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Allen \& Associates Consulting, Inc.
P.O. Box 79196

Charlotte, North Carolina 28271
Phone: 704-905-2276 | Fax: 704-220-0470
E-mail: jcarroll@allenadvisors.com

May 14, 2019

Ms. Clara Trejos<br>Columbia Residential<br>1718 Peachtree Street NW, Suite 684<br>Atlanta, Georgia 30309<br>Re: Mill Village<br>Dear Ms. Clara Trejos:

The subject property, known as Mill Village, is a proposed affordable multifamily development to be located at 120 20th Street in Columbus, Muscogee County, Georgia (PID \# 006007 001). The subject property is proposed to consist of 102 revenue-producing units to be constructed with an allocation of tax credits. The subject property is an open age community.

The subject property is proposed to consist of 102 revenue-producing units including 1, 2 and 3-bedroom garden apartments. A total of 25 units are proposed to be income restricted to $30 \%$ of AMI ; a total of 35 units are proposed to be income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI ; a total of 31 units are proposed to be income restricted to $80 \%$ of AMI ; a total of 11 units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; a total of 60 units are proposed to benefit from project-based rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing. The average income limit for the income restricted units is $59 \%$ of AMI.

The scope of this assignment consists of a comprehensive market analysis for the subject property. The market study was completed in accordance with DCA, National Council for Housing Market Analyst (NCHMA) guidelines and the Uniform Standards of Professional Practice (USPAP). The completion of this report involved a site visit, interviews with local property managers, and the collection of market data through discussions with persons knowledgeable of the local real estate market.

The purpose, intended use, and function of the report is to assess the marketability of the subject property for tax credit application purposes. This report should not be used for any other purposes without the express written permission of Allen \& Associates Consulting.

The report has been generated for the benefit of our client Columbia Residential. Housing Authority of Columbus Georgia and DCA are named as additional users of the report. No other person or entity may use the report for any reason whatsoever without our express written permission.

A summary of our findings and conclusions is found in the following pages. The conclusions reported are based on the conditions that exist as of the effective date of this report. These factors are subject to change and may alter, or otherwise affect the findings and conclusions presented in this report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report presents an accurate evaluation of market conditions for the subject property as of the effective date of this report. While the analysis that follows is based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable, no guarantee is made of its accuracy.

Feel free to contact us with any questions or comments.
Respectfully submitted:
ALLEN \& ASSOCIATES CONSULTING

## Jeff Carroll



Debbie Rucker

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following is a summary of our key findings and conclusions with respect to the subject property:

## Project Description

The subject property, known as Mill Village, is a proposed affordable multifamily development to be located at 12020 th Street in Columbus, Muscogee County, Georgia (PID \# 006007 001). The subject property is proposed to consist of 102 revenue-producing units to be constructed with an allocation of tax credits. The subject property is an open age community.

## Proposed Unit Mix

The subject property is proposed to consist of 102 revenue-producing units including 1, 2 and 3-bedroom garden apartments. A total of 25 units are proposed to be income restricted to $30 \%$ of AMI ; a total of 35 units are proposed to be income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI ; a total of 31 units are proposed to be income restricted to $80 \%$ of AMI; a total of 11 units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; a total of 60 units are proposed to benefit from project-based rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing. The average income limit for the income restricted units is $59 \%$ of AMI .

| Proposed Unit Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Gross Rent | UA | Net Rent |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | $\$ 785$ | $\$ 119$ | $\$ 666$ |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | $\$ 785$ | $\$ 119$ | $\$ 666$ |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | $\$ 840$ | $\$ 119$ | $\$ 721$ |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | $\$ 969$ | $\$ 119$ | $\$ 850$ |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 15 | $\$ 896$ | $\$ 148$ | $\$ 748$ |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 21 | $\$ 896$ | $\$ 148$ | $\$ 748$ |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 19 | $\$ 1,008$ | $\$ 148$ | $\$ 860$ |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 7 | $\$ 1,098$ | $\$ 148$ | $\$ 950$ |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | $\$ 1,008$ | $\$ 196$ | $\$ 812$ |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | $\$ 1,008$ | $\$ 196$ | $\$ 812$ |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | $\$ 1,165$ | $\$ 196$ | $\$ 969$ |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | $\$ 1,246$ | $\$ 196$ | $\$ 1,050$ |
| Total/Average |  |  | 102 | $\$ 952$ | $\$ 152$ | $\$ 800$ |

## Site Description

The subject property includes an irregular-shaped parcel consisting of approximately 7.89 acres and approximately 700 feet of road frontage.

A total of 204 parking spaces are planned for this development ( 197 regular / 7 accessible / 2.00 spaces per unit). Privately-owned parking areas are planned for the subject property. We normally see 1.5 to 2.0 spaces per unit for projects like the subject. Public transportation is found in the immediate area. In our opinion, the proposed parking appears adequate for the subject property.

Additional Considerations:

| Zoning | RMF2. Legal, conforming use. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Environmental | New construction. No suspected environmental conditions. |
| Topography | No issues detected. |
| Flood | Zone X. Outside - but near - the 100-year flood zone. |
| DDA Status | Muscogee County, Georgia. Not designated as a Difficult to Develop Area. |
| QCT Status | Tract 16.00. Designated as a Qualified Census Tract. |
| Access | Good. Located near a heavily-traveled road. |
| Visibility | Good. Lots of frontage. |

In our opinion, the site is suitable for development.

## Neighborhood Description

In our opinion, the subject property has a fair to good location relative to competing properties with respect to neighborhood characteristics.

In our opinion, the subject property has a very good location relative to competing properties with respect to area amenities.

Additional Considerations:

| Crime | Lower crime rates than market average. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Schools | Lower graduation rates than market average. |
| Average Commute | Similar to market average. |

In our opinion, the neighborhood is suitable for development.

## Primary Market Area

We defined the primary market area by generating a 10-minute drive time zone around the subject property. We also considered existing concentrations of multifamily properties and the nearest census tract boundaries in our analysis.

The primary market area includes a population of 112,470 persons and covers a total of 52.6 square miles, making it 8.2 miles across on average.

We estimate that up to 20 percent of demand will come from areas outside of the primary market area.

## Demogaphic Characteristics

We anticipate moderate population and household growth for the market area. Renter households are anticipated to increase modestly as well. Finally, we anticipate that rents will grow with CPI over the next few years. Additional details follow:

| Population | Market area population currently stands at 112,470 and is projected to <br> decline 0.3 percent this year. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Households | Market area households currently stand at 46,596 and is projected to <br> decline 0.2 percent this year. |
| Renter Households | Market area renter households currently stand at 25,912 and is <br> projected to decline 0.6 percent this year. |
| Renter Tenure | Market area renter tenure currently stands at 55.6 percent. |
| Rent Growth | Market area rents have grown $3.02 \%$ annually since 2010. |

## Regional Economic Outlook

We anticipate moderate economic growth for the region. Additional details follow:

| Est Employment | Regional establishment employment currently stands at 133,001 and is <br> projected to grow 1.4 percent this year. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Civ Employment | Regional civilian employment currently stands at 80,620 and is <br> projected to grow 0.7 percent this year. |
| Empl by Industry | Regional establishment employment currently stands at 133,001. The <br> data suggests that Health Care and Social Assistance is the largest <br>  <br> employment category accounting for 12.3\% of total regional <br> employment. Finance and Insurance is the second largest category <br> accounting for 11.5\% of total employment. Retail Trade is the third <br> largest category accounting for 10.6\% of total employment. State and <br> Local Government is the fourth largest category accounting for 9.9\% of <br> total employment. Accommodation and Food Services is the fifth largest <br> category accounting for $9.3 \%$ of total employment. |


| Top Employers | The top employers include: (1) Fort Benning (32000 employees); (2) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Aflac Inc (4000 employees) and; (3) Pratt \& Whitney Engine Svc (2900 |
| employees). |  |

## Supply Analysis

Our analysis includes a total of 100 confirmed market area properties consisting of 11,533 units. The occupancy rate for these units currently stands at 92 percent. This rate reflects the occupancy for all confirmed market area units, regardless of project status (stabilized, under construction, proposed, etc.).

The following tables summarize our findings for this market area:

| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Type | Properties | Units | Vacant | Occupancy |
| Market Rate | 73 | 8,370 | 543 | $94 \%$ |
| Restricted | 17 | 1,582 | 367 | $77 \%$ |
| Subsidized | 10 | 1,581 | 51 | $97 \%$ |
| Total | 100 | 11,533 | 961 | $92 \%$ |


| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family |  |  |  |  |
| Project Type | Properties | Units | Vacant | Occupancy |
| Market Rate | 72 | 8,095 | 371 | $95 \%$ |
| Restricted | 11 | 1,178 | 10 | $99 \%$ |
| Subsidized | 5 | 1,064 | 32 | $97 \%$ |
| Total | 88 | 10,337 | 413 | $96 \%$ |


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Type | Properties | Units | Vacant | Occupancy |
| Market Rate | 0 | 16 | 2 | $88 \%$ |
| Restricted | 1 | 47 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Subsidized | 5 | 517 | 19 | $96 \%$ |
| Total | 6 | 580 | 21 | $96 \%$ |


| Pipeline |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family |  |  |  |  |
| Project Type | Properties | Units | Vacant | Occupancy |
| Market Rate | 1 | 259 | 170 | $34 \%$ |
| Restricted | 3 | 175 | 175 | $0 \%$ |
| Subsidized | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 4 | 434 | 345 | $21 \%$ |


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Type | Properties | Units | Vacant | Occupancy |
| Market Rate | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Restricted | 2 | 182 | 182 | $0 \%$ |
| Subsidized | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 2 | 182 | 182 | $0 \%$ |

## Most Comparable Properties

An overview of the market rate comparables selected for purposes of our analysis follows. The properties we consider to be the best comparables are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Key | Property | Units | Occupancy | Built | Renovated | Rents | Type | Miles to Sub |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 030 | Lory (The) of Columbus | 292 | $100 \%$ | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | 5.90 |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | 214 | $100 \%$ | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | 4.87 |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | 204 | $100 \%$ | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | 3.88 |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | 297 | $97 \%$ | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | 5.17 |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | 300 | $100 \%$ | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | 3.93 |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | 24 | $100 \%$ | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | 5.46 |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 211 | $100 \%$ | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | 5.67 |

An overview of the restricted rent comparables selected for purposes of our analysis follows. The properties we consider to be the best comparables are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Key | Property | Units | Occupancy | Built | Renovated | Rents | Type | Miles to Sub |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 148 | $97 \%$ | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | 4.17 |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 148 | $99 \%$ | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | 4.23 |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | 184 | $96 \%$ | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | 0.63 |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | 183 | $98 \%$ | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | 0.72 |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | 232 | $100 \%$ | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | 3.91 |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 88 | $97 \%$ | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | 1.46 |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | 192 | $100 \%$ | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | 3.44 |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartme | 120 | $99 \%$ | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | 3.39 |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 172 | $100 \%$ | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | 3.50 |

## Achievable Rents

In the following table we present our concluded achievable rents and rent advantage for the subject property:

| Achievable Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Achievable | Proposed | Advantage |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | \$860 | \$666 | 22.6\% |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$860 | \$666 | 22.6\% |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$770 | \$721 | 6.4\% |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$860 | \$850 | 1.2\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 15 | \$990 | \$748 | 24.4\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 21 | \$990 | \$748 | 24.4\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 19 | \$890 | \$860 | 3.4\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 7 | \$990 | \$950 | 4.0\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | \$1,095 | \$812 | 25.8\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$1,095 | \$812 | 25.8\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$990 | \$969 | 2.1\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$1,095 | \$1,050 | 4.1\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 102 | \$955 | \$800 | 16.2\% |

Our analysis suggests an average achievable rent of $\$ 955$ for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of $\$ 800$, yielding an achievable rent advantage of 16.2 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below achievable rents for the area.

## NCHMA Demand Analysis

In the following tables we present our concluded demand, capture rate, penetration rate and absorption period estimates for the subject property using the NCHMA demand methodology:

| Unit Type / <br> Rent Type / Income Limit | Vac Units at Market Entry | Gross <br> Demand | Vacant \& Pipeline Units | Capture Rate Gross | Capture Rate Net | Penetration Rate | Absorption Pd <br> (Mos) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI | 12 | 6,516 | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 3.5\% | <1 |
| 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 80\% of AMI | 6 | 1,206 | 0 | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 1 |
| 1-Bedroom / Market Rate | 2 | 7,711 | 149 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.7\% | <1 |
| 2-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI | 36 | 8,986 | 18 | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 7.0\% | 1 |
| 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 80\% of AMI | 19 | 864 | 0 | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 6 |
| 2-Bedroom / Market Rate | 7 | 9,839 | 297 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 51.5\% | <1 |
| 3-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI | 12 | 8,876 | 9 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 2.5\% | <1 |
| 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 80\% of AMI | 6 | 426 | 0 | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 4 |
| 3-Bedroom / Market Rate | 2 | 9,320 | 88 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | <1 |
|  | Project-Wide Gross Capture Rate |  |  | 0.4\% |  |  |  |
|  | Project-Wide Net Capture Rate |  |  | 0.5\% |  |  |  |
|  | Project-Wide Penetration Rate |  |  | 40.9\% |  |  |  |
|  | Stabilized Occupancy |  |  | 97\% |  |  |  |
|  | Project-Wide Absorption Period |  |  | 6 mos |  |  |  |

In our opinion, the estimated project-level capture rate suggests an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit level capture rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

In our opinion, the estimated project-level penetration rate suggest an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit-level penetration rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

Our analysis suggests that the subject property will stabilize at 97 percent occupancy. We estimate 6 months of absorption and an average absorption rate of 15.9 units per month for this project. In our opinion, the absorption period suggests an appropriate number and mix of units for the subject property.

It is important to note that this analysis does not account for pent-up demand, pre-leasing efforts or rent concessions. In our opinion, an effective pre-leasing effort could result in a month-for-month reduction in the estimated absorption period for this project. In addition, any concessions or rent subsidies not accounted for already in this analysis could cut capture rates and absorption periods significantly.

## DCA Demand Analysis

In the following table we present our concluded capture rate and absorption period estimates for the subject property using the DCA demand methodology:

| Project-Wide Capture Rate - Subsidized | $1.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units | $4.3 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - Market Rate | $0.3 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - All Units | $1.3 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Absorption Period (Months) | 6 months |

## Conclusion

In conclusion, the subject property appears to be feasible from a market standpoint. The units appear to be priced appropriately and we anticipate a rapid lease-up after construction.

Because of the demonstrated depth of demand in this area, we do not believe the construction of this property will have an adverse impact on existing projects in the market area.

Mill Village
120 20th Street
Columbus, Georgia 31904

|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Income | \$4,080 |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,800 | \$33,223 | \$4,080 |
| Maximum Income | \$38,640 |  |  |  |  |  | \$51,520 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 |
| Proposed Units | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 11 | 102 |
| New Rental Households | -129 |  |  |  |  |  | -25 | -147 | -301 |
| (+) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Households Overburdened $(+)$ | 2,952 |  |  |  |  |  | 576 | 3,376 | 6,912 |
| Existing Households - | 859 |  |  |  |  |  | 167 | 982 | 2,010 |
| Substandard Housing $(+)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elderly Households - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Likely to Convert to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Housing (=) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Demand | 3,682 |  |  |  |  |  | 718 | 4,210 | 8,621 |
| (-) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supply of Vacant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Competing, Pipeline \& | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 534 | 566 |
| Newly-Constructed Units | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 534 | 566 |
| In Past 2 Years $(=)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Demand | 3,650 |  |  |  |  |  | 718 | 3,676 | 8,055 |
| Proposed Units (Vacant at Market Entry) | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 11 | 102 |
| Capture Rate | 1.6\% |  |  |  |  |  | 4.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.3\% |
| Absorption Period (Months) | 6 mos |  |  |  |  |  | 6 mos | 6 mos | 6 mos |

## Summary Table:

| Development Name: | Mill Village | Total \# Units: 102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location: | $12020^{\text {th }}$ Street Columbus, Muscogee County, Georgia | \# LIHTC Units: 91 |
| PMA Boundary: | Approximate 10-minute drive time from subject property |  |
|  | Farthest Bou | o Subject: $\quad 7.45$ miles |



| CAPTURE RATES (Pages 187-189) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Targeted Population | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | Market- <br> rate | Subsidized | Overall |  |
| Capture Rate |  |  | $4.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |  |
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## PROJECT OVERVIEW

## Project Description

The subject property, known as Mill Village, is a proposed affordable multifamily development to be located at 120 20th Street in Columbus, Muscogee County, Georgia (PID \# 006007 001). The subject property is proposed to consist of 102 revenue-producing units to be constructed with an allocation of tax credits. The subject property is an open age community.

Select project details are summarized below:
Project Description

| Property Name | Mill Village |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Number | 120 |
| Street Name | 20 th |
| Street Type | Street |
| City | Columbus |
| County | Muscogee County |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 |
| Units | 102 |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Const |
| Financing Type | Tax Credit |

## Construction and Lease-Up Schedule

We anticipate a 12-month construction period for this project. Assuming a June 1, 2020 closing, this yields a date of completion of June 1, 2021. Our demand analysis (found later in this report) suggests a 6-month absorption period. This yields a date of stabilization of December 1, 2021.

## Unit Configuration

The subject property is proposed to consist of 102 revenue-producing units including 1, 2 and 3 -bedroom garden apartments. A total of 25 units are proposed to be income restricted to $30 \%$ of AMI; a total of 35 units are proposed to be income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI; a total of 31 units are proposed to be income restricted to $80 \%$ of AMI; a total of 11 units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; a total of 60 units are proposed to benefit from projectbased rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing. The average income limit for the income restricted units is $59 \%$ of AMI.

| Proposed Unit Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BR | BA | SF | Unit Type | Income Limit | Rent Limit | HOME Units | Subs Units | Total Units | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gross } \\ \text { Rent } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Net Rent |
| 1 | 1.0 | 725 | Garden/Flat | 30\% | 30\% | No | Yes | 5 | \$785 | \$666 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 725 | Garden/Flat | 60\% | 60\% | No | Yes | 7 | \$785 | \$666 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 725 | Garden/Flat | 80\% | 80\% | No | No | 6 | \$840 | \$721 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 725 | Garden/Flat | Mar | Mar | No | No | 2 | \$969 | \$850 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 950 | Garden/Flat | 30\% | 30\% | No | Yes | 15 | \$896 | \$748 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 950 | Garden/Flat | 60\% | 60\% | No | Yes | 21 | \$896 | \$748 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 950 | Garden/Flat | 80\% | 80\% | No | No | 19 | \$1,008 | \$860 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 950 | Garden/Flat | Mar | Mar | No | No | 7 | \$1,098 | \$950 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,200 | Garden/Flat | 30\% | 30\% | No | Yes | 5 | \$1,008 | \$812 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,200 | Garden/Flat | 60\% | 60\% | No | Yes | 7 | \$1,008 | \$812 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,200 | Garden/Flat | 80\% | 80\% | No | No | 6 | \$1,165 | \$969 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,200 | Garden/Flat | Mar | Mar | No | No | 2 | \$1,246 | \$1,050 |
| Tota | age | 955 |  |  |  |  |  | 102 | \$952 | \$800 |

## Income \& Rent Limits

The subject property is operated subject to certain income restrictions. The following table gives the applicable income limits for this area:

Income Limits

| HH Size | $30 \%$ of $A M I$ | $40 \%$ of $A M I$ | $50 \%$ of $A M I$ | $60 \%$ of $A M I$ | $70 \%$ of $A M I$ | $80 \%$ of $A M I$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 Person | $\$ 12,540$ | $\$ 16,720$ | $\$ 20,900$ | $\$ 25,080$ | $\$ 29,260$ | $\$ 33,440$ |
| 2.0 Person | $\$ 14,310$ | $\$ 19,080$ | $\$ 23,850$ | $\$ 28,620$ | $\$ 33,390$ | $\$ 38,160$ |
| 3.0 Person | $\$ 16,110$ | $\$ 21,480$ | $\$ 26,850$ | $\$ 32,220$ | $\$ 37,590$ | $\$ 42,960$ |
| 4.0 Person | $\$ 17,880$ | $\$ 23,840$ | $\$ 29,800$ | $\$ 35,760$ | $\$ 41,720$ | $\$ 47,680$ |
| 5.0 Person | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 32,200$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 45,080$ | $\$ 51,520$ |
| 6.0 Person | $\$ 20,760$ | $\$ 27,680$ | $\$ 34,600$ | $\$ 41,520$ | $\$ 48,440$ | $\$ 55,360$ |
| 7.0 Person | $\$ 22,200$ | $\$ 29,600$ | $\$ 37,000$ | $\$ 44,400$ | $\$ 51,800$ | $\$ 59,200$ |
| 8.0 Person | $\$ 23,610$ | $\$ 31,480$ | $\$ 39,350$ | $\$ 47,220$ | $\$ 55,090$ | $\$ 62,960$ |

Source: HUD; State Housing Finance Agency

The income limits found above were based (in part) on HUD's published median household income for the area. The table below shows how this statistic has increased/decreased over the past several years:

| Historical Median Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\$$ | Change |
| 2008 | $\$ 49,900$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | $\$ 51,000$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 51,800$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| 2011 | $\$ 51,600$ | $-0.4 \%$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 52,300$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 48,200$ | $-7.8 \%$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 51,000$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 58,200$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 51,800$ | $-11.0 \%$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 53,400$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 56,000$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Source: HUD |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The subject property is operated subject to certain rent restrictions. The following table gives the maximum housing expense (net rent limit + tenant-paid utilities) for this area:

Maximum Housing Expense

| Unit Type | $30 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | $70 \%$ of AMI | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O Bedroom | $\$ 313$ | $\$ 418$ | $\$ 522$ | $\$ 627$ | $\$ 731$ | $\$ 836$ |
| 1 Bedroom | $\$ 335$ | $\$ 447$ | $\$ 559$ | $\$ 671$ | $\$ 783$ | $\$ 895$ |
| 2 Bedroom | $\$ 402$ | $\$ 537$ | $\$ 671$ | $\$ 805$ | $\$ 939$ | $\$ 1,074$ |
| 3 Bedroom | $\$ 465$ | $\$ 620$ | $\$ 775$ | $\$ 930$ | $\$ 1,085$ | $\$ 1,240$ |
| 4 Bedroom | $\$ 519$ | $\$ 692$ | $\$ 865$ | $\$ 1,038$ | $\$ 1,211$ | $\$ 1,384$ |

Source: HUD

The following table sets forth the gross fair market rents (net fair market rents + tenant-paid utilities) that would apply to any Section 8 voucher recipients or any units benefiting from HOME financing at the subject property:

| Fair Market Rents |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Gross Rent |
| 0 Bedroom | $\$ 643$ |
| 1 Bedroom | $\$ 714$ |
| 2 Bedroom | $\$ 862$ |
| 3 Bedroom | $\$ 1,175$ |
| 4 Bedroom | $\$ 1,514$ |

Source: HUD
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(a1) Unit A2
$\frac{21 / 106 \text { units }}{266 \text { N. } 5.5 \text {. }}$

JHP


(02) | Unit Bz | $20 \mathrm{D} / 2$ Bath |
| :--- | :--- |
| $33 / 106$ units | 4,032 N.S.E |
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## IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

Our improvement analysis includes an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Building Features; (2) Unit Features; (3) Project Amenities, (4) Utility Configuration; and (5) Useful Life Analysis.

## Building Features

The subject property is proposed to consist of 102 revenue-producing units in 5 residential buildings and 1 nonresidential building. The development is proposed to include approximately 97,400 square feet of net rentable area and 99,100 square feet of gross building area.

Additional information regarding the subject property's proposed major building systems is found below.
Foundation - Concrete Slab, Basements, Crawl Spaces, etc.
The subject property is proposed to include slab on grade foundations.
Structural Frame - Floor, Wall, Roof Structural Systems, etc.
The subject property is proposed to be constructed with wood frame surfaced with plywood. Floor/ceiling assemblies are proposed to consist of wood joists \& plywood or concrete subfloors. Roof assmeblies are proposed to consist of wood trusses \& plywood sheathing.

Exterior Wall - Exterior Finishes, Doors, Windows, Exterior Stairs, etc.
The subject is proposed to include fiber cement siding \& brick veneer, double hung vinyl double pane windows, steel clad insulated six-panel unit entry doors, and sliding glass patio doors.

Roof - Sheathing, Coverings, Warranties, Gutters \& Downspouts, Soffit \& Fascia, etc.
The subject is proposed to include gabled asphalt shingle roofs.

Vertical Transportation - Elevator, Interior Stair Systems
The subject property is not proposed to include elevators.

## Plumbing - Sanitary, Storm, Sewer, Fixtures, Domestic Hot Water

Domestic water piping is proposed to be constructed of CPVC pipe and fittings. Wastewater lines consist of PVC pipe and fittings. Potable hot water is proposed to be supplied via individual electric hot water heaters.

## HVAC - Heating, Air Conditioning, Ventilation

The subject property is proposed to include individual interior-mounted electric heat, individual exterior-mounted a/c compressors with interior-mounted air handlers.

Electrical and Communications - Distribution, Aluminum Wiring, etc.
Buildings are proposed to receive electrical power from exterior pad-mounted transformers. Electrical service to units is proposed to consist of $120 / 240 \mathrm{~V}$ AC with 100 amps available for each panel. Electrical wiring is proposed to consist of copper. Properly grounded, three-prong outlets are proposed in each dwelling unit. The outlets located in the wet areas are proposed to be Ground Fault Circuit Interrupter (GFCI) outlets. Surface-mounted flourescent \& LED fixtures are proposed.

## Fire Suppression

The subject property is proposed to be equipped with an NFPA-13 fully automatic fire suppression (sprinkler) system. In addition, hard-wired smoke detectors with battery backup are proposed in each bedroom area.

## Unit Features

The subject property is proposed to contain 102 revenue-producing units including 96 regular units and 6 accessible units, including 204 bedrooms, 184 full bathrooms and 0 half bathrooms.

Additional information regarding the subject property's proposed unit features is found below.

## Walls / Ceilings / Interior Doors

Subject property units are proposed to include 8 foot ceilings, painted gypsum wallboard \& ceilings, wood hollow-core flat panel interior doors and wood hollow-core flat panel closet doors.

## Floor Covering

Floor covering is proposed to consist of luxury vinyl plank in the entryways, bathrooms, and kitchens along with wall-towall carpeting in the living areas and bedrooms.

## Kitchens

Kitchens are proposed to include electric four-top ranges, range hoods, frost-free refrigerators, disposals, dishwashers, composite wood cabinets, laminated countertops and stainless steel sinks.

## Bathrooms

Bathrooms are proposed to include composite wood vanities, cultured marble countertops, porcelain sinks \& toilets, along with fiberglass tubs \& surrounds.

## Project Amenities

A discussion of the development's proposed project amenities is found below.
Site \& Common Area Amenities
A BBQ area, business/computer center, community center, fitness center, gazebo/patio, community garden, picnic area, and walking trail are proposed for the subject property.

## Parking

Open parking is proposed for the subject property.
Laundry
A central laundry and washer/dryer hookups are proposed for the subject property.

## Security

Controlled access is proposed for the subject property.

## Services

Health care services are proposed for the subject property.
Tables comparing the subject property's proposed amenities to that of the most comparable properties are found at the end of this section.

## Utility Configuration

The subject property is proposed to include electric heat, electric cooking and electric hot water. All utilities - with the exception of trash - are proposed to be paid by the resident.

In the table that follows we compare the subject's proposed utility allowances (also known as tenant paid utilities) to the estimated allowances using the HUD Utility Schedule Model:

Utility Allowances

| BR | BA | SF | Unit Type | Inc Lmt | Rnt Lmt | HOME | Subs | Units | UA | HUD UA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0 | 725 | Garden/Flat | 30\% of AMI | 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | \$119 | \$80 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 725 | Garden/Flat | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$119 | \$80 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 725 | Garden/Flat | 80\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$119 | \$80 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 725 | Garden/Flat | Market Rate | Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$119 | \$80 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 950 | Garden/Flat | 30\% of AMI | 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 15 | \$148 | \$114 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 950 | Garden/Flat | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 21 | \$148 | \$114 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 950 | Garden/Flat | 80\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | No | No | 19 | \$148 | \$114 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 950 | Garden/Flat | Market Rate | Market Rate | No | No | 7 | \$148 | \$114 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,200 | Garden/Flat | $30 \%$ of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | \$196 | \$158 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,200 | Garden/Flat | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$196 | \$158 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,200 | Garden/Flat | 80\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$196 | \$158 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,200 | Garden/Flat | Market Rate | Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$196 | \$158 |
| Total/Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 102 | \$152 | \$116 |

The HUD utility allowances are a good measure of the energy costs for a given property. Our analysis suggests that the proposed utility allowances are higher than those established using the HUD model.

Tables comparing the subject property's utility configuration to that of the most comparable properties are found at the end of this section. Outputs from the HUD Utility Schedule Model are also found there.

## Useful Life Analysis

We anticipate a useful/economic life of 50 years for this development, assuming that appropriate replacement reserves are established for this property.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the condition of the subject property and the most comparable properties on a $1-5$ scale ( 1 being the worst and 5 being the best). We also evaluated the actual and effective ages of the subject and select comparables. A table summarizing our findings is found below:

| Actual Age \| Effective Age | Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rating |  |  |  |  | Rank |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ৷}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 를 응 } \\ & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { 응 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Sub | Mill Village | 2019 | 2019 | 4.50 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | 2010 | 4.00 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | 2010 | 4.00 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2006 | 2000 | 4.00 | 13 | 13 | 4 |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2008 | 2000 | 4.00 | 11 | 13 | 4 |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | 2009 | 2005 | 3.50 | 8 | 12 | 14 |
| 030 | Lory (The) of Columbus | 2011 | 2010 | 4.00 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | 2008 | 2010 | 4.00 | 11 | 4 | 4 |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | 2006 | 2010 | 4.00 | 13 | 4 | 4 |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2011 | 2010 | 4.00 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1996 | 1990 | 3.00 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | 2009 | 2010 | 4.00 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | 2010 | 2010 | 4.00 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | 2000 | 3.50 | 16 | 13 | 14 |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | 2000 | 3.50 | 15 | 13 | 14 |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | 2018 | 2015 | 4.50 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2015 | 2015 | 4.50 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

[^0]| Amenities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Site \＆Common Area Amenities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ® |  |  | 㐭菏 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  | $\frac{0}{2} \frac{\stackrel{y}{0} \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\pi}{2}}{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ |  |  | ¢ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no } \\ & \text { an } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Sub | Mill Village | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | yes |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | yes |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | yes |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | yes |
| 030 | Lory（The）of Columbus | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | yes |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | no | yes | yes | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | no | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | yes | yes |
|  |  |  |  | Unit Am | enities |  |  |  |  | en Amen |  |  |  | Air Con | itioning |  |  |  | Heat |  |  |  |
| 区ิ | 茷 皆 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { 힐 } \\ & \text { it } \end{aligned}$ |  | o |  | $\stackrel{0}{i 0}$ |  |  |  | 0 $\frac{0}{2}$ $\frac{0}{2}$ $\frac{0}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅡㄹ } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{5}{3}_{3}^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{n}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 区్ర゙ँ } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{5}{3}_{3}^{\frac{9}{5}}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{0}{\stackrel{\circ}{2}}$ |  |
| Sub | Mill Village | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | some | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | some | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | some | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 030 | Lory（The）of Columbus | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | yes | no | yes | some | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | yes | yes | yes | no | some | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | some | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | some | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | по | no |  |
|  |  |  |  | Parking |  |  |  | Laundry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Services |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ®̀ }}{\underline{\text { ® }}}$ | 拣 皆 |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{2}}$ |  | $\frac{0}{3} \frac{n}{5}$ | 号亳 |  |  |  | 은 흔 한 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { 告 } \\ & \text { 毞 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 变豪 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\frac{n}{0}}{\frac{\omega 1}{2}}$ |  |
| Sub | Mill Village | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | na | na | na | yes | na | na | na |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | some | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 030 | Lory（The）of Columbus | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | no | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | no | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | yes | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |

Utilities

| Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tenant－Paid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner－Paid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { 㐅}}{\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \frac{0}{2} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{U}{E} \\ & \hline \frac{U}{U} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 主 H O |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ \pm} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\pi} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \stackrel{u}{0} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 営 } 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\overline{3}_{1} \text { Õ }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ \pm} \\ & \stackrel{4}{\pi} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\pi / 2} \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{i n} \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub | Mill Village | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 030 | Lory（The）of Columbus | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |

Source：Allen \＆Associates；Sponsor

| HUD Utility Schedule Model Output |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 Bedroom | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedroom | 3 Bedroom | 4 Bedroom |
| Heat－Gas | 36 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 42 |
| Heat－Elec | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Cooking－Gas | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Cooking－Elec | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| Other Electric | 11 | 13 | 18 | 23 | 28 |
| Air Conditioning | 7 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 23 |
| Hot Water－Gas | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 |
| Hot Water－Elec | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
| Water | 17 | 18 | 25 | 35 | 46 |
| Sewer | 22 | 24 | 37 | 57 | 72 |
| Trash | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |

## SITE DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

Our assessment of the site included an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Survey; (2) Site Plan; (3) Nuisances, Hazards, Detrimental Influences \& Environmental; (4) Topography; (5) Flood Zone; (6) Difficult to Develop Area Status; (7) Qualified Census Tract Status; and (8) Traffic Patterns, Access \& Visibility.

## Survey

A survey for the subject property was provided to the analyst for review. Current surveys should be evaluated to ascertain whether there are any easements encumbering the subject property. Our review/inspection suggested that the site is currently encumbered by standard utility easements that do not adversely affect its marketability and that the site is serviced by municipal utilities.

## Site Plan

A site plan for the subject property was not provided to the analyst for review. Site plans are necessary to analyze the site improvements, parking configuration, internal traffic flow, location of building improvements and landscaping improvements for the subject property. The developer did provide us with a description of the development's site features. A summary of these features is found below.

## Acres / Lot Shape / Frontage

The subject property includes an irregular-shaped parcel consisting of approximately 7.89 acres and approximately 700 feet of road frontage.

## Zoning

According to the sponsor, the subject property is currently zoned RMF2. It is our understanding that the current zoning for the subject is a legal, conforming use.

## Parking / Streets / Curbs / Sidewalks

A total of 204 parking spaces are planned for this development (197 regular / 7 accessible / 2.00 spaces per unit). Privately-owned parking areas are planned for the subject property. We normally see 1.5 to 2.0 spaces per unit for projects like the subject. Public transportation is found in the immediate area. In our opinion, the proposed parking appears adequate for the subject property.

## Dumpsters / Dumpster Enclosures

The subject includes 2 publicly-owned dumpsters along with 2 privately-owned wood enclosures.

## Landscaping / Perimeter Fence / Retaining Walls / Entry Sign

Trees, shrubs \& lawns are proposed for the subject property. A perimeter fence is not planned for the subject property. Retaining walls are proposed for this development. One unlighted entry sign is proposed for this property.

## Stormwater Management / Site Lighting / Water Service / Wastewater Service

Stormwater management consists of catch basins and concrete pipe connecting to a public system. Site lighting consists of publicly-owned HID poles. Domestic water service to buildings consists of ductile iron pipe connecting to a public system. Wastewater service to buildings consists of PVC pipe connecting to a public system.

## Nuisances, Hazards, Detrimental Influences \& Environmental

We did not observe any nuisances, hazards, detrimental influences or recognized environmental conditions on our inspection of the subject property. Nevertheless, we recommend that the sponsor obtain a comprehensive environmental assessment from a qualified professional.

## Topography

The USGS map showing the topography of the subject property and surrounding area is found below:


The topographic map shows that the site is flat and drains to adjacent properties to the west. In our opinion, there do not appear to be any topographic issues with respect to the subject property.

## Flood Zone

The map showing the location of the subject property relative to nearby areas prone to flooding (identified in purple) is found below:


According to FEMA map number 1351580046 dated September 05, 2007, the subject property is located in Zone X . This is an area that is identified as being located outside of - but near - the 100-year flood zone.

## Difficult to Develop Area Status

The subject proprterty is located in Muscogee County, Georgia - an area that is not designated as a Difficult to Develop Area. Consequently, the subject property does not appear to qualify for special DDA funding under state and federal programs.

## Qualified Census Tract Status

The federal government has identified census tracts throughout the United States that include high concentrations of low-income households and substandard housing units. These areas, known as Qualified Census Tracts, qualify for special funding under various state and federal programs. A QCT map showing the location of the subject property is found below:


The subject property is located in Census Tract 16.00 - an area that is designated as a Qualified Census Tract. Consequently, the subject property does appear to qualify for special QCT funding under state and federal programs.

## Traffic Patterns, Access \& Visibility

A traffic map identifying the subject property is found below:


## Access

The subject property is located on 20th Street, approximately 1 block west of 2nd Avenue in Columbus, Georgia. Second Avenue is a heavily-traveled north-south road carrying approximately 19,000 vehicles per day. We did not observe any road or infrastructure improvements taking place in the immediate vicinity of the subject property. In our opinion, therefore, accessibility is good by virtue of the location of the subject property relative to existing streets and thoroughfares.

Visibility
The subject property is visible from 20th Street with significant frontage and a moderate volume of drive-by traffic. Consequently, in our opinion visibility is good by virtue of the exposure of the subject property to existing drive-by traffic volumes.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the access and visibility for the subject property and the most comparable properties on a 1-5 scale (1 being the worst and 5 being the best). A table summarizing our findings is found below:

| Rating |  |  |  | Rank |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { ৷ }}{\text { ৷ }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\pi}{i=\frac{2}{0}}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\bar{n}} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub | Mill Village | 3.00 | 3.50 | 7 | 1 |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 1 | 1 |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 1 | 1 |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 14 | 13 |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 14 | 13 |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | 2.50 | 2.50 | 14 | 13 |
| 030 | Lory (The) of Columbus | 3.00 | 3.00 | 7 | 6 |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | 3.50 | 3.00 | 1 | 6 |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | 2.50 | 2.50 | 14 | 13 |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | 3.00 | 3.00 | 7 | 6 |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 3.50 | 3.50 | 1 | 1 |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | 3.50 | 2.50 | 1 | 13 |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | 3.00 | 3.00 | 7 | 6 |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 3.00 | 3.00 | 7 | 6 |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 3.00 | 3.00 | 7 | 6 |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | 3.00 | 3.00 | 7 | 6 |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 3.50 | 3.50 | 1 | 1 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

## NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

## Neighborhood

Our assessment of the neighborhood includes an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Life Cycle; (2) Surrounding Properties; (3) Economic Characteristics; (4) Crime Rates; (5) Educational Attainment; and (6) Commuting Patterns.

## Life Cycle

Neighborhoods are sometimes thought to evolve through four distinct stages:

- Growth - A period during which the area gains public favor and acceptance.
- Stability - A period of equilibrium without marked gains or loses.
- Decline - A period of diminishing demand.
- Revitalization - A period of renewal, redevelopment, modernization, and increasing demand.

Based on our evaluation of the neighborhood, the subject property is located in an area that appears to be in the stability stage of its life cycle. Modest population growth is anticipated for the next several years.

## Surrounding Properties

The subject property is located in Columbus, Georgia. The immediate area consists of a mix of uses.

Single family in fair condition and retail in good condition are located to the north of the subject property; an active rail line and an electrical substation are located to the south; commercial in good condition is located to the east; the Chattahoochee River is located to the west of the subject property. Neighboring land uses - with the exception of the rail line and the electrical substation - appear to be complimentary to the use of the subject property. The condition of the neighboring properties - with the excpetion of the neighboring single family - appears to be complimentary as well.

Surrounding property uses are summarized in the table found below:

| Surrounding Properties |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Direction | Use | Condition |
| North | Single Family/Retail | Fair/Good |
| South | Rail Line/Electrical Substation | - |
| East | Commercial | Good |
| West | Chattahoochee River | - |
|  | Source: Allen \& Associates |  |

## Economic Characteristics

The subject property is located in an area with average household incomes of \$19,821 (in 2015 dollars); this is compared with $\$ 42,309$ for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with median cash rents of $\$ 617$ (in 2015 dollars); this is compared with $\$ 849$ for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

Finally, the subject property is located in an area with median single family home values of \$76,500 (in 2015 dollars); this is compared with $\$ 142,908$ for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

## Crime Rates

The subject property is located in an area with personal crime rates of $3.3 \%$. Personal crime includes offenses such as rape, murder, robbery and assault. Our research suggests that the average personal crime rate for the most comparable properties stands at $12.8 \%$.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with property crime rates of $10.2 \%$. Property crimes include offenses such as burglary, larceny and theft. Our research suggests that the average property crime rate for the most comparable properties stands at $22.6 \%$.

Please note: The crime statistics included in this analysis are historical area-wide figures. These statistics make no consideration for changing demographics or the implementation of an affirmative crime prevention program at the subject property.

## Educational Attainment

The subject property is located in an area with high school graduation rates of $74.8 \%$; this is compared with $80.1 \%$ for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with college graduation rates of $12.7 \%$; this is compared with $23.6 \%$ for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

## Commuting Patterns

The subject property is located in an area with an average drive to work of 22.5 minutes; this is compared with 22.5 minutes for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with an average of 0.84 vehicles per household; this is compared with 1.32 vehicles per household for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

## Conclusion

In our opinion, the subject property has a fair to good location relative to competing properties with respect to neighborhood characteristics.

## Proximity to Area Amenities

Our assessment included an evaluation of the proximity of various amenities to the subject and the most comparable properties. We looked at the following amenities in our analysis: (1) Banks; (2) Grocery; (3) Emergency Clinics; (4) Pharmacies; and (5) Discount Stores.

A listing of some of the area amenities is found below. An amenity map is found in the following pages:

| Amenity | Proximity to Area Amenities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank | Name | Miles |
| Grocery | Synovus Bank | 0.8 mi SE |
| Emergency Clinic | Piggly Wiggly | 1.4 mi SE |
| Pharmacy | Midtown Medical Center West Campus Hospital | 0.5 mi SE |
| Discount Store | Bargain Town | 0.5 mi SE |
| Elementary School | McLlhenny Elementary School | 2.2 mi SE |
| Middle School | St Luke Middle School | 0.3 mi NE |
| High School | Jordan Vocational High School | 0.2 mi SE |
| Bus Stop | 20th Street \& 2nd Avenue | 1.5 mi NE |

Source: Google Maps
Synovus Bank, Piggly Wiggly, My Care Pharmacy, and Bargain Town are all located less than 2.5 miles away from the subject property. Midtown Medical Center is located 0.5 miles away.

## Number of Area Amenities

We utilized Microsoft Streets \& Trips to evaluate the subject and the most comparable properties with respect to the number of amenities in the immediate area.

- Microsoft Streets \& Trips identified 22 banks within 2.0 miles of the subject property. The subject is ranked 1 out of the 17 properties included in this analysis.
- A total of 25 grocery stores are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 2 for the area.
- A total of 6 hospital are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 1 for the area.
- A total of 15 pharmacies are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 3 for the area.
- A total of 60 shopping centers are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 4 for the area.


## Nearest Area Amenities

We utilized Microsoft Streets \& Trips to evaluate the subject and the most comparable properties with respect to the nearest area amenities.

- According to Microsoft Streets \& Trips, the nearest bank is 0.48 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 7 out of the 17 properties included in this analysis.
- The nearest grocery store is 0.12 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 3 for the area.
- The nearest hospital is 0.39 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 3 for the area.
- The nearest pharmacy is 0.62 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 6 for the area.
- The nearest shopping center is 0.24 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 3 for the area.


## Conclusion

In our opinion, the subject property has a very good location relative to competing properties with respect to area amenities.

Tables comparing the subject property's proximity to area amenities to that of the most comparable properties is found on the next page. Maps showing the proximity of the subject property to area amenities and area employers is also found in the following pages.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the neighborhood and the proximity to area amenities for the subject property and the most comparable properties on a 1-5 scale ( 1 being the worst and 5 being the best). The tables on the following pages give these ratings.

| Neighborhood Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rank（1＝Property with Highest Rating） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Surrounding Area |  |  | Crime Rates |  | Education |  | Commute | Surrounding Area |  |  | Crime Rates |  | Education |  |  |  |
| 入े |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub | Mill Village | \＄19，821 | \＄617 | \＄76，500 | 3．3\％ | 10．2\％ | 74．8\％ | 12．7\％ | 22.50 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 2.60 |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | \＄19，750 | \＄641 | \＄49，000 | 44．3\％ | 78．3\％ | 90．1\％ | 8．6\％ | 25.05 | 11 | 8 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 2.20 |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | \＄19，750 | \＄641 | \＄49，000 | 44．3\％ | 78．3\％ | 90．1\％ | 8．6\％ | 25.05 | 11 | 8 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 2.20 |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | \＄18，167 | \＄597 | \＄147，200 | 16．1\％ | 31．4\％ | 83．9\％ | 16．1\％ | 20.89 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 2.50 |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | \＄18，167 | \＄597 | \＄147，200 | 16．1\％ | 31．4\％ | 83．9\％ | 16．1\％ | 20.89 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 2.50 |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | \＄18，517 | \＄484 | \＄152，219 | 36．8\％ | 70．3\％ | 59．5\％ | 10．4\％ | 31.41 | 13 | 17 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 17 | 2.00 |
| 030 | Lory（The）of Columbus | \＄72，262 | \＄986 | \＄285，000 | 1．1\％ | 1．0\％ | 97．1\％ | 51．0\％ | 20.90 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 4.20 |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | \＄67，045 | \＄1，152 | \＄165，100 | 1．5\％ | 0．3\％ | 92．9\％ | 41．1\％ | 21.54 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 4.10 |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | \＄114，706 | \＄2，001 | \＄409，700 | 8．2\％ | 4．8\％ | 96．6\％ | 61．6\％ | 19.47 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4.50 |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | \＄67，945 | \＄1，093 | \＄151，700 | 4．0\％ | 9．3\％ | 86．1\％ | 32．9\％ | 20.78 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 4.00 |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | \＄11，747 | \＄489 | \＄152，219 | 7．9\％ | 11．5\％ | 63．8\％ | 3．5\％ | 24.05 | 16 | 16 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 17 | 14 | 2.00 |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | \＄24，940 | \＄565 | \＄56，900 | 8．5\％ | 14．5\％ | 52．6\％ | 6．6\％ | 22.24 | 8 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 10 | 2.30 |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | \＄74，405 | \＄1，206 | \＄178，400 | 5．0\％ | 4．3\％ | 98．0\％ | 54．2\％ | 23.26 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 4.00 |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | \＄24，940 | \＄565 | \＄56，900 | 8．5\％ | 14．5\％ | 52．6\％ | 6．6\％ | 22.24 | 8 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 10 | 2.30 |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | \＄11，196 | \＄607 | \＄49，000 | 3．7\％ | 5．7\％ | 66．9\％ | 6．2\％ | 20.18 | 17 | 11 | 15 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 2.60 |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | \＄67，945 | \＄1，093 | \＄151，700 | 4．0\％ | 9．3\％ | 86．1\％ | 32．9\％ | 20.78 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 4.00 |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | \＄67，945 | \＄1，093 | \＄151，700 | 4．0\％ | 9．3\％ | 86．1\％ | 32．9\％ | 20.78 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 4.00 |

Proximity to Area Amenities

|  |  | Rating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rank（1＝Property with Highest Rating） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number within 2.0 miles of Property |  |  |  |  | Nearest to Property，Miles |  |  | Number within 2.0 miles of Property |  |  |  |  | Nearest to Property，Miles |  |  |  |
| 㐅㐅㐅 | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{Z u} \\ & \stackrel{U}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\ddot{U}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{W} \\ & \text { "in } \\ & \text { 우 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | 응 응 ㅇ 心 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त্ত } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { OU } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त্ট̀ } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{W 0} \\ & \text { "̀in } \\ & \text { 우 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { 흥 } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 흥 } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त্} \\ & \ddot{\ddot{0}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Sub | Mill Village | 22 | 25 | 6 | 15 | 60 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4.40 |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 26 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 3.20 |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 26 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 3.20 |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | 22 | 23 | 6 | 18 | 74 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4.50 |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | 22 | 23 | 6 | 18 | 74 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4.50 |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | 6 | 26 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 3.40 |
| 030 | Lory（The）of Columbus | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 15 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 2.00 |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 38 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 4.1 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 16 | 6 | 11 | 2.30 |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 17 | 17 | 5 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 6 | 2.20 |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | 4 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 38 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 2.30 |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 21 | 20 | 4 | 13 | 48 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 12 | 4 | 3.30 |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | 6 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 3.30 |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | 18 | 12 | 0 | 9 | 91 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4.00 |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 6 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 3.30 |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 6 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 3.40 |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 41 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 12 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 16 | 15 | 2.60 |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 36 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 2.20 |

Source：US Census；Claritas；Google Maps

Proximity to Area Amenities


Proximity to Area Employers


## SUBJECT PROPERTY PHOTOS

Photos of the subject property and the surrounding area are found below:


Subject Property


Looking North From Entrance


Looking East From Entrance


Looking South From Entrance


Looking West From Entrance

## MARKET AREA

## Overview

Market areas are influenced by a variety of interrelated factors. These factors include site location, economic, and demographic characteristics (tenure, income, rent levels, etc.), local transportation patterns, physical boundaries (rivers, streams, topography, etc.), census geographies, and the location of comparable and/or potentially competing communities.

In areas where the county seat is the largest city, centrally located, and draws from the entire county, the county may be the market area. In the case where there are potentially competing communities in one county, the market area may be part of the county. In fact, the market area could include portions of adjacent counties. In this case, a combination of county subdivisions may be used to define the market area. In urban or suburban areas, the market area will be adjacent to the site extending to all locations of similar character with residents or potential residents likely to be interested in the project. In this case, county subdivisions, townships, or a combination of census tracts may be used to define the market area.

Allen \& Associates recently conducted a series of property management interviews to better understand market areas and resident moving patterns for multifamily properties. Our study suggested that markets may be classified into the following general categories: urban, suburban and rural. Renters in urban markets are typically willing to move 5 to 10 minutes when looking for a new apartment. Our research also shows that renters in suburban markets are normally willing to move 10 to 15 minutes when looking for a new place to live. Renters in rural markets are typically willing to move 15 to 20 minutes when looking for a new apartment. We considered these general guidelines in our evaluation of the subject property.

Our study suggested that secondary market areas were generally a function of whether the proposed development was family or elderly. Our research suggested that secondary market demand for family properties ranged from 10 to 30 percent. Secondary market demand for elderly properties ranged from 10 to 50 percent. Although seniors move less frequently than younger renters, they are often willing to move longer distances when looking for housing. We considered these general secondary market guidelines in our evaluation of the subject property.

Our primary and secondary market area definitions are found below.

## Primary Market Area

We defined the primary market area by generating a 10 -minute drive time zone around the subject property. We also considered existing concentrations of multifamily properties and the nearest census tract boundaries in our analysis.

Primary market area, drive time and existing multifamily maps are found in the following pages. The primary market area included all or part of the following census tracts:

| Census Tract | County | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13215000200 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215000300 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215000400 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215000800 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215000900 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215001000 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215001100 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215001200 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215001400 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215001600 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215001800 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215002000 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215002100 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215002200 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215002300 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215002400 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215002500 | Muscogee County | Georgia |


| 13215002700 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13215002800 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215002901 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215002902 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215003000 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215003200 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215003400 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010106 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010201 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010203 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010204 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010205 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010301 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010302 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010401 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010402 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010501 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010602 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010701 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215010702 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215011100 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215011200 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215011400 | Muscogee County | Georgia |
| 13215011500 | Muscogee County | Georgia |

The primary market area includes a population of 112,470 persons and covers a total of 52.6 square miles, making it 8.2 miles across on average.

## Secondary Market Area

We estimate that up to 20 percent of demand will come from areas outside of the primary market area.


Drive Time


Existing Multifamily


## ECONOMIC OUTLOOK

In this section we conduct an analysis of the regional economy. For purposes of our analysis, we define the region as Muscogee County, Georgia. A map depicting the Region is found below.


## Employment by Industry

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks establishment employment by major industry. In the table below we present the current breakdown and percent distribution. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Establishment Employment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | Region | Reg $\%$ | US \% |
| Farm Employment | 25 | $0.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities And Other Employment | 171 | $0.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Mining Employment | 114 | $0.1 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Utilities Employment | 268 | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Construction Employment | 4,904 | $3.7 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| Manufacturing Employment | 6,563 | $4.9 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| Wholesale Trade Employment | 3,399 | $2.6 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| Retail Trade Employment | 14,135 | $10.6 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| Transportation And Warehousing Employment | 2,121 | $1.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Information Employment | 1,523 | $1.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Finance And Insurance Employment | 15,344 | $11.5 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| Real Estate And Rental And Lease Employment | 4,752 | $3.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Professional And Technical Services Employment | 6,704 | $5.0 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| Management Of Companies And Enterprises Employment | 1,441 | $1.1 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Administrative And Waste Services Employment | 7,578 | $5.7 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Educational Services Employment | 1,395 | $1.0 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Health Care And Social Assistance Employment | 16,313 | $12.3 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| Arts, Entertainment, And Recreation Employment | 1,763 | $1.3 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Accommodation And Food Services Employment | 12,411 | $9.3 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| Other Services, Except Public Administration Employment | 9,293 | $7.0 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Federal Civilian Government Employment | 6,618 | $5.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Federal Military Employment | 2,957 | $2.2 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| State And Local Government Employment | 13,209 | $9.9 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| Establishment Employment | 133,001 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Regional establishment employment currently stands at 133,001. The data suggests that Health Care and Social Assistance is the largest employment category accounting for $12.3 \%$ of total regional employment. Finance and Insurance is the second largest category accounting for $11.5 \%$ of total employment. Retail Trade is the third largest category accounting for $10.6 \%$ of total employment. State and Local Government is the fourth largest category accounting for $9.9 \%$ of total employment. Accommodation and Food Services is the fifth largest category accounting for $9.3 \%$ of total employment.

Economists generally classify employment two ways: basic and non-basic. Basic employment, which is considered to be the engine of a local economy, includes industries that rely on external factors to fuel demand. For instance, mining, logging and manufacturers are frequently considered basic employers. Goods for these industries are shipped outside the location where they are produced. Non-basic employers depend largely on local demand and usually employ local workers. For example, grocery stores and restaurants are sometimes considered non-basic employers.

The Location Quotient (LQ) technique is the most common method of identifying basic industries for a given economy. The LQ technique compares the share of workers in each industry of a given economy with that of a larger reference economy. If the number of workers in the given economy is greater than that of the reference economy, these are considered to be basic industries because they fill needs beyond those of the reference community.

In the table above we highlight the basic industries for the region. The distribution of employment in these industries exceeds that for the United States. These basic industries represent about 90,280 employees or about $67.9 \%$ of total regional employment. These are the industries that drive the regional economy.

## Earnings by Industry

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks average earnings by major industry. In the table below we present the current breakdown and rank. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Average Earnings (2009 \$) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | Earnings | Rank |
| Farm Employment | $\$ 19,280$ | 20 |
| Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities And Other Employment | $\$ 31,029$ | 15 |
| Mining Employment | $\$ 50,175$ | 11 |
| Utilities Employment | $\$ 107,776$ | 1 |
| Construction Employment | $\$ 40,702$ | 14 |
| Manufacturing Employment | $\$ 64,563$ | 6 |
| Wholesale Trade Employment | $\$ 58,314$ | 8 |
| Retail Trade Employment | $\$ 23,181$ | 16 |
| Transportation And Warehousing Employment | $\$ 45,284$ | 13 |
| Information Employment | $\$ 59,996$ | 7 |
| Finance And Insurance Employment | $\$ 72,368$ | 5 |
| Real Estate And Rental And Lease Employment | $\$ 20,407$ | 18 |
| Professional And Technical Services Employment | $\$ 52,103$ | 9 |
| Management Of Companies And Enterprises Employment | $\$ 97,904$ | 2 |
| Administrative And Waste Services Employment | $\$ 21,240$ | 17 |
| Educational Services Employment | $\$ 18,648$ | 22 |
| Health Care And Social Assistance Employment | $\$ 47,612$ | 12 |
| Arts, Entertainment, And Recreation Employment | $\$ 14,765$ | 23 |
| Accommodation And Food Services Employment | $\$ 18,760$ | 21 |
| Other Services, Except Public Administration Employment | $\$ 20,251$ | 19 |
| Federal Civilian Government Employment | $\$ 74,180$ | 4 |
| Federal Military Employment | $\$ 85,444$ | 3 |
| State And Local Government Employment | $\$ 50,257$ | 10 |
| Establishment Employment | $\$ 44,307$ |  |

The data suggests that Utilities is the highest paid industry averaging $\$ 107,776$ per employee. Management of Companies is the second highest paid industry averaging $\$ 97,904$ per employee. Federal Military is the third highest paid profession averaging $\$ 85,444$ per employee. Federal Civilian Government is the fourth highest paid industry averaging $\$ 74,180$ per employee. Finance and Insurance is the fifth highest paid category averaging $\$ 72,368$ per employee. These figures are compared with regional Average Earnings of $\$ 44,307$ per employee.

The highlighted industries represent basic industries for the region. Average earnings for these basic industries comes to \$49,007 or $10.6 \%$ higher than average for the region.

## Top Employers

The table below gives a listing of the region's top employers. The data comes from InfoUSA and includes a primary industry description for each employer.

| Top Employers |  |  |  | Location Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Employees | SIC Code | Industry Description | No |
| Fort Benning | 32,000 | $9711-09$ | Military Bases | No |
| Aflac Inc | 4,000 | $6411-12$ | Insurance | No |
| Pratt \& Whitney Engine Svc | 2,900 | $3724-98$ | Aircraft Engines \& Engine Parts-Mfrs | Hospitals |
| St Francis Hospital | 2,428 | $8062-02$ | Insurance | No |
| Aflac | 2,000 | $6411-12$ | No | No |
| Total System Svc Inc | 2,000 | $7374-04$ | Credit Card-Merchant Services | Hospitals |
| Martin Army Community Hospital | 1,700 | $8062-02$ | No | No |
| W C Bradley Char-Broil Plant | 1,700 | $3631-01$ | Barbecue Equipment \& Supplies-Mfrs | No |
| Meridian Brick | 1,200 | $3251-01$ | Brick-Clay Common \& Face-Manufacturers | Contractors |
| L \& S Svc | 1,000 | $1799-77$ |  |  |

The top employers include: (1) Fort Benning (32000 employees); (2) Aflac Inc (4000 employees) and; (3) Pratt \& Whitney Engine Svc (2900 employees).

## Population

In this section we present population data for the region. The table and graph below show historic data since 2010 along with a forecast through 2023. The historic data comes from the US Census; the forecast comes from Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pop | Growth $\%$ |
| 2010 | 191,122 |  |
| 2011 | 19,400 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2012 | 199,466 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2013 | 203,669 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2014 | 201,245 | $-1.2 \%$ |
| 2015 | 199,651 | $-0.8 \%$ |
| 2016 | 197,485 | $-1.1 \%$ |
| 2017 | 198,672 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2018 | 200,004 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2019 | 201,339 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2020 | 202,673 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2021 | 204,008 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2022 | 205,341 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2023 | 206,671 | $0.6 \%$ |



Source: US Census; W\&P Economics
Population increased from 191,122 in 2010 to 200,004 in 2018 and is anticipated to increase to 206,671 in 2023.

## Establishment Employment

In this section we present establishment employment data for the region. The table and graph below show historic data since 2010 along with a forecast through 2023. The historic data comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS); the forecast comes from Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Establishment Employment Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Est Emp | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 125,127 |  |
| 2011 | 128,797 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 2012 | 129,945 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2013 | 129,375 | $-0.4 \%$ |
| 2014 | 128,495 | $-0.7 \%$ |
| 2015 | 127,231 | $-1.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | 125,480 | $-1.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 128,978 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 2018 | 131,147 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2019 | 133,001 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2020 | 134,719 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 2021 | 136,577 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2022 | 138,461 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2023 | 140,162 | $1.2 \%$ |



Source: BLS; W\&P Economics
Establishment employment increased from 125,127 in 2010 to 131,147 in 2018 and is anticipated to increase to 140,162 in 2023.

## Civilian Employment

In this section we present civilian employment data for the region. The table and graph below show historic data since 2010 along with a forecast through 2023. The historic data comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS); the forecast utilizes data from Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Civilian Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yearecast | Civ Emp | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 81,722 |  |
| 2011 | 82,862 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2012 | 83,618 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2013 | 83,131 | $-0.6 \%$ |
| 2014 | 80,815 | $-2.8 \%$ |
| 2015 | 78,900 | $-2.4 \%$ |
| 2016 | 78,505 | $-0.5 \%$ |
| 2017 | 79,552 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 2018 | 80,085 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2019 | 80,620 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2020 | 81,154 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2021 | 81,689 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2022 | 82,222 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2023 | 82,755 | $0.6 \%$ |



Civilian employment decreased from 81,722 in 2010 to 79,552 in 2017 and is anticipated to increase to 82,755 in 2023.

## Labor Force and Unemployment

In this section we take a look at the labor force and unemployment. The table below shows civilian employment, unemployment and labor force statistics for the region since 2010. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via the Texas A\&M Real Estate Center.

| Labor Force \& Unemployment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Civ Emp | Unemp | Lab Force | Unemp Rate |
| 2010 | 81,722 | 8,238 | 73,484 | $10.1 \%$ |
| 2011 | 82,862 | 8,303 | 74,559 | $10.0 \%$ |
| 2012 | 83,618 | 8,186 | 75,432 | $9.8 \%$ |
| 2013 | 83,131 | 7,756 | 75,375 | $9.3 \%$ |
| 2014 | 80,815 | 6,780 | 74,035 | $8.4 \%$ |
| 2015 | 78,900 | 5,886 | 73,014 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 2016 | 78,505 | 5,315 | 73,190 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 2017 | 79,552 | 4,813 | 74,739 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Source: BLS; Texas A\&M Real Estate Center |  |  |  |  |

Unemployment decreased from 8,238 in 2010 to 4,813 in 2017. The unemployment rate decreased from $10.1 \%$ in 2010 to $6.1 \%$ in 2017 .

The table and graph below show the unemployment rate for the region for the past 12 months.

| Unemployment Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Month | Unemp Rate |
| Dec-17 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Jan-18 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Feb-18 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Mar-18 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Apr-18 | $4.9 \%$ |
| May-18 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Jun-18 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Jul-18 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Aug-18 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Sep-18 | $4.2 \%$ |
| Oct-18 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Nov-18 | $4.2 \%$ |
| Dec-18 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Source: TAMU |  |



The Unemployment Rate for the Region came in at 5.6\% in December 2017 and 4.9\% in December 2018.

## Building Permits

In this section we look at building permits. The table and graph below show historical data for the region since 2000. The data set comes from the US Census.

| Building Permits |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 Family | 2-4 Family | 5+ Family | Total |
| 2000 | 556 | 25 | 394 | 975 |
| 2001 | 506 | 25 | 650 | 1,181 |
| 2002 | 615 | 139 | 68 | 822 |
| 2003 | 704 | 74 | 448 | 1,226 |
| 2004 | 701 | 43 | 349 | 1,093 |
| 2005 | 846 | 49 | 371 | 1,266 |
| 2006 | 710 | 51 | 341 | 1,102 |
| 2007 | 488 | 38 | 296 | 822 |
| 2008 | 286 | 26 | 222 | 534 |
| 2009 | 223 | 23 | 92 | 338 |
| 2010 | 224 | 28 | 87 | 339 |
| 2011 | 217 | 27 | 125 | 369 |
| 2012 | 262 | 33 | 190 | 485 |
| 2013 | 318 | 28 | 201 | 547 |
| 2014 | 333 | 24 | 228 | 585 |
| 2015 | 290 | 0 | 326 | 616 |
| 2016 | 270 | 24 | 90 | 384 |
| 2017 | 311 | 28 | 136 | 475 |
|  |  | Source: US Census |  |  |

Building permits for the region increased from 975 in 2000 to 1,266 in 2005, before decreasing to 338 in 2009 and increasing to 475 in 2017.

## Conclusion

We anticipate moderate economic growth accompanied by modest population growth for the region over the next several years.

## DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

## Population

In the table below we give the 2010-2023 ESRI population projection for the Market Area. The data set comes from ESRI.

| Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Population | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 112,712 | - |
| 2011 | 112,720 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2012 | 112,729 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2013 | 112,737 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2014 | 112,746 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2015 | 112,754 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | 112,762 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2017 | 112,771 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2018 | 112,779 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2019 | 112,470 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| 2020 | 112,161 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| 2021 | 111,853 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| 2022 | 111,544 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| 2023 | 111,235 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2023 ESRI 55+ population projection for the Market Area.

| $55+$ Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Population | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 26,806 | - |
| 2011 | 27,331 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2012 | 27,855 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 2013 | 28,380 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 2014 | 28,905 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2015 | 29,429 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2016 | 29,954 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2017 | 30,478 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2018 | 31,003 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2019 | 31,148 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2020 | 31,293 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2021 | 31,437 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2022 | 31,582 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2023 | 31,727 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2023 ESRI 65+ population projection for the Market Area.

| $65+$ Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Population | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 14,433 | - |
| 2011 | 14,783 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 2012 | 15,133 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 2013 | 15,482 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2014 | 15,832 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2015 | 16,182 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2016 | 16,532 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2017 | 16,881 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2018 | 17,231 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2019 | 17,547 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2020 | 17,863 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2021 | 18,179 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2022 | 18,495 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2023 | 18,811 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



## Households

In the table below we give the 2010-2023 ESRI household projection for the Market Area. The data set comes from ESRI.

| Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 46,549 | - |
| 2011 | 46,569 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2012 | 46,590 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2013 | 46,610 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2014 | 46,630 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2015 | 46,650 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | 46,671 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2017 | 46,691 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2018 | 46,711 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2019 | 46,596 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| 2020 | 46,481 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| 2021 | 46,367 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| 2022 | 46,252 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| 2023 | 46,137 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2023 ESRI 55+ household projection for the Market Area.

| $55+$ Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth $\%$ |
| 2010 | 17,722 | - |
| 2011 | 17,988 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2012 | 18,254 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | 18,520 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2014 | 18,786 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2015 | 19,052 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2016 | 19,318 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 19,584 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2018 | 19,850 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2019 | 19,901 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2020 | 19,952 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2021 | 20,004 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2022 | 20,055 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2023 | 20,106 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2023 ESRI 65+ household projection for the Market Area.

| $65+$ Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 10,027 | - |
| 2011 | 10,216 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 2012 | 10,404 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2013 | 10,593 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2014 | 10,781 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2015 | 10,970 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2016 | 11,158 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2017 | 11,347 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2018 | 11,535 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2019 | 11,711 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2020 | 11,887 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2021 | 12,063 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2022 | 12,239 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2023 | 12,415 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Source ESRI; Allen \& Associates |  |  |

Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates


## Renter Households

In the table below we give the 2010-2023 ESRI renter household projection for the Market Area. The data set comes from ESRI.

| Renter Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 23,262 | - |
| 2011 | 23,614 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2012 | 23,967 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | 24,319 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2014 | 24,671 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2015 | 25,024 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2016 | 25,376 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 25,728 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2018 | 26,081 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2019 | 25,912 | $-0.6 \%$ |
| 2020 | 25,742 | $-0.7 \%$ |
| 2021 | 25,573 | $-0.7 \%$ |
| 2022 | 25,404 | $-0.7 \%$ |
| 2023 | 25,235 | $-0.7 \%$ |
| Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2023 ESRI 55+ renter household projection for the Market Area.

| $55+$ Renter Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 5,530 | - |
| 2011 | 5,613 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2012 | 5,696 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | 5,779 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2014 | 5,862 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2015 | 5,945 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2016 | 6,028 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 6,111 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2018 | 6,194 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2019 | 6,210 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2020 | 6,226 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2021 | 6,242 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2022 | 6,258 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2023 | 6,274 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2023 ESRI 65+ renter household projection for the Market Area.

| $65+$ Renter Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 2,676 | - |
| 2011 | 2,726 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 2012 | 2,777 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2013 | 2,827 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2014 | 2,877 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2015 | 2,928 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2016 | 2,978 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2017 | 3,028 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2018 | 3,078 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2019 | 3,125 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2020 | 3,172 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2021 | 3,219 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2022 | 3,266 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2023 | 3,313 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Source. | ESRI; All $\&$ Associates |  |

Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates


## Household Income

The following table shows the current distribution of household incomes for the Market Area. The data set comes from ESRI and Ribbon Demographics.

Households, by Income, by Size

| 2019 \$ |  | 2019 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 + Person | Total |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 3,247 | 1,034 | 774 | 644 | 202 | 93 | 5,994 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 2,980 | 1,557 | 675 | 485 | 213 | 77 | 5,987 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 2,336 | 1,783 | 865 | 504 | 241 | 143 | 5,872 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 1,717 | 1,264 | 811 | 393 | 219 | 101 | 4,505 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 1,455 | 1,214 | 678 | 362 | 161 | 64 | 3,933 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 1,209 | 1,109 | 467 | 326 | 197 | 86 | 3,394 |
| \$60,000 | \$74,999 | 941 | 1,630 | 617 | 465 | 183 | 80 | 3,916 |
| \$75,000 | \$99,999 | 1,008 | 1,662 | 1,031 | 534 | 327 | 142 | 4,704 |
| \$100,000 | \$124,999 | 488 | 1,065 | 674 | 458 | 268 | 116 | 3,069 |
| \$125,000 | \$149,999 | 206 | 602 | 372 | 362 | 176 | 72 | 1,792 |
| \$150,000 | \$199,999 | 260 | 622 | 268 | 230 | 113 | 38 | 1,531 |
| \$200,000 | more | 332 | 669 | 302 | 351 | 185 | 59 | 1,899 |
| Total |  | 16,178 | 14,211 | 7,535 | 5,115 | 2,485 | 1,072 | 46,596 |

The following table shows the current distribution of 55+ household incomes for the Market Area.

| 55+ Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $2019 \$$ |  | Min |  |  |  |  |  | Max |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 9,999$ | 1,818 | 480 | 105 | 66 | 68 | 26 | 2,562 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 19,999$ | 2,077 | 736 | 147 | 76 | 60 | 26 | 3,123 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,348 | 791 | 183 | 91 | 45 | 19 | 2,477 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 39,999$ | 816 | 655 | 151 | 104 | 37 | 12 | 1,775 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 49,999$ | 541 | 602 | 127 | 79 | 51 | 12 | 1,412 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 59,999$ | 412 | 555 | 201 | 104 | 76 | 30 | 1,378 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 74,999$ | 505 | 686 | 210 | 109 | 39 | 15 | 1,563 |
| $\$ 75,000$ | $\$ 99,999$ | 559 | 977 | 287 | 46 | 33 | 6 | 1,907 |
| $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 124,999$ | 303 | 633 | 186 | 43 | 78 | 21 | 1,265 |
| $\$ 125,000$ | $\$ 149,999$ | 183 | 419 | 127 | 70 | 28 | 6 | 832 |
| $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 199,999$ | 136 | 458 | 86 | 18 | 27 | 6 | 731 |
| $\$ 200,000$ | more | 241 | 446 | 96 | 71 | 19 | 2 | 876 |
| Total |  | 8,939 | 7,438 | 1,907 | 877 | 561 | 180 | 19,901 |

The following table shows the current distribution of 65+ household incomes for the Market Area.

| 65+ Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 \$ |  | 2019 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 + Person | Total |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 939 | 279 | 46 | 25 | 35 | 12 | 1,337 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 1,469 | 456 | 50 | 41 | 25 | 5 | 2,046 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 915 | 509 | 114 | 34 | 20 | 5 | 1,597 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 576 | 454 | 77 | 41 | 25 | 8 | 1,181 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 302 | 464 | 46 | 45 | 21 | 6 | 883 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 273 | 340 | 98 | 43 | 34 | 14 | 802 |
| \$60,000 | \$74,999 | 289 | 452 | 102 | 23 | 11 | 4 | 881 |
| \$75,000 | \$99,999 | 308 | 614 | 168 | 21 | 16 | 5 | 1,130 |
| \$100,000 | \$124,999 | 178 | 347 | 67 | 19 | 14 | 2 | 627 |
| \$125,000 | \$149,999 | 110 | 294 | 27 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 462 |
| \$150,000 | \$199,999 | 80 | 244 | 40 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 385 |
| \$200,000 | more | 93 | 205 | 34 | 36 | 10 | 1 | 378 |
|  |  | 5,532 | 4,658 | 869 | 360 | 230 | 63 | 11,711 |

## Renter Household Income

The following table shows the current distribution of renter household incomes for the Market Area. The data set comes from ESRI and Ribbon Demographics.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| $2019 \$$ |  |  | 2019 Households |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person | Total |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 | 4,727 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,896 | 993 | 579 | 422 | 170 | 64 | 4,124 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,492 | 1,204 | 637 | 335 | 179 | 110 | 3,958 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,174 | 581 | 557 | 211 | 184 | 91 | 2,798 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,024 | 647 | 404 | 197 | 118 | 47 | 2,438 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 59,999$ | 809 | 515 | 248 | 193 | 110 | 46 | 1,922 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 74,999$ | 500 | 800 | 284 | 138 | 135 | 61 | 1,918 |
| $\$ 75,000$ | $\$ 99,999$ | 574 | 479 | 346 | 275 | 156 | 62 | 1,891 |
| $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 124,999$ | 256 | 177 | 199 | 104 | 69 | 22 | 827 |
| $\$ 125,000$ | $\$ 149,999$ | 81 | 106 | 72 | 61 | 29 | 5 | 355 |
| $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 199,999$ | 167 | 112 | 42 | 60 | 45 | 13 | 440 |
| $\$ 200,000$ | more | 213 | 123 | 52 | 78 | 41 | 8 | 515 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,715 |

The following table shows the current distribution of 55+ renter household incomes for the Market Area.

| 2019 \$ |  | 2019 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 + Person | Total |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 1,143 | 164 | 54 | 26 | 53 | 22 | 1,461 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 1,027 | 181 | 74 | 34 | 39 | 16 | 1,371 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 489 | 278 | 44 | 28 | 24 | 7 | 871 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 336 | 118 | 62 | 32 | 15 | 2 | 564 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 196 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 4 | 411 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 163 | 68 | 29 | 36 | 19 | 4 | 319 |
| \$60,000 | \$74,999 | 125 | 91 | 47 | 19 | 23 | 9 | 314 |
| \$75,000 | \$99,999 | 207 | 78 | 38 | 12 | 18 | 2 | 355 |
| \$100,000 | \$124,999 | 81 | 26 | 21 | 7 | 21 | 3 | 159 |
| \$125,000 | \$149,999 | 49 | 19 | 16 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 104 |
| \$150,000 | \$199,999 | 58 | 17 | 7 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 102 |
| \$200,000 | more | 133 | 16 | 5 | 12 | 13 | 2 | 180 |
|  |  | 4,007 | 1,174 | 426 | 248 | 283 | 71 | 6,210 |

The following table shows the current distribution of 65+ renter household incomes for the Market Area.

65+ Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2019 \$ |  | 2019 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 + Person | Total |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 477 | 86 | 21 | 8 | 29 | 10 | 632 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 621 | 80 | 17 | 28 | 18 | 4 | 768 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 259 | 133 | 26 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 438 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 214 | 67 | 31 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 337 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 92 | 68 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 194 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 109 | 19 | 8 | 29 | 10 | 2 | 177 |
| \$60,000 | \$74,999 | 55 | 76 | 21 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 169 |
| \$75,000 | \$99,999 | 109 | 30 | 26 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 181 |
| \$100,000 | \$124,999 | 37 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 68 |
| \$125,000 | \$149,999 | 31 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 56 |
| \$150,000 | \$199,999 | 35 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 53 |
| \$200,000 | more | 24 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 52 |
|  |  | 2,063 | 591 | 182 | 139 | 129 | 21 | 3,125 |

## Overburdened Renter Households

The following tables give overburdened renter household data for the Market Area. The data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau.

| Overburdened Renter Households |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $\%$ of Total |
| $20-24 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $13.4 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $11.6 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $8.0 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $29.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau


Our research suggests that 29.8 percent of the renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 37.8 percent of the renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

| $55+$ Overburdened Renter Households |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of Total |  |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $29.0 \%$ |  |
| 20-24\% of Income Spent on Housing | $12.0 \%$ |  |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $12.8 \%$ |  |
| 30-34\% of Income Spent on Housing | $9.4 \%$ |  |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $36.8 \%$ |  |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Source: U S. Census Bureau |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau


Our research suggests that 36.8 percent of the $55+$ renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 46.2 percent of the 55+ renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

| $65+$ Overburdened Renter Households |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $\%$ of Total |
| $20-24 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $27.0 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $13.5 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $10.0 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $39.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau


Our research suggests that 39.0 percent of the $65+$ renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 49.0 percent of the 65+ renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

## Owner Substandard Units

The U.S. Census Bureau defines substandard housing units as follows: (1) Units without complete plumbing; or (2) Units with 1.00 or more persons per room.

The following tables give owner substandard housing unit data for occupied housing units in the nation, state, region and market area. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau:

| Owner Substandard Units |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | $98.0 \%$ |
| 1.01 to 1.50 persons per room | $1.3 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $0.5 \%$ |
| Complete Plumbing | $99.7 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | $0.2 \%$ |
| 1.01 to 1.50 persons per room | $0.0 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $0.0 \%$ |
| Lacking Complete Plumbing | $0.3 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Standard | $98.0 \%$ |
| Substandard | $2.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau


Our research suggests that 2.0 percent of occupied owner housing units in the market area are substandard.

## Renter Substandard Units

The following tables give renter substandard housing unit data for occupied housing units in the nation, state, region and market area. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau:

| Renter Substandard Units |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | of Total |
| 1.01 to 1.50 persons per room | $5.3 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $2.7 \%$ |
| Complete Plumbing | $99.4 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | $0.6 \%$ |
| 1.01 to 1.50 persons per room | $0.0 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $0.1 \%$ |
| Lacking Complete Plumbing | $0.6 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Standard | $91.3 \%$ |
| Substandard | $8.7 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau


Our research suggests that 8.7 percent of renter owner housing units in the market area are substandard.

## Owner Movership

The following tables give owner household movership data for the market area with an estimated breakout by household size. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Housing Survey:

| Owner Movership, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
|  | $2.6 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Owner to Owner | $2.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| Owner to Renter | $5.0 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ |
| Owner Movership Rate |  |  | $7.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests an owner movership rate of 7.4 percent.

Elderly Owner Movership, by Size

|  | AHS Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| Owner to Owner | $2.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Owner to Renter | $1.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |  |
| Owner Movership Rate | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests an elderly owner movership rate of 3.7 percent.

## Renter Movership

The following tables give renter household movership data for the market area with an estimated breakout by household size. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Housing Survey:

| Renter Movership, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| Renter to Renter | $11.3 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ |
| Renter to Owner | $2.7 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ |  |
| Renter Movership Rate | $14.0 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |

Our research suggests a renter movership rate of 33.6 percent.

| Elderly Renter Movership, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| Renter to Renter | $7.4 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Renter to Owner | $0.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Renter Movership Rate | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests an elderly renter movership rate of 8.0 percent.

## SUPPLY ANALYSIS

In conducting our analysis, we began by attempting to compile a list of every multifamily property with 10 or more units in the market area. We included conventionally-financed multifamily communities as well as properties financed by the local housing authority and the state housing finance agency in our listing. We even included properties financed by and/or subsidized by USDA and/or HUD. Finally, we included properties that are either proposed or currently under construction. The result was a listing of projects with 10 or more units whether existing, under construction, or proposed - for this area. Our rental property inventory listing is found in the pages that follow.

A map showing the location of the properties included in the rental property inventory is found in the pages that follow. Properties identified with red pushpins have 100 percent market rate units (market rate properties), properties identified with yellow pushpins have a mixture of market rate / restricted / subsidized units (restricted properties), and properties identified with blue pushpins have 100 percent project-based rental assistance (subsidized properties).

After accounting for any unconfirmed properties and any properties that are located outside the defined market area, we arrived at a list of confirmed market area properties. This was the listing of properties upon which our analysis is based. In our opinion, the properties included on this list give a credible picture of market conditions as of the effective date of this report. This listing is found in the pages that follow.

Our next step was to compile a master list of unrestricted market rate rent comparables from the listing of confirmed properties. We eliminated any properties which were either under construction, being renovated, in lease up, or which were unstabilized for one reason or another. We identified market rate properties of similar age and condition to the subject property. If we were unable to identify a sufficient number of market rate comparables in the market area, we included market rate properties from outside the market area. If we were still unable to identify a sufficient number of market rate comparables, we included rent restricted properties provided, however, that the rents charged at these properties were below statuatory limits and similar to the rents charged at the market rate properties in the market area (suggesting that these rent restricted properties were de facto market rate properties).

Finally, we compiled a master list of restricted rent comparables from the listing of confirmed properties. We used the same approach described above for unrestricted market rate properties.

The resulting master lists of rent comparables and accompanying locator maps are found in this section as well. Detailed write-ups for the properties included on these lists are found in the Appendix. We include writeups for all of the rent comparables identified on our master lists, regardless of whether they ended up being selected as one of the best rent comparables. We did this for two reasons: (1) To be transparent; and (2) To provide the reader with context regarding our selection process.

The balance of this section includes a breakdown of confirmed market area properties by rent type, project status, year built, and financing source. We also include a rent, unit mix, and amenity summary for confirmed market area properties. Finally, we provide summary of vouchers, concessions, and waiting lists for the properties included in this report.

Rental Property Inventory

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 11th Street Loft | 32.4667 | -84.9935 | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 46 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 002 | 2000 Wynnton Apartments | 32.4688 | -84.9633 | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 2 | 97.2\% |
| 003 | 24th Street Project | 32.4869 | -84.9847 | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 2 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 004 | 4411 First Avenue Apartments | 32.5059 | -84.9910 | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 16 | 1 | 93.8\% |
| 005 | Amber Cove Apartments | 32.4638 | -84.8925 | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 32.4271 | -84.9444 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 148 | 4 | 97.3\% |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 32.4293 | -84.9402 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 148 | 2 | 98.6\% |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 3 | 32.4269 | -84.9402 | 2012 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 120 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 009 | Armour Landing Apartments | 32.5019 | -84.9512 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | 32.4854 | -84.9807 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 184 | 7 | 96.2\% |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | 32.4862 | -84.9793 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 183 | 4 | 97.8\% |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | 32.4374 | -84.9402 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 232 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 013 | Brighton Park Apartments | 32.5503 | -84.8818 | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 224 | 11 | 95.1\% |
| 014 | Brittwood Apartments Phase 12 \& 3 | 32.5282 | -84.9546 | 1978 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 370 | 44 | 88.1\% |
| 015 | BTW-Chapman Phase II | 32.4558 | -84.9863 | 2016 | na | Restricted | Family | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 106 | 106 | 0.0\% |
| 016 | Calvary Community Phase 1 | 32.5560 | -84.9348 | 1989 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 50 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 017 | Calvary Community Phase 2 | 32.5560 | -84.9348 | 1992 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 58 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 018 | Cedar Pointe Apartments | 32.5365 | -84.9371 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 10 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 019 | Chase Homes | 32.4826 | -84.9911 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 108 | 2 | 98.1\% |
| 020 | Chimneys Apartments (The) | 32.5384 | -84.8709 | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 3 | 62.5\% |
| 021 | Cloister Apartments | 32.5002 | -84.9511 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 115 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 022 | Club Hill Apartments Phases 1 \& 2 | 32.5040 | -84.9501 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 232 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 023 | Clubview Court Apartments | 32.4994 | -84.9525 | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 024 | College Woods Apartments | 32.5042 | -84.9381 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 025 | Columbus Commons | 32.4558 | -84.9863 | 2017 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 106 | 3 | 97.2\% |
| 026 | Columbus Gardens Phase 1 | 32.4538 | -84.9890 | 1978 | 1995 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 116 | 4 | 96.6\% |
| 027 | Cooper Cove Apartments | 32.5159 | -84.9118 | 1978 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 52 | 5 | 90.4\% |
| 028 | Cooper Creek Village Apartments | 32.5141 | -84.9137 | 1988 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 61 | 9 | 85.2\% |
| 029 | Cross Creek Apartments | 32.4569 | -84.9285 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 200 | 19 | 90.5\% |
| 030 | Lory (The) of Columbus | 32.5686 | -84.9316 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 292 | 1 | 99.7\% |
| 031 | Crystal Park Apartments | 32.5152 | -84.8835 | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 2 | 91.7\% |
| 032 | Columbus Villas Apartments | 32.4669 | -84.8879 | 1979 | na | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 88 | 4 | 95.5\% |
| 033 | EE Farley Homes | 32.4581 | -84.9636 | 1958 | 2018 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 102 | 2 | 98.0\% |
| 034 | Elizabeth Canty Homes | 32.4511 | -84.9636 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 259 | 2 | 99.2\% |
| 035 | Essex Apartments | 32.4657 | -84.9537 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 6 | 1 | 83.3\% |
| 036 | Farrfield Manor | 32.4415 | -84.9237 | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 74 | 2 | 97.3\% |
| 037 | Fay Project | 32.4584 | -84.9655 | na | 1994 | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 1 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 038 | Flat Rock Square 2 Apartments | 32.5363 | -84.8735 | 1999 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 16 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 039 | Fourth Street Towers \& Houses | 32.4554 | -84.9905 | 1970 | na | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 58 | 27 | 53.4\% |
| 040 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 32.4975 | -84.9586 | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 1 | 98.6\% |
| 041 | Gentian Court Apartments | 32.5066 | -84.9356 | 1980 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 26 | 1 | 96.2\% |
| 042 | Gentian Oaks Apartments | 32.5068 | -84.9176 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 62 | 1 | 98.4\% |
| 043 | Grand Reserve (The) Apartments | 32.5654 | -84.9646 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 352 | 19 | 94.6\% |
| 044 | Greystone at Columbus Park | 32.5480 | -84.9369 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 174 | 2 | 98.9\% |
| 045 | Greystone At Country Club | 32.4859 | -84.9629 | 1963 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 184 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 046 | Greystone at Green Island Oaks | 32.5343 | -84.9943 | 2000 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 047 | Greystone at Inverness | 32.5560 | -84.9274 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 048 | Greystone at Main Street | 32.5384 | -84.9521 | 1997 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 154 | 1 | 99.4\% |
| 049 | Greystone at Maple Ridge Phase 1 | 32.5672 | -84.9094 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 48 | 4 | 91.7\% |
| 050 | Greystone at Maple Ridge Phase 2 | 32.5672 | -84.9094 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 82 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 051 | Greystone at The Woodlands | 32.5320 | -84.9934 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |

Rental Property Inventory

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 052 | Greystone at Waterford | 32.5004 | -84.9528 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 12 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 053 | Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 1 | 32.5241 | -84.9321 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 1 | 98.6\% |
| 054 | Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 32.5241 | -84.9321 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 75 | 2 | 97.3\% |
| 055 | Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 32.5241 | -84.9321 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 75 | 2 | 97.3\% |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | 32.5663 | -84.9684 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 214 | 1 | 99.5\% |
| 057 | Greystone Farms Reserve | 32.5516 | -84.8972 | 2000 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 058 | Greystone Summit Apartments | 32.5497 | -84.8999 | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 220 | 14 | 93.6\% |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | 32.5518 | -84.9980 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 204 | 1 | 99.5\% |
| 060 | Hamilton Station Phase 4 | 32.5529 | -84.9529 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 92 | 3 | 96.7\% |
| 061 | Hamilton Station Phases 12 \& 3 | 32.5529 | -84.9529 | 1983 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 294 | 13 | 95.6\% |
| 062 | Hardaway Square Apartments | 32.4989 | -84.9504 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 44 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 063 | Hardaway Townhouses | 32.4985 | -84.9523 | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 41 | 1 | 97.6\% |
| 064 | Heritage Place Apartments | 32.4555 | -84.9932 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 79 | 5 | 93.7\% |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | 32.5688 | -84.9588 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 297 | 10 | 96.6\% |
| 066 | Hillcrest Apartments | 32.4747 | -84.9578 | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 067 | Hilltop Apartments | 32.4722 | -84.9634 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 11 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 068 | IMS Columbus | 32.4873 | -84.9783 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 069 | Johnston Mill Lofts | 32.4936 | -84.9913 | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 335 | 13 | 96.1\% |
| 070 | Knight Project | 32.4584 | -84.9655 | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 2 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 071 | Kopak Apartments | 32.4728 | -84.9578 | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 29 | 1 | 96.6\% |
| 072 | Lakes Apartments | 32.5155 | -84.9223 | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 354 | 11 | 96.9\% |
| 073 | Le Craw on 13th | 32.4720 | -84.9650 | 1986 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 1 | 95.8\% |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 32.4586 | -84.9849 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 88 | 3 | 96.6\% |
| 075 | Lindsey Vistas | 32.4632 | -84.9395 | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 25 | 2 | 92.0\% |
| 076 | Links of Maple Ridge Apartments | 32.5561 | -84.9162 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 53 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 077 | Lodge Apartments | 32.4533 | -84.9321 | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 237 | 8 | 96.6\% |
| 078 | Lullwater at Maple Ridge Apartments | 32.5701 | -84.9271 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 304 | 12 | 96.1\% |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | 32.4363 | -84.9535 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 192 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 080 | Martha's Vineyard | 32.4878 | -84.9313 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 32 | 2 | 93.8\% |
| 081 | McLeod Square Apartments | 32.4936 | -84.9876 | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 40 | 2 | 95.0\% |
| 082 | Azalea Ridge (Midtown Square) | 32.4744 | -84.9397 | 2002 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 144 | 37 | 74.3\% |
| 083 | Midtown Tower | 32.4721 | -84.9614 | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 25 | 2 | 92.0\% |
| 084 | Mllestone Apartments | 32.5330 | -84.9064 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 32 | 2 | 93.8\% |
| 085 | Miller Creek Apartments | 32.5228 | -84.8984 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 4 | 50.0\% |
| 086 | Moon Road Project | 32.5601 | -84.9303 | 2012 | na | Restricted | Family | Non-Inventory | Bond | 270 | 270 | 0.0\% |
| 087 | Nicholson Terrace | 32.4731 | -84.9733 | 1965 | 1996 | Subsidized | Elderly | Duplicate | PHA | 100 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 088 | Nicholson Terrace | 32.4731 | -84.9733 | 1965 | 2018 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Bond | 100 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 089 | Northgate Village Apartments | 32.5179 | -84.9202 | 1975 | 2003 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 151 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 090 | Northwood Apartments | 32.5122 | -84.9538 | 1976 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 80 | 1 | 98.8\% |
| 091 | Oak Ridge Apartments | 32.5590 | -84.9410 | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 30 | 3 | 90.0\% |
| 092 | Overlook Club | 32.4656 | -84.9631 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 73 | 2 | 97.3\% |
| 093 | Overlook Crossing | 32.4672 | -84.9699 | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 164 | 8 | 95.1\% |
| 094 | Park Side at Britt David Apartments | 32.5235 | -84.9532 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 114 | 5 | 95.6\% |
| 095 | Park View Apartments | 32.5235 | -84.9532 | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 78 | 11 | 85.9\% |
| 096 | Patriot Pointe | 32.4225 | -84.9406 | 2016 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Lease Up | Tax Credit | 100 | 16 | 84.0\% |
| 097 | Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 38 | 4 | 89.5\% |
| 098 | Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 20 | 1 | 95.0\% |
| 099 | Pear Tree Place Apartments | 32.4945 | -84.9756 | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 11 | 1 | 90.9\% |
| 100 | Pembrook Apartments | 32.4679 | -84.9284 | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 130 | 4 | 96.9\% |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | 32.5399 | -84.9513 | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 300 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 102 | Promenade | 32.4990 | -84.9901 | 2012 | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |

Rental Property Inventory

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103 | Ralston (The) | 32.4687 | -84.9902 | 1914 | 1977 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 269 | 13 | 95.2\% |
| 104 | Renaissance Villa | 32.4587 | -84.9506 | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | HUD | 72 | 2 | 97.2\% |
| 105 | Rivers Homes | 32.4652 | -84.9763 | 1963 | 1996 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 24 | 2 | 91.7\% |
| 106 | Rose Hill Apartments | 32.4910 | -84.9813 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 1 | 95.8\% |
| 107 | Schatten Property | 32.4544 | -84.9303 | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 108 | South Park | 32.4529 | -84.9690 | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 17 | 2 | 88.2\% |
| 109 | Spring Cove Apartments | 32.5305 | -84.9078 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 108 | 4 | 96.3\% |
| 110 | Springcreek Village Apartments | 32.5649 | -84.9362 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 24 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 32.4373 | -84.9536 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 120 | 1 | 99.2\% |
| 112 | Sugar Mill Apartments | 32.5465 | -84.9056 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 226 | 6 | 97.3\% |
| 113 | Trace Townhomes | 32.4984 | -84.9523 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 114 | Twin Terrace Apartments | 32.5245 | -84.9172 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 18 | 2 | 88.9\% |
| 115 | University Crossing Apartments | 32.5043 | -84.9381 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 48 | 17 | 64.6\% |
| 116 | Veranda at Ashley Station | 32.4864 | -84.9793 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Bond | 63 | 2 | 96.8\% |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 32.4351 | -84.9534 | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 172 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 118 | Village On Cherokee | 32.4922 | -84.9637 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 84 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 119 | Village Square Apartments | 32.4757 | -84.9409 | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 70 | 2 | 97.1\% |
| 120 | Vista Estates | 32.4560 | -84.9470 | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 155 | 25 | 83.9\% |
| 121 | Walden Pond Apartments | 32.5617 | -84.9350 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Conventional | 236 | 14 | 94.1\% |
| 122 | Warren Williams Homes | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 181 | 12 | 93.4\% |
| 123 | Warren Williams Homes-Mod | 32.4652 | -84.9763 | 1945 | 2002 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 72 | 6 | 91.7\% |
| 124 | Warren Williams Non-Mod | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1945 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 88 | 7 | 92.0\% |
| 125 | Waverly Terrace Senior | 32.4893 | -84.9780 | 2015 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Construction | Tax Credit | 80 | 80 | 0.0\% |
| 126 | Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 32.4618 | -84.9306 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 285 | 22 | 92.3\% |
| 127 | Willow Glen | 32.4618 | -84.9306 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Special Needs | PHA | 26 | 1 | 96.2\% |
| 128 | Wilson Homes | 32.4956 | -84.9827 | 1952 | 2018 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 288 | 3 | 99.0\% |
| 129 | Winchester Apartments | 32.5091 | -84.9631 | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 130 | Woodcliff Apartments | 32.4485 | -84.9293 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 55 | 3 | 94.5\% |
| 131 | Woodville Apartments | 32.4910 | -84.9292 | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 83 | 2 | 97.6\% |
| 132 | Mill Village | 32.4835 | -84.9917 | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 102 | 102 | 0.0\% |
| 133 | Claflin School | 32.4758 | -84.9853 | 1921 | 2019 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab | Tax Credit | 44 | 44 | 0.0\% |
| 134 | Grayling Place | 32.4732 | -84.9548 | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 84 | 84 | 0.0\% |
| 135 | Highland Terrace | 32.4986 | -84.9830 | 2019 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 102 | 102 | 0.0\% |
| 136 | Highlands Kayne Blvd | 32.5558 | -84.9428 | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 80 | 80 | 0.0\% |
| 137 | Carolyne Ridge Apartments | 32.5008 | -84.9312 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 32 | 2 | 93.8\% |
| 138 | Eagle \& Phenix Mill | 32.4705 | -84.9954 | 1860 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 30 | 2 | 93.3\% |
| 139 | Gardens Columbus | 32.4542 | -84.9898 | 1984 | na | Subsidized | Family | Duplicate | Conventional | 116 | 4 | 96.6\% |
| 140 | Hidden Lake | 32.4865 | -84.9388 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Condominiums | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 141 | Lofts At Swift Mill | 32.4748 | -84.9842 | 1926 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 47 | 2 | 95.7\% |
| 142 | Nine South Tower | 32.4625 | -84.9923 | 1978 | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 143 | Preserve Of Green Island | 32.5386 | -84.9981 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 144 | Psalmond Properties Inc | 32.4764 | -84.9746 | 1989 | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 145 | Riverplace | 32.5401 | -84.9951 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Elderly | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 114 | 6 | 94.7\% |
| 146 | Rapids (The) at Riverfront | 32.4714 | -84.9952 | 2019 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up | Conventional | 226 | 137 | 39.4\% |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | 32.5681 | -84.9453 | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 32.5687 | -84.9392 | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 211 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 149 | Vesta Gardens | 32.5251 | -84.8873 | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 150 | Legend on Armour | 32.5020 | -84.9507 | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 48 | 0 | 100.0\% |



Rental Property Inventory, Unconfirmed

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 24th Street Project | 32.4869 | -84.9847 | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 2 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 024 | College Woods Apartments | 32.5042 | -84.9381 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 032 | Columbus Villas Apartments | 32.4669 | -84.8879 | 1979 | na | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 88 | 4 | 95.5\% |
| 037 | Fay Project | 32.4584 | -84.9655 | na | 1994 | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 1 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 039 | Fourth Street Towers \& Houses | 32.4554 | -84.9905 | 1970 | na | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 58 | 27 | 53.4\% |
| 046 | Greystone at Green Island Oaks | 32.5343 | -84.9943 | 2000 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 047 | Greystone at Inverness | 32.5560 | -84.9274 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 051 | Greystone at The Woodlands | 32.5320 | -84.9934 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 057 | Greystone Farms Reserve | 32.5516 | -84.8972 | 2000 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 067 | Hilltop Apartments | 32.4722 | -84.9634 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 11 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 070 | Knight Project | 32.4584 | -84.9655 | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 2 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 081 | McLeod Square Apartments | 32.4936 | -84.9876 | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 40 | 2 | 95.0\% |
| 090 | Northwood Apartments | 32.5122 | -84.9538 | 1976 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 80 | 1 | 98.8\% |
| 110 | Springcreek Village Apartments | 32.5649 | -84.9362 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 24 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 114 | Twin Terrace Apartments | 32.5245 | -84.9172 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 18 | 2 | 88.9\% |
| 137 | Carolyne Ridge Apartments | 32.5008 | -84.9312 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 32 | 2 | 93.8\% |
| 138 | Eagle \& Phenix Mill | 32.4705 | -84.9954 | 1860 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 30 | 2 | 93.3\% |
| 141 | Lofts At Swift Mill | 32.4748 | -84.9842 | 1926 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 47 | 2 | 95.7\% |
| 145 | Riverplace | 32.5401 | -84.9951 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Elderly | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 114 | 6 | 94.7\% |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 11th Street Loft | 32.4667 | -84.9935 | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 46 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 002 | 2000 Wynnton Apartments | 32.4688 | -84.9633 | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 2 | 97.2\% |
| 004 | 4411 First Avenue Apartments | 32.5059 | -84.9910 | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 16 | 1 | 93.8\% |
| 009 | Armour Landing Apartments | 32.5019 | -84.9512 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | 32.4854 | -84.9807 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 184 | 7 | 96.2\% |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | 32.4862 | -84.9793 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 183 | 4 | 97.8\% |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | 32.4374 | -84.9402 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 232 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 014 | Brittwood Apartments Phase 12 \& 3 | 32.5282 | -84.9546 | 1978 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 370 | 44 | 88.1\% |
| 016 | Calvary Community Phase 1 | 32.5560 | -84.9348 | 1989 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 50 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 017 | Calvary Community Phase 2 | 32.5560 | -84.9348 | 1992 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 58 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 018 | Cedar Pointe Apartments | 32.5365 | -84.9371 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 10 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 019 | Chase Homes | 32.4826 | -84.9911 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 108 | 2 | 98.1\% |
| 021 | Cloister Apartments | 32.5002 | -84.9511 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 115 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 022 | Club Hill Apartments Phases 1 \& 2 | 32.5040 | -84.9501 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 232 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 023 | Clubview Court Apartments | 32.4994 | -84.9525 | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 025 | Columbus Commons | 32.4558 | -84.9863 | 2017 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 106 | 3 | 97.2\% |
| 026 | Columbus Gardens Phase 1 | 32.4538 | -84.9890 | 1978 | 1995 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 116 | 4 | 96.6\% |
| 027 | Cooper Cove Apartments | 32.5159 | -84.9118 | 1978 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 52 | 5 | 90.4\% |
| 028 | Cooper Creek Village Apartments | 32.5141 | -84.9137 | 1988 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 61 | 9 | 85.2\% |
| 029 | Cross Creek Apartments | 32.4569 | -84.9285 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 200 | 19 | 90.5\% |
| 030 | Lory (The) of Columbus | 32.5686 | -84.9316 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 292 | 1 | 99.7\% |
| 034 | Elizabeth Canty Homes | 32.4511 | -84.9636 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 259 | 2 | 99.2\% |
| 035 | Essex Apartments | 32.4657 | -84.9537 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 6 | 1 | 83.3\% |
| 040 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 32.4975 | -84.9586 | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 1 | 98.6\% |
| 041 | Gentian Court Apartments | 32.5066 | -84.9356 | 1980 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 26 | 1 | 96.2\% |
| 042 | Gentian Oaks Apartments | 32.5068 | -84.9176 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 62 | 1 | 98.4\% |
| 043 | Grand Reserve (The) Apartments | 32.5654 | -84.9646 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 352 | 19 | 94.6\% |
| 044 | Greystone at Columbus Park | 32.5480 | -84.9369 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 174 | 2 | 98.9\% |
| 045 | Greystone At Country Club | 32.4859 | -84.9629 | 1963 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 184 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 048 | Greystone at Main Street | 32.5384 | -84.9521 | 1997 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 154 | 1 | 99.4\% |
| 052 | Greystone at Waterford | 32.5004 | -84.9528 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 12 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 053 | Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 1 | 32.5241 | -84.9321 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 1 | 98.6\% |
| 054 | Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 32.5241 | -84.9321 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 75 | 2 | 97.3\% |
| 055 | Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 32.5241 | -84.9321 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 75 | 2 | 97.3\% |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | 32.5663 | -84.9684 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 214 | 1 | 99.5\% |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | 32.5518 | -84.9980 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 204 | 1 | 99.5\% |
| 060 | Hamilton Station Phase 4 | 32.5529 | -84.9529 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 92 | 3 | 96.7\% |
| 061 | Hamilton Station Phases 12 \& 3 | 32.5529 | -84.9529 | 1983 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 294 | 13 | 95.6\% |
| 062 | Hardaway Square Apartments | 32.4989 | -84.9504 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 44 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 063 | Hardaway Townhouses | 32.4985 | -84.9523 | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 41 | 1 | 97.6\% |
| 064 | Heritage Place Apartments | 32.4555 | -84.9932 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 79 | 5 | 93.7\% |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | 32.5688 | -84.9588 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 297 | 10 | 96.6\% |
| 066 | Hillcrest Apartments | 32.4747 | -84.9578 | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 069 | Johnston Mill Lofts | 32.4936 | -84.9913 | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 335 | 13 | 96.1\% |
| 071 | Kopak Apartments | 32.4728 | -84.9578 | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 29 | 1 | 96.6\% |
| 072 | Lakes Apartments | 32.5155 | -84.9223 | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 354 | 11 | 96.9\% |
| 073 | Le Craw on 13th | 32.4720 | -84.9650 | 1986 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 1 | 95.8\% |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 32.4586 | -84.9849 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 88 | 3 | 96.6\% |
| 075 | Lindsey Vistas | 32.4632 | -84.9395 | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 25 | 2 | 92.0\% |
| 076 | Links of Maple Ridge Apartments | 32.5561 | -84.9162 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 53 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 077 | Lodge Apartments | 32.4533 | -84.9321 | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 237 | 8 | 96.6\% |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 078 | Lullwater at Maple Ridge Apartments | 32.5701 | -84.9271 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 304 | 12 | 96.1\% |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | 32.4363 | -84.9535 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 192 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 080 | Martha's Vineyard | 32.4878 | -84.9313 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 32 | 2 | 93.8\% |
| 082 | Azalea Ridge (Midtown Square) | 32.4744 | -84.9397 | 2002 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 144 | 37 | 74.3\% |
| 083 | Midtown Tower | 32.4721 | -84.9614 | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 25 | 2 | 92.0\% |
| 084 | Milestone Apartments | 32.5330 | -84.9064 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 32 | 2 | 93.8\% |
| 085 | Miller Creek Apartments | 32.5228 | -84.8984 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 4 | 50.0\% |
| 089 | Northgate Village Apartments | 32.5179 | -84.9202 | 1975 | 2003 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 151 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 091 | Oak Ridge Apartments | 32.5590 | -84.9410 | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 30 | 3 | 90.0\% |
| 092 | Overlook Club | 32.4656 | -84.9631 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 73 | 2 | 97.3\% |
| 093 | Overlook Crossing | 32.4672 | -84.9699 | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 164 | 8 | 95.1\% |
| 094 | Park Side at Britt David Apartments | 32.5235 | -84.9532 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 114 | 5 | 95.6\% |
| 095 | Park View Apartments | 32.5235 | -84.9532 | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 78 | 11 | 85.9\% |
| 097 | Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 38 | 4 | 89.5\% |
| 098 | Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 20 | 1 | 95.0\% |
| 099 | Pear Tree Place Apartments | 32.4945 | -84.9756 | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 11 | 1 | 90.9\% |
| 100 | Pembrook Apartments | 32.4679 | -84.9284 | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 130 | 4 | 96.9\% |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | 32.5399 | -84.9513 | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 300 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 103 | Ralston (The) | 32.4687 | -84.9902 | 1914 | 1977 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 269 | 13 | 95.2\% |
| 104 | Renaissance Villa | 32.4587 | -84.9506 | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | HUD | 72 | 2 | 97.2\% |
| 105 | Rivers Homes | 32.4652 | -84.9763 | 1963 | 1996 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 24 | 2 | 91.7\% |
| 106 | Rose Hill Apartments | 32.4910 | -84.9813 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 1 | 95.8\% |
| 108 | South Park | 32.4529 | -84.9690 | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 17 | 2 | 88.2\% |
| 109 | Spring Cove Apartments | 32.5305 | -84.9078 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 108 | 4 | 96.3\% |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 32.4373 | -84.9536 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 120 | 1 | 99.2\% |
| 112 | Sugar Mill Apartments | 32.5465 | -84.9056 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 226 | 6 | 97.3\% |
| 113 | Trace Townhomes | 32.4984 | -84.9523 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 115 | University Crossing Apartments | 32.5043 | -84.9381 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 48 | 17 | 64.6\% |
| 116 | Veranda at Ashley Station | 32.4864 | -84.9793 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Bond | 63 | 2 | 96.8\% |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 32.4351 | -84.9534 | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 172 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 118 | Village On Cherokee | 32.4922 | -84.9637 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 84 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 119 | Village Square Apartments | 32.4757 | -84.9409 | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 70 | 2 | 97.1\% |
| 120 | Vista Estates | 32.4560 | -84.9470 | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 155 | 25 | 83.9\% |
| 122 | Warren Williams Homes | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 181 | 12 | 93.4\% |
| 123 | Warren Williams Homes-Mod | 32.4652 | -84.9763 | 1945 | 2002 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 72 | 6 | 91.7\% |
| 124 | Warren Williams Non-Mod | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1945 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 88 | 7 | 92.0\% |
| 125 | Waverly Terrace Senior | 32.4893 | -84.9780 | 2015 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Construction | Tax Credit | 80 | 80 | 0.0\% |
| 126 | Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 32.4618 | -84.9306 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 285 | 22 | 92.3\% |
| 129 | Winchester Apartments | 32.5091 | -84.9631 | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 130 | Woodcliff Apartments | 32.4485 | -84.9293 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 55 | 3 | 94.5\% |
| 131 | Woodville Apartments | 32.4910 | -84.9292 | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 83 | 2 | 97.6\% |
| 133 | Claflin School | 32.4758 | -84.9853 | 1921 | 2019 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab | Tax Credit | 44 | 44 | 0.0\% |
| 134 | Grayling Place | 32.4732 | -84.9548 | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 84 | 84 | 0.0\% |
| 135 | Highland Terrace | 32.4986 | -84.9830 | 2019 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 102 | 102 | 0.0\% |
| 136 | Highlands Kayne Blvd | 32.5558 | -84.9428 | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 80 | 80 | 0.0\% |
| 146 | Rapids (The) at Riverfront | 32.4714 | -84.9952 | 2019 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up | Conventional | 226 | 137 | 39.4\% |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | 32.5681 | -84.9453 | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 32.5687 | -84.9392 | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 211 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 150 | Legend on Armour | 32.5020 | -84.9507 | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 48 | 0 | 100.0\% |

Master List of Market Rate Comparables

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 030 | Lory (The) of Columbus | 32.5686 | -84.9316 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 292 | 1 | 99.7\% |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | 32.5663 | -84.9684 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 214 | 1 | 99.5\% |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | 32.5518 | -84.9980 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 204 | 1 | 99.5\% |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | 32.5688 | -84.9588 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 297 | 10 | 96.6\% |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | 32.5399 | -84.9513 | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 300 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | 32.5681 | -84.9453 | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 32.5687 | -84.9392 | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 211 | 0 | 100.0\% |



Master List of Restricted Rent Comparables

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 32.4271 | -84.9444 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 148 | 4 | 97.3\% |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 32.4293 | -84.9402 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 148 | 2 | 98.6\% |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | 32.4854 | -84.9807 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 184 | 7 | 96.2\% |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | 32.4862 | -84.9793 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 183 | 4 | 97.8\% |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | 32.4374 | -84.9402 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 232 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 32.4586 | -84.9849 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 88 | 3 | 96.6\% |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | 32.4363 | -84.9535 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 192 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 32.4373 | -84.9536 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 120 | 1 | 99.2\% |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 32.4351 | -84.9534 | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 172 | 0 | 100.0\% |



## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Rent Type

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by rent type:

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
|  |  | 73 | 73 |
| Market Rate | 3 | 14 | 17 |
| Restricted | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Subsidized | 8 | 92 | 100 |
| Total |  |  |  |


| Total Units |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| Market Rate | 16 | 8,354 | 8,370 |
| Restricted | 229 | 1,353 | 1,582 |
| Subsidized | 517 | 1,064 | 1,581 |
| Total | 762 | 10,771 | 11,533 |

Vacant Units

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Rate | 2 | 541 | 543 |
| Restricted | 182 | 185 | 367 |
| Subsidized | 19 | 32 | 51 |
| Total | 203 | 758 | 961 |

Occupancy Rate

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Rate | $88 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| Restricted | $21 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Subsidized | $96 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Total | $73 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $92 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our analysis includes a total of 100 confirmed market area properties consisting of 11,533 units. The occupancy rate for these units currently stands at 92 percent. This rate reflects the occupancy for all confirmed market area units, regardless of project status (stabilized, under construction, proposed, etc.).

Confirmed market area properties break down by rent type and tenure as shown in the tables above.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Project Status

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by project status:

| Elderly |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties |  |  |  |  | Total Properties |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 5 | 1 |  | 6 | Stabilized | 5 | 11 | 72 | 88 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Construction |  | 1 |  | 1 | Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  | 1 |  | 1 | Prop Const |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | 2 |  | 2 | Subtotal |  | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 5 | 3 |  | 8 | Total | 5 | 14 | 73 | 92 |


| nits |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 517 | 47 | 16 | 580 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  | 80 |  | 80 |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  | 102 |  | 102 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | 182 |  | 182 |
| Total | 517 | 229 | 16 | 762 |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 1,064 | 1,178 | 8,095 | 10,337 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  | 226 | 226 |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  | 131 | 33 | 164 |
| Prop Rehab |  | 44 |  | 44 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | 175 | 259 | 434 |
| Total | 1,064 | 1,353 | 8,354 | 10,771 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 19 |  | 2 | 21 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  | 80 |  | 80 |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  | 102 |  | 102 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | 182 |  | 182 |
| Total | 19 | 182 | 2 | 203 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 32 | 10 | 371 | 413 |
| Lease Up |  |  | 137 | 137 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  | 131 | 33 | 164 |
| Prop Const |  | 44 |  | 44 |
| Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized |  | 175 | 170 | 345 |
| Subtotal |  | 185 | 541 | 758 |
| Total | 32 |  |  |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Our survey includes a total of 94 stabilized market area properties consisting of 10,917 units standing at 96 percent occupancy.

Our survey also includes a total of 6 market area properties consisting of 616 units that are not yet stabilized. Unstabilized units (also referred to as pipeline units) include vacant units in lease up, construction, rehabilitation, proposed new construction, and units with proposed renovation plans.

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Elderly |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 96\% | 100\% | 88\% | 96\% | Stabilized | 97\% | 99\% | 95\% | 96\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  | 39\% | 39\% |
| Construction |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | Prop Const |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | Subtotal |  | 0\% | 34\% | 21\% |
| Total | 96\% | 21\% | 88\% | 73\% | Total | 97\% | 86\% | 94\% | 93\% |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Occupancies of stabilized market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family) and rent type (subsidized, restricted or market rate) are found below:


Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 580 stabilized elderly units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 96 percent (517 units in survey)
- Restricted, 100 percent (47 units in survey)
- Market Rate, 88 percent (16 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 10,337 stabilized family units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 97 percent (1064 units in survey)
- Restricted, 99 percent (1178 units in survey)
- Market Rate, 95 percent (8095 units in survey)

Occupancy rates for stabilized market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family) and unit type are found below (supporting data is found in the pages that follow):


Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 580 stabilized elderly units in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, 95 percent (223 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 97 percent (346 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 91 percent (11 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 10,337 stabilized family units in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, 96 percent (54 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 97 percent (2669 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 96 percent (6148 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, 94 percent (1378 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, 100 percent (88 units in survey)

| Elderly Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Total | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7 |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 223 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 223 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  | 8 |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 54 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 11 |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  | 8 |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 11 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  | 19 |



| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7 |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96\% | 96\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55\% | 55\% |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55\% | 55\% |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89\% |  |


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 7 | Stabilized | 8 |  |  | 2 | 5 |  |  | 48 | 63 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Construction |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | Prop Const |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 6 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | Subtotal |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 8 |
| Total | 5 |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 11 | Total | 8 |  |  | 5 | 7 |  |  | 51 | 71 |
| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 294 |  |  |  | 47 |  |  | 5 | 346 | Stabilized | 215 |  |  | 28 | 190 |  |  | 2,236 | 2,669 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124 | 124 |
| Construction |  |  |  | 5 | 27 |  |  |  | 32 | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  | 10 | 37 |  |  |  | 47 | Prop Const |  |  |  | 6 | 10 |  |  | 4 | 20 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 15 | 64 |  |  |  | 79 | Subtotal |  |  |  | 11 | 10 |  |  | 128 | 149 |
| Total | 294 |  |  | 15 | 111 |  |  | 5 | 425 | Total | 215 |  |  | 39 | 200 |  |  | 2,364 | 2,818 |
| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 9 | Stabilized | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 75 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 | 75 |
| Construction |  |  |  | 5 | 27 |  |  |  | 32 | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  | 10 | 37 |  |  |  | 47 | Prop Const |  |  |  | 6 | 10 |  |  | 4 | 20 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 15 | 64 |  |  |  | 79 | Subtotal |  |  |  | 11 | 10 |  |  | 79 | 100 |
| Total | 8 |  |  | 15 | 64 |  |  | 1 | 88 | Total | 5 |  |  | 11 | 10 |  |  | 149 | 175 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 97\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  | 80\% | 97\% | Stabilized | 98\% |  |  | 100\% | 100\% |  |  | 97\% | 97\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40\% | 40\% |
| Construction |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | Prop Const |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  | 0\% |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | Subtotal |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 38\% | 33\% |
| Total | 97\% |  |  | 0\% | 42\% |  |  | 80\% | 79\% | Total | 98\% |  |  | 72\% | 95\% |  |  | 94\% | 94\% |


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | Stabilized | 10 |  |  | 4 | 9 |  |  | 76 | 99 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Construction |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | Prop Const |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 6 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | Subtotal |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  | 3 | 9 |
| Total |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 5 | Total | 10 |  |  | 7 | 12 |  |  | 79 | 108 |
| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 11 | Stabilized | 590 |  |  | 65 | 544 |  |  | 4,949 | 6,148 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91 | 91 |
| Construction |  |  |  | 4 | 28 |  |  |  | 32 | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  | 11 | 44 |  |  |  | 55 | Prop Const |  |  |  | 17 | 63 |  |  | 18 | 98 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 2 | 17 |  |  |  | 19 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 15 | 72 |  |  |  | 87 | Subtotal |  |  |  | 19 | 80 |  |  | 109 | 208 |
| Total |  |  |  | 15 | 72 |  |  | 11 | 98 | Total | 590 |  |  | 84 | 624 |  |  | 5,058 | 6,356 |
| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | Stabilized | 18 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 222 | 247 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 | 57 |
| Construction |  |  |  | 4 | 28 |  |  |  | 32 | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  | 11 | 44 |  |  |  | 55 | Prop Const |  |  |  | 17 | 63 |  |  | 18 | 98 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 2 | 17 |  |  |  | 19 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 15 | 72 |  |  |  | 87 | Subtotal |  |  |  | 19 | 80 |  |  | 75 | 174 |
| Total |  |  |  | 15 | 72 |  |  | 1 | 88 | Total | 18 |  |  | 19 | 87 |  |  | 297 | 421 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91\% | 91\% | Stabilized | 97\% |  |  | 100\% | 99\% |  |  | 96\% | 96\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37\% | 37\% |
| Construction |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | Prop Const |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | Subtotal |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 31\% | 16\% |
| Total |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 91\% | 10\% | Total | 97\% |  |  | 77\% | 86\% |  |  | 94\% | 93\% |


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized | 10 |  |  | 2 | 9 |  |  | 44 | 65 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 6 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | Subtotal |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  | 2 | 8 |
| Total |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | Total | 10 |  |  | 5 | 12 |  |  | 46 | 73 |
| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized | 207 |  |  | 10 | 305 |  |  | 856 | 1,378 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  | 10 | 25 |  |  | 11 | 46 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 2 | 18 |  |  |  | 20 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 | Subtotal |  |  |  | 12 | 43 |  |  | 11 | 66 |
| Total |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 | Total | 207 |  |  | 22 | 348 |  |  | 867 | 1,444 |
| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized | 9 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 77 | 89 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  | 10 | 25 |  |  | 11 | 46 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 2 | 18 |  |  |  | 20 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 | Subtotal |  |  |  | 12 | 43 |  |  | 11 | 66 |
| Total |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 | Total | 9 |  |  | 13 | 45 |  |  | 88 | 155 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized | 96\% |  |  | 90\% | 99\% |  |  | 91\% | 94\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | Subtotal |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| Total |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | Total | 96\% |  |  | 41\% | 87\% |  |  | 90\% | 89\% |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 52 |  |  |  | 36 |  |  |  | 88 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 52 |  |  |  | 36 |  |  |  | 88 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 100\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Total | 100\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  | 100\% |

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Year Built

The following tables and graph provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by year built:

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| $<1960$ | 1 | 11 | 12 |
| $1960-1969$ | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| $1970-1979$ | 1 | 18 | 19 |
| $1980-1989$ | 1 | 15 | 16 |
| $1990-1999$ | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| $2000+$ | 3 | 33 | 36 |
| Unknown |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 8 | 92 | 100 |


| Total Units |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| $<1960$ | 269 | 1,205 | 1,474 |
| $1960-1969$ | 24 | 558 | 582 |
| $1970-1979$ | 116 | 2,085 | 2,201 |
| $1980-1989$ | 50 | 1,152 | 1,202 |
| $1990-1999$ | 58 | 859 | 917 |
| $2000+$ | 245 | 4,896 | 5,141 |
| Unknown |  | 16 | 16 |
| Total | 762 | 10,771 | 11,533 |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests that of the 100 confirmed market area properties (11533 units) included in this report, 12 properties ( 1474 units) were constructed before 1960, 6 properties ( 582 units) were constructed between 1960 and 1969, 19 properties (2201 units) between 1970 and 1979, 16 properties ( 1202 units) between 1980 and 1989, 10 properties ( 917 units) between 1990 and 1999, and 36 properties ( 5141 units) after 2000. In addition, 1 property ( 16 units) had an unknown date of construction.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Financing Source

The following tables and graph provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by financing source:

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties |  |  |  |  |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |  |
| Conventional | 3 | 70 | 70 |  |
| Tax Credit | 3 | 16 | 19 |  |
| Bond | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| USDA-RD |  |  |  |  |
| HUD | 3 | 1 | 4 |  |
| Other | 1 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Total | 8 | 92 | 100 |  |
| Total Units |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Conventional | Elderly | Family | Total |  |
| Tax Credit | 298 | 7,849 | 7,849 |  |
| Bond | 63 | 2,151 | 2,449 |  |
| USDA-RD | 377 | 172 | 235 |  |
| HUD | 24 | 72 | 449 |  |
| Other | 762 | 10,771 | 11,533 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests that of the 100 confirmed properties in the market area, 70 properties (consisting of 7849 units) are conventionally financed, 19 properties (consisting of 2449 units) include tax credit financing, 2 properties (consisting of 235 units) are bond financed, 0 properties (consisting of 0 units) are exclusively USDARD financed, and 4 properties (consisting of 449 units) are exclusively HUD financed.

The average project size for this market area is 115 units. The smallest projects are conventionally financed, averaging 112 units in size. The largest projects are tax credit financed, averaging 129 units in size.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Rent Summary

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the rents charged at confirmed market area properties broken out by unit type:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Restricted |  |  | Market |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized |  |  | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg | Min |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max | Avg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Min | Max | O-Bedroom | $\$ 609$ | $\$ 609$ | $\$ 609$ | $\$ 353$ | $\$ 440$ | $\$ 397$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom | $\$ 333$ | $\$ 714$ | $\$ 548$ | $\$ 364$ | $\$ 583$ | $\$ 502$ | $\$ 245$ | $\$ 1,541$ | $\$ 693$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Bedroom | $\$ 391$ | $\$ 665$ | $\$ 533$ | $\$ 430$ | $\$ 700$ | $\$ 576$ | $\$ 265$ | $\$ 2,310$ | $\$ 784$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-Bedroom | $\$ 542$ | $\$ 810$ | $\$ 694$ | $\$ 477$ | $\$ 775$ | $\$ 647$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 1,675$ | $\$ 953$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Bedroom | - | - | - | $\$ 806$ | $\$ 806$ | $\$ 806$ | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |

Unit Size

|  | Subsidized |  |  | Restricted |  |  | Market |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg |
| 0-Bedroom | 250 | 250 | 250 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 300 | 719 | 526 |
| 1-Bedroom | 450 | 859 | 645 | 650 | 1,025 | 748 | 510 | 1,403 | 816 |
| 2-Bedroom | 788 | 1,246 | 968 | 840 | 1,408 | 1,011 | 603 | 2,079 | 1,124 |
| 3-Bedroom | 963 | 1,512 | 1,184 | 963 | 1,898 | 1,242 | 982 | 2,166 | 1,406 |
| 4-Bedroom | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,280 | 1,280 | 1,280 | - | - | - |

Rent per Square Foot

|  | Subsidized |  |  | Restricted |  |  | Market |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg |
| 0-Bedroom | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 2.44$ | $\$ 0.78$ | $\$ 0.98$ | $\$ 0.88$ | $\$ 1.33$ | $\$ 1.50$ | $\$ 1.32$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $\$ 0.74$ | $\$ 0.83$ | $\$ 0.85$ | $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 0.57$ | $\$ 0.67$ | $\$ 0.48$ | $\$ 1.10$ | $\$ 0.85$ |
| 2-Bedroom | $\$ 0.50$ | $\$ 0.53$ | $\$ 0.55$ | $\$ 0.50$ | $\$ 0.51$ | $\$ 0.57$ | $\$ 0.44$ | $\$ 1.11$ | $\$ 0.70$ |
| 3-Bedroom | $\$ 0.54$ | $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 0.59$ | $\$ 0.41$ | $\$ 0.50$ | $\$ 0.52$ | $\$ 0.51$ | $\$ 0.77$ | $\$ 0.68$ |
| 4-Bedroom | - | - | - | $\$ 0.63$ | $\$ 0.63$ | $\$ 0.63$ | - | - | - |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed restricted rent units:

- 0-Bedroom, \$0.88 per square foot - 1-Bedroom, $\$ 0.67$ per square foot - 2-Bedroom, $\$ 0.57$ per square foot - 3-Bedroom, \$0.52 per square foot - 4-Bedroom, \$0.63 per square foot

Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed market rate units:

- 0-Bedroom, $\$ 1.32$ per square foot
- 1-Bedroom, $\$ 0.85$ per square foot
- 2-Bedroom, $\$ 0.70$ per square foot
- 3-Bedroom, \$0.68 per square foot
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable

A detailed listing of rents and floor areas for confirmed market area properties by unit type and income target is found in the following pages.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Unit Mix Summary

In the tables and graphs found below we present a breakdown of unit mix for confirmed market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family):

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Unit Mix Summary

| Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom | 223 | 8 |  | 231 |
| 1-Bedroom | 294 | 126 | 5 | 425 |
| 2-Bedroom |  | 87 | 11 | 98 |
| 3-Bedroom |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 517 | 229 | 16 | 762 |


| Family |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  | 65 | 65 |
| 1-Bedroom | 215 | 239 | 2,364 | 2,818 |
| 2-Bedroom | 590 | 708 | 5,058 | 6,356 |
| 3-Bedroom | 207 | 370 | 867 | 1,444 |
| 4-Bedroom | 52 | 36 |  | 88 |
| Total | 1,064 | 1,353 | 8,354 | 10,771 |


| Unit Mix |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom | $43 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  | $30 \%$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $57 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 2-Bedroom |  | $38 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| 3-Bedroom |  | $3 \%$ |  | $1 \%$ |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


| Unit Mix |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $20 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| 2-Bedroom | $55 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| 3-Bedroom | $19 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| 4-Bedroom | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  | $1 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests the following unit mix for the 762 confirmed elderly units located in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, 30 percent (231 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 56 percent (425 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 13 percent (98 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, 1 percent (8 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following unit mix for the 10,771 confirmed family units located in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, 1 percent ( 65 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 26 percent ( 2,818 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 59 percent (6,356 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, 13 percent ( 1,444 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, 1 percent (88 units in survey)


## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Amenity Summary

In the table found below we present a summary of amenities found at confirmed market area properties:

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Amenity Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Building Type |  |  |  | Air Conditioning |  |
| 1 Story | $8 \%$ |  | Central | $97 \%$ |  |
| $2-4$ Story | $89 \%$ |  | Wall Units | $0 \%$ |  |
| 5-10 Story | $3 \%$ |  | Window Units | $1 \%$ |  |
| $>10$ Story | $0 \%$ |  | None | $2 \%$ |  |


| Project Amenities |  |  | Heat |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | $0 \%$ |  | Central | $98 \%$ |
| Ball Field | $42 \%$ |  | Wall Units | $1 \%$ |
| BBQ Area | $7 \%$ |  | Baseboards | $1 \%$ |
| Billiards | $31 \%$ |  | Radiators | $0 \%$ |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | $19 \%$ |  | None | $0 \%$ |


| Comm Center | $46 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Elevator | $8 \%$ |

Fitness Center 38\%
Gazebo 24\%
Hot Tub/Jacuzzi 3\%

Horseshoe Pit 0\%
Lake 9\%
Library 12\%
Movie Theatre 7\%
Picnic Area 44\%
Playground 39\%
Pool 54\%
Sauna 2\%
Sports Court 27\%
Walking Trail 15\%

| Unit Amenities |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Blinds | $92 \%$ |
| Ceiling Fans | $60 \%$ |
| Upgraded Flooring | $94 \%$ |
| Fireplace | $1 \%$ |
| Patio/Balcony | $69 \%$ |
| Storage | $25 \%$ |


| Parking |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Garage | $1 \%$ |
| Covered | $0 \%$ |
| Assigned | $3 \%$ |
| Open | $98 \%$ |
| None | $1 \%$ |


| Laundry |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Central | $66 \%$ |
| W/D Units | $7 \%$ |
| W/D Hookups | $63 \%$ |


| Security |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Call Buttons | $5 \%$ |
| Cont Access | $25 \%$ |
| Courtesy Officer | $31 \%$ |
| Monitoring | $11 \%$ |
| Security Alarms | $7 \%$ |
| Security Patrols | $6 \%$ |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  | After School <br> Concierge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stove | $98 \%$ |  | Hair Salon |
| Refrigerator | $99 \%$ |  | Health Care |
| Disposal | $72 \%$ | Linens | $3 \%$ |
| Dishwasher | $79 \%$ | Meals | $0 \%$ |
| Microwave | $52 \%$ | Transportation | $0 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Our research suggests that 8 percent of confirmed market area properties are 1 story in height, 89 percent are 2-4 stories in height, 3 percent are 5-10 stories in height, and 0 percent are over 10 stories in height. In addition, surveyed properties benefit from the following project amenities: 31 percent have a business/computer center, 46 percent have a community center, 38 percent have a fitness center, 39 percent have a playground, and 27 percent have a sports court.

Our research also suggests that the following unit amenities are present at surveyed properties: 92 percent have blinds, 94 percent have carpeting, 69 percent have patios/balconies, and 25 percent have outside storage. Surveyed properties also include the following kitchen amenities: 98 percent have a stove, 99 percent have a refrigerator, 72 percent have a disposal, 79 percent have a dishwasher, and 52 percent have a microwave.

In addition, 98 percent of confirmed market area properties have central heat while 97 percent have central air. Our research also suggests that 98 percent of surveyed properties have open parking. A total of 66 percent of area properties have central laundry facilities, while 63 percent have washer/dryer hookups, and 7 percent have washer/dryer units in each residential unit.

A total of 5 percent of confirmed market area properties have call buttons, 25 percent have controlled access, and 7 percent have security alarms.

It is also our understanding that the majority of confirmed market area properties provide cable access.
Finally, in the following pages we provide a summary of vouchers, concessions and waiting lists for the confirmed market area properties included in this report. We also include any absorption information we have uncovered as part of our research.

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy | Concessions | Vouchers | Abs Rate | Waiting List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 11th Street Loft | 32.4667 | -84.9935 | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 46 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 002 | 2000 Wynnton Apartments | 32.4688 | -84.9633 | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 2 | 97.2\% | 0\% | 22\% | - | 0 people |
| 004 | 4411 First Avenue Apartments | 32.5059 | -84.9910 | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 16 | 1 | 93.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 009 | Armour Landing Apartments | 32.5019 | -84.9512 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 2 people |
| 010 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | 32.4854 | -84.9807 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 184 | 7 | 96.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 011 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | 862 | 9793 | 2008 | na | estricted | Family | Stabilized | $\times$ Credit | 183 | 4 | 97.8\% | 0\% | 27\% |  | yes |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | 2.437 | -84.9402 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 232 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 39 | 13.65 | 50 people |
| 014 | Brittwood Apartments Phase 12 \& 3 | 32.5282 | -84.9546 | 1978 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 370 | 44 | 88.1\% | 17\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 016 | Calvary Community Phase 1 | 32.5560 | -84.9348 | 1989 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 50 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 20 people |
| 017 | Calvary Community Phase 2 | 32.5560 | -84.9348 | 1992 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 58 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 20 people |
| 018 | Cedar Pointe Apartments | 32.5365 | -84.9371 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 10 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 019 | Chase Homes | 32.4826 | -84.9911 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 108 | 2 | 98.1\% | - | 0\% | - | - |
| 021 | Cloister Apartments | 32.5002 | -84.9511 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 115 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 022 | Club Hill Apartments Phases 1 \& 2 | 32.5040 | -84.9501 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 232 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 023 | Clubview Court Apartments | 32.4994 | -84.9525 | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 3 people |
| 025 | Columbus Commons | 32.4558 | -84.9863 | 2017 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 106 | 3 | 97.2\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 026 | Columbus Gardens Phase 1 | 32.4538 | -84.9890 | 1978 | 1995 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 116 | 4 | 96.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 38 people |
| 027 | Cooper Cove Apartments | 32.5159 | -84.9118 | 1978 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 52 | 5 | 90.4\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | - |
| 028 | Cooper Creek Village Apartments | 32.5141 | -84.9137 | 1988 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 61 | 9 | 85.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 029 | Cross Creek Apartments | 32.4569 | -84.9285 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 200 | 19 | 90.5\% | 5\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 030 | Lory (The) of Columbus | 32.5686 | -84.9316 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 292 | 1 | 99.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20.57 | no |
| 034 | Elizabeth Canty Homes | 32.4511 | -84.9636 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 259 | 2 | 99.2\% | - | 0\% | - | - |
| 035 | Essex Apartments | 32.4657 | -84.9537 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 6 | 1 | 83.3\% | 0\% | 33\% | - | no |
| 040 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 32.4975 | -84.9586 | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 1 | 98.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 041 | Gentian Court Apartments | 32.5066 | -84.9356 | 1980 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 26 | 1 | 96.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 042 | Gentian Oaks Apartments | 32.5068 | -84.9176 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 62 | 1 | 98.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 043 | Grand Reserve (The) Apartments | 32.5654 | -84.9646 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 352 | 19 | 94.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 044 | Greystone at Columbus Park | 32.5480 | -84.9369 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 174 | 2 | 98.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 045 | Greystone At Country Club | 32.4859 | -84.9629 | 1963 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 184 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 048 | Greystone at Main Street | 32.5384 | -84.9521 | 1997 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 154 | 1 | 99.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 052 | Greystone at Waterford | 32.5004 | -84.9528 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 12 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 053 | Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 1 | 32.5241 | -84.9321 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 1 | 98.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 054 | Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 32.5241 | -84.9321 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 75 | 2 | 97.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 055 | Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 32.5241 | -84.9321 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 75 | 2 | 97.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 056 | Greystone Falls Apartments | 32.5663 | -84.9684 | 2008 | na | Market R | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 214 | 1 | 99.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 059 | Grove Park Apartments | 32.5518 | -84.9980 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 204 | 1 | 99.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 060 | Hamilton Station Phase 4 | 32.5529 | -84.9529 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 92 | 3 | 96.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 061 | Hamilton Station Phases 12 \& 3 | 32.5529 | -84.9529 | 1983 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 294 | 13 | 95.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 7 people |
| 062 | Hardaway Square Apartments | 32.4989 | -84.9504 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 44 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 063 | Hardaway Townhouses | 32.4985 | -84.9523 | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 41 | 1 | 97.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4.10 | no |
| 064 | Heritage Place Apartments | 32.4555 | -84.9932 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 79 | 5 | 93.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 065 | Enclave at Highland Ridge | 32.5688 | -84.9588 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 297 | 10 | 96.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 8 people |
| 066 | Hillcrest Apartments | 32.4747 | -84.9578 | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 069 | Johnston Mill Lofts | 32.4936 | -84.9913 | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 335 | 13 | 96.1\% | 0\% | 2\% | - | no |
| 071 | Kopak Apartments | 32.4728 | -84.9578 | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 29 | 1 | 96.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 072 | Lakes Apartments | 32.5155 | -84.9223 | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 354 | 11 | 96.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 073 | Le Craw on 13th | 32.4720 | -84.9650 | 1986 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 1 | 95.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 074 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 32.4586 | -84.9849 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 88 | 3 | 96.6\% | 0\% | 39\% | - | 25 people |
| 075 | Lindsey Vistas | 32.4632 | -84.9395 | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 25 | 2 | 92.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 076 | Links of Maple Ridge Apartments | 32.5561 | -84.9162 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 53 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 4 people |
| 077 | Lodge Apartments | 32.4533 | -84.9321 | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 237 | 8 | 96.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 078 | Lullwater at Maple Ridge Apartments | 32.5701 | -84.9271 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 304 | 12 | 96.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 3 people |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | 32.4363 | -84.9535 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 192 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 47\% | 32.00 | no |
| 080 | Martha's Vineyard | 32.4878 | -84.9313 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 32 | 2 | 93.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 082 | Azalea Ridge (Midtown Square) | 32.4744 | -84.9397 | 2002 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 144 | 37 | 74.3\% | 20\% | 17\% | - | no |
| 083 | Midtown Tower | 32.4721 | -84.9614 | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 25 | 2 | 92.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 084 | Mllestone Apartments | 32.5330 | -84.9064 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 32 | 2 | 93.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 085 | Miller Creek Apartments | 32.5228 | -84.8984 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 4 | 50.0\% | 4\% | 0\% |  | 0 people |
| 089 | Northgate Village Apartments | 32.5179 | -84.9202 | 1975 | 2003 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 151 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 45\% | - | yes |
| 091 | Oak Ridge Apartments | 32.5590 | -84.9410 | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 30 | 3 | 90.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 092 | Overlook Club | 32.4656 | -84.9631 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 73 | 2 | 97.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 093 | Overlook Crossing | 32.4672 | -84.9699 | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 164 | 8 | 95.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 094 | Park Side at Britt David Apartments | 32.5235 | -84.9532 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 114 | 5 | 95.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 5 people |
| 095 | Park View Apartments | 32.5235 | -84.9532 | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 78 | 11 | 85.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 097 | Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 38 | 4 | 89.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 098 | Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 20 | 1 | 95.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy | Concessions | Vouchers | Abs Rate | Waiting List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 099 | Pear Tree Place Apartments | 32.4945 | -84.9756 | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 11 | 1 | 90.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0 people |
| 100 | Pembrook Apartments | 32.4679 | -84.9284 | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 130 | 4 | 96.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 101 | Preserve at Columbus Park | 32.5399 | -84.9513 | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 300 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 8 people |
| 103 | Ralston (The) | 32.4687 | -84.9902 | 1914 | 1977 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 269 | 13 | 95.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 15 people |
| 104 | Renaissance Villa | 32.4587 | -84.9506 | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | HUD | 72 | 2 | 97.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 20 people |
| 105 | Rivers Homes | 32.4652 | -84.9763 | 1963 | 1996 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 24 | 2 | 91.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 106 | Rose Hill Apartments | 32.4910 | -84.9813 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 1 | 95.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 108 | South Park | 32.4529 | -84.9690 | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 17 | 2 | 88.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 109 | Spring Cove Apartments | 32.5305 | -84.9078 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 108 | 4 | 96.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 111 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 32.4373 | -84.9536 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 120 | 1 | 99.2\% | 0\% | 30\% |  | 3 people |
| 112 | Sugar Mill Apartments | 32.5465 | -84.9056 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 226 | 6 | 97.3\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 4 people |
| 113 | Trace Townhomes | 32.4984 | -84.9523 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 115 | University Crossing Apartments | 32.5043 | -84.9381 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 48 | 17 | 64.6\% | 4\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 116 | Veranda at Ashley Station | 32.4864 | -84.9793 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Bond | 63 | 2 | 96.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 117 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 32.4351 | -84.9534 | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 172 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 38\% | - | no |
| 118 | Village On Cherokee | 32.4922 | -84.9637 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 84 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 119 | Village Square Apartments | 32.4757 | -84.9409 | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 70 | 2 | 97.1\% | 0\% | 13\% | - | - |
| 120 | Vista Estates | 32.4560 | -84.9470 | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 155 | 25 | 83.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 122 | Warren Williams Homes | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 181 | 12 | 93.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 123 | Warren Williams Homes-Mod | 32.4652 | -84.9763 | 1945 | 2002 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 72 | 6 | 91.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 124 | Warren Williams Non-Mod | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1945 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 88 | 7 | 92.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 125 | Waverly Terrace Senior | 32.4893 | -84.9780 | 2015 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Construction | Tax Credit | 80 | 80 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 126 | Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 32.4618 | -84.9306 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 285 | 22 | 92.3\% | 4\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 129 | Winchester Apartments | 32.5091 | -84.9631 | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 130 | Woodcliff Apartments | 32.4485 | -84.9293 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 55 | 3 | 94.5\% | 0\% | 22\% | - |  |
| 131 | Woodville Apartments | 32.4910 | -84.9292 | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 83 | 2 | 97.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 133 | Claflin School | 32.4758 | -84.9853 | 1921 | 2019 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab | Tax Credit | 44 | 44 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 134 | Grayling Place | 32.4732 | -84.9548 | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 84 | 84 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 135 | Highland Terrace | 32.4986 | -84.9830 | 2019 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 102 | 102 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 136 | Highlands Kayne Blvd | 32.5558 | -84.9428 | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 80 | 80 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 146 | Rapids (The) at Riverfront | 32.4714 | -84.9952 | 2019 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up | Conventional | 226 | 137 | 39.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 147 | Summit Pointe Apartments | 32.5681 | -84.9453 | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 148 | Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 32.5687 | -84.9392 | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 211 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 150 | Legend on Armour | 32.5020 | -84.9507 | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 48 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 3 people |

## RENT COMPARABILITY ANALYSIS

In this section we develop restricted and unrestricted market rent conclusions for the subject property on an "as if complete \& stabilized" basis. Our analysis begins with an evaluation of unrestricted market rents.

## Unrestricted Rent Analysis

In this section we develop an unrestricted market rent conclusion for the subject property units. Our analysis began by selecting comparable rentals to use to develop estimates of market rents for the units at the subject property, assuming that the subject was an unrestricted property. Our selection of comparables was based on location, age, condition, unit mix and amenities of the comparable properties relative to the subject property.

## Rental Property Inventory, by Unit Type

In the following pages we present an inventory of properties included in this analysis. Rents for these properties, broken out by unit type, were used in selecting the rent comparables used in this analysis.

The properties that we consider to be comparable to the subject property are highlighted in the tables found in the following pages. We attempted to select stabilized market rate properties as comparables for purposes of our rent comparability analysis.

Comparables with restricted rents are used when a sufficient number of market rent comparables are not available and when maximum allowable rents for properties with restricted rents exceed prevailing rents in the area. In the event that program rental rates exceed market rental rates, restricted units are, in fact, de facto market rate units.

## Rent Comparables, Market Rate, Map

A map showing the location of the properties selected as comparables in this analysis is found in the following pages. Properties identified with red pushpins have market rents, properties identified with yellow pushpins have restricted rents, and properties identified with blue pushpins have subsidized rents. Detailed write-ups for the select rent comparables are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Rent Comparability Grids

Our analysis employed the use of rent comparability grids and resulted in an unrestricted market rent estimate for each of the subject's unit types. These grids and a narrative describing our rent adjustments are found in the following pages.

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,112 |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$435 |
| 005 Amber Cove Apartments | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Brighton Park Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$685 |
| 014 Brittwood Apartments Phase 12 \& 3 | 1978 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$539 |
| 018 Cedar Pointe Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Chimneys Apartments (The) | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Cloister Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$540 |
| 022 Club Hill Apartments Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$672 |
| 023 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$610 |
| 027 Cooper Cove Apartments | 1978 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$555 |
| 028 Cooper Creek Village Apartments | 1988 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Cross Creek Apartments | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$505 |
| 030 Lory (The) of Columbus | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$848 |
| 031 Crystal Park Apartments | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 035 Essex Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 038 Flat Rock Square 2 Apartments | 1999 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 Gardenbrook Apartments | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$575 |
| 041 Gentian Court Apartments | 1980 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$599 |
| 042 Gentian Oaks Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$520 |
| 043 Grand Reserve (The) Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$776 |
| 044 Greystone at Columbus Park | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$795 |
| 045 Greystone At Country Club | 1963 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$700 |
| 048 Greystone at Main Street | 1997 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$715 |
| 049 Greystone at Maple Ridge Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 050 Greystone at Maple Ridge Phase 2 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$782 |
| 052 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 053 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 1 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 054 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$630 |
| 055 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$630 |
| 056 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$907 |
| 058 Greystone Summit Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$813 |
| 059 Grove Park Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,040 |
| 060 Hamilton Station Phase 4 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$775 |
| 061 Hamilton Station Phases 12 \& 3 | 1983 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$705 |
| 062 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Hardaway Townhouses | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 064 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$557 |
| 065 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,100 |
| 066 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$603 |
| 071 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Lakes Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$820 |
| 073 Le Craw on 13th | 1986 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$515 |

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 075 Lindsey Vistas | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 Links of Maple Ridge Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Lodge Apartments | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$540 |
| 078 Lullwater at Maple Ridge Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$802 |
| 080 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 082 Azalea Ridge (Midtown Square) | 2002 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Mllestone Apartments | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 085 Miller Creek Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Oak Ridge Apartments | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$495 |
| 092 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$553 |
| 094 Park Side at Britt David Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$665 |
| 095 Park View Apartments | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 097 Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 098 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 099 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 Pembrook Apartments | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$528 |
| 101 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$921 |
| 106 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$483 |
| 108 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$245 |
| 109 Spring Cove Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$595 |
| 112 Sugar Mill Apartments | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$865 |
| 113 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 University Crossing Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Village On Cherokee | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$680 |
| 119 Village Square Apartments | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Vista Estates | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$545 |
| 129 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Woodcliff Apartments | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$555 |
| 131 Woodville Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$585 |
| 147 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,106 |
| 150 Legend on Armour | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units


Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 077 Lodge Apartments | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$670 |
| 078 Lullwater at Maple Ridge Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$953 |
| 080 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$690 |
| 082 Azalea Ridge (Midtown Square) | 2002 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$799 |
| 083 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$700 |
| 084 Mllestone Apartments | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$775 |
| 085 Miller Creek Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$623 |
| 091 Oak Ridge Apartments | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$632 |
| 092 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$560 |
| 093 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$652 |
| 094 Park Side at Britt David Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$785 |
| 095 Park View Apartments | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$695 |
| 097 Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$720 |
| 098 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$695 |
| 099 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$450 |
| 100 Pembrook Apartments | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$606 |
| 101 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,155 |
| 106 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$625 |
| 108 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$265 |
| 109 Spring Cove Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$695 |
| 112 Sugar Mill Apartments | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,025 |
| 113 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$700 |
| 115 University Crossing Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,174 |
| 118 Village On Cherokee | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$765 |
| 119 Village Square Apartments | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$625 |
| 120 Vista Estates | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$325 |
| 126 Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$653 |
| 129 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$720 |
| 130 Woodcliff Apartments | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$585 |
| 131 Woodville Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$680 |
| 147 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,025 |
| 148 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,353 |
| 150 Legend on Armour | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$875 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$710 |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 005 Amber Cove Apartments | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$813 |
| 013 Brighton Park Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$950 |
| 014 Brittwood Apartments Phase 12 \& 3 | 1978 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$789 |
| 018 Cedar Pointe Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Chimneys Apartments (The) | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Cloister Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 022 Club Hill Apartments Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$879 |
| 023 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 027 Cooper Cove Apartments | 1978 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 028 Cooper Creek Village Apartments | 1988 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Cross Creek Apartments | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$725 |
| 030 Lory (The) of Columbus | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,163 |
| 031 Crystal Park Apartments | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 035 Essex Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 038 Flat Rock Square 2 Apartments | 1999 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$840 |
| 040 Gardenbrook Apartments | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$798 |
| 041 Gentian Court Apartments | 1980 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Gentian Oaks Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 043 Grand Reserve (The) Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,109 |
| 044 Greystone at Columbus Park | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,221 |
| 045 Greystone At Country Club | 1963 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 Greystone at Main Street | 1997 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$960 |
| 049 Greystone at Maple Ridge Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 050 Greystone at Maple Ridge Phase 2 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,119 |
| 052 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$860 |
| 053 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 1 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 054 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 056 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,446 |
| 058 Greystone Summit Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,325 |
| 059 Grove Park Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,675 |
| 060 Hamilton Station Phase 4 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,105 |
| 061 Hamilton Station Phases 12 \& 3 | 1983 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$960 |
| 062 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$799 |
| 063 Hardaway Townhouses | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 064 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,199 |
| 066 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Lakes Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,005 |
| 073 Le Craw on 13th | 1986 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 075 Lindsey Vistas | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 Links of Maple Ridge Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 077 Lodge Apartments | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$795 |
| 078 Lullwater at Maple Ridge Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,131 |
| 080 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$790 |
| 082 Azalea Ridge (Midtown Square) | 2002 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$879 |
| 083 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |
| 084 Mllestone Apartments | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 085 Miller Creek Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Oak Ridge Apartments | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$725 |
| 092 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$660 |
| 093 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 094 Park Side at Britt David Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$965 |
| 095 Park View Apartments | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$795 |
| 097 Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$826 |
| 098 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$799 |
| 099 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$500 |
| 100 Pembrook Apartments | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$752 |
| 101 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,394 |
| 106 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 109 Spring Cove Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$795 |
| 112 Sugar Mill Apartments | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 University Crossing Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Village On Cherokee | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$940 |
| 119 Village Square Apartments | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Vista Estates | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$675 |
| 129 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Woodcliff Apartments | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 Woodville Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$815 |
| 147 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,025 |
| 148 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,475 |
| 150 Legend on Armour | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates


## Rent Adjustments

Our analysis included a property management survey and a technique known as "statistical extraction" to help us identify the best adjustments to use. Statistical extraction, which is similar to the matched pair method, helped us derive the optimal adjustments for our particular data set.

Here's a hypothetical example to illustrate how we derived our rent adjustments. Assume that property managers tell us we should expect rent adjustments ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 0.50$ per square foot for a particular market. Next, assume that we select 25 rent comparables with an adjusted sample standard deviation (a statistical measure of variability) of $\$ 100$. We employ a square foot rent adjustment of $\$ 0.10$ for each comparable resulting in an adjusted sample standard deviation of $\$ 90$. This tells us that the assumed adjustment "explained" some of the variability in the data. We repeat this process for adjustments of $\$ 0.20, \$ 0.30, \$ 0.40$ and $\$ 0.50$ which yielded adjusted sample standard deviations of $\$ 80, \$ 70, \$ 65$ and $\$ 75$, respectively. The $\$ 0.40$ square foot adjustment "explains" the most variability because any other adjustment yields a higher adjusted sample standard deviation. Consequently, a $\$ 0.40$ rent adjustment is the best adjustment for purposes of this example. This is a simplified example because we actually adjusted for numerous variables simultaneously in our analysis.

Many adjustments (bedroom count, bathroom count and square footage) are highly interrelated. Statistical extraction helped us unravel the interrelationships between these variables. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is superior a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior a "minus" adjustment is made.

We used the Excel Solver function to help us with our analysis. The Solver function was programmed to minimize the adjusted standard deviation for our data set. We evaluated a total of 62 variables in 22 categories (AC systems, heating systems, technology, bedrooms, bathrooms, square feet, visibility, access, neighborhood, area amenities, condition, effective age, project amenities, elevator, unit amenities, storage, kitchen amenities, parking, laundry, security, on-site management, on-site maintenance) in an effort to identify the mix of adjustments that explained the most variability found in our raw data.

A discussion of our surveyed and concluded adjustments is found below.

## Concessions

The first step in our analysis was to account for any concessions at the subject and the comparables. We considered the advertised street rent and concessions being offered and derived a net nent estimate for each comparable. Net rent, defined as advertised street rent minus monthly concessions, represents the cash rent paid by new residents at the various properties. This is the best measure of market value (prior to any other adjustments) for the comparables included in this analysis.

## Tenant-Paid Utilities

The next step in our analysis was to account for differences in tenant-paid utilities between the comparable properties and the subject. We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive our adjustments. The HUD model includes a current utility rate survey for the area. In the event that the tenant-paid utilities associated with a particular property are higher or lower than the subject, adjustments were made to account for the differences. Adjustments reflect the difference between the tenant-paid utilities for the comparable property minus that for the subject.

## Technology

We accounted for technology (cable and internet access) offered in the rent for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per month for cable; internet access was valued at $\$ 0$.

| Technology |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Cable | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 50$ | Concluded |
| Internet | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Bedrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bedrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per bedroom.

| Bedrooms |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Bedrooms | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 200$ | $\$ 0$ |  |

## Bathrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bathrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per bathroom.

| Bathrooms |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Bathrooms | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | $\$ 0$ |  |

## Square Feet

Our analysis also included an adjustment for square footage at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.60$ per square foot.

| Square Feet |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Square Feet | $\$ 0.00 \quad \$ 2.00$ | $\$ 0.60$ |  |

## Visibility

We also accounted for differences in visibility at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review, we assigned a visibility rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in visibility ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Visibility |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 100$ | Concluded |

## Access

Our analysis also included an adjustment for access at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned an access rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in access ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Access |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 100$ | Concluded |

## Neighborhood

We considered differences in neighborhood at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local demographic and crime data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a neighborhood rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in neighborhood ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Neighborhood |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | Concluded |  |

## Area Amenities

We also accounted for area amenities for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local amenity data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a local amenity rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in amenity ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Area Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Rating | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | $\$ 0$ |  |

## Median Household Income

Our analysis also included an adjustment for median household income for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.0000$ per dollar of median household income.

| Median Household Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |
| Med HH Inc | $\$ 0.0000 \quad \$ 0.0100$ | $\$ 0.0000$ |

## Average Commute

Our analysis also included an adjustment for average commute for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.00$ per each minute of commute.

| Average Commute |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Avg Commute | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 20.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Public Transportation

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the existence of public transportation within walking distance of each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.00$ for publc transportation.

| Public Transportation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Public Trans | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 200.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Personal Crime

Our analysis also included an adjustment for personal crime rates for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per 0.01 percentage points.

| Personal Crime |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Personal Crime | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Condition

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the condition of each comparable as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned a condition rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 10$ per point for differences in condition ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Condition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 10 \quad \$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |  |

## Effective Age

We considered differences in effective age in our analysis. Based on our field review, we estimated the effective age for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our estimates reflected the condition-adjusted age and remaining useful life of each property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$1.00 per year for differences in effective age between the subject and the comparables.

| Effective Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Rating | $\$ 1.00 \quad \$ 5.00$ | $\$ 1.00$ |  |

## Project Amenities

We considered the presence of various project amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Project amenities include ball fields, BBQ areas, billiards, business/computer centers, car care centers, community centers, elevators, fitness centers, gazebos, hot tubs/Jacuzzis, horseshoe pits, lakes, libraries, movie theatres, picnic areas, playgrounds, pools, saunas, sports courts and walking trails. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Project Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Ball Field | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| BBQ Area | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Billiards | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Bus/Comp Ctrs | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Car Care Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Community Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Elevator | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Fitness Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Gazebo | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Horseshoe Pit | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Lake | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Library | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Movie Theatre | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Picnic Area | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Playground | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Pool | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Sauna | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Sports Court | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Walking Trail | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |

## Unit Amenities

We considered the presence of various unit amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Unit amenities include blinds, ceiling fans, carpeting/upgraded flooring, fireplaces, patios/balconies and storage. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Unit Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Blinds | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | Concluded |
| Ceiling Fans | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Carpeting | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Fireplace | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Patio/Balcony | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Storage | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |

## Kitchen Amenities

We considered the presence of various kitchen amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Kitchen amenities include stoves, refrigerators, disposals, dishwashers and microwaves. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Stove | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Refrigerator | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Disposal | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Dishwasher | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Microwave | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |

## Parking

We also adjusted for differing types of parking configurations. We classified parking five ways: (1) Garage, (2) Covered; (3) Assigned, (4) Open and (5) No parking offered. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$50 per month for garages; covered parking was valued at $\$ 20$; assigned parking was valued at $\$ 10$; open parking was valued at $\$ 0$; no parking was valued at $\$ 0$.

| Parking |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Garage | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 200$ | Concluded |
| Covered | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 20$ |
| Assigned | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Open | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| None | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Laundry

We also evaluated differing types of laundry configurations. We classified laundry amenities three ways: (1) Central Laundry, (2) Washer/Dryer Units; and (3) Washer/Dryer Hookups. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 5$ per month for central laundries; washer/dryer units were valued at $\$ 10$; washer/dryer hookups were valued at $\$ 5$.

| Laundry |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Central | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 5$ |
| W/D Units | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |
| W/D Hookups | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 5$ |

## Security

We considered the presence of various security amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Security amenities include call buttons, controlled access, courtesy officers, monitoring, security alarms and security patrols. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Security |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Call Buttons | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | Concluded |
| Controlled Access | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Courtesy Officer | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Monitoring | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Security Alarms | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Security Patrols | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |

## Rent Conclusion, 1BR-1BA-725sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR-1BA-725sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 51 unit types found at 7 properties. We selected the 51 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 51 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\widetilde{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-04 Mill Village | 1BR-1BA-725sf | \$850 | \$0 | \$850 | - | \$0 | \$850 | - |
| 030-01 Lory (The) of Columbus | 1BR-1BA-615sf | \$825 | \$0 | \$825 | \$141 | \$23 | \$848 | 7 |
| 030-02 Lory (The) of Columbus | 1BR-1BA-749sf | \$857 | \$0 | \$857 | \$89 | -\$57 | \$800 | 3 |
| 030-03 Lory (The) of Columbus | 2BR-2BA-1105sf | \$989 | \$0 | \$989 | \$269 | -\$237 | \$752 | 18 |
| 030-04 Lory (The) of Columbus | 3BR-2BA-1384sf | \$1,163 | \$0 | \$1,163 | \$470 | -\$360 | \$803 | 39 |
| 056-01 Greystone Falls Apartments | 1BR-1BA-906sf | \$905 | \$0 | \$905 | \$194 | -\$152 | \$753 | 14 |
| 056-02 Greystone Falls Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1075sf | \$911 | \$0 | \$911 | \$295 | -\$253 | \$658 | 20 |
| 056-03 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1263sf | \$1,186 | \$0 | \$1,186 | \$386 | -\$344 | \$842 | 28 |
| 056-04 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1311sf | \$1,051 | \$0 | \$1,051 | \$415 | -\$373 | \$678 | 30 |
| 056-05 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1463sf | \$1,072 | \$0 | \$1,072 | \$506 | -\$464 | \$608 | 41 |
| 056-06 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1463sf | \$1,223 | \$0 | \$1,223 | \$506 | -\$464 | \$759 | 41 |
| 056-07 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1482sf | \$1,373 | \$0 | \$1,373 | \$517 | -\$475 | \$898 | 43 |
| 056-08 Greystone Falls Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1689sf | \$1,290 | \$0 | \$1,290 | \$624 | -\$577 | \$713 | 47 |
| 056-09 Greystone Falls Apartments | 3BR-2BA-2055sf | \$1,774 | \$0 | \$1,774 | \$843 | -\$797 | \$977 | 49 |
| 059-01 Grove Park Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1070sf | \$1,040 | \$0 | \$1,040 | \$334 | -\$298 | \$742 | 22 |
| 059-02 Grove Park Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1274sf | \$1,040 | \$0 | \$1,040 | \$456 | -\$420 | \$620 | 36 |
| 059-03 Grove Park Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1415sf | \$1,168 | \$0 | \$1,168 | \$527 | -\$491 | \$677 | 44 |
| 059-04 Grove Park Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1619sf | \$1,163 | \$0 | \$1,163 | \$649 | -\$613 | \$549 | 48 |
| 059-05 Grove Park Apartments | 3BR-3BA-2166sf | \$1,675 | \$0 | \$1,675 | \$964 | -\$928 | \$747 | 51 |
| 065-01 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 1BR-1BA-859sf | \$1,100 | \$0 | \$1,100 | \$165 | -\$117 | \$983 | 11 |
| 065-02 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2BR-2BA-1047sf | \$964 | \$0 | \$964 | \$244 | -\$196 | \$768 | 16 |
| 065-03 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2BR-2BA-1132sf | \$1,071 | \$0 | \$1,071 | \$295 | -\$247 | \$824 | 19 |
| 065-04 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 3BR-2BA-1350sf | \$1,199 | \$0 | \$1,199 | \$460 | -\$334 | \$865 | 37 |
| 101-01 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-687sf | \$810 | \$0 | \$810 | \$90 | -\$16 | \$794 | 4 |
| 101-02 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-751sf | \$865 | \$0 | \$865 | \$83 | -\$55 | \$810 | 1 |
| 101-03 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-871sf | \$860 | \$0 | \$860 | \$155 | -\$127 | \$733 | 9 |
| 101-04 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-928sf | \$1,180 | \$0 | \$1,180 | \$189 | -\$161 | \$1,019 | 12 |
| 101-05 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1192sf | \$1,055 | \$0 | \$1,055 | \$313 | -\$285 | \$770 | 21 |
| 101-06 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1250sf | \$1,175 | \$0 | \$1,175 | \$348 | -\$320 | \$855 | 23 |
| 101-07 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1339sf | \$1,070 | \$0 | \$1,070 | \$401 | -\$373 | \$697 | 29 |
| 101-08 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1384sf | \$1,200 | \$0 | \$1,200 | \$428 | -\$400 | \$800 | 31 |
| 101-09 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1605sf | \$1,600 | \$0 | \$1,600 | \$561 | -\$533 | \$1,067 | 46 |
| 101-10 Preserve at Columbus Park | 3BR-2BA-1338sf | \$1,310 | \$0 | \$1,310 | \$435 | -\$329 | \$981 | 34 |
| 101-11 Preserve at Columbus Park | 3BR-2BA-1447sf | \$1,320 | \$0 | \$1,320 | \$500 | -\$394 | \$926 | 40 |
| 101-12 Preserve at Columbus Park | 3BR-2BA-2129sf | \$1,880 | \$0 | \$1,880 | \$909 | -\$803 | \$1,077 | 50 |
| 147-01 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1400sf | \$1,025 | \$0 | \$1,025 | \$432 | -\$412 | \$613 | 32 |
| 147-02 Summit Pointe Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1400sf | \$1,025 | \$0 | \$1,025 | \$466 | -\$368 | \$657 | 38 |
| 148-01 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-751sf | \$1,025 | \$0 | \$1,025 | \$85 | -\$61 | \$964 | 2 |
| 148-02 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-807sf | \$1,230 | \$0 | \$1,230 | \$118 | -\$94 | \$1,136 | 5 |
| 148-03 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-808sf | \$1,230 | \$0 | \$1,230 | \$119 | -\$95 | \$1,135 | 6 |


| 148-04 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-859sf | \$1,134 | \$0 | \$1,134 | \$149 | -\$125 | \$1,009 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148-05 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-880sf | \$1,230 | \$0 | \$1,230 | \$162 | -\$138 | \$1,092 | 10 |
| 148-06 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-931sf | \$1,315 | \$0 | \$1,315 | \$193 | -\$169 | \$1,146 | 13 |
| 148-07 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-943sf | \$1,360 | \$0 | \$1,360 | \$200 | -\$176 | \$1,184 | 15 |
| 148-08 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1243sf | \$1,635 | \$0 | \$1,635 | \$380 | -\$356 | \$1,279 | 27 |
| 148-09 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1095sf | \$1,530 | \$0 | \$1,530 | \$257 | -\$233 | \$1,297 | 17 |
| 148-10 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1250sf | \$1,225 | \$0 | \$1,225 | \$350 | -\$326 | \$899 | 24 |
| 148-11 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1250sf | \$1,300 | \$0 | \$1,300 | \$350 | -\$326 | \$974 | 24 |
| 148-12 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1261sf | \$2,635 | \$0 | \$2,635 | \$357 | -\$333 | \$2,302 | 26 |
| 148-13 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1389sf | \$2,635 | \$0 | \$2,635 | \$433 | -\$409 | \$2,226 | 33 |
| 148-14 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1601sf | \$2,635 | \$0 | \$2,635 | \$561 | -\$537 | \$2,098 | 45 |
| 148-15 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1360sf | \$1,475 | \$0 | \$1,475 | \$450 | -\$348 | \$1,127 | 35 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 549$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 2,302$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 952$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 932$ |
| Rent, Concluded | $\$ 860$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 860$ for the 1BR-1BA-725sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 1BR-1BA-751sf units at Preserve at Columbus Park (Property \# 101), the 1BR-1BA-751sf units at Swallowtail Flats Apartments (Property \# 148), the 1BR-1BA-749sf units at Lory (The) of Columbus (Property \# 030), the 1BR-1BA-859sf units at Enclave at Highland Ridge (Property \# 065), and the 1BR-1BA-906sf units at Greystone Falls Apartments (Property \# 056) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-04 | 030-02 | 056-01 | 065-01 | 101-02 | 148-01 |
| Unit Type |  | 1BR-1BA-725sf | 1BR-1BA-749sf | 1BR-1BA-906sf | 1BR-1BA-859sf | 1BR-1BA-751sf | 1BR-1BA-751sf |
| Property Name |  | Mill Village | Lory (The) of Columbus | Greystone Falls Apartments | Enclave at Highland Ridge | Preserve at Columbus Park | Swallowtail Flats Apartments |
| Address |  | 120 20th Street | 8160 Veterans Parkway | 1701 Williams Court | 8500 Franciscan Woods Drive | 5462 Whittlesey Boulevard | 8272 Dream Boad Drive |
| City |  | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State |  | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip |  | 31904 | 31909 | 31904 | 31909 | 31909 | 31909 |
| Latitude |  | 32.48352 | 32.56858 | 32.56634 | 32.56877 | 32.53991 | 32.56873 |
| Longitude |  | -84.99166 | -84.93163 | -84.96835 | -84.95876 | -84.95134 | -84.93915 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 5.90 | 4.87 | 5.17 | 3.93 | 5.67 |
| Year Built |  | 2019 | 2011 | 2008 | 2011 | 2010 | 2015 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (706) 221-8066 | (706) 507-1701 | (706) 221-8503 | (706) 507-7050 | (706) 321-4657 |
| Effective Date |  | 08-May-19 | 26-Apr-19 | 29-Apr-19 | 01-May-19 | 26-Apr-19 | 29-Apr-19 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 102 | 292 | 214 | 297 | 300 | 211 |
| Vacant Units |  | 102 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 2 | 60 | 35 | 98 | 25 | 48 |
| Vacant Units |  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$850 | \$857 | \$905 | \$1,100 | \$865 | \$1,025 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$850 | \$857 | \$905 | \$1,100 | \$865 | \$1,025 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$119 | \$80 -\$39 | \$77 -\$42 | \$80 -\$39 | \$80 -\$39 | \$80 -\$39 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Internet | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$0 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$0 | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.60 | 725 | 749 -\$14 | 906 -\$109 | 859 -\$80 | 751 -\$16 | 751 -\$16 |
| Visibility | \$0 | 3.50 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 3.00 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 2.60 | 4.20 \$0 | 4.10 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.40 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 2.20 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$19,821 | \$72,262 \$0 | \$67,045 \$0 | \$67,945 \$0 | \$74,405 \$0 | \$67,945 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 22.50 | 20.90 \$0 | 21.54 \$0 | 20.78 \$0 | 23.26 \$0 | 20.78 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 3.3\% | 1.1\% \$0 | 1.5\% \$0 | 4.0\% \$0 | 5.0\% \$0 | 4.0\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.50 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.50 \$0 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2019 | 2010 \$9 | 2010 \$9 | 2010 \$9 | 2010 \$9 | 2015 \$4 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | \$2 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Community Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | \$0 | yes -\$2 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Picnic Area | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Playground | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Walking Trail | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Carpeting | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | some \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Storage | \$10 | some | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | some \$0 | some \$0 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Open | \$0 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$5 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| W/D Units | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$-\$10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| W/D Hookups | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$5 | no \$5 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$2 | yes | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$-\$2 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$860 | \$800 | \$753 | \$983 | \$810 | \$964 |

## Rent Conclusion, 2BR-2BA-950sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR-2BA-950sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 51 unit types found at 7 properties. We selected the 51 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 51 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dddot{N}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-08 Mill Village | 2BR-2BA-950sf | \$950 | \$0 | \$950 | - | \$0 | \$950 |  |
| 030-01 Lory (The) of Columbus | 1BR-1BA-615sf | \$825 | \$0 | \$825 | \$305 | \$129 | \$954 | 33 |
| 030-02 Lory (The) of Columbus | 1BR-1BA-749sf | \$857 | \$0 | \$857 | \$225 | \$49 | \$906 | 19 |
| 030-03 Lory (The) of Columbus | 2BR-2BA-1105sf | \$989 | \$0 | \$989 | \$163 | -\$131 | \$858 | 10 |
| 030-04 Lory (The) of Columbus | 3BR-2BA-1384sf | \$1,163 | \$0 | \$1,163 | \$306 | -\$254 | \$909 | 34 |
| 056-01 Greystone Falls Apartments | 1BR-1BA-906sf | \$905 | \$0 | \$905 | \$141 | -\$46 | \$859 | 6 |
| 056-02 Greystone Falls Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1075sf | \$911 | \$0 | \$911 | \$189 | -\$147 | \$764 | 15 |
| 056-03 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1263sf | \$1,186 | \$0 | \$1,186 | \$280 | -\$238 | \$948 | 28 |
| 056-04 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1311sf | \$1,051 | \$0 | \$1,051 | \$309 | -\$267 | \$784 | 35 |
| 056-05 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1463sf | \$1,072 | \$0 | \$1,072 | \$400 | -\$358 | \$714 | 41 |
| 056-06 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1463sf | \$1,223 | \$0 | \$1,223 | \$400 | -\$358 | \$865 | 41 |
| 056-07 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1482sf | \$1,373 | \$0 | \$1,373 | \$411 | -\$369 | \$1,004 | 43 |
| 056-08 Greystone Falls Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1689sf | \$1,290 | \$0 | \$1,290 | \$513 | -\$471 | \$819 | 47 |
| 056-09 Greystone Falls Apartments | 3BR-2BA-2055sf | \$1,774 | \$0 | \$1,774 | \$733 | -\$691 | \$1,083 | 49 |
| 059-01 Grove Park Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1070sf | \$1,040 | \$0 | \$1,040 | \$228 | -\$192 | \$848 | 20 |
| 059-02 Grove Park Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1274sf | \$1,040 | \$0 | \$1,040 | \$350 | -\$314 | \$726 | 40 |
| 059-03 Grove Park Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1415sf | \$1,168 | \$0 | \$1,168 | \$421 | -\$385 | \$783 | 44 |
| 059-04 Grove Park Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1619sf | \$1,163 | \$0 | \$1,163 | \$543 | -\$507 | \$655 | 48 |
| 059-05 Grove Park Apartments | 3BR-3BA-2166sf | \$1,675 | \$0 | \$1,675 | \$858 | -\$822 | \$853 | 51 |
| 065-01 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 1BR-1BA-859sf | \$1,100 | \$0 | \$1,100 | \$169 | -\$11 | \$1,089 | 11 |
| 065-02 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2BR-2BA-1047sf | \$964 | \$0 | \$964 | \$138 | -\$90 | \$874 | 4 |
| 065-03 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2BR-2BA-1132sf | \$1,071 | \$0 | \$1,071 | \$189 | -\$141 | \$930 | 14 |
| 065-04 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 3BR-2BA-1350sf | \$1,199 | \$0 | \$1,199 | \$296 | -\$228 | \$971 | 31 |
| 101-01 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-687sf | \$810 | \$0 | \$810 | \$254 | \$90 | \$900 | 25 |
| 101-02 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-751sf | \$865 | \$0 | \$865 | \$215 | \$51 | \$916 | 17 |
| 101-03 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-871sf | \$860 | \$0 | \$860 | \$143 | -\$21 | \$839 | 7 |
| 101-04 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-928sf | \$1,180 | \$0 | \$1,180 | \$109 | -\$55 | \$1,125 | 2 |
| 101-05 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1192sf | \$1,055 | \$0 | \$1,055 | \$207 | -\$179 | \$876 | 16 |
| 101-06 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1250sf | \$1,175 | \$0 | \$1,175 | \$242 | -\$214 | \$961 | 21 |
| 101-07 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1339sf | \$1,070 | \$0 | \$1,070 | \$295 | -\$267 | \$803 | 30 |
| 101-08 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1384sf | \$1,200 | \$0 | \$1,200 | \$322 | -\$294 | \$906 | 36 |
| 101-09 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1605sf | \$1,600 | \$0 | \$1,600 | \$455 | -\$427 | \$1,173 | 46 |
| 101-10 Preserve at Columbus Park | 3BR-2BA-1338sf | \$1,310 | \$0 | \$1,310 | \$271 | -\$223 | \$1,087 | 26 |
| 101-11 Preserve at Columbus Park | 3BR-2BA-1447sf | \$1,320 | \$0 | \$1,320 | \$336 | -\$288 | \$1,032 | 39 |
| 101-12 Preserve at Columbus Park | 3BR-2BA-2129sf | \$1,880 | \$0 | \$1,880 | \$745 | -\$697 | \$1,183 | 50 |
| 147-01 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1400sf | \$1,025 | \$0 | \$1,025 | \$326 | -\$306 | \$719 | 37 |
| 147-02 Summit Pointe Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1400sf | \$1,025 | \$0 | \$1,025 | \$302 | -\$262 | \$763 | 32 |
| 148-01 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-751sf | \$1,025 | \$0 | \$1,025 | \$217 | \$45 | \$1,070 | 18 |
| 148-02 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-807sf | \$1,230 | \$0 | \$1,230 | \$184 | \$12 | \$1,242 | 13 |
| 148-03 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-808sf | \$1,230 | \$0 | \$1,230 | \$183 | \$11 | \$1,241 | 12 |


| 148-04 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-859sf | \$1,134 | \$0 | \$1,134 | \$153 | -\$19 | \$1,115 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148-05 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-880sf | \$1,230 | \$0 | \$1,230 | \$140 | -\$32 | \$1,198 | 5 |
| 148-06 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-931sf | \$1,315 | \$0 | \$1,315 | \$109 | -\$63 | \$1,252 | 3 |
| 148-07 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-943sf | \$1,360 | \$0 | \$1,360 | \$102 | -\$70 | \$1,290 | 1 |
| 148-08 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1243sf | \$1,635 | \$0 | \$1,635 | \$274 | -\$250 | \$1,385 | 27 |
| 148-09 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1095sf | \$1,530 | \$0 | \$1,530 | \$151 | -\$127 | \$1,403 | 8 |
| 148-10 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1250sf | \$1,225 | \$0 | \$1,225 | \$244 | -\$220 | \$1,005 | 22 |
| 148-11 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1250sf | \$1,300 | \$0 | \$1,300 | \$244 | -\$220 | \$1,080 | 22 |
| 148-12 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1261sf | \$2,635 | \$0 | \$2,635 | \$251 | -\$227 | \$2,408 | 24 |
| 148-13 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1389sf | \$2,635 | \$0 | \$2,635 | \$327 | -\$303 | \$2,332 | 38 |
| 148-14 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1601sf | \$2,635 | \$0 | \$2,635 | \$455 | -\$431 | \$2,204 | 45 |
| 148-15 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1360sf | \$1,475 | \$0 | \$1,475 | \$286 | -\$242 | \$1,233 | 29 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 655$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 2,408$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 1,058$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 1,038$ |
| Rent, Concluded | $\$ 990$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 990$ for the 2BR-2BA-950sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 2BR-2BA-1047sf units at Enclave at Highland Ridge (Property \# 065), the 2BR-2BA-1095sf units at Swallowtail Flats Apartments (Property \# 148), the 2BR-2BA-1105sf units at Lory (The) of Columbus (Property \# 030), the 2BR-2BA-1192sf units at Preserve at Columbus Park (Property \# 101), and the 2BR-2BA-1263sf units at Greystone Falls Apartments (Property \# 056) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-08 | 030-03 | 056-03 | 065-02 | 101-05 | 148-09 |
| Unit Type |  | 2BR-2BA-950sf | 2BR-2BA-1105sf | 2BR-2BA-1263sf | 2BR-2BA-1047sf | 2BR-2BA-1192sf | 2BR-2BA-1095sf |
| Property Name |  | Mill Village | Lory (The) of Columbus | Greystone Falls Apartments | Enclave at Highland Ridge | Preserve at Columbus Park | Swallowtail Flats Apartments |
| Address |  | 120 20th Street | 8160 Veterans Parkway | 1701 Williams Court | 8500 Franciscan Woods Drive | 5462 Whittlesey Boulevard | 8272 Dream Boad Drive |
| City |  | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State |  | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip |  | 31904 | 31909 | 31904 | 31909 | 31909 | 31909 |
| Latitude |  | 32.48352 | 32.56858 | 32.56634 | 32.56877 | 32.53991 | 32.56873 |
| Longitude |  | -84.99166 | -84.93163 | -84.96835 | -84.95876 | -84.95134 | -84.93915 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 5.90 | 4.87 | 5.17 | 3.93 | 5.67 |
| Year Built |  | 2019 | 2011 | 2008 | 2011 | 2010 | 2015 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (706) 221-8066 | (706) 507-1701 | (706) 221-8503 | (706) 507-7050 | (706) 321-4657 |
| Effective Date |  | 08-May-19 | 26-Apr-19 | 29-Apr-19 | 01-May-19 | 26-Apr-19 | 29-Apr-19 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 102 | 292 | 214 | 297 | 300 | 211 |
| Vacant Units |  | 102 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 7 | 148 | 12 | 91 | 40 | 6 |
| Vacant Units |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$950 | \$989 | \$1,186 | \$964 | \$1,055 | \$1,530 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$950 | \$989 | \$1,186 | \$964 | \$1,055 | \$1,530 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$148 | \$114 -\$34 | \$99 -\$49 | \$114 -\$34 | \$114 -\$34 | \$114 -\$34 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Internet | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.60 | 950 | 1105 -\$93 | 1263 -\$188 | 1047 -\$58 | 1192 -\$145 | 1095 -\$87 |
| Visibility | \$0 | 3.50 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 3.00 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 2.60 | 4.20 \$0 | 4.10 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.40 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 2.20 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$19,821 | \$72,262 \$0 | \$67,045 \$0 | \$67,945 \$0 | \$74,405 \$0 | \$67,945 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 22.50 | 20.90 \$0 | 21.54 \$0 | 20.78 \$0 | 23.26 \$0 | 20.78 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 3.3\% | 1.1\% \$0 | 1.5\% \$0 | 4.0\% \$0 | 5.0\% \$0 | 4.0\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.50 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.50 \$0 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2019 | 2010 \$9 | 2010 \$9 | 2010 \$9 | 2010 \$9 | 2015 \$4 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | \$2 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Community Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | \$0 | yes -\$2 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Picnic Area | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Playground | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Walking Trail | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Carpeting | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | some \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Storage | \$10 | some | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | some \$0 | some \$0 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Open | \$0 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$5 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| W/D Units | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$-\$10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| W/D Hookups | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$5 | no \$5 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$2 | yes | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$-\$2 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$990 | \$858 | \$948 | \$874 | \$876 | \$1,403 |

## Rent Conclusion, 3BR-2BA-1200sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR-2BA-1200sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 51 unit types found at 7 properties. We selected the 51 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 51 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{y}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & .0 \\ & \vdots 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\widetilde{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-12 Mill Village | 3BR-2BA-1200sf | \$1,050 | \$0 | \$1,050 |  | \$0 | \$1,050 |  |
| 030-01 Lory (The) of Columbus | 1BR-1BA-615sf | \$825 | \$0 | \$825 | \$503 | \$231 | \$1,056 | 48 |
| 030-02 Lory (The) of Columbus | 1BR-1BA-749sf | \$857 | \$0 | \$857 | \$423 | \$151 | \$1,008 | 45 |
| 030-03 Lory (The) of Columbus | 2BR-2BA-1105sf | \$989 | \$0 | \$989 | \$175 | -\$29 | \$960 | 11 |
| 030-04 Lory (The) of Columbus | 3BR-2BA-1384sf | \$1,163 | \$0 | \$1,163 | \$184 | -\$152 | \$1,011 | 15 |
| 056-01 Greystone Falls Apartments | 1BR-1BA-906sf | \$905 | \$0 | \$905 | \$339 | \$56 | \$961 | 34 |
| 056-02 Greystone Falls Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1075sf | \$911 | \$0 | \$911 | \$237 | -\$45 | \$866 | 23 |
| 056-03 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1263sf | \$1,186 | \$0 | \$1,186 | \$178 | -\$136 | \$1,050 | 13 |
| 056-04 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1311sf | \$1,051 | \$0 | \$1,051 | \$207 | -\$165 | \$886 | 17 |
| 056-05 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1463sf | \$1,072 | \$0 | \$1,072 | \$298 | -\$256 | \$816 | 26 |
| 056-06 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1463sf | \$1,223 | \$0 | \$1,223 | \$298 | -\$256 | \$967 | 26 |
| 056-07 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1482sf | \$1,373 | \$0 | \$1,373 | \$309 | -\$267 | \$1,106 | 31 |
| 056-08 Greystone Falls Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1689sf | \$1,290 | \$0 | \$1,290 | \$411 | -\$369 | \$921 | 42 |
| 056-09 Greystone Falls Apartments | 3BR-2BA-2055sf | \$1,774 | \$0 | \$1,774 | \$631 | -\$589 | \$1,185 | 50 |
| 059-01 Grove Park Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1070sf | \$1,040 | \$0 | \$1,040 | \$282 | -\$90 | \$950 | 25 |
| 059-02 Grove Park Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1274sf | \$1,040 | \$0 | \$1,040 | \$248 | -\$212 | \$828 | 24 |
| 059-03 Grove Park Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1415sf | \$1,168 | \$0 | \$1,168 | \$319 | -\$283 | \$885 | 32 |
| 059-04 Grove Park Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1619sf | \$1,163 | \$0 | \$1,163 | \$441 | -\$405 | \$757 | 46 |
| 059-05 Grove Park Apartments | 3BR-3BA-2166sf | \$1,675 | \$0 | \$1,675 | \$756 | -\$720 | \$955 | 51 |
| 065-01 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 1BR-1BA-859sf | \$1,100 | \$0 | \$1,100 | \$367 | \$91 | \$1,191 | 39 |
| 065-02 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2BR-2BA-1047sf | \$964 | \$0 | \$964 | \$220 | \$12 | \$976 | 19 |
| 065-03 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2BR-2BA-1132sf | \$1,071 | \$0 | \$1,071 | \$169 | -\$39 | \$1,032 | 8 |
| 065-04 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 3BR-2BA-1350sf | \$1,199 | \$0 | \$1,199 | \$174 | -\$126 | \$1,073 | 10 |
| 101-01 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-687sf | \$810 | \$0 | \$810 | \$452 | \$192 | \$1,002 | 47 |
| 101-02 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-751sf | \$865 | \$0 | \$865 | \$413 | \$153 | \$1,018 | 43 |
| 101-03 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-871sf | \$860 | \$0 | \$860 | \$341 | \$81 | \$941 | 35 |
| 101-04 Preserve at Columbus Park | 1BR-1BA-928sf | \$1,180 | \$0 | \$1,180 | \$307 | \$47 | \$1,227 | 29 |
| 101-05 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1192sf | \$1,055 | \$0 | \$1,055 | \$115 | -\$77 | \$978 | 1 |
| 101-06 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1250sf | \$1,175 | \$0 | \$1,175 | \$140 | -\$112 | \$1,063 | 2 |
| 101-07 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1339sf | \$1,070 | \$0 | \$1,070 | \$193 | -\$165 | \$905 | 16 |
| 101-08 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1384sf | \$1,200 | \$0 | \$1,200 | \$220 | -\$192 | \$1,008 | 20 |
| 101-09 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2BR-2BA-1605sf | \$1,600 | \$0 | \$1,600 | \$353 | -\$325 | \$1,275 | 38 |
| 101-10 Preserve at Columbus Park | 3BR-2BA-1338sf | \$1,310 | \$0 | \$1,310 | \$149 | -\$121 | \$1,189 | 6 |
| 101-11 Preserve at Columbus Park | 3BR-2BA-1447sf | \$1,320 | \$0 | \$1,320 | \$214 | -\$186 | \$1,134 | 18 |
| 101-12 Preserve at Columbus Park | 3BR-2BA-2129sf | \$1,880 | \$0 | \$1,880 | \$623 | -\$595 | \$1,285 | 49 |
| 147-01 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1400sf | \$1,025 | \$0 | \$1,025 | \$224 | -\$204 | \$821 | 21 |
| 147-02 Summit Pointe Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1400sf | \$1,025 | \$0 | \$1,025 | \$180 | -\$160 | \$865 | 14 |
| 148-01 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-751sf | \$1,025 | \$0 | \$1,025 | \$415 | \$147 | \$1,172 | 44 |
| 148-02 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-807sf | \$1,230 | \$0 | \$1,230 | \$382 | \$114 | \$1,344 | 41 |
| 148-03 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-808sf | \$1,230 | \$0 | \$1,230 | \$381 | \$113 | \$1,343 | 40 |


| 148-04 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-859sf | \$1,134 | \$0 | \$1,134 | \$351 | \$83 | \$1,217 | 36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148-05 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-880sf | \$1,230 | \$0 | \$1,230 | \$338 | \$70 | \$1,300 | 33 |
| 148-06 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-931sf | \$1,315 | \$0 | \$1,315 | \$307 | \$39 | \$1,354 | 30 |
| 148-07 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-943sf | \$1,360 | \$0 | \$1,360 | \$300 | \$32 | \$1,392 | 28 |
| 148-08 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 1BR-1BA-1243sf | \$1,635 | \$0 | \$1,635 | \$172 | -\$148 | \$1,487 | 9 |
| 148-09 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1095sf | \$1,530 | \$0 | \$1,530 | \$175 | -\$25 | \$1,505 | 11 |
| 148-10 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1250sf | \$1,225 | \$0 | \$1,225 | \$142 | -\$118 | \$1,107 | 3 |
| 148-11 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1250sf | \$1,300 | \$0 | \$1,300 | \$142 | -\$118 | \$1,182 | 3 |
| 148-12 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1261sf | \$2,635 | \$0 | \$2,635 | \$149 | -\$125 | \$2,510 | 5 |
| 148-13 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1389sf | \$2,635 | \$0 | \$2,635 | \$225 | -\$201 | \$2,434 | 22 |
| 148-14 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1601sf | \$2,635 | \$0 | \$2,635 | \$353 | -\$329 | \$2,306 | 37 |
| 148-15 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1360sf | \$1,475 | \$0 | \$1,475 | \$164 | -\$140 | \$1,335 | 7 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 757$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 2,510$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 1,160$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 1,140$ |
| Rent, Concluded | $\$ 1,095$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 1,095$ for the 3BR-2BA-1200sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 3BR-2BA-1338sf units at Preserve at Columbus Park (Property \# 101), the 3BR-2BA-1360sf units at Swallowtail Flats Apartments (Property \# 148), the 3BR-2BA-1350sf units at Enclave at Highland Ridge (Property \# 065), the 3BR-2BA-1400sf units at Summit Pointe Apartments (Property \# 147), and the 3BR-2BA-1384sf units at Lory (The) of Columbus (Property \# 030) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-12 | 030-04 | 065-04 | 101-10 | 147-02 | 148-15 |
| Unit Type |  | 3BR-2BA-1200sf | 3BR-2BA-1384sf | 3BR-2BA-1350sf | 3BR-2BA-1338sf | 3BR-2BA-1400sf | 3BR-2BA-1360sf |
| Property Name |  | Mill Village | Lory (The) of Columbus | Enclave at Highland Ridge | Preserve at Columbus Park | Summit Pointe Apartments | Swallowtail Flats Apartments |
| Address |  | 120 20th Street | 8160 Veterans Parkway | 8500 Franciscan Woods Drive | 5462 Whittlesey Boulevard | 3071 Williams Road | 8272 Dream Boad Drive |
| City |  | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State |  | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip |  | 31904 | 31909 | 31909 | 31909 | 31909 | 31909 |
| Latitude |  | 32.48352 | 32.56858 | 32.56877 | 32.53991 | 32.56811 | 32.56873 |
| Longitude |  | -84.99166 | -84.93163 | -84.95876 | -84.95134 | -84.94532 | -84.93915 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 5.90 | 5.17 | 3.93 | 5.46 | 5.67 |
| Year Built |  | 2019 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2018 | 2015 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (706) 221-8066 | (706) 221-8503 | (706) 507-7050 | (706) 221-5556 | (706) 321-4657 |
| Effective Date |  | 08-May-19 | 26-Apr-19 | 01-May-19 | 26-Apr-19 | 06-May-19 | 29-Apr-19 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 102 | 292 | 297 | 300 | 24 | 211 |
| Vacant Units |  | 102 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 2 | 60 | 48 | 40 | 12 | 36 |
| Vacant Units |  | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$1,050 | \$1,163 | \$1,199 | \$1,310 | \$1,025 | \$1,475 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$1,050 | \$1,163 | \$1,199 | \$1,310 | \$1,025 | \$1,475 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$196 | \$158 -\$38 | \$158 -\$38 | \$158 -\$38 | \$158 -\$38 | \$158 -\$38 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Internet | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$0 | 3 | \$0 | 3 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.60 | 1200 | 1384 -\$110 | 1350 -\$90 | 1338 -\$83 | 1400 -\$120 | 1360 -\$96 |
| Visibility | \$0 | 3.50 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 3.00 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 2.60 | 4.20 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.40 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 2.60 \$0 | 2.20 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$19,821 | \$72,262 \$0 | \$67,945 \$0 | \$74,405 \$0 | \$67,945 \$0 | \$67,945 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 22.50 | 20.90 \$0 | 20.78 \$0 | 23.26 \$0 | 20.78 \$0 | 20.78 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 3.3\% | 1.1\% \$0 | 4.0\% \$0 | 5.0\% \$0 | 4.0\% \$0 | 4.0\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.50 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.50 \$0 | 4.50 \$0 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2019 | 2010 \$9 | 2010 \$9 | 2010 \$9 | 2015 \$4 | 2015 \$4 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | \$2 | no \$2 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Community Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | \$2 | yes \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Library | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Picnic Area | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Playground | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Walking Trail | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | yes \$0 |
| Carpeting | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Storage | \$10 | some | yes -\$10 | some \$0 | some \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Open | \$0 | yes | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$5 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| W/D Units | \$10 | no | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ \$10 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| W/D Hookups | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$5 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$2 | yes | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$1,095 | \$1,011 | \$1,073 | \$1,189 | \$865 | \$1,335 |

## Unrestricted Market Rent Conclusion

Based on our evaluation of the rents at the select comparable properties, and considering the location, quality and amenities of the subject property, we conclude the following market rents for the subject property units, assuming that the subject were an unrestricted property:

| Unrestricted Market Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Market | Proposed | Advantage |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | \$860 | \$666 | 22.6\% |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$860 | \$666 | 22.6\% |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$860 | \$721 | 16.2\% |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$860 | \$850 | 1.2\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 15 | \$990 | \$748 | 24.4\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 21 | \$990 | \$748 | 24.4\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 19 | \$990 | \$860 | 13.1\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 7 | \$990 | \$950 | 4.0\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | \$1,095 | \$812 | 25.8\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$1,095 | \$812 | 25.8\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$1,095 | \$969 | 11.5\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$1,095 | \$1,050 | 4.1\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 102 | \$985 | \$800 | 18.8\% |

Our analysis suggests an average unrestricted market rent of $\$ 985$ for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of $\$ 800$, yielding an unrestricted market rent advantage of 18.8 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below unrestricted market rents for the area.

We selected a total of 7 properties as comparables for purposes of our analysis. The average occupancy at the select rent comparables currently stands at 99 percent.

Occupancy rates for the selected rent comparables are broken out below:

| Occupancy Rate, Select Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | 30\% of AMI | 40\% of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99\% |
| 2-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99\% |
| 3-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99\% |

Occupancy rates for all stabilized market area properties are broken out below:

| Occupancy Rate, Stabilized Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | 40\% of AMI | 50\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| 0-Bedroom | 95\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96\% |
| 1-Bedroom | 97\% |  |  | 100\% | 100\% |  |  | 97\% |
| 2-Bedroom | 97\% |  |  | 100\% | 99\% |  |  | 96\% |
| 3-Bedroom | 96\% |  |  | 90\% | 99\% |  |  | 91\% |
| 4-Bedroom | 100\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Total | 97\% |  |  | 99\% | 99\% |  |  | 95\% |

HUD conducts an annual rent survey to derive Fair Market Rent estimates for an area. Based on this, 2bedroom rents for the area grew from $\$ 663$ to $\$ 823$ since 2010. This represents an average 3.0\% annual increase over this period.

Fair market rent data for the area is found below:

|  | Rent |  |  | Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1BR | 2BR | 3BR | 1BR | 2BR | 3BR |
| 2006 | \$479 | \$548 | \$729 | - | - | - |
| 2007 | \$498 | \$570 | \$758 | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% |
| 2008 | \$541 | \$619 | \$823 | 8.6\% | 8.6\% | 8.6\% |
| 2009 | \$559 | \$640 | \$851 | 3.3\% | 3.4\% | 3.4\% |
| 2010 | \$579 | \$663 | \$882 | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% |
| 2011 | \$573 | \$656 | \$872 | -1.0\% | -1.1\% | -1.1\% |
| 2012 | \$588 | \$673 | \$895 | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
| 2013 | \$621 | \$736 | \$1,014 | 5.6\% | 9.4\% | 13.3\% |
| 2014 | \$595 | \$705 | \$971 | -4.2\% | -4.2\% | -4.2\% |
| 2015 | \$628 | \$745 | \$1,026 | 5.5\% | 5.7\% | 5.7\% |
| 2016 | \$658 | \$777 | \$1,077 | 4.8\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% |
| 2017 | \$648 | \$777 | \$1,082 | -1.5\% |  | 0.5\% |
| 2018 | \$685 | \$823 | \$1,142 | 5.7\% | 5.9\% | 5.5\% |

Source: HUD

## Restricted Rent Analysis

In this section we develop a restricted market rent conclusion and an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property units. Our analysis began by selecting comparable rentals to use to develop estimates of market rents for the units at the subject property, assuming that the subject was a restricted property. Our selection of comparables was based on location, age, condition, unit mix and amenities of the comparable properties relative to the subject property.

## Rental Property Inventory, by Unit Type

In the following pages we present an inventory of properties included in this analysis. Rents for these properties, broken out by unit type, were used in selecting the rent comparables used in this analysis.

The properties that we consider to be comparable to the subject property are highlighted in the tables found in the following pages. We attempted to select stabilized restricted rent properties as comparables for purposes of our rent comparability analysis.

Comparables with market rents are used when a sufficient number of restricted rent comparables are not available and when maximum allowable rents for properties with restricted rents exceed prevailing rents in the area. In the event that program rental rates exceed market rental rates, restricted units are, in fact, de facto market rate units.

## Rent Comparables, Restricted Rent, Map

A map showing the location of the properties selected as comparables in this analysis is found in the following pages. Properties identified with red pushpins have market rents, properties identified with yellow pushpins have restricted rents, and properties identified with blue pushpins have subsidized rents. Detailed write-ups for the select rent comparables are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Rent Comparability Grids

Our analysis employed the use of rent comparability grids and resulted in a restricted market rent estimate for each of the subject's unit types. These grids and a narrative describing our rent adjustments are found in the following pages.

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 006 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$558 |  |  |  | \$499 |  |  | \$625 |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$558 |  |  |  | \$499 |  |  | \$625 |
| 010 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$333 |  |  | \$568 | \$568 |  |  | \$800 |
| 011 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$333 |  |  | \$568 | \$568 |  |  | \$800 |
| 012 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$550 |  |  |  |
| 025 Columbus Commons | 2017 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$531 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$746 |
| 069 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$552 |  |  | \$701 |
| 074 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 079 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 Northgate Village Apartments | 1975 | 2003 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$562 |  |  |  | \$562 |  |  |  |
| 111 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 116 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$581 |  |  | \$615 |
| 117 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 122 Warren Williams Homes | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$457 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$457 |

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 006 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$653 |  |  |  | \$596 |  |  | \$749 |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$653 |  |  |  | \$596 |  |  | \$749 |
| 010 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$391 |  |  | \$549 | \$680 |  |  | \$970 |
| 011 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$391 |  |  | \$549 | \$680 |  |  | \$972 |
| 012 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$656 |  |  |  |
| 025 Columbus Commons | 2017 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$619 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,034 |
| 069 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$660 |  |  | \$769 |
| 074 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | \$430 | \$551 |  |  |  |
| 079 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$615 |  |  |  |
| 089 Northgate Village Apartments | 1975 | 2003 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$665 |  |  |  | \$665 |  |  |  |
| 111 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | \$590 | \$651 |  |  | \$675 |
| 116 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$750 |
| 117 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$645 |  |  |  |
| 122 Warren Williams Homes | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$523 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$523 |

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 006 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$868 |  |  |  | \$676 |  |  | \$844 |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$868 |  |  |  | \$676 |  |  | \$844 |
| 010 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$542 |  |  |  | \$773 |  |  | \$1,116 |
| 011 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$542 |  |  |  | \$773 |  |  | \$1,116 |
| 012 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$747 |  |  |  |
| 025 Columbus Commons | 2017 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$720 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,205 |
| 069 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$775 |  |  | \$1,318 |
| 074 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | \$494 | \$677 |  |  |  |
| 079 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$706 |  |  |  |
| 089 Northgate Village Apartments | 1975 | 2003 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$769 |  |  |  | \$769 |  |  |  |
| 111 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | \$593 | \$748 |  |  | \$775 |
| 116 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$741 |  |  |  |
| 122 Warren Williams Homes | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$696 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$696 |



## Rent Adjustments

Our analysis included a property management survey and a technique known as "statistical extraction" to help us identify the best adjustments to use. Statistical extraction, which is similar to the matched pair method, helped us derive the optimal adjustments for our particular data set.

Here's a hypothetical example to illustrate how we derived our rent adjustments. Assume that property managers tell us we should expect rent adjustments ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 0.50$ per square foot for a particular market. Next, assume that we select 25 rent comparables with an adjusted sample standard deviation (a statistical measure of variability) of $\$ 100$. We employ a square foot rent adjustment of $\$ 0.10$ for each comparable resulting in an adjusted sample standard deviation of $\$ 90$. This tells us that the assumed adjustment "explained" some of the variability in the data. We repeat this process for adjustments of $\$ 0.20, \$ 0.30, \$ 0.40$ and $\$ 0.50$ which yielded adjusted sample standard deviations of $\$ 80, \$ 70, \$ 65$ and $\$ 75$, respectively. The $\$ 0.40$ square foot adjustment "explains" the most variability because any other adjustment yields a higher adjusted sample standard deviation. Consequently, a $\$ 0.40$ rent adjustment is the best adjustment for purposes of this example. This is a simplified example because we actually adjusted for numerous variables simultaneously in our analysis.

Many adjustments (bedroom count, bathroom count and square footage) are highly interrelated. Statistical extraction helped us unravel the interrelationships between these variables. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is superior a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior a "minus" adjustment is made.

We used the Excel Solver function to help us with our analysis. The Solver function was programmed to minimize the adjusted standard deviation for our data set. We evaluated a total of 62 variables in 22 categories (AC systems, heating systems, technology, bedrooms, bathrooms, square feet, visibility, access, neighborhood, area amenities, condition, effective age, project amenities, elevator, unit amenities, storage, kitchen amenities, parking, laundry, security, on-site management, on-site maintenance) in an effort to identify the mix of adjustments that explained the most variability found in our raw data.

A discussion of our surveyed and concluded adjustments is found below.

## Concessions

The first step in our analysis was to account for any concessions at the subject and the comparables. We considered the advertised street rent and concessions being offered and derived a net nent estimate for each comparable. Net rent, defined as advertised street rent minus monthly concessions, represents the cash rent paid by new residents at the various properties. This is the best measure of market value (prior to any other adjustments) for the comparables included in this analysis.

## Tenant-Paid Utilities

The next step in our analysis was to account for differences in tenant-paid utilities between the comparable properties and the subject. We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive our adjustments. The HUD model includes a current utility rate survey for the area. In the event that the tenant-paid utilities associated with a particular property are higher or lower than the subject, adjustments were made to account for the differences. Adjustments reflect the difference between the tenant-paid utilities for the comparable property minus that for the subject.

## Technology

We accounted for technology (cable and internet access) offered in the rent for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per month for cable; internet access was valued at $\$ 0$.

| Technology |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Cable | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 50$ | Concluded |
| Internet | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Bedrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bedrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 120$ per bedroom.

| Bedrooms |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Bedrooms | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 200$ | $\$ 120$ |  |

## Bathrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bathrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per bathroom.

| Bathrooms |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Bathrooms | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | $\$ 0$ |  |

## Square Feet

Our analysis also included an adjustment for square footage at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.00$ per square foot.

| Square Feet |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Square Feet | $\$ 0.00 \quad \$ 2.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |  |

## Visibility

We also accounted for differences in visibility at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review, we assigned a visibility rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in visibility ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Visibility |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Rating | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Access

Our analysis also included an adjustment for access at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned an access rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in access ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Access |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 100$ | Concluded |

## Neighborhood

We considered differences in neighborhood at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local demographic and crime data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a neighborhood rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in neighborhood ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Neighborhood |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | Concluded |  |

## Area Amenities

We also accounted for area amenities for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local amenity data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a local amenity rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in amenity ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Area Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Rating | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | $\$ 0$ |  |

## Median Household Income

Our analysis also included an adjustment for median household income for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.0054$ per dollar of median household income.

| Median Household Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |
| Med HH Inc | $\$ 0.0000 \quad \$ 0.0100$ | $\$ 0.0054$ |

## Average Commute

Our analysis also included an adjustment for average commute for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.00$ per each minute of commute.

| Average Commute |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |
| Avg Commute | $\$ 0.00 \quad \$ 20.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Public Transportation

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the existence of public transportation within walking distance of each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.00$ for publc transportation.

| Public Transportation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Public Trans | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 200.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Personal Crime

Our analysis also included an adjustment for personal crime rates for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per 0.01 percentage points.

| Personal Crime |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Personal Crime | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Condition

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the condition of each comparable as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned a condition rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 10$ per point for differences in condition ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Condition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 10 \quad \$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |  |

## Effective Age

We considered differences in effective age in our analysis. Based on our field review, we estimated the effective age for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our estimates reflected the condition-adjusted age and remaining useful life of each property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$1.00 per year for differences in effective age between the subject and the comparables.

| Effective Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Rating | $\$ 1.00 \quad \$ 5.00$ | $\$ 1.00$ |  |

## Project Amenities

We considered the presence of various project amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Project amenities include ball fields, BBQ areas, billiards, business/computer centers, car care centers, community centers, elevators, fitness centers, gazebos, hot tubs/Jacuzzis, horseshoe pits, lakes, libraries, movie theatres, picnic areas, playgrounds, pools, saunas, sports courts and walking trails. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Project Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Ball Field | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| BBQ Area | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Billiards | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Bus/Comp Ctrs | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Car Care Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Community Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Elevator | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Fitness Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Gazebo | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Horseshoe Pit | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Lake | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Library | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Movie Theatre | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Picnic Area | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Playground | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Pool | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Sauna | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Sports Court | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Walking Trail | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |

## Unit Amenities

We considered the presence of various unit amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Unit amenities include blinds, ceiling fans, carpeting/upgraded flooring, fireplaces, patios/balconies and storage. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Unit Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Blinds | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | Concluded |
| Ceiling Fans | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Carpeting | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Fireplace | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Patio/Balcony | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Storage | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |

## Kitchen Amenities

We considered the presence of various kitchen amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Kitchen amenities include stoves, refrigerators, disposals, dishwashers and microwaves. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Stove | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Refrigerator | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Disposal | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Dishwasher | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Microwave | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |

## Parking

We also adjusted for differing types of parking configurations. We classified parking five ways: (1) Garage, (2) Covered; (3) Assigned, (4) Open and (5) No parking offered. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$50 per month for garages; covered parking was valued at $\$ 20$; assigned parking was valued at $\$ 10$; open parking was valued at $\$ 0$; no parking was valued at $\$ 0$.

| Parking |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Garage | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 200$ | Concluded |
| Covered | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 20$ |
| Assigned | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Open | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| None | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Laundry

We also evaluated differing types of laundry configurations. We classified laundry amenities three ways: (1) Central Laundry, (2) Washer/Dryer Units; and (3) Washer/Dryer Hookups. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 5$ per month for central laundries; washer/dryer units were valued at $\$ 10$; washer/dryer hookups were valued at $\$ 5$.

| Laundry |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Central | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 5$ |
| W/D Units | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |
| W/D Hookups | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 5$ |

## Security

We considered the presence of various security amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Security amenities include call buttons, controlled access, courtesy officers, monitoring, security alarms and security patrols. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Security |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Call Buttons | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | Concluded |
| Controlled Access | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Courtesy Officer | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Monitoring | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Security Alarms | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Security Patrols | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |

## Rent Conclusion, 1BR-1BA-725sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR-1BA-725sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 32 unit types found at 9 properties. We selected the 32 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 32 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{2}{\mathrm{E}} \\ & \stackrel{5}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\ddot{\omega}} \\ & \stackrel{\vdots}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & \vdots 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 或 |
| Sub-03 Mill Village | 1BR-1BA-725sf | \$721 | \$0 | \$721 | - | \$0 | \$721 |  |
| 006-02 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 1BR-1BA-758sf | \$499 | \$0 | \$499 | \$62 | -\$14 | \$485 | 1 |
| 006-05 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$596 | \$0 | \$596 | \$190 | -\$78 | \$518 | 7 |
| 006-09 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$676 | \$0 | \$676 | \$306 | -\$202 | \$474 | 20 |
| 007-03 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 1BR-1BA-758sf | \$499 | \$0 | \$499 | \$62 | -\$14 | \$485 | 2 |
| 007-07 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$596 | \$0 | \$596 | \$162 | -\$114 | \$482 | 6 |
| 007-12 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$676 | \$0 | \$676 | \$306 | -\$202 | \$474 | 20 |
| 010-03 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 1BR-1BA-721sf | \$568 | \$0 | \$568 | \$162 | -\$72 | \$496 | 5 |
| 010-07 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-1.5BA-1075sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$268 | -\$178 | \$502 | 11 |
| 010-10 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1260sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$268 | -\$178 | \$502 | 11 |
| 010-12 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-2.5BA-1260sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$268 | -\$178 | \$502 | 11 |
| 010-13 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-2.5BA-1000sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$268 | -\$178 | \$502 | 11 |
| 010-16 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$374 | -\$284 | \$489 | 24 |
| 010-17 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$374 | -\$284 | \$489 | 24 |
| 011-03 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 1BR-1BA-664sf | \$568 | \$0 | \$568 | \$162 | -\$72 | \$496 | 4 |
| 011-07 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-1.5BA-888sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$268 | -\$178 | \$502 | 11 |
| 011-11 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-2BA-1188sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$268 | -\$178 | \$502 | 11 |
| 011-14 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-2.5BA-1232sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$268 | -\$178 | \$502 | 11 |
| 011-15 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-2.5BA-1232sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$268 | -\$178 | \$502 | 11 |
| 011-18 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 3BR-2.5BA-1512sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$374 | -\$284 | \$489 | 24 |
| 011-19 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 3BR-2.5BA-1512sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$374 | -\$284 | \$489 | 24 |
| 012-01 Avalon Apartments | 1BR-1BA-682sf | \$550 | \$0 | \$550 | \$105 | -\$17 | \$533 | 3 |
| 012-02 Avalon Apartments | 2BR-2BA-949sf | \$656 | \$0 | \$656 | \$194 | -\$106 | \$550 | 8 |
| 012-03 Avalon Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1000sf | \$747 | \$0 | \$747 | \$313 | -\$211 | \$536 | 22 |
| 012-04 Avalon Apartments | 4BR-2BA-1280sf | \$806 | \$0 | \$806 | \$476 | -\$288 | \$518 | 32 |
| 074-02 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 2BR-2BA-920sf | \$551 | \$0 | \$551 | \$329 | -\$69 | \$482 | 23 |
| 074-04 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 3BR-2BA-1155sf | \$677 | \$0 | \$677 | \$435 | -\$175 | \$502 | 31 |
| 079-01 Lumpkin Park | 2BR-2BA-1157sf | \$615 | \$0 | \$615 | \$242 | -\$122 | \$493 | 9 |
| 079-02 Lumpkin Park | 3BR-2BA-1384sf | \$706 | \$0 | \$706 | \$389 | -\$215 | \$491 | 29 |
| 111-02 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-947sf | \$651 | \$0 | \$651 | \$243 | -\$117 | \$534 | 10 |
| 111-05 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$748 | \$0 | \$748 | \$388 | -\$212 | \$536 | 28 |
| 117-01 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1012sf | \$645 | \$0 | \$645 | \$304 | -\$114 | \$531 | 19 |
| 117-02 Victory Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1199sf | \$741 | \$0 | \$741 | \$410 | -\$220 | \$521 | 30 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 474$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 550$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 503$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 504$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 500$ for the 1BR-1BA-725sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 1BR-1BA-758sf units at Arbor Pointe Phase 1 (Property \# 006), the 1BR-1BA-758sf units at Arbor Pointe Phase 2 (Property \# 007), the 1BR-1BA-682sf units at Avalon Apartments (Property \# 012), the 1BR-1BA-664sf units at Ashley Station Phase 2 (Property \# 011), and the 1BR-1BA-721sf units at Ashley Station Phase 1 (Property \# 010) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-03 | 006-02 | 007-03 | 010-03 | 011-03 | 012-01 |
| Unit Type |  | 1BR-1BA-725sf | 1BR-1BA-758sf | 1BR-1BA-758sf | 1BR-1BA-721sf | 1BR-1BA-664sf | 1BR-1BA-682sf |
| Property Name |  | Mill Village | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Ashley Station Phase 1 | Ashley Station Phase 2 | Avalon Apartments |
| Address |  | 120 20th Street | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1100 27th Street | 2321 Olive Street | 3737 Cusseta Road |
| City |  | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State |  | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip |  | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31904 | 31904 | 31903 |
| Latitude |  | 32.48352 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.48543 | 32.48621 | 32.43738 |
| Longitude |  | -84.99166 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.98073 | -84.97933 | -84.94019 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 4.17 | 4.23 | 0.63 | 0.72 | 3.91 |
| Year Built |  | 2019 | 2009 | 2010 | 2006 | 2008 | 2009 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 689-7883 |
| Effective Date |  | 08-May-19 | 25-Apr-19 | 25-Apr-19 | 30-Apr-19 | 30-Apr-19 | 25-Apr-19 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 102 | 148 | 148 | 184 | 183 | 232 |
| Vacant Units |  | 102 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 6 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 25 | 54 |
| Vacant Units |  | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 17\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$721 | \$499 | \$499 | \$568 | \$568 | \$550 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$721 | \$499 | \$499 | \$568 | \$568 | \$550 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$119 | \$95 -\$24 | \$95 -\$24 | \$38 -\$81 | \$38 -\$81 | \$80 -\$39 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$120 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$0 | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.00 | 725 | 758 \$0 | 758 \$0 | 721 \$0 | 664 \$0 | 682 \$0 |
| Visibility | \$0 | 3.50 | 3.50 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 3.00 | 3.50 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 2.60 | 2.20 \$0 | 2.20 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.40 | 3.20 \$0 | 3.20 \$0 | 4.50 \$0 | 4.50 \$0 | 3.40 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0054 | \$19,821 | \$19,750 \$0 | \$19,750 \$0 | \$18,167 \$9 | \$18,167 \$9 | \$18,517 \$7 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 22.50 | 25.05 \$0 | 25.05 \$0 | 20.89 \$0 | 20.89 \$0 | 31.41 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 3.3\% | 44.3\% \$0 | 44.3\% \$0 | 16.1\% \$0 | 16.1\% \$0 | 36.8\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.50 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 3.50 \$10 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2019 | 2010 \$9 | 2010 \$9 | 2000 \$19 | 2000 \$19 | 2005 \$14 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Playground | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Pool | \$10 | no | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Carpeting | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$2 | yes | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Storage | \$10 | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | no \$2 | no \$2 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Open | \$0 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$5 |
| W/D Units | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| W/D Hookups | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | yes | no \$10 | no \$10 | no \$10 | some $\quad \$ 10$ | yes \$0 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$500 | \$485 | \$485 | \$496 | \$496 | \$533 |

## Rent Conclusion, 2BR-2BA-950sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR-2BA-950sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 32 unit types found at 9 properties. We selected the 32 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 32 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{\omega}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\widetilde{2}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\ddot{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & .0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dddot{N}} \\ \underline{\Delta} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 兴 |
| Sub-07 Mill Village | 2BR-2BA-950sf | \$860 | \$0 | \$860 |  | \$0 | \$860 |  |
| 006-02 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 1BR-1BA-758sf | \$499 | \$0 | \$499 | \$211 | \$77 | \$576 | 17 |
| 006-05 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$596 | \$0 | \$596 | \$41 | \$13 | \$609 | 1 |
| 006-09 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$676 | \$0 | \$676 | \$159 | -\$111 | \$565 | 6 |
| 007-03 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 1BR-1BA-758sf | \$499 | \$0 | \$499 | \$211 | \$77 | \$576 | 17 |
| 007-07 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$596 | \$0 | \$596 | \$71 | -\$23 | \$573 | 2 |
| 007-12 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$676 | \$0 | \$676 | \$159 | -\$111 | \$565 | 6 |
| 010-03 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 1BR-1BA-721sf | \$568 | \$0 | \$568 | \$311 | \$19 | \$587 | 28 |
| 010-07 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-1.5BA-1075sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$177 | -\$87 | \$593 | 8 |
| 010-10 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1260sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$177 | -\$87 | \$593 | 8 |
| 010-12 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-2.5BA-1260sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$177 | -\$87 | \$593 | 8 |
| 010-13 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-2.5BA-1000sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$177 | -\$87 | \$593 | 8 |
| 010-16 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$283 | -\$193 | \$580 | 24 |
| 010-17 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$283 | -\$193 | \$580 | 24 |
| 011-03 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 1BR-1BA-664sf | \$568 | \$0 | \$568 | \$311 | \$19 | \$587 | 28 |
| 011-07 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-1.5BA-888sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$177 | -\$87 | \$593 | 8 |
| 011-11 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-2BA-1188sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$177 | -\$87 | \$593 | 8 |
| 011-14 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-2.5BA-1232sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$177 | -\$87 | \$593 | 8 |
| 011-15 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-2.5BA-1232sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$177 | -\$87 | \$593 | 8 |
| 011-18 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 3BR-2.5BA-1512sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$283 | -\$193 | \$580 | 24 |
| 011-19 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 3BR-2.5BA-1512sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$283 | -\$193 | \$580 | 24 |
| 012-01 Avalon Apartments | 1BR-1BA-682sf | \$550 | \$0 | \$550 | \$254 | \$74 | \$624 | 23 |
| 012-02 Avalon Apartments | 2BR-2BA-949sf | \$656 | \$0 | \$656 | \$103 | -\$15 | \$641 | 3 |
| 012-03 Avalon Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1000sf | \$747 | \$0 | \$747 | \$208 | -\$120 | \$627 | 16 |
| 012-04 Avalon Apartments | 4BR-2BA-1280sf | \$806 | \$0 | \$806 | \$327 | -\$197 | \$609 | 31 |
| 074-02 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 2BR-2BA-920sf | \$551 | \$0 | \$551 | \$238 | \$22 | \$573 | 20 |
| 074-04 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 3BR-2BA-1155sf | \$677 | \$0 | \$677 | \$344 | -\$84 | \$593 | 32 |
| 079-01 Lumpkin Park | 2BR-2BA-1157sf | \$615 | \$0 | \$615 | \$111 | -\$31 | \$584 | 4 |
| 079-02 Lumpkin Park | 3BR-2BA-1384sf | \$706 | \$0 | \$706 | \$240 | -\$124 | \$582 | 22 |
| 111-02 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-947sf | \$651 | \$0 | \$651 | \$120 | -\$26 | \$625 | 5 |
| 111-05 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$748 | \$0 | \$748 | \$239 | -\$121 | \$627 | 21 |
| 117-01 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1012sf | \$645 | \$0 | \$645 | \$213 | -\$23 | \$622 | 19 |
| 117-02 Victory Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1199sf | \$741 | \$0 | \$741 | \$319 | -\$129 | \$612 | 30 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 565$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 641$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 594$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 595$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 600$ for the $2 B R-2 B A-950$ sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 2BR-2BA-1069sf units at Arbor Pointe Phase 1 (Property \# 006), the 2BR-2BA-1069sf units at Arbor Pointe Phase 2 (Property \# 007), the 2BR-2BA-949sf units at Avalon Apartments (Property \# 012), the 2BR-2BA-1157sf units at Lumpkin Park (Property \# 079), and the 2BR-2BA-947sf units at Springfield Crossing Apartments (Property \# 111) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-07 | 006-05 | 007-07 | 012-02 | 079-01 | 111-02 |
| Unit Type |  | 2BR-2BA-950sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | 2BR-2BA-949sf | 2BR-2BA-1157sf | 2BR-2BA-947sf |
| Property Name |  | Mill Village | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Avalon Apartments | Lumpkin Park | Springfield Crossing Apartments |
| Address |  | 120 20th Street | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 3737 Cusseta Road | 3351 N Lumpkin Road | 3320 North Lumpkin Road |
| City |  | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State |  | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip |  | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31093 |
| Latitude |  | 32.48352 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.43738 | 32.43632 | 32.43727 |
| Longitude |  | -84.99166 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.94019 | -84.95345 | -84.95365 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 4.17 | 4.23 | 3.91 | 3.44 | 3.39 |
| Year Built |  | 2019 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2009 | 2001 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 689-7883 | (706) 507-7666 | (706) 689-7717 |
| Effective Date |  | 08-May-19 | 25-Apr-19 | 25-Apr-19 | 25-Apr-19 | 26-Apr-19 | 25-Apr-19 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 102 | 148 | 148 | 232 | 192 | 120 |
| Vacant Units |  | 102 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 19 | 15 | 25 | 60 | 126 | 60 |
| Vacant Units |  | 19 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 7\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$860 | \$596 | \$596 | \$656 | \$615 | \$651 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$860 | \$596 | \$596 | \$656 | \$615 | \$651 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$148 | \$151 \$3 | \$115 -\$33 | \$111 -\$37 | \$139 -\$9 | \$135 -\$13 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$120 | 2 | \$0 | 2 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.00 | 950 | 1069 \$0 | 1069 \$0 | 949 \$0 | 1157 \$0 | 947 \$0 |
| Visibility | \$0 | 3.50 | 3.50 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 3.00 | 3.50 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 2.60 | 2.20 \$0 | 2.20 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.40 | 3.20 \$0 | 3.20 \$0 | 3.40 \$0 | 3.30 \$0 | 3.30 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0054 | \$19,821 | \$19,750 \$0 | \$19,750 \$0 | \$18,517 \$7 | \$24,940 -\$28 | \$24,940 -\$28 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 22.50 | 25.05 \$0 | 25.05 \$0 | 31.41 \$0 | 22.24 \$0 | 22.24 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 3.3\% | 44.3\% \$0 | 44.3\% \$0 | 36.8\% \$0 | 8.5\% \$0 | 8.5\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.50 | 4.00 \$5 | 4.00 \$5 | 3.50 \$10 | 4.00 \$5 | 3.50 \$ $\$ 10$ |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2019 | 2010 \$9 | 2010 \$9 | 2005 \$14 | 2010 \$9 | 2000 \$19 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | \$2 | no \$2 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | \$2 | \$2 | no \$2 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Playground | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Pool | \$10 | no | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Walking Trail | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | no \$2 | yes \$0 |
| Carpeting | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Storage | \$10 | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Open | \$0 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$5 | no \$5 | yes \$0 |
| W/D Units | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$-\$10 | no \$0 |
| W/D Hookups | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no $\$ 5$ | yes \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | yes \$0 | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$600 | \$609 | \$573 | \$641 | \$584 | \$625 |

## Rent Conclusion, 3BR-2BA-1200sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR-2BA-1200sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 32 unit types found at 9 properties. We selected the 32 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 32 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots 0 \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-11 Mill Village | 3BR-2BA-1200sf | \$969 | \$0 | \$969 | - | \$0 | \$969 |  |
| 006-02 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 1BR-1BA-758sf | \$499 | \$0 | \$499 | \$379 | \$149 | \$648 | 26 |
| 006-05 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$596 | \$0 | \$596 | \$203 | \$85 | \$681 | 6 |
| 006-09 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$676 | \$0 | \$676 | \$87 | -\$39 | \$637 | 1 |
| 007-03 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 1BR-1BA-758sf | \$499 | \$0 | \$499 | \$379 | \$149 | \$648 | 26 |
| 007-07 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$596 | \$0 | \$596 | \$239 | \$49 | \$645 | 12 |
| 007-12 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$676 | \$0 | \$676 | \$87 | -\$39 | \$637 | 2 |
| 010-03 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 1BR-1BA-721sf | \$568 | \$0 | \$568 | \$479 | \$91 | \$659 | 31 |
| 010-07 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-1.5BA-1075sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$345 | -\$15 | \$665 | 18 |
| 010-10 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1260sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$345 | -\$15 | \$665 | 18 |
| 010-12 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-2.5BA-1260sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$345 | -\$15 | \$665 | 18 |
| 010-13 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2BR-2.5BA-1000sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$345 | -\$15 | \$665 | 18 |
| 010-16 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$211 | -\$121 | \$652 | 7 |
| 010-17 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$211 | -\$121 | \$652 | 7 |
| 011-03 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 1BR-1BA-664sf | \$568 | \$0 | \$568 | \$479 | \$91 | \$659 | 31 |
| 011-07 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-1.5BA-888sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$345 | -\$15 | \$665 | 18 |
| 011-11 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-2BA-1188sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$345 | -\$15 | \$665 | 18 |
| 011-14 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-2.5BA-1232sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$345 | -\$15 | \$665 | 18 |
| 011-15 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2BR-2.5BA-1232sf | \$680 | \$0 | \$680 | \$345 | -\$15 | \$665 | 18 |
| 011-18 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 3BR-2.5BA-1512sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$211 | -\$121 | \$652 | 7 |
| 011-19 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 3BR-2.5BA-1512sf | \$773 | \$0 | \$773 | \$211 | -\$121 | \$652 | 7 |
| 012-01 Avalon Apartments | 1BR-1BA-682sf | \$550 | \$0 | \$550 | \$422 | \$146 | \$696 | 30 |
| 012-02 Avalon Apartments | 2BR-2BA-949sf | \$656 | \$0 | \$656 | \$271 | \$57 | \$713 | 14 |
| 012-03 Avalon Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1000sf | \$747 | \$0 | \$747 | \$136 | -\$48 | \$699 | 4 |
| 012-04 Avalon Apartments | 4BR-2BA-1280sf | \$806 | \$0 | \$806 | \$213 | -\$125 | \$681 | 11 |
| 074-02 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 2BR-2BA-920sf | \$551 | \$0 | \$551 | \$406 | \$94 | \$645 | 29 |
| 074-04 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 3BR-2BA-1155sf | \$677 | \$0 | \$677 | \$272 | -\$12 | \$665 | 15 |
| 079-01 Lumpkin Park | 2BR-2BA-1157sf | \$615 | \$0 | \$615 | \$279 | \$41 | \$656 | 16 |
| 079-02 Lumpkin Park | 3BR-2BA-1384sf | \$706 | \$0 | \$706 | \$132 | -\$52 | \$654 | 3 |
| 111-02 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-947sf | \$651 | \$0 | \$651 | \$288 | \$46 | \$697 | 17 |
| 111-05 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$748 | \$0 | \$748 | \$143 | -\$49 | \$699 | 5 |
| 117-01 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1012sf | \$645 | \$0 | \$645 | \$381 | \$49 | \$694 | 28 |
| 117-02 Victory Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1199sf | \$741 | \$0 | \$741 | \$247 | -\$57 | \$684 | 13 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 637$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 713$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 666$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 667$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 665$ for the 3BR-2BA-1200sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 3BR-2BA-1206sf units at Arbor Pointe Phase 1 (Property \# 006), the 3BR-2BA-1206sf units at Arbor Pointe Phase 2 (Property \# 007), the 3BR-2BA-1384sf units at Lumpkin Park (Property \# 079), the 3BR-2BA-1000sf units at Avalon Apartments (Property \# 012), and the 3BR-2BA-1290sf units at Springfield Crossing Apartments (Property \# 111) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-11 | 006-09 | 007-12 | 012-03 | 079-02 | 111-05 |
| Unit Type |  | 3BR-2BA-1200sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 3BR-2BA-1000sf | 3BR-2BA-1384sf | 3BR-2BA-1290sf |
| Property Name |  | Mill Village | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Avalon Apartments | Lumpkin Park | Springfield Crossing Apartments |
| Address |  | 120 20th Street | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 3737 Cusseta Road | 3351 N Lumpkin Road | 3320 North Lumpkin Road |
| City |  | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State |  | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip |  | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31093 |
| Latitude |  | 32.48352 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.43738 | 32.43632 | 32.43727 |
| Longitude |  | -84.99166 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.94019 | -84.95345 | -84.95365 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 4.17 | 4.23 | 3.91 | 3.44 | 3.39 |
| Year Built |  | 2019 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2009 | 2001 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 689-7883 | (706) 507-7666 | (706) 689-7717 |
| Effective Date |  | 08-May-19 | 25-Apr-19 | 25-Apr-19 | 25-Apr-19 | 26-Apr-19 | 25-Apr-19 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 102 | 148 | 148 | 232 | 192 | 120 |
| Vacant Units |  | 102 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 6 | 4 | 7 | 82 | 66 | 30 |
| Vacant Units |  | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$969 | \$676 | \$676 | \$747 | \$706 | \$748 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$969 | \$676 | \$676 | \$747 | \$706 | \$748 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$196 | \$147 -\$49 | \$147 -\$49 | \$126 -\$70 | \$166 -\$30 | \$160 -\$36 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$120 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.00 | 1200 | 1206 \$0 | 1206 \$0 | 1000 \$0 | 1384 \$0 | 1290 \$0 |
| Visibility | \$0 | 3.50 | 3.50 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 3.00 | 3.50 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 2.60 | 2.20 \$0 | 2.20 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.40 | 3.20 \$0 | 3.20 \$0 | 3.40 \$0 | 3.30 \$0 | 3.30 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0054 | \$19,821 | \$19,750 \$0 | \$19,750 \$0 | \$18,517 \$7 | \$24,940 -\$28 | \$24,940 -\$28 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 22.50 | 25.05 \$0 | 25.05 \$0 | 31.41 \$0 | 22.24 \$0 | 22.24 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 3.3\% | 44.3\% \$0 | 44.3\% \$0 | 36.8\% \$0 | 8.5\% \$0 | 8.5\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.50 | 4.00 \$5 | $4.00 \quad \$ 5$ | 3.50 \$10 | 4.00 \$5 | $3.50 \quad \$ 10$ |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2019 | 2010 \$9 | 2010 \$9 | 2005 \$14 | 2010 \$9 | 2000 \$19 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | \$2 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | \$2 | \$2 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | \$2 | \$2 | no \$2 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Playground | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Pool | \$10 | no | yes $\quad$-\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Walking Trail | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | no \$2 | yes \$0 |
| Carpeting | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Storage | \$10 | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | yes \$0 | no \$2 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Open | \$0 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$5 | no \$5 | yes \$0 |
| W/D Units | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad-\$ 10$ | no \$0 |
| W/D Hookups | \$5 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$5 | yes \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no \$10 | yes \$0 | no \$10 | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | yes | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$665 | \$637 | \$637 | \$699 | \$654 | \$699 |

## Restricted Market Rent Conclusion

Based on our evaluation of the rents at the select comparable properties, and considering the location, quality and amenities of the subject property, we conclude the following market rents for the subject property units, assuming that the subject were a restricted property:

| Restricted Market Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Market |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | $\$ 500$ |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | $\$ 500$ |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | $\$ 770$ |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | $\$ 500$ |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 15 | $\$ 600$ |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 21 | $\$ 600$ |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 19 | $\$ 890$ |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 7 | $\$ 600$ |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | $\$ 665$ |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | $\$ 665$ |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | $\$ 990$ |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | $\$ 665$ |
| Total / Average |  |  | 102 | $\$ 682$ |

Our analysis suggests an average restricted market rent of $\$ 682$ for the subject property.
We selected a total of 9 properties as comparables for purposes of our analysis. The average occupancy at the select rent comparables currently stands at 98 percent.

The occupancy rate of the selected rent compatrables is broken out in the tables below:

| Occupancy Rate, Select Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | $20 \%$ of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 2-Bedroom | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 3-Bedroom | $100 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

Occupancy rates for all stabilized market area properties are broken out below:

| Occupancy Rate, Stabilized Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | 40\% of AMI | 50\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| 0-Bedroom | 95\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96\% |
| 1-Bedroom | 97\% |  |  | 100\% | 100\% |  |  | 97\% |
| 2-Bedroom | 97\% |  |  | 100\% | 99\% |  |  | 96\% |
| 3-Bedroom | 96\% |  |  | 90\% | 99\% |  |  | 91\% |
| 4-Bedroom | 100\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Total | 97\% |  |  | 99\% | 99\% |  |  | 95\% |

Rents at rent restricted properties tend to move with median household incomes for an area. Given HUD's published median incomes, we derived 1, 2 and 3-bedroom 60\% of AMI rent limits since 2006. According to our analysis, maximum 2-bedroom rents for the area grew from $\$ 699$ to $\$ 756$ since 2010. This represents an average $1.0 \%$ annual increase over this period.

Maximum tax credit rent data for the area is found below:

| Maximum Tax Credit Rents, 60\% of AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rent |  |  | Change |  |
| Year | 1 BR | $2 B R$ | $3 B R$ | 1 BR | 2 BR | 3 BR |
| 2006 | $\$ 540$ | $\$ 648$ | $\$ 749$ | - | - | - |
| 2007 | $\$ 515$ | $\$ 618$ | $\$ 714$ | $-4.6 \%$ | $-4.6 \%$ | $-4.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | $\$ 561$ | $\$ 674$ | $\$ 778$ | $8.9 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | $\$ 574$ | $\$ 689$ | $\$ 796$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 583$ | $\$ 699$ | $\$ 808$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2011 | $\$ 580$ | $\$ 697$ | $\$ 805$ | $-0.5 \%$ | $-0.3 \%$ | $-0.4 \%$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 588$ | $\$ 706$ | $\$ 816$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 542$ | $\$ 651$ | $\$ 752$ | $-7.8 \%$ | $-7.8 \%$ | $-7.8 \%$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 574$ | $\$ 689$ | $\$ 796$ | $5.9 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 655$ | $\$ 786$ | $\$ 908$ | $14.1 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 583$ | $\$ 699$ | $\$ 808$ | $-11.0 \%$ | $-11.1 \%$ | $-11.0 \%$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 601$ | $\$ 721$ | $\$ 833$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 630$ | $\$ 756$ | $\$ 874$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |

## Achievable Rent Conclusion

The next step in our analysis is to develop an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property. Achievable rents represent the absolute highest rent permissible for the area, considering market rents, program rent limits, and any other applicable rent restrictions on the subject property.

Our analysis begins by establishing the applicable program rent limits for the subject property. Program rent limits include any applicable LIHTC and FMR rent limits. LIHTC rent limits typically apply to units benefitting from tax credit and/or bond financing. The LIHTC rent limits for applicable units at the subject property follow:

| LIHTC Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Gross Rent | Utilities | Net Rent |  |  |  |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | $\$ 335$ | $\$ 119$ | $\$ 216$ |  |  |  |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | $\$ 671$ | $\$ 119$ | $\$ 552$ |  |  |  |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | $\$ 895$ | $\$ 119$ | $\$ 776$ |  |  |  |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 15 | $\$ 402$ | $\$ 148$ | $\$ 254$ |  |  |  |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 21 | $\$ 805$ | $\$ 148$ | $\$ 657$ |  |  |  |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 19 | $\$ 1,074$ | $\$ 148$ | $\$ 926$ |  |  |  |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | $\$ 465$ | $\$ 196$ | $\$ 269$ |  |  |  |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | $\$ 930$ | $\$ 196$ | $\$ 734$ |  |  |  |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | $\$ 1,240$ | $\$ 196$ | $\$ 1,044$ |  |  |  |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Total / Average |  |  | 91 | $\$ 784$ | $\$ 152$ | $\$ 632$ |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests an average net LIHTC rent limit of $\$ 632$ for 91 applicable units at the subject property.

FMR rent limits typically apply to units benefitting from HOME funds. The FMR rent limits for applicable units at the subject property follow:

| FMR Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Gross Rent | Utilities | Net Rent |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |

Total / Average

HOME funding is not proposed for the subject property.
Units benefitting exclusively from tax credits and/or bond financing are subject to LIHTC rent limits. Units benefitting from HOME funds in addition to tax credit and/or bond financing are subject to the lesser of LIHTC rent limits or FMR rent limits. Units benefitting from project-based rental assistance are normally limited to unrestricted market rent. With these parameters in mind, the following table sets forth the concluded program rent limits for applicable units at the subject property:

| Program Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | LIHTC | FMR | Market | Program |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | \$216 | - | \$860 | \$860 |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$552 | - | \$860 | \$860 |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$776 | - | - | \$776 |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 15 | \$254 | - | \$990 | \$990 |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 21 | \$657 | - | \$990 | \$990 |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 19 | \$926 | - | - | \$926 |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | \$269 | - | \$1,095 | \$1,095 |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$734 | - | \$1,095 | \$1,095 |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$1,044 | - | - | \$1,044 |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total / Average |  |  | 91 | \$632 | - | \$985 | \$963 |

Our analysis suggests an average program rent limit of $\$ 963$ for 91 applicable units at the subject property.
Now that we have established program rent limits, we are in a position to develop an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property. Achievable rents represent the absolute highest rent permissible for the area, considering unrestricted and restricted market rents, program rent limits, and any other applicable rent restrictions on the subject property. The following table summarizes our findings:

| Achievable Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Program | Unrestricted | Restricted | Achievable | Proposed | Advantage |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | \$860 | \$860 | \$500 | \$860 | \$666 | 22.6\% |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$860 | \$860 | \$500 | \$860 | \$666 | 22.6\% |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$776 | \$860 | \$770 | \$770 | \$721 | 6.4\% |
| 1BR-1BA-725sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | - | \$860 | \$500 | \$860 | \$850 | 1.2\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 15 | \$990 | \$990 | \$600 | \$990 | \$748 | 24.4\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 21 | \$990 | \$990 | \$600 | \$990 | \$748 | 24.4\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 19 | \$926 | \$990 | \$890 | \$890 | \$860 | 3.4\% |
| 2BR-2BA-950sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 7 | - | \$990 | \$600 | \$990 | \$950 | 4.0\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 30\% of AMI / 30\% of AMI | No | Yes | 5 | \$1,095 | \$1,095 | \$665 | \$1,095 | \$812 | 25.8\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$1,095 | \$1,095 | \$665 | \$1,095 | \$812 | 25.8\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / 80\% of AMI / 80\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$1,044 | \$1,095 | \$990 | \$990 | \$969 | 2.1\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1200sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | - | \$1,095 | \$665 | \$1,095 | \$1,050 | 4.1\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 102 | \$963 | \$985 | \$682 | \$955 | \$800 | 16.2\% |

Our analysis suggests an average achievable rent of $\$ 955$ for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of $\$ 800$, yielding an achievable rent advantage of 16.2 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below achievable rents for the area.

Finally, assuming no rent subsidies, we arrive at the following achievable rents for units at this property:


## DEMAND ANALYSIS

## Overview

In this section we evaluate demand for the subject property using the recommended demand methodology promulgated by the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA). For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as the number of income-qualified renter households (by household size and unit type) that would qualify to live at the subject property at the lesser of the developer's proposed rents or achievable rents.

Our analysis begins by developing a breakdown of the number of renter households, by income, by size as of the date of market entry for this development. This breakdown, which utilizes demographic data presented earlier in this report, is presented below:

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| Renter Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 $\$$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min |  | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person | Total |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 | 4,665 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 | 8,735 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 | 12,642 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 | 15,403 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 | 17,809 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 | 19,705 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 | 21,598 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 | 23,464 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 | 24,281 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 | 24,630 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 | 25,064 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 | 25,573 |

Source: ESRI \& Ribbon Demographics

Our analysis includes an estimate of demand along with capture rate and penetration rate estimates. Capture rates were computed two ways: (1) On a gross basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand) and (2) On a net basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand minus competing \& pipeline units). Penetration rates are defined as the number of proposed units plus competing \& pipeline units divided by incomequalified demand. In the following pages we provide detailed listings of competing \& pipeline units in the market area broken by unit type.

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 1-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 20 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 011 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 45 |  |  | 24 | 25 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 012 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 Britwood Apartments Phase 12 \& 3 | 1978 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 018 Cedar Pointe Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 019 Chase Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Cloister Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 022 Club Hill Apartments Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 023 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 025 Columbus Commons | 2017 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 027 Cooper Cove Apartments | 1978 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 028 Cooper Creek Village Apartments | 1988 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Cross Creek Apartments | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 030 Lory (The) of Columbus | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 034 Elizabeth Canty Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 035 Essex Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 Gardenbrook Apartments | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 041 Gentian Court Apartments | 1980 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 042 Gentian Oaks Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 043 Grand Reserve (The) Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 044 Greystone at Columbus Park | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 Greystone At Country Club | 1963 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 Greystone at Main Street | 1997 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 052 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 053 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 1 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 054 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 055 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 056 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 059 Grove Park Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Hamilton Station Phase 4 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 061 Hamilton Station Phases 12 \& 3 | 1983 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 062 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Hardaway Townhouses | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 064 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 066 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Lakes Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 073 Le Craw on 13th | 1986 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 074 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 075 Lindsey Vistas | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 Links of Maple Ridge Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Lodge Apartments | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 Lullwater at Maple Ridge Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 079 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 080 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 082 Azalea Ridge (Midtown Square) | 2002 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Mllestone Apartments | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 085 Miller Creek Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 Northgate Village Apartments | 1975 | 2003 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 14 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Oak Ridge Apartments | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 092 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 094 Park Side at Britt David Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 1-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 095 Park View Apartments | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 097 Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 098 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 099 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 Pembrook Apartments | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Renaissance Villa | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 109 Spring Cove Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 Springtield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 112 Sugar Mill Apartments | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 113 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 University Crossing Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Village On Cherokee | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 Village Square Apartments | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Vista Estates | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 122 Warren Williams Homes | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 Warren Williams Homes-Mod | 1945 | 2002 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Warren Williams Non-Mod | 1945 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 129 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Woodcliff Apartments | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 Woodville Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 133 Claflin School | 1921 | 2019 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 134 Grayling Place | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |
| 136 Highlands Kayne Blvd | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 2 |
| 146 Rapids (The) at Riverfront | 2019 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| 147 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Legend on Armour | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 215 |  |  | 39 | 200 |  |  | 2,364 | 5 |  |  | 11 | 10 |  |  | 149 |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 009 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 30 |  |  | 24 | 11 |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 011 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 27 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 012 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 Britwood Apartments Phase 12 \& 3 | 1978 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| 018 Cedar Pointe Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 019 Chase Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Cloister Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 022 Club Hill Apartments Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 023 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 025 Columbus Commons | 2017 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 027 Cooper Cove Apartments | 1978 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 028 Cooper Creek Village Apartments | 1988 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 029 Cross Creek Apartments | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 030 Lory (The) of Columbus | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 034 Elizabeth Canty Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 035 Essex Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 040 Gardenbrook Apartments | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 041 Gentian Court Apartments | 1980 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Gentian Oaks Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 043 Grand Reserve (The) Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 224 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 044 Greystone at Columbus Park | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 Greystone At Country Club | 1963 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 Greystone at Main Street | 1997 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 052 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 053 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 1 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 054 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 055 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 056 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 Grove Park Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 060 Hamilton Station Phase 4 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 061 Hamilton Station Phases 12 \& 3 | 1983 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 062 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Hardaway Townhouses | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 064 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 151 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 066 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 71 |  |  | 155 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |
| 071 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Lakes Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 197 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 073 Le Craw on 13th | 1986 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | 29 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 075 Lindsey Vistas | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 076 Links of Maple Ridge Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Lodge Apartments | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 078 Lullwater at Maple Ridge Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 079 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 126 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 080 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 082 Azalea Ridge (Midtown Square) | 2002 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 084 Milestone Apartments | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 085 Miller Creek Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 089 Northgate Village Apartments | 1975 | 2003 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 32 |  |  |  | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Oak Ridge Apartments | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 092 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 093 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 094 Park Side at Britt David Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 095 Park View Apartments | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 097 Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 098 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 099 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 Pembrook Apartments | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 101 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Renaissance Villa | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 108 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 109 Spring Cove Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 111 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | 4 | 60 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 112 Sugar Mill Apartments | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 113 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 University Crossing Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 117 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Village On Cherokee | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 Village Square Apartments | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 120 Vista Estates | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 122 Warren Williams Homes | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 Warren Williams Homes-Mod | 1945 | 2002 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Warren Williams Non-Mod | 1945 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 129 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Woodcliff Apartments | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 131 Woodville Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 133 Claflin School | 1921 | 2019 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 2 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 17 |  |  |  |
| 134 Grayling Place | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 9 | 36 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 9 | 36 |  |  | 11 |
| 136 Highlands Kayne Blvd | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 8 | 27 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 8 | 27 |  |  | 7 |
| 146 Rapids (The) at Riverfront | 2019 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |
| 147 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Legend on Armour | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 590 |  |  | 84 | 624 |  |  | 5,058 | 18 |  |  | 19 | 87 |  |  | 297 |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 3-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  | Occ Type Status |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type |  |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 22 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 011 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 Brittwood Apartments Phase 12 \& 3 | 1978 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 018 Cedar Pointe Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 019 Chase Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Cloister Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 022 Club Hill Apartments Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 023 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 025 Columbus Commons | 2017 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 027 Cooper Cove Apartments | 1978 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 028 Cooper Creek Village Apartments | 1988 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Cross Creek Apartments | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 030 Lory (The) of Columbus | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 034 Elizabeth Canty Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 035 Essex Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 Gardenbrook Apartments | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 041 Gentian Court Apartments | 1980 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Gentian Oaks Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 043 Grand Reserve (The) Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 044 Greystone at Columbus Park | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 045 Greystone At Country Club | 1963 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 Greystone at Main Street | 1997 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 052 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 053 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 1 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 054 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 056 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 Grove Park Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Hamilton Station Phase 4 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 061 Hamilton Station Phases 12 \& 3 | 1983 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 062 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Hardaway Townhouses | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 064 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 066 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Lakes Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 073 Le Craw on 13th | 1986 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 075 Lindsey Vistas | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 Links of Maple Ridge Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Lodge Apartments | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 078 Lullwater at Maple Ridge Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 079 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 080 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 082 Azalea Ridge (Midtown Square) | 2002 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| 083 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Mllestone Apartments | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 085 Miller Creek Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 Northgate Village Apartments | 1975 | 2003 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 3 |  |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Oak Ridge Apartments | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 092 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 094 Park Side at Britt David Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 3-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 095 Park View Apartments | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 097 Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 098 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 099 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 100 Pembrook Apartments | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 101 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Renaissance Villa | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 109 Spring Cove Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | 2 | 30 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 112 Sugar Mill Apartments | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 University Crossing Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Village On Cherokee | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 Village Square Apartments | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Vista Estates | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 122 Warren Williams Homes | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 Warren Williams Homes-Mod | 1945 | 2002 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Warren Williams Non-Mod | 1945 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 129 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Woodcliff Apartments | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 Woodville Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 Claflin School | 1921 | 2019 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 18 |  |  |  |
| 134 Grayling Place | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 4 | 10 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | , |
| 136 Highlands Kayne Blvd | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 6 | 15 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 6 | 15 |  |  | 7 |
| 146 Rapids (The) at Riverfront | 2019 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Legend on Armour | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 207 |  |  | 22 | 348 |  |  | 867 | 9 |  |  | 13 | 45 |  |  | 88 |

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 12 units, 12 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 12 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 12 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 119$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 119$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 340$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 4,080$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |  |  |  |  |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 25,080$ | $\$ 28,620$ | $\$ 32,220$ | $\$ 35,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 41,520$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 5,103 | 2,692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 998 | 281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,105 | 2,411 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 6,516
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 6,516 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 80\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 80\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 6 units, 6 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 6 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 6 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 721$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 119$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 840$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,400$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 28,800$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |  |  |  |  |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 33,440$ | $\$ 38,160$ | $\$ 42,960$ | $\$ 47,680$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 55,360$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 6,186 | 3,329 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 5,618 | 2,692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 568 | 637 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 1,206
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,206 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Market Rate

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Market Rate / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 2 units, 2 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 2 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 2 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 850$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 119$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 969$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,769$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 33,223$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | $m o r e$ | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 10,575 | 6,365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 6,186 | 3,042 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,389 | 3,323 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 7,711

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 7,711 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 36 units, 36 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 36 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 36 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 148$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 148$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 423$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 5,074$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | $m o r e$ | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 25,080$ | $\$ 28,620$ | $\$ 32,220$ | $\$ 35,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 41,520$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 5,103 | 2,692 | 2,021 | 1,385 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 1,247 | 351 | 355 | 262 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 3,856 | 2,341 | 1,666 | 1,124 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 8,986
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 8,986 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 80\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 80\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 19 units, 19 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 19 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 19 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 860$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 148$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,008$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,880$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 34,560$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |  |  |  |  |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 33,440$ | $\$ 38,160$ | $\$ 42,960$ | $\$ 47,680$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 55,360$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 0 | 3,329 | 2,561 | 1,625 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 0 | 3,128 | 2,159 | 1,365 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 201 | 402 | 261 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
864

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 864 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Market Rate

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Market Rate / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 7 units, 7 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 7 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 7 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 950$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 148$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,098$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 3,137$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 37,646$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | $m o r e$ | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |  |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 6,707 | 3,300 | 2,324 | 1,427 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 3,868 | 3,064 | 1,764 | 1,143 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 9,839

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 9,839 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 12 units, 12 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 5-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 12 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 12 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 196$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 196$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 560$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 6,720$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | $m o r e$ | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 25,080$ | $\$ 28,620$ | $\$ 32,220$ | $\$ 35,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 41,520$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 5,103 | 2,692 | 2,021 | 1,385 | 657 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 1,621 | 456 | 462 | 340 | 103 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 3,481 | 2,236 | 1,560 | 1,045 | 554 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
8,876
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 8,876 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 80\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 80\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 6 units, 6 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a $35 \%$ income qualification ratio and 5 -person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 6 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 6 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 969$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 196$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,165$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 3,329$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 39,943$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |  |  |  |  |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 33,440$ | $\$ 38,160$ | $\$ 42,960$ | $\$ 47,680$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 55,360$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 0 | 0 | 2,561 | 1,625 | 817 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 0 | 0 | 2,434 | 1,469 | 675 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 127 | 157 | 142 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
426
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 426 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Market Rate

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Market Rate / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 2 units, 2 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 5-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 2 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 2 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 1,050$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 196$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,246$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 3,560$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 42,720$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 7,250 | 3,604 | 2,561 | 1,528 | 713 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 3,325 | 2,761 | 1,527 | 1,042 | 665 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
9,320

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 9,320 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Subsidized

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the subsidized units at the subject property.

| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | \$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| \$0 | to | \$9,999 | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |
| \$0 | to | \$19,999 | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |
| \$0 | to | \$29,999 | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |
| \$0 | to | \$39,999 | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |
| \$0 | to | \$49,999 | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |
| \$0 | to | \$59,999 | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |
| \$0 | to | \$74,999 | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |
| \$0 | to | \$99,999 | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |
| \$0 | to | \$124,999 | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |
| \$0 | to | \$149,999 | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |
| \$0 | to | \$199,999 | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |
| \$0 | or | more | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |
| Demand Estimate, Subsidized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| Maximum Income, OBR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 1BR |  |  | \$25,080 | \$28,620 | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 2BR |  |  | \$25,080 | \$28,620 | \$32,220 | \$35,760 | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 3BR |  |  | \$25,080 | \$28,620 | \$32,220 | \$35,760 | \$38,640 | - |
| Maximum Income, 4BR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income |  |  | \$25,080 | \$28,620 | \$32,220 | \$35,760 | \$38,640 | - |
| Minimum Income, OBR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 1BR |  |  | \$4,080 | \$4,080 | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 2BR |  |  | \$5,074 | \$5,074 | \$5,074 | \$5,074 | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 3BR |  |  | \$6,720 | \$6,720 | \$6,720 | \$6,720 | \$6,720 | - |
| Minimum Income, 4BR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |  | \$4,080 | \$4,080 | \$5,074 | \$5,074 | \$6,720 | - |
| HH Below Upper Income |  |  | 5,103 | 2,692 | 2,021 | 1,385 | 657 | 0 |
| HH Below Lower Income |  |  | 998 | 281 | 355 | 262 | 103 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 4,105 | 2,411 | 1,666 | 1,124 | 554 | 0 |

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 9,860 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Restricted, 80\% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to 80\% of AMI at the subject property.


Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 2,011 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Market Rate

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the market rate units at the subject property.

| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | \$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| \$0 | to | \$9,999 | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |
| \$0 | to | \$19,999 | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |
| \$0 | to | \$29,999 | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |
| \$0 | to | \$39,999 | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |
| \$0 | to | \$49,999 | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |
| \$0 | to | \$59,999 | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |
| \$0 | to | \$74,999 | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |
| \$0 | to | \$99,999 | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |
| \$0 | to | \$124,999 | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |
| \$0 | to | \$149,999 | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |
| \$0 | to | \$199,999 | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |
| \$0 | or | more | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |
| Demand Estimate, Market Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| Maximum Income, OBR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 1BR |  |  | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 2BR |  |  | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 3BR |  |  | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | - |
| Maximum Income, 4BR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income |  |  | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | - |
| Minimum Income, OBR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 1BR |  |  | \$33,223 | \$33,223 | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 2BR |  |  | \$37,646 | \$37,646 | \$37,646 | \$37,646 | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 3BR |  |  | \$42,720 | \$42,720 | \$42,720 | \$42,720 | \$42,720 | - |
| Minimum Income, 4BR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |  | \$33,223 | \$33,223 | \$37,646 | \$37,646 | \$42,720 | - |
| HH Below Upper Income |  |  | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 0 |
| HH Below Lower Income |  |  | 6,186 | 3,042 | 2,324 | 1,427 | 713 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 4,389 | 3,323 | 1,764 | 1,143 | 665 | 0 |

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 11,283 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Project-Level

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a project-level demand estimate for the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| Renter Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,494 | 702 | 710 | 523 | 158 | 77 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,366 | 1,683 | 1,282 | 940 | 326 | 140 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,839 | 2,870 | 1,911 | 1,271 | 502 | 249 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 6,997 | 3,444 | 2,461 | 1,479 | 684 | 338 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,008 | 4,083 | 2,859 | 1,674 | 801 | 385 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,806 | 4,591 | 3,104 | 1,864 | 910 | 430 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,300 | 5,380 | 3,384 | 2,000 | 1,043 | 491 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,867 | 5,853 | 3,725 | 2,271 | 1,196 | 552 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,120 | 6,028 | 3,922 | 2,374 | 1,264 | 573 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,200 | 6,133 | 3,993 | 2,434 | 1,293 | 578 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,365 | 6,244 | 4,035 | 2,494 | 1,337 | 590 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 598 |  |  |  |

Demand Estimate, Project-Level

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Income, Subsidized | \$25,080 | \$28,620 | \$32,220 | \$35,760 | \$38,640 | - |
| Maximum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 40\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 50\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 60\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 70\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 80\% of AMI | \$33,440 | \$38,160 | \$42,960 | \$47,680 | \$51,520 | - |
| Maximum Income, Market Rate | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | - |
| Minimum Income, Subsidized | \$4,080 | \$4,080 | \$5,074 | \$5,074 | \$6,720 | - |
| Minimum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 40\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 50\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 60\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 70\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 80\% of AMI | \$28,800 | \$28,800 | \$34,560 | \$34,560 | \$39,943 | - |
| Minimum Income, Market Rate | \$33,223 | \$33,223 | \$37,646 | \$37,646 | \$42,720 | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income | \$4,080 | \$4,080 | \$5,074 | \$5,074 | \$6,720 | - |
| HH Below Upper Income | 10,575 | 6,365 | 4,087 | 2,570 | 1,378 | 0 |
| HH Below Lower Income | 998 | 281 | 355 | 262 | 103 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 9,577 | 6,084 | 3,732 | 2,309 | 1,275 | 0 |

Our analysis suggests project-level demand for a total of 22,977 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

## Capture Rates

In this section, we summarize our demand conclusions and estimate the capture rate for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.
Subject Property Units (Total)

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| 2BR | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 7 | 62 |
| 3BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 11 | 102 |


| Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| 2BR | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 7 | 62 |
| 3BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 11 | 102 |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Unit-level demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level demand may not add up to project-level demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level demand.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 6,516 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,206 | 7,711 | 15,433 |
| 2BR | 8,986 |  |  |  |  |  | 864 | 9,839 | 19,689 |
| 3BR | 8,876 |  |  |  |  |  | 426 | 9,320 | 18,622 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 9,860 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,011 | 11,283 | 22,977 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by gross demand. Underwriters often utilize capture rate limits of 10 to 25 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 0.2\% |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| 2BR | 0.4\% |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% |
| 3BR | 0.1\% |  |  |  |  |  | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 0.6\% |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% |

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of vacant competing \& pipeline units in the market area by
unit/income type. This information will be used to further refine our capture rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of vacant competing \& pipeline units is found below.

| Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 | 154 |
| 2BR | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 297 | 315 |
| 3BR | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 | 97 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 534 | 566 |

The next step in our analysis is to subtract the number of vacant competing \& pipeline units from gross demand to arrive at a net demand estimate for the subject property units. As described earlier, unit-level net demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level net demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level net demand may not add up to project-level net demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level net demand.

| Net Demand (Gross Demand - Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 6,511 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,206 | 7,562 | 15,279 |
| 2BR | 8,968 |  |  |  |  |  | 864 | 9,542 | 19,374 |
| 3BR | 8,867 |  |  |  |  |  | 426 | 9,232 | 18,525 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 9,828 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,011 | 10,749 | 22,411 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by net demand. A capture rate in excess of 20 percent is considered excessive using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Net Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $0.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2BR | $0.4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| 3BR | $0.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $1.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | $0.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $1.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |

In our opinion, the estimated project-level capture rate suggests an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit level capture rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

## Penetration Rates

In this section, we summarize our demand conclusions and estimate the penetration rate for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.
Subject Property Units (Total)

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| 2BR | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 7 | 62 |
| 3BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 11 | 102 |


| Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| 2BR | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 7 | 62 |
| 3BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 11 | 102 |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Unit-level demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level demand may not add up to project-level demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level demand.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 6,516 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,206 | 7,711 | 15,433 |
| 2BR | 8,986 |  |  |  |  |  | 864 | 9,839 | 19,689 |
| 3BR | 8,876 |  |  |  |  |  | 426 | 9,320 | 18,622 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 9,860 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,011 | 11,283 | 22,977 |

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of competing \& pipeline units in the market area by unit/income type. This information will be used to derive our penetration rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of competing \& pipeline units is found below.

| Competing \& Pipeline Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 215 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,364 | 2,579 |
| 2BR | 590 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,058 | 5,648 |
| 3BR | 207 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 867 | 1,074 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 1,012 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,289 | 9,301 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute inclusive supply for the market area by unit/income type. Inclusive
supply will be taken into account in our penetration rate estimate for the subject property. For purposes of this estimate, inclusive supply consists of vacant subject property units plus competing \& pipeline units.

| Inclusive Supply (Subject Property Units + Competing \& Pipeline Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 227 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2,366 | 2,599 |
| 2BR | 626 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 5,065 | 5,710 |
| 3BR | 219 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 869 | 1,094 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 1,072 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 8,300 | 9,403 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the penetration rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, penetration rate is defined as inclusive supply divided by gross demand. A penetration rate in excess of 100 percent is considered excessive using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Penetration Rates (Inclusive Supply / Gross Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $3.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $0.5 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ |
| 2BR | $7.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2.2 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ |
| 3BR | $2.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $1.4 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | $10.9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $1.5 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ |

In our opinion, the estimated project-level penetration rate suggest an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit-level penetration rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

## Absorption Period

In this section, we estimate the absorption period for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.

| Subject Property Units (Total) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |
| 2BR | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 7 |
| 3BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |
| 2BR | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 7 |
| 3BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Our analysis uses the unit-level demand estimates derived previously.

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 6,516 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,206 | 7,711 |
| 2BR | 8,986 |  |  |  |  |  | 864 | 9,839 |
| 3BR | 8,876 |  |  |  |  |  | 426 | 9,320 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to apply an annual growth \& movership rate to derive an annual rental household growth \& movership estimate for the market area. Our estimates are found in the tables below.

| Annual Growth \& Movership Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Growth | $-0.7 \%$ |
| Movership | $33.6 \%$ |
| Total | $32.9 \%$ |


| Growth \& Movership Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 2,144 |  |  |  |  |  | 397 | 2,537 |
| 2BR | 2,957 |  |  |  |  |  | 284 | 3,237 |
| 3BR | 2,920 |  |  |  |  |  | 140 | 3,066 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to account for secondary market area migration in our annual rental household growth \& movership estimate for the market area. Our estimates are found in the tables below.

| Growth \& Movership Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 2,680 |  |  |  |  |  | 496 | 3,171 |
| 2BR | 3,696 |  |  |  |  |  | 355 | 4,047 |
| 3BR | 3,651 |  |  |  |  |  | 175 | 3,833 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to estimate fair share, or the proportion of growth and movership that we would expect the subject property to capture. The fair share analysis is used extensively in single-family, multifamily, commercial, and retail market studies. The books entitled Market Analysis for Valuation Appraisals (1994, Appraisal Institute) and Market Analysis and Highest \& Best Use (2005, Appraisal institute) provide a good overview of this technique and its application to a variety of property types.

Based on our review of the subject and competing properties, along with their relative conditions/locations, we arrive at the following fair share estimates for the various unit/income types at the subject property.

| Competing Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 1BR | 8 |  |  | 5 | 7 |  |  | 51 |
| 2BR | 10 |  |  | 7 | 12 |  |  | 79 |
| 3BR | 10 |  |  | 5 | 12 |  |  | 46 |
| 4BR | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |


| Fair Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $10.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $10.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| 2BR | $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $10.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| 3BR | $10.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $10.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Applying the concluded fair share estimates to annual growth \& movership and dividing by twelve yields the following monthly absorption rate estimates for the various unit/income types at the subject property.

| Monthly Absorption Rate Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 22.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | 6.6 |
| 2BR | 30.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 8.4 |
| 3BR | 30.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5 | 8.0 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to estimate stabilized occupancy by unit/income type for the subject property. These estimates, which were based on data previously presented in the supply analysis and rent comparability analysis sections of this report, are found below.

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Family, Stabilized Occupancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $96 \%$ |
| 1BR | $98 \%$ |  |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  | $97 \%$ |
| 2BR | $97 \%$ |  |  | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |  | $96 \%$ |
| 3BR | $96 \%$ |  |  | $90 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |  | $91 \%$ |
| 4BR | $100 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |

Occupancy Rate, Select Comparables

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $100 \%$ |  |  | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |  | $99 \%$ |
| 2BR | $100 \%$ |  |  | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |  | $99 \%$ |
| 3BR | $100 \%$ |  |  | $90 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |  | $100 \%$ |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Concluded Stabilized Occupancy Rate

| Concluded Stabilized Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $97 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| 2BR | $97 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| 3BR | $97 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Applying the stabilized occupancy rate estimates to the number of vacant subject property units at market entry, yields the number of occupied units by unit/income type at stabilization as set forth below.

| Occupied Units at Stabilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |
| 2BR | 35 |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 7 |
| 3BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Dividing the number of occupied units at stabilization by the monthly absorption rate yields an absorption period estimate by unit/income type for the various units at the subject property. Underwriters often utilize absorption period limits of 12 to 18 months for projects similar to the subject property. Our absorption period estimates are found below.

| Absorption Period (Months to Stabilization) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $<1$ |
| 2BR | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | $<1$ |
| 3BR | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $<1$ |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests that the subject property will stabilize at 97 percent occupancy. We estimate 6 months of absorption and an average absorption rate of 15.9 units per month for this project. In our opinion, the absorption period suggests an appropriate number and mix of units for the subject property.

Absorption rates for multifamily properties depend on a variety of factors: (1) The competitive environment in which the property resides; (2) The pricing of the subject property units relative to competing units, (3) The presence of rent or income restrictions at the subject property; and (4) The availability of any rent concessions or rental assistance at the subject property. Subsidized properties normally lease up at a rate of 15-20 units per month. Unsubsidized properties with rent and income restrictions tyically fill at a rate of 5-10 units per month. Market rate properties normally lease up at a rate of 10-15 units per month.

As part of our analysis, we inquired about the absorption history for every property we surveyed. The following list summarizes our findings:

| Key | Project | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Tot Units | Ab Rte |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 006 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | 148 | 13.5 |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | 148 | 14.8 |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 3 | 2012 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | 120 | 80.0 |
| 012 | Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | 232 | 13.7 |
| 030 | Lory (The) of Columbus | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | 292 | 20.6 |
| 063 | Hardaway Townhouses | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | 41 | 4.1 |
| 079 | Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | 192 | 32.0 |
| 096 | Patriot Pointe | 2016 | na | Restricted | Elderly | 100 | 28.3 |

## Absorption Analysis

In this section, we analyze the anticipated lease up for the subject property. We begin our analysis by taking the the absorption period conclusions from the previous section and restating them graphically as illustrated below.

Projected Lease Up


Our analysis suggests that the subject property will achieve 70 percent occupancy in 0 months, 80 percent occupancy in 1 months, and 90 percent occupancy in 3 months. We anticipate that the subject property will stabilize at 97 percent occupancy in 6 months.

It is important to note that this analysis does not account for pent-up demand, pre-leasing efforts or rent concessions. In our opinion, an effective pre-leasing effort could result in a month-for-month reduction in the estimated absorption period for this project. In addition, any concessions or rent subsidies not accounted for already in this analysis could cut capture rates and absorption periods significantly.

## Sensitivity Analysis

We also explored the relationship between rent level, capture rates, penetration rates, and absorption period. For purposes of this analysis, we forecasted demand and fill rates at 75\%, 80\%, 85\%, 90\%, 95\% and 100\% of achievable rent (derived earlier in this report). Our analysis is summarized below:


Our analysis suggests the following relationship between rent levels and fill rates: At the developer's proposed rent we anticipate a 6-month absorption period; at 100\% of achievable rent we anticipate a 7 -month absorption period; at $75 \%$ of achievable rent we anticipate a 2-month absorption period.

## DCA DEMAND ANALYSIS

## Overview

In this section we evaluate demand for the subject property using the DCA demand methodology. For purposes of this analysis, we define DCA demand as the number of new income-qualified and existing income-qualified overburdened and substandard renter households that would qualify to live at the subject property at the lesser of achievable rents or the sponsor's proposed rents.

Our analysis begins by developing a breakdown of the number of renter households, by income, by size as of the date of market entry for this development. This breakdown, which utilizes demographic data presented earlier in this report, is presented below:

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2019 \$ |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min |  | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person | Total |
| \$0 | to | \$9,999 | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 | 4,727 |
| \$0 | to | \$19,999 | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 | 8,851 |
| \$0 | to | \$29,999 | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 | 12,809 |
| \$0 | to | \$39,999 | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 | 15,607 |
| \$0 | to | \$49,999 | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 | 18,044 |
| \$0 | to | \$59,999 | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 | 19,966 |
| \$0 | to | \$74,999 | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 | 21,884 |
| \$0 | to | \$99,999 | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 | 23,775 |
| \$0 | to | \$124,999 | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 | 24,602 |
| \$0 | to | \$149,999 | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 | 24,956 |
| \$0 | to | \$199,999 | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 | 25,396 |
| \$0 | or | more | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 | 25,912 |

Source: ESRI \& Ribbon Demographics

Our analysis included demand and capture rate estimates. Capture rates were computed on a net basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand minus competing, pipeline \& newly-constructed units).

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 1-Bedroom Units


Competing \& Pipeline Units, 1-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 095 Park View Apartments | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 097 Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 098 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 099 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 Pembrook Apartments | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Renaissance Villa | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 109 Spring Cove Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 Springtield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 112 Sugar Mill Apartments | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 113 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 University Crossing Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Village On Cherokee | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 Village Square Apartments | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Vista Estates | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 122 Warren Williams Homes | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 Warren Williams Homes-Mod | 1945 | 2002 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Warren Williams Non-Mod | 1945 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 129 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Woodcliff Apartments | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 Woodville Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 133 Claflin School | 1921 | 2019 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 134 Grayling Place | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |
| 136 Highlands Kayne Blvd | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 2 |
| 146 Rapids (The) at Riverfront | 2019 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| 147 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Legend on Armour | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 215 |  |  | 39 | 200 |  |  | 2,364 | 5 |  |  | 11 | 10 |  |  | 149 |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 009 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 30 |  |  | 24 | 11 |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 011 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 27 |  |  | 8 | 14 |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 012 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 Britwood Apartments Phase 12 \& 3 | 1978 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| 018 Cedar Pointe Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 019 Chase Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Cloister Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 022 Club Hill Apartments Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 023 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 025 Columbus Commons | 2017 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 027 Cooper Cove Apartments | 1978 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 028 Cooper Creek Village Apartments | 1988 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 029 Cross Creek Apartments | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 030 Lory (The) of Columbus | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 034 Elizabeth Canty Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 035 Essex Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 040 Gardenbrook Apartments | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 041 Gentian Court Apartments | 1980 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Gentian Oaks Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 043 Grand Reserve (The) Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 224 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 044 Greystone at Columbus Park | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 045 Greystone At Country Club | 1963 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 Greystone at Main Street | 1997 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 052 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 053 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 1 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 054 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 055 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 056 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 Grove Park Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 060 Hamilton Station Phase 4 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 061 Hamilton Station Phases 12 \& 3 | 1983 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 062 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Hardaway Townhouses | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 064 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 151 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 066 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 71 |  |  | 155 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |
| 071 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Lakes Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 197 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 073 Le Craw on 13th | 1986 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | 29 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 075 Lindsey Vistas | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 076 Links of Maple Ridge Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Lodge Apartments | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 078 Lullwater at Maple Ridge Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 079 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 126 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 080 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 082 Azalea Ridge (Midtown Square) | 2002 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 084 Mllestone Apartments | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 085 Miller Creek Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 089 Northgate Village Apartments | 1975 | 2003 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 32 |  |  |  | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Oak Ridge Apartments | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 092 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 093 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 094 Park Side at Britt David Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 095 Park View Apartments | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 097 Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 098 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 099 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 Pembrook Apartments | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 101 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Renaissance Villa | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 108 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 109 Spring Cove Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 111 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | 4 | 60 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 112 Sugar Mill Apartments | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 113 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 University Crossing Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 117 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Village On Cherokee | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 Village Square Apartments | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 120 Vista Estates | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 122 Warren Williams Homes | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 Warren Williams Homes-Mod | 1945 | 2002 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Warren Williams Non-Mod | 1945 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 129 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Woodcliff Apartments | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 131 Woodville Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 133 Claflin School | 1921 | 2019 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  | 2 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 17 |  |  |  |
| 134 Grayling Place | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 9 | 36 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 9 | 36 |  |  | 11 |
| 136 Highlands Kayne Blvd | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 8 | 27 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 8 | 27 |  |  | 7 |
| 146 Rapids (The) at Riverfront | 2019 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |
| 147 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Legend on Armour | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 590 |  |  | 84 | 624 |  |  | 5,058 | 18 |  |  | 19 | 87 |  |  | 297 |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 3-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  | Occ Type Status |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type |  |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 Ashley Station Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 22 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 011 Ashley Station Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 Brittwood Apartments Phase 12 \& 3 | 1978 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 018 Cedar Pointe Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 019 Chase Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Cloister Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 022 Club Hill Apartments Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 023 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 025 Columbus Commons | 2017 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 027 Cooper Cove Apartments | 1978 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 028 Cooper Creek Village Apartments | 1988 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Cross Creek Apartments | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 030 Lory (The) of Columbus | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 034 Elizabeth Canty Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 035 Essex Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 Gardenbrook Apartments | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 041 Gentian Court Apartments | 1980 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Gentian Oaks Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 043 Grand Reserve (The) Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 044 Greystone at Columbus Park | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 045 Greystone At Country Club | 1963 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 Greystone at Main Street | 1997 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 052 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 053 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 1 | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 054 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Greystone at Windsor Village Phase 23 | 1979 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 056 Greystone Falls Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 Grove Park Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Hamilton Station Phase 4 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 061 Hamilton Station Phases 12 \& 3 | 1983 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 062 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Hardaway Townhouses | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 064 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 Enclave at Highland Ridge | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 066 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Lakes Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 073 Le Craw on 13th | 1986 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 075 Lindsey Vistas | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 Links of Maple Ridge Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Lodge Apartments | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 078 Lullwater at Maple Ridge Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 079 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 080 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 082 Azalea Ridge (Midtown Square) | 2002 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| 083 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Mllestone Apartments | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 085 Miller Creek Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 Northgate Village Apartments | 1975 | 2003 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 3 |  |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Oak Ridge Apartments | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 092 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 094 Park Side at Britt David Apartments | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 3-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 095 Park View Apartments | 1993 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 097 Peacock Woods Apartments 1 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 098 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 099 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 100 Pembrook Apartments | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 101 Preserve at Columbus Park | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Renaissance Villa | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 109 Spring Cove Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | 2 | 30 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 112 Sugar Mill Apartments | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 University Crossing Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | 2015 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Village On Cherokee | 1972 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 Village Square Apartments | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Vista Estates | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 122 Warren Williams Homes | 1945 | 2018 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 Warren Williams Homes-Mod | 1945 | 2002 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Warren Williams Non-Mod | 1945 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 129 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Woodcliff Apartments | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 Woodville Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 Claflin School | 1921 | 2019 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 18 |  |  |  |
| 134 Grayling Place | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 4 | 10 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | , |
| 136 Highlands Kayne Blvd | 2019 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  | 6 | 15 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 6 | 15 |  |  | 7 |
| 146 Rapids (The) at Riverfront | 2019 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 Summit Pointe Apartments | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Swallowtail Flats Apartments | 2015 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Legend on Armour | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 207 |  |  | 22 | 348 |  |  | 867 | 9 |  |  | 13 | 45 |  |  | 88 |

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 12 units, 12 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2019. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 12 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 12 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 119$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 119$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 340$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 4,080$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |  |  |  |  |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 25,080$ | $\$ 28,620$ | $\$ 32,220$ | $\$ 35,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 41,520$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 5,170 | 2,728 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 1,011 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,159 | 2,443 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 6,602
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 6,602 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 80\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 80\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 6 units, 6 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2019. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 6 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 6 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 721$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 119$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 840$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,400$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 28,800$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | $m o r e$ | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 33,440$ | $\$ 38,160$ | $\$ 42,960$ | $\$ 47,680$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 55,360$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 6,268 | 3,374 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 5,692 | 2,728 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 576 | 646 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 1,222
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,222 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Market Rate

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Market Rate / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 2 units, 2 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2019. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 2 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 2 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 850$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 119$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 969$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,769$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 33,223$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | $m o r e$ | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 10,715 | 6,450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 6,268 | 3,083 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,447 | 3,367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
7,814

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 7,814 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 36 units, 36 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2019. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 3-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 36 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 36 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 148$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 148$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 423$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 5,074$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 25,080$ | $\$ 28,620$ | $\$ 32,220$ | $\$ 35,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 41,520$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 5,170 | 2,728 | 2,047 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 1,264 | 356 | 360 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 3,907 | 2,372 | 1,688 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 7,966
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 7,966 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 80\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 80\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 19 units, 19 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2019. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 3-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 19 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 19 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 860$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 148$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,008$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,880$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 34,560$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | $m o r e$ | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 33,440$ | $\$ 38,160$ | $\$ 42,960$ | $\$ 47,680$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 55,360$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 0 | 3,374 | 2,594 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 0 | 3,170 | 2,187 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 204 | 407 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
611

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 611 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Market Rate

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Market Rate / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 7 units, 7 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2019. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 3-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 7 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 7 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 950$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 148$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,098$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 3,137$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 37,646$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | $m o r e$ | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |  |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 6,797 | 3,345 | 2,354 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 3,919 | 3,105 | 1,787 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 8,811 |  |  |
|  | Demand Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 8,811 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 12 units, 12 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2019. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 5-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 12 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 12 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 196$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 196$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 560$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 6,720$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | $m o r e$ | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |  |  |  |  |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 25,080$ | $\$ 28,620$ | $\$ 32,220$ | $\$ 35,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 41,520$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 5,170 | 2,728 | 2,047 | 1,404 | 665 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 1,643 | 463 | 467 | 345 | 104 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 3,527 | 2,265 | 1,580 | 1,059 | 561 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 8,993
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 8,993 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 80\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 80\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 6 units, 6 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2019. Our analysis assumes a $35 \%$ income qualification ratio and 5 -person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 6 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 6 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 969$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 196$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,165$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 3,329$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 39,943$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |  |  |  |  |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 33,440$ | $\$ 38,160$ | $\$ 42,960$ | $\$ 47,680$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 55,360$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 0 | 0 | 2,594 | 1,647 | 828 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 0 | 0 | 2,465 | 1,488 | 684 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 129 | 159 | 144 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
432
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 432 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Market Rate

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Market Rate / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 2 units, 2 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2019. Our analysis assumes a 35\% income qualification ratio and 5-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 2 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 2 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 1,050$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 196$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,246$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 3,560$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 42,720$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | $m o r e$ | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |  |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 7,346 | 3,652 | 2,594 | 1,548 | 723 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 3,369 | 2,798 | 1,547 | 1,057 | 674 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 9,444

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 9,444 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Subsidized

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the subsidized units at the subject property.

| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | \$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| \$0 | to | \$9,999 | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |
| \$0 | to | \$19,999 | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |
| \$0 | to | \$29,999 | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |
| \$0 | to | \$39,999 | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |
| \$0 | to | \$49,999 | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |
| \$0 | to | \$59,999 | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |
| \$0 | to | \$74,999 | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |
| \$0 | to | \$99,999 | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |
| \$0 | to | \$124,999 | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |
| \$0 | to | \$149,999 | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |
| \$0 | to | \$199,999 | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |
| \$0 | or | more | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |
| Demand Estimate, Subsidized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| Maximum Income, OBR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 1BR |  |  | \$25,080 | \$28,620 | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 2BR |  |  | \$25,080 | \$28,620 | \$32,220 | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 3BR |  |  | \$25,080 | \$28,620 | \$32,220 | \$35,760 | \$38,640 | - |
| Maximum Income, 4BR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income |  |  | \$25,080 | \$28,620 | \$32,220 | \$35,760 | \$38,640 | - |
| Minimum Income, OBR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 1BR |  |  | \$4,080 | \$4,080 | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 2BR |  |  | \$5,074 | \$5,074 | \$5,074 | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 3BR |  |  | \$6,720 | \$6,720 | \$6,720 | \$6,720 | \$6,720 | - |
| Minimum Income, 4BR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |  | \$4,080 | \$4,080 | \$5,074 | \$6,720 | \$6,720 | - |
| HH Below Upper Income |  |  | 5,170 | 2,728 | 2,047 | 1,404 | 665 | 0 |
| HH Below Lower Income |  |  | 1,011 | 285 | 360 | 345 | 104 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 4,159 | 2,443 | 1,688 | 1,059 | 561 | 0 |

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 9,910 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Restricted, 80\% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to 80\% of AMI at the subject property.

| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | \$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| \$0 | to | \$9,999 | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |
| \$0 | to | \$19,999 | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |
| \$0 | to | \$29,999 | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |
| \$0 | to | \$39,999 | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |
| \$0 | to | \$49,999 | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |
| \$0 | to | \$59,999 | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |
| \$0 | to | \$74,999 | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |
| \$0 | to | \$99,999 | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |
| \$0 | to | \$124,999 | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |
| \$0 | to | \$149,999 | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |
| \$0 | to | \$199,999 | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |
| \$0 | or | more | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |
| Demand Estimate, Restricted, 80\% of AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| Maximum | me, OBR |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum | me, 1BR |  | \$33,440 | \$38,160 | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum | me, 2 BR |  | - | \$38,160 | \$42,960 | - | - | - |
| Maximum | me, 3 BR |  | - | - | \$42,960 | \$47,680 | \$51,520 | - |
| Maximum | me, 4BR |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income |  |  | \$33,440 | \$38,160 | \$42,960 | \$47,680 | \$51,520 | - |
| Minimum Income, OBR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 1BR |  |  | \$28,800 | \$28,800 | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 2BR |  |  | - | \$34,560 | \$34,560 | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 3BR |  |  | - | - | \$39,943 | \$39,943 | \$39,943 | - |
| Minimum Income, 4BR |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |  | \$28,800 | \$28,800 | \$34,560 | \$39,943 | \$39,943 | - |
| HH Below Upper Income |  |  | 6,268 | 3,374 | 2,594 | 1,647 | 828 | 0 |
| HH Below Lower Income |  |  | 5,692 | 2,728 | 2,187 | 1,488 | 684 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 576 | 646 | 407 | 159 | 144 | 0 |

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,932 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Market Rate

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the market rate units at the subject property.


Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 11,331 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Project-Level

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a project-level demand estimate for the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| Renter Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,527 | 712 | 719 | 530 | 160 | 78 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 4,424 | 1,705 | 1,299 | 952 | 330 | 141 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 5,916 | 2,908 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 509 | 252 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,090 | 3,490 | 2,493 | 1,498 | 693 | 343 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,114 | 4,137 | 2,897 | 1,696 | 811 | 390 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,923 | 4,652 | 3,145 | 1,889 | 922 | 436 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 9,423 | 5,452 | 3,429 | 2,026 | 1,056 | 498 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 9,998 | 5,931 | 3,774 | 2,301 | 1,212 | 559 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 10,254 | 6,108 | 3,974 | 2,405 | 1,281 | 581 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 10,335 | 6,214 | 4,046 | 2,466 | 1,310 | 586 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 10,502 | 6,326 | 4,088 | 2,527 | 1,355 | 598 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | $m o r e$ | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 606 |  |

Demand Estimate, Project-Level

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Income, Subsidized | $\$ 25,080$ | $\$ 28,620$ | $\$ 32,220$ | $\$ 35,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | - |
| Maximum Income, $20 \%$ of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 40\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 50\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, $60 \%$ of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, $80 \%$ of AMI | $\$ 33,440$ | $\$ 38,160$ | $\$ 42,960$ | $\$ 47,680$ | $\$ 51,520$ | - |
| Maximum Income, Market Rate | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Minimum Income, Subsidized | $\$ 4,080$ | $\$ 4,080$ | $\$ 5,074$ | $\$ 6,720$ | $\$ 6,720$ | - |
| Minimum Income, 20\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, $40 \%$ of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, $50 \%$ of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, $60 \%$ of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, $80 \%$ of AMI | $\$ 28,800$ | $\$ 28,800$ | $\$ 34,560$ | $\$ 39,943$ | $\$ 39,943$ | - |
| Minimum Income, Market Rate | $\$ 33,223$ | $\$ 33,223$ | $\$ 37,646$ | $\$ 42,720$ | $\$ 42,720$ | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 4,080$ | $\$ 4,080$ | $\$ 5,074$ | $\$ 6,720$ | $\$ 6,720$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HH Below Upper Income | 10,715 | 6,450 | 4,141 | 2,604 | 1,396 | 0 |
| HH Below Lower Income | 1,011 | 285 | 360 | 345 | 104 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 9,704 | 6,165 | 3,781 | 2,260 | 1,292 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
23,202

Our analysis suggests project-level demand for a total of 23,202 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

## Demand \& Capture Rate Estimate

In this section, we derive our DCA demand and capture rate estimates for the subject property. Our analysis, which begins with the income-qualified renter household estimates developed above, is found below.

| Income Qualified Renter Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 6,602 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,222 | 7,814 | 15,638 |
| 2BR | 7,966 |  |  |  |  |  | 611 | 8,811 | 17,388 |
| 3BR | 8,993 |  |  |  |  |  | 432 | 9,444 | 18,869 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 9,910 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,932 | 11,331 | 23,202 |

The next step in our analysis is to account for 2 years of growth to estimate the demand stemming from new income qualified rental households. Our estimates are found below.


The next step in our analysis is to estimate existing demand stemming from income-qualified overburdened renter households in this market area. Our estimates are found below.


The next step in our analysis is to estimate existing demand stemming from income-qualified substandard renter households in this market area. Our estimates are found below.

| Existing Households - Substandard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 572 |  |  |  |  |  | 106 | 677 | 1,355 |
| 2BR | 690 |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 763 | 1,507 |
| 3BR | 779 |  |  |  |  |  | 37 | 818 | 1,635 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 859 |  |  |  |  |  | 167 | 982 | 2,010 |

The next step in our analysis is to account for elderly homeowners likely to convert to rental housing. This component may not comprise more than 2 percent of total demand. Our estimates are found below.

| Elderly Homeowners Likely to Convert to Rental Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to tally up gross demand for the subject property. Our estimates are found below.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 2,453 |  |  |  |  |  | 454 | 2,903 | 5,811 |
| 2BR | 2,960 |  |  |  |  |  | 227 | 3,274 | 6,461 |
| 3BR | 3,342 |  |  |  |  |  | 161 | 3,509 | 7,011 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 3,682 |  |  |  |  |  | 718 | 4,210 | 8,621 |

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of vacant competing, pipeline \& newly-constructed units in the market area by unit/income type. This information will be used to further refine our capture rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of vacant competing, pipeline \& newly-constructed units is found below.

| Vacant Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 | 154 |
| 2BR | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 297 | 315 |
| 3BR | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 | 97 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 534 | 566 |

The next step in our analysis is to subtract the number of vacant competing, pipeline \& newly-constructed units from gross demand to arrive at a net demand estimate for the subject property units. As described earlier, unit-level net demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level net demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level net demand may not add up to project-level net demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level net demand.

| Net Demand (Gross Demand - Vacant Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 2,448 |  |  |  |  |  | 454 | 2,754 | 5,657 |
| 2BR | 2,942 |  |  |  |  |  | 227 | 2,977 | 6,146 |
| 3BR | 3,333 |  |  |  |  |  | 161 | 3,421 | 6,914 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 3,650 |  |  |  |  |  | 718 | 3,676 | 8,055 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by net demand. Our estimates are presented below:

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| 2BR | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 7 | 62 |
| 3BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 11 | 102 |

Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Net Demand)

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $0.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $1.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| 2BR | $1.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $8.4 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| 3BR | $0.4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $3.7 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | $1.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $4.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |

Our findings are summarized below.

| Project-Wide Capture Rate - Subsidized Units | $1.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units | $4.3 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - Market Units | $0.3 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - All Units | $1.3 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Absorption Period (Months) | 6 months |

RENT COMPARABLES, MARKET RATE



| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | yes | yes |
| Sewer | yes | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Similar

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.20 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 2.00 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | yes | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | yes | no |
| Walking Trail | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | yes | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | yes |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Lory (The) of Columbus is an existing multifamily development located at 8160 Veterans Parkway in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 292 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2011 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.



| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | yes | yes |
| Sewer | yes | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Similar

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.50 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs, Subject | Superior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.10 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 2.30 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | yes | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | yes | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | yes | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | some | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | yes | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | yes | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | yes |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Greystone Falls Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 1701 Williams Court in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 214 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2008 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Grove Park Apartments |
| Street Number | 1448 |
| Street Name | Grove Park |
| Street Type | Drive |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 |
| Phone Number | (706) $507-3630$ |
| Year Built | 2006 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. |  |
| Other Fees | $\$ 60$ |
| Waiting List | na |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 32.5518 |
| Longitude | -84.9980 |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |
| AAC Code | 059 |

Interview Notes

| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Mr. Noah, Leasing Agent |
| Phone Number | (706) 507-3630 |
| Interview Date | $25-A p r-19$ |
| Interviewed By | JS |

Property amenities include a tanning bed and bark park. Select units have sunrooms. Fiber optic - ATT installed 2019. Rent range due to location.


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BR | BA | SF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inc } \\ \text { Limit } \end{gathered}$ | Rent <br> Limit | HOME <br> Units | Subs <br> Units | Total Units | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vac } \\ & \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ | Street <br> Rent | Disc | Net <br> Rent | UA | Gross Rent |
| 1 | 1.0 | 1070 | Garden/Flat | Mar | Mar | No | No | 40 |  | \$1,040 |  | \$1,040 | \$38 | \$1,078 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 1274 | Garden/Flat | Mar | Mar | No | No | 20 |  | \$1,040 |  | \$1,040 | \$38 | \$1,078 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 1415 | Garden/Flat | Mar | Mar | No | No | 72 | 1 | \$1,168 |  | \$1,168 | \$52 | \$1,220 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 1619 | Garden/Flat | Mar | Mar | No | No | 60 |  | \$1,163 |  | \$1,163 | \$52 | \$1,215 |
| 3 | 3.0 | 2166 | Townhome | Mar | Mar | No | No | 12 |  | \$1,675 |  | \$1,675 | \$66 | \$1,741 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total / Average |  | 1,438 | 105 |  |  |  |  | 204 | 1 | \$1,158 |  | \$1,158 | \$49 | \$1,207 |
|  |  | 1,438 |  |  |  |  |  | 204 | 1 | \$1,158 |  | \$1,158 | \$49 | \$1,207 |


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Superior

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | no | yes |
| Internet | no | yes |
| Comp vs Subject | Superior |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.50 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 2.20 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | yes | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | yes | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | yes | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | yes | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | yes | no |
| Walking Trail | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | yes |
| Carpeting/Hardwooc | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | some | yes |
| Storage | yes | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | yes | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | yes |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Grove Park Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 1448 Grove Park Drive in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 204 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2006 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

| Project Information | Enclave at Highland Ridge |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | 8500 |
| Street Number | Franciscan Woods |
| Street Name | Drive |
| Street Type | Columbus |
| City | Georgia |
| State | 31909 |
| Zip | (706) $221-8503$ |
| Phone Number | 2011 |
| Year Built | na |
| Year Renovated | 12 |
| Minimum Lease | $\$ 350$ |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 50$ |
| Other Fees | 8 people |
| Waiting List | Market Rate |
| Project Rent | Family |
| Project Type | Stabilized |
| Project Status | Conventional |
| Financing |  |
| Vouchers | 32.5688 |
| Latitude | -84.9588 |
| Longitude | Wearest Crossroads |
| AAC Code | $19-078$ |

Interview Notes

| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Kimberly, Leasing Agent |
| Phone Number | (706) 221-8503 |
| Interview Date | 01-May-19 |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| Property uses Yield Star sys. |  |

Property uses Yield Star system, with daily changing rates. The rates shown in this report represent some of the different floor plans available at this property. Contact was unable to give rent rates for floorplans unless available or coming available. Total property unit count correct. Contact advised us to get information from the website which she
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Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | yes | yes |
| Sewer | yes | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Similar

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.00 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 2.30 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | yes | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | yes | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | yes | no |
| Walking Trail | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | yes |
| Carpeting/Hardwooc | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | some | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | yes |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Enclave at Highland Ridge is an existing multifamily development located at 8500 Franciscan Woods Drive in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 297 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2011 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 97 percent occupancy.



| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | yes | yes |
| Sewer | yes | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Similar

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.00 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 4.00 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | yes | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | yes | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | yes | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | yes |
| Carpeting/Hardwooc | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | some | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | yes |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Preserve at Columbus Park is an existing multifamily development located at 5462 Whittlesey Boulevard in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 300 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2010 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

Project Information

| Property Name | Summit Pointe Apartments |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Number | 3071 |
| Street Name | Williams |
| Street Type | Road |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31909 |
| Phone Number | (706) $221-5556$ |
| Year Built | 2018 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | na |
| Other Fees | na |
| Waiting List | na |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 32.5681 |
| Longitude | -84.9453 |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |
| AAC Code | 147 |

Interview Notes

| Person Interviewed | Ms. Ashley, Management |
| :--- | ---: |
| Phone Number | (706) 221-5556 |
| Interview Date | $06-$ May-19 |
| Interviewed By | DFR |

Summit Point has Villas and Flats. The Flats are apartments but the Villas are separate "no shared walls" buildings, not shown in this data. This property has 154 Freestanding, no shared walls, Villas with a 2 car garage. It also has 24 Breezeway Tower Units. Garages. Property would not participate in our survey, therefore no information is from


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | yes | yes |
| Sewer | yes | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Similar

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.00 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 2.60 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2015 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | yes | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | yes | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | yes | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | yes | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | yes |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Summit Pointe Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 3071 Williams Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 24 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2018 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | yes | yes |
| Sewer | yes | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Similar

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | no | yes |
| Internet | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.50 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs Subject | Superior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.00 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 2.20 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2015 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | no | yes |
| Billiard/Game | yes | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | yes | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | yes | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | yes | no |
| Picnic Area | no | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | yes | no |
| Walking Trail | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | yes | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | yes |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Swallowtail Flats Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 8272 Dream Boad Drive in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 211 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2015 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

RENT COMPARABLES, RESTRICTED RENT

| Property Name | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Number | 1440 |
| Street Name | Benning |
| Street Type | Drive |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31903 |
| Phone Number | (706) $685-0777$ |
| Year Built | 2009 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 400$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 19$ |
| Waiting List | 219 people |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers | 6 |
| Latitude | 2007 |
| Longitude | 32.4271 |
| Nearest Crossroads | -84.9444 |
| AAC Code | na |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Trina, Asst. Manager |
| Phone Number | (706) 685-0777 |
| Interview Date | $25-$ Apr-19 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

2007 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 74 units of project based rental assistance and 18 public housing subsidized units available to tenants. Property shares amenities with Phase 2 and 3.
There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised
that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Superior

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.50 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | some | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | yes |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Arbor Pointe Phase 1 is an existing multifamily development located at 1440 Benning Drive in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 148 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2009 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 97 percent occupancy.

| Property Name | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Number | 1331 |
| Street Name | Fort Benning |
| Street Type | Road |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31903 |
| Phone Number | (706) $685-0777$ |
| Year Built | 2010 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 400$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 19$ |
| Waiting List | 219 people |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers | 6 |
| Latitude | 32.4293 |
| Longitude | 2008 |
| Nearest Crossroads | -84.9402 |
| AAC Code | na |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Trina, Asst. Manager |
| Phone Number | (706) 685-0777 |
| Interview Date | 25-Apr-19 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

2008 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 75 units of project based rental assistance or public housing subsidized units available to tenants. Property shares amenities with Phase 1 and 3. Ms.
There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised
that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.



| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Superior

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.50 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | some | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | yes |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Arbor Pointe Phase 2 is an existing multifamily development located at 1331 Fort Benning Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 148 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2010 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 99 percent occupancy.

| Property Name | Ashley Station Phase 1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Number | 1100 |
| Street Name | 27 th |
| Street Type | Street |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 |
| Phone Number | (706) $576-6831$ |
| Year Built | 2006 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | Surety Bond |
| Other Fees | $\$ 138$ |
| Waiting List | yes |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 2004 |
| Longitude |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code | $19-078$ |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Ray, Management |
| Phone Number | (706) 576-6831 |
| Interview Date | 30-Apr-19 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

2004 TC's awarded for construction of this HOPE VI property with 72 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Due to no vacancies in the 2BR 1.5 Bath units, contact could not give a current rate, therefore the rate was estimated using rate increases of the other size units.


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Superior

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |  |
| Cable | yes | yes |  |
| Internet | yes | yes |  |
| Comp vs | Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.50 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 4.50 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2000 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | yes | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | yes | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | yes |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Ashley Station Phase 1 is an existing multifamily development located at 110027 th Street in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 184 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2006 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 96 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Ashley Station Phase 2 |
| Street Number | 2321 |
| Street Name | Olive |
| Street Type | Street |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 |
| Phone Number | (706) $576-6831$ |
| Year Built | 2008 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | Surety Bond |
| Other Fees | $\$ 138$ |
| Waiting List | yes |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers | 50 |
| Latitude | 32.4862 |
| Longitude | 2006 |
| Nearest Crossroads | -84.9793 |
| AAC Code | na |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Superior

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.50 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 4.50 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2000 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | yes | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | yes | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | some | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | yes |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Ashley Station Phase 2 is an existing multifamily development located at 2321 Olive Street in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 183 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2008 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 98 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | Avalon Apartments |  |
| Street Number | 3737 |  |
| Street Name | Cusseta |  |
| Street Type | Road |  |
| City | Columbus |  |
| State | Georgia |  |
| Zip | 31903 |  |
| Phone Number | (706) $689-7883$ |  |
| Year Built | 2009 |  |
| Year Renovated | na |  |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |  |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 200$ |  |
| Other Fees | $\$ 13$ |  |
| Waiting List | 50 people |  |
| Project Rent | Restricted |  |
| Project Type | Family |  |
| Project Status | Stabilized |  |
| Financing | Tax Credit |  |
| Vouchers | 90 |  |
| Latitude | 2007 | 32.4374 |
| Longitude | -84.9402 |  |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |  |
| AAC Code |  | 012 |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Erica, Leasing Agent |
| Phone Number | (706) 689-7883 |
| Interview Date | 25-Apr-19 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

2007 TCs awarded for construction of this property without units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. New apartments Patriot Pointe, which we have included in our study. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Superior

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.00 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.40 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 3.50 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Storage | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | yes |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Avalon Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 3737 Cusseta Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 232 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2009 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

Project Information

| Property Name | Liberty Garden Townhouses |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Number | 675 |
| Street Name | 6 th |
| Street Type | Avenue |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31901 |
| Phone Number | (706) $323-8833$ |
| Year Built | 1996 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | 1 month |
| Other Fees | $\$ 50$ |
| Waiting List |  |
| Project Rent | 25 people |
| Project Type | Restricted |
| Project Status | Family |
| Financing | Stabilized |
| Vouchers | Tax Credit |
| Latitude | 34 |
| Longitude | 1994 |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code |  |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Traci, Management |
| Phone Number | (706) 323-8833 |
| Interview Date | $26-$ Apr-19 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

1994 TC's awarded for rehabilitation of this property without project based rental assistance. New roofs in about 2008.
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Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Superior

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |  |
| Cable | yes | yes |  |
| Internet | yes | yes |  |
| Comp vs | Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.50 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.00 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.30 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 3.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 1990 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | no | yes |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | no | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | no | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | yes | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | no | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | yes |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Liberty Garden Townhouses is an existing multifamily development located at 675 6th Avenue in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 88 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1996 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 97 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information | Lumpkin Park |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | 3351 |  |
| Street Number | N Lumpkin |  |
| Street Name | Road |  |
| Street Type | Columbus |  |
| City | Georgia |  |
| State | 31903 |  |
| Zip | (706) $507-7666$ |  |
| Phone Number | 2009 |  |
| Year Built | na |  |
| Year Renovated | 12 |  |
| Minimum Lease | $\$ 250$ |  |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 22$ |  |
| Other Fees | no |  |
| Waiting List | Restricted |  |
| Project Rent | Family |  |
| Project Type | Stabilized |  |
| Project Status | Tax Credit |  |
| Financing | 90 |  |
| Vouchers | 32.4363 |  |
| Latitude | -84.9535 |  |
| Longitude | na |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  | 079 |
| AAC Code |  |  |




| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Superior

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |  |
| Cable | yes | yes |  |
| Internet | yes | yes |  |
| Comp vs | Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.50 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.30 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.30 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | no | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | yes | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | yes |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Lumpkin Park is an existing multifamily development located at 3351 N Lumpkin Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 192 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2009 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | Springfield Crossing Apartments |  |
| Street Number | 3320 |  |
| Street Name | North Lumpkin |  |
| Street Type | Road |  |
| City | Columbus |  |
| State | Georgia |  |
| Zip | 31093 |  |
| Phone Number | (706) $689-7717$ |  |
| Year Built | 2001 |  |
| Year Renovated | na |  |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |  |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 250$ |  |
| Other Fees | $\$ 35$ |  |
| Waiting List | 3 people |  |
| Project Rent | Restricted |  |
| Project Type | Family |  |
| Project Status | Stabilized |  |
| Financing | Tax Credit |  |
| Vouchers | 36 |  |
| Latitude | 2000 | 32.4373 |
| Longitude | -84.9536 |  |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |  |
| AAC Code |  | 111 |

Interview Notes

| Person Interviewed | Ms. Kiera, Manager |
| :--- | ---: |
| (706) 689-7717 |  |
| Interview Date | $25-\mathrm{Apr-19}$ |
| Interviewed By | JS |

2000 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. No major renovations, although update when units turnover.



| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Superior

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |  |
| Cable | yes | yes |  |
| Internet | yes | yes |  |
| Comp vs | Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.30 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.30 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 3.50 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2000 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | yes |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | no | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | yes | no |
| Walking Trail | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | yes |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Springfield Crossing Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 3320 North Lumpkin Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 120 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2001 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 99 percent occupancy.

Project Information

| Property Name | Victory Crossing Apartments |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Number | 3390 |
| Street Name | North Lumpkin |
| Street Type | Road |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 30193 |
| Phone Number | (706) $689-6979$ |
| Year Built | 2003 |
| Year Renovated | 2015 |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 99$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 20$ |
| Waiting List | no |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Bond |
| Vouchers | 65 |
| Latitude | 2002 |
| Longitude | 32.4351 |
| Nearest Crossroads | -84.9534 |
| AAC Code | na |

Interview Notes

| Person Interviewed | Ms. Mary, Management |
| :--- | ---: |
| Phone Number | (706) 689-8971 |
| Interview Date | $25-\mathrm{Apr-19}$ |
| Interviewed By | JS |

2002 Bonds awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. Dominion acquired property and remodeled in 2015.


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |

Comp vs. Subject Superior

| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs Subject | Similar |  |


| Visibility |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.60 | 2.60 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.40 | 4.40 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Condition

| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 3.50 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2000 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | no | yes |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | yes |
| Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | yes |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | yes |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | yes |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | yes |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | yes |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Victory Crossing Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 3390 North Lumpkin Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 172 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2003 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

## STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS \& LIMITING CONDITIONS

- The title to the subject property is merchantable, and the property is free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, except as noted.
- No liability is assumed for matters legal in nature.
- Ownership and management are assumed to be in competent and responsible hands.
- No survey has been made by the appraiser. Dimensions are as supplied by others and are assumed to be correct.
- The report was prepared for the purpose so stated and should not be used for any other reason.
- All direct and indirect information supplied by the owner and their representatives concerning the subject property is assumed to be true and accurate.
- No responsibility is assumed for information supplied by others and such information is believed to be reliable and correct. This includes zoning and tax information provided by Municipal officials.
- The signatories shall not be required to give testimony or attend court or be at any governmental hearing with respect to the subject property unless prior arrangements have been made with the client.
- Disclosure of the contents of this report is governed by the By-Laws and Regulations of the Appraisal Institute.
- The legal description is assumed to be accurate.
- This report specifically assumes that there are no site, subsoil, or building contaminates present resulting from residual substances or construction materials, such as asbestos, radon gas, PCB, etc. Should any of these factors exist, the appraiser reserves the right to review these findings, review the value estimates, and change the estimates, if deemed necessary.
- The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. We have not made a specific compliance survey and analysis of this property to determine whether or not it is in conformity with
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is operated as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is constructed/rehabilitated as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is financed as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes the timing set forth in this report.


## CERTIFICATION

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of the appraisal.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- Jeff Carroll and Debbie Rucker made a personal inspection of the subject property.
- No one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person signing this certification. Debbie Rucker and Frank Victory (Allen \& Associates Consulting) assisted in compiling the data used in this report.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- As of the date of this report, I have completed the Standards and Ethics Education Requirements for Members of the Appraisal Institute.
- I am presently licensed in good standing as a Certified General Real Estate Appraiser in the states of Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia, allowing me to appraise all types of real estate.

Respectfully submitted:
ALLEN \& ASSOCIATES CONSULTING, INC.


Jeff Carroll


Debbie Rucker

## DCA CERTIFICATION

I affirm that I have made a physical inspection of the market area and the subject property and that information has been used in the full study of the need and demand for the proposed units. The report was written according to DCA's market study requirements, the information included is accurate and the report can be relied upon by DCA as a true assessment of the low-income housing rental market.

To the best of my knowledge, the market can support the demand shown in the study. I understand that any misrepresentation of this statement may result in the denial of further participation in DCA's rental housing programs. I also affirm that I have no interest in the project or relationship with the ownership entity and my compensation is not contingent on this project being funded.

DCA may rely on the representation made in the market study. The document is assignable to other parties with express written authorization by Allen \& Associates Consulting, Inc.


Jeff Carroll


Debbie Rucker

## NCHMA MARKET STUDY INDEX

Introduction: Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.

| Executive Summary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Executive Summary | Executive Summary |
| Scope of Work |  |  |
| 2 | Scope of Work | Letter of Transmittal |
| Project Description |  |  |
| 3 | Unit mix including bedrooms, bathrooms, square footage, rents, and in targeting <br> Utilities (and utility sources) included in rent <br> Target market/population description <br> Project description including unit features and community amenities <br> Date of construction/preliminary completion <br> If rehabilitation, scope of work, existing rents, and existing vacancies | Section 1 |
| 4 |  | Section 2 |
| 5 |  | Section 1 |
| 6 |  | Section 2 |
| 7 |  | Section 1 |
| 8 |  | Section 1 |
| Location |  |  |
| 9 | Concise description of the site and adjacent parcels | Sections 3 \& 4 |
| 10 | Site photos/maps | Section 5 |
| 11 | Map of community services | Section 4 |
| 12 | Site evaluation/neighborhood including visibility, accessibility, and crime | Section 4 |
| Market Area |  |  |
| 13 | PMA description | Section 6 |
| 14 | PMA Map | Section 6 |
| Employment and Economy |  |  |
| 15 | At-Place employment trends <br> Employment by sector <br> Unemployment rates <br> Area major employers/employment centers and proximity to site <br> Recent or planned employment expansions/reductions | Section 7 |
| 16 |  | Section 7 |
| 17 |  | Section 7 |
| 18 |  | Section 7 |
| 19 |  | Section 7 |
| Demographic Characteristics |  |  |
| 20 | Population and household estimates and projections <br> Area building permits <br> Population and household characteristics including income, tenure, and size <br> For senior or special needs projects, provide data specific to target market | Section 8 |
| 21 |  | Section 7 |
| 22 |  | Section 8 |
| 23 |  | Section 8 |
| Competitive Environment |  |  |
| 24 | Comparable property profiles and photos <br> Map of comparable properties <br> Existing rental housing evaluation including vacancy and rents <br> Comparison of subject property to comparable properties <br> Discussion of availability and cost of other affordable housing options including homeownership, if applicable <br> Rental communities under construction, approved, or proposed <br> For senior or special needs populations, provide data specific to target market | Appendix |
| 25 |  | Section 10 |
| 26 |  | Section 9 |
| 27 |  | Section 10 |
| 28 |  | NA |
| 29 |  | Section 9 |
| 30 |  | Section 8 |

## NCHMA MARKET STUDY INDEX

Introduction: Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.

| Affordability, Demand, and Penetration Rate Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | Estimate of demand | Section 11 |
| 32 | Affordability analysis with capture rate | Section 11 |
| 33 | Penetration rate analysis with capture rate | Section 11 |
| Analysis/Conclusions |  |  |
| 34 | Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject Evaluation of proposed rent levels including estimate of market/achievable rents. | Section 11 |
| 35 |  | Section 10 |
| 36 | Precise statement of key conclusions <br> Market strengths and weaknesses impacting project <br> Product recommendations and/or suggested modifications to subject Discussion of subject property's impact on existing housing Discussion of risks or other mitigating circumstances impacting subject Interviews with area housing stakeholders | Executive Summary |
| 37 |  | Executive Summary |
| 38 |  | Executive Summary |
| 39 |  | Executive Summary |
| 40 |  | Executive Summary |
| 41 |  | Appendix |
| Other Requirements |  |  |
| 42 | Certifications <br> Statement of qualifications <br> Sources of data not otherwise identified | Appendix |
| 43 |  | Appendix |
| 44 |  | NA |

## MISCELLANEOUS

# JEFFREY B. CARROLL 

P.O. Box 79196

Charlotte, North Carolina 28271
Phone: 704-905-2276 | Fax: 704-220-0470
E-Mail: jcarroll@mba1988.hbs.edu

## Summary

Founder of Tartan Residential, a firm specializing in the development of workforce and affordable housing.

Co-founder of Delphin Properties, a firm specializing in the acquisition and development of manufactured home communities.

Founder of Allen \& Associates Consulting, a development consulting firm specializing in workforce and affordable housing.

Wrote articles on workforce and affordable housing, development, property management, market feasibility, and financial analysis for Urban Land magazine, The Journal of Property Management, Community Management magazine, Merchandiser magazine, HousingThink, and a publication of the Texas A\&M Real Estate Research Center known as Terra Grande.

Conducted seminars on workforce and affordable housing, development, property management, market feasibility, and financial analysis for the American Planning Association, Community Management magazine, the Georgia Department of Community Affairs, the Manufactured Housing Institute, the National Association of State and Local Equity Funds, the Virginia Community Development Corporation, and the National Council of Housing Market Analysts.

Specialties: Specialties include workforce and affordable housing, low-income housing tax credits, taxexempt bond transactions, multifamily, manufactured housing, development, development consulting, feasibility studies, market analysis, rent comparability studies, appraisals, capital needs assessments, and utility studies.

## Experience

## President | Tartan Residential, Inc. | Charlotte, NC | 1997 - present

Founder of Tartan Residential, a firm specializing in the development of workforce and affordable housing. Major projects include:

- Buchanan's Crossing Subdivision - A 40-unit duplex development serving families in Kansas City, KS. The estimated cost of this three-phase project is $\$ 8.0$ million. This mixed income project, targeting families between $50 \%$ and $120 \%$ of area median income, is financed with a mixture of conventional debt and tax credit equity. Construction commenced in 2016.
- Davidson's Landing - A proposed 115-unit garden apartment community serving families in Kansas City, KS. The estimated cost of this project is $\$ 19$ million. This workforce housing development project, which targets families between $30 \%$ and $80 \%$ of area median income, is proposed to be financed with tax-exempt bonds. Construction is planned to begin in 2019.
- Fraser Court - A proposed 120-unit garden apartment community serving families in Monroe, NC. The estimated cost of this project is $\$ 20$ million. This workforce housing development project, which targets families between $40 \%$ and $80 \%$ of area median income, is proposed to be financed with tax-exempt bonds. Construction is planned to begin in 2019.
- Graham Ridge - A proposed 96-unit garden apartment community serving families in Charlotte, NC. The estimated cost of this project is $\$ 16$ million. This workforce housing development project,
which targets families between $40 \%$ and $80 \%$ of area median income, is proposed to be financed with tax-exempt bonds. Construction is planned to begin in 2019.


## Co-Founder | Delphin Properties LLC | Charlotte, NC | 1998 - present

Co-founder of Delphin Properties, a firm specializing in the acquisition and development of manufactured home communities. Major projects include:

- Crystal Lakes - A 338-unit manufactured home community serving seniors in Fort Myers, Florida. Purchased the partially-constructed development, completed construction, and sold it for a $\$ 1$ million profit.
- Mahler's Glen - A 348-unit development originally planned as a manufactured home community serving families in Garner, North Carolina. Secured zoning and site plan approval, engineered the property (including a private wastewater treatment facility), and sold it to a national homebuilder for a $\$ 2$ million profit.
- Beacon Wood - A 363-unit development originally planned as a manufactured home community serving families in Crockery Township, Michigan. Secured zoning and site plan approval, engineered the property, and sold it to a regional homebuilder for a $\$ 1$ million profit.


## President | Allen \& Associates Consulting, Inc. | Charlotte, NC | 2000 - present

Founder of Allen \& Associates Consulting, a real estate advisory firm specializing in workforce and affordable housing. Practice areas include low-income housing tax credits, tax-exempt bond transactions, HUD assisted and financed multifamily, USDA-RD assisted and financed properties, public housing, historic tax credits, conventional multifamily, and manufactured housing. Services include development consulting, feasibility studies, market analysis, rent comparability studies, appraisals, capital needs assessments, and utility studies. Performed over 3000 development consulting assignments in 46 states since 2000. Major projects include:

- Market Analysis - Completed market studies for 13 proposed tax credit apartment developments on behalf of the Georgia Department of Community Affairs. The portfolio included 5 family and 8 senior communities. Our analysis identified the 4 best deals for the housing finance agency to consider funding.
- Appraisals - Developed a disposition plan for a 30-property portfolio of apartments on behalf of a private owner. The 921 -unit portfolio (located in MD, DE, PA and VA) was valued at $\$ 23$ million. Our client relied on our valuations and advice to maximize sales proceeds for the portfolio.
- Capital Needs Assessments - Completed capital needs assessments for an 8-property portfolio of RD-financed apartments on behalf of a private developer. The portfolio (located in FL) included 6 family and 2 senior communities. Our client utilized our assessments to develop a scope of work for the proposed acquisition and renovation of the 214-unit portfolio.
- Utility Allowance Studies - Completed utility allowance studies for a portfolio of tax credit apartments on behalf of a large national owner/developer. The portfolio (located in CT, DC, IL, IN, $\mathrm{MA}, \mathrm{NC}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{PA}$ and VA ) included 31 properties. Our client utilized our research to maximize rents and net operating income for the portfolio.
- Underwriting - Conducted a financial review on behalf of a local housing authority for the proposed redevelopment of a vacant historic textile mill into loft apartments. Our client had been asked to issue $\$ 4$ million in tax-exempt bonds for the $\$ 15$ million project. Our assistance in underwriting the transaction resulted in the green light for the development.

Development Director \| Clayton, Williams \& Sherwood, Inc. | Austin, TX | 1995-1997
Development Director for Clayton, Williams \& Sherwood, a privately-owned operator of manufactured home communities and apartment complexes. Major projects included:

- Multifamily Development - Managed the construction and lease-up of two apartment communities consisting of 564 units and valued at $\$ 38$ million. Each property leased up in excess of 25 units per month.
- Manufactured Home Community Development - Put together development plans for 4 manufactured home communities and 2 manufactured home subdivisions consisting of 2047 units and valued at $\$ 63$ million.

Assistant to the President | Southwest Property Trust | Dallas, TX | 1993-1995
Assistant to the President for Southwest Property Trust, a large apartment REIT. Provided support to management personnel operating a 12,000-unit apartment portfolio.

Investment Analyst/Manager | GE Capital | Dallas, TX | 1991-1993
Investment Analyst/Manager for GE Capital's Residential Construction Lending business. Assisted in the management of a $\$ 500$ million investment portfolio including 30 single family residential land development investments and 70 single family construction lines of credit.

Regional Manager | Clayton, Williams \& Sherwood, Inc. | Newport Beach, CA | 1989-1991
Regional Manager for Clayton, Williams \& Sherwood, a privately-owned operator of manufactured home communities and apartment complexes. Major projects included:

- Multifamily Management - Management of a 1200-unit apartment portfolio valued at over \$72 million. Implemented a portfolio-wide 10 percent rent increase while cutting operating expenses 3 percent resulting in a $\$ 7$ million increase in portfolio value.
- Manufactured Home Community Management - Management of a 1200-unit manufactured home community portfolio valued at over $\$ 36$ million. Implemented a 15 percent rent increase in a 500unit community resulting in a $\$ 4$ million increase in property value.


## Education

Harvard Business School| MBA, General Management, Real Estate, Economics | 1986-1988
Graduated in 1988 with an MBA from Harvard Business School. Emphasis in General Management and Real Estate with a minor concentration in Economics.

## Clemson University | BS, Engineering, Economics | 1978-1983

Graduated in 1983 with a BS in Engineering from Clemson University. Minor concentration in Economics. Honors included Dean's List and Alpha Lambda Delta honorary. Elected officer for Phi Delta Theta social fraternity. Awarded scholarship on Clemson's varsity wrestling team.

## Certifications, Designations and Affiliations

Mr. Carroll is a certified general appraiser, licensed to appraise real estate in the states of Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia. Mr. Carroll is also a designated member of the Appraisal Institute (MAI).

Mr. Carroll is a peer-reviewed member of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA), where he served on the Executive Committee and chaired the Data and Ethics Committees.

FY 2019 Fair Market Rent Documentation System

## The FY 2019 FMRs for All Bedroom Sizes

Final FY 2019 FMRs By Unit Bedrooms

| Year | Efficiency | One- <br> Bedroom | Two- <br> Bedroom | Three- <br> Bedroom | Four- <br> Bedroom |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 2019 <br> FMR | $\$ 643$ | $\$ 714$ | $\$ 862$ | $\$ 1,175$ | $\$ 1,514$ |
| FY 2018 <br> FMR | $\$ 621$ | $\$ 685$ | $\$ 823$ | $\$ 1,142$ | $\$ 1,450$ |

Muscogee County, GA is part of the Columbus, GA-AL MSA, which consists of the following counties: Russell County, AL; Chattahoochee County, GA; Harris County, GA; Marion County, GA; and Muscogee County, GA. All information here applies to the entirety of the Columbus, GA-AL MSA.

## Fair Market Rent Calculation Methodology

Show/Hide Methodology Narrative
Fair Market Rents for metropolitan areas and non-metropolitan FMR areas are developed as follows:

1. 2012-2016 5-year American Community Survey (ACS) estimates of 2-bedroom adjusted standard quality gross rents calculated for each FMR area are used as the new basis for FY2019 provided the estimate is statistically reliable. For FY2019, the test for reliability is whether the margin of error for the estimate is less than $50 \%$ of the estimate itself and whether the ACS estimate is based on at least 100 survey cases. HUD does not receive the exact number of survey cases, but rather a categorical variable known as the count indicator indicating a range of cases. An estimate based on at least 100 cases corresponds to a count indicator of 4 or higher.

If an area does not have a reliable 2012-2016 5-year, HUD checks whether the area has had at least minimally reliable estimate in any of the past 3 years, or estimates that meet the $50 \%$ margin of error test described above. If so, the FY2019 base rent is the average of the inflated ACS estimates.

If an area has not had a minimally reliable estimate in the past 3 years, the
estimate State for the area's corresponding metropolitan area (if applicable) or State non-metropolitan area is used as the basis for FY2019.
2. HUD calculates a recent mover adjustment factor by comparing a 2016 1-year 40th percentile recent mover 2-bedrooom rent to the 2012-2016 5-year 40th percentile adjusted standard quality gross rent. If either the recent mover and non-recent mover rent estimates are not reliable, HUD uses the recent mover adjustment for a larger geography. For metropolitan areas, the order of geographies examined is: FMR Area, Entire Metropolitan Area (for Metropolitan Sub-Areas), State Metropolitan Portion, Entire State, and Entire US; for nonmetropolitan areas, the order of geographies examined is: FMR Area, State Non-Metropolitan Portion, Entire State, and Entire US. The recent mover adjustment factor is floored at one.
3. HUD calculates the appropriate recent mover adjustment factor between the 5-year data and the 1-year data and applies this to the 5-year base rent estimate.
4. Rents are calculated as of 2017 using the relevant (regional or local) change in gross rent Consumer Price Index (CPI) from annual 2016 to annual 2017.
5. All estimates are then inflated from 2017 to FY2019 using a trend factor based on the forecast of gross rent changes through FY2019.
6. FY2019 FMRs are then compared to a State minimum rent, and any area whose preliminary FMR falls below this value is raised to the level of the State minimum.
7. FY2019 FMRs may not be less than $90 \%$ of FY2018 FMRs.

## The results of the Fair Market Rent Step-by-Step Process

1. The following are the 2016 American Community Survey 5-year 2-Bedroom Adjusted Standard Quality Gross Rent estimate and margin of error for Columbus, GA-AL MSA.

ACS 2016
5-Year 2-Bedroom
Area

ACS 2016
5-Year 2-Bedroom Adjusted Quality Gross Rent Margin of

Adjusted Adandard

Sample Standard Quality Gross Rent

Ratio Size Category


Since the $\mathrm{ACS}_{2016}$ Margin of Error Ratio is less than .5, the $\mathrm{ACS}_{2016}$ Columbus, GAAL MSA value is used for the estimate of 2-Bedroom Adjusted Standard Quality Gross Rent:

| Area | FY2019 Base Rent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Columbus, GA-AL MSA | $\$ 729$ |

2. A recent mover adjustment factor is applied based on the smallest area of geography which contains Columbus, GA-AL MSA and has an ACS 2016 1-year Adjusted Standard Quality Recent-Mover estimate with a Margin of Error Ratio that is less than .5.

| Area | ACS 2016 <br> 1-Year <br> Adjusted <br> Standard <br> Quality <br> Recent- <br> Mover Gross <br> Rent | ACS 2016 <br> 1-Year <br> Adjusted <br> Standard Quality RecentMover Gross Rent Margin of Error | Ratio | Sample Size Category | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbus, <br> GA-AL MSA <br> - 2 <br> Bedroom | \$790 | \$37 | 0.047 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.047<.5 \\ 4 \geq 4 \\ \text { Use ACS } 2016 \\ 1-\text { Year } \\ \text { Columbus, GA- } \\ \text { AL MSA } \\ \text { 2-Bedroom } \\ \text { Adjusted } \\ \text { Standard Quality } \\ \text { Recent-Mover } \\ \text { Gross Rent } \end{gathered}$ |

The smallest area of geography which contains Columbus, GA-AL MSA and has an ACS 2016 1-year Adjusted Standard Quality Recent-Mover estimate with a Margin of Error Ratio that is less than .5 and with a sufficient number of sample cases is Columbus, GA-AL MSA.
3. The calculation of the relevant Recent-Mover Adjustment Factor for Columbus, GA-AL MSA is as follows:

| ACS $_{2016}$ 5-Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | ACS $_{2016}$ 5-Year 40th <br> Percentile Adjusted <br> Standard Quality Gross <br> Rent | ACS $_{2016}$ 1-Year 40th <br> Percentile Adjusted Standard <br> Quality Recent-Mover Gross <br> Rent |
| Columbus, GA-AL <br> MSA - 2 <br> Bedroom | $\$ 729$ | $\$ 790$ |


| Area | Ratio | Recent-Mover Adjustment Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbus, GA-AL | $\$ 790 /$ |  |
| MSA | $=1.084$ | $1.0837 \geq 1.0$ Use calculated Recent-Mover |
|  | Adjustment Factor of 1.0837 |  |

4. The calculation of the relevant CPI Update Factors for Columbus, GA-AL MSA is as follows: HUD updates the 2016 intermediate rent with the ratio of the annual 2017 local or regional CPI to the annual 2016 local or regional CPI to establish rents as of 2017.

|  | Update Factor | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CPI Update Factor | 1.0323 | Region CPI |

5. The calculation of the Trend Factor is as follows: HUD forecasts the change in national gross rents from 2017 to 2019. This makes Fair Market Rents "as of" FY2019.

| National Trend Factor |
| :---: |
| $\underline{1.0572}$ |

6. The FY 2019 2-Bedroom Fair Market Rent for Columbus, GA-AL MSA is calculated as follows:

Columbus,
GA-AL
MSA
7. In keeping with HUD policy, the preliminary FY 2019 FMR is checked to ensure that is does not fall below the state minimum.

Since Columbus, GA-AL MSA is a multistate area, the highest state minimum of the states comprising Columbus, GA-AL MSA is used:

| State | FY 2019 State Minimum |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | $\$ 628$ |
| Georgia | $\$ 660$ |

The relevant state minimum is that of Georgia at $\$ 660$.

| Area | Preliminary <br> FY2019 <br> 2-Bedroom FMR | FY 2019 <br> Georgia State <br> Minimum | Final FY2019 <br> 2-Bedroom FMR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbus, | $\$ 862$ |  | $\$ 660$ |

8. Bedroom ratios are applied to calculate FMRs for unit sizes other than two bedrooms.

Click on the links in the table to see how the bedroom ratios are calculated.

| FY 2019 FMRs By Unit Bedrooms |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Efficiency | One- <br> Bedroom | Two- <br> Bedroom | Three- <br> Bedroom | Four- <br> Bedroom |
| FY 2019 <br> FMR | $\$ 643$ | $\$ 714$ | $\$ 862$ | $\$ 1,175$ | $\$ 1,514$ |

9. The FY2019 FMR must not be below $90 \%$ of the FY2018 FMR.

| Efficiency | One- <br> Bedroom | Two- <br> Bedroom | Three- <br> Bedroom | Four- <br> Bedroom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| FY2018 FMR | $\$ 621$ | $\$ 685$ | $\$ 823$ | $\$ 1,142$ | $\$ 1,450$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY2018 floor | $\$ 559$ | $\$ 617$ | $\$ 741$ | $\$ 1,028$ | $\$ 1,305$ |
| FY 2019 FMR | $\$ 643$ | $\$ 714$ | $\$ 862$ | $\$ 1,175$ | $\$ 1,514$ |
| Use FY2018 <br> floor for <br> FY2019? | No | No | No | No | No |

## Final FY2019 Rents for All Bedroom Sizes for Columbus, GA-AL MSA

The following table shows the Final FY 2019 FMRs by bedroom sizes.

Final FY 2019 FMRs By Unit Bedrooms

|  |  | Efficiency | One- <br> Bedroom | Two- <br> Bedroom | Three- <br> Bedroom |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Final FY 2019 <br> FMR | $\$ 643$ | $\$ 714$ | $\$ 862$ | $\$ 1,175$ | Four- <br> Bedroom |

The FMRs for unit sizes larger than four bedrooms are calculated by adding 15 percent to the four bedroom FMR, for each extra bedroom. For example, the FMR for a five bedroom unit is 1.15 times the four bedroom FMR, and the FMR for a six bedroom unit is 1.30 times the four bedroom FMR. FMRs for single-room occupancy units are 0.75 times the zero bedroom (efficiency) FMR.

Permanent link to this page: http://www.huduser.gov/portal/data sets/fmr /fmrs/FY2019_code/2019summary.odn?\&year=2019\&fmrtype=Final\& selection_type $=$ county\&fips $=1321599999$

## Select a different area

Press below to select a different county within the same state (same primary state for metropolitan areas):

Appling County, GA
Atkinson County, GA
Bacon County, GA
Baker County, GA
Baldwin County, GA
Select a new county

Press below to select a different state:
Select a new state

Select a Final FY 2019 Metropolitan FMR Area:

Columbus, GA-AL MSA
Select Metropolitan FMR Area
$\mid$ HUD Home Page $\mid$ HUD User Home $\mid$ Data Sets $\mid$ Fair Market Rents $\mid$ Section 8 Income Limits FMR/IL Summary System Multifamily Tax Subsidy Project (MTSP) Income Limits HUD LIHTC Database

Prepared by the Economic and Market Analysis Division, HUD. Technical problems or questions? Contact Us.

## SNOVOGRADAC. <br> Rent \& Income Limit Calculator

## Beta - Test Version

The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator© has been updated for the FY 2019 HUD Income Limits and is being released in Beta form. The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator© is still being tested for potential errors or calculation issues. Before using the numbers from the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®, we strongly recommend that you check with the applicable state housing agency to verify that the state agrees with the numbers.

If you would like to engage Novogradac \& Company LLP to calculate the rent \& income limits for your property, please contact Thomas Stagg at thomas.stagg@novoco.com.

Click on the $N^{*}$ icons below to view historical charts.
Program and Location Information

| Affordable Housing Program | IRS Section 42 Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) | O Display Income Limits |  |  | Hide Income Limits <br> Section 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | 2019 (effective 04/24/19) | Charts |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MTSP } \\ 50 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| State | GA |  |  | Extremely Low | Very Low | W |
| County | Muscogee County |  | Charts |  |  |  | Low |
| MSA | Columbus, GA-AL MSA | 1 Person | ${ }^{*}$ | \$20,900 | \$12,550 | \$20,900 | \$33,400 |
|  |  | 2 Person | $N$ | \$23,850 | \$16,910 | \$23,850 | \$38,200 |
| Persons / Bedroom | 1.5 Person / Bedroom | 3 Person | $N$ | \$26,850 | \$21,330 | \$26,850 | \$42,950 |
| 4-person AMI |  | 4 Person | $N$ | \$29,800 | \$25,750 | \$29,800 | \$47,700 |
|  | \$59,600$\$ 60,600$ | 5 Person | $N$ | \$32,200 | \$30,170 | \$32,200 | \$51,550 |
| Metropolitan |  | 6 Person | $N$ | \$34,600 | \$34,590 | \$34,600 | \$55,350 |
| Median Income (3)(4) |  | 7 Person | $N$ | \$37,000 | \$37,000 | \$37,000 | \$59,150 |
| Hold Harmless(6) | You have indicated that your project was placed in service on or after 04/24/2019 and is therefore eligible to have its income and rent limit held harmless beginning with the 2019 limits. | 8 Person | $N$ | \$39,350 | \$39,350 | \$39,350 | \$63,000 |
|  |  | 9 Person | $N$ | \$41,700 | N/A ${ }^{(10)}$ | \$41,700 | \$66,800 |
|  |  | Person | $N$ | \$44,100 | N/A ${ }^{(10)}$ | \$44,100 | \$70,600 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ \text { Person } \end{array}$ | $N$ | \$46,500 | N/A ${ }^{(10)}$ | \$46,500 | \$74,400 |
| Placed in Service Date ${ }^{(7)}$ | On or after 04/24/2019. | Person | $N^{*}$ | \$48,850 | N/A ${ }^{(10)}$ | \$48,850 | \$78,250 |
| Rent Floor <br> Election ${ }^{(8)(9)}$ | Effective on or after 04/24/2019. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

LIHTC Income Limits for 2019 (Based on 2019 MTSP Income Limits)

|  | Charts | 60.00\% | 20.00\% | 30.00\% | 40.00\% | 50.00\% | 70.00\% | 80.00\% | 140.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Person | $N$ | 25,080 | 8,360 | 12,540 | 16,720 | 20,900 | 29,260 | 33,440 | 35,112 |
| 2 Person | $N$ | 28,620 | 9,540 | 14,310 | 19,080 | 23,850 | 33,390 | 38,160 | 40,068 |
| 3 Person | $N$ | 32,220 | 10,740 | 16,110 | 21,480 | 26,850 | 37,590 | 42,960 | 45,108 |
| 4 Person | $N$ | 35,760 | 11,920 | 17,880 | 23,840 | 29,800 | 41,720 | 47,680 | 50,064 |
| 5 Person | $N$ | 38,640 | 12,880 | 19,320 | 25,760 | 32,200 | 45,080 | 51,520 | 54,096 |
| 6 Person | $N$ | 41,520 | 13,840 | 20,760 | 27,680 | 34,600 | 48,440 | 55,360 | 58,128 |
| 7 Person | $N$ | 44,400 | 14,800 | 22,200 | 29,600 | 37,000 | 51,800 | 59,200 | 62,160 |
| 8 Person | $N$ | 47,220 | 15,740 | 23,610 | 31,480 | 39,350 | 55,090 | 62,960 | 66,108 |
| 9 Person | $N$ | 50,040 | 16,680 | 25,020 | 33,360 | 41,700 | 58,380 | 66,720 | 70,056 |
| 10 Person | $N$ | 52,920 | 17,640 | 26,460 | 35,280 | 44,100 | 61,740 | 70,560 | 74,088 |
| 11 Person | $N$ | 55,800 | 18,600 | 27,900 | 37,200 | 46,500 | 65,100 | 74,400 | 78,120 |
| 12 Person | $N$ | 58,620 | 19,540 | 29,310 | 39,080 | 48,850 | 68,390 | 78,160 | 82,068 |

LIHTC Rent Limits for 2019
(Based on 2019 MTSP/VLI Income Limits)

Low | High |
| ---: | :--- |

## Before using the numbers from the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®, we strongly recommend that you check with the applicable state housing agency to verify that the state agrees with the numbers. The numbers round down to the nearest $\$ 1$.

This Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® does not calculate low-income housing tax credit (LIHTC) limits greater than 50\% LIHTC or $60 \%$ LIHTC limits, depending on the minimum set-aside elected with the IRS on Form 8609 in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section $42(\mathrm{i})(3)(\mathrm{A})$. In other words, if the $20 / 50$ minimum set-aside was elected then $50 \%$ LIHTC is the maximum rent calculated and allowed to qualify as a tax credit unit; or if the $40 / 60$ minimum set-aside was elected then $60 \%$ LIHTC is the maximum allowed to qualify as a tax credit unit.

Revenue Ruling 89-24 require that the LIHTC rent \& income levels start their calculations with the HUD published very low-income (VLI) amounts because the HUD published VLI amounts include certain HUD adjustments, such as high housing cost for high FMR areas to increase income, and state non-metropolitan median income to provide a floor for income limits. The result is that many counties have VLI amounts that are different than $50 \%$ of the AMI published by HUD (the 4-person AMGI we have shown above). The Novogradac Rent \& Income Calculator® starts by default with the HUD published VLI amounts in accordance with Revenue Ruling 89-24.
(1) The rent and income limits for each year are effective beginning with the effective date shown above. There is a grace period for 45 days to implement the new rent and income limits, which means that the old limits can be relied upon for 45 days after the effective date of the new limits. For example income limits effective 12/04/2012, can be relied on until 1/17/2013. For more information, see Revenue Ruling 94-57.

IRS LIHC Newsletter \#48 and IRS LIHC Newsletter \#50 clarify that for projects placed in service during the 45-day grace period, the owner may choose the new or the old income limits. For example, if a project was placed in service on 1/8/2013 and the 2012 income limits are higher than the 2013 income limits, an owner may use the higher income limits from 2012 to income qualify tenants and set rents accordingly because the project was placed in service with the 45day grace period.

Please note, the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® does not apply a 45-day grace period automatically. The user needs to indicate that the placed in service date and/or gross rent floor date occurred 45 days earlier (in the prior HUD Fiscal Year) if they want to apply the 45-day rule under Revenue Ruling 94-57 that allows owners to rely on the prior year. Therefore, projects that were placed in service during the 45-day grace period, and want to use the prior year, should select that they were placed in service as of the prior year. For example, if a project placed in service on $1 / 8 / 2013$, and the project wanted to use the 45 -day grace period, the user should select that their project was in service prior to $12 / 4 / 2012$. Similarly, projects that have a gross rent floor effective as of the carryover allocation date (or reservation letter date for bond projects) during the 45-day grace period, and want to use the prior year, should select that the gross rent floor was effective as of the prior year. For example, if a project received a carryover allocation letter on $1 / 8 / 2013$, and the owner did not elect placed in service date as the gross rent floor, and the project wanted to use the 45 -day grace period, the user should select that their gross rent floor was effective prior to 12/4/2012.
(2) For HUD FY 2013 HUD originally issued income limits on December 4, 2012 then issued revised income limits on December 11, 2012. In IRS LIHC Newsletter \#50, the IRS has stated that the effective date for the revised FY 2013 income limits is December 4, 2012. Based on this guidance, the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® uses December 4, 2013 for the effective date for the revised FY 2013 limits. Please see IRS LIHC Newsletter \#50 for more detail.
${ }^{(3)}$ An area may lose its rural area status. There is no clear guidance that a project is held harmless at the national nonmetropolitan income limits when an area loses its rural status. The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that a project that is not indicated as rural in the current year was also not rural in the prior year, and therefore, does not receive hold harmless treatment based on the prior year national non-metro amount.

Please consult your state agency and tax advisor for further clarification.
(4) USDA may change their determination of what projects qualify as rural during the course of a year. Please periodically check with USDA to determine the continued rural eligibility of your project.

The national non-metropolitan median income has been adjusted for household size based on the family size adjustments outlined in the HUD Briefing Materials and as shown in each year's HUD FAQ. The IRS did not specify whether or not to round to the nearest $\$ 50$, however, the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® will round to the nearest $\$ 50$ in accordance with the methodology referenced in HUD Briefing Materials.
${ }^{(5)}$ A project uses HERA Special if income was determined prior to $1 / 1 / 2009$ and the project is in a HERA Special county. A project's income limits are held harmless at the prior year income limits if income was determined in the prior year or earlier and the income limits have decreased. Please note that the IRS has informally indicated that the definition of "determined" for purposes of the HERA Special and MTSP Hold Harmless income limits means that a project was placed in service. Please see IRS LIHC Newsletter \#35 for more information about "determined" and
projects with buildings that were placed in service before and after HUD income limit effective dates. Therefore, projects placed in service prior to $1 / 1 / 2009$ are generally eligible for HERA Special. Please see footnote 7 for information about acquisition/rehabilitation projects.
(6) Internal Revenue Code Section 142(d)(2)(i) indicates that hold harmless applies on a calendar year. The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that "calendar year" in the hold harmless rule means the HUD Fiscal Year. For example, the 2009 calendar year means the HUD Fiscal Year from 3/19/2009 through 5/13/2010. In other words, the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that "calendar year" in the hold harmless rule means the highest income level achieved during any HUD Fiscal Year.

The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that a rural project will receive hold harmless treatment at the national non-metro amount based on the prior year national non-metro amount if the national non-metro median income were to fall from year to year. If a rural project qualifies for HERA Special and the HERA Special is higher than the national nonmetro, then the HERA Special amount will be used. Please note that the IRS has not issued guidance that specifically allows hold harmless treatment at the national non-metro amount for rural projects, however, Internal Revenue Code 42(g)(4) by reference to Internal Revenue Code 142(d)(2)(E) implies that hold harmless treatment would apply at the national non-metro amount for rural projects. Please consult your tax advisor for further clarification.
(7) Please note that for acquisition/rehabilitation projects, the IRS guidance indicates that income and rent limits are determined at the later of the acquisition date or when management begins income-qualifying households in the project. For example, if a project was acquired in 2011, the rehabilitation was placed-in-service in 2012, and management began income-qualifying households in 2011 then the project would be considered placed in service in 2011 for income and rent purposes. If a project was acquired in 2011, the rehabilitation was placed-in-service in 2012, and management began income-qualifying households when the rehabilitation placed-in-service in 2012, then the project would be considered placed in service in 2012 for income and rent purposes. Please see IRS LIHC Newsletter \#35 for more detail. Please consult your tax advisor for further clarification.
${ }^{(8)}$ Revenue Procedure 94-57 gives guidance on the gross rent floor election.

## Tax credit projects without bond financing:

"The Internal Revenue Service will treat the gross rent floor in section 42(g)(2)(A) as taking effect on the date an Agency initially allocates a housing credit dollar amount to the building [generally referred to as the 42M letter] under section 42(h)(1). However, the Service will treat the gross rent floor as taking effect on a building's placed in service date if the building owner designates that date as the date on which the gross rent floor will take effect for the building. An owner must make this designation to use the placed in service date and inform the Agency that made the allocation to the building no later than the date on which the building is placed in service."

## Tax credit projects with bond financing:

"The Service will treat the gross rent floor as taking effect on a building's placed in service date if the building owner designates that date as the date on which the gross rent floor will take effect for the building. An owner must make this designation to use the placed in service date and inform the Agency that issued the determination letter to the building no later than the date on which the building is placed in service."
(9) The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes all buildings in a project have a rent floor effective date under Revenue Procedure 94-57 in the same HUD Fiscal Year. However, if your buildings have rent floor effective dates under Revenue Procedure 94-57 in different HUD Fiscal Years, then you should run the calculator separately for each group of buildings in a particular HUD Fiscal Year.

The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator© assumes that different AMGI limits ( $40 \%, 35 \%, 30 \%$, etc.) chosen by the user will also have a rent floor election under Revenue Procedure 94-57 from the same HUD Fiscal Year that applies to the federal level of $50 \%$ or $60 \%$.
(10) The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2014 changed how the $30 \%$ income limits is calculated. The $30 \%$ limit, which is now called the extremely low income limit, is determined by taking the greater of the $30 \%$ income limit as calculated by HUD or the poverty level as determined by the Department of Health and Human Services, which is then capped at the $50 \%$ Very Low Income Limit ('VLI') published by HUD. HUD has only published the data up to 8 people. For household sizes above 8 people please visit the following website:
https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/mtsp.html
Terms of Use:
Utility allowances are inputted by the user and are not reviewed or verified by Novogradac \& Company LLP.
Novogradac \& Company LLP provides no assurance of the accuracy of the particular results you may obtain
from the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®; which is designed only to be a quick reference tool and is no
substitute for professional tax and accounting advice. The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® should not be
used for any final financial decisions. IRS guidelines and actual HUD amounts should be used for any final
decisions. Novogradac \& Company LLP does not guarantee the accuracy of the amounts shown above. As
consideration for your use of this tool, free of any requirement to pay any related monetary compensation to Novogradac \& Company LLP, you agree to hold Novogradac \& Company LLP harmless from any damages and claims related to use of the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®. If you do not agree with the terms of this paragraph, you may not use the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®.
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