Market Feasibility Analysis

Village at Paradise West Pine Street at Green Shutters Lane Sylvester, Worth County, Georgia 31791

Prepared For

Mr. Steve Brooks IDP Housing, LP Village at Paradise Limited Partnership (Owner) 1709 A Gornto Road, PMB #343 Valdosta, Georgia 31601

Effective Date

June 10, 2020

Job Reference Number

20-326 JW



155 E. Columbus Street, Suite 220
Pickerington, Ohio 43147
Phone: (614) 833-9300
Bowennational.com

Table Of Contents

- A. Executive Summary
- B. Project Description
- C. Site Description and Evaluation
- D. Primary Market Area Delineation
- E. Community Demographic Data
- F. Economic Trends
- G. Project-Specific Demand Analysis
- H. Rental Housing Analysis (Supply)
- I. Absorption & Stabilization Rates
- J. Interviews
- K. Conclusions & Recommendations
- L. Signed Statement
- M. Market Study Representation
- N. Qualifications
 - Addendum A Phone Survey of Conventional Rentals
 - Addendum B Comparable Property Profiles
 - Addendum C Market Analyst Certification Checklist
 - Addendum D Methodologies, Disclaimers & Sources
 - Addendum E Achievable Market Rent Analysis



Section A – Executive Summary

This report evaluates the market feasibility of the Village at Paradise rental community to be developed utilizing financing from the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program in Sylvester, Georgia. Based on the findings contained in this report, we believe a market will exist for the subject development, assuming it is developed and operated as detailed in this report.

1. Project Description:

Village at Paradise involves the new construction of 56 apartments on an approximate 4.4-acre site located at the northwest corner of West Pine Street and Green Shutters Lane in Sylvester. The project will be developed utilizing funding from the LIHTC program and target lower-income senior households ages 55 and older earning up to 50%, 60% and 70% of Area Median Household Income (AMHI). None of the units within the subject development will receive project-based rental assistance. The proposed project is expected to be complete by June 2022. Additional details of the subject project are as follows:

	Proposed Unit Configuration											
							Proposed Rents		Max. Allowable			
Total	Bedroom			Square	%	Collected	Utility	Gross	LIHTC Gross			
Units	Type	Baths	Style	Feet	AMHI	Rent	Allowance	Rent	Rent			
10	One-Br.	1.0	Garden	689	50%	\$350	\$95	\$445	\$568			
14	One-Br.	1.0	Garden	689	60%	\$425	\$95	\$520	\$681			
4	One-Br.	1.0	Garden	689	70%	\$520	\$95	\$615	\$795			
10	Two-Br.	1.0	Garden	893	50%	\$430	\$121	\$551	\$681			
14	Two-Br.	1.0	Garden	893	60%	\$485	\$121	\$606	\$817			
4	Two-Br.	1.0	Garden	893	70%	\$575	\$121	\$696	\$953			
56	Total											

Source: IDP Housing, LP

AMHI – Area Median Household Income (2019 National Non-Metropolitan Median Income)

Unit amenities to be offered at the property include a range, refrigerator with icemaker, garbage disposal, dishwasher, microwave, ceiling fan, carpet and VCT flooring, window blinds, central air conditioning, walk-in closet, controlled access and washer/dryer hookups. Community amenities will include on-site management, computer/business center, multipurpose room, community patio, community garden, community kitchen, gazebo, elevator, laundry facility, picnic area, CCTV and a supportive services package. Overall, the amenity package offered at the property is considered appropriate for and marketable to the targeted tenant population and will be competitive with those offered among the comparable projects in the market and region.



2. Site Description/Evaluation:

The subject property is situated within a partially developed residential area in the southwest portion of Sylvester. The surrounding land uses include an apartment community, mobile homes, wooded land, and single-family houses. Access to and from the site is considered good, as it is within 1.3 miles of State Routes 33 and 112, as well as U.S. Highway 82. Additionally, Southwest Georgia Regional Transit provides on-call, on-site pickup services to residents of Worth County. The subject site is not visible from arterial roadways and it is recommended that promotional signage is utilized throughout all portions of the market area to increase its awareness during the initial lease-up process. Most area services are located within 2.0 miles of the subject site, which include shopping, employment, recreational and education opportunities, as well as social services and public safety services. In addition to the subject's location relative to arterial roadways and nearby area services, the site's ZIP Code has a crime index of 100, which is similar to the national average. This is a good indication of a low perception of crime within the subject site area. Overall, we consider the site's location and proximity to community services to have a positive effect on its marketability.

3. Market Area Definition:

The Primary Market Area (PMA) includes Sylvester, Poulan and Sumner, as well as the surrounding unincorporated areas of Worth County. Specifically, the boundaries of the Site PMA include Jewell Crowe Road, Doe Hill Road and the Worth County line to the north; the Worth County line to the east; Gibbs Road, Pine Forest Road, Douthit Road, Evergreen Road, McCarty Road, State Route 112 and State Route 133 to the south; and County Line Road and the Worth County line to the west. The boundaries of the Site PMA are generally within 11.8 miles of the subject site. A map illustrating these boundaries is included on page *D-2* of this report.

4. Community Demographic Data:

Overall population and households within the Sylvester Site PMA have been generally stable since 2000. These trends are projected to remain relatively stable through 2022. However, senior households ages 65 and older are projected to experience growth between 2020 and 2022, increasing by 69, or 3.9%. This growth, although nominal, is indicative of the increasing need for senior housing in the market. It should also be noted that the proposed development will target one- to two-person senior renter households, which comprise the majority of such households within the Site PMA. Therefore, the subject site will be able to accommodate most of the Site PMA's senior renter households, based on household size. The preceding factors will have a positive impact on the marketability of the subject site. Additional demographic data is included in *Section E* of this report.



Also note that based on 2014-2018 American Community Survey (ACS) data, 53.5% of the vacant housing units in the Site PMA are classified as "Other Vacant", which encompasses foreclosed, dilapidated and abandoned housing. Based on our Phone Survey of Conventional Rentals within the Sylvester Site PMA, the established rental properties are 100.0% occupied with waiting lists, illustrating that foreclosed and abandoned properties have not had any adverse impact on the overall rental housing market. It is also of note that based on information obtained from RealtyTrac.com, Worth County has a similar foreclosure rate as the state of Georgia (0.01%) as a whole. Based on the preceding analysis, it can be concluded that foreclosed/abandoned homes will not have any tangible impact on the subject's marketability. This is especially true when considering the lack of available age-restricted LIHTC apartments in the Sylvester market.

5. Economic Data:

The Worth County economy experienced fluctuations in its employment base between 2010 and 2019. However, between 2019 and April 2020, the county experienced a decline of over 160 jobs, or 1.9%, likely, in part, as the result of the COVID-19 pandemic, which caused many area businesses to experience a significant reduction in business activity, increasing unemployment and decreasing the county's employment base. This correlates with unemployment rate trends, as the county experienced an increase of nearly two percentage points during the same time frame. Specifically, between March and April 2020, the unemployment rate within Worth County spiked by over four percentage points. Several of the businesses impacted include those within the Retail Trade and Accommodation & Food Services sectors, which represent over 20% of the labor force within the Site PMA and provide lower wage paying positions. The subject site will provide a good quality housing option to those senior households still within the workforce in an economy where lower-wage employees are most vulnerable. Additional economic data is included in *Section F* of this report.

6. Project-Specific Affordability and Demand Analysis:

The following is a summary of our demand calculations:

	Percent of Median Household Income						
Demand Component (55+)	50% AMHI (\$12,450-\$24,250)	60% AMHI (\$15,450-\$29,100)	70% AMHI (\$18,450-\$33,950)	Tax Credit Overall (\$12,450-\$33,950)			
Net Demand	145	141	117	197			
Proposed Units / Net Demand	20 / 145	28 / 141	8 / 117	56 / 197			
Capture Rate	= 13.8%	= 19.9%	= 6.8%	= 28.4%			



Per GDCA guidelines, projects in rural markets with an overall capture rate of 35% or below are considered acceptable. As such, the project's overall capture rate of 28.4% is considered achievable, especially considering the lack of available affordable non-subsidized housing within the market. In addition, we also anticipate a sufficient amount of support will originate from senior homeowners due to the lack of available non-subsidized senior housing for those ages 55 and older within or near the market area. However, per GDCA methodology, demand from senior homeowners is limited to 2% of total demand. We anticipate a greater percentage of support will generate from homeowners looking to downsize from their homes and seeking a maintenance free housing alternative, especially considering that income-qualified senior homeowners ages 55 and older do not have a rental housing option currently available to them. As such, the overall capture rate is considered conservative.

Applying the shares of demand detailed in *Section G* to the income-qualified senior households, yields demand and capture rates for the proposed units by bedroom type and AMHI level as follows:

Bedroom Size (Share of Demand)	Target % of AMHI	Subject Units	Total Demand*	Supply**	Net Demand	Capture Rate
One-Bedroom (50%)	50%	10	73	0	73	13.7%
	60%	14	71	0	71	19.7%
	70%	4	59	0	59	6.8%
One-Bedroom	Total	28	99	0	99	28.3%
Two-Bedroom (50%)	50%	10	72	0	72	13.9%
	60%	14	70	0	70	20.0%
	70%	4	58	0	58	6.9%
Two-Bedroom	Total	28	98	0	98	28.6%

^{*}Includes overlap between the targeted income levels at the subject site.

The capture rates by bedroom type and targeted income level range from 6.8% to 20.0%. Utilizing this methodology, these capture rates are considered achievable and demonstrate that a sufficient base of age- and income-appropriate household support exists in the Sylvester Site PMA for each of the unit types proposed at the subject development.

Detailed demand calculations are provided in *Section G* of this report.



^{**}Directly comparable units built and/or funded in the project market over the projection period.

7. Competitive Rental Analysis

We identified one non-subsidized, age-restricted LIHTC project within the market, Fullerton Square (Map ID 2). This project targets senior households with incomes up to 50% and 60% of AMHI and, therefore, is considered directly competitive with the subject development. Given the limited number of non-subsidized, age-restricted LIHTC product within the Sylvester Site PMA, we identified five additional age-restricted LIHTC projects outside of the Site PMA, but within the region in Albany and Tifton. It should be noted that these five projects are not considered competitive, as they derive demographic support from a different geographical area. As such, these properties have been included for comparison purposes only. The six competitive/comparable LIHTC properties and the proposed subject project are summarized in the following table:

Map I.D.	Project Name	Year Built	Total Units	Occ. Rate	Distance to Site	Waiting List	Target Market
Site	Village at Paradise	2022	56	-	-	-	Seniors 55+; 50%, 60% & 70% AMHI
2	Fullerton Square	2008	24*	100.0%	1.9 Miles	20 HH	Seniors 55+; 50% & 60% AMHI
901	Albany Spring Senior Apts.	1995	80	100.0%	19.9 Miles	40 HH	Seniors 62+; 50% & 60% AMHI
904	Groves Place Apts.	2016	56	100.0%	21.5 Miles	160 HH	Seniors 55+; 50% & 60% AMHI
906	Pointe North Senior Village I	2015	59	100.0%	27.3 Miles	75 HH	Seniors 55+; 50% & 60% AMHI
907	Pointe North Senior Village II	2017	46	100.0%	27.3 Miles	75 HH	Seniors 55+; 50% & 60% AMHI
909	West Haven Senior Village	2011	36*	100.0%	21.8 Miles	38 HH	Seniors 55+; 30%, 50%, & 60% AMHI

OCC. - Occupancy
*Tax Credit units only

900 series Map IDs are located outside of Site PMA

Each of the five comparable LIHTC projects are fully occupied and maintain a waiting list. This illustrates that pent-up demand exists for additional affordable age-restricted rental housing within the market and region. The subject project will be able to accommodate a portion of this unmet demand.



The gross rents for the competing/comparable projects and the proposed rents at the subject site, as well as their unit mixes and vacancies by bedroom are listed in the following table:

		Gross Rent/Percent of AMHI (Number of Units/Vacancies)			
Map I.D.	Duoiset Nome	One- Br.	Two- Br.	Rent Special	
1.υ.	Project Name	\$445/50% (10)	\$551/50% (10)	Special	
		\$520/60% (14)	\$606/60% (14)		
Site	Village at Paradise	\$615/70% (4)	\$696/70% (4)	-	
		\$468/50% (6/0)	\$551/50% (6/0)		
2	Fullerton Square	\$513/60% (3/0)	\$591/60% (9/0)	None	
		\$501/50% (35/0)	\$601/50% (35/0)		
901	Albany Spring Senior Apts.	\$567/60% (5/0)	\$682/60% (5/0)	None	
		\$470/50% (7/0)	\$560/50% (21/0)		
904	Groves Place Apts.	\$503/60% (7/0)	\$634/60% (21/0)	None	
		\$502/50% (7/0)	\$505/50% (24/0)		
906	Pointe North Senior Village I	\$582/60% (7/0)	\$662/60% (21/0)	None	
		\$445/50% (11/0)	\$533/50% (16/0)		
907	Pointe North Senior Village II	\$542/60% (13/0)	\$653/60% (6/0)	None	
		·	\$337/30% (2/0)		
			\$579/50% (8/0)		
909	West Haven Senior Village	-	\$671/60% (26/0)	None	

900 series Map IDs are located outside of Site PMA

The proposed subject gross rents set aside at 50% and 60% of AMHI, ranging from \$445 to \$606, will generally be within the range of LIHTC rents offered at the comparable LIHTC projects within the market and region targeting similar income levels. Given that all comparable LIHTC projects are fully occupied and maintain an extensive waitlist and the fact that the subject rents are competitively positioned, they are considered appropriate.

It should also be noted that the subject project will be the only LIHTC project to offer units set aside at 70% of AMHI. As such, the subject project will provide an affordable rental housing alternative to low-income seniors that are currently underserved within the Sylvester Site PMA. This will bode well for the demand of these subject unit types. While the rents proposed at these specific units are untested in the market, based on our capture rate analysis illustrated earlier in this report, there will be a good base of age- and income-appropriate households within the Sylvester Site PMA to support these units at the proposed rent levels.



Comparable/Competitive Tax Credit Summary

Based on our analysis of the proposed rents, unit sizes (square footage), amenities, location, quality and occupancy rates of the existing low-income properties within the market and region, it is our opinion that the proposed development will be marketable. This has been considered in our absorption projections. An in-depth comparable analysis is included in *Section H* of this report.

Average Market Rent

The following table illustrates the weighted average *collected* rents of the comparable *market-rate* projects by bedroom type, *for units similar to those proposed at the subject site*.

Weighted Average Collected Rent of Comparable Market-Rate Units*					
One-Br.	Two-Br.				
\$576	\$776				

^{*}As identified in Addendum E

The rent advantage for the proposed units is calculated as follows (average weighted market rent – proposed rent) / proposed rent.

Bedrooms	Weighted Avg. Rent	Proposed Rent (% AMHI)	Difference	Proposed Rent (% AMHI)	Rent Advantage
	\$576	- \$350 (50%)	\$226	/ \$350 (50%)	64.6%
One-Br.	\$576	- \$425 (60%)	\$151	/ \$425 (60%)	35.5%
	\$576	- \$520 (70%)	\$56	/ \$520 (70%)	10.8%
	\$776	- \$430 (50%)	\$346	/ \$430 (50%)	80.5%
Two-Br.	\$776	- \$485 (60%)	\$291	/ \$485 (60%)	60.0%
	\$776	- \$575 (70%)	\$201	/ \$575 (70%)	35.0%

As the preceding illustrates, the proposed subject units represent rent advantages ranging from 10.8% to 80.5%, depending upon unit type and targeted income level, as compared to the weighted average collected rents of the comparable *market-rate* projects as identified in *Addendum E*. Please note, however, that these are weighted averages of *collected* rents and do not reflect differences in the utility structure that gross rents include. Therefore, caution must be used when drawing any conclusions. A complete analysis of the achievable market rent by bedroom type and the rent advantage of the proposed development's collected rents are available in *Addendum E* of this report.



8. Absorption/Stabilization Estimates

Based on our analysis, it is our opinion that the proposed 56 LIHTC units at the subject site will reach a stabilized occupancy of at least 93.0% within approximately seven months. This absorption period is based on an average monthly absorption of approximately seven units per month.

9. Overall Conclusion:

Based on the findings reported in our market study, it is our opinion that a market exists for the 56 units proposed at the subject site, assuming it is developed and operated as detailed in this report. Changes in the project's site, rent, amenities or opening date may alter these findings. Overall, the subject project is considered marketable as proposed and is not expected to have any adverse impact on future occupancy rates among existing comparable/competitive LIHTC product in this market. We have no recommendations to the subject project at this time.



SUMMARY TABLE

(must be completed by the analyst and included in the executive summary)

Development Name: Village at Paradise Total # Units: 56

Location: W. Pine St. & Green Shutters Lane, Sylvester, GA 31791 # LIHTC Units: 56

Jewell Crowe Road, Doe Hill Road and the Worth County line to the north; the Worth County line to the east; Gibbs Road, Pine Forest Road, Douthit Road, Evergreen Road, McCarty Road, State Route 112 and

PMA Boundary: State Route 133 to the south; and County Line Road and the Worth County line to the west.

Farthest Boundary Distance to Subject: 11.8 miles

RENTAL HOUSING STOCK (found on page H-1, 2 & 5)								
Туре	# Properties	Total Units	Vacant Units	Average Occupancy				
All Rental Housing	3	151	0	100.0%				
Market-Rate Housing	1	6	0	100.0%				
Assisted/Subsidized Housing not to include LIHTC	2	121	0	100.0%				
LIHTC	1	24	0	100.0%				
Stabilized Comps	1	30	0	100.0%				
Properties in Construction & Lease Up	0	-	-	-				

	Subject Development					Average Market Rent			Highest Unadjusted Comp Rent	
# Units	# Bedrooms	# Baths	Size (SF)	Proposed Tenant Rent	Per Unit	Per SF	Advantage	Per Unit	Per SF	
10	One	1.0	689	\$350	\$576	\$0.84	64.6%	\$775	\$0.97	
14	One	1.0	689	\$425	\$576	\$0.84	35.5%	\$775	\$0.97	
4	One	1.0	689	\$520	\$576	\$0.84	10.8%	\$775	\$0.97	
10	Two	1.0	893	\$430	\$776	\$0.87	80.5%	\$900	\$0.91	
14	Two	1.0	893	\$485	\$776	\$0.87	60.0%	\$900	\$0.91	
4	Two	1.0	893	\$575	\$776	\$0.87	35.0%	\$900	\$0.91	

CAPTURE RATES (found on page G-5)									
Targeted Population	Targeted Population 50% 60% 70% Market-rate Other: Overall								
Capture Rate 13.8% 19.9% 6.8% 28.4%									

Section B - Project Description

Project Name:	Village at Paradise
Location:	Northwest corner of West Pine Street and Green Shutters Lane in Sylvester, Georgia
	(Worth County)
Census Tract:	9505.00
Target Market:	Senior Age 55+
Construction Type:	New Construction
Funding Source:	LIHTC

The subject project involves the new construction of the 56-unit Village at Paradise rental community on an approximate 4.4-acre site located at the northwest corner of West Pine Street and Green Shutters Lane in Sylvester, Georgia. The project will be developed utilizing funding from the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program and target lower-income senior households ages 55 and older earning up to 50%, 60% and 70% of Area Median Household Income (AMHI). None of the units within the subject development will receive project-based rental assistance. The proposed project is expected to be complete by June 2022. Additional details of the subject project are as follows:

	Proposed Unit Configuration										
							Proposed Rents		Max. Allowable		
Total	Bedroom			Square	%	Collected	Utility	Gross	LIHTC Gross		
Units	Type	Baths	Style	Feet	AMHI	Rent	Allowance	Rent	Rent		
10	One-Br.	1.0	Garden	689	50%	\$350	\$95	\$445	\$568		
14	One-Br.	1.0	Garden	689	60%	\$425	\$95	\$520	\$681		
4	One-Br.	1.0	Garden	689	70%	\$520	\$95	\$615	\$795		
10	Two-Br.	1.0	Garden	893	50%	\$430	\$121	\$551	\$681		
14	Two-Br.	1.0	Garden	893	60%	\$485	\$121	\$606	\$817		
4	Two-Br.	1.0	Garden	893	70%	\$575	\$121	\$696	\$953		
56	Total										

Source: IDP Housing, LP

AMHI – Area Median Household Income (2019 National Non-Metropolitan Median Income)

Building/Site Information				
Residential Buildings:	One (1) two-story building			
Building Style:	Elevator-served			
Community Space:	Integrated throughout			
Acres:	4.4			

Construction Timeline			
Original Year Built:	Not Applicable		
Construction Start:	May 2021		
Begin Preleasing:	June 2022		
Construction End:	June 2022		

Unit Amenities					
Electric Range	 Microwave 	 Carpet/Vinyl/Composite Flooring 			
Refrigerator w/Icemaker	 Washer/Dryer Hookups 	 Window Blinds 			
Garbage Disposal	 Central Air Conditioning 	 Controlled Access/Key Fob 			
• Dishwasher	Walk-In Closet	 Ceiling Fans 			





Community Amenities					
Computer Center	 Copy/Print/Fax 	 Common Patio 			
Community Garden	 Courtyard 	 Community Kitchen 			
Gazebo	 Multipurpose Room 	 Elevator 			
Laundry Room	 On-Site Management 	 Picnic Area with Grills 			
CCTV/Cameras	 Classes 	 Health Screenings 			
• Parties	Wellness Center	 Surface Parking Lot (118 Spaces) 			

Utility Responsibility							
Heat Hot Water Cooking General Electric Cold Water Sewer Trash							Trash
Paid By	Tenant	Tenant	Tenant	Topont	Tenant	Tenant	Landlord
Source	Electric	Electric	Electric	Tenant	Tenant	Tenant	Landiord

A state map, an area map and a site neighborhood map are on the following pages.









Section C – Site Description and Evaluation

1. LOCATION

The subject site is a parcel of densely forested land located at the northwest corner of the intersection of Green Shutters Lane and West Pine Street in the southwest portion of Sylvester, Georgia. Located within Worth County, the subject site is approximately 20.0 miles east of Albany, Georgia and approximately 175.0 miles south of Atlanta, Georgia. Christopher T. Bunch, an employee of Bowen National Research, inspected the site and area apartments during the week of May 25, 2020.

2. SURROUNDING LAND USES

The subject site is within a partially developed residential area of Sylvester. Surrounding land uses include wooded land, multifamily housing, single-family homes and a mobile home park. Adjacent land uses are detailed as follows:

North -	Mobile homes in fair condition directly border the subject site to the north. Continuing north is wooded land, which extends to West Street, a lightly traveled two-lane roadway. Farther north are single-family homes in fair to good condition.
East -	The site is bordered to the east by Paradise Estates Apartments, a 50-
Last -	unit apartment property in good condition. Continuing east is wooded land, followed by single-family homes in satisfactory to good condition,
	which extend farther east.
South -	Wooded land borders the site to the south. Continuing south are two single-family homes in fair condition, followed by Browning Drive/Pine Street East, a lightly traveled two-lane roadway which becomes unpaved traveling west. Wooded land and scattered single-family homes extend beyond.
West -	Wooded land borders the subject site to the west. Wooded and
-	agricultural land extend farther west outside the Sylvester city limits.

The subject site is expected to fit well with the surrounding residential land uses, most of which were observed to be in fair to good condition, In particular, the 50-unit Paradise Estates Apartments, which opened in 2011, has established the immediate site area as a location for apartment development. The adjacent Paradise Estates Apartments is expected to have a positive effect on the marketability of the proposed site project. The adjacent mobile home park located north of the site is not expected to adversely affect marketability. Note that Paradise Estates Apartments is also adjacent to this mobile home park, and a wooded buffer exists between the existing apartments and the mobile homes. It is also expected that a wooded buffer will exist between the mobile home park and the proposed site project, therefore limiting visibility.



3. VISIBILITY AND ACCESS

It is anticipated that the subject site will derive access from West Pine Street, a two-lane residential street. Vehicular traffic is light, particularly during weekday business hours. As such, ingress and egress are considered convenient, with clear lines of sight provided in all directions of travel. Overall, access to and from the site is considered good for vehicles. In addition, pedestrian access to the site is also considered to be good, due to a recently completed sidewalk and street lamps along the north side of West Pine Street. While visibility of the site is considered good within the immediate area, it will not be visible from arterial roadways. As such, promotional signage is recommended throughout all portions of the market area to increase its awareness during the initial lease-up process. The subject site is also within 1.3 miles of State Routes 33 and 112, as well as U.S. Highway 82. While there are no fixed-route public transportation services within Worth County, Southwest Georgia Regional Transit provides on-call, on-site pickup services to residents within the area.

According to area planning and zoning officials, as well as information provided by the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT), no notable roads or other infrastructure projects are underway or planned for the immediate site area.

4. SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Photographs of the subject site are on located on the following pages.





View of site from the north



View of site from the northeast



View of site from the east



View of site from the southeast



View of site from the south



North view from site


Bowen National Research C-3



Northeast view from site



Southeast view from site



Streetscape: East view of West Pine Street



East view from site



South view from site



Streetscape: West view of West Pine Street

Bowen National Research C-4



Streetscape: South view of Green Shutters Lane

Bowen National Research C-5

5. PROXIMITY TO COMMUNITY SERVICES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The site is served by the community services detailed in the following table:


Community Services	Name	Driving Distance From Site (Miles)
Major Highways	State Route 112	0.7 East
	State Route 33	0.8 East
	U.S. Highway 82	1.3 North
Public Bus Stop	Southwest Georgia Regional Transit	On Demand
Major Employers/	Phoebe Worth Medical Center	1.1 Southeast
Employment Centers	City of Sylvester	1.3 Northeast
Zimproyiment Centers	Worth County Schools	2.2 Northeast
	Walmart	3.1 East
Convenience Store	Harry's Food Mart	1.2 East
Convenience Store	Ez-Corner	1.4 Northeast
	Express Lane	1.5 Northeast
Grocery	Piggly Wiggly	2.1 Northeast
Glocciy	Walmart	3.1 East
Discount Department Store	Dollar General	1.3 Northeast
Discount Department Store	Dollar Tree	1.7 Northeast
	Goody's	2.0 Northeast
Senior Center	Sylvester Senior Center	1.9 North
	Phoebe Worth Medical Center	1.1 Southeast
Hospital		1.1 Southeast 1.2 Northeast
Police	Sylvester Police Department	
Fire	Sylvester Fire Department	1.4 Northeast
Post Office	U.S. Post Office	2.2 East
Bank	SunTrust Bank	1.3 Northeast
	Southwest Georgia Bank	1.5 Northeast
	Heritage Bank of The South	1.7 Northeast
Recreational Facilities	Jeffords Park	1.7 Northeast
	Pope Park	1.8 Northeast
	Sylvester-Worth Recreation	1.9 North
Gas Station	Harry's Food Mart	1.2 East
	Handy Andy	1.5 Northeast
	J & J Grocery & Market	1.7 East
	Shell Food Mart	1.7 Northeast
Pharmacy	CVS Pharmacy	1.5 Northeast
	Glenn's Corner Pharmacy	2.1 Northeast
	Walmart Pharmacy	3.1 East
Restaurant	Isabella Station	1.2 Northeast
	Old Mexico	1.2 Northeast
	Nether's Restaurant	1.3 Northeast
	A Bag to Go	1.3 Northeast
	Jackson's Soul Food Kitchen	1.4 Northeast
Community Center	Sylvester-Worth Recreation	1.9 North
Library	Worth County Library	1.6 Northeast
Church	Jones Chapel African Methodist Episcopal Church	1.0 Northeast
	First Baptist Church	1.3 Northeast
	First African Baptist Church	1.3 Northeast




As the preceding table illustrates, most area services are located within 2.0 miles of the subject site and are easily accessible from the site, given the site's proximity to State Route 33, State Route 112, and U.S. Highway 82. Public safety services are provided by the Sylvester Police Department and the Sylvester Fire Department, which are located 1.2 and 1.4 miles from the subject site, respectfully. The nearest full-service medical center is the Phoebe Worth Medical Center, located 1.1 miles southeast of the subject site. Additionally, the Sylvester Senior Center is within 1.9 miles. An expanded selection of shopping and amenities is located in the nearby cities of Albany (21.0 miles west) and Tifton (23.0 miles east). Based on the preceding factors, the subject's proximity to area services is expected to have a positive impact on its marketability within the Sylvester market.

Maps illustrating the location of community services are on the following pages.







6. CRIME ISSUES

The primary source for Crime Risk data is the FBI Uniform Crime Report (UCR). The FBI collects data from each of roughly 16,000 separate law enforcement jurisdictions across the country and compiles this data into the UCR. The most recent update showed an overall coverage rate of 95% of all jurisdictions nationwide with a coverage rate of 97% of all jurisdictions in metropolitan areas.

Applied Geographic Solutions uses the UCR at the jurisdictional level to model each of the seven crime types at other levels of geography. Risk indexes are standardized based on the national average. A Risk Index value of 100 for a particular risk indicates that, for the area, the relative probability of the risk is consistent with the average probability of that risk across the United States.

It should be noted that aggregate indexes for total crime, personal crime and property crime are not weighted, and murder is no more significant statistically in these indexes than petty theft. Thus, caution should be exercised when using them.

Total crime risk for the site's ZIP Code is 100, with an overall personal crime index of 114 and a property crime index of 99. Total crime risk for Worth County is 80, with indexes for personal and property crime of 87 and 79, respectively.


	Crime F	Crime Risk Index			
	Site ZIP Code	Worth County			
Total Crime	100	80			
Personal Crime	114	87			
Murder	89	73			
Rape	33	37			
Robbery	56	45			
Assault	153	114			
Property Crime	99	79			
Burglary	167	130			
Larceny	86	69			
Motor Vehicle Theft	48	45			

Source: Applied Geographic Solutions

The crime risk index within the site's ZIP Code is similar with the national average of 100. As such, it is not anticipated that crime within the area plays a significant role in the overall marketability of the Sylvester rental housing market.

A map illustrating crime risk is on the following page.






7. OVERALL SITE EVALUATION

The subject property is situated within a partially developed residential area in the southwest portion of Sylvester. The surrounding land uses include an apartment community, mobile homes, wooded land, and single-family houses. Access to and from the site is considered good, as it is within 1.3 miles of State Routes 33 and 112, as well as U.S. Highway 82. Additionally, Southwest Georgia Regional Transit provides on-call, on-site pickup services to residents of Worth County. The subject site is not visible from arterial roadways and it is recommended that promotional signage is utilized throughout all portions of the market area to increase its awareness during the initial lease-up process. Most area services are located within 2.0 miles of the subject site, which include shopping, employment, recreational and education opportunities, as well as social services and public safety services. Overall, we consider the site's location and proximity to community services to have a positive effect on its marketability.

8. MAP OF LOW-INCOME RENTAL HOUSING

A map illustrating the location of low-income rental housing (4% and 9% Tax Credit Properties, Tax Exempt Bond Projects, Rural Development Properties, HUD Section 8 and Public Housing, etc.) identified in the Site PMA is included on the following page.





Section D – Primary Market Area Delineation

The Site Primary Market Area (PMA) is the geographical area from which comparable properties and potential renters are expected to be drawn from. It is also the geographic area expected to generate the most demographic support for the subject development. The Sylvester Site PMA was determined through interviews with area leasing and real estate agents, as well as the personal observations of our analysts. The personal observations of our analysts include physical and/or socioeconomic differences in the market and a demographic analysis of the area households and population.


The Sylvester Site PMA consists of the central portion of Worth County, which includes the city of Sylvester, city of Poulan, town of Sumner and the surrounding unincorporated areas of Worth County. Specifically, the boundaries of the Site PMA include Jewell Crowe Road, Doe Hill Road and the Worth County line to the north; the Worth County line to the east; Gibbs Road, Pine Forest Road, Douthit Road, Evergreen Road, McCarty Road, State Route 112 and State Route 133 to the south; and County Line Road and the Worth County line to the west.

Betty Sue Story, Leasing Agent at Fullerton Square Apartments (Map ID 2), an agerestricted Tax Credit and market-rate apartment community in Sylvester, stated that most tenants (at least 50%) originated from the Sylvester area and outlying portions of Worth County, including the city of Poulan and the town of Sumner, thus confirming the Site PMA.

A small portion of support may originate from some of the outlying areas of Worth County not included in the Site PMA; we have not, however, considered a secondary market area in this report.

A map delineating the boundaries of the Site PMA is included on the following page.





Section E – Community Demographic Data

1. POPULATION TRENDS

The Site PMA population bases for 2000, 2010, 2020 (estimated) and 2022 (projected) are summarized as follows:

		Year					
	2000 (Census)						
Population	15,958	15,763	15,288	15,136			
Population Change	-	-195	-475	-152			
Percent Change	-	-1.2%	-3.0%	-1.0%			

Source: 2000, 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research

The Sylvester Site PMA population base has been generally stable since 2000. These trends are projected to remain relatively stable through 2022.

The Site PMA population bases by age are summarized as follows:

Population 2010 (Census) 2020 (Estimated)		2022 (Projected)		Change 2020-2022				
by Age	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
19 & Under	4,469	28.4%	3,876	25.4%	3,857	25.5%	-20	-0.5%
20 to 24	994	6.3%	845	5.5%	804	5.3%	-40	-4.8%
25 to 34	1,728	11.0%	1,911	12.5%	1,770	11.7%	-140	-7.3%
35 to 44	1,986	12.6%	1,778	11.6%	1,813	12.0%	35	2.0%
45 to 54	2,338	14.8%	1,977	12.9%	1,900	12.6%	-77	-3.9%
55 to 64	1,998	12.7%	2,126	13.9%	2,095	13.8%	-31	-1.4%
65 to 74	1,328	8.4%	1,694	11.1%	1,732	11.4%	38	2.2%
75 & Over	922	5.8%	1,082	7.1%	1,165	7.7%	83	7.7%
Total	15,763	100.0%	15,288	100.0%	15,136	100.0%	-152	-1.0%

Source: 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research

As the preceding table illustrates, over 32% of the population is expected to be age 55 and older in 2020. This age group is the primary group of potential support for the subject site and will likely represent a significant number of the tenants.

The following compares the PMA's elderly (age 55+) and non-elderly population.

	Year				
	2010 2020 2022				
Population Type	(Census)	(Estimated)	(Projected)		
Elderly (Age 55+)	4,248	4,901	4,992		
Non-Elderly	11,515	10,387	10,144		
Total	15,763	15,288	15,136		

Source: 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research



The elderly population is projected to increase by 91, or 1.9%, between 2020 and 2022. This increase among the targeted age cohort will likely increase the demand of senior-oriented housing.

2. HOUSEHOLD TRENDS

Household trends within the Sylvester Site PMA are summarized as follows:

		Year				
	2000 (Census)	2010 (Census)	2020 (Estimated)	2022 (Projected)		
Households	5,848	5,912	5,777	5,724		
Household Change	-	64	-135	-52		
Percent Change	-	1.1%	-2.3%	-0.9%		
Household Size	2.73	2.67	2.62	2.61		

Source: 2000, 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research

Similar to population trends, households within the market have been generally stable since 2000. These trends are projected to remain relatively stable through 2022.

The Site PMA household bases by age are summarized as follows:

Households	2010 (0	Census)	2020 (Estimated)		2022 (Projected)		Change 2020-2022	
by Age	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Under 25	230	3.9%	173	3.0%	170	3.0%	-3	-1.8%
25 to 34	734	12.4%	767	13.3%	706	12.3%	-60	-7.9%
35 to 44	1,003	17.0%	847	14.7%	858	15.0%	12	1.4%
45 to 54	1,252	21.2%	1,010	17.5%	967	16.9%	-44	-4.3%
55 to 64	1,206	20.4%	1,226	21.2%	1,201	21.0%	-26	-2.1%
65 to 74	858	14.5%	1,048	18.1%	1,065	18.6%	17	1.6%
75 to 84	463	7.8%	521	9.0%	560	9.8%	39	7.4%
85 & Over	166	2.8%	184	3.2%	197	3.4%	13	7.2%
Total	5,912	100.0%	5,777	100.0%	5,724	100.0%	-52	-0.9%

Source: 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research

Between 2020 and 2022, nearly all growth among household age groups in the market is projected to be among those ages of 65 and older, an increase of 69, or 3.9%. This growth, although nominal, illustrates that there will be an increasing need for housing for seniors in the Site PMA.

Households by tenure are distributed as follows:

	2010 (Census)		2020 (Es	timated)	2022 (Projected)	
Tenure	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Owner-Occupied	4,035	68.3%	3,739	64.7%	3,726	65.1%
Renter-Occupied	1,877	31.7%	2,038	35.3%	1,999	34.9%
Total	5,912	100.0%	5,777	100.0%	5,724	100.0%

Source: 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research



Households by tenure for those age 55 and older in 2010, 2020 (estimated) and 2022 (projected) are distributed as follows:

	2010 (Census)		2020 (Es	timated)	2022 (Projected)	
Tenure Age 55+	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Owner-Occupied	2,141	79.5%	2,359	79.2%	2,412	79.8%
Renter-Occupied	552	20.5%	621	20.8%	611	20.2%
Total	2,693	100.0%	2,980	100.0%	3,023	100.0%

Source: 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research

A total of 621 (20.8%) of all households age 55 and older within the Site PMA were renters in 2020. These senior renter households represent a sufficient base of support for the subject development.

The household sizes by tenure for age 55 and older within the Site PMA, based on the 2020 estimates and 2022 projections, were distributed as follows:

Persons Per Renter Household	2020 (Estimated)		2022 (Pr	ojected)	Change 2020-2022	
Age 55+	Households	Percent	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
1 Person	298	48.0%	284	46.4%	-14	-4.7%
2 Persons	134	21.5%	135	22.2%	2	1.4%
3 Persons	79	12.7%	80	13.1%	1	0.9%
4 Persons	55	8.9%	54	8.9%	-1	-1.6%
5 Persons+	55	8.9%	58	9.4%	2	4.2%
Total	621	100.0%	611	100.0%	-10	-1.6%

Source: 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research

Persons Per Owner Household	2020 (Estimated)		2022 (Projected)		Change 2020-2022	
Age 55+	Households	Percent	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
1 Person	718	30.4%	734	30.4%	16	2.2%
2 Persons	857	36.3%	877	36.4%	21	2.4%
3 Persons	364	15.4%	374	15.5%	10	2.8%
4 Persons	268	11.4%	273	11.3%	4	1.6%
5 Persons+	151	6.4%	153	6.4%	3	1.9%
Total	2,358	100.0%	2,411	100.0%	54	2.3%

Source: 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research

The proposed subject site will offer one- and two-bedroom units, which will generally target up to two-person senior households. These specific household sizes comprise over 69% of the Site PMA's senior renter households, indicating a good base of potential support for the site.



The distribution of households by income within the Sylvester Site PMA is summarized as follows:

Household	2010 (Census)		2020 (Est	timated)	2022 (Projected)		
Income	Households	Percent	Households	Percent	Households	Percent	
Less Than \$10,000	845	14.3%	472	8.2%	429	7.5%	
\$10,000 to \$19,999	922	15.6%	813	14.1%	780	13.6%	
\$20,000 to \$29,999	947	16.0%	729	12.6%	688	12.0%	
\$30,000 to \$39,999	700	11.8%	608	10.5%	593	10.4%	
\$40,000 to \$49,999	620	10.5%	590	10.2%	575	10.0%	
\$50,000 to \$59,999	408	6.9%	501	8.7%	508	8.9%	
\$60,000 to \$74,999	533	9.0%	570	9.9%	580	10.1%	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	525	8.9%	773	13.4%	804	14.1%	
\$100,000 to \$124,999	178	3.0%	266	4.6%	275	4.8%	
\$125,000 to \$149,999	93	1.6%	178	3.1%	194	3.4%	
\$150,000 to \$199,999	35	0.6%	176	3.1%	191	3.3%	
\$200,000 & Over	106	1.8%	102	1.8%	108	1.9%	
Total	5,912	100.0%	5,777	100.0%	5,724	100.0%	
Median Income	\$33,	457	\$44,	524	\$46,	474	

Source: 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research

In 2010, the median household income was \$33,457. This increased by 33.1% to \$44,524 in 2020. By 2022, it is projected that the median household income will be \$46,474, an increase of 4.4% from 2020.

The distribution of households by income age 55 and older within the Sylvester Site PMA is summarized as follows:

Household	2010 (Census)		2020 (Est	timated)	2022 (Projected)	
Income 55+	Households	Percent	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
Less Than \$10,000	391	14.5%	221	7.4%	206	6.8%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	561	20.8%	525	17.6%	515	17.0%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	513	19.0%	442	14.8%	427	14.1%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	324	12.0%	335	11.2%	335	11.1%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	245	9.1%	324	10.9%	327	10.8%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	153	5.7%	244	8.2%	257	8.5%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	202	7.5%	245	8.2%	258	8.5%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	170	6.3%	345	11.6%	369	12.2%
\$100,000 to \$124,999	54	2.0%	104	3.5%	111	3.7%
\$125,000 to \$149,999	31	1.2%	71	2.4%	80	2.7%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	19	0.7%	82	2.8%	92	3.0%
\$200,000 & Over	30	1.1%	41	1.4%	45	1.5%
Total	2,693	100.0%	2,979	100.0%	3,022	100.0%
Median Income	\$27,0	590	\$38,	997	\$40,	863

Source: 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research

In 2010, the median household income for households age 55 and older was \$27,690. This increased by 40.8% to \$38,997 in 2020. By 2022, it is projected that the median household income will be \$40,863, an increase of 4.8% from 2020.



The following tables illustrate renter household income by household size for age 55 and older for 2010, 2020 and 2022 for the Sylvester Site PMA:

Renter Age 55+			2010 (0	Census)		
Households	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person+	Total
Less Than \$10,000	86	26	14	13	9	148
\$10,000 to \$19,999	114	33	18	16	11	192
\$20,000 to \$29,999	60	18	10	9	6	102
\$30,000 to \$39,999	24	8	4	4	3	42
\$40,000 to \$49,999	14	4	2	2	2	24
\$50,000 to \$59,999	7	2	1	1	1	11
\$60,000 to \$74,999	10	3	2	2	1	18
\$75,000 to \$99,999	6	2	1	1	1	11
\$100,000 to \$124,999	1	0	0	0	0	2
\$125,000 to \$149,999	1	0	0	0	0	1
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0	0	0	0	0	1
\$200,000 & Over	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	323	96	53	47	34	552

Source: ESRI; Urban Decision Group

Renter Age 55+		2020 (Estimated)								
Households	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person+	Total				
Less Than \$10,000	38	17	10	7	7	79				
\$10,000 to \$19,999	99	43	25	18	18	202				
\$20,000 to \$29,999	64	28	17	12	12	132				
\$30,000 to \$39,999	32	15	9	6	6	68				
\$40,000 to \$49,999	26	12	7	5	5	56				
\$50,000 to \$59,999	9	4	3	2	2	20				
\$60,000 to \$74,999	9	4	3	2	2	19				
\$75,000 to \$99,999	13	6	4	3	3	28				
\$100,000 to \$124,999	4	2	1	1	1	8				
\$125,000 to \$149,999	3	1	1	0	1	5				
\$150,000 to \$199,999	1	0	0	0	0	2				
\$200,000 & Over	1	0	0	0	0	1				
Total	298	134	79	55	55	621				

Source: ESRI; Urban Decision Group

Renter Age 55+		2022 (Projected)								
Households	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person+	Total				
Less Than \$10,000	32	16	9	6	7	70				
\$10,000 to \$19,999	92	43	25	17	18	195				
\$20,000 to \$29,999	62	29	17	12	12	132				
\$30,000 to \$39,999	32	16	9	6	7	70				
\$40,000 to \$49,999	27	13	8	5	6	59				
\$50,000 to \$59,999	8	4	2	2	2	18				
\$60,000 to \$74,999	8	4	2	2	2	18				
\$75,000 to \$99,999	13	6	4	3	3	29				
\$100,000 to \$124,999	5	2	1	1	1	10				
\$125,000 to \$149,999	3	2	1	1	1	7				
\$150,000 to \$199,999	1	0	0	0	0	2				
\$200,000 & Over	1	0	0	0	0	1				
Total	284	135	80	54	58	611				

Source: ESRI; Urban Decision Group



The following tables illustrate owner household income by household size for age 55 and older for 2010, 2020 and 2022 for the Sylvester Site PMA:

Owner Age 55+	2010 (Census)					
Households	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person+	Total
Less Than \$10,000	77	82	34	32	17	243
\$10,000 to \$19,999	128	119	50	47	25	369
\$20,000 to \$29,999	141	134	56	52	29	411
\$30,000 to \$39,999	85	97	40	38	21	282
\$40,000 to \$49,999	63	78	32	30	17	221
\$50,000 to \$59,999	43	49	20	19	10	142
\$60,000 to \$74,999	52	65	27	26	14	184
\$75,000 to \$99,999	46	56	23	22	12	159
\$100,000 to \$124,999	13	19	8	7	4	52
\$125,000 to \$149,999	8	11	5	4	2	30
\$150,000 to \$199,999	4	7	3	3	1	18
\$200,000 & Over	8	11	4	4	2	30
Total	669	729	302	285	155	2,141

Source: ESRI; Urban Decision Group

Owner Age 55+	2020 (Estimated)					
Households	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person+	Total
Less Than \$10,000	45	50	21	16	9	142
\$10,000 to \$19,999	118	107	45	33	19	323
\$20,000 to \$29,999	110	104	44	33	18	309
\$30,000 to \$39,999	86	95	40	30	17	267
\$40,000 to \$49,999	80	98	42	31	17	268
\$50,000 to \$59,999	60	86	36	27	15	224
\$60,000 to \$74,999	58	88	37	28	15	226
\$75,000 to \$99,999	84	122	52	38	21	317
\$100,000 to \$124,999	26	36	15	11	6	96
\$125,000 to \$149,999	18	25	11	8	4	66
\$150,000 to \$199,999	22	30	13	10	5	80
\$200,000 & Over	10	16	7	5	3	40
Total	718	857	364	268	151	2,358

Source: ESRI; Urban Decision Group

Owner Age 55+			2022 (Pi	rojected)		
Households	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person+	Total
Less Than \$10,000	44	48	21	15	8	136
\$10,000 to \$19,999	120	105	45	33	18	320
\$20,000 to \$29,999	106	99	42	31	17	295
\$30,000 to \$39,999	87	93	40	29	16	265
\$40,000 to \$49,999	81	98	42	30	17	268
\$50,000 to \$59,999	64	92	39	29	16	239
\$60,000 to \$74,999	61	94	40	29	16	241
\$75,000 to \$99,999	89	131	56	41	23	340
\$100,000 to \$124,999	27	38	16	12	7	101
\$125,000 to \$149,999	20	27	12	9	5	73
\$150,000 to \$199,999	24	34	15	11	6	90
\$200,000 & Over	11	17	7	5	3	44
Total	734	877	374	273	153	2,411

Source: ESRI; Urban Decision Group



Data from the preceding tables is used in the capture rate analyses illustrated later in Section G – *Project Specific Demand* of this report.

Demographic Summary

Overall population and households within the Sylvester Site PMA have been generally stable since 2000. These trends are projected to remain relatively stable through 2022. However, senior households ages 65 and older are projected to experience growth between 2020 and 2022, increasing by 69, or 3.9%. This growth, although nominal, is indicative of the increasing need for senior housing in the market. It should also be noted that the proposed development will target one- to two-person senior renter households, which comprise the majority of such households within the Site PMA. Therefore, the subject site will be able to accommodate most of the Site PMA's senior renter households, based on household size. The preceding factors will have a positive impact on the marketability of the subject site.



Section F – Economic Trends

1. LABOR FORCE PROFILE

The labor force within the Sylvester Site PMA is based primarily in three sectors. Educational Services (which comprises 16.3%), Health Care & Social Assistance and Retail Trade comprise nearly 44% of the Site PMA labor force. Employment in the Sylvester Site PMA, as of 2020, was distributed as follows:


NAICS Group	Establishments	Percent	Employees	Percent	E.P.E.
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing & Hunting	14	3.3%	62	1.7%	4.4
Mining	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0
Utilities	2	0.5%	36	1.0%	18.0
Construction	22	5.3%	80	2.3%	3.6
Manufacturing	10	2.4%	220	6.2%	22.0
Wholesale Trade	26	6.2%	312	8.8%	12.0
Retail Trade	60	14.3%	462	13.0%	7.7
Transportation & Warehousing	10	2.4%	79	2.2%	7.9
Information	7	1.7%	34	1.0%	4.9
Finance & Insurance	23	5.5%	98	2.8%	4.3
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	16	3.8%	44	1.2%	2.8
Professional, Scientific & Technical Services	26	6.2%	112	3.2%	4.3
Management of Companies & Enterprises	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0
Administrative, Support, Waste Management & Remediation Services	12	2.9%	42	1.2%	3.5
Educational Services	9	2.1%	578	16.3%	64.2
Health Care & Social Assistance	23	5.5%	514	14.5%	22.3
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	5	1.2%	13	0.4%	2.6
Accommodation & Food Services	23	5.5%	260	7.3%	11.3
Other Services (Except Public Administration)	76	18.1%	237	6.7%	3.1
Public Administration	38	9.1%	347	9.8%	9.1
Nonclassifiable	17	4.1%	21	0.6%	1.2
Total	419	100.0%	3,551	100.0%	8.5

^{*}Source: 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research

Note: Since this survey is conducted of establishments and not of residents, some employees may not live within the Site PMA. These employees, however, are included in our labor force calculations because their places of employment are located within the Site PMA.



E.P.E. - Average Employees Per Establishment



Typical wages by job category for the Albany Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) are compared with those of Georgia in the following table:

Typical Wage by Occupation Type				
Occupation Type	Albany MSA	Georgia		
Management Occupations	\$86,020	\$116,740		
Business and Financial Occupations	\$67,710	\$74,280		
Computer and Mathematical Occupations	\$74,590	\$88,550		
Architecture and Engineering Occupations	\$72,050	\$83,500		
Community and Social Service Occupations	\$41,770	\$48,540		
Art, Design, Entertainment and Sports Medicine Occupations	\$43,220	\$56,780		
Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations	\$76,100	\$77,470		
Healthcare Support Occupations	\$54,250	\$30,880		
Protective Service Occupations	\$37,250	\$39,900		
Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations	\$20,960	\$22,600		
Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations	\$23,960	\$27,240		
Personal Care and Service Occupations	\$25,480	\$28,200		
Sales and Related Occupations	\$30,620	\$39,160		
Office and Administrative Support Occupations	\$31,960	\$38,260		
Construction and Extraction Occupations	\$37,890	\$43,690		
Installation, Maintenance and Repair Occupations	\$48,110	\$47,530		
Production Occupations	\$36,360	\$35,690		
Transportation and Moving Occupations	\$32,810	\$35,260		

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Statistics



Most annual blue-collar salaries range from \$20,960 to \$54,250 within the Albany MSA. White-collar jobs, such as those related to professional positions, management and medicine, have an average salary of \$75,294. It is important to note that most occupational types within the Albany MSA have lower typical wages than the State of Georgia's typical wages. While the subject project will target senior households, many of which will likely be retired, there appears to be a sufficient base of wage-appropriate jobs in the market from which seniors seeking employment could choose.

2. MAJOR EMPLOYERS

The ten largest employers within Worth County comprise a total of 1,415 employees and are summarized as follows:

Employer Name	Business Type	Total Employed
Worth County Board of Education	Education	484
Conagra	Peanut Butter Producer (Peter Pan)	175
Phoebe Worth Medical Center	Healthcare	140
Worth County	Government	120
Walmart	Retail/Grocery	108
Coca Cola Bottling Co.	Beverage Producer	104
Pruitt Healthcare	Nursing Care Facility	100
City of Sylvester	Government	89
Hancock and Sons	Heavy Equipment Contractor	65
Birdsong Peanuts	Peanut Grower	30
_	Total	1,415

Source: Worth County Economic Development Authority (May 2019)

According to a representative with the Worth County Economic Development Authority and the Sylvester-Worth County Chamber of Commerce, the Worth County economy is stable. The area's strength is in its small businesses. There are approximately 600 small businesses in the area, each with two to five employees, and these businesses are stable.

Despite the county being a COVID-19 "hot spot" county, the community did not appear to be severely impacted. While the county abided by state stay at home orders, meaning all nonessential businesses had to close, the county has a strong agriculture-based economy, and all of the farmers in the county continued working through the pandemic. According to the representative, the stores that did have to close temporarily received strong community support and were all able to reopen when it was safe and appropriate to do so. The representative also stated that since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, they have received several inquiries from start-up companies who are interested in the county.



In downtown Sylvester, every storefront is leased, and revitalization is in the forefront. Some additions to the downtown area in 2019 include a formerly closed restaurant that will become a Big Willies Sea Food restaurant and the downtown movie theater that was shuttered in 1977 will soon become a restaurant theater.

In March 2019, construction was completed on the \$400,000 Pedestrian Mall in downtown Sylvester. There have been three successful social events held so far at the new mall. The last part of the project, the resurfacing of the adjacent parking lot, was completed in July 2019. The Sylvester City Hall was also renovated in June 2019.

Conagra, the producer of Peter Pan peanut butter, has added 50 new employees to their Sylvester facility since 2017; however, the company recently announced that the plant would be closing at the end of September 2020, as the company will be outsourcing their product moving forward. This plant's closing has already been extended once from the original planned close date, and no WARN notices have been published.

Park Built Body, truck body manufacturers and installers, is expanding and adding four new employees at their shop in Sylvester.

The former Worth County High School was demolished and replaced with a new Worth County High School College and Career Academy, which opened in 2018. Since the school has become operational, the county has already seen some high school students graduate with two-year certifications in trades such as welding.

WARN Notices

WARN Notices were reviewed in June 2020 and according to the Georgia Department of Labor, there have been no WARN notices reported Worth County over the past 18 months.

3. EMPLOYMENT TRENDS

The following tables were generated from the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics and reflect employment trends of the county in which the site is located.

Excluding 2020, the employment base has increased by 3.8% over the past five years in Worth County, less than the Georgia state increase of 10.1%. Total employment reflects the number of employed persons who live within the county.


The table on the following page illustrates the total employment base for Worth County, Georgia and the United States.



	Total Employment					
	Worth	County	Geo	rgia	United States	
Year	Total Number	Percent Change	Total Number	Percent Change	Total Number	Percent Change
2010	8,597	-	4,202,052	-	140,469,139	-
2011	8,669	0.8%	4,263,305	1.5%	141,791,255	0.9%
2012	8,643	-0.3%	4,348,083	2.0%	143,621,634	1.3%
2013	8,393	-2.9%	4,366,374	0.4%	145,017,562	1.0%
2014	8,216	-2.1%	4,403,433	0.8%	147,313,048	1.6%
2015	8,235	0.2%	4,482,922	1.8%	149,500,941	1.5%
2016	8,577	4.2%	4,649,755	3.7%	151,887,366	1.6%
2017	8,803	2.6%	4,812,097	3.5%	154,160,937	1.5%
2018	8,503	-3.4%	4,880,038	1.4%	156,081,212	1.2%
2019	8,552	0.6%	4,935,310	1.1%	158,102,439	1.3%
2020*	8,387	-1.9%	4,799,037	-2.8%	157,927,470	-0.1%

Source: Department of Labor; Bureau of Labor Statistics

*Through April



As the preceding illustrates, the Worth County employment base declined by 210 jobs, or 2.4%, between 2010 and 2020.


Unemployment rates for Worth County, Georgia and the United States are illustrated as follows:

		Unemployment Rate	
Year	Worth County	Georgia	United States
2010	12.1%	10.6%	9.7%
2011	11.5%	10.2%	9.0%
2012	10.2%	9.2%	8.1%
2013	9.2%	8.2%	7.4%
2014	7.9%	7.1%	6.2%
2015	6.5%	6.0%	5.3%
2016	5.8%	5.4%	4.9%
2017	4.8%	4.7%	4.4%
2018	4.4%	4.0%	3.9%
2019	3.9%	3.4%	3.7%
2020*	5.4%	5.9%	4.0%

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics


*Through April





The unemployment rate in Worth County consistently declined between 2010 and 2019; however, it was adversely impacted as the result of the COVID-19 pandemic between 2019 and April 2020.

The following table illustrates the monthly unemployment rate in Worth County for the most recent 18-month period for which data is currently available.



Note that the county's unemployment rate within the preceding 18-month period was generally stable, which then spiked by over four percentage points between March and April 2020.



In-place employment reflects the total number of jobs within the county regardless of the employee's county of residence. The following illustrates the total in-place employment base for Worth County.

	In-Plac	e Employment Worth (County
Year	Employment	Change	Percent Change
2009	3,450	-	-
2010	3,380	-70	-2.0%
2011	3,425	45	1.3%
2012	3,453	28	0.8%
2013	3,386	-67	-1.9%
2014	3,365	-21	-0.6%
2015	3,248	-117	-3.5%
2016	3,097	-151	-4.6%
2017	3,183	86	2.8%
2018	3,208	25	0.8%
2019	3,142	-66	-2.1%

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics


Data for 2019, the most recent year that year-end figures are available, indicates inplace employment in Worth County to be 36.7% of the total Worth County employment. This means that Worth County has more employed persons leaving the county for daytime employment than those who work in the county. Nonetheless, as the subject site will target senior households, many of which will likely be retired, the share of in-place employment is not expected to have a tangible impact on the subject's marketability.

4. ECONOMIC FORECAST

The Worth County economy experienced fluctuations in its employment base between 2010 and 2019. However, between 2019 and April 2020, the county experienced a decline of over 160 jobs, or 1.9%, likely, in part, as the result of the COVID-19 pandemic, which caused many area businesses to experience a significant reduction in business activity, increasing unemployment and decreasing the county's employment base. This correlates with unemployment rate trends, as the county experienced an increase of nearly two percentage points during the same time frame. Specifically, between March and April 2020, the unemployment rate within Worth County spiked by over four percentage points. Several of the businesses impacted include those within the Retail Trade and Accommodation & Food Services sectors, which represent over 20% of the labor force within the Site PMA and provide lower wage paying positions. The subject site will provide a good quality housing option to those senior households still within the workforce in an economy where lower-wage employees are most vulnerable.

A map illustrating notable employment centers is on the following page.





Section G – Project-Specific Demand Analysis

1. DETERMINATION OF INCOME ELIGIBILITY

The number of income-eligible households necessary to support the project from the Site PMA is an important consideration in evaluating the proposed project's potential.

Under the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program, household eligibility is based on household income not exceeding the targeted percentage of Area Median Household Income (AMHI), depending upon household size.

The subject site is within the Albany, Georgia MSA, which has a four-person median household income of \$53,400 for 2019. The project location, however, is eligible for the National Non-Metropolitan Income and Rent Floor adjustment. Therefore, the income restrictions for the subject project are based on the national non-metropolitan four-person median household income of \$60,600 in 2019. The subject roperty will be restricted to households with incomes up to 50%, 60% and 70% of AMHI. The following table summarizes the maximum allowable income by household size at various levels of AMHI:

	Targeted AMHI Maximum Allowable Income			
Household Size	50%	60%	70%	
One-Person	\$21,200	\$25,440	\$29,680	
Two-Person	\$24,250	\$29,100	\$33,950	

a. Maximum Income Limits

The largest proposed units (two-bedroom) at the subject site are expected to house up to two-person senior households. As such, the maximum allowable income at the subject site is \$33,950.

b. Minimum Income Requirements

Leasing industry standards typically require households to have rent-to- income ratios of 27% to 40%. Pursuant to GDCA market study guidelines, the maximum rent-to-income ratio permitted for family projects is 35%, while older person (age 55 and older) and elderly (age 62 and older) projects should utilize a 40% rent-to-income ratio.

The proposed LIHTC units will have a lowest gross rent of \$445. Over a 12-month period, the minimum annual household expenditure (rent plus tenant-paid utilities) at the subject site is \$5,340. Applying a 40% rent-to-income ratio to the minimum annual household expenditure yields a minimum annual household income requirement for the Tax Credit units of \$13,350.



c. Income-Appropriate Range

Based on the preceding analyses, the income-appropriate ranges required to live at the proposed project by AMHI level are as follows:

	Income Range		
Unit Type	Minimum	Maximum	
Tax Credit (Limited to 50% of AMHI)	\$13,350	\$24,250	
Tax Credit (Limited to 60% of AMHI)	\$15,600	\$29,100	
Tax Credit (Limited to 70% of AMHI)	\$18,450	\$33,950	
Overall LIHTC Demand	\$13,350	\$33,950	

2. METHODOLOGY

Demand

The following are the demand components as outlined by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs (GDCA):

- a. Demand from New Household: New units required in the market area due to projected household growth from migration into the market and growth from existing households in the market should be determined. This should be determined using current renter household data and projecting forward to the anticipated placed in service date of the project using a growth rate established from a reputable source such as ESRI or the State Data Center. This household projection must be limited to the target population, age and income group and the demand for each income group targeted (i.e. 50% of median income) must be shown separately. In instances where a significant number (more than 20%) of proposed units comprise three- and four-bedroom units, please refine the analysis by factoring in the number of large households (generally 5+ persons). A demand analysis that does not account for this may overestimate demand. Note that our calculations have been reduced to only include renter-qualified households
- **b. Demand from Existing Households:** The second source of demand should be projected from:
 - Rent overburdened households, if any, within the age group, income groups and tenure (renters) targeted for the proposed subject development. In order to achieve consistency in methodology, all analysts should assume that the rent overburdened analysis includes households paying greater than 35% (Family), or greater than 40% (Senior) of their incomes toward gross rent. Based on Table B25074 of the American Community Survey (ACS) 2014-2018 5-year estimates, approximately 60.2% to 76.1% (depending upon the targeted income level) of renter households within the market were rent overburdened. These households have been included in our demand analysis.



- Households living in substandard housing (i.e. units that lack complete plumbing or that are overcrowded). Households in substandard housing should be determined based on the age, the income bands, and the tenure that apply. The analyst should use his/her own knowledge of the market area and project to determine whether households from substandard housing would be a realistic source of demand. The analyst is encouraged to be conservative in his/her estimate of demand from both rent overburdened households and from those living in substandard housing. Based on Table B25016 of the American Community Survey (ACS) 2014-2018 5-year estimates, none of the households in the market were living in substandard housing that lacked complete indoor plumbing or in overcrowded (1.5+ persons per room) conditions.
- **Elderly Homeowners likely to convert to renters:** GDCA recognizes that this type of turnover is increasingly becoming a factor in the demand for elderly Tax Credit housing. This segment should not account for more than 2% of total demand. Due to the difficulty of extrapolating elderly (age 62 and older) owner households from elderly renter households, analyst may use the total figure for elderly households in the appropriate income band to derive this demand figure. Data from interviews with property managers of active projects regarding renters who have come from homeownership should be used to refine the analysis. A narrative of the steps taken to arrive at this demand figure must be included and any figure that accounts for more than 2% of total demand must be based on actual market conditions, as documented in the study. Due to the lack of available non-subsidized agerestricted affordable product for those ages 55 and older within the market, we believe that the proposed development will attract a significant share of income-qualified senior homeowners who are looking to downsize from their home and seek a maintenance free housing alternative. However, conservatively, we limited demand from senior homeowners to account for only 2% of total demand per GDCA guidelines.
- c. Other: GDCA does not consider household turnover to be a source of market demand. However, if an analyst firmly believes that demand exists that is not captured by the above methods, he/she may use other indicators to estimate demand if they are fully justified (e.g. an analysis of an under built market in the base year). Any such additional indicators should be calculated separately from the demand analysis above. Such additions should be well documented by the analyst with documentation included in the Market Study.



Net Demand

The overall demand components illustrated above are added together and the competitive supply of competitive vacant and/or units constructed in the past two years (2019/2020) is subtracted to calculate Net Demand. Vacancies in projects placed in service prior to 2019 which have not reached stabilized occupancy (i.e. at least 90% occupied) must also be considered as part of supply. GDCA requires analysts to include ALL projects that have been funded, are proposed for funding and/or received a bond allocation from GDCA, in the demand analysis, along with ALL conventional rental properties existing or planned in the market as outlined above. Competitive units are defined as those units that are of similar size and configuration and provide alternative housing to a similar tenant population, at rent levels comparative to those proposed for the subject development.

To determine the Net Supply number for each bedroom and income category, the analyst will prepare a Competitive Analysis Chart that will provide a unit breakdown of the competitive properties and list each unit type. All properties determined to be competitive with the proposed development will be included in the Supply Analysis to be used in determining Net Supply in the Primary Market Area. In cases where the analyst believes the projects are not competitive with the subject units, the analyst will include a detailed description for each property and unit type explaining why the units were excluded from the market supply calculation. (e.g., the property is on the periphery of the market area, is a market-rate property; or otherwise only partially compares to the proposed subject).

Within the Site PMA, we did not identify any rental units within the development pipeline that will directly compete with the subject project. In addition, we did not identify any competitive projects placed in service prior to 2019 that have not reached a stabilized occupancy of 90%.

The table on the following page is a summary of our demand calculations.



	Percent of Median Household Income				
	50% AMHI	60% AMHI	70% AMHI	Tax Credit Overall	
Demand Component (55+)	(\$12,450-\$24,250)	(\$15,450-\$29,100)	(\$18,450-\$33,950)	(\$12,450-\$33,950)	
Demand from New Households					
(Age- And Income-Appropriate)	189 - 191 = -2	208 - 209 = -1	190 - 190 = 0	292 - 294 = -2	
+					
Demand from Existing Households					
(Rent Overburdened)	191 X 76.1% = 145	209 X 67.0% = 140	190 X 60.2% = 115	294 X 66.9% = 196	
+					
Demand from Existing Households					
(Renters in Substandard Housing)	$191 \times 0.0\% = 0$	$209 \times 0.0\% = 0$	$190 \times 0.0\% = 0$	$294 \times 0.0\% = 0$	
=					
Demand Subtotal	143	139	115	194	
+					
Demand from Existing Homeowners					
(Elderly Homeowner Conversion)					
Cannot exceed 2%	2*	2*	2*	3*	
=					
Total Demand	145	141	117	197	
-					
Supply					
(Directly Comparable Units Built					
and/or Funded Since 2019)	0	0	0	0	
=					
Net Demand					
Proposed Units / Net Demand	20 / 145	28 / 141	8 / 117	56 / 197	
Capture Rate	= 13.8%	= 19.9%	= 6.8%	= 28.4%	

^{*}Given that demand from existing homeowners cannot exceed 2% of total demand, these numbers were utilized to calculate total demand

Per GDCA guidelines, projects in rural markets with an overall capture rate of 35% or below are considered acceptable. As such, the project's overall capture rate of 28.4% is considered achievable, especially considering the lack of available affordable non-subsidized housing within the market. In addition, we also anticipate a sufficient amount of support will originate from senior homeowners due to the lack of available non-subsidized senior housing for those ages 55 and older within or near the market area. However, per GDCA methodology, demand from senior homeowners is limited to 2% of total demand. We anticipate a greater percentage of support will generate from homeowners looking to downsize from their homes and seeking a maintenance free housing alternative, especially considering that incomequalified senior homeowners ages 55 and older do not have a rental housing option currently available to them. As such, the overall capture rate is considered conservative.

Based on the distribution of households by household size, our survey of conventional apartments and the distribution of bedroom types in balanced markets, the estimated shares of demand by bedroom type for the Site PMA are distributed as illustrated in the table on the following page.



Estimated Demand by Bedroom							
Bedroom Type	Percent						
One-Bedroom	50%						
Two-Bedroom	50%						
Total	100.0%						

Applying these shares to the income-qualified senior households, yields demand and capture rates for the proposed units by bedroom type and AMHI level as follows:

Bedroom Size (Share of Demand)	Target % of AMHI	Subject Units	Total Demand*	Supply**	Net Demand	Capture Rate	Absorption	Average Market Rent	Market Rents Band Min-Max	Subject Rents
One-Bedroom (50%)	50%	10	73	0	73	13.7%	< 2 Months	\$576	\$430-\$775	\$350
	60%	14	71	0	71	19.7%	2 Months	\$576	\$430-\$775	\$425
	70%	4	59	0	59	6.8%	< 1 Month	\$576	\$430-\$775	\$520
One-Bedroom	Total	28	99	0	99	28.3%	4 Months	\$576	\$430-\$775	\$412***
Two-Bedroom (50%)	50%	10	72	0	72	13.9%	< 2 Months	\$776	\$460-\$900	\$430
	60%	14	70	0	70	20.0%	2 Months	\$776	\$460-\$900	\$485
	70%	4	58	0	58	6.9%	< 1 Month	\$776	\$460-\$900	\$575
Two-Bedroom	Total	28	98	0	98	28.6%	4 Months	\$776	\$460-\$900	\$478***

^{*}Includes overlap between the targeted income levels at the subject site.

Average Market Rent is the weighted average collected rent reported at comparable market-rate properties as identified in Addendum E.

The capture rates by bedroom type and targeted income level range from 6.8% to 20.0%. Utilizing this methodology, these capture rates are considered achievable and demonstrate that a sufficient base of age- and income-appropriate household support exists in the Sylvester Site PMA for each of the unit types proposed at the subject development.



^{**}Directly comparable units built and/or funded in the project market over the projection period.

^{***}Weighted average

Section H – Rental Housing Analysis (Supply)

1. OVERVIEW OF RENTAL HOUSING

The distributions of the area housing stock within the Sylvester Site PMA in 2010 and 2020 (estimated) are summarized in the following table:

	2010 (0	Census)	2020 (Estimated)			
Housing Status	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Total-Occupied	5,912	90.3%	5,777	88.4%		
Owner-Occupied	4,035	68.3%	3,739	64.7%		
Renter-Occupied	1,877	31.7%	2,038	35.3%		
Vacant	635	9.7%	759	11.6%		
Total	6,547	100.0%	6,535	100.0%		

Source: 2010 Census; ESRI; Urban Decision Group; Bowen National Research

Based on a 2020 update of the 2010 Census, of the 6,535 total housing units in the market, 11.6% were vacant. In 2020, it was estimated that homeowners occupied 64.7% of all occupied housing units, while the remaining 35.3% were occupied by renters. The share of renters is considered typical for a rural market, such as the Sylvester Site PMA, and the current 2,038 renter households estimated in 2020 represent a sufficient base of potential support in the market for the subject development.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, we were unable to *physically* survey rental properties within the market. Therefore, our survey was conducted via telephone. Overall, we surveyed three conventional housing projects containing a total of 151 units within the Site PMA. This survey was conducted to establish the overall strength of the rental market and to identify those properties most comparable to the subject site. These rentals have a combined occupancy rate of 100.0%, a very strong rate for rental housing. The following table summarizes the surveyed rental projects within the market, broken out by project type:

Project Type		Projects Surveyed	Total Units	Vacant Units	Occupancy Rate
Market-rate/Tax Credit		1	30	0	100.0%
Government-Subsidized		2	121	0	100.0%
	Total	3	151	0	100.0%

All rental projects surveyed within the Site PMA are 100.0% occupied and maintain a waiting list, illustrating that pent-up demand exists for all types of rental housing in the market. The subject project will be able to accommodate a portion of this unmet demand.



In addition to the one property that offers Tax Credit units surveyed within the Sylvester Site PMA, we identified on development within the market that also offers Tax Credit units that we were unable to survey at the time this report was issued. Known details of this affordable project is summarized as follows:

• Paradise Estates Apartments is located at 752 West Pine Street in Sylvester. Built in 2011, this property offers 50 one-, two-, three- and four-bedroom apartments, 44 of which target households earning up to 30%, 50% and 60% of Area Median Household Income. The remaining six apartments are market-rate. Based on historical data obtained by Bowen National Research, this property was 100.0% occupied with an extensive waiting list in April 2019.

The following table summarizes the breakdown of market-rate and Tax Credit units surveyed within the Site PMA.

	Market-rate									
Bedroom	Baths	Units	Distribution	Vacancy	% Vacant	Median Gross Rent				
One-Bedroom	1.0	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	\$548				
Two-Bedroom	1.0	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	\$606				
Total Market-ra	ate	6	100.0%	100.0% 0 0.0%		-				
			Tax Credit, Non-Sub	sidized						
						Median Gross				
Bedroom	Baths	Units	Distribution	Vacancy	% Vacant	Rent				
One-Bedroom	1.0	9	37.5%	0	0.0%	\$468				
Two-Bedroom	1.0	15	62.5%	0	0.0%	\$591				
Total Tax Cred	lit	24	100.0%	0	0.0%	-				

As the preceding table illustrates, the median gross Tax Credit rents are below the corresponding median gross market-rate rents. As such, Tax Credit product likely represents good values to low-income renters within the market. This is further evidenced by the combined vacancy rate of 0.0% among all Tax Credit units surveyed within the Sylvester Site PMA.

2. SUMMARY OF ASSISTED PROJECTS

We surveyed a total of three federally subsidized or Tax Credit apartment developments in the Sylvester Site PMA. These projects were surveyed in May 2020 and are summarized in the table on the following page.



						(Unit Mix)				
Map		1	Year Built/	Total		One-	Two-			
I.D.	Project Name	Type	Renovated	Units	Оссир.	Br.	Br.			
1	Azalea Place Apts.	RD 515	1991	49	100.0%	\$312 - \$450 (42)	\$330 - \$471 (7)			
2	Fullerton Square	TAX	2008	24*	100.0%	\$468 - \$513 (9)	\$551 - \$591 (15)			
3	Kingsway Apts.	RD 515	1985	72	100.0%	\$304 - \$470 (24)	\$357 - \$545 (48)			
		•	Total	145	100.0%					

Note: Contact names and method of contact, as well as amenities and other features are listed in the phone survey

OCCUP. - Occupancy

The overall occupancy is 100.0% for these projects, indicating that pent-up demand exists for affordable rental housing within the market.

HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER HOLDERS

The following table identifies the existing non-subsidized property surveyed within the Site PMA that accepts Housing Choice Vouchers, as well as the approximate number and share of units occupied by residents utilizing Housing Choice Vouchers:

Map		Total	Number of	Share of
I.D.	Project Name	Units	Vouchers	Vouchers
1	Fullerton Square	24*	1	4.2%

^{*}Tax Credit units only

As the preceding table illustrates, only one unit is occupied by a Voucher holder at the one Tax Credit property surveyed within the market. This illustrates that support from Voucher holders is not significant and that most tenants residing at this property are paying non-subsidized rents.

If the rents do not exceed the payment standards established by the local/regional housing authority, households with Housing Choice Vouchers may be willing to reside at a Tax Credit project. Established by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs (GDCA), the payment standards for Worth County, as well as the proposed subject gross rents, are summarized in the following table:

Bedroom Type	Payment Standards	Proposed Tax Credit Gross Rents (AMHI)
One-Bedroom	\$654	\$445 (50%) \$520 (60%) \$615 (70%)
Two-Bedroom	\$777	\$551 (50%) \$606 (60%) \$696 (70%)

As the preceding table illustrates, the proposed gross rents are below the payment standards set by GDCA for Worth County. As such, those who hold Housing Choice Vouchers will likely respond to the subject development. This will likely increase the base of income-appropriate renter households within the Sylvester Site PMA for the subject development and has been considered in our absorption estimates in *Section I* of this report.



^{*}Market-rate units not included

3. PLANNED MULTIFAMILY DEVELOPMENT

Based on our interviews with local building and planning representatives, it was determined that there are no rental housing communities within the development pipeline in the Site PMA.

Building Permit Data

The following tables illustrate single-family and multifamily building permits issued within the city of Sylvester and Worth County for the past ten years:

Housing Unit Building Permits for Sylvester, GA:										
Permits	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Multifamily Permits	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Single-Family Permits	0	0	7	4	2	1	3	3	6	5
Total Units	51	0	7	4	2	1	3	3	6	5

Source: SOCDS Building Permits Database at http://socds.huduser.org/permits/index.html

Housing Unit Building Permits for Worth County:										
Permits 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019										
Multifamily Permits	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Single-Family Permits	18	23	19	16	13	14	17	26	36	31
Total Units	69	23	19	16	13	14	17	26	36	31

Source: SOCDS Building Permits Database at http://socds.huduser.org/permits/index.html

According to the SOCDS Building Permits Database, there have been a limited number of multifamily building permits issued in the city of Sylvester and Worth County since 2010. Given that the combined occupancy rate of the rental properties surveyed is 100.0% and the lack of multifamily permits issued, illustrates that there is likely a greater need for rental housing in the area.

4. SURVEY OF COMPARABLE/COMPETITIVE PROPERTIES

We identified one non-subsidized, age-restricted Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project within the market, Fullerton Square (Map ID 2). This project targets senior households with incomes up to 50% and 60% of AMHI and, therefore, is considered directly competitive with the subject development. Given the limited number of non-subsidized, age-restricted LIHTC product within the Sylvester Site PMA, we identified five additional age-restricted LIHTC projects outside of the Site PMA, but within the region in Albany and Tifton. It should be noted that these five projects are not considered competitive, as they derive demographic support from a different geographical area. As such, these properties have been included for comparison purposes only. The six competitive/comparable LIHTC properties and the proposed subject project are summarized in the table on the following page.



Map		Year	Total	Occ.	Distance	Waiting	
I.D.	Project Name	Built	Units	Rate	to Site	List	Target Market
							Seniors 55+; 50%, 60%
Site	Village at Paradise	2022	56	-	-	-	& 70% AMHI
							Seniors 55+; 50% & 60%
2	Fullerton Square	2008	24*	100.0%	1.9 Miles	20 HH	AMHI
							Seniors 62+; 50% & 60%
901	Albany Spring Senior Apts.	1995	80	100.0%	19.9 Miles	40 HH	AMHI
							Seniors 55+; 50% & 60%
904	Groves Place Apts.	2016	56	100.0%	21.5 Miles	160 HH	AMHI
							Seniors 55+; 50% & 60%
906	Pointe North Senior Village I	2015	59	100.0%	27.3 Miles	75 HH	AMHI
							Seniors 55+; 50% & 60%
907	Pointe North Senior Village II	2017	46	100.0%	27.3 Miles	75 HH	AMHI
						·	Seniors 55+; 30%, 50%,
909	West Haven Senior Village	2011	36*	100.0%	21.8 Miles	38 HH	& 60% AMHI

OCC. - Occupancy


900 series Map IDs are located outside of Site PMA

Each of the five comparable LIHTC projects are fully occupied and maintain a waiting list. This illustrates that pent-up demand exists for additional affordable agerestricted rental housing within the market and region. The subject project will be able to accommodate a portion of this unmet demand.

The map on the following page illustrates the location of the comparable Tax Credit properties relative to the proposed site location.



^{*}Tax Credit units only



The gross rents for the competing/comparable projects and the proposed rents at the subject site, as well as their unit mixes and vacancies by bedroom are listed in the following table:

		Gross Rent/Percent of AMHI (Number of Units/Vacancies)					
Map I.D.	Project Name	One- Br.	Two- Br.	Rent Special			
Site	Village at Paradise	\$445/50% (10) \$520/60% (14) \$615/70% (4)	\$551/50% (10) \$606/60% (14) \$696/70% (4)	-			
2	Fullerton Square	\$468/50% (6/0) \$513/60% (3/0)	\$551/50% (6/0) \$591/60% (9/0)	None			
901	Albany Spring Senior Apts.	\$501/50% (35/0) \$567/60% (5/0)	\$601/50% (35/0) \$682/60% (5/0)	None			
904	Groves Place Apts.	\$470/50% (7/0) \$503/60% (7/0)	\$560/50% (21/0) \$634/60% (21/0)	None			
906	Pointe North Senior Village I	\$502/50% (7/0) \$582/60% (7/0)	\$505/50% (24/0) \$662/60% (21/0)	None			
907	Pointe North Senior Village II	\$445/50% (11/0) \$542/60% (13/0)	\$533/50% (16/0) \$653/60% (6/0)	None			
909	West Haven Senior Village	=	\$337/30% (2/0) \$579/50% (8/0) \$671/60% (26/0)	None			

900 series Map IDs are located outside of Site PMA

The proposed subject gross rents set aside at 50% and 60% of AMHI, ranging from \$445 to \$606, will generally be within the range of LIHTC rents offered at the comparable LIHTC projects within the market and region targeting similar income levels. Given that all comparable LIHTC projects are fully occupied and maintain an extensive waitlist and the fact that the subject rents are competitively positioned, they are considered appropriate.

It should also be noted that the subject project will be the only LIHTC project to offer units set aside at 70% of AMHI. As such, the subject project will provide an affordable rental housing alternative to low-income seniors that are currently underserved within the Sylvester Site PMA. This will bode well for the demand of these subject unit types. While the rents proposed at these specific units are untested in the market, based on our capture rate analysis illustrated earlier in this report, there will be a good base of age- and income-appropriate households within the Sylvester Site PMA to support these units at the proposed rent levels.

The table on the following page illustrates the *collected* rents of the comparable LIHTC units by bedroom type and targeted income level within the *market*.



Collected Rents of Comparable LIHTC Units within Site PMA (AMHI)					
One-Br. Two-Br.					
\$350 (50%)	\$405 (50%)				
\$395 (60%)	\$445 (60%)				

The rent advantage for the proposed Tax Credit units is calculated as follows (collected LIHTC rent – proposed LIHTC rent) / proposed LIHTC rent).

Bedrooms	Weighted Avg. Rent (AMHI)	Proposed Rent (AMHI)	Difference	Proposed Rent (AMHI)	Rent Advantage
	\$350	- \$350 (50%)	\$0	/ \$350 (50%)	0.0%
One-Br.	\$395	- \$425 (60%)	-\$30	/ \$425 (60%)	-7.1%
	N/A	- \$520 (70%)	N/A	/ \$520 (70%)	N/A
	\$405	- \$430 (50%)	-\$25	/ \$430 (50%)	-5.8%
Two-Br.	\$445	- \$485 (60%)	-\$40	/ \$485 (60%)	-8.2%
	N/A	- \$575 (70%)	N/A	/ \$575 (70%)	N/A

N/A – Not Available; No comparable LIHTC units set aside at 70% AMHI identified within the market or immediate region

As the preceding table illustrates, the proposed rents represent no rent advantage. However, it should be noted that this analysis does not account for the subject project's newness and generally superior amenities package, which will enable it to charge higher rents. Therefore, caution must be used when drawing any conclusions. A complete analysis of the achievable market rent by bedroom type and the rent advantage of the proposed development's collected rents are available in Addendum E of this report.

The unit sizes (square footage) and number of bathrooms included in each of the different LIHTC unit types offered in the market and region are compared with the subject development in the following tables:

		Square Footage		
Map I.D.	Project Name	One- Br.	Two- Br.	
Site	Village at Paradise	689	893	
2	Fullerton Square	850	1,100	
901	Albany Spring Senior Apts.	660	840	
904	Groves Place Apts.	852	1,098	
906	Pointe North Senior Village I	719	950	
907	Pointe North Senior Village II	750	975	
909	West Haven Senior Village	-	1,200	

900 series Map IDs are located outside of Site PMA



		Number of Baths		
Map		One-	Two-	
I.D.	Project Name	Br.	Br.	
Site	Village at Paradise	1.0	1.0	
2	Fullerton Square	1.0	1.0	
901	Albany Spring Senior Apts.	1.0	1.0	
904	Groves Place Apts.	1.0	1.0	
906	Pointe North Senior Village I	1.0	1.0	
907	Pointe North Senior Village II	1.0	1.0	
909	West Haven Senior Village	=	2.0	

900 series Map IDs are located outside of Site PMA

The subject project will offer unit sizes (square feet) and number of bathrooms within the range of those offered at the comparable LIHTC projects within the market and region and are considered appropriately positioned.

The following tables compare the amenities of the subject development with the other LIHTC projects in the market and region.



00	comparable Property Amenities— Sylvester, Georgia							
		Tax Credit Unit Amenities by Map ID						
		Site**	2	901	904	906	907	909
	Dishwasher	X	X	701	Х	X	X	X
	Disposal	X	X	Х	X	X	X	X
es	Icemaker	X	X	Α	X	Λ	Λ	Λ
Appliances	Microwave	X	Х		X	Х	Х	Х
쁦	Range	X	Х	Х	X	X	X	X
Ap	Refrigerator	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	No Appliances	Λ		, A	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ
	AC-Central	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	AC-Other	Λ		, A	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ
	Balcony		Х		Х			
	Deck / Patio		X	Х	X		Х	Х
	Basement		Λ	Λ	Λ		Λ	Λ
	Ceiling Fan	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
es	Controlled Access	X	Λ	^	Λ	Λ	٨	
Ξ	E-Call System	٨	Х					Х
ne								۸
Ā	Fireplace							
Unit Amenities	Furnished							
_	Security System							
	Sunroom	V	V			V	. V	V
	W/D Hookup	Х	Χ		Х	Х	Х	Х
	W/D	.,						
	Walk-In Closet	Х						
	Window Treatments	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	Carpet	Х	Χ	X	Χ	Х		Х
	Ceramic Tile							
ng	Composite (VCT)(LVT)	Х		Х	Х			
ori	Hardwood					Х	Х	
Flooring	Finished Concrete							
	Vinyl	Χ	Χ					Х
	Wood Laminate / Plank		Χ	Х				
	Premium Appliances							
	Premium Countertops							
0	Premium Cabinetry							
de	Premium Fixtures							
Upgra	High Ceilings							
d	Vaulted Ceilings							
	Crown Molding							
	Oversized Windows							
Т	Attached Garage							
	Detached Garage							
س	Surface Lot	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
<u>اڃ</u> ٚ	Carport	Λ	Λ.			Λ	^	Λ.
Parking	Property Parking Garage							
٦	Podium Parking							
	No Provided Parking							
_	110 Frontice Farking							

X = All Units, S = Some Units, O = Optional with Fee

Bowen National Research H-10

^{**} Proposed Site(s): Village at Paradise

^{*} Details in Comparable Property Profile Report

Water Feature

Bowen National Research H-11

^{**} Proposed Site(s): Village at Paradise

X = All Units, S = Some Units, O = Optional with Fee

^{*} Details in Comparable Property Profile Report

As the preceding tables illustrate, the amenities package to be offered at the site is generally similar with those offered at the comparable LIHTC projects surveyed within the market and region. In fact, when compared to the one age-restricted LIHTC project within the *market*, while the subject development will be the only age-restricted LIHTC development to not include a patio/balcony within the units and fitness center as a community amenity, it will be the only age-restricted LIHTC project to include a microwave and walk-in closets within the units, and a community garden, multipurpose room, picnic area with grills and a supportive services package as project amenities. This will position the subject project at a slight market advantage.

Competitive/Comparable Tax Credit Summary

Based on our analysis of the proposed rents, unit sizes (square footage), amenities, location, quality and occupancy rates of the existing low-income properties within the market and region, it is our opinion that the proposed development will be marketable. This has been considered in our absorption projections.

Competitive Housing Impact

The anticipated occupancy rate of the one existing competitive age-restricted non-subsidized Tax Credit development in the market following the first year of completion at the subject site is as follows:

Map		Current	Anticipated Occupancy
I.D.	Project	Occupancy Rate	Rate Through 2022
2	Fullerton Square	100.0%	95.0%+

Given the lack of available affordable rental units within the market, we do not expect the subject development to have a significant adverse impact on the occupancy level of the one competitive age-restricted LIHTC project. We expect that this competitive property will operate above a 95.0% occupancy rate if the proposed subject site is developed.

5. SINGLE-FAMILY HOME IMPACT

According to ESRI, the median home value within the Site PMA was \$101,551. At an estimated interest rate of 4.5% and a 30-year term (and 95% LTV), the monthly mortgage for a \$101,551 home is \$611, including estimated taxes and insurance.

Buy Versus Rent Analysis				
Median Home Price - ESRI	\$101,551			
Mortgaged Value = 95% of Median Home Price	\$96,473			
Interest Rate - Bankrate.com	4.5%			
Term	30			
Monthly Principal & Interest	\$489			
Estimated Taxes and Insurance*	\$122			
Estimated Monthly Mortgage Payment	\$611			

^{*}Estimated at 25% of principal and interest



In comparison, the collected Tax Credit rents at the subject property range from \$350 to \$575 per month, depending on unit size and targeted income level. Therefore, the cost of a monthly mortgage for a typical home in the area is \$36 to \$261 greater than renting at the subject site. While some tenants may choose to purchase a home, the number of tenants who would be able to afford the down payment is considered minimal. In addition, with a median home price of \$101,551, the majority of the housing stock consists of older single-family homes that would likely require greater maintenance and corresponding costs. Further, homes at the aforementioned price point are not likely to include a comprehensive amenities package, such as that offered at the proposed development. Lastly, as the proposed subject project will target senior households, we expect some support from elderly homeowners downsizing from their homes and seeking a maintenance-free housing alternative. Therefore, we do not anticipate any competitive impact on or from the homebuyer market.



Section I – Absorption & Stabilization Rates

For the purposes of this analysis, we assume the absorption period at the site begins as soon as the first units are available for occupancy. Since all demand calculations in this report follow GDCA guidelines that assume a 2022 completion date for the site, we also assume that initial units at the site will be available for rent sometime in 2022.

Considering the facts contained in the market study and comparing them with other projects with similar characteristics in other markets, we are able to establish absorption projections for the subject development. Our absorption projections take into consideration the lack of available LIHTC units in the market, the required capture rate, achievable market rents, the demand for all affordable rental housing and the proposed competitiveness of the subject site. Our absorption projections also take into consideration that the developer and/or management successfully markets the project throughout all areas of the Site PMA.

Based on our analysis, it is our opinion that the proposed 56 LIHTC units at the subject site will reach a stabilized occupancy of at least 93.0% within approximately seven months. This absorption period is based on an average monthly absorption of approximately seven units per month.

These absorption projections assume a June 2022 opening date. An earlier or later opening date may have a slowing impact on the absorption potential for the subject project. Further, these absorption projections assume the project will be built as outlined in this report. Changes to the project's rents, amenities, floor plans, location or other features may invalidate our findings. Finally, we assume the developer and/or management will aggressively market the project a few months in advance of its opening and continue to monitor market conditions during the project's initial lease-up period. Note that Voucher support has also been considered in determining these absorption projections and that these absorption projections may vary depending upon the amount of Voucher support the subject development ultimately receives.



Section J – Interviews

The following are summaries of interviews conducted with various local sources regarding the need for affordable housing within the Sylvester Site PMA.

- Karen Rackley, President and CEO of the Sylvester-Worth County Chamber of Commerce, stated that there is a need for more affordable housing for seniors in the community due to the aging population. Ms. Rackley explained that the city and county have been proactive identifying and addressing the needs of the community, which includes their attention to affordable housing. However, she stated that there are very few senior complexes currently in the community.
- Betty Sue Story is a Leasing Agent at Fullerton Square (Map ID 2), a 30-unit senior
 Tax Credit property that also rents market-rate units. Ms. Story stated that there is
 "absolutely" additional demand for senior Tax Credit units in the Sylvester market.
 Ms. Story further explained that she has a waiting list of 20 households for the next
 available unit, with a typical wait time of two years once a tenant goes on the waiting
 list.



Section K – Conclusions & Recommendations

Based on the findings reported in our market study, it is our opinion that a market exists for the 56 Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) units proposed at the subject site, assuming it is developed as detailed in this report. Changes in the project's site, rents, amenities or opening date may alter these findings.

The Sylvester rental housing market is performing at a very strong occupancy rate of 100.0%. Notably, all rental properties surveyed in the market maintain waiting lists of between 20 to 245 households, indicating that significant pent-up demand exists for all types of rental housing. The subject project will be able to accommodate a portion of this unmet demand. It should also be noted that the subject development will be the only LIHTC project within the market to offer units set aside at 70% of Area Median Household Income (AMHI). This will position the subject development at a market advantage, as it will provide an affordable rental housing alternative to low- to moderate-income senior households that are currently underserved within the Sylvester Site PMA.

The subject project will be marketable in terms of rents, unit sizes and amenities offered. In fact, the subject development will be 14 years newer than the one age-restricted LIHTC project within the Sylvester Site PMA, Fullerton Square (Map ID 2), and will offer a superior amenities package which includes a microwave and walk-in closets in every unit and project amenities such as a common patio, community garden, multipurpose room, picnic area with grills and social services package. This will bode well for the demand of the subject units.

The overall required capture rate of 28.4% for the subject's LIHTC units is considered achievable and is below GDCA's capture rate threshold of 35% for developments located within rural markets. This demonstrates that a sufficient base of potential incomeappropriate senior household support exists for the subject project within the Sylvester Site PMA.

Based on the preceding analysis and facts contained within this report, we believe the proposed subject development is marketable within the Sylvester Site PMA, as proposed. We do not have any recommendations or modifications to the subject development at this time.



Section L - Signed Statement

I affirm that I have made a physical inspection of the market area and the subject property and that information has been used in the full study regarding the need and demand for new rental units. To the best of my knowledge, the market can support the demand shown in the study. I understand that any misrepresentation of this statement may result in the denial of further participation in the Georgia Department of Community Affairs (GDCA) rental housing programs. I also affirm that I have no interest in the project or any relationship with the ownership entity and my compensation is not contingent on this project being funded. This report was written in accordance with my understanding of the GDCA market study manual and GDCA Qualified Action Plan.

Jack Wiseman Market Analyst

jackw@bowennational.com

Date: June 10, 2020

Christopher Bunch

Market Analyst

christopherb@bowennational.com

Date: June 10, 2020

Patrick M. Bowen

President/Market Analyst

Bowen National Research

155 E. Columbus St., Suite 220

Pickerington, OH 43147

(614) 833-9300

patrickb@bowennational.com

Date: June 10, 2020



Section M – Market Study Representation

The Georgia Department of Community Affairs (GDCA) may rely on the representation made in the market study and that the market study is assignable to other lenders that are parties to the GDCA loan transaction.



Section N - Qualifications

The Company

Bowen National Research employs an expert staff to ensure that each market study is of the utmost quality. Each staff member has hands-on experience evaluating sites and comparable properties, analyzing market characteristics and trends, and providing realistic recommendations and conclusions. The Bowen National Research staff has the expertise to provide the answers for your development.

Company Leadership

Patrick Bowen is the President of Bowen National Research. He has prepared and supervised thousands of market feasibility studies for all types of real estate products, including affordable family and senior housing, multifamily market-rate housing and student housing, since 1996. He has also prepared various studies for submittal as part of HUD 221(d)(3) & (4), HUD 202 developments and applications for housing for Native Americans. He has also conducted studies and provided advice to city, county and state development entities as it relates to residential development, including affordable and market rate housing, for both rental and for-sale housing. Mr. Bowen has worked closely with many state and federal housing agencies to assist them with their market study guidelines. Mr. Bowen has his bachelor's degree in legal administration (with emphasis on business and law) from the University of West Florida.

Desireé Johnson is the Director of Operations for Bowen National Research. Ms. Johnson is responsible for all client relations, the procurement of work contracts, and the overall supervision and day-to-day operations of the company. She has been involved in the real estate market research industry since 2006. Ms. Johnson has an Associate of Applied Science in Office Administration from Columbus State Community College.

Market Analysts

Christopher T. Bunch, Market Analyst has over ten years of professional experience in real estate, including five years of experience in the real estate market research field. Mr. Bunch is responsible for preparing market feasibility studies for a variety of clients. Mr. Bunch earned a bachelor's degree in Geography with a concentration in Urban and Regional Planning from Ohio University in Athens, Ohio.

Lisa Goff, Market Analyst, has conducted site-specific analyses in both rural and urban markets throughout the country. She is also experienced in the day-to-day operation and financing of Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and subsidized properties, which gives her a unique understanding of the impact of housing development on current market conditions.



Ambrose Lester, Market Analyst, has conducted detailed research and analysis on a variety of residential alternatives, including rental and for-sale housing. She has conducted on-site research of buildable sites, surveyed existing rental and for-sale housing and conducted numerous stakeholder interviews. She has also conducted research on unique housing issues such as accessory dwelling units, government policy and programs and numerous special needs populations. Ms. Lester has a degree in Economics from Franciscan University of Steubenville.

Sidney McCrary, Market Analyst, is experienced in the on-site analysis of residential and commercial properties. He has the ability to analyze a site's location in relation to community services, competitive properties and the ease of access and visibility. Mr. McCrary has a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration from Ohio Dominican University.

Jeff Peters, Market Analyst, has conducted on-site inspection and analysis for rental properties throughout the country since 2014. He is familiar with multiple types of rental housing programs, the day-to-day interaction with property managers and leasing agents and the collection of pertinent property details. Mr. Peters graduated from The Ohio State University with a Bachelor of Arts in Economics.

Gregory Piduch, Market Analyst, has conducted site-specific analyses in both metro and rural areas throughout the country. He is familiar with multiple types of rental housing programs, the day-to-day interaction with property managers and leasing agents and the collection of pertinent property details. Mr. Piduch holds a Bachelor of Arts in Communication and Rhetoric from the University of Albany, State University of New York and a Master of Professional Studies in Sports Industry Management from Georgetown University.

Ron Pompey, Market Analyst, has surveyed both urban and rural markets throughout the country. He is trained to understand the nuances of various rental housing programs and their construction and is experienced in the collection of rental housing data from leasing agents, property managers, and other housing experts within the market. Mr. Pompey has a Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering from the University of Florida.

Craig Rupert, Market Analyst, has conducted market analysis in both urban and rural markets throughout the United States since 2010. Mr. Rupert is experienced in the evaluation of multiple types of housing programs, including market-rate, Tax Credit and various government subsidies and uses this knowledge and research to provide both qualitative and quantitative analysis. Mr. Rupert has a degree in Hospitality Management from Youngstown State University.

Nathan Stelts, Market Analyst, is experienced in the assessment of housing operating under various programs throughout the country, as well as other development alternatives. He is also experienced in evaluating projects in the development pipeline and economic trends. Mr. Stelts has a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration from Bowling Green State University.



Jack Wiseman, Market Analyst, has conducted extensive market research in over 200 markets throughout the United States since 2007. He provides thorough evaluation of site attributes, area competitors, market trends, economic characteristics and a wide range of issues impacting the viability of real estate development. He has evaluated market conditions for a variety of real estate alternatives, including affordable and market-rate apartments, retail and office establishments, student housing, and a variety of senior residential alternatives. Mr. Wiseman has a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from Miami University.

Research Staff

Bowen National Research employs a staff of in-house researchers who are experienced in the surveying and evaluation of all rental and for-sale housing types, as well as in conducting interviews and surveys with city officials, economic development offices, chambers of commerce, housing authorities and residents.


June Davis, Office Manager of Bowen National Research, has been in the market feasibility research industry since 1988. Ms. Davis has overseen production on over 20,000 market studies for projects throughout the United States.

Stephanie Viren is the Research and Travel Coordinator at Bowen National Research. Ms. Viren focuses on collecting detailed data concerning housing conditions in various markets throughout the United States. Ms. Viren has extensive interviewing skills and experience and also possesses the expertise necessary to conduct surveys of diverse pools of respondents regarding population and housing trends, housing marketability, economic development and other socioeconomic issues relative to the housing industry. Ms. Viren's professional specialty is condominium and senior housing research. Ms. Viren earned a Bachelor of Arts in Business Administration from Heidelberg University.

Kelly Wiseman, Research Specialist Director, has significant experience in the evaluation and surveying of housing projects operating under a variety of programs. In addition, she has conducted numerous interviews with experts throughout the country, including economic development, planning, housing authorities and other stakeholders.



ADDENDUM A: PHONE SURVEY OF **CONVENTIONAL RENTALS**



Map ID — Sylvester, Georgia

	Map	Droporty	Prop	Quality	Year	Total	Vocant	Occ.	Distance
	ID	Property	Type	Rating	Built	Units	Vacant	Rate	To Site*
♦	1	Azalea Place Apts.	GSS	C+	1991	49	0	100.0%	3.1
\	2	Fullerton Square	MRT	В	2008	30	0	100.0%	1.9
	3	Kingsway Apts.	GSS	B-	1985	72	0	100.0%	2.8
\	901	Albany Spring Senior Apts.	TAX	B-	1995	80	0	100.0%	19.9
V	902	Casey's Court Apts.	MRR	B+	2019	56	0	100.0%	20.9
V	903	Groves Apts.	MRT	B+	2006	96	0	100.0%	21.5
\	904	Groves Place Apts.	TAX	B+	2016	56	0	100.0%	21.5
V	905	Huntington Apts.	MRR	C+	1986	56	2	96.4%	23.7
\	906	Pointe North Senior Village I	TAX	А	2015	59	0	100.0%	27.3
\	907	Pointe North Senior Village II	TAX	А	2017	46	0	100.0%	27.3
V	908	Tifton Apts.	MRR	В	2014	68	1	98.5%	21.1
\	909	West Haven Senior Village	MRT	А	2011	40	0	100.0%	21.8
V	910	Westbury Place	MRR	B-	1989	78	0	100.0%	21.9

*Drive distance in miles

Survey Date: May 2020



Properties Surveyed — Sylvester, Georgia Survey Date: May 2020 Contact: Mary Russell Azalea Place Apts. 698 Sumner St., Sylvester, GA 31791 Phone: (229) 776-7621 Total Units: 49 UC: 0 Stories: 1 Year Built: 1991 Occupancy: 100.0% BR: 1, 2 Vacant Units: 0 Waitlist: 67 HH; AR Year: Target Population: Senior 62+ Yr Renovated: Rent Special: Notes: RD 515, has RA (42 units); Does not accept HCV Contact: Ms. Tracy **Fullerton Square** 1107 N. Isabella St., Sylvester, GA 31791 Phone: (229) 777-8074 Total Units: 30 100.0% Stories: 3 w/Elevator Year Built: 2008 Occupancy: BR: 1, 2 Vacant Units: 0 Waitlist: 20 HH: AR Year: Target Population: Senior 55+ Yr Renovated: Rent Special: Notes: Market-Rate (6 units); Tax Credit (24 units); HCV (1 unit) Contact: Mary Russell Kingsway Apts. 3 1005 E. Franklin St., Sylvester, GA 31791 Phone: (229) 776-7621 Total Units: 72 UC: 0 Occupancy: 100.0% Stories: 2 Vacant Units: 0 Waitlist: 245 HH; BR: 1, 2 Target Population: Family Rent Special: Notes: RD 515, has RA (8 units); Does not accept HCV

Year Built: 1985 AR Year:

Yr Renovated:

Albany Spring Senior Apts. 1601 Radium Springs Rd., Albany, GA 31705

BR: 1, 2 Target Population: Senior 62+

Total Units: 80

Occupancy: 100.0% Vacant Units: 0

Waitlist: 40 HH;

Stories: 1

Contact: Barbara

Contact: Andrea

Phone: (229) 438-9100 Year Built: 1995

> AR Year: Yr Renovated:

Rent Special:

Notes: Tax Credit; HCV (40 units); HOME Funds (38 units)

Casey's Court Apts.

1665 Carpenter Rd, Tifton, GA 31793



Total Units: 56

UC: 24

Occupancy: 100.0%

Stories: 2

Phone: (229) 338-7946

Year Built: 2019 AR Year:

Target Population: Family

Vacant Units: 0 Waitlist: 12 HH:

Yr Renovated:

Rent Special:

BR: 1, 2, 3

Notes: Does not accept HCV; 24 1-br units UC, expect completion Fall 2020

Comparable Property (TIG) Tax Credit, Income Restricted (not LIHTC) & Govt Subsidized (MIG) Market-Rate, Income Restricted (not LIHTC) & Govt Subsidized Senior Restricted (TAX) Tax Credit (INR) Income Restricted (not LIHTC) (MRR) Market-Rate (TGS) Tax Credit & Govt Subsidized (ING) Income Restricted (not LIHTC) & Govt Subsidized (MRT) Market-Rate & Tax Credit (GSS) Govt Subsidized (MRG) Market-Rate & Govt Subsidized (TIN) Tax Credit & Income Restricted (not LIHTC) (TMG) Tax Credit, Market-Rate & Govt Subsidized (MIN) Market-Rate & Income Restricted (not LIHTC) (ALL) Tax Credit, Market-Rate, Govt Subsidized & Income Restricted

903

904

906

Groves Apts. 2826 Rainwater Rd., Tifton, GA 31793

Total Units: 96 UC: 0

Occupancy: 100.0%

0

Vacant Units:

Stories: 2,3 Waitlist: 657 HH; Year Built: 2006

AR Year: Yr Renovated:

Survey Date: May 2020

BR: 1, 2, 3 Target Population: Family

Notes: Market-rate (24 units); Tax Credit (72 units); Accepts HCV (0 currently)

Groves Place Apts. 2840 Rainwater Rd, Tifton, GA 31793

Total Units: 56

100.0% Occupancy:

Phone: (229) 396-5599 Stories: 3

w/Elevator

Year Built: 2016

BR: 1, 2 Target Population: Senior 55+ Vacant Units: 0

Waitlist: 160 HH:

AR Year:

Yr Renovated:

Rent Special:

Notes: Tax Credit; HOME Funds; HCV (1 unit)

UC: 0

Huntington Apts.

111 E 20th St, Tifton, GA 31794

Pointe North Senior Village I

2703 Pointe North Blvd., Albany, GA 31707

Contact: Gloria

Contact: Becky

Phone: (229) 386-8425

Contact: Candance

Phone: (229) 388-1283

Total Units: 56 BR: 1, 2

UC: 0

Occupancy: 96.4% Vacant Units: 2

Stories: 2 Waitlist:

Year Built: 1986

AR Year: Yr Renovated:

Target Population: Family

Rent Special:

Notes: Does not accept HCV

Contact: Amy

Phone: (229) 420-3775

Total Units: 59

BR: 1, 2

Vacant Units: 0

Occupancy: 100.0%

Stories: 3

w/Elevator

Year Built: 2015

Target Population: Senior 55+

Rent Special:

Notes: Tax Credit; HCV (4 units)

Waitlist: 75 HH;

AR Year:

Yr Renovated:

Pointe North Senior Village II 907 3025 N Pointe N Blvd., Albany, GA 31707

Contact: Amy

Phone: (229) 420-3775

Total Units: 46

UC: 0

Occupancy: 100.0%

Stories: 1

Year Built: 2017

Target Population: Senior 55+

Vacant Units: 0 Waitlist: 75 HH:

AR Year: Yr Renovated:

Rent Special:

BR: 1, 2

Notes: Tax Credits; HCV (5 units); Preleasing 1/2017, opened 5/2017

Comparable Property

Senior Restricted

(MRR) Market-Rate

(MRT) Market-Rate & Tax Credit

(MRG) Market-Rate & Govt Subsidized

(MIN) Market-Rate & Income Restricted (not LIHTC)

(MIG) Market-Rate, Income Restricted (not LIHTC) & Govt Subsidized

(TAX) Tax Credit

(TGS) Tax Credit & Govt Subsidized

(TIN) Tax Credit & Income Restricted (not LIHTC) (TMG) Tax Credit, Market-Rate & Govt Subsidized (TIG) Tax Credit, Income Restricted (not LIHTC) & Govt Subsidized

(INR) Income Restricted (not LIHTC)

(ING) Income Restricted (not LIHTC) & Govt Subsidized

(GSS) Govt Subsidized

(ALL) Tax Credit, Market-Rate, Govt Subsidized & Income Restricted

Tifton Apts. 908 101 Oaks Forest Ln., Tifton, GA 31793

Total Units: 68

Occupancy: 98.5% Vacant Units: 1

Stories: 2 Waitlist:

Phone: (229) 386-2066 Year Built: 2014

Survey Date: May 2020

AR Year:

Yr Renovated:

Target Population: Family

BR: 2.3

Notes: Does not accept HCV; Rent range due to renovated units

UC: 0

West Haven Senior Village 909

2760 E. B. Hamilton Dr., Tifton, GA 31793

Contact: Carla

Contact: Laura

Phone: (229) 382-2181

Contact: Stephanie

Target Population: Senior 55+

Total Units: 40 BR: 2

Occupancy: 100.0% Vacant Units: 0

Stories: 1 Waitlist: 38 HH: Year Built: 2011

AR Year: Yr Renovated:

Rent Special:

Notes: Market-rate (4 units); Tax credit (36 units); HCV (2 units)

Westbury Place 910

311 S. Virginia Ave., Tifton, GA 31794

BR: 1

Total Units: 78 UC: 0

Occupancy: 100.0%

Vacant Units: 0

Phone: (229) 382-2142 Stories: 2

Waitlist: 25 HH;

Year Built: 1989

AR Year:

Target Population: Family

Rent Special:

Notes: Accepts HCV (0 currently)

Yr Renovated: 2003

Comparable Property Senior Restricted (MRR) Market-Rate (MRT) Market-Rate & Tax Credit (MRG) Market-Rate & Govt Subsidized

(TIG) Tax Credit, Income Restricted (not LIHTC) & Govt Subsidized (MIG) Market-Rate, Income Restricted (not LIHTC) & Govt Subsidized (TAX) Tax Credit (INR) Income Restricted (not LIHTC) (TGS) Tax Credit & Govt Subsidized (ING) Income Restricted (not LIHTC) & Govt Subsidized (GSS) Govt Subsidized (TIN) Tax Credit & Income Restricted (not LIHTC) (TMG) Tax Credit, Market-Rate & Govt Subsidized (ALL) Tax Credit, Market-Rate, Govt Subsidized & Income Restricted (MIN) Market-Rate & Income Restricted (not LIHTC)

Source: Georgia Department of Community Affairs Effective: 01/2020

Monthly Dollar Allowances

				Gar	den		
		0 BR	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	5 BR
	Natural Gas	6	9	11	14	17	20
	+Base Charge	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heating	Bottled Gas	22	32	37	47	60	70
Heating	Electric	8	12	15	18	24	27
	Heat Pump	4	4	5	6	8	9
	Oil	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Natural Gas	2	3	4	5	6	7
Cooking	Bottled Gas	12	15	20	25	30	35
Cooking	Electric	5	7	9	11	15	17
Other Electric		15	21	27	33	42	48
	+Base Charge	0	0	0	0	0	0
Air Conditioning		8	10	13	16	19	21
	Natural Gas	3	5	7	9	10	12
Water Heating	Bottled Gas	12	17	25	30	35	45
Water Heating	Electric	9	14	18	23	28	33
	Oil	0	0	0	0	0	0
Water		19	19	24	29	35	40
Sewer		20	20	25	30	36	41
Trash Collection		15	15	15	15	15	15
Internet*		20	20	20	20	20	20
Cable*		20	20	20	20	20	20
Alarm Monitorino	g*	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Town	home		
0 BR	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	5 BR
7	10	12	15	19	22
0	0	0	0	0	0
25	35	42	52	65	74
9	13	16	20	26	29
4	4	5	6	8	9
0	0	0	0	0	0
2	3	4	5	6	7
12	15	20	25	30	35
5	7	9	11	15	17
15	21	27	33	42	48
0	0	0	0	0	0
8	12	15	18	24	28
3	5	7	9	10	12
12	17	25	30	35	45
9	14	18	23	28	33
0	0	0	0	0	0
19	19	24	29	35	40
20	20	25	30	36	41
15	15	15	15	15	15
20	20	20	20	20	20
20	20	20	20	20	20
0	0	0	0	0	0

^{*} Estimated- not from source

ADDENDUM B: **COMPARABLE** PROPERTY PROFILES

Fullerton Square 1.9 miles to site



Address: 1107 N. Isabella St., Sylvester, GA 31791 Phone: (229) 777-8074 Contact: Ms. Tracy

Property Type: Market Rate, Tax Credit

Target Population: Senior 55+

Total Units: 30 Year Built: 2008 Ratings
Vacant Units: 0 *AR Year: Quality: B
Occupancy: 100.0% Yr Renovated: Neighborhood: B
Turnover: Stories: 3 (w/Elev) Access/Visibility: B/B

Survey Date: May 2020

Waitlist: 20 HH; Rent Special:

Notes: Market-Rate (6 units); Tax Credit (24 units); HCV (1 unit)

Features And Utilities

Utility Schedule Provided by: Georgia Department of Community Affairs

Utility Type & Responsibility: No landlord paid utilities;

Unit Amenities: Dishwasher; Disposal; Icemaker; Range; Refrigerator; Central AC; Balcony; Deck / Patio; Ceiling Fan; E-Call System; W/D Hookup; Window Treatments; Flooring (Carpet, Vinyl, Wood Laminate / Plank)

Property Amenities: Business Center (Computer); Community Room; Gazebo; Elevator; Laundry Room; On-Site Management; Recreation Areas (Fitness Center, Picnic Table / Area)

Parking Type: Surface Lot

	Unit Configuration										
Beds	Baths	Туре	Units	Vacant	Sq Ft	\$ / Sq Ft	Collected Rent	AMHI			
1	1	G	3	0	850	\$0.51	\$430	0%			
1	1	G	6	0	850	\$0.41	\$350	50%			
1	1	G	3	0	850	\$0.46	\$395	60%			
2	1	G	3	0	1,100	\$0.42	\$460	0%			
2	1	G	6	0	1,100	\$0.37	\$405	50%			
2	1	G	9	0	1,100	\$0.40	\$445	60%			

* Adaptive Reuse

Survey Date: May 2020 19.9 miles to site

901 Albany Spring Senior Apts.



Address: 1601 Radium Springs Rd., Albany, GA 31705 Phone: (229) 438-9100 Contact: Barbara

Property Type: Tax Credit Target Population: Senior 62+

Total Units: 80 Year Built: 1995 Ratings
Vacant Units: 0 *AR Year: Quality: BOccupancy: 100.0% Yr Renovated: Neighborhood: C
Turnover: Stories: 1 Access/Visibility: B/B

Waitlist: 40 HH; Rent Special:

Notes: Tax Credit; HCV (40 units); HOME Funds (38 units)

Features And Utilities

Utility Schedule Provided by: Georgia Department of Community Affairs

Utility Type & Responsibility: Landlord pays Electric, Heat (Natural Gas), Hot Water (Natural Gas), Cooking (Natural Gas), Water, Sewer, Trash

Unit Amenities: Disposal; Range; Refrigerator; Central AC; Deck / Patio; Ceiling Fan; Window Treatments; Flooring (Carpet, Composite, Wood Laminate / Plank)

Property Amenities: Community Gardens; Activity-Craft Room, Community Room; Laundry Room; On-Site Management; Gated Community

Parking Type: Surface Lot

					Unit Configurat	tion		
Beds	Baths	Туре	Units	Vacant	Sq Ft	\$ / Sq Ft	Collected Rent	AMHI
1	1	G	35	0	660	\$0.76	\$501	50%
1	1	G	5	0	660	\$0.86	\$567	60%
2	1	G	35	0	840	\$0.72	\$601	50%
2	1	G	5	0	840	\$0.81	\$682	60%

* Adaptive Reuse

902 Casey's Court Apts.

20.9 miles to site

Survey Date: May 2020



Address: 1665 Carpenter Rd, Tifton, GA 31793
Phone: (229) 338-7946 Contact: Andrea

Property Type: Market Rate Target Population: Family

Total Units: 56 Year Built: 2019 Ratings
Vacant Units: 0 *AR Year: Quality: B+
Occupancy: 100.0% Yr Renovated: Neighborhood: B
Turnover: Stories: 2 Access/Visibility: B/B

Waitlist: 12 HH; Rent Special:

Notes: Does not accept HCV; 24 1-br units UC, expect completion Fall 2020

Features And Utilities

Utility Schedule Provided by: Georgia Department of Community Affairs Utility Type & Responsibility: Landlord pays Water, Sewer, Trash

Unit Amenities: Dishwasher; Disposal; Microwave; Range; Refrigerator; Central AC; Controlled Access; Walk-In Closet; Window Treatments; Flooring (Carpet, Vinyl); Premium Appliances; Premium Countertops

Property Amenities: On-Site Management; Recreation Areas (Outdoor Swimming Pool)

Parking Type: Surface Lot

					Unit Configurat	tion		
Beds	Baths	Туре	Units	Vacant	Sq Ft	\$ / Sq Ft	Collected Rent	AMHI
1	1	G	0	0	800	\$0.97	\$775	0%
2	1	G	48	0	990	\$0.91	\$900	0%
3	1	G	8	0	1,370	\$0.78	\$1,073	0%

* Adaptive Reuse

903 Groves Apts. 21.5 miles to site



Address: 2826 Rainwater Rd., Tifton, GA 31793
Phone: (229) 388-1283 Contact: Candance

Property Type: Market Rate, Tax Credit

Target Population: Family

Total Units: 96 Year Built: 2006 Ratings
Vacant Units: 0 *AR Year: Quality: B+
Occupancy: 100.0% Yr Renovated: Neighborhood: B
Turnover: Stories: 2,3 Access/Visibility: B+/A

Survey Date: May 2020

Waitlist: 657 HH; Rent Special:

Notes: Market-rate (24 units); Tax Credit (72 units); Accepts HCV (0 currently)

Features And Utilities

Utility Schedule Provided by: Georgia Department of Community Affairs

Utility Type & Responsibility: Landlord pays Trash

The Groves

Unit Amenities: Dishwasher; Icemaker; Range; Refrigerator; Central AC; Balcony; Deck / Patio; Ceiling Fan; W/D Hookup; Window Treatments; Flooring (Carpet, Vinyl)

Property Amenities: Business Center (Computer, Copy, Fax); Clubhouse; Laundry Room; On-Site Management; Recreation Areas (Basketball, Fitness Center, Grill, Picnic Table / Area, Playground, Outdoor Swimming Pool); Extra Storage

Parking Type: Surface Lot

					Unit Configurat	tion		
Beds	Baths	Туре	Units	Vacant	Sq Ft	\$ / Sq Ft	Collected Rent	AMHI
1	1	G	6	0	857	\$0.63	\$540	0%
1	1	G	6	0	857	\$0.22	\$186	30%
1	1	G	6	0	857	\$0.44	\$380	50%
1	1	G	6	0	857	\$0.47	\$407	60%
2	2	G	12	0	1,137	\$0.54	\$615	0%
2	2	G	12	0	1,137	\$0.20	\$225	30%
2	2	G	12	0	1,137	\$0.39	\$446	50%
2	2	G	12	0	1,137	\$0.39	\$449	60%
3	2	G	6	0	1,270	\$0.54	\$690	0%
3	2	G	6	0	1,270	\$0.21	\$264	30%
3	2	G	6	0	1,270	\$0.40	\$511	50%

* Adaptive Reuse Continued on Next Page

903					Unit Configuration	n- cont.		
Beds	Baths	Туре	Units	Vacant	Sq Ft	\$ / Sq Ft	Collected Rent	AMHI
3	2	G	6	0	1,270	\$0.43	\$546	60%

Survey Date: May 2020

O4 Groves Place Apts. 21.5 miles to site



Address: 2840 Rainwater Rd, Tifton, GA 31793
Phone: (229) 396-5599 Contact: Becky

Property Type: Tax Credit Target Population: Senior 55+

Total Units: 56 Year Built: 2016 Ratings
Vacant Units: 0 *AR Year: Quality: B+
Occupancy: 100.0% Yr Renovated: Neighborhood: B
Turnover: Stories: 3 (w/Elev) Access/Visibility: B/B

Survey Date: May 2020

Waitlist: 160 HH; Rent Special:

Notes: Tax Credit; HOME Funds; HCV (1 unit)

Features And Utilities

Utility Schedule Provided by: Georgia Department of Community Affairs

Utility Type & Responsibility: Landlord pays Trash

Unit Amenities: Dishwasher; Disposal; Icemaker; Microwave; Range; Refrigerator; Central AC; Balcony; Deck / Patio; Ceiling Fan; W/D Hookup; Window Treatments; Flooring (Carpet, Composite)

Property Amenities: Business Center (Computer); Gazebo; Elevator; Laundry Room; On-Site Management; Recreation Areas (Fitness Center, Grill, Picnic Table / Area); Social Services (Classes, Health Screenings, Meals on Wheels, Parties / Picnics, Social Services Coordinator, Transportation); Extra Storage

Parking Type: Surface Lot

					Unit Configurat	tion		
Beds	Baths	Туре	Units	Vacant	Sq Ft	\$ / Sq Ft	Collected Rent	AMHI
1	1	G	7	0	852	\$0.43	\$367	50%
1	1	G	7	0	852	\$0.47	\$400	60%
2	1	G	21	0	1,098	\$0.39	\$429	50%
2	1	G	21	0	1,098	\$0.46	\$503	60%

* Adaptive Reuse

Survey Date: May 2020 23.7 miles to site



Address: 111 E 20th St, Tifton, GA 31794 Phone: (229) 386-8425 Contact: Gloria

Property Type: Market Rate Target Population: Family

Total Units: 56 Year Built: 1986 Ratings Vacant Units: 2 *AR Year: Quality: C+ Occupancy: 96.4% Neighborhood: B Yr Renovated: Turnover: Stories: 2 Access/Visibility: B/B+

Waitlist: Rent Special:

Notes: Does not accept HCV

Features And Utilities

Utility Schedule Provided by: Georgia Department of Community Affairs Utility Type & Responsibility: Landlord pays Water, Sewer, Trash

Unit Amenities: Dishwasher; Icemaker; Range; Refrigerator; Central AC; Deck / Patio; Fireplace; W/D Hookup; W/D; Window Treatments; Flooring (Wood Laminate / Plank)

Property Amenities: Laundry Room; On-Site Management; Recreation Areas (Outdoor Swimming Pool, Tennis)

Parking Type: Surface Lot

					Unit Configurat	tion		
Beds	Baths	Туре	Units	Vacant	Sq Ft	\$ / Sq Ft	Collected Rent	AMHI
1	1	G	24	2	650	\$0.81	\$525	0%
2	1.5	Т	32	0	1,025	\$0.61	\$625	0%

* Adaptive Reuse

906 Pointe North Senior Village I

27.3 miles to site

Survey Date: May 2020



Address: 2703 Pointe North Blvd., Albany, GA 31707 Phone: (229) 420-3775 Contact: Amy

Property Type: Tax Credit Target Population: Senior 55+

Total Units: 59 Year Built: 2015 Ratings
Vacant Units: 0 *AR Year: Quality: A
Occupancy: 100.0% Yr Renovated: Neighborhood: B+

Occupancy: 100.0% Yr Renovated: Neighborhood: B+
Turnover: Stories: 3 (w/Elev) Access/Visibility: B/A

Waitlist: **75 HH**; Rent Special:

Notes: Tax Credit; HCV (4 units)

Features And Utilities

Utility Schedule Provided by: Georgia Department of Community Affairs

Utility Type & Responsibility: No landlord paid utilities;

Unit Amenities: Dishwasher; Disposal; Microwave; Range; Refrigerator; Central AC; Ceiling Fan; W/D Hookup; Window Treatments; Flooring (Carpet, Hardwood)

Property Amenities: Business Center (Computer); Activity-Craft Room, Community Room; Elevator; Laundry Room; On-Site Management; Recreation Areas (Fitness Center, Library)

Parking Type: Surface Lot

					Unit Configurat	tion		
Beds	Baths	Туре	Units	Vacant	Sq Ft	\$ / Sq Ft	Collected Rent	AMHI
1	1	G	7	0	719	\$0.53	\$384	50%
1	1	G	7	0	719	\$0.65	\$464	60%
2	1	G	24	0	950	\$0.38	\$359	50%
2	1	G	21	0	950	\$0.54	\$516	60%

* Adaptive Reuse

907 Pointe North Senior Village II

27.3 miles to site

Survey Date: May 2020



Address: 3025 N Pointe N Blvd., Albany, GA 31707 Phone: (229) 420-3775 Contact: Amy

Property Type: Tax Credit Target Population: Senior 55+

Total Units: 46 Year Built: 2017 Ratings
Vacant Units: 0 *AR Year: Quality: A

Occupancy: 100.0% Yr Renovated: Neighborhood: B+
Turnover: Stories: 1 Access/Visibility: B/C

Waitlist: **75 HH**; Rent Special:

Notes: Tax Credits; HCV (5 units); Preleasing 1/2017, opened 5/2017

Features And Utilities

Utility Schedule Provided by: Georgia Department of Community Affairs

Utility Type & Responsibility: Landlord pays Electric, Heat (Electric), Hot Water (Electric), Cooking (Electric), Trash

Unit Amenities: Dishwasher; Disposal; Microwave; Range; Refrigerator; Central AC; Deck / Patio; Ceiling Fan; W/D Hookup; Window Treatments; Flooring (Hardwood)

Property Amenities: Business Center (Computer); Activity-Craft Room, Community Room; Laundry Room; On-Site Management; Recreation Areas (Fitness Center, Library)

Parking Type: Surface Lot

	Unit Configuration										
Beds	Baths	Туре	Units	Vacant	Sq Ft	\$ / Sq Ft	Collected Rent	AMHI			
1	1	G	11	0	750	\$0.54	\$406	50%			
1	1	G	13	0	750	\$0.67	\$503	60%			
2	1	G	16	0	975	\$0.50	\$484	50%			
2	1	G	6	0	975	\$0.62	\$604	60%			

* Adaptive Reuse

908 Tifton Apts. 21.1 miles to site



Address: 101 Oaks Forest Ln., Tifton, GA 31793 Phone: (229) 386-2066 Contact: Laura

Property Type: Market Rate Target Population: Family

Total Units: 68 Year Built: 2014 Ratings
Vacant Units: 1 *AR Year: Quality: B
Occupancy: 98.5% Yr Renovated: Neighborhood: B
Turnover: Stories: 2 Access/Visibility: B/C+

Survey Date: May 2020

Waitlist: Rent Special:

Notes: Does not accept HCV; Rent range due to renovated units



Features And Utilities

Utility Schedule Provided by: Georgia Department of Community Affairs

Utility Type & Responsibility: Landlord pays Trash

Unit Amenities: Dishwasher; Icemaker; Microwave; Range; Refrigerator; Central AC; Balcony; Deck / Patio; Ceiling Fan; W/D Hookup; W/D; Window Treatments; Flooring (Hardwood)

Property Amenities: On-Site Management; Recreation Areas (Firepit, Grill, Outdoor Swimming Pool)

Parking Type: Surface Lot

	Unit Configuration											
Beds	Baths	Туре	Units	Vacant	Sq Ft	\$ / Sq Ft	Collected Rent	AMHI				
2	2	G	36	0	1,150	\$0.72 - \$0.76	\$825 - \$875	0%				
3	2	G	32	1	1,250	\$0.74 - \$0.78	\$925 - \$975	0%				

* Adaptive Reuse

909 West Haven Senior Village

21.8 miles to site

Survey Date: May 2020



Address: 2760 E. B. Hamilton Dr., Tifton, GA 31793
Phone: (229) 382-2181 Contact: Carla
Property Type: Market Rate, Tax Credit

Target Population: Senior 55+

Total Units: 40 Year Built: 2011 Ratings
Vacant Units: 0 *AR Year: Quality: A
Occupancy: 100.0% Yr Renovated: Neighborhood: B
Turnover: Stories: 1 Access/Visibility: B/B+

Waitlist: 38 HH; Rent Special:

Notes: Market-rate (4 units); Tax credit (36 units); HCV (2 units)



Features And Utilities

Utility Schedule Provided by: Georgia Department of Community Affairs

Utility Type & Responsibility: Landlord pays Trash

Unit Amenities: Dishwasher; Disposal; Microwave; Range; Refrigerator; Central AC; Deck / Patio; E-Call System; W/D Hookup; Window Treatments; Flooring (Carpet, Vinyl)

Property Amenities: Business Center (Computer, Copy); Clubhouse; Pavilion; Laundry Room; On-Site Management; Recreation Areas (Fitness Center, Grill, Picnic Table / Area); Extra Storage

Parking Type: Surface Lot

	Unit Configuration											
Beds	Baths	Туре	Units	Vacant	Sq Ft	\$ / Sq Ft	Collected Rent	AMHI				
2	2	G	4	0	1,200	\$0.50	\$600	0%				
2	2	G	2	0	1,200	\$0.17	\$206	30%				
2	2	G	8	0	1,200	\$0.37	\$448	50%				
2	2	G	26	0	1,200	\$0.45	\$540	60%				

* Adaptive Reuse

910 Westbury Place

21.9 miles to site

Survey Date: May 2020

Address: 311 S. Virginia Ave., Tifton, GA 31794 Phone: (229) 382-2142 Contact: Stephanie

Property Type: Market Rate Target Population: Family

Total Units: 78 Year Built: 1989 Ratings
Vacant Units: 0 *AR Year: Quality: BOccupancy: 100.0% Yr Renovated: 2003 Neighborhood: B
Turnover: Stories: 2 Access/Visibility: A/B+

Waitlist: 25 HH; Rent Special:

Notes: Accepts HCV (0 currently)



Features And Utilities

Utility Schedule Provided by: Georgia Department of Community Affairs

Utility Type & Responsibility: Landlord pays Electric, Heat (Electric), Hot Water (Natural Gas), Cooking (Electric), Water, Sewer, Trash, Cable

 $Unit\ Amenities:\ Range;\ Refrigerator;\ Central\ AC;\ Balcony;\ Deck\ /\ Patio;\ Window\ Treatments;\ Flooring\ (Carpet,\ Vinyl)$

Property Amenities: Laundry Room; On-Site Management; Recreation Areas (Grill, Picnic Table / Area, Outdoor Swimming Pool)

Parking Type: Surface Lot

	Unit Configuration											
Beds	Beds Baths Type Units Vacant Sq.Ft \$/Sq.Ft Collected Rent AMHI											
1	1	G	78	0	616	\$0.81	\$500	0%				

^{*} Adaptive Reuse

Addendum C – NCHMA Member Certification & Checklist

This market study has been prepared by Bowen National Research, a member in good standing of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA). This study has been prepared in conformance with the standards adopted by NCHMA for the market analysts' industry. These standards include the Standard Definitions of Key Terms Used in Market Studies for Housing Projects, and Model Content Standards for the Content of Market Studies for Housing Projects. These Standards are designed to enhance the quality of market studies and to make them easier to prepare, understand, and use by market analysts and by the end users. These Standards are voluntary only, and no legal responsibility regarding their use is assumed by the National Council of Housing Market Analysts.

Bowen National Research is duly qualified and experienced in providing market analysis for housing. The company's principals participate in the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA) educational and information sharing programs to maintain the highest professional standards and state-of-the-art knowledge. Bowen National Research is an independent market analyst. No principal or employee of Bowen National Research has any financial interest whatsoever in the development for which this analysis has been undertaken.

Patrick M. Bowen

President/Market Analyst

Bowen National Research

155 E. Columbus St., Suite 220

Pickerington, OH 43147

(614) 833-9300

patrickb@bowennational.com

Date: June 10, 2020

Jack Wiseman

Market Analyst

jackw@bowennational.com

Date: June 10, 2020

Note: Information on the National Council of Housing Market Analysts may be obtained by calling 202-939-1750, or by visiting http://www.housingonline.com.



Market Study Index

A. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide a checklist referencing all components of their market study. This checklist is intended to assist readers on the location content of issues relevant to the evaluation and analysis of market studies.

B. <u>DESCRIPTION AND PROCEDURE FOR COMPLETING</u>

The following components have been addressed in this market study. The section number of each component is noted below. Each component is fully discussed in that section. In cases where the item is not relevant, the author has indicated 'N/A' or not applicable. Where a conflict with or variation from client standards or client requirements exists, the author has indicated a 'VAR' (variation) with a comment explaining the conflict.

C. CHECKLIST

		C4° (-)
	Executive Summary	Section (s)
1	·	A
1.	Executive Summary Project Description	A
2	· ·	
2.	Proposed number of bedrooms and baths proposed, income limitations, proposed rents	D
	and utility allowances	В
3.	Utilities (and utility sources) included in rent	В
4.	Project design description	В
5.	Unit and project amenities; parking	В
6.	Public programs included	В
7.	Target population description	В
8.	Date of construction/preliminary completion	В
9.	If rehabilitation, existing unit breakdown and rents	В
10.	Reference to review/status of project plans	N/A
	Location and Market Area	
11.	Market area/secondary market area description	D
12.	Concise description of the site and adjacent parcels	С
13.	Description of site characteristics	С
14.	Site photos/maps	С
15.	Map of community services	С
16.	Visibility and accessibility evaluation	С
17.	Crime Information	С



CHECKLIST (Continued)

		Section (s)
	Employment and Economy	
18.	Employment by industry	F
19.	Historical unemployment rate	F
20.	Area major employers	F
21.	Five-year employment growth	F
22.	Typical wages by occupation	F
23.	Discussion of commuting patterns of area workers	F
	Demographic Characteristics	
24.	Population and household estimates and projections	Е
25.	Area building permits	Н
26.	Distribution of income	Е
27.	Households by tenure	Е
	Competitive Environment	
28.	Comparable property profiles	Addendum B
29.	Map of comparable properties	Н
30.	Comparable property photographs	Н
31.	Existing rental housing evaluation	Н
32.	Comparable property discussion	Н
33.	Area vacancy rates, including rates for Tax Credit and government-subsidized	Н
34.	Comparison of subject property to comparable properties	Н
35.	Availability of Housing Choice Vouchers	Н
36.	Identification of waiting lists	Н
37.	Description of overall rental market including share of market-rate and affordable properties	Н
38.	List of existing LIHTC properties	Н
39.	Discussion of future changes in housing stock	H
40.	Discussion of ruture changes in housing stock Discussion of availability and cost of other affordable housing options including	H
40.	homeownership	11
41.	Tax Credit and other planned or under construction rental communities in market area	Н
	Analysis/Conclusions	
42.	Calculation and analysis of Capture Rate	G
43.	Calculation and analysis of Penetration Rate	N/A
44.	Evaluation of proposed rent levels	H & Addendum E
45.	Derivation of Achievable Market Rent and Market Advantage	Addendum E
46.	Derivation of Achievable Restricted Rent	N/A
47.	Precise statement of key conclusions	A
48.	Market strengths and weaknesses impacting project	A
49.	Recommendations and/or modification to project discussion	K
50.	Discussion of subject property's impact on existing housing	Н
51.	Absorption projection with issues impacting performance	I
52.	Discussion of risks or other mitigating circumstances impacting project projection	A
53.	Interviews with area housing stakeholders	J



CHECKLIST (Continued)

		Section (s)
	Other Requirements	
54.	Preparation date of report	Title Page
55.	Date of Field Work	Addendum A
56.	Certifications	L
57.	Statement of qualifications	N
58.	Sources of data not otherwise identified	Addendum D
59.	Utility allowance schedule	Addendum A



Addendum D – Methodologies, Disclaimers & Sources

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to evaluate the market feasibility of a proposed Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) project to be developed in Sylvester, Georgia by Village at Paradise Limited Partnership (owner).

This market feasibility analysis complies with the requirements established by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs (GDCA) and conforms to the standards adopted by the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA). These standards include the accepted definitions of key terms used in market studies for affordable housing projects, and model content standards for the content of market studies for affordable housing projects. These standards are designed to enhance the quality of market studies and to make them easier to prepare, understand and use by market analysts and end users.

2. METHODOLOGIES

Methodologies used by Bowen National Research include the following:

• The Primary Market Area (PMA) generated for the subject project is identified. The PMA is generally described as the smallest geographic area from which most of the support for the subject project originates. PMAs are not defined by a radius. The use of a radius is an ineffective approach because it does not consider mobility patterns, changes in the socioeconomic or demographic character of neighborhoods or physical landmarks that might impede development.

PMAs are established using a variety of factors, including, but not limited to:

- A detailed demographic and socioeconomic evaluation
- Interviews with area planners, realtors and other individuals who are familiar with area growth patterns
- A drive-time analysis for the site
- Personal observations of the field analyst
- Due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic at the time of this analysis, we were unable to *physically* survey apartment communities within the market and region. Thus, the apartment survey included in this analysis was conducted by telephone. The intent of the phone survey is twofold. First, the phone survey is used to measure the overall strength of the apartment market. This is accomplished by an evaluation of the unit mix, vacancies, rent levels and overall quality of product. The second purpose of the phone survey is to establish those projects that are most likely directly comparable to the subject property.



- Two types of directly comparable properties are identified through the phone survey. They include other Section 42 LIHTC developments and market-rate developments that offer unit and project amenities similar to those of the subject development. An in-depth evaluation of these two property types provides an indication of the potential of the subject development.
- Economic and demographic characteristics of the area are evaluated. An economic evaluation includes an assessment of area employment composition, income growth (particularly among the target market), building statistics and area growth perceptions. The demographic evaluation uses the most recently issued Census information, as well as projections that determine what the characteristics of the market will be when the project opens and after it achieves a stabilized occupancy.
- Area building statistics and interviews with officials familiar with area development provide identification of the properties that might be planned or proposed for the area that will have an impact on the marketability of the subject development. Planned and proposed projects are always in different stages of development. As a result, it is important to establish the likelihood of construction, the timing of the project and its impact on the market and the subject development.
- An analysis of the subject project's market capture of income-appropriate renter households within the PMA is conducted. This analysis follows GDCA's methodology for calculating potential demand. The resulting capture rates are compared with acceptable market capture rates for similar types of projects to determine whether the subject development's capture rate is achievable.
- Achievable market rent for the subject development is determined. Using a Rent Comparability Grid, the features of the subject development are compared item by item to the most comparable properties in the market. Adjustments are made for each feature that differs from that of the subject development. These adjustments are then included with the collected rent resulting in an achievable market rent for a unit comparable to the subject unit. This analysis is done for each bedroom type offered at the site.

Please note that non-numbered items in this report are not required by GDCA; they have been included, however, based on Bowen National Research's opinion that it is necessary to consider these details to effectively address the continued market feasibility of the subject project.



3. REPORT LIMITATIONS

The intent of this report is to collect and analyze significant levels of data to forecast the market success of the subject property within an agreed to time period. Bowen National Research relies on a variety of sources of data to generate this report. These data sources are not always verifiable; however, Bowen National Research makes a significant effort to assure accuracy. While this is not always possible, we believe our effort provides an acceptable standard margin of error. Bowen National Research is not responsible for errors or omissions in the data provided by other sources.

The reported analyses, opinions and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are our personal, unbiased professional analyses, opinions and conclusions. We have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and we have no personal interest or bias with respect to the parties involved. Our compensation is not contingent on an action or event (such as the approval of a loan) resulting from the analyses, opinions or conclusions in, or the use of, this study.

Any reproduction or duplication of this report without the expressed approval of Bowen National Research is strictly prohibited.

4. SOURCES

Bowen National Research uses various sources to gather and confirm data used in each analysis. These sources, which are cited throughout this report, include the following:

- The 2000 and 2010 Census on Housing
- American Community Survey
- Urban Decision Group (UDG)
- ESRI
- Area Chamber of Commerce
- Georgia Department of Community Affairs (GDCA)
- U.S. Department of Labor
- U.S. Department of Commerce
- Management for each property included in the survey
- Local planning and building officials
- Local housing authority representatives



Addendum E – Achievable Market Rent Analysis

A. INTRODUCTION

We identified six market-rate properties within and near the Sylvester Site PMA that we consider comparable in terms of unit and project amenities to the proposed subject development. These selected properties are used to derive market rent for a project with characteristics similar to the proposed subject development and the subject property's market advantage. It is important to note that, for the purpose of this analysis, we only select market-rate properties. Market-rate properties are used to determine rents that can be achieved in the open market for the proposed subject units without maximum income and rent restrictions.

The basis for the selection of these projects includes, but is not limited to, the following factors:

- Surrounding neighborhood characteristics
- Target market (seniors, families, disabled, etc.)
- Unit types offered (garden or townhouse, bedroom types, etc.)
- Building type (single-story, midrise, high-rise, etc.)
- Unit and project amenities offered
- Age and appearance of property

Since it is unlikely that any two properties are identical, we adjust the collected rent (the actual rent paid by tenants) of the selected properties according to whether or not they compare favorably with the subject development. Rents of projects that have additional or better features than the subject site are adjusted negatively, while projects with inferior or fewer features are adjusted positively. For example, if the proposed subject project does not have a washer or dryer and a selected property does, then we lower the collected rent of the selected property by the estimated value of a washer and dryer to derive an *achievable market rent* for a project similar to the proposed project.

The rent adjustments used in this analysis are based on various sources, including known charges for additional features within the Site PMA, estimates made by area property managers and realtors, quoted rental rates from furniture rental companies and Bowen National Research's prior experience in markets nationwide.

It is important to note that one or more of the selected properties may be more similar to the subject property than others. These properties are given more weight in terms of reaching the final achievable market rent determination. While monetary adjustments are made for various unit and project features, the final market rent determination is based upon the judgments of our market analysts.



The proposed subject development and the six selected properties include the following:

					(0	Unit Mix eccupancy Ra	te)
Map		Year Built/	Total	Occ.	One-	Two-	Three-
I.D.	Project Name	Renovated	Units	Rate	Br.	Br.	Br.
					28	28	
Site	Village at Paradise	2022	56	-	(-)	(-)	-
					3	3	
1	Fullerton Square	2008	6*	100.0%	(100.0%)	(100.0%)	-
			56 +		24**	48	8
902	Casey's Court Apts.	2019	24**	100.0%	(0.0%)	(100.0%)	(100.0%)
					6	12	6
903	Groves Apts.	2006	24*	100.0%	(100.0%)	(100.0%)	(100.0%)
					24	32	
905	Huntington Apts.	1986	56	96.4%	(91.7%)	(100.0%)	-
						36	32
908	Tifton Apts.	2014	68	98.5%		(100.0%)	(96.9%)
	-				78		
910	Westbury Place	1989 / 2003	78	100.0%	(100.0%)	-	-

Occ. – Occupancy

The six selected market-rate projects have a combined total of 288 units with an overall occupancy rate of 99.0%, a very strong rate for rental housing. This illustrates that these projects have been very well received within the market and region and will serve as accurate benchmarks with which to compare the subject project.


The Rent Comparability Grids on the following pages show the collected rents for each of the selected properties and illustrate the adjustments made (as needed) for various features and location or neighborhood characteristics, as well as quality differences that exist among the selected properties and the proposed subject development. A map of the comparable market-rate developments in relation to the subject site precedes the Rent Comparability Grids.



^{*}Market-rate units only

^{**}Units under construction

⁹⁰⁰ series Map IDs are located outside Site PMA



Rent Comparability Grid Unit Type ONE-BEDROOM

Willings of Plantalec Data Full-cross Square Caseyor Court Ages Groves Ages Hautington Ages Westforty Place mod Green Shortcost Lance 107 N. Lubella St. 1665 Carpetter Rd 2826 Rainwater Rd 111 E 2006 St 311 St Virginia Ave N. Court Ages N.		Subject		Comp #1		Comp #2		Comp #3		Comp #4		Comp #5	
A		Village at Paradise	Data	Fullerton S	Square	Casey's Cou	rt Apts.	Groves A	Apts.	Huntington	Apts.	Westbury	Place
Rest Charged			on	1107 N. Isal	oella St.	1665 Carper	nter Rd	2826 Rainw	ater Rd.	111 E 20	th St	311 S. Virgin	nia Ave.
State Reart / Recristed?		Sylvester, GA	Subject	Sylvester	, GA	Tifton,	GA	Tifton,	GA	Tifton,	GA	Tifton,	GA
Design_Locations	A.	Rents Charged		Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
Section Concessions None	1	\$ Last Rent / Restricted?		\$430		\$775		\$540		\$525		\$500	
	2	Date Surveyed		May-20		Jun-20		May-20		May-20		May-20	
B.	3	Rent Concessions		None		None		None		None		None	
Bosign: Location: Condition	4	Occupancy for Unit Type		100%		0%		100%		92%		100%	
	5	Effective Rent & Rent/ sq. ft	↓	\$430	0.51	\$775	0.97	\$540	0.63	\$525	0.81	\$500	0.81
Structure / Stories		•	•		1		ı		1				
Structure / Stories	В.	Design, Location, Condition		Data	\$ Adi	Data	\$ Adi	Data	\$ Adi	Data	\$ Adi	Data	\$ Adi
To the Bull'VF, Renovated 2022 2008 S14 2019 S3 2006 S16 1986 S36 1989/2003 S26 S26 ConditionStreet Appeal F G S15 G S15 F S30 G S15 S15 S26 S30 G S27 S30 G S25 S30 G S27 S30 G S25 S30		8 /	EE/2		ψnuj		ψnuj		ψ / tuj		ψ / Luj		ψnuj
S. Condition/Street Appeal E					\$14		\$3		\$16		\$36		\$26
y Neighborhood G G G G G G G G G													
Design		Neighborhood			4		4.10		4		400		4
C		O .	· ·				(\$39)		(\$27)		(\$26)		(\$25)
11 1					\$ Adi								
Baths	-		1										
	_									_			
14 Patfol/Balcony					(\$30)		(\$20)		(\$31)		\$7		\$13
S AC: Central/Wall							(*-*)						
Range/Refrigerator	15	·			(++)				(11)		(++)		(+-)
	_	Range/Refrigerator											
18 Washer/Dryer					\$5				\$5		\$5		\$15
Ploor Coverings					Ψ.		\$15		Ψ.				
20 Window Treatments		•					4.10				4		4
21 Secured Entry	_	Ü											
22 Garbage Disposal	_				\$3				\$3		\$3		\$3
23 Ceiling Fan/E-Call System Y/N Y/Y (\$5) N/N \$5 Y/N Data \$Adj Data D		•			4.0								
D Site Equipment/Amenities	_	U 1			(\$5)		\$5		4.0				
Parking (S Fee) LOT/S0 LOT/S0 LOT/S0 LOT/S0 LOT/S0 LOT/S0 LOT/S0	_				_ ` ′				\$ Adj				
26 Security Features	24	Parking (\$ Fee)	LOT/\$0	LOT/\$0		LOT/\$0		LOT/\$0		LOT/\$0		LOT/\$0	
27 Community Space Y	25	On-Site Management	Y	Y		Y		Y		Y		Y	
28 Pool/Recreation Areas G F (\$2) P (\$7) P/F/S (\$15) P/T (\$10) P (\$7)	26	Security Features	Y	N	\$5	N	\$5	N	\$5	N	\$5	N	\$5
Computer/Business Center	27	Community Space	Y	Y		N	\$5	Y		N	\$5	N	\$5
Social Services Y/N Y/N N N N N N N N N N	28	Pool/Recreation Areas	G	F	(\$2)	P	(\$7)	P/F/S	(\$15)	P/T	(\$10)	P	(\$7)
Social Services	29	Computer/Business Center	Y	Y		N	\$3	Y		N	\$3	N	\$3
Social Services Y	30	Picnic Area/Storage	Y/N	Y/N		N/N	\$3	Y/Y	(\$5)	N/N	\$3	Y/N	
Data SAdj Sadj Sadj Sida	31	Library	N	N		N		N		N		N	
33 Heat (in rent?/ type) N/E			Y			N							
N/E	_				\$ Adj		\$ Adj		\$ Adj		\$ Adj		\$ Adj
N/E													(\$12)
Note		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •											
N													
N/N													
Trash/Recycling Y/N N/N \$15 Y/N Y/N Y/N Y/N Y/N F. Adjustments Recap Pos Neg Pos Pos Neg Pos Neg Pos Neg Pos Neg Pos Pos Pos Neg Pos Neg Pos Pos Pos Pos Pos Pos Pos Neg Pos	_												
F. Adjustments Recap Pos Neg Pos							(\$39)				(\$39)		(\$39)
40 #Adjustments B to D 6 4 9 3 7 5 13 3 12 3 41 Sum Adjustments B to D \$52 (\$42) \$64 (\$66) \$59 (\$83) \$127 (\$41) \$115 (\$37) 42 Sum Utility Adjustments \$15 (\$39) (\$39) (\$39) (\$39) (\$39) (\$39) (\$94) 43 Net/ Gross Adjints B to E \$25 \$109 (\$41) \$169 (\$24) \$142 \$47 \$207 (\$16) \$246 G. Adjusted & Market Rents Adj. Rent Adj. Rent Adj. Rent Adj. Rent Adj. Rent Adj. Rent 44 Adjusted Rent (5+43) \$455 \$734 \$516 \$572 \$484 45 Adj Rent/Last rent 106% 95% 96% 109% 97%			Y/N				NT .		NT.		NT.		NT.
Sum Adjustments B to D \$52 (\$42) \$64 (\$66) \$59 (\$83) \$127 (\$41) \$115 (\$37)													
Sum Utility Adjustments \$15 \$ (\$39) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$39) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$94) \$ (\$98) \$													
Net Gross		-			(\$42)	\$64		\$39	(\$83)	\$12/		\$113	
43 Net/ Gross Adjmts B to E \$25 \$109 (\$41) \$169 (\$24) \$142 \$47 \$207 (\$16) \$246 G. Adjusted & Market Rents Adj. Rent Adj. Rent Adj. Rent Adj. Rent Adj. Rent Adj. Rent 44 Adjusted Rent (5+43) \$455 \$734 \$516 \$572 \$484 45 Adj Rent/Last rent 106% 95% 96% 109% 97%	42	Sum Cumy Adjustments			Gross	Net		Net	Gross	Net		Net	
G. Adjusted & Market Rents Adj. Rent 4dj. Rent Adj. Ren	43	Net/ Gross Adimts R to F											
44 Adjusted Rent (5+43) \$455 \$734 \$516 \$572 \$484 45 Adj Rent/Last rent 106% 95% 96% 109% 97%					Ψ107		Ψ107		Ψ1 72		Ψ207		Ψ2 τΟ
45 Adj Rent/Last rent 106% 95% 96% 109% 97%													
				7.20	106%	7.5	95%	75-0	96%	7	109%	7.2.	97%
Estimated Franket Felit \$550 \$0.77 Estimated Franket Relit Sq. 12			\$530	\$0.77 4		Estimated Ma		t/ Sa Et	2070		10270		2770
	10	Estimated Market Kellt	φυσυ	ψ0.//		Loumaccu WI	net Nell	a by. I't					

Re	ent Comparability Grid		Unit Type	\longrightarrow	TWO-BED	ROOM						
	Subject		Comp	# <i>1</i>	Comp	#2	Comp	#3	Comp	#4	Comp	#5
	Village at Paradise	Data	Fullerton S	Square	Casey's Cou	rt Apts.	Groves A	Apts.	Huntingtor	Apts.	Tifton A	vpts.
	NW corner of West Pine Street and Green Shutters Lane	on	1107 N. Isal	oella St.	1665 Carpe	nter Rd	2826 Rainw	ater Rd.	111 E 20	th St	101 Oaks Fo	orest Ln.
	Sylvester, GA	Subject	Sylvester	, GA	Tifton,	GA	Tifton,	GA	Tifton,	GA	Tifton,	GA
A.	Rents Charged		Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
1	\$ Last Rent / Restricted?		\$460		\$900		\$615		\$625		\$825	
2	Date Surveyed		May-20		Jun-20		May-20		May-20		May-20	
3	Rent Concessions		None		None		None		None		None	
4	Occupancy for Unit Type		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%	
5	Effective Rent & Rent/ sq. ft	+	\$460	0.42	\$900	0.91	\$615	0.54	\$625	0.61	\$825	0.72
В.	Design, Location, Condition		Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
6	Structure / Stories	EE/2	EE/3		WU/2		WU/2,3		TH/2		WU/2	
7	Yr. Built/Yr. Renovated	2022	2008	\$14	2019	\$3	2006	\$16	1986	\$36	2014	\$8
8	Condition/Street Appeal	E	G	\$15	G	\$15	G	\$15	F	\$30	G	\$15
9	Neighborhood	G	G		G		G		G		G	
10	Same Market?		Yes		No	(\$45)	No	(\$31)	No	(\$31)	No	(\$41)
C.	Unit Equipment/ Amenities		Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
	# Bedrooms	2	2		2		2		2		2	<u> </u>
12	# Baths	1	1		1		2	(\$30)	1.5	(\$15)	2	(\$30)
13	Unit Interior Sq. Ft.	893	1100	(\$33)	990	(\$15)	1137	(\$39)	1025	(\$21)	1150	(\$41)
14	Patio/Balcony	N	Y	(\$5)	N		Y	(\$5)	Y	(\$5)	Y	(\$5)
15	AC: Central/Wall	C	C		C		C		С		C	
16	Range/Refrigerator	R/F	R/F		R/F		R/F		R/F		R/F	
17	Microwave/Dishwasher	Y/Y	N/Y	\$5	Y/Y		N/Y	\$5	N/Y	\$5	Y/Y	
18	Washer/Dryer	HU/L	HU/L		N	\$15	HU/L		HU/L		HU	\$5
19	Floor Coverings	C/V	C/V/L		C/V		C/V		L		W	
20	Window Treatments	Y	Y		Y		Y		Y		Y	
21	Secured Entry	Y	N	\$3	Y		N	\$3	N	\$3	N	\$3
22	Garbage Disposal	Y	Y		Y		N	\$5	N	\$5	N	\$5
23	Ceiling Fan/E-Call System	Y/N	Y/Y	(\$5)	N/N	\$5	Y/N		N/N	\$5	Y/N	
D	Site Equipment/ Amenities		Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
24	8 (' /	LOT/\$0	LOT/\$0		LOT/\$0		LOT/\$0		LOT/\$0		LOT/\$0	
25	On-Site Management	Y	Y		Y		Y		Y		Y	
26	Security Features	Y	N	\$5	N	\$5	N	\$5	N	\$5	N	\$5
27	Community Space	Y	Y		N	\$5	Y		N	\$5	N	\$5
	Pool/Recreation Areas	G	F	(\$2)	P	(\$7)	P/F/S	(\$15)	P/T	(\$10)	P	(\$7)
	Computer/Business Center	Y	Y		N	\$3	Y		N	\$3	N	\$3
_	Picnic Area/Storage	Y/N	Y/N		N/N	\$3	Y/Y	(\$5)	N/N	\$3	Y/N	<u> </u>
31	Library	N	N		N		N		N		N	<u> </u>
32	Social Services	Y	N	\$10	N	\$10	N	\$10	N	\$10	N	\$10
E.	Utilities (2/4)	N.Y. (NY	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
	Heat (in rent?/ type)	N/E	N/E		N/E		N/G		N/E		N/E	1
	Cooling (in rent?/ type)	N/E	N/E		N/E		N/E	-	N/E		N/E	
	Cooking (in rent?/ type)	N/E	N/E		N/E		N/E	-	N/E		N/G	1
	Hot Water (in rent?/ type)	N/E	N/E		N/E		N/G	-	N/E		N/G	1
	Other Electric	N	N		N	(0.40)	N	-	N	(0.40)	N	1
_	Cold Water/Sewer	N/N	N/N	01.7	Y/Y	(\$49)	N/N		Y/Y	(\$49)	N/N	1
39 F .	Trash/Recycling Adjustments Recap	Y/N	N/N Pos	\$15	Y/N Pos	Non	Y/N Pos	Non	Y/N Pos	Non	Y/N Pos	Non
_	# Adjustments B to D		Pos	Neg		Neg	Pos	Neg		Neg		Neg
	Sum Adjustments B to D		6	(\$45)	9	(\$67)	7	(\$125)	\$110	(\$92)	9	(\$124)
			\$52 \$15	(\$45)	\$64	(\$67)	\$59	(\$125)	\$110	(\$82)	\$59	(\$124)
42	Sum Utility Adjustments		\$15 Net	Gross	Net	(\$49) Gross	Net	Gross	Net	(\$49) Gross	Net	Gross
43	Net/ Gross Adjmts B to E		\$22	\$112	(\$52)	\$180	(\$66)	\$184	(\$21)	\$241	(\$65)	\$183
G.	Š		Adj. Rent		Adj. Rent	-100	Adj. Rent	,101	Adj. Rent		Adj. Rent	7105
44			\$482		\$848		\$549		\$604		\$760	
45				105%		94%		89%	, , , , , ,	97%		92%
	Estimated Market Rent	\$630	\$0.71 ◀		Estimated Ma	·	t/ Sq. Ft					

Once all adjustments to collected rents were made, the adjusted rents for each comparable were used to derive an achievable market rent for each bedroom type. Each property was considered and weighed based upon its proximity to the subject site and its amenities and unit layout compared to the subject site.

Based on the preceding Rent Comparability Grids, it was determined that the present-day achievable market rents for units similar to the proposed subject development are \$530 for a one-bedroom unit and \$630 for a two-bedroom unit, which are illustrated as follows:

Dadua am Trosa	Proposed Collected Rent (AMHI)	Achievable Market Rent	Market Rent
Bedroom Type	Rent (AMIII)	Market Rent	Advantage
	\$350 (50%)		34.0%
One-Bedroom	\$425 (60%)	\$530	19.8%
	\$520 (70%)		1.9%
	\$430 (50%)		31.7%
Two-Bedroom	\$485 (60%)	\$630	23.0%
	\$575 (70%)		8.7%

The proposed collected rents represent market rent advantages ranging from 1.9% to 34.0%, depending on bedroom type and targeted income level. Typically, Tax Credit rents up to 60% of AMHI are set near 10% or more below achievable market rents to ensure that the project will have a sufficient flow of tenants, while higher targeted income levels do not necessarily need to represent a market rent advantage, depending on specific market parameters. Given the limited number of market-rate apartments identified within the Sylvester market and the fact that all units surveyed are occupied, it is likely that rents targeting income levels higher than 60% of AMHI can be set at or near the corresponding achievable market rent levels and still represent a value to moderate income households within the Site PMA. Combined with the fact that a sufficient base of demographic support exists for all subject unit types to be offered at their proposed rent levels, we believe that they are appropriately positioned to be marketable within the market.

B. RENT ADJUSTMENT EXPLANATIONS (RENT COMPARABILITY GRID)

None of the selected properties offer the same amenities as the subject property. As a result, we have made adjustments to the collected rents to reflect the differences between the subject property and the selected properties. The following are explanations (preceded by the line reference number on the comparability grid table) for each rent adjustment made to each selected property.

1. Rents for each property are reported as collected rents. These are the actual rents paid by tenants and do not consider utilities paid by tenants. The rents reported are typical and do not consider rent concessions or special promotions.



- 7. The proposed subject project is anticipated to be completed in 2022. As such, we have adjusted the rents at the selected properties by \$1 per year of age difference to reflect the age of these properties.
- 8. It is anticipated that the proposed subject project will have a quality appearance and an attractive aesthetic appeal. We have made an adjustment for the properties that we consider to have an inferior quality compared to the subject development.
- 10. Two of the selected properties are located outside of the Sylvester Site PMA in Tifton. The Tifton market is larger than Sylvester in terms of population, community services and apartment selections. Given the differences in markets, the rents that are achievable in Tifton will not directly translate to the Sylvester market. Therefore, we have adjusted each collected rent at the comparable projects located in Tifton by approximately 5.0% to account for these market differences.
- 12. There is a variety of the number of bathrooms offered at each of the selected properties. We have made adjustments of \$15 per half bathroom to reflect the difference in the number of bathrooms offered at the site as compared with the comparable properties.
- 13. The adjustment for differences in square footage is based upon the average rent per square foot among the comparable properties. Since consumers do not value extra square footage on a dollar for dollar basis, we have used 25% of the average for this adjustment.
- 14.-23. The proposed subject project will offer a unit amenity package generally superior to those offered at the selected properties. We have made adjustments for features lacking at the selected properties, and in some cases, we have made adjustments for features the subject property does not offer.
- 24.-32. The proposed project offers a comprehensive project amenities package. We have made monetary adjustments to reflect the difference between the proposed project's and the selected properties' project amenities.
- 33.-39. We have made adjustments to reflect the differences in utility responsibility at each selected property. The utility adjustments were based on the local housing authority's utility cost estimates.

