John Wall and Associates

Market Analysis

Rock Hill Family Tax Credit (Sec. 42) Apartments

Conyers, Georgia Rockdale County

Prepared For: Conyers Housing Authority

February 2018 (Revised January 17, 2019)

PCN: 18-005a



Foreword

Qualifications Statement

John Wall and Associates specializes in market analysis, data mapping, and analysis of troubled properties. The firm began in 1983 concentrating on work in the Southeastern United States. In 1990, the office expanded its work to the entire United States.

John Wall and Associates has done over 2,600 market analyses, the majority of these being for apartment projects (both conventional and affordable). However, the firm is equipped for, and has done many other types of real estate market analyses, data mapping, troubled property analysis, shopping center master plans, industrial park master plans, housing and demographic studies, land planning projects, site analysis, location analysis and GIS projects. Clients include private developers, government officials, syndicators and lending institutions.

John Wall and Associates is a charter member of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA). All market analysts in our office have successfully passed the NCHMA peer review process and possess their HUD MAP certificates.

Bob Rogers has a BS in Business from Penn State University, and an MBA from the University of Tennessee. He has been a market analyst with John Wall and Associates since 1992. He has served as Vice Chair and Co-Chair of the NCHMA Standards Committee (from 2004 to 2010). As Co-Chair, he led the revision of the NCHMA market study model content and market study terms. He was lead author for NCHMA's "Selecting Comparable Properties" best practices paper and also NCHMA's "Field Work" white paper. In 2007, he wrote "Ten Things Developers Should Know About Market Studies"

Affordable Housing Finance Magazine. In 2014 Mr. Rogers authored the draft NCHMA paper "Senior Housing Options".

Joe Burriss has a Bachelor of Science degree in Marketing from Clemson University, and has been a market analyst with John Wall and Associates since 1999. He has successfully completed the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA) peer review process, and has served as a member of the council's membership committee. In addition to performing market analysis, Mr. Burriss maintains many of the firm's client relationships and is responsible for business development.

Release of Information

This report shall not be released by John Wall and Associates to persons other than the client and his/her designates for a period of at least sixty (60) days. Other arrangements can be made upon the client's request.

Truth and Accuracy

It is hereby attested to that the information contained in this report is true and accurate. The report can be relied upon as a true assessment of the low income housing rental market. However, no assumption of liability is being made or implied.

Identity of Interest

The market analyst will receive no fees contingent upon approval of the development by any agency or lending institution, before or after the fact, and the market analyst will have no interest in the housing development.

Certifications

Certification of Physical Inspection

I affirm that I, or an individual employed by my company, have made a physical inspection of the market area and that information has been used in the full assessment of the need and demand for new rental units.

Required Statement

I affirm that I have made a physical inspection of the market area and the subject property and that information has been used in the full study of the need and demand for the proposed units. The report was written according to DCA's market study requirements, the information included is accurate and the report can be relied upon by DCA as a true assessment of the low-income housing rental market.

To the best of my knowledge, the market can (cannot) support the development as shown in the study. I understand that any misrepresentation of this statement may result in the denial of further participation in DCA's rental housing programs. I also affirm that I have no interest in the development or relationship with the ownership entity and my compensation is not contingent on this development being funded

DCA may rely on the representation made in the market study provided, and the document is assignable to other lenders that are parties to the DCA loan transaction.

NCHMA Member Certification

This market study has been prepared by John Wall and Associates, a member in good standing of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA). This study has been prepared in conformance with the standards adopted by NCHMA for the market analysts' industry. These standards include the Standard Definitions of Key Terms Used in Market Studies, and Model Content Standards for the Content of Market Studies. These standards are designed to enhance the quality of market studies and to make them easier to prepare,

understand, and use by market analysts and by the end users. These Standards are voluntary only, and no legal responsibility regarding their use is assumed by the National Council of Housing Market Analysts.

John Wall and Associates is duly qualified and experienced in providing market analysis for Affordable Housing. The company's principals participate in the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA) educational and information sharing programs maintain the highest professional and standards state-of-the-art knowledge. John Wall and Associates is an independent market analyst. No principal or employee of John Wall and Associates has any financial interest whatsoever in the development for which this analysis has been undertaken.

(Note: Information on the National Council of Housing Market Analysts may be obtained by calling 202-939-1750, or by visiting www.housingonline.com)

Submitted and attested to by:

Joe Burriss, Principal

2-20-18

Date

Bob Rogers, Principal

<u>2-20-18</u>

Date

Table of Contents

A.	Executive Summary	8
A.1	Development Description	8
A.2	Site Description/Evaluation	
A.3	Market Area Definition	
A.4	Community Demographic Data	
A.5	Economic Data	
A.6	Development Specific Affordability and Demand Analysis \dots	
A.7	Competitive Rental Analysis	
A.8	Absorption/Stabilization Estimate	
A.9	Overall Conclusion	
A.10	DCA Summary Table	
A.11	Demand	
A.12	NCHMA Capture Rate	
B.	Development Description	
B.1	Development Location	
B.2 B.3	Construction Type	
B.4	Occupancy Target Income Group	
B.5	Special Population	
B.6	Structure Type	
B.7	Unit Sizes, Rents and Targeting	
B.8	Development Amenities	
B.9	Unit Amenities	
B.10	Rehab	
B.11	Utilities Included	
B.12	Projected Certificate of Occupancy Date	
C.	Site Evaluation	
C.1	Date of Site Visit	
C.2	Physical Features of Site and Adjacent Parcels	
C.3	Site and Neighborhood Photos	
C.4	Site Location Map	
C.5	Land Uses of the Immediate Area	27
C.6	Public Safety Issues	28
C.7	Multifamily Residential Developments	29
C.8	Road and infrastructure Improvements	30
C.9	Ingress, Egress, and Visibility	30
C.10	Observed Visible Environmental or Other Concerns	30
C.11	Conclusion	30
D.	Market Area	31
D.1	Market Area Determination	
D.2	Driving Times and Place of Work	32
D.3	Market Area Definition	
E.	Demographic Analysis	
E.1	Population	
E.2	Households	
F.	Employment Trends	
F.1	Total Jobs	
F.2	Jobs by Industry and Occupation	
F.3	Major Employers	
F.4	Employment (Civilian Labor Force)	
F.5	Employment Concentrations Map	
F.6	Economic Summary	
G.	Development-Specific Affordability & Demand Analysis	
G.1	Income Restrictions	
G.2	Affordability	
G.3 G.4	Demand for New Units	
G.4 G.5	Capture Rate Analysis Chart	
G.5 Н.	Competitive Analysis (Existing Competitive Rental	50
11.	Environment)	50
H.1	Survey of Apartments	
п.1 Н.2	Additional information on competitive environment	
H.3	Apartment Locations Map	
H.4	Amenity Analysis	
H.5	Selection of Comps	
H 6	*	63

H.7	New "Supply"	63
H.8	Average Market Rent and Rent Differential	64
H.9	Information on Other DCA properties	6
H.10	Rental Trends in the Market Area	6
H.11	Impact of Foreclosed, Abandoned, etc. Properties	6
H.12	Primary Housing Voids	6
H.13	Long Term Impact	6
H.14	Building Permits Issued	6
I.	Absorption & Stabilization Rates	69
J.	Interviews	70
J.1	Economic Development	70
K.	Conclusions and Recommendations	7
L.	Signed Statement Requirements	72
M.	Market Study Representation	
N.	Transportation Appendix	
O.	Crime Appendix	7
P.	NCHMA Market Study Index/Checklist	70
Q.	Business References	72
R.	Résumés	78

Table of Tables

Table 1—Unit Mix
Table 2—Percent of Renter Households in Appropriate Income
Ranges for the Market Area
Table 3—Number of Renter Households in Appropriate Income
Ranges for the Market Area
Table 4—Capture Rates by AMI Targeting
Table 4a—Capture Rates by Bedroom Targeting
Table 5—DCA Summary Table
Table 6—Demand
Table 7—Market Bedroom Mix
Table 8—NCHMA Capture Rate1
Table 9—Unit Sizes, Rents and Targeting
Table 12—Community Amenities
Table 14—Crimes Reported to Police
Table 15—Workers' Travel Time to Work for the Market Area
(Time in Minutes)
Table 16—Population Trends
Table 17—Persons by Age
Table 18—Race and Hispanic Origin
Table 19—Household Trends
Table 20—Occupied Housing Units by Tenure
Table 21—Population
Table 22—Households
Table 23—Population and Household Projections
Table 24—Housing Units by Persons in Unit
Table 25—Number of Households in Various Income Ranges
Table 26—Covered Employment
Table 27—Occupation of Employed Persons Age 16 Years And Over 4
Table 28—Industry of Employed Persons Age 16 Years And Over 4
Table 29—Major Employers
Table 30—Employment Trends
Table 31—Median Wages by Industry
Table 32—Maximum Income Limit (HUD FY 2017) 4
Table 33—Minimum Incomes Required and Gross Rents 4
Table 34—Qualifying Income Ranges by Bedrooms and Persons Per
Household4
Table 35—Qualifying and Proposed and Programmatic Rent
Summary 50

Table 36—Number of Specified Households in Various Income	
Ranges by Tenure	51
Table 37—Percent of Renter Households in Appropriate Income	
Ranges for the Market Area	51
Table 38—New Renter Households in Each Income Range for the	
Market Area	54
Table 39—Percentage of Income Paid For Gross Rent (Renter	
Households in Specified Housing Units)	55
Table 40—Rent Overburdened Households in Each Income Range	
for the Market Area	55
Table 41—Substandard Occupied Units	56
Table 42—Substandard Conditions in Each Income Range for the	
Market Area	56
Table 43—Demand for New Units	57
Table 44—Capture Rate by Unit Size (Bedrooms) and Targeting	58
Table 45—List of Apartments Surveyed	59
Table 46—Comparison of Comparables to Subject	59
Table 47—Schedule of Rents, Number of Units, and Vacancies for	
Apartment Units	60
Table 48—Apartment Units Built or Proposed Since the Base Year	63
Table 49—Market Rent Advantage	64
Table 50—Tenure by Bedrooms	65
Table 51—Building Permits Issued	67
Table of Maps	
-	
Regional Locator Map	
Area Locator Map	
Site Location Map	
*	
Neighborhood Map	
Market Area Map	
Tenure Map	
Employment Concentrations Map	
Median Household Income Map	
Apartment Locations Map	
Median Home Value Map	
Median Gross Rent Map	
wiedian Gross Rein wap	08

Introduction

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to analyze the apartment market for a specific site in Conyers, Georgia.

Scope

Considered in this report are market depth, bedroom mix, rental rates, unit size, and amenities. These items are investigated principally through a field survey conducted by John Wall and Associates. Unless otherwise noted, all charts and statistics are the result of this survey.

In general, only complexes of 30 units or more built since 1980 are considered in the field survey. Older or smaller developments are sometimes surveyed when it helps the analysis. Developments with rent subsidized units are included, if relevant, and noted.

Methodology

Three separate approaches to the analysis are used in this report; each is a check on the other. By using three generally accepted approaches, reasonable conclusions can be drawn. The three approaches used are:

- (1) Statistical
- (2) Like-Kind Comparison
- (3) Interviews

The Statistical approach uses Census data and local statistics; 2010 is used as a base year. The population that would qualify for the proposed units is obtained from these figures.

The Like-Kind Comparison approach collects data on developments similar in nature to that which is being proposed and analyzes how they are doing. This approach assesses their strong points, as well as weak points, and compares them with the subject.

The last section, Interviews, assesses key individuals' special knowledge about the market area. While certainly subjective and limited in perspective, their collective knowledge, gathered and assessed, can offer valuable information.

Taken individually, these three approaches give a somewhat restricted view of the market. However, by examining them together, knowledge sufficient to draw reasonable conclusions can be achieved.


Limitations

This market study was written according to the Client's *Market Study Guide*. To the extent this guide differs from the NCHMA *Standard Definitions of Key Terms or Model Content Standards*, the client's guide has prevailed.

Regional Locator Map



Area Locator Map



A. Executive Summary

The projected completion date of the proposed development is on or before 12/31/2020.

The market area consists of Census tracts 601.01, 601.02, 602.01, 602.02, 603.04, 603.05, 603.06, 603.07, 603.08, 603.09, 604.04, and 604.06 in Rockdale County.

The proposed development consists of 62 units of rehabilitation.

The proposed development is for family households with incomes at 60% of AMI. Contract rents range from \$604 to \$1100, but all of the units will have project based rental assistance. The fourteen 50% units are HOME units.

A.1 Development Description

Addresses:

Rock Hill Drive NE

• Construction and occupancy types:

Rehabilitation

Multifamily

Family

• Unit mix including bedrooms, bathrooms, square footage, income targeting, rents, and utility allowance:

Table 1—Unit Mix

			Number	Square	Net	Utility	Gross	Target
AMI	Bedrooms	Baths	of Units	Feet	Rent	Allow.	Rent	Population
50%	1	1	4	648	604	39	643	PBRA
50%	2	1	4	822	691	48	739	PBRA
50%	3	1	4	996	902	56	958	PBRA
50%	4	1.5	1	1350	1009	76	1085	PBRA
60%	1	1	8	648	604	39	643	PBRA
60%	2	1	14	822	691	48	739	PBRA
60%	3	1	14	996	902	56	958	PBRA
60%	4	1.5	13	1350	1100	76	1176	PBRA
	Total Units		62					
	Tax Credit Units		62					
	PBRA Units		62					
	Mkt. Rate Units		0					

• Any additional subsidies available including project based rental assistance:

All of the units have project based rental assistance.

- Brief description of proposed amenities and how they compare to existing properties:
 - Development Amenities:

Equipped playground.

Unit Amenities:

Refrigerator, stove, washer/dryer connections, HVAC, blinds, and cable pre-wired

Utilities Included:

Water, sewer, trash, and gas

The subject's amenities are inferior compared to modern LIHTC developments and the luxury complexes in Conyers, but they are sufficient for the proposal as evidenced by the fact that the subject is 100% occupied.

A.2 Site Description/Evaluation

• A brief description of physical features of the site and adjacent parcels:

The site is developed as housing authority apartments. There are mature trees on the site. Most of the adjacent land is woods, but there are some single family homes adjacent to the site.

• A brief overview of the neighborhood land composition (residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural:

The neighborhood is mostly single family homes and undeveloped land.

A discussion of site access and visibility:

The site is built around Rock Hill Drive. Access is from Norton Road, and visibility is good.

• Any significant positive or negative aspects of the subject site:

The site is attractive.

 A brief summary of the site's proximity to neighborhood services including shopping, medical care, employment concentrations, public transportation, etc.

The nearest grocery store is the Publix north of the hospital, both of which are within a mile.

The City of Conyers does not have a public bus system. However, a regional commuter coach to Atlanta is available to Conyers residents through a partnership between Georgia Regional Transportation Authority (GRTA) and Rockdale County. MARTA transfers are available. A system map is in the appendix.

• Discussion of public safety, including comments on local perceptions, maps, or statistic of crime in the area:

See section C.6. The site does not appear to be in a problematic area.

• An overall conclusion of the site's appropriateness for the proposed development:

The site is well suited for the intended redevelopment.

A.3 Market Area Definition

• A brief definition of the primary market area including boundaries of the market area and their approximate distance from the subject property:

The market area consists of Census tracts 601.01, 601.02, 602.01, 602.02, 603.04, 603.05, 603.06, 603.07, 603.08, 603.09, 604.04, and 604.06 in Rockdale County. The market area boundaries are the county line in the north, east and west, and census tract boundaries in the south. The boundary varies from 3 to 8 miles.

A.4 Community Demographic Data

• Current and projected household and population counts for the primary market area:

2010 population = 71,413; 2018 population = 81,628; 2020 population = 84,182 2010 households = 25,210; 2018 households = 29,196; 2020 households = 30,192

• Household tenure:

33.4% of the households in the market area rent.

Household income:

Table 2—Percent of Renter Households in Appropriate Income Ranges for the Market Area

AMI			<u>PBRA</u>		<u>PBRA</u>		Overall
Lower Limit			0		0		0
Upper Limit			40,450		48,540		48,540
	Mkt. Area						
Renter occupied:	Households	%	#	%	#	%	#
Less than \$5,000	293	1.00	293	1.00	293	1.00	293
\$5,000 to \$9,999	590	1.00	590	1.00	590	1.00	590
\$10,000 to \$14,999	806	1.00	806	1.00	806	1.00	806
\$15,000 to \$19,999	997	1.00	997	1.00	997	1.00	997
\$20,000 to \$24,999	795	1.00	795	1.00	795	1.00	795
\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,209	1.00	1,209	1.00	1,209	1.00	1,209
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,603	0.36	582	0.90	1,447	0.90	1,447
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,394	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$75,000 to \$99,999	496	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$100,000 to \$149,999	226	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$150,000 or more	172	_	0	_	0	_	0
Total	8,581		5,272		6,137		6,137
Percent in Range			61.4%		71.5%		71.5%

• Impact of foreclosed, abandoned and vacant, single and multifamily homes, and commercial properties in the PMA on the proposed development:

There are no signs of any abandonment or foreclosure that would impact the subject.

A.5 Economic Data

• Trends in employment for the county and/or region:

Employment has been increasing over the past few years and has continued to do so over the past 12 months.

• Employment by sector:

The largest sector of employment is:


Educational services, and health care and social assistance — 20.9%

• Unemployment trends:

Over the last 12 months, the unemployment rate has been between 4.7% and 6.2%. For 2016, the average rate was 5.7% while for 2015 the average rate was 6.5%.

The graph below shows the county unemployment rate for the past five years.

unemployment rate



Source: https://data.bls.gov/PDQWeb/la

• Recent or planned major employment contractions or expansions:

The Georgia Film, Music & Digital Entertainment Office (FMDE) has designated Rockdale County as Camera Ready, a special designation given to participating Georgia communities interested in attracting film and television production. In the last few years several films and television shows have been filmed in Rockdale County. This includes *Fast and Furious 5*, *A Joyful Noise, Prisoners, American Pie Reunion, Ben 10 1 & 2, Zombieland, The Vampire Diaries, The Originals*, and *Teen Wolf.* The most recent filming was for the new FOX series *The Resident.*

According to the 2018 and 2017 Georgia WARN lists, one company in Rockdale County announced layoffs in the last year. Seven jobs were affected at Golden State Foods.

• Overall conclusion regarding the stability of the county's overall economic environment:

The county continues to grow at a modest pace. The current economic environment will not negatively impact the demand for additional or renovated rental housing.

A.6 Development Specific Affordability and Demand Analysis

• Number renter households income qualified for the proposed development:

Table 3—Number of Renter Households in Appropriate Income Ranges for the Market Area

AMI			PBRA		PBRA		Overall
Lower Limit			0		0		0
Upper Limit			40,450		48,540		48,540
	Mkt. Area						
Renter occupied:	Households	%	#	%	#	%	#
Less than \$5,000	293	1.00	293	1.00	293	1.00	293
\$5,000 to \$9,999	590	1.00	590	1.00	590	1.00	590
\$10,000 to \$14,999	806	1.00	806	1.00	806	1.00	806
\$15,000 to \$19,999	997	1.00	997	1.00	997	1.00	997
\$20,000 to \$24,999	795	1.00	795	1.00	795	1.00	795
\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,209	1.00	1,209	1.00	1,209	1.00	1,209
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,603	0.36	582	0.90	1,447	0.90	1,447
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,394	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$75,000 to \$99,999	496	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$100,000 to \$149,999	226	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$150,000 or more	172	_	0	_	0	_	0
Total	8,581		5,272		6,137		6,137
Percent in Range			61.4%		71.5%		71.5%

• Overall estimate of demand:

Overall demand is 5,170.

• Capture rates

o Overall:

1.2%

o LIHTC units:

1.2%

Table 4—Capture Rates by AMI Targeting

	Income		Total		Net	Capture
	Range	Units	Demand	Supply	Demand	Rate
50% AMI	0-40450	13	4,797	0	4,797	0.3%
60% AMI	0-48540	49	5,170	0	5,170	0.9%
Overall	0-48540	62	5,170	0	5,170	1.2%

Table 4a—Capture Rates by Bedroom Targeting

	_	•		•	•		
		Income		Total		Net	Capture
		Range	Units	Demand	Supply	Demand	Rate
50% AMI	1 BR	22050-26150	4	1,439	0	1,439	0.3%
	2 BR	25340-31400	4	2,399	0	2,399	0.2%
	3 BR	32850-36250	4	720	0	720	0.6%
	4 BR	37200-40450	1	240	0	240	0.4%
60% AMI	1 BR	22050-31380	8	1,551	0	1,551	0.5%
	2 BR	25340-37680	14	2,585	0	2,585	0.5%
	3 BR	32850-43500	14	776	0	776	1.8%
	4 BR	40320-48540	13	259	0	259	5.0%

o Conclusion regarding the achievability of these capture rates:

The capture rates are very low and easily achievable, especially since the subject will not add any new units to the market area.

A.7 Competitive Rental Analysis

- Analysis of the competitive properties in the PMA
 - Number of properties:

16 properties were surveyed.

o Rent bands for each bedroom type proposed:

```
1BR = $645 to $857

2BR = $745 to $1,020

3BR = $925 to $1,200

4BR = All based on income
```

o Average market rents:

```
1BR = $799
2BR = $890
3BR = $1,010
```

A.8 Absorption/Stabilization Estimate

• Number of units expected to be leased per month:

The subject should be able to lease at a rate of 30 units per month but most of the units will remain occupied through the rehabilitation period.

• Number of units to be leased by AMI targeting:

```
50% AMI (all PBRA) = 13
60% AMI (all PBRA) = 49
```

• Number of months required for the development to reach 93% occupancy:

The subject should be able to stay occupied except for the units being renovated. All units should be occupied within a month of the completion of the rehabilitation.

A.9 Overall Conclusion

Narrative detailing key conclusions of the report:

- The site appears suitable for the redevelopment. It is currently developed as housing authority
 apartments.
- The **neighborhood** is compatible with the redevelopment. The immediate neighborhood is generally residential in character.

- The **location** is well suited to the redevelopment. Each site has relatively easy access to goods and services.
- The **population and household growth** in the market area is significant.
- The **economy** seems to be continuing to improve.
- The **demand** for the development is strong.
- The **capture rates** for the development are low. The overall tax credit capture rate is 1.2%.
- The **most comparable** apartment is the subject, itself.
- Total **vacancy rates** of the most comparable developments are 0.0%.
- The overall **vacancy rate** among apartments surveyed is 3.5%.
- There are some **concessions** in the top end of the market, but those units aren't competing with the subject.
- The **rents**, given prevailing rents, vacancy rates, and concessions in the market area, are good. All the units have rental assistance.
- The proposed **bedroom mix** is reasonable for the market.
- The **unit sizes** are reasonable for the proposal.
- The subject's **amenities** are good and comparable to similarly priced apartments.
- The subject's **value** should be perceived as very good.
- The subject's **affordability** is good from a programmatic gross rent standpoint.
- The proposal would have no long term **impact** on existing LIHTC developments.

A.9.1 Recommendations

None

A.9.2 Notes

None

A.9.2.1 Strengths

- Rental assistance for all units
- Attractive site
- Strong calculated demand
- All units currently occupied

A.9.2.2 Weaknesses

None

A.9.3 Conclusion

The redevelopment, as proposed, should be successful.

A.10 DCA Summary Table

Table 5-	—DCA Sur	mmary	Table										
		(must k	oe com	pleted by th		mary [*] st and ir			ecutive s	umm	ary)		
Deve	lopment Name		Rock Hill							Total # Units:		69	
Locat	ion:	Con	iyers							# LI	— HTC Units:	69	
ΡΜΔΙ	Boundary:			n page 31							_		
1 140 ()	bouridary.	<u> 500</u>	ттар от	r page 31			Е.	orthost Dou	ndary Die	tanca t	o Cubiosti	A bout 8 miles	
			Rei	NTAL HOUSING	Stock (f	ound in A			-	lance i	o subject: <i>i</i>	About 8 miles	
									77				
Туре					# Pr	operties	To	otal Units	Vacant	Units	Average O	ccupancy	
All Rental F						16		1328		47		96.5	
Market-Rat						4		950		46		95.2	
	ubsidized Hous					9		374		0		100	
Stabilized (ndon Glen, re	ecently fir	iisnea c	ompliance)			_	180 215		6 0		96.7	
	in Construction	n & Lease	Un			1	1	116		n/a		n/a	
Troperties		ect Devel	•	•		Average Market Rent			Rent			t Comp Rent	
		#		-	posed						ingiicst.		
# Units	# BR's	Baths	Size		Rent	Per U	nit	Per SF	A	dvtg.	Per Unit	Per SF	
12	1	1		648	604		799	1.27	32.3%		795	1.25	
18	2	1		822	691	691 8		1.12	28.8%		1020	1.07	
18	3	1		996	902	10	010	1.03	12.0		1200	0.96	
14	4	1.5		1350 1,009	9/1,100	1	100	1.06	9.0/0.0%		n/a	n/a	
				DEMOGRAF	PHIC DATA	(found c	n page	15, 33)					
				2	012	12 2017			2019				
Renter Hou					,189	.,		,		8,385			
	ialified Renter	- '	C)		,896	.,				5,970			
Income-Qu	ialified Renter				n/a		16	n/a	1.0		n/a		
		Targete	a incom	ne-Qualified F									
Type of De					30	%	50%	60%	mkt-r	ate	Other:_	Overall	
Renter Household Growth					42	49				49			
Existing HH (Overburden)					4	4,327	4,623				4,623		
Existing HH (Substandard)						428	498				498		
Less Comparable/Competitive Supply						0	0				0		
Adjusted Income-qualified Renter HHs					4	4,797	5,170				5,170		
				CAPTUR	E RATES (found on	page1.	3, 58)					
	Population				30		50%	60%	mkt-r	ate	Other	Overall	
Capture Rate						0.3%	0.9%				1.2%		

A.11 Demand

Table 6—Demand

	50% AMI: \$0 to \$40,450	60% AMI: \$0 to \$48,540	Overall Project: \$0 to \$48,540
New Housing Units Required	42	49	49
Rent Overburden Households	4,327	4,623	4,623
Substandard Units	428	498	498
Demand	4,797	5,170	5,170
Less New Supply	0	0	0
Net Demand	4,797	5,170	5,170

A.11.1 Market Bedroom Mix

The following bedroom mix will keep the market in balance over the long term. Diversity among projects is necessary for a healthy market.

Table 7—Market Bedroom Mix

Bedrooms	Mix
1	30%
2	50%
3	15%
4	5%
Total	100%

A.11.2 Absorption

Given reasonable management, the development should be able to remain 93% occupied through the rehabilitation and be fully occupied within 1 month of the completion of the rehabilitation, assuming that only some of the units will be rehabilitated at a time. The absorption rate determination considers such factors as the overall estimate of new household growth, the available supply of competitive units, observed trends in absorption of comparable units, and the availability of subsidies and rent specials. The absorption period is considered to start as soon as the first units are released for occupancy.

A.12 NCHMA Capture Rate

NCHMA defines capture rate as:

The percentage of age, size, and income qualified renter households in the primary market area that the property must capture to achieve the stabilized level of occupancy. Funding agencies may require restrictions to the qualified households used in the calculation including age, income, living in substandard housing, mover-ship and other comparable factors. The capture rate is calculated by dividing the total number of units at the property by the total number of age, size and income qualified renter households in the primary market area. See penetration rate for rate for entire market area.

This definition varies from the capture rate used above.

Table 8—NCHMA Capture Rate

	Income		
	Qualified		
	Renter		Capture
	Households	Proposal	Rate
50% AMI: \$0 to \$40,450	5,272	13	0.2%
60% AMI: \$0 to \$48,540	6,137	49	0.8%
Overall Project: \$0 to \$48,540	6,137	62	1.0%

B. Development Description

The development description is provided by the developer.

B.1 Development Location

The site is on the northeast side of Conyers, Georgia and is located on Rock Hill Drive NE, just off of Norton Road NE.

B.2 Construction Type

Rehabilitation

B.3 Occupancy

The proposal is for occupancy by family households.

B.4 Target Income Group

Low income

B.5 Special Population

5% of units designed for mobility impaired and 2% designed for sensory impaired.

B.6 Structure Type

Multifamily; the residential buildings have one floor.

Floor plans and elevations were not available at the time the study was conducted. However, the buildings already exist.

B.7 Unit Sizes, Rents and Targeting

Table 9—Unit Sizes, Rents and Targeting

			Number	Square	Net	Utility	Gross	Target
AMI	Bedrooms	Baths	of Units	Feet	Rent	Allow.	Rent	Population
50%	1	1	4	648	604	39	643	PBRA
50%	2	1	4	822	691	48	739	PBRA
50%	3	1	4	996	902	56	958	PBRA
50%	4	1.5	1	1350	1009	76	1085	PBRA
60%	1	1	8	648	604	39	643	PBRA
60%	2	1	14	822	691	48	739	PBRA
60%	3	1	14	996	902	56	958	PBRA
60%	4	1.5	13	1350	1100	76	1176	PBRA
	Total Units		62					
	Tax Credit Units		62					
	PBRA Units		62					
	Mkt. Rate Units		0					

These *pro forma* rents will be evaluated in terms of the market in the Supply section of the study.

B.8 Development Amenities

Equipped playground

B.9 Unit Amenities

Refrigerator, stove, washer/dryer connections, HVAC, blinds, and cable pre-wired

B.10 Rehab

Occupancy: 100%

Rents: Based on income

Tenant incomes: low

Scope of work: Major HVAC system replacements, replacement of floor and interior finishes, appliance and energy efficient upgrades and replacement of sewer and water laterals to the sewer/water mains

B.11 Utilities Included

Water, sewer, trash, and gas

B.12 Projected Certificate of Occupancy Date

It is anticipated that the subject will have its final certificates of occupancy on or before 12/31/2020.

C. Site Evaluation

C.1 Date of Site Visit

Bob Rogers visited the sites on February 8, 2018.

C.2 Physical Features of Site and Adjacent Parcels

Physical features:

The site is developed as housing authority apartments. It slopes down from the entrance. There are mature trees on the site.

• Adjacent parcels:

N: single family home and woods

E: woods

S: single family home and woods

W: single family homes

• Condition of surrounding land uses:

All of the surrounding uses appear to be in good condition.

C.2.1.1 Surrounding Roads, Transportation, Amenities, Employment, Community Services

The site surrounds Rock Hill Drive NE, which connects to Norton Road NE.

• Listing of closest shopping areas, schools, employment centers, medical facilities and other important amenities with distance to site:

Table 12—Community Amenities

Amenity	Distance
Hospital	< ¼ mile
Publix	< 1 mile
Park	< 2 miles

Rock Hill Site and Neighborhood Photos and Adjacent Land Uses Map



C.3 Site and Neighborhood Photos



Photo 1—Entrance



Photo 2—Rock Hill



Photo 3—Rock Hill



Photo 4—Rock Hill



Photo 5—Rock Hill



Photo 6—Rock Hill




Photo 7—Norton Road from entrance looking south



Photo 8—Nearby home


C.4 Site Location Map

Site Location Map



C.5 Land Uses of the Immediate Area

Neighborhood Map



C.6 Public Safety Issues

According to the FBI, in 2016 the following crimes were reported to police:

Table 14—Crimes Reported to Police

	City	County
Population:	16,004	_
Violent Crime	114	171
Murder	0	4
Rape	4	15
Robbery	41	30
Assault	69	122
Property Crime	701	1628
Burglary	101	320
Larceny	555	1187
Motor Vehicle Theft	45	121
Arson	3	9


Source: 2016 Crime in the U.S.

 $https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s.-2016/tables/table-6/table-6.xls \\ https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s.-2016/tables/table-8/table-8.xls \\ https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s.-2016/tables/table-8/table-8.xls \\ https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s.-2016/tables/table-8/table-8.xls \\ https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s.-2016/tables/table-8/table-8.xls \\ https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s.-2016/tables/table-8/table-8.xls \\ https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/tables/table-8.xls \\ https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/tables/table-8.xls \\ https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/tables/table-8.xls \\ https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s/$

A crime map is in the appendix. The site does not appear to be in a problematic area.

C.7 Multifamily Residential Developments

Apartment Locations Map



C.8 Road and infrastructure Improvements

No major road or infrastructure projects were noted in the immediate area that would have direct impact on the subject.

C.9 Ingress, Egress, and Visibility

Access to the site is from Norton Road NE. There are no problems with ingress and egress. See the aerial photos for details of how the buildings relate to the roads.

C.10 Observed Visible Environmental or Other Concerns


There were no other visible environmental or other concerns.

C.11 Conclusion

The site is well-suited for the proposed redevelopment.

D. Market Area

Market Area Map



D.1 Market Area Determination

The market area is the community where the development will be located and only those outlying rural areas that will be significantly impacted by the development, generally excluding other significant established communities. The market area is considered to be the area from which most of the prospective tenants will be drawn. Some people will move into the market area from nearby towns, while others will move away. These households are accounted for in the "Household Trends" section. The border of the market area is based on travel time, commuting patterns, the gravity model, physical boundaries, and the distribution of renters in the area. The analyst visits the area before the market area definition is finalized.

Housing alternatives and local perspective will be presented in the Development Comparisons section of this report.

D.2 Driving Times and Place of Work

Commuter time to work is shown below:

Table 15—Workers' Travel Time to Work for the Market Area (Time in Minutes)

	State	%	County	%	Market Area	%	City	%
Total:	4,130,939		35,336		29,795		5,934	
Less than 5 minutes	98,521	2.4%	383	1.1%	307	1.0%	58	1.0%
5 to 9 minutes	336,571	8.1%	1,947	5.5%	1,872	6.3%	667	11.2%
10 to 14 minutes	538,763	13.0%	4,947	14.0%	4,430	14.9%	1,047	17.6%
15 to 19 minutes	643,206	15.6%	5,648	16.0%	4,910	16.5%	863	14.5%
20 to 24 minutes	609,415	14.8%	4,722	13.4%	3,912	13.1%	893	15.0%
25 to 29 minutes	246,685	6.0%	1,207	3.4%	987	3.3%	214	3.6%
30 to 34 minutes	589,816	14.3%	3,225	9.1%	2,649	8.9%	522	8.8%
35 to 39 minutes	129,602	3.1%	1,137	3.2%	929	3.1%	110	1.9%
40 to 44 minutes	159,145	3.9%	1,689	4.8%	1,416	4.8%	221	3.7%
45 to 59 minutes	389,636	9.4%	5,253	14.9%	4,267	14.3%	639	10.8%
60 to 89 minutes	279,473	6.8%	3,655	10.3%	3,041	10.2%	533	9.0%
90 or more minutes	110,106	2.7%	1,523	4.3%	1,075	3.6%	167	2.8%

Source: 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

D.3 Market Area Definition

The market area for this report has been defined as Census tracts 601.01, 601.02, 602.01, 602.02, 603.04, 603.05, 603.06, 603.07, 603.08, 603.09, 604.04, and 604.06 in Rockdale County (2010 Census). The market area is defined in terms of standard US Census geography so it will be possible to obtain accurate, verifiable information about it. The Market Area Map highlights this area.

D.3.1 Secondary Market Area

The secondary market area for this report has been defined as Rockdale County. Demand will neither be calculated for, nor derived from, the secondary market area.

E. Demographic Analysis

E.1 Population

E.1.1 Population Trends

The following table shows the population in the state, county, market area, and city for five years that the Census Bureau provides data.

Table 16—Population Trends

Year	State	County	Market Area	City
2008	9,468,815	83,135	70,364	14,574
2009	9,600,612	84,303	71,370	14,871
2010	9,714,569	85,008	71,827	15,102
2011	9,810,417	85,650	72,110	15,318
2012	9,907,756	86,256	72,556	15,456
2013	10,006,693	86,901	72,969	15,577

Sources: 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 5yr ACS (Census)

E.1.2 Age

Population is shown below for several age categories. The percent figures are presented in such a way as to easily compare the market area to the state, which is a "norm." This will point out any peculiarities in the market area.

Table 17—Persons by Age

State	%	County	%	Market Area	%	City	%
9,687,653		85,215		71,413		15,195	
2,781,629	28.7%	25,445	29.9%	21,616	30.3%	4,913	32.3%
2,015,640	20.8%	15,027	17.6%	13,185	18.5%	3,765	24.8%
2,788,792	28.8%	25,477	29.9%	21,037	29.5%	3,912	25.7%
783,421	8.1%	7,536	8.8%	6,016	8.4%	819	5.4%
286,136	3.0%	2,664	3.1%	2,160	3.0%	295	1.9%
1,032,035	10.7%	9,066	10.6%	7,399	10.4%	1,491	9.8%
2,101,592	21.7%	19,266	22.6%	15,575	21.8%	2,605	17.1%
1,318,171	13.6%	11,730	13.8%	9,559	13.4%	1,786	11.8%
	9,687,653 2,781,629 2,015,640 2,788,792 783,421 286,136 1,032,035 2,101,592	9,687,653 2,781,629 28.7% 2,015,640 20.8% 2,788,792 28.8% 783,421 8.1% 286,136 3.0% 1,032,035 10.7%	9,687,653 85,215 2,781,629 28.7% 25,445 2,015,640 20.8% 15,027 2,788,792 28.8% 25,477 783,421 8.1% 7,536 286,136 3.0% 2,664 1,032,035 10.7% 9,066 2,101,592 21.7% 19,266	9,687,653 85,215 2,781,629 28.7% 25,445 29.9% 2,015,640 20.8% 15,027 17.6% 2,788,792 28.8% 25,477 29.9% 783,421 8.1% 7,536 8.8% 286,136 3.0% 2,664 3.1% 1,032,035 10.7% 9,066 10.6% 2,101,592 21.7% 19,266 22.6%	9,687,653 85,215 71,413 2,781,629 28.7% 25,445 29.9% 21,616 2,015,640 20.8% 15,027 17.6% 13,185 2,788,792 28.8% 25,477 29.9% 21,037 783,421 8.1% 7,536 8.8% 6,016 286,136 3.0% 2,664 3.1% 2,160 1,032,035 10.7% 9,066 10.6% 7,399 2,101,592 21.7% 19,266 22.6% 15,575	9,687,653 85,215 71,413 2,781,629 28.7% 25,445 29.9% 21,616 30.3% 2,015,640 20.8% 15,027 17.6% 13,185 18.5% 2,788,792 28.8% 25,477 29.9% 21,037 29.5% 783,421 8.1% 7,536 8.8% 6,016 8.4% 286,136 3.0% 2,664 3.1% 2,160 3.0% 1,032,035 10.7% 9,066 10.6% 7,399 10.4% 2,101,592 21.7% 19,266 22.6% 15,575 21.8%	9,687,653 85,215 71,413 15,195 2,781,629 28.7% 25,445 29.9% 21,616 30.3% 4,913 2,015,640 20.8% 15,027 17.6% 13,185 18.5% 3,765 2,788,792 28.8% 25,477 29.9% 21,037 29.5% 3,912 783,421 8.1% 7,536 8.8% 6,016 8.4% 819 286,136 3.0% 2,664 3.1% 2,160 3.0% 295 1,032,035 10.7% 9,066 10.6% 7,399 10.4% 1,491 2,101,592 21.7% 19,266 22.6% 15,575 21.8% 2,605

Source: 2010 Census

E.1.3 Race and Hispanic Origin

The racial composition of the market area does not factor into the demand for units; the information below is provided for reference.

Note that "Hispanic" is not a racial category. "White," "Black," and "Other" represent 100% of the population. Some people in each of those categories also consider themselves "Hispanic." The percent figures allow for a comparison between the state ("norm") and the market area.

Table 18—Race and Hispanic Origin


	State	%	County	%	Market Area	%	City	%
<u>Total</u>	9,687,653		85,215		71,413		15,195	
Not Hispanic or Latino	8,833,964	91.2%	77,152	90.5%	63,709	89.2%	12,720	83.7%
White	5,413,920	55.9%	34,826	40.9%	26,572	37.2%	3,699	24.3%
Black or African American	2,910,800	30.0%	38,996	45.8%	34,293	48.0%	8,474	55.8%
American Indian	21,279	0.2%	179	0.2%	150	0.2%	32	0.2%
Asian	311,692	3.2%	1,498	1.8%	1,286	1.8%	210	1.4%
Native Hawaiian	5,152	0.1%	52	0.1%	52	0.1%	16	0.1%
Some Other Race	19,141	0.2%	230	0.3%	191	0.3%	55	0.4%
Two or More Races	151,980	1.6%	1,371	1.6%	1,165	1.6%	234	1.5%
Hispanic or Latino	853,689	8.8%	8,063	9.5%	7,704	10.8%	2,475	16.3%
White	373,520	3.9%	3,361	3.9%	3,189	4.5%	840	5.5%
Black or African American	39,635	0.4%	563	0.7%	519	0.7%	124	0.8%
American Indian	10,872	0.1%	74	0.1%	70	0.1%	14	0.1%
Asian	2,775	0.0%	18	0.0%	17	0.0%	3	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	1,647	0.0%	4	0.0%	4	0.0%	1	0.0%
Some Other Race	369,731	3.8%	3,586	4.2%	3,477	4.9%	1,377	9.1%
Two or More Races	55,509	0.6%	457	0.5%	428	0.6%	116	0.8%

Source: 2010 Census

Note that the "Native Hawaiian" category above also includes "Other Pacific Islander" and the "American Indian" category also includes "Alaska Native."

E.2 Households

Renter Households by Age of Householder



Source: 2010 Census

The graph above shows the relative distribution of households by age in the market area as compared to the state.

E.2.1 Household Trends

The following table shows the number of households in the state, county, market area, and city for several years that the Census Bureau provides data.

Table 19—Household Trends

Year	State	County	Market Area	City
2008	3,468,704	28,561	24,211	5,616
2009	3,490,754	29,002	24,496	5,476
2010	3,508,477	29,140	24,519	5,326
2011	3,518,097	29,317	24,511	5,262
2012	3,540,690	29,284	24,391	5,072
2013	3,574,362	29,623	24,711	5,291

Sources: 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 5yr ACS (Census)

E.2.2 Household Tenure

The table below shows how many units are occupied by owners and by renters. The percent of the households in the market area that are occupied by renters will be used later in determining the demand for new rental housing.

Table 20—Occupied Housing Units by Tenure

	State	%	County	%	Market Area	%	City	%
Households	3,585,584	_	30,027	_	25,210	_	5,661	_
Owner	2,354,402	65.7%	21,134	70.4%	16,795	66.6%	1,936	34.2%
Renter	1,231,182	34.3%	8,893	29.6%	8,415	33.4%	3,725	65.8%

Source: 2010 Census

From the table above, it can be seen that 33.4% of the households in the market area rent. This percentage will be used later in the report to calculate the number of general occupancy units necessary to accommodate household growth.

E.2.3 Projections

Population projections are based on the average trend from the most recent Census data. First the percent change in population is calculated for each pair of years.

Table 21—Population

	1		
ACS Year	Market Area	Change	Percent Change
2010	70,364	_	_
2011	71,370	1,006	1.4%
2012	71,827	457	0.6%
2013	72,110	283	0.4%
2014	72,556	446	0.6%

Sources: 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014 5yr ACS (Census)

As seen in the table above, the percent change ranges from 0.4% to 1.4%. Excluding the highest and lowest observed values, the average is 0.6%. This value will be used to project future changes.

Household projections are based on the average trend from the most recent Census data. First the percent change in population is calculated for each pair of years.

Table 22—Households

ACS Year	Market Area	Change	Percent Change
2010	24,211	_	
2011	24,496	285	1.2%
2012	24,519	23	0.1%
2013	24,511	-8	0.0%
2014	24,391	-120	-0.5%

Sources: 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014 5yr ACS (Census)

As seen in the table above, the percent change ranges from -0.5% to 1.3%. Excluding the highest and lowest observed values, the average is 0.4%. This value will be used to project future changes.


The average percent change figures calculated above are used to generate the projections that follow.

Table 23—Population and Household Projections

Projections	Population	Annual Change	Households	Annual Change
2016	74,341	595	24,796	135
2017	74,794	453	24,898	102
2018	75,250	456	25,001	103
2019	75,709	459	25,104	103
2020	76,170	461	25,208	104
2018 to 2020	915	458	206	103

Source: John Wall and Associates from figures above

Tenure Map



E.2.4 Household Size

Household size is another characteristic that needs to be examined. The household size of those presently renting can be used as a strong indicator of the bedroom mix required. Renters and owners have been shown separately in the tables below because the make-up of owner-occupied units is significantly different from that of renters. A comparison of the percent figures for the market area and the state ("norm") is often of interest.


Table 24—Housing Units by Persons in Unit

	State		County		Market Area		City	
Owner occupied:	2,354,402	_	21,134	_	16,795	_	1,936	_
1-person	498,417	21.2%	3,920	18.5%	3,232	19.2%	517	26.7%
2-person	821,066	34.9%	7,172	33.9%	5,623	33.5%	584	30.2%
3-person	417,477	17.7%	4,071	19.3%	3,196	19.0%	351	18.1%
4-person	360,504	15.3%	3,276	15.5%	2,573	15.3%	241	12.4%
5-person	159,076	6.8%	1,568	7.4%	1,242	7.4%	131	6.8%
6-person	60,144	2.6%	656	3.1%	523	3.1%	59	3.0%
7-or-more	37,718	1.6%	471	2.2%	406	2.4%	53	2.7%
Renter occupied:	1,231,182	_	8,893	_	8,415	_	3,725	_
1-person	411,057	33.4%	2,398	27.0%	2,319	27.6%	1,203	32.3%
2-person	309,072	25.1%	2,101	23.6%	1,998	23.7%	922	24.8%
3-person	203,417	16.5%	1,575	17.7%	1,491	17.7%	597	16.0%
4-person	155,014	12.6%	1,353	15.2%	1,253	14.9%	498	13.4%
5-person	84,999	6.9%	772	8.7%	715	8.5%	282	7.6%
6-person	37,976	3.1%	396	4.5%	361	4.3%	118	3.2%
7-or-more	29,647	2.4%	298	3.4%	278	3.3%	105	2.8%

Source: 2010 Census

The percent and number of large (5 or more persons) households in the market is an important fact to consider in developments with a significant number of 3 or 4 bedroom units. In such cases, this fact has been taken into account and is used to refine the analysis. It also helps to determine the upper income limit for the purpose of calculating demand. In the market area, 16.1% of the renter households are large, compared to 12.4% in the state.

Renter Persons Per Unit For The Market Area



E.2.5 Household Incomes

The table below shows the number of households (both renter and owner) that fall within various income ranges for the market area.

Table 25—Number of Households in Various Income Ranges

						<u> </u>			
	State	%	County	%	Market Area	%	City	%	
Total:	3,574,362		29,623		24,711		5,291		
Less than \$10,000	309,272	8.7%	1,592	5.4%	1,401	5.7%	476	9.0%	
\$10,000 to \$14,999	203,138	5.7%	1,624	5.5%	1,402	5.7%	369	7.0%	
\$15,000 to \$19,999	196,729	5.5%	1,820	6.1%	1,657	6.7%	557	10.5%	
\$20,000 to \$24,999	203,990	5.7%	1,690	5.7%	1,474	6.0%	322	6.1%	
\$25,000 to \$29,999	189,444	5.3%	1,748	5.9%	1,544	6.2%	390	7.4%	
\$30,000 to \$34,999	191,684	5.4%	1,374	4.6%	1,090	4.4%	327	6.2%	
\$35,000 to \$39,999	176,305	4.9%	1,354	4.6%	1,217	4.9%	367	6.9%	
\$40,000 to \$44,999	176,083	4.9%	1,750	5.9%	1,543	6.2%	254	4.8%	
\$45,000 to \$49,999	151,180	4.2%	1,703	5.7%	1,463	5.9%	489	9.2%	
\$50,000 to \$59,999	287,912	8.1%	3,011	10.2%	2,581	10.4%	525	9.9%	
\$60,000 to \$74,999	354,485	9.9%	3,158	10.7%	2,523	10.2%	489	9.2%	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	407,295	11.4%	3,525	11.9%	2,778	11.2%	401	7.6%	
\$100,000 to \$124,999	264,418	7.4%	2,320	7.8%	1,780	7.2%	236	4.5%	
\$125,000 to \$149,999	154,213	4.3%	1,036	3.5%	746	3.0%	28	0.5%	
\$150,000 to \$199,999	155,790	4.4%	1,314	4.4%	1,082	4.4%	42	0.8%	
\$200,000 or more	152,424	4.3%	604	2.0%	430	1.7%	19	0.4%	

Source: 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

F. Employment Trends


The economy of the market area will have an impact on the need for apartment units.

F.1 Total Jobs

The following table shows how many people were employed in the county. These employed persons do not necessarily live in the county, so the trends are useful to determining the economic health of the area.

Table 26—Covered Employment

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
2001	33,731	33,939	34,465	34,006	34,209	34,441	33,995	34,216	34,314	34,463	35,000	34,553	34,278
2002	33,331	33,728	33,911	34,074	34,146	34,401	34,458	34,980	34,746	34,812	35,101	35,058	34,396
2003	32,283	32,166	32,363	32,329	32,527	32,403	32,134	32,464	32,399	32,782	33,247	33,251	32,529
2004	32,384	32,552	32,820	32,594	32,832	33,029	32,612	33,135	32,593	32,982	33,267	33,457	32,855
2005	32,321	32,521	32,580	33,073	33,108	32,811	32,702	33,289	32,756	32,784	32,847	32,977	32,814
2006	32,567	32,718	32,732	32,589	32,732	32,713	33,089	33,758	33,091	32,775	33,141	33,235	32,928
2007	33,560	33,438	33,615	32,896	33,136	32,975	32,962	33,381	33,101	32,901	32,886	32,967	33,152
2008	31,155	31,121	31,039	30,808	30,798	30,450	30,643	30,631	30,413	30,350	30,295	29,944	30,637
2009	28,920	28,871	28,639	28,861	28,956	28,794	29,031	29,188	28,986	28,808	28,936	28,746	28,895
2010	28,413	28,586	28,692	29,253	29,663	29,371	29,106	29,348	29,267	29,360	29,430	29,356	29,154
2011	28,648	29,103	29,051	29,423	29,328	28,995	29,351	29,801	29,638	29,543	29,750	29,376	29,334
2012	29,286	29,254	29,607	29,723	29,802	29,458	29,357	29,670	29,438	29,649	29,916	29,590	29,563
2013	30,045	30,214	30,483	30,592	30,645	30,696	30,208	30,237	30,274	30,403	30,519	30,604	30,410
2014	30,197	30,057	30,303	30,437	30,844	30,476	30,598	31,013	30,975	31,207	31,347	31,377	30,736
2015	31,041	31,237	31,537	31,975	32,399	32,777	32,308	32,369	32,513	32,761	33,018	33,177	32,259
2016	31,614	31,727	31,993	32,014	32,270	32,232	31,934	32,005	32,109	32,174	32,303	32,232	32,051
2017	31,424 (P)	31,881 (P)	31,829 (P)	31,911 (P)	31,845 (P)	31,826 (P)							



Source: http://data.bls.gov/pdq/querytool.jsp?survey=en

F.2 Jobs by Industry and Occupation

Table 27—Occupation of Employed Persons Age 16 Years And Over

		- 0						
	State	%	County	%	Market Area	%	City	%
Total	4,388,274		37,374		31,484		6,281	
Management, business, science, and arts occupations:	1,577,444	36%	12,070	32%	9,646	31%	1,267	20%
Management, business, and financial occupations:	658,351	15%	4,651	12%	3,750	12%	406	6%
Management occupations	444,846	10%	3,188	9%	2,463	8%	314	5%
Business and financial operations occupations	213,505	5%	1,463	4%	1,287	4%	92	1%
Computer, engineering, and science occupations:	222,043	5%	1,584	4%	1,094	3%	126	2%
Computer and mathematical occupations	122,527	3%	769	2%	535	2%	98	2%
Architecture and engineering occupations	68,028	2%	498	1%	365	1%	28	0%
Life, physical, and social science occupations	31,488	1%	317	1%	194	1%	0	0%
Education, legal, community service, arts, and media	468,749	11%	3,731	10%	3,111	10%	474	8%
occupations:								
Community and social service occupations	65,632	1%	662	2%	543	2%	101	2%
Legal occupations	44,964	1%	411	1%	330	1%	53	1%
Education, training, and library occupations	282,171	6%	2,114	6%	1,767	6%	247	4%
Arts, design, entertainment, sports, and media	75,982	2%	544	1%	471	1%	73	1%
occupations								
Healthcare practitioners and technical occupations:	228,301	5%	2,104	6%	1,691	5%	261	4%
Health diagnosing and treating practitioners and	149,238	3%	1,342	4%	1,111	4%	142	2%
other technical occupations								
Health technologists and technicians	79,063	2%	762	2%	580	2%	119	2%
Service occupations:	743,402	17%	6,345	17%	5,549	18%	1,316	21%
Healthcare support occupations	87,884	2%	604	2%	548	2%	199	3%
Protective service occupations:	99,720	2%	932	2%	729	2%	94	1%
Fire fighting and prevention, and other protective	50,920	1%	361	1%	272	1%	10	0%
service workers including supervisors								
Law enforcement workers including supervisors	48,800	1%	571	2%	457	1%	84	1%
Food preparation and serving related occupations	252,386	6%	2,039	5%	1,820	6%	454	7%
Building and grounds cleaning and maintenance	173,397	4%	1,716	5%	1,575	5%	273	4%
occupations								
Personal care and service occupations	130,015	3%	1,054	3%	877	3%	296	5%
Sales and office occupations:	1,087,692	25%	9,380	25%	7,861	25%	1,716	27%
Sales and related occupations	507,786	12%	3,661	10%	3,119	10%	662	11%
Office and administrative support occupations	579,906	13%	5,719	15%	4,742	15%	1,054	17%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	401,570	9%	3,673	10%	3,095	10%	489	8%
occupations:								
Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	25,966	1%	40	0%	26	0%	0	0%
Construction and extraction occupations	216,190	5%	1,930	5%	1,681	5%	360	6%
Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations	159,414	4%	1,703	5%	1,388	4%	129	2%
Production, transportation, and material moving	578,166	13%	5,906	16%	5,333	17%	1,493	24%
occupations:								
Production occupations	271,570	6%	2,796	7%	2,657	8%	847	13%
Transportation occupations	176,818	4%	2,163	6%	1,821	6%	399	6%
Material moving occupations	129,778	3%	947	3%	855	3%	247	4%

Source: 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

Occupation for the State and Market Area





Table 28—Industry of Employed Persons Age 16 Years And Over

	State	%	County	%	Market Area	%	City	%
Total:	4,388,274		37,374		31,484		6,281	
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining:	51,966	1%	104	0%	60	0%	0	0%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting	46,732	1%	89	0%	45	0%	0	0%
Mining, quarrying, and oil and gas extraction	5,234	0%	15	0%	15	0%	0	0%
Construction	278,360	6%	2,534	7%	2,219	7%	344	5%
Manufacturing	468,172	11%	4,185	11%	3,684	12%	977	16%
Wholesale trade	125,340	3%	769	2%	638	2%	80	1%
Retail trade	524,336	12%	4,381	12%	3,776	12%	871	14%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities:	263,016	6%	2,643	7%	2,067	7%	370	6%
Transportation and warehousing	222,614	5%	2,390	6%	1,863	6%	350	6%
Utilities	40,402	1%	253	1%	204	1%	20	0%
Information	110,166	3%	1,148	3%	1,001	3%	235	4%
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and	276,796	6%	1,850	5%	1,542	5%	228	4%
leasing:								
Finance and insurance	192,934	4%	1,366	4%	1,143	4%	219	3%
Real estate and rental and leasing	83,862	2%	484	1%	399	1%	9	0%
Professional, scientific, and management, and	508,301	12%	3,972	11%	3,116	10%	519	8%
administrative and waste management services:								
Professional, scientific, and technical services	296,948	7%	1,967	5%	1,443	5%	132	2%
Management of companies and enterprises	3,948	0%	14	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Administrative and support and waste management	207,405	5%	1,991	5%	1,673	5%	387	6%
services								
Educational services, and health care and social	920,476	21%	7,899	21%	6,568	21%	1,290	21%
assistance:								
Educational services	415,328	9%	3,430	9%	2,757	9%	332	5%
Health care and social assistance	505,148	12%	4,469	12%	3,811	12%	958	15%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and	409,392	9%	3,165	8%	2,913	9%	696	11%
accommodation and food services:								
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	67,741	2%	410	1%	365	1%	43	1%
Accommodation and food services	341,651	8%	2,755	7%	2,548	8%	653	10%
Other services, except public administration	220,306	5%	1,903	5%	1,694	5%	392	6%
Public administration	231,647	5%	2,821	8%	2,206	7%	279	4%

Source: 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

Note: Bold numbers represent category totals and add to 100%

Industry for the State and Market Area



Source: 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

F.3 Major Employers

Table 29—Major Employers

The following is a list of major employers in the county:

Company

20th Century Fox Television

Acuity Lighting Group

Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.

AT&T

Batchelor & Kimball, Inc

Dart, Inc.

Diversitech Corporation

Golden State Foods

Haver Filling Systems, Inc.

Hill-Phoenix

KIK/Bio-Lab

Lexicon Technologies, Inc.

LioChem, Inc.

Pratt Industries

Rockdale County

Rockdale County Public Schools

Rockdale Medical Center

Southeast Connections LLC

Tempur-Sealy Mattress Company

Volume Transportation

Warner Brothers / Bonanza Productions

Source: Conyers-Rockdale Economic Development Council

F.3.1 New or Planned Changes in Workforce

If there are any, they will be discussed in the Interviews section of the report.

F.4 Employment (Civilian Labor Force)


In order to determine how employment affects the market area and whether the local economy is expanding, declining, or stable, it is necessary to inspect employment statistics for several years. The table below shows the increase or decrease in employment and the percentage of unemployed at the county level. This table also shows the change in the size of the labor force, an indicator of change in housing requirements for the county.

Table 30—Employment Trends

	Civilian			_	Employment Change		Annual Change	
Year	Labor Force	Unemployment	Rate (%)	Employment	Number	Pct.	Number	Pct.
2000	36,820	1,107	3.1	35,713	_	_	_	_
2014	41,824	3,062	7.9	38,762	3,049	8.5%	218	0.6%
2015	42,074	2,568	6.5	39,506	744	1.9%	744	1.9%
2016	43,377	2,339	5.7	41,038	1,532	3.9%	1,532	3.9%
J-17	44,138	2,577	6.2	41,561	523	1.3%		
F-17	44,410	2,355	5.6	42,055	494	1.2%		
M-17	44,535	2,242	5.3	42,293	238	0.6%		
A-17	44,492	2,199	5.2	42,293	0	0.0%		
M-17	44,632	2,206	5.2	42,426	133	0.3%		
J-17	44,882	2,380	5.6	42,502	76	0.2%		
J-17	45,118	2,352	5.5	42,766	264	0.6%		
A-17	44,910	2,301	5.4	42,609	-157	-0.4%		
S-17	45,216	2,030	4.7	43,186	577	1.4%		
O-17	45,000	2,061	4.8	42,939	-247	-0.6%		
N-17	45,070	2,064	4.8	43,006	67	0.2%		
D-17	45,144	2,068	4.8	43,076	70	0.2%		

Source: State Employment Security Commission


County Employment Trends



Source: State Employment Security Commission

F.5 Employment Concentrations Map

Employment Concentrations Map



F.6 Economic Summary

The largest number of persons in the market area is employed in the "Management, professional, and related occupations" occupation category and in the "Educational services, and health care and social assistance" industry category.

A change in the size of labor force frequently indicates a corresponding change in the need for housing. The size of the labor force has been increasing over the past several years.


Employment has been increasing over the past several years. For the past 12 months, it has continued to increase.


Table 31—Median Wages by Industry

	State	County	City
Overall	\$31,853	\$32,417	\$26,786
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining:	\$25,188	\$26,500	_
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting	\$23,211	\$26,650	_
Mining, quarrying, and oil and gas extraction	\$41,627	_	_
Construction	\$29,754	\$27,456	\$23,145
Manufacturing	\$36,645	\$32,077	\$30,604
Wholesale trade	\$41,449	\$44,836	\$20,833
Retail trade	\$21,536	\$22,164	\$14,577
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities:	\$41,378	\$42,067	\$30,864
Transportation and warehousing	\$39,991	\$41,126	\$30,409
Utilities	\$52,109	\$61,229	_
Information	\$54,890	\$41,793	\$32,455
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing:	\$43,708	\$41,692	\$54,091
Finance and insurance	\$48,825	\$41,750	\$53,886
Real estate and rental and leasing	\$35,436	\$41,602	_
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management	\$40,756	\$32,484	\$25,119
services:			
Professional, scientific, and technical services	\$59,545	\$43,598	\$39,479
Management of companies and enterprises	\$62,799	_	_
Administrative and support and waste management services	\$23,358	\$22,751	\$23,304
Educational services, and health care and social assistance:	\$34,347	\$39,553	\$34,203
Educational services	\$37,052	\$40,488	\$33,393
Health care and social assistance	\$32,285	\$38,191	\$34,351
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodations and food services	\$14,215	\$13,496	\$14,962
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	\$18,000	\$13,900	\$20,795
Accommodation and food services	\$13,726	\$13,460	\$14,897
Other services except public administration	\$22,105	\$23,103	\$18,125
Public administration	\$42,757	\$48,750	\$40,435

Source: 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

Note: Dashes indicate data suppressed by Census Bureau; no data is available for the market area.






2010-5yr ACS (Census)

A downturn in the economy and thus a corresponding increase in unemployment will impact LIHTC properties without rental assistance. LIHTC properties without rental assistance require tenants who either earn enough money to afford the rent or have a rent subsidy voucher. When there is an increase in unemployment, there will be households where one or more employed persons become unemployed. Some households that could afford to live in the proposed units will no longer have enough income. By the same token, there will be other households that previously had incomes that were too high to live in the proposed units that will now be income qualified.

Percent of Workers by Industry for the Market Area



Source: 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

G. Development-Specific Affordability & Demand Analysis

Several economic factors need to be examined in a housing market study. Most important is the number of households that would qualify for apartments on the basis of their incomes. A variety of circumstances regarding restrictions and affordability are outlined below.

These minimum and maximum incomes are used to establish the income *range* for households entering the development. Only households whose incomes fall within the range are considered as a source of demand.

Income data have been shown separately for owner and renter households. Only the renter household income data are used for determining demand for rental units.

Gross rent includes utilities, but it excludes payments of rental assistance by federal, state, and local entities. In this study, gross rent is always monthly.

G.1 Income Restrictions

G.1.1 Establishing Tax Credit Qualifying Income Ranges

It is critical to establish the number of households that qualify for apartments under the tax credit program based on their incomes. The income ranges are established in two stages. First, the maximum incomes allowable are calculated by applying the tax credit guidelines. Then, minimum incomes required are calculated. According to United States Code, either 20% of the units must be occupied by households who earn under 50% of the area median gross income (AMI), OR 40% of the units must be occupied by households who earn under 60% of the AMI. Sometimes units are restricted for even lower income households. In many cases, the developer has chosen to restrict the rents for 100% of the units to be for low income households.

Table 32—Maximum Income Limit (HUD FY 2017)

Pers.	VLIL	50%	60%
1	24,400	24,400	29,280
2	27,900	27,900	33,480
3	31,400	31,400	37,680
4	34,850	34,850	41,820
5	37,650	37,650	45,180
6	40,450	40,450	48,540
7	43,250	43,250	51,900
8	46,050	46,050	55,260

Source: Very Low Income (50%) Limit and 60% limit: HUD, Low and Very-Low Income Limits by Family Size

Others: John Wall and Associates, derived from HUD figures

The table above shows the maximum tax credit allowable incomes for households moving into the subject based on household size and the percent of area median gross income (AMI).

After establishing the maximum income, the lower income limit will be determined. The lower limit is the income a household must have in order to be able to afford the rent and utilities. The realistic lower limit of the income range is determined by the following formula:

Gross rent \div 35% [or 30% or 40%, as described in the subsections above] x 12 months = annual income

This provides for up to 35% [or 30% or 40%] of adjusted annual income (AAI) to be used for rent plus utilities.

The proposed gross rents, as supplied by the client, and the minimum incomes required to maintain 35% [or 30% or 40%] or less of income spent on gross rent are:

Table 33—Minimum Incomes Required and Gross Rents

				Minimum				
		Number	Net	Gross	Income	Target		
	Bedrooms	of Units	Rent	Rent	Required	Population		
50%	1	4	604	643	\$0	PBRA		
50%	2	4	691	739	\$0	PBRA		
50%	3	4	902	958	\$0	PBRA		
50%	4	1	1009	1085	\$0	PBRA		
60%	1	8	604	643	\$0	PBRA		
60%	2	14	691	739	\$0	PBRA		
60%	3	14	902	958	\$0	PBRA		
60%	4	13	1100	1176	\$0	PBRA		

Source: John Wall and Associates from data provided by client

From the tables above, the practical lower income limits for units *without* rental assistance can be established. Units *with* rental assistance will use \$0 as their lower income limit.

When the minimum incomes required are combined with the maximum tax credit limits, the income *ranges* for households entering the development can be established. Only households whose incomes fall within the ranges can be considered as a source of demand. Note that *both* the income limits *and* the amount of spread in the ranges are important.

G.1.2 Households Qualifying for Tax Credit Units

Households who earn less than a defined percentage (usually 50% or 60%) of the county or MSA median income as adjusted by HUD (AMI) qualify for low income housing tax credit (LIHTC) units. Therefore, feasibility for developments expecting to receive tax credits will be based in part on the incomes required to support the tax credit rents.

For those tax credit units occupied by low income households, the monthly gross rent should not realistically exceed 35% of the household income.

G.2 Affordability

The most important information from the tables above is summarized in the table below. Income requirements for any PBRA units will be calculated for the contract rent.

Table 34—Qualifying Income Ranges by Bedrooms and Persons Per Household

				Income		
				Based	Spread	
			Gross	Lower	Between	Upper
AMI	Bedrooms	Persons	Rent	Limit	Limits	Limit
50%	1	1	643	22,050	2,350	24,400
50%	1	2	643	22,050	5,850	27,900
50%	2	2	739	25,340	2,560	27,900
50%	2	3	739	25,340	6,060	31,400
50%	2	4	739	25,340	9,510	34,850
50%	3	3	958	32,850	-1,450	31,400
50%	3	4	958	32,850	2,000	34,850
50%	3	5	958	32,850	4,800	37,650
50%	3	6	958	32,850	7,600	40,450
50%	4	4	1,085	37,200	-2,350	34,850
50%	4	5	1,085	37,200	450	37,650
50%	4	6	1,085	37,200	3,250	40,450
50%	4	7	1,085	37,200	6,050	43,250
60%	1	1	643	22,050	7,230	29,280
60%	1	2	643	22,050	11,430	33,480
60%	2	2	739	25,340	8,140	33,480
60%	2	3	739	25,340	12,340	37,680
60%	2	4	739	25,340	16,480	41,820
60%	3	3	958	32,850	4,830	37,680
60%	3	4	958	32,850	8,970	41,820
60%	3	5	958	32,850	12,330	45,180
60%	3	6	958	32,850	15,690	48,540
60%	4	4	1,176	40,320	1,500	41,820
60%	4	5	1,176	40,320	4,860	45,180
60%	4	6	1,176	40,320	8,220	48,540
60%	4	7	1,176	40,320	11,580	51,900

Sources: Gross rents: client; Limits: tables on prior pages; Spread: calculated from data in table

G.2.1 Upper Income Determination

DCA requires using 1.5 persons per bedroom, rounded up to the nearest whole person for the upper income limit determination.


G.2.2 Programmatic and Pro Forma Rent Analysis

The table below shows a comparison of programmatic rent and *pro forma* rent.

Table 35—Qualifying and Proposed and Programmatic Rent Summary

	1-BR	2-BR	3-BR	4-BR
50% Units				
Number of Units	4	4	4	1
Max Allowable Gross Rent	\$653	\$785	\$906	\$1,011
Pro Forma Gross Rent	\$643	\$739	\$958	\$1,085
Difference (\$)	\$10	\$46	-\$52	-\$74
Difference (%)	1.5%	5.9%	-5.7%	-7.3%
60% Units				
Number of Units	8	14	14	13
Max Allowable Gross Rent	\$784	\$942	\$1,087	\$1,213
Pro Forma Gross Rent	\$643	\$739	\$958	\$1,176
Difference (\$)	\$141	\$203	\$129	\$37
Difference (%)	18.0%	21.5%	11.9%	3.1%

Targeted Income Ranges



An income range of \$0 to \$40,450 is reasonable for the 50% AMI units.

An income range of \$0 to \$48,540 is reasonable for the 60% AMI units.

An income range of \$0 to \$48,540 is reasonable for the project overall.

G.2.3 Households with Qualified Incomes

The table below shows income levels for renters and owners separately. The number and percent of income qualified *renter* households is calculated from this table.

Table 36—Number of Specified Households in Various Income Ranges by Tenure

						U	•	
	State	%	County	%	Market Area	%	City	%
Owner occupied:	2,263,697		20,405		16,130		1,733	
Less than \$5,000	52,329	2.3%	442	2.2%	394	2.4%	36	2.1%
\$5,000 to \$9,999	49,760	2.2%	175	0.9%	124	0.8%	0	0.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	83,758	3.7%	787	3.9%	596	3.7%	32	1.8%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	89,364	3.9%	801	3.9%	660	4.1%	167	9.6%
\$20,000 to \$24,999	96,883	4.3%	846	4.1%	679	4.2%	49	2.8%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	199,285	8.8%	1,833	9.0%	1,425	8.8%	148	8.5%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	297,953	13.2%	3,155	15.5%	2,620	16.2%	455	26.3%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	441,689	19.5%	4,724	23.2%	3,710	23.0%	456	26.3%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	314,994	13.9%	2,897	14.2%	2,282	14.1%	127	7.3%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	356,801	15.8%	3,025	14.8%	2,300	14.3%	202	11.7%
\$150,000 or more	280,881	12.4%	1,720	8.4%	1,340	8.3%	61	3.5%
Renter occupied:	1,310,665		9,218		8,581		3,558	
Less than \$5,000	102,866	7.8%	351	3.8%	293	3.4%	86	2.4%
\$5,000 to \$9,999	104,317	8.0%	624	6.8%	590	6.9%	354	9.9%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	119,380	9.1%	837	9.1%	806	9.4%	337	9.5%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	107,365	8.2%	1,019	11.1%	997	11.6%	390	11.0%
\$20,000 to \$24,999	107,107	8.2%	844	9.2%	795	9.3%	273	7.7%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	181,843	13.9%	1,289	14.0%	1,209	14.1%	569	16.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	205,615	15.7%	1,652	17.9%	1,603	18.7%	655	18.4%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	200,708	15.3%	1,445	15.7%	1,394	16.2%	558	15.7%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	92,301	7.0%	628	6.8%	496	5.8%	274	7.7%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	61,830	4.7%	331	3.6%	226	2.6%	62	1.7%
\$150,000 or more	27,333	2.1%	198	2.1%	172	2.0%	0	0.0%

Source: 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

The percent of renter households in the appropriate income ranges will be applied to the renter household growth figures to determine the number of new renter households that will be income qualified to move into each of the different unit types the subject will offer.


Table 37—Percent of Renter Households in Appropriate Income Ranges for the Market Area

AMI			PBRA		PBRA		Overall
Lower Limit			0		0		0
Upper Limit			40,450		48,540		48,540
	Mkt. Area						
Renter occupied:	Households	%	#	%	#	%	#
Less than \$5,000	293	1.00	293	1.00	293	1.00	293
\$5,000 to \$9,999	590	1.00	590	1.00	590	1.00	590
\$10,000 to \$14,999	806	1.00	806	1.00	806	1.00	806
\$15,000 to \$19,999	997	1.00	997	1.00	997	1.00	997
\$20,000 to \$24,999	795	1.00	795	1.00	795	1.00	795
\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,209	1.00	1,209	1.00	1,209	1.00	1,209
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,603	0.36	582	0.90	1,447	0.90	1,447
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,394	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$75,000 to \$99,999	496	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$100,000 to \$149,999	226	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$150,000 or more	172	_	0	_	0	_	0
Total	8,581		5,272		6,137		6,137
Percent in Range			61.4%		71.5%		71.5%

Source: John Wall and Associates from figures above

The previous table shows how many renter households are in each income range. The number and percent are given in the last two rows (e.g., 5,272, or 61.4% of the renter households in the market area are in the PBRA range.)


Change in Renter Household Income



Sources:2010 and 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

The above table shows the change in renter households in various income ranges. The more current data is reflected on the left axis.

Median Household Income Map



G.3 Demand

G.3.1 Demand from New Households

G.3.1.1 New Households

It was shown in the Household Trends section of this study that 207 new housing units will be needed by the year of completion. It was shown in the Tenure section that the area ratio of rental units to total units is 33.4%. Therefore, 69 of these new units will need to be rental.

The table "Percent of Renter Households in Appropriate Income Ranges for the Market Area" shows the percentage of renter households in various income ranges. These percentages are applied to the total number of new rental units needed to arrive at the *number* of new rental units needed in the relevant income categories:

Table 38—New Renter Households in Each Income Range for the Market Area

	New	Percent	Demand
	Renter	Income	due to new
	Households	Qualified	Households
50% AMI: \$0 to \$40,450	69	61.4%	42
60% AMI: \$0 to \$48,540	69	71.5%	49
Overall Project: \$0 to \$48,540	69	71.5%	49

Source: John Wall and Associates from figures above

G.3.2 Demand from Existing Households

G.3.2.1 Demand from Rent Overburden Households

A household is defined as rent overburdened when it pays 30% or more of its income on gross rent (rent plus utilities). Likewise, the household is *severely* rent overburdened if it pays 35% or more of its income on gross rent.

For tax credit units *without* rental assistance, households may pay 35% of their incomes for gross rent. Therefore, up to 35% of income for gross rent is used in establishing affordability in the "Demand from New Households" calculations. Hence, only *severely* (paying in excess of 35%) rent overburdened households are counted as a source of demand for tax credit units without rental assistance.

For units *with* rental assistance (tenants pay only 30% of their income for gross rent), any households paying more than 30% for gross rent would benefit by moving into the unit so all overburdened households in the relevant income range are counted as a source of demand.

The following table presents data on rent overburdened households in various income ranges.

Table 39—Percentage of Income Paid For Gross Rent (Renter Households in Specified Housing Units)

	State		County		Market Area		City	
Less than \$10,000:	207,183		975		883		440	
30.0% to 34.9%	3,596	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
35.0% or more	131,602	63.5%	760	77.9%	736	83.4%	371	84.3%
\$10,000 to \$19,999:	226,745		1,856		1,803		727	
30.0% to 34.9%	10,649	4.7%	57	3.1%	57	3.2%	57	7.8%
35.0% or more	176,081	77.7%	1,689	91.0%	1,648	91.4%	612	84.2%
\$20,000 to \$34,999:	288,950		2,133		2,004		842	
30.0% to 34.9%	45,681	15.8%	190	8.9%	190	9.5%	112	13.3%
35.0% or more	160,588	55.6%	1,562	73.2%	1,497	74.7%	543	64.5%
\$35,000 to \$49,999:	205,615		1,652		1,603		655	
30.0% to 34.9%	32,900	16.0%	267	16.2%	262	16.3%	149	22.7%
35.0% or more	37,853	18.4%	286	17.3%	286	17.8%	123	18.8%
\$50,000 to \$74,999:	200,708		1,445		1,394		558	
30.0% to 34.9%	12,649	6.3%	97	6.7%	97	7.0%	0	0.0%
35.0% or more	8,245	4.1%	16	1.1%	16	1.1%	0	0.0%
\$75,000 to \$99,999:	92,301		628		496		274	
30.0% to 34.9%	1,229	1.3%	25	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
35.0% or more	1,378	1.5%	11	1.8%	11	2.2%	11	4.0%
\$100,000 or more:	89,163		529		398		62	
30.0% to 34.9%	365	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
35.0% or more	472	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Source: 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

From the table above, the number of rent overburdened households in each appropriate income range can be estimated in the table below.

Table 40—Rent Overburdened Households in Each Income Range for the Market Area

30% to 35% Overburden							
AMI			PBRA		PBRA		Overall
Lower Limit			0		0		0
Upper Limit	Mkt. Area		40,450		48,540		48,540
	Households	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>
Less than \$10,000:	0	1.00	0	1.00	0	1.00	0
\$10,000 to \$19,999:	57	1.00	57	1.00	57	1.00	57
\$20,000 to \$34,999:	190	1.00	190	1.00	190	1.00	190
\$35,000 to \$49,999:	262	0.36	95	0.90	237	0.90	237
\$50,000 to \$74,999:	97	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$75,000 to \$99,999:	0	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$100,000 or more:	0	_	0	_	0	_	0
Column Total	606		342		484		484

35%+ Overburden							
AMI		_	PBRA		PBRA		Overall
Lower Limit			0		0		0
Upper Limit	Mkt. Area		40,450		48,540		48,540
	Households	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>
Less than \$10,000:	736	1.00	736	1.00	736	1.00	736
\$10,000 to \$19,999:	1,648	1.00	1,648	1.00	1,648	1.00	1,648
\$20,000 to \$34,999:	1,497	1.00	1,497	1.00	1,497	1.00	1,497
\$35,000 to \$49,999:	286	0.36	104	0.90	258	0.90	258
\$50,000 to \$74,999:	16	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$75,000 to \$99,999:	11	_	0	_	0	_	0
\$100,000 or more:	0	_	0	_	0	_	0
Column Total	4,194		3,985		4,139		4,139

Source: John Wall and Associates from figures above

G.3.2.2 Demand from Substandard Conditions

The Bureau of the Census defines substandard conditions as 1) lacking plumbing, or 2) 1.01 or more persons per room.

Table 41—Substandard Occupied Units

	State	%	County	%	Market Area	%	City	%
Owner occupied:	2,263,697		20,405		16,130		1,733	
Complete plumbing:	2,256,546	100%	20,315	100%	16,058	100%	1,697	98%
1.00 or less	2,229,407	98%	20,142	99%	15,891	99%	1,697	98%
1.01 to 1.50	21,692	1%	114	1%	114	1%	0	0%
1.51 or more	5,447	0%	59	0%	53	0%	0	0%
Lacking plumbing:	7,151	0%	90	0%	72	0%	36	2%
1.00 or less	7,020	0%	90	0%	72	0%	36	2%
1.01 to 1.50	108	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
1.51 or more	23	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Renter occupied:	1,310,665		9,218		8,581		3,558	
Complete plumbing:	1,303,067	99%	9,140	99%	8,503	99%	3,520	99%
1.00 or less	1,246,100	95%	8,522	92%	7,885	92%	3,204	90%
1.01 to 1.50	41,711	3%	492	5%	492	6%	250	7%
1.51 or more	15,256	1%	126	1%	126	1%	66	2%
Lacking plumbing:	7,598	1%	78	1%	78	1%	38	1%
1.00 or less	7,053	1%	78	1%	78	1%	38	1%
1.01 to 1.50	209	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
1.51 or more	336	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total Renter Substandard					696			

Source: 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

From these tables, the need from substandard rental units can be drawn. There are 696 substandard rental units in the market area.

From the figures above the number of substandard units in each appropriate income range can be estimated in the table below.

Table 42—Substandard Conditions in Each Income Range for the Market Area

	Total	Percent	Demand
	Substandard	Income	due to
	Units	Qualified	Substandard
50% AMI: \$0 to \$40,450	696	61.4%	428
60% AMI: \$0 to \$48,540	696	71.5%	498
Overall Project: \$0 to \$48,540	696	71.5%	498

Source: John Wall and Associates from figures above

G.4 Demand for New Units

The demand components shown in the previous section are summarized below.

Table 43—Demand for New Units

	50% AMI: \$0 to \$40,450	60% AMI: \$0 to \$48,540	Overall Project: \$0 to \$48,540
New Housing Units Required	42	49	49
Rent Overburden Households	4,327	4,623	4,623
Substandard Units	428	498	498
Demand	4,797	5,170	5,170
Less New Supply	0	0	0
Net Demand	4,797	5,170	5,170

^{*} Numbers may not add due to rounding.

G.5 Capture Rate Analysis Chart

Table 44—Capture Rate by Unit Size (Bedrooms) and Targeting

		<u> </u>									
		Income		Total		Net	Capture		Average	Mkt. Rent	Prop.
		Range	Units	Demand	Supply	Demand	Rate	Absrptn.	Mkt. Rent	Range	Rents
50% AMI	1 BR	22050-26150	4	1,439	0	1,439	0.3%	1 month	\$799	\$645 to \$857	604
	2 BR	25340-31400	4	2,399	0	2,399	0.2%	1 month	\$890	\$745 to \$1020	691
	3 BR	32850-36250	4	720	0	720	0.6%	1 month	\$1,010	\$925 to \$1200	902
	4 BR	37200-40450	1	240	0	240	0.4%	1 month	_	_	1,009
60% AMI	1 BR	22050-31380	8	1,551	0	1,551	0.5%	1 month	\$799	\$645 to \$857	604
	2 BR	25340-37680	14	2,585	0	2,585	0.5%	1 month	\$890	\$745 to \$1020	691
	3 BR	32850-43500	14	776	0	776	1.8%	1 month	\$1,010	\$925 to \$1200	902
	4 BR	40320-48540	13	259	0	259	5.0%	1 month	_	_	1,100
	50% AMI	0-40450	13	4,797	0	4,797	0.3%	1 month	_	_	_
TOTAL	60% AMI	0-48540	49	5,170	0	5,170	0.9%	_	_	_	_
for				•		,					
Project	Overall	0-48540	62	5,170	0	5,170	1.2%		_	_	_

^{*} Numbers may not add due to rounding.

The capture rate is not intended to be used in isolation. A low capture rate does not guarantee a successful development, nor does a high capture rate assure failure; the capture rate should be considered in the context of all the other indicators presented in the study. It is one of many factors considered in reaching a conclusion.

H. Competitive Analysis (Existing Competitive Rental Environment)

This section contains a review of statistical data on rental property in the market area and an analysis of the data collected in the field survey of apartments in the area.

H.1 Survey of Apartments

John Wall and Associates conducted a survey of apartments in the area. All of the apartments of interest are surveyed. Some of them are included because they are close to the site, or because they help in understanding the context of the segment where the subject will compete. The full details of the survey are contained in the apartment photo sheets later in this report. A summary of the data focusing on rents is shown in the apartment inventory, also later in this report. A summary of vacancies sorted by rent is presented in the schedule of rents, units, and vacancies.

Table 45—List of Apartments Surveyed

Name	Units	Vacancy Rate	Type	Comments
Allen Circle	36	0.0%	Public housing	
Arbor Creek	116	UR	Conventional	
Brandon Glen	180	3.3%	Conventional	
Bridlewood	200	2.5%	Conventional	
Cooper	27	0.0%	Public housing	
Elliott	22	0.0%	Public housing	
Hardin/Edwards	23	0.0%	Public housing	
Hewlett	20	0.0%	Public housing	
Kathryn	20	0.0%	Public housing	
Mainstreet at Conyers	192	12.5%	Conventional	
Meadow Crossing	182	1.6%	Conventional	
Rock Hill	64	0.0%	Public housing	Subject present
Summer/Nancy	50	0.0%	Public housing (elderly)	
Taylor/Griggs/Steele	28	0.0%	Public housing	
Towne Pointe	108	0.0%	Bond/Sec. 8	
Woodland Trace	376	3.7%	Conventional	

H.1.1 Comparables

The apartments in the market most comparable to the subject are listed below:

Table 46—Comparison of Comparables to Subject

Approximate					
Development Name	Distance	Reason for Comparability	Degree of Comparability		
Subject present	n/a	The subject will be fairly similar after	Very good		

The subject is its own best comparable. The subject will be improved through the renovations, yet the tenants will pay the same rent after the renovations are complete.

H.1.2 Apartment Inventory

The apartment inventory follows this page. Summary information is shown for each apartment surveyed and detailed information is provided on individual property photo sheets.

APARTMENT INVENTORY Conyers, Georgia (PCN: 18-005a)

	ID#	Apartment Name	Year Built vac%	Eff	iciency/S One Bed		Two Bedroom			T	hree Bed	Iroom	Fo	ur Bedr	room	COMMENTS
				Units \		Rent	Units V	acant	Rent	Units \	/acant	Rent	Units Va	cant	Rent	
		18-005a SUBJECT Rock Hill Rock Hill Dr. NE Conyers	Proposed rehab	12	0	PBRA	18	0	PBRA	18	0	PBRA	14	0	PBRA	Bond/RAD; HOME *Trail to park and playground ~All have washer connections and some have dryer connections
		Allen Circle Allen Cir. SW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069	1981 0%	8	0	PBRA	16	0	PBRA	10	0	PBRA	2	0	PBRA	WL=4,135 (all PHA) Public Housing *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		Arbor Creek 1076 S. Main St. NE Conyers Adrian (2-8-18) 770-388-0734	1970s 2018 Rehab	N/A	UR	645-795	N/A	UR	745-1020	N/A	UR	925-1200				WL=12 Conventional; Sec 8=not accepted 116 total units - manager is new and didn't know breakdown; Complex is currently undergoing a rehabilitation and is 82% preleased
(((((((((((((((((((Brandon Glen 1500 Brandon Glen Wa NE Conyers Jaynae (2-7-18) 770-728-6910	1996 ay 3.3%	32	2	645-760	96	4	755-880	52	0	950-1088				Conventional; Sec 8=some Former LIHTC property - funded 1994; *Patio/balcony
		Bridlewood 1150 Sigman Rd. NE Conyers Ashley (2-6-18) 770-760-8400	1989	N/A N/A	0	685 795	N/A	5	805-845	N/A	0	985				WL=0 Conventional; Sec 8=not accepted 200 total units with most being 2BR units - manager does not know breakdown; Managed by Southwood Realty; *Patio/balcony
		Cooper Cooper Cir. NW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069	1968	10	0	PBRA	12	0	PBRA	4	0	PBRA	1	0	PBRA	WL=4,135 (all PHA) Public Housing *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections; **Trail to park and playground
		Elliott Elliott Cir. NW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069	1961 0%	6	0	PBRA	8	0	PBRA	6	0	PBRA	2	0	PBRA	WL=4,135 (all PHA) Public Housing *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections
Logierio		Hardin/Edwards Edwards Cir. SW & Hardin St. SW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069	1968 0%	4	0	PBRA	10	0	PBRA	3	0	PBRA	4 2*	0	PBRA PBRA	WL=4,135 (all PHA) Public Housing *Five bedroom units; **All have washer connections and some have dryer connections
		Hewlett Hewlett St. SW & Bryan St. SW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069	1971 nt 0%	e 6 12	0	PBRA PBRA	2	0	PBRA							WL=4,135 (all PHA) Public Housing *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections
		Kathryn Kathryn Ct. NW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069	1981	20	0	PBRA			-							WL=4,135 (all PHA) Public Housing Elderly *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections; **Trail to park and playground
田中		Mainstreet at Conyers 1501 Renaissance Dr. N Conyers Tim (2-6-18) 844-841-9254	2000 NE 12.5%	54	5	749(870)	96	13	799(990)	42	6	999(1030)				Special=rents shown above WI_=0 Conventional; Sec 8=not accepted *Business center, gazebo sitting area, sundeck and grilling area; **Patio/balcony, storage units and intrustion alarm

APARTMENT INVENTORY Conyers, Georgia (PCN: 18-005a)

	ID#	Apartment Name	Year Built vac%		Efficiency One Be	/Studio (e) edroom	т	wo Bedr	oom	1	Three Bed	lroom	Four Be	edroom	COMMENTS
				Units	Vacant	Rent	Units V	acant	Rent	Units \	/acant	Rent	Units Vacant	Rent	
		Meadow Crossing 1190 Millcrest Walk NW Conyers Ashley (2-6-18) 770-929-1588	1984 V 2007 Rehab 1.6%				182	3	849-925						WL=2 Conventional; Sec 8=17 *Picnic area
AL DE LEGISLA		Rock Hill Rock Hill Dr. NE Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069	1984	1	2 0	PBRA	18	0	PBRA	20	0	PBRA	14 0	PBRA	WL=4,135 (all PHA) Public Housing *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections
		Summer/Nancy Nancy Ct. NW & Summer Cir. NW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069	1971 0%		1 0 9 0	PBRA PBRA									WL=4,135 (all PHA) Public Housing Elderly *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections
11-11-1		Taylor/Griggs/Steele Taylor St., Griggs St. SV & Steele St. SW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069	1960 V 0%		4 0	PBRA	8	0	PBRA	10	0	PBRA	5 0 1* 0		WL=4,135 (all PHA) Public Housing *Five bedroom unit; **All have washer connections and some have dryer connections
THE WAY		Towne Pointe (fka Lexington Village) 1043 Pine Log Rd. NE Conyers Allison (2-6-18) 770-483-1517	1971 2003 Rehab 0%		8 0	PBRA	80	0	PBRA	20	0	PBRA			WL=0 (1BR), 8 (2BR), & 2 (3BR) Bond/Sec 8; PBRA=108 Funded 2001; *Intrusion alarm
		Woodland Trace 1669 Iris Dr. SE Conyers Melissa (2-6-18) 877-959-4122	1986 1988 3.7%	10		783 857	227	13	930-992						WL=0 Conventional; Sec 8=not accepted Mix of 0BR and 1BR is approximated; Comple uses daily pricing; *Business center

						Am	enities			-	Applianc	es	Unit Features		
Map Number	Complex:		Year I	Built:	Laundry Facility	Tennis Court Swimming Pool Club House	Garages Playground	Access/Security Gate Other	Other	Refrigerator Range/Oven Dishwasher	Garbage Disposal W/D Connection Washer, Dryer	Microwave Oven Other Other	Fireplace Free Cable Furnished Air Conditioning Drapes/Blinds Cable Pre-Wired Utilities Included Other	Two-Bed Size (s.f.)	room Rent
	18-005a SUBJECT		Propo	sed			X		_	<u>x x</u>	~		x x x ws	822	PBRA
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	overall						Во	ond/RAD; HOME		
	Allen Circle		1981				X			<u>x x</u>	*		x_x_ <u>x_ws</u>	796	PBRA
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 0.0%	2 BR 0.0%	3 BR 0.0%	4 BR 0.0%	overall 0.0%						Pu	blic Housing		
	Arbor Creek		1970s		X	2	x			x x x	s \$		X X X	805-950	745-1020
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	overall			_				onventional; Sec 8=not		
	Brandon Glen		1996		X	x x	X	X		x x x			x x x *	1032	755-880
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 6.3%	2 BR 4.2%	3 BR 0.0%	4 BR	overall 3.3%						Со	onventional; Sec 8=some		
	Bridlewood		1989		X	x x		X		x x x	X	X	x x x *	890-970	805-845
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	overall							onventional; Sec 8=not cepted		
	Cooper		1968				**			x x	*		x x x ws	865	PBRA
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 0.0%	2 BR 0.0%	3 BR 0.0%	4 BR 0.0%	overall 0.0%						Pu	blic Housing		
	Elliott		1961				X		_	x x	*		x x x ws	839	PBRA
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 0.0%	2 BR 0.0%	3 BR 0.0%	4 BR 0.0%	overall 0.0%			-			Pu	blic Housing		
	Hardin/Edwards		1968							<u>x x</u>	**		x x x ws	865	PBRA
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 0.0%	2 BR 0.0%	3 BR 0.0%	4 BR 0.0%	overall 0.0%						Pu	blic Housing		

						Am	enities		Appliances		Unit Features		
Map Number	Complex:		Year I	Built:	Laundry Facility	Tennis Court Swimming Pool Club House	Garages Playground Access/Security Gate Other	Refrigerator Range/Oven	Garbage Disposal W/D Connection Washer, Dryer Microwave Oven	Other Other	Fireplace Free Cable Furnished Air Conditioning Drapes/Blinds Cable Pre-Wired Utilities Included Other	Two-Bedi Size (s.f.)	room Rent
	Hewlett		1971					x x	*		X X X WS	796	PBRA
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 0.0%	2 BR 0.0%	3 BR	4 BR	overall 0.0%				Publi	c Housing		
	Kathryn		1981				**	X X	*		x x x ws		
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 0.0%	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	overall 0.0%				Publi	c Housing Elderly		
	Mainstreet at Conye	ers	2000		X	X	\$ x x x *	X X	x x x		x x x **	1156	799(990)
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 9.3%	2 BR 13.5%	3 BR 14.3%	4 BR	overall 12.5%	Special=ren	ts show	n above	Conv accep	entional; Sec 8=not ted		
	Meadow Crossing		1984		X	X	x *	x x	x x x		X X X WS	1000-1070	849-925
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR	2 BR 1.6%	3 BR	4 BR	overall 1.6%				Conv	entional; Sec 8=17		
	Rock Hill		1984				X	x x	*		X X X WS	822	PBRA
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 0.0%	2 BR 0.0%	3 BR 0.0%	4 BR 0.0%	overall 0.0%				Publi	c Housing		
	Summer/Nancy		1971					x x	*		x x x ws		
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 0.0%	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	overall 0.0%				Publi	c Housing Elderly		
	Taylor/Griggs/Stee	ele	1960					x x	**		x x x ws	839	PBRA
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 0.0%	2 BR 0.0%	3 BR 0.0%	4 BR 0.0%	overall 0.0%				Publi	c Housing		
	Towne Pointe		1971		X	X	X	x x	х		x x x ws *	805	PBRA
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 0.0%	2 BR 0.0%	3 BR 0.0%	4 BR	overall 0.0%				Bond	/Sec 8; PBRA=108		

			Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features		
Map Number	Complex:	Year Built:	Laundry Facility Tennis Court Swimming Pool Club House Garages Playground Access/Security Gate Other	Refrigerator Range/Oven Dishwasher Garbage Disposal W/D Connection Washer, Dryer Microwave Oven Other	Fireplace Free Cable Furnished Air Conditioning Drapes/Blinds Cable Pre-Wired Utilities Included Other	Two-Bedroo Size (s.f.)	m Rent
	Woodland Trace	1986	<u>x x x x x x * </u>	<u> </u>	X X X	975-1056	930-992
	Vacancy Rates:	1 BR 2 BR 3 BR 0.0% 5.7%	4 BR overall 3.7%		nventional; Sec 8=not epted		

: Convers, Georgia (PCN: 18-005a)			

	No. of Units	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio	0				
One-Bedroom 1 BR vacancy rate	12	1	0	648	PBRA
Two-Bedroom 2 BR vacancy rate	18	1	0	822	PBRA
Three-Bedroom 3 BR vacancy rate	18	1-2	0	996	PBRA
Four-Bedroom 4 BR vacancy rate	14	2	0	1350	PBRA
TOTALS	62		0		

Complex: 18-005a SUBJECT Rock Hill Rock Hill Dr. NE Conyers

Map Number:

Last Rent Increase

Year Built:

Proposed rehab

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features	0
Laundry Facility	x Refrigerator	Fireplace	Specials
— Tennis Court	x Range/Oven	<u>wstg</u> Utilities Included	
— Swimming Pool	— Microwave Oven	— Furnished	
— Club House	Dishwasher	X Air Conditioning	Waiting List
— Garages	Garbage Disposal	x Drapes/Blinds	waiting List
x Playground	W/D Connection	x Cable Pre-Wired	
Access/Security Gate	Washer, Dryer	Free Cable	Subsidies
Fitness Center	Ceiling Fan	Free Internet	Bond/RAD; HOME
Other	Other	Other	, ,

Comments: *Trail to park and playground

~All have washer connections and some have dryer connections



	No. of U	J nits	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio	0					
One-Bedroom		8	1	0	635	PBRA
1 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Two-Bedroom	0.007	16	1	0	796	PBRA
2 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Three-Bedroom		10	1-2	0	986	PBRA
3 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Four-Bedroom		2	2	0	1265	PBRA
4 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
TOTALS	0.0%	36		0		

Complex: Allen Circle Allen Cir. SW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069

Year Built:

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features	
Laundry Facility	Refrigerator	Fireplace	Specials
— Tennis Court	x Range/Oven	wstg Utilities Included	
— Swimming Pool	Microwave Oven	Furnished	
— Club House	Dishwasher	x Air Conditioning	Waiting List
— Garages	Garbage Disposal	x Drapes/Blinds	WL=4,135 (all PHA)
x Playground	* W/D Connection	x Cable Pre-Wired	
Access/Security Gate	Washer, Dryer	Free Cable	Subsidies
Fitness Center	Ceiling Fan	Free Internet	Public Housing
Other	Other	Other	e

Comments: *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections

Map Number:

Last Rent Increase



	No. of Units	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studie	0				
One-Bedroom 1 BR vacancy rate	N/A	1	UR	636	645-795
Two-Bedroom 2 BR vacancy rate	N/A	1	UR	805-950	745-1020
Three-Bedroom 3 BR vacancy rate	N/A	2	UR	1014-1254	925-1200
Four-Bedroom 4 BR vacancy rate					
TOTALS	0		0		

Complex: Arbor Creek 1076 S. Main St. NE Conyers Adrian (2-8-18) 770-388-0734 Map Number:

Last Rent Increase

Year Built:

1970s 2018 Rehab

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features	
<u>x</u> Laundry Facility	X Refrigerator	Fireplace	Specials
— Tennis Court	x Range/Oven	— Utilities Included	
2 Swimming Pool	— Microwave Oven	Furnished	
— Club House	x Dishwasher	x Air Conditioning	Waiting List
— Garages	— Garbage Disposal	x Drapes/Blinds	WL=12
x Playground	s W/D Connection	x Cable Pre-Wired	WL-12
Access/Security Gate	\$ Washer, Dryer	Free Cable	Subsidies
Fitness Center	Ceiling Fan	Free Internet	Conventional; Sec 8=not
Other	Other	Other	accepted

Comments: 116 total units - manager is new and didn't know breakdown; Complex is currently undergoing a rehabilitation and is 82% preleased



	No. of U	nits	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio)					
One-Bedroom		32	1	2	708	645-760
1 BR vacancy rate	6.3%					
Two-Bedroom		96	2	4	1032	755-880
2 BR vacancy rate	4.2%					
Three-Bedroom		 52	2	0	1234	950-1088
3 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Four-Bedroom						
4 BR vacancy rate						
TOTALS	3.3%	180		6		

Complex: Map Number:
Brandon Glen
1500 Brandon Glen Way NE
Conyers
Jaynae (2-7-18)
770-728-6910

Last Rent Increase

Year Built: 1996

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features	
x Laundry Facility	x Refrigerator	Fireplace	Specials
— Tennis Court	x Range/Oven	— Utilities Included	
x Swimming Pool	Microwave Oven	— Furnished	
x Club House	x Dishwasher	x Air Conditioning	Waiting List
— Garages	Garbage Disposal	x Drapes/Blinds	waiting List
x Playground	W/D Connection	x Cable Pre-Wired	
Access/Security Gate	Washer, Dryer	Free Cable	Subsidies
<u>x</u> Fitness Center	Ceiling Fan	Free Internet	Conventional; Sec 8=some
Other	Other	* Other	

Comments: Former LIHTC property - funded 1994; *Patio/balcony



	No. of Units	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio	o N/A	1	0	670	685
One-Bedroom 1 BR vacancy rate	N/A	1	0	850	795
Two-Bedroom 2 BR vacancy rate	N/A	1-2	5	890-970	805-845
Three-Bedroom 3 BR vacancy rate	N/A	2	0	1180	985
Four-Bedroom 4 BR vacancy rate					
TOTALS	0		5		

Complex:
Bridlewood
1150 Sigman Rd. NE
Conyers
Ashley (2-6-18)
770-760-8400

Year Built:

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features	
x Laundry Facility Tennis Court	x Refrigeratorx Range/Oven	FireplaceUtilities Included	Specials
x Swimming Pool Club House Garages	Microwave Oven Dishwasher Garbage Disposal	Furnished X Air Conditioning Drapes/Blinds	Waiting List WL=0
Playground Access/Security Gate Titness Center	W/D Connection Washer, Dryer X Ceiling Fan	x Cable Pre-Wired Free Cable Free Internet	Subsidies Conventional; Sec 8=not

Comments: 200 total units with most being 2BR units - manager does not know breakdown; Managed by Southwood Realty; *Patio/balcony

* Other

_ Other

Other

Map Number:

Last Rent Increase

accepted



	No. of U	Jnits	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio)					
One-Bedroom		10	1	0	646	PBRA
1 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Two-Bedroom		12	1	0	865	PBRA
2 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Three-Bedroom		4	1-2	0	977	PBRA
3 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Four-Bedroom		1	2	0	1039	PBRA
4 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
TOTALS	0.0%	27		0		

Complex:
Cooper
Cooper Cir. NW
Conyers
Toya (2-8-18)
678-373-2069

Year Built:

1968

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features	0
Laundry Facility Tennis Court	x Refrigerator x Range/Oven	Fireplacewstg Utilities Included	Specials
Swimming Pool	Microwave Oven	— Furnished	
Club House Garages	Dishwasher Garbage Disposal	X Air Conditioning X Drapes/Blinds	Waiting List WL=4,135 (all PHA)
** Playground Access/Security Gate	* W/D Connection Washer, Dryer	x Cable Pre-Wired Free Cable	Subsidies
Fitness Center Other	Ceiling Fan	Free Internet Other	Public Housing

Comments: *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections; **Trail to park and playground

Map Number:

Last Rent Increase



	No. of U	Jnits	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio)					
One-Bedroom		6	1	0	631	PBRA
1 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Two-Bedroom		8	1	0	839	PBRA
2 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Three-Bedroom		6	1-2	0	1020	PBRA
3 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Four-Bedroom		2	2	0	1262	PBRA
4 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
TOTALS	0.0%	22		0		

Complex:
Elliott
Elliott Cir. NW
Conyers
Toya (2-8-18)
678-373-2069

Year Built: 1961

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features	
— Laundry Facility	x Refrigerator	Fireplace	Specials
— Tennis Court	x Range/Oven	wstg Utilities Included	
— Swimming Pool	— Microwave Oven	Furnished	
— Club House	Dishwasher	x Air Conditioning	Waiting List
— Garages	Garbage Disposal	<u>x</u> Drapes/Blinds	WL=4,135 (all PHA)
x Playground	* W/D Connection	x Cable Pre-Wired	WL=4,133 (all 11111)
Access/Security Gate	Washer, Dryer	Free Cable	Subsidies
Fitness Center	Ceiling Fan	Free Internet	Public Housing
Other	Other	Other	

Comments: *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections

Last Rent Increase

Map Number:



	No. of U	Jnits	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio)					
One-Bedroom		4	1	0	646	PBRA
1 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Two-Bedroom	•••••	10	1	0	865	PBRA
2 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Three-Bedroom		3	1-2	0	977	PBRA
3 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Four-Bedroom		4	2	0	1039	PBRA
4 BR vacancy rate	0.0%	2*	2	0	1140	PBRA
TOTALS	0.0%	23		0		

Complex: Map Number:
Hardin/Edwards
Edwards Cir. SW & Hardin St. SW
Conyers
Toya (2-8-18)
678-373-2069

Last Rent Increase

Year Built: 1968

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features	
Laundry Facility	x Refrigerator	Fireplace	Specials
— Tennis Court	x Range/Oven	wstg Utilities Included	
— Swimming Pool	— Microwave Oven	— Furnished	
— Club House	Dishwasher	x Air Conditioning	Waiting List
— Garages	Garbage Disposal	x Drapes/Blinds	WL=4,135 (all PHA)
Playground	** W/D Connection	x Cable Pre-Wired	W L=4,133 (all 11111)
Access/Security Gate	Washer, Dryer	Free Cable	Subsidies
Fitness Center	Ceiling Fan	Free Internet	Public Housing
Other	Other	Other	8

Comments: *Five bedroom units; **All have washer connections and some have dryer connections



	No. of	Units	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio)	6	1	0	468	PBRA
One-Bedroom		12	1	0	633	PBRA
1 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Two-Bedroom		2	1	0	796	PBRA
2 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Three-Bedroom						
3 BR vacancy rate						
Four-Bedroom						
4 BR vacancy rate						
TOTALS	0.0%	20		0		


Complex: Map Number: Hewlett Hewlett St. SW & Bryant St. SW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069

Last Rent Increase

Year Built: 1971

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features		
Laundry Facility	x Refrigerator	Fireplace	Specials	
— Tennis Court	x Range/Oven	wstg Utilities Included		
— Swimming Pool	— Microwave Oven	Furnished		
— Club House	Dishwasher	x Air Conditioning	Waiting List	
— Garages	Garbage Disposal	x Drapes/Blinds	WL=4,135 (all PHA)	
Playground	* W/D Connection	x Cable Pre-Wired	WL=+,133 (all 11111)	
Access/Security Gate	Washer, Dryer	Free Cable	Subsidies	
Fitness Center	Ceiling Fan	Free Internet	Public Housing	
Other	Other	Other		

Comments: *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections



	No. of U	nits	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio)					
One-Bedroom		20	1	0	646	PBRA
1 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Two-Bedroom						
2 BR vacancy rate						
Three-Bedroom						
3 BR vacancy rate						
Four-Bedroom						
4 BR vacancy rate						
TOTALS	0.0%	20		0		

Complex: Kathryn Kathryn Ct. NW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069

Year Built: 1981

Unit Features Amenities **Appliances** Specials Refrigerator Fireplace Laundry Facility wstg Utilities Included - Range/Oven Tennis Court Swimming Pool - Microwave Oven Furnished Air Conditioning - Club House _ Dishwasher Waiting List _ Drapes/Blinds Garbage Disposal Garages WL=4,135 (all PHA) W/D Connection Cable Pre-Wired Playground Access/Security Gate Washer, Dryer Free Cable Subsidies Fitness Center Ceiling Fan Free Internet Public Housing Elderly Other Other Other

Comments: *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections; **Trail to park and playground

Map Number:

Last Rent Increase



	No. of U	Jnits	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio	0					
One-Bedroom		54	1	5	943	749(870)
1 BR vacancy rate	9.3%					
Two-Bedroom		96	2	13	1156	799(990)
2 BR vacancy rate	13.5%					
Three-Bedroom		42	2	6	1287	999(1030)
3 BR vacancy rate	14.3%					
Four-Bedroom						
4 BR vacancy rate						
TOTALS	12.5%	192		24		

Complex: Map Number: Mainstreet at Conyers 1501 Renaissance Dr. NE Conyers Tim (2-6-18) 844-841-9254

Last Rent Increase

Year Built: 2000

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features	
Laundry Facility Tennis Court	x Refrigerator x Range/Oven	Fireplace Utilities Included	Specials Special=rents shown above
x Swimming Pool Club House Garages Playground	Microwave Ovenx Dishwasherx Garbage Disposalx W/D Connection	Furnished X Air Conditioning Drapes/Blinds Cable Pre-Wired	Waiting List WL=0
x Access/Security Gate x Fitness Center Other	Washer, Dryer Ceiling Fan Other	Free Cable Free Internet Other	Subsidies Conventional; Sec 8=not accepted

Comments: *Business center, gazebo sitting area, sundeck and grilling area; **Patio/balcony, storage units and intrustion alarm



	No. of Ur	nits	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio One-Bedroom 1 BR vacancy rate	o					
Two-Bedroom 2 BR vacancy rate	1.6%	182	1-2	3	1000-1070	849-925
Three-Bedroom 3 BR vacancy rate						
Four-Bedroom 4 BR vacancy rate						
TOTALS	1.6%	182		3		

Complex:

Meadow Crossing 1190 Millcrest Walk NW Conyers Ashley (2-6-18) 770-929-1588

Year Built:

1984 2007 Rehab

Amenities

x Laundry Facility
Tennis Court
x Swimming Pool
Club House
Garages
x Playground
Access/Security Gate
Fitness Center
* Other

Appliances

x Refrigerator
x Range/Oven
Microwave Oven
x Dishwasher
x Garbage Disposal
x W/D Connection
Washer, Dryer
Ceiling Fan
Other

Unit Features

wst Utilities Included
Furnished
X Air Conditioning
X Drapes/Blinds
X Cable Pre-Wired
Free Cable
Free Internet
Other

Last Rent Increase

Map Number:

Specials

Waiting List WL=2

Subsidies

Conventional; Sec 8=17

Comments: *Picnic area



	No. of U	nits	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio)					
One-Bedroom		12	1	0	648	PBRA
1 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Two-Bedroom		18	1	0	822	PBRA
2 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Three-Bedroom		20	1-2		996	PBRA
3 BR vacancy rate	0.0%				,,,	
Four-Bedroom		14	2	0	1350	PBRA
4 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
TOTALS	0.0%	64		0		

Complex: Rock Hill Rock Hill Dr. NE Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069

Map Number:

Year Built: 1984

Unit Features Amenities **Appliances** - Refrigerator Fireplace Laundry Facility - Range/Oven Utilities Included Tennis Court Swimming Pool - Microwave Oven Furnished Air Conditioning Club House Dishwasher Drapes/Blinds Cable Pre-Wired Garbage Disposal Garages W/D Connection Playground Access/Security Gate Washer, Dryer Free Cable Fitness Center Ceiling Fan Free Internet Other Other Other

Last Rent Increase

Specials

Waiting List WL=4,135 (all PHA)

SubsidiesPublic Housing

Comments: *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections



	No. of	Units	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio)	11	1	0	468	PBRA
One-Bedroom		39	1	0	633	PBRA
1 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Two-Bedroom						
2 BR vacancy rate						
Three-Bedroom						
3 BR vacancy rate						
Four-Bedroom						
4 BR vacancy rate						
TOTALS	0.0%	50		0		

Complex: Map Number: Summer/Nancy Nancy Ct. NW & Summer Cir. NW Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069

Year Built: 1971

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features
Laundry Facility Tennis Court Swimming Pool Club House Garages Playground Access/Security Gate Fitness Center Other	x Refrigerator x Range/Oven Microwave Oven Dishwasher Garbage Disposal W/D Connection Washer, Dryer Ceiling Fan Other	Fireplace wstg Utilities Included Furnished X Air Conditioning X Drapes/Blinds X Cable Pre-Wired Free Cable Free Internet Other

Comments: *All have washer connections and some have dryer connections

Last Rent Increase

Specials

Waiting List WL=4,135 (all PHA)

SubsidiesPublic Housing Elderly



	No. of Uni	ts	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio)					
One-Bedroom		4	1	0	631	PBRA
1 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Two-Bedroom		 8	1		839	PBRA
2 BR vacancy rate	0.0%	C	1	V	037	1 Diu i
Three-Bedroom	1		1-2	0	1020	PBRA
3 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Four-Bedroom		 5	2	0	1262	PBRA
4 BR vacancy rate	0.0% 1	*	2	0	1361	PBRA
TOTALS	0.0% 2	28		0		

Complex: Map Number: Taylor/Griggs/Steele Taylor St., Griggs St. SW & Steele St. SW

Last Rent Increase

Conyers Toya (2-8-18) 678-373-2069

Year Built: 1960

Amenities	Appliances	Unit Features	
Laundry Facility	x Refrigerator	Fireplace	Specials
— Tennis Court	x Range/Oven	<u>wstg</u> Utilities Included	

Swimming Pool Microwave Oven Furnished Club House Dishwasher Air Conditioning Waiting List Garbage Disposal Drapes/Blinds Garages WL=4,135 (all PHA) Cable Pre-Wired W/D Connection Playground Access/Security Gate Washer, Dryer Free Cable Subsidies Fitness Center Ceiling Fan Free Internet Public Housing _ Other Other Other

Comments: *Five bedroom unit; **All have washer connections and some have dryer connections



	No. of U	Jnits	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio)					
One-Bedroom 1 BR vacancy rate	0.0%	8	1	0	593	PBRA
Two-Bedroom 2 BR vacancy rate	0.0%	80	1	0	805	PBRA
Three-Bedroom 3 BR vacancy rate	0.0%	20	1	0	963	PBRA
Four-Bedroom 4 BR vacancy rate						
TOTALS	0.0%	108		0		

Complex:

Towne Pointe (fka Lexington Village) 1043 Pine Log Rd. NE Conyers Allison (2-6-18) 770-483-1517

Map Number:

Year Built:

1971 2003 Rehab

Amenities

x Laundry Facility
Tennis Court
x Swimming Pool
Club House
Garages
x Playground
Access/Security Gate
Fitness Center
Other

Appliances

X Refrigerator
X Range/Oven
Microwave Oven
X Dishwasher
Garbage Disposal
W/D Connection
Washer, Dryer
Ceiling Fan
Other

Unit Features

wst Utilities Included
Furnished
X Air Conditioning
X Drapes/Blinds
X Cable Pre-Wired
Free Cable
Free Internet
Other

Last Rent Increase

Specials

Waiting List WL=0 (1BR), 8 (2BR), & 2 (3BR)

Subsidies Bond/Sec 8; PBRA=108

Comments: Funded 2001; *Intrusion alarm



	No. of	Units	Baths	Vacant	Size (s.f.)	Rent
Efficiency/Studio)	49	1	1	720	783
One-Bedroom		100	1	0	895	857
1 BR vacancy rate	0.0%					
Two-Bedroom 2 BR vacancy rate	5.7%	227	2	13	975-1056	930-992
Three-Bedroom 3 BR vacancy rate						
Four-Bedroom 4 BR vacancy rate						
TOTALS	3.7%	376		14		

Complex:

Woodland Trace 1669 Iris Dr. SE Conyers Melissa (2-6-18) 877-959-4122

Year Built:

1986 1988

Amenities

X Laundry Facility
Tennis Court
X Swimming Pool
X Club House
Garages
X Playground
X Access/Security Gate
X Fitness Center
Other

Appliances

X Refrigerator
X Range/Oven
Microwave Oven
X Dishwasher
Garbage Disposal
X W/D Connection
Washer, Dryer
Ceiling Fan
Other

Unit Features

Fireplace
Utilities Included
Furnished
X Air Conditioning
X Drapes/Blinds
X Cable Pre-Wired
Free Cable
Free Internet

Other

Specials

Waiting List WL=0

Last Rent Increase

Subsidies

Conventional; Sec 8=not

Map Number:

accepted

Comments: Mix of 0BR and 1BR is approximated; Complex uses daily pricing; *Business center

H.1.3 Schedule of Present Rents, Units, and Vacancies

The present housing situation is examined in this section. The rents, number of units, and vacancies of the apartments listed in the apartment inventory (shown separately later) are summarized in the tables below. Rents, units, and vacancies are tabulated separately for the various bedroom sizes, a necessary step in making bedroom mix recommendations.

The table below shows surveyed apartment complexes in or near the market area. The *pro forma* rents, as given by the developer, are shown in orange in the table below. These rents will be compared to the other apartments in the area, and especially the comparable apartments to determine if they are reasonable. In addition to seeing how the *pro forma* rents compare in terms of absolute rents in the following table, it will be important to consider the amenities and locations of the other apartments.

Table 47—Schedule of Rents, Number of Units, and Vacancies for Apartment Units

1	Efficiency U	nits	1	-Bedroom U	Jnits	2	-Bedroom U	Jnits		3-Bedroom U	Jnits
Rents	Units	Vacancies	Rents	Units	Vacancies	Rents	Units	Vacancies	Rents	Units	Vacancies
PBRA	6	0	PBRA	12	P	PBRA	18	P	PBRA	18	P
PBRA	11	0	PBRA	12	0	PBRA	18	0	PBRA	18	0
685	N/A	0	PBRA	10	0	PBRA	12	0	PBRA	4	0
783	49	1	PBRA	6	0	PBRA	8	0	PBRA	6	0
			PBRA	4	0	PBRA	10	0	PBRA	3	0
			PBRA	8	0	PBRA	2	0	PBRA	10	0
			PBRA	20	0	PBRA	16	0	PBRA	10	0
			PBRA	12	0	PBRA	8	0	PBRA	20	0
			PBRA	39	0	PBRA	80	0	985	N/A	0
			PBRA	4	0	799	96	13	999	42	6
			PBRA	8	0	818	96	4	1019	52	0
			703	32	2	825	N/A	5	1063	N/A	UR
			720	N/A	UR	883	N/A	UR			
			749	54	5	887	182	3			
			795	N/A	0	961	227	13		4-Bedroom U	Jnits
			857	100	0				Rents	Units	Vacancies
									PBRA	14	P
									PBRA	14	0
									PBRA	1	0
									PBRA	2	0
									PBRA	4	0
									PBRA	2	0
									PBRA	2	0
									PBRA	5	0
									PBRA	1	0

	Efficiency	1-Bedroom	2-Bedrooms	3-Bedrooms	4-Bedrooms	TOTAL
Vacant Units	1	7	33	6	0	47
Total Units	66	309	755	167	31	1328
Vacancy Rate	1.5%	2.3%	4.4%	3.6%	0.0%	3.5%

 $UR = under \ rehabilitation; \ UC = under \ construction; \ RU = in \ rent \ up; \ PL = planned; \ N/A = information \ unavailable$

Source: John Wall and Associates

A vacancy rate of 5.0% is considered normal. The overall vacancy rate in the market is 3.5%.

H.2 Additional information on competitive environment

Vouchers and certificates available in the market area:

DCA administers 413 vouchers for Conyers - Rockdale County, and 378 are being used there with 100 households on the waiting list there for vouchers. Conyers HA operates Public Housing in Conyers. There are 290 Public Housing units with 0 vacancies; 4,135 households are on the waiting list, but the waiting list is currently closed.

• Lease up history of competitive developments:

This is not applicable.

• Tenant profiles of existing phase:


Public Housing tenants

• Additional information for rural areas lacking sufficient comps:

This is not applicable.

H.3 Apartment Locations Map

Apartment Locations Map



H.4 Amenity Analysis

Development Amenities:

Equipped playground

Unit Amenities:

Refrigerator, stove, washer/dryer connections, HVAC, blinds, and cable pre-wired

Utilities Included:

Water, sewer, trash, and gas

The subject's amenities are inferior compared to modern LIHTC developments and the luxury complexes in Conyers, but they are sufficient for the proposal as evidenced by the fact that the subject is 100% occupied.

H.5 Selection of Comps

See H.1.1.

H.6 Long Term Occupancy

In light of vacancy and stabilization rates in the local market area, the subject should not have any difficulty maintaining 93% stabilized occupancy or better.

H.7 New "Supply"

DCA requires comparable units built since the base year to be deducted from demand. Only comparable units within comparable complexes will be deducted from demand, as indicated by the asterisks.

Table 48—Apartment Units Built or Proposed Since the Base Year

		Units With	30% AMI,	50% AMI,	60% AMI,	Above	
	Year	Rental	No Rental	No Rental	No Rental	Moderate	
Development Name	Built	Assistance	Assistance	Assistance	Assistance	Income	TOTAL

NONE

There are no comparable units to deduct from supply because no new units with rental assistance have been built in Conyers.

^{*} Units that will be deducted from demand; parenthetical numbers indicate partial comparability. I.e., 100(50*) indicates that there are 100 new units of which only half are comparable.

H.8 Average Market Rent and Rent Differential

See the apartment inventory, amenities chart, and community photo sheets previously for in depth comparisons of the subject to each complex surveyed. Total units, mix, rents, occupancy and other relevant details are shown in full on the apartment inventory.

The following table gives the proposed rents in comparison to the rental range for competitive developments within the market area, and an average market rent for each of the proposed unit types. Rent advantage is calculated as follows: (average market rent – proposed rent) / proposed rent.

Table 49—Market Rent Advantage

			•		
		Number	Net	Market	Market
	Bedrooms	of Units	Rent	Rent	Advantage
50%	1	4	604	799	32.3%
50%	2	4	691	890	28.8%
50%	3	4	902	1010	12.0%
50%	4	1	1009	1100	9.0%
60%	1	8	604	799	32.3%
60%	2	14	691	890	28.8%
60%	3	14	902	1010	12.0%
60%	4	13	1100	1100	0.0%

The DCA Market Study Manual specifies Rent advantage is calculated as follows: (average market rent – proposed rent) / proposed rent.

Since all of the rents at the subject will be based on income, real market advantage would vary by individual household. The advantages calculated above are based on the contract rents. Since no four-bedroom units with market rents exist in the market, \$100 has been added to the three-bedroom rent to estimate what a four-bedroom unit might rent for if one existed.

H.9 Information on Other DCA properties

See the Schedule of Rents Units and Vacancies along with the Apartment Inventory and the Photo Sheets.

H.10 Rental Trends in the Market Area


H.10.1 Tenure

Table 50—Tenure by Bedrooms


	State	%	County	%	Market Area	%	City	%
Owner occupied:	2,263,697		20,405		16,130		1,733	
No bedroom	6,123	0.3%	74	0.4%	54	0.3%	0	0.0%
1 bedroom	26,881	1.2%	65	0.3%	65	0.4%	34	2.0%
2 bedrooms	261,627	11.6%	1,020	5.0%	851	5.3%	288	16.6%
3 bedrooms	1,159,319	51.2%	10,775	52.8%	8,441	52.3%	915	52.8%
4 bedrooms	587,756	26.0%	5,812	28.5%	4,566	28.3%	395	22.8%
5 or more bedrooms	221,991	9.8%	2,659	13.0%	2,153	13.3%	101	5.8%
Renter occupied:	1,310,665		9,218		8,581		3,558	
No bedroom	36,160	2.8%	430	4.7%	430	5.0%	189	5.3%
1 bedroom	221,703	16.9%	932	10.1%	932	10.9%	549	15.4%
2 bedrooms	499,353	38.1%	3,591	39.0%	3,489	40.7%	1,772	49.8%
3 bedrooms	424,848	32.4%	2,987	32.4%	2,680	31.2%	821	23.1%
4 bedrooms	104,845	8.0%	1,002	10.9%	865	10.1%	210	5.9%
5 or more bedrooms	23,756	1.8%	276	3.0%	185	2.2%	17	0.5%

Source: 2015-5yr ACS (Census)

Tenure by Bedrooms for the State and Market Area



Median Home Value Map



H.11 Impact of Foreclosed, Abandoned, etc. Properties

There is no evidence of any adverse impact due to foreclosure or abandonment.

H.12 Primary Housing Voids

There aren't enough rental assisted units in the market area.

H.13 Long Term Impact

The subject will have no long term impact on the occupancy of other assisted properties.

H.14 Building Permits Issued


Building permits are an indicator of the economic strength and activity of a community. While permits are never issued for a market area, the multi-family permits issued for the county and town are an indicator of apartments recently added to the supply:

Table 51—Building Permits Issued

		County			City	
Year	Total	Single Family	Multi-Family	Total	Single Family	Multi-Family
2000	766	454	312	4	4	0
2001	807	567	240	257	17	240
2002	1033	1023	10	197	187	10
2003	903	883	20	72	72	0
2004	633	633	0	25	25	0
2005	1021	1005	16	210	210	0
2006	1034	1010	24	350	350	0
2007	608	602	6	126	126	0
2008	154	152	2	68	66	2
2009	67	59	8	35	27	8
2010	40	40	0	29	29	0
2011	30	30	0	23	23	0
2012	36	36	0	9	9	0
2013	81	81	0	9	9	0
2014	133	133	0	5	5	0
2015	138	138	0	2	2	0
2016	200	200	0	9	9	0

Source: C-40, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Housing Units Authorized by Building Permits"

Median Gross Rent Map



I. Absorption & Stabilization Rates

Given reasonable management, the development should be able to remain 93% occupied through the rehabilitation and be fully occupied within 1 month of the completion of the rehabilitation, assuming that only some of the units will be rehabilitated at a time. The absorption rate determination considers such factors as the overall estimate of new household growth, the available supply of competitive units, observed trends in absorption of comparable units, and the availability of subsidies and rent specials. The absorption period is considered to start as soon as the first units are released for occupancy.

J. Interviews

The following interviews were conducted regarding demand for the subject.

J.1 Economic Development

The Georgia Film, Music & Digital Entertainment Office (FMDE) has designated Rockdale County as Camera Ready, a special designation given to participating Georgia communities interested in attracting film and television production. In the last few years several films and television shows have been filmed in Rockdale County. This includes *Fast and Furious 5*, *A Joyful Noise*, *Prisoners*, *American Pie Reunion*, *Ben 10 1 & 2*, *Zombieland*, *The Vampire Diaries*, *The Originals*, and *Teen Wolf*. The most recent filming was for the new FOX series *The Resident*.

According to the 2018 and 2017 Georgia WARN lists, one company in Rockdale County announced layoffs in the last year. Seven jobs were affected at Golden State Foods.

K. Conclusions and Recommendations

The subject, as proposed, should be successful. See also Executive Summary.


L. Signed Statement Requirements

See signed statement in front matter.


M. Market Study Representation

DCA may rely on the representations made in the market study to be true and accurate to the best knowledge of John Wall and Associates. DCA may assign the market study to other lenders who are parties to the DCA loan transaction.

N. Transportation Appendix



O. Crime Appendix



P. NCHMA Market Study Index/Checklist

Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.

Executive Summary
1. Executive Summary8
Scope of Work
2. Scope of Work6
Project Description
3. Unit mix including bedrooms, bathrooms,
square footage, rents, and income targeting18
4. Utilities (and utility sources) included in rent19
5. Target market/population description18
6. Project description including unit features and
community amenities18
7. Date of construction/preliminary completion19
8. If rehabilitation, scope of work, existing rents,
and existing vacancies19
Location
9. Concise description of the site and adjacent
parcels
10. Site photos/maps26
11. Map of community services26
12. Site evaluation/neighborhood including
visibility, accessibility, and crime20
Market Area
13. PMA Description32
14. PMA Map31
Employment and Economy
15. At-Place employment trends43
16. Employment by sector41
17. Unemployment rates43
18. Area major employers/employment centers
and proximity to site43
19. Recent or planned employment
expansions/reductions70
Demographic Characteristics
20. Population and household estimates and
projections33, 34, 35
21. Area building permits67
22. Population and household characteristics
including income, tenure, and size39, 35, 38
23. For senior or special needs projects, provide

data specific to target market N/A

Competitive Environment
24. Comparable property profiles and photos59
25. Map of comparable properties62
26. Existing rental housing evaluation including
vacancy and rents 59, 60
27. Comparison of subject property to
comparable properties59
28. Discussion of availability and cost of other
affordable housing options including
homeownership, if applicable60
29. Rental communities under construction,
approved, or proposed63
30. For senior or special needs populations,
provide data specific to target marketN/A
Affordability, Demand, and Penetration Rate
Analysis
31. Estimate of demand57
$32.\ Affordability\ analysis\ with\ capture\ rate49,58$
33. Penetration rate analysis with capture rate 17, 58 $$
Analysis/Conclusions
Analysis/Conclusions 34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized
•
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject69
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject6935. Evaluation of proposed rent levels including
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject69 35. Evaluation of proposed rent levels including estimate of market/achievable rents
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject
34. Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject

Q. Business References

Ms. Laura Nicholson SC State Housing Finance & Development Authority Attn: Housing Development 300-C Outlet Pointe Boulevard Columbia, South Carolina 29210 803/896-9194

Mr. Jay Ronca Vantage Development 1544 S. Main Street Fyffe, Alabama 35971 256/417-4920 ext. 224

Mr. Scott Farmer North Carolina Housing Finance Agency 3508 Bush Street Raleigh, North Carolina 37609 919/877-5700

R. Résumés

Bob Rogers

Experience

Principal and Market Analyst

John Wall and Associates, Seneca, South Carolina (2017 to Present)

Responsibilities include: Development of housing demand methodology; development of computer systems and technologies; analysis of demographic trends; creation and production of analytic maps and graphics; and CRA compliance.

Senior Market Analyst

John Wall and Associates, Anderson, South Carolina (1992 to 2017)

Responsibilities included: Development of housing demand methodology; development of computer systems and technologies; analysis of demographic trends; creation and production of analytic maps and graphics; CRA compliance; courtroom presentation graphics.

Manager

Institute for Electronic Data Analysis, Knoxville, Tennessee (1990 to 1992)

Responsibilities included: Marketing, training new employees and users of US Bureau of the Census data products, and custom research.

Consultant

Sea Ray Boats, Inc., Knoxville, Tennessee (1991)

Project included: Using various statistical techniques to create customer profiles that the senior management team used to create a marketing strategy.

Consultant

Central Transport, High Point, North Carolina (1990)

Project included: Research and analysis in the area of driver retention and how to improve the company's turnover ratio.

Professional Organization

National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA)

Executive Committee Member (2004-2010)

Standards Committee Co-Chair (2006-2010)

Standards Committee Vice Chair (2004-2006)

Member delegate (2002-Present)

Publications

Senior Housing Options, NCHMA White Paper (draft)

Field Work for Market Studies, NCHMA White Paper, 2011

Ten Things Developers Should Know About Market Studies, Affordable Housing Finance Magazine, 2007 Selecting Comparable Properties (Best Practices), NCHMA publication 2006

Education

Continuing Education, National Council of Housing Market Analysts (2002 to present)

Multifamily Accelerated Processing (MAP) Certificate, HUD (May 2012)

MBA Transportation and Logistics, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee (1991)

BS Business Logistics, Penn State, University Park, Pennsylvania (1989)

Joe Burriss

Experience

Principal and Market Analyst

John Wall & Associates, Seneca, South Carolina (2017 to present)

Responsibilities include: Author of numerous apartment market studies; make, review and evaluate recommendations regarding student housing analysis; collect and analyze multifamily rental housing information (both field and census); conduct site and location analysis. Design marketing plans and strategies; client development.

Marketing Director

John Wall & Associates, Anderson, South Carolina (2003 to 2017)

Responsibilities included: Designing marketing plans and strategies; client development.

Senior Market Analyst and Researcher

John Wall & Associates, Anderson, South Carolina (1999 to 2017)

Responsibilities included: Author of numerous apartment market studies; making, reviewing and evaluating recommendations regarding student housing analysis; collecting and analyzing multifamily rental housing information (both field and census); conducting site and location analysis.

Professional Organization

National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA) FHA Lender and Underwriting (MAP) Committee (2012-Present) Member Delegate (2002-Present)

Education

Continuing Education, National Council of Housing Market Analysts (2002-Present) Multifamily Accelerated Processing (MAP) Certificate, *HUD (May 2012)* BS Marketing, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina (2002)