



Scoring Rubric: Community Transformation (2020 QAP)

DCA will select up to five Applications that will receive three points under *Appendix II Scoring, VIII. Community Transformation* in the 2020 QAP. The pages that follow comprise the scoring rubric that will be used to competitively rank applications in this section.

As stated in the QAP, the basis of selection will be “the capacity of the Project Team to qualify as a Community-Based Developer” and “securing commitment from Community Quarterback Board members.” As such, the rubric below draws on language in subsections *A. Community-Based Developer* and *B. Community Quarterback Board*.

Please note the distinction between (a) the competitive ranking process and (b) the review process for requirements in *VIII. Community Transformation*. Publicly posting the below scoring rubric is solely to help applicants better understand the competitive ranking process and what types of additional documentation may assist in that review. The rubric does not encompass the entirety of requirements related to eligibility, minimum documentation, definitions, etc. described in *VIII. Community Transformation*. For purposes of preparing an application that meets the requirements of this section, applicants must rely on language in the QAP, not the below rubric.

COMMUNITY TRANSFORMATION: RUBRIC FOR COMPETITIVE RANKING

Requirements
Applicants must meet all requirements listed in <i>Appendix II Scoring, VIII. Community Transformation</i> in the 2020 QAP to be eligible for this competitive ranking.

A1. Community Partnerships			
a. Number of partnerships			
Excellent (3 pts)	Good (2 pts)	Fair (1 pts)	Notes
5 or more partnerships	4 partnerships	3 partnerships	
b. Combined number of years for partnerships			
Excellent (3 pts)	Good (2 pts)	Fair (1 pt)	Notes
15 or more years	10-14 years	5-9 years	
c. Partnerships cover variety of sectors			
Excellent (3 pts)	Good (2 pts)	Fair (1 pts)	Notes
Quantitative/numerical data evidencing how each joint effort has improved residents' access to <u>each of the following</u> : education, health, employment and transportation services.	Quantitative/numerical data evidencing how each joint effort has improved residents' access to <u>at least 3 of the following</u> : education, health, employment and transportation services.	Quantitative/numerical data evidencing how each joint effort has improved residents' access to <u>at least 2 of the following</u> : education, health, employment and transportation services.	To be considered "quantitative/numerical data," there must be at least one numerical outcome reflected in the documentation serving as evidence for each sector (education, health, employment, or transportation).

A2. Philanthropic Activities		
	This subsection is a minimum requirement, but is not considered in the ranking.	

A3. Community Improvement Fund		
	This subsection is a minimum requirement, but is not considered in the ranking.	

A4. Equitable Geographic Allocation		
	(3 points)	
	The proposed development in the application is not within and does not overlap a Defined Neighborhood already containing a Community Transformation initiative funded in 2017, 2018, or 2019.	

B. Community Quarterback Board (CQB)			
Excellent (3 pts)	Good (2 pts)	NA (0 pts)	Notes
Project Team qualifies as a CHDO and appointed one or more members of their Board of Directors to the CQB.	Project Team qualifies as a CHDO and appointed one or more members of their Board of Directors to the CQB.		
AND	OR	Neither CHDO Board of Directors member nor GICH Community Housing Team member part of the CQB.	
Defined Neighborhood is part of a GICH community (current or certified alumni) and Project Team appointed one or more members of the GICH Community Housing Team to the CQB.	Defined Neighborhood is part of a GICH community (current or certified alumni) and Project Team appointed one or more members of the GICH Community Housing Team to the CQB.		

Total	
--------------	--