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Dear Ms. Ferrell:

In accordance with your request, I have personally appraised the existing Rural Development property targeted towards families known as Fox Chase I Apartments. The site contains approximately 1.63 acres. The subject is improved with three garden one-story and townhouse two-story buildings containing 24 units.

The purpose of the Appraisal Report is to estimate the market value, subject to restricted rents, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(i) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; market value, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752 (b)(1)(ii) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the prospective market value, subject to restricted rents, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(i) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; prospective market value within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), premised upon a hypothetical condition as-if conventional housing as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of interest credit subsidy from the existing 515 loan as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of interest credit subsidy from the assumed 515 loan as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of favorable financing as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of the Low Income Housing Tax Credits as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines. Additional values required by Georgia Department of Community Affairs include the value of the land; as is market value; the prospective market value upon stabilization - restricted rents; the prospective market value upon stabilization - market rents; the prospective market value at loan maturity market rents. The property interest being appraised is the fee simple interest. The function of this appraisal is to aid the client, Investors Management Company, Georgia Department of Community Affairs and United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development in the decision-making process involved in evaluating the value of the subject property.

The intended users of the appraisal are Investors Management Company, Georgia Department of Community Affairs and United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development. The appraisal is assignable to other lenders or participants in the transaction. In addition to this appraisal, Gill Group, Inc., has also completed a market study.

A description of the property, together with information providing a basis for estimates, is presented in the accompanying report. This appraisal is subject to the definitions, assumptions, conditions and certification contained in the attached report. During the fieldwork, it has been determined the appraised property has no natural, cultural, scientific or recreational value. Samuel T. Gill, State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, is the signing appraiser on this report. He completed valuation and analysis as indicated in the Scope of Work of this report. Jamie Cox and Caroline Borgini, Market Analyst, provided professional assistance to Samuel T. Gilll. Jamie Cox and Caroline Borgini inspected the interior and exterior of the subject property, and Samuel T. Gill inspected the exterior of the property.

The market value of the fee simple estate, unrestricted or conventional, subject to short-term leases, was determined under the hypothetical condition that the subject was a conventional property and not subject to any rent restrictions.

The "prospective" values upon stabilization of the fee simple estate were determined under the extraordinary assumption that the rehabilitation is completed as detailed in the scope of work and that the proposed rents indicated in the report are approved.

The following values are determined for the Clients and Intended Users:

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Market Value, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), Premised Upon a Hypothetical Condition as-if Conventional Housing, as of May 15,2017 , is as follows.

## ONE MILLION THREE HUNDRED TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS \$1,325,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Market Value, Subject to Restricted Rents, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(i), as of May 15, 2017, is as follows.

## SIX HUNDRED TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS

\$610,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Market Value, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), as of May 15, 2017, is as follows.

## SIX HUNDRED TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS

\$610,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Prospective Market Value within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), Premised Upon A Hypothetical Condition As-If Conventional Housing as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

## ONE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$1,520,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Prospective Market Value, Subject to Restricted Rents, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(i), as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

## EIGHT HUNDRED FORTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$845,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the Value of the Interest Credit Subsidy from the Existing USDA RD Section 515 Loan of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:

## THREE HUNDRED TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$320,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the Value of the Interest Credit Subsidy from the Proposed USDA RD Section 515 Loan of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:

TWO HUNDRED SEVENTY SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS
\$277,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the value of the Low Income Housing Tax Credits, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:

## FIVE HUNDRED NINETY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS \$595,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 643 square feet one-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:

## FIVE HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS <br> $\$ 550.00$

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 909 square feet two-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:

## SIX HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE DOLLARS

\$685.00

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 949 square feet three-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:

## SEVEN HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE DOLLARS <br> \$785.00

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 643 square feet one-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

## SIX HUNDRED DOLLARS <br> $\$ 600.00$

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 909 square feet two-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

## SEVEN HUNDRED THIRTY FIVE DOLLARS <br> $\$ 735.00$

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 949 square feet three-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

## EIGHT HUNDRED THIRTY FIVE DOLLARS <br> $\$ 835.00$

The following values are determined for the DCA:

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the market value of the land, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows.

## FORTY FOUR THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$44,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the "As Is" market value of the subject property, subject to market rents, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows.

ONE MILLION THREE HUNDRED TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS \$1,325,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the "As Is" market value of the subject property, subject to restricted rents, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows.

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the prospective market value upon stabilization - market rents, of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

## ONE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$1,520,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the prospective market value upon stabilization - restricted rents, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

## EIGHT HUNDRED FORTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS

\$845,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the prospective market value at loan maturity - market rents, of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

## FOUR MILLION ONE HUNDRED TWENTY EIGHT THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$4,128,000.00

This report and its contents are intended solely for your information and assistance for the function stated previously, and should not be relied upon for any other purpose. Otherwise, neither the whole nor any part of this appraisal or any reference thereto may be included in any document, statement, appraisal or circular without my explicit, prior written approval of the form and context in which it appears.

The accompanying prospective financial analysis is based on estimates and assumptions developed in connection with the appraisal. However, some assumptions inevitably will not materialize and unanticipated events and circumstances will occur. The actual results achieved during the holding period will vary from my estimates and these variations may be material. I have not been engaged to evaluate the effectiveness of management, and I am not responsible for management's actions such as marketing efforts.

The estimated marketing period is nine months. This appraisal report sets forth only the appraiser's conclusions. Supporting documentation is retained in the appraiser's file. A copy of this report, together with the field data from which it was prepared, is retained in my files. This data is available for your inspection upon request.

Respectfully submitted,


Samuel T. Gill
State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
GA\# 258907
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Name of the Property
Location
Current Owner
Type of Report
Total Land Area
Floodplain Hazard

Zoning

Property Description

Real Estate Taxes

Property Type
Date of Inspection
Sales History of Subject

Fox Chase I Apartments
11 Fox Chase Circle, Greensboro, Greene County, Georgia 30642
Greensboro Properties, Ltd., L.P.
"As Is" and "As Stabilized" Appraisal Report
1.63 acres or $71,003+/-$ square feet

According to RiskMeter, Flood Map Number 13133C0183B, dated December 17, 2010, the subject is zoned $X$, an area determined to be outside the $100-$ and 500 -year floodplains. Federal flood insurance is available but is not required.
According to the City of Greensboro, the subject is zoned RM, Residential Multifamily District. The subject is a legal, conforming use.
The subject is improved with three garden one-story and townhouse two-story buildings containing 24 units. The net rentable area is approximately 21,444 square feet. The gross building area, according to the Greene County Assessor's Office, is 24,180 square feet.

| Unit Type | \# of Units | Square Footage | Total Square Footage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 1$ | 2 | 643 | 1,286 |
| $2 / 1.5 \mathrm{TH}$ | 18 | 909 | 16,362 |
| $3 / 1.5$ | 4 | 949 | 3,796 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 1 , 4 4 4}$ |

\$3,564.38 for 2016

Parcel Numbers | 087000009D and |
| :--- |
|  |
| 087000009 F |

Apartment Complex
Highest and Best Use
Date of Report
Apartment Complex
September 20, 2017
According to the Greene County Assessor's Office, the property is owned by Greensboro Properties, Ltd., L.P. The property has not transferred ownership within the past five years. The property is currently under contract to be sold. The property is currently under contract for sale between Greensboro Properties, Ltd., L.P. (seller) and Fox Chase Greensboro, LP (buyer). The purchase price will be negotiated based upon the fair market value of the property determined by an independent appraisal report commissioned by and paid by the purchaser from a certified and USDA-approved appraiser. The purchase price shall include the assumption of existing debt on the first lien mortgage plus gross equity to the seller in an amount to be determined after receipt and approval of the appraisal. The sale is between two related entities and is not an arms-length transaction.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY VALUES

| Cost Approach | \$630,000 (As Is Restricted) $\$ 1,325,000$ (As Is Market) $\$ 865,000$ (As Stabilized Restricted) \$1,520,000 (As Stabilized Market) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Income Approach | \$610,000 (As Is Restricted) <br> $\$ 1,325,000$ (As Is Market) \$845,000 (As Stabilized Restricted) <br> \$1,520,000 (As Stabilized Market) |
| Sales Comparison Approach | Not Developed (As Is Restricted) $\$ 1,020,000$ (As Is Market) <br> Not Developed (As Stabilized Restricted) <br> \$1,130,000 (As Stabilized Market) |
| Value of Land | \$44,000 |
| Value of Existing 515 Loan | \$320,000 |
| Value of Proposed 515 Loan | \$277,000 |
| Value of Low Income Housing Tax Credits | \$595,000 |
| Insurable Value | \$1,332,000 |
| Market Value at Loan Maturity - Market | \$4,128,000 |
| As Is Market Rent (CRCU) |  |
| One-Bedroom with 643 SF | \$550.00 |
| Two-Bedroom with 909 SF | \$685.00 |
| Three-Bedroom with 949 SF | \$785.00 |
| As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) |  |
| One-Bedroom with 643 SF | \$600.00 |
| Two-Bedroom with 909 SF | \$735.00 |
| Three-Bedroom with 949 SF | \$835.00 |

## CERTIFICATION

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and is my personal, impartial and unbiased professional analyses, opinions and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have performed services as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment. I have previously completed a market study and an appraisal in August 2015 and again in April 2016.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of the report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions and conclusions were developed and this report has been prepared in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- Jamie Cox and Caroline Borgini inspected the interior and exterior of the subject property and inspected the exteriors of the properties used as comparables in this report. Samuel T. Gill inspected the exterior of the property that is the subject of this report.
- No one provided significant professional assistance to the person signing this report.
- The appraiser retained by the lender inspected the subject property.


Samuel T. Gill
State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
GA\# 258907

## SCOPE OF WORK

The appraisal development and reporting process required gathering and analyzing information about those assignment elements necessary to property identify the appraisal problem to be solved. The scope of work decision must include the research and analyses that are necessary to develop credible assignment results given the intended use of the appraisal. Sufficient information includes disclosure of research and analyses performed and might also include disclosure of research and analyses not preformed. The scope of work of this appraisal assignment is outlined below:

- Samuel T. Gill analyzed the regional and local area economic profiles including employment, population, household income and real estate trends. The local area was further studied to assess the general quality and condition and emerging development trends for the real estate market. The immediate market area was inspected and examined to consider external influences on the subject.
- Samuel T. Gill confirmed and analyzed legal and physical features of the subject property including sizes of the site and improvements, floodplain data, zoning, easements and encumbrances, access and exposure of the site and construction materials and condition of the improvements. This process also includes estimating the remaining economic life of the improvements, analysis of the subject's site coverage compared to market standards, a process to identify deferred maintenance and a conclusion of the subject's overall functional utility.
- Samuel T. Gill completed an apartment market analysis that included market and sub-market overviews. Conclusions were drawn regarding the subject property's competitive position given its physical and locational characteristics, the prevailing economic conditions and external influences.
- Samuel T. Gill conducted a Highest and Best Use analysis, if required, determining the highest and best use of the subject property As-Vacant and As-Proposed. The analysis considered legal, locational, physical and financial feasibility characteristics of the subject property. Development of the Highest and Best Use As-Improved explored potential alternative treatments of the property including demolition, expansion, renovation, conversion and continued use "as-is".
- Samuel T. Gill confirmed and analyzed financial features of the subject property including budgeted income/expense data, if available and tax and assessment records. This information as well as trends established by confirmed market indicators was used to forecast performance of the subject property.
- The appraisal report is intended to satisfy the scope of work and requirements agreed upon by Investors Management Company and the engaged appraiser. The client requested a full narrative appraisal in the engagement letter.
- I understand the Competency Rule of USPAP and the author of this report meets the standards.
- No one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the appraiser signing this certification, except as noted on the following page.
- Samuel T. Gill, a State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, oversaw and supervised all data collection and analysis and performed the research. The following actions were taken to complete this appraisal.
- On May 15, 2017 Jamie Cox and Caroline Borgini, Market Analysts, conducted an interior and exterior inspection of the subject property to determine the property's physical and functional characteristics. Samuel T. Gill, State Certified Real Estate Appraiser, also inspected the exterior. Jamie Cox and Caroline Borgini inspected all common areas and at least one unit of each varying type.
- Jamie Cox and Caroline Borgini and Samuel T. Gill researched comparable apartment rental activity in the subject market and competing locations. The research retrieve data from several of the following: internet sites, local newspapers and rental publications, town records, owners and managers of local apartment properties, local real estate brokers, fellow appraisers and the appraiser's office files.
- During the week of May 15, 2017, Jamie Cox and Caroline Borgini inspected the exterior of each comparable property used in the analysis.
- During the verification process, Samuel T. Gill or one of his associates talked with the managers or leasing agents of the comparable properties, to confirm all data and to collect additional information about each comparable, including size, age, amenities, occupancy rates and general market information. Whenever possible, floor plans and brochures were obtained, which describe the comparable properties unit size, feature and amenities.
- Samuel T. Gill completed all data and adjustments on the analysis and determined all value conclusions determined in the appraisal.

INTRODUCTION

## Identification of the Subject Property

The property appraised is the land and improvements known as Fox Chase I Apartments. The site is located at 11 Fox Chase Circle, Greensboro, Greene County, Georgia.

## Legal Description

See Addendum A.

## Past Five Years Sales History of the Subject

According to the Greene County Assessor's Office, the property is owned by Greensboro Properties, Ltd., L.P. The property has not transferred ownership within the past five years. The property is currently under contract to be sold. The property is currently under contract for sale between Greensboro Properties, Ltd., L.P. (seller) and Fox Chase Greensboro, LP (buyer). The purchase price will be negotiated based upon the fair market value of the property determined by an independent appraisal report commissioned by and paid by the purchaser from a certified and USDA-approved appraiser. The purchase price shall include the assumption of existing debt on the first lien mortgage plus gross equity to the seller in an amount to be determined after receipt and approval of the appraisal. The sale is between two related entities and is not an arms-length transaction.

## Property Rights Appraised

For this appraisal, I have valued the property rights inherent in the Fee Simple Estate which is defined in the definitions section of this report.

## Purpose of the Appraisal

The purpose of the Appraisal Report is to estimate the market value, subject to restricted rents, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(i) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; market value, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the prospective market value, subject to restricted rents, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(i) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; prospective market value within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), premised upon a hypothetical condition as-if conventional housing as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of interest credit subsidy from the existing 515 loan as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of interest credit subsidy from the assumed 515 loan as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of favorable financing as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of the Low Income Housing Tax Credits as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines. Additional values required by Georgia Department of Community Affairs include the value of the land; as is market value; the prospective market value upon stabilization - restricted rents; the prospective market value upon stabilization - market rents; and the prospective market value at loan maturity - market rents. The date of the inspection and the effective date of the as is value are both May 15, 2017. The effective date of the as complete value is January 31, 2019.

## Function of the Appraisal

The function of this appraisal is to aid the client, Investors Management Company, Georgia Department of Community Affairs and United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development in the decision-making process involved in evaluating the value of the subject property.

## Intended Use of Report

This appraisal report is intended for the sole purpose of assisting the client in the decision-making process involving financing.

## Intended Users of Report

The intended users of the appraisal are Investors Management Company, Georgia Department of Community Affairs and United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development.

## Extent of the Investigation (Scope)

As part of this appraisal, the appraiser made a number of independent investigations and analyses. The investigations undertaken and the major data sources used are as follows: City of Greensboro, the Greene County Recorder; the Greene County Assessor; United States Bureau of Labor Statistics; United States Census Bureau; Walkscore; ESRI Business Information Solutions; and Nielsen Claritas and Ribbon Demographics.

## Area and Neighborhood Analyses

Primary data was gathered pertaining to the subject neighborhood and the area during the week of May 15, 2017, to May 19, 2017. This information was analyzed and summarized in this report. Area data was obtained from the City of Greensboro; the Greene County Recorder; the Greene County Assessor; United States Bureau of Labor Statistics; United States Census Bureau; ESRI Business Information Solutions; Walkscore; and Nielsen Claritas and Ribbon Demographics. The neighborhood analysis was based on the observations made by the appraiser as well as the sales in the neighborhood.

## Improvement and Description Analyses

Detailed descriptions of the site are included in this report. Interior and exterior photographs of the buildings at the subject are included in this report. Exterior photos of the rent comparables are also included in this report.

## Statement of Competency

We have the knowledge and experience to complete the assignment competently based upon having completed appraisals of properties of a similar type throughout the United States for the past several years.

## Market Data

Market data on land sales were obtained from the subject neighborhood in Greensboro and the surrounding area. Market data on improved sales and leased properties were obtained from Greensboro and the surrounding area. The improved sales were obtained from parties involved with the sales. Summaries of the sales and leases are included in this report.

Attention of the reader is also directed to the assumptions and limiting conditions contained within the report.

## Reasonable Exposure Time

In the definition of market value, one of the conditions of a "market value sale" is as follows: a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market. Marketing time has a definite influence on the potential selling price of a property. To obtain a maximum selling price, a property must be exposed to a given market for a time long enough to enable most market participants to gain full knowledge of the sale and the attributes of the property.

To produce a reliable estimate of the expected normal marketing period for the subject property, the following factors were considered and findings analyzed:

1. Historical evidence.
2. Supply and demand relationships including vacancy and occupancy rates.
3. Revenue and expense changes.
4. Future market conditions.

## Historical Evidence

Generally, the sales in the Sales Comparison Approach were on the market for one to two years. Since current supply and demand relationships are similar to historical relationships, there is justification for some reliance on historical evidence.

## Supply and Demand Relationships

A survey of apartment complexes in Greensboro, Georgia, and the surrounding area indicate that they are not owner-occupied. The Income Approach discusses similar apartment complexes in Greensboro, Greene County, Georgia, which were leased.

## Revenue and Expense Changes and Future Market Conditions

The revenue from apartment complexes has increased corresponding to increases in expenses at generally the same rate. A survey completed by PwC indicated that the change rate of apartment complexes ranges from 0.00 to 5.00 percent, with an average of 2.80 percent for the first quarter of 2017. During the same period a year ago, the market rent change rate ranged from 0.00 to 6.00 percent, with an average of 3.18 percent.

The changes in expenses range from 2.00 to 4.00 percent, with an average of 2.73 percent (first quarter of 2017). The survey for a year ago indicated a range of expenses from 2.00 to 4.00 percent, with an average of 2.91 percent.

## Summary

For the purpose of this report the reasonable exposure time is estimated at one to nine months based on the previous discussion and the length of time the comparables were on the market. The 2017 First Quarter National Apartment Market Survey conducted by PwC Real Estate Investor Survey indicated a range of one to nine months for marketing time. In accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, special financial arrangements and related special situations were not used in estimating the value of the property. In accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice; the appraisal was completed using the current or anticipated use of the property as an apartment complex without regard to the highest and best use.

## Estimated Marketing Time

Marketing time is similar to exposure time in that it refers to a time during which a property is marketed prior to its sale. Marketing time differs from exposure time in that it is estimated to occur after the date of value as opposed to before that date of value. This time would be measured from the date of value and would be a measure of time necessary to secure a willing buyer for the property, at a market price. Since this refers to prospective events, it is typically necessary to analyze neighborhood trends. In theory, in a market which is near equilibrium, the estimated marketing time should be equal to past trends or the reasonable exposure time. In a market, which is experiencing down turning conditions, the estimated marketing time should be greater than the reasonable exposure time. In the case of the subject property, the market for this type of facility should be similar to previous market conditions. Therefore, the estimated marketing time is estimated at one to nine months.

## Definition of Terms

## Market Value

The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition are the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- Buyer and seller are typically motivated;
- Both parties are well informed or well advised, and acting in what they consider their best interests;
- A reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
- Payment is made in terms of U.S. cash dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale. ${ }^{1}$


## Market Value, Subject to Restricted Rents

The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition are the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- Buyer and seller are typically motivated;
- Both parties are well informed or well advised, and acting in what they consider their best interests;
- A reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
- Payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

It considers any rent limits, rent subsidies, expense abatements or restrictive-use conditions imposed by any government or non-government financing sources but does not consider any favorable financing involved in the development of the property. ${ }^{2}$

[^0]
## "As-Is" Value

The value of specific ownership rights to an identified parcel of real estate as of the effective date of the appraisal; relates to what physically exists and is legally permissible and excludes all assumptions concerning hypothetical market conditions or possible rezoning. ${ }^{3}$

## Prospective Value

A value opinion effective as of a specified future date. The term does not define a type of value. Instead, it identifies a value opinion as being effective at some specific future date. An opinion of value as of a prospective date is frequently sought in connection with projects that are proposed, under construction, or under conversion to a new use, or those that have not yet achieved sellout or a stabilized level of long-term occupancy. ${ }^{4}$

## Investment Value

The specific value of an investment to a particular investor or class of investors based on individual requirements; as distinguished from market value, which is impersonal and detached. ${ }^{5}$ Investment value of the leased fee estate is determined utilizing the subject's contract rents, historical and projected subject expenses and an overall capitalization rate based on the subject's mortgage terms.

## Fee Simple Estate

Absolute ownership unencumbered by any other interest or estate, subject only to the limitations imposed by the governmental powers of taxation, eminent domain, police power, and escheat.

## Leased Fee Estate

An ownership interest held by a landlord with the rights of use and occupancy conveyed by lease to others. The rights of the lessor (the leased fee owner) and the leased fee are specified by contract terms contained within the lease.

## Leasehold Estate

The interest held by the lessee (the tenant or renter) through a lease conveying the rights of use and occupancy for a stated term under certain conditions.

[^1]
## Replacement Cost

The estimated cost to construct, at current prices as of the effective appraisal date, a building with utility equivalent to the building being appraised, using modern materials and current standards, design, and layout.

## Reproduction Cost

The estimated cost to construct, at current prices as of the effective date of the appraisal, an exact duplicate or replica of the building being appraised, using the same materials, construction standards, design, layout and quality of workmanship and embodying all the deficiencies, superadequacies and obsolescence of the subject building.

## Contract Rent

The actual rental income specified in a lease.

## Market Rent

The rental income that a property would most probably command in the open market; indicated by the current rents paid and asked for comparable space as of the date of the appraisal.

## Excess Rent

The amount by which contract rent exceeds market rent at the time of the appraisal; created by a lease favorable to the landlord (lessor) and may reflect a locational advantage, unusual management, unknowledgeable parties or a lease execution in an earlier, stronger rental market.

## Percentage Rent

Rental income received in accordance with the terms of a percentage lease; typically derived from retail store tenants on the basis of a certain percentage of their retail sales.

## Overage Rent

The percentage rent paid over and above the guaranteed minimum rent or base rent; calculated as a percentage of sales in excess of a specified break-even sales volume.

## Special Purpose Property

A limited market property with a unique physical design, special construction materials or layout that restricts its utility to the use for which it was built; also called special-design property.

# Fox Chase I Apartments * 11 Fox Chase Circle * Greensboro, Georgia 

## Special Limited Conditions and Assumptions

## 1. Limit of Liability

The liability of Gill Group, employees and subcontractors is limited to the client. There is no accountability, obligation or liability to any third party. If this report is placed in the hands of anyone other than the client, the client shall make such party aware of all limiting conditions and assumptions of the assignment and related discussions. The appraiser assumes no responsibility for any costs incurred to discover or correct any deficiencies present in the property. Possession of this or any copy thereof does not carry with it the right of publication nor may it be used for other than its intended use; the physical report(s) remain the property of the appraiser for the use of the client, the fee being for the analytical services only. This appraisal report is prepared for the sole and exclusive use of the client to assist with the mortgage lending decision. It is not to be relied upon by any third parties for any purpose whatsoever.

## 2. Copies, Publications, Distribution, Use of Report

The client may distribute copies of the appraisal report in its entirety to such third parties as he may select; however, selected portions of this appraisal report shall not be given to third parties without the prior written consent of the signatories of this appraisal report. Neither all nor any part of this appraisal report shall be disseminated to the general public for the use of advertising media, public relations, news, sales or other media for public communication without prior written consent of the appraiser.

## 3. Confidentiality

This appraisal is to be used only in its entirety. All conclusions and opinions of the analyses set forth in the report were prepared by the Appraiser(s) whose signature(s) appear on the appraisal report unless indicated as "Review Appraiser". No change of any item in the report shall be made by anyone other than the Appraiser and/or officer of the firm. The Appraiser and the firm shall have no responsibility if any such unauthorized change is made.

The Appraiser may not divulge the material (evaluation) contents of the report, analytical findings or conclusions or give a copy of the report to anyone other than the client or his designee as specified in writing except by a court of law or body with the power of subpoena.

## 4. Information Used

No responsibility is assumed for accuracy of information furnished by or from others, the client, his designee or public records. I am not liable for such information or the work of possible subcontractors. Be advised that some of the people associated with the consultant and possibly signing the report are independent contractors. The comparable data relied upon in this report have been confirmed with one or more parties familiar with the transaction or from affidavit or other source thought reasonable; all are considered appropriate for inclusion to the best of my factual judgment and knowledge. An impractical and uneconomic
expenditure of time would be required in attempting to furnish unimpeachable verification in all instances, particularly as to engineering and market-related information. It is suggested that the client consider independent verification within these categories as a prerequisite to any transaction involving sale, lease or other significant commitment of subject property and that such verification be performed by the appropriate specialists.

## 5. Testimony, Consultation, Completion of Contract for Appraisal Services

The contract for appraisal, consultation or analytical service is fulfilled and the total fee payable upon completion of the report. The appraiser(s) or those assisting in preparation of the report will not be asked or required to give testimony in court or hearing because of having made the appraisal, in full or in part, nor engage in post-appraisal consultation with client or third parties except under separate and special arrangement and at additional fee. If testimony or deposition is required because of any subpoena issued on the behalf of the client, then the client shall be responsible for any additional time fees and changes.

## 6. Exhibits

The sketches and maps in this report are included to assist the reader in visualizing the property and are not necessarily to scale. Various photos, if any, are included for the same purpose as of the date of the photos. Site plans are not surveys unless shown as being prepared by a professional surveyor. As noted in the Scope of Work section of the report, the appraiser inspected the exterior of the comparable properties. Our comparable database automatically includes pictures we have recently taken. The only time a comparable picture is replaced is when the inspection shows a material change. Otherwise, the pictures shown in the report are representative of how the comparables looked during the inspection.

## 7. Legal, Engineering, Financial, Structural or Mechanical Nature Hidden Components, Soil

No responsibility is assumed for matters legal in character or nature or matters of survey or of any architectural, structural, mechanical or engineering nature. The title to the property is good and marketable. No responsibility is assumed for the legal description provided or for matters pertaining to legal or title considerations. The use of the land and improvements is confined within the boundaries or property lines of the property described.

The property is appraised as if free and clear unless otherwise stated in particular parts of the report. The legal description is assumed to be correct as used in this report as furnished by the client, his designee or as derived by the appraiser.

Please note that no advice is given regarding mechanical equipment or structural integrity or adequacy or soils and potential for settlement, drainage, etc., (seek assistance from qualified architect and/or engineer) nor matters concerning liens, title status and legal marketability (seek legal assistance). The lender and
owner should inspect the property before any disbursement of funds; further, it is likely that the lender or owner may wish to require mechanical or structural inspections by qualified and licensed contractor, civil or structural engineer, architect or other expert.

The appraiser has inspected, as far as possible by observation, the land and the improvements; however, it was not possible to personally observe conditions beneath the soil or hidden structural or other components. I have not critically inspected mechanical components within the improvements, and no representations are made therein as to these matters unless specifically stated conditions that would cause a loss of value. The land or the soil of the area being appraised appears firm; however, subsidence in the area is unknown. The appraiser(s) do not warrant against this condition or occurrence of problems arising from soil conditions.

The appraisal is based on there being no hidden unapparent or apparent conditions of the property site subsoil or structures or toxic materials which would render it more or less valuable. No responsibility is assumed for any such conditions or for any expertise or engineering to discover them.

All mechanical components are assumed to be in operable condition and status standard for properties of the subject type. Conditions of heating, cooling ventilation, electrical and plumbing equipment are considered to be commensurate with the condition of the balance of the improvements unless otherwise stated. No judgment is made as to adequacy of insulation, type of insulation or energy efficiency of the improvements or equipment.

If the Appraiser has not been supplied with a termite inspection, survey or occupancy permit, no responsibility or representation is assumed or made for any costs associated with obtaining same or for any deficiencies discovered before or after they are obtained. No representation or warranties are made concerning obtaining the above-mentioned items.

The Appraiser assumes no responsibility for any costs or consequences arising due to the need or the lack of need for flood hazard insurance. An Agent for the Federal Flood Insurance Program should be contacted to determine the actual need for Flood Hazard Insurance.

## 8. Legality of Use

The appraisal is based on the premise that there is full compliance with all applicable federal, state and local environmental regulations and laws unless otherwise stated in the report and that all applicable zoning, building and use regulations and restrictions of all types have been complied with unless otherwise stated in the report; further, it is assumed that all required licenses, consents, permits or other legislative or
administrative authority, local, state, federal and/or private entity or organization have been or can be obtained or renewed for any use considered in the value estimate.

## 9. Component Values

The distribution of the total valuation in this report between land and improvements applies only under the existing program of utilization. The separate valuations for land and building must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if so used.

## 10. Auxiliary and Related Studies

No environmental or impact studies, special market study or analysis, highest and best use analysis study or feasibility study has been requested or made unless otherwise specified in an agreement for services or in the report. The appraiser reserves the unlimited right to alter, amend, revise or rescind any of the statements, findings, opinions, values, estimations or conclusions upon any subsequent such study or analysis or previous study or factual information as to market or subject or analysis subsequently becoming known to him.

## 11. Dollar Values, Purchasing Power

The market value estimated and the costs used are as of the date of the estimate of value. All dollar amounts are based on the purchasing power and price of the value estimate.

## 12. Inclusions

Furnishings and equipment or personal property or business operations except as specifically indicated and typically considered as part of real estate have been disregarded with only the real estate being considered in the value estimate unless otherwise stated. In some property types business and real estate interests and values are combined.

## 13. Proposed Improvements, Conditioned Value

Improvements proposed, if any, on or off-site as well as any repairs required are considered, for purposes of this appraisal, to be completed in good and workmanlike manner according to information submitted and/or considered by the appraisers. In cases of proposed construction, the appraisal is subject to change upon inspection of property after construction is completed. This estimate of market value is as of the date shown, as proposed, as if completed and operating at levels shown and projected.

## 14. Value Change, Dynamic Market, Influences

The estimated market value is subject to change with market changes over time; value is highly related to exposure, time, promotional effort, terms, motivation and conditions surrounding the offering. The value
estimate considers the productivity and relative attractiveness of the property physically and economically in the marketplace.

In cases of appraisals involving the capitalization of income benefits, the estimate of market value or investment value or value in use is a reflection of such benefits and appraiser's interpretation of income, yields and other factors derived from general and specific client and market information. Such estimates are as of the date of the estimate of value; they are thus subject to change as the market and value are naturally dynamic.

The "Estimate of Market Value" in the appraisal report is not based in whole or in part upon the race, color or national origin of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the property appraised.

The Appraiser reserves the right to alter the opinion of value on the basis of any information withheld or not discovered in the original normal course of a diligent investigation.

## 15. Management of the Property

It is assumed that the property which is the subject of this report will be under prudent and competent ownership and management neither inefficient nor super-efficient.

## 16. Fee

The fee for this appraisal or study is for the service rendered and not for the time spent on the physical report.

## 17. Authentic Copies

The authentic copies of this report are signed originals. Any copy that does not have the above is unauthorized and may have been altered.

## 18. Insulation and Toxic Materials

Unless otherwise stated in this report, the appraiser(s) signing this report have no knowledge concerning the presence or absence of toxic materials, asbestos and/or urea-formaldehyde foam insulation in existing improvements; if such is present, the value of the property may be adversely affected and reappraisal an additional cost necessary to estimate the effects of such.

## 19. Hypothetical Conditions*

The market value of the fee simple estate, unrestricted or conventional, subject to short-term leases, was determined under the hypothetical condition that the subject was a conventional property and not subject to any rent restrictions. The use of a hypothetical condition might have affected the assignment results.

## 20. Extraordinary Assumptions*

The "prospective" value upon stabilization was determined under the extraordinary assumption that the rehabilitation is completed as detailed in the scope of work and that the proposed rents indicated in the report are approved. The use of an extraordinary assumption might have affected the assignment results.

## 21. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992, as to the removal of barriers in existing public accommodations. The ADA applies to alterations of existing public accommodations or commercial facilities or places of public accommodation designed for first occupancy after January 26, 1993. A compliance survey of the subject property has not been conducted to determine if it conforms to the various requirements of the ADA. A compliance survey of the property, in conjunction with a detailed study of the ADA requirements, could reveal that the property is not in compliance with one or more of the requirements of the act. If so, this could have a negative effect on the value of the property. Since I am not qualified to determine if the subject property complies with the various ADA regulations, I did not consider possible noncompliance with the requirements of the ADA in estimating the value of the property.

## 22. Review

Unless otherwise noted herein, the review appraiser has reviewed the report only as to general appropriateness of technique and format and has not necessarily inspected the subject or market comparable properties.

The appraiser(s) and/or associates of Gill Group reserve the right to alter statements, analyses, conclusions or any value estimate in the appraisal if there becomes known to them facts pertinent to the appraisal process which were unknown to Gill Group when the report was finished.

## Acceptance Of And/Or Use Of This Appraisal Report

Constitutes Acceptance of the Above Conditions

DESCRIPTIVE SECTION

## Regional and Area Data and Area Maps

The following data on the City of Greensboro and Greene County are included to give the reader an insight into the social, economic, governmental and environmental factors which provide the setting and ultimate stability for the subject neighborhood and the property which is the subject of this appraisal. The various social, economic, governmental and environmental factors within any locality are the underlying forces which create, modify or destroy real property values.

## Location

The City of Greensboro is located in Greene County which is located in the northeastern portion of Georgia. Nearby cities include Union Point, Woodville, Washington and Madison. Greene County has the following borders: North - Oconee County; East - Oglethorpe and Tallaferro Counties; South - Hancock County; and West - Putnam and Morgan Counties.

## Utilities

The City of Greensboro provides water and sewer services to the residents of the city. Rayle Electric Membership Corporation provides electricity services. Natural gas services are provided by Atlanta Gas Light. Basic telephone service is provided by AT\&T Georgia.

## Health Care

St. Mary's Good Samaritan Hospital is a health care facility located in Greensboro that serves the residents of the city and the surrounding area. Additional health care and medical facilities nearby include Morgan Memorial Hospital, approximately 22 miles from the city in Madison; and Putnam General Hospital in Eatonton, approximately 23 miles from the city and St. Mary's Hospital and Athens Regional Medical Center, both located in Athens, approximately 33 miles from Greensboro.

## Transportation

Major highways in Greene County include Interstate 20; U.S. Highway 278; and State Highways 12, 15, 44, 77 and 402. Greensboro is home to the Greene County Regional Airport and Smith Airport. Athens-Ben Epps Airport is approximately 26 miles from the city in Athens.

## Population and Employment Statistics

CENSUS: 2015

|  | City | County | State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | 3,405 | 16,331 | $10,006,693$ |
| Households | 1,256 | 6,707 | $3,574,362$ |
| Renter Occupied | 830 | 1,887 | $1,310,665$ |

LABOR STATISTICS

| COUNTY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Labor Force | Employment | Unemployment | Unemployment Rate |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 6,639 | 6,156 | 483 | 7.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 6,412 | 5,567 | 845 | 13.2 |
| March 2017 | 6,941 | 6,586 | 355 | 5.1 |


| STATE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Labor Force | Employment | Unemployment | Unemployment Rate |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $4,586,427$ | $4,341,229$ | 245,198 | 5.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $4,696,692$ | $4,202,061$ | 494,631 | 10.5 |
| March 2017 | $5,003,700$ | $4,747,227$ | 256,473 | 5.1 |

## Major Employers

Major employers for the area are as follows:

| MAJOR EMPLOYERS |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Name | Total Employees |
| Daniel Corporation, Reynolds Plantation | 645 |
| The Ritz-Carlton Lodge, Reynolds Plantation | 472 |
| Greene County Board of Education | 390 |
| Nibco | 184 |
| Green County Board of Commissioners | 178 |
| St. Mary's Good Samaritan Hospital | 140 |
| Novelis, Inc. | 130 |
| Publix Supermarket | 121 |

Source: Green County Economic Development Department

## Summary and Conclusions

Greensboro is a city located in the northeastern portion of Georgia. The unemployment rate for the county has decreased significantly since 2010. The economic outlook for future growth and development appears to be stable.



## Neighborhood Data

## Location

The subject property is located in the eastern portion of the City of Greensboro, Georgia. The neighborhood has average attractiveness and appeal. The neighborhood has the following boundaries: North - U.S. Highway 278; South - Bowden Pond Road; East - Webb Road; and West - State Highway 15.

## Access

The neighborhood is accessed by U.S. Highway 278, State Highway 15, Bowden Pond Road and Webb Road. There are additional roads running north to south and west to east that provide access to the neighborhood as well. Street widths and patterns appear to be adequate for the surrounding uses.

Proximity to Services

| Restaurants |  | Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 mi | Jeremy's Place | 1.1 mi | Greensboro Elementary School |
| 0.6 mi | Lupitas Mexican Restaurant | 1.2 mi | Greene County High School |
| 0.8 mi | Los Torres Mexican Grill | 1.2 mi | Anita White Carson Middle School |
| 0.9 mi | Tastee Chick | 6.3 mi | Lake Oconee Charter School |
| 0.9 mi | The Yesterday Cafe | 6.3 mi | Nathanael Greene Academy |
| 1.2 mi | Holcomb's Bar B Que | 6.4 mi | Union Point Elementary School |
| 1.5 mi | Jailhouse Rock Cafe | 6.5 mi | Greene County Preschool |
| 2 mi | Pizza Hut | 12 mi | Redeemer Episcopal Academy |
| 2.8 mi | DQ GRILL \& CHILL RESTAURANT | 14 mi | Morgan County Elementary School |
| 2.8 mi | Waffle House | 14 mi | Taliaferro County School |
| 2.8 mi | Wendy's | 15 mi | Murden School |
| 2.9 mi | Subway | 15 mi | Stephens Institute |
| 2.9 mi | Zaxby's | 16 mi | Crawfordville Baptist School |
| 2.9 mi | McDonald's | 16 mi | Putnam County High School |
| 3 mi | Crawford Kicking Chicken |  |  |
|  |  | Shopping |  |
| Groceries |  | 0.9 mi | Family Dollar Store |
| 0.2 mi | Ingles Market | 0.9 mi | Clothes Closet |
| 0.5 mi | Moon's Supermarket | 5.8 mi | Short Stop |
| 0.8 mi | El Sol | 5.8 mi | Dollar General |
| 0.9 mi | Ripe Thing Market | 6.1 mi | Bliss |
| 1.6 mi | Duvall Livestock Market | 9.2 mi | J C Food Mart |
| 5.8 mi | Union Point Grocery \& Deli | 12 mi | Camille \& Co |
| 9.5 mi | Publix Super Market at Lake Oconee Village | 17 mi | Rainbow Food Mart |
| 9.6 mi | Village Organics | 17 mi | Amelia's Apparels \& Accessories |
| 11 mi | Greensboro Arts Alliance | 17 mi | Lee's Fashion Corner |
| 12 mi | Manwell Produce Inc | 17 mi | Chris Hudson Couture |
| 16 mi | Open Air Produce | 17 mi | Town \& Country |
| 17 mi | El Sol | 17 mi | Clothes Closet |
| 17 mi | Fred's Great-Valu | 17 mi | Shanay's Boutique |
| 17 mi | Georgia Food Systems | 17 mi | Peebles |
| 17 mi | Sunflower Foodmart |  |  |


| Banks |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.7 mi | Nationwide Money Services, Inc. |
| 0.8 mi | BankSouth |
| 0.9 mi | ATM USA, LLC |
| 0.9 mi | Farmers Bank |
| 0.9 mi | BB\&T ATM |
| 0.9 mi | BB\&T - Greensboro GA Main Branch |
| 3.3 mi | Cashtrans |
| 5.9 mi | Farmers Bank |
| 6.1 mi | The Peoples Bank |
| 8.9 mi | Community \& Southern Bank |
| 9.1 mi | Exchange Bank |
| 9.3 mi | Century Bank \& Trust |
| 9.3 mi | Wells Fargo Bank |
| 9.4 mi | BankSouth |
| 9.4 mi | BB\&T - Lake Oconee Branch |
|  |  |
| Police |  |
| 0.6 mi | Greensboro Police Department |
| 26 mi | Athens-Clarke County Police Department East Precinct |
| 60 mi | Gwinnett County Police Department |
|  |  |
| Medical Facilities |  |
| 0.5 mi | Kidney Clinic of Athens |
| 0.8 mi | Moore Family \& Cosmetic Dentistry |
| 1.2 mi | Tendercare Clinic |
| 1.6 mi | Greensboro Cosmetic and Family Dentistry: B Scott Hillin DMD |
| 1.9 mi | Gro Industries |
| 5.7 mi | Remarket Medical Inc |
| 6.9 mi | Family Medical of Lake Oconee |
| 9.4 mi | Augusta University Lake Oconee Village |
| 9.4 mi | Middle Georgia Medical Associates |
| 9.4 mi | PruittHealth Home Health (Greensboro) |
| 9.6 mi | Cowles Clinic Center For Urology |
| 12 mi | Lake Oconee Urgent \& Specialty |
| 18 mi | Madison Family Medicine |
| 28 mi | Reddy Medical Group |



## Land Use Pattern

The subject neighborhood is comprised primarily of commercial and multifamily properties and is 50 percent built up. Approximately 20 percent of the land use is made up of commercial properties. About 20 percent is comprised of multifamily properties. Another 10 percent of the land use is made up of single-family properties. The remaining 50 percent is vacant land. The area is mostly rural.

## Neighborhood Characteristics

The subject is located in the City Center neighborhood, according to Neighborhood Scout. The median real estate price of the neighborhood is $\$ 69,983$, which is less expensive than 89.8 percent of the neighborhoods in Georgia and 89.8 percent of the neighborhoods in the United States. The average rental price in the neighborhood is $\$ 759$, according to Neighborhood Scout, which is lower than 84.5 percent of all Georgia neighborhoods.

The neighborhood has 20.5 percent of the working population employed in executive, management and professional occupations. Another 29.1 percent of the residents are employed in sales and service jobs. Manufacturing and laborer occupations make up 34.3 percent, and 14.5 percent are employed in clerical, assistant and technical support occupations.

According to Neighborhood Scout, the school quality rating is 12 (100 is the best). The neighborhood is served by the Greensboro Public School District which contains five schools and approximately 2,348 students. The school district quality is considered better than 8.0 percent of Georgia school districts.

Most of the properties in the neighborhood maintain an acceptable level of property maintenance and condition. The ages of buildings in the area generally range from new to 100 years. The subject neighborhood is in average condition with average appeal. There are no rent controls affecting the marketability of the subject.

## Neighboring Property Use

The neighborhood is comprised primarily of commercial and multifamily properties. Vacant land and singlefamily residences are located north of the site. Commercial and multifamily properties are located south of the site. Vacant land is located east of the subject. Vacant land is located west of the subject.


## Crime

According to www.neighborhoodscout.com, the crime index for the subject neighborhood is 47 . There are 76 total crimes annually in the neighborhood, 18 of which are violent crimes and 58 of which are property crimes. The annual violent crime rate is 6.27 per 1,000 residents, while the property crime rate is 20.22 per 1,000 residents. The total annual crime rate is 26.49 per 1,000 residents. The chances of becoming a victim of a violent crime are 1 in 159 which is lower than for the state which is 1 in 273 . The chances of becoming a victim of a property crime are 1 in 49 which is lower than the rate for the state which is 1 in 30 .

## Adverse Influences

There are no major adverse influences or hazards observed or known by the appraiser in the immediate surrounding area.

## Utilities

Utilities generally available in the neighborhood include water, electricity, sewer and telephone.

## Demographics

The population for the subject's neighborhood for 2017, according to ESRI, is 5,444, an increase of 411 people from the 2010 population of 5,033 . The population is expected to increase at an annual rate of 6.6 percent between 2017 and 2022. Therefore, the 2022 population is projected at 5,801 . The median age for the neighborhood is 37.2.

The total number of households increased from 1,913 in 2010 to 2,082 in 2017. Household totals are expected to increase, with a projected 2,224 households in 2022.

The median household income for the neighborhood in 2017 is $\$ 26,319$. It is expected to increase to $\$ 30,263$ by 2022. The per capita income is $\$ 15,996$.

The median home value for the neighborhood in 2017, according to ESRI, is \$93,989. According to ESRI, the average amount spent for owner-occupied households in the subject's neighborhood is $\$ 16,152.00$, or $\$ 1,346$ per month. The average amount spent for renter-occupied households is $\$ 10,548.00$, or $\$ 879$ per month.

## Analysis/Comments

In conclusion, the subject is located in the eastern portion of Greensboro, Georgia. The subject is considered to be compatible with the adjacent properties. Based on the current and projected population and household data, the neighborhood appears to be stable. There have been no significant changes in the make-up of the neighborhood over the past few years. Properties in the neighborhood are generally well maintained. Therefore, it is anticipated that the neighborhood will remain stable and in acceptable condition.

Neighborhood Map


## Defining the Market Area

The market area for the subject consists of Census Tracts 9503.02 and 9503.03 in Greene County. The market area has the following boundaries: North - Lake Oconee, Town Creek, State Highway 15, Shiloh Road and Hensley Road; South - O'Neal Road, Siloam Veazey Road, Stewarts Creek, Lake Oconee and State Highway 44; East - Hensley Road, Cunningham Road, Bowden Pond Road and Sibley School Road; and West - Lake Oconee.

Surveying existing apartment complexes helps to show what the competition is offering. Vacancy rates are an indicator of current market strength. In a field survey, an attempt is made to survey 100 percent of all units in the market area. This is not always possible. There are several apartments in the market area. Information was gathered through interviews with owners and managers and through field inspection. These sources appear to be reliable, but it is impossible to authenticate all data. The appraiser does not guarantee this data and assumes no liability for any errors in fact, analysis or judgment.

The field/phone survey was conducted in May 2017. Five market-rate properties responded to the survey and five restricted properties, including the subject, responded to the survey. Of the apartments surveyed an overall vacancy rate of four percent was determined for the market-rate vacancy and 15 percent was determined for the restricted vacancy. However, the restricted vacancy includes the newly opened Mary Leila Lofts which is still currently in its lease-up period. The property opened in October 2016 and has occupied 50 units in seven months. The manager indicated she expects the units to be fully occupied by December 2017. If this property were not included in the vacancy analysis, the overall vacancy rate for restricted properties would be four percent. The subject is currently 96 percent occupied. Historically, the subject's occupancy rate has ranged from 95 to 98 percent since 2014. After considering the vacancy rate of the subject and the comparables, a vacancy rate of five percent was deemed appropriate for "as is" conventional housing; five percent was deemed appropriate for "as complete" conventional housing; five percent was deemed appropriate for "as is" affordable housing; and five percent was deemed appropriate for "as complete" affordable housing.

| Market Area Vacancy by Development - Conventional |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Name | \# of Units | \# of Vacant Units | Vacancy <br> Percentage |
| Hillcrest Apartments | 102 | 1 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Madison Townhomes | 24 | 1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| The Oaks Apartments | 258 | 18 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Jefferson Ridge Townhomes | 22 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Brighton Park Apartments | 146 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| TOTALS | 552 | 20 | $\mathbf{3 . 6 \%}$ |


| Market Area Vacancy by Development - Affordable |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Name | \# of Units | \# of Vacant Units | Vacancy <br> Percentage |
| Fox Chase I Apartments | 24 | 1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| Fox Chase II Apartments | 33 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Royal Manor Apartments | 14 | 2 | $14.3 \%$ |
| East View Apartments | 24 | 1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| Mary Leila Lofts | 71 | 21 | $29.6 \%$ |
| TOTALS | 166 | 25 | $15.1 \%$ |

## Absorption Period

The subject is an existing 24 -unit complex that is currently 96 percent occupied. The proposed rehabilitation of the development will not permanently displace residents. Therefore, no additional absorption of units will be needed as the property typically maintains a stabilized occupancy.


## Subject Description

The area of the site and the site dimensions are based on the building plans provided by the Greene County Assessor's Office. A copy of the survey is included in the addenda.

| Total Land Area | 1.63 acres or $71,003+/$ - square feet |
| :---: | :---: |
| Shape/Dimensions | Irregular |
| Access \& Exposure | The subject property is located on Fox Chase Circle. The site is at or near pavement grade with Fox Chase Circle. The site has ingress and egress on Fox Chase Circle. |
| Topography/Drainage | The site is nearly level. A water detention area is not located on the site. No adverse soil conditions are known in the area which would prevent development. |
| Flood Plain | According to RiskMeter, Flood Map Number 13133C0183B, dated December 17, 2010, the subject is zoned X , an area determined to be outside the 100 - and 500 -year floodplains. Federal flood insurance is available but is not required. |
| Environmental Issues | The appraisers are not qualified to determine whether or not hazards exist. A copy of a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment was provided to the appraisers with this assignment. No environmental hazards were observed on the site on the date of the inspection. |
| Encroachments | No encroachments were observed. A survey was provided with this assignment. The appraisers are not qualified to determine whether or not the adjacent properties encroach on the subject site. |
| Easements | Typical utility easements that are not adverse to the site's development run on the property. A title insurance report was not provided to the appraisers with this assignment. No significant easements are known. |

## Site Ratios

## Utilities

## Zoning

Building to Land Ratio: 1 to 3.78 ;
Site Coverage Ratio - 26.45 percent
There is limited room for expansion of the existing facility as the current buildings do not occupy 100 percent of the site. The size of the buildings when compared to the total lot size does not preclude expansion of the facility and, therefore, does not negatively affect the estimated market value of the subject. The site coverage ratio indicates the available land around the buildings has been utilized at the subject to preclude a "cramped" feel to the property.
Water, sewer and electricity are provided by city utilities along the site boundaries. These services appear to be adequate for commercial use.
According to the City of Greensboro, the subject is zoned RM, Residential Multifamily District. The subject is a legal, conforming use Therefore, it is unlikely that a zoning change will occur. The subject appears to meet site and setback requirements and appears to conform to the current zoning restrictions. The subject could be re-built if it were destroyed. The current zoning is consistent with the Highest and Best Use of the subject. A copy of the zoning ordinance is included in the addenda. Since there are no obvious conflicts between the subject property and the zoning of the property, there is no negative impact on the market value by the zoning classification.

Subject Map


Improvement Description
Number of Buildings
The subject contains three garden one-story and townhouse two-story buildings containing 24 units.
Net Rentable Building Area
Gross Building Area
Year Built/Year Renovated
Economic Life
21,444 square feet
24,180 square feet
1992/Proposed
55 Years
10 Years (As Is)
5 Years (As Complete)

The subject contains three garden one-story and townhouse two-story buildings containing 24 units. The property is adjacent to Fox Chase II Apartments and shares that property's leasing office, laundry facility, meeting room and maintenance area. According to the Greene County Assessor, the gross building area of the property is 24,180 square feet. A copy of the plans, dated May 24, 1991, and completed by Ellis, Ricket and Associates of Valdosta, Georgia, is included in the addenda.

The following table shows the unit mix for the subject property. The unit sizes shown in the table are based on inspector measurements taken the date of inspection.

| Unit Type | \# of Units | Square Footage | Total Square Footage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 1$ | 2 | 643 | 1,286 |
| $2 / 1.5 \mathrm{TH}$ | 18 | 909 | 16,362 |
| $3 / 1.5$ | 4 | 949 | 3,796 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 1 , 4 4 4}$ |

The property includes the following amenities:

| Unit Amenities | Included | Fee | Project Amenities | Included | Fee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refrigerator | X |  | Clubhouse |  |  |
| Range/Oven | X |  | Meeting Room | X* |  |
| Garbage Disposal |  |  | Dining Room |  |  |
| Dishwasher |  |  | Swimming Pool |  |  |
| Microwave |  |  | Spa/Hot Tub |  |  |
| Washer/Dryer |  |  | Exercise Room |  |  |
| Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups | X |  | Picnic Area |  |  |
| Carpet | X |  | Playground |  |  |
| Vinyl | X |  | Tot Lot |  |  |
| Wood |  |  | Volleyball Court |  |  |
| Wood Composite |  |  | Basketball Court |  |  |
| Ceramic Tile |  |  | Tennis Court |  |  |
| Blinds | X |  | Exterior Storage | X | \$0 |
| Drapes/Shades |  |  | Housekeeping |  |  |
| Ceiling Fans |  |  | Business Center |  |  |
| Vaulted Ceilings |  |  | Educational Classes |  |  |
| Fireplace |  |  | Transportation |  |  |
| Walk-In Closet |  |  | Service Coordinator/HUD Paid |  |  |
| Coat Closet | X |  | Concierge Services |  |  |
| Balcony |  |  | Computer Room |  |  |
| Patio | X |  | Car Wash Area |  |  |
| Pull Cords |  |  | Laundry Facility | X* |  |
| Emergency Call |  |  | On-Site Management | X* |  |
| Safety Bars |  |  | On-Site Maintenance | X* |  |
| Parking | Included | Fee | Intercom/Electronic Entry |  |  |
| Parking Lot/\# of Spaces | X/35 | \$0 | Limited Access Gate |  |  |
| Covered Parking/\# of Spaces |  |  | Perimeter Fencing |  |  |
| Garage/\# of Spaces |  |  | Security Patrol |  |  |
| Parking Garage/Underground/\# of Spaces |  |  | Video Surveillance |  |  |

The subject is 100 percent Rural Development with Rental Assistance for 12 units. The unit types, current rents, utility allowances and square footages for the units are shown in the table below:

| Unit Type | \# of Units | Square Footage | Current Rent | Utility Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 1$ | 2 | 643 | $\$ 465$ | $\$ 94$ |
| $2 / 1.5 \mathrm{TH}$ | 18 | 909 | $\$ 505$ | $\$ 108$ |
| $3 / 1.5$ | 4 | 949 | $\$ 535$ | $\$ 121$ |

The property will undergo rehabilitation and will be in good condition after rehabilitation. The proposed scope of work is comprehensive and includes a complete rehabilitation of units, appliances and systems, kitchen cabinets, bath vanities and medicine cabinets, windows, interior and exterior doors, hot water heaters, air conditioning units, toilets and baths, flooring and structural elements such as exterior brick and wall supports, roofing and re-grading and striping of the parking lots. The rehabilitation is anticipated to take nine months.

The unit types, proposed rents after completion of the rehabilitation, utility allowances and square footages for the units are shown in the table below:

| Unit Type | \# of Units | Square Footage | Proposed Rent | Utility Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 1$ | 2 | 643 | $\$ 479$ | $\$ 94$ |
| $2 / 1.5 \mathrm{TH}$ | 18 | 909 | $\$ 550$ | $\$ 108$ |
| $3 / 1.5$ | 4 | 949 | $\$ 625$ | $\$ 121$ |

The rents indicated in the table are assuming LIHTC restrictions, but the subject will retain its Rental Assistance for 12 units. The subject is currently a Rural Development property that, after rehabilitation, will remain a Rural Development property with Rental Assistance for all units as well as be a Low Income Housing Tax Credit property at 60 percent of the area median income. As a result of the Rental Assistance, tenants will never be asked to pay more than 30 percent of their gross annual income for rent.

## CONSTRUCTION SUMMARY

| Foundation | Concrete Slab on Grade |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction | Frame |
| Exterior Walls | Brick/Wood Siding |
| Floors | Carpet/Vinyl |
| Roof | Asphalt Shingle |

## UTILITIES

| UTILITY SCHEDULE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Type | Who Pays |
| Heat | Central Electric | Tenant |
| Air Conditioning | Central Electric | Tenant |
| Hot Water | Electric | Tenant |
| Cooking | Electric | Tenant |
| Other Electric | N/A | Tenant |
| Cold Water/Sewer | N/A | Landlord |
| Trash Collection | N/A | Landlord |

## APPEAL

Landscaping Grass, Shrubs and Trees

## Age, Life and Condition

The subject was constructed in 1992 using both residential and commercial industry standard workmanship and materials. At the time of the inspection, the facility was observed to be in average physical condition. The subject will be in good condition after the rehabilitation is complete. The remaining estimated useful life is calculated by subtracting the effective age of a property as determined by the appraiser from the total economic life as determined by Marshall and Swift Cost Valuation Services. The effective age of a property
is its age as compared with other properties performing like functions. It is the actual age less the age which has been taken off by face-lifting, structural reconstruction, removal of functional inadequacies, modernization of equipment, etc. It is an age which reflects the true remaining life for the property, taking into account the typical life expectancy of buildings or equipment of its class and its usage. It is a matter of judgment, taking all factors, current and those anticipated in the immediate future, into consideration.

In evaluating the remaining economic life, consideration of the following points was included:
a. The economic make-up of the community or region and the on-going demand for accommodations of the type represented.

As noted in the Neighborhood Data section of this report, the subject is considered to be compatible with the adjacent properties in its neighborhood. The median home value for the neighborhood in 2017, according to ESRI, is $\$ 93,989$. According to ESRI, the average amount spent for owner-occupied households in the subject's neighborhood is $\$ 16,152.00$, or $\$ 1,346$ per month. The average amount spent for renter-occupied households is $\$ 10,548.00$, or $\$ 879$ per month. This data indicates that the cost to rent is significantly lower than the cost to own, thereby increasing the demand for rental housing. Therefore, the demand for rental units continues to be strong.
b. The relationship between the property and the immediate environment. Older properties may have legally non-conforming use if they pre-dated real property zoning for the neighborhood. Observations within the neighborhood in which the subject is situated may reveal a conflicting relationship. This should be fully explored to determine any potential external obsolescence.

In selecting an appropriate effective age for the subject, the property's compatibility within the neighborhood was considered. The property is a compatible use in the neighborhood and remains in demand by residents as exhibited by the stable occupancy rate of the property. The existing multifamily use of the subject does not conflict with adjacent property uses. Therefore, the property's compatibility does not have a detrimental impact on the property's remaining economic life. Surrounding and nearby land uses are not detrimental to the subject property. There is no evidence of external obsolescence arising from undesirable or nonconforming properties within the subject district.
c. To the extent possible, the appraiser should analyze architectural design, style and utility from a functional point of view and the likelihood of obsolescence attributable to new inventions, new materials, changes in building codes, and changes in tastes.

The property's architectural design is typical for the local rental market and is generally similar to rental projects in the area. In addition, the functional utility of the subject is similar to rental projects in the area, and the property does not suffer from functional obsolescence.
d. The trend and rate of change in the characteristics of the neighborhood that affect property values and their effect on those values.

Essential goods and services are readily accessible. Access to primary transportation routes is average to good, with ready linkage to both north-south and east-west highways. These neighborhood characteristics have resulted in a stable environment where occupancy rates are strong. No significant changes to the market area characteristics are anticipated.
e. Workmanship and durability of construction and the rapidity with which natural and man-made forces may cause physical deterioration.

The physical aspects reflect Class D construction which is viewed as having good durability.
f. Physical condition and the practice of owners and occupants with respect to maintenance, the use or abuse to which the improvements are subjected, the physical deterioration and functional obsolescence within the subject property.

The property is well-maintained, exhibits no evidence of deferred maintenance and is functionally adequate. The subject property is not anticipated to experience physical deterioration at a higher rate than projected for similar properties in the area.

The buildings are classified as Average Class D Multiple Residences, according to the Marshall \& Swift Cost Manual. Based on the life expectancy tables found in the Marshall \& Swift Cost Manual, the economic life of the building is approximately 55 years. Therefore, the effective age is 10 years, and the remaining economic life is 45 years. The subject will undergo a substantial rehabilitation. Upon completion of the rehabilitation the subject will be in good condition, and the effective age of the subject will be five years, and the remaining economic life will be 50 years.

## Subject Photos
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## Assessments and Current Real Estate Taxes

The tax rate for Greene County is 19.588 per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed value, and the tax rate for Greensboro is 6.644 per $\$ 1,000$ of the assessed value. The property has a total appraised value of $\$ 334,758$, with $\$ 5,955$ allocated to land and $\$ 328,803$ allocated to improvements. The assessor uses 40 percent of the appraised value to determine the assessed value. As a result, the assessed value was $\$ 133,903$. The 2016 real estate taxes for the subject were $\$ 3,564.38$ : $\$ 2,675.83$ for the county and $\$ 888.55$ for the city. The taxes have been paid.

In order to determine the reasonableness of the real estate taxes when considering the Market Value within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), Premised Upon a Hypothetical Condition As-If Unsubsidized Conventional Housing, real estate tax comparables were verified. These comparables are shown in the following table:

| Property | No. of Units | Year Built | Parcel \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { FMV - Land - } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | FMV Improvements 2016 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FMV - Total - } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Real Estate <br> Taxes-2016 | Taxes Per Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hillcrest Apartments 490 Gainesville Highway Winder, Barrow County, Georgia | 102 | 1988 | WN18 054A, WN18 076, WN18 078, WN18 079, WN18 081, WN18 082 WN18 084 | \$309,600 | \$2,239,536 | \$2,549,136 | \$31,073.51 | \$304.64 |
| Pine Creek Apartments 282 Aperson Drive Winder, Barrow County, Georgia | 24 | 2000 | WN12 548, WN12 548A | \$150,000 | \$1,337,400 | \$1,487,400 | \$7,881.34 | \$328.39 |
| Holly Hill Apartments <br> 291 Apperson Drive <br> Winder, Barrow County, Georgia | 64 | 2007 | WN11D 001, WN11D 001B, WN11D 001C | \$499,800 | \$1,203,928 | \$1,703,728 | \$30,311.62 | \$473.62 |
| Jefferson Ridge Townhomes 363 East Jefferson Street Madison, Morgan County, Georgia | 22 | 2000/2012 | M18 072 | \$50,000 | \$1,099,990 | \$1,149,990 | \$13,329.03 | \$605.87 |

These comparables are all market-rate facilities in Barrow and Morgan Counties. These comparables were utilized due to a lack of conventional apartment complexes in the subject's county. The comparables indicated a range of $\$ 304.64$ per unit to $\$ 605.87$ per unit. The subject's actual real estate taxes are $\$ 149.00$ per unit. The subject's real estate taxes are lower than the tax comparables. Based on the tax comparables shown above, the subject "as is" would have real estate taxes more similar to these comparables. Therefore, real estate taxes were projected at $\$ 350$ per unit, or $\$ 8,400$, for the market "as is" scenario.

## Highest and Best Use Analysis

Highest and Best Use is defined in The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, sponsored by the Appraisal Institute (Sixth Edition 2015), as follows:

The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property, which is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible and that result in the highest value.

Implied in this definition is that the determination of highest and best use takes into account the contribution of specific use to the community and community development goals as well as the benefits of that use to individual property owners. Hence, in certain situations, the highest and best use of land may be for parks, greenbelt, preservation, conservation, wildlife habitat, etc.

In determining the highest and best use of the subject property, careful consideration was given to the economic, legal, and social factors which motivate investors to develop, own, buy, sell and lease real estate.

There are four criteria that are used in evaluating the highest and best use of a property. The highest and best must be:

## 1. Physically Possible

2. Legally Permissible
3. Financially Feasible
4. Maximally Productive

The four criteria are applied in sequential order. The selection of uses is narrowed through the consideration of each criteria, so that by the time the last criteria is applied, only a single use is indicated. Hence, a property often will have numerous uses which are physically possible, a lesser number which are both physically possible and legally permissible; fewer still which are physically possible, legally permissible and financially feasible; and only a single use which meets all four criteria.

In addition to the preceding four criteria, the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Foundation further indicate that the following items must be considered as they relate to the use and value of the property:

1. Existing land use regulations
2. Reasonably probable modifications of such regulations
3.Economic demand
3. The physical adaptability of the property
4. Neighborhood trends

The previous sections of this report were used to render a judgment as to the highest and best use of the site as though vacant and as though improved.

## Highest and Best Use as though Vacant

Highest and best use of land or a site as though vacant assumes that a parcel of land is vacant or can be made vacant by demolishing any improvements. With this assumption, uses that create value can be identified, and the appraiser can begin to select comparable properties and estimate land value. The questions to be answered in this analysis are as follows:

If the land is, or were, vacant, what use should be made of it?
What type of building or improvement, if any, should be constructed on the land and when? ${ }^{6}$

## Physically Possible Use as Vacant

The first constraint imposed on the possible use of the property is dictated by the physical aspects of the site itself. The size and location within a given block are the most important determinants of value. In general, the larger the site, the greater its potential to achieve economies of scale and flexibility in development. The size of the parcel, considered within the provisions of the zoning, has considerable influence on its ultimate development.

The key determinant in developing a site is the permitted size of the project. More land permits higher density development, higher floor to area ratios (FAR), etc. the total number of square feet allowed for a building structure tends to rise in proportion to the size of the lot. Location is important when considering a site's proximity to open plazas, office trade areas, work force areas, public transportation, major highways (access/visibility), etc.

As noted in the Site Data section of this report, the subject site has a land area of 1.63 acres. Topographically, the site is nearly level. The subject is not located in a flood hazard area. No subsoil or drainage conditions are known that would adversely affect the development of the site. Public utilities available to the subject include electricity, water, sewer and telephone. The size of the subject and the adjacent properties suggest a number of possible uses for the subject site.

## Legally Permissible Use As Vacant

Legal restrictions, as they apply to the subject property, are of two types, private restrictions (deed restriction easements) and public restrictions, namely zoning. No information regarding private restrictions affecting title was provided with this assignment other than those mentioned below. It is assumed that only common restrictions (i.e. utility easements, etc.) are applicable and are not of any consequence to the development of this site.

[^2]
## FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE USE AS VACANT

After the discussion of the physically possible and legally permissible uses for the site as vacant, the adjacent property uses suggest that the possibilities for the subject have been narrowed to multifamily development.

## MAXIMALLY PRODUCTIVE AS VACANT

Based on the analysis of the previous elements, it is reasonable to assume, if the site were vacant and available for development on the date of valuation, the highest and best use would be for multifamily development, most likely a multifamily use which could produce a higher return.

## HIGHEST AND BEST USE AS IMPROVED

Highest and best use of a property as improved pertains to the use that should be made of an improved property in light of its improvements. The use that maximizes an investment property's value, consistent with the long-term rate of return and associated risk, is its highest and best use as improved. ${ }^{7}$

This part of highest and best use analysis is structured to answer the following problems:

1. Should the building be maintained as is?
2. Should the building be renovated, expanded, or demolished?
3. Should the building be replaced with a different type or intensity of use?

## PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE AS IMPROVED

The subject site supports an existing multifamily development with a gross building area of approximately 24,180 square feet. The subject does suffer from functional or external obsolescence. The subject is in average condition.

## LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE AS IMPROVED

Based on the adjacent property uses and the zoning restrictions for the subject, the highest and best use of the subject site is considered to be a multifamily facility. The configuration of the improvements is not in violation of any known regulations and is considered to be a compatible use with the adjacent commercial and residential properties.

[^3]
## FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE AS IMPROVED

The third factor that must be considered is the economical feasibility of the types of uses that are physically and legally permissible. Based on the data presented in the Income Approach section of this report, the existing improvements appear to be capable to produce an adequate return to be financially feasible as they exist.

## MAXIMALLY PRODUCTIVE AS IMPROVED

Considering the previous discussions, the existing improvements are physically possible, legally permissible and financially feasible. There currently is no alternative legal use that could economically justify razing the existing improvement or significantly changing their use. Based on the foregoing analysis, it is my opinion that the maximally productive use of the property is as a multifamily development.

## Appraisal Procedures

## The Cost Approach

The Cost Approach considers the current cost of replacing a property, less depreciation from three sources: physical deterioration, functional obsolescence and external obsolescence. A summation of the market value of the land, assumed vacant and the depreciated replacement cost of the improvements provides an indication of the total value of the property.

## The Income Approach

The Income Approach is based on an estimate of the subject property's possible net income. The net income is capitalized to arrive at an indication of value from the standpoint of an investment. This method measures the present worth and anticipated future benefits (net income) derived from the property.

## The Sales Comparison Approach

The Sales Comparison Approach produces an estimate of value by comparing the subject property to sales and/or listings of similar properties in the same or competing areas. This technique is used to indicate the value established by informed buyers and sellers in the market.

In preparing this appraisal, the appraiser inspected the subject property and analyzed historic operating data for the subject. A Cost Approach was used to determine the effective age and economic life of the proposed development. Furthermore, information was gathered on competitive properties in the region for comparable improved rentals and operating expenses. Lastly, comparable sales were gathered primarily for their use as overall rate indicators. This information was applied in the Income Capitalization Approach. The application of each measure of value is discussed further in appropriate sections of this report.

## VALUATION SECTION

## Cost Approach

The Cost Approach is a method in which the value of a property is derived by estimating the replacement cost of the improvements, deducting the estimated depreciation, and adding the market value of the land. The first Step in the Cost Approach is to estimate the value of the subject site.

## Site Value

The comparison method is the most common way of developing a market value estimate for land. In the comparison method, sales of vacant land comparable to the subject property are gathered and analyzed. Ideally, such vacant sales are close in time and proximity to the subject property.

The sales prices are adjusted for time, location, physical characteristics, and other relevant variations. The adjusted prices are reduced to some common unit of comparison and conclude a unit value applicable to the subject property. This unit value, when applied to the appropriate unit measure, results in an estimate of market value for land.

An investigation revealed several sales of similar sites in the subject's area. The comparables found are summarized on the following pages.

## Land Sale No. 1



## Property Identification

## Record ID <br> Property Name <br> Address

Tax ID
Market Type

1526
Meadow Crest Road
Meadow Crest Road, Greensboro, Greene County, Georgia 30642
071-0-00-034-0
Land

## Sale Data

Grantor
Grantee
Sale Date
Deed Book/Page
Property Rights
Conditions of Sale
Financing
Verification

Charter Bank
Meadowcrest 46 LLC
April 17, 2014
001112000547
Fee Simple
Normal
Conventional
Assessor; May 15, 2017
Sale Price
Cash Equivalent
\$112,000
\$112,000
\$112,000
Land Data
Zoning
Topography
Utilities
Shape

B-2, General Commercial Highway Oriented District
Nearly Level
E, G, W, S
Irregular

Land Sale No. 1 (Cont.)
Land Size Information
Gross Land Size
Front Footage
Indicators
Sale Price/Gross Acre
Sale Price/Gross SF
4.250 Acres or 185,130 SF Meadow Crest Road
\$26,353
\$0.60

Land Sale No. 2


| Property Identification |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Record ID | 4611 |
| Property Type | Business |
| Property Name | 1280 East Broad Street |
| Address | 1280 East Broad Street, Greensboro, Greene County, Georgia 30642 |
| Tax ID | G11-0-00-002-0 |
| Market Type | Land |
| Sale Data |  |
| Grantor | Strickland William H |
| Grantee | Samjon LLC |
| Sale Date | November 19, 2015 |
| Deed Book/Page | 001157000337 |
| Property Rights | Free Simple |
| Conditions of Sale | Normal |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Verification | Assessor; May 15, 2017 |
| Sale Price | \$32,000 |
| Cash Equivalent | \$32,000 |
| Adjusted Price | \$32,000 |
| Land Data |  |
| Zoning | B-1, Neighborhood Commercial District |
| Topography | Nearly Level |

## Land Sale No. 2 (Cont.)

Utilities
Shape
Land Size Information Gross Land Size Front Footage

Indicators
Sale Price/Gross Acre
Sale Price/Gross SF

E, G, W, S
Irregular
1.670 Acres or 72,745 SF

East Broad Street
\$19,162
\$0.44

## Land Sale No. 3



Property Identification

| Record ID | 4693 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Name | Martin Luther King Drive |
| Address | Martin Luther King Drive at Canaan Street, Greensboro, Greene |
|  | County, Georgia 30642 |
| Tax ID | G07000146B |
| Market Type | Land |

Sale Data
Grantor James Griggs
Grantee
Sale Date
Deed Book/Page
Property Rights
Conditions of Sale
Financing
Verification
Sale Price
Cash Equivalent
Adjusted Price

## Land Data

## Zoning

Topography
Utilities
Shape
December 19, 2016
SL790/3
Fee Simple
Normal
Conventional
\$110,000
\$110,000
\$110,000 Nearly Level
E, G, W, S
Irregular

Historic Springfield Baptist Church, Inc.

Assessor; May 15, 2017

B-2, General Commercial Highway Oriented District

## Land Sale No. 3 (Cont.)

Land Size Information Gross Land Size
Front Footage
Indicators
Sale Price/Gross Acre
Sale Price/Gross SF
2.080 Acres or 90,605 SF

Martin Luther King Drive
\$52,885
\$1.21


| Land Analysis Grid |  | Comp 1 | Comp 2 | Comp 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address | 11 Fox Chase Circle | Meadow Crest Road | 1280 East Broad Street | Martin Luther King Drive at Canaan Street |
| City | Greensboro | Greensboro | Greensboro | Greensboro |
| State | GA | GA | GA | GA |
| Date | 5/15/2017 | 4/17/2014 | 11/19/2015 | 12/19/2016 |
| Price |  | \$112,000 | \$32,000 | \$110,000 |
| Acres | 1.63 | 4.25 | 1.67 | 2.08 |
| Acre Unit Price |  | \$26,353 | \$19,162 | \$52,885 |
| Transaction Adjustments |  |  |  |  |
| Property Rights | Fee Simple | Fee Simple 0.0\% | Free Simple 0.0\% | Fee Simple 0.0\% |
| Financing | Conventional | Conventional 0.0\% | Conventional 0.0\% | Conventional 0.0\% |
| Conditions of Sale | Normal | Normal 0.0\% | Normal 0.0\% | Normal 0.0\% |
| Adjusted Acre Unit Price |  | \$26,353 | \$19,162 | \$52,885 |
| Market Trends Through | 5/15/17 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Adjusted GBA Unit Price |  | \$26,353 | \$19,162 | \$52,885 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Location } \\ \text { \% Adjustment } \\ \text { \$ Adjustment } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Average | Similar 0\% | Similar 0\% | Similar 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Acres | 1.63 | $4.25$ | 1.67 | 2.08 |
| \% Adjustment |  | $0 \%$ | 0\% | 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Visibility/Access <br> \% Adjustment | Average | Similar 0\% | Similar | Similar |
| \$ Adjustment |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Topography \% Adjustment | Nearly Level | Nearly Level 0\% | Nearly Level 0\% | Nearly Level 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Zoning | RM | B-2 | B-1 | B-2 |
| \% Adjustment |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Utilities | E, G, W, S | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{~S} \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | E, G, W, S | E, G, W, S |
| \% Adjustment |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Adjusted Acre Unit Price |  | \$26,353 | \$19,162 | \$52,885 |
| Net adjustments |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Gross adjustments |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

After analyzing the land sales and adjusting each sale accordingly, it is our opinion that the estimated Market Value of the subject site as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:
1.63 acres $\mathbf{x} \$ 27,000$ per acre $=\$ 44,010$

Rounded \$44,000

Summary of Vacant Land Sales

| Comp | Address | Sale Date | Sale Price | Acre Unit Price | Acres | Land SF | Zoning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Meadow Crest Road | $4 / 17 / 2014$ | $\$ 112,000$ | $\$ 26,353$ | 4.25 | 185,130 | B-2 |
| 2 | 1280 East Broad Street | $11 / 19 / 2015$ | $\$ 32,000$ | $\$ 19,162$ | 1.67 | 72,745 | B-1 |
| 3 | Martin Luther King Drive at <br> Canaan Street | $12 / 19 / 2016$ | $\$ 110,000$ | $\$ 52,885$ | 2.08 | 90,605 | B-2 |

## Adjustments

The prices of the comparable land sales range from $\$ 19,162$ to $\$ 52,885$ per acre before adjustments. Each of the comparables was adjusted for differences from the subject site. The adjustments are based on the following characteristics.

## Location

The location of the subject property and the comparables relative to residential population, population wealth, traffic patterns, centers of employment, economic levels and other locational attributes was analyzed. Location comparisons were made based on the appraiser's judgment as to the relative desirability of the property to a potential commercial or multifamily investor. These factors also include degree and quality of surrounding development and view. The subject is located in Greensboro as are all comparables. No adjustments were needed.

## Size

Consideration was given to the size of the subject as compared to the comparables. Size can have an impact on site value based on the premise that smaller parcels often sell for a higher price per unit than larger parcels with equal utility. The subject site consists of a total area of 1.63 acres. The comparables range in size from 1.67 acres to 4.25 acres. The market did not indicate a need for adjustment due to size. Therefore, no adjustments were made.

## Visibility/Access

Consideration was given to the subject's visibility/access. The subject has average visibility/access. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

## Topography

Consideration was given to the subject's topography. The subject is nearly level. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

## Zoning

The adjustment for zoning reflects not only the zoning of the comparables relative to the subject property but also the potential utility of the sites. The subject is zoned RM. Comparable 1 is zoned $\mathrm{B}-2$. Comparable 2 is zoned $\mathrm{B}-1$. Comparable 3 is zoned $\mathrm{B}-2$. The market did not indicate an adjustment was needed for zoning differences. No adjustments were made.

## Utilities

Consideration was given to the subject's utilities. The subject has access to electricity, gas, water sand sewer. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

## Summary Conclusions

The land sales analysis indicates the quantitative or qualitative adjustments. The comparable land sales range from $\$ 19,162$ to $\$ 52,885$ per acre after adjustments. All comparables were given consideration. The comparables indicated a reconciled value of $\$ 27,000$ per acre. These were considered to be the best comparables available after researching sales with local realtors and the county assessor's office.
1.63 acres $\mathbf{x} \$ 27,000$ per Acre $=\$ 44,010$

Rounded \$44,000

## Improvement Valuation

The next step in the Cost Approach is to estimate the replacement cost new of the improvements.

Replacement cost new (RCN) is defined as follows:
The estimated cost to construct, at current prices as of the effective date of the appraisal, a building with utility equivalent to the building being appraised, using modern materials and current standards, design and layout. ${ }^{8}$

A description of the improvements was presented in the Improvement Data section. The costs estimated were made based on the developer's plans. Cost estimates were made based on the replacement cost new of the improvements using the Marshall Valuation Service Cost Manual. Soft costs are included in the base cost determined by the Marshall Valuation Service Cost Manual.

## Depreciation Analysis

Depreciation may be defined as any loss of value from any cause. There are three general areas of depreciation: physical deterioration, functional obsolescence and external obsolescence. Depreciation may be curable or incurable, the test being that money spent to cure the depreciation be gained in value. If the depreciation costs more to fix than will be gained in value, then the depreciation is considered incurable.

## Physical Deterioration

This results from deterioration from aging and use. This type of depreciation may be curable or incurable.

## Depreciation Accrued To The Subject

The buildings have an effective age of 10 years. Properties of this type are anticipated to have a total economic life of 55 years. Based upon the concept of age/life depreciation, the overall depreciation applicable to the subject is $10 / 55$, or 18 percent.

The subject will undergo a substantial rehabilitation. Upon completion of the rehabilitation, the buildings will have an effective age of five years. Properties of this type are anticipated to have a total economic life of 55 years. Based upon the concept of age/life depreciation, the overall depreciation applicable to the subject will be $5 / 55$, or 9 percent.

[^4]
## External Obsolescence

External obsolescence is due to circumstances outside the property itself, such as industry, demographic and economic conditions or an undesirable proximate use. This type of depreciation is rarely curable. The subject does seem to suffer from external obsolescence.

## Deferred Maintenance

There were no visible signs of deferred maintenance at the subject.

The following formula shows the external obsolescence for the "as is" restricted value.

## External Obsolescence - As Is Restricted

Total Construction Cost of Structures \$1,537,990

Plus: Entrepreneur's Profit \$153,799
Depreciation $\quad(\$ 311,368)$
Cost of Structures before External Obsolescence $\quad \$ 1,380,421$
Value of Land
\$44,000
Plus: Entrepreneur's Profit
Cost before External Obsolescence
$\$ 4,400$
\$1,428,821
Current Capitalization Rate 6.00\%

| Economic Net Operating Income (RCN x CR) | $\$ 85,729$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Operating Income from the Subject | $\$ 36,511$ |
| Net Loss Due to Economic Obsolescence | $(\$ 49,218)$ |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Ratio of Improvements Total Property Value } & 0.9661\end{array}$

| Year | Actual NOI Loss | Overall Cap Rate | Capitalized NOI Loss |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | $(\$ 49,218)$ | $6.00 \%$ |

Times ratio of Improvements to Total Property 0.9661
Total External Obsolescence
$(\$ 792,517)$

## Cost Analysis - Restricted As Is - Section 1 of 1



The costs in the preceding charts were derived by using the "Marshall Swift Valuation Service" and by conversations with local builders and comparable sales data. The total Estimated Value indicated by the Cost Approach for the subject "as is":

The following formula shows the external obsolescence for the "as is" market value.
External Obsolescence - As Is Market
Total Construction Cost of Structures \$1,537,990
Plus: Entrepreneur's Profit ..... \$153,799
Depreciation
Cost of Structures before External Obsolescence ..... \$1,380,421
Value of Land ..... \$44,000
Plus: Entrepreneur's Profit ..... \$4,400
Cost before External Obsolescence ..... \$1,428,821
Current Capitalization Rate ..... 7.00\%
Economic Net Operating Income (RCN x CR) ..... \$100,017
Net Operating Income from the Subject ..... \$92,667
Net Loss Due to Economic Obsolescence(\$7,351)
Ratio of Improvements Total Property Value ..... 0.9661
Year Actual NOI Loss Overall Cap Rate
7.00\%
Capitalized NOI Loss ..... (\$105,008)
Times ratio of Improvements to Total Property ..... 0.9661
Total External Obsolescence ..... $(\$ 101,451)$

## Cost Analysis - Market As Is - Section 1 of 1



| Depreciation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | Eff. Age | Life | Percent | Amount |
| Physical Depreciation: Building | 10 | 55 | 18\% | \$300,671 |
| Physical Depreciation: Site | 10 | 20 | 50\% | \$10,697 |
| Functional Obsolescence Building |  |  | 0\% | \$0 |
| External Obsolescence Building |  |  | 0\% | \$101,451 |
| Total Depreciation |  |  |  | \$412,819 |
| Depreciated Value of Improvements |  |  |  | \$1,278,970 |
| Cost Per Square Foot Gross Building Area |  |  |  | \$52.89 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional Cost Sections |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Section 2 |  |  |  | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  | \$0 |


| Land Value |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Value |  | \$44,000 |
| Other |  | \$0 |
|  | Cost Approach Value Indication | \$1,322,970 |
|  | Rounded | \$1,325,000 |

The costs in the preceding charts were derived by using the "Marshall Swift Valuation Service" and by conversations with local builders and comparable sales data. The total Estimated Value indicated by the Cost Approach for the subject "as is":

> Market Value As Is = \$1,325,000

The following formula shows the external obsolescence for the "as complete" restricted value.

## External Obsolescence - As Complete Restricted

| Total Construction Cost of Structures |  |  |  | \$1,537,990 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plus: Entrepreneur's Profit Depreciation |  |  |  | \$153,799 |
|  |  |  |  | (\$155,684) |
| Cost of Structures before External Obsolescence |  |  |  | \$1,536,105 |
| Value of Land |  |  |  | \$44,000 |
| Plus: Entrepreneur's Profit |  |  |  | \$4,400 |
| Cost before External Obsolescence |  |  |  | \$1,584,505 |
| Current Capitalization Rate |  |  |  | 6.00\% |
| Economic Net Operating Income (RCN x CR) |  |  |  | \$95,070 |
| Net Operating Income from the Subject |  |  |  | \$50,768 |
| Net Loss Due to Economic Obsolescence |  |  |  | (\$44,302) |
| Ratio of Improvements Total Property Value |  |  |  | 0.9695 |
| Year | Actual NOI Loss (\$44,302) | Overall Cap Rate 6.00\% | Capitalized | NOI Loss $(\$ 738,368)$ |
| Times ratio of Improvements to Total Property |  |  |  | 0.9695 |
| Total External Obsolescence |  |  |  | $(\$ 715,814)$ |

## Cost Analysis - Restricted As Complete - Section 1 of 1




The costs in the preceding charts were derived by using the "Marshall Swift Valuation Service" and by conversations with local builders and comparable sales data. The total Estimated Value indicated by the Cost Approach for the subject "as complete":

Restricted Value As Stabilized $=\mathbf{\$ 8 6 5 , 0 0 0}$

The following formula shows the external obsolescence for the "as complete" market value.
External Obsolescence - As Complete Market
Total Construction Cost of Structures ..... \$1,537,990
Plus: Entrepreneur's Profit ..... \$153,799
Depreciation
Cost of Structures before External Obsolescence ..... (\$155,684)
Value of Land ..... \$44,000
Plus: Entrepreneur's Profit ..... \$4,400
Cost before External Obsolescence ..... \$1,584,505
Current Capitalization Rate ..... 7.00\%
Economic Net Operating Income (RCN x CR) ..... \$110,915
Net Operating Income from the Subject ..... \$106,400
Net Loss Due to Economic Obsolescence ..... $(\$ 4,516)$
Ratio of Improvements Total Property Value ..... 0.9695
Year Actual NOI Loss Overall Cap Rate
7.00\%
Capitalized NOI Loss $1 \quad(\$ 4,516)$ ..... $(\$ 64,509)$
Times ratio of Improvements to Total Property ..... 0.9695
Total External Obsolescence$(\$ 62,539)$

## Cost Analysis - Market As Complete - Section 1 of 1

Marshall \& Swift

Cost Source: Marshall \& Swift
No. of Stories Multiplier: 1.000
Height/Story Multiplier: 1.000
Perimeter Multiplier: 1.000
\# 12: Dwellings, Duplexes \& Motels
Local Multiplier: 0.830
Current Cost Multiplier: 1.030
Combined Multipliers: 0.855

| Building Improvements |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Unit Type Cost Quantity Multiplier | Total |
| Average Class D Multiple Residences | Sq. Ft. $\quad \$ 71.55 \quad 24180$ 0.855 | \$1,479,045 |
| Built-Ins | Per Unit \$1,925.00 240.855 | \$39,496 |
|  | Total Building Improvement Costs | \$1,518,541 |
|  | Price per SF Gross Building Area | \$62.80 |
| Site Improvements |  |  |
| Item | Unit Type Cost Quantity Multiplier | Total |
| Paving | Per Unit \$650.00 350.855 | \$19,449 |
|  | Total Site Improvement Costs | \$19,449 |
|  | Subtotal: Building \& Site Costs | \$1,537,990 |
| Price per SF Gross Building Area |  | \$63.61 |
| Total Costs |  |  |
| Subtotal: Building, Site \& Soft Costs |  | \$1,537,990 |
|  | Developer's Profit 10.0\% | \$153,799 |
|  | Total Cost | \$1,691,789 |
|  | Price per SF Gross Building Area | \$69.97 |


| Depreciation: Section 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | Eff. Age | Life | Percent | Amount |
| Physical Depreciation: Building | 5 | 55 | 9\% | \$150,336 |
| Physical Depreciation: Site | 5 | 20 | 25\% | \$5,348 |
| Functional Obsolescence Building |  |  | 0\% | \$0 |
| External Obsolescence Building |  |  | 0\% | \$62,539 |
| Total DepreciationDepreciated Value of Improvements |  |  |  | \$218,223 |
|  |  |  |  | \$1,473,566 |
| Cost Per Square Foot Gross Building Area |  |  |  | \$60.94 |


| Additional Cost Sections |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Section 2 |  | \$0 |
| Cost Section 3 | .......................................... | \$0 |



The costs in the preceding charts were derived by using the "Marshall Swift Valuation Service" and by conversations with local builders and comparable sales data. The total Estimated Value indicated by the Cost Approach for the subject "as complete":

> Market Value As Stabilized = \$1,520,000

## Income Approach

The Income Approach is a procedure in which the value of a property is estimated by means of capitalization of a net income stream, either imputed or actual. The steps in the procedure are as follows:

1. Analyze the income the property is capable of generating.
2. Estimate the rental loss from vacancy and uncollected rents.
3. Estimate the amount of expense that will be incurred in operating the property.
4. Subtract 2 and 3 above from 1 to arrive at a net income estimate before capital charges.
5. Using an appropriate rate, capitalize the net income estimate into an indication of value.

## Income Analysis

The first step in forming an opinion of reasonable net income expectancy is the estimation of market rent. Market rent is defined as the rental warranted by a property in the open real estate market based upon current rentals being paid for comparable space.
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## Three-Bedroom Units (949 SF) - As Is
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## Explanation of Adjustments and Market Rent Conclusions - As Is

## Fox Chase I Apartments

## Primary Unit Types - One-Bedroom Units (643 SF), Two-Bedroom Units (909 SF) and ThreeBedroom Units (949 SF)

Please note: Minor adjustments in the $\$ 5$ to $\$ 10$ range are based on the appraiser's evaluation of the overall market as well as typical responses indicated by existing tenants. In addition, this is standard industry practice when there is insufficient market data present to support adjustments. It is also considered an acceptable practice by HUD as indicated in the Section 8 Renewal Guide Chapter 9-12 (B) (2b) which states: "For minor adjustments (generally in the $\$ 5$ to $\$ 10$ range), the appraiser may state his/her subjective evaluation of why the observed differences would affect rent."

Rent comparability grids were prepared for the primary unit types with 643,909 and 949 square feet. Comparable apartments used include the following: Hillcrest Apartments (Comparable 1), Madison Townhomes (Comparable 2), The Oaks Apartments (Comparable 3), Jefferson Ridge Townhomes (Comparable 4) and Brighton Park Apartments (Comparable 5).

Structure/Stories - The subject is located in garden one-story buildings. All comparables are located in garden one-story or walk-up and townhouse two-story buildings. The market did not indicate an adjustment for differences in number of stories. No adjustments were needed.

Project Occupancy - The subject is currently 96 percent occupied. The occupancy rates of the comparables range from 93 to 100 percent. No adjustments were needed.

Concessions - The subject is not currently offering concessions. None of the comparables are currently offering concessions. No adjustment was needed.

Year Built/Year Renovated - The subject was constructed in 1992. Comparable 1 was built in 1988, and Comparable 2 was constructed in 1983. Comparable 3 was constructed in 1969 and is currently being renovated, and Comparable 4 was built in 2000 and was renovated in 2012. Comparable 5 was constructed in 1996. Comparables 1, 2 and 5 are similar to the subject in condition as there were no differences considered significant enough to warrant an adjustment Comparables 3 and 4 are superior to the subject. Comparable 3 is currently being renovated, and Comparable 4 was renovated in 2012. All comparables will be inferior to varying degrees. It is difficult to determine adjustment amounts for condition/street appeal as it is difficult to determine rent level fluctuations based on these items. Therefore, it was necessary to rely in large part on opinions of area apartment managers and tenants. In addition, adjusted rents of the comparables were considered as the difference in rents of the comparables after everything else is factored
out is assumed to be attributable to condition/street appeal. When performing the analysis, the appraiser compared the units at Comparables 3 and 4 individually to the units at Comparable 5. As can be seen in the following tables, the appraiser adjusted the street rent of each comparable for all differences between the subject and comparables to come up with a net adjusted rent for each comparable. The differences that warranted adjustments included \# of bedrooms, \# of baths, unit size, balcony/patio, microwave/dishwasher, pool/exercise room/recreation area, cold water/sewer, trash, security, special features and location. Once the net adjusted rents were determined, these rents were compared to the street rent at Comparable 5. The differences between the rents indicate the appropriate adjustments for condition.

As can be seen on the analysis, the amount of adjustments indicated was different for each bedroom type. Due to the nature of the adjustment and the fact that all of the difference may not be attributable entirely to differences in condition, the results were averaged and then divided in half. Comparables 3 and 4 are considered similar in condition to each other. Therefore, the average of each of these comparables were grouped to form a reasonable range of adjustments. The comparables indicated a range of $\$ 29$ to $\$ 63$ per month for condition differences from the subject. After considering all factors, both comparables were adjusted downward $\$ 50$ per month.

SF Area - For the purpose of this report, a range of comparable rents per square foot was derived. To determine this adjustment, each comparable's dollar per square foot rental rate was determined. This number was then multiplied by 25 percent for each comparable to derive an adjusted dollar per square foot rental rate. The median dollar per square foot rental rate is determined. Next, the difference in square footage between the subject and each comparable is determined. The difference is multiplied by the determined adjusted dollar per square foot rate to arrive at the adjustment for each comparable. The selected dollar per square foot for the one-bedroom comparison is $\$ 0.16$, for the two-bedroom comparison is $\$ 0.16$ and for three-bedroom comparison is $\$ 0.16$. No adjustments were made to comparables within 25 square feet of the subject. The adjustments were rounded to the nearest $\$ 5$. These adjustments are reflected on the HUD-Forms 92273, which are attached.
\# of Bedrooms - The subject contains one-, two- and three-bedroom units. Due to the lack of conventional one- and three-bedroom units in the vicinity, two two-bedroom comparables were used on both of these grids to compare to the subject's units. The majority of the difference in number of bedrooms is accounted for in the unit square footage adjustment. However, an adjustment is made here to consider the convenience of additional bedrooms. The extra room(s) will enhance the marketability of a unit even if the square footage remains the same. The following table shows the paired analysis utilized to determine the
bedroom adjustment. Comparables 1 and 5 also contain one-half bath difference in the two- and threebedroom units.

An adjustment within the range of the comparables is considered appropriate. After considering all factors, an adjustment of $\$ 75$ per bedroom was selected.
\# of Baths - Each complex with a differing number of baths than the subject was adjusted $\$ 10$ per halfbath and $\$ 20$ per full bath. The majority of the difference in number of baths is accounted for in the unit square footage adjustment. However, an adjustment is made here to consider the added convenience of additional baths. The extra room(s) will enhance marketability of a unit even if the square footage remains the same. The comparables contained insufficient data for a paired analysis determination. Therefore, only nominal adjustments were selected for differences in number of baths.

Balcony/Patio - The subject contains patios. Comparables 1 and 5 contain balconies or patios. The remaining comparables do not contain either feature. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, Comparables 2,3 and 4 were adjusted upward $\$ 5$ per month.

Parking - The subject contains open asphalt parking for all units. All comparables contain lot parking for no additional fee. No adjustments were needed.

AC: Central/Wall - The subject contains central air conditioning. All comparables are similar. No adjustments were needed.

Range/Refrigerator - The subject contains both features in all units. All comparables contain these features in the units. No adjustment was needed.

Garbage Disposal - The subject does not contain a garbage disposal in the units. However, since there is no market data concerning units with this feature, no adjustment was given.

Microwave/Dishwasher - The subject does not contain either microwave or dishwasher. All comparables except Comparable 5 contain dishwashers. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, Comparables 1,2,3 and 4 were adjusted downward $\$ 10$ per month.

Washer/Dryer - The subject contains washer/dryer hook-ups. All comparables contain washer/dryer hookups. No adjustments were needed.

Carpet - The subject contains carpet floor covering. All comparables contain carpet floor covering. No adjustments were needed.

Drapes - The subject and all comparables contain window coverings. No adjustment was needed.

Pool/Exercise Room/Recreation Areas - The subject does not contain any of these features. Comparable 3 contains a swimming pool, exercise room, volleyball court and tennis court. Comparable 5 contains a swimming pool and exercise room. The remaining comparables are similar to the subject. No comparable in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, Comparable 3 was adjusted downward $\$ 30$ per month, and Comparable 5 was adjusted downward $\$ 20$ per month.

Heat - The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cooling - The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cooking - The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Electricity - The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.
Hot Water - The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cold Water/Sewer - The subject has cold water and sewer provided by the landlord. Comparable 1 is similar. The remaining comparables do provide these utilities. Comparables 2, 3, 4 and 5 were adjusted upward $\$ 38$ per month for one-bedroom units, $\$ 47$ per month for two-bedroom units and $\$ 57$ per month for three-bedroom units as indicated by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Authority's Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services.

Trash - The subject does have this utility provided by the landlord. Comparables 1 and 5 are similar. The remaining comparables do not provide this utility. Comparables 2,3 and 4 were adjusted upward $\$ 15$ per month for all unit types as indicated by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Authority's Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services.

Extra Storage - The subject contains extra storage. None of the comparables contain this feature. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, all comparables were adjusted upward $\$ 5$ per month.

Location - The subject's location is average with easy access to all services available within the city limits. The comparables are located outside the market area but were utilized due to the lack of conventional comparables in the market area. The location of the subject property and the comparables relative to residential population, population wealth, traffic patterns, centers of employment, economic levels and other locational attributes was analyzed. Location comparisons were made based on the appraiser's judgment as to the relative desirability of the property to a potential renter. The comparables were located in Winder, Madison and Athens. Winder and Madison were considered similar overall to Greensboro, though there were slight differences in population and economic indicators. However, the differences were not considered significant enough to warrant an adjustment. Athens, however, has a larger population and a higher median home value and median rent as can be seen in the following table:

After considering all factors, an adjustment of $\$ 10$ for the comparables in Athens was considered appropriate.

Security - The subject does not contain security features. Comparables 1,2,3 and 4 are similar to the subject. Comparable 5 contains security patrol. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit, particularly security that limits access to the property. Therefore, Comparable 5 was adjusted downward $\$ 5$ per month.

Clubhouse/Meeting Room - The subject contains a meeting room. The comparables do not contain either feature. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, all comparables were adjusted upward $\$ 5$ per month.

Special Features - The subject does not contain special features in all units. Comparables 2 and 3 contain fireplaces in the units. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. The comparables with fireplaces were adjusted downward $\$ 10$ per month.

Business Center/Neighborhood Network - The subject does not contain a business center. All comparables are similar. No adjustments were needed.

## Conclusion of Market Rents - As Is

The adjusted rents range from $\$ 503$ to $\$ 665$ for the one-bedroom comparison; from $\$ 577$ to $\$ 770$ for the two-bedroom comparison; and from $\$ 732$ to $\$ 839$ for the three-bedroom comparison. Comparables 1, 2 and 5 were given the most consideration as they similar in condition. The remaining comparables were also given consideration. The appraiser concluded the market rent for the units at the subject as follows:

```
- 643 SF One-Bedroom Units
- $550
- 909 SF Two-Bedroom Units - $685
- 949 SF Three-Bedroom Units - $785
```

The following table shows the current rents at the subject. The estimated market rents are above the current rents.

| Unit Type | \# of Units | Square Footage | Maximum Net <br> LIHTC Rent | Current Rent | Utility Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 1$ | 2 | 643 | $\$ 479$ | $\$ 465$ | $\$ 94$ |
| $2 / 1.5 \mathrm{TH}$ | 18 | 909 | $\$ 580$ | $\$ 505$ | $\$ 108$ |
| $3 / 1.5$ | 4 | 949 | $\$ 673$ | $\$ 535$ | $\$ 121$ |
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Estimates of Market Rent
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OMB Approval No. 2502-0029 Office of Housing
(exp. 09/30/2016
Housing Appropriation Act of $9 / 28 / 1994$. The information is neededed to anverage 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the nonsensitive and does not require special protection. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to completet this form, unless it ispolays a currently valid a MB control


Previous editions are obsolete

## Two-Bedroom Units (909 SF) - As Complete

Estimates of Market Rent
by Comparison - As Complete
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Federal Housing Commissioner
Housing Approprition


| 2. Subject Property (Address) <br> Fox Chase I Apartments 11 Fox Chase Circle Greensboro, Greene, GA |  | A. Comparable Property No. 1 (address) Hillcrest Apartments 490 Gainesville Highway Winder, Barrow, GA |  |  | B. Comparable Property No. 2 (address) <br> Madison Townhomes <br> 101 Concord Lane <br> Madison, Morgan, GA |  |  | C. Comparable Property No. 3 (address) <br> The Oaks Apartments 175 Woodlake Place Athens, Clarke , GA |  |  | D. Comparable Property No. 4 (address) Jefferson Ridge Townhomes 363 East Jefferson Street Madison, Morgan, GA |  |  | E. Comparable Property No. 5 (address) Brighton Park Apartments 4315 Lexington Road Athens, Clarke , GA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristics | Data | Data | Adjust |  | Data | ${ }^{\text {Adjustr}}$ |  | Data | Adjust |  | Data | ${ }^{\text {Adjust }}$ |  | Data | Adjust |  |
| 3. Effective Date of Rental | 05/2017 | 05/2017 |  |  | 05/2017 |  |  | 05/2017 |  |  | 05/2017 |  |  | 05/2017 |  |  |
| 4. Type of Project/Stories | T/2 | G/1, T/2 |  |  | T/2 |  |  | WU/2, T/2 |  |  | T/2 |  |  | WU/2 |  |  |
| 5. Floor of Unit in Building | First | Varies |  |  | Varies |  |  | Varies |  |  | First |  |  | Varies |  |  |
| 6. Project Occupancy \% | 96\% | 100\% |  |  | 96\% |  |  | 93\% |  |  | 100\% |  |  | 100\% |  |  |
| 7. Concessions | N | N |  |  | N |  |  | N |  |  | N |  |  | N |  |  |
| 8. Year Built | 1992/Proposed | 1988 |  | \$50 | 1983 |  | \$50 | 1969/2017 |  |  | 2000/2012 |  |  | 1996 | \$50 |  |
| 9. Sq. Ft. Area | 909 | 940 | (\$5) |  | 1,000 | (\$15) |  | 1,125 | (\$35) |  | 1,075 | (\$25) |  | 1,100 | (\$30) |  |
| 10. Number of Bedrooms | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 11. Number of Baths | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  | 1.5 |  |  | 2.0 | (\$10) |  | 2.5 | (\$20) |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| 12. Number of Rooms | 4 | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| 13. Balc./Terrace/Patio | Y | Y |  |  | N |  | \$5 | N |  | \$5 | N |  | \$5 | Y |  |  |
| 14. Garage or Carport | Lo | Lo |  |  | Lo |  |  | Lo |  |  | Lo |  |  | Lo |  |  |
| 15. Equipment a. A/C | c | c |  |  | c |  |  | C |  |  | c |  |  | C |  |  |
| b. Range/Refrigerator | RF | RF |  |  | RF |  |  | RF |  |  | RF |  |  | RF |  |  |
| c. Disposal | N | Y |  |  | Y |  |  | Y |  |  | Y |  |  | Y |  |  |
| d. Microwave/Dishwasher | N | D | (\$10) |  | D | (\$10) |  | D | (\$10) |  | D | (\$10) |  | N |  |  |
| e. Washer/Dryer | HU | HU |  |  | HU |  |  | HU |  |  | HU |  |  | HU |  |  |
| f. Carpet | C | C |  |  | c |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |
| g. Drapes | B | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| h. Pool/Rec. Area | N | N |  |  | N |  |  | PER | (\$30) |  | N |  |  | PE | (\$20) |  |
| 16. Services a. Heat Type | N/E | N/G |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  |
| b. Cooling | N/E | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  |
| c. CookType | N/E | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  |
| d. Electricity | N | N |  |  | N |  |  | N |  |  | N |  |  | N |  |  |
| e. Hot Water | N/E | N/G |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  | N/E |  |  |
| f. Cold Water/Sewer | Y | Y |  |  | N |  | \$47 | N |  | \$47 | N |  | \$47 | N |  | \$47 |
| g. Trash | Y | Y |  |  | N |  | \$15 | N |  | \$15 | N |  | \$15 | Y |  |  |
| 17. Storage | Y/0 | N |  | \$5 | N |  | \$5 | N |  | \$5 | N |  | \$5 | N |  | \$5 |
| 18. Project Location | Average | Similar |  |  | Similar |  |  | Superior | (\$10) |  | Similar |  |  | Superior | (\$10) |  |
| 19. Security | N | N |  |  | N |  |  | N |  |  | N |  |  | Y | (\$5) |  |
| 20. Clubhouse/Meeting Room | MR | N |  | \$5 | N |  | \$5 | N |  | \$5 | N |  | \$5 | N |  | \$5 |
| 21. Special Features | N | N |  |  | F | (\$10) |  | F | (\$10) |  | N |  |  | N |  |  |
| 22. Business Center / Nbhd Netwk | N | N |  |  | N |  |  | N |  |  | N |  |  | N |  |  |
| 23. Unit Rent Per Month |  | \$775 |  |  | \$650 |  |  | \$786 |  |  | \$700 |  |  | \$585 |  |  |
| 24. Total Adjustment |  |  | \$45 |  |  | \$92 |  |  | (\$28) |  |  | \$22 |  |  | \$42 |  |
| 25. Indicated Rent |  | \$820 |  |  | \$742 |  |  | \$758 |  |  | \$722 |  |  | \$627 |  |  |
| 26. Correlated Subject Rent | \$735 | $\square$ If there are any Remarks, check here and add the remarks to the back of page. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | high rent | \$820 | low |  | \$627 |  | ange | \$666 to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: In the adjustments column, enter dollar amounts by which subject property varies from comparable properties. If subject is better, enter a "Plus" amount and if subject is inferior to the comparable, enter a "Minus" amount. Use back of page to explain adjustments as needed. |  |  |  |  | Appraiser's Signature <br> samual 1 siel | Samuil 1. Liel |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Date (mm/dd/yy) } \\ 05 / 15 / 17 \end{array}$ | Reviewer's Signature |  |  |  |  | Date (mm/dd/yyy) |  |

## Three-Bedroom Units (949 SF) - As Complete

Estimates of Market Rent
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
by Comparison - As Complete
Housing Appropopiation



# Explanation of Adjustments and Market Rent Conclusions - As Complete 

## Fox Chase I Apartments

Primary Unit Types - One-Bedroom Units (643 SF), Two-Bedroom Units (909 SF) and ThreeBedroom Units (949 SF)

Please note: Minor adjustments in the $\$ 5$ to $\$ 10$ range are based on the appraiser's evaluation of the overall market as well as typical responses indicated by existing tenants. In addition, this is standard industry practice when there is insufficient market data present to support adjustments. It is also considered an acceptable practice by HUD as indicated in the Section 8 Renewal Guide Chapter 9-12 (B) (2b) which states: "For minor adjustments (generally in the $\$ 5$ to $\$ 10$ range), the appraiser may state his/her subjective evaluation of why the observed differences would affect rent."

Rent comparability grids were prepared for the primary unit types with 643,909 and 949 square feet. Comparable apartments used include the following: Hillcrest Apartments (Comparable 1), Madison Townhomes (Comparable 2), The Oaks Apartments (Comparable 3), Jefferson Ridge Townhomes (Comparable 4) and Brighton Park Apartments (Comparable 5).

Structure/Stories - The subject is located in garden one-story buildings. All comparables are located in garden one-story or walk-up and townhouse two-story buildings. The market did not indicate an adjustment for differences in number of stories. No adjustments were needed.

Project Occupancy - The subject is currently 96 percent occupied. The occupancy rates of the comparables range from 93 to 100 percent. No adjustments were needed.

Concessions - The subject is not currently offering concessions. None of the comparables are currently offering concessions. No adjustment was needed.

Year Built/Year Renovated - The subject was constructed in 1992 and will be rehabilitated. It will be in good condition. Comparable 1 was built in 1988, and Comparable 2 was constructed in 1983. Comparable 3 was constructed in 1969 and is currently being renovated, and Comparable 4 was built in 2000 and was renovated in 2012. Comparable 5 was constructed in 1996. Comparables 3 and 4 are considered similar to the subject after the subject's rehabilitation. It is difficult to determine adjustment amounts for condition/street appeal as it is difficult to determine rent level fluctuations based on these items. Therefore, it was necessary to rely in large part on opinions of area apartment managers and tenants. In addition, adjusted rents of the comparables were considered as the difference in rents of the comparables after everything else is factored out is assumed to be attributable to condition/street appeal. After considering all factors, Comparables 1, 2 and 5 were adjusted upward $\$ 50$ per month.

SF Area - For the purpose of this report, a range of comparable rents per square foot was derived. To determine this adjustment, each comparable's dollar per square foot rental rate was determined. This number was then multiplied by 25 percent for each comparable to derive an adjusted dollar per square foot rental rate. The median dollar per square foot rental rate is determined. Next, the difference in square footage between the subject and each comparable is determined. The difference is multiplied by the determined adjusted dollar per square foot rate to arrive at the adjustment for each comparable. The selected dollar per square foot for the one-bedroom comparison is $\$ 0.16$, for the two-bedroom comparison is $\$ 0.16$ and for three-bedroom comparison is $\$ 0.16$. No adjustments were made to comparables within 25 square feet of the subject. The adjustments were rounded to the nearest $\$ 5$. These adjustments are reflected on the HUD-Forms 92273, which are attached.
\# of Bedrooms - The subject contains one-, two- and three-bedroom units. Due to the lack of conventional one- and three-bedroom units in the vicinity, two two-bedroom comparables were used on both of these grids to compare to the subject's units. The majority of the difference in number of bedrooms is accounted for in the unit square footage adjustment. However, an adjustment is made here to consider the convenience of additional bedrooms. The extra room(s) will enhance the marketability of a unit even if the square footage remains the same. The following table shows the paired analysis utilized to determine the bedroom adjustment. Comparables 1 and 5 also contain one-half bath difference in the two- and threebedroom units.

An adjustment within the range of the comparables is considered appropriate. After considering all factors, an adjustment of $\$ 75$ per bedroom was selected.
\# of Baths - Each complex with a differing number of baths than the subject was adjusted $\$ 10$ per halfbath and $\$ 20$ per full bath. The majority of the difference in number of baths is accounted for in the unit square footage adjustment. However, an adjustment is made here to consider the added convenience of additional baths. The extra room(s) will enhance marketability of a unit even if the square footage remains the same. The comparables contained insufficient data for a paired analysis determination. Therefore, only nominal adjustments were selected for differences in number of baths.

Balcony/Patio - The subject contains patios. Comparables 1 and 5 contain balconies or patios. The remaining comparables do not contain either feature. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, Comparables 2,3 and 4 were adjusted upward $\$ 5$ per month.

Parking - The subject contains open asphalt parking for all units. All comparables contain lot parking for no additional fee. No adjustments were needed.

AC: Central/Wall - The subject contains central air conditioning. All comparables are similar. No adjustments were needed.

Range/Refrigerator - The subject contains both features in all units. All comparables contain these features in the units. No adjustment was needed.

Garbage Disposal - The subject does not contain a garbage disposal in the units. However, since there is no market data concerning units with this feature, no adjustment was given.

Microwave/Dishwasher - The subject does not contain either microwave or dishwasher. All comparables except Comparable 5 contain dishwashers. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, Comparables 1, 2, 3 and 4 were adjusted downward $\$ 10$ per month.

Washer/Dryer - The subject contains washer/dryer hook-ups. All comparables contain washer/dryer hookups. No adjustments were needed.

Carpet - The subject contains carpet floor covering. All comparables contain carpet floor covering. No adjustments were needed.

Drapes - The subject and all comparables contain window coverings. No adjustment was needed.

Pool/Exercise Room/Recreation Areas - The subject does not contain any of these features. Comparable 3 contains a swimming pool, exercise room, volleyball court and tennis court. Comparable 5 contains a swimming pool and exercise room. The remaining comparables are similar to the subject. No comparable in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, Comparable 3 was adjusted downward $\$ 30$ per month, and Comparable 5 was adjusted downward \$20 per month.

Heat - The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cooling - The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cooking - The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Electricity - The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Hot Water - The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cold Water/Sewer - The subject has cold water and sewer provided by the landlord. Comparable 1 is similar. The remaining comparables do provide these utilities. Comparables $2,3,4$ and 5 were adjusted upward $\$ 38$ per month for one-bedroom units, $\$ 47$ per month for two-bedroom units and $\$ 57$ per month for three-bedroom units as indicated by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Authority's Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services.

Trash - The subject does have this utility provided by the landlord. Comparables 1 and 5 are similar. The remaining comparables do not provide this utility. Comparables 2, 3 and 4 were adjusted upward $\$ 15$ per month for all unit types as indicated by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Authority's Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services.

Extra Storage - The subject contains extra storage. None of the comparables contain this feature. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, all comparables were adjusted upward $\$ 5$ per month.

Location - The subject's location is average with easy access to all services available within the city limits. The comparables are located outside the market area but were utilized due to the lack of conventional comparables in the market area. The location of the subject property and the comparables relative to residential population, population wealth, traffic patterns, centers of employment, economic levels and other locational attributes was analyzed. Location comparisons were made based on the appraiser's judgment as to the relative desirability of the property to a potential renter. The comparables were located in Winder, Madison and Athens. Winder and Madison were considered similar overall to Greensboro, though there were slight differences in population and economic indicators. However, the differences were not considered significant enough to warrant an adjustment. Athens, however, has a larger population and a higher median home value and median rent as can be seen in the following table:

After considering all factors, an adjustment of $\$ 10$ for the comparables in Athens was considered appropriate.

Security - The subject does not contain security features. Comparables 1, 2, 3 and 4 are similar to the subject. Comparable 5 contains security patrol. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit, particularly security that limits access to the property. Therefore, Comparable 5 was adjusted downward $\$ 5$ per month.

Clubhouse/Meeting Room - The subject contains a meeting room. The comparables do not contain either feature. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, all comparables were adjusted upward $\$ 5$ per month.

Special Features - The subject does not contain special features in all units. Comparables 2 and 3 contain fireplaces in the units. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. The comparables with fireplaces were adjusted downward $\$ 10$ per month.

Business Center/Neighborhood Network - The subject does not contain a business center. All comparables are similar. No adjustments were needed.

## Conclusion of Market Rents - As Complete

The adjusted rents range from $\$ 553$ to $\$ 715$ for the one-bedroom comparison; from $\$ 627$ to $\$ 820$ for the two-bedroom comparison; and from $\$ 782$ to $\$ 889$ for the three-bedroom comparison. Comparables 3 and 4 were given significant consideration as these comparables are similar in condition. However, the remaining comparables were also given consideration. The appraiser concluded the market rent for the units at the subject as follows:

## - 643 SF One-Bedroom Units <br> - $\$ 600$ <br> - 909 SF Two-Bedroom Units <br> \$735 <br> - 949 SF Three-Bedroom Units <br> \$835

The following table shows the proposed rents at the subject. The estimated "as complete" market rents are above the proposed rents. Additionally, a restricted analysis was completed to determine the achievable rents for the subject. This analysis is shown in the addendum. Therefore, the proposed rents were considered achievable.

| Unit Type | \# of Units | Square Footage | Maximum Net <br> LIHTC Rent | Proposed Rent | Utility Allowance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 1$ | 2 | 643 | $\$ 479$ | $\$ 479$ | $\$ 94$ |
| $2 / 1.5 \mathrm{TH}$ | 18 | 909 | $\$ 580$ | $\$ 550$ | $\$ 108$ |
| $3 / 1.5$ | 4 | 949 | $\$ 673$ | $\$ 625$ | $\$ 121$ |

## Rent Comparables

Multi-Family Lease No. 1


## Property Identification

## Record ID <br> 5880

Property Type
Property Name
Address
Market Type
Garden/Townhouse
Hillcrest Apartments
490 Gainesville Highway, Winder, Barrow County, Georgia 30680
Market

Verification
Cynthia; 770-867-4007, May 15, 2017

## Unit Mix

| Unit Type | No. of Units | Size SF | Rent/Mo. | Mo. Rent/SF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/1 | 25 | 700 | \$675 | \$0.96 |
| $2 / 1.5$ TH | 10 | 900 | \$750 | \$0.83 |
| 2/1.5 TH | 22 | 940 | \$775 | \$0.82 |
| 2/1.5 TH | 23 | 1,136 | \$800 | \$0.70 |
| 2/1.5 TH | 12 | 1,236 | \$800 | \$0.65 |
| 3/1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$825 | \$0.83 |
| ncy | 99\% |  |  |  |
| emiums | N |  |  |  |
| nits | 102 |  |  |  |
| e Range | 700-1236 |  |  |  |

## Multi-Family Lease No. 1 (Cont.)

Avg. Unit Size ..... 962
Avg. Rent/Unit ..... \$762
Avg. Rent/SF ..... \$0.79
SF ..... 98,140
Physical DataNo. of BuildingsConstruction Type
HVAC
Stories
Utilities with Rent
Parking
Year Built
Condition Average
Construction Type
Gas Utilities
Electric Utilities
16
Brick/Siding
Central Gas/Central Elec
Water, Sewer, Trash Collection
O
Heating

16
Brick/Siding
Central Gas/Central Elec
2
Water, Sewer, Trash Collection
L/0
1988
Average
Heating
Cooling, Other Electric

## Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups (Select Units) , Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Ceiling Fans, Walk-In Closet (Select Units), Coat Closet, Balcony, Patio, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance

## Remarks

The property does not maintain a waiting list. The annual turnover rate was not disclosed.


Property Identification
Record ID
Property Type
Property Name
Address
Market Type
Verification

## 5356

Townhouse
Madison Townhomes
101 Concord Lane, Madison, Morgan County, Georgia 30650
Market
Ben; 704-474-6653, May 15, 2017

## Unit Mix

No. of
$\frac{\text { Units }}{24}$
$\frac{\text { Size SF }}{1,000}$
Rent/Mo. \$650

Mo.
$\frac{\text { Rent/SF }}{\$ 0.65}$

96\%
N
24
0-1000
Unit Size Range
1,000
Avg. Rent/Unit
$\$ 650$
Avg. Rent/SF
\$0.65

## SF

24,000
Physical Data
No. of Buildings6

## Multi-Family Lease No. 2 (Cont.)

| Construction Type | Brick |
| :--- | :--- |
| HVAC | Central Elec/Central Elec |
| Stories | 2 |
| Utilities with Rent | None |
| Parking | L/0 |
| Year Built | 1983 |
| Condition | Average |
| Gas Utilities | None |
| Electric Utilities | All |
|  |  |
| Amenities |  |
| Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, |  |
| Vinyl, Blinds, Fireplace, Laundry Facility |  |
|  |  |
| Remarks |  |

Multi-Family Lease No. 3


## Property Identification

Record ID
Property Type Property Name Address Market Type

5495
Walk-Up
The Oaks Apartments
175 Woodlake Place, Athens, Clarke County, Georgia 30605
Market
Cindy; 706-549-6254, May 15, 2017
$\frac{\text { Unit Type }}{1 / 1}$

1/1
2/2
2/2
2/2
2/2
3/2
3/2

Mo.
$\frac{\text { Rent/Mo. }}{\$ 530} \quad \frac{\text { Rent/SF }}{\$ 0.56}$ $\$ 595 \quad \$ 0.63$ \$625 \$786 $\$ 750$ $\$ 818$ $\$ 828 \quad \$ 0.57$ \$952
\$0.66

| Size SF | Rent/Mo. | Mo. Rent/S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 950 | \$530 | \$0.56 |
| 950 | \$595 | \$0.63 |
| 1,125 | \$625 | \$0.56 |
| 1,125 | \$786 | \$0.70 |
| 1,175 | \$750 | \$0.64 |
| 1,175 | \$818 | \$0.70 |
| 1,450 | \$828 | \$0.57 |
| 1,450 | \$952 | \$0.66 |

## Unit Mix

No. of $\frac{\text { Units }}{84}$

120
34
20
$93 \%$
N
258
950-1450
1,100
\$626
$\$ 0.57$

## Occupancy

Rent Premiums
Total Units
Unit Size Range
Avg. Unit Size
Avg. Rent/Unit
Avg. Rent/SF

## Multi-Family Lease No. 3 (Cont.)

## SF

## Physical Data

No. of Buildings Construction Type HVAC
Stories
Utilities with Rent
Parking
Year Built
Condition
Gas Utilities
Electric Utilities

283,750

## 30

Stucco
Central Elec/Central Elec
2
None
L/0
1969/2017
Good
None
All

## Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Fireplace, Swimming Pool, Exercise Room, Volleyball Court, Tennis Court, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance

## Remarks

There are currently five applicants on the waiting list. The annual turnover rate is 25 percent. The property is currently undergoing renovations. The higher rents are for the units that have been renovated.

Multi-Family Lease No. 4


| Property Identification |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Record ID | 5332 |
| Property Type | Townhouse |
| Property Name | Jefferson Ridge Townhomes <br> Address |
|  | 363 East Jefferson Street, Madison, Morgan County, Georgia |
|  | 30650 |
| Market Type | Market |

Verification Linda; 706-818-3563, May 15, 2017

## Unit Mix

## Unit Type 2/2.5

Occupancy
100\%
Rent Premiums
Total Units
Unit Size Range
Avg. Unit Size
Avg. Rent/Unit
No. of
Mo.
$\begin{array}{llll}\frac{\text { Units }}{22} & \frac{\text { Size SF }}{1,075} \quad \frac{\text { Rent/Mo. }}{\$ 700} \quad \frac{\text { Rent/SF }}{\$ 0.65}\end{array}$

Avg. Rent/SF
N
22
0-1075
\$0.58
SF
23,650
Physical Data
No. of Buildings
Construction Type
HVAC
Stories
Utilities with Rent
4
Vinyl Siding
Central Elec/Central Elec
2
None

## Multi-Family Lease No. 4 (Cont.)

## Parking <br> Year Built <br> Condition <br> Gas Utilities <br> Electric Utilities

L/0
2000/2012
Good
None
All

## Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds

## Remarks

The property has a waiting list of five applicants. The annual turnover rate was not disclosed.

Multi-Family Lease No. 5


Property Identification
Record ID
Property Type
Property Name
Address
Market Type

Verification

Verification
5483
Walk-Up/Townhouse
Brighton Park Apartments
4315 Lexington Road, Athens, Clarke County, Georgia 30605
Market
Leasing Agent; 706-354-7917, May 15, 2017

| Unit Type |
| :---: |
| $1 / 1$ |
| $2 / 1.5 \mathrm{TH}$ |
| $2 / 1.5 \mathrm{TH}$ |
| $3 / 2$ |

Occupancy
Rent Premiums
Total Units
Unit Size Range
Avg. Unit Size
Avg. Rent/Unit
Avg. Rent/SF
SF
Physical Data
No. of Buildings
Construction Type HVAC
Stories
Utilities with Rent

## Unit Mix

No. of
Units
56
30
30
30


Mo.
Rent/Mo. Rent/SF $\$ 500 \quad \$ 0.76$ $\$ 585 \quad \$ 0.53$
\$635 \$0.51 \$775 \$0.57

100\%
N
146
660-1350
1,013
\$602
\$0.59
147,960

## 21

Brick
Central Elec/Central Elec
2
Trash Collection

## Multi-Family Lease No. 5 (Cont.)

| Parking | L/0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Year Built | 1996 |
| Condition | Average |
| Gas Utilities | None |
| Electric Utilities | All |

## Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Ceiling Fans, Patio, Swimming Pool, Exercise Room, Security Patrol

## Remarks

The property does not maintain a waiting list. The annual turnover rate was not disclosed. The property is not considered competitive with the subject as it targets a different tenant base.

Rent Comparable Map


## Summary and Conclusion

Comparable apartment complexes were analyzed as shown on the attached HUD-Forms 92273. Adjustments were based on market rates for individual items as discussed on the previous pages. After analyzing the aforementioned data, market rates were established with special emphasis placed on the best comparables for each unit type to arrive at the estimated market rents as shown in the chart below. After all adjustments, the comparables with the least amount of adjustments for each bedroom type were considered to determine market rates. These rates were used throughout the report as the "Market Rates" for all subject apartment types.

## Potential Gross Rental Income

Total Potential Gross Rental Income (Restricted Rent As Is)

| \# of Units | Unit Type | Unit SF | Max. Net LIHTC Rent | Current Rent | Potential Gross Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1/1 | 643 | \$479 | \$465 | \$930 |
| 18 | 2/1.5 TH | 909 | \$580 | \$505 | \$9,090 |
| 4 | 3/1.5 | 949 | \$673 | \$535 | \$2,140 |
| Total Potential Monthly Rental Income |  |  |  |  | \$12,160 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\times 12$ |
| Total Potential Gross Rental Income |  |  |  |  | \$145,920 |
| Miscellaneous Income |  |  |  |  | \$2,900 |
| Total Potential Gross Income |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$148,820 |

Total Potential Gross Rental Income (Market Rent As Is)

| \# of Units | Unit Type | Unit SF | Max. Net LIHTC Rent | Market Rent | Potential Gross Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1/1 | 643 | \$479 | \$550 | \$1,100 |
| 18 | 2/1.5 TH | 909 | \$580 | \$685 | \$12,330 |
| 4 | 3/1.5 | 949 | \$673 | \$785 | \$3,140 |
| Total Potential Monthly Rental Income |  |  |  |  | \$16,570 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\times 12$ |
| Total Potential Gross Rental Income |  |  |  |  | \$198,840 |
| Miscellaneous Income |  |  |  |  | \$2,900 |
| Total Potential Gross Income |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$201,740 |

Total Potential Gross Rental Income (Restricted Rent As Complete)

| \# of Units | Unit Type | Unit SF | Max. Net LIHTC Rent | Proposed Rent | Potential Gross Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1/1 | 643 | \$479 | \$479 | \$958 |
| 18 | 2/1.5 TH | 909 | \$580 | \$550 | \$9,900 |
| 4 | 3/1.5 | 949 | \$673 | \$625 | \$2,500 |
| Total Potential Monthly Rental Income |  |  |  |  | \$13,358 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\times 12$ |
| Total Potential Gross Rental Income |  |  |  |  | \$160,296 |
| Miscellaneous Income |  |  |  |  | \$2,900 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Potential Gross Income |  |  |  |  | \$163,196 |

Fox Chase I Apartments * 11 Fox Chase Circle * Greensboro, Georgia

Total Potential Gross Rental Income (Market Rent As Complete)

| \# of Units | Unit Type | Unit SF | Max. Net LIHTC Rent | Market Rent | Potential Gross Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1/1 | 643 | \$479 | \$600 | \$1,200 |
| 18 | 2/1.5 TH | 909 | \$580 | \$735 | \$13,230 |
| 4 | 3/1.5 | 949 | \$673 | \$835 | \$3,340 |
| Total Potential Monthly Rental Income |  |  |  |  | \$17,770 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\times 12$ |
| Total Potential Gross Rental Income |  |  |  |  | \$213,240 |
| Miscellaneous Income |  |  |  |  | \$2,900 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Potential Gross Income |  |  |  |  | \$216,140 |

## Vacancy and Expense Explanations

## Vacancy and Collection Loss

Vacancy and collection loss is an allowance for reductions in potential rental income because space is not leased or rents that are due cannot be collected.

Annual rent collections are typically less than the potential annual gross income; therefore, an allowance for vacancy and collection loss is typically included in an appraisal of income-producing property. The allowance is usually estimated as a percentage of potential gross income. The percentage varies according to the type and characteristics of the physical property, the quality of tenancy, current and projected supply and demand relationships, and general and local economic conditions.

The field/phone survey was conducted in May 2017. Five market-rate properties responded to the survey and five restricted properties, including the subject, responded to the survey. Of the apartments surveyed an overall vacancy rate of four percent was determined for the market-rate vacancy and 15 percent was determined for the restricted vacancy. However, the restricted vacancy includes the newly opened Mary Leila Lofts which is still currently in its lease-up period. The property opened in October 2016 and has occupied 50 units in seven months. The manager indicated she expects the units to be fully occupied by December 2017. If this property were not included in the vacancy analysis, the overall vacancy rate for restricted properties would be four percent. The subject is currently 96 percent occupied. Historically, the subject's occupancy rate has ranged from 95 to 98 percent since 2014. After considering the vacancy rate of the subject and the comparables, a vacancy rate of five percent was deemed appropriate for "as is" conventional housing; five percent was deemed appropriate for "as complete" conventional housing; five percent was deemed appropriate for "as is" affordable housing; and five percent was deemed appropriate for "as complete" affordable housing.

## Expenses

To develop an estimate of the net operating income, the appraiser analyzes data for the property. Net operating income ( NOI ), the income remaining after total expenses have been deducted from the effective gross income, may be calculated before or after deducting replacement reserves. The actual expenses a
landlord is required to defray include two specific categories: those incurred by the property itself, such as taxes and insurance, and those resulting from the operation of the property, such as utilities and maintenance. Generally, expenses incurred by the property per se are called fixed expenses. Expenses tied to the operation of the property, which rise or fall with occupancy, are called variable expenses.

## Management

Building size determines the type of management. Generally, buildings of more than 25 units are of sufficient size to bear the additional burden of professional property management; larger high-rise or garden apartment projects of over 40 units often require the additional services of a site or resident manager. Lenders generally prefer that properties be professionally managed.

A property manager reports to the property owners, sets rent levels, establishes marketing procedures and does the fiscal planning for the project. The property manager also supervises on-site employees, among whom the resident manager is responsible for looking after the day-to-day dealings with the tenants, leasing of units, collection of rents, and coordination of routine and long-term building maintenance. The resident manager may oversee janitorial staff, an on-site maintenance crew, or various outside contractors. Largescale apartment projects and newly built developments also employ leasing agents to fill vacancies or negotiate lease renewals and to assist with marketing programs, promotion, and advertising.

## Tax and Assessment Information

Real property taxes are based on ad valorem assessments. The records of the county assessor or tax collector can provide the details of a property's assessed value and annual tax burden. From the present assessment data and recent history of tax rates, the appraiser can formulate conclusions about future taxes. Property taxes directly increase the cost of ownership and therefore reduce the net income derived from the rental of apartment units. The fairness of the assessment and anticipated future taxes must be thoroughly analyzed and their impact on value considered in the property appraisal. Property taxes are generally imposed to pay for local government services such as fire fighting, police protection and schools. Apartment properties in well-run communities, however, will attract potential tenants willing to pay higher rents for the superior services provided.

Special assessments are levied to pay for infrastructure development (roads or utilities) and extraordinary services (fire or police protection). Ideally, the value of the properties' subject to special assessment is not penalized. The enhancement resulting from the new infrastructure or the provision of additional services should offset the tax increase. However, when a property is subject to a special assessment that exceeds the benefit derived, the value of the property is diminished.

## Insurance

The insurance expense is the responsibility of the landlord.

## Maintenance

The property manager is responsible for the janitorial staff and on-site maintenance crew and various outside contractors.

## Utilities and Service

Water, electricity, natural or liquid petroleum (propane) gas, sewage, trash collection, street maintenance, telephone and cable television are essential utilities and services in most residential markets. If the utilities on the site are inadequate, the cost of improving utility service must be considered. Utilities may be publicly provided or privately owned as part of a community system. In some cases, utilities are individual to the site. The availability and reliability of utilities have a direct bearing on the amount of rent a tenant will pay. At the same time, the cost of utility services is an operating expense that affects the potential net income of the project. The effect of this expenditure is investigated by comparing the costs of utilities and services at competing buildings in relation to rents with the costs incurred by the subject.

## Reserves for Replacement

For large properties, the cost of replacing items such as heating/cooling equipment or hallway carpeting may occur regularly. Thus, an allowance for replacements is treated as a separate expense. Even for smaller apartment properties, however, mortgage lenders and property managers may require that part of net operating income be withheld as a reserve to fund the replacement of building components. Consequently, appraisers often estimate an allowance for replacements when projecting cash flow to be capitalized into market value. Other allowances are sometimes made for unusual circumstances-e.g., reserves to cover periodic non-annual repairs, eventual compliance with environmental regulations (asbestos removal), or bringing the building up to code for handicapped persons. Estimates of such reserves should be included in the income forecast if the appraiser believes the situation warrants it.

Because possible differences in the way accountants and property managers enter line-item expenses, the appraiser should ensure the subject property's operating statement is reconstructed to provide that the expense items recorded correspond to proper appraisal practice. In the reconstruction of the operating statement 1) nonrecurring past items are not repeated, 2) any deductions taken for non-operating expenses (personal expenses) are eliminated, 3 ) ambiguous, repetitive or atypical expense items are recategorized and 4) line items are appropriately grouped to facilitate analysis.

An expense comparison should be made on a uniform or standardized basis. If most of the expense comparables include a replacement reserve, an estimate of this item should be included in the reconstructed operating statement for the subject property. Recategorizing expense items allows the
appraiser to compare the operation of the subject with the operating expenses of other properties and the expense averages from benchmark data.

For example, apartment managers often record air conditioning as an expense category. In some cases, this may simply cover the cost of maintaining the equipment, while in others it includes allocations for water, electricity, supplies (filters) and maintenance. Similarly, the category for management may reflect different items because of different ways of operating a property. Some apartment managers will contract for landscaping, snow removal, boiler maintenance and redecoration, while others have these functions performed by on-site managers. By grouping all expense items that are management-controllable, the appraiser will be able to compare the operations of building maintained on contract accounts with those of buildings that employ a permanent workforce to look after maintenance.

Utility expense often differ among properties because some managers operate apartments on a "selfcontained" basis, whereby tenants pay directly for meterable natural gas and electricity, while other managers pay the costs of fuel for heating and cooking but not for electricity. Typically, the landlord absorbs all utility charges incurred by vacant units and public spaces (corridors, lobbies, office, basement storage rooms, laundry, parking and exterior lighting) as well as water and sewer charges.

In analyzing operating expenses, the appraiser may also consult benchmark data. For example, the Institute of Real Estate Management's annual reports include the following groupings:

* Administration and management
* Utilities
* Repairs and maintenance
* Real estate taxes and insurance
* Payroll (salaries for maintenance and administrative staff)

These data are quoted per square foot of rentable area, as dollars per unit, and as percentage of effective gross income. Such data may be compared against the historic expense data for the subject and cited in the appraisal report. In this instance, the benchmark data was merely used to reflect the validity of my report.

## Market Rent and Contract Rent

In the income capitalization approach, the appraiser arrives at an estimate of market rent, or rental income the subject property would likely command in the open market, by analyzing current rents paid and asked for space in comparable buildings. Estimated market rent is important for both proposed and operating properties. In the case of the former, market rent allows the forecast of gross income, and with the latter it is used to calculate the income for vacant rental space or space occupied by the ownership or property
management. Contract rent is the actual rental income specified in a lease. It is calculated for operating properties from existing leases, including month-to-month extensions of former leases. It is essential to specify whether the cited rent is 1 ) the former or existing contract rent, 2) the asking amount sought by the landlord or property manager or 3) the market rent estimated by the appraiser.

## Other Miscellaneous Income

In addition to income from apartment rents, income to the building may be generated from a variety of sources. License fees are paid for temporary, nonexclusive use of special facilities, such as party room or swimming pool fees. Service fees are charged for elective maid service. An apartment project may earn concession income from coin telephones, vending machines and laundry room equipment.

Rental income can also be generated from non-apartment space such as an on-site retail store, restaurant, beauty parlor or physician's office. A parking garage may be leased to an operator or, alternatively, the building may directly license the parking spaces to tenants or non-tenants (on-site parking, however, is often available to tenants at no additional charge). Finally, interest income may accrue on the balance between rents collected in advance and expenses paid in arrears. Interest can also be earned on security deposits, although in some jurisdictions such interest must ultimately be paid back to the tenants. Thus, other income includes rent for non-apartment space and miscellaneous income from various tenant charges.

In many instances, a significant degree of the apartment project's income stream is imputable to intangible as well as tangible personality. Apartment properties may earn business income from profits on the rental of in-suite furniture to tenants, marking up the cost of electricity privately metered to tenants, as well as for opening tenants' doors when the key is left inside, licensing the concierge function and the coin machines, profit centers such as storage rooms (including the sale of abandoned tenant goods), and the interest on company bank accounts.

## Operating Expenses \& Restricted Projections

Property: Fox Chase I Apartments
Revenue and Expense Analysis
Historical and Proforma
2016 is base year for \% changes for YTD current year annualized and projections.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | PUPA | 2015 | PUPA | \% | 2016 | PUPA | \% |  | $2017^{4}$ | onths | PUPA | \% | Budget | PUPA |  |  |
| Residential \& Ancillary Income |  |  | 2015 |  | \% | 2016 | PUPA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Residential \& Ancillary Income |
| Annual Gross Potential Rental Inoome | 138,720 | 5,780 | 140,160 | 5,840 | 1\% | 141,600 | 5,900 | 1\% |  | 48,640 | 145,920 | 6,080 | 3\% | 145,920 | 6,080 | 3\% | Annual Gross Potential Rental Inoome |
| Annual Ancillary Income | 2,418 | 101 | 2,949 | 123 | 22\% | 2,687 | 112 | -9\% |  | 867 | 2,600 | 108 | -3\% | 2,900 | 121 | 8\% | Annual Ancillary Income |
| Annual Gross Potential Income | 141,138 | 5,881 | 143,109 | 5,963 | 1\% | 144,287 | 6,012 | 1\% |  | 49.507 | 148,520 | 6,188 | 3\% | 148,820 | 6,201 | 3\% | Annual Gross Potential Income |
| Occupancy | 98.29\% | 101 | 95.43\% | 273 | -3\% | 94.93\% | 305 | -1\% |  | 99.08\% |  | 57 | 4\% | 95.10\% | 304 | 0\% | Occupancy |
| Effective Gross Income (EGI) | 138,718 | 5,780 | ${ }^{136,563}$ | 5.690 | -2\% | ${ }^{136,976}$ | 5,707 | 0\% |  | 49,053 | 147,158 | 6,132 | 7\% | 141,524 | ${ }^{5,897}$ | 3\% | Effective Gross Income (EG1) |


| ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annual <br> Estimate of Annual Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annual Estimate of Annual Expense |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | PUPA | 2015 | PUPA | \% | 2016 | PUPA | \% | $\begin{array}{lc} \\ \\ \text { YTD } & 4 \\ 2017\end{array}$ | months |  |  | Budget |  |  |  |
| Administrative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Administrative |
| Adverising | 0 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 1 | -52\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10\% | 250 | 10 | 1090\% | Adverising |
| Management Fee | 12,638 | 527 | 12,984 | 541 | 3\% | 13,990 | 545 | 1\% | 4,560 | 13,680 | 570 | 5\% | 14,112 | 588 | 8\% | Management Fee |
| Other (Specity) | 17,664 | 736 | 17,996 | 742 | 1\% | 16,067 | 669 | -10\% | 9.045 | 27,134 | 1,131 | 69\% | 19,393 | 808 | 21\% | Other (Specity) |
| Total Administrative | 30,302 | 1,263 | ${ }^{30,823}$ | 1,284 | 2\% | 29,178 | 1,216 | -5\% | ${ }^{13,605}$ | 40,814 | 1,701 | 40\% | ${ }^{33,755}$ | 1,406 | 16\% | Total Administrative |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Operating |
| Elevator Maintenance Exp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Elevator Maintenance Exp. |
| Fuel | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Fuel- Heating |
| Lighting and Misc. Power | 2,315 | 96 | 2,401 | 100 | 4\% | ${ }^{2}, 587$ | 108 | 8\% | 692 | 2,076 | 86 | -20\% | 3,000 | 125 | 16\% | Lighting and Misc. Power |
| Water | 27,146 | 1,131 | 24,885 | 1,037 | -8\% | 28,347 | 1,181 | 14\% | 11,897 | 35,691 | 1,487 | 26\% | 26,400 | 1,100 | -7\% | Water |
| Gas | - |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gas |
| Garbage and Trash Removal | 1,955 | 81 | 2,042 | 85 | 4\% | 2,115 | 88 | 4\% | 751 | 2,253 | 94 | 7\% | 2,200 | 92 | 4\% | Garbage and Trash Removal |
| Payroll | 3,962 | 165 | 9,239 | 385 | 133\% | ${ }^{8,985}$ | 374 | -3\% | 2,832 | 8,495 | 354 | $-5 \%$ | 9,000 | 375 | 0\% | Payroll |
| Other (Specity) | 5,214 | 217 | 7,220 | 301 | 38\% | 5,605 | 234 | -22\% | 1,705 | 5,115 | 213 | -9\% | 4,600 | 192 | -18\% | Other (Specity) |
| Total Operating | 40,592 | 1,691 | 45,785 | 1,908 | 13\% | 47,639 | 1,985 | 4\% | 17,877 | 53,630 | 2,235 | 13\% | 45,200 | 1,883 | -5\% | Total Operating |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Maintenance |
| Decorating | ${ }^{1,520}$ | ${ }^{63}$ | 1,081 | 45 | -29\% | 2,684 | 112 | 148\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | -100\% | 2,000 | ${ }^{83}$ | -25\% | Decorating |
| Repairs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Repairs |
| Exterminating | 2,413 | 101 | 2,544 | 106 | 5\% | 2,322 | 97 | -9\% | 1,263 | 3,789 | 158 | 63\% | 2,813 | 117 | 21\% | Exterminating |
| Insurance | 4,756 | 198 | 4,812 | 201 | 1\% | 4,815 | 201 | 0\% | 4,772 | 14,316 | 597 | 197\% | 5,478 | 228 | 14\% | Insurance |
| Ground Expense | ${ }^{3,140}$ | 131 | 8,849 | 369 | 182\% | 7,771 | 324 | -12\% | 2,507 | 7,521 | ${ }^{313}$ | -3\% | 8,200 | 342 | 6\% | Ground Expense |
| Other (specity) | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Other (specity) |
| Total Maintenance | 11,829 | 493 | 17,286 | 720 | 46\% | 17,592 | ${ }^{73}$ | 2\% | 8,542 | 25,626 | 1,068 | 46\% | 18,491 | 770 | 5\% | Total Maintenance |
| Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Taxes |
| Real Estate Tax | 3,396 | 142 | 3,470 | 145 | 2\% | 3,564 | 149 | 3\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10\% | 8.000 | ${ }^{33}$ | 124\% | Real Estate Tax |
| Personal Property Tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Personal Property Tax |
| Employee Payroll Tax | 1,230 | 51 | ${ }^{1,577}$ | 66 | 28\% | 1,516 | 63 | -4\% | 492 | 1,475 | 61 | -3\% | 1,600 | 67 | 6\% | Employee Payoll Tax |
| Employee Benefits | 403 | 17 | 591 | 25 | 47\% | 1,642 | ${ }^{68}$ | 178\% | 943 | 2,828 | 118 | 72\% | 1,650 | 69 | 0\% | Employee Benefits |
| Other | 134 | 6 | 160 | 7 | 19\% | 259 | 11 | 61\% | 109 | 328 | 14 | 27\% | 100 | 4 | -61\% | Other |
| Total Taxes | 5,164 | 215 | 5,799 | 242 | 12\% | 6,982 | 291 | 20\% | 1,544 | 4,631 | 193 | -34\% | 11,350 | 473 | 63\% | Total Taxes |
| Operating Exp. betore RFR | 87,887 | 3,662 | 99,693 | 4,154 | 13\% | 101,391 | 4,225 | 2\% | 41,567 | 124,700 | 5,196 | 23\% | 108,796 | 4,533 | 7\% | Operating Exp. before RFR |
| Reserve For Replacement | 24,020 | 1,001 | 12,620 | 526 | -47\% | 10,620 | 443 | -16\% | ${ }^{5.540}$ | 16,620 | ${ }^{693}$ | 55\% | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | -100\% | Reserve For Replacement |
| Operating Exp. Incl. RFR | 111,907 | 4,663 | 112,313 | 4,680 | 0\% | 112,011 | 4,667 | 0\% | 47,107 | 141,320 | 5,888 | 26\% | 108,796 | 4,533 | -3\% | Operating Exp. Incl. RFR |
| NoI | 26,812 | 1,117 | 24,250 | 1,010 | -10\% | 24,965 | 1,040 | 3\% | 1,946 | 5,338 | ${ }^{243}$ | -77\% | ${ }^{32,728}$ | 1,364 | 31\% | NoI |

Property: Fox Chase I Apartments
\# of Rental Units:
Revenue and Expense Analysis
Historical and Proforma
\% change compared to preceding year.

| REVENUE - Annual | As Is |  | As Complete |  |  |  | REVENUE - Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Restricted |  |  | Restricted |  |  |  |
|  | Projections | PUPA | \% | Projections | PUPA | \% |  |
| Residential \& Ancillary Income |  |  |  |  |  |  | Residential \& Ancillary Income |
| Annual Gross Potential Rental Income | 145,920 | 6,080 | 3\% | 160,296 | 6,679 | 13\% | Annual Gross Potential Rental Income |
| Annual Ancillary Income | 2,900 | 121 | 8\% | 2,900 | 121 | 8\% | Annual Ancillary Income |
| Annual Gross Potential Income | 148,820 | 6,201 | $3 \%$ | 163,196 | 6,800 | 13\% | Annual Gross Potential Income |
| Occupancy | 95.00\% | 310 | 0\% | 95.00\% | 340 | 0\% | Occupancy |
| Effective Gross Income (EGI) | 141,379 | 5,891 | 3\% | 155,036 | 6,460 | 13\% | Effective Gross Income (EGI) |


| ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annual <br> Estimate of Annual Expense | As Is |  |  | As Complete |  |  | ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annual <br> Estimate of Annual Expense |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Restricted |  |  | Restricted |  |  |  |
|  | Projections | PUPA | \% | Projections | PUPA | \% |  |
| Administrative |  |  |  |  |  |  | Administrative |
| Advertising | 120 | 5 | 471\% | 120 | 5 | 471\% | Advertising |
| Management Fee | 13,548 | 565 | 3\% | 13,548 | 565 | 3\% | Management Fee |
| Other (Specify) | 14,400 | 600 | -10\% | 14,400 | 600 | -10\% | Other (Specify) |
| Total Administrative | 28,068 | 1,170 | -4\% | 28,068 | 1,170 | -4\% | Total Administrative |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  | Operating |
| Elevator Maintenance Exp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Elevator Maintenance Exp. |
| Fuel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Fuel - Heating |
| Lighting and Misc. Power | 2,640 | 110 | 2\% | 2,640 | 110 | 2\% | Lighting and Misc. Power |
| Water | 27,600 | 1,150 | -3\% | 27,600 | 1,150 | -3\% | Water |
| Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gas |
| Garbage and Trash Removal | 2,160 | 90 | 2\% | 2,160 | 90 | 2\% | Garbage and Trash Removal |
| Payroll | 9,000 | 375 | 0\% | 9,000 | 375 | 0\% | Payroll |
| Other (Specify) | 4,800 | 200 | -14\% | 3,600 | 150 | -36\% | Other (Specify) |
| Total Operating | 46,200 | 1,925 | -3\% | 45,000 | 1,875 | -6\% | Total Operating |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  | Maintenance |
| Decorating | 1,200 | 50 | -55\% | 1,200 | 50 | -55\% | Decorating |
| Repairs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Repairs |
| Exterminating | 2,400 | 100 | 3\% | 2,400 | 100 | 3\% | Exterminating |
| Insurance | 4,800 | 200 | 0\% | 4,800 | 200 | 0\% | Insurance |
| Ground Expense | 7,200 | 300 | -7\% | 7,200 | 300 | -7\% | Ground Expense |
| Other (specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Other (specify) |
| Total Maintenance | 15,600 | 650 | -11\% | 15,600 | 650 | -11\% | Total Maintenance |
| Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  | Taxes |
| Real Estate Tax | 3,600 | 150 | 1\% | 4,200 | 175 | 18\% | Real Estate Tax |
| Personal Property Tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Personal Property Tax |
| Employee Payroll Tax | 960 | 40 | -37\% | 960 | 40 | -37\% | Employee Payroll Tax |
| Employee Benefits | 600 | 25 | -63\% | 600 | 25 | -63\% | Employee Benefits |
| Other | 240 | 10 | -7\% | 240 | 10 | -7\% | Other |
| Total Taxes | 5,400 | 225 | -23\% | 6,000 | 250 | -14\% | Total Taxes |
| Operating Exp. before RFR | 95,268 | 3,970 | -6\% | 94,668 | 3,945 | -7\% | Operating Exp. before RFR |
| Reserve For Replacement | 9,600 | 400 | -10\% | 9,600 | 400 | -10\% | Reserve For Replacement |
| Operating Exp. Incl. RFR | 104,868 | 4,370 | -6\% | 104,268 | 4,345 | -7\% | Operating Exp. Incl. RFR |
| NOI | 36,511 | 1,521 | 46\% | 50,768 | 2,115 | 103\% | NOI |

Estimating Restricted Expenses Per Unit

| Estimating Restricted Expenses Per Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject As Is | Expenses | Subject As Complete | Comparable One | Comparable Two | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Comparable } \\ \text { Three } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Comparable } \\ \text { Four } \end{gathered}$ | IREM Region IV |
| \$5 | Advertising | \$5 | \$0 | \$4 | \$3 | \$13 | \$0 |
| \$565 | Management | \$565 | \$538 | \$539 | \$362 | \$854 | \$441 |
| \$600 | Other Administrative Expenses | \$600 | \$0 | \$575 | \$280 | \$844 | \$1,272 |
| \$0 | Elevator Maintenance Expense | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$87 | \$0 |
| \$0 | Fuel | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$110 | Lighting \& Misc. Power | \$110 | \$127 | \$109 | \$119 | \$205 | \$177 |
| \$1,150 | Water/Sewer | \$1,150 | \$601 | \$493 | \$254 | \$285 | \$199 |
| \$0 | Gas | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$22 | \$1,004 | \$10 |
| \$90 | Garbage/Trash Removal | \$90 | \$155 | \$27 | \$0 | \$54 | \$0 |
| \$375 | Payroll | \$375 | \$981 | \$439 | \$441 | \$822 | \$732 |
| \$200 | Other Operating Expenses | \$150 | \$260 | \$0 | \$272 | \$614 | \$272 |
| \$50 | Decorating | \$50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$48 | \$92 |
| \$0 | Repairs | \$0 | \$533 | \$0 | \$96 | \$348 | \$252 |
| \$100 | Exterminating | \$100 | \$46 | \$86 | \$0 | \$1 | \$0 |
| \$200 | Insurance | \$200 | \$191 | \$201 | \$203 | \$348 | \$355 |
| \$300 | Ground Expenses | \$300 | \$250 | \$272 | \$0 | \$1 | \$249 |
| \$0 | Other Maintenance | \$0 | \$0 | \$624 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$150 | Real Estate Taxes | \$175 | \$212 | \$255 | \$277 | \$571 | \$422 |
| \$40 | Payroll Taxes | \$40 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$79 | \$0 |
| \$25 | Employee Benefits | \$25 | \$20 | \$21 | \$0 | \$147 | \$0 |
| \$10 | Other Taxes | \$10 | \$10 | \$14 | \$0 | \$38 | \$3 |
| \$400 | Replacement Reserves | \$400 | \$277 | \$262 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$4,370 | Total Per Unit | \$4,345 | \$4,201 | \$3,921 | \$2,329 | \$6,363 | \$4,476 |

## Comments:

Subject expenses were estimated based on comparable apartments and industry norms. Comparable apartment expenses were estimated after discussions with area apartment managers. The comparable estimates were substantiated by the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by the Institute of Real Estate Management. No major fluctuations from the total expenses per unit are anticipated from the expenses provided above, although itemized expenses may deviate on the specific factors affecting the individual properties.
The expenses for the comparable apartments vary per unit but are consistently between 38 and 81 percent of the gross rent potential. The subject's expenses were estimated at 65 percent of the gross rent potential which is within the comparable range. Market expenses for the subject were categorized similar to the actual expenses as different properties categorize expenses in different ways. Explanations of specific itemized expenses are indicated on the following pages.

# Fox Chase I Apartments * 11 Fox Chase Circle * Greensboro, Georgia 

# Itemized Expense Explanations - Restricted Expense Numbers per Unit 

| Expense | As ls | As Complete | Comp Range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Advertising | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 5$ |

An advertising expense of $\$ 5$ per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 13$ per unit was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
Management \$565
\$565
\$362-\$854
A management expense of $\$ 565$ per unit was projected for the as is scenario, and a management expense of $\$ 565$ per unit was projected for the as complete scenario. A comparable range of $\$ 362$ to $\$ 854$ was determined. The expense was projected based on $\$ 49$ per unit as indicated by the budget.
3. Other Administrative \$600 \$600 \$0-\$844

An other administrative expense of $\$ 600$ per unit was projected. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 844$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
4. Elevator
\$0
\$0
\$0- \$87
The property does not have this expense. The expense is not typical in the market. Therefore, no expense was projected.
5. Fuel
\$0
$\$ 0$
\$0- \$0
The property does not have this expense. The expense is not typical in the market. Therefore, no expense was projected.
6. Lighting \& Misc. Power
\$110
\$110
\$109-\$205
A lighting and miscellaneous power expense of $\$ 110$ was projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 109$ to $\$ 205$ per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.
7. Water/Sewer
$\$ 1,150 \quad \$ 1,150$
\$254- \$601
A water/sewer expense of $\$ 1,150$ per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 254$ to $\$ 601$ per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.
8.

Gas \$0 \$0 \$0-\$1,004
A gas expense of $\$ 0$ per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 1,004$ per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.
9. Garbage/Trash Removal \$90 \$90 \$0-\$155

A garbage/trash removal expense of $\$ 90$ per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 155$ per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.
10. Payroll
\$375
\$375
\$439-\$981
The payroll expense of $\$ 375$ per unit was projected. A comparable range of $\$ 439$ to $\$ 981$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
11. Other Operating Expenses $\$ 200$
\$150
\$0-\$614
An other operating expense of $\$ 200$ per unit was projected for the "as is" scenario and $\$ 150$ was projected for the "as complete" scenario. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 614$ was determined. The The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
\$0- \$48
A decorating expense of $\$ 50$ was projected. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 48$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
13. Repairs
\$0
\$0
\$0- \$533
A repairs expense of $\$ 0$ was projected for the as is scenario. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 533$ was determined. Expenses such as repairs are typically based on unique property characteristics. Therefore, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The subject does not itemize specific repairs expenses. Instead, the repairs are included in other categories which are wrapped into other expense categories. Therefore, no specific repairs expense was projected.
14. Exterminating
\$100
$\$ 100$
\$0- \$86
An exterminating expense of $\$ 100$ per unit was projected. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 86$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

An insurance expense of $\$ 200$ per unit was projected for the subject's as is scenario, and $\$ 200$ per unit for the subject's as complete scenario. A comparable range of $\$ 191$ to $\$ 348$ per unit was determined. Expenses such as insurance are typically based on unique property characteristics. Therefore, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.
16. Ground Expenses
$\$ 300$
\$300
\$0-\$272
A ground expense of $\$ 300$ per unit was projected. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 272$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
17. Other Maintenance
\$0
\$0
\$0- \$624
Other maintenance expenses of $\$ 0$ per unit were projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 624$ per unit was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

A real estate tax expense of $\$ 150$ per unit was projected for the subject based on the information obtained by the Greene County Assessor's Office. It is likely that this expense will increase after completion of the rehabilitation. Therefore, the as complete expense was projected higher than the as is expense.
19. Payroll Taxes $\$ 40$
\$40
\$0- \$79
Payroll taxes were projected at $\$ 40$ per unit. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 79$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
20. Employee Benefits
$\$ 25$
\$25
\$0-\$147
Employee benefits were projected at $\$ 25$ per unit. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 147$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

## 21.

21. Replacement Reserves
A replacement reserves expense $\$ 400$
restricted apartment complexes such as
Expenses before Reserves for Replacement

The subject's projected expenses per unit are $\$ 3,970$ before reserves for replacement. This is six percent lower than the 2016 data. The comparables range from $\$ 2,329$ to $\$ 6,363$ per unit before reserves for replacement. Comparables 1 and 2 are Rural Development properties in the State of Georgia. These properties have an identity-of-interest with the subject. Comparables 3 and 4 are Section 8 properties in Georgia. The 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments published by the Institute of Real Estate Management indicates an overall expense per unit of $\$ 4,476$. Comparable 1 was constructed in 1990, contains 21 units and has total overall expenses of $\$ 4,201$ per unit; Comparable 2 was constructed in 1991, contains 25 units and has total overall expenses of $\$ 3,921$ per unit; Comparable 3 was constructed in 1975, contains 80 units and has total overall expenses of $\$ 2,329$ per unit; and Comparable 4 was constructed in 1912 and renovated in 1981, contains 194 units and has total overall expenses of \$6,363 per unit. The subject was constructed in 1992 and is a 24 -unit stabilized Rural Development property. Historically, the subject's overall expenses have ranged from $\$ 3,662$ to $\$ 4,225$ per unit before reserves for replacement. Therefore, the subject's expenses were deemed reasonable.

## Operating Expenses \& Market Projections

Property: $\quad$ Fox Chase I Apartments
\# of Rental Units:
\#evenue and Expense Analysis
Historical and Proforma

| VVENUE-AAnual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | PUPA | 2015 | PUPA | \% | 2016 | PUPA |  |  | Annualized | PA | \% | Budget | PUPA | \% |  |
| Annual Gross Potential Rental Income | 138,720 | 5,780 | 140,160 | 5.840 | 1\% | 141,600 | 5,900 | 1\% | ${ }^{48,640}$ | 145,920 | 6,080 | 3\% | 145,920 | ${ }_{6,080}$ | 3\% | Annual Gesioss Pootential Rental |
| Annual Ancillary Income | 2,418 | 101 | 2,949 | 123 | 22\% | 2,687 | 112 | -9\% | 867 | 2,600 | 108 | -3\% | 2,900 | 121 | $8 \%$ | Annual Ancillary Income |
| Annual Gross Potential Income | 141,138 | 5,881 | 143,109 | 5,963 | 1\% | 144,287 | 6,012 | 1\% | 49,507 | 148,520 | 6,188 | 3\% | 148.820 | 6,201 | 3\% | Annual Gross Potential Income |
| Occupancy | 98.29\% | 101 | 95.43\% | 273 | -3\% | 94.93\% | 305 | -1\% | 99.08\% |  | 57 | 4\% | 95.10\% | 304 | \% | Occupancy |
| Effective Gross Income (EG1) | 138,718 | 5,780 | 136,563 | 5,690 | -2\% | ${ }^{136,976}$ | 5,707 | 0\% | 49,053 | 147,158 | 6,132 | 7\% | 141,524 | 5,897 | 3\% | Effective Gross Income (EGI) |


| ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annual <br> Estimate of Annual Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annual } \\ \text { Estimate of Annual Expense } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | PUPA | 2015 | PUP | \% | 2016 | PUPA | \% | YTD | ${ }_{2017}^{4}$ | ${ }_{\text {Annualized }}^{\text {months }}$ | PUPA | \% | Budget | PUPA | \% |  |
| Administrative Adverising | 0 |  | 44 |  | 0 | 21 |  | . $52 \%$ |  |  |  |  | - $100 \%$ | 250 | 10 | 1090\% | Administrative <br> Adverising |
| Management Fee | 12,638 | 527 | ${ }_{12,984}^{44}$ | 541 | 3\% | 13,990 | 545 | 1\% |  | 4,560 | 13,680 | 570 | 5\% | 14,112 | 588 | 8\% | Management fee |
| Other (Specity) | 17,664 | 736 | 17,796 | 742 | 1\% | 16,067 | 669 | -10\% |  | ${ }^{9}, 045$ | 27,134 | 1,131 | 69\% | 19,393 | 808 | 21\% | Other (Specity) |
| Total Administrative | 30,302 | 1,263 | 30,823 | 1,284 | 2\% | 29,178 | 1,216 | -5\% |  | 13,605 | 40,814 | 1,701 | 40\% | 33,755 | 1,406 | 16\% | Total Administrative |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Operating |
| Elevator Maintenance Exp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Elevator Maintenance Exp. |
| ${ }^{\text {Fuel }}$ Lighting and Misc. Power | ${ }_{2.315}^{0}$ | 96 | 2.401 | 100 | \% | 2,587 | 108 | 8\% |  | $\stackrel{0}{692}$ | $\stackrel{0}{2.076}$ | 86 | -20\% | 3,000 | 125 | 16\% | Lighting and Misc. - Heationger |
| Water | ${ }_{27,146}$ | 1,131 | ${ }_{24,885}^{2,085}$ | 1,037 | -8\% | ${ }_{28,347}^{2,56}$ | 1,181 | 14\% |  | 11,897 | 35,691 | ${ }_{1,487}$ | 26\% | ${ }_{26,400}$ | 1,100 | -7\% | Water |
| Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Gas |
| Garrage and Trash Removal | ${ }^{1,955}$ | 81 | 2,042 | 85 | 4\% | 2,115 | ${ }^{88}$ | 4\% |  | 751 | 2,253 | 94 | 7\% | 2,200 | 92 | 4\% | Trash Removal |
| Payroll | 3,962 | 165 | ${ }^{9,239}$ | 385 | 133\% | 8.985 | ${ }^{374}$ | .3\% |  | 2,832 | ${ }_{8}^{8,495}$ | ${ }^{354}$ | -5\% | 9,000 | 375 | \%\% | Payroll |
| Other (Specity) | 5,214 40, 59 | ${ }_{1}^{217}$ | 7,220 45785 | 301 | - ${ }_{\text {38\% }}$ | - 5.605 | - | -22\% |  | 1,705 17887 | 5,115 53,630 | - ${ }_{2}^{213}$ | -9\% | 4,600 45,200 | - 192 | ${ }_{-5 \%}^{-18 \%}$ | Other (Specity) |
| Total Operating | 40,592 | 1,691 | 45,785 | 1,908 | 13\% | 47,639 | 1,985 | 4\% |  | 17,877 | 53,630 | 2,235 | 13\% | 45,200 | 1,883 | -5\% | Total Operating |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Maintenance |
| Decorating | 1,520 | ${ }^{63}$ | 1,081 | 45 | -29\% | 2,684 | ${ }^{112}$ | 148\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -100\% | 2,000 | ${ }^{83}$ | 25\% | Decorating |
| Repairs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 9 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | Repairs |
| Exterminating | 2,413 | 101 | ${ }^{2,544}$ | 106 | 5\% | 2,322 | 97 | -9\% |  | 1,263 | 3,789 | 158 | 63\% | 2,813 | 117 | 21\% | Exerminating |
| Insurace | 4, ${ }_{\substack{4156 \\ 3,50}}$ | 198 131 | 4.812 8849 | ${ }_{36}^{201}$ | -1\% | - 7.815 | ${ }_{324}^{201}$ | 0\% |  | 4,772 | - 14.316 | ${ }_{313}^{597}$ | 197\% | 5.478 8.200 | 228 342 | 14\% | linsurace |
| Grund Expense | 3,140 | ${ }^{131}$ | ${ }^{8.849}$ | 369 | 182\% | 7,771 | ${ }^{324}$ | -12\% |  | 2,507 | 7,521 | ${ }^{313}$ | -3\% | 8,200 |  | 6\% | Ground Expense |
| ( Other (specity) Total Maintenance $^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{\text {11,829 }}^{0}$ | $\stackrel{0}{493}$ | $\stackrel{0}{17,286}$ | ${ }_{720}$ | 46\% | $\stackrel{0}{17,592}$ | ${ }_{733}$ | 2\% |  | ${ }_{8,542}^{0}$ | ${ }_{25,626}^{0}$ | $\stackrel{0}{1,068}$ | 46\% | 18,491 | ${ }_{770}$ | 5\% | Other (specity Total Maintenance |
| Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate Tax | 3,396 | 142 | 3.470 | 145 | 2\% | 3,564 | 149 | 3\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -100\% | 8,000 | 333 | 124\% | Real Estate Tax |
| Personal Property Tax | , | 0 | , | , | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | Personal Property Tax |
| Employee Payroll Tax | 1,230 | 51 | 1.577 | 66 | 28\% | ${ }^{1,516}$ | ${ }^{63}$ |  |  | 492 | ${ }_{1,475}$ |  | -3\% | 1,600 | 67 | 6\% | Employee Payroll Tax |
| Employee Benefits | ${ }^{403}$ | 17 | 591 | ${ }_{7}^{25}$ | 47\% | 1,642 | ${ }^{68}$ | 178\% |  | ${ }^{943}$ | 2,828 | ${ }^{118}$ | ${ }^{72 \%}$ | 1,650 | 69 | \%\% | Employee Benefits |
| ${ }^{\text {Other }}$ | ${ }^{134}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 160 | 7 | 19\% | ${ }^{259}$ | 11 | 61\% |  | 109 | ${ }_{3}^{328}$ | 14 | 27\% | ${ }^{100}$ | 4 | -61\% |  |
| Total Taxes | 5,164 | 215 | 5,799 | 242 | 12\% | 6,982 | 291 | 20\% |  | 1,544 | 4,631 | 193 | -34\% | 11,350 | 473 | 63\% | Total Taxes |
| Operating Exp. betore RFR | ${ }^{87,887}$ | 3,662 | 99,693 | 4,154 | 13\% | 101,391 | 4,225 | 2\% |  | ${ }^{41,567}$ | 124,700 | 5,196 |  |  |  |  | Operating Exp. betore RFR |
| (easene For Replacement | 24,020 111,907 | 1,001 4.663 | 12,620 112,313 | - ${ }_{4.680}$ | - | 10,200 112,011 | 4.667 | -16\% |  | ${ }_{4}^{5.540}$ | 16,620 141,320 |  |  | ${ }_{108.796}$ | $\stackrel{0}{4.533}$ | - ${ }_{\text {- }}^{\text {-3\% }}$ | Reserve For Replacement |
| NOI | 26,812 | 1,117 | 24,250 | 1,010 | -10\% | 24,965 | 1,040 | 3\% |  | 1,946 | 5,838 | ${ }^{243}$ | -77\% | ${ }^{32,728}$ | 1,364 | 31\% | No1 |

Property: Fox Chase I Apartments
\# of Rental Units: 24
Revenue and Expense Analysis
Historical and Proforma
$\%$ change compared to preceding year.

| REVENUE - Annual | As Is | As Complete |  |  |  |  | REVENUE - Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Market Projections | PUPA | \% | Market Projections | PUPA | \% |  |
| Residential \& Ancillary Income |  |  |  |  |  |  | Residential \& Ancillary Income |
| Annual Gross Potential Rental Income | 198,840 | 8,285 | 40\% | 213,240 | 8,885 | 51\% | Annual Gross Potential Rental Income |
| Annual Ancillary Income | 2,900 | 121 | 8\% | 2,900 | 121 | 8\% | Annual Ancillary Income |
| Annual Gross Potential Income | 201,740 | 8,406 | 40\% | 216,140 | 9,006 | 50\% | Annual Gross Potential Income |
| Occupancy | 95.00\% | 420 | 0\% | 95.00\% | 450 | 0\% | Occupancy |
| Effective Gross Income (EGI) | 191,653 | 7,986 | 40\% | 205,333 | 8,556 | 50\% | Effective Gross Income (EGI) |


| ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annual Estimate of Annual Expense | As is |  |  | As Complete |  |  | ITEMIZED EXPENSES - AnnualEstimate of Annual Expense |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Market Projections | PUPA | \% | Market Projections | PUPA | \% |  |  |
| Administrative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Administrative |
| Advertising | 120 | 5 | 471\% | 120 | 5 | 471\% |  | Advertising |
| Management Fee | 7,666 | 319 | -41\% | 8,213 | 342 | -37\% | 4.000\% | Management Fee |
| Other (Specify) | 13,200 | 550 | -18\% | 13,200 | 550 | -18\% |  | Other (Specify) |
| Total Administrative | 20,986 | 874 | -28\% | 21,533 | 897 | -26\% |  | Total Administrative |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Operating |
| Elevator Maintenance Exp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Elevator Maintenance Exp. |
| Fuel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Fuel - Heating |
| Lighting and Misc. Power | 2,640 | 110 | 2\% | 2,640 | 110 | 2\% |  | Lighting and Misc. Power |
| Water | 27,600 | 1,150 | -3\% | 27,600 | 1,150 | -3\% |  | Water |
| Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Gas |
| Garbage and Trash Removal | 2,160 | 90 | 2\% | 2,160 | 90 | 2\% |  | Garbage and Trash Removal |
| Payroll | 9,000 | 375 | 0\% | 9,000 | 375 | 0\% |  | Payroll |
| Other (Specify) | 4,800 | 200 | -14\% | 3,600 | 150 | -36\% |  | Other (Specify) |
| Total Operating | 46,200 | 1,925 | -3\% | 45,000 | 1,875 | -6\% |  | Total Operating |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Maintenance |
| Decorating | 1,200 | 50 | -55\% | 1,200 | 50 | -55\% |  | Decorating |
| Repairs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Repairs |
| Exterminating | 2,400 | 100 | 3\% | 2,400 | 100 | 3\% |  | Exterminating |
| Insurance | 4,800 | 200 | 0\% | 4,800 | 200 | 0\% |  | Insurance |
| Ground Expense | 7,200 | 300 | -7\% | 7,200 | 300 | -7\% |  | Ground Expense |
| Other (specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Other (specify) |
| Total Maintenance | 15,600 | 650 | -11\% | 15,600 | 650 | -11\% |  | Total Maintenance |
| Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Taxes |
| Real Estate Tax | 8,400 | 350 | 136\% | 9,000 | 375 | 152\% |  | Real Estate Tax |
| Personal Property Tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Personal Property Tax |
| Employee Payroll Tax | 960 | 40 | -37\% | 960 | 40 | -37\% |  | Employee Payroll Tax |
| Employee Benefits | 600 | 25 | -63\% | 600 | 25 | -63\% |  | Employee Benefits |
| Other | 240 | 10 | -7\% | 240 | 10 | -7\% |  | Other |
| Total Taxes | 10,200 | 425 | 46\% | 10,800 | 450 | 55\% |  | Total Taxes |
| Operating Exp. before RFR | 92,986 | 3,874 | -8\% | 92,933 | 3,872 | -8\% |  | Operating Exp. before RFR |
| Reserve For Replacement | 6,000 | 250 | -44\% | 6,000 | 250 | -44\% |  | Reserve For Replacement |
| Operating Exp. Incl. RFR | 98,986 | 4,124 | -12\% | 98,933 | 4,122 | -12\% |  | Operating Exp. Incl. RFR |
| NOI | 92,667 | 3,861 | 271\% | 106,400 | 4,433 | 326\% |  | NOI |

Estimating Market Expenses Per Unit

| Estimating Market Expenses Per Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Subject } \\ \text { As Is } \end{gathered}$ | Expenses | Subject As Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { Comparable } \\ \text { One } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Comparable } \\ \text { Two } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Comparable Three | Comparable Four | $\begin{gathered} \text { IREM } \\ \text { Region IV } \end{gathered}$ |
| \$5 | Advertising | \$5 | \$506 | \$0 | \$128 | \$150 | \$0 |
| \$319 | Management | \$342 | \$256 | \$290 | \$417 | \$299 | \$382 |
| \$550 | Other Administrative Expenses | \$550 | \$128 | \$150 | \$341 | \$300 | \$650 |
| \$0 | Elevator Maintenance Expense | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | Fuel | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$110 | Lighting \& Misc. Power | \$110 | \$197 | \$735 | \$300 | \$0 | \$161 |
| \$1,150 | Water/Sewer | \$1,150 | \$0 | \$1,025 | \$463 | \$1,127 | \$278 |
| \$0 | Gas | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$244 | \$7 |
| \$90 | Garbage/Trash Removal | \$90 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$375 | Payroll | \$375 | \$1,344 | \$75 | \$974 | \$1,200 | \$628 |
| \$200 | Other Operating Expenses | \$150 | \$55 | \$0 | \$200 | \$0 | \$282 |
| \$50 | Decorating | \$50 | \$285 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$190 |
| \$0 | Repairs | \$0 | \$453 | \$500 | \$0 | \$500 | \$407 |
| \$100 | Exterminating | \$100 | \$0 | \$150 | \$244 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$200 | Insurance | \$200 | \$416 | \$225 | \$378 | \$250 | \$248 |
| \$300 | Ground Expenses | \$300 | \$117 | \$0 | \$116 | \$0 | \$193 |
| \$0 | Other Maintenance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$350 | Real Estate Taxes | \$375 | \$417 | \$671 | \$638 | \$699 | \$696 |
| \$40 | Payroll Taxes | \$40 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$25 | Employee Benefits | \$25 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$10 | Other Taxes | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$11 |
| \$250 | Replacement Reserves | \$250 | \$0 | \$300 | \$250 | \$300 | \$0 |
| \$4,124 | Total Per Unit | \$4,122 | \$4,174 | \$4,121 | \$4,449 | \$5,069 | \$4,133 |

## Comments:

Subject expenses were estimated based on comparable apartments and industry norms. Comparable apartment expenses were estimated after discussions with area apartment managers. The comparable estimates were substantiated by the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by the Institute of Real Estate Management. No major fluctuations from the total expenses per unit are anticipated from the expenses provided above, although itemized expenses may deviate on the specific factors affecting the individual properties.
The expenses for the comparable apartments vary per unit but are consistently between 42 and 53 percent of the gross rent potential. The subject's expenses were estimated at 46 percent of the gross rent potential which is within the comparable range. Market expenses for the subject were categorized similar to the actual expenses as different properties categorize expenses in different ways. Explanations of specific itemized expenses are indicated on the following pages.

# Fox Chase I Apartments * 11 Fox Chase Circle * Greensboro, Georgia 

## Itemized Expense Explanations - Market <br> Expense Numbers per Unit


#### Abstract

Expense As Is 1. Advertising \$5

As Complete \$5

Comp Range \$0- \$506

An advertising expense of $\$ 5$ per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 506$ per unit was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

Management \$319 \$342 \$256-\$417 A management expense of $\$ 319$ per unit was projected for the as is scenario, and a management expense of $\$ 342$ per unit was projected for the as complete scenario. A comparable range of $\$ 256$ to $\$ 417$ was determined. The expense was projected using approximately four percent of the effective gross income as indicated by the comparables. 3. Other Administrative \$550 \$550 \$128-\$341 An other administrative expense of $\$ 550$ per unit was projected. A comparable range of $\$ 128$ to $\$ 341$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management. 4.

Elevator \$0 \$0-\$0 The property does not have this expense. The expense is not typical in the market. Therefore, no expense was projected. 5. Fuel \$0 \$0 \$0-\$0 The property does not have this expense. The expense is not typical in the market. Therefore, no expense was projected. 6. Lighting \& Misc. Power \$110 $\$ 110$ \$0-\$735 A lighting and miscellaneous power expense of $\$ 110$ was projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 735$ per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.


7. Water/Sewer
\$1,150
\$1,150
\$0-\$1,127
A water/sewer expense of $\$ 1,150$ per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 1,127$ per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.
8. Gas
\$0
\$0
\$0-\$244
A gas expense of $\$ 0$ per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 244$ per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.
9. Garbage/Trash Removal
$\$ 90$
$\$ 90$
\$0-\$0
A garbage/trash removal expense of $\$ 90$ per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 0$ per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.
10. Payroll
\$375
\$375
\$75-\$1,344
The payroll expense of $\$ 375$ per unit was projected. A comparable range of $\$ 75$ to $\$ 1,344$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
11. Other Operating Expenses
\$200
\$150
\$0-\$200
An other operating expense of $\$ 200$ per unit was projected. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 200$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
12. Decorating
\$50
\$50
\$0-\$285
A decorating expense of $\$ 50$ was projected. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 285$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
13. Repairs
\$0
\$0
\$0-\$500
A repairs expense of $\$ 0$ was projected for the as is scenario. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 500$ was determined. Expenses such as repairs are typically based on unique property characteristics. Therefore, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The subject does not itemize specific repairs expenses. Instead, the repairs are included in other categories which are wrapped into other expense categories. Therefore, no specific repairs expense was projected.
14. Exterminating
\$100
\$100
\$0-\$244
An exterminating expense of $\$ 100$ per unit was projected. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 244$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

Insurance
$\$ 200$
\$200
\$225-\$416
An insurance expense of $\$ 200$ per unit was projected for the subject's as is scenario, and $\$ 200$ per unit for the subject's as complete scenario. A comparable range of $\$ 225$ to $\$ 416$ per unit was determined. Expenses such as insurance are typically based on unique property characteristics. Therefore, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.
16. Ground Expenses
\$300
\$300
\$0-\$117
A ground expense of $\$ 300$ per unit was projected. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 117$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
17. Other Maintenance
\$0
\$0
\$0-\$0
Other maintenance expenses of $\$ 0$ per unit were projected for the subject. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 0$ per unit was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
\$350
\$375
\$417-\$699
A real estate tax expense of $\$ 350$ per unit was projected for the subject based on the information obtained by the Greene County Assessor's Office. It is likely that this expense will increase after completion of the rehabilitation. Therefore, the as complete expense was projected higher than the as is expense.
19.

Payroll Taxes \$40 \$40 \$0-\$0
Payroll taxes were projected at $\$ 40$ per unit. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 0$ was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
20. Employee Benefits
\$25
\$25
\$0-\$0
Employee benefits were projected at $\$ 25$ per unit. A comparable range of $\$ 0$ to $\$ 0$ was determined. he expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
21. Replacement Reserves
\$250
\$250
\$0-\$300
A replacement reserves expense of $\$ 250$ per unit was projected. This reserves expense is typical for market-rate apartment complexes.

## Expenses before Reserves for Replacement

The subject's projected expenses per unit are $\$ 3,874$ before reserves for replacement. This is eight percent lower than the 2016 data. The comparables range from \$4,121 to \$5,069 per unit before reserves for replacement. All comparables are conventional properties located in the State of Pennsylvania. The subject is within than the comparable range. The 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Conventional Apartments published by the Institute of Real Estate Management indicates an overall expense per unit of $\$ 4,133$. Comparable 1 was constructed in 1987, contains 300 units and has total overall expenses of $\$ 4,174$ per unit; Comparable 2 was constructed in 1969 and renovated in 2015, contains 209 units and has total overall expenses of $\$ 4,121$ per unit; Comparable 3 was constructed in 1979 and was renovated in 2008, contains 486 units and has total overall expenses of $\$ 4,449$ per unit; and Comparable 4 was constructed in 1972 and renovated in 2013, contains 132 units and has total overall expenses of $\$ 5,069$ per unit. The subject was constructed in 1992 and is a 24 -unit stabilized Rural Development property. Historically, the subject's overall expenses have ranged from $\$ 3,662$ to $\$ 4,225$ per unit before reserves for replacement. Therefore, the subject's expenses were deemed reasonable.

## Net Operating Income Conclusions

## Expenses after Reserves for Replacement

The subject's expenses were projected considering the subject's operating history, the expense data of the comparables and the information contained in the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by the Institute of Real Estate Management and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by the Institute of Real Estate Management.

## Direct Capitalization

Most apartment appraisers as well as buyers, sellers and lenders prefer value estimates derived from direct capitalization rather than discounted cash flow analysis. Other than in cases where the client and appraiser believe that the achievable income from an apartment property has not approximated its stabilized income, the net operating income to the property can be directly capitalized as of the effective date of the appraisal, based on the current yield to the property. In this situation, the discounting of forecast cash flows on a yield-to-maturity basis is considered superfluous. The use of overall cash flow analysis under other circumstances is discussed in the following section.

An overall capitalization rate ( $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ ) is the usual expression of the relationship between the net operating income and the value of the property (the $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ is the reciprocal of a net income multiplier). Overall capitalization rates are derived from the simple formula

$$
\text { Rate }=\text { Income } / \text { Value of } R_{0}=I / V
$$

A capitalization rate is typically expressed as a percentage. For example, if the net operating income to a comparable property was $\$ 1.8$ million and its value/price was $\$ 20$ million, the overall capitalization rate would be $9.0 \%$ (the reciprocal, 11.1, is the property's net income multiplier).

An overall capitalization rate incorporates many considerations, including the likelihood that property income will increase, the momentum and duration of such an increase, and the risk and timing of a possible decrease. It reflects judgments regarding the recapture of investment and property depreciation. An overall capitalization rate can be developed on the basis of the relative allocation between, or weighting of, property components (e.g., mortgage and equity), and the respective capitalization rates of both components. This procedure is known as the band of investment technique. The specific allocation between financial components is supported by their relative risk rating based on which component has the prior claim to payment; for example, mortgages are paid before equity investors.

Other ways to apportion NOI are among the physical and ownership components of the property. When the property's NOI, the value of one property component, and the capitalization rates of both property components are known, a residual technique is applied to estimate the value of the property component of
unknown value. The income to the property component of known value is deducted from the property's NOI , and the residual income attributable to the property component of unknown value is capitalized. In many cases, however, it is not necessary to aportion an overall rate or net operating income to property components.

## Market Derived Capitalization Rates

Income and expense data from comparable properties were analyzed to derive the capitalization rate. To derive the capitalization rate, the appraiser used the direct capitalization method, which consists of dividing the net income by the value.

The direct capitalization method will both reflect the value of income at yields attractive to a prospective investor and provide for the recapture of wasting purchase capital. The capitalization rate shows the rate of return for land, as well as the rate of return for the buildings. It also reflects the relationship between the income from the entire property and the value of the entire property.

## Comparable Capitalization Rates

| Comparable \# | Comparable Address | Number of Units | Date of Sale | NOI $/$ | Sales Price = | Indicated Capitalization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 537 4th Street Athens, Georgia | 64 | 7/27/2016 | \$197,904 | \$3,360,000 | 5.89\% |
| 2 | 100 Ashley Circle Athens, Georgia | 240 | 1/27/2016 | \$876,940 | \$13,450,000 | 6.52\% |
| 3 | 475 Baldwin Street Athens, Georgia | 56 | 6/1/2016 | \$281,517 | \$4,385,000 | 6.42\% |
| 4 | 407 Maple Lane Monroe, Georgia | 20 | 5/15/2015 | \$34,867 | \$685,000 | 5.09\% |
| 5 | 301 Cedar Creek Road Winder, Georgia | 8 | 11/30/2016 | \$30,000 | \$375,000 | 8.00\% |
| 6 | 1287 Cedar Shoals Drive Athens, Georgia | 220 | 3/11/2016 | \$1,085,400 | \$18,000,000 | 6.03\% |
| 7 | 101 Concord Lane Madison, Georgia | 24 | 5/8/2015 | \$88,200 | \$980,000 | 9.00\% |
| 8 | 189 Herring Street Athens, Georgia | 12 | 5/11/2015 | \$90,000 | \$1,250,000 | 7.20\% |
| 9 | 101 Hunters Run Road Athens, Georgia | 20 | 2/22/2016 | \$142,100 | \$1,750,000 | 8.12\% |
| 10 | 156 Oak Bluff Drive Athens, Georgia | 42 | 1/7/2015 | \$275,500 | \$2,900,000 | 9.50\% |

The comparables indicate a range of 5.09 to 9.50 percent for indicated capitalization rates, with a mean of 7.18 percent. Comparable 1 was constructed in 1969, and Comparable 2 was built in 1970 and renovated in 2011. Comparable 3 was built in 1965. Comparable 4 was constructed in 2004, and Comparable 5 was built in 1978. Comparable 6 was constructed in 1997, and Comparable 7 was constructed in 1983. Comparable 8 was built in 2001, and Comparable 9 was built in 1998. Comparable 10 was constructed in 2001. Comparables 4, 5 and 7 are similar in location. The remaining comparables are slightly superior in
location due to the larger population and greater proximity to services in Athens. However, the superior location did not have a significant impact on the determination of the capitalization rate because a potential investor of a property will typically be interest in the income-producing capabilities of a property regardless of location. Therefore, even though these comparables are in locations with larger population counts, the differences are not substantial enough to have a significant impact on the capitalization rate determination. Comparables 6, 8, 9 and 10 are the most similar dates of construction, and Comparables 3, 4, 7, 9 and 10 are the most similar in number of units. Comparables $1,2,3,5,6$ and 9 have the most recent date of sale. After considering all factors, Comparables 3, 6, 9 and 10 were given the most consideration. These comparables have capitalization rates ranging from 6.03 to 9.50 percent. The appraiser selected a weighted capitalization rate of 7.00 percent.

## Realty Rates Survey

The Realty Rates Market Survey was considered in this analysis. The RealtyRates.com Market Survey First Quarter 2017 found that investors in apartments in the South Atlantic Region which includes the State of Georgia indicated an overall capitalization rate of 8.20 percent. The Realty Rates Investor Survey was also considered in this analysis. The RealtyRates.com Investor Survey First Quarter 2017 indicates a range of 4.54 to 12.72 percent for capitalization rates, with a median capitalization rate of 7.85 percent.

## PwC Real Estate Investor Survey

The PwC Real Estate Investor Survey was considered in this analysis. The National Apartment Market survey for the first quarter of 2017 found that investors in apartments indicate overall capitalization rates ranging from 3.50 percent to 8.00 percent, with an average of 5.33 percent.

## Band of Investment - Conventional Terms

Another method of arriving at a capitalization rate is the Band of Investment Method. This method is based on typical mortgage terms currently available and expected investment return. For the mortgage component of the band of investment, mortgage brokers, current periodicals and rate sheets were consulted relative to mortgage terms, interest rates and investor yield rates. Based on the subject's physical and economic characteristics, the following components were used in this analysis.


Mortgage financing from local lenders indicated that a typical interest rate is 4.50 percent. The typical loan term is 30 years and the loan-to-value ratio is 80 percent. Therefore, a capitalization rate of 6.86 percent was determined.

## Determination of the Market Capitalization Rate

The PwC Real Estate Investor Survey indicated an average capitalization rate of 5.33 percent. From the sales available in the area a capitalization rate of 7.00 percent was determined. The RealtyRates.com Market Survey indicated an average capitalization rate of 8.20 percent. The RealtyRates.com Investor Survey indicated a median capitalization rate of 7.85 percent. The band of investment indicated a capitalization rate of 6.86 percent. The comparable sales were determined to be the most accurate reflection of the market capitalization rate. Therefore, a capitalization rate of 7.00 percent was determined to be appropriate for the market values.

Income Values

| Market | As Is | $\$ 92,667 / 7.00 \%$ | $=\$ 1,323,813$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Market | As Complete | $\$ 106,400 / 7.00 \%$ | $=\$ 1,519,995$ |
|  |  | Market Rate As Is Value | $=\$ 1,325,000$ |
|  |  | Market Rate As Complete Value | $=\$ 1,520,000$ |

## Determination of Capitalization Rate Considering Subject's Rental Assistance

Due to the presence of Rental Assistance, properties similar to the subject have guaranteed income streams and typically have higher occupancy rates than market properties. As a result, the marketplace shows a preference for these types of properties with Rental Assistance, and the market indicates a lower capitalization rate as a result. Therefore, a slightly more aggressive capitalization rate of one half-point to one full point is seen in the market. The subject has Rental Assistance for 12 of the 24 units The capitalization rate was adjusted from the market-indicated rate of 7.00 percent to a capitalization rate one point lower at 6.00 percent for the property's restricted valuations.

| Restricted | As Is | $\$ 36,511 / 6.00 \%$ | $=\$ 608,517$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Restricted | As Complete | $\$ 50,768 / 6.00 \%$ | $=\$ 846,137$ |
|  |  | Restricted Rate As Is Value | $=\$ 610,000$ |
|  |  | Restricted Rate As Complete Value | $=\$ 845,000$ |


*The growth rate is based on the market trends. This includes data from population, unemployment factors, median household income, median home values and capitalization rates. In addition, comparables within the State of Georgia were analyzed to determine a growth rate.

## Population

The population for the subject's neighborhood for 2017, according to ESRI, is 5,444, an increase of 411 people from the 2010 population of 5,033 . The population is expected to increase at an annual rate of 6.6 percent between 2017 and 2022. Therefore, the 2022 population is projected at 5,801 . The median age for the neighborhood is 37.2 .

## Unemployment Trends

The unemployment rate has fluctuated from 5.3 percent to 13.2 percent over the past 12 years. These fluctuations are in line with the unemployment rates for the State of Georgia.

| LABOR FORCE AND EMPLOYMENT TRENDS FOR |  |  |  |  | GREENE COUNTY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANNUALS | CIVILIAN LABOR <br> FORCE* | EMPLOYMENT | UNEMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |
|  |  | TOTAL | $\%$ | TOTAL | $\%$ |  |
| 2005 | 6,639 | 6,156 | $92.7 \%$ | 483 | $7.3 \%$ |  |
| 2006 | 6,953 | 6,553 | $94.2 \%$ | 400 | $5.8 \%$ |  |
| 2007 | 7,458 | 7,061 | $94.7 \%$ | 397 | $5.3 \%$ |  |
| 2008 | 7,624 | 7,107 | $93.2 \%$ | 517 | $6.8 \%$ |  |
| 2009 | 7,588 | 6,727 | $88.7 \%$ | 861 | $11.3 \%$ |  |
| 2010 | 6,412 | 5,567 | $86.8 \%$ | 845 | $13.2 \%$ |  |
| 2011 | 6,379 | 5,592 | $87.7 \%$ | 787 | $12.3 \%$ |  |
| 2012 | 6,420 | 5,708 | $88.9 \%$ | 712 | $11.1 \%$ |  |
| 2013 | 6,355 | 5,723 | $90.1 \%$ | 632 | $9.9 \%$ |  |
| 2014 | 6,430 | 5,903 | $91.8 \%$ | 527 | $8.2 \%$ |  |
| 2015 | 6,826 | 6,374 | $93.4 \%$ | 452 | $6.6 \%$ |  |
| 2016 | 6,910 | 6,511 | $94.2 \%$ | 399 | $5.8 \%$ |  |
| $2017^{* *}$ | 6,941 | 6,586 | $94.9 \%$ | 355 | $5.1 \%$ |  |

[^5]
## Median Household Income

The median household income for the neighborhood in 2017 is $\$ 26,319$. It is expected to increase to $\$ 30,263$ by 2022. The per capita income is $\$ 15,996$.

## Median Home Value

The median home value for the neighborhood in 2017, according to ESRI, is $\$ 93,989$. According to ESRI, the average amount spent for owner-occupied households in the subject's neighborhood is $\$ 16,152.00$, or $\$ 1,346$ per month. The average amount spent for renter-occupied households is $\$ 10,548.00$, or $\$ 879$ per month.

## Realty Rates Market Survey

The Realty Rates Market Survey was considered in this analysis. The following table indicates the fluctuation of capitalization rates within the South Atlantic Region. Capitalization rates ranged from 7.90 to 8.20 percent in 2016, with an average of 8.03 percent.

| REALTY RATES MARKET SURVEY - AREA CAPITALIZATION RATES |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QUARTER | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| $1^{\text {ST }}$ Quarter | $8.20 \%$ | $8.30 \%$ | $8.10 \%$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Quarter | $8.10 \%$ | $8.30 \%$ | --- |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter | $8.50 \%$ | $8.20 \%$ | --- |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter | $8.40 \%$ | $8.10 \%$ | -- |

Source: Realty Rates.com: South Atlantic Region

## Comparable Sales Analysis

Comparable market sales that sold within the State of Georgia were analyzed to determine any trend in the area. The following table lists the comparables used in this analysis. Capitalization rates ranged from 5.00 to 9.00 percent between 2005 and 2017, with an average of 7.39 percent.

| Property Name | Number of Units | Sale Date | NOI | Sale Price | Capitalization Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jasmine Gardens | 40 | 1/5/2005 | \$114,750 | \$1,350,000 | 8.50\% |
| Knox Landing Apartments | 40 | 1/31/2005 | \$83,928 | \$1,475,000 | 5.69\% |
| Lauren Heights Apartments | 48 | 3/25/2005 | \$188,100 | \$2,200,000 | 8.55\% |
| Highland Springs Apartments | 66 | 8/19/2005 | \$203,235 | \$2,550,000 | 7.97\% |
| Auburn Place Apartments | 28 | 9/30/2005 | \$89,565 | \$1,050,000 | 8.53\% |
| Highland Glen Apartments | 31 | 11/23/2005 | \$90,520 | \$1,550,000 | 5.84\% |
| North Avenue Apartments | 34 | 11/23/2005 | \$107,300 | \$1,850,000 | 5.80\% |
| Washington Arms Apartments | 40 | 1/13/2006 | \$115,130 | \$1,588,000 | 7.25\% |
| Forest Grove Apartments | 20 | 1/27/2006 | \$82,560 | \$960,000 | 8.60\% |
| Somerset Apartments | 40 | 6/30/2006 | \$148,800 | \$2,000,000 | 7.44\% |
| Brighton Manor Apartments | 40 | 8/9/2006 | \$131,840 | \$1,600,000 | 8.24\% |
| Kirkwood Apartments | 53 | 10/28/2007 | \$201,760 | \$2,600,000 | 7.76\% |
| Waters Edge Apartments | 48 | 1/25/2008 | \$149,850 | \$1,850,000 | 8.10\% |
| Northside Apartments | 22 | 2/22/2008 | \$81,035 | \$950,000 | 8.53\% |
| Waldan Chase Apartments | 60 | 4/7/2008 | \$273,192 | \$3,414,900 | 8.00\% |
| Twin Keys Apartments | 68 | 3/30/2009 | \$201,000 | \$3,350,000 | 6.00\% |
| Praine Villas | 22 | 1/1/2010 | \$57,600 | \$720,000 | 8.00\% |
| Main Street Apartments | 32 | 7/28/2010 | \$38,211 | \$470,000 | 8.13\% |
| Park Gate Apartments | 23 | 11/18/2010 | \$72,500 | \$1,000,000 | 7.25\% |
| Clisby Towers | 52 | 4/14/2011 | \$117,000 | \$1,300,000 | 9.00\% |
| Inman Way Apartments | 28 | 2/9/2012 | \$139,344 | \$1,592,500 | 8.75\% |
| Rumson Court Apartments | 20 | 11/5/2012 | \$56,375 | \$1,025,000 | 5.50\% |
| Gardens on Gaston | 20 | 4/10/2013 | \$131,070 | \$1,700,000 | 7.71\% |
| Cedar Bluffs Apartments | 31 | 4/16/2013 | \$132,600 | \$1,560,000 | 8.50\% |
| Proctor Square Apartments | 72 | 6/18/2013 | \$137,283 | \$2,225,000 | 6.17\% |
| Oakwood Village Apartments | 70 | 7/1/2013 | \$98,616 | \$1,680,000 | 5.87\% |
| 1045 on the Park Apartment Homes | 30 | 7/9/2013 | \$592,515 | \$9,450,000 | 6.27\% |
| Creekstone Apartments II | 72 | 7/16/2013 | \$150,900 | \$3,000,000 | 5.03\% |
| Erwin North Apartments | 32 | 7/22/2013 | \$72,450 | \$805,000 | 9.00\% |
| Student Quarters Bay Tree | 32 | 10/10/2013 | \$265,200 | \$3,900,000 | 6.80\% |
| Brooks Trace Apartments | 49 | 10/10/2013 | \$363,937 | \$4,363,750 | 8.34\% |
| Sherwood Arms Apartments | 44 | 10/30/2013 | \$31,980 | \$390,000 | 8.20\% |
| Townhomes at Hapeville | 34 | 1/23/2014 | \$77,900 | \$950,000 | 8.20\% |
| Brick Pointe Apartments | 56 | 2/1/2014 | \$1,569,500 | \$18,250,000 | 8.60\% |
| Pine Ridge Apartments | 29 | 2/18/2014 | \$71,775 | \$825,000 | 8.70\% |
| Jefferson Ridge Townhomes | 22 | 4/14/2014 | \$81,900 | \$975,000 | 8.40\% |
| Waterbury Apartments | 53 | 6/30/2014 | \$145,440 | \$1,818,000 | 8.00\% |
| Woodbridge Apartments | 28 | 4/2/2014 | \$123,750 | \$1,650,000 | 7.50\% |
| Pecan Terrace | 36 | 8/28/2014 | \$114,026 | \$1,420,000 | 8.03\% |
| DeFoors Crossing | 60 | 9/23/2014 | \$235,571 | \$4,610,000 | 5.11\% |
| Pine Hill Places | 73 | 10/27/2014 | \$169,200 | \$2,115,000 | 8.00\% |
| West Gate Manor | 48 | 12/4/2014 | \$93,500 | \$1,100,000 | 8.50\% |
| Couryard on Kirwood | 32 | 12/18/2014 | \$146,813 | \$2,175,000 | 6.75\% |
| Azalea Place | 42 | 1/5/2015 | \$100,300 | \$1,180,000 | 8.50\% |
| Forest Ridge Apartments | 75 | 1/20/2015 | \$168,560 | \$2,107,000 | 8.00\% |
| University Crossing | 48 | 1/23/2015 | \$284,925 | \$4,350,000 | 6.55\% |
| Crown Mill Village Lofts | 66 | 1/31/2015 | \$370,760 | \$5,200,000 | 7.13\% |
| Pines at Lawrenceville Highway | 66 | 3/31/2015 | \$254,200 | \$3,100,000 | 8.20\% |
| Salem Chase | 64 | 4/1/2015 | \$292,250 | \$4,175,000 | 7.00\% |
| Willow Trace Apartments | 54 | 4/30/2015 | \$294,800 | \$4,000,000 | 7.37\% |
| Madison Townhomes | 24 | 5/8/2015 | \$88,200 | \$980,000 | 9.00\% |
| Maple Place Townhomes | 20 | 5/15/2015 | \$34,867 | \$685,000 | 5.09\% |
| Seventy Spruce Apartments | 28 | 7/29/2015 | \$202,980 | \$2,985,000 | 6.80\% |
| Parkway North Apartments | 21 | 8/10/2015 | \$72,010 | \$950,000 | 7.58\% |
| Magnolia Hall Apartments | 48 | 8/14/2015 | \$274,992 | \$4,080,000 | 6.74\% |
| Peachtree Battle Apartments | 20 | 8/20/2015 | \$170,804 | \$2,000,050 | 8.54\% |
| Stonebrook Apartments | 21 | 12/1/2015 | \$74,880 | \$900,000 | 8.32\% |
| Kelege Village | 28 | 12/16/2015 | \$44,890 | \$757,000 | 5.93\% |
| Woodland View Apartments | 54 | 1/7/2016 | \$226,440 | \$3,400,000 | 6.66\% |
| Chelsea Court | 56 | 1/22/2016 | \$205,200 | \$2,700,000 | 7.60\% |
| Meadowlark Apartments | 56 | 3/15/2016 | \$236,758 | \$2,905,000 | 8.15\% |
| Ridgewood Apartments | 52 | 3/30/2016 | \$14,490 | \$230,000 | 6.30\% |
| Dwell and Hollywood Apartments | 64 | 3/31/2016 | \$68,153 | \$975,000 | 6.99\% |


| Property Name | Number of Units | Sale Date | NOI | Sale Price | Capitalization Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lanier Townhomes | 40 | $4 / 5 / 2016$ | $\$ 159,120$ | $\$ 2,080,000$ | $7.65 \%$ |
| Baldwin Village | 56 | $6 / 1 / 2016$ | $\$ 281,517$ | $\$ 4,385,000$ |  |
| Park Village Apartments | 68 | $7 / 6 / 2016$ | $\$ 310,300$ | $\$ 5,350,000$ | $6.42 \%$ |
| Northern Pines Apartments | 48 | $9 / 30 / 2016$ | $\$ 203,808$ | $\$ 2,640,000$ |  |
| Douglas Pines Apartments | 48 | $10 / 21 / 2016$ | $\$ 135,142$ | $\$ 1,925,100$ | $7.72 \%$ |
| Linkwood Manor Apartments | 56 | $11 / 4 / 2016$ | $\$ 98,000$ | $\$ 1,400,000$ | $7.02 \%$ |
| Pinewood Village Apartments | 64 | $11 / 21 / 2016$ | $\$ 86,932$ | $\$ 1,496,250$ | $7.00 \%$ |
| The Valley Apartments | 32 | $1 / 31 / 2017$ | $\$ 112,000$ | $\$ 1,600,000$ | $5.81 \%$ |
| Belwood Apartments | 48 | $2 / 16 / 2017$ | $\$ 149,400$ | $\$ 1,800,000$ | $7.00 \%$ |
| Briarcliff Apartments | 32 | $2 / 22 / 2017$ | $\$ 162,500$ | $\$ 3,250,000$ | $8.30 \%$ |
| Twelve Oaks Apartments | 20 | $3 / 15 / 2017$ | $\$ 78,000$ | $\$ 975,000$ | $5.00 \%$ |
| Beverly Forest Apartments | 42 | $5 / 17 / 2017$ | $\$ 130,500$ | $\$ 1,800,000$ | $8.00 \%$ |

The population is expected to increase at an annual rate of 6.6 percent between 2017 and 2022. The median household income for the neighborhood in 2017 is $\$ 26,319$. It is expected to increase to $\$ 30,263$ by 2022 . The per capita income is $\$ 15,996$.

The unemployment rate has fluctuated from 5.3 percent to 13.2 percent, and due to the recent economic trends, Greene County, as well as the rest of the nation, increased in unemployment. However, the unemployment rate has stabilized and is anticipated to decrease to at least the high end of the historical range by the loan's maturity date.

A growth rate of 2.00 percent is typically used in projections and Greensboro's market represents this percentage. Therefore, a 2.00 percent growth rate was used in determining the subject's prospective market value upon loan maturity.

Prospective Market Value Upon Loan Maturity $=\$ 4,128,000.00$

Value of Interest Credit Subsidy



## Value of Tax Credits

For the purposes of this analysis, the likely market value of the tax credits allocated to the subject has been estimated. The subject is a proposed rehabilitation. The following information is based on the assumption that the development will receive tax credit allocations. The developer is assuming that the property will receive an annual allocation of $\$ 69,743$ from the Georgia Department of Community Affairs for low-income housing tax credits. The total for the 10 -year period will be $\$ 697,430$. To determine the value of the tax credits, the average price for tax credits in the area was established by utilizing interviews with syndicators, developers and mortgage lenders as well as published sources. Interviews were conducted with Jason Maddox of MACO Companies; Matt Mills of Southeast Holdings LLC; Derrick Hamilton of Belmont Development Company; and Shawn Smith of Belmont Development Company. Based on the information obtained, a range of $\$ 0.85$ to $\$ 0.95$ was determined for federal tax credits, though there are a few instances when the price exceeds $\$ 1.00$. State tax credits vary widely, according to the interviews. A conservative value of $\$ 0.85$ per credit was estimated.

## Analysis of Tax Credits

The following analysis is used to develop a present value for the subject's tax credits. Percentages utilized were based on similar transactions as well as interviews with state and federal authorities to arrive at an accurate market value for the allocated tax credits.

## Value of Tax Credits

## Assumed Federal Allocation:

$=\quad \$ 697,430$
Price $\quad \mathbf{0 . 8 5}$
Total Value Tax Credits = \$595,000

Insurable Value

| USDA Rural Development Insurable Value Calculation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Name: <br> Street Address: <br> City, County, State, Zip: | Fox Chase I Apartments 11 Fox Chase Circle Greensboro, Greene, Georgia 30642 |  |  |  |
| BASE COST <br> Main Structure <br> Sprinkler <br> Other <br> Adjustments and/or Multipliers <br> TOTAL BASE COST PER SQ. FT <br> Building Area Square Footage <br> TOTAL REPLACEMENT COST NEW |  |  | $\$ 71.55$ 0.83 1.03 $\$ 61.17$ 24,180 $\$ 1,479,045$ | Local Current |
| EXCLUSIONS <br> Excavations <br> Foundations <br> Site Work <br> Site Improvements <br> Architect's Fees Underground Piping <br> TOTAL EXCLUSIONS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per SF } \\ & \hline \$ 0.06 \\ & \$ 3.67 \\ & \$ 1.53 \\ & \$ 2.02 \\ & \$ 0.61 \\ & \$ 0.61 \\ & \\ & \$ 8.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \hline 0.1 \% \\ 6.0 \% \\ 2.5 \% \\ 3.3 \% \\ 1.0 \% \\ 1.0 \% \\ \\ 13.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,451 \\ \$ 88,741 \\ \$ 36,995 \\ \$ 48,844 \\ \$ 14,750 \\ \$ 14,750 \\ \\ \$ 205,531 \end{array}$ |  |
| INCLUSIONS <br> Appliance Packages Patios/Balconies, etc. Parking Lot Other <br> TOTAL INCLUSIONS |  |  | $\$ 39,496$ <br> $\$ 19,449$ <br> $\$ 58,945$ |  |
| CONCLUDED INSURABLE VALUE <br> Total Replacement Cost New Less Total Exclusions Plus Total Inclusions CONCLUDED INSURABLE VALUE |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,479,045 \\ \$ 205,531 \\ \$ 58,945 \\ \\ \$ 1,332,459 \end{array}$ |  |

Total Insurable Value (Rounded) = \$1,332,000

## Sales Comparison Approach

The Sales Comparison Approach is based on the assumption that an informed purchaser will pay no more for a property than the cost of acquiring an existing property of similar utility. Typically, one would estimate the value of the subject property by comparing the sales prices of recent transactions involving property similar to the subject. Adjustments are made to each sale for dissimilarities as compared to the subject property. These adjustments may include the date of sale, location, age, floor plan, condition, quality, size or external factors that may influence rents or occupancy levels. Typically, the reliability of the sales comparison approach is based on a number of factors such as:

- Availability of comparable sales data
- Verification of sales data
- Degree of comparability to the extent that large or numerous adjustments are not necessary to compensate for the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales used

I have found that the reliability of the sales comparison approach for traditional real estate is excellent when valuing vacant land, single family homes or small commercial type properties where there is more activity, a larger data base, and greater degree of comparability. For more complex and larger investment grade properties such as shopping centers, nursing homes, and apartment complexes, the required adjustments are often numerous and the degree to which they can be performed without a considerable amount of subjectivity is difficult. As mentioned previously, a number of factors must be verifiable and documented in order to make appropriate adjustments. Items necessary for verification might include the following:

- Location
- Condition
- Appeal
- Date of Sale
- Amenities
- Income and Expense Data
- Personal Property Included
- Financing Terms and Conditions
- Management Contracts Involved

There are obviously other differences that must be adjusted in the marketplace. For the purposes of this report, the appraiser has analyzed a number of sales; however, only those believed to be most similar to the subject were included. The information from the sales analyzed will be included. The information from the sales analyzed will be used to determine a value estimate for the subject property by the sales comparison approach. The unit of comparison considered will be the price paid per unit. The following sales are offered as an indication of value of the subject property as of the date of this assignment.

## Comparable Sales Map



## Comparable Sales

Multi-Family Sale No. 1


Property Identification

Record ID
Property Type Property Name Address Tax ID Market Type

Sale Data
Grantor
Grantee
Sale Date
Deed Book/Page
Property Rights
Conditions of Sale
Financing
Verification
Sale Price
Cash Equivalent
Adjusted Price
Land Data
Land Size

1644
Walk-Up
Arbor Ridge Apartments
150 Chateau Terrace, Athens, Clarke County, Georgia 30606
123007
Market

Juniper Epps Bridge II, LLC
Arbor Ridge Equities, LLC
May 01, 2015
4335/0121
Fee Simple
Normal
Conventional
Assessor; May 15, 2017
\$10,000,000
\$10,000,000
\$10,000,000
12.000 Acres or 522,720 SF

## Multi-Family Sale No. 1 (Cont.)

Front Footage
Zoning
Topography
Utilities
Shape

Chateau Terrace
Multifamily
Nearly Level
E, G, W, S
Irregular

## Unit Mix

| No. of <br> Units | Size SF |  |  | Mont/Mo. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 740 |  | Mont/SF <br> Rent |  |
| 140 | 9640 |  | $\$ 0.73$ |  |
| 32 | 1,200 | $\$ 735$ | $\$ 755$ | $\$ 0.63$ |

## Total Units

212
Avg. Unit Size 955
Avg. Rent/Unit \$635
Avg. Rent/SF \$0.67
Net SF
202,400
General Physical Data
Construction Type
HVAC
Parking
Stories
Utilities with Rent
Year Built
Condition
Income Analysis
Potential Gross Income $\quad \$ 1,615,920$
Vacancy
Effective Gross Income
Expenses
\$80,796
\$1,535,124
Net Operating Income \$546,000
Indicators
Sale Price/Net SF
Sale Price/Unit
Occupancy at Sale
Brick/Siding
Central Elec/Central Elec
L/0
2
Water, Sewer, Trash Collection
1969/2008
Average

PGIM
$\$ 49.41$
\$47,170
95\%
EGIM
Expenses/SF
6.19

Expenses/Unit
6.51
$\$ 4.89$ Net
Expenses as \% of PGI
\$4,666
Expenses as \% of EGI
61.21\%

Overall or Cap Rate
NOI/SF
NOI/Unit

$$
64.43 \%
$$

5.46\%
\$2.70 Net
\$2,575

## Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Balcony, Patio and Laundry Facility

Multi-Family Sale No. 2


## Property Identification

Record ID
Property Type
Property Name
Address
Tax ID
Market Type
Sale Data Grantor Grantee Sale Date Deed Book/Page Property Rights
Financing
Verification
Sale Price Cash Equivalent Adjusted Price

3553
Townhouse
Waltons Mill Village
1005A Mill Creek Way, Monroe, Walton County, Georgia 30655
m02500000118125, m025000001181266, m02500000118127, m02500000118128
Market

Rialto Capital Management LLC
Douglas P Griffin
November 18, 2016
3995-463
Fee Simple
Conventional
Assessor; May 15, 2017
\$2,800,000
\$2,800,000
\$2,800,000

## Multi-Family Sale No. 2 (Cont.)

| Land Data |
| :--- |
| Land Size |
| Front Footage |
| Zoning |
| Topography |
| Utilities |
| Shape |

Unit Type
3/2
Total Units
Avg. Unit Size
Net SF
General Physical Data
No. of Buildings
Construction Type
HVAC
Parking
Stories
Utilities with Rent
Year Built
Condition
Indicators
Sale Price/Net SF
Sale Price/Unit
30.190 Acres or $1,315,076$ SF

1005 A Mill Creek Way
F, Multifamily District
Nearly Level
E, G, W, S
Irregular
Unit Mix
No. of
Units $\quad$ Size SF 67

67
1,450
97,150

38
Brick/Siding
Central Elec/Central Elec
L/0
2
None
2007
Good
\$28.82
\$41,791

## Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Carpet, Ceramic Tile, Blinds, Balcony and Playground

Multi-Family Sale No. 3


| Property Identification |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Record ID | 3555 |
| Property Type | Garden |
| Property Name | Waterbury Apartments |
| Address | 1375 College Station Road, Athens, Clarke County, Georgia 30605 |
| Tax ID | 182B 007-H |
| Market Type | Market |
| Sale Data |  |
| Grantor | Arcan Capital |
| Grantee | Opportune Companies |
| Sale Date | May 01, 2017 |
| Deed Book/Page | 004583000429 |
| Property Rights | Fee Simple |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Verification | Assessor; May 15, 2017 |
| Sale Price | \$2,550,000 |
| Cash Equivalent | \$2,550,000 |
| Adjusted Price | \$2,550,000 |
| Land Data |  |
| Land Size | 4.090 Acres or 178,160 SF |
| Front Footage | 1375 College Station Road |
| Zoning | RM-1, Multifamily Dwelling District |
| Topography | Nearly Level |
| Utilities | E, G, W, S |
| Shape | Irregular |

## Unit Mix

| No. of <br> Units | Size SF |  | Mo. <br> Rent/Mo. | Rent/SF <br> 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 288 | $\$ 506$ | $\$ 1.76$ |  |
| 37 | 576 | $\$ 598$ | $\$ 1.04$ |  |
| 5 | 864 | $\$ 711$ | $\$ 0.82$ |  |
| 5 | 864 | $\$ 741$ | $\$ 0.86$ |  |

## Multi-Family Sale No. 3 (Cont.)

| Total Units | 53 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Avg. Unit Size | 598 |
| Avg. Rent/Unit | $\$ 612$ |
| Avg. Rent/SF | $\$ 1.02$ |
| Net SF | 31,680 |
|  |  |
| General Physical Data |  |
| No. of Buildings | 10 |
| Construction Type | Siding |
| HVAC | PTAC Elec/PTAC Elec |
| Parking | L/0 |
| Stories | 1 |
| Utilities with Rent | Trash Collection |
| Year Built | $1985 / 2006$ |
| Condition | Good |
| Indicators |  |
| Sale Price/Net SF | $\$ 80.49$ |
| Sale Price/Unit | $\$ 48,113$ |
|  |  |
| Amenities |  |

Multi-Family Sale No. 4


| Property Identification |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Record ID | 1213 |
| Property Type | Townhouse |
| Property Name | Jefferson Ridge Townhomes |
| Address | 363 East Jefferson Street, Madison, Morgan County, Georgia 30650 |
| Tax ID | M18072000 |
| Market Type | Market |
| Sale Data |  |
| Grantor | Jacobs Family Trustee |
| Grantee | Jefferson Ridge Townhomes, LLC |
| Sale Date | April 18, 2016 |
| Deed Book/Page | 527-513 |
| Property Rights | Fee Simple |
| Conditions of Sale | Normal |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Verification | Assessor; 706-818-3563, May 15, 2017 |
| Sale Price | \$1,150,000 |
| Cash Equivalent | \$1,150,000 |
| Adjusted Price | \$1,150,000 |
| Land Data |  |
| Land Size | 2.490 Acres or 108,464 SF |
| Front Footage | East Jefferson Street |
| Zoning | R-8, Residential District |
| Topography | Nearly Level |
| Utilities | E, G, W, S |
| Shape | Irregular |

## Multi-Family Sale No. 4 (Cont.)

## Unit Mix

| No. of <br> Units | $\frac{\text { Size SF }}{22}$ | 1,075 | $\frac{\text { Rent/Mo. }}{\$ 700}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Mo. |
| :---: |
| Rent/SF |


| Total Units | 22 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Avg. Unit Size | 1,075 |
| Avg. Rent/Unit | $\$ 700$ |
| Avg. Rent/SF | $\$ 0.65$ |
| Net SF |  |
|  | 23,650 |
| General Physical Data |  |
| No. of Buildings | 4 |
| Construction Type | Siding |
| HVAC | Central Elec/Central Elec |
| Parking | L/0 |
| Stories | 2 |
| Utilities with Rent | None |
| Year Built | $2000 / 2012$ |
| Condition | Good |
|  |  |
| Indicators | $\$ 48.63$ |
| Sale Price/Net SF | $\$ 52,273$ |
| Sale Price/Unit |  |

## Unit Type

2/2.5

Units 22

1,075 $\$ 700$
\$0.65

Total Units
Avg. Unit Size
Avg. Rent/Unit
$\$ 700$
\$0.65
23,650

4
Central Elec/Central Elec
L/0
2
None
2012
\$52,273

## Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl and Blinds

Comparable Sales Chart - As Is

| Sales Analysis Grid |  | Comp 1 | Comp 2 | Comp 3 | Comp 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address | 11 Fox Chase Circle | 150 Chateau Terrace | 1005A Mill Creek Way | 1375 College Station Road | 363 East Jefferson Street |
| City | Greensboro | Athens | Monroe | Athens | Madison |
| State | GA | GA | GA | GA | GA |
| Date | 5/15/2017 | 5/1/2015 | 11/18/2016 | 5/1/2017 | 4/18/2016 |
| Price |  | \$10,000,000 | \$2,800,000 | \$2,550,000 | \$1,150,000 |
| Total No. of Units | 24 | 212 | 67 | 53 | 22 |
| Price per Unit |  | \$47,170 | \$41,791 | \$48,113 | \$52,273 |
| Transaction Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Rights | Fee Simple | Fee Simple 0.0\% | Fee Simple 0.0\% | Fee Simple 0.0\% | Fee Simple 0.0\% |
| Financing | Conventional | Conventional 0.0\% | Conventional 0.0\% | Conventional 0.0\% | Conventional 0.0\% |
| Conditions of Sale | Normal | Normal 0.0\% | Normal 0.0\% | 0.0\% | Normal 0.0\% |
| Adjusted Price per Unit |  | \$47,170 | \$41,791 | \$48,113 | \$52,273 |
| Market Trends Through 05/15/17 |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Adjusted Price per Unit |  | \$47,170 | \$41,791 | \$48,113 | \$52,273 |
| Location \% Adjustment | Average | Superior -5\% | Similar 0\% | Superior $-5 \%$ | Similar 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment | 24 | -\$2,358 | \$0 | -\$2,406 | \$0 |
| Total No. of Units |  | 212 | 67 | 53 | 22 |
| \% Adjustment |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment | 1992 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| YearBuil/Renovated |  | 1968/2008$0 \%$ | 2007 | 1985/2006 | 2000/2012 |
| \% Adjustment |  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment | Average | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Condition/Street Appeal \% Adjustment |  | Superior -10\% | Superior -10\% | Similar 0\% | Superior $-10 \%$ |
| \$ Adjustment |  | -\$4,717 | -\$4,179 | \$0 | -\$5,227 |
| HVAC \% Adjustment | Central Electric/Central Electric | Central Elec/Central Elec 0\% | Central Elec/Central Elec 0\% | PTAC Elec/PTAC Elec 0\% | Central Elec/Central Elec 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment | L/0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking |  | L/0 | L/0 | L/0 | L/0 |
| \% Adjustment |  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment | Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Coat Closet, Patio and Extra Storage | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Amenities |  | Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Balcony, Patio and Laundry Facility | Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Carpet, Cermaic Tile, Blinds, Balcony and Playground | Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer, Dryer, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Ceiling Fans, Vaulted Ceilings, Patio, Meeting Room, Extra Storage and Laundry Facility | Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, <br> Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl and Blinds |
| \% Adjustment |  | 0\% | 0\% | -4\% | 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment |  | \$0 | \$0 | -\$1,925 | \$0 |
| Adjusted Price per Unit |  | \$40,094 | \$37,612 | \$43,783 | \$47,045 |
| Net adjustments |  | -15.0\% | -10.0\% | -9.0\% | -10.0\% |
| Gross adjustments |  | -15.0\% | -10.0\% | -9.0\% | -10.0\% |

Based on the preceding analysis, it is the appraiser's opinion that the market value of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, via the Sales Comparable Approach is as follows:

24 units $\times \$ 42,500$ per unit $=\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 2 0 , 0 0 0}$

Indicated Value = \$1,020,000

## Comparable Sales Explanations \& Value - As Is

| Comp | Address | Date | Price | Price per Unit | Total No. <br> of Units | Year <br> Built/Renovated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 150 Chateau Terrace | $5 / 1 / 2015$ | $\$ 10,000,000$ | $\$ 47,170$ | 212 | $1968 / 2008$ |
| 2 | 1005A Mill Creek Way | $11 / 18 / 2016$ | $\$ 2,800,000$ | $\$ 41,791$ | 67 | 2007 |
| 3 | 1375 College Station <br> Road | $5 / 1 / 2017$ | $\$ 2,550,000$ | $\$ 48,113$ | 53 | $1985 / 2006$ |
| 4 | 363 East Jefferson <br> Street | $4 / 18 / 2016$ | $\$ 1,150,000$ | $\$ 52,273$ | 22 | $2000 / 2012$ |

## Improved Sales Analysis

The sale prices of the comparables range from $\$ 41,791$ to $\$ 52,273$ per unit before adjustments. Attempts were made to find comparable sales properties within the subject's city and market area. However, there were no verifiable sales found within these areas. Therefore, it was necessary to expand the search area in order to find comparables to provide a good basis of comparison. The sales were analyzed in order to estimate their comparability to the subject based on the following characteristics of value.

## Location

The subject is located in Greensboro, Georgia. Comparable 1 is located in Athens. Comparable 2 is located in Monroe. Comparable 3 is located in Athens. Comparable 4 is located in Madison. Although there were slight differences between the subject city and Monroe and Madison, overall there were no differences considered significant enough to warrant an adjustment. However, Athens was considered superior to the subject in location. The following table was utilized to determine appropriate adjustments for differences in location between Greensboro and Athens.

| U.S. Census Bureau St | Greensboro |  | Athens | $\%$ Diff |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 Population | 3,405 |  | 120,905 |
|  | $97.18 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Households | 1,256 |  | 43,356 | $97.10 \%$ |
| Median Home Value | $\$ 77,900$ |  | $\$ 150,300$ | $48.17 \%$ |
| Median Rent | $\$ 627$ |  | $\$ 790$ | $20.63 \%$ |

Athens' population, median income, median home value and median rent are all higher than Greensboro. After considering all factors, an adjustment of 5 percent was considered appropriate for the comparables in Macon.

## Total No. of Units

Size can have an impact on value based on the premise that smaller facilities tend to sell for a higher price per unit than larger facilities. The subject contains 24 units. The number of units of the comparables range from 22 to 212. No adjustments were needed.

## Year Built/Renovated

The subject was built in 1992. It is in average condition. Comparable 1 was built in 1968/2008. Comparable 2 was constructed in 2007. Comparable 3 was built in 1985/2006. Comparable 4 was constructed in 2000/2012. Any necessary adjustment was utilized in the condition/street appeal adjustment.

## Condition/Street Appeal

The subject is currently in average condition for a property of its age. Comparables 3 is similar. The remaining comparables are newer or have been renovated and are considered superior in condition. The comparables were adjusted accordingly.

## HVAC

The subject contains Central Electric/Central Electric heating and cooling. Comparable 1 contains Central Elec/Central Elec heating and cooling. Comparable 2 contains Central Elec/Central Elec heating and cooling. Comparable 3 contains PTAC Elec/PTAC Elec heating and cooling. Comparable 4 contains Central Elec/Central Elec heating and cooling. No adjustment was needed.

## Parking

The subject contains lot parking. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

## Amenities

The subject contains a refrigerator, range/oven, washer/dryer hook-ups, carpet, vinyl, blinds, coat closet, patio and extra storage. Comparable 1 contains a refrigerator, range/oven, garbage disposal, dishwasher, carpet, vinyl, blinds, balcony, patio and laundry facility. Comparable 2 contains a refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, carpet, cermaic tile, blinds, balcony and playground. Comparable 3 contains a refrigerator, range/oven, garbage disposal, dishwasher, washer, dryer, carpet, vinyl, blinds, ceiling fans, vaulted ceilings, patio, meeting room, extra storage and laundry facility. Comparable 4 contains a refrigerator, range/oven, garbage disposal, dishwasher, washer/dryer hook-ups, carpet, vinyl and blinds. Comparable 1 was not adjusted. Comparable 2 was not adjusted. Comparable 3 was adjusted downward four percent. Comparable 4 was not adjusted.

## Summary and Conclusion

The comparables range from $\$ 37,612$ to $\$ 47,045$ per unit after adjustments. All comparables are given consideration. Based on the preceding analysis, it is the appraiser's opinion that the market value of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, via the Sales Comparable Approach is as follows:

24 units $\times \$ 42,500$ per unit $=\$ 1,020,000$
Indicated As Is Market Value $=\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 2 0 , 0 0 0}$

Comparable Sales Chart - As Complete

| Sales Analysis Grid |  | Comp 1 | Comp 2 | Comp 3 | Comp 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address | 11 Fox Chase Circle | 150 Chateau Terrace | 1005A Mill Creek Way | 1375 College Station Road | 363 East Jefferson Street |
| City | Greensboro | Athens | Monroe | Athens | Madison |
| State | GA | GA | GA | GA | GA |
| Date | 5/15/2017 | 5/1/2015 | 11/18/2016 | 5/1/2017 | 4/18/2016 |
| Price |  | \$10,000,000 | \$2,800,000 | \$2,550,000 | \$1,150,000 |
| Total No. of Units | 24 | 212 | 67 | 53 | 22 |
| Price per Unit |  | \$47,170 | \$41,791 | \$48,113 | \$52,273 |
| Transaction Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Rights | Fee Simple | Fee Simple 0.0\% | Fee Simple 0.0\% | Fee Simple 0.0\% | Fee Simple 0.0\% |
| Financing | Conventional | Conventional 0.0\% | Conventional 0.0\% | Conventional 0.0\% | Conventional 0.0\% |
| Conditions of Sale | Normal | Normal 0.0\% | Normal 0.0\% | 0.0\% | Normal 0.0\% |
| Adjusted Price per Unit |  | \$47,170 | \$41,791 | \$48,113 | \$52,273 |
| Market Trends Through 05/15/17 |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Adjusted Price per Unit |  | \$47,170 | \$41,791 | \$48,113 | \$52,273 |
| Location | Average | Superior | Similar | Superior | Similar |
| \% Adjustment |  | -5\% | 0\% | -5\% | 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment | 24 | -\$2,358 | \$0 | -\$2,406 | \$0 |
| Total No. of Units \% Adjustment \$ Adjustment |  | 212 | 67 | 53 | 22 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | 1992/Proposed | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| YearBuilt/Renovated |  | 1968/2008 | 2007 | 1985/2006 | 2000/2012 |
| \% Adjustment |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment | Good | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Condition/Street Appeal |  | Similar | Similar | Inferior | Similar |
| \% Adjustment |  | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment | Central Electric/Central Electric | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,811 | \$0 |
| HVAC <br> \% Adjustment |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Central Elec/Central Elec } \\ & 0 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Central Elec/Central Elec 0\% | PTAC Elec/PTAC Elec 0\% | Central Elec/Central Elec 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment | L/0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking\% Adjustment\$ Adjustment |  | L/0 | L/0 | L/0 | L/0 |
|  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Coat Closet, Patio and Extra Storage | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Amenities |  | Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, <br> Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Balcony, Patio and Laundry Facility | Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Carpet, Cermaic Tile, Blinds, Balcony and Playground | Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer, Dryer, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Ceiling Fans, Vaulted Ceilings, Patio, Meeting Room, Extra Storage and Laundry Facility | Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl and Blinds |
| \% Adjustment |  | 0\% | 0\% | -4\% | 0\% |
| \$ Adjustment |  | \$0 | \$0 | -\$1,925 | \$0 |
| Adjusted Price per Unit |  | \$44,811 | \$41,791 | \$48,594 | \$52,273 |
| Net adjustments |  | -5.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Gross adjustments |  | -5.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% |

Based on the preceding analysis, it is the appraiser's opinion that the market value of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, via the Sales Comparable Approach is as follows:

24 units $\times \$ 47,000$ per unit $=\$ 1,128,000$

Indicated Value = \$1,130,000

## Comparable Sales Explanations \& Value - As Complete

| Comp | Address | Date | Price | Price per Unit | Total No. <br> of Units | Year <br> Built/Renovated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 150 Chateau Terrace | $5 / 1 / 2015$ | $\$ 10,000,000$ | $\$ 47,170$ | 212 | $1969 / 2008$ |
| 2 | 1005A Mill Creek Way | $11 / 18 / 2016$ | $\$ 2,800,000$ | $\$ 41,791$ | 67 | 2007 |
| 3 | 1375 College Station <br> Road | $5 / 1 / 2017$ | $\$ 2,550,000$ | $\$ 48,113$ | 53 | $1985 / 2006$ |
| 4 | 363 East Jefferson <br> Street | $4 / 18 / 2016$ | $\$ 1,150,000$ | $\$ 52,273$ | 22 | $2000 / 2012$ |

## Improved Sales Analysis

The sale prices of the comparables range from $\$ 41,791$ to $\$ 52,273$ per unit before adjustments. Attempts were made to find comparable sales properties within the subject's city and market area. However, there were no verifiable sales found within these areas. Therefore, it was necessary to expand the search area in order to find comparables to provide a good basis of comparison. The sales were analyzed in order to estimate their comparability to the subject based on the following characteristics of value.

## Location

The subject is located in Greensboro, Georgia. Comparable 1 is located in Athens. Comparable 2 is located in Monroe. Comparable 3 is located in Athens. Comparable 4 is located in Madison. Although there were slight differences between the subject city and Monroe and Madison, overall there were no differences considered significant enough to warrant an adjustment. However, Athens was considered superior to the subject in location. The following table was utilized to determine appropriate adjustments for differences in location between Greensboro and Athens.

| U.S. Census Bureau St Greensboro |  | Athens | \% Diff |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3015 Population | 3,405 | 120,905 | $97.18 \%$ |
| Households | 1,256 | 43,356 | $97.10 \%$ |  |
| Median Home Value | $\$ 77,900$ |  | $\$ 150,300$ | $48.17 \%$ |
| Median Rent | $\$ 627$ |  | $\$ 790$ | $20.63 \%$ |

Athen's population, median income, median home value and median rent are all higher than Greensboro. After considering all factors, an adjustment of 5 percent was considered appropriate for the comparables in Macon.

## Total No. of Units

Size can have an impact on value based on the premise that smaller facilities tend to sell for a higher price per unit than larger facilities. The subject contains 24 units. The number of units of the comparables range from 22 to 212. No adjustments were needed.

## Year Built/Renovated

The subject was built in 1992. It is in average condition. Comparable 1 was built in 1968/2008. Comparable 2 was constructed in 2007. Comparable 3 was built in 1985/2006. Comparable 4 was constructed in 2000/2012. Any necessary adjustment was utilized in the condition/street appeal adjustment.

## Condition/Street Appeal

After rehabilitation, the subject will be in good condition. After the subject's rehabilitation, Comparables 1 , 2 and 4 will be similar in condition. Comparable 3 will be inferior and was adjusted accordingly.

## HVAC

The subject contains Central Electric/Central Electric heating and cooling. Comparable 1 contains Central Elec/Central Elec heating and cooling. Comparable 2 contains Central Elec/Central Elec heating and cooling. Comparable 3 contains PTAC Elec/PTAC Elec heating and cooling. Comparable 4 contains Central Elec/Central Elec heating and cooling. No adjustment was needed.

## Parking

The subject contains lot parking. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

## Amenities

The subject contains a refrigerator, range/oven, washer/dryer hook-ups, carpet, vinyl, blinds, coat closet, patio and extra storage. Comparable 1 contains a refrigerator, range/oven, garbage disposal, dishwasher, carpet, vinyl, blinds, balcony, patio and laundry facility. Comparable 2 contains a refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, carpet, cermaic tile, blinds, balcony and playground. Comparable 3 contains a refrigerator, range/oven, garbage disposal, dishwasher, washer, dryer, carpet, vinyl, blinds, ceiling fans, vaulted ceilings, patio, meeting room, extra storage and laundry facility . Comparable 4 contains a refrigerator, range/oven, garbage disposal, dishwasher, washer/dryer hook-ups, carpet, vinyl and blinds. Comparable 1 was not adjusted. Comparable 2 was not adjusted. Comparable 3 was adjusted downward four percent. Comparable 4 was not adjusted.

## Summary and Conclusion

The comparables range from $\$ 41,791$ to $\$ 52,273$ per unit after adjustments. Based on the preceding analysis, it is the appraiser's opinion that the market value of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, via the Sales Comparable Approach is as follows:

> 24 units $\times \$ 47,000$ per unit $=\$ 1,128,000$
> Indicated As Stabilized Market Value $=\$ 1,130,000$

## Restricted Value Determination

The sales comparison approach is applicable but not necessary for a credible appraisal and has not been developed for the restricted value determination. The subject is a Rural Development property with restricted rents. As a result, there are very few similar operating properties in the market area and none that could be confirmed as having sold within the past five years. Research for sales comparables similar to the subject was conducted with local realtors, MLS and CoStar, and none could be confirmed. As per the scope of work for this assignment, the sales comparison approach is not required and was not developed.

## Conclusion of Value

Reconciliation involves the weighing of the three approaches in relation to their importance or their probable influence on the reactions of typical uses and investors in the market. Consideration is given to the quality and quantity of the data available for examination in each approach, to the inherent advantages and disadvantages of each approach, and to the relevancy of each to the subject property.

The Cost Approach considers the current cost of replacing a property, less depreciation from three sources: physical deterioration, functional obsolescence and external obsolescence. A summation of the market value of the land, assumed vacant and the depreciated replacement cost of the improvements provides an indication of the total value of the property. This approach is given less consideration as the validity of this approach decreases as the property's age increases.

The Income Approach is typically used when the real estate is commonly developed, or bought and sold for the anticipated income stream. Income and expense data of similar properties in Greensboro and the surrounding area were used in this analysis. The most weight is accorded to the indication via the Income Comparison Approach in the final value conclusion.

The Sales Comparison Approach is a reflection of the buying and selling public based on physical and/or financial units of comparison. The market for properties similar to the subject has been active in the subject's market area. As was noted in the improved sales analysis, the range of unit values after adjustments was relatively narrow. Quantitative (percentage) adjustments for the differences between the comparables and the subject were made to the comparables.

The indicated value of the subject would best be represented by a value within this range. The data utilized and the value indicated by the three approaches is considered appropriate in estimating the value of the subject property. Weight is given to the Income Comparison Approaches and this value is considered to provide the best indication of value for the subject.

The market value of the fee simple estate, unrestricted or conventional, subject to short-term leases, was determined under the hypothetical condition that the subject was a conventional property and not subject to any rent restrictions.

The "prospective" values upon stabilization of the fee simple estate were determined under the extraordinary assumption that the rehabilitation is completed as detailed in the scope of work and that the proposed rents indicated in the report are approved.

The following values are determined for the Clients and Intended Users:

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Market Value, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), Premised Upon a Hypothetical Condition as-if Conventional Housing, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows.

## ONE MILLION THREE HUNDRED TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS \$1,325,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Market Value, Subject to Restricted Rents, within 7 CFR part 3560.752 (b)(1)(i), as of May 15, 2017, is as follows.

## SIX HUNDRED TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$610,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Prospective Market Value within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), Premised Upon A Hypothetical Condition As-lf Conventional Housing as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

## ONE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS

\$1,520,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Prospective Market Value, Subject to Restricted Rents, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(i), as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

## EIGHT HUNDRED FORTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$845,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the Value of the Interest Credit Subsidy from the Existing USDA RD Section 515 Loan of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:

## THREE HUNDRED TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$320,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the Value of the Interest Credit Subsidy from the Proposed USDA RD Section 515 Loan of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:

## TWO HUNDRED SEVENTY SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$277,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the value of the Low Income Housing Tax Credits, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:

## FIVE HUNDRED NINETY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$595,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 643 square feet one-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:

## FIVE HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS

$\$ 550.00$

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 909 square feet two-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 15 , 2017, is as follows:

## SIX HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE DOLLARS <br> $\$ 685.00$

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 949 square feet three-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows:

## SEVEN HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE DOLLARS <br> \$785.00

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 643 square feet one-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

## SIX HUNDRED DOLLARS <br> $\$ 600.00$

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 909 square feet two-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

## SEVEN HUNDRED THIRTY FIVE DOLLARS

$\$ 735.00$

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 949 square feet three-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

## EIGHT HUNDRED THIRTY FIVE DOLLARS

$\$ 835.00$

The following values are determined for the DCA:

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the market value of the land, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows.

## FORTY FOUR THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$44,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the "As Is" market value of the subject property, subject to market rents, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows.

ONE MILLION THREE HUNDRED TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS
\$1,325,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the "As Is" market value of the subject property, subject to restricted rents, as of May 15, 2017, is as follows.

## SIX HUNDRED TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$610,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the prospective market value upon stabilization - market rents, of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

## ONE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS

\$1,520,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the prospective market value upon stabilization - restricted rents, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

## EIGHT HUNDRED FORTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS <br> \$845,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the prospective market value at loan maturity - market rents, of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

## FOUR MILLION ONE HUNDRED TWENTY EIGHT THOUSAND DOLLARS

$$
\$ 4,128,000.00
$$

## Sources Used

Information used in the appraisal was obtained from various sources including; the U.S. Census Bureau, Nielsen Claritas and Ribbon Demographics, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, interviews with local city and government officials and interviews with local property owners or managers.
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ADDENDUM B

Achievable Rent Analysis

## Estimates of Restricted Rent

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Developmen




Estimates of Restricted Rent by Comparison - As Complete
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Developmen

Office of Housing
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ADDENDUM C

## Rent Roll

Fox Chase I Apartments (130)


## Building: 2



Building: 3

| Unit | Tenant | Move In | Lease End | Description | Potential | Net Rent | Lease | Sq. Ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units with Square Footage Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | Surgick, Stephanie | 11/09/2011 | 10/31/2017 | M3 | \$535.00 | \$86.00 | 86.00 | 949 |
| 52 | Brown, Regina | 08/21/2015 | 08/20/2017 | M2 | \$505.00 | \$505.00 | 505.00 | 909 |
| 53 | Ash, Monica | 02/06/2017 | 02/28/2018 | M2 | \$505.00 | \$505.00 | 505.00 | 909 |
| 54 | NESBITT, QUINITA | 07/01/2009 | 10/31/2017 | M2 | \$505.00 | \$130.00 | 130.00 | 909 |
| 55 | Kendrick, Alexis | 02/26/2016 | 05/31/2017 | M2 | \$505.00 | \$102.00 | 102.00 | 909 |
| 56 | POLLOCK, MARY | 10/10/2008 | 10/09/2017 | M2 | \$505.00 | \$212.00 | 212.00 | 909 |
| 57 | Peek, Latasha | 10/10/2016 | 10/09/2017 | M2 | \$505.00 | \$505.00 | 505.00 | 909 |
| 58 | Porter, Zeporia | 12/30/2015 | 12/31/2017 | M3 | \$535.00 | \$183.00 | 183.00 | 949 |
| ** $=$ Expired Lease |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *MR = Moved out during the report range. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Print | Time: 05/22/2017 |  |  |  |  |  | Page 1 |  |

## Rent Roll

## Fox Chase I Apartments (130)

Report Date: 04/2017
Building: 3

| Unit Tenant |  | Move In | Lease End | Description | Potential | Net Rent | Lease | Sq. Ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units in Building: | 8 |  |  |  | \$4,100.00 | \$2,228.00 | $2,228.00$ |  |
| Occupied Units: | 8 |  |  |  | \$4,100.00 | \$2,228.00 | 2,228.00 |  |
| \% Occupied: | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Units: | 24 |  | Grand | als: | \$12,160.00 | \$7,426.00 | 7,426.00 |  |
| Total Occupied: | 23.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \% Occupied: | 95.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selected Parameters: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Name - Fox Chase I Apartments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent Roll for - 04/2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Show Negative Rents as Zero - True |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sort By Unit - True |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Include Inactive Uni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fox Chase I Apartments
Greensboro, Georgia
0
Expense Year
Dec-14

| Row Labels | Sum of Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| Advertising | \$0 |
| Advertising | \$0 |
| Annual Ancillary Income | \$2,418 |
| Laundry and Vending Revenue | \$221 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue | \$0 |
| Tenant Charges | \$1,972 |
| Application Fees Received | \$225 |
| Annual Gross Potential Rental Income | \$138,720 |
| Rental Income from Current Year Budget | \$138,720 |
| Annual Income (Commercial) | \$0 |
| Rent Revenue - Stores and Commercial | \$0 |
| Decorating | \$1,520 |
| Painting | \$1,520 |
| Elevator Maintenance Expense | \$0 |
| Elevator Maintenance/Contract | \$0 |
| Employee Benefits | \$403 |
| Health Insurance \& Other Emp. Benefits | \$105 |
| Workmen's Compensation | \$299 |
| Employee Payroll Tax | \$1,230 |
| Payroll Taxes | \$1,230 |
| Excluded Expense | \$0 |
| Annual Capital Budget | \$0 |
| Excluded Income | \$136,300 |
| Interest Income | \$0 |
| Other Project Sources | \$0 |
| Rental Income from Current Year Actual | \$84,554 |
| Retained Excess Income | \$0 |
| RHS Rental Assist. Received from Actual | \$51,746 |
| Special Claims Revenue | \$0 |
| Exterminating | \$2,413 |
| Services | \$2,413 |
| Fuel | \$0 |
| Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas) | \$0 |
| Garbage and Trash Removal | \$1,955 |
| Garbage \& Trash Removal | \$1,955 |
| Gas | \$0 |
| Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas) | \$0 |
| Ground Expense | \$3,140 |
| Grounds | \$3,140 |
| Snow Removal | \$0 |
| Insurance | \$4,756 |


| Fidelity Coverage Insurance | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Other Insurance | \$0 |
| Property \& Liability Insurance | \$4,756 |
| Lighting and Miscellaneous Power | \$2,315 |
| Electricity | \$2,315 |
| Management Fee | \$12,638 |
| Management Fee | \$12,638 |
| Misc. Taxes/Licenses | \$134 |
| Other Taxes, Licenses \& Permits | \$134 |
| Special Assessments | \$0 |
| Other Administrative | \$17,664 |
| Legal Expense | \$1,226 |
| Office Furniture \& Equipment | \$597 |
| Office Supplies | \$692 |
| Other Administrative Expenses | \$342 |
| Project Auditing Expense | \$3,680 |
| Project Bookkeeping/Accounting | \$0 |
| Site Management Payroll | \$9,537 |
| Telephone \& Answering Service | \$1,070 |
| Training Expense | \$519 |
| Other Maintenance | \$0 |
| Other Maintenance | \$0 |
| Other Operating | \$5,214 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Supply | \$5,110 |
| Other Operating Expense | \$104 |
| Other Utilities | \$0 |
| Payroll | \$3,962 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Payroll | \$3,962 |
| Personal Property Tax | \$0 |
| Personal Property Taxes | \$0 |
| Real Estate Tax | \$3,396 |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$3,396 |
| Repairs | \$0 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Contract | \$0 |
| Replacement Reserves Releases Included as Expense | \$0 |
| Reserves for Replacement | \$24,020 |
| Transfer to Reserve | \$24,020 |
| Service Coordinator | \$0 |
| Service Coordinator Expenses | \$0 |
| Service Coordinator Income | \$0 |
| Vacancy (Apartments) | -\$2,420 |
| Vacancies - Apartments | -\$2,420 |
| Vacancies - Concessions | \$0 |
| Vacancy (Commercial) | \$0 |
| Vacancies - Stores and Commercial | \$0 |
| Water/Sewer | \$27,146 |
| Sewer | \$14,440 |


| Water <br> (blank) | $\$ 12,706$ <br> $\$ 450,793$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Rental Revenue | $\$ 136,300$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 79,601$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 87,887$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 2,418$ |
| Total Other Revenue | $\$ 138,720$ |
| Total Rent Revenue |  |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 8,287$ |
| Total Taxes and Insurance | $-\$ 2,420$ |
| Total Vacancies |  |
| (blank) | $\mathbf{\$ 8 3 7 , 7 1 8}$ |

Fox Chase I Apartments
Greensboro, Georgia
0
Expense Year
Dec-15

| Row Labels | Sum of Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| Advertising | \$44 |
| Advertising | \$44 |
| Annual Ancillary Income | \$2,949 |
| Laundry and Vending Revenue | \$286 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue | \$0 |
| Tenant Charges | \$2,483 |
| Application Fees Received | \$180 |
| Annual Gross Potential Rental Income | \$140,160 |
| Rental Income from Current Year Budget | \$140,160 |
| Annual Income (Commercial) | \$0 |
| Rent Revenue - Stores and Commercial | \$0 |
| Decorating | \$1,081 |
| Painting | \$1,081 |
| Elevator Maintenance Expense | \$0 |
| Elevator Maintenance/Contract | \$0 |
| Employee Benefits | \$591 |
| Health Insurance \& Other Emp. Benefits | \$169 |
| Workmen's Compensation | \$422 |
| Employee Payroll Tax | \$1,577 |
| Payroll Taxes | \$1,577 |
| Excluded Expense | \$0 |
| Annual Capital Budget | \$0 |
| Excluded Income | \$133,614 |
| Interest Income | \$0 |
| Other Project Sources | \$0 |
| Rental Income from Current Year Actual | \$84,159 |
| Retained Excess Income | \$0 |
| RHS Rental Assist. Received from Actual | \$49,455 |
| Special Claims Revenue | \$0 |
| Exterminating | \$2,544 |
| Services | \$2,544 |
| Fuel | \$0 |
| Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas) | \$0 |
| Garbage and Trash Removal | \$2,042 |
| Garbage \& Trash Removal | \$2,042 |
| Gas | \$0 |
| Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas) | \$0 |
| Ground Expense | \$8,849 |
| Grounds | \$8,849 |
| Snow Removal | \$0 |
| Insurance | \$4,812 |


| Fidelity Coverage Insurance | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Other Insurance | \$0 |
| Property \& Liability Insurance | \$4,812 |
| Lighting and Miscellaneous Power | \$2,401 |
| Electricity | \$2,401 |
| Management Fee | \$12,984 |
| Management Fee | \$12,984 |
| Misc. Taxes/Licenses | \$160 |
| Other Taxes, Licenses \& Permits | \$160 |
| Special Assessments | \$0 |
| Other Administrative | \$17,796 |
| Legal Expense | \$1,158 |
| Office Furniture \& Equipment | \$570 |
| Office Supplies | \$906 |
| Other Administrative Expenses | \$358 |
| Project Auditing Expense | \$3,680 |
| Project Bookkeeping/Accounting | \$0 |
| Site Management Payroll | \$9,479 |
| Telephone \& Answering Service | \$991 |
| Training Expense | \$653 |
| Other Maintenance | \$0 |
| Other Maintenance | \$0 |
| Other Operating | \$7,220 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Supply | \$7,103 |
| Other Operating Expense | \$116 |
| Other Utilities | \$0 |
| Payroll | \$9,239 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Payroll | \$9,239 |
| Personal Property Tax | \$0 |
| Personal Property Taxes | \$0 |
| Real Estate Tax | \$3,470 |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$3,470 |
| Repairs | \$0 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Contract | \$0 |
| Replacement Reserves Releases Included as Expense | \$0 |
| Reserves for Replacement | \$12,620 |
| Transfer to Reserve | \$12,620 |
| Service Coordinator | \$0 |
| Service Coordinator Expenses | \$0 |
| Service Coordinator Income | \$0 |
| Vacancy (Apartments) | -\$6,546 |
| Vacancies - Apartments | -\$6,546 |
| Vacancies - Concessions | \$0 |
| Vacancy (Commercial) | \$0 |
| Vacancies - Stores and Commercial | \$0 |
| Water/Sewer | \$24,885 |
| Sewer | \$12,442 |


| Water <br> (blank) | $\$ 12,442$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Rental Revenue | $\$ 606,126$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 133,614$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 91,251$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 99,693$ |
| Total Other Revenue | $\$ 2,949$ |
| Total Rent Revenue | $\$ 140,160$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 136,563$ |
| Total Taxes and Insurance | $\$ 8,442$ |
| Total Vacancies | $-\$ 6,546$ |
| (blank) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 8 8 , 6 1 6}$ |

Fox Chase I Apartments
Greensboro, Georgia
0
Expense Year
Dec-16

| Row Labels | Sum of Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| Advertising | \$21 |
| Advertising | \$21 |
| Annual Ancillary Income | \$2,687 |
| Laundry and Vending Revenue | \$393 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue | \$0 |
| Tenant Charges | \$1,994 |
| Application Fees Received | \$300 |
| Annual Gross Potential Rental Income | \$141,600 |
| Rental Income from Current Year Budget | \$141,600 |
| Annual Income (Commercial) | \$0 |
| Rent Revenue - Stores and Commercial | \$0 |
| Decorating | \$2,684 |
| Painting | \$2,684 |
| Elevator Maintenance Expense | \$0 |
| Elevator Maintenance/Contract | \$0 |
| Employee Benefits | \$1,642 |
| Health Insurance \& Other Emp. Benefits | \$1,254 |
| Workmen's Compensation | \$388 |
| Employee Payroll Tax | \$1,516 |
| Payroll Taxes | \$1,516 |
| Excluded Expense | \$0 |
| Annual Capital Budget | \$0 |
| Excluded Income | \$134,289 |
| Interest Income | \$0 |
| Other Project Sources | \$0 |
| Rental Income from Current Year Actual | \$80,955 |
| Retained Excess Income | \$0 |
| RHS Rental Assist. Received from Actual | \$53,334 |
| Special Claims Revenue | \$0 |
| Exterminating | \$2,322 |
| Services | \$2,322 |
| Fuel | \$0 |
| Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas) | \$0 |
| Garbage and Trash Removal | \$2,115 |
| Garbage \& Trash Removal | \$2,115 |
| Gas | \$0 |
| Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas) | \$0 |
| Ground Expense | \$7,771 |
| Grounds | \$7,771 |
| Snow Removal | \$0 |
| Insurance | \$4,815 |


| Fidelity Coverage Insurance | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Other Insurance | \$0 |
| Property \& Liability Insurance | \$4,815 |
| Lighting and Miscellaneous Power | \$2,587 |
| Electricity | \$2,587 |
| Management Fee | \$13,090 |
| Management Fee | \$13,090 |
| Misc. Taxes/Licenses | \$259 |
| Other Taxes, Licenses \& Permits | \$259 |
| Special Assessments | \$0 |
| Other Administrative | \$16,067 |
| Legal Expense | -\$1,202 |
| Office Furniture \& Equipment | \$781 |
| Office Supplies | \$1,060 |
| Other Administrative Expenses | \$379 |
| Project Auditing Expense | \$3,880 |
| Project Bookkeeping/Accounting | \$0 |
| Site Management Payroll | \$9,807 |
| Telephone \& Answering Service | \$810 |
| Training Expense | \$552 |
| Other Maintenance | \$0 |
| Other Maintenance | \$0 |
| Other Operating | \$5,605 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Supply | \$5,488 |
| Other Operating Expense | \$116 |
| Other Utilities | \$0 |
| Payroll | \$8,985 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Payroll | \$8,985 |
| Personal Property Tax | \$0 |
| Personal Property Taxes | \$0 |
| Real Estate Tax | \$3,564 |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$3,564 |
| Repairs | \$0 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Contract | \$0 |
| Replacement Reserves Releases Included as Expense | \$0 |
| Reserves for Replacement | \$10,620 |
| Transfer to Reserve | \$10,620 |
| Service Coordinator | \$0 |
| Service Coordinator Expenses | \$0 |
| Service Coordinator Income | \$0 |
| Vacancy (Apartments) | -\$7,311 |
| Vacancies - Apartments | -\$7,311 |
| Vacancies - Concessions | \$0 |
| Vacancy (Commercial) | \$0 |
| Vacancies - Stores and Commercial | \$0 |
| Water/Sewer | \$28,347 |
| Sewer | \$14,174 |


| Water <br> (blank) | $\$ 14,174$ <br> $\$ 611,024$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Rental Revenue <br> Operating Expenses | $\$ 134,289$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 92,753$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 101,391$ |
| Total Other Revenue | $\$ 2,687$ |
| Total Rent Revenue | $\$ 141,600$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 136,976$ |
| Total Taxes and Insurance | $\$ 8,638$ |
| Total Vacancies | $-\$ 7,311$ |
| (blank) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 9 4 , 3 0 0}$ |

Fox Chase I Apartments
Greensboro, Georgia
0
Expense Year Budget

| Row Labels | Sum of Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| Advertising | \$250 |
| Advertising | \$250 |
| Annual Ancillary Income | \$2,900 |
| Application Fees | \$0 |
| Laundry and Vending Revenue | \$400 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue | \$0 |
| Tenant Charges | \$2,500 |
| Annual Gross Potential Rental Income | \$145,920 |
| Rental Income from Current Year Budget | \$145,920 |
| Annual Income (Commercial) | \$0 |
| Rent Revenue - Stores and Commercial | \$0 |
| Decorating | \$2,000 |
| Painting | \$2,000 |
| Elevator Maintenance Expense | \$0 |
| Elevator Maintenance/Contract | \$0 |
| Employee Benefits | \$1,650 |
| Health Insurance \& Other Emp. Benefits | \$1,200 |
| Workmen's Compensation | \$450 |
| Employee Payroll Tax | \$1,600 |
| Payroll Taxes | \$1,600 |
| Excluded Expense | \$0 |
| Annual Capital Budget | \$0 |
| Excluded Income | \$0 |
| Interest Income | \$0 |
| Other Project Sources | \$0 |
| Rental Income from Current Year Actual | \$0 |
| Retained Excess Income | \$0 |
| RHS Rental Assist. Received from Actual | \$0 |
| Special Claims Revenue | \$0 |
| Exterminating | \$2,813 |
| Services | \$2,813 |
| Fuel | \$0 |
| Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas) | \$0 |
| Garbage and Trash Removal | \$2,200 |
| Garbage \& Trash Removal | \$2,200 |
| Gas | \$0 |
| Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas) | \$0 |
| Ground Expense | \$8,200 |
| Grounds | \$8,200 |
| Snow Removal | \$0 |
| Insurance | \$5,478 |


| Fidelity Coverage Insurance | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Other Insurance | \$150 |
| Property \& Liability Insurance | \$5,328 |
| Lighting and Miscellaneous Power | \$3,000 |
| Electricity | \$3,000 |
| Management Fee | \$14,112 |
| Management Fee | \$14,112 |
| Misc. Taxes/Licenses | \$100 |
| Other Taxes, Licenses \& Permits | \$100 |
| Special Assessments | \$0 |
| Other Administrative | \$19,393 |
| Legal Expense | \$500 |
| Office Furniture \& Equipment | \$577 |
| Office Supplies | \$1,500 |
| Other Administrative Expenses | \$300 |
| Project Auditing Expense | \$4,000 |
| Project Bookkeeping/Accounting | \$0 |
| Site Management Payroll | \$10,876 |
| Telephone \& Answering Service | \$1,200 |
| Training Expense | \$440 |
| Other Maintenance | \$0 |
| Other Maintenance | \$0 |
| Other Operating | \$4,600 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Supply | \$4,500 |
| Other Operating Expense | \$100 |
| Other Utilities | \$0 |
| Payroll | \$9,000 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Payroll | \$9,000 |
| Personal Property Tax | \$0 |
| Personal Property Taxes | \$0 |
| Real Estate Tax | \$8,000 |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$8,000 |
| Repairs | \$0 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Contract | \$0 |
| Replacement Reserves Releases Included as Expense | \$0 |
| Reserves for Replacement | \$0 |
| Transfer to Reserve | \$0 |
| Service Coordinator | \$0 |
| Service Coordinator Expenses | \$0 |
| Service Coordinator Income | \$0 |
| Vacancy (Apartments) | -\$7,296 |
| Vacancies - Apartments | -\$7,296 |
| Vacancies - Concessions | \$0 |
| Vacancy (Commercial) | \$0 |
| Vacancies - Stores and Commercial | \$0 |
| Water/Sewer | \$26,400 |
| Sewer | \$13,200 |


| Water <br> (blank) | $\$ 13,200$ <br> $\$ 639,264$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Rental Revenue | $\$ 138,624$ |
| Operating Expenses | $\$ 95,218$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 108,796$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 2,900$ |
| Total Other Revenue | $\$ 145,920$ |
| Total Rent Revenue | $\$ 141,524$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 13,578$ |
| Total Taxes and Insurance | $-\$ 7,296$ |
| Total Vacancies | $\mathbf{\$ 8 8 9 , 5 8 4}$ |

Fox Chase I Apartments
Greensboro, Georgia
0

| Expense Year <br> \# of Months | Dec-17 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Row Labels | Sum of Amount |
| Advertising | \$0 |
| Advertising | \$0 |
| Annual Ancillary Income | \$867 |
| Laundry and Vending Revenue | \$80 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue | \$0 |
| Application Fees | \$15 |
| Tenant Charges/Damages | \$0 |
| Income - Cleaning \& Rep | \$39 |
| Income - Late Fees | \$733 |
| Forfeited Security Deposits | \$0 |
| Annual Gross Potential Rental Income | \$48,640 |
| Rental Income from Current Year Budget | \$48,640 |
| Annual Income (Commercial) | \$0 |
| Rent Revenue - Stores and Commercial | \$0 |
| Decorating | \$0 |
| Unit Turns | \$0 |
| Elevator Maintenance Expense | \$0 |
| Elevator Maintenance/Contract | \$0 |
| Employee Benefits | \$943 |
| Workmen's Compensation | \$481 |
| Group Health Insurance | \$462 |
| Retirement Plan Expense | \$0 |
| Employee Payroll Tax | \$492 |
| Payroll Taxes-FICA | \$429 |
| Unemployment Taxes | \$63 |
| Excluded Income | \$48,186 |
| Retained Excess Income | \$0 |
| Special Claims Revenue | \$0 |
| Rental Income from Current Year Actual | \$29,097 |
| RHS Rental Assist. Received from Actual | \$19,089 |
| Interest Income | \$0 |
| Exterminating | \$1,263 |
| Services | \$1,263 |
| Fuel | \$0 |
| Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas) | \$0 |
| Garbage and Trash Removal | \$751 |
| Garbage \& Trash Removal | \$751 |
| Gas | \$0 |
| Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas) | \$0 |
| Ground Expense | \$2,507 |


| Snow Removal | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Grounds | \$2,507 |
| Insurance | \$4,772 |
| Property \& Liability Insurance | \$4,772 |
| Fidelity Coverage Insurance | \$0 |
| Other Insurance | \$0 |
| Lighting and Miscellaneous Power | \$692 |
| Electricity | \$692 |
| Management Fee | \$4,560 |
| Management Fee | \$4,560 |
| Misc. Taxes/Licenses | \$109 |
| Special Assessments | \$0 |
| Other Taxes, Licenses \& Permits | \$109 |
| Other Administrative | \$9,045 |
| Site Management Payroll | \$3,221 |
| Accounting/Auditing Fees | \$3,680 |
| Project Bookkeeping/Accounting | \$0 |
| Legal Expense | \$146 |
| Telephone | \$227 |
| Office Supplies | \$930 |
| Computer Equipment | \$290 |
| Prospect Screening | \$62 |
| Training Expense | \$35 |
| Bank Charges/Fees | \$24 |
| Postage and Shipping | \$25 |
| Professional Services/Fees | \$300 |
| Travel Expenses | \$100 |
| Late Charges/Fees | \$7 |
| Other Maintenance | \$0 |
| Other Maintenance | \$0 |
| Other Operating | \$1,705 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Supply | \$1,705 |
| Other Operating Expense | \$0 |
| Other Utilities | \$0 |
| Payroll | \$2,832 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Payroll | \$2,832 |
| Personal Property Tax | \$0 |
| Personal Property Taxes | \$0 |
| Real Estate Tax | \$0 |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$0 |
| Repairs | \$0 |
| Maintenance \& Repairs Contract | \$0 |
| Reserves for Replacement | \$5,540 |
| Transfer to Reserve | \$5,540 |
| Service Coordinator | \$0 |
| Service Coordinator Expenses | \$0 |
| Service Coordinator Income | \$0 |


| Vacancy (Apartments) | $-\mathbf{\$ 4 5 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Vacancies - Apartments | $-\$ 454$ |
| Vacancies - Concessions | $\$ 0$ |
| Vacancy (Commercial) | $\$ 0$ |
| Vacancies - Stores and Commercial | $\$ 0$ |
| Water/Sewer | $\$ 11,897$ |
| Sewer | $\$ 5,948$ |
| Water | $\$ 5,948$ |
| (blank) | $\$ 229,424$ |
| Net Rental Revenue | $\$ 48,186$ |
| Operating Expenses |  |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 41,567$ |
| Total Other Revenue | $\$ 867$ |
| Total Rent Revenue | $\$ 48,640$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 49,053$ |
| Total Taxes and Insurance | $\$ 4,881$ |
| Total Vacancies | $-\$ 454$ |
| (blank) |  |
| Subtotal | $\$ 36,685$ |
| Excluded Expense | $\$ 0$ |
| Annual Capital Budget | $\$ 0$ |
| Grand Total | $\$ 373,770$ |


| osition 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Form RD } 3560-7 \\ & \text { (Rev. 05-06) } \end{aligned}$ |  | MULTIPLE FAMILY HOUSING PROJECT BUDGET/ UTILITY ALLOWANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  | FORM APPROVED <br> OMB NO.0575-0189 |
| PROJECT NAME <br> Fox Chase I Apartments |  |  | BORROWER NAME <br> Fox Chase I/Greensboro Prop, L |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { BORROWER ID AND PROJECT NO. } \\ 702732399 & 012\end{array}$ |  |
| Loan/Transfer Amount \$ 742,000.00 |  |  | Note Rate Payment \$ |  |  | 4,924.09 |  | IC Payment \$ 1,583 | 583.17 |
| Reporting Period nnual Quarterly Monthly | Budget Type Initial Regular Report Rent Change SNR Other Servicing | Project Rental Type <br> $\checkmark$ Family <br> $\square$ Elderly <br> $\square$ Congregate <br> $\square$ Group Home Mixed $\square$ LH |  | Profit Type$\square$ ull ProfitLimited ProfitNon-Profit |  | The following utilities are master <br> metered: <br> $\square$ Electricity $\quad \square$ Gas <br> $\square$ Water $\quad \square$ Sewer <br> $\square$ Trash <br> $\square$ Other |  | V I hereby reque 10 units of RA units Borrower A | RA. Current number $\qquad$ <br> unting Method $\square$ Accrual |
| PART I-CASH FLOW STATEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEGINNING DATES>ENDING DATES> |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CURRENT } \\ \text { BUDGET } \\ (01-01-14) \\ (12-31-14) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACTUAL } \\ (01-01-14) \\ (12-31-14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROPOSED } \\ & \text { BUDGET } \\ & (01-01-15) \\ & (12-31-15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMMENTS } \\ & \text { or (YTD) } \\ & (01-01-14) \\ & (12-31-14) \end{aligned}$ |
| OPERATIONAL CASH SOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RENTAL INCOME |  |  |  |  |  | 38,720.00 | 84,554.00 | 140,160.00 | 24 REV PROD |
| 2. RHS RENTAL ASSISTANCE RECEIVED ................... |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51,746.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 225.00 |  |  |
| 4. LAUNDRY AND VENDING $\qquad$ <br> 5. INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |  | 300.00 | 221.37 | 300.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 5. INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |  | 2,000.00 | 1,972.00 | 2,000.00 |  |
| 6. TENANT CHARGES $\qquad$ <br> 7. OTHER - PROJECT SOURCES $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 8. LESS (Vacancy and Contingency Allowance) ................ |  |  |  |  |  | 6,936.00) |  | 7,008.00) | 5\% |
| 9. LESS (Agency Approved Incentive Allowance) $\qquad$ <br> 10. SUB-TOTAL [(1 thru 7) - $(8 \& 9)]$ $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00) |  | 0.00) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 34,084.00 | 138,718.37 | 135,452.00 |  |
| NON-OPERATIONAL CASH SOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. CASH - NON PROJECT $\qquad$ <br> 12. AUTHORIZED LOAN (Non-RHS) $\qquad$ <br> 13. TRANSFER FROM RESERVE $\qquad$ <br> 14. SUB-TOTAL (11 thru 13) $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 12,399.51 | 0.00 | Insurance Proc |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,200.00 | 18,495.42 | 14,460.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,200.00 | 30,894.93 | 14,460.00 |  |
| 15. TOTAL CASH SOURCES $(10+14)$ |  |  |  |  |  | 44,284.00 | 169,613.30 | 149,912.00 |  |
| OPERATIONAL CASH USES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. TOTAL O\&M EXPENSES (From Part II) $\qquad$ <br> 17. RHS DEBT PAYMENT |  |  |  |  |  | 00,953.00 | 87,887.36 | 101,986.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 18,998.00 | 18,997.92 | 18,998.00 |  |
| 17. RHS DEBT PAYMENT $\qquad$ <br> 18. RHS PAYMENT (Overage) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,236.00 |  |  |
| 19. RHS PAYMENT (Late Fee) ........................................ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 20. REDUCTION IN PRIOR YEAR PAYABLES ............... |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 990.00 |  |  |
| 21. TENANT UTILITY PAYMENTS |  |  |  |  |  | 11,620.00 | 24,019.51 | 12,620.00 |  |
| 22. TRANSFER TO RESERVE |  |  |  |  |  | 1,840.00 | 1,840.00 | 1,840.00 | 2013 RTO paid |
| 23. RETURN TO OWNER NP ASSET MANAGEMENT FEE . <br> 24. SUB-TOTAL (16 thru 23) |  |  |  |  |  | 33,411.00 | 134,970.79 | 135,444.00 |  |
| NON-OPERATIONAL CASH USES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25. AUTHORIZED DEBT PAYMENT (Non-RHS) |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 26. ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET (From Part III, Lines 4-6) |  |  |  |  |  | 10,200.00 | 18,495.42 | 14,460.00 |  |
| 27. MISCELLANEOUS .....................................................28.SUB-TOTAL (25 thru 27) ......................... |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.00 | Rounding |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,200.00 | 18,495.41 | 14,460.00 |  |
| . TOTAL CASH USES (24+28) |  |  |  |  |  | 43,611.00 | 153,466.20 | 149,904.00 |  |
| 30. NET CASH (DEFICIT) (15-29) ............................. |  |  |  |  |  | 673.00 | 16,147.10 | 8.00 |  |
| CASH BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31. BEGINNING CASH BALANCE <br> 32. ACCRUAL TO CASH ADJUSTMENT <br> 33. ENDING CASH BALANCE $(30+31+32)$ |  |  |  |  |  | 16,462.37 | 71,833.52 | 17,135.37 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,005.81 |  | Adjust to accru |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 17,135.37 | 88,986.43 | 17,143.37 |  |
| According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0575-0189. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average $21 / 2$ hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PART II-OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE SCHEDULE

| PART II-OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CURRENT } \\ & \text { BUDGET } \end{aligned}$ | ACTUAL | PROPOSED BUDGET | COMMENTS <br> or (YTD) |
| 1. MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS PAYROLL | 8,300.00 | 3,962.24 | 8,300.00 |  |
| 2. MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS SUPPLY ... | 4,000.00 | 5,109.78 | 4,000.00 |  |
| 3. MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS CONTRACT ............ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 4. PAINTING .............................................................. | 3,000.00 | 1,520.29 | 2,000.00 |  |
| 5. SNOW REMOVAL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 6. ELEVATOR MAINTENANCE/CONTRACT ................ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 7. GROUNDS .............................................................. | 3,440.00 | 3,140.00 | 3,440.00 | \$120/M + 2000 |
| 8. SERVICES . | 3,686.00 | 2,412.60 | 2,813.00 | \$87/MPestCon |
| 9. ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET(From Part V-Operating) | 1,950.00 | 0.00 | 1,700.00 |  |
| 10. OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES (Itemize) ................... | 100.00 | 104.41 | 100.00 | UA calc fee |
| 11. SUB-TOTAL MAINT. \& OPERATING (1 thru 10) ........ | 24,476.00 | 16,249.32 | 22,353.00 |  |


| 12. ELECTRICITY | If master metered ... | 2,700.00 | 2,315.28 | 2,700.00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. WATER |  | 13,000.00 | 12,705.74 | 12,500.00 |  |
| 14. SEWER | front. | 13,000.00 | 14,440.38 | 14,000.00 |  |
| 15. FUEL (Oil/Coal/ | as) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 16. GARBAGE \& TR | ASH REMOVAL............................ | 2,000.00 | 1,954.63 | 2,100.00 |  |
| 17. OTHER UTILIT | ES. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 18. SUB-TOTAL UT | ILITIES (12 thru 17) ... | 30,700.00 | 31,416.03 | 31,300.00 |  |

19. SITE MANAGEMENT PAYROLL .......................................
20. MANAGEMENT FEE
21. PROJECT AUDITING EXPENSE
22. PROJECT BOOKKEEPING/ACCOUNTING
23. LEGAL EXPENSES $\qquad$
24. ADVERTISING
25. TELEPHONE \& ANSWERING SERVICE
26. OFFICE SUPPLIES
27. OFFICE FURNITURE \& EQUIPMENT
28. TRAINING EXPENSE
29. HEALTH INS. \& OTHER EMP. BENEFITS
30. PAYROLL TAXES
31. WORKER'S COMPENSATION

OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENS
33. SUB-TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE (19 thru 32)

| $9,048.00$ | $9,537.48$ | $10,324.00$ | $777 / \mathrm{M}(3 \%$ incr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $12,816.00$ | $12,638.00$ | $13,248.00$ | $\$ 46 \times 24 \mathrm{U} \times 12 \mathrm{M}$ |
| $4,000.00$ | $3,680.00$ | $4,000.00$ |  |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 400.00 | $1,226.00$ | 450.00 |  |
| 210.00 | 0.00 | 250.00 |  |
| $1,000.00$ | $1,070.41$ | $1,200.00$ |  |
| $1,610.00$ | 691.55 | $1,500.00$ |  |
| 550.00 | 596.98 | 564.00 | $\$ 31.58 / \mathrm{MComr}$ |
| 437.00 | 519.40 | 437.00 |  |
| 110.00 | 104.60 | 120.00 |  |
| $1,450.00$ | $1,229.95$ | $1,600.00$ |  |
| 400.00 | 298.78 | 450.00 |  |
| 100.00 | 342.33 | 300.00 | Property Tax C |
| $32,131.00$ | $31,935.48$ | $34,443.00$ |  |

34. REAL ESTATE TAXES $\qquad$
35. SPECIALASSESSMENTS
36. OTHER TAXES, LICENSES \& PERMITS
37. PROPERTY \& LIABILITY INSURANCE
38. FIDELITY COVERAGE INSURANCE
39. OTHER INSURANCE
40. SUB-TOTAL TAXES \& INSURANCE ( 34 thru 39)

| $8,500.00$ | $3,396.15$ | $8,600.00$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 100.00 | 134.38 | 100.00 | reg fee |
| $4,896.00$ | $4,756.00$ | $5,040.00$ | $\$ 210 / \mathrm{U}(3 \%$ inc |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 150.00 | 0.00 | 150.00 | $E P L$ |
| $13,646.00$ | $8,286.53$ | $13,890.00$ |  |

41. TOTAL O\&M EXPENSES $(11+18+33+40)$. $\qquad$

| $100,953.00$ | $87,887.36$ | $101,986.00$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| PART III-ACCOUNT BUDGETING/STATUS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CURRENT BUDGET | ACTUAL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PROPOSED } \\ \text { BUDGET } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMMENTS } \\ & \text { or (YTD) } \end{aligned}$ |
| RESERVE ACCOUNT: |  |  |  |  |
| 1. BEGINNING BALANCE | 949.09 | 13.617 .93 | 20.770 .68 | Prop bea buda |
| 2. TRANSFER TO RESERVE ........................................ | 11,620.00 | 24.019.51 | 12.620 .00 | \$635/M + \$5k z |
| TRANSFER FROM RESERVE. |  |  |  |  |
| 3. OPERATINGDEFICIT ............................................ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 4. ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET (Part V-Reserve) ...... | 10,200.00 | 18,495.42 | 14,460.00 |  |
| 5. BUILDING \& EQUIPMENT REPAIR ......................... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 6. OTHER NON-OPERATING EXPENSES ................. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 7. TOTAL (3 thru 6) .................................................. | ( 10,200.00) | ( 18,495.42) | ( 14,460.00) |  |
| 8. ENDING BALANCE [(1+2)-7] ................................. | 2,369.09 | 19,142.02 | 18,930.68 |  |

## GENERAL OPERATING ACCOUNT:*

BEGINNING BALANCE

| $64,929.52$ |
| ---: |
| $77,052.58$ |

$\qquad$

## REAL ESTATE TAX AND INSURANCE ESCROW

## ACCOUNT:*

BEGINNING BALANCE ...............................................
ENDING BALANCE $\qquad$

| $6,904.00$ |
| ---: |
| $11,933.85$ |

$\qquad$

## TENANT SECURITY DEPOSIT ACCOUNT:*

BEGINNING BALANCE $\qquad$

| 5.385 .54 |
| ---: |
| $5,200.54$ |

$\qquad$
(*Complete upon submission of actual expenses.)
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS ON THE WAITING LIST NUMBER OF APPLICANTS NEEDING RA. $\square$

| . .00 |
| :--- |
| 0.00 |

Fox Chase I Apartments

## PART IV-RENT SCHEDULE AND UTILITY ALLOWANCE

A. CURRENT APPROVED RENTS/ UTILITY ALLOWANCE

| UNIT DESCRIPTION |  |  | RENTAL RATES |  |  | POTENTIAL INCOME FROM EACH RATE |  |  | UTILITY <br> ALLOWANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BR SIZE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { TYPE } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER | BASIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOTE } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | HUD | BASIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOTE } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | HUD |  |
| 1 | N | 2 | 440.00 | 567.00 | 0.00 | 10,560.00 | 13,608.00 | 0.00 | 94.00 |
| 2 | N | 18 | 480.00 | 603.00 | 0.00 | 103,680.00 | 130,248.00 | 0.00 | 108.00 |
| 3 | N | 4 | 510.00 | 651.00 | 0.00 | 24,480.00 | 31,248.00 | 0.00 | 121.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CURRENT RENT TOTALS: |  |  |  |  |  | 138,720.00 | 175,104.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | BASIC | NOTE | HUD |  |

B. PROPOSED RENTS - Effective Date: $12 / 31 / 14$

| UNIT DESCRIPTION |  |  | RENTAL RATES |  |  | POTENTIAL INCOME FROM EACH RATE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BR SIZE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { TYPE } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER | BASIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOTE } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | HUD | BASIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOTE } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | HUD |
| 1 | N | 2 | 445.00 | 572.00 | 0.00 | 10,680.00 | 13,728.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | N | 18 | 485.00 | 608.00 | 0.00 | 104,760.00 | 131,328.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | N | 4 | 515.00 | 656.00 | 0.00 | 24,720.00 | 31,488.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PROPOSED RENT TOTALS: |  |  |  |  |  | 140,160.00 | 176,544.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | BASIC | NOTE | HUD |

C. PROPOSED UTILITY ALLOWANCE - Effective Date: $12 / 31 / 14$

MONTHLY DOLLAR ALLOWANCES

| BR SIZE | UNIT TYPE | NUMBER | ELECTRIC | GAS | WATER | SEWER | TRASH | OTHER | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | N | 2 | 94.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 94.00 |
| 2 | N | 18 | 108.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 108.00 |
| 3 | N | 4 | 121.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 121.00 |
| 0 | $*$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | $*$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | $*$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

PART V - ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET


[^7]
## PART VI -- SIGNATURES, DATES AND COMMENTS


#### Abstract

Warning: Section 1001 of Title 18, United States Code provides: "Whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.


IHAVE READ THE ABOVE WARNING STATEMENT AND IHEREBY CERTIFYTHATTHE FOREGOING INFORMATION IS COMPLETEAND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

| (DATE) |
| :--- |
| (Signature of Borrower or Borrower's Representative) |
| AGENCY APPROVAL (Rural Development Approval Official): |
| COMMENTS: |
| Page 1 |
| Line 13. Actual transfers from $1 \%$ reserve were more than budget but included $\$ 13,056$ in fire damage repairs expense that was offset by insurance |
| Line 23. The RTO paid in 2014 was the 2013 RTO. |
| Page 2 |
| Line 11. Actual Maintenance and Operating Expenses were within budget. |
| Line 18. Actual Utilities were 2\% more than budget. |
| Line 33. Actual Administrative Expenses were $5 \%$ more than budget. |
| Line 40. Actual Tax \& Insurance Expense was less than budgeted due to a lower property tax bill than budgeted. |


| Fox Chase I Apartments | - ANNU | L CAPIT | L BUD | T (ADD | NDUM) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current <br> Number of <br> Units/Items | Current from Reserved | YTD from Reserve | Current from Operating | YTD from Operating | YTD Total Cost | Total YTD <br> Units/Items |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 585.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 585.12 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR............................................ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | c |
|  | 2 | 4,000.00 | 4,853.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4,853.54 | 2 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 4BR.......................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Other: ........................................................................ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Cabinets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Kitchens..... } \\ \text { Bathrooms } \\ \\ \text { Other: ....... }\end{array} \\ \text { Doors: } & \end{array}$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Window Coverings: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exterior } . \\ & \text { Interior ... } \\ & \text { Other. ... }\end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| List: ......................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | c |
| Other: ...................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Heating \& Air Conditioning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} & \text { Heating ............ } \\ & \text { Air Conditioning } \\ \text { Other. ............ }\end{array}$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 4.000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  |  | 600.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | c |
| Plumbing: <br> Water Heater . <br> Bath Sinks .. Kitchen Sinks <br> Faucets $\qquad$ <br> Toilets $\qquad$ <br> Other . $\qquad$ | 2 | 600.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Major Electrical: |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Other: $\qquad$ <br> Structures: |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Windows $\qquad$ | 50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 250.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Walls |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Roofing ............................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Exterior Painting ................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Other ............................................. |  | 0.00 | 13,056.76 | 1,700.00 | 0.00 | 13,056.76 |  |
| Paving: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asphalt .................................... |  | 800.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Concrete .................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Other:... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Landscape \& Grounds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landscaping ... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Lawn Equipment .......................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Fencing .................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Recreation Area ........................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Signs ........................................ |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Other: ...................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Accessibility Features: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| List: ............................................. |  | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 |  |
| Other: ......................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automation Equipment: |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Site Management......................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Other: |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| List: ........................................ |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| List:......................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| List: ........................................ |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSES: | 57 | 10,200.00 | 18,495.42 | 1,950.00 | 0.00 | 18,495.42 | 3 |

## EXPENSES:

| 57 | $10,200.00$ | $18,495.42$ | $1,950.00$ | 0.00 | $18,495.42$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
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## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Partners
Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.
(A Limited Partnership)
Valdosta, Georgia

## Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P., (a Limited Partnership), USDA, RD No: 10-066-702732399 which comprises the balance sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of income, partners' (deficit), and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's intermal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness
of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations, partners' (deficit), and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Report on Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinior on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information shown on pages 17-18 and 25-34 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Multi Family Housing Asset Management Handbook issued by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Development, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

## Report Issued in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated February 11, 2016 on our consideration of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting and our test of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.


February 11, 2016

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)
BALANCE SHEETS
DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014


# GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. <br> (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) <br> BALANCE SHEETS <br> DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014 

## LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' (DERICIT)

| Current Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ | 7,705 | \$ | 7,132 |
| Accounts payable |  | 2,990 |  | 4,545 |
| Total Current Liabilities |  | 10,695 |  | 11,677 |
| Deposits and Prepayment Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Tenants' security deposits |  | 5,05I |  | 5,201 |
| Total Deposits and Prepayment Liabilities |  | 5,051 |  | 5,201 |
| Long-Term Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Notes payable, general partners |  | 2,065 |  | 2,065 |
| Mortgage payable, less current maturities |  | 658,799 |  | 666,504 |
| Total Long-Term Liabilities |  | 660,864 |  | 668,569 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 676,610 |  | 685,447 |
| Partners' (Deficit) |  |  |  |  |
| Parmers' (Deficit) |  | $(355,015)$ |  | $(339,444)$ |
| Total Liabilities And Partners' (Deficit) | \$ | 321,595 | \$ | 346,003 |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)
STATEMENTS OF INCOME
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

|  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| Gross potential rental income | \$ | 141,680 | \$ | 140,946 |
| Less: vacancy loss |  | $(8,066)$ |  | $(4,646)$ |
| Net rental income |  | 133,614 |  | 136,300 |
| Other income |  | 2,949 |  | 2,418 |
| Insurance proceeds |  | . |  | 12,400 |
| Total Revenues |  | 136,563 |  | 151,118 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Operating and maintenance |  | 38,973 |  | 34,745 |
| Utilities |  | 30,335 |  | 32,407 |
| Administrative |  | 32,992 |  | 31,935 |
| Taxes and insurance |  | 8,442 |  | 8,286 |
| Total Operating Expenses |  | 110,742 |  | 107,373 |
| Net Operating Income |  | 25,821 |  | 43,745 |
| Non-Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Interest subsidy income |  | $(39,579)$ |  | $(38,855)$ |
| Interest expense |  | 51,957 |  | 52,487 |
| Depreciation |  | 27,174 |  | 27,174 |
| Total Non-Operating Expenses |  | 39,552 |  | 40,806 |
| Net Income (Loss) | $\$$ | (13,731) | \$ | 2,939 |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. <br> (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) <br> STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' (DEFICIT) FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

|  |  | 2015 |  | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limited Partners' (Deficit) - 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, January 1 | \$ | $(321,676)$ | \$ | $(324,005)$ |
| Distributions |  | (600) |  | (600) |
| Net Income (Loss) |  | $(13,686)$ |  | 2,929 |
| Balance, December 31 | \$ | $(335,962)$ | \$ | $(321,676)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| General Partner's (Deficit) |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, January 1 | \$ | $(17,768)$ | \$ | $(16,538)$ |
| Distributions |  | $(1,240)$ |  | $(1,240)$ |
| Net Income (Loss) |  | (45) |  | 10 |
| Balance, December 31 | \$ | $(19,053)$ | \$ | $(17,768)$ |
| Total Partners' (Deficit) |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, January 1 | \$ | $(339,444)$ | \$ | $(340,543)$ |
| Distributions |  | $(1,840)$ |  | $(1,840)$ |
| Net Income (Loss) |  | $(13,731)$ |  | 2,939 |
| Balance, December 31 | \$ | $(355,015)$ | \$ | $(339,444)$ |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

| 2015 |  |  | 2014 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ |  | $(13,731)$ | $\$$ |  |
|  |  | 2,939 |  |  |

Cash Flows From Operating Activities
Net Income (Loss)

| $\$$ | $(13,731)$ | $\$$ | 2,939 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 27,174 |  | 27,174 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 112 |  | $(1,059)$ |  |
| 150 |  | 185 |  |
| $(2,579)$ |  | $(5,524)$ |  |
| $(1,614)$ |  | $(5,030)$ |  |
| $(1,555)$ |  | 2,065 |  |
| $(150)$ |  | $(185)$ |  |

Total Adjustments
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities

| 21,538 | 17,626 |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | 20,565 |

Cash Flows From Financing Activities

| Principal payments on long-term debt | $(7,132)$ | $(6,602)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distributions | $(1,840)$ | ( 1,840 ) |
| Net Cash (Used In) Financing Activities | $(8,972)$ | $(8,442)$ |
| Net Increase (Decrease) In Cash | $(1,165)$ | 12,123 |
| Cash, Beginning Of Year | 77,053 | 64,930 |

Cash, End Of Year
$\xlongequal{\$ \quad 75,888} \xlongequal{\$ \quad 77,053}$

GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.
(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014
2015
2014
Supplemental Disclosures Of Cash Flow Information:
Cash Paid During The Year For:
Interest expense
Less: subsidized portion

Interest paid, net of subsidy

| $\$$ | 51,957 <br> $(39,579)$ |  | $\$$ | 52,487 <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. <br> (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) <br> NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements follows:

## Organization

The partnership known as Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P., was formed as a limited partnership under the laws of the State of Georgia on September 11, 1990, to develop, own and operate a 24 -unit rental housing project for persons of low and moderate income in the community. The partnership agreement was amended and restated, effective September 1, 1991, to admit a new limited partner, Gateway Tax Credit Fund II, Ltd., (a Florida limited partnership), and retain as the general partners, David Brown, William Rea, and Rural Housing Partnership, Inc. Effective January 1, 1999, the general partnership interests of David Brown and William Rea was converted to a limited partnerslip interest (Class B). This project is financed by a RRH Loan from the U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development (USDA, RD), formerly the Farmer's Home Administration and Rural Housing \& Community Development Service, and therefore is regulated by the USDA, RD as to rent charges and operating methods.

Effective August 13, 2010, the partnership agreement was amended to admit Gantt Housing, LLC, as the limited partner and to permit the withdrawal of Gateway Tax Credit Fund II, Ltd., (a Florida limited partnership).

## Basis of Accounting

The financial statements of the partnership are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting, whereby revenues are recognized as earned and expenses are recognized as obligations are incurred.

## Income Taxes

The Partnership is a pass-through entity for incorne tax purposes and, as such, is not subject to income taxes. Rather, all items of taxable income, deductions and tax credits are passed through to and are reported by its owners on their respective income tax returns. The Partnership's federal tax status as a pass-through entity is based on its legal status as a Partnership. Accordingly, the Partnership is not required to take any tax positions in order to qualify as a pass-through entity. The Partnership is required to file and does file tax returns with the Internal Revenue Service and other taxing authorities. Accordingly, these financial statements do not reflect a provision for income taxes and the Partnership has no other tax positions which must be considered for disclosure.

The Partnership is subject to routine audits by taxing jurisdictions; however, there are currently no audits for any tax periods in progress.

# GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. <br> (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) <br> NOTES TO FINANCLAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014 

## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

## Capitalization and Depreciation

Land, buildings and improvements are recorded at cost. Depreciation is provided for in amounts sufficient to relate the cost of depreciable assets to operations over their estimated service lives using the straight-line method. Improvements are capitalized, while expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Upon disposal of depreciable property, the appropriate property accounts are reduced by the related costs and accumulated depreciation. The resulting gains and losses are reflected in the statement of income.

## Use of Estimates

The presentation of financial statements, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## Tenant Receivables

Tenant receivables are recorded at net realizable value consisting of the carrying amount less the allowance for uncollectible accounts, as needed.

The Partnership uses the allowance method to account for uncollectible tenant receivable balances. Under the allowance method, if needed, an estimate of uncollectible tenant balances is made based upon specific account balances that are considered uncollectible. Factors used to establish an allowance include the credit quality of the tenant and whether the balance is significant. Accounts are considered past due once the unpaid balance is 90 days or more outstanding, unless payment terms are extended by contract. When an account balance is past due and attempts have been made to collect the receivable through legal or other means, the amount is considered uncollectible and is written off. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, there was no allowance balance required. Tenant receivables had a balance in the amount of $\$ 1,370$ and $\$ 1,310$, at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

## Mortgage Subsidy

Under an interest credit agreement with the USDA, RD, a mortgage subsidy is provided, thus reducing the interest rate to approximately $1 \%$ over the life of the agreement. The interest subsidy is treated as additional income with interest expense being recorded at the note rate.

## Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were $\$ 44$ and $\$ 0$, respectively.

## GREENSBORO PROPERTEES, LTD, L.P.

(A LMMITED PARTNERSHIP) NOTES TO FINANCLAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

## NOTE 2-RESTRICTED CASH AND ESCROW ACCOUNTS

## Replacement Reserve

|  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual funding required by loan agreement | \$ | 7,420 | \$ | 7,420 |
| Actual funding including interest income | \$ | 12,620 | \$ | 11,620 |
| Insurance proceeds |  | . |  | 12,400 |
| Total funding | \$ | 12,620 | \$ | 24,020 |
| Withdrawals approved by Rural Development |  |  |  |  |
| Operating and maintenance expenses | \$ | 10,041 | \$ | 5,440 |
| Withdrawals funded by insurance proceeds |  | - |  | 13,056 |
| Total withdrawals approved by Rural Development | \$ | 10,041 | \$ | 18,496 |
| Reserve balance at year end | \$ | 21,721 | \$ | 19,142 |
| Fully funded balance per loan agreement |  | $(4,483)$ |  | (1,861) |
| Excess | \$ | 26,204 | \$ | 21,003 |

## Tenant Security Deposits

Security deposits collected from tenants are held in a separate bank account. The account's status at December 31 is:

|  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenant security deposits cash | \$ | 5,051 | \$ | 5,201 |
| Tenant security deposits payable |  | 5,051 |  | 5,201 |
| Excess (Deficit) | \$ | - | \$ | - |

(A LIMOTED PARTNERSHIP) NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

NOTE 2-RESTRICTED CASH AND ESCROW ACCOUNTS (CONTINUED)

## Real Estate Tax and Insurance Escrow

USDA, RD regulations require the Partnership to make monthly appropriations of cash to a tax reserve account to insure that adequate cash is available when taxes are due. The account's status at December 31 is:

|  | 2015 |  |  | 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Real estate tax and insurance escrow | $\$ 13,548$ |  | $\$ 11,934$ |  |
| Accrued or unpaid taxes and insurance |  |  |  |  |
| Excess | $\$ 13,548$ |  | $\$ 11,934$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

NOTE 3 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EOUIPMENT
A summary of the property, plant and equipment is as follows at December 31:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Depreciable } \\ \text { Life } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land |  | \$ | 15,930 | \$ | 15,930 |
| Buildings | 30 Years |  | 815,229 |  | 815,229 |
| Equipment | 10 Years |  | 35,100 |  | 35,100 |
| Total |  |  | 866,259 |  | 866,259 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation |  |  | $(664,637)$ |  | $(637,463)$ |
| Net Property, Plant and Equipment |  | \$ | 201,622 | \$ | 228,796 |

Property, plant and equipment is pledged as collateral on long-term debt. Depreciation expense aggregated $\$ 27,174$ and $\$ 27,174$ for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.
(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

## NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

The following is a list of accounts payable at December 31:

|  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities and telephone | \$ | 1,864 | \$ | 2,395 |
| Office supplies |  | 108 |  | 170 |
| Pest control |  | 261 |  | 87 |
| Repairs and maintenance |  | 757 |  | 1,875 |
| Group health insurance |  | . |  | 8 |
| Late charges |  | - |  | 10 |
|  | \$ | 2,990 | \$ | 4,545 |

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS
Long-term indebtedness consists of the following at December 31:
USDA, RD, made November 3, 1992, $7.75 \%$, due in
599 monthly installments, secured by land, buildings and equipment with an original cost of $\$ 866,259$.
2015

2014
\$ 666,504
\$ 673,636

Less current maturities

|  | $(7,705)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $(7,132)$ |
|  | 658,799 | $\$ \quad 666,504$ |

Management has determined it is not practicable to determine the fair value of the mortgage debt because of the unique terms of the note. It would not be possible to obtain replacement financing under similar terms.

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

## NOTE S - LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS (CONTINUED)

Maturities of long-term debt as of December 31, 2015, for the succeeding five years are as follows:

| Year |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2016 | $\$$ | 7,705 |
| 2017 |  | 8,324 |
| 2018 |  | 8,992 |
| 2019 |  | 9,714 |
| 2020 |  | 10,465 |

Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. incurred interest costs of $\$ 51,957$ and $\$ 52,487$ for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, all of which was properly charged to expense.

## NOTE 6 - RENTAL ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS

USDA, RD has contracted with the Partnership pursuant to Section $521(\mathrm{a})(2)(\mathrm{A})$ of Title V of the Housing Act of 1949 to make housing assistance payments to the Partnership on behalf of qualified tenants. Payments under the contract period ended December 31, are as follows:

|  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rental assistance payments | \$ | 49,455 | \$ | 51,746 |

## NOTE 7-TAXABLE INCOME (LOSS)

The financial statements of the Partnership and the Partnership tax returns are prepared on the accrual basis. The following is a reconciliation between net income (loss) as reported and Partnership income (loss) for tax purposes:

|  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Income (Loss) per financial statement | \$ | $(13,731)$ | \$ | 2,939 |
| Book depreciation in excess of tax depreciation |  | 4,106 |  | 4,106 |
| Partnership Income (Loss) per tax return | \$ | $(9,625)$ | \$ | 7,045 |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. <br> (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) <br> NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

## NOTE 8 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The following is a list of related parties and the nature of the relationship to the Partnership and a description of the transactions between the related parties:

## Investors Management Company, Inc.

Investors Management Company, Inc., an affiliate of the general partner, is the property manager of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. The partnership paid Investors Management Company, Inc. management fees of $\$ 12,984$ and $\$ 12,638$ for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

## Rural Housing Reinsurance Company International Ltd. (C.J Thomas Company, Inc.)

An affiliate of the general partner is also an owner of CJ Thomas Company, Inc., which provides property insurance to Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. The partnership paid CJ Thomas Company, Inc. insurance fees of $\$ 4,812$ and $\$ 4,756$ for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

## Partners

The general partners advanced $\$ 13,488$, as required by USDA, RD, to the partnership to be used solely for the purpose of funding operating deficits during the early years of operations of the project. To the extent these funds were not used for that stated purpose, the partnership could have returned the funds to the general partners only with RD approval. During the year ended December 31, 1999 the partnership repaid $\$ 11,423$ of the advances.

## NOTE 9 -PARTNERSHIP PROFITS, LOSSES AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Profits or losses from operations are allocated $99.67 \%$ to the Limited Partner and $.33 \%$ to the General Partner. Tax credits are to be allocated $99.67 \%$ to the Limited Partner and $.33 \%$ to the General Partner. Profit or loss from sales of property and cash distributions will be allocated as formulated in the Partnership Agreement. Annual distributions to the partners are limited by government regulation to $\$ 1,840$, which is $8 \%$ of the borrowers' initial capital investment required by USDA, RD.

# GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. <br> (A LIMITED PARTNERSEIP) <br> NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014 

## NOTE 10 - CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK - CASH IN BANKS

Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. maintains its cash accounts at one bank in Valdosta, Georgia. Accounts are guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) up to $\$ 250,000$. A summary of the total insured and uninsured cash balances at December 31, consists of the following:

|  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total cash in all banks | \$ | 116,133 | \$ | 113,255 |
| Portion insured by FDIC |  | 116,133 |  | 113,255 |
| Uninsured cash balances | s | - | \$ | - |

## NOTE 11 - COMMTTMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

USDA, RD may terminate the interest subsidy agreement if it determines that no subsidy is necessary or if the Partnership is determined to be in violation of USDA, RD rules or regulations.

## NOTE 12 - IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

FASB ASC 360-10 (formerly FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of LongLived Assets), requires long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used by an entity be reviewed for impairment whenever events of changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable through the estimated undiscounted future cash flows from the use of these assets. Through December 31, 2015, no impairment loss recognition has been required.

## NOTE 13 - CURRENT VULNERABILITY DUE TO CERTAIN CONCENTRATIONS

The Partnership's sole asset is Fox Chase Phase I Apartments. The Partnership's operations are concentrated in the affordable housing real estate market. In addition, the Partnership operates in a heavily regulated environment. The operations of the Partnership are subject to the administrative directives, rules and regulations of federal, state and local regulatory agencies, including, but not limited to, RD and state agencies. Such administrative directives, rules and regulations are subject to change by an act of Congress or an administrative change mandated by RD or state agencies. Such changes may occur with little notice or inadequate funding to pay for the related cost, including additional administrative burden, to comply with a change.

## NOTE 14 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events were evaluated through February 11, 2016, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

## FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

## 1. Management Fee Calculation

The management fee is based on a fee per unit occupied by tenants during the month.
Total Qualified Units (24 * 1 months) 24
Less: Rent Free Units
Vacancies
Total Occupied Units
(1)

Fee Per Unit (Effective January, 2011)
Management fees January, 2015
1,024
Total Qualified Units ( 24 * 11 months) 264
Less: Rent Free Units
Vacancies
Total Occupied Units
Fee Per Unit (Effective February, 2015)
Management fees February through December 2015

## Management Fee Expense

$\$ \quad 12,984$

## 2. Insurance Disclosure

The Partnership maintains Insurance coverage as follows:

|  | Deductible |  | Coverage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Coverage on Buildings | \$ | 1,000 | \$ | 1,860,000 |
| Comprehensive Business Liability |  | - |  | 2,000,000 |
| Fidelity / Employee Dishonesty |  | 5,000 |  | 500,000 |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.

## (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

## 3. Return to Owner

In accordance with the Loan Agreement, the annual return to owner is as follows:

Maximum Return to Owner (See Note 9)

Budget Return to Owner

Return to Owner Paid:
General Partner Distribution
Limited Partner Distribution

| $\$ \quad 1,240$ |  |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | 600 |
| $\$ \quad 1,840$ |  |
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# Independent Auditor's Reporton Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance- 

 and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Audithg StandardsTo the Partners of
Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.
Valdosta, Georgia

USDA Rural Development
Servicing Office
Monroe, Georgia

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P., which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2015, and the related statements of income, changes in partner's (deficit), and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 11, 2016.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Greensboro Properties, Lid, L.P.'s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.


Henderson \& Godbee, LLP
Certified Public Accountants
Valdosta, Georgia
February 11, 2016

## GREENSBORO PROPERTES, LTD, L.P.

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

## Current Year Findings

There were no findings this year.

## Status Of Prior Year Findings

There were no findings in the prior year.

AUDITEE'S COMMENTS ON PRIOR AUDIT RESOLUTION MATTERS RELATED TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

There are no significant unresolved findings from previous annual audits, physical inspections or management review reports during our audit of the 2015 financial statements. There were no compliance findings noted during our audit of the 2015 financial statements relating to physical inspections or management reviews for which the Partnership has not taken corrective action.
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## Independent Accountant's Report on <br> Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

To the Partners of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. Valdosta, Georgia

## And

United States Department of Agriculture
Rural Development
Monroe, Georgia
We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development ("RD") and Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. ("Owner") the owner of Fox Chase Phase I Apartments ("Project") located in Greensboro, Georgia, solely to assist those parties in evaluating that the accompanying Form RD 3560-10, Multiple Family Housing Borrower Balance Sheet, Form RD 3560-7, Multiple Family Housing Project Budget ("Financial Reports") and Supporting Documentation are prepared in accordance with the criteria specified in RD Regulations contained in 7 CFR 3560 Section 303(b) and section 306, in accordance with the determinations noted in Attachment 4-D of RD Handbook HB-2-3560, for the year ended December 31, 2015. The owner is responsible for the presentation of the financial reports. This agreed- upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of RD and the owner. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

1. We examined selected receipts, invoices, and cancelled checks (or checks imaging on original bank statement) that support administrative; and operating and maintenance expenses presented on Form RD 3560-7, Part II, line items 1-10 and 19-32 to determine they were incurred as part of the supporting documentation, based on the sample size determined by RD in Attached 4-D of RD Handbook HB-2-3560, for expenses included in Lines I-10 and 19-32
of Form RD 3560-7 and determined that the services were eligible expenses, in accordance with Attachment 4-A of HB-2-3560, and the shipping address agreed to the project address. In addition, we confirmed a sample of the expenditures with the vendors to determine the invoice paid agreed to the vendor's records.

Findings:

Total Number of Invoices in Population: Approximately 290
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: \$97,758
Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 10
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 8 0}$
Total Number of Invoices in Vendor Confirmation Sample: 1
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Vendor Confirmation Sample: $\$ 504$
Total Number of Vendor Confirmations Not Received: 1
Total Doliar Amount of Vendor Confirmations Not Received: $\$ 504$
Total Number of Deviations: 0
Total Dollar Amount of Deviations: \$0
2. We confirmed the balance in the replacement reserve account presented on Form RD 3560-7, Part III, and confirmed that no amounts were encumbered by the financial institution that holds the accounts. We determined that all balances are within the FDIC insurance limits. We determined number of reserve account withdrawals from the original bank statements and compared the withdrawals to the amounts approved by RD on Form RD 3560-12. We compared the invoices and cancelled checks (or check imaging on original bank statement) to the approved withdrawals from RD.

Findings:
Total Number of Reserve Account Withdrawals: 5
Total Number of Withdrawals Authorized by RD: 5
Total Dollar Amount of Reserve Account Withdrawals: $\$ 10,041$
Total Dollar Amount of Withdrawals Authorized by RD: $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 4 1}$
Total Number of Deviations: 0
Total Dollar Amount of Deviations: $\$ 0$
The confirmation received from the financial institution agreed to the project's bank reconciliation and no encumbrances were noted on the confirmation. All withdrawals were paid to the vendors approved by RD of Form RD 3560-12.
3. We obtained the Identity of Interest (IOI) company listing and Form RD 3560-31 from RD and determined that the services provided and approved fees, if applicable, agree to the actual service and fees charged to the project. We examined a sample of invoices and determined that the services and charges are in accordance with the disclosures contained in Form RD $3560-31$ as agreed to by RD.

Findings:
Total Number of Forms RD 3560-31 Received: 2
Company Name 1: Investor's Management Company, Inc.
Total Dollar Amount for the Year: $\$ 12,984$
Total Number of Invoices in Population: 12
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: $\$ 12,984$
Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 12
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: $\$ 12,984$
Company Name 2: Rural Housing Reinsurance Company International, Ltd.
Total Dollar Amount for the Year: $\$ 4,812$
Total Number of Invoices in Population: 1
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: \$4,812
Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 1
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: \$4,812

The agreed-upon procedures performed above and the Management Representation Letter revealed no undisclosed IOI companies.

We are not engaged to, and did not, conduct an audit of the subject matter, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the agreed-upon procedures, applied to the financial reports and supporting documentation of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the owner and management agent of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P., and Rural Development, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.


February 11, 2016

| PROJECT NAME |  | BORROWER NAME <br> Greensboro Properties, <br> Fox Chase Apartments, Phase I | BORROWER ID AND PRONECT NO. <br> Ld, L.P. | 10-066-702732399 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## NT ASSETS

ASSETS

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75,888 | 77,053 |  |
| 13,548 | 11,934 |  |
| 21,721 | 19,142 |  |
| 5,051 | 5,201 |  |
|  |  |  |
| . |  |  |
| 3,765 | 3.877 |  |
|  |  |  |
| . |  |  |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 为 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| - | - |  |
|  |  |  |
| 119,973 | 117,207 |  |

1. GENERAL OPERATING ACCOUNT
2. R.E. TAX \& INSURANCE ACCOUNT
3. RESERVE ACCOUNT.
4. SECURITY DEPOSIT ACCOUNT
5. OTHER CASH (identify)
6. OTHER (idemify)
ACCTS RCVBL 0-30 DAYS \$
ACCTS RCVBL 30-60 DAYS \$
ACCTS RCVBL 60-90 DAYS $\$$
ACCTS RCVBL OVER 90 DAYS \$
7. LESS: ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS...
8. INVENTORIES (supplies)
9. PREPAYMENTS
10. TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS (Add / thru 11 )

| 13. LAND... | 15,930 | 15,930 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14. BUILDINGS.. | 815,229 | 815,229 |  |
| 15. LESS: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION. | (629,537) | (602,363) |  |
| 16. FURNITURE \& EQUIPMENT. | 35,100 | 35,100 |  |
| 17. LESS: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION. | $(35,100)$ | $(35,100)$ |  |
| 18. |  |  |  |
| 19. TOTAL FIXED ASSETS (Add 13 thru /8) ..... | 201,622 | 228,796 |  |

## FIXED ASSETS

## OTHER ASSETS

| 20. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21. | TOTAL ASSETS (Add 12, 19, and 20) | 321,595 | 346,003 |  |

## LIABILITIES AND OWNERS EOUITY

 CURRENT LIABILITIES22. TOTAL ACCOUNTS PAYABLE (Attach list) ............. ACCTS PAYABLE 0-30 DAYS \$
ACCTS PAYABLE 30-60 DAYS \$
ACCTS PAYABLE 60-90 DAYS \$
ACCTS PAYABLE OVER 90 DAYS \$
23. NOTES PAYABLE (Attach list) ...Deferred Revenue
24. SECURITY DEPOSITS
25. TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES (Add 22 (hin 24)

| 2,990 | 4,545 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% |  |  |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |
| - |  |  |
| ¢ , \% |  |  |
| - | - |  |
| 5,051 | 5,201 |  |
| 8,041 | 9,746 |  |

[^8]

Waraing: Section 1001 of Titc 18, United States Code provides: "Whoever, in any matter within the jurlsdiction of any department or agency of the Ualted States knowingly and willfully falsilies, conceals or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, ficilious or fraudulent stalements or oepresentations, or makes or usiz any false writing or docurnent knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined under this title or imprisaned not more than five years, or both.

I HAVE READ THE ABOVE WARNING STATEMENT AND I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING INFORMATION IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.
(Signature of Borrower or Borrower's Representative)
(Date)
(Title)

## PART II-THIRD PARTY VERIFICATION OF REVIEW

I/We have reviewed the borrower's records. The accompanying balance sheet, and statement of actual budget and income on Form RD 3560-7, is a fair presentation of the borrower's records.

IWe certify that no identity of interest exists between me/us and my individual or organization doing business with the project or borrower.

| (Signature) | (Date) |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Name and Title) |  |

$\square$ In lieu of the above verification and signature, a review completed, dated and signed by a person or firm qualified by license or certification is attached.

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.

## ATTACHMENT TO FORM RD 3560-10

## DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

| Page 25, Line 7 | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Due from Rural Development | \$ | 2,395 | \$ | 2,567 |
| Due from tenants |  | 1,370 |  | 1,310 |
|  | \$ | 3,765 | \$ | 3,877 |

## Page 25, Line 22

| Utilities and telephone | $\$$ | 1,864 | $\$$ | 2,395 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Office supplies |  | 108 |  | 170 |
| Pest control |  | 261 | 87 |  |
| Repairs and maintenance |  | 757 |  | 1,875 |
| Group health insurance | - | 8 |  |  |
| Late charges | - |  | 10 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$$ | 2,990 | $\$$ | 4,545 |
|  |  |  |  |  |



The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Fox Chase I Aparments

| PART II-OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CURRENT } \\ & \text { BUDGET } \end{aligned}$ | ACTUAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROPOSED } \\ & \text { BUDGET } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMMENTS } \\ & \text { or (YTD) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS PAYROLL | 8,300.00 | 9,238.72 | 8,500,00 |  |
| 2. MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS SUPPLY... | 4,000.00 | 7,103.34 | 4,500.00 |  |
| 3. MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS CONTRACT ........... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 4. PAINTING | 2,000.00 | 1,080.88 | 2,100.00 |  |
| 5. SNOW REMOVAL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 6. ELEVATOR MAINTENANCE/CONTRACT ................ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 7. GROUNDS ................................. | 3,440.00 | 8,848.69 | 7,800.00 | \$650M |
| 8. SERVICES | 2,813.00 | 2,544.00 | 2,813.00 | S87MMPestCan' |
| 9. ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET(From Part V-Operating) | 1.700 .00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 10. OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES (ttemize) .................... | 100.00 | 116.19 | 100.00 | UA calc lee |
| 11. SUB-TOTAL MAINT. \& OPERATING (1 thru 10) ........ | 22,353.00 | 28,931.82 | 25,813.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. ELECTRICITY 5 master metered .............................. | 2,700.00 | 2,400.71 | 2,700.00 |  |
| 13. WATER cheek box of............ | 12,500,00 | 12,442.30 | 13,000,00 |  |
| 14. SEWER J front.............................................. | 14,000.00 | 12,442.30 | 13,000.00 |  |
| 15. FUEL (Oil/Coal/Gas) .................. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 16. GARBAGE \& TRASH REMOVAL ............................. | 2.100.00 | 2,041.86 | 2,200.00 |  |
| 17. OTHER UTILITIES................... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 18. SUB-TOTAL UTILITIES (12 thru 17 ) .............. | 31,300.00 | 29,327.17 | 30,800.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 19. SITE MANAGEMENT PAYROLL ............................ | 10,324.00 | 9,478.76 | 10,600.00 | 600) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (3\% inct |
| 20. MANAGEMENT FEE ................ | 13,248.00 | 12,983,50 | 13,536.00 | \$47×24L×12M |
| 21. PROJECT AUDITING EXPENSE | 4,000.00 | 3,680.00 | 4,000,00 |  |
| 22. PROJECT BOOKKEEPING/ACCOUNTING .............. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 23. LEGAL EXPENSES ................................................ | 450.00 | 1,158.49 | 600.00 |  |
| 24. ADVERTISING .................................. | 250.00 | 43.73 | 250.00 |  |
| 25. TELEPHONE \& ANSWERING SERVICE. | 1,200.00 | 980.66 | 1,200.00 |  |
| 26. OFFICE SUPPLIES ............................ | 1,500.00 | 906.04 | 1,500.00 |  |
| 27. OFFICE FURNITURE \& EQUIPMENT ...................... | 564.00 | 570.36 | 578.00 | \$32.58/MComp |
| 28. TRAINING EXPENSE ............................................ | 437.00 | 653.45 | 437.00 |  |
| 29. HEALTH INS. \& OTHER EMP. BENEFITS | 120.00 | 169.07 | 120.00 |  |
| 30. PAYROLL TAXES ................ | 1,600-00 | 1,577.35 | 1,800,00 |  |
| 31. WORKER'S COMPENSATION | 450.00 | 422.36 | 450.00 |  |
| 32. OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (Itemize) ...... | 300.00 | 358.39 | 300.00 | Property Tax C |
| 33. SUB-TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE (19 thru 32) ............ | 34,443.00 | 32,992.16 | 35,371.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 34. REAL ESTATE TAXES | 8.600 .00 | 3,460.55 | 8,600.00 |  |
| 35. SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS ....................................... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 36. OTHER TAXES, LICENSES \& PERMITS................... | 100.00 | 160.47 | 100.00 | reafae |
| 37. PROPERTY \& LIABILITY INSURANCE .................... | 5,040.00 | 4,812.00 | 5.040 .00 | S210JU (3\% ins |
| 38. FIDELITY COVERAGE INSURANCE......... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 39. OTHER INSURANCE .............................................. | 150.00 | 0.00 | 150.00 | EPL |
| 40. SUB-TOTAL TAXES \& INSURANCE (34 thru 39) ....... | 13,880.00 | 8,442.02 | 13,890,00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 41. TOTAL O\&M EXPENSES ( $11+18+33+40$ ) ................. | 101.986.00 | 99,693.17 | 105,974.00 |  |


| PART III-ACCOUNT BUDGETING/STATUS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CURRENT BUDGET | ACTUAL | PROPOSED BUDGET | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMMENTS } \\ & \text { or (YTD) } \end{aligned}$ |
| RESERVEACCOUNT: |  |  |  |  |
| 1. BEGINNING BALANCE ......................................... | 20,770.68 | 19,142.02 | 18,930.68 |  |
| 2. TRANSFER TO RESERVE ....................................... | 12,620.00 | 12,620.00 | 10,620.00 | \$635/M + \$3k |
| TRANSFER FROM RESERVE................................. |  |  |  |  |
| 3. OPERATINGDEFICIT ........................................... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 4. ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET (Part $Y$ - Reserve) ...... | 14,460.00 | 10,040.81 | 17,100.00 |  |
| 5. BUILDING \& EQUIPMENTREPAIR ......................... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 6. OTHER NON-OPERATING EXPENSES ................ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 7. TOTAL (3 thru 6) ................................................ | 14.460.00) | ( 10,040.81) | 17,100.00) |  |
| 8. ENDNG BALANCE [(I+2)-7] ................................ | 18,930.68 | 21,721.21 | 12.450.68 |  |
| GENERAL OPERATING ACCOUNT:* |  |  |  |  |
| BEOINNING BALANCE ...............................................$77.052 .58$ |  |  |  |  |
| ENDING BALANCE .............................................. |  | 75,887.25 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 」 |  |
| REAL ESTATE TAX AND INSURANCE ESCROW ACCOUNT:* |  |  |  |  |
| BEGINNING BALANCE ................................................ |  | 11.933.85 |  |  |
|  |  | 13,548.30 |  |  |
| TENANT SECURITY DEPOSIT ACCOUNT:* |  |  |  |  |
| BEGINNING BALANCE ........................................ |  | 5,200.54 |  |  |
| ENDNG BALANCE .............................................. 5. |  |  |  |  |
| (*Complete upon submission of actual expenses.) |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF APPLLCANTS ON THE WAITING LIST NUMBER OF APPLICANTS NEEDING RA. | $\mathrm{d}_{0} \mathrm{RES}$ | ERVE ACCT. RE | Q. BALANCE..... | 0.00 |

Fox Chase I Aparimenis

| PART IV-RENT SCHEDULE AND UTILITY ALLOWANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. CURRENT APPROVED RENTS/UTILITYALLOWANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNIT DESCRIPTION |  |  | RENTAL RATES |  |  | POTENTIAL INCOME FROM EACH RATE |  |  | UTILITY ALLOWANCE |
| BR SIZE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { TYPE } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER | BASIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOTE } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | HUD | BASIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOTE } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | HUD |  |
| 1 | N | 2 | 445.00 | 572.00 | 0.00 | 10,680.00 | 13,728.00 | 0.00 | 94.00 |
| 2 | N | 18 | 485.00 | 608.00 | 0.00 | 104,760,00 | 131,328.00 | 0.00 | 108.00 |
| 3 | N | 4 | 515.00 | 656.00 | 0.00 | 24,720.00 | 31,488.00 | 0.00 | 121.00 |
| 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CURRENT RENT TOTALS: |  |  |  |  |  | 140,160.00 | 176.544.003 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | BASIC | NOTE | HUD |  |
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PART V - ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET

|  | Proposed Number of Units/items | Proposed from Reserve | Actual from Reserve | Proposed from Operating | Actual from Operating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual Total } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Total Actual Unjtsilems |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appliances: <br> Range $\qquad$ <br> Refrigerator <br> Range Hood. <br> Washers \& D | 2 | 1,200.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 2 | $1,400.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 800.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | $0.0 \overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Carpet \& Vinyl: | 1 | 2.20.0.00 | 2.158.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.158.69 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2,200.00 | 3,958,27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.958.27 | 2 |
|  | 1 | 2,400,00 | 2,398.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,398.85 | 1 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Cabinets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kitchens. | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Bathrooms | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Olher: .......................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Docrs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exterior | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 07 |
| Interior .................................................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Other: ..................................................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Window Coverines: | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.00 | 0 |
| List: $\qquad$ Other: | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Heating \& Air Corditioning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heating ...................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Air Conditioning ........................... | 3 | 6,000,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0 |
| Other: ....-........................ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Plumbing: | 3 | 90000 | 000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Waler Heater .................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Kitchen Sinks .......................................... | 0 | 0.100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Faucels ................................................................. | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Toilcts | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Major Electrical: |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| List: $\qquad$ Other: $\qquad$ | Exy | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Structures: | 0 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Windows | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0 |
| Screens ........................................, |  | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Roofing ....................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Rooing $\qquad$ |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Siding ....................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Exierior Painting $\qquad$ Other |  | 0.00 | 1,525.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 1.525.00 |  |
| Paving: <br> Asphati <br> Concrete <br> Seal \& St <br> Other: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 000 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Landscape \& Grounds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landscaping ................ |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Lawn Equipment w..................................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Fencing ............................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.10 |  |
| Recreation Area ............................ |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Signs ..................................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Other ............................................................ |  | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Accessibility Features: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| List: ............................................ |  | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.001 |  |
| Other: ......................................... |  | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Automation Equipment; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Management .......................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.60 |  |
| Comman Area .,............................. |  | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 |  |
| Otter: .,..................................................... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | Whay |
| Other: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| List: ... |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| List: ............................................ |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| List: .............................................................. |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| TOTAL CAPITAL | 17 | 17,100.00 | 10,040.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10,040.81 | 4 |

## EXPENSES:
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#### Abstract

Warning: Section 1001 of Title 18, United States Code provides: "Whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both


IHAVEREADTHEABOVE WARNINGSTATEMENTANDIHEREBYCERTIFYTHATTHE FOREGOING INFORMATIONIS COMPLETEANDACCURATE TOTHEBESTOFMYKNOWLEDGE.

| (DATE) | (Signature of Borrower or Borrower's Representative) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (Title) |
| AGENCY APPROVAL (Rural Development Approval Official): | DATE: |
| COMMENTS: |  |
| Page 1 <br> Line 13. Actual transfers from $1 \%$ reserve were within budget. |  |
| Line 23. The RTO pald in 2015 was the 2014 RTO. |  |
| Page 2 | - |
| Line 11. Actual Maintenance and Operaling Expenses were $29 \%$ over budget because of higher maintenance supplias and higher landscaping expense than tudgeted. |  |
| Line 18. Actual Ulitilies were within budget. |  |
| Une 33. Actual Administrailve Expenses were within budgel. |  |
| Line 40. Actual Tax \& Insurance Expense was less than budgeted due to | a lower property tax bill than budgeted. |
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## INDEPENDENX AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Partners
Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.
(A Limited Partnership)
Valdosta, Georgia

## Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P., (a Limited Partnership), USDA, RD No: 10-066-702732399 which comprises the balance sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related statements of income, partners' (deficit), and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material mis statement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness
of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the finarcial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of its operations, partners' (deficit), and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Report on Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary infommation shown on pages 17-18 and 25-34 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Multi Family Housing Asset Management Handbook issued by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Development, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

## Report Issued in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated February 10, 2017 on our consideration of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting and our test of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.


February 10, 2017

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.

(A LIMLTED PARTNERSHIP)
BALANCE SHEETS
DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015
$\qquad$
2016
2015
ASSETS

| Current Assets |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 79,909 | \$ | 75,888 |
| Accounts receivable - RD |  | 3,055 |  | 2,395 |
| Accounts receivable - tenants |  | 166 |  | 1,370 |
| Total Current Assets |  | 83,130 |  | 79,653 |
| Restricted Deposits and Funded Reserves |  |  |  |  |
| Escrow-tenants' security deposits |  | 5,091 |  | 5,051 |
| Escrow-replacement reserve |  | 30,007 |  | 21,721 |
| Escrow-tax reserve |  | 12,431 |  | 13,548 |
| Total Restricted Deposits and Funded Reserves |  | 47,529 |  | 40,320 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment |  |  |  |  |
| Property, plant and equipment, at cost |  | 866,259 |  | 866,259 |
| Accumulated depreciation |  | (691,812) |  | $(664,637)$ |
| Net Property, Plant and Equipment |  | 174,447 |  | 201,622 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 305,106 | \$ | 321,595 |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHP) BALANCE SHEETS DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

## LLABMITIES AND PARTNERS' (DEFICIT)

| Current Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ | 8,324 | \$ | 7,705 |
| Accounts payable |  | 3,222 |  | 2,990 |
| Total Current Liabilities |  | 11,546 |  | 10,695 |
| Deposits and Prepayment Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Tenants' security deposits |  | 5,091 |  | 5,051 |
| Total Deposits and Prepayment Liabilities |  | 5,091 |  | 5,051 |
| Long-Term Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Notes payable, general partners |  | 2,065 |  | 2,065 |
| Mortgage payable, less current maturities |  | 650,475 |  | 658,799 |
| Total Long-Term Liabilities |  | 652,540 |  | 660,864 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 669,177 |  | 676,610 |
| Partners' (Deficit) |  |  |  |  |
| Partners' (Deficit) |  | $(364,071)$ |  | $(355,015)$ |
| Total Liabilities And Partners' (Deficit, | \$ | 305,106 | \$ | 321,595 |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSFIP) <br> STATEMENTS OF INCOME <br> FOR TEEE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

|  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| Gross potential rental income | \$ | 143,600 | \$ | 141,680 |
| Less: vacancy loss |  | $(9,311)$ |  | $(8,066)$ |
| Net rental income |  | 134,289 |  | 133,614 |
| Other income |  | 2,687 |  | 2,949 |
| Total Revenues |  | 136,976 |  | 136,563 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Operating and maintenance |  | 29,702 |  | 38,973 |
| Utilities |  | 34,202 |  | 30,335 |
| Administrative |  | 32,335 |  | 32,992 |
| Taxes and insurance |  | 8,638 |  | 8,442 |
| Total Operating Expenses |  | 104,877 |  | 110,742 |
| Net Operating Income |  | 32,099 |  | 25,82] |
| Non-Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Interest subsidy income |  | $(39,243)$ |  | (39,579) |
| Interest expense |  | 51,384 |  | 51,957 |
| Depreciation |  | 27,174 |  | 27,174 |
| Total Non-Operating Expenses |  | 39,315 |  | 39,552 |
| Net (Loss) | \$ | $(7,216)$ | \$ | (13,731) |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHOP) STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' (DEFICIT) FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

| Limited Partners' (Deficit) | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, January 1 | \$ | $(335,962)$ | \$ | $(321,676)$ |
| Distributions |  | (600) |  | (600) |
| Net (Loss) |  | $(7,192)$ |  | $(13,686)$ |
| Balance, December 31 | \$ | $(343,754)$ | \$ | $(335,962)$ |


| General Partner's (Deficit) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, January 1 | \$ | $(19,053)$ | \$ | $(17,768)$ |
| Distributions |  | $(1,240)$ |  | $(1,240)$ |
| Net (Loss) |  | (24) |  | (45) |
| Balance, December 31 | \$ | $(20,317)$ | \$ | $(19,053)$ |

Total Partners' (Deficit)
Balance, January 1
Distributions
Net (Loss)
Balance, December 31

| \$ | $(355,015)$ | \$ | $(339,444)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(1,840)$ |  | $(1,840)$ |
|  | $(7,216)$ |  | $(13,731)$ |
| \$ | $(364,071)$ | \$ | $(355,015)$ |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHP) <br> STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

|  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net (Loss) | \$ | $(7,216)$ | \$ | $(13,731)$ |
| Adjustments To Reconcile Net (Loss) To Net Cash |  |  |  |  |
| Provided By Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation |  | 27,174 |  | 27,174 |
| Changes In Operating Assets And Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable |  | 544 |  | 112 |
| Security deposits |  | (40) |  | 150 |
| Replacement reserve |  | $(8,286)$ |  | $(2,579)$ |
| Tax reserve |  | 1,117 |  | $(1,614)$ |
| Accounts payable |  | 233 |  | $(1,555)$ |
| Tenants' security deposits |  | 40 |  | (150) |
| Total Adjustments |  | 20,782 |  | 21,538 |
| Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities |  | 13,566 |  | 7,807 |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Principal payments on long-tern debt |  | $(7,705)$ |  | $(7,132)$ |
| Distributions |  | $(1,840)$ |  | $(1,840)$ |
| Net Cash (Used In) Financing Activities |  | $(9,545)$ |  | $(8,972)$ |
| Net Increase (Decrease) In Cash |  | 4,021 |  | $(1,165)$ |
| Cash, Begirning Of Year |  | 75,888 |  | 77,053 |
| Cash, End Of Year | \$ | 79,909 | \$ | 75,888 |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTEES, LTD, L.P.

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

|  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplemental Disclosures Of Cash Flow Information: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Paid During The Year For: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest expense | \$ | 51,384 | \$ | 51,957 |
| Less: subsidized portion |  | $(39,243)$ |  | $(39,579)$ |
| Interest paid, net of subsidy | \$ | 12,141 | \$ | 12,378 |

GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.
(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements follows:

## Organization

The partnership known as Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P., was formed as a limited partnership under the laws of the State of Georgia on September 11, 1990, to develop, own and operate a 24 -unit rental housing project for persons of low and moderate income in the community. The partnership agreement was amended and restated, effective September 1, 1991, to admit a new limited partner, Gateway Tax Credit Fund II, Ltd., (a Florida limited partnership), and retain as the general partners, David Brown, William Rea, and Rural Housing Partnership, Inc. Effective January 1, 1999, the general partnership interests of David Brown and William Rea was converted to a limited partnership interest (Class B). This project is financed by a RRH Loan from the U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development (USDA, RD), formerly the Farmer's Home Administration and Rural Housing \& Community Development Service, and therefore is regulated by the USDA, RD as to rent charges and operating methods.

Effective August 13, 2010, the partnership agreement was amended to admit Gantt Housing, LLC, as the limited partner and to permit the withdrawal of Gateway Tax Credit Fund Il, Ldd., (a Florida limited partnership).

## Basis of Accounting

The financial statements of the partnership are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting, whereby revenues are recognized as earned and expenses are recognized as obligations are incurred.

## Income Taxes

The Partnership is a pass-through entity for income tax purposes and, as such, is not subject to income taxes. Rather, all items of taxable income, deductions and tax credits are passed through to and are reported by its owners on their respective income tax returns. The Partnership's federal tax status as a pass-through entity is based on its legal status as a Partnership. Accordingly, the Partnership is not required to take any tax positions in order to qualify as a pass-through entity. The Partnership is required to file and does file tax returns with the Internal Revenue Service and other taxing authorities. Accordingly, these financial statements do not reflect a provision for income taxes and the Partnership has no other tax positions which must be considered for disclosure.

The Partnership is subject to routine audits by taxing jurisdictions; however, there are currently no audits for any tax periods in progress.

# GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.R. 

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

## NOTE 1-SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

## Capitalization and Depreciation

Land, buildings and improvements are recorded at cost. Depreciation is provided for in amounts sufficient to relate the cost of depreciable assets to operations over their estimated service lives using the straight-line method. Improvements are capitalized, while expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Upon disposal of depreciable property, the appropriate property accounts are reduced by the related costs and accumulated depreciation. The resulting gains and losses are reflected in the statement of income.

## Use of Estimates

The presentation of financial statements, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## Tenant Receivables

Tenant receivables are recorded at net realizable value consisting of the carrying amount less the allowance for uncollectible accounts, as needed.

The Partnership uses the allowance method to account for uncollectible tenant receivable balances. Under the allowance method, if needed, an estimate of uncollectible tenant balances is made based upon specific account balances that are considered uncollectible. Factors used to establish an allowance include the credit quality of the tenant and whether the balance is significant. Accounts are considered past due once the unpaid balance is 90 days or more outstanding, unless payment terms are extended by contract. When an account balance is past due and attempts have been made to collect the receivable through legal or other means, the amount is considered uncollectible and is written off. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, there was no allowance balance required. Tenant receivables had a balance in the amount of $\$ 166$ and $\$ 1,370$, at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

## Mortgage Subsidy

Under an interest credit agreement with the USDA, RD, a mortgage subsidy is provided, thus reducing the interest rate to approximately $1 \%$ over the life of the agreement. The interest subsidy is treated as additional income with interest expense being recorded at the note rate.

## Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 were $\$ 2 \mathrm{I}$ and $\$ 44$, respectively.

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) <br> NOTES TO FINANCLAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

## NOTE 2-RESTRICTED CASH AND ESCROW ACCOUNTS

| Replacement Reserve |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| Annual funding required by loan agreement | \$ | 7,420 | \$ | 7,420 |
| Actual funding including interest income | \$ | 10,620 | \$ | 12,620 |
| Withdrawals approved by Rural Development |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve balance at year end | \$ | 30,007 | \$ | 21,721 |
| Fully funded balance per loan agreement |  | 603 |  | $(4,483)$ |
| Excess | \$ | 29,404 | \$ | 26,204 |

## Tenant Security Deposits

Security deposits collected from tenants are held in a separate bank account. The account's status at December 31 is:

|  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenant security deposits cash | \$ | 5,091 | \$ | 5,051 |
| Tenant security deposits payable |  | 5,091 |  | 5,051 |
| Excess (Deficit) | \$ | - | \$ |  |

GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.
(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS POR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

## NOTE 2 - RESTRICTED CASH AND ESCROW ACCOUNTS (CONTINUED)

## Real Estate Tax and Insurance Escrow

USDA, RD regulations require the Partnership to make monthly appropriations of cash to a tax reserve account to insure that adequate cash is available when taxes are due. The account's status at December 31 is:

|  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate tax and insurance escrow | \$ | 12,431 | \$ | 13,548 |
| Accrued or unpaid taxes and insurance |  | - |  | - |
| Excess | \$ | 12,431 | \$ | 13,548 |

## NOTE 3 - PROPERTY PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of the property, plant and equipment is as follows at December 31:

|  | Depreciable Life | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land |  | \$ | 15,930 | \$ | 15,930 |
| Buildings | 30 Years |  | 815,229 |  | 815,229 |
| Equipment | 10 Years |  | 35,100 |  | 35,100 |
| Total |  |  | 866,259 |  | 866,259 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation |  |  | (691,812) |  | (664,637) |
| Net Property, Plant and Equipment |  | \$ | 174,447 | \$ | 201,622 |

Property, plant and equipment is pledged as collateral on long-term debt. Depreciation expense aggregated $\$ 27,174$ and $\$ 27,174$ for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

# GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. 

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015
NOTE 4-ACCOUNTS PAYABLE
The following is a list of accounts payable at December 31:

| - | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities and telephone | \$ | 2,716 | \$ | 1,864 |
| Office supplies |  | 419 |  | 108 |
| Pest control |  | 87 |  | 261 |
| Repairs and maintenance |  | - |  | 757 |
|  | \$ | 3,222 | $\$$ | 2,990 |

## NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS

Long-term indebtedness consists of the following at December 31:
USDA, RD, made November 3, 1992, 7.75\%, due in 599 monthly installments, secured by land, buildings and equipment with an original cost of $\$ 866,259$.
\$ 658,799
\$ 666,504
Less current maturities
$(8,324)$ $(7,705)$
Long-term portion
\$ 650,475
$\$ \quad 658,799$

Management has determined it is not practicable to determine the fair value of the mortgage debt because of the unique terms of the note. It would not be possible to obtain replacement financing under similar terms.

GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

## NOTE 5 -LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS (CONTINUED)

Maturities of long-term debt as of December 31, 2016, for the succeeding five years are as follows:

| Year |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2017 | $\$$ | 8,324 |
| 2018 | 8,992 |  |
| 2019 | 9,714 |  |
| 2020 | 10,495 |  |
| 2021 | 11,337 |  |

Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. incurred interest costs of $\$ 51,384$ and $\$ 51,957$ for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, all of which was properly charged to expense.

## NOTE 6 - RENTAL ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS

USDA, RD has contracted with the Partnership pursuant to Section 521(a)(2)(A) of Title V of the Housing Act of 1949 to make housing assistance payments to the Partnership on behalf of qualified tenants. Payments under the contract period ended December 31, are as follows:

|  | 2016 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$ 3015$ |  |  |
| Rental assistance payments | $\$ \quad 53,344$ |  |  |
|  | $\$ 49,455$ |  |  |

## NOTE 7-TAXABLEINCOME (LOSS)

The financial statements of the Partnership and the Partnership tax returns are prepared on the accrual basis. The following is a reconciliation between net income (loss) as reported and Partnership income (loss) for tax purposes:

|  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net (Loss) per financial statement | \$ | (7,216) | \$ | $(13,731)$ |
| Boak depreciation in excess of tax depreciation |  | 4,106 |  | 4,106 |
| Partnership (Loss) per tax return | \$ | (3,110) | \$ | $(9,625)$ |

## NOTE 8-RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The following is a list of related parties and the nature of the relationship to the Partnership and a description of the transactions between the related parties:

Investors Management Company, Inc.
Investors Management Company, Inc., an affiliate of the general partner, is the property manager of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. The partnership paid Investors Management Company, Inc. management fees of $\$ 13,090$ and $\$ 12,984$ for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

## Rural Housing Reinsurance Company International Ltd. (CJ Thomas Company, Inc.)

An affiliate of the general partner is also an owner of CJ Thomas Company, Inc., which provides property insurance to Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. The partnership paid CJ Thomas Company, Inc. insurance fees of $\$ 4,815$ and $\$ 4,812$ for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

## Partners

The general partners advanced $\$ 13,488$, as required by USDA, RD, to the partnership to te used solely for the purpose of funding operating deficits during the early years of operations of the project. To the extent these funds were not used for that stated purpose, the partnership could have returned the funds to the general partners only with RD approval. During the year ended December 31, 1999 the partnership repaid $\$ 11,423$ of the advances.

## NOTE 9 -PARTNERSHIP PROFITS, LOSSES AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Profits or losses from operations are allocated $99.67 \%$ to the Limited Partner and $.33 \%$ to the General Partner. Tax credits are to be allocated $99.67 \%$ to the Limited Partner and $.33 \%$ to the General Partner. Profit or loss from sales of property and cash distributions will be allocated as fornulated in the Partnership Agreement. Annual distributions to the partmers are limited by government reguation to $\$ 1,840$, which is $8 \%$ of the borrowers' initial capital investment required by USDA, RD.

## NOTE 10 - CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK - CASH IN BANKS

Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. maintains its cash accounts at one bank in Valdosta, Georgia. Accounts are guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) up to $\$ 250,000$. A summary of the total insured and uninsured cash balances at December 31, consists of the following:

|  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total cash in all banks | \$ | 127,363 | \$ | 116,133 |
| Portion insured by FDIC |  | 127,363 |  | 116,133 |
| Uninsured cash balances | \$ | - | \$ | - |

## NOTE 11 - COMMTTMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

USDA, RD may terminate the interest subsidy agreement if it determines that no subsidy is necessary or if the Partnership is determined to be in violation of USDA, RD rules or regulations.

## NOTE 12-IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

FASB ASC 360-10 (formerly FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of LongLived Assets), requires long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used by an entity be reviewed for impairment whenever events of changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable through the estimated undiscounted future cash flows from the use of these assets. Through December 31, 2016, no impairment loss recognition has been required.

## NOTE 13 - CURRENT VULNERABILITY DUE TO CERTAN CONCENTRATIONS

The Partnership's sole asset is Fox Chase Phase I Apartments. The Partnership's operations are concentrated in the affordable housing real estate market. In addition, the Partnership operates in a heavily regulated environment. The operations of the Partnership are subject to the administrative directives, rules and regulations of federal, state and local regulatory agencies, including, but not limited to, RD and state agencies. Such administrative directives, rules and regulations are subject to change by an act of Congress or an administrative change mandated by RD or state agencies. Such changes may occur with little notice or inadequate funding to pay for the related cost, including additional administrative burden, to comply with a change.

## NOTE 14 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events were evaluated through February 10,2017 , which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

# GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. 

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

## FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

## 1. Management Fee Calculation

The management fee is based on a fee per unit occupied by tenants during the month.

2. Insurance Disclosure

The Partnership maintains Insurance coverage as follows:

|  | Deductible |  |  | Coverage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Coverage on Buildings | $\$$ | 1,000 |  | $\$ 1,920,000$ |
| Comprehensive Business Liability |  |  |  | $2,000,000$ |
| Fidelity / Employee Dishonesty |  | 5,000 |  | 500,000 |

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P.

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

## FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

3. Retura to Owner

In accordance with the Loan Agreement, the annual retum to owner is as follows:

| Maximum Return to Owner (See Note 9) | $\$ 1,840$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Budget Return to Owner | $\$ 1,840$ |
| Return to Owner Paid: <br> General Partner Distribution <br> Limited Partner Distribution | $\$ 1,240$ |
|  | $\$ \quad 1,840$ |
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## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

| To the Partners of | USDA Rural Development |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. | Servicing Office |

Valdosta, Georgia
Monroe, Georgia

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P., which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2016, and the related statements of income, changes in partner's (deficit), and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 10, 2017.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.'s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.


Henderson \& Godbee, LLP
Certified Public Accountants
Valdosta, Georgia
February 10, 2017

# GREENSBORO PROPERTIES, LTD, L.P. 

(A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

## Current Xear Findings

There were no findings this year.

## Status Of Prior Year Findings

There were no findings in the prior year.

AUDITEES COMMENTS ON PRIOR AUDIT RESOLUTION MATTERS RELATED TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

There are no significant unresolved findings from previous annual audits, physical inspections or management review reports during our audit of the 2016 financial statements. There were no compliance findings noted during our audit of the 2016 financial statements relating to physical inspections or management reviews for which the Partnership has not taken corrective action.


## Henderson \& Godbee, LLP

Certified Public Accountants and Business Consultants
Nembers of American Institute of Certified Public Accountants - Ceorgia Society of Certified Public Accountants

Robert A. Goddard, Jr., CPA ( $1943-1989$ )
Geratd H. Iftudersom. (P'S
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## Independent Accountant's Report on <br> Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

To the Partners of
Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P.
Valdosta, Georgia
And
United States Department of Agriculture
Rural Development
Monroe, Georgia
We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development ("RD") and Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. ("Owner") the owner of Fox Chase Phase I Apartments ("Project") located in Greensboro, Georgia, solely to assist those parties in evaluating that the accompanying Form RD 3560-10, Multiple Family Housing Borrower Balance Sheet, Form RD 3560-7, Multiple Family Housing Project Budget ("Financial Reports") and Supporting Documentation are prepared in accordance with the criteria specified in RD Regulations contained in 7 CFR 3560 Section 303(b) and section 306, in accordance with the determinations noted in Attachment 4-D of RD Handbook HB-2-3560, for the year ended December 31, 2016. The owner is responsible for the presentation of the financial reports. This agreed- upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of RD and the owner. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

1. We examined selected receipts, invoices, and cancelled checks (or checks imaging on original bank statement) that support administrative; and operating and maintenance expenses presented on Form RD 3560-7, Part II, line items 1-10 and 19-32 to determine they were incurred as part of the supporting documentation, based on the sample size determined by RD in Attached 4-D of RD Handbook HB-2-3560, for expenses included in Lines 1-10 and 19-32
of Form RD 3560-7 and determined that the services were eligible expenses, in accordance with Attachment 4-A of HB-2-3560, and the shipping address agreed to the project address. In addition, we confirmed a sample of the expenditures with the vendors to determine the invoice paid agreed to the vendor's records.

Findings:

Total Number of Invoices in Population: Approximately 270
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: $\$ 91,787$
Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 10
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: \$2,713
Total Number of Invoices in Vendor Confirmation Sample: 1
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Vendor Confirmation Sample: $\$ 769$
Total Number of Vendor Confirmations Not Received: 0
Total Dollar Amount of Vendor Confirmations Not Received: $\$ 0$
Total Number of Deviations: 0
Total Dollar Amount of Deviations: \$0
2. We confirmed the balance in the replacement reserve account presented on Form RD 3560-7, Part III, and confimed that no amounts were encumbered by the financial institution that holds the accounts. We determined that all balances are within the FDIC insurance limits. We determined number of reserve account withdrawals from the original bank statements and compared the withdrawals to the amounts approved by RD on Form RD 3560-12. We compared the invoices and cancelled checks (or check imaging on original bank statement) to the approved withdrawals from RD .

Findings:
Total Number of Reserve Account Withdrawals: 2
Total Number of Withdrawals Authorized by RD: 2
Total Dollar Amount of Reserve Account Withdrawals: \$2,334
Total Dollar Amount of Withdrawals Authorized by RD: $\$ 2,334$
Total Number of Deviations: 0
Total Dollar Amount of Deviations: $\$ 0$
The confirmation received from the financial institution agreed to the project's bank reconciliation and no encumbrances were noted on the confirmation. All withdrawals were paid to the vendors approved by RD of Form RD 3560-12.
3. We obtained the ldentity of Interest (IOI) company listing and Form RD 3560-31 from RD and determined that the services provided and approved fees, if applicable, agree to the actual service and fees charged to the project. We examined a sample of invoices and determined that the services and charges are in accordance with the disclosures contained in Form RD 3560-31 as agreed to by RD.

Findings:
Total Number of Forms RD 3560-31 Received: 2
Company Name 1: Investor's Management Company, Inc.
Total Dollar Amount for the Year: \$13,090
Total Number of Invoices in Population: 12
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: \$13,090
Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 12
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: $\$ 13,090$
Company Name 2: Rural Housing Reinsurance Company International, Ltd.
Total Dollar Amount for the Year: $\$ 4,815$
Total Number of Invoices in Population: 1
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: $\$ 4,815$
Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 1
Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: $\$ 4,815$

The agreed-upon procedures performed above and the Management Representation Letter revealed no undisclosed OOI companies.

We are not engaged to, and did not, conduct an audit of the subject matter, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the agreed-upon procedures, applied to the financial reports and supporting documentation of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the owner and management agent of Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P., and Rural Development, and is not intended to be and should not he used by anyone other than these specified parties.


Henderson \& Godbee, LLP
Certified Public Accountants
Valdosta, Georgia
February 10,2017

| PROJECT NAME <br> Fox Chase Apartments, Phase 1 | BORROWER NAME Greensboro Properties, Ltd, L.P. | BORROWER ID AND PROJECT NO. <br> 10.066-702732399 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CURRENT YEAR | PRIOR YEAR | COMMENTS |
| BEGINNING DATES> | ${ }^{01-01-16}$ | 01-01-15 |  |
|  | 12-31-16 | 12-31-15 |  |

CURRENT ASSETS

1. GENERAL OPERATING ACCOUNT
2. R.E. TAX \& INSURANCE ACCOUNT
3. RESERVE ACCOUNT................
4. OTHER CASH (identify)
5. OTHER (ident(fy)
6. TOTAL ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE (Attach list). ACCTS RCVBL 0-30 DAYS \$ ACCTS RCVBL $30-60$ DAYS $\$$ ACCTS RCVBL $60-90$ DAYS $\$$ ACCTS RCVBL OVER 90 DAYS \$
7. LESS: ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS...
8. NVVENTORIES (supplies)
9. PREPAYMENTS.
10. 

12.TOTAL CURRENT ASS ETS (Add I thru II)

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79,909 | 75,888 |  |
| 12,431 | 13,548 |  |
| 30,007 | 21,721 |  |
| 5,091 | 5,051 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 3,221 | 3,765 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 203ck |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| - | - |  |
|  |  |  |
| -130,659 | 119,973 |  |

FIXED ASSETS
13. LAND.........
14. BUILDINGS
15. LESS: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
16. FURNITURE \& EQUIPMENT.
17. LESS: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION.
18.
19.TOTAL F IXED ASSETS (Add $/ 3 \mathrm{thru} / 8$ )

| 15,930 | 15,930 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 815,229 | 815,229 |  |
| $(656,712)$ | $(629,537)$ |  |
| 35,100 | 35,100 |  |
| $(35,100)$ | $(35,100)$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| 174,447 | 201,622 |  |

## OTHER ASSETS

20. 
21. TOTAL ASSETS (Add 12, 19, and 20)


## LIABILLTIES AND OWNERS EQUITY

## CURRENT LIABILITIES

22. TOTAL ACCOUNTS PAYABLE (Attach Iist) ............ ACCTS PAYABLE 0-30 DAYS \$ ACCTS PAYABLE 30-60 DAYS \$ ACCTS PAYABLE 60-90 DAYS \$ ACCTS PAYABLE OVER 90 DAYS $\$$
23. NOTES PAYABLE (Attach list) ...Deferred Revenue
24. SECURITY DEPOSITS. $\qquad$

| 3,222 | 2,990 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| - - | - |  |
| 5,091 | 5,051 |  |
| 8,313 | 8,041 |  | the thue for revieving instructious, seanching aristing dasa sounces, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and compfeting ond revieving the colltection of information

## LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

26. NOTES PAYABLE RURAL DEVELOPMENT
27. OTHER (Identify) N/P General Partners
28. TOTAL LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Add 26 and 27)

| 658,799 | 666,504 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2,065 | 2,065 |  |
| 660,864 | 668,569 |  |

29. TOTAL LIABILITIES (Add 25 and 28) $\qquad$

| 669,177 | 676,610 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $(364,071)$ | $(355,015)$ |  |

30. OWNER'S EQUITY (Net W orth) (21 minus 29) $\qquad$
31 TOTAL LIABILITIES AND OWNER'S EQUITY (Add 29 and 30)

|  | $305,106 \mid \ldots$ | 321,595 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Warning: Section 1001 of Titte 18, Untied States Code provales: "Whoever, in any matier within the Jurisdiction of any department or agency of the Uniled States knowingly and willfulty falsifies, concenls or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitlous or fraudulena statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or dacument knowing the same to contain any false, firtitious or frandulent statement or entry, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

I HAYE READ THE ABOVE WARNING STATEMENT AND I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING INFORMATION IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.


## PART II-THIRD PARTY YERIFICATION OF REVIEW

I/We have reviewed the borrower's records. The accompanying balance sheet, and statement of actual budget and income on Form RD 3560-7, is a fair presentation of the borrower's records.

I/We certify that no identity of interest exists between me/us and my individual or organization doing business with the project or borrower.
(Signature)
(Name and Title)
(Address)
$\square$ In lieu of the above verification and signature, a review completed, dated and signed by a person or firm qualified by license or certification is attached.

## GREENSBORO PROPERTIUSS, LTD, L.P. <br> ATTACHMENT TO FORM RD 3560-10

DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

| Page 25, Line 7 | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Due from Rural Development | \$ | 3,055 | \$ | 2,395 |
| Due from tenants |  | 166 |  | 1,370 |
|  | \$ | 3,221 | \$ | 3,765 |
| Page 25, Line 22 |  |  |  |  |
| Utilities and telephone | \$ | 2,716 | \$ | 1,864 |
| Office supplies |  | 419 |  | 108 |
| Pest control |  | 87 |  | 261 |
| Repairs and maintenance |  | - |  | 757 |
|  | \$ | 3,222 | \$ | 2,990 |



Fox Chase I Apariments

| PART II-OPERATINGAND MAINTENANCE EXPIENSE SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CURRENT } \\ \text { BUDGET } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ACTUAL | PROPOSED BUDGET | COMMENTS or (YTD) |
| 1. MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS PAYROLL | 8,500.00 | 8,985.42 | 9,000.00 |  |
| 2. MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS SUPPLY... | 4,500.00 | 5,488.42 | 4,500.00 |  |
| 3. MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS CONTRACT ........... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 4. PAINTING ....... | 2,100.00 | 2,684.20 | 2,000.00 |  |
| 5. SNOW REMOVAL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 6. ELEVATOR MAINTENANCE/CONTRACT ............... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 7. GROUNDS .............................................................. | 7,800,00 | 7,771.00 | 8,200.00 | \$600/M + \$100 |
| 8. SERVICES | 2,813,00 | 2,322.10 | 2.813.00 | \$B7/MPesicon |
| 9. ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET(From Part V-Operating) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 10. OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES (Itemize) .................... | 100.00 | 116.19 | 100.00 | UA catc fee |
| 11. SUB-TOTAL MAINT. \& OPERATING (1 thru 10) ........ | 25,813,00 | 27,367.33 | 26,613.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. ELECTRICITY If naster netered | 2,700.00 | 2,58e.85 | 3,000.00 |  |
| 13. WATER check box on..................................... | 13,000.00 | 14,173.58 | 13,200.00 |  |
| 14. SEWER front. | 13,000,00 | 14,173.58 | 13,200.00 |  |
| 15. FUEL (Oil/Coal/Gas) .................................................................................... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 16. GARBAGE \& TRASH REMOVAL............................ | 2.200 .00 | 2,114.72 | 2,200.00 |  |
| 17. OTHER UTILITIES................................................ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 18. SUB-TOTAL UTILITIES (I2 thru I7) ......................... | 30,900.00 | 33,048,73 | 31,600.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 19. SITE MANAGEMENT PAYROLL | 10,600.00 | 9,807,12 | 10,876.00 | 823/M $13 \%$ Incr |
| 20. MANAGEMENT FEE .................................................................... | 13,536.00 | 13,080.00 | 14,112.00 | \$49×24U×12M |
| 21. PROJECT AUDITING EXPENSE ....................................................................... | 4,000,00 | 3,880.00 | 4,000.00 |  |
| 22. PROJECT BOOKKEEPING/ACCOUNTING .............. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 23. LEGAL EXPENSES ................................................ | 600.00 | -1,202.00 | 500.00 |  |
| 24. ADVERTISING ....... | 250.00 | 21.00 | 250.00 |  |
| 25. TELEPHONE \& ANSWERING SERVICE ........................................................... | 1,200.00 | 810.00 | 1,200.00 |  |
| 26. OFFICE SUPPLIES .......................... | 1,500.00 | 1,060.31 | 1,500.00 |  |
| 27. OFFICE FURNITURE \& EQUIPMENT ............................ | 578.00 | 780.88 | 577.00 | \$32.58/MComF. |
| 28. TRAINING EXPENSE ............................................................... | 437.00 | 552.37 | 440.00 |  |
| 29. HEALTH INS. \& OTHER EMP. BENEFITS ................. | 120.00 | 1,254.27 | 1,200.00 |  |
| 30. PAYROLL TAXES | 1,800.00 | 1,516.00 | 1,600.00 |  |
| 31. WORKER'S COMPENSATION ........................................................................... | 450.00 | 387.87 | 450.00 |  |
| 32. OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (Itemize) ...... | 300.00 | 378.66 | 300.00 | Properly Tax C |
| 33. SUB-TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE (19 thru 32) ............ | 35,371.00 | 32,336.48 | 37,005.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 34. REAL ESTATE TAXES . | 8,600.00 | 3,564.38 | 8,000.00 |  |
| 35. SPECIALASSESSMENTS ......................................... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 36. OTHER TAXES, LICENSES \& PERMITS...................................................... | 100.00 | 259.03 | 100.00 | ref fae |
| 37. PROPERTY \& LIABILITY INSURANCE ........................ | 5,040.00 | 4,815.00 | 5,328.00 | \$222斤U (3\% ins |
| 38. FIDELITY COVERAGE INSURANCE....................... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 39. OTHER INSURANCE .............................................. | 150.00 | 0.00 | 150.00 | EPL |
| 40. SUB-TOTAL TAXES \& INSURANCE (34 thru 39) ........ | 13,850.00 | 8,638.41 | 13,578.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 41. TOTAL O\&M EXPENSES ( $11+18+33+40)$................. | 105,974.00 | 101,390,95 | 108,796.00 |  |


| PART III-ACCOUNT BUDGETING/STATUS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CURRENT BUDGET | ACTUAL | PROPOSED BUDGET | COMMENTS or (YTD) |
| RESERVEACCOINT: |  |  |  |  |
| 1. BEGINNING BALANCE | 18.930.68 | 21.721.21 | 12,450.68 |  |
| 2. TRANSFER TO RESERVE.. | 10.620.00 | 10,620.00 | 10,620.00 | \$635/M + \$3k |
| TRANSFER FROM RESERVE.. |  |  |  | \%-5\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 3. OPERATING DEFICIT ....... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 4. ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET (Part $V$-Reserve) ...... | 17,100.00 | 2,334.30 | 21,100.00 |  |
| 5. BUILDING \& EQUIPMENTREPARR ......................... | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 6. OTHER NON-OPERATING EXPENSES ................ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 7. TOTAL (3 thru 6) | ( 17,100.00) | ( 2,334.30) | ( 21,100.00) |  |
| 8. ENDING BALANCE [( $1+2$-7] ................................. | 12,450.68 | 30.006.91 | 1,970.68 |  |
| GENERAL OPLRATING ACCOUNT:* |  |  |  |  |
| BEGINNING BALANCE .......................................... |  | 75.887.25 |  |  |
| ENDING BALANCE ............................................... |  | 79,908.76 |  |  |
| REALESTATE TAX AND INSURANCE ESCROW ACCOUNT;* |  |  |  |  |
| BEGINNING BALANCE $\qquad$ ENDING BALANCE $\qquad$ |  | 13,548.30 |  |  |
|  |  | 12,430.92 |  |  |
| TENANT SECURITY DEPOSIT ACCOUNT:* |  |  |  |  |
| BEGINNING BALANCE ......................................... |  | 5,050.54 |  |  |
| ENDING BALANCE ............................................... |  | 5,050.54 |  |  |
| (*Complete upon submission of actual expenses.) |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF APPLICANTS ON THE WAITING LIST NUMBER OF APPLICANTS NEEDING RA | ${ }_{0}^{0} \mathrm{RES}$ | ERVE ACCT. REQ MOUNT AHEAD/ | B. BALANCE..... | 0.00 |

## PART IV-RENT SCHEDULE AND UTILITYALLOWANCE

| UNIT DESCRIPTION |  |  | RENTAL RATES |  |  | POTENTIAL INCOME FROM EACH RATE |  |  | UTILITY ALLOWANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BR SIZE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { TYPE } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER | BASIC | NOTE RATE | HUD | BASIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOTE } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | HUD |  |
| 1 | N | 2 | 450.00 | 577.00 | 0.00 | 10,800.00 | 13,848.00 | 0.00 | 94.00 |
| 2 | N | 18 | 480.00 | 613.00 | 0.00 | 105,840.00 | 132,408,00 | 0.00 | 121.00 |
| 3 | N | 4 | 520.00 | 661.00 | 0.00 | 24,930.00 | 31.728.00 | 0.00 | 131.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CURRENT RENT TOTALS: |  |  |  |  |  | 141,600,00 | 177,984.00, | 0.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | BASIC | NOTE | HUD |  |


| B. PROPOSED RENTS |  |  | ctive Dat | 12/31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RENTALRATES |  |  | POTENTIAL INCOME FROM EACH RATE |  |  |
| $\underline{\text { BR SIZE }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { TYPE } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER | BASIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOTE } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | HUD | BASIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOTE } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | HUD |
| 1 | N | 2 | 465.00 | 592.00 | 0.00 | 11,160.00 | 14,208.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | N | 18 | 505.00 | 628.00 | 0.00 | 109,080.00 | 135,648.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | N | 4 | 535.00 | 676.00 | 0.00 | 25,680.00 | 32,448.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PROPOSED RENT TOTALS: |  |  |  |  |  | 145,920.00 | 182,304.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | BASIC | NOTE | HUD |


| Effective Date: 12 / $31 / 16$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MONTHLY DOLLAR ALLOWANCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR SIZE | UNTT TYPE | NUMBER | ELECTRIC | GAS | WATER | SEWER | TRASH | OTHER | TOTAL |
| 1 | $N$ | 2 | 94.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 94.00 |
| 2 | N | 18 | 121.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 121.00 |
| 3 | N | 4 | 131.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 131.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
| 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

PART V-ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET
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## PART VI -- SIGNATURES, DATES AND COMMENTS

Warning: Section 1001 of Title 18, United States Code provides: "Whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined under this titie or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

IHAVE READ THEABOVE WARNING STATEMENTANDI HEREBYCERTIFYTHATTHE FOREGOING INFORMATION IS COMPLETEANDACCURATETO THE BEST OFMYKNOWLEDGE.

| (DATE) |
| :--- |
| (Signature of Borrower or Borrower's Representative) |
| AGENCY APPROVAL /Rural Development Approval Official): |
| COMMENTS: |
| Page 1 |
| Line 13. Actual transfers trom $1 \%$ reserve were within budget. |
| Line 23. The RTO paid $\ln 2016$ was the 2015 RTO. |
| Page 2 |

Line 11. Aclual Maintenance and Operating Expenses were $6 \%$ over budget.
Line 18. Actuat Utillies were $7 \%$ over budget.
Line 33. Actual Administrative Expenses were within budget.
Line 40. Actual Tax \& Insurance Expense was tess than budgeted due to a lower property tax bill then budgeled.

| Fox Chase I Apartments | - ANNUA | L CAPIT | L BUDG | T (AD) | NDUM) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current <br> Number of <br> Unitsflems | Current from Reserved | YTD from Reserve | Current from Oparating | YTD from Operaling | YTD Total Cosl | Total YTD Unitsfitems |
| Appliances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Range ........................................ | 2 | 1,200,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Refrigcrator .................................. | 4 | 800.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Renge Hood..... | $\overline{0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Wastiers \& Dryers ................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Carpet \& Vinyl: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2,200.c0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 2,400.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Cabinets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kitchens ..................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Bathrooms ................................. | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Other: ........................................ | 0 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Doors: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exterior ..................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Interior ........................................ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Other: .-........................................ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Window Coverings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| List: .......................................... | 0 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Other: ............................ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Heating \& Air Conditioning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heating .................................... | 0 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Air Conditioning ......................... | 3 | 6,000.00 | 1,209,30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,209,30 | 1 |
| Other . ......................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Plumbing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Heater $\qquad$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Kitclien Sinks ..................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Faucets ........................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Toilets ......................................................... | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Other .............................................................. | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Major Electrical: ${ }_{\text {a }}$ (ist..................................... |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & \hline 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & \hline 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| List: $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 -0 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - 5 - ${ }^{0}$ |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.80 |  |
|  |  | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & \hline 0.00 \\ & \hline 0.00 \\ & \hline 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 - |  |
|  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - |  |
| Landscaping $\qquad$ Lawn Equipment $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 |  |
| Lawn Equipment $\qquad$ <br> Fencing $\qquad$ <br> Recreation Area $\qquad$ <br> Signs. $\qquad$ <br> Other: $\qquad$ |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}$ |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 1,125.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,125.00 |  |
|  |  | 0.00 | 1,125.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,125-00 |  |
| Accessibility Featurcs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| List: $\qquad$ <br> Other: |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ \hline 0.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0.00}{0.00}{ }^{2}$ |  |
| Automation Equipment: |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ \hline 0.00 \\ \hline 0.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ \frac{0.00}{0.00} \end{array}$ | 0.00 0.00 <br> 0.00 0.00 <br> 0.00 0.00 |  |  |
| Site Management ........................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Arca ...................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other: ................................................................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & \hline 0.00 \\ & \hline 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & \hline 0.00 \\ & \hline 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & \hline 0.00 \\ & \hline 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSES: | 17 $17,100.00$ |  | 2,334.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,334.30 | 1 |

EXPENSES:
Yardi Classic Addencum Page
Balance Sheet (Cash)
Fox Chase I-(130)
April 2017

April 2017
CURRENT ASSETS

| CASH |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1010.0 Petty Cash | 75.00 |
| 1020.0 CDA-Checking | 860.36 |
| 1021.0 Operating-Checking | 78,581.75 |
| 1030.0 Tax \& Insurance Reserve | 8,058.92 |
| 1040.0 Replacement Reserve | 24,431.55 |
| TOTAL CASH | 112,007.58 |
| 1130.0 A/R Tenants | 166.00 |
| 1140.0 A/R Rents/FMHA Receivable | 3,054.84 |
| FIXED ASSETS |  |
| 2010.0 Land | 15,930.00 |
| 2030.0 Buildings | 815,229.00 |
| 2050.0 Equipment | 35,100.00 |
| 2110.0 Accumulated Depreciation-Buildings | -656,711.65 |
| 2120.0 Accumulated Depreciation-Furniture \& Fixt | -35,100.00 |
| TOTAL FIXED ASSETS | 174,447.35 |
| OTHER ASSETS |  |
| 2510.0 Security Deposits-Checking | 5,240.54 |
| TOTAL OTHER ASSETS | 5,240.54 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | 294,916.31 |
| LIABILITIES \& CAPITAL CURRENT LIABILITIES |  |
| 3021.0 Security Deposits Payable | 5,240.54 |
| 3028.0 Accounts Payable | 3,222.25 |
| TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES | 8,462.79 |
| LONG TERM LIABILITIES |  |
| 4020.0 Mortgage Payable | 658,798.59 |
| 4050.0 Loan Payable-General Partner | 2,065.00 |
| TOTAL LONG TERM LIABILITIES | 660,863.59 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 669,326.38 |
| CAPITAL |  |
| 5006.0 Return To Owners | -1,840.00 |
| 5020.0 General Partners Equity | -18,789.46 |
| 5030.0 Retained Earnings | -17,555.07 |
| 5040.0 Limited Partners Equity | -303,506.61 |
| 5050.0 General Partners Capital | -263.54 |
| 5051.0 Limited Partners Capital | -32,455.39 |
| TOTAL CAPITAL | -374,410.07 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES \& CAPITAL | 294,916.31 |

# Budget Comparison (Cash) <br> Fox Chase I-(130) 

Page 1

MTD Actual MTD Budget \$ Var. \% Var. YTD Actual YTD Budget $\quad$ \$ Var. $\%$ Var. Annual
INCOME

| RENT INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6010.0 Income-Rent | 6,647.00 | 12,160.00 | -5,513.00 | -45.34 | 29,096.88 | 48,640.00 | -19,543.12 | -40.18 | 145,920.00 |
| 6011.0 Income-Rental Assistan | 4,817.00 | 0.00 | 4,817.00 | 0 | 19,089.00 | 0.00 | 19,089.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL RENT INCOME | 11,464.00 | 12,160.00 | -696.00 | $-5.72$ | 48,185.88 | 48,640.00 | -454.12 | -0.93 | 145,920.00 |
| OTHER INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6015.0 Income-Application Fee | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 15.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 6018.0 Tenant Charges/Damag | 0.00 | 26.04 | -26.04 | -100.0 | 0.00 | 104.16 | -104.16 | -100.0 | 312.50 |
| 6020.0 Income-Laundry \& Ven | 0.00 | 33.33 | -33.33 | -100.0 | 79.74 | 133.32 | -53.58 | -40.19 | 400.00 |
| 6029.0 Income-Cleaning \& Rep | 0.00 | 26.04 | -26.04 | -100.0 | 39.00 | 104.16 | -65.16 | -62.56 | 312.50 |
| 6030.0 Income-Late Fees | 164.00 | 104.17 | 59.83 | 57.43 | 733.00 | 416.68 | 316.32 | 75.91 | 1,250.00 |
| 6031.0 Forfeited Security Depo | 0.00 | 26.04 | -26.04 | -100.0 | 0.00 | 104.16 | -104.16 | -100.0 | 312.50 |
| 6033.0 Income-Miscellaneous | 0.00 | 26.04 | -26.04 | -100.0 | 0.00 | 104.16 | -104.16 | -100.0 | 312.50 |
| TOTAL OTHER INCOME | 164.00 | 241.66 | -77.66 | -32.14 | 866.74 | 966.64 | -99.90 | -10.33 | 2,900.00 |
| TOTAL INCOME | 11,628.00 | 12,401.66 | -773.66 | -6.24 | 49,052.62 | 49,606.64 | -554.02 | -1.12 | 148,820.00 |
| OFFSETS TO INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7100.0 Vacancy Allowance | 0.00 | -608.00 | 608.00 | 100.0 | 0.00 | -2,432.00 | 2,432.00 | 100.0 | -7,296.00 |
| 7200.0 Tenant Utility Allowance | -94.00 | 0.00 | -94.00 | 0 | -376.00 | 0.00 | -376.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL OFFSETS | -94.00 | -608.00 | 514.00 | 84.54 | -376.00 | -2,432.00 | 2,056.00 | 84.54 | -7,296.00 |
| TOTAL INCOME AFTER O | 11,534.00 | 11,793.66 | -259.66 | -2.20 | 48,676.62 | 47,174.64 | 1,501.98 | 3.18 | 141,524.00 |

## OPERATING EXPENSES

## OPERATING \& MAINTENANCE

| MAINTENANCE \& REPAIRS PAYR 8045.0 Payroll-Maintenance Pe | 683.10 | 750.00 | 66.90 | 8.92 | 2,831.68 | 3,000.00 | 168.32 | 5.61 | 9,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL MAINTENANCE \& RE | 683.10 | 750.00 | 66.90 | 8.92 | 2,831.68 | 3,000.00 | 168.32 | 5.61 | 9,000.00 |
| MAINTENANCE \& REPAIRS SUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8075.0 R\&M Building Supplies | 152.23 | 93.75 | -58.48 | -62.38 | 444.06 | 375.00 | -69.06 | -18.42 | 1,125.00 |
| 8080.0 R\&M-Heating \& Air Sup | 43.20 | 93.75 | 50.55 | 53.92 | 751.56 | 375.00 | -376.56 | -100.4 | 1,125.00 |
| 8085.0 R\&M-Plumbing Supplie | 0.00 | 93.75 | 93.75 | 100.0 | 455.49 | 375.00 | -80.49 | -21.46 | 1,125.00 |
| 8090.0 R\&M-Appliance Supplie | 53.93 | 93.75 | 39.82 | 42.47 | 53.93 | 375.00 | 321.07 | 85.62 | 1,125.00 |
| TOTAL MAINTENANCE \& RE | 249.36 | 375.00 | 125.64 | 33.50 | 1,705.04 | 1,500.00 | -205.04 | -13.67 | 4,500.00 |
| UNIT TURNS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8135.0 Paint/Clean/Clean Carp | 0.00 | 166.67 | 166.67 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 666.68 | 666.68 | 100.0 | 2,000.00 |
| TOTAL UNIT TURNS | 0.00 | 166.67 | 166.67 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 666.68 | 666.68 | 100.0 | 2,000.00 |
| GROUNDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8160.0 Monthly Contracted Gr | 600.00 | 600.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,400.00 | 2,400.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7,200.00 |
| 8175.0 Grounds Supplies | 0.00 | 83.33 | 83.33 | 100.0 | 106.87 | 333.32 | 226.45 | 67.94 | 1,000.00 |
| TOTAL GROUNDS | 600.00 | 683.33 | 83.33 | 12.19 | 2,506.87 | 2,733.32 | 226.45 | 8.28 | 8,200.00 |
| PEST CONTROL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8195.0 Pest Control Service | 0.00 | 87.00 | 87.00 | 100.0 | 348.00 | 348.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,044.00 |
| 8200.0 Termite Service/Bond | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 915.00 | 769.00 | -146.00 | -18.99 | 769.00 |
| TOTAL PEST CONTROL | 0.00 | 87.00 | 87.00 | 100.0 | 1,263.00 | 1,117.00 | -146.00 | -13.07 | 2,813.00 |
| OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8220.0 Outside Services | 0.00 | 8.33 | 8.33 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 33.32 | 33.32 | 100.0 | 100.00 |
| TOTAL OTHER OPERATING | 0.00 | 8.33 | 8.33 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 33.32 | 33.32 | 100.0 | 100.00 |
| TOTAL OPERATING \& MAIN | 1,532.46 | 2,070.33 | 537.87 | 25.98 | 8,306.59 | 9,050.32 | 743.73 | 8.22 | 26,613.00 |
| UTILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8255.0 Utilities-Electricity | 175.55 | 250.00 | 74.45 | 29.78 | 691.86 | 1,000.00 | 308.14 | 30.81 | 3,000.00 |
| 8260.0 Utilities-Water | 889.34 | 1,100.00 | 210.66 | 19.15 | 5,948.44 | 4,400.00 | -1,548.44 | -35.19 | 13,200.00 |
| 8265.0 Utilities-Sewer | 889.34 | 1,100.00 | 210.66 | 19.15 | 5,948.44 | 4,400.00 | -1,548.44 | -35.19 | 13,200.00 |
| 8275.0 Utilities-Sanitation | 383.40 | 183.33 | -200.07 | -109.1 | 752.10 | 733.32 | -18.78 | -2.56 | 2,200.00 |


|  | Budget Comparison (Cash) <br> Fox Chase I-(130) April 2017 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ Var. | \% Var. | Page 2 <br> 5/22/2017 <br> 08:54 AM <br> Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MTD Actual | MTD Budget | \$ Var. | \% Var. | YTD Actual | YTD Budget |  |  |  |
| TOTAL UTILITIES | 2,337.63 | 2,633.33 | 295.70 | 11.23 | 13,340.84 | 10,533.32 | -2,807.52 | $-26.65$ | 31,600.00 |
| ADMINISTRATIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8310.0 Site Management Payro | 823.02 | 823.00 | -0.02 | 0.00 | 3,220.80 | 3,292.00 | 71.20 | 2.16 | 10,876.00 |
| 8315.0 Management Fees | 1,176.00 | 1,176.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4,560.00 | 4,704.00 | 144.00 | 3.06 | 14,112.00 |
| 8320.0 Accounting/Auditing Fe | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 3,680.00 | 4,000.00 | 320.00 | 8.00 | 4,000.00 |
| 8325.0 Legal Expenses | 0.00 | 41.67 | 41.67 | 100.0 | 146.00 | 166.68 | 20.68 | 12.41 | 500.00 |
| 8330.0 Advertising | 0.00 | 20.83 | 20.83 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 83.32 | 83.32 | 100.0 | 250.00 |
| 8335.0 Telephone | 75.57 | 100.00 | 24.43 | 24.43 | 226.59 | 400.00 | 173.41 | 43.35 | 1,200.00 |
| 8340.0 Office Supplies | 0.00 | 125.00 | 125.00 | 100.0 | 929.53 | 500.00 | -429.53 | -85.91 | 1,500.00 |
| 8350.0 Computer Equipment, | 32.58 | 48.08 | 15.50 | 32.24 | 289.69 | 192.32 | -97.37 | -50.63 | 577.00 |
| 8355.0 Prospect Screening Ex | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 62.12 | 0.00 | -62.12 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8360.0 Training Expenses | 8.64 | 36.67 | 28.03 | 76.44 | 34.56 | 146.68 | 112.12 | 76.44 | 440.00 |
| 8361.0 Travel Expenses | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 100.05 | 0.00 | -100.05 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8365.0 Group Health Insurance | 115.45 | 50.00 | -65.45 | -130.9 | 461.80 | 200.00 | -261.80 | -130.9 | 600.00 |
| 8370.0 Retirement Plan Expen | 0.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 200.00 | 200.00 | 100.0 | 600.00 |
| 8375.0 Payroll Taxes-FICA | 106.66 | 120.00 | 13.34 | 11.12 | 428.77 | 480.00 | 51.23 | 10.67 | 1,440.00 |
| 8380.0 Unemployment Taxes | 9.06 | 13.33 | 4.27 | 32.03 | 62.86 | 53.32 | -9.54 | -17.89 | 160.00 |
| 8385.0 Workmans Compensati | 0.00 | 37.50 | 37.50 | 100.0 | 480.72 | 150.00 | -330.72 | -220.4 | 450.00 |
| 8390.0 Bank Charges/Fees | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 23.70 | 0.00 | -23.70 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8400.0 Late Charges/Fees | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 6.67 | 0.00 | -6.67 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8405.0 Postage \& Shipping | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 24.96 | 0.00 | -24.96 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8410.0 Professional Services/F | 300.00 | 25.00 | -275.00 | -1,100 | 300.00 | 100.00 | -200.00 | -200.0 | 300.00 |
| TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE | 2,646.98 | 2,667.08 | 20.10 | 0.75 | 15,038.82 | 14,668.32 | -370.50 | -2.53 | 37,005.00 |
| TAXES \& INSURANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8440.0 Taxes-Real Estate | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 8,000.00 |
| 8445.0 Licenses \& Permits | 0.00 | 8.33 | 8.33 | 100.0 | 109.38 | 33.32 | -76.06 | -228.2 | 100.00 |
| 8450.0 Property Insurance | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 4,772.00 | 5,328.00 | 556.00 | 10.44 | 5,328.00 |
| 8460.0 EPL Insurance | 0.00 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100.0 | 150.00 |
| TOTAL TAXES \& INSURANC | 0.00 | 20.83 | 20.83 | 100.0 | 4,881.38 | 5,411.32 | 529.94 | 9.79 | 13,578.00 |
| 8510.0 Replacement Reserve $P$ | 635.00 | 635.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5,540.00 | 5,540.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10,620.00 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPE | 7,152.07 | 8,026.57 | 874.50 | 10.90 | 47,107.63 | 45,203.28 | -1,904.35 | -4.21 | 119,416.00 |
| OPERATING INCOME BEFORE D | 4,381.93 | 3,767.09 | 614.84 | 16.32 | 1,568.99 | 1,971.36 | -402.37 | -20.41 | 22,108.00 |
| DEBT SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8805.0 Rural Development Pay | 1,583.16 | 1,583.17 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 6,332.64 | 6,332.68 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 18,998.00 |
| TOTAL DEBT SERVICE | 1,583.16 | 1,583.17 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 6,332.64 | 6,332.68 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 18,998.00 |
| OPERATING INCOME AFTER DE | 2,798.77 | 2,183.92 | 614.85 | 28.15 | -4,763.65 | -4,361.32 | -402.33 | -9.22 | 3,110.00 |
| REPLACEMENT RESERVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8910.0 1\% Building Repair \& I | 2,954.45 | 983.33 | -1,971.12 | -200.4 | 11,115.36 | 3,933.32 | -7,182.04 | -182.5 | 11,800.00 |
| 8915.0 1\% Equipment Repair \& | 0.00 | 775.00 | 775.00 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 3,100.00 | 3,100.00 | 100.0 | 9,300.00 |
| TOTAL REPLACEMENT RES | 2,954.45 | 1,758.33 | -1,196.12 | -68.03 | 11,115.36 | 7,033.32 | -4,082.04 | -58.04 | 21,100.00 |
| 8945.0 Reserve Payments Adjustm | -635.00 | 0.00 | 635.00 | 0 | -5,540.00 | 0.00 | 5,540.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| NET | 479.32 | 425.59 | 53.73 | 12.62 | -10,339.01 | -11,394.64 | 1,055.63 | 9.26 | -17,990.00 |

## USDA

Zural Development

Monroe Area Office

111 East Spring St.
Suite $B$
Vonroe, GA 30655
joice 706-267-1413 $=\mathrm{ax} \mathrm{855.596-4589}$

Date November 22, 2016

Investors Management Co
Greensboro Properties LTD L.P. / Fox Chase I Apartments
Ms. Flemming
3548 North Crossing Circle
Valdosta, Georgia 31602
Greensboro Properties Ltd., LF/ Fox Chase I Apartments
Ms. Flemming:
We are herewith attaching an approved MINC version of form RD 3560-7, Multi- Family Housing project budget for the 2017 proposed budget year. The management fee of $\$ 49.00$ is approved.

Thank you for the preparation of these documents
Should you have any questions, please contact Wesley B. Sparks, Area Specialist at 770-267-1413 Ext 116.

```
Wesley B. Sparksh
Area Specialist
U
Attachments
```


## USDA

## United States Department of Agriculture

Rural Development November 22, 2016
Vonroe Area Office

| I11 East Spring St. | Ms, Libby Flemming <br> Investors Management Company <br> Sute B |
| :--- | :--- |
| Uonroe, GA 30655 | Greensboro Properties, LTD / Fox Chase I |
|  | 3548 North Crossing Circle |
| doice 700-267-1413 | Valdosta, GA 31602 |
| $=2 \times 855.596-4589$ |  |

## Greensboro Properties Ltd. / Fox Chase Apartments Phase I

## Dear Ms. Fiemming:

You are hereby notified that USDA Rural Development has reviewed the request for a change in shelter costs Greensboro Properties Ltd. / Fox Chase Apartments Phase I and considered all justifications provided by project management and comments provided by tenants. Rural Development has approved the rental allowance rate listed below, effective January 01, 2017 through December 31, 2017.

The approved rent changes are as follows:

| Unit | Present Rent | Approved Rent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | Basic NoteRate | Basic | Note Rate | Amount Changed |
| 1 BR | \$450 \$577 | \$465 | \$592 | \$15.00 |
| 2 BR | \$490 \$613 | \$505 | \$628 | \$15.00 |
| 3 BR | \$520 \$661 | \$535 | \$676 | \$15.00 |
| The utility allowance will be: |  |  |  |  |
| Unit | Present |  | Approved | Amount |
| Size | Rate | Rate |  | Changed |
| 1 BR | \$94 | \$94 |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 BR | \$121 | \$ 121 |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 BR | \$131 | \$ 131 |  | \$0.00 |

Should you have any questions or concerns, you may contact Rural Development. The Rural Development Servicing Office address is:

111 East Spring Street
Suite B
Monrce, Georgia 30655

USOA is an equal apportunly provider and employer.
If you wish to file a Civil Rights program complaint of discrlmination, complete ithe USDA Program Discriminalion Complaint Form, found online at http://www, ascr.usda.govicomplaini filing, custhimt, or at any USDA ofice, or calf ( 866 ) 632 -9992 to request the form, You may also write a better containing all of the information requested in the form. Send your compisted complaint form or lefter to us by mail at U.S. Departreent of Agsiculfure, Difector, Office of Adjutication, 1400 independence Avenue. S.W. Washington, D.C. 20250-3410, by fax
(202) 69017442 or email at program.intake@usda.gov.

## Page 2

You must notify the tenants of Rural Development's approval of the rent and utility allowance changes by posting this letter in the same manner as the "NOTICE TO TENANTS OF PROPOSED RENT AND UTILITY ALLOWANCE CHANGE". This notification must be posted in a conspicuous place and cannot be substituted for the usual written notice to each individual tenant.

This approval does not authorize you to violate the terms of any lease you currently have with your tenants.

For those tenants receiving rental assistance (RA), their costs for rent and utilities will continue to be based on the higher of 30 percent of their adjusted monthly income or 10 percent of gross monthly income or if the household is receiving payments for public assistance from a public agency, the portion of such payments which is specifically designated by that agency to meet the household's shelter cost. If tenants are receiving Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Section 8 subsidy assistance, their costs for rent and utilities will be determined by the current HUD formula.

You must inform the tenants of their right to request an explanation of the rate change approval decision within 45 days of the date of this notice by writing to the State Director, Rural Development, 355 East Hancock Avenue, Athens, Georgia 30601-2769. All tenants are required to pay the changed amount of rent as indicated in the notice of approval.

Any tenant who does not wish to pay the Rural Development approved rent changes may give the owner a 30 -day notice that they will vacate. The tenant will suffer no penalty as a result of this decision to vacate, and will not be required to pay the changed rent. However, if the tenant later decides to remain in the unit, the tenant will be required to pay the changed rent from the effective date of changed rent.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please feel free to contact Westey Sparks at (770) 267-1413, Extension 116


## MULTM-FAMHEY PROJEC'I BORROWER'S/MANAGEMENT AGENTS MANAGEMENT CERTIEICATION

Berrowers of multifamily housing toans are requited by 7 C.F.R. \&3560. 102 (j) 10 submit certain datn for reviow by the Servicing Officini for approval of a new management agent. These requirements apply to all multifamily projects.
Efrective Date ( $\mathrm{x} \times-\mathrm{xx}-20 \mathrm{xx}$ ): 01-01-2017
Project Name: Pox Chase i Apartments Borrower Caset IProject ID:01-2
City/State: Greensboro, Georgia
cting on bethal of Greensboro
greensboro paropertics Led, , LP , the project borrower (Borrowes), and Investora Management Co. , the management agent (Agent), make the foflowing certifications and agreenents to the United States Department of Asricutture regarding management of the above project.

1. We certify that:
a. We wifl comply with Rumal Developurent requirements and contract obligations, and agree that no payments have been made to the Borrower in retum for awarding the anamement contract to the Agent, and that no such payments will be made in the foture.
b. We lave execufed or will execute, within 30 days a managemen agreoment (Agreenent) for this project. The Agrement provides that the Agent will manage the project for the tem and for be Per Unit Per Month (PUPM) management fee described below. Clanges in the management fee will be implemented onty in accordance wih Rural Development's requirements.
(1) Term of Agreement ( $\mathrm{xx}-\mathrm{xx}-20 \mathrm{xx}$ through $\mathrm{xx}-\mathrm{xx}-20 \mathrm{xx}$ ) $; 1 / 1 / 2017-1 / 1 / 2020$
(2) Fees:
a) (1) PLPM fee as specified in H13-2-3560, Attachment 3-3, as revised, for the term specified above (applied to revente producing accupied units only).
b) $\square$ PUPM fee is below the PUPM fee specified in HB-2-3560, Atactument 3-F, as revised, for the tem specifled above (applied to revente producing occupied units only) Fee Amount: \$ $\qquad$ -.
c) Add $\square$ On Fees as specified in $H$ B- $-2-3560$, Cfteck all that apply. Include total addi-on fees below. (applied to revenue proctucing occupied units only)
$\square$ Management of properties with 15 units or less.
$\square$ One project that has buidings located on different noncontiguous parcels of land (i.e. across town or in another town).
[] Managemont of propertics it a remote location.
$\square$ "Trotbled properties with workout plans and new managenent only.
c. We wilf disturse management fees from project income only afler:
(1) We have stbmitted this certfication to Rural Development:
(2) Rural Development has approved the Agent to manage this project
d. We understand that mo fecs may be carned or paid after Rural Development has temmated the Agreenent
e. If Rural Development notites me of a management fee above that listed in H3-2-3560; Atachment 3-F the Agent will within 30 days of the notice either:
(1) Reduce the compensation to an amouni Rurad Develoyment deiermines to be reasonabte and
(2) Requite the Agent to refund to the project all excessive lees collected, or
(3) Appeal the decision and abide by the results of the appeal process, making any required reductions and refunds within 30 days after the date of the decision letter of the appeat.
2. We wiff select and admit tenants, compute tenant tents and assistance payments, recertify tenanus and carry out other subsidy contract admimistative responsibilities in accordanee with $5=1 B-2-3560$ and tural Developnent regulations.

Acco ding to the Paperwort Reduction Act of 1995 , wh asency may not conduct or sponasor and a person is not required o vespond to. a collection of information ronfess it disphavs a vetid Owh conmol mupher: The valid (), ifs
 sources. gathering and mainamung the dak aneeded, and completing and revienmg the collection of impormation.
3. Weagre 10:
a. Comply with this project's Montgage and Promissory Nole, and loan Agreement/Resohution or Workont Agreemert.
b. Comply with Rurad Development Handbooks and other policy directives that relate to the managemena of the project.
c. Comply with Rual Development requirements regarding payment and reasonableness of the management fee and the project account.
d. Refrain from purchasing goods or services from entities that have identify of inferest with us unless the fegurements of 7C.F.R. $\$ 3560.102(\mathrm{~g})$ are met.
4. The Agean agrees to:
a. Eusure that att expenses of the project are reasonable and neeessary.
a. Ensure that att expenses of the project are reasonable and necessary.
b. Exert reasonable effolt to maximze project income and to take advantage of discounts, rebates and similat money-saving tochnigucs.
c. Obtain contracts, materials, supplies and services inctuding the prepanation of the anthat funancial reports on tems mosi advantageous to the project.
d. Credit the housing projeet with alf discounts, rebates of commissions inchuding any sales or property tax relief gratited by the State or local govermment received.
e. Obtain the necessary verbat or written cost estimates and document reasons for accepting other than the lowest bid.
f. Maintain copies of the documentation and make such documentation available for inspection during nomai business bours
g. Invest project finds that Rumal Development policies require to be invested and take reasonable effort to invest other project finds unless the Borrower specifically directs the Agent not to invest those funds.
5. We certify that the types of insurance policies shecked below are enforceable and will be maintaned to the best of our ability at all times. Fidelity bonds and hazard instance policies will name Rural Developnent as co-payec in the event of loss. Note: for any box not checked. Rural Development may require an explanation as to why a certain type of insurace was not obtained.
a. Pidelity bond or employee dishonesty coverage for:
(1)all principals of the Agent and
(2)all persons who parficipate directly or indirectly in the management or mantenance of the project and its assets, accouns and records.
b. $\square$ Hazard insarance coverage required by 7 C.F.R. $\$ 3560.105$.
c. $\square$ Public limbility insurance retuired by 7 C,F.R. \$.3560.105.
d. $\square$ Other (speeify) as may be required by 7 C.F.R. $\$ 3560.105$.
6. The Agent agrees 10 :
a. Faroish a written response to Rural Developments supervisory visit reviow reports, physical inspection reports, and writen inquiries regarding the project's amual financial statements or monthly accounting reports with in 30 days after receipt of the report or inquiry.
b. Establish and maintain the project's accounts, books and records in accordance with:
(I) Rural Development's administrative requirentens; and
(2) Accounting principles under C.F.R. $\$ 3560.302(\mathrm{~b})$.
7. We agroe that:
a. All records related to the operation of the project, regardless of where they are hotsen, shall be considered the property of the project.
b. Rual Development, the Offee of Inspector Gencral (OtG), and those agencics' representaves may inspect:
(1) Any records which relate to the project's purchase of goods or services,
(2) The records of the Borrower and the Agent, and
(3) The records of companies having an identity-of-interest with the Borrower, Rural Development and the Agent
c. The following clatuse will be included in any contract entered into with an idenify-of-interest individual or business for the provision of goods or services to the project:
"Upon request by Rural Development, the Borrower or Agent, the contractor or the supplier will make available to Rural Development at a reasonable the and place, its records and records of identity-of-interess companies which relate to goods and seryices charged to the project. Records and information will be sufficien to permit Rural Development io detemine the services performed, the dates the services were performed, the tocation at which the serviees were performed, the time consumed in providing the services, the charges made for materfals, and the per unit and total charges levied for said services:" The Bontower agrees to request
from the contractor or supplier such records within seven (7) days of receipt of Rural Development's recpuest.
8. We agree to inclate the following provisions in the A greement and to be bound by them:
a. Rural Development has the right to terminate the Agreement for fature to comply with the provisions of this Certilication, or other good catse
b. If Rural Development exercises this right of temination, l, the Borrower, agree to promptly make arrangements for providing management to the property that is satisfactory to Rutal Development.
c. If there is a conflict between the Agrement and Rural Development's rights and requirements, Rurat Development's rights and requirements will prevail.
d. If the Agreement is teminated, J, the Agent, will give to the Borrower all of the projects casti, truat accomts, investments and records within 30 days of the date the Agreement is terminated.
9. 1, the Bonower, agrec to submit a new management certification tos Rural Development before faking any of the following actions:
a. Authorizing the agent to collect a fec different from the fecs specificd in Paragraph of this Certification b. Changing the expiration date of the Agreement;
c. Rencwing the Agreement;
d. Pemiting a new Agent to operate the project;
e. Permitting a new Agent to collect a fee;
f. Undertaking self-managenent of lte project.
10. We garee to:
a. Comply with all j"ederal, State, or local laws prohibiling discrimination against any persons on grounds of race, color, creed, familial status, handicap, sex or national origin, including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 , Fair Housing Act, Executive Order 11063 and all regulations implementing those laws.
b. When the head of household or spouse is oherwise eligible, give families with chidren equal cousideration for admission
c. Give handicapped persons priority for subsidized unis that were built and equipped specifically for the handicapped.
d. The project witl comply with the provisions of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 , us amended, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 and all regtations and administrative instructions mplementing these laws. The Agent understands that these laws and regulations prohibit discrimination against applicants or tenants who are handictpped or of a certain age.
e. Fumish Rural Developmen any reports and information tequired to monitor ise projects complance wibh Rural Developments fair loonsing and affirmative matkeling requirements.
f. Not discriminate against any employee, applicant for employment or contractor becatase of race. color, handicap, religion, sex or national origin.
g. Provide minoritics, women, and socially and economically disadvantaged firms equal opportunity to participate in the project's procurement and contracting activities.
11. We certify that we have read and understand Rural Develoments defnition of "identity-af-interest"as defined in 7 C.F.R $\$ 3560.11$ and that the statement(s) checked and information enfered below is truc.
a. $\square$ No identity-of-interest exists among the Borrower, the Agent and any individuats or comparies Dhat regularly do business with the project, or
b. T] Only the indivithals and companies listed on Form RD $3560-31$ have an identity-of-interest with the Borrower or the Agent.
12. The items checked below are atached:
a. $\square$ Management Plan
b. $\square$ Identity-of-Interest (IOI) Disclosure Qualification Cerificate or Cernification of No Identity-of-Jnterest (1OI)
c. 8 Other (Specily): Statement. Attached

## Warnings:

There are fines and imprisomment for anyone who makes false fictitious, or fraudulent statements or enfries in any thatter within the jurisdiction of the Federal Covernment (18 U.S.C. (1001).

There are fines and imprisomment for anyone who misuses rents and proceeds in violation of Rural Development regulations relative to this project. (Section 543 of the Housing Act of 1949).
Rural Devclopment may seck a "double danages" civil moncy damages remedy for the use of assets or income it violation of any Loan Agreement/Resoluion or any applicable Rural Development regulations.

Rural Development may seck additional civil money penalies to be paid by the mortgagor through personal funds pursuani to 7 C.F.R. $\$ 3560.461$ (b). Tle penaltics could be as much as $\$ 50,000$ per violation (Secion 543 (b) of the tousing Act of 1949).

By Project Borrower: Greensboro Pxoperties, L,ta., Lep
Name/Title: Davic A. Brown
Signature:


Date: 09-01-2016
By Managenent Agent: Investors Management Company
Name/Title: Becky watson
Signature:

## By Servicing Official:


Siguature: 2


## IDENTITY OF INTEREST STATEMENT

An Identity of merest ocelots：
（ When there is any financial interest between the applicant／borrower and／or management entity and the supplying entity．
（2）When one or more of the officers，directors，stockholders or partners of the applicant／boryower or management entity is also an officer， director，stockholder，ar partner of the supplying entity．
（3）When any officer，director，stockholder，or partner of the applicant（borrower and／or management entity has I 0 percent or more financial interest in the supplying entity．
（4）When the supplying entity advances any funds to the applicantborrower ardor management entity．
（5）When the supplying entity provides or pays on behalf of the applicantborrower and／or management entity the cost of any materials and／or services in connection with obligations under the management plan／management agreement．
（6］When the supplying entity takes stock or any interest in the applicant／borrower and／or management entity as part of the consideration to be paid them．
（7）When there exists or comes into being any side deals，agreements，contracts or understandings entered into thereby altering，amending， or canceling any of the management plan／management agreement documents，organization documents or other legal documents pertaining to the property，except as approved ty the Agency，
 above and understand what the USDA，Rural Development，Rural Housing Service（herein referred to as the Agency），has determined constitutes an identity of interest．I further certify that an identity of interest relationship exists and hereby disclose on the following page（s）of this qualification form those entities with which I H $\triangle$ VE an identity of interest relationship．

I hereby certify，under penalty of law ${ }^{*}$ ，and with knowledge that this information may be verified，that the information submitted is true ard accurate．I further understand that failure to disclose any identity of interest to the Agency will also subject me to any administrative remedies available to the Agency．Such remedies may include suspension and debarment from participating in any Agency or Federal program．

Ifuriher understand and agree that I will update this Disclosure／Qualification Certificate if my circumstances change，and I agree to provide a new Disclosure／Qualification Certificate at any time requested by the Agency．

This Certification shall be in effect for a period of three years beginning on the $\qquad$ day of January $\qquad$
けノ1号一
Applicant／Borrower Signature
＊Warning：Section I 001 of Title 18，United States Code provides，＂Whoever，in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive，legislative，or judicial branch of the Gevenument of the United States，knowingly and will folly falsifies，comeeais，or covers ap by any trick，scheme，or device a material fact，makes any materially false，fictitious，or frauduicet statement or representation，or makes of uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false， factitious，or fraudulent stateraent or entry shall be fined under this tile or imprisoned not more than f years，or both．＂

IDENTITY OF INTEREST QUALIFICATION
SECTIONII: TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PBINCIPAL FOK FACHA BUSINESS OR TRADE WITH AN IDENTTYY OH


101 Entity Name: Linestars Management Coupany, Inc.
Type of Entity: Contractor $\square$ Subcontractor $\square$ Archifect $\square$ Atorney $\square$ Property Management

Trade or Business: Propery Management Company
Supplier of: Material $\square$ Labor $\square$ Both Service $\square$
Describe YOI Entity's Relationship to Applican/Borrower: 1 MC manages specific RD Apartment complexes for David A.
Brown, a parmer in the apartment ownership entity and David A. Brown also owns IMC
Address: 3548 North Cinssing Circle, Valdosta, Genreia 31602
Telephone Number: 229-247-9956
Taxpayer Identification Number: $58-1454322$
Number of Full-time Employees: 22 Par-time: 35

$$
\text { Par-time: . } 35
$$

Years in Busimess: 33 years
Persomel (those responsible for conpletion of the contracted work): Brenda Brown, Vice President,
Principal or lOl Entíy: David Brown.
Address: 3221 Country Club Rd, Valdosta GA 31605
Home Teleplone Number: 229-244-3323
Social Security Number:
Years in Business: 33 years
Training: Periodic RD Seminars, CRHD seminars. SPECTRUM Training
License(s) Held (include license numbers): State of Georgia \#60279
Name, Address and Telephone Number of Licensing Agencies: State of Georgia Real Estate Commission, Suite 1000,
International Tower, 229 Peachtree Streel. NE, Atlante. GA 30303-1605 (404-656-3916)
Percent of Total Anmal Compensation from Company: $100 \%$
Disclose any criminal convictions or debarment from Local, State, or Federal Government Programs:

Disclose Any Curren or Pending Legal Actions Against the Company or any of its Principals:

Do any of the for companies fimction as "pass-throughs," i.e., docs the IOl company purchase goods or services from another party and pass those goods or services through to the project? For each pass-through arrangentent, respond to the statements betow. (Use additional sheets as necessary.)

Name the 1 O involved.
Explain how the loI compensation is determined.
Explain why it is more advantageous for the project to use the pass-through arrangenent than to purchase directly from the uttimate supplicr.

Attach fee schedules for all 10 I companies disclosed.
I certify, under pernaly of law*, that the business in which I am employed is an ongoing trade or business qualified and properly licensed to undertake the work for which 1 intend to contract. I further certify, undef penalty of law*, and with knowledge that this information may be verified, that the information submitted is true and accurate.


[^9]

Disclose Any Curfent or Pending Legal Actions Against the Company or any of its Principals: None

Do any of the 101 companies function as "pass-ihroughs," i.e., does the IOI company purchase goods or services from another party and pass those goods or services through to the project? For each pass-flirough arrugement, respond to the statements below. (Lise additionat sheets as necessary.)

Name the IOI involved.
Explain how the lol compensation is determined.
Explain why it is more advantageous for the project to use the pass-through arrangement than to purchase directly from the ultimate supplier.

## Allach fee sehedules for all 10 companies disclosed.

I certify, under penalty of law*, that the business in which I am enyloyed is an ongoing trate or business qualified and properiy licensed to undertake the work for which I intend to contract. I further centify, under penaty of law*, and with knowledge that this information may be verified, that the information submitted is the and accurate.






| Position 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ORMAPPROVED MB NO.0575-0189 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FommR1D3560-7 <br> (Rev.05-06) |  | MULTYPLE FAMILY HOUSNNG PROJECT RHDGET <br> UTILJTY ALLOWANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROMECT NAME Fox Chase I Apartments |  |  | BORROWER NAME <br> Fox Chase I/Greensboro Prop, 1. |  |  | BORROWER ID AND PROAECTNO. $702732399 \quad 012$ |  |  |
| Loan ITransfer Amount\$ |  | $742,000.00$ | Note Rate Payment \$ |  | 4,924.09 | IC. Payment \$ 1.583 |  |  |
| Reporting Period $\square$ Anaral $\square$ Quarterls Moathly |  |  | Profitype$\square$ Full Protitlimied PtoriNen-Profil |  |  |  |  | RA. Currem number <br> 2. <br> ounting Metherd $\square$ Acerual |
| PAKII-CASH FLOW STATEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | BEGINNING <br> ENDING | ATES> ATES:- |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RENI } \\ & \text { DGET } \\ & 01-16) \\ & 31-16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACUAL, } \\ (01-01-30 \\ (00-30-16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROPOSED } \\ & \text { BUDGEF } \\ & (0\{-01-17) \\ & (12-31-17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMMENTS } \\ \text { M }(\mathrm{YTD}) \\ (01-01-16) \\ (06-30-16) \end{gathered}$ |
| OPERATIONALCASH SOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. RENTAL. I2. RHS RENT3. A3PLLCAT | COME |  |  | 141,600.00 |  | 42,997.00 |  | 24 REV PROD |
|  | I. ASSISTANCE | RECEIVED .... | ..... |  |  | 25,606.00 |  |  |
|  | ON FEES RECE: | Vid ........ | .... |  |  | 150.00 |  |  |
| 4. | AND VENDINC |  |  |  | 300.00 | 200.42 | 400.00 |  |
| 5. IN | NCOME |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 6. TENANT | HARGES |  |  |  | 2,700.00 | 1,001,00 | 2,500.00 |  |
| 7. OTH | OHEC] SOURC |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 8. LESS (\%ac | c's' and Continge | Allowenne) |  |  | 080,00) |  | 7,206.00) | 5\% |
| 9. LESS /Ag | ] Approved /nce | ( Allow |  |  | 0.00) | - $\quad$ | - 0.00 |  |
| 10. SUP-T) |  | 897. |  |  | 7,520.00 | 69,954.42 | 141,524.00 |  |
| NON-OPERATIONAL CASH SOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. CASH - N12. AUTHOR13. TRANSF:14. SUB-TO | V PrOJECT. |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | EI) LOAN (Non | S) |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | FROM RLESERV |  |  |  | 7,100.00 | 0.00 | 21.100.00 |  |
|  | AL (II fru I3) |  |  |  | 7,100.00 | 0.00 | 21,100.00 |  |
| 15. TOTAL CASH SOURCES (10+14) ........................ |  |  |  |  | 4,620.60 | 69,954,42 | 162,624.00 |  |
| OPERATIONALCASH USES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. TOTAL O\&M EXPENSES (I |  | M Parl (I) |  |  | 5,974.00 | 53,077.41 | 108,786.00 |  |
| 17. RHS DEBT PAYMENT |  |  |  |  | 8,998.00 | 9.498 .96 | 18,998.00 |  |
| 18. RHS PAYMENT (Overage) |  |  |  |  | \% | 636.00 | W, \%-5, |  |
| 19. RHS PAYNENT (Late Fee) . |  |  |  |  | , \% | 0.00 | W, \% - \%, |  |
| 20. ReDUCH | N IN PRIOR YEA | R PAYABLES. | .... |  | \%\% | 0.00 | 4, \%, \% |  |
| 21. TENA | HLI]Y PAYME | IS | .... |  | - - | 582.00 | < |  |
| 22. TRANSF | TO RESERVE |  |  |  | 0,620.00 | 6.810 .00 | 10,520.00 |  |
| 23. RETURN T | OWNI:R NP AS | T MANAGEMI | HiS. |  | 1,840.00 | 1,840.00 | 1,840.00 | 2016 RTO to br |
| 24. SUS-TOT | 1. (16thru 23) |  |  |  | 7.432.00 | 72,444.37 | 140.254 .00 |  |
| NON-OPERATHONALCASH USES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25. AUTHORI26. ANNUAL27. MSSCJIJA | DD DEBT PAYM | NT (Non-RHS). | ........ |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | APITAL BUDCE'1 | (From Part III, Li | nes $4-6$ |  | 7,100.00 | 0.00 | 21,100.00 |  |
|  | NE:OUS |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 28. SUB-JO | 1. (25 thr 27) |  |  |  | 7,100.00 | 0.00 | 21,100,00 |  |
|  | 29. TOTAL, CASH USES (24+28) ............................... |  |  |  | 4,532.00 | 72,444.37 | 181,354,00 |  |
| 30. NET CASH (DEFIC'T) (/5-29) ............................ |  |  |  |  | 88.00 | $-2,489.95$ | 1270.00 |  |
| CASH BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31. BEGINNING CASH 13ALANCE .............................. |  |  |  |  | 7,143.37 | 89.435 .55 | 17,231.37 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \%. | 0.00 | - , - , - \% |  |
| 33. ENDING CASH BAL ANCE $(30+3 /+32)$ |  |  |  |  | 7,231.37 | 86,945.60 | 18,501.37 |  |
|  <br>  <br>  infarmatioit. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fox Chase I Aparments

| PARTM-ACCOUNT BUDGETING/STATUS |
| :--- |

## Fox Chase I Apartments

| PART II-OPERATING ANO NIAINTENANCE EXPENSE SCIIEDULE |
| :--- |

Fox Cinase I Apartmenta

| PART IV-RENT SCHEDULE ANO UTLLITY ALLOWANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. CURRENTAPPROVED RENIS/ UTILIT YALLOWANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNIT DESCRIPTION |  |  | RIENTAL. RATES |  |  | POTENTIAL INCOME FROM EACHRATE: |  |  | UTLITYY <br> AlL,OWANCD |
| BR SILE | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { UNTT } \\ \text { TYPE } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | NUMBER | BASIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOTE } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | HUD | BASIC. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOTE } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | HUD |  |
| 1 | N | 2 | 450.00 | 577.00 | 0.00 | 10,800.00 | *3,848.00 | 0.00 | 94.00 |
| 2 | N | 18 | 490.00 | 613.00 | 0.00 | 105,840.00 | 132,408.00 | 0.60 | 121.00 |
| 3 | N | 4 | 520.00 | 661.00 | 0.00 | 24,960.00 | 31,728.00 | 0.00 | 131.00 |
| 0 | + | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | $\cdots$ | 0. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CURRENTRENTTOTALS: |  |  |  |  |  | $141.600 .00]$ | 477,984.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | BASIC | NOTE | Hud |  |


| B. PROPOSED RENTS - <br> UNIT DESCRIPTION |  |  | ective Date: 01/01/17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RENTAL RATES |  |  | POTENTIAL INCOMEFROM EACHRATE |  |  |
| 13R SICE | UNTT <br> TYPE | NUMBER | BASIC. | NOTE RATE: | HUD | BASIC | NOTE RATE | HUD |
| 1 | N | 2 | 465.00 | 582.00 | 0.00 | 11.16000 | 14,209.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | N | 19 | 505.10 | 628.00 | 0.00 | 109,080.00 | 135.648 .00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | N | 4 | 535.00 | 676.00 | 0.08 | 25,680.00 | 32,448.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PROPOSED RENT TOTALS: |  |  |  |  |  | 145,920.00 | 182,394.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | BASIC | NOTE | (HIM |

C. PROPOSED UTLIITYALLOWANCE - Bffective Date: $01 / 01 / 17$

MONTHLY DOLLAR ALLOWANCES

| BR SIZE | INTTTYPE | NUMBER | EIECIRIC | GAS | WATER | SEWER | TRASH | OTHER | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | N | 2 | 94.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 94.00 |
| 2 | N | 18 | 121.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 121.00 |
| 3 | $N$ | 4 | 131.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 131.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | * | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 | - | $\bigcirc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

PART V - ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET


[^10]Warning: Section 1001 of Thle 18, United States Code provides: "Whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfally falsiffes, conceals or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitions or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any fake writing or document knowing the same to contaill any false, fietitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned mot more than five years, or both.

IHAVEREADTHEABOVI: WARNINGSTATEMENTANDIHEREBY CIERTIY TIAITHLFOREGONGINFORMATIONIS COMPLETEANDACCLRATE HOTHEBESTOFMYKNOWLEDGE.
(DATE)

> (Signature of Borower or Borrower's Representative)

A) Fox Chase it a 24 unit farmily complex in Greensboro, GA. The property has 12 RA units. More RA is needed. We are requesting additional rental assistence with this budget
B) The property is in compliance with its loan agreement and RD regutations. The property is accessible with the exception of neading one additional handicap accessible unit. This is addressed in our amended self evaluation plan.
c) The property's financial status is slable. The $1 \%$ reserve is at or ahead of its required balance. Even though it is ahead of its required balance, the actual batance is lower than it needs to be for a property of this type and age. We plan to deposit addllional funds into the Reserve Account as cash flow actual balance is lower than it needs to be for a properiy of this
permita, beginnlng wth an additional doposil of $\$ 3000$ in 2017 .
D) There are no expense category subtotals that exceed the $10 \%$ tolerance thrsshotd. Administrative Expenses afe $25.3 \%$ of Gross Potertial and within the $27 \%$ threshold used by the state of Georgia.
E) 2017 projected capital expenditures and reserve withdrawals
-Replacement of appliances

- Replacement of

Capper and
HVAC units

- HVAC units

1\% Estimate $\$ 21,100$
Projected capital needs 20:8-2020

- Replacement of appliances
- Carpet and viny
- HVAC units
- Playground equipment

Under-slab rapairs
Estimate $\$ 25,000$
F) The 2017 budget includes a rent increase of $\$ 15$ per unit. The rent increase is needed because of licreases in operating and maintenanoe expenses. We are not requesting a change in the utility alfowances. We are requesting an incyease in the current management fee from $\$ 47$ per unit per month to $\$ 49$ per unil per month. The property is well maintained and is financially stable. The rental rates are reasonable for the market area. The groperty is in complance with its loan agreement and RD regulations.
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| 2015 REHABILITATION WORK SCOPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PROJECT NAME: Fox Chase 1 |  | YEAR BUILT: 1991 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PROJECT LOCATION: 11 Fox Chase Circle |  | UNIT COUNT: <br> 24 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | GROSS SQUAR | RE FOOTAC | 21,444 |  |  |
| SION |  | TRADE ITEM | Describe scope: materials, pertormance specifications | Percentage oftotat existing tobe demoed orreplaced | Quantir | $\sum_{\substack{\text { (s.t. II, ea, cy } \\ \text { sy, etc. }}}$ | UNIT Cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { (quantity } \text {. unit } \\ \text { cost) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { Format } \end{gathered}$ | Old Forma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | n/a | Accessibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | convert existing units to UFAS-complaint units | One - 1 Bedroom accessible Unit and One - Three Bedroom accessible unit. Demo existing kitchen, bathroom, HVAC, and part of bedroom walls. Demo laundry room and HVAC closet. Frame new walls, instal new plumbing lines, new electrical lines in walls that were moved. Install new drywall, paint and floor covering. Provide one sight and hearing impaired kit to | ${ }^{65}$ |  | pts. | 17540 |  |
| ${ }_{24}^{24}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 24 |  | retrofit existing dubhouse to meet UFAS, Fair Housing, \& ADA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | retrofit exisiting site to meet Fair Housing, ADA | $44 \%$ of the Main Sidewalks cross slope exceeds $2 \%$ and must be redone to have a $2 \%$ or less cross slope. 6 and must be redonalk have sunk, causing a tripping of the Entrance walks hazard going to the tront porch of the units. several main sidewalks have raised concrete causing tripping hazards. 3 Handicap parking spaces have a slope greater then $2 \%$ slope and cross slope and need to be redone. | 34 | 3896 |  | 5.5 | \$32,887 |
|  |  | Total (Accessibility) |  |  |  |  |  | S67,967 |
| 37 | 2 | Demolition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  | site |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  | bldg interiors: ceilings, walls, floor, plumbing, HVAC, elec |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{37}$ |  | bldg exteriors: siding, roofing, patios, decks, stais, breezeways |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | Unusual sitie conditions (such as lead, asbestos, mold abatement) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  | lead abatement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{35}$ |  | asbestos abatement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  | mold abatement |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 30 30 | 2 | $\frac{\text { Earth Work }}{\text { regrade for drainage control }}$ | regrade swells, fill and level ponding areas |  |  | F | ${ }^{45}$ |  |
| 30 30 |  | regrade for raianage contiol regrad ofe elinination of erosion situations | regrade swells, ilil andievel ponding areas |  |  | F |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| ${ }^{34}$ | 2 | Landscaping 8 irimation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{34}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {sodaing, seeding }}^{\text {trees, shubs, and annuals }}$ | Add native and drought tolerant trees and plants |  | 100 | each | ${ }^{85}$ |  |
| 34 |  | irigation |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| ${ }^{34}$ |  | tree pruning, root removal | Remove 2 dead and diseased trees |  |  | each | 485 | 5970 |
| 33 <br> 33 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | Retaining walls |  |  |  |  |  | S0 |
| ${ }^{33}$ |  | fencing | replace fencing around the dumpster pad | 100 |  | LF | 60 |  |
| 33 |  | exterior amenities construction (list each amenity separately) | New Playground with fencing | 100 |  | each | 22400 |  |
| 32 | 2 | Roads (paving) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 <br> 32 |  | Stasphat paving | Repair andoverlay the asphalt paving and restripe | 100 | 18550 | SF | 2.1 | S38,955 |
| ${ }^{32}$ |  |  | Repair Cracked Curb and Gutter | 5 |  | LF | 12 | ${ }_{\$ 624}$ |


| 32 |  | sidewalks | Add concrete accessible sidewalks to playground | 2 | 245 |  | 6.2 | \$1,519 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 |  | Video utilities |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 31 | 2 | Site Utilities |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 31 |  | water service |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 31 |  | fire service |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 31 |  | storm water piping |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 31 |  | sewer service |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 31 |  | electrical service |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 31 |  | gas service |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
|  |  | Total (Land Improvements) |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,088 |
| 1 | 3 | Concrete (building pads \& gypcrete) |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 2 | 4 | Masonry | Pressure wash brick and point up | 100 |  | Bldgs. | 500 | \$1,500 |
| 3 | 5 | Metals (stair stringers, metal decking, handrails, structural steel) |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 3 |  | stair pans/stringers |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 3 |  | corrugated metal decking |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 3 |  | handrails | Replace all handrails | 100 | 180 | LF | 22 | \$3,960 |
| 3 |  | structural steel |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 4 | 6 | Rough carpentry (framing, sheathing, decking) |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 4 |  | framing |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 4 |  | ext wall sheathing |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 4 |  | floor decking |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 4 |  | attic dratt stops | Repair and seal | repair | 21 | Fire Walls | 145 | \$3,045 |
| 4 |  | exterior wood decks/patios and rails |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 5 | 6 | Finish Carpentry (window sills, wood base, wood paneling, exterior wood trim, shutters, etc) | Replace Shutters | 100 | 56 | Pair | 98 | \$5,488 |
| 5 |  | exterior trim including shutters |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 5 |  | interior trim including wood base | Replace Exterior door trim and damaged window stools | 100 | 24 | units | 256 | \$6,144 |
| 6 | 7 | Waterproofing, caulking and sealants | Caulk all receptacles, light fixture boxes, windows, doors | 100 | 24 | units | 160 | \$3,840 |
| 7 | 7 | Insulation |  |  |  |  | 32 | \$0 |
| 7 |  | wall insulation |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 7 |  | roof insulation | Boost Attic Insulation to R-38 | 100 | 21444 | SF | 0.39 | \$8,363 |
| 7 |  | sound insulation |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 8 | 7 | Roofing |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 8 |  | shingles (or other roofing material) | Replace with 25 year asphalt Shingles | 100 | 334 | SQ | 250 | \$83,500 |
| 8 |  | gutters \& downspouts | Replace Gutters and downspouts | 100 | 1615 | LF | 8.45 | \$13,647 |
| 6 | 7 | Siding/stucco | Replace vinyl siding | 100 | 7200 | SF | 4 | \$28,800 |
| 10 | 8 | Doors \& hardware |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 10 |  | interior doors | Replace interior door units | 100 | 194 | each | 90 | \$17,460 |
| 10 |  | exterior doors | Replace exterior door units | 100 | 72 | each | 390 | \$28,080 |
| 10 |  | hardware | Replace Door hardware | 100 | 24 | each | 320 | \$7,680 |
| 10 |  | Storm Doors | Replace Storm doors |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 8 | Windows/glass |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 11 |  | Windows | Replace all windows with Low-E, U-Factor 0.35 and SHC | 100 | 93 | each | 330 | \$30,690 |
| 11 |  | mirrors |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 13 | 9 | Drywall |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 13 |  | repair and replacement-walls | Repair damaged areas | 2.5 | 696 | SF | 4 | \$2,784 |
| 13 |  | repair and placement-ceiling | Repair damaged areas and spray finish | 1.8 | 502 | SF | 4 | \$2,008 |
| 14 | 9 | Tile work |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 14 |  | tub surrounds |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 14 |  | ceramic floors |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 16 | 9 | Resilient/wood flooring |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 16 |  | VCT |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 16 |  | sheet goods | Replace Vinyl Flooring | 70 | 1687 | SY | 18.75 | \$31,631 |
| 16 |  | wood flooring |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 17 | 9 | Painting |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 17 |  | exterior walls |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 17 |  | interior walls | Semi Gloss Enamel | 100 | 21444 | SF | 0.75 | \$16,083 |
| 17 |  | ceilings |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |


| 17 |  | doors \& trim | Semi Gloss Enamel | 100 | 21444 | SF | 0.25 | \$5,361 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 |  | steel: handrails, stairs, etc | Hand Rails | 100 | 180 | LF | 5.5 | \$990 |
| 17 |  | additional prep work (sandblasting) |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 18 | 10 | Specialties |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 18 |  | signage | New Office Signs, Temporary Signs | 100 |  | each | 80 | \$560 |
| 18 |  | toilet accessories including framed mirrors | New Towel Bars, TP Holder, Mirror | 100 | 24 | units | 124 | \$2,976 |
| 18 |  | fire extinguishers |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 18 |  | shelving |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 18 |  | mailboxes |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 18 |  | stovetop fire suppression | 2 per range hood | 100 | 24 | units | 68 | \$1,632 |
| 20 | 11 | Cabinets (incl. countertops) |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 20 |  | unit kitchens | Refinish Cabinets | 100 | 24 | units | 880 | \$21,120 |
| 20 |  | countertops | Replace Countertops | 100 | 24 | units | 690 | \$16,560 |
| 20 |  | bathroom vanities | refinish vanities | 100 | 42 | units | 85 | \$3,570 |
| 21 | 11 | Appliances |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 21 |  | refrigerators | Replace with Energy Star Refrigerator | 95 | 23 | Each | 705.12 | \$16,218 |
| 21 |  | stove | Replace Stove | 95 | 23 | Each | 459.03 | \$10,558 |
| 21 |  | vent hood | Replace Vent Hood | 100 | 24 | Each | 84.53 | \$2,029 |
| 21 |  | dishwasher | Whirlpool | 100 | 24 | Each | 305.71 | \$7,337 |
| 21 |  | microwave | Whirlpool | 100 | 24 | Each | 153.37 | \$3,681 |
| 21 |  | disposals |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 22 | 12 | Blinds \& Shades | Replace with 2 "faux blinds | 100 | 93 | each | 55 | \$5,115 |
| 23 | 12 | Carpets |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 24 | 13 | Special Construction (pools) |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 25 | 14 | Elevators |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 26 | 15 | Sprinklers |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 26 | 15 | Plumbing |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 26 |  | bathtubs and/or pre-fab showers | Tub Repair and Refinish | 100 | 24 | units | 200 | \$4,800 |
| 26 |  | shower heads |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 26 |  | tub faucets | Replace with new delta faucets | 100 | 24 | units | 400 | \$9,600 |
| 26 |  | bathroom sinks | Replace with new china sink, Delta faucet, trap and supp | 100 | 42 | units | 385 | \$16,170 |
| 26 |  | bathroom faucets |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 26 |  | kitchen sinks | Replace Kitchen Sink, Delta Faucet, Strainers, Trap and | 100 | 24 | units | 385 | \$9,240 |
| 26 |  | kitchen faucets |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 26 |  | toilets | Replace Toilets with HC Toilets | 100 | 42 | Each | 385 | \$16,170 |
| 26 |  | new water service--piping, valves, etc |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 26 |  | new waste/vent service--piping, valves, etc |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 26 |  | water heaters | Replace | 100 | 24 | Each | 450 | \$10,800 |
| 26 |  | individual water metering |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 15 | HVAC |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 27 |  | air conditioning equipment | All labor and Materials HVAC Subcontractor | 91 | 22 | Each | 2900 | \$63,800 |
| 27 |  | heating equipment |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 27 |  | ductwork cleaning |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 27 |  | ductwork |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 27 |  | duct insulation |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 27 |  | bathroom ventilation fans | Replace bath exhaust fans | 100 | 42 | each | 100 | \$4,200 |
| 27 |  | solar hot water heating |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 29 | 16 | Electrical |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 29 |  | unit light fixtures | Install Energy Star ceiling fan in Living Room and Bedroo | 100 | 24 | Apts. | 690 | \$16,560 |
| 29 |  | common area/exterior building mounted light fixtures | Included in Light Fixture Allowance |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 29 |  | pole lights | n'a - GA Power maintained |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 29 |  | ceiling fans | included in Light fixture allowance |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 29 |  | electrical wiring (within unit) | Replace switches and outlets | 100 | 24 | Apts. | 450 | \$10,800 |
| 29 |  | outlets \& light switches | Install GFCI outlets in Laundry and kitchen | 100 | 48 | Each | 50 | \$2,400 |
| 29 |  | distribution-breaker boxes, breakers, meters |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 29 |  | solar panels |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 29 | 16 | Communications Systems (cable, phone, internet, etc) |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 29 |  | cable outlets |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |
| 29 |  | cable wiring |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |



ADDENDUM D

Sec. 121-135. - RM Multifamily Residential District.
(a) Purpose and intent. The RM Multifamily Residential District is composed of areas with existing or proposed high density residential use. This district is designed to accommodate open space, convenience services, and community facilities needed for high density living.
(b) Permitted uses. Within the RM district, only the following uses are permitted:
(1) Dwelling, single-family, detached.
(2) Dwelling, two-family.
(3) Dwelling, multifamily.
(4) Garage apartment.
(5) Individual manufactured home.
(6) Industrialized home qualifying as dwelling, single-family, detached.
(7) Boardinghouse.
(8) Apartments
(9) Townhouses and condominiums, provided that the requirements in section 121-189 are met.
(10) Manufactured home park, provided that the requirements of sections 121-187 and 121-188 are met.
(11) Accessory buildings and accessory uses, provided that the requirements under sections 121 186(a)(5) and 121-190 are met.
(12) Daycare home/family day care home.
(13) Residential home occupation, provided that the requirements of section 121-191 are met.
(14) Swimming pools, above and below ground, provided that the location is not closer than 20 feet to any property line and the pool is enclosed by a wall or fence of at least six feet in height. Only home swimming pools and private community swimming pools are permitted.
(15) Utility facility, provided that a site development plan is submitted and approved by the zoning administrator, and a minimum 20 foot planted buffer strip is provided as suitable screening along property lines or within 60 feet of the developed area. (An appropriate planted buffer shall contain vegetation that will attain a height of eight feet within three years.)
(c) Conditional uses. Within the RM district, the uses enumerated in this subsection may be permitted. Conditional use applications may be approved or denied as submitted or may be approved subject to specified conditions in addition to those described herein.
(1) Home office.
(2) Kindergartens, play schools, and daycare centers. A complete site development sketch must be submitted with the application.
(3) Personal care home, community living arrangement or group home, provided that a complete site development sketch is submitted with the application showing adequate paved and lined off-street parking. All buildings must be placed at least 50 feet from any property line and bordered by a ten-foot wide buffer area along the front, side and back lot lines. The buffer area should be planted with evergreen trees or evergreen shrubs that grow at least eight feet tall within three years and provide an effective visual screen.
(4)

Recreational developments, including, but not limited to, public fishing lakes, public swimming pools and golf courses or driving ranges, or other recreational developments, provided that a comprehensive plan for the area is submitted which includes the location of the site on plats of not less than a one inch equals 400 feet scale, the location and function of all buildings and modifications of the natural landscape, the location and surface treatment of all roadways, appropriate details of drinking water or sanitary facilities, certificated by the health department if wells or septic tanks are involved and a time schedule setting for a development program.
(5) Religious institutions and their customary related facilities, provided that such uses are located on a lot with a minimum of two acres and fronts on a public right-of-way or a private street which connects with a public right-of-way. The minimum building front setback is 50 feet. A ten-foot wide buffer area is required along any property line abutting residentially zoned property.
(Zoning Ord. 2007, § 7.13)

ADDENDUM E
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## Samuel T. Gill

## 512 North One Mile Road <br> P.O. Box 784

Dexter, Missouri 63841
573-624-6614 (phone)
573-624-2942 (fax)
todd.gill@gillgroup.com
OVERVIEW Extensive multifamily experience over the past 25 years specializing in work for the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), United States Department of Agriculture/Rural Development (USDA/RD) as well as lenders and developers through the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program including but not limited to, Section 8, Section 202, Section 236, Section 515 and Section 538 Programs. Additionally, extensive experience since inception of the Multifamily Accelerated Processing (MAP) Program of Sections $202 / 223(\mathrm{f}), 232 / 223(\mathrm{f}), 221$ (d) 3,221 (d) 4 and 223(f). Also, more than 20 years of experience with nursing homes, hotels and complicated commercial appraisal assigrments.

State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
Alabama State License Number: C00548
Arizona State License Number: 31453
Colorado State License Number: CG40024048
Connecticut State License Number: RCG. 0001276
District of Columbia License Number: GA11630
Georgia State License Number: 258907
Hawaii State License Number: CGA1096
Idaho State License Number: CGA-3101
Illinois State License Number: 153.0001384
Indiana State License Number: CG40200270
Iowa State License Number: CG02426
Kansas State License Number: G-1783
Louisiana State License Number: G1126
Maine State License Number: CG3635
Maryland State License Number: 32017
Michigan State License Number: 1201068069
Minnesota State License Number: 40186198
Mississippi State License Number: GA-624
Missouri State License Number: RA002563
Montana State License Number: REA-RAG-LIC-8530
Nebraska State License Number: CG2000046R
New York State License Number: 46000039864
North Carolina State License Number: A5519
North Dakota State License Number: CG-2601
Ohio State License Number: 448306
Oklahoma State License Number: 12524CGA
Oregon State License Number: C000793
Pennsylvania State License Number: GA001813R
South Carolina State License Number: 3976
Tennessee State License Number: 00003478
Texas State License Number: 1329698-G
Utah State License Number: 5510040-CG00
Virginia State License Number: 4001015446
Washington State License Number: 1101018
West Virginia State License Number: CG358
Wisconsin State License Number: 1078-10
Wyoming State License Number: 479
Also received temporary licenses in the following states: Arkansas, California, Delaware, Florida, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Dakota, the U.S. Virgin Islands and Vermont.

## EXPERIENCE (1991 TO PRESENT)

Primary provider of HUD Mark-to-Market Full Appraisals for mortgage restructuring and Mark-to-Market Lites for rent restructuring and has worked with HUD in this capacity since inception. Completed approximately 350 appraisals assignments under this program.

Provider of HUD MAP and TAP appraisals and market studies for multiple lenders since its inception. Completed approximately 350 appraisal assignments under this program.

Contract MAP quality control reviewer and field inspector for CohnReznick and HUD. Have completed approximately 350 reviews under this program. Have completed approximately 100 field inspections under this program.

Currently approved state reviewer for HUD Rent Comparability Studies for Section 8 Renewals in Alabama, California, Connecticut, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oregon, Utah, Virgin Islands, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia and Wisconsin. Completed approximately 500 reviews under this program.

Provider of HUD Rent Comparability Studies for contract renewal purposes nationwide. Completed approximately 400 rent comparability studies.

Provider of tax credit financing analysis and value of financing analysis. Completed approximately 300 appraisal assignments and market studies under this program.

Provider of multifamily appraisals under the RD 515 and 538 programs. Completed approximately 200 appraisal assignments under these programs.

Partial list of clients include: Colorado Housing Finance Agency, CreditVest, Inc., Foley \& Judell, LLP, Kentucky Housing Corporation, Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority, Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, Missouri Housing Development Agency, New Mexico Mortgage Finance Authority, Ontra, Inc., Quadel Consulting Corporation, CohnReznick, L.L.P., Group, Siegel Group, Signet Partners and Wachovia Securities.

DEVELOPMENT/OWNERSHIP/ For the past 10 years, he has owned three separate companies that MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE (2006 TO PRESENT)

## EDUCATION

 develop, own and manage commercial, multifamily, residential, agricultural and vacant land properties.In his portfolio are over 100,000 square feet of commercial space, over 1,000 units of multifamily, 200 acres of farmland, and 10 parcels of developable commercial and multifamily lots, all in the Midwest.

Bachelor of Arts Degree
Southeast Missouri State University
Associate of Arts Degree
Three Rivers Community College

| HUD/FHA Appraiser Training |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas State Office |  |
| Multifamily Accelerated Processing Valuation (MAP) |  |
| U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Annual Multifamily Accelerated Processing Advanced Valuation (MAP) | Basic and |
| U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development |  |
| FHA Appraising Today |  |
| McKissock, Inc. |  |
| Texas USDA Rural Development Multifamily Housing Appraiser Training |  |
| Texas Rural Development |  |
| Kentucky USDA Rural Development Multifamily Appraiser Training | y Housing |
|  |  |
| Financial Analysis of Income Properties |  |
| National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers |  |
| Income Capitalization |  |
| McKissock, Inc. |  |
| Introduction to Income Property Appraising |  |
| National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers |  |
| Concepts, Terminology \& Techniques |  |
| National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers |  |
| Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice |  |
| Central Missouri State University |  |
| Appraisal of Scenic, Recreational and Forest Properties |  |
| University of Missouri-Columbia |  |
| Appraiser Liability |  |
| McKissock, Inc. |  |
| Appraisal Trends |  |
| McKissock, Inc. |  |
| Sales Comparison Approach |  |
| Hondros College |  |
| Even Odder: More Oddball Appraisals |  |
| McKissock, Inc. |  |
| Mortgage Fraud: A Dangerous Business |  |
| Hondros College |  |
| Private Appraisal Assignments |  |
| McKissock, Inc. |  |
| Construction Details \& Trends |  |
| McKissock, Inc. |  |
| Condemnation Appraising: Principles \& Applications |  |
| Appraisal Institute |  |
| Michigan Law |  |
| McKissock, Inc. |  |
| Pennsylvania State Mandated Law |  |
| McKissock, Iric. |  |

Valuing Real Estate in a Changing Market
National Associatiom of Independent Fee Appraisers
Principles of Residential Real Estate Appraising
National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers
Real Estate Appraisal Methods
Southeast Missouri State University
Lead Inspector Training
The University of Kansas
Lead Inspector Refresher
Safety Support Services, Incorporated
Home Inspections: Common Defects in Homes
National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers
Heating and Air Conditioning Review
National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers
Professional Standards of Practice
National Associatiom of Independent Fee Appraisers
Developing \& Growing an Appraisal Practice - Virtual Classroom
McKissock, Irc.
The Appraiser as Expert Witness
McKissock, Inc.
Current Issues in Appraising
McKissock, Inc.
2011 ValExpo: Keynote-Valuation Visionaries
Van Education Center/Real Estate
Residential Report Writing
McKissock, Inc.
The Dirty Dozen
McKissock, Inc.
Risky Business: Ways to Minimize Your Liability
McKissock, Inc.
Introduction to Legal Descriptions
McKissock, Ine.
Introduction to the Uniform Appraisal Dataset
McKissock, Inc.
Mold Pollution and the Appraiser
McKissock, Inc.
Appraising Apartments: The Basics
McKissock, Inc.
Foundations in Sustainability: Greening the Real Estate and Appraisal Industries
McKissock, Inc.
Mortgage Fraud
McKissock, Inc.
The Nuts and Bolts of Green Building for Appraisers
McKissock, Inc.
The Cost Approach
McKissock, Inc.
Pennsylvania State Mandated Law for Appraisers
McKissock, Inc.
Micsigan Appraisal Law
McKissock, Inc.
Modern Green Building Concepts
McKissock, Inc.
Residential Appraisal Review
McKissock, Inc.
Residential Report Writing: More Than Forms
McKissock, Inc.
2-4 Family Finesse
McKissock, Inc.
Appraisal Applications of Regression Analysis
McKissock, Inc.
Appraisal of Self-Storage Facilities
McKissock, Inc.
Supervisor-Trainee Course for Missouri
McKissock, Inc.
The Thermal Shell
McKissock, Inc.
Even Odder - More Oddball Appraisals
McKissock, Inc.
Online Data Verification Methods
Appraisal Institute.
Online Comparative Analysis
Appraisal Institute
Advanced Hotel Appraising - Full Service Hotels
McKissock, Inc.
Appraisal of Fast Food Facilities
McKissock, Inc.
Appraisal Review for Commercial Appraisers
McKissock, Inc.
Exploring Appraiser Liability
McKissock, Inc.
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