2017 Funding Application Project Narrative Arbor Trace II Lake Park, Lowndes County

Arbor Trace II Apartments is an existing 43 unit, Family tenancy apartment community located in Lake Park, Lowndes County, GA. Applicant is seeking an allocation of 2017 4% non-competitive Low Income Housing Tax Credits for the acquisition/rehabilitation of this property.

This application is a part of a portfolio of 16 affordable rural housing properties located in 13 communities throughout the state of Georgia. The portfolio, totaling 537 units, consist of properties constructed in the 1980s and 1990s using the USDA 515 loan program and Section 42 LIHTCs.

The proposed scope of work will be in accordance with the 2017 QAP requirements. The interiors will be repaired and modernized with flooring, appliances, HVAC, hot water heaters, kitchen counters and cabinets, bathroom fixtures and paint. New smoke detectors, light fixtures and GFI outlets will be included in the electrical improvements. Exterior improvements will include doors, windows, siding and roofing when needed. All accessibility and Section 504 issues will be resolved. The dwelling rehab costs shall exceed \$25,000 per unit as required by DCA with the average total hard costs of approximately \$29,800 per unit.

Construction and permanent financing includes a new USDA 538 loan (if supportable), subordination and re-amortization of the original USDA 515 loan (with payments to be deferred for 20 years), short term tax exempt bonds and equity proceeds from the sale of the 4% federal and state LIHTCs. The transactions have been underwritten to USDA-RD agency standards and rents used for pro forma purposes are those approved by USDA-RD.

During renovations, none of the tenants are expected to be displaced or temporarily relocated. The majority of the interior improvements will be completed in one day per apartment unit. The property currently has 7, USDA-RD Section 521 Rental Assistance and will continue to receive this rent subsidy after the acquisition/rehab.

The project development team for Arbor Trace II Apartments consists of David A. Brown, Houston Brown, and Melanie Ferrell. David A. Brown has over 40 years' experience in rehab and new construction of apartments and meets DCA experience and capacity requirements.

Housing Finance and Development Division

2017 Funding Application
Project Narrative
Arbor Trace II
Lake Park, Lowndes County

| | | PART ONE | - PROJECT I | NFORMATIC |)N - 2017-0 A | rbor Trace I | I, Lake Park, | Lowndes Co | unty | | | |
|------|--|------------------------|---|-----------------|---|---------------|-------------------|---|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Please note: | | Blue-shaded co Green-shaded Yellow cells - DC | cells are unloc | | | | | an be overwritte | | A Use ONLY - | Project Nbr: 17-0 |
| I. | DCA RESOURCES | LIHTC (auto- | filled from late | r entries) | \$ | 112,545 | 5 | DCA HOME | (from Conse | nt Form) | \$ | _ |
| II. | TYPE OF APPLICATION | | ond / 4% credit | <u> </u> | > | Pre-Applic | _ ation Number | | use format 201 | • | 2017F | PA-540 |
| | | | | | • | Have any c | hanges occurr | red in the proj | ect since pre- | application? | N | lo |
| | Was this project previously submitted to the | | ent of Commur | nity Affairs? | Yes | If Yes, plea | se provide the | | • | • | iously submitte | ed project: |
| | Project Name previously used: | Arbor Trace | T | | | | | | t Nbr previous | | 2015-026 | |
| | Has the Project Team changed? | | If No, what wa | as the DCA C | Qualification Determination for the Team in that review? Qu | | | | | v/out Condition | ons | |
| III. | APPLICANT CONTACT FOR APPLICATION | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
| | Name | Melanie Ferr | | | | | | | Title | Member | 1 | |
| | Address | | Crossing Circle | | | | | | Direct Line | | (000) 045 44 | 70 |
| | City | Valdosta | | |] 7in . 1 | 216 |)2-6408 | | Fax Cellular | | (229) 245-11 | |
| | State Office Phone | GA (229) 244-06 | | | Zip+4 Ext. | 214 | E-mail | mferrell@in | | | (229) 561-08 | 90 |
| | (Enter phone numbers without using hyphens, pa | | | 90) | LAL. | 214 | L-IIIali | monena | viligi.com | | | |
| | PROJECT LOCATION | a. o u o o o o , o t o | 5/11 / 2 / 10 / 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Project Name | Arbor Trace | | | | | | Phased Pro | ect? | | No | |
| | Site Street Address (if known) | 4700 Rolling | | | | | | DCA Project Nbr of previous phase: Scattered Site? No | | | | |
| | Nearest Physical Street Address * | | | | | | | | | | Nbr of Sites | 1 |
| | Site Geo Coordinates (##.#####) | | 30.683200 | | Longitude: | -83.231600 | | Acreage | | | 4.0000 | |
| | City | Lake Park | | | 9-digit Zip^^ | | 36-4935 | | Census Tra | | 114.03 | |
| | Site is predominantly located: | In Unincorpo | | 10 10 | County | Lowndes | | | QCT? | No | DDA? | No |
| | In USDA Rural Area? | Yes | In DCA Rur | • | No | Overall: | Rural | | HUD SA: | MSA | Valdosta | |
| | * If street number unknown | | essional | | Senate | | House | _ | erified by appl | - | lowing website | |
| | Legislative Districts ** | | 8 | - | 8 | | 174 | Zip Codes | | | sps.com/zip4/w | <u>elcome.jsp</u> |
| | If on boundary, other district: | | | | | | | Legislative Dis | | http://votesmar | | |
| | Political Jurisdiction | Lowndes Co | • | | T'u. | Ob =:==== | | Website | https://www | .lowndescoun | ty.com/ | |
| | Name of Chief Elected Official Address | Bill Slaughte | r y St, 3rd Floor | | Title | Chairman | | City | Valdosta | | | |
| | Zip+4 | 31601-5504 | y Si, Siu Flooi | Phone | 1 | (229) 671-24 | 42 | Email | | er@lowndesc | ounty com | |
| V | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | 01001-0004 | | riione | | (223) 01 1-24 | 72 | Liliali | COMMISSION | ici @iowiiacsc | ounty.com | |
| ٧. | A. Type of Construction: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | New Construction | | Ī | 0 | 1 | | Adaptive Re | euse: | Non-historic | 0 | Historic | 0 |
| | Substantial Rehabilitation | | | 0 | - | | Historic Ref | | | | | 0 |
| | Acquisition/Rehabilitation | | | 43 |] | > | For Acquisit | | | | 1995 | |

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

| | B. Mixed Use | | No |] | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--------------|---|----------------|--|---|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | C. Unit Breakdown | | | PBRA | D. | Unit Area | | | | _ | |
| | Number of Low Income Un | | 43 | 7 | | | | tial Unit Square F | - | | 36,440 |
| | Number of 50 | | 0 | 0 | | | , | Residential Unit | Square Fo | otage | 0 |
| | Number of 60 | | 43 | 7 | | Total Resider | | • | | | 36,440 0 |
| | Number of Unrestricted (M | arket) Units | 0 | | | | tal Common Space Unit Square Footage tal Square Footage from Units | | | | |
| | Total Residential Units | | 43 | | | Total Square | Footage from | Units | | <u>_</u> | 36,440 |
| | Common Space Units Total Units | | 43 | - | | | | | | | |
| | | and the offert Both Parks | |] 1 | | Total Common Area Common Footons from Newscidential and | | | | | 4.040 |
| | · · | esidential Buildings on-Residential Buildings | 6 | | | Total Common Area Square Footage from Nonresidential are Total Square Footage | | | itiai areas | 4,218 40,658 | |
| | Total Number | <u> </u> | 7 | | | Total Oquale i ootage | | | | | 40,000 |
| | | · · | | | | | nina roquiron | ant DCA minim | ım 1 E ana | aaa nar unit fa | r family |
| | F. Total Residential Parking | • | 00 | (If no local zoning requirement: DCA minimum 1.5 sprojects, 1 per unit for senior projects) | | | | | | ces per unit ic | ii iaiiiiiy |
| VI. | TENANCY CHARACTERISTI | | | | | | | | | | |
| | A. Family or Senior (if Senior, | Family | | | If Other, spec | • | | | - | | |
| | | | | | | If combining O | | Family | | Elderly | |
| | | | | 7 | | Family or Sr, s | | HFOP | | Other | |
| | B. Mobility Impaired | Nbr of Units Equipped: | 3 | | | % of Total Ur | | | 7.0% | Required: | 5% |
| | Roll-In Show | 1 11 | 1 | ļ | | % of Units for | | Impaired | 33.3% | Required: | 40% |
| | C. Sight / Hearing Impaired | Nbr of Units Equipped: | 1 | 1 % of Total Units 2.3% | | | | 2.3% | Required: | 2% | |
| VII. | RENT AND INCOME ELECTIVE | ONS | | | | | | | | | |
| | A. Tax Credit Election | | 40% of Units | at 60% of AMI | | | | | | | |
| | B. DCA HOME Projects Mini | imum Set-Aside Requirement (Rent & | Income) | | | 20% of HON | /IE-Assisted L | Jnits at 50% of Al | MI | | No |
| VIII. | SET ASIDES | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | A. LIHTC: | Nonprofit | No |] | | | | | | | |
| | B. HOME: | CHDO | No | Ì | | (must be pre-qua | alified by DCA as | CHDO) | | | |
| IX. | COMPETITIVE POOL | | N/A - 4% Boı | nd | | | | | | | |
| Χ. | TAX EXEMPT BOND FINANC | CED PROJECT | | | | | | | | | |
| | Issuer: | | | | | | Inducement Date | e: | March 21, 20 ⁻ | 16 | |
| | Issuer: Valdosta Housing Authority Office Street Address 610 E Ann Street | | _ | | | | | Applicable QAP: | | | |
| | City | Valdosta | State | | Zip+4 | 31601 | | T-E Bond \$ Alloo | | 1,998,900 | |
| | Contact Name Mark Stalvey | | Title | Executive Director | or | | E-mail | mstalvey@valdo | stapha.org | | |
| | 10-Digit Office Phone (229) 242-4130 | | Direct line | | | Website | | | | | |

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

| | AWARD LIMITATIONS FOR CURRENT DCA COMPETITIVE ROUND The following sections apply to all direct and indirect Owners, Developers and Consultants (Entity and Principal): | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--------------------------|---|----------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------|--|
| | The | e following sections apply to all direct an | d indirect Owners, Devel | opers and Consu | Itants (Entity | and Principa | l) : | | | | |
| | A. | Number of Applications Submitted: | | | | | | | | | |
| | В. | Amount of Federal Tax Credits in All | Applications: | | | | | | | | |
| | C. | Names of Projects in which an Owne | r, Developer and Consi | ultant(s) and eac | h of its princ | cipals has a | direct or indirect Ownersh | nip interest: | | | |
| | | Project Participant | Name of Project | (,, | Interest | Project Part | | Name of Project | | Interest | |
| | | 1 | | | | 7 | · | | | | |
| | | 2 | | | | 8 | | | | | |
| | | 3 | | | | 9 | | | | | |
| | | 4 | | | | 10 | | | | | |
| | | 5 | | | | 11 | | | | | |
| | | 6 | | | | 12 | | | | | |
| D. | | Experience Requirements: Project Participant 1 2 | Name of Project | | | Project Part 7 | icipant | Name of Project | | | |
| | | 3 | | | | 9 | | | | | |
| | | 4 | | | | 10 | | | | | |
| | | 5 | | | | 11 | | | | | |
| | | 6 | | | | 12 | | | | | |
| | A. | ESERVATION Subsequent Allocation Year of Original Allocation Original GHFA/DCA Project Number First Year of Credit Period Expiring Tax Credit (15 Year) Date all buildings will complete 15 yr Compliance pd Expiring Section 8 Expiring HUD | | Yes Yes 1993 93-005 1995 Yes 2009 No | |] | First Building ID Nbr in Pro Last Building ID Nbr in Pro | - | GA-93-00501 GA-93-00506 | | |
| | | HUD funded affordable nonpublic hous | ing project | No | | | HUD funded affordable pu | ublic housing project | No | | |

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

| XIII. A | ADDITIONAL PROJECT INFORMATION | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|-------------------|------------------|---------------|--|---|--|--------------|-----|
| A | A. PHA Units | | | _ | | _ | | | |
| | Is proposed project part of a local public housing replaceme | . • | | | No | | | | |
| | Number of Public Housing Units reserved and rented to pub | | | | 0 | % of Total Residential Units | | | 0% |
| | Nbr of Units Reserved and Rented to: PHA Tenants w/ PBF | RA: 0 | Households | | | % of Total Reside | ential Units | 0% | 0% |
| | Local PHA | | | | | Contact | | | |
| | Street Address City | | Zip+4 | | | Direct line Cellular | | | |
| | Area Code / Phone | | Email | | | Celiulai | | | |
| - | | Ontion2 | | If | | | U | | |
| E | 3. Existing properties: currently an Extension of Cancellat | on Option? | No | If yes, expir | ration year: | | lbr yrs to forgo cancella | · | |
| | New properties: to exercise an Extension of Cancellatio | າ Option? | No | If yes, expir | ration year: | N | lbr yrs to forgo cancella | tion option: | |
| C | C. Is there a Tenant Ownership Plan? | | No | - | | | | | |
| | D. Is the Project Currently Occupied? | ccupied? | | | | Total Existing Units | | | 43 |
| | | | | | Number Occupied | | | 40 | |
| _ | | 0.4.0 | % Existing Occup | pied | | 93.02% | | | |
| E | E. Waivers and/or Pre-Approvals - have the following waive | rs and/or pre-app | | proved by DO | CA? | O | | | Vaa |
| | Amenities? Architectural Standards? | No Yes | | | Qualification Dete | | - only)? | Yes No | |
| | Sustainable Communities Site Analysis Packet or Feasibility | No | | | Payment and Performance Bond (HOME only)? Other (specify): Debt Coverage Ratio | | | Yes | |
| | HOME Consent? | olddy : | No | | | State Basis Boost (extraordinary circumstance | | | No |
| | Operating Expense? | | No | If Yes, new | Limit is | | | | |
| | Credit Award Limitation (extraordinary circumstances)? | | No | | | | L. Carlotte and Car | | |
| F | F. Projected Place-In-Service Date | | | • | | | | | |
| | Acquisition | March 15, 2 | 2018 | | | | | | |
| | Rehab | December 3 | 31, 2018 | | | | | | |
| | New Construction | | | _ | | | | | |
| XIV. | APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS | | | | XV. | DCA COMMENTS | S - DCA USE ONLY | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

| ı | O) | M/NI | FR | CHIE | INF | UBI | /ΔΤ | IUVI |
|----|------|---------|-----|---------|------|--------|-------|---------|
| н. | . () | / V I V | IN. | . DI HE | HIVI | C)ININ | /// / | 11 71 4 |

| A. OWNERSHIP ENTITY | Arbor Trace II Lake Park, LLC | | | Name of Principal | David Brown |
|--|--|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Office Street Address | 3548 North Crossing Circle | | | Title of Principal | Manager |
| City | Valdosta | Fed Tax ID: | 47-3466427 | Direct line | |
| State | | 2-6408 | Org Type: For Profit | Cellular | (229) 292-1316 |
| 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | (229) 244-0644 212 | E-mail | dbrown@invmgt.com | | |
| | ns, parentheses, etc - ex: 1234567890) | | * Must be | verified by applicant us | ing following website: |
| 3. PROPOSED PARTNERSHIP INFORMA 1. GENERAL PARTNER(S) | , | | | usps.com/zip4/welcome.jsp | 3 3 |
| a. Managing Gen'l Partner | BFB General Partners, LLC | | | Name of Principal | David Brown |
| Office Street Address | 3548 North Crossing Circle | | | Title of Principal | Manager |
| City | Valdosta | Website | www.invmgt.com | Direct line | manago. |
| State | GA | Zip+4 | 31602-6408 | Cellular | (229) 292-1316 |
| 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | (229) 244-0644 212 | E-mail | dbrown@invmgt.com | Contaidi | (223) 232 1313 |
| <u>u</u> | (223) 211 3311 | E man | asio iiii gacoiii | N (D.) | |
| b. Other General Partner | | | | Name of Principal | |
| Office Street Address | | 1A/ - 1 1 - | | Title of Principal | |
| City | | Website | | Direct line | |
| State | | Zip+4 | | Cellular | |
| 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | | E-mail | | | |
| c. Other General Partner | | | | Name of Principal | |
| Office Street Address | | | | Title of Principal | |
| City | | Website | | Direct line | |
| State | | Zip+4 | | Cellular | |
| 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | | E-mail | | | |
| 2. LIMITED PARTNERS (PROPOSED | OR ACTUAL) | | | | |
| a. Federal Limited Partner | to be named Churchill Stateside G | roup, LLC en | titv | Name of Principal | Keith Gloeckl |
| Office Street Address | 601 W. Cleveland Street, Suite 850 | | , | Title of Principal | CEO |
| City | Clearwater | Website | www.csgfirst.com | Direct line | (727) 233-0564 |
| State | FL | Zip+4 | 33755-4186 | Cellular | (727) 480-4700 |
| 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | (727) 461-2200 | E-mail | kgloeckl@csgfirst.com | 00.10.10.1 | () |
| b. State Limited Partner | to be named Churchill Stateside G | | | Name of Dringing | Keith Gloeckl |
| Office Street Address | 601 W. Cleveland Street. Suite 850 | noup, LLC en | шц | Name of Principal Title of Principal | CEO |
| | Clearwater | Website | www.csgfirst.com | Direct line | (727) 233-0564 |
| City State | FL | | 33755-4186 | | (727) 480-4700 |
| 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | (727) 461-2200 | Zip+4 E-mail | kgloeckl@csgfirst.com | Cellular | (121) 400-4100 |
| | (727) 401-2200 | E-IIIaii | kgloecki@csgliist.com | | |
| 3. NONPROFIT SPONSOR | | | | | |
| Nonprofit Sponsor | | | | Name of Principal | |
| Office Street Address | | | | Title of Principal | |
| City | | Website | | Direct line | |
| State | | Zip+4 | | Cellular | |
| 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | | E-mail | | | |
| | | | | | |

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

| | Do NOT delete this tab from this | workbook. Do NOT Copy from an | other workb | ook to "Paste" here . Use "Paste | e Special" and select "Value | s" instead. |
|-----|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| II. | DEVELOPER(S) | | | | | |
| | A. DEVELOPER | DHM Developer, Inc. | | | Name of Principal | David Brown |
| | Office Street Address | 3548 North Crossing Circle | | | Title of Principal | President |
| | City | Valdosta | Website | www.invmgt.com | Direct line | |
| | State | GA | Zip+4 | 31602-6408 | Cellular | (229) 292-1316 |
| | 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | (229) 244-0644 212 | E-mail | dbrown@invmgt.com | | |
| | B. CO-DEVELOPER 1 | | | | Name of Principal | |
| | Office Street Address | | | | Title of Principal | |
| | City | | Website | | Direct line | |
| | State | | Zip+4 | | Cellular | |
| | 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | | E-mail | | Contaidi | |
| | u | | _ Indii | | | |
| | C. CO-DEVELOPER 2 | | | | Name of Principal | |
| | Office Street Address | | | 1 | Title of Principal | |
| | City | | Website | | Direct line | |
| | State | | Zip+4 | | Cellular | |
| | 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | | E-mail | | | |
| | D. DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT | | | | Name of Principal | |
| | Office Street Address | | | | Title of Principal | |
| | City | | Website | | Direct line | |
| | State | | Zip+4 | | Cellular | |
| | 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | | E-mail | | | |
| 111 | OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS | | | | | |
| ш. | | | | | | |
| | A. OWNERSHIP CONSULTANT | | | | Name of Principal | |
| | Office Street Address | | 14/ 1 1 | | Title of Principal | |
| | City | | Website | | Direct line | |
| | State | | Zip+4 | | Cellular | |
| | 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | | E-mail | | | |
| | B. GENERAL CONTRACTOR | McLain & Brown Construction Co., | Inc. | | Name of Principal | Houston Brown |
| | Office Street Address | 3548 North Crossing Circle | | | Title of Principal | VP |
| | City | Valdosta | Website | www.invmgt.com | Direct line | |
| | State | GA | Zip+4 | 31602-6408 | Cellular | |
| | 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | (229) 244-0644 213 | E-mail | hbrown@invmgt.com | | |
| | C. MANAGEMENT COMPANY | Investors Management Company | | | Name of Principal | Becky Watson |
| | Office Street Address | 3548 North Crossing Circle | | | Title of Principal | CFO |
| | City | Valdosta | | www.invmgt.com | Direct line | 3. 3 |
| | State | GA | Zip+4 | 31602-6408 | Cellular | |
| | 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. | (229) 244-0644 223 | E-mail | bwatson@invmgt.com | Contain | |
| | | | - | | | |

| | PAF | RT TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake | Park, Lowndes County | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|--|--|---|
| | ab from tl | nis workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here . Use "F | | |
| D. ATTORNEY | | Coleman Talley, LLP | Name of Principal | Gregory Clark |
| Office Street Address | | 910 North Patterson Street | Title of Principal | |
| City | | Valdosta Website www.colemantalley.com | Direct line | (229) 671-8260 |
| State | | GA Zip+4 31601-4531 | Cellular | (229) 834-9704 |
| 10-Digit Office Phone | / Ext. | (229) 671-8260 E-mail greg.clark@colemantalley.co | om | |
| E. ACCOUNTANT | | Habif Arogeti & Wynne, PC | Name of Principal | Frank Gudger |
| Office Street Address | | Five Concourse Parkway, Suite 1000 | Title of Principal | Partner |
| City | | Atlanta Website www.hawcpa.com | Direct line | (404) 898-8244 |
| State | | GA Zip+4 30328-6132 | Cellular | |
| 10-Digit Office Phone | / Ext. | (404) 892-9651 E-mail frank.gudger@hawcpa.com | | |
| F. ARCHITECT | | Studio 8 Design Architects | Name of Principal | Robert Byington Jr |
| Office Street Address | | 2722 North Oak Street | Title of Principal | Managing Partner |
| City | | Valdosta Website http://www.s8darchitects.com | | (229) 244-1188 |
| State | | GA Zip+4 31602-1770 | Cellular | (==0) = : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : |
| 10-Digit Office Phone | / Fxt | (229) 244-1188 E-mail rbyington@s8darchitects.con | | |
| a a | | answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.) | • | |
| A. LAND SELLER (If applicable | | Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II Principal David A. Brown | 10-Digit Phone / Ext. | 2292440644 |
| Office Street Address | -, | 3548 North Crossing Circle | City | Valdosta |
| State | | GA Zip+4 31602-6408 E-mail dbrown@invmg | | |
| B. IDENTITY OF INTEREST | | | • | |
| Is there an ID of interest between: | Yes/No | If Yes, explain relationship in boxes provided below, and use Comment box at botto | om of this tab or attach additional pa | iges as needed: |
| Developer and | Yes | The Developer Shareholders are also Shareholders and/or officers of the Contractor. | · | |
| Contractor? | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Buyer and Seller of | Yes | David A. Brown is a member of the general partner entity for both the Buyer and Seller. | | |
| Land/Property? | | | | |
| 3. Owner and Contractor? | Yes | The members of the General Partner Entity are Stockholders and/or officers of the Contractor | | |
| or owner and contactor. | . 00 | , | | |
| | | | | |
| 4. Owner and Consultant? | No | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5. Syndicator and | No | | | |
| Developer? | 140 | | | |
| Developer: | | | | |
| Syndicator and | No | | | |
| Contractor? | | | | |
| 7 D. d | NI. | | | |
| 7. Developer and | No | | | |
| Consultant? | | | | |
| 8. Other | Yes | The members of the General Partner Entity are Stockholders and/or officers of the Property Managemer | nt Company. | |
| 0. Other | 163 | The second of th | ·· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | | |

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

V. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION - Continued (Answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.)

C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

| Participant | 1. Has any person, principal, or agent for this e | entity ever | 2. Is entity | 3. Org Type | 4. Project | 5. Does thi | is entity or a member of this entity have a conflict of interest with any |
|-------------------------|---|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|--|
| | been convicted of a felony (Yes or No) | | a MBE/ | (FP,NP, | Ownership | | officer, or employee of an entity that partners or contracts with the |
| | | | WBE? | CHDO) | Percentage | | If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and use Comment box at |
| | | 7 | 1102. | 0.120) | . oroomago | присанс | the bottom of this tab or attach explanation. |
| | | | | | | | the bottom of this tab of attach explanation. |
| | If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and either use | Yes/No | | | | Yes/No | Brief Explanation |
| Managina | Comment box or attach explanation. BFB General Partners, LLC | | NI. | E. D. C | 0.00000/ | | GP, Developer, Contractor and Management Agent have related members/officers: |
| Managing Genrl Prtnr | BFB General Partners, LLC | No | No | For Profit | 0.0090% | Yes | David A. Brown, Houston Brown, and Melanie Ferrell |
| | | | | | | | David A. Diowii, Flouston Brown, and Wicianic Fencil |
| Other Genri | | | | | | | |
| Prtnr 1 | | | | | | | |
| Other Genrl | | | | | | | |
| Prtnr 2 | | | | | | | |
| Federal Ltd | tto be named CSG entity | No | No | For Profit | 98.9910% | No | |
| Partner | | | | | | | |
| State Ltd | to be named CSG entity | No | No | For Profit | 1.0000% | No | |
| Partner | | | | | | | |
| NonProfit | | | | | | | |
| Sponsor | | | | | | | |
| Developer | DHM Developer, Inc. | No | No | For Profit | 0.0000% | Yes | GP, Developer, Contractor and Management Agent have related members/officers: David A. Brown, Houston Brown, and Melanie Ferrell |
| Co- | | | | | | | |
| Developer 1 | | | | | | | |
| Co- | | | | | | | |
| Developer 2 | | | | | | | |
| Owner | | | | | | | |
| Consultant | | | | | | | |
| Developer | | | | | | | |
| Consultant | | | | | | | |
| Contractor | McLain & Brown Construction Co., Inc. | No | No | For Profit | 0.0000% | Yes | GP, Developer, Contractor and Management Agent have related members/officers: David A. Brown, Houston Brown, Melanie Ferrell |
| Management | Investors Management Company | No | No | For Profit | 0.0000% | Yes | GP, Developer, Contractor and Management Agent have related members/officers: |
| Company | , , | | ,,, | . 01 1 10110 | 0.000070 | | David A. Brown, Houston Brown, Melanie Ferrell |
| | | | | Total | 100.0000% | | |
| | LICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS | | | | | | VI. DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY |
| A to-be-name | ed CSG Special Limited Partner shall own 0.001% of the | he 98.991% | 6 Federal Limit | ed Partner intere | est. | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

I. GOVERNMENT FUNDING SOURCES (check all that apply)

| Yes | Tax Credits | | | No | FHA Risk Share | No | Georgia TCAP * | | |
|-----|--|------------------------|--|----|-------------------------------------|-----|--|--------------------------------|--|
| No | Historic Rehab Credits | | | No | FHA Insured Mortgage | Yes | USDA 515 | | |
| Yes | Tax Exempt Bonds: \$ 1 | 1,998,900 | | No | Replacement Housing Funds | Yes | USDA 538 | | |
| No | Taxable Bonds | | | No | McKinney-Vento Homeless | Yes | USDA PBRA | | |
| No | CDBG | | | No | FHLB / AHP * | No | Section 8 PBRA | | |
| No | HUD 811 Rental Assistan | ce Demonstration (RAD) | | No | NAHASDA | No | Other PBRA - Source: | Specify Other PBRA Source here | |
| No | DCA HOME * Amt \$ | | | No | Neigborhood Stabilization Program * | No | National Housing Trust I | Fund | |
| No | Other HOME * Amt \$ | | | No | HUD CHOICE Neighborhoods | No | Other Type of Funding - de | scribe type/program here | |
| | Other HOME - Source Specify Other HOME Source here | | | | | | Specify Administrator of Other Funding Type here | | |

^{*}This source may possibly trigger Uniform Relocation Act and/or HUD 104(d) regmts. Check with source. For DCA HOME, refer to Relocation Manual. DCA HOME amount from DCA Consent Ltr.

II. CONSTRUCTION FINANCING

| Financing Type | | Name of Financing Entity | Amount | Effective Interest Rate | Term (In Months) |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Mortgage A | | Churchill Mortgage Investment LLC | 492,000 | 4.900% | 24 |
| Mortgage B | | USDA-RD (assumed 515 loan) | 1,306,265 | 3.250% | 360 |
| Mortgage C | | | | | |
| Federal Grant | | | | | |
| State, Local, or Private (| Grant | | | | |
| Deferred Developer Fee | S | | 376,366 | | |
| Federal Housing Credit | Equity | to be named CSG entity | 920,895 | | |
| State Housing Credit Eq | uity | to be named CSG entity | 654,007 | | |
| Other Type (specify) | Other Deferred Uses during the rehab period | | 239,633 | | |
| Other Type (specify) | | | | | |
| Other Type (specify) | | | | | |
| Total Construction Financing: | | | 3,989,166 | | |
| Total Construction Perio | d Costs from Development Budget: | | 3,125,346 | | |
| Surplus / (Shortage) of 0 | Construction funds to Construction costs: | | 863,821 | | |

Annual Debt Service in

Amort.

PART THREE - SOURCES OF FUNDS - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

Effective

IV.

III. PERMANENT FINANCING

| | | | | i eiiii | Amort. | Annual Debt Service in | |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|----------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|
| Financing Type | Name of Financing Entity | Principal Amount | Int Rate | (Years) | (Years) | Year One | Loan Type |
| Mortgage A (Lien Position 1) | Churchill Mortgage Investment LLC | 492,000 | 4.900% | 38 | 40 | 28,079 | Amortizing |
| Mortgage B (Lien Position 2) | USDA-RD | 1,306,265 | 3.250% | 30 | 50 | | |
| Mortgage C (Lien Position 3) | | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Foundation or charity funding* | | | | | | | |
| Deferred Devlpr Fee 6.83% | DHM Developer | 33,501 | | | | | |
| Total Cash Flow for Years 1 - 15: | 171,082 | | | | | | |
| DDF Percent of Cash Flow (Yrs 1-15) | 19.582% 19.582% | | | | | | |
| Cash flow covers DDF P&I? | Yes | | | | | | |
| Federal Grant | | | | | | | |
| State, Local, or Private Grant | | | | <u>Equity</u> | <u>Check</u> | <u>+ / -</u> | TC Equity |
| Federal Housing Credit Equity | to be named CSG entity | 1,261,500 | | 1,25 | 6,002 | 5,498.46 | % of TDC |
| State Housing Credit Equity | to be named CSG entity | 895,900 | | 898 | ,109 | -2,208.63 | 32% |
| Historic Credit Equity | | | | | | | 22% |
| Invstmt Earnings: T-E Bonds | | | | | | | 54% |
| Invstmt Earnings: Taxable Bonds | | | | | | | |
| Income from Operations | | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Total Permanent Financing: | | 3,989,166 | | | | | |
| Total Development Costs from Dev | 3,989,166 | | | | | | |
| Surplus/(Shortage) of Permanent fu | 0 | | | | | | |
| | sts exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I. Sect | ion II). | | | | | |

^{*}Foundation or charity funding to cover costs exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I, Section II).

IV. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

The construction/advance loan provided by Churchill Mortgage Investment LLC shall be guaranteed on a draw by draw basis via the USDA-RD 538 program. An interest only period shall be in effect for 24 months followed by amortizing payments based on 40 year amortization factor over a 38 year term.

The debt service on the assumed USDA-RD 515 loan has been deferred for a period of 20 years. The loan will be restructured so that the UPB at time of closing will be the new principal balance and the term will be set for 30 years with 50 year amortization. At the end of the 20-year deferral period, the entire principal and accrued interest is due and payable. However, it is anticipated that USDA-RD will extend the loan for the remainder of the 515 loan period if the loan is still outstanding at that time.

DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY

| I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET | | New | Acquisition | Rehabilitation | Amortizable or |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | TOTAL COST | Construction Basis | Basis | Basis | Non-Depreciable Basis |
| PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS | | Dasis | DDE DEVELO | PMENT COSTS | Dasis |
| Property Appraisal | 5,100 | | PRE-DEVELOR | 5,100 | |
| Market Study | 4,400 | | | 4,400 | |
| Environmental Report(s) | 6,250 | | | 6,250 | |
| Soil Borings | 0,200 | | | 0,200 | |
| Boundary and Topographical Survey | 5,000 | | | 5,000 | |
| Zoning/Site Plan Fees | 0,000 | | | 0,000 | |
| Other: Capital Needs Assessment | 5,400 | | | 5,400 | |
| Other: | 0,400 | | | 0,400 | |
| Other: | | | | | |
| Subto | otal 26,150 | _ | _ | 26,150 | _ |
| ACQUISITION | 20,100 | | ΔCOIII | SITION | |
| Land | 150,000 | | Acqui | SITION | 150,000 |
| Site Demolition | 100,000 | | | | 100,000 |
| Acquisition Legal Fees (if existing structures) | | | | | |
| Existing Structures | 1,302,465 | | 1,224,077 | | 78,388 |
| Subto | | | 1,224,077 | | 228,388 |
| LAND IMPROVEMENTS | 1,402,400 | | | OVEMENTS | 220,000 |
| Site Construction (On-site) Per acre: 12,717 | 50,868 | | LANDIWIN | 50,868 | |
| Site Construction (Off-site) | 00,000 | | | 00,000 | |
| Subto | otal 50,868 | _ | _ | 50,868 | _ |
| STRUCTURES | nai 00,000 | | STRUC | TURES | |
| Residential Structures - New Construction | | | 31100 | TOKES | |
| Residential Structures - Rehab | 1,147,628 | | | 1,147,628 | |
| Accessory Structures (ie. community bldg, maintenance bldg, etc.) - New Cons | | | | 1,111,020 | |
| Accessory Structures (ie. community bldg, maintenance bldg, etc.) - Rehab | 92,842 | | | 92,842 | |
| Subto | | - | _ | 1,240,470 | _ |
| CONTRACTOR SERVICES DCA Limit 14.000% | | | CONTRACTO | OR SERVICES | |
| Builder Profit: 6.000% 77,480 6.000% | 77,480 | | 3011111101 | 77,480 | |
| Builder Overhead 2.000% 25,827 2.000% | 25,827 | | | 25,827 | |
| General Requirements* 6.000% 77,480 6.000% | 77,480 | | | 77,480 | |
| *See QAP: General Requirements policy 14.000% 180,787 Subto | | - | - | 180,787 | - |
| OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS (Non-GC work scope items done by Owner) | | UTHEB CONSTRUCT | TION HARD COSTS (N | | ems done by Owner) |
| Other: | | THER CONSTRUC | TION TIAND COSTS (I | NOTE OF WORK SCOPE II | chis done by Owner) |
| | | | | | |
| | .45 per <u>Res'l</u> unit | <i>34,235.45</i> | per unit | 36.21 | per total sq ft |
| 1,472,124.50 Average Torio. 40 | .40 per <u>Res'l</u> unit SF | 40.40 | per unit sq ft | | |
| CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY | | | CONSTRUCTION | I CONTINGENCY | |
| Construction Contingency May exceed limit! 7.00% | 103,049 | | | 103,049 | |
| 5 2047 Our ArbaTrallCore Web | Dort IV/ A Llogo of E | | | | 12 of 06 |

| I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd) | | | New Construction | Acquisition | Rehabilitation | Amortizable or Non-Depreciable |
|---|------------|------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | TOTAL COST | Basis | Basis | Basis | Basis |
| CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING | | | | CONSTRUCTION P | ERIOD FINANCING | |
| Bridge Loan Fee | | - | | | | |
| Bridge Loan Interest | | - | | | | |
| Construction Loan Fee | | - | | | | |
| Construction Loan Interest | | 18,509 | | | 12,433 | 6,077 |
| Construction Legal Fees | | | | | | |
| Construction Period Inspection Fees | | 6,000 | | | 6,000 | |
| Construction Period Real Estate Tax | | | | | | |
| Construction Insurance | | 0.700 | | | 0.700 | |
| Title and Recording Fees | | 2,500 | | | 2,500 | |
| Payment and Performance bonds | | 12,913 | | | 12,913 | 0.500 |
| Other: Bond Interest Carry during Rehab Period | | 10,000 | | | 7,500 | 2,500 |
| Other: Ongoing USDA-RD Guarantee Fee during Rehab Period | California | 2,460 | | | 2,460 43,806 | 0.577 |
| DDOFFCCIONAL CEDVICEC | Subtotal | 52,382 | - | | | 8,577 |
| PROFESSIONAL SERVICES Architectural Fee - Design | | 21,100 | | PROFESSION | 21,100 | |
| Architectural Fee - Design Architectural Fee - Supervision | | 2,500 | | | 2,500 | |
| Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000 | | 2,300 | | | 2,500 | |
| Green Building Program Certification Fee (LEED or Earthcraft) | | | | | | |
| Accessibility Inspections and Plan Review | | 6,000 | | | 6,000 | |
| Construction Materials Testing | | 0,000 | | | 0,000 | |
| Engineering | | | | | | |
| Real Estate Attorney | | 20,000 | | | 20,000 | |
| Accounting | | 13,000 | | | 13,000 | |
| As-Built Survey | | 5,000 | | | 5,000 | |
| Other: | | , | | | , | |
| | Subtotal | 67,600 | - | - | 67,600 | - |
| LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Avg per unit: 198 | | | | LOCAL GOVER | NMENT FEES | |
| Building Permits | | 8,526 | | | 8,526 | |
| Impact Fees | | | | | | |
| Water Tap Fees waived? | | | | | | |
| Sewer Tap Fees waived? | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal | 8,526 | - | - | 8,526 | - |
| PERMANENT FINANCING FEES | | | | PERMANENT FI | NANCING FEES | |
| Permanent Loan Fees | | 29,428 | | | | 29,428 |
| Permanent Loan Legal Fees | | 15,000 | | | | 15,000 |
| Title and Recording Fees | | 2,500 | | | | 2,500 |
| Bond Issuance Premium | | 10.710 | | | | 10 710 |
| Cost of Issuance / Underwriter's Discount | | 40,743 | | | | 40,743 |
| Other: | Codetatat | 07.074 | | | | 07.074 |
| | Subtotal | 87,671 | | | | 87,671 |

| DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd) DCA-RELATED COSTS | | TOTAL COST | New Construction Basis | Acquisition Basis DCA-RELATED COSTS | Amortizable or Non-Depreciable Basis |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| DCA HOME Loan Pre-Application Fee (\$1000 FP/JV, \$500 NP) Tax Credit Application Fee (\$6500 ForProf/JntVent, \$5500 NonPreDCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee DCA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000) | 9,004 34,400 | 5,000 1,594 9,004 34,400 | | 56/11/25 55616 | 5,000 1,594 9,004 34,400 |
| DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000) Other: Other: | | 3,000 | | | 3,000 |
| EQUITY COSTS | Subtotal | 52,998 | | EQUITY COSTS | 52,998 |
| Partnership Organization Fees Tax Credit Legal Opinion Syndicator Legal Fees | | | | | |
| Other: Due Diligence Fee | Subtotal | 15,000 15,000 | | | 15,000 15,000 |
| DEVELOPER'S FEE | Sublotai | 13,000 | | DEVELOPER'S FEE | 13,000 |
| Developer's Overhead Consultant's Fee Guarantor Fees Developer's Profit | 0.000% 5.095% 0.000% 94.905% Subtotal | 25,000 465,655 490,655 | | 25,000 195,370 270,285 195,370 295,285 | |
| START-UP AND RESERVES | Subiolai | 430,000 | | START-UP AND RESERVES | |
| Marketing Rent-Up Reserves Operating Deficit Reserve: | 33,924 83,117 | | | | 2,500 93,000 |
| Replacement Reserve Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Other: Additional Initial Deposits to Escrow Accounts | | 8,600 16,669 | | 8,600 | 16,669 |
| Outer. | Subtotal | 120,769 | - | - 8,600 | 112,169 |
| OTHER COSTS Relocation | | 39,776 | | OTHER COSTS 39,776 | |
| Other: | Subtotal | 39,776 | - | - 39,776 | - |
| TOTAL <u>D</u> EVELOPMENT <u>C</u> OST (TDC) | Causani Tiri' | 3,989,166 | | 1,419,447 2,064,917 | 504,803 |
| Average TDC Per: Unit: 92,771.29 | Square Foot: | 98.12 | | | |

| II. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - BASIS METHOD | New Construction | 4% Acquisition | Rehabilitation | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Subtractions From Eligible Basis | Basis | Basis | Basis | |
| Amount of federal grant(s) used to finance qualifying development costs Amount of nonqualified nonrecourse financing Costs of Nonqualifying units of higher quality Nonqualifying excess portion of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) | | | | |
| Other | | | | |
| Total Subtractions From Basis: | 0 | | 0 | |
| Eligible Basis Calculation Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type: Adjusted Eligible Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation | 0 0 0 100.00% 0 | 1,419,447 1,419,447 100.00% 1,419,447 3.23% 45,848 112,545 | 2,064,917 0 2,064,917 100.00% 2,064,917 100.00% 2,064,917 3.23% 66,697 | |
| III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD Equity Gap Calculation Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL. Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required | 7,790,866 3,989,166 1,798,265 2,190,901 / 10 219,090 | from foundation or charita | , provide amount of funding ble organization to cover the ding the PCL: 0 | If proposed project has Historic Designation, indicate below (Y/N): Hist Desig |
| Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation | 1.9140 114,467 | = 1.1160 | + 0.7980 |] |
| TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit: | 112,545 | | | |
| TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower: | 112,545 | ALLOCATION CANI | NOT EXCEED MAXIM | UM - REVISE REQUE |
| IV. TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION - Lower of Tax Credit Request and Tax Credit Project Maximum | 112,545 | | | |

| V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS | VI. | DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY |
|---|-----|-----------------------------|
| The ineligible portion of the acquisition cost is included to account for cash accounts transferred to the new Owner. | | |
| Construction hard cost estimated by McLain & Brown Construction based on previous experience with similar projects. The source of the construction hard costs is from the AIA contract between the Applicant and the General Contractor. There is an Identity of Interest between the two entities. | е | |
| The Cost of Issuance/Underwriter's Discount includes the following costs: Bond Counsel (\$11,250); Underwriter Counsel (\$6,250); Underwriter Fee (\$12,993); Issuer Fee (\$3,125); Issuer Counsel (\$1,563); Trustee Fee (\$469); Trustee Counsel (\$313); Verification Agent (\$94); Rating Agency (\$313); Bond TEFRA/Advisor Fee (\$1,250); and other miscellaneous bond costs (\$3,125). There is only one bond issuance on the 16-property portfolio; therefore, the costs above are allocated evenly among all properties. | | |
| The Final Federal and State Equity Factors are atypical since the equity contribution is part of a larger portfolio fund investment, and credits are allocated according to the needs of each transaction in the portfolio. | | |
| There is no Rent-Up Reserve since this is an in-place rehab with minimal tenant disruption, tenants will continue to pay rent, and al tenants are expected to be income-qualified to remain as tenants. | | |
| | | |
| | | |

PART FOUR (b) - OTHER COSTS - 2017-0 - Arbor Trace II - Lake Park - Lowndes, County

DCA requires the Applicant provide a narrative for all "Other" development costs listed on Part IV-Uses of Funds. For any amounts shown below the Applicant needs to provide an explanation of the cost incurred by the development. The narrative should be a brief description for DCA intended to justify its inclusion as a valid development cost. Further, if the "Other" cost is included into eligible basis, a second narrative is required describing its justification into tax credit basis. Expand row size as needed to show text.

| | - | |
|--|--|--|
| DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item | Description/Nature of Cost | Basis Justification |
| PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS | | |
| Capital Needs Assessment | USDA-RD requires both a pre- and post-rehab Capital Needs Assessment Report as part of the 515 transfer and 538 application approval process. The post-rehab CNA report, as reviewed, revised and approved by USDA-RD, ensures adequate coverage of capital needs over a 20-year period which exceeds DCA's requirement of 15 years. | The CNA reports are required for determining the rehab scope of work and by DCA for an award of tax credits; therefore, the cost is deemed eligible. |
| Total Cost 5,400 Total Basis | 5,400 | |
| | ,,400 | |
| 0 | | |
| Total Cost - Total Basis | - | |
| O Total Cost | | |
| Total Cost - Total Basis | - | |

| DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item | Description/Nature of Cost | Basis Justification |
|--|--|---|
| OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS | | |
| 0 | | |
| Total Cost - Total Basis - | | |
| CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING | | |
| Bond Interest Carry during Rehab Period | Proceeds from the issuance/sale of tax exempt bonds shall be used to fund "good costs" associated with the acquisition and rehabilitation of the subject property. The entire amount of the bond proceeds are placed in an account held by Trustee and interest is owed until the bonds are deemed after Placed in Service. The amount included here is the estimated interest carry during the rehab period on the bond proceeds. | Only the portion of bond interest incurred during the rehab period (up to date of completion) is considered eligible. |
| Total Cost 10,000 Total Basis 7,500 | | |
| Ongoing USDA-RD Guarantee Fee during Rehab Period | RD requires payment of their guarantee fee on the advanced 538 funds during rehab period be built into the development budget and paid from third party sources of funds. The amount is based on 50bps x the funded amount of 538 guaranteed loan proceeds as of December in the loan funding cycle. | This is deemed an eligible cost since the cost is based on the guaranteed advanced funds used for rehab. |
| Total Cost 2,460 Total Basis 2,460 | | |
| PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | | |
| Total Cost - Total Basis - | | |

| DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item | Description/Nature of Cost | Basis Justification |
|--|---|---------------------|
| PERMANENT FINANCING FEES | | |
| 0 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total Cost - | | |
| DCA-RELATED COSTS | | |
| 0 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total Cost - | | |
| 0 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total Cost - | | |
| EQUITY COSTS | | |
| Due Diligence Fee | The equity syndicator charges the partnership a due diligence fee of \$15,000 for costs associated with reviewing and approving the investment. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total Cost 15,000 | | |

2017 Funding Application

Housing Finance and Development Division

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item

Description/Nature of Cost

Basis Justification

| Description/Nature of Cost | Basis Justification | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| USDA-RD requires funding of a Private Rental Assistance Reserve to subsidize rent increases for tenants that are occupying units not covered by the Section 521 Rental Assistance Program. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | USDA-RD requires funding of a Private Rental Assistance Reserve to subsidize rent increases for tenants that are occupying units not covered by the Section 521 Rental | | | |

PART FIVE - UTILITY ALLOWANCES - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

DCA Utility Region for project: South

Note: Elderly allowances cannot be used except at properties that have 100% HUD PBRA and satisfy the DCA definition of "elderly"

| I. UTILITY ALLOWANCE SCHEDULE #1 | | Source of L | Itility Allowances | USDA-RD Ap | USDA-RD Approved Allowances | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---|--------------|-----|----------|--|--|
| | | Date of Util | ity Allowances | December 14, | , 2017 | Structure MF | | | | |
| | | Paid By (| check one) | Tenant-P | Tenant-Paid Utility Allowances by Unit Size (# Bdrm | | | | | |
| Utility | Fuel | Tenant Owner | | Efficiency | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| Heat | Electric | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Cooking | Electric | X | | | | | | | | |
| Hot Water | Electric | Х | | | | | | | | |
| Air Conditioning | Electric | X | | | 62 | 80 | 112 | | | |
| Range/Microwave | Electric | X | | | | | | | | |
| Refrigerator | Electric | X | | | | | | | | |
| Other Electric | Electric | X | | | | | | | | |
| Water & Sewer | Submetered*? No | Х | | | 41 | 42 | 44 | | | |
| Refuse Collection | | | X | | | | | | | |
| Total Utility Allowance by Unit Size | | | | 0 | 103 | 122 | 156 | 0 | | |
| II LITILITY ALLOWAN | I. UTILITY ALLOWANCE SCHEDULE #2 | | Jtility Allowances | | | | | | | |
| III. OTIETT ALLOWAN | 102 001125022 #2 | Date of Utility Allowances | | | | Structure | | | | |
| | | • | | | | • ' | | | | |
| | | | check one) | | Tenant-Paid Utility Allowances by Unit Size (# | | | # Bdrms) | | |
| Utility | Fuel | Tenant | Owner | Efficiency | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| Heat | < <select fuel="">></select> | | | | | | | | | |
| Cooking | < <select fuel="">></select> | | | | | | | | | |
| Hot Water | < <select fuel="">></select> | | | | | | | | | |
| Air Conditioning | Electric | | | | | | | | | |
| Range/Microwave | Electric | | | | | | | | | |
| Refrigerator | Electric | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Electric | Electric | | | | | | | | | |
| Water & Sewer | Submetered*? <select></select> | | | | | | | | | |
| Refuse Collection | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Utility Allowa | nce by Unit Size | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| *Now Construction units N | ALIST he sub-metered | | | | | | | | | |

APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

The USDA-RD UA is used since the property is partially financed via the 515 loan program. The monthly utility allowance prepared by USDA-RD does not differentiate between the uses of electricity (i.e. heat, a/c, cooking, hot water and lights). As such, the entire electricity allowance is included under air conditioning since this is

DCA COMMENTS

New Construction units MUST be sub-metered.

24 of 96

PART SIX - PROJECTED REVENUES & EXPENSES - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

I. RENT SCHEDULE Do NOT cut, copy or paste cells in this tab. Complete ALL columns. For Common Space (non-income producing) units, select "N/A-CS" for Rent Type and "Common Space" for Employee Unit.

| HOME projects - Fixed or Floating units: Are 100% of units HUD PBRA? | | | | | Max | Pro-posed | Utility PBRA Allowance Provider | | | MSA/NonMSA: <mark>Valdosta</mark> | | | AMI 50,300 | Certifie Historic |
|---|--------|--------|-------|--------|---------------|-----------|--|------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Rent | Nbr of | No. of | Unit | Unit | Gross Rent | Gross | (UA Sched 1 UA, so over-write if UA | Subsidy *** | - | / Net Rent | Employee | Building | Type of | Deeme Historic |
| Туре | Bdrms | Baths | Count | Area | Limit | Rent | Sched 2 used) | (See note below) | Per Unit | Total | Unit | Design Type | Activity | (See QAF |
| 60% AMI | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 625 | 538 | 478 | 103 | USDA | 375 | 375 | No | 1-Story | Acquisition/Rehab | No |
| 60% AMI | 1 | 1.0 | 3 | 625 | 538 | 478 | 103 | | 375 | 1,125 | No | 1-Story | Acquisition/Rehab | No |
| 60% AMI | 2 | 1.5 | 4 | 860 | 646 | 537 | 122 | USDA | 415 | 1,660 | No | Townhome | Acquisition/Rehab | No |
| 60% AMI | 2 | 1.5 | 26 | 860 | 646 | 537 | 122 | | 415 | 10,790 | No | Townhome | Acquisition/Rehab | No |
| 60% AMI | 3 | 1.5 | 2 | 910 | 746 | 606 | 156 | USDA | 450 | 900 | No | Townhome | Acquisition/Rehab | No |
| 60% AMI | 3 | 1.5 | 6 | 910 | 746 | 606 | 156 | | 450 | 2,700 | No | Townhome | Acquisition/Rehab | No |
| 60% AMI | 2 | 1.0 | 1 | 860 | 646 | 537 | 122 | | 415 | 415 | Residential | 1-Story | Acquisition/Rehab | No |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| < <select>></select> | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | | TOTAL | 43 | 36,440 | | | | MONI | THLY TOTAL | 17,965 | | | | |

^{***} NOTE: When selecting "PHA Operating Subsidy" in the "PBRA Provider or Operating Subsidy" column above, please also then enter a zero in the "Proposed Gross Rent" column above AND include the PHA operating subsidy amount in the "III. Ancillary and Other Income" section below. Also refer to the Application Instructions provided separately.

II. UNIT SUMMARY

| Units: | | | | Efficiency | 1BR | 2BR | 3BR | 4BR | Total | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------------------------|
| | Low-Income | | 60% AMI | 0 | 4 | 31 | 8 | 0 | 43 | 1, |
| | | | 50% AMI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (Includes inc-restr mgr |
| NOTE TO | | | Total | 0 | 4 | 31 | 8 | 0 | 43 | units) |
| APPLICANTS: | Unrestricted | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Total Residential | | | 0 | 4 | 31 | 8 | 0 | 43 | 1 |
| If the | Common Space | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | (no rent charged) |
| numbers | Total | | | 0 | 4 | 31 | 8 | 0 | 43 | 1 |
| compiled in | | | | | | | • | | • | _ |
| this Summary | PBRA-Assisted | | 60% AMI | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 7 | |
| do not appear | (included in LI above |) | 50% AMI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| to match | | | Total | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 7 | |
| what was | DIIA On a setima o | North actuals of | | | - | • | | | | 7 |
| entered in the | PHA Operating S | Subsidy- | 60% AMI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Rent Chart | Assisted | | 50% AMI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ |
| above, please | (included in LI above |) | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ |
| verify that all | | New Construction | Low Inc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| • | Construction | 140W Constitution | Unrestricted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <u> </u> |
| applicable | | | Total + CS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| columns were | ACTIVITY | Acq/Rehab | Low Inc | 0 | 4 | 31 | 8 | 0 | 43 | 1 |
| completed in | | Acq/iteriab | Unrestricted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| the rows | | | Total + CS | 0 | 4 | 31 | 8 | 0 | 43 | |
| used in the | | Substantial Rehab | Low Inc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Rent Chart | | Only | Unrestricted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| above. | | Citiy | Total + CS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Adaptive Reuse | 10141100 | 0 | U | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Historic Adaptive Reuse | | | | | | | 0 | <u> </u> |
| | | Historic | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |] |
| | Building Type: | Multifamily | | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| | (for <i>Utility</i> | Wateraring | 1-Story | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| | Allowance and | | Historic | ő | 0 | 0 | Ö | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | 2-Story | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | other purposes) | | Historic | ő | Ő | 0 | Ö | 0 | Ö | |
| | | | 2-Story Wlkp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | | Historic | ő | Ő | 0 | Ö | 0 | Ö | |
| | | | 3+-Story | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | | Historic | ő | 0 | 0 | Ö | 0 | Ö | |
| | | SF Detached | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | † |
| | | c. Diagnos | Historic | l ől | 0 | 0 | Ö | 0 | Ö | |
| | | Townhome | | 0 | 0 | 30 | 8 | 0 | 38 | 1 |
| | | | Historic | ő | Ő | 0 | Ö | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Duplex | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | -1 | Historic | ő | Ő | 0 | Ö | 0 | Ö | |
| | | Manufactured home | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | | Historia | ١ | 0 | 0 | ١ | 0 | ١ | |

Historic

0

0

0

0

0

| Georgia Department of Community Affairs | | | | 2017 F | 2017 Funding Application | | | | Housing Finance and Development Division | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------|-------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|--|----------|----|--|
| Building Ty | rpe: Detached / SemiDe | etached | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| (for Cost L | | | Historic | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| purposes) | Row House | | | | 0 | 4 | 31 | 8 | 0 | 43 | | |
| | | | Historic | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Walkup | | I lists wis | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Elevator | | Historic | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Elevator | | Historic | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Unit Square Footage: | | | THOTOTIO | L | <u> </u> | Ū | Ŭ | 0 | 0 | <u> </u> | l | |
| Low Incom | е | | 60% AMI | [| 0 | 2,500 | 26,660 | 7,280 | 0 | 36,440 |] | |
| | | | 50% AMI | | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | | Total | | 0 | 2,500 | 26,660 | 7,280 | 0 | 36,440 | | |
| Unrestricte | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Total Resid | | | | - | 0 | 2,500 | 26,660 | 7,280 | 0 | 36,440 | | |
| Common S | Space | | | - | 0 | 0 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Total III. ANCILLARY AND OTH | IED INCOME (annual a | ma.mtal | | L | 0 | 2,500 | 26,660 | 7,280 | 0 | 36,440 | ļ | |
| | IER INCOME (annual al | mounts) | | 0.450 | | Launder van | dina onn food | to Astrolas | of DCI. | 4.000/ | 1 | |
| Ancillary Income | | | | 3,450 | | Laundry, veni | ding, app fees, o | eic. Actual pc | or PGI: | 1.60% | | |
| Other Income (OI) by Yea Included in Mgt Fee: | ar: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | |
| Operating Subsidy | | | <u> </u> | , i | - | | | , | <u> </u> | l | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total OI in N | /lgt Fee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NOT Included in Mgt Fee. | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Property Tax Abatement Other: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | T in Mgt Fee | _ | _ | - | | _ | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | |
| Included in Mgt Fee: | I minger oo | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | |
| Operating Subsidy | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total OI in N | /lgt Fee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NOT Included in Mgt Fee. Property Tax Abatement | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | T in Mgt Fee | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Included in Mgt Fee: | J | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | |
| Operating Subsidy | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total OI in N NOT Included in Mgt Fee. | /lgt Fee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Property Tax Abatement | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total OI NO | T in Mgt Fee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Included in Mgt Fee: | | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | _ | | | | | |
| Operating Subsidy | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4 · (E · · | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Total OI in N | /lgt Fee | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | |
| NOT Included in Mgt Fee: | /lgt Fee | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | |
| NOT Included in Mgt Fee. Property Tax Abatement Other: | /lgt Fee T in Mgt Fee | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | |

Taxes and Insurance

IV. ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BUDGET

| V. ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BUDGET | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| On-Site Staff Costs | | | | | | | |
| Management Salaries & Benefits | 21,511 | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Salaries & Benefits | 13,250 | | | | | | |
| Support Services Salaries & Benefits | | | | | | | |
| Other (describe here) | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | 34,761 | | | | | | |
| On-Site Office Costs | | | | | | | |
| Office Supplies & Postage | 2,400 | | | | | | |
| Telephone | 2,700 | | | | | | |
| Travel | 600 | | | | | | |
| Leased Furniture / Equipment | 750 | | | | | | |
| Activities Supplies / Overhead Cost | | | | | | | |
| Misc Admin | 403 | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | 6,853 | | | | | | |
| Maintenance Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Contracted Repairs | | | | | | | |
| General Repairs | | | | | | | |
| Grounds Maintenance | 11,952 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| On-Site Security | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Contracted Guard | |
| Electronic Alarm System | |
| Subtotal | 0 |

| Professional Services | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Legal | 750 | | | | | | |
| Accounting | 3,996 | | | | | | |
| Advertising | 400 | | | | | | |
| Other (describe here) | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | 5,146 | | | | | | |

| Utilities (Avg\$/mth/uni | t) |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Electricity 11 | 5,700 |
| Natural Gas 0 | |
| Water&Swr 1 | 545 |
| Trash Collection | 8,160 |
| Other (describe here) | |

VI.

Subtotal

| Real Estate Taxes (Gross)* | 13,729 |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Insurance** | 9,059 |
| Misc Fees/Assessments | 250 |
| Subtotal | 23 038 |

| Management F | 26,420 | |
|--------------|-------------------------|------|
| 660.67 | Average per unit per ye | ar |
| 55.06 | Average per unit per mo | onth |

(Mgt Fee - see Pro Forma, Sect 1, Operating Assumptions)

OE below required minimum.

14,405

DCA COMMENTS

WARNING! TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES

135,696

Average per unit 3,155.72 Total OE Required

| Replacem | 18,490 | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Proposed ave | Proposed averaga RR/unit amount: | | | | | | |
| <u>Minimu</u> | Minimum Replacement Reserve | | | | | | |
| Unit Type | | Units x RR Min | Total by Type | | | | |
| Multifamily | | | | | | | |
| Rehab | | 43 units x \$350 = | 15,050 | | | | |
| New Constr | | 0 units x \$250 = | 0 | | | | |
| SF or Duplex | | 0 units x \$420 = | 0 | | | | |
| Historic Rhb | | 0 units x \$420 = | 0 | | | | |
| Т | otals | 43 | 15,050 | | | | |

154,186

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

PBRA/USDA Subsidy - the units identified in the rent roll above have Section 521 Rental Assistance in which the tenant portion of rent is equal to 30% of Total Household Income with USDA-RD subsidizing the remaining rent payment owed. The rents used in the application are the rents approved by USDA-RD in their review of the transfer & assumption of the existing 515 debt.

2,000

1,000

121 25,073

10,000

Real Estate Taxes - based on current assessment and millage rate inflated by 10%

Insurance - based on prior year premium inflated by 5%.

Subtotal

Extermination

Redecorating Other Maintenance

Maintenance Supplies

Elevator Maintenance

NOTE: OE is above the \$3,000pu threshold for USDA projects that include 515 loans as a funding source. The Subject will include assumption of existing 515 financing.

TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES

| | | PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County | |
|--------------------------|---------|---|------------|
| I. OPERATING ASSUME | PTIONS | Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten | if needed. |
| Revenue Growth | 2.00% | Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 2,000 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: | -0.98% |
| Expense Growth | 3.00% | charged by all lenders/investors) | |
| Reserves Growth | 3.00% | Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: | 12.97% |
| Vacancy & Collection Los | s 7.00% | Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Yes> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: | 26,420 |
| Ancillary Income Limit | 2.00% | Percent of Effective Gross Income No> If Yes, indicate actual percentage: | |

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues | 215,580 | 219,892 | 224,289 | 228,775 | 233,351 | 238,018 | 242,778 | 247,634 | 252,586 | 257,638 |
| Ancillary Income | 3,450 | 3,519 | 3,589 | 3,661 | 3,734 | 3,809 | 3,885 | 3,963 | 4,042 | 4,123 |
| Vacancy | (15,332) | (15,639) | (15,952) | (16,271) | (16,596) | (16,928) | (17,266) | (17,612) | (17,964) | (18,323) |
| Other Income (OI) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Expenses less Mgt Fee | (109,276) | (112,554) | (115,931) | (119,409) | (122,991) | (126,681) | (130,481) | (134,396) | (138,428) | (142,580) |
| Property Mgmt | (26,420) | (27,213) | (28,029) | (28,870) | (29,736) | (30,628) | (31,547) | (32,493) | (33,468) | (34,472) |
| Reserves | (18,490) | (19,045) | (19,616) | (20,205) | (20,811) | (21,435) | (22,078) | (22,740) | (23,423) | (24,125) |
| NOI | 49,512 | 48,960 | 48,351 | 47,682 | 46,951 | 46,155 | 45,291 | 44,356 | 43,346 | 42,260 |
| Mortgage A | (30,539) | (30,506) | (30,472) | (30,436) | (30,398) | (30,359) | (30,318) | (30,275) | (30,230) | (30,184) |
| Mortgage B | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mortgage C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| D/S Other Source,not DDF | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DCA HOME Cash Resrv. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asset Mgmt | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) |
| Cash Flow | 16,973 | 16,454 | 15,880 | 15,247 | 14,553 | 13,796 | 12,973 | 12,081 | 11,116 | 10,076 |
| DCR Mortgage A | 1.62 | 1.60 | 1.59 | 1.57 | 1.54 | 1.52 | 1.49 | 1.47 | 1.43 | 1.40 |
| DCR Mortgage B | | | | | | | | | | |
| DCR Mortgage C | | | | | | | | | | |
| DCR Other Source | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total DCR | 1.62 | 1.60 | 1.59 | 1.57 | 1.54 | 1.52 | 1.49 | 1.47 | 1.43 | 1.40 |
| Oper Exp Coverage Ratio | 1.32 | 1.31 | 1.30 | 1.28 | 1.27 | 1.26 | 1.25 | 1.23 | 1.22 | 1.21 |
| Mortgage A Balance | 485,423 | 478,549 | 471,367 | 463,861 | 456,017 | 447,820 | 439,255 | 430,304 | 420,950 | 411,175 |
| Mortgage B Balance | 1,349,357 | 1,393,870 | 1,439,852 | 1,487,350 | 1,536,416 | 1,587,100 | 1,639,456 | 1,693,539 | 1,749,406 | 1,807,117 |
| Mortgage C Balance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Source Balance | | | | | | | | | | |

Mortgage C Balance Other Source Balance

| | PAF | RT SEVEN - OF | PERATING PRO | D FORMA - 20 | 017-0 Arbor Tra | ace II, Lake Pa | rk, Lowndes C | ounty | | |
|---|----------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue Growth Expense Growth | 2.00% 3.00% | | Asset Manager charged by all lend | | Int (include total | 2,000 | Yr 1 Asset | Mgt Fee Perce | ntage of EGI: | -0.98% |
| Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Loss | 3.00% | | Property Mgt F Expense Gr | ee Growth Rate owth Rate | | | | // // // // // // // // // // // // // | | 12.97% 26,42 |
| Ancillary Income Limit | 2.00% | • | Percent of E | Effective Gross | Income | No | > If Yes, indi | cate actual per | centage: | |
| II. OPERATING PRO FOR | MA | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| Revenues | 262,791 | 268,047 | 273,408 | 278,876 | 284,453 | 290,142 | 295,945 | 301,864 | 307,901 | 314,059 |
| Ancillary Income | 4,206 | 4,290 | 4,375 | 4,463 | 4,552 | 4,643 | 4,736 | 4,831 | 4,927 | 5,026 |
| Vacancy | (18,690) | (19,064) | (19,445) | (19,834) | (20,230) | (20,635) | (21,048) | (21,469) | (21,898) | (22,336 |
| Other Income (OI) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Expenses less Mgt Fee | (146,858) | (151,264) | (155,801) | (160,475) | (165,290) | (170,248) | (175,356) | (180,617) | (186,035) | (191,616 |
| Property Mgmt | (35,506) | (36,571) | (37,669) | (38,799) | (39,963) | (41,161) | (42,396) | (43,668) | (44,978) | (46,328 |
| Reserves | (24,849) | (25,594) | (26,362) | (27,153) | (27,968) | (28,807) | (29,671) | (30,561) | (31,478) | (32,422 |
| NOI | 41,094 | 39,844 | 38,505 | 37,077 | 35,555 | 33,934 | 32,211 | 30,381 | 28,440 | 26,383 |
| Mortgage A | (30,135) | (30,084) | (30,030) | (29,975) | (29,916) | (29,855) | (29,792) | (29,725) | (29,656) | (29,583 |
| Mortgage B | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mortgage C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| D/S Other Source,not DDF | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DCA HOME Cash Resrv. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asset Mgmt | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000 |
| Cash Flow | 8,959 | 7,760 | 6,475 | 5,103 | 3,638 | 2,079 | 419 | (1,345) | (3,216) | (5,200 |
| DCR Mortgage A | 1.36 | 1.32 | 1.28 | 1.24 | 1.19 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 0.96 | 0.89 |
| DCR Mortgage B | | | | | | | | | | |
| DCR Mortgage C | | | | | | | | | | |
| DCR Other Source | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total DCR | 1.36 | 1.32 | 1.28 | 1.24 | 1.19 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 0.96 | 0.89 |
| Oper Exp Coverage Ratio | 1.20 | 1.19 | 1.18 | 1.16 | 1.15 | 1.14 | 1.13 | 1.12 | 1.11 | 1.10 |
| Mortgage A Balance | 400,960 | 390,286 | 379,131 | 367,475 | 355,293 | 342,564 | 329,262 | 315,361 | 300,834 | 285,654 |
| Mortgage B Balance | 1,866,731 | 1,928,311 | 1,991,924 | 2,057,634 | 2,125,513 | 2,195,630 | 2,268,061 | 2,342,881 | 2,420,169 | 2,500,006 |
| iviorigage B Balarioe | 1,000,701 | 1,020,011 | 1,001,024 | 2,007,004 | 2,120,010 | 2,100,000 | 2,200,001 | 2,072,001 | 2,720,103 | 2,000,000 |

| | | PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 Arbor | Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County |
|-------------------------|----------|---|--|
| I. OPERATING ASSUM | IPTIONS | Please Note: Green-shaded co | cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed. |
| Revenue Growth | 2.00% | Asset Management Fee Amount (include total | 2,000 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -0.98% |
| Expense Growth | 3.00% | charged by all lenders/investors) | |
| Reserves Growth | 3.00% | Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose on | ne): Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: 12.97% |
| Vacancy & Collection Lo | ss 7.00% | Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) | Yes> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: 26,420 |
| Ancillary Income Limit | 2.00% | Percent of Effective Gross Income | No> If Yes, indicate actual percentage: |

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

| Year | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues | 320,341 | 326,747 | 333,282 | 339,948 | 346,747 | 353,682 | 360,755 | 367,971 | 375,330 | 382,837 |
| Ancillary Income | 5,127 | 5,229 | 5,334 | 5,440 | 5,549 | 5,660 | 5,773 | 5,889 | 6,007 | 6,127 |
| Vacancy | (22,783) | (23,238) | (23,703) | (24,177) | (24,661) | (25,154) | (25,657) | (26,170) | (26,694) | (27,227) |
| Other Income (OI) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Expenses less Mgt Fee | (197,365) | (203,286) | (209,384) | (215,666) | (222,136) | (228,800) | (235,664) | (242,734) | (250,016) | (257,516) |
| Property Mgmt | (47,717) | (49,149) | (50,623) | (52,142) | (53,706) | (55,318) | (56,977) | (58,686) | (60,447) | (62,260) |
| Reserves | (33,395) | (34,397) | (35,429) | (36,492) | (37,586) | (38,714) | (39,875) | (41,072) | (42,304) | (43,573) |
| NOI | 24,208 | 21,907 | 19,477 | 16,912 | 14,207 | 11,356 | 8,356 | 5,198 | 1,877 | (1,613) |
| Mortgage A | (29,507) | (29,428) | (29,345) | (29,258) | (29,168) | (29,073) | (28,974) | (28,871) | (28,763) | (28,650) |
| Mortgage B | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mortgage C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| D/S Other Source,not DDF | • | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - |
| DCA HOME Cash Resrv. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asset Mgmt | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) |
| Cash Flow | (7,299) | (9,521) | (11,868) | (14,347) | (16,961) | (19,717) | (22,619) | (25,673) | (28,887) | (32,264) |
| DCR Mortgage A | 0.82 | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.58 | 0.49 | 0.39 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.07 | (0.06) |
| DCR Mortgage B | | | | | | | | | | |
| DCR Mortgage C | | | | | | | | | | |
| DCR Other Source | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total DCR | 0.82 | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.58 | 0.49 | 0.39 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.07 | (0.06) |
| Oper Exp Coverage Ratio | 1.09 | 1.08 | 1.07 | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 1.00 |
| Mortgage A Balance | 269,791 | 253,214 | 235,890 | 217,788 | 198,870 | 179,102 | 158,443 | 136,855 | 114,296 | 90,721 |
| Mortgage B Balance | 2,582,478 | 2,667,670 | 2,755,672 | 2,846,578 | 2,940,482 | 3,037,485 | 3,137,687 | 3,241,194 | 3,348,116 | 3,458,566 |
| Mortgage C Balance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Source Balance | | | | | | | | | | |

PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

| I. OPERATING ASSU | MPTIONS | Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten in | f needed. |
|---|----------------|--|------------------|
| Revenue Growth Expense Growth | 2.00% 3.00% | Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 2,000 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: charged by all lenders/investors) | -0.98% |
| Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Le | | Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: > If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: | 12.97% 26,420 |
| Ancillary Income Limit | 2.00% | Percent of Effective Gross Income No> If Yes, indicate actual percentage: | |

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

| Year | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues | 390,493 | 398,303 | 406,269 | 414,395 | 422,683 |
| Ancillary Income | 6,249 | 6,374 | 6,502 | 6,632 | 6,764 |
| Vacancy | (27,772) | (28,327) | (28,894) | (29,472) | (30,061) |
| Other Income (OI) | - | - | - | - | - |
| OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee | - | - | - | - | - |
| Expenses less Mgt Fee | (265,242) | (273,199) | (281,395) | (289,837) | (298,532) |
| Property Mgmt | (64,128) | (66,052) | (68,034) | (70,075) | (72,177) |
| Reserves | (44,880) | (46,226) | (47,613) | (49,042) | (50,513) |
| NOI | (5,279) | (9,127) | (13,165) | (17,399) | (21,836) |
| Mortgage A | (28,533) | (28,409) | (28,281) | (28,146) | (28,006) |
| Mortgage B | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mortgage C | - | - | - | - | - |
| D/S Other Source,not DDF | - | - | - | - | - |
| DCA HOME Cash Resrv. | | | | | |
| Asset Mgmt | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) | (2,000) |
| Cash Flow | (35,812) | (39,537) | (43,446) | (47,545) | (51,842) |
| DCR Mortgage A | (0.19) | (0.32) | (0.47) | (0.62) | (0.78) |
| DCR Mortgage B | | | | | |
| DCR Mortgage C | | | | | |
| DCR Other Source | | | | | |
| Total DCR | (0.19) | (0.32) | (0.47) | (0.62) | (0.78) |
| Oper Exp Coverage Ratio | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.95 |
| Mortgage A Balance | 66,085 | 40,341 | 13,438 | (14,675) | (44,054) |
| Mortgage B Balance | 3,572,659 | 3,690,515 | 3,812,260 | 3,938,021 | 4,067,930 |
| Mortgage C Balance | | | | | |
| Other Source Balance | | | | | |

| | PART SEVEN - O | PERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 Arbor To | race II, Lake Pai | rk, Lowndes County | |
|---|--|--|-------------------|---|---|
| I. OPERATING ASSUMPT Revenue Growth Expense Growth Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Loss Ancillary Income Limit II. OPERATING PRO FOR | 2.00% 3.00% 3.00% 7.00% 2.00% | Please Note: Green-shaded cells Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors) Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one) Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Percent of Effective Gross Income | 2,000 : Yes | r use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritted. Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: > If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: > If Yes, indicate actual percentage: | en if needed. -0.98% 12.97% 26,420 |
| III. Applicant Comments | & Clarifications | | IV. DCA Com | ments | |
| As outlined in the 2017 QAP threshol demonstrate feasibility, or reduce it to the required DCR is included in their higher if so required by the Lender). | o match other government program fundi funding commitment. USDA-RD allows This is well below the DCA threshold of | is also equal to the DCA threshold. In debt coverage ratio for USDA 515 projects that clearly ing requriements provided that confirmation from the agency of a combined DSCR on all mandatory-pay debt to be 1.15 (or 1.25 per the QAP. As such, the Project does not meet the anent lender at a lesser threshold. A pre-app waiver request | f | | |
| process to increase rents to sufficient approval process will make the project | tly cover any potential increase in operat ct financialluy feasible for all the pro form ing USDA-RD Guarantee Fee equal to th | usts rents and expenses through an annual budget approval ing expenses. This annual USDA RD mandated budget ha years outlined. The UPB from the preceding year end times 0.50% is added to | | | |

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

| | | Applicant F | Response DCA USE |
|--|---|---------------------|------------------|
| FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) | <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fund | ding round and have | |
| DCA's Overall Comments / Approval Conditions: | no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. | L | |
| 1.) | | | |
| | | | |
| 2.) | | | |
| | | | |
| 3.) | | | |
| 4.) | | | |
| T -, | | | |
| 5.) | | | |
| | | | |
| 6.) | | | |
| 7.) 8.) | | | |
| 9.) | | | |
| 10.) | | | |
| 11.) | | | |
| 12.) | | | |
| 13.) | | | |
| 14.) 15.) | | | |
| 16.) | | | |
| 17.) | | | |
| 18.) | | | |
| 19.) | | | |
| 20.) | | | |
| 1 PROJECT FEASIBILITY, VIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND CONFORMANO | E WITH PLAN | Pass? | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | | | |
| The project meets all of DCA's feasibility requirements as stated in the 2017 QAP. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County

| | | | | | | | | | , | Applicant | Response | DCA USE |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| FINΔI | THRESHOLD | DETERMINAT | ION (DCA Use C | nlv) | Disclaimer: DCA | | | | e corresponding fundin | g round and have | | |
| | T LIMITS | DETERMINAT | ION (DOA 036 C | , i i i y <i>j</i> | | no effect on su | bsequent or future | e funding round s | coring decisions. | Pass? | | |
| | nts are linked to Rent Chart in | Part VI Pavanues & | New Construction and | 7 | | Historia D | Rehab or Tra | ncit Orionto | d Doylamt | r ass: | | |
| | Cost Limit Per Unit totals by u | | Acquisition/Rehabilitation | | | | or Historic Pr | | - | ls th | is Criterion met? | |
| | Unit Type | Nbr Units | Unit Cost Limit tota | J al by I Init Tyne | 2 | Nbr Units | | | al by Unit Type | 10 41 | o ontonon mot. | |
| Detached/Se | • • • | 0 0 | 117,818 x 0 units = | 0 | _ | 0 | | (0 units = | 0 | | | |
| mi-Detached | • | 1 0 | 154,420 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 169,862 | | 0 | | MSA for 0 | Cost Limit |
| IIII-Detacheu | 2 BR | 2 0 | 187,511 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 206,262 | | 0 | | purp | oses: |
| | 3 BR | 3 0 | 229,637 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 252,600 > | | 0 | 1 | | |
| | 4 BR | 4 0 | 270,341 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 297,375 | | 0 | | Vald | osta |
| | Subotal | 0 | 270,341 X 0 utilis = | 0 | _ | | 291,313) | (U uriils = | 0 | ļ | Tot Dovolon | ment Costs: |
| D | | | 440 224 0 | 0 | | 0 | 404.007. | . 0 | · · | İ | Tot Develop | illelli Cosis. |
| Row House | Efficiency | 0 | 110,334 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | , | (0 units = | 0 | | 3.989 | 9,166 |
| | 1 BR | 1 4 | 144,909 x 4 units = | 579,636 | | 0 | 159,399 > | | 0 | | • | - |
| | 2 BR | 2 31 | 176,506 x 31 units = | 5,471,686 | | 0 | 194,156 > | | 0 | Ī | Cost waiv | er Amount: |
| | 3 BR | 8 | 217,443 x 8 units = | 1,739,544 | | 0 | 239,187 | | 0 | | | |
| | 4 BR | 4 0 43 | 258,414 x 0 units = | 0 | = | 0 | 284,255 > | (U units = | 0 | | Lliotorio Dros | servation Pts |
| | Subotal | | | | | • | | | - | ı | | |
| Walkup | Efficiency | 0 | 91,210 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 100,331 > | | 0 | | |) |
| | 1 BR | 1 0 | 125,895 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 138,484 > | | 0 | ı | | ransp Opt Pts |
| | 2 BR | 2 0 | $159,553 \times 0 \text{ units} =$ | 0 | | 0 | 175,508 > | | 0 | | |) |
| | 3 BR | 3 0 | 208,108 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 228,918 > | | 0 | | | |
| | 4 BR Subotal | 4 0 | 259,274 x 0 units = | 0 | _, | 0 | 285,201 > | k 0 units = | 0 | | Projec | t Cost |
| Elevator | Efficiency | 0 0 | 95,549 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 105,103 > | v ∩ unite – | 0 | | Limit | |
| Lievatoi | 1 BR | 1 0 | 133,769 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 147,145 | | 0 | | | • |
| | 2 BR | 2 0 | 171,988 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 189,186 | | 0 | | 7,790 |),866 |
| | 3 BR | 3 0 | 229,318 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 252,249 | | 0 | l | Note: if a PUCL | Waiyor bas boon |
| | 4 BR | 4 0 | 286,647 x 0 units = | 0 | | 0 | 315,311 | | 0 | | | |
| | Subotal | | 200,047 X 0 driit3 = | 0 | _ | 0 | 313,3117 | (o unito = | 0 | | , | CA, that amount de the amounts |
| Total Per C | Construction Type | 43 | | 7,790,866 | = | 0 | | | | | shown | |
| | nold Justification per A | | | 1,170,000 | | DCA's Comme | ents: | | Ü | | 0.101111 | |
| | | | than DCA's cost limits. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 TENA | ANCY CHARACT | TERISTICS | This project is designated a | as: | | Family | | | | Pass? | | |
| | nold Justification per A | | | | | DCA's Comme | ents: | | | l. | | |
| | | ate as a family project. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 REQ | UIRED SERVICE | S | | | | | | | | Pass? | | |
| A. Ap | plicant certifies that the | ney will designate the s | specific services and meet | the additional | policies relate | d to services. | [| Does Applic | ant agree? | | Agree | |
| | • | , | at least 2 categories belov | | • | | - | | | elow for Senio | r projects: | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | ers, movie night | | ' ' | |
| 2) Or | n-site enrichment class | ses | ,, , , | Specify: | computer tra | ining, financia | al training, a | rts and craft | ts | | | |
| , | -site health classes | | | Specify: | | | | | /elopment, smo | king cessation | on, etc. | |
| , | her services approved | d by DCA | | Specify: | 3 *, | | | | | J | | |
| , | • • • | • | ongregate supportive hous | | ents: | | | | | | | |
| | | | of care or service provide | | | C. | | | | | | |
| | nold Justification per A | • • | | | | DCA's Comme | ents: | | | | | |
| | | | and provide the required | services for a | a family project | | | | | | | |

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County Applicant Response DCA USE <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and ha FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Pass? 5 MARKET FEASIBILITY A. Gill Group A. Provide the name of the market study analyst used by applicant: B. In/a B. Project absorption period to reach stabilized occupancy C. 96.00% C. Overall Market Occupancy Rate D. Overall capture rate for tax credit units D. 1.80% E. List DCA tax credit projects in close proximity to properties funded in 2014 or 2015. Include DCA project number and project name in each case. Project Nbr Project Name Project Nbr Project Name Project Nbr Project Name 2015-010 Lakeview Gardens F. Does the unit mix/rents and amenities included in the application match those provided in the market study? Yes Threshold Justification per Applicant The Project is an tenant-in-place rehabilitation of an existing apartment community. Occupancy is expected to remain near current levels during and after the rehab period. DCA's Comments: Pass? **APPRAISALS** Yes A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? В. Yes Appraiser's Name: Gill Group If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: 1) 1) Does it provide a land value? Yes 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 2) Yes 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 3) Yes 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unencumbered appraised value of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? Yes D. Has the property been: D. 1) Rezoned? No 2) Subdivided? 2) No 3) Modified? No Threshold Justification per Applicant

Appraisal is included in TAB 06 that meets all of DCA's requirements. Project does not include HOME funds.

DCA's Comments:

2017 Funding Application PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County Applicant Response DCA USE

| | | | Disalsiman DOA | | and the section of th | and bases | _ | |
|-----|---|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|---|---------|
| FI | NAL THRESHOLD DET | TERMINATION (D | OCA Use Only) | no effect on subsequent or future | pertain only to the corresponding funding round | and nave | | |
| | ENVIRONMENTAL REQUI | - | , | no check on subsequent or luture | fulfulling round scorning decisions. | Pass? | | |
| | | | | _ | | | | |
| | . , | | nt in accordance with ASTM 1527-13: | A. G | ill Group/Spectrum Environmen | | | |
| | B. Is a Phase II Environmental Re | | | | | B. | No | |
| | C. Was a Noise Assessment perfo | | | _ | | C. | Yes | |
| | If "Yes", name of company | | | 1) | | | | |
| | | | decibels over the 10 year projection: | | | 2) | 0 | |
| | 3) If "Yes", what are the conti | | | | | | | |
| | All identified noise generate | ors (major roads, railroads | , and airports) are outside the radius benchm | arks. | | | | |
| | D. Is the subject property located | in a: | | | | D | | |
| | 1) Brownfield? | | | | | 1) | No | |
| | 100 year flood plain / floods | way? | | | | 2) | No | |
| | If "Yes": a) | Percentage of site that is | within a floodplain: | | | a) | | |
| | b) | Will any development occ | cur in the floodplain? | | | b) | | |
| | c) | Is documentation provide | d as per Threshold criteria? | | | c) | | |
| | 3) Wetlands? | | | | | 3) | No | |
| | If "Yes": a) | Enter the percentage of t | he site that is a wetlands: | | | a) | | |
| | b) | Will any development occ | cur in the wetlands? | | | b) | | |
| | c) | Is documentation provide | d as per Threshold criteria? | | | c) | | |
| | 4) State Waters/Streams/Buffe | ers and Setbacks area? | | | | 4) | No | |
| | E. Has the Environmental Profess | sional identified any of the | following on the subject property: | | | _ | | |
| | Lead-based paint? | No | 5) Endangered species? | No | 9) Mold? | | No | |
| | 2) Noise? | No | Historic designation? | No | 10) PCB's | ? | No | |
| | 3) Water leaks? | No | 7) Vapor intrusion? | No | 11) Rador | 1? | No | |
| | 4) Lead in water? | No | Asbestos-containing materia | als? No | | _ | | |
| | 12) Other (e.g., Native America | an burial grounds, etc.) - de | escribe in box below: | | | | | |
| | none | | | | | | | |
| | F. Is all additional environmental | documentation required fo | r a HOME application included, such as: | | | | | |
| | 1) Eight-Step Process for Wet | tlands and/or Floodplains i | equired and included? | | | 1) | | |
| | 2) Has Applicant/PE complete | ed the HOME and HUD En | vironmental Questionnaire? | | | 2) | | |
| | 3) Owner agrees that they mu | ıst refrain from undertaking | g any activities that could have an adverse ef | fect on the subject property? | • | 3) | | |
| | G. If HUD approval has been prev | viously granted, has the HI | JD Form 4128 been included? | | | G. | | |
| Pro | jects involving HOME funds must | t also meet the following | Site and Neighborhood Standards: | | | _ | | • |
| | H. The Census Tract for the prope | erty is characterized as [C | hoose either Minority concentration (50% or i | more minority), Racially | H. < <select>></select> | | < <se< td=""><td>elect>></td></se<> | elect>> |
| | mixed (25% - 49% minority), o | r Non-minority (less than 2 | 25% minority)]: | | | | | |
| | I. List all contiguous Census Trac | cts: | I. | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | J. Is Contract Addendum included | d in Application? | | | | J. | | |
| | Threshold Justification per Applica | | | | | | | |
| No | HOME funds involved. All environm | nental requirements are me | et. | | | | | |
| | | | · | | · | | | |

DCA's Comments:

| | Applicant F | Response | DCA USE |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. | ng round and have | | |
| | Pass? | | |
| S SITE CONTROL | - | | |
| A. Is site control provided through November 30, 2017? Expiration Date: 12/31/18 | A. | Yes | |
| B. Form of site control: B. Contract/Opti | on [· | < <select>></select> | |
| C. Name of Entity with site control: C. Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. | | | |
| D. Is there any Identity of Interest between the entity with site control and the applicant? | D. | Yes | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant Applicant has site control through purchase and sales agreement. Identity of Interest has been disclosed. | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | |
| DOA'S COMMENS. | | | |
| | | | |
| SITE ACCESS | Pass? | | |
| A. Does this site provide a specified entrance that is legally accessible by paved roads and are the appropriate drawings, surveys, photographs and other documentation reflecting such paved roads included in the electronic application binder? | A. | Yes | |
| B. If access roads are not in place, does the application contain documentation evidencing local government approval to pave the road, a commitment for funding, and the timetable for completion of such paved roads? | В. | | |
| C. If the road is going to be paved by the applicant, are these costs documented in the submitted electronic application binder and reflected in the development | C. | | |
| budget provided in the core application? | 0. | | |
| D. If use of private drive proposed, is site control of private drive documented by proof of ownership or by a properly executed easement on private drive, and are the plans for paving private drive, including associated development costs, adequately addressed in Application? | D. | | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | - | - | |
| ite is an existing apartment property legally accessible by an existing paved public road. | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | |
| | | | |
| 0 SITE ZONING | Pass? | | |
| A. Is Zoning in place at the time of this application submission? | A. | Yes | |
| B. Does zoning of the development site conform to the site development plan? | B. | Yes | |
| C. Is the zoning confirmed, in writing, by the authorized Local Government official? | C. | Yes | |
| If "Yes": 1) Is this written confirmation included in the Application? | 1) | Yes | |
| 2) Does the letter include the zoning and land use classification of the property? | 2) | Yes | |
| 3) Is the letter accompanied by a clear explanation of the requirements (include a copy of the applicable sections of the zoning ordinance highlighted for the stated classification)? | 3) | Yes | |
| 4) Is the letter accompanied by all conditions of these zoning and land use classifications? | 4) | Yes | |
| 5) If project is requesting HOME or HUD funds, does Local Government official also comment on whether project will include | 5) | .00 | |
| development of prime or unique farmland? | ´ _ | | |
| D. Is documentation provided (on the Architectural Site Conceptual Development Plan either graphically or in written form) that demonstrates that the site layout conforms to any moratoriums, density, setbacks or other requirements? | D. | Yes | |
| E. Are all issues and questions surrounding the zoning and land use classification clearly defined prior to this application submission? | E. | Yes | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | - | | |
| he proposed project is an acquisition and rehabilitation of an existing multi-family property. No new consruction involved. Zoning letter is included in TAB 10. | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | |
| | | | |

| | | g produces | | and the same of th | а – отогорт | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|----------------|---------------|
| PART EIGHT - THRESHO | LD CRITERIA | - 2017-0 Arbor Trac | ce II, Lake Park, Lown | des County | | |
| | | | | Applicant | Response | DCA USI |
| FINAL TURFOLIOL R RETERMINATION (ROALL) | . 0 .1 \ | Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and S | Scoring section reviews pertain only to the | e corresponding funding round and have | | |
| FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA US | e Only) | no effect o | on subsequent or future funding round so | | | |
| 11 OPERATING UTILITIES | | | | Pass? | | |
| A. Check applicable utilities and enter provider name: | 1) Gas | n/a | | 1) | No | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | Electric | Georgia Po | ower | 2) | Yes | |
| Georgia Power currently provides electrictiy to site and will continue to provi | de electricity to site | after rehab. | | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 12 PUBLIC WATER/SANITARY SEWER/STORM SEWER | | | | Pass? | | |
| A. 1) Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA included in this app | nlication for this crite | erion as it pertains to single | e-family detached Rural proje | ects? A1) | No | |
| If Yes, is the waiver request accompanied by an engineering re | | - | | 2) | NO | |
| B. Check all that are available to the site and enter provider | 1) Public water | | County Utilities | B1) | Yes | |
| name: | Public sewer | | County Utilities | 2) | Yes | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | Z) i ubilo sewei | Lowings | odanty dunies | 2) | 103 | |
| Lowndes County Utilities currently provides water and sewer to site and will | continue to provide | water and sewer to site af | fter rehab | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | communication provides | | | | | |
| 2 67.10 0011111101101 | | | | | | |
| 42 DECLUDED AMENITIES | | | | Pass? | | |
| 13 REQUIRED AMENITIES | | | | r ass: | | 1 |
| Is there a Pre-Approval Form from DCA included in this application for the second seco | | | | _ | _ | |
| A. Applicant agrees to provide following required Standard Site Ameni | | | | ory): A. | Agree | |
| Community area (select either community room or community by | | , | < <select>></select> | | | |
| Exterior gathering area (if "Other", explain in box provided at rig | ht): | , | < <select>></select> | If "Other", explain he | ere | |
| 3) On site laundry type: | | | On-site laundry | | | |
| B. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Additional Site A | | | | B. | Agree | |
| The nbr of additional amenities required depends on the total unit of | | | | | | l Amenities |
| Additional Amenities (describe in space provided below) | Guidebook Met? | DCA Pre-approved? | Additional Amenities (descril | pe below) | Guidebook Met? | DCA Pre-appro |
| Newly equipped playground | | 3) | | | | |
| 2) Waiver for 2nd additional Amenity | | 4) | | | | |
| C. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Unit Amenities: | | | | C. | Agree | |
| 1) HVAC systems | | | | 1) | Yes | |
| Energy Star refrigerators | | | | 2) | Yes | |
| Energy Star dishwashers (not required in senior USDA or HUD | properties) | | | 3) | No | |
| 4) Stoves | | | | 4) | Yes | |
| 5) Microwave ovens | | | | 5) | Yes | |
| 6) a. Powder-based stovetop fire suppression canisters installed a | bove the range cool | k top, OR | | 6a) | Yes | |
| b. Electronically controlled solid cover plates over stove top burn | ners | | | 6b) | No | |
| D. If proposing a Senior project or Special Needs project, Applicant ag | rees to provide the | following additional require | ed Amenities: | D. | | |
| 1) Elevators are installed for access to all units above the ground f | loor. | | | 1) | | |
| 2) Buildings more than two story construction have interior furnished | ed gathering areas i | n several locations in the I | lobbies and/or corridors | 2) | | |
| 3) a. 100% of the units are accessible and adaptable, as defined b | y the Fair Housing | Amendments Act of 1988 | | 3a) | | |
| b. If No, was a DCA Architectural Standards waiver granted? | | | | 3b) | | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | | | | , | | |
| The community area, exterior gathering, and 2nd additional amenity have re | ceived waiver appro | oval from DCA. | | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | | | | |

| | | Applicant I | Response | DCA USE |
|---|--|-------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| INAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) | <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fundi | ng round and have | | |
| ` | no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. | Poss? | | |
| 4 REHABILITATION STANDARDS (REHABILITATION PROJECTS | JNLY) | Pass? | | |
| A. Type of rehab (choose one): | A. Pre-Application Waiver | | < <select>></select> | |
| B. Date of Physical Needs Assessment (PNA): | B. November 30, 2017 | | | |
| Name of consultant preparing PNA: | Gill Group | | | |
| Is 20-year replacement reserve study included? | | | Yes | |
| C. Performance Rpt indicates energy audit completed by qualified BPI Building Analysis | | C. | Yes | |
| Name of qualified BPI Building Analyst or equivalent professional: | Southern Home Energy Solutions, LLC | | | |
| D. DCA's Rehabilitation Work Scope form is completed, included in PNA tab, and cle | | D. | Yes | |
| | mediate needs identified in the PNA. | 1) | Yes | |
| · | plication threshold and scoring requirements | 2) | Yes | |
| • | plicable architectural and accessibility standards. | 3) | Yes | |
| | mediation issues identified in the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. | 4) | Yes | |
| E. Applicant understands that in addition to proposed work scope, the project must r forth in the QAP and Manuals, and health and safety codes and requirements. <u>A</u> | | E. | Agree | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | | | | |
| I required rehabilitation reports are included. | | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5 SITE INFORMATION AND CONCEPTUAL SITE DEVELOPMENT I | PLAN | Pass? | | |
| A. Is Conceptual Site Development Plan included in application and has it been prep Manual? | ared in accordance with all instructions set forth in the DCA Architectural | A. | Yes | |
| Are all interior and exterior site related amenities required and selected in this app | lication indicated on the Conceptual Site Development Plan? | | Yes | |
| B. Location/Vicinity map delineates location point of proposed property (site geo coo | rdinates) & shows entire municipality area (city limits, etc.)? | B. | Yes | |
| C. Ground level color photos of proposed property & adjacent surrounding properties | & structures are included, numbered, dated & have brief descriptions? | C. | Yes | |
| Site Map delineates the approximate location point of each photo? | | | Yes | |
| D. Aerial color photos are current, have high enough resolution to clearly identify exists | sting property & adjacent land uses, and delineate property boundaries? | D. | Yes | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | | | | |
| ur site plan conforms to all DCA requirements and all required photos and maps are in o | ur application. | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6 BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY | | Pass? | | |
| A. Applicant agrees that this proposed property must achieve a minimum standard completion as set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual? | for energy efficiency and sustainable building practices upon construction | A. | Agree | |
| B. Applicant agrees that the final construction documents must clearly indicate all of meet the requirements set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual? | components of the building envelope and all materials and equipment that | B. | Agree | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | | | | |
| oplicant will meet and exceed threshold sustainability requirements. | | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | | |

Applicant Response DCA USE

| FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fundir no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. | g round and have | | |
|---|------------------|-----|--|
| 17 ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS | Pass? | | |
| A. 1) Upon completion, will this project comply with all applicable Federal and State accessibility laws including but not limited to: The Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Georgia Fair Housing Law and Georgia Access Law as set forth in the 2015 Accessibility Manual? (When two or more accessibility standards apply, the applicant is required to follow and apply both standards so that a maximum accessibility is obtained.) | A1). | Yes | |
| 2) Owner understands that DCA requires the Section 504 accessibility requirements to be incorporated into the design and construction of ALL new construction and/or rehabilitation projects selected under the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan, regardless of whether or not the project will receive federal debt financing assistance (e.g., HOME). This constitutes a higher standard of accessibility than what may be required under federal laws. This means that all projects, including those financed with tax exempt bonds which receive an allocation of 4% tax credits and 9% tax credits-only projects, must incorporate at a minimum the requirements of the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards into the design and construction of the project. | 2) | Yes | |
| 3) Owner claims that property is eligible for any of the stated statutory exemptions for any applicable federal, state, and local accessibility law? If so, support the claim with a legal opinion placed where indicted in Tabs Checklist. | 3) | No | |
| 4) Does this project comply with applicable DCA accessibility requirements detailed in the 2016 Architectural and Accessibility Manuals? B. 1) a. Will at least 5% of the total units (but no less than Nbr of Units Minimum Required: | 4) | Yes | |
| one unit) be equipped for the mobility disabled, Equipped: Nor of Units One unit) be equipped for the mobility disabled, Equipped: Nor of Units Percentage | | | |
| including wheelchair restricted residents? 1) a. Mobility Impaired 3 5% | B1)a. | Yes | |
| b. Roll-in showers will be incorporated into 40% of the mobility 1) b. Roll-In Showers 1 Check!!! 2 40% equipped units (but no fewer than one unit)? | b. | Yes | |
| 2) Will least an additional 2% of the total units (but no less than one unit) be equipped for hearing and sight-impaired residents? | 2) | Yes | |
| C. Applicant will retain a DCA qualified consultant to monitor the project for accessibility compliance who will not be a member of the proposed Project Team nor have an Identify of Interest with any member of the proposed Project Team? | C. | Yes | |
| The DCA qualified consultant will perform the following: Name of Accessibility Consultant Zeffert and Associates | | | |
| A pre-construction plan and specification review to determine that the proposed property will meet all required accessibility requirements. The Consultant report must be included with the Step 2 construction documents submitted to DCA. At a minimum, the report will include the initial comments from the consultant, all documents related to resolution of identified accessibility issues and a certification from the consultant that the plans appear to meet all accessibility requirements. | C1). | Yes | |
| 2) At least two training sessions for General Contractor and Subcontractors regarding accessibility requirements. One training must be on site. | 2). | Yes | |
| 3) An inspection of the construction site after framing is completed to determine that the property is following the approved plans and specifications as to accessibility. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved. | 3). | Yes | |
| 4) A final inspection of the property after completion of construction to determine that the property has been constructed in accordance with all accessibility requirements. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved prior to submission of the project cost certification. | 4). | Yes | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | | | |
| Applicant will comply with all DCA accessibility requirements. | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | |
| | | | |

| | | Applicant F | Response | DCA USE |
|--|---|----------------------|--------------|---------|
| FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINA | TION (DCA Lise Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fun | iding round and have | | |
| | • | Pass? | | |
| 8 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN & QUALI | | Pass? | | |
| Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA incl | | | No | |
| | dards contained in the Application Manual for quality and longevity? | <u>.</u> [| Yes | |
| Rehabilitation projects will be considered | tion Hard Costs - are the following minimum review standards for rehabilitation projects met or exceeded by the for funding only if the per unit rehabilitation hard costs exceed \$25,000. The costs of furniture, fixtures wildings and common area amorphisms and common area amorphisms and common area amorphisms. | · · | Yes | |
| | uildings and common area amenities are not included in these amounts. | _ [| | |
| B. Standard Design Options for All Projects | Debet (1111-2016) (1111-2016) (1111-2016) (1111-2016) (1111-2016) (1111-2016) (1111-2016) | B. ⊐ | V | |
| Exterior Wall Finishes (select one) | Rehab of bldgs w/out existing brick/stone over 40% (& ineligible for historic credits) will replace & upgrade existing exterior finish surfaces on all wall faces w/brick or product w/40 yr warranty | 1) | Yes | |
| Major Bldg Component Materials & Upgrades (select one) | Upgraded roofing shingles, or roofing materials (warranty 30 years or greater) | 2) | Yes | |
| C. Additional Design Options - not listed above | /e, proposed by Applicant prior to Application Submittal in accordance with Exhibit A DCA Pre-application and | _ | | |
| Pre-Award Deadlines and Fee Schedule, an | | C. | | |
| 1) | | 1) | No | |
| 2) | | 2) | No | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | | | | |
| Applicant will comply with all DCA architectural design | n and quality requirements. | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 9 QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROJECT TO | EAM (PERFORMANCE) | Pass? | | |
| A. Did the Certifying Entity meet the experience | · · · | A. | Yes | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | ject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion? | В. | Yes | |
| C. Has there been any change in the Project Te | eam since the initial pre-application submission? | C. | No | |
| D. Did the project team request a waiver or wai | ver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application? | D. | No | |
| E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Project | t's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one): | Certifying GF | P/Developer | |
| F. DCA Final Determination | F | < Select De | signation >> | • |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | | | | |
| his project team was pre-approved during pre-appli | cation as Qualified-Complete. | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| O COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY | | Pass? | | |
| A. Was a pre-application submitted for this Dete | ermination at the Pre-Application Stage? | A. | Yes | |
| B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the st | | В. | No | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | t team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for | C. | Yes | |
| | | l | | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | No changes in project team or adverse events since pre-application. | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | two changes in project team of adverse events since pre-application. | | | |
| DOA'S COMMENS. | | | | |

| | Applicant Response DCA USE |
|--|---------------------------------|
| FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain on offset on subsequent or future funding | |
| 1 ELIGIBILITY FOR CREDIT UNDER THE NON-PROFIT SET-ASIDE | Pass? |
| A. Name of Qualified non-profit: A. | |
| B. Non-profit's Website: B. | |
| C. Is the organization a qualified non-profit, defined as a 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization, which is not affiliated with or controlled by a formal and has included the fostering of low income housing as one of its tax-exempt purposes? | |
| D. Will the qualified non-profit materially participate in the development and operation of the project as described in IRC Section 469(h) three compliance period? | Dughout the D. |
| E. Does the qualified non-profit own at least 51% of the GP's interest in the project and is the managing general partner of the ownership e | entity? E. |
| F. Is this entity a corporation with 100 percent of the stock of such corporation held by one or more qualified non-profit organizations at all period such corporation is in existence? | times during the F. |
| G. All Applicants: Does the non-profit receive a percentage of the developer fee greater than or equal to its percentage of its ownership into 1) CHDOS Only: If the nonprofit entity is also a CHDO, is it a DCA-certified CHDO which must own 100% of the General Partnership entity | |
| H. Is a copy of the GP joint venture agreement or GP operating agreement that provides the non-profit's GP interest and the Developer Fe the application? | • |
| Is a an opinion of a third party attorney who specializes in tax law on the non-profit's current federal tax exempt qualification status inclu Application? If such an opinion has been previously obtained, this requirement may be satisfied by submitting the opinion with document that the non-profit's bylaws have not changed since the legal opinion was issued. | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | |
| I/A DCA's Comments: | |
| | |
| DCA'S Confinents. | |
| | Pass? |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE | Pass? |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: Name of CHDO Managing GP: | Pass? |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE | В. |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount: | B. B. |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant | ip entity (the CHDO C. |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant | ip entity (the CHDO C. |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant | ip entity (the CHDO C. |
| Paragraphic Process of Choose Process of the Choose Process of Cho | ip entity (the CHDO C. D. |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant I/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. | ip entity (the CHDO C. D. Pass? |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant I/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition | Pass? A. Yes |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant I/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility | Pass? A. Yes B. Ves B. No |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant I//A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status | Pass? A. Yes B. No C. No |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant I/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] | Pass? A. Yes B. No C. No D. No |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant I/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] E. Other (If Yes, then also describe): E. | Pass? A. Yes B. No C. No |
| ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant I/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] E. Other (If Yes, then also describe): Threshold Justification per Applicant | Pass? A. Yes B. No C. No D. No |
| 22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownersh must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant I/A DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] E. Other (If Yes, then also describe): E. | Pass? A. Yes B. No C. No D. No |

| | Applicant | Response | DCA USE |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the confidence on subsequent or future funding round scoring section. | | | |
| | ing decisions. Pass? | | |
| 4 RELOCATION AND DISPLACEMENT OF TENANTS | | | |
| A. Does the Applicant anticipate displacing or relocating any tenants? | A. | No | |
| B. 1) Are any of the other sources (not DCA HOME) considered to be Federal Funding? | B1) | No | |
| If Yes, applicant will need to check with the source of these funds to determine if this project will trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or 104(d). | 0.1 | N- 1 | |
| 2) If tenants will be displaced, has Applicant received DCA written approval and placed a copy where indicated in the Tabs Checklist? | 2) | No | |
| 3) Will any funding source used trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or HUD 104 (d) requirements? | 3) | No | |
| C. Is sufficient comparable replacement housing identified in the relocation plan according to DCA relocation requirements? | C. | Yes | |
| D. Provide summary data collected from DCA Relocation Displacement Spreadsheet: | | | |
| 1) Number of Over Income Tenants 4) Number of Down units | 0 | | |
| 2) Number of Rent Burdened Tenants 5) Number of Displaced Tenants | 0 | | |
| 3) Number of Vacancies 3 | | | |
| E. Indicate Proposed Advisory Services to be used (see Relocation Manual for further explanation): | | | |
| 1) Individual interviews Yes 3) Written Notifications | Yes | | |
| 2) Meetings 4) Other - describe in box provided: | | | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | | | |
| enants will not have to be relocated. All interior work will be completed with tenants in-place. Rent burdened tenents will not have rent increased as a | result of the rehab. Over inco | me tenant was | s qualified at |
| DCA's Comments: | | | |
| | | | |
| 5 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING (AFFH) | Pass? | | |
| If selected, does the Applicant agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing plan that: | | | |
| A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each service provider, homeless shelter or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which t located? | he project is A. | Agree | |
| B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to persons with disabilities and the homeless? | B. | Agree | |
| C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintains relationships between the management agent and community service providers? | C. | Agree | |
| D. Includes a referral and screening process that will be used to refer tenants to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and make accommodations to facilitate the admittance of persons with disabilities or the homeless into the project? | s reasonable D. | Agree | |
| E. Includes marketing of properties to underserved populations 2-4 months prior to occupancy? | E. | Agree | |
| F. Includes making applications for affordable units available to public locations including at least one that has night hours? | F. | Agree | |
| G. Includes outreach to Limited English Proficiency groups for languages identified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area? | G. | Agree | |
| H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to provide reasonable accommodation for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application | on? Leasing H. | Agree | |
| criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. | | _ | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | | | |
| pplicant agrees to submit required AFFH plan and implement all required AFFH procedures. | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | |
| | | | |
| 6 OPTIMAL UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES | Pass? | | |
| Threshold Justification per Applicant | | | |
| pplication is an optimal utilitzation of resources because it meets all the goals and policies set forth in the QAP providing safe, decent and affordable ho | ousing that is also viable | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | |
| | | | |

| DA | PT NINE - 9 | SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Arbor Trac | all Laka P | ark Lowndes County | | |
|--|-------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------|---------------|
| | | | | ark, Lownides County | | _ |
| | | ilicants must include comments in sections where points are clin only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on sul | | funding round scoring decisions | Score | Self DCA |
| | | will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduc | | funding found scoring decisions. | Value | Score Score |
| | | | | TOTALS: | 92 | 20 20 |
| 1. APPLICATION COMPLETENESS | | (Applicants start with 10 pts. Any po | oints entered w | rill be <u>subtracted</u> from score value) | 10 | 10 10 |
| A. Missing or Incomplete Documents | Number: | O For each missing or incomplete document, or | one (1) point will be | e deducted | А | . 0 |
| Organization | Number: | | | | 1 | 0 |
| B. Financial and Other Adjustments | Number: | | | | В | |
| DCA's Comments: | | Enter "1" for each ite | em listed below | <i>'</i> . | | =- |
| A. Missing or Illegible or Inaccurate Documents or | Nbr | - | Nbr | | | Nbr |
| Application Not Organized Correctly | 0 | INCOMPLETE Documents: | 0 | B. Financial adjustments/rev | sions: | 0 |
| 1 | | 1 | n/a | 1 | | n/a |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2 | | 2 | | 2 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3 | | 3 | included in 2 | 3 | | included in 2 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4 | | 4 | | 4 | | included in 2 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 5 | | 5 | included in 4 | 5 | | |
| • | | ° | included in 4 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 6 | | 6 | | 6 | | |
| 0 | | l ^o | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 7 | | 7 | in alreda d in C | 7 | | |
| I | | | included in 6 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | 0 | | |
| 8 | | l ^o | | lo I | | |
| | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| 9 | | 9 | included in 8 | 9 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 10 | | 10 | | 10 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 11 | | 11 | included in | 11 | | |
| | | | 10 | | | |
| 12 | | 12 | | 12 | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | PART NINE - SCORING CRI | TERIA - 2017- | -0 Arbor Trac | e II, Lake P | ark, Lowndes | County | | | |
|----|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|----|-------------------------------|
| | REMINDER: Applicants must include | | • | | | | Score | Γ | Self DCA |
| | Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. | | | | | | | | Score Score |
| | i anule to do so will result in a one ti | 17 bollit Abblication C | ombleteriess deduc | CHOII. | | TOTALS: | 92 | Ē | 20 20 |
| _ | DEEDED TARRETING (DENT (INCOME DECTRICTIONS | | | | | 1017(20. | | | <u>'</u> |
| 2. | DEEPER TARGETING / RENT / INCOME RESTRICTIONS | | Choose A or B. | | | | 3 | L | 0 0 |
| Α. | Deeper Targeting through Rent Restrictions | To | otal Residential Units: | 43 | | | | | |
| | Applicant agrees to set income limits at 50% AMI and gross rents at or | Per Applicant | Per DCA | | Actual Percent | of Residential Units: | | | |
| | below 30% of the 50% income limit for at least: | Nbr of Restricted | Residential Units: | | Per Applicant | Per DCA | 2 | A. | 0 0 |
| | 1. 15% of total residential units | | | | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1 | 1. | 0 0 |
| or | 2. 20% of total residential units | | | | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2 | 2. | 0 0 |
| _ | Doomon Township or the normal Mary DDDA Contracts | Nbr of PBRA Re | acidontial Unitar | 4 | | | • | В. | 0 0 |
| В. | Deeper Targeting through <u>New PBRA Contracts</u> 1 15% (at least) of residential units to have PBRA for 10+ yrs: | NOT OF PBRAIRE | esidentiai Units: | 1 | 0.000/ | 0.000/ | 3 | 1. | 0 0 |
| | . , | | Datata anna da dia | 0 () /// | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2 | 2. | 0 0 |
| | 2. Application receives at least points under Section VII. Stable DCA's Comments: | Communities. | Points awarded in | Sect VII: | 0 | 0 | 1 | ۷. | 0 0 |
| | DOA'S COMMENTS. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | DESIRABLE AND UNDESIRABLE CHARACTERISTICS | | See QAI | P Scoring for requ | irements. | | 13 | | 0 0 |
| | Is the completed and executed DCA Desirable/Undesirable Certification form in | cluded in the appro | priate application | tab. in both the | e original Excel vers | ion and signed PDF? | | | |
| Α. | Desirable Activities | (1 or 2 pts each - see | | • | · · | from completed current | 12 | Α. | |
| В. | Bonus Desirable | (1 pt - see QAP) | , | • | • | ation form. Submit this | 1 | В. | |
| C. | Undesirable/Inefficient Site Activities/Characteristics | (1 pt subtracted each) | | completed form | • | ned PDF, where indicated | various | C. | |
| | Scoring Justification per Applicant | | | | in Tabs Checkl | st | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | DCA's Comments: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS | | See s | coring criteria | for further requirem | ents and information | 6 | L | 0 0 |
| | Evaluation Criteria | Competitive Po | ool chosen: | N/A - 4% Bo | ond | | | | Applicant Agrees? DCA Agrees? |
| | 1. All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Paved F | Pedestrian Walkway | ys. | | | | | | |
| | 2. DCA has measured all required distances between a pedestrian site entrar | nce and the transit | stop along Paved | Pedestrian Wa | alkways. | | | _ | |
| | 3. Each residential building is accessible to the pedestrian site entrance via a | n on-site Paved Pe | destrian Walkway | | | | | | |
| | 4. Paved Pedestrian Walkway is in existence by Application Submission. If n | | | | | ed documents showing | | | |
| | a construction timeline, commitment of funds, and approval from ownership | · · | | - | ilt. | | | | |
| | 5. The Applicant has clearly marked the routes being used to claim points on | tne site map submi | tted for this sectio | n. | | | | | |
| | 6. Transportation service is being publicized to the general public | | | | | | | | |

| | PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|------|--------|--------|--|
| | REMINUER: Applicat | | | | | | | Score | ſ | Self | DCA | |
| | Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. | | | | | | | | | | Score | |
| | i andie to do so will | ii resuit iii a one (17 b | onit Application C | combleteness deduc | atori. | | TOTALS: | 92 | Ì | 20 | 20 | |
| Ele | exible Pool Choose <u>A or B.</u> | • | | | | | 1017(20. | - | L | | | |
| | | <u>.</u> option 1 <u>or</u> 2 und | lor A | | | | | 6 | А | 0 | 0 | |
| ^ | Site is owned by local transit agency & is strategical transit agency. | - | li e | For All or | tions under th | is scoring criterion | regardless of |] 5 | 1. | | 0 | |
| | create housing with on site or adjacent access to p | | • | | | • | nation below for the | 5 | ١٠. | | | |
| OR | 2. Site is <i>within one (1) mile*</i> of a transit hub | dolic transportat | .1011 | Compeniive | | agency/service: | nation below for the | 4 | 2. | | | |
| ٠.٠ | 3. Applicant in A1 or A2 above serves Family tenancy. | | | << Enter transit agence | | .,, | <enter here="" phone=""></enter> | 1 | 3. | | | |
| R | . Access to Public Transportation Choose only or | ne option in B | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | 3 | В. | 0 | 0 | |
| | 1. Site is within 1/4 mile * of an established public tran | | | << Enter specific URL | /webpage showing | a established <i>schedu</i> | le from transit agency | 3 | 1. | | | |
| OR | 2. Site is within 1/2 mile * of an established public tran | • | | website here >> | | | , | 2 | 2. | | | |
| | 3. Site is <i>within one (1) mile</i> * of an established public | • | ston | << Enter specific URL | /webpage showing | established routes | from transit agency website | 1 | 3. | | | |
| | iral Pool | o transportation (| зюр | (if different) here >> | , o a pago o o | y cottabilion ou <u>routeo</u> | menn trainent agente, mezente | ' | ٠. ا | | | |
| <u>ıvu</u> | 4. Publicly operated/sponsored and established tra | nsit service (inc | ludina on-call so | , | d-route service | within 1/2 mile of | site entrance*\ | 2 | 4 | | | |
| *Δe | measured from an entrance to the site that is accessible to pedes | | - | | | | | 2 | 1 | | | |
| | Scoring Justification per Applicant | Striaris aria corriec | ned by sidewalk | s or established pe | destriari warki | rays to the transpo | mation hub/stop. | | | | | |
| | у станительный при | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DCA's Comments: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Document | tation) | | See scoring criteri | ia for further re | quirements and in | formation | 2 | | | | |
| | . Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield ar | nd determined cleanup | guidelines: | | | | | | | | | |
| В. | . Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issua | ance of EPD No Furthe | er Action or Limitatio | n of Liability Itr | | | | | ł | Yes/No | Yes/No | |
| C. | . Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been include | ed in the development | budget? | | • | | | | C. | | | |
| | DCA's Comments: | | | | | | | | L | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS | | | | | | | 3 | ſ | 0 | 0 | |
| • | Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. | i. | | <select a="" s<="" td=""><td>Sust Devlpmt Ce</td><td>ertification></td><td>1</td><td>•</td><td>L</td><td></td><td></td></select> | Sust Devlpmt Ce | ertification> | 1 | • | L | | | |
| | Competitive Pool chosen: | | ļ | N/A - 4% Bond | | | J | | | | | |
| | • | ate of Course | | < <enter 's<="" participant="" td=""><td>Nama hara>></td><td><- Enter Participant la</td><td>Company Name here>></td><td></td><td>i i</td><td></td><td></td></enter> | Nama hara>> | <- Enter Participant la | Company Name here>> | | i i | | | |
| | 3 | ate of Course | | < <enter 's<="" p="" participant=""></enter> | | | Company Name here>> | | , I | | | |
| | An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for developm | | ompliance w/ mi | | | • | | | ' I | | | |
| v | | = | | | red under prog | Date of Report | | ī | v | | | |
| | For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report subm | nillea per current C | KAL. | Date of Audit | | Date of Report | | 1 | ٨ | | | |
| A. | . Sustainable Communities Certification | | | | | | | 2 | Α. | Yes/No | Yes/No | |
| | Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from | om the program cho | osen above? | | | | | | ļ | | | |
| | 1. EarthCraft Communities | | | | | | | 7 | | | | |
| | Date that EarthCraft Communities Memorandum of Particip | | | | roject is located | a: | | 1 | | | | |
| | 2. Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design for Ne | - | | J-NU V4) | | | | 1 | | | | |
| | a) Date of project's Feasibility Study prepared by a nonrelated | i third party LEED. | AP: | | | | | 1 | | | | |

| | PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------|--|------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|--|
| | REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. | | | | | | | | | DCA Score | |
| | b) Name of nonrelated third party LEED AP that prepared Feasibility Study: | | | | | | | | | 20 | |
| | b) Name of nonrelated third party LEED AP that prepared Feasi | ibility Study: | ſ | < <enter ap's="" i<="" leed="" th=""><th>Name here>></th><th><<enter 's="" (<="" ap="" leed="" th=""><th>Company Name here>></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></enter></th></enter> | Name here>> | < <enter 's="" (<="" ap="" leed="" th=""><th>Company Name here>></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></enter> | Company Name here>> | | | | |
| Commitments for Building Certification: Project will comply with the program version in effect at the time that the drawings are prepared for permit review? Project will meet program threshold requirements for Building Sustainability? Owner will engage in tenant and building manager education in compliance with the point requirements of the respective programs? | | | | | | | | | Yes/No 1. | Yes/No | |
| B. Sustainable Building Certification Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certification from the program chosen above? C. Exceptional Sustainable Building Certification Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certificate from certifying body demonstrating that project achieved highest level of certification chosen above? D. High Performance Building Design The proposed building design demonstrates: A worst case HERS Index that is at least 15% lower than the ENERGY STAR Target Index? A 10% improvement over the baseline building performance rating? The energy savings will be established following the Performance Rating Method outlined in ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G with additional guidance from the ENERGY STAR Multifamily High-Rise Simulation Guidelines. | | | | | | | | 1 3 1 | B. C. Yes/No 1. D. 0 1. 2. | Yes/No | |
| | 3. For minor, moderate, or substantial rehabilitations, a projecte ENERGY STAR compliant whole building energy model? Ba | | | | | | RS Rating software or | | 3. | | |
| | Scoring Justification per Applicant | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DCA's Comments: | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | (14 | · Jala for a fi | 11 55151 | 2 | 10.1 1 2 2 C I 2 2 2 2 | 4 2240) | 7 | | | |
| | STABLE COMMUNITIES | (Mu | ist use data from th | ie most current FFIEC | Census report, p | published as of January | 1, 2016) | 7 | 0 | 0 | |
| A & B. | Census Tract Demographics Competitive Pool chosen: N/A - 4% Bond 1. Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demographics | lomagraphics acc | ording to the mu | est recent EEIEC | Conque Popo | ort (unany ffice gov/Co | .souo∆. | 3 | 0 Yes/No | Yes/No | |
| Б. | 2. Less than <pre>< Select > below Poverty level (see</pre> | e Income) e Demographics) meets the above of | demographics a | according to the m | nost recent FF | Actual Percent Designation: | <select></select> | } | | | |
| C. | Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities | | | | | Per Applicant | Per DCA | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Sub-cluster in which project is located, according to the most rece Housing Properties" map: | int GDPH data ho | sted on the DC/ | A "Multi-Family Af | | <select></select> | <select></select> | <u>[</u> | | | |
| D. | Mixed-Income Developments in Stable Communities Mar DCA's Comments: | rket units: | 0 | Total Units: | 43 | Mkt Pct of Total: | 0.00% | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Disclaimer_DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews perlation in type the corresponding funding round and bare on effect on subsequent or future funding round a soning decisions. TOTALS: 92 20 20 | PART NINE - S | CORING CRITERIA - | 2017-0 Arbor Trac | e II, Lake Park, Low | ndes County | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|---|---|---|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| TOTALS: 92 20 20 Is TRANSFORMATIONAL COMMUNITIES (choose A or B) Is this application eligible for two or more points under 2017 Scoring Section 7 Stable Communities, regardless of whether the points are requested? If applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed DCA Neighborhood Redevelopment Certification included in the appricariate ab of the application? Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns): Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan (Yes/No Yes/No Ye | <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain | only to the corresponding funding ro | ound and have no effect on sul | bsequent or future funding roun | d scoring decisions. | | | |
| Is this application eligible for two or more points under 2017 Scoring Section 7 Stable Communities, regardless of whether the points are requested? If applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed DCA Neighborhood Redevelopment Certification included in the appropriate tab of the application? Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns: Revitalization Plan plan columns: Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns: Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns: Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns: Revitalization Plan plan plan plan plan plan plan plan p | | | | | TOTALS: | 92 | 20 | 20 |
| If applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed DCA Neighborhood Redevelopment Certification included in the appropriate tab of the application? If applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed DCA Community Transformation Plan Certificate included in the appropriate tab of the application? Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns): Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns: Revitalization Plan Fesh Fes | B. TRANSFORMATIONAL COMMUNITIES | (choose A or B) | | | | 10 | | |
| If applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed DCA Community Transformation Plan Certificate included in the appropriate tab of the application? Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan Columns): Transformation Plan Yes/No Y | | = | - | | | | | |
| Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns): Revitalization Plan Transformation Plan Transformation Plan Transformation Plan Transformation Plan Yes/No Y | | | | | • | | | |
| Revitalization Plan Yes/No Yes/No a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed project site, but does not encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / county? b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages? b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages? c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community? d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities? The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing? b) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? b) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder? Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan berea the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? ii) Revitalization Plan here possible to the plan originally adopted by Local Government, if applicable: Revitalization Plan | If applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed I | OCA Community Transformation | on Plan Certificate include | ed in the appropriate tab o | of the application? | | | |
| a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed project site, but does not encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / county? b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages? b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages? c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community? d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities? The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? e) Discusses (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: A. Community Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted by Local Government, if applicable: ii) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? iii) Briter page nbr(s) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: b) Lenter page nbr(s) from Plan Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: b) Lenter page nbr(s) from Plan Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: center page nbr(s) from Plan here> center page nb | Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on exist | ting Revitalization Plan meeti | ng DCA standards, fill ou | | | | ormation D | lon |
| a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed project site, but does not encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / county? b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages? c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community? d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities? The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder? Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: ii) Enter page nbr(s) from Plan Plan Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: b) Enter page nbr(s) from Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: control Plan Plan Plan Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: control Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Pla | | | | | | | | |
| encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / county? b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages? c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community? d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities? The specific time frames and implement the plan? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder? Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: A. Community Revitalization ii.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? iii.) Enter page nbr(s) from Plan Plan yes/No Yes/No yes/N | a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed | project site, but does not | a) | 1 00/110 | | 100/110 | 100 | 3/110 |
| c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community? d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities? The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder? Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: | · · · · · · | • | , | <enter from="" nbr(s)="" page="" plan=""></enter> | | <enter page="" r<="" td=""><td>ıbr(s) from Pl</td><td>lan here></td></enter> | ıbr(s) from Pl | lan here> |
| c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community? d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities? The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder? Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: Ii.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? iii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable: Center page nbr(s) from Plan | b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning | g stages? | b) | | | | | |
| community? d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities? The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder? Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: ii.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? iii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted by Local Government, if applicable: iii.) Enter page nbr(s) from Plan here> center page nbr(s) from Plan here> i.) Enter page nbr(s) here i | | | | <enter from="" nbr(s)="" page="" plan=""></enter> | | <enter page="" r<="" td=""><td>ıbr(s) from Pl</td><td>lan here></td></enter> | ıbr(s) from Pl | lan here> |
| d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities? The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder? Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: New or address (URL) of Transformation Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing? **CEnter page nbr(s) from Plan Plan **CEnter page nbr(s) from Plan **CEnter pag | | tal housing as a policy goal for | r the c) | «Fatara a sa a aba/a) fasas Dian N | | √ C =4====== | aba(a) fra ra Di | lan hanas |
| policies & housing activities? The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? e) Lenter page nbr(s) from Plan> eEnter page nbr(s) from Plan> eEnter page nbr(s) from Plan> eEnter page nbr(s) from Plan> enter page nbr(s) from Plan> enter page nbr(s) from Plan here> f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder? Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: A. Community Revitalization i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted by Local Govt: ii.) Enter page nbr(s) here iii.) Enter page nbr(s) here | • | me frames for achievement of | . d) | Enter page nor(s) from Plan 2 | | <⊏nter page i | ibi(s) irom Pi | an nere> |
| The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder? f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder? Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: A. Community Revitalization i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by the Local Govt? Date (S) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable: Center page nbr(s) from Plan | | ne names for achievement of | u) | <pre><enter from="" nbr(s)="" page="" plan=""></enter></pre> | | <enter i<="" page="" td=""><td>nbr(s) from Pl</td><td>lan here></td></enter> | nbr(s) from Pl | lan here> |
| e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan? e) Center page nbr(s) from Plan> (Enter page nbr(s) from Plan here> (Enter page nbr(s) from Plan> (Enter page nbr(s) from Plan here> (Ent | The specific time frames and implementation measures | are current and ongoing? | | 1 0 17 | | 1 0 | | |
| *Enter page nbr(s) from Plan> *Enter page nbr(s) from Plan here> *Enter page nbr(s) | | | | <enter from="" nbr(s)="" page="" plan=""></enter> | | <enter i<="" page="" td=""><td>ıbr(s) from Pl</td><td>lan here></td></enter> | ıbr(s) from Pl | lan here> |
| f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder? Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: A. Community Revitalization 2 A. Yes/No Yes/No i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially Date Plan originally adopted by Local Govt: adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable: | e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the | plan? | e) | | | | | |
| Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: A. Community Revitalization i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially Date Plan originally adopted by Local Govt: adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable: | | L'adago | 0 | <enter from="" nbr(s)="" page="" plan=""></enter> | | <enter i<="" page="" td=""><td>ıbr(s) from Pl</td><td>an here></td></enter> | ıbr(s) from Pl | an here> |
| Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: A. Community Revitalization 2 A. Yes/No Yes/No i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially Date Plan originally adopted by Local Govt: adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable: | | binder? | T) | | | | | |
| A. Community Revitalization i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially Date Plan originally adopted by Local Govt: adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable: | | | | | | | | |
| i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially Date Plan originally adopted by Local Govt: ii.) adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable: | website address (ORL) of Transformation Flan: | | | | | | | |
| i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site? ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially Date Plan originally adopted by Local Govt: adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable: | A. Community Revitalization | | | | | 2 A | ۱. <u>۱</u> | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ |
| ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by the Local Govt? Date Plan originally adopted by Local Govt: Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable: | Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project | site? | | | i) Enter nage nhr(s) here | | Yes/No | Yes/No |
| the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable: | , | | by Local Govt: | | | i | 1.) | |
| Buto (c) That Todath of Local Coron month, in application | | Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan | Adoption to Application | Submission Date: | , | | | |
| ii.) Public input and engagement <u>during the planning stages:</u> | | | newed <i>by Local Governm</i> | ent, if applicable: | | | | |
| a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: a) | , | | | | | | | |
| a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s) | , | a) | | | | | | |
| b) Type of event: b) < <select 1="" event="" type="">></select> | () | b) < <select eve<="" td=""><td>ent 1 type>></td><td><<select eve<="" td=""><td>ent 2 type>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></select></td></select> | ent 1 type>> | < <select eve<="" td=""><td>ent 2 type>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></select> | ent 2 type>> | | | |
| Date(s) of event(s): | | | | | | | | |
| c) Letters of Support from local non- Type: c) <select 1="" entity="" type="">> <select 2="" entity="" type="">></select></select> | | c) < <select enti<="" td=""><td>ity 1 type>></td><td><<select en<="" td=""><td>tity 2 type>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></select></td></select> | ity 1 type>> | < <select en<="" td=""><td>tity 2 type>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></select> | tity 2 type>> | | | |
| government entities. Entity Name: 1. Community Positalization Plan. Application process to develop housing that contributes to a written Community Positalization Plan for the specific community in | | to develop housing that contri | hutos to a writton Commi | unity Povitalization Plan to | r the enecific community in | | | |
| 1. Community Revitalization Plan - Application proposes to develop housing that contributes to a written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific community in which the property will be located. | • | to develop housing that contri | butes to a writteri Commi | unity Kevitalization Plan 10 | i the specific community in | 1 1 | | |
| 2. Qualified Census Tract and Community Revitalization Plan - Application proposes to develop housing that is in a Qualified Census Tract and that contributes to a | 2. Qualified Census Tract and Community Revitalization | | , , | s in a Qualified Census Tr | act and that contributes to a | 1 2 | | |
| written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific community in which the property will be located. | · | | | Erical 6 | aia Adimataa aat | | | |

| | PART NINE - | SCORING CR | ITERIA - 2017 | 7-0 Arbor Trac | e II, Lake Park, Lowndes County | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|------------------------|---|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|
| B | | plicants must include | | | | | Score | Self | DCA |
| <u>Disclair</u> | ner: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews per | ain only to the correspo so will result in a one (| | | | | Value | Score | Score |
| | Tanure to do . | so wiii resuit iii a one t | 17 bollic Abblication | Combleteness dedu | TOTA | ı s· ¯ | 92 | 20 | 20 |
| В | | | | | IOTA | _0. | 32 | | 20 |
| K 2. Community T | ransformation Plan | | | | | | 6 | в. | |
| | ant reference an existing Community Revita | alization Plan meetir | ng DCA standards | > | | | U | В. | |
| 1. Communit | • | | .g 20/ total au uo | | | | 0 | , | |
| | ed Developer (CBD) | Coloot at locat tu | o out of the three | antions (i ii and iii) | in "a" below, or "b"). | CBD | 2 | 1. | |
| Entity Nam | | Select at least tw | 70 out of the three t | Website | in a below, or b). | СВО | ı | | |
| Contact Na | | Direct Line | | Email | | | | Yes/No | Yes/No |
| | | | ity-based organiza | | serve the area around the development (propose | ed or | | | 1 00,110 |
| | ewhere) in the last two years and can docu | | | | | | / | • | |
| CBO 1 Nar | ne | | | Purpose: | | | | Letter c | f Support |
| Community | /neighborhd where partnership occurred | | _ | Website | | | | incl | uded? |
| Contact Na | - | Direct Line | | Email | | | | | |
| CBO 2 Nar | | | | Purpose: | | | | | f Support |
| | /neighborhd where partnership occurred | 5 | | Website | | | | incli | uded? |
| Contact Na | | Direct Line | tiaa laasafittiaa aitla | Email | Leighborhood or 2) a targeted area surrounding t | tha!u | | :: | |
| | ntee years, the CBD has participated of led nt in another Georgia community. Use com | | | | | meir | | ii. | |
| ao voiopinio | The interior coordinates with the coordinates with | mont box of attaon | ooparato explanat | on page in conteep | remaining table of Application Billiago. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | as been selected as a result of a communit | | | • | for Proposal or similar public bid process. | | | iii. | |
| or b) The Projec | Team received a HOME consent for the p | oposed property an | nd was designated | as a CHDO. | | | | b) | |
| Community Qua | rterback (CQB) | See QAP for req | uirements. | | | CQB | 1 | | |
| | | | | | fined Neighborhood, as delineated by the Comm | nunity | Enter pag | | |
| | ation Plan, to increase residents' access to | | | | | | nbr(s) her | е | |
| | | ect Team to serve a | s CQB is included | | ation binder where indicated by Tabs Checklist? | | | | |
| iii. CQB Name | | Discouling | | Website | | | | | |
| Contact Na 2. Quality Tra | me Language Plan | Direct Line | | Email | | | 4 | 2. | |
| | ition Team has completed Community Enga | acment and Outres | ach prior to Applica | tion Submission? | | | 7 | 2. | |
| | Private Engagement | igement and Outrea | acti piloi to Applica | Tenancy: | Family | | | | |
| , | | Fransformation Part | ner tynes, while Se | , | ust engage at least <u>one</u> . <u>Applicant agrees?</u> | | | | |
| i. Transforma | | | rior types, write oc | mor Applicante me | Date of Public Meeting 1 between Partners | | | | |
| Org Name | | | | | Date(s) of publication of meeting notice | | | | |
| Website | | | | | Publication(s) | | | | |
| Contact Na | me | Direct Line | | | Social Media | | | | |
| Email | | | | | Mtg Locatn | | | | |
| Role | | | | | Which Partners were present at Public Mtg 1 be | etween Pa | rtners? | | |

| - g-a = | | 9 | | | 510 p 1110111 2 1111010 |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--|---------------|-------------------------|
| PA | ART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017 | -0 Arbor Trace II, Lake P | ark, Lowndes County | | |
| | REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections | | | Score | Self DCA |
| Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring sec | ction reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and | | e funding round scoring decisions. | | Score Score |
| | Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application (| Completeness" deduction. | | Value | |
| | | | TOTALS: | 92 | 20 20 |
| ii. Transformation Partner 2 <select< td=""><td>: Transformation Prtnr type></td><td>If "Other" Type, Date of Public</td><td>c Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs</td><td></td><td></td></select<> | : Transformation Prtnr type> | If "Other" Type, Date of Public | c Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs | | |
| Org Name | | | blication of meeting notice | | |
| Website | | Publication(s) | | | |
| Contact Name | Direct Line | Social Media | | | |
| Email | | Mtg Locatn | | | |
| Role | | Which Partne | ers were present at Public Mtg 2 between I | Partners? | |
| b) Citizen Outreach Choose | e either "I" or "ii" below for (b). | | | | Yes/No Yes/No |
| i. Survey Copy of | f blank survey and itemized summary of results include | ded in corresponding tab in applic | cation binder? | | i. |
| or Nbr of R | Respondents | | | | |
| ii. Public Meetings | | | | | ii. |
| Meeting 1 Date | | Dates: Mtg 2 | Mtg Notice Publication | 1 | |
| Date(s) of publication of Meeting 1 notice | 9 | Public Mtg 2 | rqmt met by req'd public mtg between Tra | nsformatn Par | rtners? |
| Publication(s) | | Publication(s) | | | |
| Social Media | | Social Media | | | |
| Meeting Location | | Mtg Locatn | | | |
| Copy(-ies) of published notices provided | in application binder? | | published notices provided in application | binder? | |
| | oint format below the top 5 challenges preventing this | | | | e population to be |
| | pals and solutions for the Transformation Team and F | | , | | |
| i. Local Population Challenge 1 | | | | | |
| Goal for increasing residents' access | | | | | |
| Solution and Who Implements | | | | | |
| Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access | | | | | |
| Solution and Who Implements | | | | | |
| ii. Local Population Challenge 2 | | | | | |
| Goal for increasing residents' access | | | | | |
| Solution and Who Implements | | | | | |
| Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access | | | | | |
| Solution and Who Implements | | | | | |
| iii. Local Population Challenge 3 | | | | | |
| Goal for increasing residents' access | | | | | |
| Solution and Who Implements | | | | | |
| Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access | | | | | |
| Solution and Who Implements | | | | | |
| iv. Local Population Challenge 4 | | | | | |
| Goal for increasing residents' access | | | | | |
| Solution and Who Implements | | | | | |
| Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access | | | | | |
| Solution and Who Implements | | | | | |
| v. Local Population Challenge 5 | | | | | |
| Goal for increasing residents' access | | | | | |
| Solution and Who Implements | | | | | |
| Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | PART NINE - S | CORING CRIT | ERIA - 2017 | -0 Arbor Trac | e II, Lake Park, Lowndes | County | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|--|---------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and S | Scoring section reviews pertain | only to the correspond | omments in sections ding funding round and point "Application C | l have no effect on su | bsequent or future funding round scorin | • | Score Value | | DCA Score |
| | | | | | | TOTALS: | 92 | 20 | 20 |
| Solution and Who Implements | | | | | | | | | |
| C. Community Investment | Amount / Dala | | | 1 | Family | | 4 1 | 4 | |
| 1. Community Improvement Fun- | d Amount / Bala | nce | | Bank Name | Family | | 1 | 1 | |
| Contact | | Direct Line | | Account Name | | | | ease use "Pt I) | |
| Email | | l | | Bank Website | | | Community Ir provided. | nprovmt Narr" t | ab |
| Bank Contact | | Direct Line | | Contact Email | | | provided. | | |
| Description of Use of Funds | | | | | | | | | |
| Ose of Funds | | | | | | | | | |
| Narrative of | | | | | | | | | |
| how the | | | | | | | | | |
| secured funds | | | | | | | | | |
| support the | | | | | | | | | |
| Community | | | | | | | | | |
| Revitalization Plan or | | | | | | | | | |
| Community | | | | | | | | | |
| Transformation | | | | | | | | | |
| Plan. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Long-term Ground Lease | | | | | | | 1 | 2. | |
| a) Projects receives a long-term gr | | | | | | | | | |
| b) No funds other than what is disc | | ave been or will be | e paid for the lease | e either directly or | | | 0 | | |
| 3. Third-Party Capital Investment | t | | | | Competitive Pool chosen: | N/A - 4% Bond | 2 | 3. | |
| Unrelated Third-Party Name Unrelated Third-Party Type | | | | | <select 3rd="" party="" td="" type<="" unrelated=""><td>9></td><td>Improveme</td><td>nt Completion</td><td>n Date</td></select> | 9> | Improveme | nt Completion | n Date |
| Is 3rd party investment commun | itv-wide in scope or was i | mprovement com | oleted more than 3 | vrs prior to Appli | . , , , . | | mproveme | in Complete | on Bato |
| Distance from proposed project | | | | , , , , , , , , , , , | miles | | | | |
| Description of Investment or | | | | | • | | | | |
| Funding Mechanism | | | | | | | | | |
| Description of Investment's Furtherance of Plan | | | | | | | | | |
| ruitherance of Flan | | | | | | | | | |
| . | | | | | | | | | |
| Description of how the | | | | | | | | | |
| investment will serve the tenant base for the proposed | | | | | | | | | |
| development | | | | | | | | | |
| Full Cost of Improvement | | | | | Total Development Costs (TDC | 1. | | | |
| as a Percent of TDC: | 0.0000% | 0.00 | 00% | | 3 989 166 | <u>''</u> | | | |

| PART NINE - SCORING C | RITERIA - 2017-0 Arbor Tra | ice II, Lake Pa | ark, Lowndes County | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corres | ge comments in sections where points are ponding funding round and have no effect on e (1) point "Application Completeness" de | subsequent or future | funding round scoring decisions. | Score Value S: 92 | Self Score | DCA Score |
| | (0) | | IOTAL | _ | | 20 |
| D. Community Designations1. HUD Choice Neighborhood Implementation (CNI) Grant | (Choose only o | nne.) | | 10 | D. | |
| 2. Purpose Built Communities 2. Purpose Built Communities | | | | | 1. | |
| Scoring Justification per Applicant | | | | | ۷. | |
| Cooling Gadaneanon por rippineant | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | | | | |
| DCA'S Confinents. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9. PHASED DEVELOPMENTS / PREVIOUS PROJECTS | (choose A or B) | | | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. THASED DEVELOT MENTO, TREVIOUS TROSESTO | Competitive Pool chosen: | N/A - 4% Bon | d | • | | |
| A. Phased Developments | Phased Development? | No | 0 | 3 | A. | |
| 1. Application is in the Flexible Pool and the proposed project is part of a P five (5) funding rounds (only the second and third phase of a project may 2017 Application Submission deadline? | | | | | 1. | |
| If Yes, indicate DCA Project Nbr and Project Name of the first phase: | Number: | Name | | | | • |
| If current application is for third phase, indicate for second phase: | Number: | Name | | | | |
| 2. Was the community originally designed as one development with differe | nt phases? | | | | 2. | |
| 3. Are any other phases for this project also submitted during the current fu | = | | | | 3. | |
| 4. Was site control over the entire site (including all phases) in place when | | | | | 4. | |
| B. Previous Projects (Flexible Pool) | (choose 1 or 2) | | | 3 | В. 0 | 0 |
| The proposed development site is not within a 1-mile radius of a Ge | orgia Housing Credit developmer | nt that has receiv | ved an award in the last | _ | | |
| 1. Five (5) DCA funding cycles | | | | 3 | 1. | |
| OR 2. Four (4) DCA funding cycles | (| | | 2 | 2. | |
| C. Previous Projects (Rural Pool) | (choose 1 or 3) | 1 (00/ 0 | 15 | 4 | C. 0 | 0 |
| The proposed development site is within a Local Government bound | lary which has not received an av | ward of 9% Cred | IITS: | 2 | 4 | |
| Within the last Five (5) DCA funding cycles Since the 2000 DCA Housing Credit Competitive Round | (additional naint) | | | 3 1 | 1. | |
| OR 3. Within the last Four (4) DCA funding cycles | (additional point) | | | 2 | 3. | |
| Scoring Justification per Applicant | | | | ۷ | J. | |
| cooming additionation por repriodite | | | | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | | | | |

| | 9 1 | | | | 0 11 | | Ü | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------|--------|
| | PAF | RT NINE - | SCORING CR | TERIA - 2017 | 7-0 Arbor Tra | ce II, Lake Park, Lownd | es County | | | |
| | | | olicants must include | | | | | Score | Self | DCA |
| | Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section | | | | | | oring decisions. | Value | | Score |
| | | Failure to do so | o will result in a one (| 1) point "Application | Completeness" dec | duction. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | TOTALS: | 92 | 20 | 20 |
| 10. | MARKET CHARACTERISTICS | | | | | | | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | For DCA determination: | | | | | | | | Yes/No | Yes/No |
| Α. | Are more than two DCA funded projects in the | orimary mark | et area which have | physical occupan | cy rates of less th | nan 90 percent and which comp | ete for the same tenant | | A. | |
| | base as the proposed project? | | | | | | | | | |
| В. | Has there been a significant change in econom | ic conditions | in the proposed ma | arket which could | detrimentally affe | ct the long term viability of the p | roposed project and the | | B. | |
| | proposed tenant population? | | | | | | | | | |
| C. | Does the proposed market area appear to be or | verestimated | , creating the likelih | nood that the dema | and for the projec | t is weaker than projected? | | | C. | |
| D. | Is the capture rate of a specific bedroom type a | nd market se | gment over 55%? | | | | | | D. | |
| | Scoring Justification per Applicant | | | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DCA's Comments: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11. | EXTENDED AFFORDABILITY COM | MITMENT | | (choose only or | ne) | | | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Waiver of Qualified Contract Right | | | (| , | | | 1 | Α. | |
| | Applicant agrees to forego cancellation option for | or at least 5 v | rs after close of C | ompliance period? | | | | • | | |
| R | Tenant Ownership | or at loadt o | | omphanoo ponoa. | | | | 1 | В. | |
| ٥. | Applicant commits to a plan for tenant ownershi | n at and of c | ompliance period (| only applies to sin | ale family unite) | | | • | Ь. | |
| | DCA's Comments: | p at end or c | ompliance penou (| orny applies to sing | gie rairilly driits). | | | | | |
| | DOTTO COMMENTO. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. | EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT | | | 0 | _ | | | 3 | | |
| | Nonprofit Setaside selection from Project Inform | nation tab: | | No | | | | | Yes/No | Yes/No |
| | Is the applicant claiming these points for this pre- | oject? | | | | | | | | |
| | Is this is the only application from this non-profit | t requesting t | hese points in this | funding round? | | | | | | |
| | Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the requi | red documer | ntation included in t | the appropriate tab | o of the application | n? | | | | |
| | DCA's Comments: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13. | RURAL PRIORITY Competiti | ve Pool: | N/A - 4% Bond | | | Urban or Rura | ıl: Rural | 2 | | |
| | Applicant will be limited to claiming these point | | al project in which | thou have a direct | or indirect interes | | | _ | | |
| | icant to designate these points to only one quali | | | | . Or maneot milere. | st and which involves of or rew | er units. I allule by the | Unit Total | 43 | |
| | | | | to boiling awarded. | NDC | 0 | 0.00000/ | 0 | | |
| MGP | BFB General Partners, LLC | 0.0090% | David Brown 0 | | NPSponsr | 0 DHM Developer, Inc. | 0.0000% | 0 David Brown | | |
| OGP1 OGP2 | 0 | 0.0000% 0.0000% | 0 | | Developer Co-Developer 1 | Onivi Developer, Inc. | 0.0000% 0.0000% | David Brown | | |
| OwnCo | * | 0.0000% | 0 | | Co-Developer 2 | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 | | |
| Fed LF | | 98.9910% | Keith Gloeckl | | Developmt Consult | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 | | |
| State L | | 1.0000% | Keith Gloecki | | _ 510.0p 00110011 | - | 0.000070 | - | | |
| | Scoring Justification per Applicant | | | | | DCA's Comments: | | | | |
| | essg sasanoadon por rippnoant | | | | | | | | | |

| | PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA | - 2017-0 Arbor Tra | ice II, Lake Park, Lowndes | County | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|
| | REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Ap | round and have no effect on | subsequent or future funding round scoring | | Score Value | Self Score | DCA Score |
| | | | | TOTALS: | 92 | 20 | 20 |
| 4. | DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES | | | | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Α. | Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GICH) | | | | 1 | | |
| | Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Community Housing team that clearly: | | | | | A. Yes/No | Yes/No |
| | 1. Identifies the project as located within their GICH community: | < S | elect applicable GICH > | | | 1. | |
| | 2. Is indicative of the community's affordable housing goals | | | _ | | 2. | |
| | 3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives of the GICH Plan | | | | | 3. | |
| | 4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ Un | iversity of Georgia Housi | ng and Demographic Research Ce | nter as of 5/1/17? | | 4. | |
| | 5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years | | | | | 5. | |
| | NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project | | | | 4 | | |
| В. | Designated Military Zones http://www.dca.state.ga.us/econo Project site is legated within the conous tract of a DCA designated Military Zone (MZ) | mic/Development I ools/progra | ims/militaryZones.asp | | 1 | _ | |
| | Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ). City: Lake Park County: Lowndes | QCT? No | Census Tract #: | 114.02 | | В. | |
| | Scoring Justification per Applicant | QCT: NO | DCA's Comments: | 114.03 | | | |
| | Cooling Custinoution por Applicant | | | | | | |
| 5 | LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES | Competitive | Pool chosen: | N/A - 4% Bond | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| ٠. | Indicate that the following criteria are met: | Compounts | | 1471 170 20114 | - | _ | Yes/No |
| | a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set fort | h in this section. | | Unmet criterion res | ults in no | a) | |
| | b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. | | | points! | | b) | |
| | c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. | | | | | c) | |
| | d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report on April | • | ` , | 38 loans must reflect into | erest rates | d) | |
| | e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points | in this section. HUD 22 | 1(d)4 loans eligible for points. | | | e) | |
| | f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated | by USDA by September | 30, 2017. | | | f) | |
| ١. | Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources: | | Amount | = | | Amount | |
| | a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP) | | a) | a | | | |
| | b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD PHI fund | | b) | b _i | ' | | |
| | c) HOME Funds d) Beltline Grant/Loan | | c) | c | | | |
| | e) Historic tax credit proceeds | | e) | e e | | | |
| | f) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program funds | | f) | f |) | | |
| | g) National Housing Trust Fund | | g) | g | | | |
| | h) Georgia TCAP acquisition loans passed through a Qualified CDFI revolving loan fund | d | h) | h |) | | |
| | i) Foundation grants, or loans based from grant proceeds per QAP | | i) | i |) | | |
| | j) Federal Government grant funds or loans | | j) | j. | | | |
| | Total Qualifying Sources (TQS): | | 0 | 4 | | 0 | |
| 2. | Point Scale Total Development Costs (** | TDC): | 3,989,166 | | | 0.00000/ | |
| | Scoring Justification per Applicant TQS as a Percent of TDC: | | 0.0000% | | | 0.0000% | |
| | DCA's Comments: | | | | | | |
| | DOA'S COMMENS. | | | | | | |

| | PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Arbor Trac | e II. Lake Park, Lownde | s County | | | |
|------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| | REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are c | | o County | 0 | 0.16 | D04 |
| | <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on su | | ng decisions. | Score | Self | DCA |
| | Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" dedu | uction. | | Value | Score | Score |
| | | | TOTALS: | 92 | 20 | 20 |
| 16. | INNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT | | | 3 | | |
| - 1 | Is the applicant claiming these points? | | | | | |
| ; | Selection Criteria | | Ranking Pts Value Rang | ge | F | Ranking Pts |
| | 1. Presentation of the project concept narrative in the Application. | | 0 - 10 | | 1. | |
| | 2. Uniqueness of innovation. | | 0 - 10 | | 2. | |
| | 3. Demonstrated replicability of the innovation. | | 0 - 5 | | 3. | |
| | 4. Leveraged operating funding | | 0 - 5 | | 4. | |
| | 5. Measureable benefit to tenants | | 0 - 5 | | 5. | |
| | 6. Collaborative solutions proposed and <u>evidence</u> of subject matter experts' <u>direct</u> involvement in the strategic co | oncept development. | 0 - 5 | _ | 6. | |
| | DCA's Comments: | | 0 - 40 | | Total: | 0 |
| | | | | | - | |
| 17. | INTEGRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING | | | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Α. Ι | Integrated Supportive Housing/ Section 811 RA | 10% of Total Units (max): | 4 | 2 | A. 0 | 0 |
| | 1. Applicant agrees to accept Section 811 PBRA or other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units for the | Total Low Income Units | 43 | Observator ADD | 1. | |
| | purpose of providing Integrated Supportive Housing (ISH) opportunities to Persons w/ Disabilities (PWD), and | Min 1 BR LI Units required | 4 | Check 1BR | • | |
| | is prepared to accept the full utilization by DCA of 10% of the units? | 1 BR LI Units Proposed | 4 | LI count! | | |
| | 2. Applicant understands the requirements of HUD's Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) program, inclu- | ding the 30-year use restriction f | or all PRA units? | - 4 | 2. | |
| | 3. At least 10% of the total low-income units in the proposed Application will be one bedroom units? | | | | 3. | |
| | 4. Applicant is willing to accept Assistance affordable to 50% AMI tenants? | | | | 4. | |
| В. | Target Population Preference | | | 3 | В. 0 | 0 |
| | 1. Applicant has a commitment of HUD Section 8 project-based rental assistance from a Public Housing Authority | which has elected to offer a ten | ant selection preference | _ | 1. | |
| | in their Voucher programs for persons with specific disabilities identified in the Settlement Agreement (#1:10-C | V-249-CAP)? | | | | |
| | Name of Public Housing Authority providing PBRA: | PBRA Expiration: | | | | |
| | 2. Applicant agrees to implement a minimum of 15% of the total units targeting the Settlement population? | Nbr of Settlement units: | 0 | 0.0% | 2. | |
| , | Scoring Justification per Applicant | | | <u>.</u> | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | DCA's Comments: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 18. | HISTORIC PRESERVATION (choose A or B) | | | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | The property is: < <select applicable="" status="">></select> | Historic Credit Equity: | 0 | 7 | | |
| ΔΙ | Historic and Adaptive Reuse | Historic adaptive reuse units: | 0 | 2 | Α. | |
| | The proposed development includes historic tax credit proceeds and is an adaptive reuse of a | Total Units | 43 | | | |
| | certified historic structure. | % of Total | 0.00% | | | |
| Ī | Enter here Applicant's Narrative of how building will be reused >> | , o o | 0.0070 | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | | | | |
| В | Historic | Nbr Historic units: | 0 | T 1 | В. | |
| | The property is a certified historic structure per QAP or is deemed historic via a Georgia DNR-HPD approved NPS | Total Units | 43 | 1 | J. | |
| | Part 1- Evaluation of Significance to have a preliminary determination of listing on the National Register | % of Total | 0.00% | | | |
| | DCA's Comments: | 70 Or TOtal | 0.00 /0 | 1 | | |
| | BOA'S Communic. | | | | | |

| PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. | 0-16 | DCA |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions | Self | DCA |
| Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. | Score | Score |
| TOTALS: 92 | 20 | 20 |
| 19. HEALTHY HOUSING INITIATIVES (choose A or B or C) 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Pre-requisites: | Agree or Y/N | Agree or Y/N |
| 1. In Application submitted, Applicant used the following needs data to more efficiently target the proposed initiative for a proposed property: | | |
| a) A local Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA) | | |
| b) The "County Health Rankings & Reports" website: http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/health-gaps/georgia | | |
| c) The Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Community Health Status Indicators (CHSI) website | | |
| 2. The Applicant identified target healthy initiatives to local community needs? | | |
| 3. Explain the need for the targeted health initiative proposed in this section. | | |
| | | |
| A. Preventive Health Screening/Wellness Program for Residents | 0 | 0 |
| 1. a) Applicants agrees to provide on-site preventive health screenings and or Wellness Services at the proposed project? | | |
| b) The services will be provided at least monthly and be offered at minimal or no cost to the residents? | | |
| c) The preventive health initiative includes wellness and preventive health care education and information for the residents? | | |
| 2. Description of Service (Enter "N/a" if necessary) Occurrence | Cost to | Resident |
| a) | | |
| b) | | |
| c) | | |
| 7 | | 1 0 |
| B. Healthy Eating Initiative Applicant agrees to provide a Uselihy Feting Initiative, as defined in the OAR at the proposed project? | 0 | 0 |
| Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Eating Initiative, as defined in the QAP, at the proposed project? 1. The community garden and edible landscape will: a) Emphasize the importance of local, seasonal, and healthy food? a) | | |
| 1. The community garden and edible landscape will:a) Emphasize the importance of local, seasonal, and healthy food?b) Have a minimum planting area of at least 400 square feet?b) | | |
| c) Provide a water source nearby for watering the garden? | | |
| d) Be surrounded on all sides with fence of weatherproof construction? | | |
| e) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's Architectural Manual – Amenities Guidebook? | | |
| 2. The monthly healthy eating programs will be provided free of charge to the residents and will feature related events? | | |
| Description of Monthly Healthy Eating Programs Description of Related Event | | _ |
| a) | | |
| | | |
| b) | | |

| DENINITE D. Applicants must include comments in | 2017-0 Arbor Trace II, Lake Park, Lowndes County | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. TOTALS: 92 | | | | | | | | | |
| | TOTALS: 92 <u>20 20</u> | | | | | | | | |
| C. Healthy Activity Initiative | 2 0 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Activity Initiative, as defined in the QAP, at the propo | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The dedicated multi-purpose walking trail that is ½ mile or longer that promotes walking | | | | | | | | | |
| a) Be well illuminated? | a) f) Provide trash receptacles? f) | | | | | | | | |
| b) Contain an asphalt or concrete surface? | b) g) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's g) Architectural Manual – Amenities Guidebook? | | | | | | | | |
| c) Include benches or sitting areas throughout course of trail? | 3) | | | | | | | | |
| d) Provide distance signage?e) Provide 1 piece of fitness equipment per every 1/8 mile of trail? | d) e) Length of Trail miles | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| The monthly educational information will be provided free of charge to the residents or Scoring Justification per Applicant | related events? 2. | | | | | | | | |
| Scoring sustinication per Applicant | | | | | | | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 00 01141 TV EDUCATION ADEAO | | | | | | | | | |
| ZO CHALLIVEDIICATION AREAS | 3 1 0 1 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | oring schools as determined by the state CCRPI? | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform | <u></u> | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School System - from state CCRPI website: | ning schools as determined by the state CCRPI? | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School System - from state CCRPI website: CCRPI Data Must Tenancy | ning schools as determined by the state CCRPI? Family | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School System - from state CCRPI website: CCRPI Data Must Tenancy | ring schools as determined by the state CCRPI? Family district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site? | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School System - from state CCRPI website: CCRPI Data Must Tenancy Be Used If Charter school used, does it have a designated (not | Family district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site? CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCRPI > | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School System - from state CCRPI website: CCRPI Data Must Tenancy Be Used If Charter school used, does it have a designated (not School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) | Family district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site? CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCRPI > | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) School Level Tenancy Be Used School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School Primary/Elementary | Family district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site? CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCRPI > | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School System - from state CCRPI website: CCRPI Data Must Tenancy Be Used If Charter school used, does it have a designated (not School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School Primary/Elementary b) Middle/Junior High | Family district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site? CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCRPI > | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) School Level Tenancy Be Used School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School Name (from state CCRPI website) Widdle/Junior High C) High | Family district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site? CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCRPI > | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School Night (From state CCRPI website) By Middle/Junior High (Primary/Elementary) Charter School Name (from state CCRPI website) Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform nor more high-perform | Family district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site? CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCRPI > | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) School Level School Level Be Used School Name (from state CCRPI website) School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) | Family district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site? CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCRPI > | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used If Charter school used, does it have a designated (not School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School Niddle/Junior High Chigh Primary/Elementary Middle/Junior High Fi High | Family district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site? CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCRPI > | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) School Level School Level Be Used School Name (from state CCRPI website) School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) | Family district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site? CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCRPI > | | | | | | | | |
| Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-perform NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used If Charter school used, does it have a designated (not School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School High Chigh Primary/Elementary Middle/Junior High Find High Grades Served Charter School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School Name (from state CCRPI website) | Family district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site? CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCRPI > | | | | | | | | |

| Seorgia Department of | f Community | Affairs | 2017 Fundi | ng Application | | Housing Finan | ce and Dev | /elopment | Divisio |
|---|---|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | PART NINE - SCORING CR | ITERIA - 2017- | O Arbor Trace II, | Lake Park, Lowndes | County | | | |
| <u>Disclaimer:</u> DC, | A Threshold and Sc | REMINDER: Applicants must include oring section reviews pertain only to the correspo Failure to do so will result in a one (| nding funding round and | have no effect on subseque | | decisions. | Score Value 92 | Self Score | DCA Score |
| 21. WORKFORCE H | OUSING NE | ED (choose A or B) | (Must use 2014 da | ata from "OnTheMap" to | ool, but 2015 data may be u | used if available) | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| A. Minumum jobs th OR B. Exceed the minim | | 60% of workers within a 2-mile radius to bld by 50% | ravel over 10 miles to | o their place of work | | | 2 2 | | |
| Jobs | City of | | , | Atlanta Metro | | | Other | Rural | |
| Threshold | Atlanta | (Cherokee, Clayton, Cob | b, DeKalb, Douglas, | | nett, Henry and Rockdale co | ounties) | MSA | Area | ٦ |
| Minimum | 20,000 | | | 15,000 | | | 6,000 | 3,000 | |
| Project Site | | | | | | | | | |
| Min Exceeded by: | 0.00% | | | 0.00% | | | 0.00% | 0.00% | |
| Total Nbr of Jobs w/in Nbr of Jobs in 2-mile r Percentage of Jobs w/ work: Scoring Justification p DCA's Comments: 22. COMPLIANCE / I Base Score Deductions | the 2-mile radius adius w/ workers /in the 2-mile rad er Applicant | s who travel > 10 miles to work: dius w/ workers travelling over 10 miles to | Per Applicant 0.00% | 0.00% | Project City Project County HUD SA MSA / Non-MSA Urban or Rural | Lake Park Lowndes Valdosta MSA Rural | 10 | 10 10 | 10 |
| Additions Scoring Justification p | er Applicant | | | | | | | | |
| | - 4-1 | | | | | | | | |
| DCA's Comments: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | SIBLE SCORE EXCEPTIONAL NOI | NPROFIT POINTS | s | 92 | 20 | 20 0 0 |
| | | | | | OUT DOA FYTRA POI | | | | 20 |

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.

Score Value Self DCA Score Score

TOTALS: 92 20 2

DCA has included the following area for Applicants to make comments in any section they claimed points but were not provided with comment section. Include the section/(s) you are

| referring to within this area along with any applicable comments. | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative Arbor Trace II

Lake Park, Lowndes County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

Arbor Trace II

Lake Park, Lowndes County

Scoring Section 14 - DCA Community Initiatives: GICH Project Narrative Arbor Trace II Lake Park, Lowndes County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Scoring Section 16 - Innovative Project Concept Narrative Arbor Trace II Lake Park, Lowndes County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>