadowood Park | 5.8 miles | LIHTC | High |
| Waterford Estates | 6.0 miles | LIHTC with some market rate units | Moderate |

The subject would be brand new with a good site location, but it would have rents higher than most of the existing LIHTC rents in the market; however, the subject would be targeting a market that mostly hasn't been targeted by existing properties, so it would be unique, and the calculated demand is also good. Overall, the subject is positioned well among the comparables and in the market overall.

## H.1.2 Apartment Inventory

The apartment inventory follows this page. Summary information is shown for each apartment surveyed and detailed information is provided on individual property photo sheets.

## H.1.3 Schedule of Present Rents, Units, and Vacancies

The present housing situation is examined in this section. The rents, number of units, and vacancies of the apartments listed in the apartment inventory (shown separately later) are summarized in the tables below. Rents, units, and vacancies are tabulated separately for the various bedroom sizes, a necessary step in making bedroom mix recommendations.

The table below shows surveyed apartment complexes in or near the market area. The pro forma rents, as given by the developer, are shown in orange in the table below. These rents will be compared to the other apartments in the area, and especially the comparable apartments to determine if they are reasonable. In addition to seeing how the pro forma rents compare in terms of absolute rents in the following table, it will be important to consider the amenities and locations of the other apartments.
Table 44-Schedule of Rents, Number of Units, and Vacancies for Apartment Units


Orange $=$ Subject; Green $=$ Tax Credit; Blue $=$ Sec. $8 /$ Sec. 515 ; Highlight $=$ Tax Credit Median Rent;
Underline $=$ Elderly/Older Persons; $\mathrm{b}=$ basic rent; italics $=$ average rent $; \mathrm{UR}=$ under rehabilitation;
$\mathrm{UC}=$ under construction; $\mathrm{RU}=$ in rent up; $\mathrm{PL}=$ planned; $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ information unavailable
Source: John Wall and Associates
A vacancy rate of $5.0 \%$ is considered normal. The overall vacancy rate in the market is $0.2 \%$. The overall LIHTC vacancy rate is $0.0 \%$.

## H. 2 Additional information on competitive environment

- Vouchers and certificates available in the market area:

Because the subject does not have PBRA units and cannot rely on Section 8 voucher support in order to be successful, the Housing Authority was not surveyed regarding public housing and vouchers.

- Lease up history of competitive developments:

No information is available.

- Tenant profiles of existing phase:

This is not applicable.

- Additional information for rural areas lacking sufficient comps:

This is not applicable.

## H. 3 Apartment Locations Map

Apartment Locations Map


| ID\# | Apartment Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year Built } \\ \text { vac\% } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Efficiency/Studio (e) One Bedroom |  |  | Two Bedroom |  |  | Three Bedroom |  |  | Four Bedroom |  | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Units | Vacant | Rent | Units | Vacant | Rent | Units | Vacant | Rent | Units Vacant | Rent |  |
|  | 21-054 SUBJECT <br> Greenwood Landing Airport Rd. \& Pilot Pl. Dublin | Proposed | 3 11 $2^{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 436 \\ & 542 \\ & 725 \end{aligned}$ | 6 21 $3 *$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 517 \\ & 643 \\ & 875 \end{aligned}$ | 3 12 $3^{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 589 \\ 751 \\ 1050 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\text { LIHTC ( } 50 \% \text { \& } 60 \% \text { ); PBRA=0 }$ <br> *Market rate units; **Pavilion |
|  | Brookington 504 Brookwood Dr. Dublin <br> Linda (4-8-21) 478-272-6788 | $\begin{gathered} 1977 \\ 1984 \\ \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | 48 | 0 | 510 | 40 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 575 \\ & 600 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Conventional;Sec 8=not accepted *Lake |
|  | Carriage Hill <br> 604 Hillcrest Pkwy. <br> Dublin <br> Karen (4-8-21) <br> 478-246-1594 | $1986$ $0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & 595 \end{aligned}$ | 9 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 645 \\ & 665 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{WL}=33$ <br> Conventional; Sec $8=0$ <br> Office hours: M-F 9-5 |
|  | Claxton Point North 1003 Claxton Dairy Rd. <br> Dubin <br> Kasey (4-8-21) <br> 478-272-7335 | 1980s $0 \%$ | 13 | 0 | 550 | 115 | 0 | 700 | 8 | 0 | 780 |  |  | WL=25 (shared with Pecan Ridge) <br> Conventional; Sec $8=6$ <br> Formerly called Village Square; Same manager as Highland Woods and Pecan Ridge; *Basketball court; **Some units |
|  | Emerald Pointe <br> 111 Woodlawn Dr. <br> Dublin <br> (5-6-21) <br> 478-296-1060 - property <br> 205-980-3245-mgt. co. | 2006 | 3* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \end{aligned}$ | 3 7 15 $7 *$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \end{aligned}$ | 3 10 $3^{*}$ | N/A <br> N/A <br> N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | LIHTC ( $30 \%, 50 \%$, \& $60 \%$ ); PBRA $=0$ 2004 LIHTC allocation; AMI breakdown is approximated; *There are 13 market rate units at this property; Managed by Gateway Management; Unable to obtain updated information after numerous attempts - in May 2020 JWA survey, there were 4 vacancies, $100+$ on the waiting list, 5 on housing vouchers and rents of $\$ 182 / \$ 363 /$ $\$ 414 / \$ 532$ (1BR), $\$ 215 / \$ 420 / \$ 432 / \$ 632$ (2BR) and $\$ 243 / \$ 479 / \$ 554 / \$ 702$ (3BR) |
|  | Freedom's Path at Dublin 1826 Veterans Blvd. <br> Dublin <br> Craig Taylor - dev. (4-6 -21) ctaylor@sfveterans.org | n Planned |  | PL <br> PL <br> PL <br> PL | $\begin{array}{r} 525-625 \\ 550 \\ 650 \\ 650 \end{array}$ | 3 2 1 | PL PL PL | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 600 \\ & 700 \\ & 700 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | LIHTC ( $50 \%$ \& $60 \%$ ); PBRA $=0$ <br> 2020 LIHTC allocation; Adaptive reuse of old dorms and new construction; *11 units at $50 \%$ for $\$ 525$, 9 units at $60 \%$ for $\$ 625$, and 2 unrestricted units for $\$ 625$; **Technology center, grilling station, community garden and private healthcare examination/counseling area; This property is not under construction yet; Veterans will be targeted at this property; Five market rate units - 2 studio units, 2 one bedroom units and 1 two bedroom unit |
|  | Highland Woods 1819 Highland St. <br> Dublin <br> Kasey (4-8-21) <br> 478-272-7335 | $\begin{gathered} 1965 \\ 4.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 0 | 500 | 20 | 1 | 550 |  |  |  |  |  | Conventional; VASH=2 <br> Great location; This property gives preference for veterans; Same manager as Claxton Point North and Pecan Ridge |
|  | Hillcrest <br> 208 Hillcrest Dr. <br> Dublin <br> Christie (4-19-21) <br> 478-275-3553 | $\begin{array}{r} 1996 \\ 2020 \\ \text { Rehab } \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | 3 9 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 225 \\ & 417 \\ & 424 \end{aligned}$ | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 469 \\ & 474 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 510 \\ & 515 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | WL=10 <br> LIHTC ( $50 \%$ \& $60 \%$ ); PBRA $=0$; Sec $8=9$ <br> 1995 and 2018 LIHTC allocations; Former Section 515 property; Office hours: Tu-F 8-1; All 30\% AMI units are one bedroom units; *Recreation and picnic areas; **Patio/balcony |
|  | Meadowood Park 1015 Martin Luther King Blvd. <br> Dublin <br> Chapelle (5-3-21) 478-274-9677 - property 229-219-8000 - mgt. co. | $1999$ $0 \%$ |  |  |  | 28 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495 \\ & 530 \end{aligned}$ | 12 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 562 \\ & 723 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | WL=100-120 <br> LIHTC ( $50 \%$ \& $60 \%$ ); PBRA $=0$; Sec $8=6$ <br> 1997 LIHTC allocation; Managed by Ambling; AMI targeting isn't tied to units - AMI mix is approximated |


| ID\# | Apartment Name $\begin{gathered}\text { Year Bu } \\ \text { vac }\end{gathered}$ | Efficiency/Studio (e) One Bedroom |  |  |  | Two Bedroom |  |  | Three Bedroom |  | Four Bedroom |  | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Units | Vacant | Rent | Units | Vacant | Rent | Units | Vacant | Rent | Units Vacant | Rent |  |
|  | Pecan Ridge  <br> 104 Parker Dairy Rd. 1993 <br> Dublin <br> Kasey $(4-8-21)$ <br> 478-272-7335 $0 \%$ | 20 | 0 | 575 | 2 | 0 | 680 | 8 | 0 | 725 |  |  | WL=25 (shared with Claxton Point North) Conventional; $\operatorname{Sec} 8=7$ <br> Former Section 515 property; Same manager as Claxton Point North and Highland Woods |
|  | Shamrock Village 1975 <br> 1606 S. Jefferson St.  <br> Dublin  <br> Monica $(4-27-21)$ $0 \%$ <br> 478-272-8610  | ${ }_{11} 1^{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PRRA } \\ & 549 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \\ \hline & 0 \\ * & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PBRA } \\ 631 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & 2^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PBRA } \\ 702 \end{array}$ |  |  | WL=100 (PBRA) \& 25 (MKT) Sec $8 /$ Sec 236 ; PBRA $=51$; Sec $8=5$ Former LIHTC property - 1988 allocation; *19 market rate units; Office hours: M-F 8:30-5:30 |
|  | Waterford Estates <br> 100 Waterford Trl. 2010 <br> Dublin <br> Donna (4-19-21) <br> 478-272-9751 $0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23 \\ 11 \\ 3^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 666 \\ & 828 \\ & 925 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \hline 11 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 \\ 3^{*} & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 724 \\ 905 \\ 1000 \end{array}$ | WL=200 <br> LIHTC ( $50 \%$ \& $60 \%$ ); PBRA $=0$; Sec $8=7$ <br> 2008 LIHTC allocation; Formerly called Shannon <br> Estates *Market rate units; **Computer lab and splash pad |
|  |  | ${ }_{16}^{32}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ U_{0}^{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 975 \\ & 975 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 0 | 1250 |  |  |  |  |  | WL=20 <br> Conventional; Sec $8=$ not accepted <br> Managed by Curry Companies; *Bark park, grilling area, fire pit and media center, **Patio/balcony; 40 additional units are planned to be built after the construction is complete on the newest 16 units |

Project: Dublin, Georgia (PCN: 21-054)


Project: Dublin, Georgia (PCN: 21-054)


|  | No. of Units | Baths | Vacant | Size (s.f.) | Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficiency/Studio |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-Bedroom | 3 | 1 | P | 761 | 436 |
| 1 BR vacancy rate | 11 | 1 | P | 761 | 542 |
|  | $2^{*}$ | 1 | P | 761 | 725 |
| Two-Bedroom | 6 | 2 | P | 1051 | 517 |
| 2 BR vacancy rate | 21 | 2 | P | 1051 | 643 |
|  | 3* | 2 | P | 1051 | 875 |
| Three-Bedroom | 3 | 2 | P | 1216 | 589 |
| 3 BR vacancy rate | 12 | 2 | P | 1216 | 751 |
|  | 3* | 2 | P | 1216 | 1050 |
| Four-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS | 64 |  | 0 |  |  |

Complex:
Map Number:
21-054 SUBJECT
Greenwood Landing
Airport Rd. \& Pilot Pl.
Dublin

## Year Built:

Proposed

| Amenities |  | Appliances |  | Unit Features |  | Specials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | Laundry Facility | x | Refrigerator |  | Fireplace |  |
|  | Tennis Court | x | Range/Oven | t | Utilities Included |  |
|  | Swimming Pool | x | Microwave Oven |  | Furnished |  |
| x | Club House | x | Dishwasher | x | Air Conditioning | Waiting List |
|  | Garages |  | Garbage Disposal | x | Drapes/Blinds | Waiting List |
| x | Playground | x | W/D Connection | x | Cable Pre-Wired |  |
| x | Access/Security Gate |  | Washer, Dryer |  | Free Cable | Subsidies |
| ** | Fitness Center Other | x | Ceiling Fan <br> Other |  | Free Internet Other | LIHTC ( $50 \%$ \& 60\%); PBRA $=0$ |

Comments: *Market rate units; **Pavilion


|  | No. of Units |  | Baths | Vacant | Size (s.f.) | Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficiency/Studio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-Bedroom <br> 1 BR vacancy rate | $0.0 \%$ | 48 | 1 | 0 | 900 | 510 |
| Two-Bedroom <br> 2 BR vacancy rate | $0.0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1050 \\ & 1075 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 575 \\ & 600 \end{aligned}$ |
| Three-Bedroom 3 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Four-Bedroom <br> 4 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS | 0.0\% | 96 |  | 0 |  |  |

## Complex:

Map Number:

## Year Built:

1977
1984

## Appliances



## Last Rent Increase

## Specials

Waiting List

Subsidies
Conventional;Sec 8=not accepted

## Comments: *Lake



|  | No. of U | nits | Baths | Vacant | Size (s.f.) | Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficiency/Studio |  | 6 | 1 | 0 | 228 | 500 |
| One-Bedroom 1 BR vacancy rate | 0.0\% | 42 | 1 | 0 | 576 | 595 |
| Two-Bedroom 2 BR vacancy rate |  | 9 | 1 | 0 | 864 | 645 |
|  | 0.0\% | 3 | 2 | 0 | 864 | 665 |

Three-Bedroom
3 BR vacancy rate

## Four-Bedroom

4 BR vacancy rate

## Complex:

Carriage Hill 604 Hillcrest Pkwy.
Dublin
Karen (4-8-21)
478-246-1594

## Year Built:

1986

| TOTALS | 0.0\% | 60 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Last Rent Increase

## Specials

Waiting List
WL=33
Subsidies
Conventional; Sec $8=0$

Comments: Office hours: M-F 9-5

$\left.\begin{array}{|lllllll|}\hline & \text { No. of Units } & \text { Baths } & \text { Vacant } & \text { Size (s.f.) } & \text { Rent } \\ \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Efficiency/Studio }\end{array} & & 13 & 1 & 0 & 1116 & 550 \\ \begin{array}{c}\text { One-Bedroom } \\ 1 \text { BR vacancy rate }\end{array} & 0.0 \%\end{array}\right]$

Complex:
Claxton Point North
1003 Claxton Dairy Rd.
Dubin
Kasey (4-8-21)
478-272-7335

## Year Built:

1980s

## Amenities



## Appliances



Unit Features

|  | Fireplace |
| :---: | :---: |
| wst** | Utilities Included |
|  | Furnished |
| x | Air Conditioning |
| x | Drapes/Blinds |
| x | Cable Pre-Wired |
|  | Free Cable |
|  | Free Internet |
|  | Other |

Map Number:

## Last Rent Increase

## Specials

Waiting List
WL=25 (shared with Pecan
Subsidies
Conventional; Sec $8=6$

Comments: Formerly called Village Square; Same manager as Highland Woods and Pecan Ridge; *Basketball court; **Some units


|  | No. of Units | Baths | Vacant | Size (s.f.) | Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficiency/Studio |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-Bedroom | 3 | 1 | N/A | 769 | N/A |
| 1 BR vacancy rate | 4 | 1 | N/A | 769 | N/A |
|  | 6 | 1 | N/A | 769 | N/A |
|  | 3* | 1 | N/A | 769 | N/A |
| Two-Bedroom | 3 | 2 | N/A | 1041 | N/A |
| 2 BR vacancy rate | 7 | 2 | N/A | 1041 | N/A |
|  | 15 | 2 | N/A | 1041 | N/A |
|  | 7* | 2 | N/A | 1041 | N/A |
| Three-Bedroom | 3 | 2 | N/A | 1170 | N/A |
| 3 BR vacancy rate | 10 | 2 | N/A | 1170 | N/A |
|  | 3* | 2 | N/A | 11.70 | N/A |
| Four-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS | 64 |  | 0 |  |  |

## Complex:

Emerald Pointe
111 Woodlawn Dr.
Dublin
(5-6-21)
478-296-1060 - property
205-980-3245-mgt. co.

## Year Built:

2006

## Amenities



Laundry Facility Tennis Court Swimming Pool Club House Garages Playground Access/Security Gate Fitness Center Other

## Appliances



Unit Features


Fireplace Utilities Included Furnished Air Conditioning Drapes/Blinds Cable Pre-Wired Free Cable Free Internet Other

## Last Rent Increase

## Specials

## Waiting List

Subsidies
LIHTC ( $30 \%$, $50 \%$, \& 60\%); PBRA=0

Comments: 2004 LIHTC allocation; AMI breakdown is approximated; *There are 13 market rate units at this property; Managed by Gateway Management; Unable to obtain updated information after numerous attempts - in May 2020 JWA survey, there were 4 vacancies, $100+$ on the waiting list, 5 on housing vouchers and rents of $\$ 182 / \$ 363 / \$ 414 / \$ 532(1 \mathrm{BR}), \$ 215 / \$ 420 /$ $\$ 432 / \$ 632(2 \mathrm{BR})$ and $\$ 243 / \$ 479 / \$ 554 / \$ 702$ (3BR)

|  | No. of Units | Baths | Vacant | Size (s.f.) | Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficiency/Studio | $22^{*}$ | 1 | PL | 470 | 525-625 |
| One-Bedroom | 11 | 1 | PL | 690 | 550 |
| 1 BR vacancy rate | 9 | 1 | PL | 690 | 650 |
|  | 2 | 1 | PL | 690 | 650 |
| Two-Bedroom | 3 | 2 | PL | 900 | 600 |
| 2 BR vacancy rate | 2 | 2 | PL | 900 | 700 |
|  | 1 | 2 | PL | 900 | 700 |
| Three-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Four-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |

## Complex:

Map Number:
Freedom's Path at Dublin
1826 Veterans Blvd.
Dublin
Craig Taylor - dev. (4-6-21)
ctaylor@sfveterans.org

## Year Built:

Planned

| Amenities | Appliances | Unit | eatures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| _ Laundry Facility | x R Refrigerator |  | Fireplace | Specials |
| Tennis Court | x - Range/Oven | $x$ | Utilities Included |  |
| Swimming Pool | x - Microwave Oven | x | Furnished |  |
| x Club House | x Dishwasher | x | Air Conditioning | Waiting List |
| Garages | x - Garbage Disposal | x | Drapes/Blinds | Waiting List |
| Playground | W/D Connection | x | Cable Pre-Wired |  |
| Access/Security Gate | - Washer, Dryer | x | Free Cable | Subsidies |
| $\underbrace{\text { x }}_{\text {** }}$ Fitness Center | - Ceiling Fan | x | Free Internet | LIHTC ( $50 \%$ \& 60\%); PBRA $=0$ |
| Other | Other |  | Other |  |

Comments: 2020 LIHTC allocation; Adaptive reuse of old dorms and new construction; *11 units at $50 \%$ for $\$ 525$, 9 units at $60 \%$ for $\$ 625$, and 2 unrestricted units for $\$ 625$; **Technology center, grilling station, community garden and private healthcare examination/counseling area; This property is not under construction yet; Veterans will be targeted at this property; Five market rate units - 2 studio units, 2 one bedroom units and 1 two bedroom unit


|  | No. of Units |  | Baths | Vacant | Size (s.f.) | Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficiency/Studio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-Bedroom 1 BR vacancy rate | 0.0\% | 4 | 1 | 0 | 450 | 500 |
| Two-Bedroom <br> 2 BR vacancy rate | 5.0\% | 20 | 1 | 1 | 600 | 550 |

e-Bedroom
3 BR vacancy rate

## Four-Bedroom

4 BR vacancy rate

Complex:
Highland Woods
1819 Highland St.
Dublin
Kasey (4-8-21)
478-272-7335

## Year Built:

1965

| TOTALS | $4.2 \%$ | 24 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Last Rent Increase

## Specials

Waiting List

Subsidies
Conventional; VASH=2
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Four-Bedroom
4 BR vacancy rate

| TOTALS | $0.0 \%$ | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Last Rent Increase

## Specials

Waiting List
WL=10
Subsidies
LIHTC ( $50 \%$ \& 60\%); PBRA $=0$;
Sec $8=9$

Comments: 1995 and 2018 LIHTC allocations; Former Section 515 property; Office hours: Tu-F 8-1; All 30\% AMI units are one bedroom units; *Recreation and picnic areas; **Patio/balcony


|  | No. of Units |  | Baths | Vacant | Size (s.f.) | Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficiency/Studio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-Bedroom <br> 1 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-Bedroom 2 BR vacancy rate | 0.0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | 2 2 | 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1100 \\ & 1100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495 \\ & 530 \end{aligned}$ |
| Three-Bedroom 3 BR vacancy rate | 0.0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1207 \\ & 1207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 562 \\ & 723 \end{aligned}$ |
| Four-Bedroom <br> 4 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS | 0.0\% | 80 |  | 0 |  |  |

Complex:
Map Number:
Meadowood Park
1015 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Dublin
Chapelle (5-3-21)
478-274-9677 - property
229-219-8000 - mgt. co.

## Year Built:

1999

| Amenities |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| x | Laundry Facility |
|  | Tennis Court |
| x | Swimming Pool |
| x | Club House |
|  | Garages |
| x | Playground |
|  | Access/Security Gate |
|  | Fitness Center |

Appliances


Unit Features

|  | Fireplace |
| :---: | :---: |
| t | Utilities Included |
|  | Furnished |
| x | Air Conditioning |
| x | Drapes/Blinds |
| x | Cable Pre-Wired |
|  | Free Cable |
|  | Free Internet |

## Last Rent Increase

## Specials

Waiting List
WL=100-120
Subsidies
LIHTC (50\% \& 60\%); PBRA=0; Sec $8=6$

Comments: 1997 LIHTC allocation; Managed by Ambling; AMI targeting isn't tied to units - AMI mix is approximated


|  | No. of Units |  | Baths | Vacant | Size (s.f.) | Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficiency/Studio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-Bedroom <br> 1 BR vacancy rate | $0.0 \%$ | 20 | 1 | 0 | 630 | 575 |
| Two-Bedroom <br> 2 BR vacancy rate | $0.0 \%$ | 24 | 1 | 0 | 900 | 680 |
| Three-Bedroom 3 BR vacancy rate | $0.0 \%$ | 8 | 1 | 0 | 950 | 725 |
| Four-Bedroom <br> 4 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS | 0.0\% | 52 |  | 0 |  |  |

## Complex:

Pecan Ridge
104 Parker Dairy Rd.
Dublin
Kasey (4-8-21)
478-272-7335

## Year Built:

1993

## Amenities



Laundry Facility Tennis Court Swimming Pool Club House Garages Playground
Access/Security Gate Fitness Center Other

## Appliances



Unit Features

|  | Fireplace |
| :---: | :---: |
| wst | Utilities Included |
|  | Furnished |
| x | Air Conditioning |
| x | Drapes/Blinds |
| x | Cable Pre-Wired |
|  | Free Cable |
|  | Free Internet |
|  | Other |

Map Number:

## Last Rent Increase

Specials

Waiting List
WL=25 (shared with Claxton
Subsidies
Conventional; Sec 8=7

Comments: Former Section 515 property; Same manager as Claxton Point North and Highland Woods


|  | No. of Units |  | Baths | Vacant | Size (s.f.) | Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficiency/Studio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-Bedroom |  | 21 | 1 | 0 | 648 | PBRA |
| 1 BR vacancy rate | 0.0\% | 11* | 1 | 0 | 648 | 549 |
| Two-Bedroom |  | 20 | 1 | 0 | 802 | PBRA |
| 2 BR vacancy rate | 0.0\% | 6* | 1 | 0 | 802 | 631 |
| Three-Bedroom |  | 10 | 1 | 0 | 966 | PBRA |
| 3 BR vacancy rate | 0.0\% | $2^{*}$ | 1 | 0 | 966 | 702 |
| Four-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS | 0.0\% | 70 |  | 0 |  |  |

## Complex:

Shamrock Village
1606 S. Jefferson St.
Dublin
Monica (4-27-21)
478-272-8610

## Year Built:

1975


## Appliances



## Unit Features

|  | Fireplace |
| :---: | :---: |
| wst | Utilities Included |
|  | Furnished |
| x | Air Conditioning |
| x | Drapes/Blinds |
| x | Cable Pre-Wired |
|  | Free Cable |
|  | Free Internet |
|  | Other |

Map Number:
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|  | No. of Units |  | Baths | Vacant | t Size (s.f.) | Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficiency/Studio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-Bedroom <br> 1 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-Bedroom <br> 2 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Three-Bedroom } & 23 & 2-2.5 & 0 & 1236-1396 & 666\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 BR vacancy rate | 0.0\% | 11 | 2-2.5 |  | 1236-1396 | 828 |
|  |  | 3* | 2-2.5 |  | - 1236-1396 | 925 |
| Four-Bedroom |  | 11 | 2-2.5 |  | 1500-1538 | 724 |
| 4 BR vacancy rate | 0.0\% | 5 | 2-2.5 |  | 1500-1538 | 905 |
|  |  | 3* | 2-2.5 |  | - 1500-1538 | 1000 |
| TOTALS | 0.0\% | 56 |  | 0 | 0 |  |

## Complex:

Waterford Estates
100 Waterford Trl.
Dublin
Donna (4-19-21)
478-272-9751

## Year Built:

2010

| Amenities |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| x | Laundry Facility Tennis Court |
|  |  |
|  | Swimming Pool |
| x | Club House |
|  | Garages |
| x | Playground |
|  | Access/Security Gate |
| x | Fitness Center |
|  | Other |



Unit Features

|  | Fireplace |
| :---: | :---: |
| tp | Utilities Included |
|  | Furnished |
| x | Air Conditioning |
| x | Drapes/Blinds |
| x | Cable Pre-Wired |
|  | Free Cable |
|  | Free Internet |

## Last Rent Increase

## Specials

Waiting List
WL=200
Subsidies
LIHTC (50\% \& 60\%); PBRA=0;
Sec $8=7$

Comments: 2008 LIHTC allocation; Formerly called Shannon Estates *Market rate units; **Computer lab and splash pad


|  | No. of Units |  | Baths | Vacant | Size (s.f.) | Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficiency/Studio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-Bedroom |  | 32 | 1 | 0 | 970 | 975 |
| 1 BR vacancy rate | 0.0\% | 16 | 1 | UC | 970 | 975 |
| Two-Bedroom 2 BR vacancy rate | $0.0 \%$ | 24 | 2 | 0 | 1341 | 1250 |
| Three-Bedroom 3 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Four-Bedroom 4 BR vacancy rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS | 0.0\% | 72 |  | 0 |  |  |

Complex:
Map Number:

Woodlawn West
75 Woodlawn Dr.
Dublin
Will Curry - mgt. co. (5-4-21)
478-278-3691 - Will's mobile

## Year Built:

2017-2019
UC - 2021

## Appliances



| Unit Features |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Fireplace |
|  | Utilities Included |
|  | Furnished |
| x | Air Conditioning |
| x | Drapes/Blinds |
| x | Cable Pre-Wired |
|  | Free Cable |
|  | Free Internet |
| ** | Other |

## Last Rent Increase

## Specials

## Waiting List

WL=20
Subsidies
Conventional; Sec $8=$ not accepted

Comments: Managed by Curry Companies; *Bark park, grilling area, fire pit and media center; **Patio/balcony; 40 additional units are planned to be built after the construction is complete on the newest 16 units

## H. 4 Amenity Analysis

Development Amenities:
Laundry room, clubhouse/community center, playground, access/security gate, and pavilion
Unit Amenities:
Refrigerator, range/oven, microwave, dishwasher, washer/dryer connections, ceiling fan, HVAC, blinds, and cable pre-wired

Utilities Included:
Trash
The subject's amenities, on average, are pretty comparable to those of other properties in the market area.

## H. 5 Selection of Comps

See section H.1.1.

## H. 6 Long Term Occupancy

In light of vacancy and stabilization rates in the local market area, the subject should not have any difficulty maintaining $93 \%$ stabilized occupancy or better.

## H. 7 New "Supply"

DCA requires comparable units built since the base year to be deducted from demand. Only comparable units within comparable complexes will be deducted from demand, as indicated by the asterisks.
Table 45-Apartment Units Built or Proposed Since the Base Year

|  |  | Units With <br> Rental | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ AMI, <br> No Rental | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ AMI, <br> No Rental | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ AMI, <br> No Rental <br> Assistance | Above <br> Assistance | Income |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Development Name | Built | Assistance | Assistance | TOTAL |  |  |  |
| Freedom's Path | 2022 | -- | -- | $25\left(14^{*}\right)$ | $20\left(11^{*}\right)$ | $5\left(3^{*}\right)$ | $50\left(28^{*}\right)$ |
| Woodlawn West | 2021 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | -- | -- | $\mathbf{2 5 ( 1 4 * )}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 ( 1 1 ^ { * } )}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 ( \mathbf { 3 } ^ { * } )}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 ( 2 8 ^ { * } )}$ |  |

The 28 one and two-bedroom units at Freedom's Path are deducted as new supply while the 22 efficiency units are not. While all the units at Freedom's Path are available to any qualified renter, the developer intends to market the units to veterans being cared for at the VA Hospital, so they will probably primarily be filled with tenants who come for that purpose. The units at Woodlawn West rent for considerably more than the subject, so they are not deducted.

## H. 8 Achievable Market Rent and Rent Differential

The following table gives the proposed rents in comparison to the rental range for competitive developments within the market area, and the achievable market rent for each of the proposed unit types.

Table 46-Market Rent Advantage

|  | Bedrooms | Number <br> of Units | Net <br> Rent | Market <br> Rent | Market <br> Advantage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 1 | 3 | 436 | 914 | $109.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 2 | 6 | 517 | 1,155 | $123.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 3 | 3 | 589 | 1,255 | $113.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | 1 | 11 | 542 | 914 | $68.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | 2 | 21 | 643 | 1,155 | $79.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | 3 | 12 | 751 | 1,255 | $67.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ | 1 | 2 | 725 | 914 | $26.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ | 2 | 3 | 875 | 1,155 | $32.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 \%}$ | 3 | 3 | 1,050 | 1,255 | $19.5 \%$ |

The DCA Market Study Manual specifies Rent advantage is calculated as follows: (average market rent - proposed rent) / proposed rent.

All of the subject's proposed LIHTC rents have more than a $60 \%$ advantage when compared to the achievable market rents. The market rate units, likewise, have an advantage.

Table 47-Market Rent Calculation

$0=$ Poor; $10=$ Excellent Points are relative and pertain to this marketonly
$\mathrm{m}=$ FmHa Marketrent, Average; a = Approximate; Points for the age of a project representan average of the original construction and the rehabilitation
Where information is unattainable, points may be awarded based on an estimate: This is also denoted by an "a"
$g=$ garden; $t=$ townhouse
$b=$ adjusted age considering proposed renovations
©2009 John Wall and Associates
There is only one modern market rate property in Dublin: Woodlawn West. It looks a lot like a LIHTC property and is fairly similar to the proposal, except with the addition of a swimming pool and somewhat larger unit sizes. It only has one and two bedroom units, so the three bedroom rent is determined by adding $\$ 100$ to the two bedroom rent. Waterford Estates is a LIHTC property with some market rate units. Since it is a LIHTC property, it cannot be used to estimate market rent, but the three bedroom units are receiving $\$ 925$. Waterford was built in 2010 and has a significantly inferior location compared to the subject, so the subject's proposed market rate rents seem reasonable.

## H. 9 Information on Other DCA properties

See the Schedule of Rents Units and Vacancies along with the Apartment Inventory and the Photo Sheets.
H. 10 Rental Trends in the Market Area
H.10.1 Tenure

Table 48-Tenure by Bedrooms

|  | State | \% | County | \% | Market Area | \% | City | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Owner occupied: | $2,377,773$ |  | 11,005 |  | 10,424 |  | 2,434 |  |
| No bedroom | 7,571 | $0.3 \%$ | 23 | $0.2 \%$ | 23 | $0.2 \%$ | 10 | $0.4 \%$ |
| 1 bedroom | 29,364 | $1.2 \%$ | 177 | $1.6 \%$ | 177 | $1.7 \%$ | 30 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 2 bedrooms | 257,514 | $10.8 \%$ | 1,325 | $12.0 \%$ | 1,189 | $11.4 \%$ | 252 | $10.4 \%$ |
| 3 bedrooms | $1,172,945$ | $49.3 \%$ | 7,296 | $66.3 \%$ | 6,907 | $66.3 \%$ | 1,501 | $61.7 \%$ |
| 4 bedrooms | 643,853 | $27.1 \%$ | 1,786 | $16.2 \%$ | 1,753 | $16.8 \%$ | 529 | $21.7 \%$ |
| 5 or more bedrooms | 266,526 | $11.2 \%$ | 398 | $3.6 \%$ | 376 | $3.6 \%$ | 112 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Renter occupied: | $1,381,025$ |  |  | 6,137 |  |  | 6,002 |  |
| No bedroom | 44,516 | $3.2 \%$ | 71 | $1.2 \%$ | 71 | $1.2 \%$ | 4,086 |  |
| 1 bedroom | 234,517 | $17.0 \%$ | 536 | $8.7 \%$ | 541 | $9.0 \%$ | 449 | $14.5 \%$ |
| 2 bedrooms | 517,205 | $37.5 \%$ | 2,327 | $37.9 \%$ | 2,314 | $38.6 \%$ | 1,192 | $38.6 \%$ |
| 3 bedrooms | 442,319 | $32.0 \%$ | 2,670 | $43.5 \%$ | 2,556 | $42.6 \%$ | 1,220 | $39.5 \%$ |
| 4 bedrooms | 118,332 | $8.6 \%$ | 288 | $4.7 \%$ | 275 | $4.6 \%$ | 123 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 5 or more bedrooms | 24,136 | $1.7 \%$ | 245 | $4.0 \%$ | 245 | $4.1 \%$ | 62 | $2.0 \%$ |

Source: 2019-5yr ACS (Census)
Tenure by Bedrooms for the State and Market Area


Median Home Value Map


## H. 11 Impact of Foreclosed, Abandoned, etc. Properties

There is no evidence of any adverse impact due to foreclosure or abandonment.

## H. 12 Long Term Impact

The subject will have no long term impact on the occupancy of other assisted properties.

## H. 13 Building Permits Issued

Building permits are an indicator of the economic strength and activity of a community. While permits are never issued for a market area, the multifamily permits issued for the county and town are an indicator of apartments recently added to the supply:
Table 49-Building Permits Issued

| Year | County |  |  | City |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Single Family | Multi-Family | Total | Single Family | Multi-Family |
| 2000 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 42 | 42 | 0 |
| 2001 | 39 | 39 | 0 | 34 | 34 | 0 |
| 2002 | 46 | 46 | 0 | 39 | 39 | 0 |
| 2003 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 37 | 37 | 0 |
| 2004 | 111 | 47 | 64 | 109 | 45 | 64 |
| 2005 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 43 | 43 | 0 |
| 2006 | 39 | 39 | 0 | 37 | 37 | 0 |
| 2007 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 |
| 2008 | 26 | 18 | 8 | 23 | 15 | 8 |
| 2009 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 2010 | 60 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 60 | 0 |
| 2011 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 2012 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 0 |
| 2013 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| 2014 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| 2015 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| 2016 | 17 | 15 | 2 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| 2017 | 33 | 17 | 16 | 25 | 17 | 8 |
| 2018 | 21 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| 2019 | 20 | 14 | 6 | 14 | 14 | 0 |

[^6]Median Gross Rent Map


## I. Absorption \& Stabilization Rates

Given reasonable marketing and management, the development should be able to rent up to $93 \%$ occupancy within 5 months - a few months longer if the development is completed in November, December, or January. The absorption rate determination considers such factors as the overall estimate of new household growth, the available supply of competitive units, observed trends in absorption of comparable units, and the availability of subsidies and rent specials. The absorption period is considered to start as soon as the first units are released for occupancy.

## J. Interviews

The following interviews were conducted regarding demand for the subject.

## J. 1 Apartment Managers

Donna, the apartment manager at Waterford Estates (LIHTC with market rate units), said the location of the subject's site is good because it would be visible to people doing their shopping in the area. She said the proposed bedroom mix is good, and all of the proposed rents are reasonable. Overall, Donna said the subject should do great.
Chapelle, the apartment manager at Meadowood Park (LIHTC), said the location of the subject's site is good because it is so close to goods and services. She said the proposed bedroom mix is great, as a lot of people are looking for one bedroom units, and there just aren't many available. She said the proposed rents all sound good as well. Overall, Chapelle said the subject is needed and should do well.

## J. 2 Economic Development

According to the Dublin-Laurens County Development Authority, two companies announced an expansion and an acquisition in the county in the last year. Saint-Gobain ADFORS America, Inc. acquired an existing fiberglass manufacturing facility and Custom Structures, Inc. opened a new fabrication shop.
The Dublin-Laurens County Development Authority was awarded $\$ 500,000$ from the OneGeorgia Authority Equity Fund to develop an Entrepreneur and Small Business Industrial Park. The new industrial park will be located on the US Highway 441 bypass, between Honeysuckle and Firetower Roads in Dublin. The construction of an access road and infrastructure improvements is expected to increase marketability of the property.
According to the Georgia Department of Labor 2020 and 2021 Business Layoff/Closure Listings, two companies in Laurens County have announced layoffs in the past year, with 148 lost jobs. This includes Tosca ltd with 51 lost jobs and Sodexo (Middle GA State University) with 97 lost jobs.

## K. Conclusions and Recommendations

The subject, as proposed, should be successful. See also Executive Summary.

## L. Signed Statement Requirements

See signed statement in front matter.

## M. Market Study Representation

DCA may rely on the representations made in the market study to be true and accurate to the best knowledge of John Wall and Associates. DCA may assign the market study to other lenders who are parties to the DCA loan transaction.

## N. Crime Appendix
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## O. NCHMA Market Study Index/Checklist

Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.
Executive Summary

1. Executive Summary .....  8
Scope of Work2. Scope of Work 6
Project Description
2. Unit mix including bedrooms, bathrooms, square footage, rents, and income targeting ..... 19
3. Utilities (and utility sources) included in rent ..... 20
4. Target market/population description. ..... 19
5. Project description including unit features and community amenities ..... 19
6. Date of construction/preliminary completion ..... 20
7. If rehabilitation, scope of work, existing rents, and existing vacancies ..... N/A
Location
8. Concise description of the site and adjacent parcels ..... 21
9. Site photos/maps. ..... 23, 27
10. Map of community services ..... 27
11. Site evaluation/neighborhood including visibility, accessibility, and crime ..... 21
Market Area
12. PMA Description ..... 34
13. PMA Map ..... 33
Employment and Economy
14. At-Place employment trends. ..... 46
15. Employment by sector ..... 44
16. Unemployment rates ..... 46
17. Area major employers/employment centers and proximity to site. ..... 46
18. Recent or planned employment expansions/reductions. ..... 75
Demographic Characteristics
19. Population and household estimates and projections ..... 36, 37, 39
20. Area building permits ..... 72
21. Population and household characteristics including income, tenure, and size ..... $.42,38,41$
22. For senior or special needs projects, providedata specific to target marketN/A
Competitive Environment
23. Comparable property profiles and photos .....  64
24. Map of comparable properties .....  67
25. Existing rental housing evaluation including vacancy and rents ..... 64, 64
26. Comparison of subject property to comparable properties .....  63
27. Discussion of availability and cost of other affordable housing options including homeownership, if applicable .....  .64
28. Rental communities under construction, approved, or proposed .....  .68
29. For senior or special needs populations, provide data specific to target market ..... N/A
Affordability, Demand, and Penetration Rate Analysis
30. Estimate of demand ..... 61
31. Affordability analysis with capture rate ..... 53, 62
32. Penetration rate analysis with capture rate ..... 18, 62
Analysis/Conclusions
33. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject .....  .74
34. Evaluation of proposed rent levels including estimate of market/achievable rents ..... 13, 69
35. Precise statement of key conclusions ..... 15
36. Market strengths and weaknesses impacting project ..... 15
37. Product recommendations and/or suggested modifications to subject ..... 15
38. Discussion of subject property's impact on existing housing. .....  72
39. Discussion of risks or other mitigating circumstances impacting subject. .....  .15
40. Interviews with area housing stakeholders .....  75
Other Requirements
41. Certifications ..... 2, 3
42. Statement of qualifications. .....  2
43. Sources of data not otherwise identified .....  6

## P. Business References

Ms. Wendy Hall<br>Louisiana Housing Corporation<br>2415 Quail Drive

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808
225/763-8647

Mr. Jay Ronca
Vantage Development
1544 S. Main Street
Fyffe, Alabama 35971
256/417-4920 ext. 224

Mr. Scott Farmer
North Carolina Housing Finance Agency
3508 Bush Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 37609
919/877-5700

## Q. Résumés

## Bob Rogers

## Experience

Principal and Market Analyst
John Wall and Associates, Seneca, South Carolina (2017 to Present)
Responsibilities include: Business operations; development of housing demand methodology; development of computer systems and technologies; analysis of demographic trends; creation and production of analytic maps and graphics; and CRA compliance.

## Senior Market Analyst

John Wall and Associates, Anderson, South Carolina (1992 to 2017)
Responsibilities included: Development of housing demand methodology; development of computer systems and technologies; analysis of demographic trends; creation and production of analytic maps and graphics; CRA compliance; courtroom presentation graphics.

## Manager

Institute for Electronic Data Analysis, Knoxville, Tennessee (1990 to 1992)
Responsibilities included: Marketing, training new employees and users of US Bureau of the Census data products, and custom research.

## Consultant

Sea Ray Boats, Inc., Knoxville, Tennessee (1991)
Project included: Using various statistical techniques to create customer profiles that the senior management team used to create a marketing strategy.

## Consultant

Central Transport, High Point, North Carolina (1990)
Project included: Research and analysis in the area of driver retention and how to improve the company's turnover ratio.

## Professional Organization

National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA)
Executive Committee Member (2004-2010)
Standards Committee Co-Chair (2006-2010)
Standards Committee Vice Chair (2004-2006)
Member delegate (2002-Present)

## Publications

Senior Housing Options, NCHMA White Paper (draft)
Field Work for Market Studies, NCHMA White Paper, 2011
Ten Things Developers Should Know About Market Studies, Affordable Housing Finance Magazine, 2007
Selecting Comparable Properties (Best Practices), NCHMA publication 2006

## Education

Continuing Education, National Council of Housing Market Analysts (2002 to present)
Multifamily Accelerated Processing (MAP) Certificate, HUD (May 2012)
MBA Transportation and Logistics, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee (1991)
BS Business Logistics, Penn State, University Park, Pennsylvania (1989)

## Joe Burriss

## Experience

Principal and Market Analyst
John Wall \& Associates, Seneca, South Carolina (2017 to present)
Responsibilities include: Business operations; author of numerous apartment market studies; make, review and evaluate recommendations regarding student housing analysis; collect and analyze multifamily rental housing information (both field and census); conduct site and location analysis. Design marketing plans and strategies; client development.

## Marketing Director

John Wall \& Associates, Anderson, South Carolina (2003 to 2017)
Responsibilities included: Designing marketing plans and strategies; client development.

## Senior Market Analyst and Researcher

John Wall \& Associates, Anderson, South Carolina (1999 to 2017)
Responsibilities included: Author of numerous apartment market studies; making, reviewing and evaluating recommendations regarding student housing analysis; collecting and analyzing multifamily rental housing information (both field and census); conducting site and location analysis.

## Professional Organization

National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA)
FHA Lender and Underwriting (MAP) Committee (2012-Present)
Member Delegate (2002-Present)

## Education

Continuing Education, National Council of Housing Market Analysts (2002-Present)
Multifamily Accelerated Processing (MAP) Certificate, HUD (May 2012)
BS Marketing, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina (2002)


[^0]:    Post Office Box 1835
    Seneca, SC 29679
    info@johnwallandassociates.com
    www.johnwallandassociates.com

[^1]:    Source: 2019-5yr ACS (Census)

[^2]:    Source: John Wall and Associates from figures above

[^3]:    Source: John Wall and Associates from figures above

[^4]:    Comments: Great location; This property gives preference for veterans; Same manager as Claxton Point North and Pecan Ridge

[^5]:    Comments: Former LIHTC property - 1988 allocation; *19 market rate units; Office hours: M-F 8:30-5:30

[^6]:    Source: "SOCDS Building Permits" https://socds.huduser.gov/permits/

[^7]:    Source: https://www.neighborhoodscout.com/ga/dublin/crime

