Appraisal Report*

For

An Existing Family Apartment Complex

Called

Arbor Trace II Apartments 4700 Rolling Pine Drive Lake Park, Georgia 31636

Prepared For Ms. Melanie Ferrell Investors Management Company 3548 North Crossing Circle Valdosta, Georgia 31602

And

Georgia Department of Community Affairs 60 Executive Park South, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30329

Intended Users
Investors Management Company, Georgia Department of Community Affairs and
United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development

Date of Appraisal September 20, 2017

Effective Date of Appraisal May 10, 2017

Appraised By Samuel T. Gill





512 North One Mile Road * Dexter, Missouri 63841

Ph: 573-624-6614 * Fax: 573-624-2942

September 20, 2017

Ms. Melanie Ferrell Investors Management Company 3548 North Crossing Circle Valdosta, Georgia 31602

and

Georgia Department of Community Affairs 60 Executive Park South, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30329

RE: Arbor Trace II Apartments
4700 Rolling Pine Drive
Lake Park, Georgia 31636
"As Is" and "As Stabilized" Appraisal Report
As of May 10, 2017

Dear Ms. Ferrell:

In accordance with your request, I have personally appraised the existing Rural Development property targeted towards families known as Arbor Trace II Apartments. The site contains approximately 4.00 acres. The subject is improved with six one-story garden and two-story townhouse buildings containing 43 units and one accessory building.

The purpose of the Appraisal Report is to estimate the market value, subject to restricted rents, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(i) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; market value, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the prospective market value, subject to restricted rents, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; prospective market value within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), premised upon a hypothetical condition as-if conventional housing as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of interest credit subsidy from the existing 515 loan as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of interest credit subsidy from the assumed 515 loan as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of favorable financing as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of the Low Income Housing Tax Credits as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines. Additional values required by Georgia Department of Community Affairs include the value of the land; as is market value; the prospective market value upon stabilization - restricted rents; the prospective market value upon stabilization - market rents; and the prospective market value at loan maturity - market rents. The property interest being appraised is the fee simple interest. The function of this appraisal is to aid the client, Investors Management Company, Georgia Department of Community Affairs and United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development in the decision-making process involved in evaluating the value of the subject property.

*Prior to the 2014-2015 USPAP, this report would have been considered a complete self-contained appraisal report.

The intended users of the appraisal are Investors Management Company, Georgia Department of Community

Affairs and United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development. The appraisal is assignable to other

lenders or participants in the transaction. In addition to this appraisal, Gill Group, Inc., has also completed a

market study.

A description of the property, together with information providing a basis for estimates, is presented in the

accompanying report. This appraisal is subject to the definitions, assumptions, conditions and certification

contained in the attached report. During the fieldwork, it has been determined the appraised property has no

natural, cultural, scientific or recreational value. Samuel T. Gill, State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, is

the signing appraiser on this report. He completed valuation and analysis as indicated in the Scope of Work of

this report. Trey Johnson and Scott Hassler inspected the interior and exterior of the subject property, and

Samuel T. Gill inspected the exterior of the property.

The market value of the fee simple estate, unrestricted or conventional, subject to short-term leases, was

determined under the hypothetical condition that the subject was a conventional property and not subject to any

rent restrictions.

The "prospective" values upon stabilization of the fee simple estate were determined under the extraordinary

assumption that the rehabilitation is completed as detailed in the scope of work and that the proposed rents

indicated in the report are approved.

The following values are determined for the Clients and Intended Users:

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Market

Value, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), Premised Upon a Hypothetical Condition as-if Conventional

Housing, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows.

TWO MILLION TWO HUNDRED SEVENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS

\$2,270,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Market

Value, Subject to Restricted Rents, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(i), as of May 10, 2017, is as follows.

SEVEN HUNDRED EIGHTY THOUSAND DOLLARS

\$780,000

Gill Group Page 3 Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Market Value, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), as of May 10, 2017, is as follows.

SEVEN HUNDRED EIGHTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$780,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Prospective Market Value within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), Premised Upon A Hypothetical Condition As-If Conventional Housing as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

TWO MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS \$2,715,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Prospective Market Value, Subject to Restricted Rents, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(i), as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

ONE MILLION EIGHTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$1,080,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the Value of the Interest Credit Subsidy from the Existing USDA RD Section 515 Loan of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

SEVEN HUNDRED TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$720,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the Value of the Interest Credit Subsidy from the Proposed USDA RD Section 515 Loan of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

FIVE HUNDRED FIFTY FOUR THOUSAND DOLLARS \$554,000 Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the value of the Low Income Housing Tax Credits, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

EIGHT HUNDRED SEVENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$870,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 625 square feet one-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, is

as follows:

FIVE HUNDRED FIFTEEN DOLLARS

\$515.00

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 860 square feet two-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

FIVE HUNDRED NINETY DOLLARS \$590.00

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 910 square feet three-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

SIX HUNDRED SIXTY FIVE DOLLARS

\$665.00

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 625 square feet one-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

FIVE HUNDRED SIXTY FIVE DOLLARS \$565.00 Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 860 square feet two-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

SIX HUNDRED FORTY DOLLARS \$640.00

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 910 square feet three-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTEEN DOLLARS \$715.00

The following values are determined for the DCA:

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the market value of the land, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$150,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the "As Is" market value of the subject property, subject to market rents, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows.

TWO MILLION TWO HUNDRED SEVENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$2,270,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the "As Is" market value of the subject property, subject to restricted rents, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows.

SEVEN HUNDRED EIGHTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$780,000 Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the prospective market value upon stabilization – market rents, of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

TWO MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS \$2,715,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the prospective market value upon stabilization – restricted rents, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

ONE MILLION EIGHTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$1,080,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the prospective market value at loan maturity – market rents, of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

EIGHT MILLION TWENTY SIX THOUSAND DOLLARS \$8,026,000.00

This report and its contents are intended solely for your information and assistance for the function stated previously, and should not be relied upon for any other purpose. Otherwise, neither the whole nor any part of this appraisal or any reference thereto may be included in any document, statement, appraisal or circular without my explicit, prior written approval of the form and context in which it appears.

The accompanying prospective financial analysis is based on estimates and assumptions developed in connection with the appraisal. However, some assumptions inevitably will not materialize and unanticipated events and circumstances will occur. The actual results achieved during the holding period will vary from my estimates and these variations may be material. I have not been engaged to evaluate the effectiveness of management, and I am not responsible for management's actions such as marketing efforts.

The estimated marketing period is one to nine months. This appraisal report sets forth only the appraiser's conclusions. Supporting documentation is retained in the appraiser's file. A copy of this report, together with the field data from which it was prepared, is retained in my files. This data is available for your inspection upon request.

Respectfully submitted,

Samuel T. Gill

State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser

Samuel J. Dill

GA# 258907

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	ILE PAGE	
	ETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	
	ABLE OF CONTENTS	
	KECUTIVE SUMMARY	
CI	ERTIFICATION	13
3(COPE OF WORK	14
N	TRODUCTION	
	Identification of the Subject Property	17
	Legal Description	17
	Past Five Years Sales History of the Subject	17
	Property Rights Appraised	17
	Purpose of the Appraisal	17
	Function of the Appraisal	18
	Intended Use of Report	18
	Extent of the Investigation (Scope)	18
	Area and Neighborhood Analyses	18
	Reasonable Exposure Time	19
	Estimated Marketing Time	20
	Definition of Terms	21
	Special Limited Conditions and Assumptions	24
D	ESCRIPTIVE SECTION	30
	Regional and Area Data and Area Maps	
	Neighborhood Data	
	Neighborhood Map	
	Defining the Market Area	
	Absorption Period	
	Subject Description	
	Subject Map	
	Improvement Description	
	Subject Photos	
	Assessments and Current Real Estate Taxes	
	Highest and Best Use Analysis	
	Appraisal Procedures	
V /	ALUATION SECTION	
	Cost Approach	
	Income Approach	
	HUD-Forms 92273 – As Is	
	HUD-Forms 92273 – As Complete	
	One-Bedroom Units (672 SF) – As Complete	
	Rent Comparable Map	
	Potential Gross Rental Income	
	Vacancy and Expense Explanations	
	Operating Expenses & Restricted Projections	
	Estimating Restricted Expenses Per Unit	
	Itemized Expense Explanations - Restricted	
	Operating Expenses & Market Projections	
	Estimating Market Expenses Per Unit	
	Itemized Expense Explanations - Market	
	Net Operating Income Conclusions	
	Direct Capitalization	
	Comparable Capitalization Rates	
	Income Values	
	Value of Interest Credit Subsidy	
	•	

Arbor Trace II Apartments * 4700 Rolling Pine Drive * Lake Park, Georgia

	Value of Tax Credits	163
	Insurable Value	164
	Sales Comparison Approach	165
	Comparable Sales Map	167
	Comparable Sales	168
	Comparable Sales Chart – As Is	174
	Comparable Sales Explanations & Value – As Is	175
	Comparable Sales Chart – As Complete	178
	Comparable Sales Explanations & Value – As Complete	179
R	ECONCILIATION AND CONCLUSIONS	183
	Conclusion of Value	184
Α	ddenda	
	Legal Description	A
	Achievable Rent Analysis	
	Subject Data	
	Zoning Ordinance	
	Flood Hazard Determination Form	
	State License	
	Experience and Qualifications	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Name of the Property Arbor Trace II Apartments

Location 4700 Rolling Pine Drive, Lake Park, Lowndes County, Georgia 31636

Current Owner Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.

Type of Report "As Is" and "As Stabilized" Appraisal Report

Total Land Area 4.00 acres or 174,240+/- square feet

Floodplain Hazard According to RiskMeter, Flood Map Number 13185C350E, dated September

26, 2008, the subject is zoned X, an area determined to be outside the 100-and 500-year floodplains. Federal flood insurance is available but is not

required.

Zoning According to Lowndes County, the subject is zoned PD, Planned

Development District. The subject is a legal, non-conforming use.

Property Description The subject is improved with six one-story garden and two-story townhouse

buildings containing 43 units and one accessory building. The net rentable area is approximately 36,440 square feet. The gross building area, according

to the Lowndes County Assessor's Office, is 40,658 square feet.

Unit Type	# of Units	Square Footage	Total Square Footage
1/1	4	625	2,500
2/1	1	860	860
2/1.5 TH	30	860	25,800
3/1.5 TH	8	910	7,280
	43		36,440

Real Estate Taxes \$10,642.70 for 2016 **Parcel Number** 0171 071

Property Type Apartment Complex Highest and Best Use Apartment Complex

Date of Inspection May 10, 2017 Date of Report September 20, 2017

Sales History of Subject According to the Lowndes County Assessor's Office, the property is owned by

Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. The property has not transferred ownership within the past five years. The property is currently under contract to be sold. The property is currently under contract for sale between Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. (seller) and Arbor Trace II Lake Park, LP (buyer). The purchase price will be negotiated based upon the fair market value of the property determined by an independent appraisal report commissioned by and paid by the purchaser from a certified and USDA-approved appraiser. The purchase price shall include the assumption of existing debt on the first lien mortgage plus gross equity to the seller in an amount to be determined after receipt and approval of the appraisal. The sale is between two related entities and is not an arms-length transaction.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY VALUES

Cost Approach \$880,000 (As Is Restricted)

\$2,270,000 (As Is Market)

\$1,165,000 (As Stabilized Restricted) \$2,700,000 (As Stabilized Market)

Income Approach \$780,000 (As Is Restricted)

\$2,270,000 (As Is Market) \$1,080,000 (As Stabilized Restricted) \$2,715,000 (As Stabilized Market)

Sales Comparison Approach Not Developed (As Is Restricted)

\$1,765,000 (As Is Market)

Not Developed (As Stabilized Restricted)

\$1,935,000 (As Stabilized Market)

Value of Land \$150,000

Value of Existing 515 Loan #1 \$720,000

Value of Existing 515 Loan #2 \$287,000

Value of Proposed 515 Loan \$554,000

Value of Low Income Housing Tax Credits \$870,000

Insurable Value \$2,229,000

Market Value at Loan Maturity - Market \$8,094,000

As Is Market Rent (CRCU)

One-Bedroom with 625 SF \$515.00 Two-Bedroom with 860 SF \$590.00 Three-Bedroom with 910 SF \$665.00

As Complete Market Rent (CRCU)

One-Bedroom with 625 SF \$565.00 Two-Bedroom with 860 SF \$640.00 Three-Bedroom with 910 SF \$715.00

CERTIFICATION

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- ♦ The reported analyses, opinions and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and is my personal, impartial and unbiased professional analyses, opinions and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- ♦ I have performed services as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment. I have previously completed a market study and an appraisal in August 2015 and again in April 2016.
- ♦ I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of the report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions and conclusions were developed and this report has been prepared in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- Trey Johnson and Scott Hassler inspected the interior and exterior of the subject property and inspected the exteriors of the properties used as comparables in this report. Samuel T. Gill inspected the exterior of the property that is the subject of this report.
- ♦ No one provided significant professional assistance to the person signing this report. His contribution is identified in the Scope of Work section of this report.
- The appraiser retained by the lender inspected the subject property.

Samuel T. Gill

State Certified General Real Estate

Samuel J. Sell

Appraiser

GA# 258907

SCOPE OF WORK

The appraisal development and reporting process required gathering and analyzing information about those assignment elements necessary to property identify the appraisal problem to be solved. The scope of work decision must include the research and analyses that are necessary to develop credible assignment results given the intended use of the appraisal. Sufficient information includes disclosure of research and analyses performed and might also include disclosure of research and analyses not preformed. The scope of work of this appraisal assignment is outlined below:

- Samuel T. Gill analyzed the regional and local area economic profiles including employment, population, household income and real estate trends. The local area was further studied to assess the general quality and condition and emerging development trends for the real estate market. The immediate market area was inspected and examined to consider external influences on the subject.
- Samuel T. Gill confirmed and analyzed legal and physical features of the subject property including sizes of the site and improvements, floodplain data, zoning, easements and encumbrances, access and exposure of the site and construction materials and condition of the improvements. This process also includes estimating the remaining economic life of the improvements, analysis of the subject's site coverage compared to market standards, a process to identify deferred maintenance and a conclusion of the subject's overall functional utility.
- Samuel T. Gill completed an apartment market analysis that included market and sub-market overviews. Conclusions were drawn regarding the subject property's competitive position given its physical and locational characteristics, the prevailing economic conditions and external influences.
- Samuel T. Gill conducted a Highest and Best Use analysis, if required, determining the highest and best use of the subject property As-Vacant and As-Proposed. The analysis considered legal, locational, physical and financial feasibility characteristics of the subject property. Development of the Highest and Best Use As-Improved explored potential alternative treatments of the property including demolition, expansion, renovation, conversion and continued use "as-is".
- Samuel T. Gill confirmed and analyzed financial features of the subject property including budgeted income/expense data, if available and tax and assessment records. This information as well as trends established by confirmed market indicators was used to forecast performance of the subject property.
- The appraisal report is intended to satisfy the scope of work and requirements agreed upon by Investors Management Company and the engaged appraiser. The client requested a full narrative appraisal in the engagement letter.
- ♦ I understand the Competency Rule of USPAP and the author of this report meets the standards.
- No one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the appraiser signing this certification, except as noted on the following page.

- Samuel T. Gill, a State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, oversaw and supervised all data collection and analysis and performed the research. The following actions were taken to complete this appraisal.
 - On May 10, 2017, Trey Johnson and Scott Hassler, Market Analysts, conducted an interior and exterior inspection of the subject property to determine the property's physical and functional characteristics. Samuel T. Gill, State Certified Real Estate Appraiser, also inspected the exterior Trey Johnson and Scott Hassler inspected all common areas and at least one unit of each varying type.
 - Trey Johnson and Scott Hassler and Samuel T. Gill researched comparable apartment rental activity in the subject market and competing locations. The research retrieve data from several of the following: internet sites, local newspapers and rental publications, town records, owners and managers of local apartment properties, local real estate brokers, fellow appraisers and the appraiser's office files.
 - During the week of May 10, 2017, Trey Johnson and Scott Hassler inspected the exterior of each comparable property used in the analysis.
 - During the verification process, Samuel T. Gill or one of his associates talked with the managers or leasing agents of the comparable properties, to confirm all data and to collect additional information about each comparable, including size, age, amenities, occupancy rates and general market information. Whenever possible, floor plans and brochures were obtained, which describe the comparable properties unit size, feature and amenities.
 - Samuel T. Gill completed all data and adjustments on the analysis and determined all value conclusions determined in the appraisal.



Identification of the Subject Property

The property appraised is the land and improvements known as Arbor Trace II Apartments. The site is located at 4700 Rolling Pine Drive, Lake Park, Lowndes County, Georgia.

Legal Description

See Addendum A.

Past Five Years Sales History of the Subject

According to the Lowndes County Assessor's Office, the property is owned by Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. The property has not transferred ownership within the past five years. The property is currently under contract to be sold. The property is currently under contract for sale between Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. (seller) and Arbor Trace II Lake Park, LP (buyer). The purchase price will be negotiated based upon the fair market value of the property determined by an independent appraisal report commissioned by and paid by the purchaser from a certified and USDA-approved appraiser. The purchase price shall include the assumption of existing debt on the first lien mortgage plus gross equity to the seller in an amount to be determined after receipt and approval of the appraisal. The sale is between two related entities and is not an arms-length transaction.

Property Rights Appraised

For this appraisal, I have valued the property rights inherent in the **Fee Simple Estate** which is defined in the definitions section of this report.

Purpose of the Appraisal

The purpose of the Appraisal Report is to estimate the market value, subject to restricted rents, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(i) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; market value, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the prospective market value, subject to restricted rents, within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(i) as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; prospective market value within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), premised upon a hypothetical condition as-if conventional housing as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of interest credit subsidy from the existing 515 loan as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of favorable financing as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines; the value of favorable financing as defined in the USDA-RD guidelines. Additional values required by Georgia Department of Community Affairs include the value of the land; as is market value; the prospective market value upon stabilization - restricted rents; the prospective market value upon stabilization - market rents; and the prospective market value at loan maturity - market rents. The date of the inspection and the effective date of the as is value are both May 10, 2017. The effective date of the as complete value is January 31, 2019.

Function of the Appraisal

The function of this appraisal is to aid the client, Investors Management Company, Georgia Department of Community Affairs and United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development in the decision-making process involved in evaluating the value of the subject property.

Intended Use of Report

This appraisal report is intended for the sole purpose of assisting the client in the decision-making process involving financing.

Intended Users of Report

The intended users of the appraisal are Investors Management Company, Georgia Department of Community Affairs and United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development.

Extent of the Investigation (Scope)

As part of this appraisal, the appraiser made a number of independent investigations and analyses. The investigations undertaken and the major data sources used are as follows: City of Lake Park, the Lowndes County Recorder; the Lowndes County Assessor; United States Bureau of Labor Statistics; United States Census Bureau; Walkscore; ESRI Business Information Solutions; and Nielsen Claritas and Ribbon Demographics.

Area and Neighborhood Analyses

Primary data was gathered pertaining to the subject neighborhood and the area during the week of May 8, 2017, to May 12, 2017. This information was analyzed and summarized in this report. Area data was obtained from the City of Lake Park; the Lowndes County Recorder; the Lowndes County Assessor; United States Bureau of Labor Statistics; United States Census Bureau; ESRI Business Information Solutions; Walkscore; and Nielsen Claritas and Ribbon Demographics. The neighborhood analysis was based on the observations made by the appraiser as well as the sales in the neighborhood.

Improvement and Description Analyses

Detailed descriptions of the site are included in this report. Interior and exterior photographs of the buildings at the subject are included in this report. Exterior photos of the rent comparables are also included in this report.

Statement of Competency

We have the knowledge and experience to complete the assignment competently based upon having completed appraisals of properties of a similar type throughout the United States for the past several years.

Market Data

Market data on land sales were obtained from the subject neighborhood in Lake Park and the surrounding area. Market data on improved sales and leased properties were obtained from Lake Park and the surrounding area. The improved sales were obtained from parties involved with the sales. Summaries of the sales and leases are included in this report.

Attention of the reader is also directed to the assumptions and limiting conditions contained within the report.

Reasonable Exposure Time

In the definition of market value, one of the conditions of a "market value sale" is as follows: a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market. Marketing time has a definite influence on the potential selling price of a property. To obtain a maximum selling price, a property must be exposed to a given market for a time long enough to enable most market participants to gain full knowledge of the sale and the attributes of the property.

To produce a reliable estimate of the expected normal marketing period for the subject property, the following factors were considered and findings analyzed:

- 1. Historical evidence.
- 2. Supply and demand relationships including vacancy and occupancy rates.
- 3. Revenue and expense changes.
- 4. Future market conditions.

Historical Evidence

Generally, the sales in the Sales Comparison Approach were on the market for one to two years. Since current supply and demand relationships are similar to historical relationships, there is justification for some reliance on historical evidence.

Supply and Demand Relationships

A survey of apartment complexes in Lake Park, Georgia, and the surrounding area indicate that they are not owner-occupied. The Income Approach discusses similar apartment complexes in Lake Park, Lowndes County, Georgia, which were leased.

Revenue and Expense Changes and Future Market Conditions

The revenue from apartment complexes has increased corresponding to increases in expenses at generally the same rate. A survey completed by PwC indicated that the change rate of apartment complexes ranges from 0.00 to 5.00 percent, with an average of 2.80 percent for the first quarter of 2017. During the same period a year ago, the market rent change rate ranged from 0.00 to 6.00 percent, with an average of 3.18 percent.

The changes in expenses range from 2.00 to 4.00 percent, with an average of 2.73 percent (first quarter of 2017). The survey for a year ago indicated a range of expenses from 2.00 to 4.00 percent, with an average of 2.91 percent.

Summary

For the purpose of this report the reasonable exposure time is estimated at one to nine months based on the previous discussion and the length of time the comparables were on the market. The 2017 First Quarter National Apartment Market Survey conducted by PwC Real Estate Investor Survey indicated a range of one to nine months for marketing time. In accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, special financial arrangements and related special situations were not used in estimating the value of the property. In accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice; the appraisal was completed using the current or anticipated use of the property as an apartment complex without regard to the highest and best use.

Estimated Marketing Time

Marketing time is similar to exposure time in that it refers to a time during which a property is marketed prior to its sale. Marketing time differs from exposure time in that it is estimated to occur after the date of value as opposed to before that date of value. This time would be measured from the date of value and would be a measure of time necessary to secure a willing buyer for the property, at a market price. Since this refers to prospective events, it is typically necessary to analyze neighborhood trends. In theory, in a market which is near equilibrium, the estimated marketing time should be equal to past trends or the reasonable exposure time. In a market, which is experiencing down turning conditions, the estimated marketing time should be greater than the reasonable exposure time. In the case of the subject property, the market for this type of facility should be similar to previous market conditions. Therefore, the estimated marketing time is estimated at one to nine months.

Definition of Terms

Market Value

The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition are the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- Buyer and seller are typically motivated;
- Both parties are well informed or well advised, and acting in what they consider their best interests;
- A reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
- Payment is made in terms of U.S. cash dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.¹

Market Value, Subject to Restricted Rents

The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition are the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- Buyer and seller are typically motivated;
- Both parties are well informed or well advised, and acting in what they consider their best interests:
- A reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market:
- Payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

It considers any rent limits, rent subsidies, expense abatements or restrictive-use conditions imposed by any government or non-government financing sources but does not consider any favorable financing involved in the development of the property.²

¹ Appraisal Institute, *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 6th ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute), 2015. and Attachment 7-A of Chapter 7 of the USDA RD Handbook HB-1-3560.

²Attachment 7-A of Chapter 7 of the USDA RD Handbook HB-1-3560

"As-Is" Value

The value of specific ownership rights to an identified parcel of real estate as of the effective date of the appraisal; relates to what physically exists and is legally permissible and excludes all assumptions concerning hypothetical market conditions or possible rezoning.³

Prospective Value

A value opinion effective as of a specified future date. The term does not define a type of value. Instead, it identifies a value opinion as being effective at some specific future date. An opinion of value as of a prospective date is frequently sought in connection with projects that are proposed, under construction, or under conversion to a new use, or those that have not yet achieved sellout or a stabilized level of long-term occupancy.⁴

Investment Value

The specific value of an investment to a particular investor or class of investors based on individual requirements; as distinguished from market value, which is impersonal and detached.⁵ Investment value of the leased fee estate is determined utilizing the subject's contract rents, historical and projected subject expenses and an overall capitalization rate based on the subject's mortgage terms.

Fee Simple Estate

Absolute ownership unencumbered by any other interest or estate, subject only to the limitations imposed by the governmental powers of taxation, eminent domain, police power, and escheat.

Leased Fee Estate

An ownership interest held by a landlord with the rights of use and occupancy conveyed by lease to others. The rights of the lessor (the leased fee owner) and the leased fee are specified by contract terms contained within the lease.

Leasehold Estate

The interest held by the lessee (the tenant or renter) through a lease conveying the rights of use and occupancy for a stated term under certain conditions.

³ Appraisal Institute, *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 6th ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute), 2015. and Attachment 7-A of Chapter 7 of the USDA RD Handbook HB-1-3560.

⁴ Appraisal Institute, *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 6th ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute), 2015. and Attachment 7-A of Chapter 7 of the USDA RD Handbook HB-1-3560.

⁵ Appraisal Institute, The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, 6th ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute), 2015.

Replacement Cost

The estimated cost to construct, at current prices as of the effective appraisal date, a building with utility equivalent to the building being appraised, using modern materials and current standards, design, and layout.

Reproduction Cost

The estimated cost to construct, at current prices as of the effective date of the appraisal, an exact duplicate or replica of the building being appraised, using the same materials, construction standards, design, layout and quality of workmanship and embodying all the deficiencies, superadequacies and obsolescence of the subject building.

Contract Rent

The actual rental income specified in a lease.

Market Rent

The rental income that a property would most probably command in the open market; indicated by the current rents paid and asked for comparable space as of the date of the appraisal.

Excess Rent

The amount by which contract rent exceeds market rent at the time of the appraisal; created by a lease favorable to the landlord (lessor) and may reflect a locational advantage, unusual management, unknowledgeable parties or a lease execution in an earlier, stronger rental market.

Percentage Rent

Rental income received in accordance with the terms of a percentage lease; typically derived from retail store tenants on the basis of a certain percentage of their retail sales.

Overage Rent

The percentage rent paid over and above the guaranteed minimum rent or base rent; calculated as a percentage of sales in excess of a specified break-even sales volume.

Special Purpose Property

A limited market property with a unique physical design, special construction materials or layout that restricts its utility to the use for which it was built; also called special-design property.

Special Limited Conditions and Assumptions

1. Limit of Liability

The liability of Gill Group, employees and subcontractors is limited to the client. There is no accountability, obligation or liability to any third party. If this report is placed in the hands of anyone other than the client, the client shall make such party aware of all limiting conditions and assumptions of the assignment and related discussions. The appraiser assumes no responsibility for any costs incurred to discover or correct any deficiencies present in the property. Possession of this or any copy thereof does not carry with it the right of publication nor may it be used for other than its intended use; the physical report(s) remain the property of the appraiser for the use of the client, the fee being for the analytical services only. This appraisal report is prepared for the sole and exclusive use of the client to assist with the mortgage lending decision. It is not to be relied upon by any third parties for any purpose whatsoever.

2. Copies, Publications, Distribution, Use of Report

The client may distribute copies of the appraisal report in its entirety to such third parties as he may select; however, selected portions of this appraisal report shall not be given to third parties without the prior written consent of the signatories of this appraisal report. Neither all nor any part of this appraisal report shall be disseminated to the general public for the use of advertising media, public relations, news, sales or other media for public communication without prior written consent of the appraiser.

3. Confidentiality

This appraisal is to be used only in its entirety. All conclusions and opinions of the analyses set forth in the report were prepared by the Appraiser(s) whose signature(s) appear on the appraisal report unless indicated as "Review Appraiser". No change of any item in the report shall be made by anyone other than the Appraiser and/or officer of the firm. The Appraiser and the firm shall have no responsibility if any such unauthorized change is made.

The Appraiser may not divulge the material (evaluation) contents of the report, analytical findings or conclusions or give a copy of the report to anyone other than the client or his designee as specified in writing except by a court of law or body with the power of subpoena.

4. Information Used

No responsibility is assumed for accuracy of information furnished by or from others, the client, his designee or public records. I am not liable for such information or the work of possible subcontractors. Be advised that some of the people associated with the consultant and possibly signing the report are independent contractors. The comparable data relied upon in this report have been confirmed with one or more parties familiar with the transaction or from affidavit or other source thought reasonable; all are considered appropriate for inclusion to the best of my factual judgment and knowledge. An impractical

and uneconomic expenditure of time would be required in attempting to furnish unimpeachable verification in all instances, particularly as to engineering and market-related information. It is suggested that the client consider independent verification within these categories as a prerequisite to any transaction involving sale, lease or other significant commitment of subject property and that such verification be performed by the appropriate specialists.

5. Testimony, Consultation, Completion of Contract for Appraisal Services

The contract for appraisal, consultation or analytical service is fulfilled and the total fee payable upon completion of the report. The appraiser(s) or those assisting in preparation of the report will not be asked or required to give testimony in court or hearing because of having made the appraisal, in full or in part, nor engage in post-appraisal consultation with client or third parties except under separate and special arrangement and at additional fee. If testimony or deposition is required because of any subpoena issued on the behalf of the client, then the client shall be responsible for any additional time fees and changes.

6. Exhibits

The sketches and maps in this report are included to assist the reader in visualizing the property and are not necessarily to scale. Various photos, if any, are included for the same purpose as of the date of the photos. Site plans are not surveys unless shown as being prepared by a professional surveyor. As noted in the Scope of Work section of the report, the appraiser inspected the exterior of the comparable properties. Our comparable database automatically includes pictures we have recently taken. The only time a comparable picture is replaced is when the inspection shows a material change. Otherwise, the pictures shown in the report are representative of how the comparables looked during the inspection.

7. Legal, Engineering, Financial, Structural or Mechanical Nature Hidden Components, Soil

No responsibility is assumed for matters legal in character or nature or matters of survey or of any architectural, structural, mechanical or engineering nature. The title to the property is good and marketable. No responsibility is assumed for the legal description provided or for matters pertaining to legal or title considerations. The use of the land and improvements is confined within the boundaries or property lines of the property described.

The property is appraised as if free and clear unless otherwise stated in particular parts of the report. The legal description is assumed to be correct as used in this report as furnished by the client, his designee or as derived by the appraiser.

Please note that no advice is given regarding mechanical equipment or structural integrity or adequacy or soils and potential for settlement, drainage, etc., (seek assistance from qualified architect and/or engineer) nor matters concerning liens, title status and legal marketability (seek legal assistance). The

lender and owner should inspect the property before any disbursement of funds; further, it is likely that the lender or owner may wish to require mechanical or structural inspections by qualified and licensed contractor, civil or structural engineer, architect or other expert.

The appraiser has inspected, as far as possible by observation, the land and the improvements; however, it was not possible to personally observe conditions beneath the soil or hidden structural or other components. I have not critically inspected mechanical components within the improvements, and no representations are made therein as to these matters unless specifically stated conditions that would cause a loss of value. The land or the soil of the area being appraised appears firm; however, subsidence in the area is unknown. The appraiser(s) do not warrant against this condition or occurrence of problems arising from soil conditions.

The appraisal is based on there being no hidden unapparent or apparent conditions of the property site subsoil or structures or toxic materials which would render it more or less valuable. No responsibility is assumed for any such conditions or for any expertise or engineering to discover them.

All mechanical components are assumed to be in operable condition and status standard for properties of the subject type. Conditions of heating, cooling ventilation, electrical and plumbing equipment are considered to be commensurate with the condition of the balance of the improvements unless otherwise stated. No judgment is made as to adequacy of insulation, type of insulation or energy efficiency of the improvements or equipment.

If the Appraiser has not been supplied with a termite inspection, survey or occupancy permit, no responsibility or representation is assumed or made for any costs associated with obtaining same or for any deficiencies discovered before or after they are obtained. No representation or warranties are made concerning obtaining the above-mentioned items.

The Appraiser assumes no responsibility for any costs or consequences arising due to the need or the lack of need for flood hazard insurance. An Agent for the Federal Flood Insurance Program should be contacted to determine the actual need for Flood Hazard Insurance.

8. Legality of Use

The appraisal is based on the premise that there is full compliance with all applicable federal, state and local environmental regulations and laws unless otherwise stated in the report and that all applicable zoning, building and use regulations and restrictions of all types have been complied with unless otherwise stated in the report; further, it is assumed that all required licenses, consents, permits or other

legislative or administrative authority, local, state, federal and/or private entity or organization have been or can be obtained or renewed for any use considered in the value estimate.

9. Component Values

The distribution of the total valuation in this report between land and improvements applies only under the existing program of utilization. The separate valuations for land and building must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if so used.

10. Auxiliary and Related Studies

No environmental or impact studies, special market study or analysis, highest and best use analysis study or feasibility study has been requested or made unless otherwise specified in an agreement for services or in the report. The appraiser reserves the unlimited right to alter, amend, revise or rescind any of the statements, findings, opinions, values, estimations or conclusions upon any subsequent such study or analysis or previous study or factual information as to market or subject or analysis subsequently becoming known to him.

11. Dollar Values, Purchasing Power

The market value estimated and the costs used are as of the date of the estimate of value. All dollar amounts are based on the purchasing power and price of the value estimate.

12. Inclusions

Furnishings and equipment or personal property or business operations except as specifically indicated and typically considered as part of real estate have been disregarded with only the real estate being considered in the value estimate unless otherwise stated. In some property types business and real estate interests and values are combined.

13. Proposed Improvements, Conditioned Value

Improvements proposed, if any, on or off-site as well as any repairs required are considered, for purposes of this appraisal, to be completed in good and workmanlike manner according to information submitted and/or considered by the appraisers. In cases of proposed construction, the appraisal is subject to change upon inspection of property after construction is completed. This estimate of market value is as of the date shown, as proposed, as if completed and operating at levels shown and projected.

14. Value Change, Dynamic Market, Influences

The estimated market value is subject to change with market changes over time; value is highly related to exposure, time, promotional effort, terms, motivation and conditions surrounding the offering. The value

estimate considers the productivity and relative attractiveness of the property physically and economically in the marketplace.

In cases of appraisals involving the capitalization of income benefits, the estimate of market value or investment value or value in use is a reflection of such benefits and appraiser's interpretation of income, yields and other factors derived from general and specific client and market information. Such estimates are as of the date of the estimate of value; they are thus subject to change as the market and value are naturally dynamic.

The "Estimate of Market Value" in the appraisal report is not based in whole or in part upon the race, color or national origin of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the property appraised.

The Appraiser reserves the right to alter the opinion of value on the basis of any information withheld or not discovered in the original normal course of a diligent investigation.

15. Management of the Property

It is assumed that the property which is the subject of this report will be under prudent and competent ownership and management neither inefficient nor super-efficient.

16. Fee

The fee for this appraisal or study is for the service rendered and not for the time spent on the physical report.

17. Authentic Copies

The authentic copies of this report are signed originals. Any copy that does not have the above is unauthorized and may have been altered.

18. Insulation and Toxic Materials

Unless otherwise stated in this report, the appraiser(s) signing this report have no knowledge concerning the presence or absence of toxic materials, asbestos and/or urea-formaldehyde foam insulation in existing improvements; if such is present, the value of the property may be adversely affected and reappraisal an additional cost necessary to estimate the effects of such.

19. Hypothetical Conditions*

The market value of the fee simple estate, unrestricted or conventional, subject to short-term leases, was determined under the hypothetical condition that the subject was a conventional property and not subject to any rent restrictions. The use of a hypothetical condition might have affected the assignment results.

20. Extraordinary Assumptions*

The "prospective" value upon stabilization was determined under the extraordinary assumption that the rehabilitation is completed as detailed in the scope of work and that the proposed rents indicated in the report are approved. The use of an extraordinary assumption might have affected the assignment results.

21. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)


The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992, as to the removal of barriers in existing public accommodations. The ADA applies to alterations of existing public accommodations or commercial facilities or places of public accommodation designed for first occupancy after January 26, 1993. A compliance survey of the subject property has not been conducted to determine if it conforms to the various requirements of the ADA. A compliance survey of the property, in conjunction with a detailed study of the ADA requirements, could reveal that the property is not in compliance with one or more of the requirements of the act. If so, this could have a negative effect on the value of the property. Since I am not qualified to determine if the subject property complies with the various ADA regulations, I did not consider possible noncompliance with the requirements of the ADA in estimating the value of the property.

22. Review

Unless otherwise noted herein, the review appraiser has reviewed the report only as to general appropriateness of technique and format and has not necessarily inspected the subject or market comparable properties.

The appraiser(s) and/or associates of Gill Group reserve the right to alter statements, analyses, conclusions or any value estimate in the appraisal if there becomes known to them facts pertinent to the appraisal process which were unknown to Gill Group when the report was finished.

Acceptance Of And/Or Use Of This Appraisal Report
Constitutes Acceptance of the Above Conditions



Regional and Area Data and Area Maps

The following data on the City of Lake Park and Lowndes County are included to give the reader an insight into the social, economic, governmental and environmental factors which provide the setting and ultimate stability for the subject neighborhood and the property which is the subject of this appraisal. The various social, economic, governmental and environmental factors within any locality are the underlying forces which create, modify or destroy real property values.

Location

The City of Lake Park is located in Lowndes County which is located in the southern portion of Georgia. Nearby cities include Valdosta, Quitman and Statenville. Lowndes County has the following boundaries: North – Cook and Berrien Counties; East – Clinch and Echols Counties; South – State of Florida; and West – Brooks County.

Utilities

Lowndes County Utilities or the City of Lake Park provides water and sewer services to the residents in the area. Lowndes County Utilities provides these services to the subject. Colquitt EMC and Georgia Power Company provide electricity services. Georgia Power Company is the electricity provider for the subject. There are no natural gas lines in Lowndes County. Propane is provided by Amerigas or South Georgia Propane. Basic telephone service is provided by AT&T.

Health Care

SMITH Northview Hospital and South Georgia Medical Center are medical facilities that serve the residents of Lake Park and the surrounding area. Both facilities are located in Valdosta, approximately 16 miles northwest of Lake Park.

Transportation

Major highways in the County of Lowndes include Interstate 75, U.S. Highways 41, 84 and 221, and State Highways 7, 31, 38, 94, 122, 125, 133, 135, 376 and 401. Valdosta Regional Airport is approximately 11 miles from Lake Park in Valdosta.

Population and Employment Statistics

CENSUS: 2015

	City	County	State
Population	1,070	113,203	10,006,693
Households	371	39,328	3,574,362
Renter Occupied	150	19,138	1,310,665

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS

		COUNTY		
Labor Force Employment Unemployment Unemployment Rate				
2005	50,416	48,390	2,026	4.0
2010	51,019	46,120	4,899	9.6
March 2017	51,664	49,315	2,349	4.5

		STATE			
	Labor Force Employment Unemployment Unemployment Rate				
2005	4,586,427	4,341,229	245,198	5.3	
2010	4,696,692	4,202,061	494,631	10.5	
March 2017	5,014,429	4,772,580	241,849	4.8	

Major Employers


Major employers for Lowndes County, the product or service and number of employees are as follows:


MA	JOR EMPLOYERS	
Name	Product/Service	Total Employees
Moody Air Force Base	Military	6,307
South Georgia Medical Center/Smith Northview Hospital	Health Care	2,559
Fresh Beginnings, Inc. and Elead1one	Chocolate Chip Cookies, Pretzels, Etc.	1,478
Valdosta State University	Education	1,425
Lowndes County School System	Education	1,386
Valdosta City School System	Education	1,251
Wild Adventures	Theme Park	900
Lowe's Distribution Center	Retail	842
Wal-Mart Supercenter	Retail	733
City of Valdosta	Government	582
Lowndes County	Government	565
Wiregrass Georgia Technical College	Education	486
Georgia Department of Corrections	Prison	446
Convergys Corporation	Information Management	420

Source: Valdosta-Lowndes County Chamber of Commerce

Summary and Conclusions

Lake Park is a city located in the southern portion of Georgia. The unemployment rate for Lowndes County has consistently decreased since 2010. Therefore, the economic outlook for future growth and development appears to be stable.





Neighborhood Data

Location

The subject property is located in the western portion of the City of Lake Park, Georgia. The neighborhood has average attractiveness and appeal. The neighborhood has the following boundaries: North – Interstate 75; South – Lakes Boulevard; East - Interstate 75; and West – City Limits

Access

The neighborhood is accessed by Interstate 75 and Lakes Boulevard. There are additional roads running north to south and west to east that provide access to the neighborhood as well. Street widths and patterns appear to be adequate for the surrounding uses.

Proximity to Services

	Restaurants
0.4 mi	Cracker Barrel Old Country Store
0.4 mi	Pizza Hut
0.4 mi	McDonald's
0.5 mi	Wendy's
0.6 mi	Subway
0.7 mi	Krystal
0.7 mi	Domino's Pizza
0.7 mi	Waffle House
0.7 mi	Taco Bell
0.7 mi	Farm House Restaurant
0.7 mi	Rodeo Mexican Restaurant
0.7 mi	Chick-fil-A
0.7 mi	Zaxby's Chicken Fingers & Buffalo Wings
0.8 mi	Cowboys Firepit Grill & Bar
0.8 mi	Lins Hibachi Chinese Restaurant

	Groceries
0.9 mi	Winn-Dixie
0.9 mi	A & L Produce
2.3 mi	Super Grocery Jr
3.8 mi	Gulfstream Produce
6.1 mi	Sy Katz Produce Inc
6.2 mi	Coggins Farm & Produce
7.3 mi	Little River Produce
7.4 mi	South Georgia Produce Inc
7.4 mi	Southern Acres Produce
8 mi	Valdosta Farmers Market

	Schools
2.6 mi	Lake Park Christian Academy
2.8 mi	Lake Park Elementary School
4.5 mi	Georgia Christian School
5 mi	Clyattville Elementary School
5.8 mi	Victory Christian School

	Shopping
0.7 mi	Dress Barn
0.7 mi	William's Military Antiques
0.8 mi	Book Warehouse
0.8 mi	Bon Worth
0.8 mi	Polo Ralph Lauren Factory Store
1 mi	Carter's
1.9 mi	On Board Maternity
1.9 mi	Marie Boutique
2 mi	Family Dollar Store
2.6 mi	Chocolate Expressions Candy
3.7 mi	Army Surplus Now

	Banks	
0.9 mi	Bank of the Ozarks - Lake Park	
1.4 mi	First State Bank	

Police		
8.8 mi	Georgia State Patrol Post 31	
	•	

Medical Facilities		
6.1 mi	Migrant Farmworkers Clinic	
7.7 mi	Ingeant Farmers Clinic	
9.7 mi	South GA Medical Center Clinics	
10 mi	Patterson Health Clinic	
11 mi	Express Care Medical Clinic	
12 mi	Healthsource Medical Clinic	



Land Use Pattern

The subject neighborhood is comprised primarily of multifamily developments and is 90 percent built up. Approximately 90 percent of the land use is made up of multifamily developments. The remaining 10 percent is vacant land. The area is mostly rural.

Neighborhood Characteristics

The subject is located in the City Center neighborhood, according to Neighborhood Scout. The median real estate price of the neighborhood is \$179,076, which is more expensive than 65.8 percent of the neighborhoods in Georgia and 48.4 percent of the neighborhoods in the United States. The average rental price in the neighborhood is \$784, according to Neighborhood Scout, which is lower than 78.6 percent of all Georgia neighborhoods.

The neighborhood has 36.3 percent of the working population employed in executive, management and professional occupations. Another 25.9 percent of the residents are employed in sales and service jobs. Manufacturing and laborer occupations make up 21.8 percent, and 16.0 percent are employed in clerical, assistant and technical support occupations.

According to Neighborhood Scout, the school quality rating is 63 (100 is the best). The neighborhood is served by the Lowndes County School District which contains 12 schools and approximately 10,511 students. The school district quality is considered better than 10 percent of Georgia school districts.

Most of the properties in the neighborhood maintain an acceptable level of property maintenance and condition. The ages of buildings in the area generally range from new to 100 years. The subject

neighborhood is in average condition with average appeal. There are no rent controls affecting the marketability of the subject.

Neighboring Property Use

The neighborhood is comprised primarily of multifamily developments. Arbor Trace I Apartments and Mar-Mel-Go Apartments are located north of the site. Brookhaven Apartments are located south of the site. Rolling Pine Apartments and vacant land are located east of the subject. Vacant land are located west of the subject.



Crime

According to **www.neighborhoodscout.com**, the crime index for the subject neighborhood is 76. There are 115 total crimes annually in the neighborhood, 25 of which are violent crimes and 90 of which are property crimes. The annual violent crime rate is 3.03 per 1,000 residents, while the property crime rate is 10.91 per 1,000 residents. The total annual crime rate is 13.93 per 1,000 residents. The chances of becoming a victim of a violent crime are 1 in 330 which is lower than for the state which is 1 in 273. The chances of becoming a victim of a property crime are 1 in 92which is lower than the rate for the state which is 1 in 30.

Adverse Influences

There are no major adverse influences or hazards observed or known by the appraiser in the immediate surrounding area.

Utilities

Utilities generally available in the neighborhood include water, electricity, sewer and telephone.

Demographics

The population for the subject's neighborhood for 2017, according to ESRI, is 3,886, an increase of 116 people from the 2010 population of 3,770. The population is expected to increase at an annual rate of 1.8 percent between 2017 and 2022. Therefore, the 2022 population is projected at 3,957. The median age for the neighborhood is 40.3.

The total number of households increased from 1,531 in 2010 to 1,575 in 2017. Household totals are expected to increase, with a projected 1,603 households in 2022.


The median household income for the neighborhood in 2017 is \$46,017. It is expected to increase to \$50,582 by 2022. The per capita income is \$20,534.

The median home value for the neighborhood in 2017, according to ESRI, is \$154,700. According to ESRI, the average amount spent for owner-occupied households in the subject's neighborhood is \$12,732.00, or \$1,061 per month. The average amount spent for renter-occupied households is \$9,624.00, or \$802 per month.

Analysis/Comments

In conclusion, the subject is located in the western portion of Lake Park, Georgia. The subject is considered to be compatible with the adjacent properties. Based on the current and projected population and household data, the neighborhood appears to be stable. There have been no significant changes in the make-up of the neighborhood over the past few years. Properties in the neighborhood are generally well maintained. Therefore, it is anticipated that the neighborhood will remain stable and in acceptable condition.

Neighborhood Map



Defining the Market Area

The market area for the subject consists of Census Tracts 0114.03, 0115.00 and 0116.00. The market area has the following boundaries: North – U.S. Highway 84, Interstate 75 and State Highway 31; South – State of Florida; East – Mud Swamp and South George Road; and West – State Highway 37.

Surveying existing apartment complexes helps to show what the competition is offering. Vacancy rates are an indicator of current market strength. In a field survey an attempt is made to survey 100 percent of all units in the market area. This is not always possible. There are several apartments in the market area. Information was gathered through interviews with owners and managers and through field inspection. These sources appear to be reliable, but it is impossible to authenticate all data. The appraiser does not guarantee this data and assumes no liability for any errors in fact, analysis or judgment.


The field/phone survey was conducted in May 2017. Five market-rate properties responded to the survey and six restricted properties, including the subject, responded to the survey. Of the apartments surveyed an overall vacancy rate of two percent was determined for the market-rate vacancy and two percent was determined for the restricted vacancy. The subject is currently 97 percent occupied. Historically, the subject's occupancy rate has ranged from 95 to 97 percent since 2014. After considering the vacancy rate and collection loss of the subject and the comparables, a vacancy rate of five percent was deemed appropriate for "as is" conventional housing; five percent was deemed appropriate for "as complete" conventional housing; five percent was deemed appropriate for "as is" affordable housing; and five percent was deemed appropriate for "as complete" affordable housing.

Market Area Vacancy by Development - Conventional					
Property Name	Vacancy Percentage				
Three Oaks Apartments	240	5	2.1%		
Staten Crossing Apartments	196	5	2.6%		
Spring Chase Apartments	288	0	0.0%		
Tall Tree Apartments	148	4	2.7%		
Mar-Mel-Go Apartments	70	1	1.4%		
TOTALS	942	15	1.6%		

Market Area Vacancy by Development - Affordable					
Property Name	# of Units	# of Vacant Units	Vacancy Percentage		
Arbor Trace II Apartments	43	1	2.3%		
Francis Lake I and II Apartments	48	1	2.1%		
Arbor Trace I Apartments	24	0	0.0%		
Rolling Pine Apartments	48	2	4.2%		
Hillmont Apartments	43	0	0.0%		
Brookhaven Apartments	48	2	4.2%		
TOTALS	254	6	2.4%		

Absorption Period

The subject is an existing 43-unit complex that is currently 97 percent occupied. The proposed rehabilitation of the development will not permanently displace residents. Therefore, no additional absorption of units will be needed as the property typically maintains a stabilized occupancy.



Subject Description

The area of the site and the site dimensions are based on the building plans provided by the Lowndes County Assessor's Office and a survey provided by the owner. A copy of the survey is included in the addenda.

Total Land Area 4.00 acres or 174,240+/- square feet

Shape/Dimensions Irregular

Access & Exposure The subject property is located on Rolling Pine Drive. The site is at

or near pavement grade with Rolling Pine Drive. The site has

ingress and egress on Rolling Pine Drive.

Topography/Drainage The site is gently rolling. A water detention area is not located on

the site. No adverse soil conditions are known in the area which

would prevent development.

Flood Plain According to RiskMeter, Flood Map Number 13185C350E, dated

September 26, 2008, the subject is zoned X, an area determined to

be outside the 100- and 500-year floodplains. Federal flood

insurance is available but is not required.

Environmental Issues The appraisers are not qualified to determine whether or not

hazards exist. A copy of a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment was provided to the appraisers with this assignment. No

environmental hazards were observed on the site on the date of the

inspection.

Encroachments No encroachments were observed. A survey was provided with this

assignment. The appraisers are not qualified to determine whether

or not the adjacent properties encroach on the subject site.

Easements Typical utility easements that are not adverse to the site's

development run on the property. A title insurance report was not

provided to the appraisers with this assignment. No significant

easements are known.

Site Ratios

Building to Land Ratio: 1 to 4.99;

Site Coverage Ratio - 20.04 percent

There is limited room for expansion of the existing facility as the current buildings do not occupy 100 percent of the site. The size of the buildings when compared to the total lot size does not preclude expansion of the facility and, therefore, does not negatively affect the estimated market value of the subject. The site coverage ratio indicates the available land around the buildings has been utilized at the subject to preclude a "cramped" feel to the property.


Utilities

Water, sewer and electricity are provided by city utilities along the site boundaries. These services appear to be adequate for commercial use.

Zoning

According to Lowndes County, the subject is zoned PD, Planned Development District. The subject is a legal, non-conforming use. Therefore, it is unlikely that a zoning change will occur. The subject appears to meet site and setback requirements and appears to conform to the current zoning restrictions. The subject could be rebuilt if it were destroyed. The current zoning is consistent with the Highest and Best Use of the subject. A copy of the zoning ordinances was not available. Since there are no obvious conflicts between the subject property and the zoning of the property, there is no negative impact on the market value by the zoning classification.

Subject Map



Improvement Description

Number of Buildings The subject contains six one-story garden and two-story

townhouse buildings containing 43 units and one

accessory building.

Net Rentable Building Area36,440 square feetGross Building Area40,658 square feet

Year Built/Year Renovated 1995/Proposed

Economic Life 55 Years

Effective Age 10 Years (As Is)

5 Years (As Complete)

The subject contains six one-story garden and two-story townhouse buildings containing 43 units. The property also contains one accessory building housing the leasing office and maintenance area. According to the Lowndes County Assessor, the gross building area of the property is 40,658 square feet. A copy of the plans, dated March 12, 1993, and completed by Ellis, Ricket and Associates of Valdosta, Georgia, is included in the addenda.

The following table shows the unit mix for the subject property. The unit sizes shown in the table are based on inspector measurements taken the date of inspection.

Unit Type	# of Units	Square Footage	Total Square Footage
1/1	4	625	2,500
2/1	1	860	860
2/1.5 TH	30	860	25,800
3/1.5 TH	8	910	7,280
	43		36,440

The property includes the following amenities:

Unit Amenities	Included	Fee	Project Amenities	Included	Fee
Refrigerator	Х		Clubhouse		
Range/Oven	Х		Meeting Room		
Garbage Disposal			Dining Room		
Dishwasher			Swimming Pool		
Microwave			Spa/Hot Tub		
Washer/Dryer			Exercise Room		
Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups	X		Picnic Area		
Carpet	Х		Playground	Х	
Vinyl	Х		Tot Lot		
Wood			Volleyball Court		
Wood Composite			Basketball Court		
Ceramic Tile			Tennis Court		
Blinds	X		Exterior Storage	X	\$0
Drapes/Shades			Housekeeping		
Ceiling Fans			Business Center		
Vaulted Ceilings			Educational Classes		
Fireplace			Transportation		
Walk-In Closet	X (2 BR)		Service Coordinator/HUD Paid		
Coat Closet	Х		Concierge Services		
Balcony			Computer Room		
Patio			Car Wash Area		
Pull Cords			Laundry Facility	Х	
Emergency Call			On-Site Management	Х	
Safety Bars			On-Site Maintenance	X	
Parking	Included		Intercom/Electronic Entry		
Parking Lot/# of Spaces	X/85	\$0	Limited Access Gate		
Covered Parking/# of Spaces			Perimeter Fencing		
Garage/# of Spaces			Security Patrol		
Parking Garage/Underground/# of Spaces			Video Surveillance	X	

The subject is 100 percent Rural Development with Rental Assistance for 7 units. The unit types, current rents, utility allowances and square footages for the units are shown in the table below:

Unit Type	# of Units	Square Footage	Current Rent	Utility Allowance
1/1	4	625	\$390	\$116
2/1	1	860	N/A	N/A
2/1.5 TH	30	860	\$430	\$129
3/1.5 TH	8	910	\$465	\$168

The property will undergo rehabilitation and will be in good condition after rehabilitation. The proposed scope of work is comprehensive and includes a complete rehabilitation of units, appliances and systems, kitchen cabinets, bath vanities and medicine cabinets, windows, interior and exterior doors, hot water heaters, air conditioning units, toilets and baths, flooring and structural elements such as exterior brick and wall supports, roofing and re-grading and striping of the parking lots. The rehabilitation is anticipated to take nine months.

The unit types, proposed rents after completion of the rehabilitation, utility allowances and square footages for the units are shown in the table below. The non-revenue unit will be converted into a revenue unit after rehabilitation.

Unit Type	# of Units	Square Footage	Proposed Rent	Utility Allowance
1/1	4	625	\$415	\$116
2/1	1	860	\$450	N/A
2/1.5 TH	30	860	\$460	\$129
3/1.5 TH	8	910	\$485	\$168

The rents indicated in the table are assuming LIHTC restrictions, but the subject will retain its Rental Assistance for 7 units. The subject is currently a Rural Development property that, after rehabilitation, will remain a Rural Development property with Rental Assistance for 7 units as well as be a Low Income Housing Tax Credit property at 60 percent of the area median income. As a result of the Rental Assistance, tenants in those units will never be asked to pay more than 30 percent of their gross annual income for rent and utilities.

CONSTRUCTION SUMMARY

Foundation Concrete Slab on Grade

Construction Frame

Exterior Walls Brick/Wood Siding

Floors Carpet/Vinyl
Roof Asphalt Shingle

UTILITIES

UTILITY SCHEDULE						
Utility	Type	Who Pays				
Heat	Central Electric	Tenant				
Air Conditioning	Central Electric	Tenant				
Hot Water	Electric	Tenant				
Cooking	Electric	Tenant				
Other Electric	N/A	Tenant				
Cold Water/Sewer	N/A	Tenant				
Trash Collection	N/A	Landlord				

APPEAL

Landscaping Grass, Trees and Shrubs

Age, Life and Condition

The subject was constructed in 1995 using both residential and commercial industry standard workmanship and materials. At the time of the inspection, the facility was observed to be in average physical condition. The subject will be in good condition after the rehabilitation is complete. The remaining

estimated useful life is calculated by subtracting the effective age of a property as determined by the appraiser from the total economic life as determined by *Marshall and Swift Cost Valuation Services*. The effective age of a property is its age as compared with other properties performing like functions. It is the actual age less the age which has been taken off by face-lifting, structural reconstruction, removal of functional inadequacies, modernization of equipment, etc. It is an age which reflects the true remaining life for the property, taking into account the typical life expectancy of buildings or equipment of its class and its usage. It is a matter of judgment, taking all factors, current and those anticipated in the immediate future, into consideration.

In evaluating the remaining economic life, consideration of the following points was included:

a. The economic make-up of the community or region and the on-going demand for accommodations of the type represented.

As noted in the Neighborhood Data section of this report, the subject is considered to be compatible with the adjacent properties in its neighborhood. The median home value for the neighborhood in 2017, according to ESRI, is \$154,700. According to ESRI, the average amount spent for owner-occupied households in the subject's neighborhood is \$12,732.00, or \$1,061 per month. The average amount spent for renter-occupied households is \$9,624.00, or \$802 per month. This data indicates that the cost to rent is significantly lower than the cost to own, thereby increasing the demand for rental housing. Therefore, the demand for rental units continues to be strong.

b. The relationship between the property and the immediate environment. Older properties may have legally non-conforming use if they pre-dated real property zoning for the neighborhood. Observations within the neighborhood in which the subject is situated may reveal a conflicting relationship. This should be fully explored to determine any potential external obsolescence.

In selecting an appropriate effective age for the subject, the property's compatibility within the neighborhood was considered. The property is a compatible use in the neighborhood and remains in demand by residents as exhibited by the stable occupancy rate of the property. The existing multifamily use of the subject does not conflict with adjacent property uses. Therefore, the property's compatibility does not have a detrimental impact on the property's remaining economic life. Surrounding and nearby land uses are not detrimental to the subject property. There is no evidence of external obsolescence arising from undesirable or non-conforming properties within the subject district.

c. To the extent possible, the appraiser should analyze architectural design, style and utility from a functional point of view and the likelihood of obsolescence attributable to new inventions, new materials, changes in building codes, and changes in tastes.

The property's architectural design is typical for the local rental market and is generally similar to rental projects in the area. In addition, the functional utility of the subject is similar to rental projects in the area, and the property does not suffer from functional obsolescence.

d. The trend and rate of change in the characteristics of the neighborhood that affect property values and their effect on those values.

Essential goods and services are readily accessible. Access to primary transportation routes is average to good, with ready linkage to both north-south and east-west highways. These neighborhood characteristics have resulted in a stable environment where occupancy rates are strong. No significant changes to the market area characteristics are anticipated.

e. Workmanship and durability of construction and the rapidity with which natural and man-made forces may cause physical deterioration.

The physical aspects reflect Class D construction which is viewed as having good durability.

f. Physical condition and the practice of owners and occupants with respect to maintenance, the use or abuse to which the improvements are subjected, the physical deterioration and functional obsolescence within the subject property.

The property is well-maintained, exhibits no evidence of deferred maintenance and is functionally adequate. The subject property is not anticipated to experience physical deterioration at a higher rate than projected for similar properties in the area.

The buildings are classified as Average Class D Multiple Residences, according to the Marshall & Swift Cost Manual. Based on the life expectancy tables found in the Marshall & Swift Cost Manual, the economic life of the building is approximately 55 years. Therefore, the effective age is 10 years, and the remaining economic life is 45 years. The subject will undergo a substantial rehabilitation. Upon completion of the rehabilitation the subject will be in good condition, and the effective age of the subject will be five years, and the remaining economic life will be 50 years.

Subject Photos



View of Sign



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Playground



View of Mailboxes



View of Grounds



View of Extra Storage



View of Entrance



View of Living Area – One-Bedroom Unit




View of Kitchen - One-Bedroom Unit



View of Bedroom - One-Bedroom Unit



View of Bath - One-Bedroom Unit



View of Living Area – Two-Bedroom Unit



View of Kitchen - Two-Bedroom Unit




View of Bedroom - Two-Bedroom Unit




View of Bath – Two-Bedroom Unit



View of Utility Area - Two-Bedroom Unit



View of Stairs - Two-Bedroom Unit



View of Living Area – Three-Bedroom Unit



View of Kitchen - Three-Bedroom Unit




View of Bedroom - Three-Bedroom Unit



View of Bath - Three-Bedroom Unit



View of Utility Area - Three-Bedroom Unit



View of Stairs - Three-Bedroom Unit



View of Parking Lot



View of Street



View to the North



View to the South



View to the East



View to the West

Assessments and Current Real Estate Taxes

The combined tax rate for Lowndes County is 28.135 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The property has a total appraised value of \$1,112,569, with \$70,337 allocated to land and \$1,042,232 allocated to improvements. The assessor uses 34 percent of the appraised value to determine the assessed value. As a result, the assessed value was \$378,273. The 2016 real estate taxes for the subject were \$10,642.70. The taxes have been paid.

In order to determine the reasonableness of the real estate taxes when considering the Market Value within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), Premised Upon a Hypothetical Condition As-If Unsubsidized Conventional Housing, real estate tax comparables were verified. These comparables are shown in the following table:

	No. of			FMV - Land -	FMV - Improvements -	FMV - Total -	Real Estate	Taxes Per
Property	Units	Year Built	Parcel #	2016	2016	2016	Taxes - 2016	Unit
Mar-Mel-Go Apartments	70	2008	0171 042D	\$570,600	\$2,854,922	\$3,425,522	\$38,550.83	\$550.73
4650 Rolling Pine Drive								
Lake Park, Lowndes County, Georgia								
Three Oaks Apartments	240	1991	0107D 102	\$583,200	\$3,794,571	\$4,377,771	\$63,309.63	\$263.79
3833 North Oak Street				-				
Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia								
Tall Tree Apartments	148	1985	0112A 006	\$900,000	\$3,339,769	\$4,239,769	\$61,313.78	\$414.28
420 Connell Road				, ,	, , , , , , , ,	, ,,	,	
Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia								
Spring Chase Apartments	288	1996	00840 006	\$2,745,766	\$11,480,499	\$14,226,265	\$205,734.50	\$714.36
1601 Norman Drive			0084C 007	7-/: :0/: 00	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7 - 1, 0, 0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	**=****
Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia								
Staten Crossing	196	1997	0107D 104	\$837.200	\$8.617.368	\$9,454,568	\$136,728.26	\$697.59
3925 North Oak Street	130	1337	010/0101	Q037,200	\$6,617,500	ψ3, 13 1,300	\$150,720.20	Q037.55
Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia								
Talassa, 25 maes esanty, deorgia								
Park East Apartments	100	1992	0153C 079	\$161,250	\$2,540,404	\$2,701,654	\$39,070.21	\$390.70
1635 East Park Drive								
Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia								

These comparables are all market-rate facilities in Lowndes County. The comparables indicated a range of \$263.79 per unit to \$714.36 per unit. The subject's actual real estate taxes are \$248.00 per unit. The subject's real estate taxes are lower than the comparable range. According to the assessor's office, restricted and market properties are assessed the same. for the market scenario. Based on the tax comparables shown above, the subject "as is" would have real estate taxes more similar to these comparables. Therefore, real estate taxes were projected at \$450 per unit, or \$21,600, for the market "as is" scenario.

Highest and Best Use Analysis

Highest and Best Use is defined in *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, sponsored by the Appraisal Institute (Sixth Edition 2015), as follows:

The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property, which is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible and that result in the highest value.

Implied in this definition is that the determination of highest and best use takes into account the contribution of specific use to the community and community development goals as well as the benefits of that use to individual property owners. Hence, in certain situations, the highest and best use of land may be for parks, greenbelt, preservation, conservation, wildlife habitat, etc.

In determining the highest and best use of the subject property, careful consideration was given to the economic, legal, and social factors which motivate investors to develop, own, buy, sell and lease real estate.

There are four criteria that are used in evaluating the highest and best use of a property. The highest and best must be:

- 1. Physically Possible
- 2. Legally Permissible
- 3. Financially Feasible
- 4. Maximally Productive

The four criteria are applied in sequential order. The selection of uses is narrowed through the consideration of each criteria, so that by the time the last criteria is applied, only a single use is indicated. Hence, a property often will have numerous uses which are physically possible, a lesser number which are both physically possible and legally permissible; fewer still which are physically possible, legally permissible and financially feasible; and only a single use which meets all four criteria.

In addition to the preceding four criteria, the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Foundation further indicate that the following items must be considered as they relate to the use and value of the property:

- Existing land use regulations
- Reasonably probable modifications of such regulations
- 3. Economic demand
- 4. The physical adaptability of the property
- 5. Neighborhood trends

The previous sections of this report were used to render a judgment as to the highest and best use of the site as though vacant and as though improved.

Highest and Best Use as though Vacant

Highest and best use of land or a site as though vacant assumes that a parcel of land is vacant or can be made vacant by demolishing any improvements. With this assumption, uses that create value can be identified, and the appraiser can begin to select comparable properties and estimate land value. The questions to be answered in this analysis are as follows:

If the land is, or were, vacant, what use should be made of it?

What type of building or improvement, if any, should be constructed on the land and when?6

Physically Possible Use as Vacant

The first constraint imposed on the possible use of the property is dictated by the physical aspects of the site itself. The size and location within a given block are the most important determinants of value. In general, the larger the site, the greater its potential to achieve economies of scale and flexibility in development. The size of the parcel, considered within the provisions of the zoning, has considerable influence on its ultimate development.

The key determinant in developing a site is the permitted size of the project. More land permits higher density development, higher floor to area ratios (FAR), etc. the total number of square feet allowed for a building structure tends to rise in proportion to the size of the lot. Location is important when considering a site's proximity to open plazas, office trade areas, work force areas, public transportation, major highways (access/visibility), etc.

As noted in the Site Data section of this report, the subject site has a land area of 4.00 acres. Topographically, the site is gently rolling. The subject is not located in a flood hazard area. No subsoil or drainage conditions are known that would adversely affect the development of the site. Public utilities available to the subject include electricity, water, sewer and telephone. The size of the subject and the adjacent properties suggest a number of possible uses for the subject site.

Legally Permissible Use As Vacant

Legal restrictions, as they apply to the subject property, are of two types, private restrictions (deed restriction easements) and public restrictions, namely zoning. No information regarding private restrictions affecting title was provided with this assignment other than those mentioned below. It is assumed that only common restrictions (i.e. utility easements, etc.) are applicable and are not of any consequence to the development of this site.

⁶ The Appraisal Institute. The Appraisal of Real Estate. 14th ed. (Chicago, 2013), 337

FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE USE AS VACANT

After the discussion of the physically possible and legally permissible uses for the site as vacant, the adjacent property uses suggest that the possibilities for the subject have been narrowed to multifamily development.

MAXIMALLY PRODUCTIVE AS VACANT

Based on the analysis of the previous elements, it is reasonable to assume, if the site were vacant and available for development on the date of valuation, the highest and best use would be for multifamily development, most likely a multifamily use which could produce a higher return.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE AS IMPROVED

Highest and best use of a property as improved pertains to the use that should be made of an improved property in light of its improvements. The use that maximizes an investment property's value, consistent with the long-term rate of return and associated risk, is its highest and best use as improved.⁷

This part of highest and best use analysis is structured to answer the following problems:

- 1. Should the building be maintained as is?
- 2. Should the building be renovated, expanded, or demolished?
- 3. Should the building be replaced with a different type or intensity of use?

PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE AS IMPROVED

The subject site supports an existing multifamily development with a gross building area of approximately 40,658 square feet. The subject does suffer from functional or external obsolescence. The subject is in average condition.

LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE AS IMPROVED

Based on the adjacent property uses and the zoning restrictions for the subject, the highest and best use of the subject site is considered to be a multifamily facility. The configuration of the improvements is not in violation of any known regulations and is considered to be a compatible use with the adjacent commercial and residential properties.

⁷ The Appraisal Institute. *The Appraisal of Real Estate*. 14th ed. (Chicago, 2013), 345

FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE AS IMPROVED

The third factor that must be considered is the economical feasibility of the types of uses that are physically and legally permissible. Based on the data presented in the Income Approach section of this report, the existing improvements appear to be capable to produce an adequate return to be financially feasible as they exist.

MAXIMALLY PRODUCTIVE AS IMPROVED

Considering the previous discussions, the existing improvements are physically possible, legally permissible and financially feasible. There currently is no alternative legal use that could economically justify razing the existing improvement or significantly changing their use. Based on the foregoing analysis, it is my opinion that the maximally productive use of the property is as a multifamily development.

Appraisal Procedures

The Cost Approach

The Cost Approach considers the current cost of replacing a property, less depreciation from three sources: physical deterioration, functional obsolescence and external obsolescence. A summation of the market value of the land, assumed vacant and the depreciated replacement cost of the improvements provides an indication of the total value of the property.


The Income Approach

The Income Approach is based on an estimate of the subject property's possible net income. The net income is capitalized to arrive at an indication of value from the standpoint of an investment. This method measures the present worth and anticipated future benefits (net income) derived from the property.

The Sales Comparison Approach

The Sales Comparison Approach produces an estimate of value by comparing the subject property to sales and/or listings of similar properties in the same or competing areas. This technique is used to indicate the value established by informed buyers and sellers in the market.

In preparing this appraisal, the appraiser inspected the subject property and analyzed historic operating data for the subject. A Cost Approach was used to determine the effective age and economic life of the proposed development. Furthermore, information was gathered on competitive properties in the region for comparable improved rentals and operating expenses. Lastly, comparable sales were gathered primarily for their use as overall rate indicators. This information was applied in the Income Capitalization Approach. The application of each measure of value is discussed further in appropriate sections of this report.



Cost Approach

The Cost Approach is a method in which the value of a property is derived by estimating the replacement cost of the improvements, deducting the estimated depreciation, and adding the market value of the land. The first Step in the Cost Approach is to estimate the value of the subject site.

Site Value

The comparison method is the most common way of developing a market value estimate for land. In the comparison method, sales of vacant land comparable to the subject property are gathered and analyzed. Ideally, such vacant sales are close in time and proximity to the subject property.

The sales prices are adjusted for time, location, physical characteristics, and other relevant variations. The adjusted prices are reduced to some common unit of comparison and conclude a unit value applicable to the subject property. This unit value, when applied to the appropriate unit measure, results in an estimate of market value for land.

An investigation revealed several sales of similar sites in the subject's area. The comparables found are summarized on the following pages.

Land Sale No. 1



Property Identification

Record ID 4418

Property Name 1843 West Hill Avenue

Address 1843 West Hill Avenue, Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia

31601

Tax ID0088B 016Market TypeLand

Sale Data

Grantor Deutsche Bank 2005-b

Grantee M & M Stalvey Properties, LLC.

Sale Date

Deed Book/Page

Property Rights

Conditions of Sale

Financing

September 16, 2015

005815000031

Fee Simple

Normal

Conventional

Verification Assessor; May 10, 2017

Sale Price\$31,649Cash Equivalent\$31,649Adjusted Price\$31,649

Land Data

Zoning H-C, Highway-Commercial District

TopographyNearly LevelUtilitiesE, G, W, SShapeIrregular

Arbor Trace II Apartments * 4700 Rolling Pine Drive * Lake Park, Georgia

Land Sale No. 1 (Cont.)

Land Size Information


Gross Land Size 1.250 Acres or 54,450 SF

Front Footage West Hill Avenue

Indicators

Sale Price/Gross Acre\$25,319Sale Price/Gross SF\$0.58

Land Sale No. 2



Property Identification

Record ID 4422

Property Name State Highway 133

Address State Highway 133, Valdosta, Brooks County, Georgia 31602

Tax ID146 00117Market TypeLand

Sale Data

Grantor Tim Blanton

Grantee Dosta Development, LLC

Sale Date April 15, 2016

Deed Book/Page 763/179

Property Rights Fee Simple

Conditions of Sale

Financing Conventional

Verification Assessor; May 03, 2017

 Sale Price
 \$69,000

 Cash Equivalent
 \$69,000

 Adjusted Price
 \$69,000

Land Data

ZoningC-4, CommercialTopographyNearly LevelUtilitiesE, G, W, SShapeIrregular

Arbor Trace II Apartments * 4700 Rolling Pine Drive * Lake Park, Georgia

Land Sale No. 2 (Cont.)

Land Size Information

Gross Land Size 1.700 Acres or 74,052 SF Front Footage State Highway 133

Indicators

Sale Price/Gross Acre\$40,588Sale Price/Gross SF\$0.93

Land Sale No. 3



Property Identification

Record ID 4423

Property Name 4100 Old Pine Road

Address 4100 Old Pine Road, Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia 31605

Tax ID0145B 071Market TypeLand

Sale Data

Grantor Kight Chong Hui
Grantee Zirkles Ltd., LLC
Sale Date April 08, 2016
Deed Book/Page 005935000111
Property Rights Fee Simple
Conditions of Sale
Financing Conventional

Verification Assessor; May 10, 2017

 Sale Price
 \$45,000

 Cash Equivalent
 \$45,000

 Adjusted Price
 \$45,000

Land Data

Zoning R-M, Residential Multifamily District

TopographyNearly LevelUtilitiesE, G, W, SShapeIrregular

Arbor Trace II Apartments * 4700 Rolling Pine Drive * Lake Park, Georgia

Land Sale No. 3 (Cont.)

Land Size Information

Gross Land Size 1.000 Acres or 43,560 SF

Front Footage Old Pine Road

Indicators

Sale Price/Gross Acre \$45,000 Sale Price/Gross SF \$1.03

Land Listing No. 4



Property Identification

Record ID 4522

Property Name 7066 Lakes Boulevard

Address 7066 Lakes Boulevard, Lake Park, Lowndes County, Georgia

31636

Tax ID0171 032Market TypeLand

Sale Data

Grantor SBATL Real Estate Holdings, LLC

Survey Date May 10, 2017
Property Rights Fee Simple
Conditions of Sale
Financing Conventional

Verification Broker; May 10, 2017

 Listing Price
 \$450,000

 Cash Equivalent
 \$450,000

 Adjusted Price
 \$450,000

Land Data

Zoning H-C, Highway-Commercial District

TopographyNearly LevelUtilitiesE, G, W, SShapeIrregularDepth550

Arbor Trace II Apartments * 4700 Rolling Pine Drive * Lake Park, Georgia

Land Listing No. 4 (Cont.)


Land Size Information

Gross Land Size 8.980 Acres or 391,169 SF

Front Footage 665 ft. Total Frontage; 665 ft. Lakes Boulevard

Indicators

Sale Price/Gross Acre \$50,111 Sale Price/Gross SF \$1.15



Land Analysis Grid		Comp	1	Comp	2	Comp	3	Comp	4
Address	4700 Rolling Pine	1843 West Hi	West Hill Avenue State Highway 133		4100 Old Pi	ne Road	7066 Lakes E	Boulevard	
City	Lake Park	Valdos	Valdosta Valdosta		Valdos	sta	Lake P	ark	
State	GA	GA	GA GA		GA		GA		
Date	5/10/2017	9/16/20	15	4/15/20)16	4/8/20	16	Listin	g
Price		\$31,64	19	\$69,0	00	\$45,00	00	\$450,0	00
Acres	4.00	1.25		1.70		1.00		8.98	
Acre Unit Price		\$25,3	19	\$40,58	38	\$45,00	00	\$50,1	11
Transaction Adjustments									
Property Rights	Fee Simple	Fee Simple	0.0%	Fee Simple	0.0%	Fee Simple	0.0%	Fee Simple	0.0%
Financing	Conventional	Conventional	0.0%	Conventional	0.0%	Conventional	0.0%	Conventional	0.0%
Conditions of Sale	Normal	Normal	0.0%	Normal	0.0%	Normal	0.0%	Listing	-20.0%
Adjusted Acre Unit Price		\$25,31	19	\$40,5		\$45,0	00	\$40,0	39
Market Trends Through (05/10/17	0%		0%		0%		0%	
Adjusted GBA Unit Price		\$25,3	19	\$40,58	38	\$45,00	00	\$40,08	
Location	Average	Superi	or	Super		Super		Simila	ar
% Adjustment		-10%	b	-10%	Ó	-10%	ò	0%	
\$ Adjustment		-\$2,53	32	-\$4,05	59	-\$4,50	00	\$0	
Acres	4.00	1.25		1.70		1.00		8.98	
% Adjustment	4.00	0%		0%		0%		0%	
\$ Adjustment		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	
V/I = 11-114-1/A = = = = =	A	0::		0:!		Simila		Ciil	_
Visibility/Access	Average	Simila	ar	Simila		0%		Simila	ar
% Adjustment \$ Adjustment		0% \$0		0% \$0		\$0		0% \$0	
\$ Adjustment		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	
Topography	Gently Rolling	Nearly L	evel	Nearly L	evel	Nearly L	evel	Nearly L	evel
% Adjustment		0%		0%		0%		0%	
\$ Adjustment		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	
Zoning	PD	H-C		C-4		R-M		H-C	
% Adjustment		0%		0%		0%		0%	
\$ Adjustment		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	
Utilities	E, G, W, S	E, G, W	ı s	F G W	l S	E, G, W	l S	E, G, W	1.5
% Adjustment	L, O, 11, O	0%	, 5	E, G, W, S 0%		0%	, 5	0%	, 5
\$ Adjustment		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	
Adjusted Acre Unit Price		\$22.78	7	\$36.5	20	\$40.5	00	\$40.0	20
Net adjustments		-10.09		-10.0°		-10.0°		0.0%	
		-10.09		-10.0		-10.0		0.0%	
Gross adjustments		-10.09	70	-10.0	70	-10.0	70	0.0%)

After analyzing the land sales and adjusting each sale accordingly, it is our opinion that the estimated Market Value of the subject site as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

4.00 acres x \$37,000 per acre = \$148,000

Rounded \$150,000

Summary of Vacant Land Sales

Comp	Address	Sale Date	Sale Price	Acre Unit Price	Acres	Land SF	Zoning
1	1843 West Hill Avenue	9/16/2015	\$31,649	\$25,319	1.25	54,450	H-C
2	State Highway 133	4/15/2016	\$69,000	\$40,588	1.70	74,052	C-4
3	4100 Old Pine Road	4/8/2016	\$45,000	\$45,000	1.00	43,560	R-M
4	7066 Lakes Boulevard	5/10/2017	\$450,000	\$50,111	8.98	391,169	H-C

Adjustments

The prices of the comparable land sales range from \$25,319 to \$50,111 per acre before adjustments. Each of the comparables was adjusted for differences from the subject site. The adjustments are based on the following characteristics.

Location

The location of the subject property and the comparables relative to residential population, population wealth, traffic patterns, centers of employment, economic levels and other locational attributes was analyzed. Location comparisons were made based on the appraiser's judgment as to the relative desirability of the property to a potential commercial or multifamily investor. These factors also include degree and quality of surrounding development and view. The subject is located in Lake Park. Comparable 1 is located in Valdosta. Comparable 2 is located in Valdosta. Comparable 3 is located in Valdosta. The following table was utilized to determine appropriate adjustments for differences in location between Lake Park and Valdosta.

U.S. Census Bureau Stats	Lake Park	Valdosta	% Diff
2015 Population	1,070	56,504	98.11%
Households	371	21,029	98.24%
Median Income	\$43,661	\$29,336	-48.83%
Median Home Value	\$162,800	\$125,700	-29.51%
Median Rent	\$742	\$732	-1.37%

Valdosta is larger in population than Lake Park. However, the median income and median home value are higher for Lake Park than for Valdosta. The median rent between the two cities is very similar. In general, the higher median income and home value would offset the larger population in Lake Park. However, Valdosta services as the major employment hub for the county. Therefore, it was considered to be superior to the subject. Based on the previous table as well as considering all other factors, a downward adjustment of 10 percent was determined for the comparables in Valdosta.

Size

Consideration was given to the size of the subject as compared to the comparables. Size can have an impact on site value based on the premise that smaller parcels often sell for a higher price per unit than larger parcels with equal utility. The subject site consists of a total area of 4.00 acres. The comparables

Arbor Trace II Apartments * 4700 Rolling Pine Drive * Lake Park, Georgia

range in size from 1.00 acres to 8.98 acres. The market did not indicate a need for adjustment due to

size. Therefore, no adjustments were made.

Visibility/Access

Consideration was given to the subject's visibility/access. The subject has average visibility/access. All

comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

Topography

Consideration was given to the subject's topography. The subject is gently rolling. Comparable 1 is nearly

level. Comparable 2 is nearly level. Comparable 3 is nearly level. No adjustment was needed.

Zoning

The adjustment for zoning reflects not only the zoning of the comparables relative to the subject property

but also the potential utility of the sites. The subject is zoned PD. Comparable 1 is zoned H-C.

Comparable 2 is zoned C-4. Comparable 3 is zoned R-M. Comparable 4 is zoned H-C. The market did

not indicate an adjustment was needed for zoning differences. Therefore, no adjustments were made.

Utilities

Consideration was given to the subject's utilities. The subject has access to electricity, gas, water sand

sewer. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

Summary Conclusions

The land sales analysis indicates the quantitative or qualitative adjustments. The comparable land sales

range from \$22,787 to \$40,500 per acre after adjustments. All comparables were given consideration.

The comparables indicated a reconciled value of \$37,000 per acre. These were considered to be the best

comparables available after researching sales with local realtors and the county assessor's office.

4.00 acres x \$37,000 per Acre = \$148,000

Rounded \$150,000

Improvement Valuation

The next step in the Cost Approach is to estimate the replacement cost new of the improvements.

Replacement cost new (RCN) is defined as follows:

The estimated cost to construct, at current prices as of the effective date of the appraisal, a building with utility equivalent to the building being appraised, using modern materials and current standards, design and layout.⁸

A description of the improvements was presented in the Improvement Data section. The costs estimated were made based on the developer's plans. Cost estimates were made based on the replacement cost new of the improvements using the **Marshall Valuation Service Cost Manual**. Soft costs are included in the base cost determined by the **Marshall Valuation Service Cost Manual**.

Depreciation Analysis

Depreciation may be defined as any loss of value from any cause. There are three general areas of depreciation: physical deterioration, functional obsolescence and external obsolescence. Depreciation may be curable or incurable, the test being that money spent to cure the depreciation be gained in value. If the depreciation costs more to fix than will be gained in value, then the depreciation is considered incurable.

Physical Deterioration

This results from deterioration from aging and use. This type of depreciation may be curable or incurable.

Depreciation Accrued To The Subject

The buildings have an effective age of 10 years. Properties of this type are anticipated to have a total economic life of 55 years. Based upon the concept of age/life depreciation, the overall depreciation applicable to the subject is 10/55, or 18 percent.

The subject will undergo a substantial rehabilitation. Upon completion of the rehabilitation, the buildings will have an effective age of five years. Properties of this type are anticipated to have a total economic life of 55 years. Based upon the concept of age/life depreciation, the overall depreciation applicable to the subject will be 5/55, or 9 percent.

⁸Appraisal Institute, The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, 6th ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute), 2015

External Obsolescence

External obsolescence is due to circumstances outside the property itself, such as industry, demographic and economic conditions or an undesirable proximate use. This type of depreciation is rarely curable. The subject does seem to suffer from external obsolescence.

Deferred Maintenance

There were no visible signs of deferred maintenance at the subject.

The following formula shows the external obsolescence for the "as is" restricted value.

External Obsolescence - As Is Restricted

Total Construction C Plus: Entrepreneur's Depreciation Cost of Structures be Value of Land Plus: Entrepreneur's	\$2,577,100 \$257,710 (\$517,082) \$2,317,728 \$150,000 \$15,000		
Cost before External	\$2,482,728		
Current Capitalizatio	6.50%		
Economic Net Operating Income (RCN x CR) Net Operating Income from the Subject Net Loss Due to Economic Obsolescence			\$161,377 \$50,761 (\$110,617)
Ratio of Improvemen	ts Total Property Valu	е	0.9335
Year 1 Times ratio of Improv	Actual NOI Loss (\$110,617) vements to Total Prop	Overall Cap Rate 6.50% erty	Capitalized NOI Loss (\$1,701,797) 0.9335
Total External Obsol	(\$1,588,697)		

Cost Analysis - Restricted As Is - Section 1 of 1

Marshall & Swift

Cost Source: Marshall & Swift # 12: Dwellings, Duplexes & Motels

No. of Stories Multiplier: 1.0000 Local Multiplier: 0.8300

Height/Story Multiplier: 1.0000 Current Cost Multiplier: 1.0300

Perimeter Multiplier: 1.0000 Combined Multipliers: 0.8549

Building Improvements						
Item	Unit Type	Cost	Quantity	Multiplier	Total	
Average Class D Multiple Residences	Sq. Ft.	\$71.55	40,658	0.855	\$2,486,972	
Built-Ins	Per Unit	\$1,925.00	43	0.855	\$70,764	
Total Building Improvement Costs					\$2,557,737	
Price per SF Gross Building Area					\$62.91	

Site Improvements						
Item	Unit Type	Cost	Quantity	Multiplier	Total	
Paving	Per Unit	\$650.00	31	0.855	\$17,226	
Recreation Area	Lump Sum	\$2,500.00	1	0.855	\$2,137	
Total Site Improvement Costs					\$19,363	
Subtotal: Building & Site Costs				\$2,577,100		
Price per SF Gross Building Area					\$63.38	

Total Costs	
Subtotal: Building, Site & Soft Costs	\$2,577,100
Developer's Profit 10.0%	\$257,710
Total Cost	\$2,834,810
Price per SF Gross Building Area	\$69.72

Depreciation						
Component	Eff. Age	Life	Percent	Amount		
Physical Depreciation: Building	10	55	18%	\$506,432		
Physical Depreciation: Site	10	20	50%	\$10,650		
Functional Obsolescence Building			0%	\$0		
External Obsolescence Building			0%	\$1,588,697		
		Tot	al Depreciation	\$2,105,779		
Depreciated Value of Improvements				\$729,031		
	Cost Per Square Foot Gross Building Area \$17.93					

Additional Cost Sections	
Cost Section 2	\$0
Cost Section 3	\$0

Land Value	
Land Value	\$150,000
Other	\$0
Cost Approach Value Indication	\$879,031
Rounded	\$880,000
Price per SF Gross Building Area	\$21.64

The costs in the preceding charts were derived by using the "Marshall Swift Valuation Service" and by conversations with local builders and comparable sales data. The total Estimated Value indicated by the Cost Approach for the subject "as is":

The following formula shows the external obsolescence for the "as is" market value.

External Obsolescence - As Is Market

Total Construction C	\$2,577,100						
Plus: Entrepreneur's	Profit		\$257,710				
Depreciation			(\$517,082)				
	efore External Obsoles	scence	\$2,317,728				
Value of Land	\$150,000						
Plus: Entrepreneur's	Profit		\$15,000				
Cost before External	\$2,482,728						
COSt before External	φ2,402,720						
Current Capitalization	7.00%						
Economic Net Opera	\$173,791						
Net Operating Incom	e from the Subject		\$158,817				
Net Loss Due to Eco	nomic Obsolescence		(\$14,974)				
Ratio of Improvemen	0.9335						
Year	Capitalized NOI Loss						
1	(\$14,974)	7.00%	(\$213,921)				
Times ratio of Impro	0.9335						
•	• • •						
Total External Obsol	escence		(\$199,704)				

Cost Analysis - Market As Is - Section 1 of 1

Marshall & Swift

Cost Source: Marshall & Swift # 12: Dwellings, Duplexes & Motels

No. of Stories Multiplier: 1.0000 Local Multiplier: 0.8300

Height/Story Multiplier: 1.0000 Current Cost Multiplier: 1.0300

Perimeter Multiplier: 1.0000 Combined Multipliers: 0.8549

Building Improvements						
Item	Unit Type	Cost	Quantity	Multiplier	Total	
Average Class D Multiple Residences	Sq. Ft.	\$71.55	40,658	0.855	\$2,486,972	
Built-Ins	Per Unit	\$1,925.00	43	0.855	\$70,764	
Total Building Improvement Costs					\$2,557,737	
Price per SF Gross Building Area					\$62.91	

Site Improvements					
Item	Unit Type	Cost	Quantity	Multiplier	Total
Paving	Per Unit	\$650.00	31	0.855	\$17,226
Recreation Area	Lump Sum	\$2,500.00	1	0.855_	\$2,137
		Total Site	e Improver	nent Costs	\$19,363
		Subtotal: I	Building &	Site Costs	\$2,577,100
Price per SF Gross Building Area					\$63.38

Total Costs	
Subtotal: Building, Site & Soft Costs	\$2,577,100
Developer's Profit 10.0%	\$257,710
Total Cost	\$2,834,810
Price per SF Gross Building Area	\$69.72

Depreciation				
Component	Eff. Age	Life	Percent	Amount
Physical Depreciation: Building	10	55	18%	\$506,432
Physical Depreciation: Site	10	20	50%	\$10,650
Functional Obsolescence Building			. 0%	\$0
External Obsolescence Building				\$199,704
		To	tal Depreciation	\$716,786
	De	preciated V	alue of Improvements	\$2,118,024
	Cost P	er Square F	oot Gross Building Area	\$52.09

Additional Cost Sections			
Cost Section 2	\$0		
Cost Section 3	\$0		

Land Value				
Land Value	\$150,000			
Other	\$0			
Cost Approach Value Indication	\$2,268,024			
Rounded	\$2,270,000			
Price per SF Gross Building Area	\$55.83			

The costs in the preceding charts were derived by using the "Marshall Swift Valuation Service" and by conversations with local builders and comparable sales data. The total Estimated Value indicated by the Cost Approach for the subject "as is":

The following formula shows the external obsolescence for the "as complete" restricted value.

External Obsolescence - As Complete Restricted

Total Construction C	ost of Structures		\$2,577,100				
Plus: Entrepreneur's	Profit		\$257,710				
Depreciation			(\$258,541)				
Cost of Structures be	fore External Obsoles	scence	\$2,576,269				
Value of Land			\$150,000				
Plus: Entrepreneur's	Profit		\$15,000				
Cost before External	\$2,741,269						
Current Capitalization	6.50%						
•							
Economic Net Operat	\$178,183						
Net Operating Income	\$70,190						
Net Loss Due to Ecor	(\$107,993)						
Ratio of Improvemen	ts Total Property Valu	e	0.9398				
Year	Actual NOI Loss	Overall Cap Rate	Capitalized NOI Loss				
1	(\$107,993)	6.50%	(\$1,661,431)				
Times ratio of Improv	0.9398						
Total External Obsole	escence		(\$1,561,427)				

Cost Analysis - Restricted As Complete - Section 1 of 1

Marshall & Swift

Cost Source: Marshall & Swift # 12: Dwellings, Duplexes & Motels

No. of Stories Multiplier: 1.0000 Local Multiplier: 0.8300

Height/Story Multiplier: 1.0000 Current Cost Multiplier: 1.0300

Perimeter Multiplier: 1.0000 Combined Multipliers: 0.8549

Building Improvements						
Item	Unit Type	Cost	Quantity	Multiplier	Total	
Average Class D Multiple Residences	Sq. Ft.	\$71.55	40,658	0.855	\$2,486,972	
Built-Ins	Per Unit	\$1,925.00	43	0.855	\$70,764	
Total Building Improvement Costs \$2,557,73					\$2,557,737	
Price per SF Gross Building Area \$62.9						

Site Improvements					
Item	Unit Type	Cost	Quantity		Total
Paving	Per Unit	\$650.00	31	0.855	\$17,226
Recreation Area	Lump Sum	\$2,500.00	1	0.855	\$2,137
		Total Site	e Improvem	ent Costs	\$19,363
Subtotal: Building & Site Costs					\$2,577,100
Price per SF Gross Building Area \$					

Total Costs	
Subtotal: Building, Site & Soft Costs	\$2,577,100
Developer's Profit 10.0%	\$257,710
Total Cost	\$2,834,810
Price per SF Gross Building Area	\$69.72

Depreciation: Section 1 of 1				
Component	Eff. Age	Life	Percent	Amount
Physical Depreciation: Building	5	55	9%	\$253,216
Physical Depreciation: Site	5	20	25%	\$5,325
Functional Obsolescence Building			. 0%	\$0
External Obsolescence Building			. 0%	\$1,561,427
		To	tal Depreciation	\$1,819,968
	De	preciated V	/alue of Improvements	\$1,014,842
	Cost P	er Square F	oot Gross Building Area	\$24.96

Additional Cost Sections			
Cost Section 2	\$0		
Cost Section 3	\$0		

Land Value				
Land Value	\$150,000			
Other	\$0			
Cost Approach Value Indication	\$1,164,842			
Rounded	\$1,165,000			
Price per SF Gross Building Area	\$28.65			

The costs in the preceding charts were derived by using the "Marshall Swift Valuation Service" and by conversations with local builders and comparable sales data. The total Estimated Value indicated by the Cost Approach for the subject "as complete":

Restricted Value As Complete = \$1,165,000

The following formula shows the external obsolescence for the "as complete" market value.

External Obsolescence - As Complete Market

Total Construction	on Cost of Structures		\$2,577,100
Plus: Entreprene	ur's Profit		\$257,710
Depreciation			(\$258,541)
Cost of Structure	s before External Obso	lescence	\$2,576,269
Value of Land			\$150,000
Plus: Entreprene	ur's Profit		\$15,000
Cost before Exte	rnal Obsolescence		\$2,741,269
Current Capitaliz	zation Rate		7.00%
Economic Net O	perating Income (RCN x	CR)	\$191,889
Net Operating In	come from the Subject		\$190,093
Net Loss Due to	Economic Obsolescence	e	(\$1,796)
Ratio of Improve	ments Total Property V	alue	0.9398
Year	Actual NOI Loss	Overall Cap Rate	Capitalized NOI Loss
1	(\$1,796)	7.00%	(\$25,654)
Times ratio of Im	provements to Total Pr	operty	0.9398
Total External O	bsolescence		(\$24,110)

Cost Analysis - Market As Complete - Section 1 of 1

Marshall & Swift

Cost Source: Marshall & Swift # 12: Dwellings, Duplexes & Motels

No. of Stories Multiplier: 1.000 Local Multiplier: 0.830

Height/Story Multiplier: 1.000 Current Cost Multiplier: 1.030

Perimeter Multiplier: 1.000 Combined Multipliers: 0.855

Building Improvements											
Item	Unit Type	Cost	Quantity	Multiplier	Total						
Average Class D Multiple Residences	Sq. Ft.	\$71.55	40658	0.855	\$2,486,972						
Built-Ins	Per Unit	\$1,925.00	43	0.855	\$70,764						
	To	tal Building	\$2,557,737								
		Price per S	\$62.91								

	Site I	Improveme i	nts							
Item	Unit Type	Cost	Quantity	Multiplier	Total					
Paving	Per Unit	\$650.00	31	0.855	\$17,226					
Recreation Area	Lump Sum	\$2,500.00	1	0.855	\$2,137					
		Total Site	\$19,363							
		Subtotal: I	\$2,577,100							
	Price per SF Gross Building Area									

Total Costs	
Subtotal: Building, Site & Soft Costs	\$2,577,100
Developer's Profit 10.0%	\$257,710
Total Cost	\$2,834,810
Price per SF Gross Building Area	\$69.72

	Deprecia	tion: Secti	on 1 of 1	
Component	Eff. Age	Life	Percent	Amount
Physical Depreciation: Building	5	55	9%	\$253,216
Physical Depreciation: Site	5	20	25%	\$5,325
Functional Obsolescence Building			. 0%	\$0
External Obsolescence Building				\$24,110
		To	tal Depreciation	\$282,651
	De	preciated V	alue of Improvements	\$2,552,159
	Cost P	er Square F	oot Gross Building Area	\$62.77

Additional Cost Sections	
Cost Section 2	\$0
Cost Section 3	\$0

Land Value	
Land Value	\$150,000
Other	\$0
Cost Approach Value Indication	\$2,702,159
Rounded	\$2,700,000
Price per SF Gross Building Area	\$66.41

The costs in the preceding charts were derived by using the "Marshall Swift Valuation Service" and by conversations with local builders and comparable sales data. The total Estimated Value indicated by the Cost Approach for the subject "as complete":

Market Value As Complete = \$2,700,000

Income Approach

The Income Approach is a procedure in which the value of a property is estimated by means of capitalization of a net income stream, either imputed or actual. The steps in the procedure are as follows:

- 1. Analyze the income the property is capable of generating.
- 2. Estimate the rental loss from vacancy and uncollected rents.
- Estimate the amount of expense that will be incurred in operating the property.
- 4. Subtract 2 and 3 above from 1 to arrive at a net income estimate before capital charges.
- 5. Using an appropriate rate, capitalize the net income estimate into an indication of value.

Income Analysis

The first step in forming an opinion of reasonable net income expectancy is the estimation of market rent. Market rent is defined as the rental warranted by a property in the open real estate market based upon current rentals being paid for comparable space.

HUD-Forms 92273 - As Is

One-Bedroom Units (625 SF) - As Is

Estimates of Market Rent by Comparison - As Is

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban DevelopmentOffice of Housing
Federal Housing Commissioner

OMB Approval No. 2502-0029 (exp. 09/30/2016)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information is needed to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjustment Factor formation, and will be used where tent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is considered nonsensitive and does not require special protection. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Unit Type One-Bedroom	Arbor Trace II Apartments Th 4700 Rolling Pine Drive 38:		Three Oaks Apart 3833 North Oak S	A. Comparable Property No. 1 (address) Three Oaks Apartments 3833 North Oak Street Valdosta. Lowndes. GA		B. Comparable Property No. 2 (address) Staten Crossing Apartments 3925 North Oak Street Valdosta, Lowndes, GA		C. Comparable Property No. 3 (address) Spring Chase Apartments 1601 Norman Drive Valdosta, Lowndes, GA			D. Comparable Property No. 4 (address) Tall Tree Apartments 420 Connell Road Valdosta, Lowndes, GA			E. Comparable Property No. 5 (address) Mar-Mel-Go Apartments 4650 Rolling Pine Drive Lake Park , Lowndes, GA		
Characterist		Data	Data	Adjustments	Data	Adjustn	nents	Data	Adjustmen	its	Data	Adjusti	nents	Data		ments
Effective Date	e of Rental	05/2017	05/2017	· · ·	05/2017	_ ·	+	05/2017	T .	+	05/2017		+	05/2017		
Type of Project		G/1	WU/2		WU/3			WU/2			WU/2			WU/2		
5. Floor of Unit in		Varies	Varies		Varies			Varies			Varies			Varies		
Project Occup		97%	98%	+	97%			99%	+		97%			99%		
7. Concessions		N N	N		N			N			N			N		
8. Year Built		1995	1991/Ren		1997			1996/Ren			1985		\$30	2008	(\$20)	
9. Sq. Ft. Area		625	809	(\$40)	815	(\$40)		690	(\$15)		770	(\$30)	400	775	(\$30)	
10. Number of Be	edrooms	1	1	(\$10)	1	(ψ.ιο)		1	(\$10)		1	(\$00)		1	(\$66)	
11. Number of Ba		1.0	1.0		1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0		
12. Number of Ro		3	3		3			3			3			3		
13. Balc./Terrace/		N N	Y	(\$5)	Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)	
14. Garage or Ca		L/0	L/0	(Ф0)	L/0	(\$3)		L/0	(φυ)		L/0	(φο)		L/0	(\$5)	
15. Equipment a		C	C	 	С			C	+ +		C			C		
	b. Range/Refrigerator	RF	RF		RF			RF			RF			RF		_
	c. Disposal	N N	Y		Y			Y			Y			Y		
	d. Microwave/Dishwasher	N N	D	(\$10)	MD	(045)		D	(\$10)		MD	(\$15)		D	(\$10)	
		HU	HU	(\$10)		(\$15)		HU	(\$10)		L	(\$15)	\$5	HU	(\$10)	
	e. Washer/Dryer	С	C		WD C	(\$20)		C			C		\$5	С		ı —
	f. Carpet								 							_
	g. Drapes	В	В	(000)	В	(0.00)		В	(200)		В	(000)		B P		-
	h. Pool/Rec. Area	R	PER	(\$20)	PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		-		—
	a. Heat/Type	N/E	N/G	 	N/E			N/E N/E			N/E			N/E N/E		—
	b. Cooling	N/E	N/E N/E		N/E			N/E N/E			N/E			N/E N/E		
	c. Cook/Type	N/E	N/E N		N/E			N/E N	 		N/E			N/E N		_
	d. Electricity e. Hot Water	N N/E	N/G	-	N N/E			N/E	+		N N/E			N/E		_
	f. Cold Water/Sewer	N N	Y	(\$38)	N/E			N	+		Y	(\$38)		N N		
	g. Trash	Y	Y	(\$30)	N		\$15	N		\$15	Y	(\$30)		N		9
17. Storage	y. Hasii	Y/0	Y/0	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Y/0		φισ	N	+ +	\$5	N N		\$5	N		١
18. Project Locati	ion	Average	Superior	(\$25)	Superior	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)	ψυ	Superior	(\$25)	ΨΟ	Similar		
19. Security	1011	Y	У	(ψ23)	У	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		N	(\$20)	\$5	N		
20. Clubhouse/Me	eting Room	N N	C	(\$5)	C	(\$5)		MR	(\$5)		N		φυ	N		
21. Special Featur		A	N	(43)	N	(40)		N	(\$3)		N			N		
22. Business Cent		N N	BC	(\$5)	N			BC	(\$5)		N			N		
23. Unit Rent Per		IN	\$676	(Ф0)	\$675			\$675	(φυ)		\$547			\$550		
24. Total Adjustm			\$070	(\$148)	\$075	(\$120)		Ψ013	(\$70)		\$347	(\$88)		\$330	(\$40)	
25. Indicated Ren			\$528	(\$140)	¢eee	(\$120)		\$605	(\$70)		\$450	(\$68)		\$510	(\$40)	
							φυ IU									
26. Correlated Su	ubject Kent	\$515			•											
properties. If subject	stments column, enter doll: ct is better, enter a "Plus" ai of page to explain adjustmen	mount and if subject is			\$459 Appraiser's Signature		nuul	Date (r	\$576 nm/dd/yy) 05/10/17	Revi	Reviewer's Signature			Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		

Previous editions are obsolete form HUD-92273 (07/2003)

Two-Bedroom Units (860 SF) - As Is

Estimates of Market Rent by Comparison - As Is

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Office of Housing Federal Housing Commissioner OMB Approval No. 2502-0029 (exp. 09/30/2016)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information is needed to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjustment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is considered nonsensitive and does not require special protection. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. Unit Type	2. Subject Property (Add		A. Comparable Propert			nparable Proper			C. Comparable Pro		ess)	D. Comparable Proper		dress)	E. Comparable Pro		Iress)
	Arbor Trace II Apartme		Three Oaks Apartr			en Crossing A	•		Spring Chase	•		Tall Tree Apartments			Mar-Mel-Go A	•	
Two-Bedroom	4700 Rolling Pine Driv		3833 North Oak St			5 North Oak S			1601 Norman			420 Connell Road			4650 Rolling F		
	Lake Park, Lowndes,		Valdosta, Lowndes			dosta, Lownde			Valdosta, Low			Valdosta, Lownde			Lake Park , Lo		
Characterist		Data	Data	Adjustme -	+	Data	Adjust -	ments +	Data	Adjust	ments +	Data	- Adjust	ments +		Adjustr -	.ments
Effective Date		05/2017	05/2017			05/2017			05/2017			05/2017			05/2017		
Type of Project		G/1	WU/2			WU/3			WU/2			WU/2			WU/2		
5. Floor of Unit in		Varies	Varies			Varies			Varies			Varies			Varies		
Project Occup	pancy %	97%	98%			97%			99%			97%			99%		
7. Concessions		N	N			N			N			N			N		
8. Year Built		1995	1991/Ren			1997			1996/Ren			1985		\$30	2008	(\$20)	
9. Sq. Ft. Area		860	1,044	(\$30)		1,128	(\$45)		960	(\$15)		928	(\$10)		880		
10. Number of Be		2	2			2			2			2			2		
11. Number of Ba		1.0	1.0			2.0	(\$20)		1.0			1.0			2.0	(\$20)	
12. Number of Ro		4	4			4			4			4			4		
13. Balc./Terrace/		N	Y	(\$5)		Υ	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)	
14. Garage or Ca		L/0	L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0		
15. Equipment a	ı. A/C	С	С			С			С			С			С		
	b. Range/Refrigerator	RF	RF			RF			RF			RF			RF		
	c. Disposal	N	Y			Υ			Y			Y			Y		
	d. Microwave/Dishwasher	N	D	(\$10)		MD	(\$15)		D	(\$10)		MD	(\$15)		D	(\$10)	
	e. Washer/Dryer	HU	HU			WD	(\$20)		HU			L		\$5	HU		
	f. Carpet	С	С			С			С			С			С		
	g. Drapes	В	В			В			В			В			В		
	h. Pool/Rec. Area	R	PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		Р		
	a. Heat/Type	N/E	N/G			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
	b. Cooling	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
	c. Cook/Type	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		<u> </u>
	d. Electricity	N	N			N			N			N			N		
	e. Hot Water	N/E	N/G			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		<u> </u>
	f. Cold Water/Sewer	N Y	Y	(\$47)		N		\$15	N N		\$15	Y	(\$47)		N N		—
17. Storage	g. Trash	Y Y/0	Y/0			N Y/0		\$15	N N		\$15 \$5	Y N		\$5	N N	_	\$
			1.7	(605)			(005)			(605)	90		(005)	\$5	Similar	_	-
 Project Locati Security 	UII	Average Y	Superior Y	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25) (\$5)		Superior Y	(\$25) (\$5)		Superior N	(\$25)	\$5	Similar	+	
	neting Poom	Y N	C	(¢E)		C			MR				-	\$5	N N	+	
 Clubhouse/Me Special Featur 		N N	N N	(\$5)		N N	(\$5)		MK N	(\$5)		N N	-		N N		-
21. Special Featur 22. Business Cent		N N	BC	(\$5)		N N			BC	(\$5)		N N	-		N N		-
23. Unit Rent Per		IN	\$696	(\$5)		\$790			\$787	(\$5)		\$626			\$600		
24. Total Adjustm			φυνο	(\$147)		Φ1,90	(0145)		\$101	(670)		φοζο	(677)		φουυ	(000)	
25. Indicated Ren			\$549	(\$147)		\$645	(\$145)		\$717	(\$70)		\$549	(\$77)		\$570	(\$30)	
		*500		Demode 1				1	\$/1/			\$549			\$5/0		
26. Correlated Su	ibject Kent	\$590	If there are any														
		high rent	\$717	low rer		\$549	6	0% range	\$583 to	\$683							
Note: In the adjus	In the adjustments column, enter dollar amounts by which subject property varies from comparable rties. If subject is better, enter a "Plus" amount and if subject is inferior to the comparable, enter a "Minus" Appraiser's Signature						riewer's Signature		Date (mm/dd/yyyy)								

Previous editions are obsolete form **HUD-92273** (07/2003)

Three-Bedroom Units (910 SF) - As Is

Estimates of Market Rent by Comparison - As Is

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Office of Housing Federal Housing Commissioner OMB Approval No. 2502-0029 (exp. 09/30/2016)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information is needed to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjustment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is considered nonsensitive and does not require special protection. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Characteristics Characteristics Characteristics Effective Date of R Type of Project/Stc Floor of Unit in Bui Project Occupancy Concessions Year Built Sq. Ft. Area Number of Bedroo Number of Baths Number of Baths Number of Rooms Balc/Terrace/Patid Garage or Carport Equipment a. A D. R	Stories uilding cy % booms as tio		Three Oaks Aparti 3833 North Oak S Valdosta, Lownde: Data 05/2017 WU/2 Varies 98% N 1991/Ren 1,236	treet	nents +	Staten Crossing a 3925 North Oak Staten Crossing a 3925 North Oak State Control of the State	Street es, GA	tments +	Spring Chase Apai 1601 Norman Drivi Valdosta, Lowndes Data 05/2017	е	ents +	Tall Tree Apartme 420 Connell Road Valdosta, Lownde Data 05/2017	i	ments +	Mar-Mel-Go A 4650 Rolling F Lake Park , Lo Data 05/2017	Pine Drive	ments
Characteristics 3. Effective Date of R 4. Type of Project/Stt 5. Floor of Unit in 6. Project Occupancy 7. Concessions 8. Year Built 9. Sq. Ft. Area 10. Number of Bedroo 11. Number of Baths 12. Number of Rooms 13. Balc/Terrace/Patif 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R	Lake Park, Lowndes, G Rental Stories uilding cy %	A Data 05/2017 T/2 Varies 97% N 1995 910 3 1.5	Valdosta, Lownder Data 05/2017 WU/2 Varies 98% N 1991/Ren 1,236	s, GA	nents +	Valdosta, Lowndo Data 05/2017 WU/3 Varies	es, GA	tments +	Valdosta, Lowndes Data 05/2017	s, GA	ents +	Valdosta, Lownde Data	es, GA	ments +	Lake Park , Lo	owndes, GA	ments
Characteristics 3. Effective Date of R 4. Type of Project/Str 5. Floor of Unit in Bui 6. Project Occupant 7. Concessions 8. Year Built 9. Sq. Ft. Area 10. Number of Baths 11. Number of Baths 12. Number of Roms 13. Balc/Terrace/Pati 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R c. D	Rental Stories uilding cy %	Data 05/2017 T/2 Varies 97% N 1995 910 3 1.5	Data 05/2017 WU/2 Varies 98% N 1991/Ren 1,236		nents +	Data 05/2017 WU/3 Varies		tments +	Data 05/2017		ents +	Data		ments +	Data		ments
3. Effective Date of R 4. Type of Project/Stc 5. Floor of Unit in Bui 6. Project Occupancy 7. Concessions 9. Sq. Ft. Area 10. Number of Bedroo 11. Number of Bedroo 12. Number of Rooms 13. Balc./Terrace/Patit 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R c. C	Stories uilding cy % booms as tio	05/2017 T/2 Varies 97% N 1995 910 3	05/2017 WU/2 Varies 98% N 1991/Ren 1,236	Adjustr	+	05/2017 WU/3 Varies	- Adjust	+	05/2017	Adjustin	+		Adjust	+		Adjust	ments
4. Type of Project/Str 5. Floor of Unit in Bui 6. Project Occupancy 7. Concessions 8. Year Built 9. Sq. Ft. Area 10. Number of Bedroo 11. Number of Baths 12. Number of Rooms 13. Balc./Terrace/Pati 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R c. C	Stories uilding cy % booms as tio	T/2 Varies 97% N 1995 910 3 1.5	WU/2 Varies 98% N 1991/Ren 1,236			WU/3 Varies						05/2017		' '	05/2017		
5. Floor of Unit in Bui 6. Project Occupancy 7. Concessions 8. Year Built 9. Sq. Ft. Area 10. Number of Bedroo 11. Number of Baths 12. Number of Rooms 13. Balc./Terrace/Patil 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R	uilding cy % booms cs	Varies 97% N 1995 910 3 1.5	Varies 98% N 1991/Ren 1,236			Varies								,		\rightarrow	—
6. Project Occupancy 7. Concessions 8. Year Built 9. Sq. Ft. Area 10. Number of Bedroo 11. Number of Baths 12. Number of Rooms 13. Balc./Terrace/Patie 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R	ccy %	97% N 1995 910 3 1.5	98% N 1991/Ren 1,236			4			WU/2			WU/2			WU/2		—
7. Concessions 8. Year Built 9. Sq. Ft. Area 10. Number of Bedroo 11. Number of Baths 12. Number of Rooms 13. Balc./Terrace/Patie 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R c. C	poms sis	N 1995 910 3 1.5	N 1991/Ren 1,236			97%			Varies			Varies			Varies		Ь—
8. Year Built 9. Sq. Ft. Area 10. Number of Bedroo 11. Number of Baths 12. Number of Rooms 13. Balc/Terrace/Patic 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R c. D	ns tio	1995 910 3 1.5	1991/Ren 1,236			31 /0			99%			97%			99%		ш.
9. Sq. Ft. Area 10. Number of Bedroo 11. Number of Baths 12. Number of Rooms 13. Balc/Terrace/Pati 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R	ns tio	910 3 1.5	1,236			N			N			N			N		Ь—
10. Number of Bedroo 11. Number of Baths 12. Number of Rooms 13. Balc/Terrace/Patid 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R	ns tio	3 1.5	<u> </u>			1997			1996/Ren			1985		\$30	2008	(\$20)	
11. Number of Baths 12. Number of Rooms 13. Balc./Terrace/Patic 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R c. D	ns tio	1.5	2	(\$50)		1,362	(\$75)		1,376	(\$75)		1,333	(\$70)		1,081	(\$25)	ь_
12. Number of Rooms 13. Balc./Terrace/Pation 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R c. D	tio .					3			3			3			3		L
13. Balc./Terrace/Pation 14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R c. D	tio	-	2.0	(\$10)		2.0	(\$10)		2.0	(\$10)		2.0	(\$10)		2.0	(\$10)	
14. Garage or Carport 15. Equipment a. A b. R c. D		5	5			5			5			5			5		
15. Equipment a. A b. R c. D	rt	N	Υ	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		Υ	(\$5)		Υ	(\$5)		Υ	(\$5)	
b. R c. D		L/0	L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0		ı —
c. D	A/C	С	С			С			С			С			С		i
	Range/Refrigerator	RF	RF			RF			RF			RF			RF		
d. M	Disposal	N	Υ			Υ			Υ			Υ			Υ		ı —
	Microwave/Dishwasher	N	D	(\$10)		MD	(\$15)		D	(\$10)		MD	(\$15)		D	(\$10)	ı —
e. V	Washer/Dryer	HU	HU			WD	(\$20)		HU			L		\$5	HU		1
f. C	Carpet	С	С			С			С			С			С		
g. D	Drapes	В	В			В			В			В			В		
h. P	Pool/Rec. Area	R	PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		Р		i
16. Services a. H	Heat/Type	N/E	N/G			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
b. C	Cooling	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		·
c. C	Cook/Type	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
d. E	Electricity	N	N			N			N			N			N		
e. H	Hot Water	N/E	N/G			N/E			N/E			N/E		i	N/E		
f. C	Cold Water/Sewer	N	Υ	(\$57)		N			N			Υ	(\$57)		N		
	Trash	Υ	Υ			N		\$15	N		\$15	Y			N		
17. Storage		Y/0	Y/0			Y/0			N		\$5	N		\$5	N		
18. Project Location		Average	Superior	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)		Similar		ļ
19. Security		Υ	Y			Y	(\$5)		Υ	(\$5)		N		\$5	N		
20. Clubhouse/Meeting	ng Room	N	С	(\$5)		С	(\$5)		MR	(\$5)		N			N		
21. Special Features		N	N			N			N			N			N		
22. Business Center / N	Nbhd Netwk	N	BC	(\$5)		N			BC	(\$5)		N			N		
23. Unit Rent Per Mon	onth		\$780			\$875			\$896			\$730			\$695		
24. Total Adjustment				(\$187)			(\$165)			(\$140)			(\$157)			(\$45)	
25. Indicated Rent			\$593			\$710			\$756			\$573			\$650		
26. Correlated Subject	ct Rent	\$665	If there are any	Remarks, c	heck here	e and add the remark	s to the bad	ck of page									
		high rent	\$756	low r	ent	\$573	6	0% range	\$610 to	\$719							
Note: In the adjustmen	ents column, enter dolla		subject property varies	from compa	arable	Appraiser's Signature			Date (m	-						Date (mm/dd/yyy	

Previous editions are obsolete form HUD-92273 (07/2003)

Explanation of Adjustments and Market Rent Conclusions – As Is

Arbor Trace II Apartments

Primary Unit Types – One-Bedroom Units (625 SF), Two-Bedroom Units (860 SF) and Three-Bedroom Units (910 SF)

Please note: Minor adjustments in the \$5 to \$10 range are based on the appraiser's evaluation of the overall market as well as typical responses indicated by existing tenants. In addition, this is standard industry practice when there is insufficient market data present to support adjustments. It is also considered an acceptable practice by HUD as indicated in the Section 8 Renewal Guide Chapter 9-12 (B) (2b) which states: "For minor adjustments (generally in the \$5 to \$10 range), the appraiser may state his/her subjective evaluation of why the observed differences would affect rent."

Rent comparability grids were prepared for the primary unit types with 625, 860 and 910 square feet. Comparable apartments used include the following: Three Oaks Apartments (Comparable 1), Staten Crossing Apartments (Comparable 2), Spring Chase Apartments (Comparable 3), Tall Tree Apartments (Comparable 4) and Mar-Mel-Go Apartments (Comparable 5).

Structure/Stories – The subject is located in garden one-story and townhouse two-story buildings. All comparables are located in walk-up two- and three-story buildings. The market did not indicate an adjustment for differences in number of stories. No adjustments were needed.

Project Occupancy – The subject is currently 97 percent occupied. The occupancy rates of the comparables range from 97 to 99 percent. No adjustments were needed.

Concessions – The subject is not currently offering concessions. None of the comparables are currently offering concessions. No adjustment was needed.

Year Built/Year Renovated – The subject was constructed in 1995. Comparable 1 was built in 1991, and Comparable 2 was constructed in 1997. Comparable 3 was constructed in 1996, and Comparable 4 was built in 1985. Comparable 5 was constructed in 2008. The majority of the comparables were considered similar in condition as there were no differences significant enough to warrant an adjustment. Comparable 5, however, is newer than the subject and was considered superior in condition, while Comparable 3, though similar in age, was considered inferior to the subject. It is difficult to determine adjustment amounts for condition/street appeal as it is difficult to determine rent level fluctuations based on these items. Therefore, it was necessary to rely in large part on opinions of area apartment managers and tenants. In addition, adjusted rents of the comparables were considered as the difference in rents of the comparables after everything else is factored out is assumed to be attributable to condition/street appeal.

When performing the analysis, the appraiser compared the units at Comparable 5 individually to the units at Comparables 1, 2, 3 and 4. The first three tables below show the comparison of Comparable 5 and Comparables 1, 2 and 3. As can be seen in the following table, the appraiser adjusted the street rent of each comparable for all differences between the subject and comparables to come up with a net adjusted rent for each comparable. The differences that warranted adjustments included unit size, number of baths, microwave/dishwasher, washer/dryer, pool/exercise room/recreation area, cold water/sewer, trash, location, security, clubhouse/meeting room and business center. Once the net adjusted rents were determined, these rents were compared to the street rent at Comparable 5. The differences between the rents indicate the appropriate adjustments for condition.

Paired Ana	lysis - One-Bedro	oom Units	
Item	Comparable 1	Comparable 2	Comparable 3
Street Rent	\$676	\$675	\$675
Unit Size	-\$5	-\$10	\$20
Microwave/Dishwasher	\$0	-\$5	\$0
Washer/Dryer	\$0	-\$20	\$0
Pool/Exercise Room/Rec. Area	-\$20	-\$20	-\$20
Cold Water/Sewer	-\$38	\$0	\$0
Trash	-\$15	\$0	\$0
Location	-\$15	-\$25	-\$25
Security	-\$5	-\$10	-\$10
Clubhouse/Meeting Room	-\$5	-\$5	-\$5
Business Center	-\$5	\$0	-\$5
Net Rent	\$568	\$580	\$630
Comparable 5 Street Rent	\$550	\$550	\$550
Indicated Adjustment	-\$18	-\$30	-\$80

Paired Analysis - Two-Bedroom Units									
Item	Comparable 1	Comparable 2	Comparable 3						
Street Rent	\$696	\$790	\$787						
Number of Baths	\$20	\$0	\$20						
Unit Size	-\$30	-\$40	-\$15						
Microwave/Dishwasher	\$0	-\$5	\$0						
Washer/Dryer	\$0	-\$20	\$0						
Pool/Exercise Room/Rec. Area	-\$20	-\$20	-\$20						
Cold Water/Sewer	-\$47	\$0	\$0						
Trash	-\$15	\$0	\$0						
Location	-\$15	-\$25	-\$25						
Security	-\$5	-\$10	-\$10						
Clubhouse/Meeting Room	-\$5	-\$5	-\$5						
Business Center	-\$5	\$0	-\$5						
Net Rent	\$574	\$665	\$727						
Comparable 5 Street Rent	\$600	\$600	\$600						
Indicated Adjustment	\$26	-\$65	-\$127						

Paired Analysis - Three-Bedroom Units				
Item	Comparable 1	Comparable 2	Comparable 3	
Street Rent	\$780	\$875	\$896	
Unit Size	-\$25	-\$45	-\$45	
Microwave/Dishwasher	\$0	-\$5	\$0	
Washer/Dryer	\$0	-\$20	\$0	
Pool/Exercise Room/Rec. Area	-\$20	-\$20	-\$20	
Cold Water/Sewer	-\$57	\$0	\$0	
Trash	-\$15	\$0	\$0	
Location	-\$15	-\$25	-\$25	
Security	-\$5	-\$10	-\$10	
Clubhouse/Meeting Room	-\$5	-\$5	-\$5	
Business Center	-\$5	\$0	-\$5	
Net Rent	\$633	\$745	\$786	
Comparable 5 Street Rent	\$695	\$695	\$695	
Indicated Adjustment	\$62	-\$50	-\$91	

The adjustments for each comparable were averaged, and the results were grouped together to determine a range for the adjustments for condition. Because the paired analysis cannot always account for renter perceptions, the results were multiplied by 50 percent. A range of (\$50) to \$12 was determined, and an adjustment within this range was considered appropriate. After considering all factors, an adjustment of (\$20) was selected for Comparable 5. The adjustment is negative because Comparable 5 is considered superior to these comparables. Comparable 5 was also compared to Comparable 4. The results of that paired analysis are shown below:

Paired Analysis - One-Bedroom Units		
Item	Comparable 4	
Street Rent	\$547	
Unit Size	\$0	
Microwave/Dishwasher	-\$5	
Washer/Dryer	\$5	
Pool/Exercise Room/Rec. Area	-\$20	
Cold Water/Sewer	-\$38	
Trash	-\$15	
Location	-\$25	
Security	\$0	
Clubhouse/Meeting Room	\$0	
Business Center	\$0	
Net Rent	\$449	
Comparable 5 Street Rent	\$550	
Indicated Adjustment	\$101	

Paired Analysis - Two-Bedroom Units		
Item	Comparable 4	
Street Rent	\$626	
Number of Baths	\$20	
Unit Size	-\$10	
Microwave/Dishwasher	-\$5	
Washer/Dryer	\$ 5	
Pool/Exercise Room/Rec. Area	-\$20	
Cold Water/Sewer	-\$47	
Trash	-\$15	
Location	-\$25	
Security	\$0	
Clubhouse/Meeting Room	\$0	
Business Center	\$0	
Net Rent	\$529	
Comparable 5 Street Rent	\$600	
Indicated Adjustment	\$71	

Paired Analysis - Three-Bedroom Units			
Item	Comparable 4		
Street Rent	\$730		
Unit Size	-\$40		
Microwave/Dishwasher	-\$5		
Washer/Dryer	\$5		
Pool/Exercise Room/Rec. Area	-\$20		
Cold Water/Sewer	-\$57		
Trash	-\$15		
Location	-\$25		
Security	\$0		
Clubhouse/Meeting Room	\$0		
Business Center	\$0		
Net Rent	\$573		
Comparable 5 Street Rent	\$695		
Indicated Adjustment	\$122		

The indicated adjustments for this comparison were averaged and then multiplied by 50 percent as indicated above. The result was \$49 which was rounded to \$50. Therefore, it was determined that Comparable 4 is \$50 inferior to Comparable 5. Since Comparable 5 was adjusted downward \$20 per month for the as is analysis, Comparable 4 was adjusted upward \$30 per month.

SF Area – For the purpose of this report, a range of comparable rents per square foot was derived. To determine this adjustment, each comparable's dollar per square foot rental rate was determined. This number was then multiplied by 25 percent for each comparable to derive an adjusted dollar per square foot rental rate. The median dollar per square foot rental rate is determined. Next, the difference in square footage between the subject and each comparable is determined. The difference is multiplied by the determined adjusted dollar per square foot rate to arrive at the adjustment for each comparable. The selected dollar per square foot for the one-bedroom comparison is \$0.21, for the two-bedroom comparison is \$0.17 and for three-bedroom comparison is \$0.16. No adjustments were made to comparables within 25 square feet of the subject. The adjustments were rounded to the nearest \$5. These adjustments are reflected on the HUD-Forms 92273, which are attached.

of Bedrooms - The subject contains one-, two- and three-bedroom units. All comparables are similar. No adjustments were needed.

of Baths – The subject contains one bath in the one-bedroom units and one-and-one-half-baths in the two- and three-bedroom townhouse units. Each complex with a differing number of baths than the subject was adjusted \$10 per half-bath and \$20 per full bath. The majority of the difference in number of baths is accounted for in the unit square footage adjustment. However, an adjustment is made here to consider

the added convenience of additional baths. The extra room(s) will enhance marketability of a unit even if the square footage remains the same. There was insufficient market data available for a paired analysis.

Balcony/Patio – The subject does not contain balconies or patios. All comparables have one or both features. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, the comparables were adjusted downward \$5 per month.

Parking – The subject contains open asphalt parking for all units. All comparables contain lot parking for no additional fee. No adjustments were needed.

AC: Central/Wall – The subject contains central air conditioning. All comparables are similar. No adjustments were needed.

Range/Refrigerator – The subject contains both features in all units. All comparables contain these features in the units. No adjustment was needed.

Garbage Disposal – The subject does not contain a garbage disposal in the units. However, since there is no market data concerning units with this feature, no adjustment was given.

Microwave/Dishwasher – The subject does not contain either microwave or dishwasher. All comparables contain dishwashers. Comparables 2 and 4 also contain microwaves. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, Comparables 1, 3 and 5 were adjusted downward \$10 per month, and Comparables 2 and 4 were adjusted downward \$15 per month.

Washer/Dryer – The subject contains washer/dryer hook-ups in the units. Comparables 1, 3 and 5 are similar. Comparable 2 contains washers and dryers in the units and was adjusted downward \$20 per month. Comparable 4 contains a laundry facility and was adjusted upward \$5 per month. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit.

Carpet – The subject contains carpet floor covering. All comparables contain carpet floor covering. No adjustments were needed.

Drapes – The subject and all comparables contain window coverings. No adjustment was needed.

Pool/Exercise Room/Recreation Areas – The subject contains a playground. Comparable 1 contains swimming pool, exercise room, picnic area and playground. Comparable 2 contains a swimming pool, exercise room, playground, volleyball court and tennis court. Comparable 3 contains swimming pool, exercise room, playground and basketball court. Comparable 4 contains swimming pool, exercise room and picnic area. Comparable 5 contains swimming pool. No comparable in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, the comparables with all types of recreation areas were adjusted downward \$20 per month.

Heat – The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cooling – The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cooking – The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Electricity – The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Hot Water – The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cold Water/Sewer – The subject does not have these utilities provided by the landlord. Comparables 2, 3 and 5 do not provide these utilities. The remaining comparables do provide these utilities. The comparables were adjusted \$38 per month for one-bedroom units, \$47 per month for two-bedroom units and \$57 per month for three-bedroom units as indicated by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Authority's Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services.

Trash – The subject does have this utility provided by the landlord. Comparables 1 and 4 are similar. The remaining comparables do not provide this utility. Comparables 2, 3 and 5 were adjusted upward \$15 per month for all unit types as indicated by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Authority's Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services.

Extra Storage – The subject does contain this feature. Comparable 1 and 2 are similar. The remaining comparables do not contain this feature. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based

on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, the comparables without this amenity were adjusted upward \$5 per month.

Location – The subject's location is average with easy access to all services available within the city limits. Comparable 1 is similar. The remaining comparables are located in Valdosta and were considered superior in location. The location of the subject property and the comparables relative to residential population, population wealth, traffic patterns, centers of employment, economic levels and other locational attributes was analyzed. Location comparisons were made based on the appraiser's judgment as to the relative desirability of the property to a potential renter. The following table was utilized to determine appropriate adjustments for any differences in location between Lake Park and Valdosta.

U.S. Census Bureau Stats	Lake Park	Valdosta	% Diff
2015 Population	1,070	56,504	98.11%
Households	371	21,029	98.24%
Median Income	\$43,661	\$29,336	-48.83%
Median Home Value	\$162,800	\$125,700	-29.51%
Median Rent	\$742	\$732	-1.37%

Valdosta has a larger population and larger number of households. The median income and median home value are higher for Lake Park. The median rent is very similar for the two cities. Lake Park has fewer services available than Valdosta, and Valdosta serves as the major employment hub for the county. Therefore, it was considered superior. Based on the previous table as well as considering all other factors, a downward adjustment of \$25 was determined for the comparables in Valdosta.

Security – The subject contains video surveillance. Comparable 1 contains security patrol. Comparable 2 contains limited access gate. Comparable 3 contains intercom entry, limited access gate and video surveillance. Comparables 4 and 5 do not contain security features. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit, particularly security that limits access to the property. Therefore, Comparables 2 and 3 were adjusted downward \$5 per month, and Comparables 4 and 5 were adjusted upward \$5 per month.

Clubhouse/Meeting Room – The subject does not contain clubhouse or meeting room. Comparable 3 contains a meeting room Comparables 1 and 2 contain clubhouses. The remaining comparables do not contain either feature. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, all comparables with either feature were adjusted downward \$5 per month for each amenity.

Special Features – The subject does not contain special features. All comparables are similar to the subject. No adjustment was needed.

Business Center/Neighborhood Network – The subject does not contain a business center. Comparables 1 and 3 contain business centers. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, Comparables 1 and 3 were adjusted \$5 per month.

Conclusion of Market Rents - As Is

The adjusted rents range from \$459 to \$605 for the one-bedroom comparison; from \$549 to \$717 for the two-bedroom comparison; and from \$573 to \$756 for the three-bedroom comparison. All comparables were given consideration. Comparable 5 is located within the same city as the subject and is very similar to the subject in terms of amenities provided. Therefore, this comparable was given significant consideration. The remaining comparables were also considered. The appraiser concluded the market rent for the units at the subject as follows:

625 SF One-Bedroom Units - \$515
 860 SF Two-Bedroom Units - \$590
 910 SF Three-Bedroom Units - \$665

The following table shows the current rents at the subject. The estimated market rents are above the current rents.

			Maximum Net		
Unit Type	# of Units	Square Footage	LIHTC Rent	Current Rent	Utility Allowance
1/1	4	625	\$451	\$390	\$116
2/1	1	860	N/A	N/A	N/A
2/1.5 TH	30	860	\$550	\$430	\$129
3/1.5 TH	8	910	\$617	\$465	\$168

HUD-Forms 92273 - As Complete

One-Bedroom Units (625 SF) - As Complete

Estimates of Market Rent by Comparison - As Complete

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Office of Housing

Federal Housing Commissioner

OMB Approval No. 2502-0029 (exp. 09/30/2016)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information is needed to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjustment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is considered nonsensitive and does not require special protection. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

One-Bedroom Characteristics	Arbor Trace II Apartme 4700 Rolling Pine Drive		Three Oaks Aparti	ments													
	4700 Rolling Pine Drive					Staten Crossing A				se Apartments		Tall Tree Apartme			Mar-Mel-Go A		
Characteristics	1		3833 North Oak S			3925 North Oak S			1601 Norma			420 Connell Road			4650 Rolling F		
Ondidotonotion	Lake Park, Lowndes, G	5A Data	Valdosta, Lowndes		tments	Valdosta, Lownde	es, GA Adjustr	ments	Valdosta, L Data	owndes, GA	ustments	Valdosta, Lownde		ments	Lake Park , Lo		stments
Effective Date of	·	05/2017	05/2017		+	05/2017	1 1	+	05/2017	-	+	05/2017		+	05/2017		T +
Type of Project/		G/1	WU/2			WU/3			WU/2			WU/2			WU/2		-
Floor of Unit in E		Varies	Varies			Varies			Varies			Varies			Varies		-
Project Occupar	ů	97%	98%			97%			99%			97%			99%		
7. Concessions	noy 70	N	N			N N			N			N N			N		+
Year Built		1995/Proposed	1991/Ren		\$50	1997		\$50	1996/Re	en	\$50			\$80	2008		\$30
9. Sq. Ft. Area		625	809	(\$40)	***	815	(\$40)	Ψου	690	(\$		770	(\$30)	Ψ00	775	(\$30)	
10. Number of Bedro	rooms	1	1	(ψ.ισ)		1	(ψ.ο)		1	(0	-	1	(400)		1	(\$00)	+
11. Number of Baths		1.0	1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0		
12. Number of Room		3	3			3			3			3			3		
13. Balc./Terrace/Pa		N	Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		Y	(5	55)	Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)	
14. Garage or Carpo		L/0	L/0	(ψο)		L/0	(ψΟ)		L/0	,	,0)	L/0	(ψΟ)		L/0	(ψΟ)	
15. Equipment a.		C	C			C			C			C			C		+
	. Range/Refrigerator	RF	RF			RF			RF			RF			RF		+
	. Disposal	N N	Y			Y			Y			Y			Y		+
	, Microwave/Dishwasher	N	D	(\$10)		MD	(\$15)		D	(\$	0)	MD	(\$15)		D	(\$10)	
	. Washer/Dryer	HU	HU	(+ /		WD	(\$20)		HU	(4	-/		(+/	\$5	HU	(4.5)	
	Carpet	C	С			С	(+/		С			C			С		
	. Drapes	В	В			В			В			В			В		
	. Pool/Rec. Area	R	PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$2	20)	PER	(\$20)		Р		
16. Services a.	Heat/Type	N/E	N/G	(* -7		N/E	(* -7		N/E	(1	-/	N/E	(+ -7		N/E		
	. Cooling	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
С	. Cook/Type	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
d	. Electricity	N	N			N			N			N			N		
е	. Hot Water	N/E	N/G			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
f	. Cold Water/Sewer	N	Υ	(\$38)		N			N			Y	(\$38)		N		
g	. Trash	Υ	Y			N		\$15	N		\$15				N		\$15
17. Storage		Y/0	Y/0			Y/0			N		\$5			\$5	N		\$5
18. Project Location	ı	Average	Superior	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)		Superio		-	Superior	(\$25)		Similar		
19. Security		Υ	Y			Y	(\$5)		Y		55)	N		\$5	N		\$5
20. Clubhouse/Meeti	ing Room	N	С	(\$5)		С	(\$5)		MR	(9	55)	N			N		
21. Special Features	S	A	N			N			N			N			N		
22. Business Center		N	BC	(\$5)		N			BC	(5	55)	N			N		
23. Unit Rent Per M			\$676			\$675			\$675			\$547			\$550		
24. Total Adjustmen	nt			(\$98)			(\$70)			(\$2	20)		(\$38)			\$10	
25. Indicated Rent			\$578			\$605			\$655			\$509			\$560		
26. Correlated Subje	ect Rent	\$565		Remarks,	check here	and add the remarks											
		high rent	\$655	low	rent	\$509	60)% range		o \$626							
If subject is better, en		mounts by which subject point if subject is inferior to the				Appraiser's Signature	San	- lun	July 1	Date (mm/dd/yy) 05/10/1		viewer's Signature				Date (mm/dd/yy	vyy)

form HUD-92273 (07/2003) Previous editions are obsolete

Two-Bedroom Units (860 SF) - As Complete

Estimates of Market Rent by Comparison - As Complete

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Office of Housing Federal Housing Commissioner OMB Approval No. 2502-0029 (exp. 09/30/2016)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information is needed to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjustment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is considered nonsensitive and does not require special protection. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. Unit Type	2. Subject Property (Add		A. Comparable Property		ess)	B. Comparable Prope			C. Comparable Property No. 3 (address)			D. Comparable Property No. 4 (address)			E. Comparable Property No. 5 (address)		
	Arbor Trace II Apartme		Three Oaks Apartn			Staten Crossing	•		Spring Chase Apa			Tall Tree Apartme			Mar-Mel-Go A	•	
Two-Bedroom	4700 Rolling Pine Driv		3833 North Oak St			3925 North Oak			1601 Norman Driv			420 Connell Road			4650 Rolling F		
	Lake Park, Lowndes, 0		Valdosta, Lowndes			Valdosta, Lowndo			Valdosta, Lowndes			Valdosta, Lownde			Lake Park , Lo		
Characterist		Data	Data	- Adjust	tments +	Data	Adjust	ments +		Adjustr -	nents +	Data	Adjust -	ments +		- Adjust	stments +
3. Effective Date		05/2017	05/2017			05/2017			05/2017			05/2017			05/2017		
Type of Project		G/1	WU/2			WU/3			WU/2			WU/2			WU/2		
5. Floor of Unit in		Varies	Varies			Varies			Varies			Varies			Varies		
Project Occup	pancy %	97%	98%			97%			99%			97%			99%		
7. Concessions		N	N			N			N			N			N		
8. Year Built		1995/Proposed	1991/Ren		\$50	1997		\$50	1996/Ren		\$50	1985		\$80	2008		\$3
9. Sq. Ft. Area		860	1,044	(\$30)		1,128	(\$45)		960	(\$15)		928	(\$10)		880		
Number of Be	drooms	2	2			2			2			2			2		
Number of Ba	ths	1.0	1.0			2.0	(\$20)		1.0			1.0			2.0	(\$20)	,
Number of Ro	ooms	4	4			4			4			4			4		
13. Balc./Terrace/	/Patio	N	Υ	(\$5)		Υ	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)	1
Garage or Ca	rport	L/0	L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0		
15. Equipment a	. A/C	С	С			С			С			С			С		
	b. Range/Refrigerator	RF	RF			RF			RF			RF			RF		
	c. Disposal	N	Υ			Y			Υ			Y			Υ		
	d. Microwave/Dishwasher	N	D	(\$10)		MD	(\$15)		D	(\$10)		MD	(\$15)		D	(\$10)	,
	e. Washer/Dryer	HU	HU			WD	(\$20)		HU			L		\$5	HU		
	f. Carpet	С	С			С			С			С			С		
	g. Drapes	В	В			В			В			В			В		
	h. Pool/Rec. Area	R	PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		Р		
16. Services a	ı. Heat/Type	N/E	N/G			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
	b. Cooling	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
	c. Cook/Type	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		\perp
	d. Electricity	N	N			N			N			N			N		—
	e. Hot Water	N/E	N/G			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
	f. Cold Water/Sewer	N	Y	(\$47)		N		0.5	N		645	Y	(\$47)		N N		
17. Storage	g. Trash	Y Y/0	Y Y/0			N Y/0		\$15	N N		\$15 \$5	Y N		\$5	N N		\$1 \$
18. Project Locati	00		Superior	(\$25)			(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)	φυ		(\$0E)	фЭ	Similar		
19. Security	OII	Average Y	Y	(\$∠5)		Superior Y	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)		Superior N	(\$25)	\$5	N		\$
20. Clubhouse/Me	oting Doom	N N	C	(\$5)		C	(\$5)		MR	(\$5)		N		фЭ	N N		
21. Special Featur		N N	N	(45)		C N	(\$5)		N N	(\$5)		N N			N N	+	+
22. Business Cent		N N	BC	(\$5)		N N	1		BC	(\$5)		N N			N N		+
23. Unit Rent Per		IN	\$696	(45)		\$790			\$787	(\$5)		\$626			\$600		_
24. Total Adjustm			φυσυ	(\$97)		\$1.90	(\$95)		\$101	(\$20)		\$0∠0	(\$27)		\$000	\$20	,
25. Indicated Ren			\$599	(\$97)		\$695	(\$95)		\$767	(\$20)		\$599	(\$27)		\$620	\$20	
		\$040		Domosti	ahaali h		a ta tha h	di of no :				фрая			φυ ∠ υ		
26. Correlated Su	bject Rent	\$640	<u> </u>			e and add the remark											
		high rent	\$767		rent	\$599		0% range		\$733	-					S	
Note: In the adjus	tments column enter doll	ar amounts by which :	subject property varies	from comp	oarable	Appraiser's Signature		Δ.	J. Date (m	ım/dd/yy)	Re	viewer's Signature				Date (mm/dd/yy	ууу)

Previous editions are obsolete form HUD-92273 (07/2003)

Two-Bedroom Units (860 SF) – As Complete Estimates of Market Rent

by Comparison - As Complete

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Housing

Federal Housing Commissioner

OM B Approval No. 2502-0029 (exp. 09/30/2016)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information isneeded to analyze the reasonablenessor it he Annual Adjust ment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information isneeded to analyze the required to complete this formation, unless it displays a currently yeal ind DMB contract, unless it displays a currently yeal ind DMB contract, unless it displays a currently yeal ind DMB contract.

1. Unit Type	2. Subject Property (Add		A. Comparable Proper		ress)	B. Comparable Prope			C. Comparable Property No. 3 (address) Spring Chase A partments			D. Comparable Property No. 4 (address)			·	E. Comparable Property No. 5 (address) Mar-Mel-Go Apartments	
	Arbor Trace II Apart		Three Oaks Ap			Staten Crossin	· .	ents		•		Tall Tree Apar				•	3
Two-Bedroom	4700 Rolling Pine D		3833 North Oal			3925 North Oa			1601 Norma			420 Connell R				g Pine Drive	_
Characterist	Lake Park, Lowndes	S, GA Data	Valdosta, Lown Data		tments	Valdosta, Low Data	Adjust	monto	Valdosta, L Data	owndes, GA Adjust	mont o	Valdosta, Low Data		ment s	Lake Park,	Lowndes, GA	tments
				Aujus	,t		Aujusi			Aujusi	t		Aujusi	t		Aujusi	1111e1115
3. Effective Da		05/2017	05/2017			05/2017	-		05/2017			05/2017			05/2017		
4. Type of Pro	<u></u>	T/2	WU/2	-		WU/3	-		WU/2			WU/2			WU/2		
5. Floor of Uni		Varies	Varies	-		Varies	-		Varies			Varies	ļ		Varies		
Project Occ Concession		97%	98% N			97%	-		99% N			97%			99% N		ļ
	ns	N				N	-					N					—
8. Year Built		1995/Proposed	1991/Ren	4	\$50	1997		\$50	1996/Ren		\$50	1985	-	\$80	2008		\$3
9. Sq. Ft. Area		860	1,044	(\$30)		1,128	(\$45)		960	(\$ 15)		928	(\$10)		880		
10. Number of E		2	2			2	-		2			2	ļ		2		
11. Number of B		1.5	1.0		\$10	2.0	(\$ 10)		1.0		\$10		-	\$ 10	2.0	(\$10)	
12. Number of F		4	4			4			4			4			4		
13. Balc./Terrac		N	Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)	ļ	Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)	
14. Garage or C		L/0	L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0		
15. Equipment		С	С			С			С			С			С		
***************************************	b. Range/Refrigerator	RF	RF			RF			RF			RF			RF		
	c. Disposal	N	Y			Υ			Y		L	Y			Y		
	d. Microwave/Dishwasher	N	D	(\$10)		MD	(\$ 15)		D	(\$10)		MD	(\$15)		D	(\$10)	
	e. Washer/Dryer	HU	HU			WD	(\$20)		HU		L	L		\$5	HU		
***************************************	f. Carpet	С	С			С			С			С			С		
	g. Drapes	В	В			В			В		L	В			В		
	h. Pool/Rec.Area	R	PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		Р		
16. Services	a. Heat/Type	N/E	N/G			N/E			N/E		L	N/E			N/E		<u></u>
***************************************	b. Cooling	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
	c. Cook/Type	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
	d. Electricity	N	N			N			N			N			N		
	e. Hot Water	N/E	N/G			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		<u></u>
	f. Cold Water/Sewer	N	Y	(\$47)		N			N			Y	(\$47)		N		
	g. Trash	Y	Y			N		\$ 15	N		\$15	Y			N		\$
17. Storage		Y/0	Y/0			Y/0			N		\$5	N		\$5	N		\$
18. Project Loc	ation	Average	Superio r	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)		Similar		
19. Security		Υ	Y			Υ	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		N		\$5	N		9
20. Clubho use/I	Meeting Room	N	С	(\$5)		С	(\$5)		MR	(\$5)		N			N		
21. Special Feat		N	N			N			N			N	-		N		
	enter / Nbhd Netwk	N	ВС	(\$5)		N			ВС	(\$5)		N			N		
23. Unit Rent P			\$696			\$790			\$787			\$626			\$600		
24. Total Adjus				(\$87)			(\$85)			(\$10)			(\$ 17)			\$30	
25. Indicated Re			\$609			\$705			\$777			\$609			\$630		<u> </u>
26. Correlated	Subject Rent	\$650	If there are a	ny Remarl	ks, check	here and add the r	emarks to	the back	of page.								
		high rent	\$777	low	rent	\$609	60%	range	\$643 to	\$743							
	stments column, enter dolla ject is better, enter a "Plus					Appraiser's Signatur		L hun		ate(mm/dd/yy) 05/10/17	Rev	viewer'sSignature				Date(mm/dd/y	ууу)

Three-Bedroom Units (910 SF) - As Complete

Estimates of Market Rent by Comparison - As Complete

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Office of Housing Federal Housing Commissioner OMB Approval No. 2502-0029 (exp. 09/30/2016)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information is needed to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjustment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is considered nonsensitive and does not require special protection. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

hree-Bedroom	Arbor Trace II Apartme	ante	Three Coles Anorth	A. Comparable Property No. 1 (address) Three Oaks Apartments B. Comparable Property No. 2 (address) Staten Crossing Apartments					C. Comparable Property No. 3 (address)						E. Comparable Property No. 5 (address)		
See Dadroom									Spring Chase Apartments			Tall Tree Apartments			Mar-Mel-Go Apartments		
liee-beuroom	4700 Rolling Pine Driv		3833 North Oak S			3925 North Oak S			1601 Norman Drive			420 Connell Road			4650 Rolling F		
	Lake Park, Lowndes, 0		Valdosta, Lownde			Valdosta, Lownde			Valdosta, Lowndes			Valdosta, Lownde			Lake Park , Lowndes, GA Data Adjustments		
Characteristic		Data	Data	Adjust -	ments +	Data	Adjust -	ments +	Data	Adjustn -	nents +	Data	Adjust -	ments +	Data	Adjust	ments +
B. Effective Date		05/2017	05/2017			05/2017			05/2017			05/2017			05/2017		
 Type of Project 		T/2	WU/2			WU/3			WU/2			WU/2			WU/2		
5. Floor of Unit in	•	Varies	Varies			Varies			Varies			Varies			Varies		
Project Occupa	ancy %	97%	98%			97%			99%			97%			99%		
7. Concessions		N	N			N			N			N			N		
Year Built		1995/Proposed	1991/Ren		\$50	1997		\$50	1996/Ren		\$50	1985		\$80	2008		\$30
9. Sq. Ft. Area		910	1,236	(\$50)		1,362	(\$75)		1,376	(\$75)		1,333	(\$70)		1,081	(\$25)	
Number of Bed	drooms	3	3			3			3			3			3		
 Number of Bath 	hs	1.5	2.0	(\$10)		2.0	(\$10)		2.0	(\$10)		2.0	(\$10)		2.0	(\$10)	
Number of Roc	oms	5	5			5			5			5			5		
Balc./Terrace/F	Patio	N	Υ	(\$5)		Υ	(\$5)		Υ	(\$5)		Υ	(\$5)		Υ	(\$5)	
 Garage or Carp 	port	L/0	L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0		
5. Equipment a.	A/C	С	С			С			С			С			С		
l	b. Range/Refrigerator	RF	RF			RF			RF			RF			RF		
	c. Disposal	N	Υ			Υ			Y			Υ			Y		
	d. Microwave/Dishwasher	N	D	(\$10)		MD	(\$15)		D	(\$10)		MD	(\$15)		D	(\$10)	
	e. Washer/Dryer	HU	HU			WD	(\$20)		HU			L		\$5	HU		
	f. Carpet	С	С			С			С			С			С		
	g. Drapes	В	В			В			В			В			В		
	h. Pool/Rec. Area	R	PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		PER	(\$20)		Р		
	Heat/Type	N/E	N/G			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		
	b. Cooling	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		<u> </u>
	c. Cook/Type	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E		<u> </u>
	d. Electricity	N	N			N			N			N			N		
	e. Hot Water	N/E N	N/G Y	(0.5.7)		N/E N			N/E N			N/E Y	(0.57)		N/E N		├
	f. Cold Water/Sewer g. Trash	N Y	Y	(\$57)		N N		\$15	N N		\$15	Y	(\$57)		N N		\$15
7. Storage	y. ITasii	Y/0	Y/0			Y/0		ΨΙΟ	N		\$5	N N		\$5	N	-	\$5
Project Locatio	n	Average	Superior	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)		Superior	(\$25)	ΨΟ	Superior	(\$25)	ΨΟ	Similar	-	Ψυ
9. Security	,,,	Y	Y	(ψ20)		Y	(\$5)		Y	(\$5)		N	(ψ20)	\$5	N	_	\$5
0. Clubhouse/Mee	eting Room	N N	C	(\$5)		C	(\$5)		MR	(\$5)		N		ΨΟ	N		
Special Feature	-	N	N	(40)		N	(\$0)		N	(\$0)		N			N		-
2. Business Cente		N	BC	(\$5)		N			BC	(\$5)		N			N		
3. Unit Rent Per N			\$780	(+0)		\$875			\$896	(+0)		\$730			\$695		
Total Adjustme				(\$137)			(\$115)			(\$90)			(\$107)		,	\$5	
5. Indicated Rent			\$643	(,)		\$760	(, 0)		\$806	(4.4)		\$623	(,,		\$700		
6. Correlated Sub		\$715		Remarks,	check here	and add the remark	s to the bac	k of page.									
	-	high rent	\$806	low		\$623		0% range	\$660 to	\$769							
inte: In the adjust	ments column, enter doll		****			Appraiser's Signature		- , , ,		m/dd/yy)	Rev	viewer's Signature				Date (mm/dd/yy	(VV)

Previous editions are obsolete form HUD-92273 (07/2003)

Explanation of Adjustments and Market Rent Conclusions – As Complete

Arbor Trace II Apartments

Primary Unit Types – One-Bedroom Units (625 SF), Two-Bedroom Units (860 SF) and Three-Bedroom Units (910 SF)

Please note: Minor adjustments in the \$5 to \$10 range are based on the appraiser's evaluation of the overall market as well as typical responses indicated by existing tenants. In addition, this is standard industry practice when there is insufficient market data present to support adjustments. It is also considered an acceptable practice by HUD as indicated in the Section 8 Renewal Guide Chapter 9-12 (B) (2b) which states: "For minor adjustments (generally in the \$5 to \$10 range), the appraiser may state his/her subjective evaluation of why the observed differences would affect rent."

Rent comparability grids were prepared for the primary unit types with 625, 860 and 910 square feet. Comparable apartments used include the following: Three Oaks Apartments (Comparable 1), Staten Crossing Apartments (Comparable 2), Spring Chase Apartments (Comparable 3), Tall Tree Apartments (Comparable 4) and Mar-Mel-Go Apartments (Comparable 5).

Structure/Stories – The subject is located in garden one-story and townhouse two-story buildings. All comparables are located in walk-up two- and three-story buildings. The market did not indicate an adjustment for differences in number of stories. No adjustments were needed.

Project Occupancy – The subject is currently 97 percent occupied. The occupancy rates of the comparables range from 97 to 99 percent. No adjustments were needed.

Concessions – The subject is not currently offering concessions. None of the comparables are currently offering concessions. No adjustment was needed.

Year Built/Year Renovated – The subject was constructed in 1995 and will be rehabilitated. It will be in good condition. Comparable 1 was built in 1991, and Comparable 2 was constructed in 1997. Comparable 3 was constructed in 1996, and Comparable 4 was built in 1985. Comparable 5 was constructed in 2008. All comparables were considered inferior to varying degrees. It is difficult to determine adjustment amounts for condition/street appeal as it is difficult to determine rent level fluctuations based on these items. Therefore, it was necessary to rely in large part on opinions of area apartment managers and tenants. In addition, adjusted rents of the comparables were considered as the difference in rents of the comparables after everything else is factored out is assumed to be attributable to condition/street appeal. After considering all factors, Comparables 1, 2, 3 and 4 were adjusted upward \$50 per month, and Comparable 5 was adjusted upward \$30 per month.

SF Area – For the purpose of this report, a range of comparable rents per square foot was derived. To determine this adjustment, each comparable's dollar per square foot rental rate was determined. This number was then multiplied by 25 percent for each comparable to derive an adjusted dollar per square foot rental rate. The median dollar per square foot rental rate is determined. Next, the difference in square footage between the subject and each comparable is determined. The difference is multiplied by the determined adjusted dollar per square foot rate to arrive at the adjustment for each comparable. The selected dollar per square foot for the one-bedroom comparison is \$0.21, for the two-bedroom comparison is \$0.17 and for three-bedroom comparison is \$0.16. No adjustments were made to comparables within 25 square feet of the subject. The adjustments were rounded to the nearest \$5. These adjustments are reflected on the HUD-Forms 92273, which are attached.

of Bedrooms - The subject contains one-, two- and three-bedroom units. All comparables are similar. No adjustments were needed.

of Baths – The subject contains one bath in the one- and two-bedroom garden units and one-and-one-half-baths in the two- and three-bedroom townhouse units.. Each complex with a differing number of baths than the subject was adjusted \$10 per half-bath and \$20 per full bath. The majority of the difference in number of baths is accounted for in the unit square footage adjustment. However, an adjustment is made here to consider the added convenience of additional baths. The extra room(s) will enhance marketability of a unit even if the square footage remains the same. There was insufficient market data available for a paired analysis.

Balcony/Patio – The subject does not contain balconies or patios. All comparables have one or both features. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, the comparables were adjusted downward \$5 per month.

Parking – The subject contains open asphalt parking for all units. All comparables contain lot parking for no additional fee. No adjustments were needed.

AC: Central/Wall – The subject contains central air conditioning. All comparables are similar. No adjustments were needed.

Range/Refrigerator – The subject contains both features in all units. All comparables contain these features in the units. No adjustment was needed.

Garbage Disposal – The subject does not contain a garbage disposal in the units. However, since there is no market data concerning units with this feature, no adjustment was given.

Microwave/Dishwasher – The subject does not contain either microwave or dishwasher. All comparables contain dishwashers. Comparables 2 and 4 also contain microwaves. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, Comparables 1, 3 and 5 were adjusted downward \$10 per month, and Comparables 2 and 4 were adjusted downward \$15 per month.

Washer/Dryer – The subject contains washer/dryer hook-ups in the units. Comparables 1, 3 and 5 are similar. Comparable 2 contains washers and dryers in the units and was adjusted downward \$20 per month. Comparable 4 contains a laundry facility and was adjusted upward \$5 per month. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit.

Carpet – The subject contains carpet floor covering. All comparables contain carpet floor covering. No adjustments were needed.

Drapes - The subject and all comparables contain window coverings. No adjustment was needed.

Pool/Exercise Room/Recreation Areas – The subject contains a playground. Comparable 1 contains swimming pool, exercise room, picnic area and playground. Comparable 2 contains a swimming pool, exercise room, playground, volleyball court and tennis court. Comparable 3 contains swimming pool, exercise room, playground and basketball court. Comparable 4 contains swimming pool, exercise room and picnic area. Comparable 5 contains swimming pool. No comparable in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, the comparables with all types of recreation areas were adjusted downward \$20 per month.

Heat – The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cooling – The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cooking – The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Electricity – The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Hot Water – The subject does not have this utility provided by the landlord. None of the comparables have this utility provided. No adjustments were needed.

Cold Water/Sewer – The subject does not have these utilities provided by the landlord. Comparables 2, 3 and 5 do not provide these utilities. The remaining comparables do provide these utilities. The comparables were adjusted \$38 per month for one-bedroom units, \$47 per month for two-bedroom units and \$57 per month for three-bedroom units as indicated by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Authority's Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services.

Trash – The subject does have this utility provided by the landlord. Comparables 1 and 4 are similar. The remaining comparables do not provide this utility. Comparables 2, 3 and 5 were adjusted upward \$15 per month for all unit types as indicated by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Authority's Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services.

Extra Storage – The subject does contain this feature. Comparable 1 and 2 are similar. The remaining comparables do not contain this feature. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, the comparables without this amenity were adjusted upward \$5 per month.

Location – The subject's location is average with easy access to all services available within the city limits. Comparable 1 is similar. The remaining comparables are located in Valdosta and were considered superior in location. The location of the subject property and the comparables relative to residential population, population wealth, traffic patterns, centers of employment, economic levels and other locational attributes was analyzed. Location comparisons were made based on the appraiser's judgment as to the relative desirability of the property to a potential renter. The following table was utilized to determine appropriate adjustments for any differences in location between Lake Park and Valdosta.

U.S. Census Bureau Stats	Lake Park	Valdosta	% Diff
2015 Population	1,070	56,504	98.11%
Households	371	21,029	98.24%
Median Income	\$43,661	\$29,336	-48.83%
Median Home Value	\$162,800	\$125,700	-29.51%
Median Rent	\$742	\$732	-1.37%

Valdosta has a larger population and larger number of households. The median income and median home value are higher for Lake Park. The median rent is very similar for the two cities. Lake Park has fewer services available than Valdosta, and Valdosta serves as the major employment hub for the county.

Therefore, it was considered superior. Based on the previous table as well as considering all other factors, a downward adjustment of \$25 was determined for the comparables in Valdosta.

Security – The subject contains video surveillance. Comparable 1 contains security patrol. Comparable 2 contains limited access gate. Comparable 3 contains intercom entry, limited access gate and video surveillance. Comparables 4 and 5 do not contain security features. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit, particularly security that limits access to the property. Therefore, Comparables 2 and 3 were adjusted downward \$5 per month, and Comparables 4 and 5 were adjusted upward \$5 per month.

Clubhouse/Meeting Room – The subject does not contain clubhouse or meeting room. Comparable 3 contains a meeting room Comparables 1 and 2 contain clubhouses. The remaining comparables do not contain either feature. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, all comparables with either feature were adjusted downward \$5 per month for each amenity.

Special Features – The subject does not contain special features. All comparables are similar to the subject. No adjustment was needed.

Business Center/Neighborhood Network – The subject does not contain a business center. Comparables 1 and 3 contain business centers. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on this particular item; however, the added amenity is an enhancement. Therefore, Comparables 1 and 3 were adjusted \$5 per month.

Conclusion of Market Rents - As Complete

The adjusted rents range from \$509 to \$655 for the one-bedroom comparison; from \$599 to \$767 for the two-bedroom comparison; from \$609 to \$777 for the two-bedroom townhouse comparison; and from \$623 to \$806 for the three-bedroom comparison. Comparable 5 is located within the same city as the subject and is very similar to the subject in terms of amenities provided. Therefore, this comparable was given significant consideration. The remaining comparables were also considered. The appraiser concluded the market rent for the units at the subject as follows:

625 SF One-Bedroom Units - \$565
 860 SF Two-Bedroom Units - \$640
 860 SF Two-Bedroom TH Units - \$650
 910 SF Three-Bedroom Units - \$715

The following table shows the proposed rents at the subject. The estimated "as complete" market rents are above the proposed rents. Additionally, a restricted analysis was completed to determine the achievable rents for the subject. This analysis is shown in the addendum. Therefore, the proposed rents were considered achievable.

			Maximum Net		
Unit Type	# of Units	Square Footage	LIHTC Rent	Proposed Rent	Utility Allowance
1/1	4	625	\$451	\$415	\$116
2/1	1	860	\$550	\$450	\$129
2/1.5 TH	30	860	\$550	\$460	\$129
3/1.5 TH	8	910	\$617	\$485	\$168

Rent Comparables

Multi-Family Lease No. 1



Property Identification

Record ID 4814 **Property Type** Walk-Up

Property Name Three Oaks Apartments

Address 3833 North Oak Street, Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia

31605

Market Type Market

Verification Jennifer; 229-247-1175, May 10, 2017

<u>U</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>ıt</u>	N	lıx

	No. of			Mo.
Unit Type	<u>Units</u>	Size SF	Rent/Mo.	Rent/SF
1/1	24	809	\$676	\$0.84
1/1		809	\$906	\$1.12
1/1	24	809	\$756	\$0.93
1/1		809	\$858	\$1.06
2/1	60	1,044	\$696	\$0.67
2/1		1,044	\$834	\$0.80
2/2	60	1,044	\$783	\$0.75
2/2		1,044	\$904	\$0.87
3/2	36	1,220	\$780	\$0.64
3/2		1,220	\$949	\$0.78
3/2	36	1,236	\$695	\$0.56
3/2		1,236	\$984	\$0.80

Occupancy 98% Rent Premiums None **Total Units** 240 809 - 1236 **Unit Size Range** Avg. Unit Size 1,052

Multi-Family Lease No. 1 (Cont.)

Avg. Rent/Unit \$734 Avg. Rent/SF \$0.70

SF 252,528

Physical Data

No. of Buildings 20 Construction Type Siding

HVAC Central Gas/Central Elec

Stories 2

Utilities with Rent Water, Sewer, Trash Collection

Parking L/0
Year Built 1991/Ren
Condition Average

Gas Utilities Heating, Hot Water

Electric Utilities Cooling, Cooking, Other Electric

Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Hardwood, Blinds, Ceiling Fans, Fireplace, Walk-In Closet, Coat Closet, Balcony, Patio, Clubhouse, Swimming Pool, Exercise Room, Picnic Area, Playground, Basketball Court, Tennis Court, Extra Storage, Business Center, Car Wash Area, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance, Security Patrol

Remarks

The property does not maintain an active waiting list. The annual turnover rate was not disclosed. The rental ranges are due to renovations, unit amenities and floor layouts.

Multi-Family Lease No. 2



Property Identification

Record ID 4840 Property Type Walk-Up

Property Name Staten Crossing Apartments

Address 3925 North Oak Street, Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia

31605

Market Type Market

Verification Kyle; 229-247-9880, May 10, 2017

<u>Unit Mix</u>								
	No. of			Mo.				
<u>Unit Type</u>	<u>Units</u>	Size SF	<u>Rent/Mo.</u>	Rent/SF				
1/1	48	815	\$675	\$0.83				
1/1		815	\$780	\$0.96				
2/2	58	1,150	\$780	\$0.68				
2/2		1,150	\$815	\$0.71				
2/2	58	1,128	\$790	\$0.70				
2/2		1,128	\$815	\$0.72				
3/2	32	1,362	\$875	\$0.64				
3/2		1,362	\$905	\$0.66				

 Occupancy
 97%

 Rent Premiums
 None

 Total Units
 196

 Unit Size Range
 815 - 1362

 Avg. Unit Size
 1,096

 Avg. Rent/Unit
 \$773

 Avg. Rent/SF
 \$0.71

SF 214,828

Multi-Family Lease No. 2 (Cont.)

Physical Data

No. of Buildings 11

Construction Type Brick/Siding

HVAC Central Elec/Central Elec

Stories 3
Utilities with Rent None
Parking L/0
Year Built 1997
Condition Average
Gas Utilities None
Electric Utilities All

Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Microwave, Washer, Dryer, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet, Balcony, Patio, Clubhouse, Swimming Pool, Exercise Room, Playground, Volleyball Court, Tennis Court, Extra Storage, Cabana, Pet Play Area, Walking/Bike Trail, Car Wash Area, Landry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance, Limited Access Gate

Remarks

The property has a waiting list but does not currently have any applicants on the waiting list. The property charges the tenants flat rates of \$25 per adult per month for the water, sewer and trash. Rents range based upon floor and view.

Multi-Family Lease No. 3



Property Identification

Record ID 4831 **Property Type Property Name** Walk-Up

Spring Chase Apartments

Address 1601 Norman Drive, Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia 31601

Market Type Market

Verification Glen; 229-247-8472, May 10, 2017

Unit Mix

	No. of			Mo.
Unit Type	<u>Units</u>	Size SF	Rent/Mo.	Rent/SF
1/1	24	690	\$675	\$0.98
1/1 w/Den	8	1,126	\$753	\$0.67
2/1	104	960	\$787	\$0.82
2/2	72	1,226	\$811	\$0.66
2/2		1,226	\$850	\$0.69
3/2	72	1,376	\$896	\$0.65
3/2	8	1,400	\$943	\$0.67

Occupancy 100% Rent Premiums None **Total Units** 288 Unit Size Range 690 - 1400 Avg. Unit Size 1,125 Avg. Rent/Unit \$814 Avg. Rent/SF \$0.72

SF 323,952

Multi-Family Lease No. 3 (Cont.)

Physical Data

No. of Buildings 33 Construction Type Siding

HVAC Central Elec/Central Elec

Stories 2
Utilities with Rent None
Parking L/0
Year Built 1996
Condition Average
Gas Utilities None
Electric Utilities All

Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Hardwood (select), Blinds, Ceiling Fans, Walk-In Closet (select), Balcony, Patio, Meeting Room, Swimming Pool, Exercise Room, Playground, Basketball Court, Tennis Court, Business Center, Computer Room, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance, Intercom/Electronic Entry, Limited Access Gate, Video Surveillance, Library

Remarks

The property contains a waiting list of 15-20 applicants. The annual turnover rate was not disclosed. The rental range is due to renovations.

Multi-Family Lease No. 4



Property Identification

Record ID 4834

Property Type Walk-Up/Townhouse **Property Name** Tall Tree Apartments

Address 420 Connell Road, Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia 31602 **Market Type**

Market

Verification Emma; 229-244-9632, May 02, 2017

U	nit	M	<u>ix</u>

	No. of			Mo.
Unit Type	<u>Units</u>	Size SF	Rent/Mo.	Rent/SF
Efficiency	8	457	\$455	\$1.00
1/1	36	770	\$547	\$0.71
1/1	20	852	\$595	\$0.70
2/1	28	928	\$626	\$0.67
2/1.5 TH	16	971	\$597	\$0.61
2/2	24	1,072	\$667	\$0.62
3/2	16	1,333	\$730	\$0.55

Occupancy 97% Rent Premiums None **Total Units** 148 **Unit Size Range** 457 - 1333 Avg. Unit Size 926 Avg. Rent/Unit \$608 Avg. Rent/SF \$0.66

SF 136,992

Multi-Family Lease No. 4 (Cont.)

Physical Data

No. of Buildings 39

Construction Type Brick/Siding

HVAC Central Elec/Central Elec

Stories 2

Utilities with Rent Water, Sewer, Trash Collection

Parking L/0
Year Built 1985
Condition Average
Gas Utilities None
Electric Utilities All

Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Microwave, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups (select), Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Ceiling Fans, Walk-In Closet, Coat Closet, Balcony, Patio, Swimming Pool, Exercise Room, Picnic Area, Pet Play Area, Playground, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance

Remarks

The property does not maintain an active waiting list. The annual turnover rate is 16.2 percent.

Multi-Family Lease No. 5



Property Identification

Record ID 4809 **Property Type** Walk-Up

Property Name Mar-Mel-Go Apartments

Address 4650 Rolling Pine Drive, Lake Park, Lowndes County, Georgia

31636

Market Type Market

Verification Clair; 229-559-7368, May 02, 2017

Unit Mix

	No. of			Mo.
Unit Type	<u>Units</u>	Size SF	Rent/Mo.	Rent/SF
1/1	14	775	\$550	\$0.71
2/2	48	880	\$600	\$0.68
3/2	8	1,081	\$695	\$0.64

Occupancy 99%
Rent Premiums None
Total Units 70

 Unit Size Range
 775 - 1081

 Avg. Unit Size
 882

 Avg. Rent/Unit
 \$601

 Avg. Rent/SF
 \$0.68

SF 61,738

Physical Data

No. of Buildings 7
Construction Type Siding

HVAC Central Elec/Central Elec

Stories2Utilities with RentNoneParkingL/0Year Built2008

Multi-Family Lease No. 5 (Cont.)

ConditionGoodGas UtilitiesNoneElectric UtilitiesAll


Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Garbage Disposal, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Balcony, Patio, Swimming Pool, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance, Perimeter Fencing

Remarks

There are two applicants on the waiting list. The annual turnover rate is 51.4 percent.

Rent Comparable Map



Summary and Conclusion

Comparable apartment complexes were analyzed as shown on the attached HUD-Forms 92273. Adjustments were based on market rates for individual items as discussed on the previous pages. After analyzing the aforementioned data, market rates were established with special emphasis placed on the best comparables for each unit type to arrive at the estimated market rents as shown in the chart below. After all adjustments, the comparables with the least amount of adjustments for each bedroom type were considered to determine market rates. These rates were used throughout the report as the "Market Rates" for all subject apartment types.

Potential Gross Rental Income

Total Potential Gross Rental Income (Restricted Rent As Is)

	Ì		Max. Net		
# of Units	Unit Type	Unit SF	LIHTC Rent	Current Rent	Potential Gross Income
4	1/1	625	\$451	\$390	\$1,560
1	2/1	860	N/A	N/A	\$0
30	2/1.5 TH	860	\$550	\$430	\$12,900
8	3/1.5 TH	910	\$617	\$465	\$3,720
Total Potential I	Monthly Rental Income				\$18,180
					x 12
Total Potential	Gross Rental Income				\$218,160
Miscellaneous I	ncome				\$2,400
Total Potential	Gross Income				\$220,560

Total Potential Gross Rental Income (Market Rent As Is)

			Max. Net		
# of Units	Unit Type	Unit SF	LIHTC Rent	Market Rent	Potential Gross Income
4	1/1	625	\$451	\$515	\$2,060
1	2/1	860	N/A	N/A	\$0
30	2/1.5 TH	860	\$550	\$600	\$18,000
8	3/1.5 TH	910	\$617	\$665	\$5,320
Total Potential I	Monthly Rental Income				\$25,380
					x 12
Total Potential	Gross Rental Income				\$304,560
Miscellaneous I	ncome				\$2,400
Total Potential	Gross Income				\$306,960

Total Potential Gross Rental Income (Restricted Rent As Complete)

			Max. Net		
# of Units	Unit Type	Unit SF	LIHTC Rent	Proposed Rent	Potential Gross Income
4	1/1	625	\$451	\$415	\$1,660
1	2/1	860	N/A	\$450	\$450
30	2/1.5 TH	860	\$550	\$460	\$13,800
8	3/1.5 TH	910	\$617	\$485	\$3,880
Total Potential I	Monthly Rental Income				\$19,790
					x 12
Total Potential	Gross Rental Income				\$237,480
Miscellaneous I	ncome				\$2,400
Total Potential	Gross Income				\$239,880

Total Potential Gross Rental Income (Market Rent As Complete)

	,		Max. Net		
# of Units	Unit Type	Unit SF	LIHTC Rent	Market Rent	Potential Gross Income
4	1/1	625	\$451	\$565	\$2,260
1	2/1	860	N/A	\$640	\$640
30	2/1.5 TH	860	\$550	\$650	\$19,500
8	3/1.5 TH	910	\$617	\$715	\$5,720
Total Potential I	Monthly Rental Income				\$28,120
					x 12
Total Potential (Gross Rental Income				\$337,440
Miscellaneous I	ncome				\$2,400
Total Potential	Gross Income				\$339,840

Vacancy and Expense Explanations

Vacancy and Collection Loss

Vacancy and collection loss is an allowance for reductions in potential rental income because space is not leased or rents that are due cannot be collected.

Annual rent collections are typically less than the potential annual gross income; therefore, an allowance for vacancy and collection loss is typically included in an appraisal of income-producing property. The allowance is usually estimated as a percentage of potential gross income. The percentage varies according to the type and characteristics of the physical property, the quality of tenancy, current and projected supply and demand relationships, and general and local economic conditions.

The field/phone survey was conducted in May 2017. Five market-rate properties responded to the survey and six restricted properties, including the subject, responded to the survey. Of the apartments surveyed an overall vacancy rate of two percent was determined for the market-rate vacancy and two percent was determined for the restricted vacancy. The subject is currently 97 percent occupied Historically, the subject's occupancy rate has ranged from 95 to 97 percent since 2014. After considering the vacancy rate of the subject and the comparables, a vacancy rate of five percent was deemed appropriate for "as is" conventional housing; five percent was deemed appropriate for "as complete" conventional housing; five percent was deemed appropriate for "as is" affordable housing; and five percent was deemed appropriate for "as complete" affordable housing.

Expenses

To develop an estimate of the net operating income, the appraiser analyzes data for the property. Net operating income (NOI), the income remaining after total expenses have been deducted from the effective gross income, may be calculated before or after deducting replacement reserves. The actual expenses a landlord is required to defray include two specific categories: those incurred by the property itself, such as taxes and insurance, and those resulting from the operation of the property, such as utilities and maintenance. Generally, expenses incurred by the property per se are called fixed expenses.

Expenses tied to the operation of the property, which rise or fall with occupancy, are called variable expenses.

Management

Building size determines the type of management. Generally, buildings of more than 25 units are of sufficient size to bear the additional burden of professional property management; larger high-rise or garden apartment projects of over 40 units often require the additional services of a site or resident manager. Lenders generally prefer that properties be professionally managed.

A property manager reports to the property owners, sets rent levels, establishes marketing procedures and does the fiscal planning for the project. The property manager also supervises on-site employees, among whom the resident manager is responsible for looking after the day-to-day dealings with the tenants, leasing of units, collection of rents, and coordination of routine and long-term building maintenance. The resident manager may oversee janitorial staff, an on-site maintenance crew, or various outside contractors. Large-scale apartment projects and newly built developments also employ leasing agents to fill vacancies or negotiate lease renewals and to assist with marketing programs, promotion, and advertising.

Tax and Assessment Information

Real property taxes are based on ad valorem assessments. The records of the county assessor or tax collector can provide the details of a property's assessed value and annual tax burden. From the present assessment data and recent history of tax rates, the appraiser can formulate conclusions about future taxes. Property taxes directly increase the cost of ownership and therefore reduce the net income derived from the rental of apartment units. The fairness of the assessment and anticipated future taxes must be thoroughly analyzed and their impact on value considered in the property appraisal. Property taxes are generally imposed to pay for local government services such as firefighting, police protection and schools. Apartment properties in well-run communities, however, will attract potential tenants willing to pay higher rents for the superior services provided.

Special assessments are levied to pay for infrastructure development (roads or utilities) and extraordinary services (fire or police protection). Ideally, the value of the properties' subject to special assessment is not penalized. The enhancement resulting from the new infrastructure or the provision of additional services should offset the tax increase. However, when a property is subject to a special assessment that exceeds the benefit derived, the value of the property is diminished.

Insurance

The insurance expense is the responsibility of the landlord.

Maintenance

The property manager is responsible for the janitorial staff and on-site maintenance crew and various outside contractors.

Utilities and Service

Water, electricity, natural or liquid petroleum (propane) gas, sewage, trash collection, street maintenance, telephone and cable television are essential utilities and services in most residential markets. If the utilities on the site are inadequate, the cost of improving utility service must be considered. Utilities may be publicly provided or privately owned as part of a community system. In some cases, utilities are individual to the site. The availability and reliability of utilities have a direct bearing on the amount of rent a tenant will pay. At the same time, the cost of utility services is an operating expense that affects the potential net income of the project. The effect of this expenditure is investigated by comparing the costs of utilities and services at competing buildings in relation to rents with the costs incurred by the subject.

Reserves for Replacement

For large properties, the cost of replacing items such as heating/cooling equipment or hallway carpeting may occur regularly. Thus, an allowance for replacements is treated as a separate expense. Even for smaller apartment properties, however, mortgage lenders and property managers may require that part of net operating income be withheld as a reserve to fund the replacement of building components. Consequently, appraisers often estimate an allowance for replacements when projecting cash flow to be capitalized into market value. Other allowances are sometimes made for unusual circumstances—e.g., reserves to cover periodic non-annual repairs, eventual compliance with environmental regulations (asbestos removal), or bringing the building up to code for handicapped persons. Estimates of such reserves should be included in the income forecast if the appraiser believes the situation warrants it.

Because possible differences in the way accountants and property managers enter line-item expenses, the appraiser should ensure the subject property's operating statement is reconstructed to provide that the expense items recorded correspond to proper appraisal practice. In the reconstruction of the operating statement 1) nonrecurring past items are not repeated, 2) any deductions taken for non-operating expenses (personal expenses) are eliminated, 3) ambiguous, repetitive or atypical expense items are recategorized and 4) line items are appropriately grouped to facilitate analysis.

An expense comparison should be made on a uniform or standardized basis. If most of the expense comparables include a replacement reserve, an estimate of this item should be included in the reconstructed operating statement for the subject property. Recategorizing expense items allows the appraiser to compare the operation of the subject with the operating expenses of other properties and the expense averages from benchmark data.

For example, apartment managers often record air conditioning as an expense category. In some cases, this may simply cover the cost of maintaining the equipment, while in others it includes allocations for water, electricity, supplies (filters) and maintenance. Similarly, the category for management may reflect different items because of different ways of operating a property. Some apartment managers will contract for landscaping, snow removal, boiler maintenance and redecoration, while others have these functions performed by on-site managers. By grouping all expense items that are management-controllable, the appraiser will be able to compare the operations of building maintained on contract accounts with those of buildings that employ a permanent workforce to look after maintenance.

Utility expense often differ among properties because some managers operate apartments on a "self-contained" basis, whereby tenants pay directly for meterable natural gas and electricity, while other managers pay the costs of fuel for heating and cooking but not for electricity. Typically, the landlord absorbs all utility charges incurred by vacant units and public spaces (corridors, lobbies, office, basement storage rooms, laundry, parking and exterior lighting) as well as water and sewer charges.

In analyzing operating expenses, the appraiser may also consult benchmark data. For example, the Institute of Real Estate Management's annual reports include the following groupings:

- * Administration and management
- * Utilities
- * Repairs and maintenance
- * Real estate taxes and insurance
- * Payroll (salaries for maintenance and administrative staff)

These data are quoted per square foot of rentable area, as dollars per unit, and as percentage of effective gross income. Such data may be compared against the historic expense data for the subject and cited in the appraisal report. In this instance, the benchmark data was merely used to reflect the validity of my report.

Market Rent and Contract Rent

In the income capitalization approach, the appraiser arrives at an estimate of market rent, or rental income the subject property would likely command in the open market, by analyzing current rents paid and asked for space in comparable buildings. Estimated market rent is important for both proposed and operating properties. In the case of the former, market rent allows the forecast of gross income, and with the latter it is used to calculate the income for vacant rental space or space occupied by the ownership or property management. Contract rent is the actual rental income specified in a lease. It is calculated for operating properties from existing leases, including month-to-month extensions of former leases. It is

essential to specify whether the cited rent is 1) the former or existing contract rent, 2) the asking amount sought by the landlord or property manager or 3) the market rent estimated by the appraiser.

Other Miscellaneous Income

In addition to income from apartment rents, income to the building may be generated from a variety of sources. License fees are paid for temporary, nonexclusive use of special facilities, such as party room or swimming pool fees. Service fees are charged for elective maid service. An apartment project may earn concession income from coin telephones, vending machines and laundry room equipment.

Rental income can also be generated from non-apartment space such as an on-site retail store, restaurant, beauty parlor or physician's office. A parking garage may be leased to an operator or, alternatively, the building may directly license the parking spaces to tenants or non-tenants (on-site parking, however, is often available to tenants at no additional charge). Finally, interest income may accrue on the balance between rents collected in advance and expenses paid in arrears. Interest can also be earned on security deposits, although in some jurisdictions such interest must ultimately be paid back to the tenants. Thus, other income includes rent for non-apartment space and miscellaneous income from various tenant charges.

In many instances, a significant degree of the apartment project's income stream is imputable to intangible as well as tangible personality. Apartment properties may earn business income from profits on the rental of in-suite furniture to tenants, marking up the cost of electricity privately metered to tenants, as well as for opening tenants' doors when the key is left inside, licensing the concierge function and the coin machines, profit centers such as storage rooms (including the sale of abandoned tenant goods), and the interest on company bank accounts.

Operating Expenses & Restricted Projections

Property: Arbor Trace II # of Rental Units:
Revenue and Expense Analysis Historical and Proforma Arbor Trace II Apartments

REVENUE - Annual																	REVENUE - Annual
										4 1	nonths						
	2014	PUPA	2015	PUPA	%	2016	PUPA	%	YTD 2	2017	Annualized	PUPA	%	Budget	PUPA	%	
Residential & Ancillary Income																	Residential & Ancillary Income
Annual Gross Potential Rental Income	195,480	4,546	203,040	4,722	4%	210,600	4,898	4%		71,494	214,482	4,988	2%	218,160	5,073	4%	Annual Gross Potential Rental Income
Annual Ancillary Income	4,197	98	3,948	92	-6%	2,244	52	-43%		1,020	3,061	71	36%	2,400	56	7%	Annual Ancillary Income
Annual Gross Potential Income	199,677	4,644	206,988	4,814	4%	212,844	4,950	3%		72,514	217,543	5,059	2%	220,560	5,129	4%	Annual Gross Potential Income
Occupancy	97.24%	128	96.78%	155	0%	95.20%	237	-2%		95.70%	1	217	1%	95.55%	228	0%	Occupancy
Effective Gross Income (EGI)	194,162	4,515	200,327	4,659	3%	202,633	4,712	1%		69,399	208,196	4,842	3%	210,743	4,901	4%	Effective Gross Income (EGI)

ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annual																	ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annual
Estimate of Annual Expense																	Estimate of Annual Expense
						months	4										·
	%	PUPA	Budget	%	PUPA	Annualized	2017	YTD	%	PUPA	2016	%	PUPA	2015	PUPA	2014	
Administrative																	Administrative
Advertising	-23%	9	400	-73%	3	140	47		97%	12	517	-36%	6	262	10	412	Advertising
Management Fee	7%	574	24,696	2%	548	23,580	7,860		2%	537	23,086	3%	525	22,575	510	21,939	Management Fee
Other (Specify)	-4%	662	28,454	61%	1,111	47,755	15,918		0%	691	29,710	0%	688	29,570	690	29,659	Other (Specify)
Total Administrative	0%	1,245	53,550	34%	1,662	71,475	23,825		2%	1,240	53,314	1%	1,219	52,408	1,210	52,010	Total Administrative
Operating																	Operating
Elevator Maintenance Exp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Elevator Maintenance Exp.
Fuel - Heating	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Fuel
Lighting and Misc. Power	6%	140	6,000	3%	135	5,811	1,937	1	1%	131	5,647	-1%	130	5,587	132	5,657	Lighting and Misc. Power
Water	-2%	12	520	-7%	11	490	163	1	9%	12	528	10%	11	483	10	439	Water
Gas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Gas
Garbage and Trash Removal	-3%	156	6,700	-100%	0	0	0		-1%	161	6,922	-9%	163	7,026	180	7,742	Garbage and Trash Removal
Payroll	17%	349	15,000	-24%	229	9,828	3,276		4%	299	12,864	-37%	288	12,367	453	19,497	Payroll
Other (Specify)	23%	377	16,200	22%	374	16,063	5,354		-11%	307	13,182	-1%	346	14,869	350	15,036	Other (Specify)
Total Operating	13%	1,033	44,420	-18%	749	32,192	10,731		-3%	910	39,144	-17%	938	40,331	1,125	48,371	Total Operating
Maintenance																	Maintenance
Decorating	-34%	105	4,500	-22%	124	5,315	1,772		63%	159	6,821	-22%	98	4,193	124	5,345	Decorating
Repairs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Repairs
Exterminating	33%	57	2,456	122%	96	4,107	1,369		-6%	43	1,848	-11%	45	1,956	51	2,198	Exterminating
Insurance	12%	225	9,696	197%	596	25,647	8,549		0%	201	8,628	1%	200	8,621	198	8,522	Insurance
Ground Expense	1%	273	11,756	-14%	232	9,980	3,327		3%	270	11,604	-11%	262	11,256	295	12,705	Ground Expense
Other (specify)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Other (specify)
Total Maintenance	-2%	661	28,408	56%	1,048	45,048	15,016		11%	672	28,901	-10%	605	26,026	669	28,770	Total Maintenance
Taxes																	Taxes
Real Estate Tax	43%	353	15,200	-100%	0	0	0		4%	248	10,643	-31%	237	10,195	345	14,822	Real Estate Tax
Personal Property Tax	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Personal Property Tax
Employee Payroll Tax	20%	67	2,900	-8%	52	2,232	744		-4%	56	2,415	-20%	59	2,525	73	3,145	Employee Payroll Tax
Employee Benefits	39%	63	2,700	79%	81	3,463	1,154		10%	45	1,939	137%	41	1,755	17	742	Employee Benefits
Other	-46%	3	150	38%	9	384	128		421%	6	278	-39%	1	53	2	88	Other
Total Taxes	37%	487	20,950	-60%	141	6,080	2,027		5%	355	15,275	-23%	338	14,529	437	18,797	Total Taxes
Operating Exp. before RFR	8%	3,426	147,328	13%	3,600	154,795	51,598		3%	3,178	136,634	-10%	3,100	133,294	3,441	147,948	Operating Exp. before RFR
Reserve For Replacement	0%	461	19,844	-10%	415	17,844	5,948		34%	461	19,844	0%	345	14,844	345	14,844	Reserve For Replacement
Operating Exp. Incl. RFR	7%	3,888	167,172	10%	4,015	172,639	57,546		6%	3,639	156,478	-9%	3,445	148,138	3,786	162,792	Operating Exp. Incl. RFR
NOI	-6%	1,013	43,571	-23%	827	35,557	11,852		-12%	1,073	46,155	66%	1,214	52,189	730	31,370	NOI

Property: Arbor Trace II Apartments
of Rental Units: 43
Revenue and Expense Analysis
Historical and Proforma

REVENUE - Annual	As Is			As Complete			REVENUE - Annual
	Restricted			Restricted			
	Projections	PUPA	%	Projections	PUPA	%	
Residential & Ancillary Income							Residential & Ancillary Income
Annual Gross Potential Rental Income	218,160	5,073	4%	237,480	5,523	13%	Annual Gross Potential Rental Income
Annual Ancillary Income	2,400	56	7%	2,400	56	7%	Annual Ancillary Income
Annual Gross Potential Income	220,560	5,129	4%	239,880	5,579	13%	Annual Gross Potential Income
Occupancy	95.00%	256	0%	95.00%	279	0%	Occupancy
Effective Gross Income (EGI)	209,532	4,873	3%	227,886	5,300	12%	Effective Gross Income (EGI)
	I						

- Annual							ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annua
pense	As Is			As Complete			Estimate of Annual Expens
	Restricted			Restricted			
	Projections	PUPA	%	Projections	PUPA	%	
							Administrativ
	430	10	-17%	430	10	-17%	Advertisin
	24,525	570	6%	24,525	570	6%	Management Fe
	30,100	700	1%	30,100	700	1%	Other (Specify
	55,055	1,280	3%	55,055	1,280	3%	Total Administrativ
							Operatin
xp.	0	0	0	0	0	О	Elevator Maintenance Exp
	0	0	0	0	0	О	Fuel - Heatin
er	5,805	135	3%	5,805	135	3%	Lighting and Misc. Power
	516	12	-2%	516	12	-2%	Wate
	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ga
moval	6,880	160	-1%	6,880	160	-1%	Garbage and Trash Remova
	17,845	415	39%	17,845	415	39%	Payro
	12,900	300	-2%	10,750	250	-18%	Other (Specify
	43,946	1,022	12%	41,796	972	7%	Total Operatin
							Maintenanc
	3,655	85	-46%	3,655	85	-46%	Decoratin
	0	0	0	0	0	0	Repair
	3,225	75	75%	3,225	75	75%	Exterminatin
	9,675	225	12%	9,675	225	12%	Insuranc
	11,825	275	2%	11,825	275	2%	Ground Expens
	0	0	0	0	0	0	Other (specify
	28,380	660	-2%	28,380	660	-2%	Total Maintenanc
							Taxe
	10,750	250	1%	11,825	275	11%	Real Estate Ta
	0	0	0	0	0	0	Personal Property Ta
	1,935	45	-20%	1,935	45	-20%	Employee Payroll Ta
	1,290	30	-33%	1,290	30	-33%	Employee Benefit
	215	5	-23%	215	5	-23%	Othe
	14,190	330	-7%	15,265	355	0%	Total Taxe
RFR	141,571	3,292	4%	140,496	3,267	3%	Operating Exp. before RF
ent	17,200	400	-13%	17,200	400	-13%	Reserve For Replacement
FR	158,771	3,692	1%	157,696	3,667	1%	Operating Exp. Incl. RF
	50,761	1,180	10%	70,190	1,632	52%	NC

Estimating Restricted Expenses Per Unit

Subject As Is	Expenses	Subject As Complete	Comparable One	Comparable Two	Comparable Three	Comparable Four	IREM Region I\
\$10	Advertising	\$10	\$4	\$2	\$21	\$14	\$0
\$570	Management	\$570	\$539	\$559	\$539	\$501	\$441
\$700	Other Administrative Expenses	\$700	\$575	\$649	\$443	\$368	\$1,272
\$0	Elevator Maintenance Expense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	Fuel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$135	Lighting & Misc. Power	\$135	\$109	\$129	\$164	\$148	\$177
\$12	Water/Sewer	\$12	\$493	\$404	\$1,025	\$1,316	\$199
\$0	Gas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12	\$43	\$10
\$160	Garbage/Trash Removal	\$160	\$27	\$121	\$0	\$145	\$0
\$415	Payroll	\$415	\$439	\$392	\$935	\$1,292	\$732
\$300	Other Operating Expenses	\$250	\$0	\$257	\$297	\$190	\$272
\$85	Decorating	\$85	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200	\$92
\$0	Repairs	\$0	\$0	\$78	\$223	\$1,575	\$252
\$75	Exterminating	\$75	\$86	\$53	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$225	Insurance	\$225	\$201	\$201	\$599	\$308	\$355
\$275	Ground Expenses	\$275	\$272	\$285	\$0	\$393	\$249
\$0	Other Maintenance	\$0	\$624	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$250	Real Estate Taxes	\$275	\$255	\$231	\$440	\$824	\$422
\$45	Payroll Taxes	\$45	\$0	\$57	\$0	\$124	\$0
\$30	Employee Benefits	\$30	\$21	\$63	\$0	\$243	\$0
\$5	Other Taxes	\$5	\$14	\$8	\$0	\$14	\$3
\$400	Replacement Reserves	\$400	\$262	\$375	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$3,692	Total Per Unit	\$3,667	\$3,921	\$3,864	\$4,698	\$7,698	\$4,476

Comments

Subject expenses were estimated based on comparable apartments and industry norms. Comparable apartment expenses were estimated after discussions with area apartment managers. The comparable estimates were substantiated by the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by the Institute of Real Estate Management. No major fluctuations from the total expenses per unit are anticipated from the expenses provided above, although itemized expenses may deviate on the specific factors affecting the individual properties.

The expenses for the comparable apartments vary per unit but are consistently between 51 and 79 percent of the gross rent potential. The subject's expenses were estimated at 66 percent of the gross rent potential which is within the comparable range. Market expenses for the subject were categorized similar to the actual expenses as different properties categorize expenses in different ways. Explanations of specific itemized expenses are indicated on the following pages.

Itemized Expense Explanations - Restricted Expense Numbers per Unit

	Expense	As Is	As Complete	Comp Range	
1.	Advertising	\$10	\$10	\$0- \$21	
	An advertising expense of \$10 per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of \$0 to				
	\$21 per unit was determined. The	e expense was pr	ojected considering the	subject's historical	
	financials, the comparable range	and the 2016 Inc	ome/Expense Analysis:	Federally Assisted	
	Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.				
2.	Management	\$570	\$570	\$501-\$559	
	A management expense of \$570 pe	r unit was projecte	d for the as is scenario, a	and a management	
	expense of \$570 per unit was projected for the as complete scenario. A comparable range of \$501				
	to \$559 was determined. The expense was projected based on \$49 per unit as indicated by				
	budget.				
3.	Other Administrative	\$700	\$700	\$368- \$649	
	An other administrative expense of \$700 per unit was projected. A comparable range of \$368 to				
	\$649 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials,				
	the comparable range and the 20	16 Income/Expens	e Analysis: Federally As	ssisted Apartments	
	printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.				
		•	•		
4.	Elevator	\$ 0	\$0	\$0- \$0	
	The property does not have this expense. The expense is not typical in the market. Therefore,				
	expense was projected.				
5.	Fuel	\$0	\$0	\$0- \$0	
J.		•	·	• •	
The property does not have this expense. The expense is not typical in the market. Ther expense was projected.				incon more role, no	
	expense was projected.				
6.	Lighting & Misc. Power	\$135	\$135	\$109-\$164	
	A lighting and miscellaneous power expense of \$135 was projected for the subject. A comparable				
	range of \$109 to \$164 per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility				
	characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this				
	expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.				

7. Water/Sewer \$12 \$12 \$404- \$1,316

A water/sewer expense of \$12 per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of \$404 to \$1,316 per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.

8. Gas \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0-\$43

A gas expense of \$0 per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of \$0 to \$43 per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.

9. Garbage/Trash Removal \$160 \$160 \$0- \$145

A garbage/trash removal expense of \$160 per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of \$0 to \$145 per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.

10. Payroll \$415 \$415 \$392-\$1,292

The payroll expense of \$415 per unit was projected. A comparable range of \$392 to \$1,292 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

11. Other Operating Expenses \$300 \$250 \$0-\$297

An other operating expense of \$300 per unit was projected for the "as is" scenario and \$250 was projected for the "as complete" scenario. A comparable range of \$0 to \$297 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

12 Decorating \$85 \$85 \$0-\$200

A decorating expense of \$85 was projected. A comparable range of \$0 to \$200 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

13. Repairs \$0 \$0 \$0-\$1,575

A repairs expense of \$0 was projected for the as is scenario. A comparable range of \$0 to \$1,575 was determined. Expenses such as repairs are typically based on unique property characteristics. Therefore, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The subject does not itemize specific repairs expenses. Instead, the repairs are included in other categories which are wrapped into other expense categories. Therefore, no specific repairs expense was projected.

14. Exterminating \$75 \$75 \$0-\$86

An exterminating expense of \$75 per unit was projected. A comparable range of \$0 to \$86 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

15. Insurance \$225 \$225 \$201- \$599

An insurance expense of \$225 per unit was projected for the subject's as is scenario, and \$225 per unit for the subject's as complete scenario. A comparable range of \$201 to \$599 per unit was determined. Expenses such as insurance are typically based on unique property characteristics. Therefore, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.

16. Ground Expenses \$275 \$275 \$0-\$393

A ground expense of \$275 per unit was projected. A comparable range of \$0 to \$393 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

17. Other Maintenance \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0-\$624

Other maintenance expenses of \$0 per unit were projected for the subject. A comparable range of \$0 to \$624 per unit was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

18. Real Estate Taxes \$250 \$275 \$231- \$824

A real estate tax expense of \$250 per unit was projected for the subject based on the information obtained by the Lowndes County Assessor's Office. It is likely that this expense will increase after completion of the rehabilitation. Therefore, the as complete expense was projected higher than the as is expense.

19. Payroll Taxes \$45 \$45 \$0- \$124

Payroll taxes were projected at \$45 per unit. A comparable range of \$0 to \$124 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

20. Employee Benefits \$30 \$30 \$0-\$243

Employee benefits were projected at \$30 per unit. A comparable range of \$0 to \$243 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

21. Replacement Reserves \$400 \$400 \$0-\$375

A replacement reserves expense \$400 per unit was projected. This reserves expense is typical for restricted apartment complexes such as the subject.

Expenses before Reserves for Replacement

The subject's projected "as is" expenses per unit are \$3,292 before reserves for replacement. This is four percent higher than the 2016 data. The comparables range from \$3,666 to \$7,698 per unit before reserves for replacement. All comparables are Rural Development properties located in the State of Georgia. Comparables 1 and 2 have an identity-of-interest with the subject. The subject is slightly lower than the comparable range. The 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments published by the Institute of Real Estate Management indicates an overall expense per unit of \$4,476. Comparable 1 was constructed in 1991, contains 25 units and has total overall expenses of \$3,921 per unit; Comparable 2 was constructed in 1979, contains 24 units and has total overall expenses of \$3,864 per unit; Comparable 3 was constructed in 1992, contains 100 units and has total overall expenses of \$4,698 per unit. Comparable 4 was constructed in 1983, contains 88 units and has total overall expenses of \$7,698 per unit. The subject was constructed in 1979 and 1983 and is a 48-unit stabilized Rural Development property. Historically, the subject's overall expenses have ranged from \$3,222 to \$3,426 per unit before reserves for replacement. Therefore, the subject's expenses were deemed reasonable.

Operating Expenses & Market Projections

Property: # of Rental Units: Arbor Trace II Apartments

Revenue and Expense Analysis Historical and Proforma

REVENUE - Annual												REVENUE - Annual					
											nonths						
	2014	PUPA	2015	PUPA	%	2016	PUPA	%	YTD	2017	Annualized	PUPA	%	Budget	PUPA	%	
Residential & Ancillary Income																	Residential & Ancillary Income
Annual Gross Potential Rental Income	195,480	4,546	203,040	4,722	4%	210,600	4,898	4%		71,494	214,482	4,988	2%	218,160	5,073	4%	Annual Gross Potential Rental Income
Annual Ancillary Income	4,197	98	3,948	92	-6%	2,244	52	-43%		1,020	3,061	71	36%	2,400	56	7%	Annual Ancillary Income
Annual Gross Potential Income	199,677	4,644	206,988	4,814	4%	212,844	4,950	3%		72,514	217,543	5,059	2%	220,560	5,129	4%	Annual Gross Potential Income
Occupancy	97.24%	128	96.78%	155	0%	95.20%	237	-2%		95.70%	1	217	1%	95.55%	228	0%	Occupancy
Effective Gross Income (EGI)	194,162	4,515	200,327	4,659	3%	202,633	4,712	1%		69,399	208,196	4,842	3%	210,743	4,901	4%	Effective Gross Income (EGI)
																	` ']

ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annua																	ITEMIZED EXPENSES - Annual
Estimate of Annual Expens						months	4									1	Estimate of Annual Expense
	%	PUPA	Budget	%	PUPA	Annualized	2017	YTD	%	PUPA	2016	%	PUPA	2015	PUPA	2014	
Administrativ			-														Administrative
Advertisin	-23%	9	400	-73%	3	140	47		97%	12	517	-36%	6	262	10	412	Advertising
Management Fe	7%	574	24,696	2%	548	23,580	7,860		2%	537	23,086	3%	525	22,575	510	21,939	Management Fee
Other (Specify	-4%	662	28,454	61%	1,111	47,755	15,918		0%	691	29,710	0%	688	29,570	690	29,659	Other (Specify)
Total Administrativ	0%	1,245	53,550	34%	1,662	71,475	23,825		2%	1,240	53,314	1%	1,219	52,408	1,210	52,010	Total Administrative
Operatin																	Operating
Elevator Maintenance Exp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Elevator Maintenance Exp.
Fuel - Heatin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Fuel
Lighting and Misc. Power	6%	140	6,000	3%	135	5,811	1,937	I	1%	131	5,647	-1%	130	5,587	132	5,657	Lighting and Misc. Power
Wate	-2%	12	520	-7%	11	490	163	I	9%	12	528	10%	11	483	10	439	Water
Ga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Gas
Garbage and Trash Remova	-3%	156	6,700	-100%	0	0	0	I	-1%	161	6,922	-9%	163	7,026	180	7,742	Garbage and Trash Removal
Payro	17%	349	15,000	-24%	229	9,828	3,276		4%	299	12,864	-37%	288	12,367	453	19,497	Payroll
Other (Specify	23%	377	16,200	22%	374	16,063	5,354		-11%	307	13,182	-1%	346	14,869	350	15,036	Other (Specify)
Total Operatin	13%	1,033	44,420	-18%	749	32,192	10,731		-3%	910	39,144	-17%	938	40,331	1,125	48,371	Total Operating
Maintenanc																	Maintenance
Decoratin	-34%	105	4,500	-22%	124	5,315	1,772		63%	159	6,821	-22%	98	4,193	124	5,345	Decorating
Repair	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Repairs
Exterminatin	33%	57	2,456	122%	96	4,107	1,369		-6%	43	1,848	-11%	45	1,956	51	2,198	Exterminating
Insurance	12%	225	9,696	197%	596	25,647	8,549		0%	201	8,628	1%	200	8,621	198	8,522	Insurance
Ground Expens	1%	273	11,756	-14%	232	9,980	3,327		3%	270	11,604	-11%	262	11,256	295	12,705	Ground Expense
Other (specify	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Other (specify)
Total Maintenance	-2%	661	28,408	56%	1,048	45,048	15,016		11%	672	28,901	-10%	605	26,026	669	28,770	Total Maintenance
Taxe																	Taxes
Real Estate Ta	43%	353	15,200	-100%	0	0	0		4%	248	10,643	-31%	237	10,195	345	14,822	Real Estate Tax
Personal Property Ta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Personal Property Tax
Employee Payroll Ta	20%	67	2,900	-8%	52	2,232	744		-4%	56	2,415	-20%	59	2,525	73	3,145	Employee Payroll Tax
Employee Benefit	39%	63	2,700	79%	81	3,463	1,154		10%	45	1,939	137%	41	1,755	17	742	Employee Benefits
Othe	-46%	3	150	38%	9	384	128		421%	6	278	-39%	1	53	2	88	Other
Total Taxe	37%	487	20,950	-60%	141	6,080	2,027		5%	355	15,275	-23%	338	14,529	437	18,797	Total Taxes
Operating Exp. before RFI	8%	3,426	147,328	13%	3,600	154,795	51,598		3%	3,178	136,634	-10%	3,100	133,294	3,441	147,948	Operating Exp. before RFR
Reserve For Replacement	0%	461	19,844	-10%	415	17,844	5,948	I	34%	461	19,844	0%	345	14,844	345	14,844	Reserve For Replacement
Operating Exp. Incl. RF	7%	3,888	167,172	10%	4,015	172,639	57,546		6%	3,639	156,478	-9%	3,445	148,138	3,786	162,792	Operating Exp. Incl. RFR
NC	-6%	1,013	43,571	-23%	827	35,557	11,852		-12%	1,073	46,155	66%	1,214	52,189	730	31,370	NOI

Arbor Trace II Apartments Property: Arbor Trace II
of Rental Units:
Revenue and Expense Analysis

Historical and Proforma

REVENUE - Annual	As Is			As Complete			REVENUE - Annual
	Market			Market			
	Projections	PUPA	%	Projections	PUPA	%	
Residential & Ancillary Income							Residential & Ancillary Income
Annual Gross Potential Rental Income	304,560	7,083	45%	337,440	7,847	60%	Annual Gross Potential Rental Income
Annual Ancillary Income	2,400	56	7%	2,400	56	7%	Annual Ancillary Income
Annual Gross Potential Income	306,960	7,139	44%	339,840	7,903	60%	Annual Gross Potential Income
Occupancy	95.00%	357	0%	95.00%	395	0%	Occupancy
Effective Gross Income (EGI)	291,612	6,782	44%	322,848	7,508	59%	Effective Gross Income (EGI)

Estimate of Annual Expense			As Complete			As Is	Estimate of Annual Expense
Estillate of Affilial Expense			Market	1		Market	Estillate of Allitual Expense
	%	PUPA	Projections	%	PUPA	Projections	
Administrative			•			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Administrative
Advertising	-17%	10	430	-17%	10	430	Advertising
4.000% Management Fee	-44%	300	12,914	-49%	271	11,664	Management Fee
Other (Specify	-38%	425	18,275	-38%	425	18,275	Other (Specify)
Total Administrative	-41%	735	31,619	-43%	706	30,369	Total Administrative
Operating							Operating
Elevator Maintenance Exp	0	0	0	0	0	0	Elevator Maintenance Exp.
Fuel - Heating	0	0	0	0	0	0	Fuel
Lighting and Misc. Power	3%	135	5,805	3%	135	5,805	Lighting and Misc. Power
Water	-2%	12	516	-2%	12	516	Water
Gas	0	0	0	0	0	0	Gas
Garbage and Trash Remova	-1%	160	6,880	-1%	160	6,880	Garbage and Trash Removal
Payrol	17%	350	15,050	17%	350	15,050	Payroll
Other (Specify)	-18%	250	10,750	-2%	300	12,900	Other (Specify)
Total Operating	0%	907	39,001	5%	957	41,151	Total Operating
Maintenance							Maintenance
Decorating	-46%	85	3,655	-46%	85	3,655	Decorating
Repairs	0	0	0	0	0	0	Repairs
Exterminating	75%	75	3,225	75%	75	3,225	Exterminating
Insurance	12%	225	9,675	12%	225	9,675	Insurance
Ground Expense	2%	275	11,825	2%	275	11,825	Ground Expense
Other (specify)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Other (specify)
Total Maintenance	-2%	660	28,380	-2%	660	28,380	Total Maintenance
Taxes							Taxes
Real Estate Tax	92%	475	20,425	82%	450	19,350	Real Estate Tax
Personal Property Tax	0	0	0	0	0	0	Personal Property Tax
Employee Payroll Tax	-38%	35	1,505	-38%	35	1,505	Employee Payroll Tax
Employee Benefits	-45%	25	1,075	-45%	25	1,075	Employee Benefits
Other	-100%	0	0	-23%	5	215	Other
Total Taxes	51%	535	23,005	45%	515	22,145	Total Taxes
Operating Exp. before RFF	-11%	2,837	122,005	-11%	2,838	122,045	Operating Exp. before RFR
Reserve For Replacemen	-46%	250	10,750	-46%	250	10,750	Reserve For Replacement
Operating Exp. Incl. RFR	-15%	3,087	132,755	-15%	3,088	132,795	Operating Exp. Incl. RFR
NO	312%	4,421	190,093	244%	3,693	158,817	NOI

Estimating Market Expenses Per Unit

Subject As Is	Expenses	Subject As Complete	Comparable One	Comparable Two	Comparable Three	Comparable Four	IREM Region I\
\$10	Advertising	\$10	\$506	\$128	\$100	\$0	\$0
\$271	Management	\$300	\$256	\$417	\$300	\$0	\$382
\$425	Other Administrative Expenses	\$425	\$128	\$341	\$250	\$70	\$650
\$0	Elevator Maintenance Expense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	Fuel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$135	Lighting & Misc. Power	\$135	\$197	\$300	\$195	\$191	\$161
\$12	Water/Sewer	\$12	\$0	\$463	\$764	\$136	\$278
\$0	Gas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7
\$160	Garbage/Trash Removal	\$160	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$148	\$0
\$350	Payroll	\$350	\$1,344	\$974	\$900	\$382	\$628
\$300	Other Operating Expenses	\$250	\$55	\$200	\$300	\$0	\$282
\$85	Decorating	\$85	\$285	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$190
\$0	Repairs	\$0	\$453	\$0	\$500	\$0	\$407
\$75	Exterminating	\$75	\$0	\$244	\$300	\$65	\$0
\$225	Insurance	\$225	\$416	\$378	\$250	\$0	\$248
\$275	Ground Expenses	\$275	\$117	\$116	\$0	\$142	\$193
\$0	Other Maintenance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$450	Real Estate Taxes	\$475	\$417	\$638	\$1,013	\$0	\$696
\$35	Payroll Taxes	\$35	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$25	Employee Benefits	\$25	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$5	Other Taxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11
\$250	Replacement Reserves	\$250	\$0	\$250	\$300	\$0	\$0
\$3,088	Total Per Unit	\$3,087	\$4,174	\$4,449	\$5,172	\$1,134	\$4,133

Comments:

Subject expenses were estimated based on comparable apartments and industry norms. Comparable apartment expenses were estimated after discussions with area apartment managers. The comparable estimates were substantiated by the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by the Institute of Real Estate Management. No major fluctuations from the total expenses per unit are anticipated from the expenses provided above, although itemized expenses may deviate on the specific factors affecting the individual properties.

The expenses for the comparable apartments vary per unit but are consistently between 30 and 48 percent of the gross rent potential. The subject's expenses were estimated at 39 percent of the gross rent potential which is within the comparable range. Market expenses for the subject were categorized similar to the actual expenses as different properties categorize expenses in different ways. Explanations of specific itemized expenses are indicated on the following pages.

Itemized Expense Explanations - Market Expense Numbers per Unit

	Expense	As Is	As Complete	Comp Range
1.	Advertising	\$10	\$10	\$0- \$506
	An advertising expense of \$1	0 per unit was projected for	the subject. A comparab	le range of \$0 to
	\$506 per unit was determin	ed. The expense was proje	ected considering the su	ıbject's historical
	financials, the comparable	range and the 2016 In	ncome/Expense Analysi	is: Conventional
	Apartments printed by Institut	e of Real Estate Manageme	nt.	
2	Management	\$271	\$300	\$0-\$417
	A management expense of \$	271 per unit was projected f	or the as is scenario, and	d a management
	expense of \$300 per unit was	s projected for the as comple	ete scenario. A comparat	ole range of \$0to
	\$417 was determined. The ex	opense was projected using a	approximately four percei	nt of the effective
	gross income as indicated by	the comparables.		
3.	Other Administrative	\$425	\$425	\$70-\$341
	An other administrative expe	ense of \$425 per unit was p	projected. A comparable	range of \$70 to
	\$341 was determined. The e	expense was projected cons	sidering the subject's his	torical financials,
	the comparable range and the	ne 2016 Income/Expense A	nalysis: Conventional Ap	partments printed
	by Institute of Real Estate Ma	nagement.		
4	Florinten	# 0	ΦO.	<u></u>
4.	Elevator The property does not have to	\$0	\$0	\$0-\$0
	The property does not have to expense was projected.	this expense. The expense i	s not typical in the mark	et. Therefore, no
	expense was projected.			
5.	Fuel	\$0	\$0	\$0-\$0
	The property does not have	this expense. The expense i	s not typical in the mark	et. Therefore, no
	expense was projected.			
6.	Lighting & Misc. Power	\$135	\$135	\$191-\$300
	A lighting and miscellaneous	power expense of \$135 was	s projected for the subject	ct. A comparable
	range of \$191 to \$300 pe	er unit was determined. D	Due to properties havir	ng unique utility

characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of

this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.

7. Water/Sewer \$12 \$12 \$0-\$764

A water/sewer expense of \$12 per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of \$0 to \$764 per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.

8. Gas \$0 \$0 \$0-\$0

A gas expense of \$0 per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of \$0 to \$0 per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.

- 9. Garbage/Trash Removal \$160 \$160 \$0-\$148 A garbage/trash removal expense of \$160 per unit was projected for the subject. A comparable range of \$0 to \$148 per unit was determined. Due to properties having unique utility characteristics, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.
- 10. Payroll \$350 \$350 \$382-\$1,344

 The payroll expense of \$350 per unit was projected. A comparable range of \$382 to \$1,344 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
- 11. Other Operating Expenses \$300 \$250 \$0-\$300

 An other operating expense of \$300 per unit was projected. A comparable range of \$0 to \$300 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.
- 12. Decorating \$85 \$9-\$285

A decorating expense of \$85 was projected. A comparable range of \$0 to \$285 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

13. Repairs \$0 \$0 \$0-\$500

A repairs expense of \$0 was projected for the as is scenario. A comparable range of \$0 to \$500 was determined. Expenses such as repairs are typically based on unique property characteristics. Therefore, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The subject does not itemize specific repairs expenses. Instead, the repairs are included in other categories which are wrapped into other expense categories. Therefore, no specific repairs expense was projected.

14. Exterminating \$75 \$75 \$0-\$300

An exterminating expense of \$75 per unit was projected. A comparable range of \$0 to \$300 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

15. Insurance \$225 \$25 \$0-\$416

An insurance expense of \$225 per unit was projected for the subject's as is scenario, and \$225 per unit for the subject's as complete scenario. A comparable range of \$0 to \$416 per unit was determined. Expenses such as insurance are typically based on unique property characteristics. Therefore, the subject's historical data was determined to be the most accurate indicator of this expense. The expense was projected using the subject's historical financials.

16. Ground Expenses \$275 \$275 \$0-\$142

A ground expense of \$275 per unit was projected. A comparable range of \$0 to \$142 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

17. Other Maintenance \$0 \$0 \$0-\$0

Other maintenance expenses of \$0 per unit were projected for the subject. A comparable range of \$0 to \$0 per unit was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

18. Real Estate Taxes \$450 \$475 \$0-\$1,013

A real estate tax expense of \$450 per unit was projected for the subject based on the information obtained by the Lowndes County Assessor's Office. It is likely that this expense will increase after completion of the rehabilitation. Therefore, the as complete expense was projected higher than the as is expense.

19. Payroll Taxes \$35 \$35 \$0-\$0

Payroll taxes were projected at \$35 per unit. A comparable range of \$0 to \$0 was determined. The expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

20. Employee Benefits \$25 \$25 \$0-\$0

Employee benefits were projected at \$25 per unit. A comparable range of \$0 to \$0 was determined. he expense was projected considering the subject's historical financials, the comparable range and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by Institute of Real Estate Management.

 21. Replacement Reserves
 \$250
 \$0-\$300

A replacement reserves expense of \$250 per unit was projected. This reserves expense is typical for market-rate apartment complexes.

Expenses before Reserves for Replacement

The subject's projected "as is" expenses per unit are \$2,838 before reserves for replacement. This is 11 percent lower than the 2016 data. The comparables range from \$1,134 to \$5,172 per unit before reserves for replacement. All comparables are conventional properties located in the State of Georgia. The subject is within than the comparable range. The 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Conventional Apartments published by the Institute of Real Estate Management indicates an overall expense per unit of \$4,133. Comparable 1 was constructed in 1987, contains 300 units and has total overall expenses of \$4,174 per unit; Comparable 2 was constructed in 1979 and renovated in 2008, contains 486 units and has total overall expenses of \$4,449 per unit; Comparable 3 was constructed in 1992 and renovated in 2012, contains 260 units and has total overall expenses of \$5,172 per unit. Comparable 4 was constructed in 1972, contains 12 units and has total overall expenses of \$1,134 per unit. The subject was constructed in 1979 and 1983 and is a 48-unit stabilized Rural Development property. Historically, the subject's overall expenses have ranged from \$3,222 to \$3,426 per unit before reserves for replacement. Therefore, the subject's expenses were deemed reasonable.

Net Operating Income Conclusions

Expenses after Reserves for Replacement

The subject's expenses were projected considering the subject's operating history, the expense data of the comparables and the information contained in the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments printed by the Institute of Real Estate Management and the 2016 Income/Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments printed by the Institute of Real Estate Management.

Direct Capitalization

Most apartment appraisers as well as buyers, sellers and lenders prefer value estimates derived from direct capitalization rather than discounted cash flow analysis. Other than in cases where the client and appraiser believe that the achievable income from an apartment property has not approximated its stabilized income, the net operating income to the property can be directly capitalized as of the effective date of the appraisal, based on the current yield to the property. In this situation, the discounting of forecast cash flows on a yield-to-maturity basis is considered superfluous. The use of overall cash flow analysis under other circumstances is discussed in the following section.

An overall capitalization rate (R_0) is the usual expression of the relationship between the net operating income and the value of the property (the R_0 is the reciprocal of a net income multiplier). Overall capitalization rates are derived from the simple formula

Rate = Income/Value of $R_0 = I/V$

A capitalization rate is typically expressed as a percentage. For example, if the net operating income to a comparable property was \$1.8 million and its value/price was \$20 million, the overall capitalization rate would be 9.0% (the reciprocal, 11.1, is the property's net income multiplier).

An overall capitalization rate incorporates many considerations, including the likelihood that property income will increase, the momentum and duration of such an increase, and the risk and timing of a possible decrease. It reflects judgments regarding the recapture of investment and property depreciation. An overall capitalization rate can be developed on the basis of the relative allocation between, or weighting of, property components (e.g., mortgage and equity), and the respective capitalization rates of both components. This procedure is known as the band of investment technique. The specific allocation between financial components is supported by their relative risk rating based on which component has the prior claim to payment; for example, mortgages are paid before equity investors.

Other ways to apportion NOI are among the physical and ownership components of the property. When the property's NOI, the value of one property component, and the capitalization rates of both property components are known, a residual technique is applied to estimate the value of the property component of unknown value. The income to the property component of known value is deducted from the property's NOI, and the residual income attributable to the property component of unknown value is capitalized. In many cases, however, it is not necessary to aportion an overall rate or net operating income to property components.

Market Derived Capitalization Rates

Income and expense data from comparable properties were analyzed to derive the capitalization rate. To derive the capitalization rate, the appraiser used the direct capitalization method, which consists of dividing the net income by the value.

The direct capitalization method will both reflect the value of income at yields attractive to a prospective investor and provide for the recapture of wasting purchase capital. The capitalization rate shows the rate of return for land, as well as the rate of return for the buildings. It also reflects the relationship between the income from the entire property and the value of the entire property.

Comparable Capitalization Rates

Comparable #	Comparable Address	Number of Units	Date of Sale	NOI /	Sales Price =	Indicated Capitalization
1	301 East Ann Street Valdosta, Georgia	8	12/22/2016	\$28,263	\$297,500	9.50%
2	1 Ashley Park Place Thomasville, Georgia	84	10/28/2016	\$488,000	\$8,000,000	6.10%
3	422 Connell Road Valdosta, Georgia	150	9/9/2015	\$515,200	\$7,000,000	7.36%
4	811 West Mary Street Valdosta, Georgia	81	6/12/2015	\$273,780	\$3,900,000	7.02%
5	5148 Northwind Boulevard Valdosta, Georgia	276	10/7/2015	\$1,571,718	\$24,330,000	6.46%
6	1514 North Oak Street Valdosta, Georgia	15	9/29/2016	\$160,950	\$1,850,000	8.70%
7	1415 North Saint Augustine Road Valdosta, Georgia	144	5/29/2015	\$244,000	\$4,000,000	6.10%

The comparables indicate a range of 6.10 to 9.50 percent for indicated capitalization rates, with a mean of 7.32 percent. Comparable 1 was constructed in 1993, and Comparable 2 was built in 2013. Comparable 3 was built in 1976. Comparable 4 was constructed in 2004, and Comparable 5 was constructed in 1976. Comparable 6 was built in 1976, and Comparable 7 was built in 1989. All comparables except Comparable 2 are located in Valdosta which is slightly superior in location to Lake Park. Comparable 2 is located in Thomasville which is also slightly superior to the subject's city due to its larger population and greater proximity to services. However, the superior location did not have a significant impact on the determination of the capitalization rate because a potential investor of a property will typically be interested in the income-producing capabilities of a property regardless of location. Therefore, even though the comparables are in locations with larger population counts, the differences are not substantial

enough to have a significant impact on the capitalization rate determination. Comparables 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 are the most similar in date of construction, and Comparables 2, 4 and 6 are the most similar in number of units. Comparables 1, 2 and 6 have the most recent date of sale. After considering all factors, Comparables 2, 4 and 6 were given the most consideration. These three comparables have capitalization rates ranging from 6.10 to 8.70 percent. The appraiser selected a weighted capitalization rate of 7.00 percent.

Realty Rates Survey

The Realty Rates Market Survey was considered in this analysis. The RealtyRates.com Market Survey First Quarter 2017 found that investors in apartments in the South Atlantic Region which includes the State of Georgia indicated an overall capitalization rate of 8.20 percent. The Realty Rates Investor Survey was also considered in this analysis. The RealtyRates.com Investor Survey First Quarter 2017 indicates a range of 4.54 to 12.72 percent for capitalization rates, with a median capitalization rate of 7.85 percent.

PwC Real Estate Investor Survey

The PwC Real Estate Investor Survey was considered in this analysis. The National Apartment Market survey for the first quarter of 2017 found that investors in apartments indicate overall capitalization rates ranging from 3.50 percent to 8.00 percent, with an average of 5.33 percent.

Band of Investment - Conventional Terms

Another method of arriving at a capitalization rate is the Band of Investment Method. This method is based on typical mortgage terms currently available and expected investment return. For the mortgage component of the band of investment, mortgage brokers, current periodicals and rate sheets were consulted relative to mortgage terms, interest rates and investor yield rates. Based on the subject's physical and economic characteristics, the following components were used in this analysis.

		Capitalization	Rate A	nalysis		
Mortgage Into	erest Ra	te 4.50%		Loan T	To Value Ratio	80%
Loan Terr	m (Year	s) 30		Debt C	Coverage Ratio	1.25
				Equity	Dividend Rate	10.00%
		Band of I	nvestme	ent		
Mortgage Constan	ıt	Loan Ratio				
0.06080	X	80%	=	4.86%	Mortgage Co	mponent
Equity Dividend Ra	ate	Equity Ratio				
10%	X	0.20	=	2.00%	Equity Comp	onent
	Capit	alization Rate		6.86%		
		Debt Coverage	Ratio A	Analysis		
Debt Coverage Ratio x		LTV >	K	Mortgage	Constant	
1.25 x		80% >	K	0.06080	= 0	.060802
	Capit	alization Rate		6.08%		

Mortgage financing from local lenders indicated that a typical interest rate is 4.50 percent. The typical loan term is 30 years and the loan-to-value ratio is 80 percent. Therefore, a capitalization rate of 6.86 percent was determined.

Determination of the Market Capitalization Rate

The PwC Real Estate Investor Survey indicated an average capitalization rate of 5.33 percent. From the sales available in the area a capitalization rate of 7.00 percent was determined. The RealtyRates.com Market Survey indicated an average capitalization rate of 8.20 percent. The RealtyRates.com Investor Survey indicated a median capitalization rate of 7.85 percent. The band of investment indicated a capitalization rate of 6.86 percent. The comparable sales were determined to be the most accurate reflection of the market capitalization rate. Therefore, a capitalization rate of 7.00 percent was determined to be appropriate for the market values.

Income Values

Market	As Is	\$158,817 <i>/</i> 7.00%	=	\$2,268,807
Market	As Complete	\$190,093 /7.00%	=	\$2,715,615
		Market Rate As Is Value	=	\$2,270,000
		Market Rate As Complete Value	=	\$2,715,000

Determination of Capitalization Rate Considering Subject's Rental Assistance

Due to the presence of Rental Assistance, properties similar to the subject have guaranteed income streams and typically have higher occupancy rates than market properties. As a result, the marketplace shows a preference for these types of properties with Rental Assistance, and the market indicates a lower capitalization rate as a result. Therefore, a slightly more aggressive capitalization rate of one half-point to one full point is seen in the market. The subject has Rental Assistance for 17 of 48 units, or 35 percent. The capitalization rate was adjusted from the market-indicated rate of 7.00 percent to a capitalization rate one-half point lower at **6.50 percent** for the property's restricted valuations.

Restricted As Is \$50,761 /6.50% = \$780,931

Restricted As Complete \$70,190 /6.50% = \$1,079,839

Restricted Rate As Is Value = \$780,000

Restricted Rate As Complete Value = \$1,080,000

Prospective Market Value Upon Loan Maturity

\$2,955,000 Prospective Market Value (As Stabilized)
50 Term of Loan (years)
2.00% Growth Rate

Market Value

\$2,955,000 PV 50 [g] [n] 2.00 [g] [i]

Solve for FV \$8,025,839.25

Using these factors, a prospective market value upon loan maturity of

\$8,025,839.25 was determined.

Prospective Market Value Upon Loan Maturity \$8,026,000.00

Population

The population for the subject's neighborhood for 2017, according to ESRI, is 3,886, an increase of 116 people from the 2010 population of 3,770. The population is expected to increase at an annual rate of 1.8 percent between 2017 and 2022. Therefore, the 2022 population is projected at 3,957. The median age for the neighborhood is 40.3.

Unemployment Trends

The unemployment rate has fluctuated from 3.8 percent to 9.9 percent over the past 12 years. These fluctuations are in line with the unemployment rates for the State of Georgia.

	LABOR FORCE	AND EMPLOYMENT	TRENDS FOR	LOWNDES COUNT	Υ
	CIVILIAN LABOR	EMPLOYN	MENT	UNEMPLO	YMENT
ANNUALS	FORCE*	TOTAL	%	TOTAL	%
2005	50,416	48,390	96.0%	2,026	4.0%
2006	52,048	50,030	96.1%	2,018	3.9%
2007	53,698	51,634	96.2%	2,064	3.8%
2008	54,974	51,985	94.6%	2,989	5.4%
2009	53,907	49,335	91.5%	4,572	8.5%
2010	51,019	46,120	90.4%	4,899	9.6%
2011	51,359	46,277	90.1%	5,082	9.9%
2012	52,465	47,694	90.9%	4,771	9.1%
2013	51,139	47,030	92.0%	4,109	8.0%
2014	50,544	46,888	92.8%	3,656	7.2%
2015	50,355	47,359	94.1%	2,996	5.9%
2016	51,048	48,391	94.8%	2,657	5.2%
2017**	51,664	49,315	95.5%	2,349	4.5%

^{*} Data based on place of residence.

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Data

^{*}The growth rate is based on the market trends. This includes data from population, unemployment factors, median household income, median home values and capitalization rates. In addition, comparables within the State of Georgia were analyzed to determine a growth rate.

^{**}Preliminary - based on monthly data through March 2017

Median Household Income

The median household income for the neighborhood in 2017 is \$46,017. It is expected to increase to \$50,582 by 2022. The per capita income is \$20,534.

Median Home Value

The median home value for the neighborhood in 2017, according to ESRI, is \$154,700. According to ESRI, the average amount spent for owner-occupied households in the subject's neighborhood is \$12,732.00, or \$1,061 per month. The average amount spent for renter-occupied households is \$9,624.00, or \$802 per month.

Realty Rates Market Survey

The Realty Rates Market Survey was considered in this analysis. The following table indicates the fluctuation of capitalization rates within the South Atlantic Region. Capitalization rates ranged from 7.90 to 8.20 percent in 2016, with an average of 8.03 percent.

REALTY	RATES MARKET S	URVEY – AREA CA	PITALIZATION RAT	ΓES
QUARTER	2014	2015	2016	2017
1 ST Quarter	8.30%	8.10%	8.20%	8.20%
2 nd Quarter	8.30%	8.10%	8.00%	8.10%
3 rd Quarter	8.20%	8.20%	8.00%	
4 th Quarter	8.10%	8.10%	7.90%	

Source: RealtyRates.com: South Atlantic Region

Comparable Sales Analysis

Comparable market sales that sold within the State of Georgia were analyzed to determine any trend in the area. The following table lists the comparables used in this analysis. Capitalization rates ranged from 5.00 to 9.00 percent between 2005 and 2017, with an average of 7.39 percent.

Property Name	Number of Units	Sale Date	NOI	Sale Price	Capitalization Rate
Jasmine Gardens	40	1/5/2005	\$114,750	\$1,350,000	8.50%
Knox Landing Apartments	40	1/31/2005	\$83,928	\$1,475,000	5.69%
Lauren Heights Apartments	48	3/25/2005	\$188,100	\$2,200,000	8.55%
Highland Springs Apartments	66	8/19/2005	\$203,235	\$2,550,000	7.97%
Auburn Place Apartments	28	9/30/2005	\$89,565	\$1,050,000	8.53%
Highland Glen Apartments	31	11/23/2005	\$90,520	\$1,550,000	5.84%
North Avenue Apartments	34	11/23/2005	\$107,300	\$1,850,000	5.80%
Washington Arms Apartments	40	1/13/2006	\$115,130	\$1,588,000	7.25%
Forest Grove Apartments	20	1/27/2006	\$82,560	\$960,000	8.60%
Somerset Apartments	40	6/30/2006	\$148,800	\$2,000,000	7.44%
Brighton Manor Apartments	40	8/9/2006	\$131,840	\$1,600,000	8.24%
Kirkwood Apartments	53	10/28/2007	\$201,760	\$2,600,000	7.76%
Waters Edge Apartments	48	1/25/2008	\$149,850	\$1,850,000	8.10%
Northside Apartments	22	2/22/2008	\$81,035	\$950,000	8.53%
Waldan Chase Apartments	60	4/7/2008	\$273,192	\$3,414,900	8.00%
Twin Keys Apartments	68	3/30/2009	\$201,000	\$3,350,000	6.00%
Praine Villas	22	1/1/2010	\$57,600	\$720,000	8.00%
Main Street Apartments	32	7/28/2010	\$38,211	\$470,000	8.13%
Park Gate Apartments	23	11/18/2010	\$72,500 \$117,000	\$1,000,000	7.25%
Clisby Towers	52 28	4/14/2011	\$117,000 \$120,244	\$1,300,000 \$1,503,500	9.00%
Inman Way Apartments	28	2/9/2012	\$139,344 \$56,275	\$1,592,500 \$1,035,000	8.75%
Rumson Court Apartments Gardens on Gaston	20	11/5/2012 4/10/2013	\$56,375 \$131,070	\$1,025,000 \$1,700,000	5.50% 7.71%
Cedar Bluffs Apartments	31	4/16/2013	\$131,070	\$1,760,000	8.50%
Proctor Square Apartments	72	6/18/2013	\$137,283	\$2,225,000	6.17%
Oakwood Village Apartments	70	7/1/2013	\$98,616	\$1,680,000	5.87%
1045 on the Park Apartment Homes	30	7/9/2013	\$592,515	\$9,450,000	6.27%
Creekstone Apartments II	72	7/16/2013	\$150,900	\$3,000,000	5.03%
Erwin North Apartments	32	7/22/2013	\$72,450	\$805,000	9.00%
Student Quarters Bay Tree	32	10/10/2013	\$265,200	\$3,900,000	6.80%
Brooks Trace Apartments	49	10/10/2013	\$363,937	\$4,363,750	8.34%
Sherwood Arms Apartments	44	10/30/2013	\$31,980	\$390,000	8.20%
Townhomes at Hapeville	34	1/23/2014	\$77,900	\$950,000	8.20%
Brick Pointe Apartments	56	2/1/2014	\$1,569,500	\$18,250,000	8.60%
Pine Ridge Apartments	29	2/18/2014	\$71,775	\$825,000	8.70%
Jefferson Ridge Townhomes	22	4/14/2014	\$81,900	\$975,000	8.40%
Waterbury Apartments	53	6/30/2014	\$145,440	\$1,818,000	8.00%
Woodbridge Apartments	28	4/2/2014	\$123,750	\$1,650,000	7.50%
Pecan Terrace	36	8/28/2014	\$114,026	\$1,420,000	8.03%
DeFoors Crossing	60	9/23/2014	\$235,571	\$4,610,000	5.11%
Pine Hill Places	73	10/27/2014	\$169,200	\$2,115,000	8.00%
West Gate Manor	48	12/4/2014	\$93,500	\$1,100,000	8.50%
Couryard on Kirwood	32	12/18/2014	\$146,813	\$2,175,000	6.75%
Azalea Place	42	1/5/2015	\$100,300	\$1,180,000	8.50%
Forest Ridge Apartments	75	1/20/2015	\$168,560	\$2,107,000	8.00%
University Crossing	48	1/23/2015	\$284,925	\$4,350,000	6.55%
Crown Mill Village Lofts	66	1/31/2015	\$370,760	\$5,200,000	7.13%
Pines at Lawrenceville Highway	66	3/31/2015	\$254,200	\$3,100,000	8.20%
Salem Chase	64	4/1/2015	\$292,250	\$4,175,000	7.00%
Willow Trace Apartments	54	4/30/2015	\$294,800	\$4,000,000	7.37%
Madison Townhomes	24	5/8/2015	\$88,200	\$980,000	9.00%
Maple Place Townhomes	20	5/15/2015	\$34,867	\$685,000	5.09%
Seventy Spruce Apartments	28	7/29/2015	\$202,980	\$2,985,000	6.80%
Parkway North Apartments	21	8/10/2015	\$72,010	\$950,000	7.58%
Magnolia Hall Apartments	48	8/14/2015	\$274,992	\$4,080,000	6.74%
Peachtree Battle Apartments	20	8/20/2015	\$170,804	\$2,000,050	8.54%
Stonebrook Apartments	21	12/1/2015	\$74,880 \$44,800	\$900,000	8.32%
Kelege Village	28	12/16/2015	\$44,890	\$757,000	5.93%
Woodland View Apartments	54	1/7/2016	\$226,440	\$3,400,000	6.66%
Chelsea Court	56	1/22/2016	\$205,200	\$2,700,000	7.60%
Meadowlark Apartments	56 52	3/15/2016	\$236,758 \$14,400	\$2,905,000	8.15%
Ridgewood Apartments	52 64	3/30/2016	\$14,490 \$69,153	\$230,000	6.30%
Dwell and Hollywood Apartments	64	3/31/2016	\$68,153	\$975,000	6.99%

Property Name	Number of Units	Sale Date	NOI	Sale Price	Capitalization Rate
Lanier Townhomes	40	4/5/2016	\$159,120	\$2,080,000	7.65%
Baldwin Village	56	6/1/2016	\$281,517	\$4,385,000	6.42%
Park Village Apartments	68	7/6/2016	\$310,300	\$5,350,000	5.80%
Northern Pines Apartments	48	9/30/2016	\$203,808	\$2,640,000	7.72%
Douglas Pines Apartments	48	10/21/2016	\$135,142	\$1,925,100	7.02%
Linkwood Manor Apartments	56	11/4/2016	\$98,000	\$1,400,000	7.00%
Pinewood Village Apartments	64	11/21/2016	\$86,932	\$1,496,250	5.81%
The Valley Apartments	32	1/31/2017	\$112,000	\$1,600,000	7.00%
Belwood Apartments	48	2/16/2017	\$149,400	\$1,800,000	8.30%
Briarcliff Apartments	32	2/22/2017	\$162,500	\$3,250,000	5.00%
Twelve Oaks Apartments	20	3/15/2017	\$78,000	\$975,000	8.00%
Beverly Forest Apartments	42	5/17/2017	\$130,500	\$1,800,000	7.25%

The population is expected to increase at an annual rate of 1.8 percent between 2017 and 2022. The median household income for the neighborhood in 2017 is \$46,017. It is expected to increase to \$50,582 by 2022. The per capita income is \$20,534.

The unemployment rate has fluctuated from 3.8 percent to 9.9 percent, and due to the past economic trends, Lowndes County, as well as the rest of the nation, increased in unemployment through 2012. However, the unemployment rate has stabilized and is anticipated to decrease to at least the high end of the historical range by the loan's maturity date.

A growth rate of 2.00 percent is typically used in projections and Lake Park's market represents this percentage. Therefore, a 2.00 percent growth rate was used in determining the subject's prospective market value upon loan maturity.

Prospective Market Value Upon Loan Maturity = \$8,026,000.00

Value of Interest Credit Subsidy

Value of the Interest Credit Subsidy from the Existing USDA RD Section 515 Loan

\$1,484,100 Original RD Loan Amount

\$1,319,613 Balance of the Original Loan

600 Months for the Term of the Loan

335 Remaining Months for the Term of the RD Loan

4.50% Market Interest Rate

7.25% Note Rate of Interest

1.00% Base Rate of Interest

Market Loan Original RD Loan

\$1,319,613 PV \$1,484,100 PV

0.0450 [i] 0.0100 [i]

335 [n] 600 [n]

Solve for PMT \$6,924.82 per month Solve for PMT \$3,144.20 per month

Interest Credit Subsidy

Difference in Payment \$3,780.62

\$3,780.62 [PMT] 0.0450 [i]

335 [n]

Solve for PV \$720,444.93

Value of Subsidy from the Existing 515 Loan (Existing Terms) Rounded: \$720,000

Value of the Interest Credit Subsidy from the Assumed USDA RD Section 515 Loan

\$1,319,613 Proposed Loan

600 Months for the Term of the Loan

4.50% Market Interest Rate

3.75% Note Rate of Interest

1.00% Base Rate of Interest

Proposed Loan

\$1,319,613 PV

0.0450 [i]

360 [n]

Solve for PMT \$6,686.28 per month

With 1% interest

\$1,319,613 PV

0.0100 [i]

600 [n]

Solve for PMT \$2,795.72 per month

Value of Balloon

\$1,319,613 [CHS] [PV]

0.0375 [i]

600 [n]

Solve for PMT \$4,873.33

360 [n]

Solve for FV \$821,963.81

\$821,963.81 [CHS] [FV]

0.0450 [i]

360 [n]

Solve for PV \$213,624.82

Interest Credit Subsidy

Difference in Payment \$3,890.56

\$3,890.56 [PMT]

0.0450 [i]

360 [n]

Solve for PV \$767,846.14

-\$213,624.82

\$554,221.32

Value of Subsidy from the Assumed 515 Loan (New Terms) Rounded: \$554,000.00

Arbor Trace II Apartments * 4700 Rolling Pine Drive * Lake Park, Georgia

Value of Tax Credits

For the purposes of this analysis, the likely market value of the tax credits allocated to the subject has been estimated. The subject is a proposed rehabilitation. The following information is based on the assumption that the development will receive tax credit allocations. The developer is assuming that the property will receive an annual allocation of \$102,265 from the Georgia Department of Community Affairs for low-income housing tax credits. The total for the 10-year period will be \$1,022,650. To determine the value of the tax credits, the average price for tax credits in the area was established by utilizing interviews with syndicators, developers and mortgage lenders as well as published sources. Interviews were conducted with Jason Maddox of MACO Companies; Matt Mills of Southeast Holdings LLC; Derrick Hamilton of Belmont Development Company; and Shawn Smith of Belmont Development Company. Based on the information obtained, a range of \$0.85 to \$0.95 was determined for federal tax credits,

though there are a few instances when the price exceeds \$1.00. State tax credits vary widely, according

to the interviews. A conservative value of \$0.85 per credit was estimated.

Analysis of Tax Credits

The following analysis is used to develop a present value for the subject's tax credits. Percentages utilized were based on similar transactions as well as interviews with state and federal authorities to arrive at an accurate market value for the allocated tax credits.

Value of Tax Credits

Assumed Federal Allocation: = \$1,022,650

Price x 0.85 = \$869,253 \$869,253

Total Value Tax Credits = \$870,000

Insurable Value

	USDA Rural Development Insurable Value Calculation						
Property Name: Street Address: City, County, State, Zip:	Arbor Trace II Apartments 4700 Rolling Pine Drive Lake Park, Lowndes, Georgia 31636						
BASE COST Main Structure Sprinkler Other Adjustments and/or Multipliers TOTAL BASE COST PER SQ. FT Building Area Square Footage TOTAL REPLACEMENT COST NEW				Local Current			
EXCLUSIONS Excavations Foundations Site Work Site Improvements Architect's Fees Underground Piping TOTAL EXCLUSIONS	Per SF \$0.06 \$3.67 \$1.53 \$2.02 \$0.61 \$0.61	Percent 0.1% 6.0% 2.5% 3.3% 1.0% 1.0%	\$2,439 \$149,215 \$62,207 \$82,129 \$24,801 \$24,801 \$345,592				
INCLUSIONS Appliance Packages Patios/Balconies, etc. Parking Lot Other TOTAL INCLUSIONS			\$70,764 \$17,226 \$87,991				
CONCLUDED INSURABLE VALUE Total Replacement Cost New Less Total Exclusions Plus Total Inclusions CONCLUDED INSURABLE VALUE			\$2,486,972 \$345,592 \$87,991 \$2,229,371				

Total Insurable Value (Rounded) = \$2,229,000

Sales Comparison Approach

The Sales Comparison Approach is based on the assumption that an informed purchaser will pay no more for a property than the cost of acquiring an existing property of similar utility. Typically, one would estimate the value of the subject property by comparing the sales prices of recent transactions involving property similar to the subject. Adjustments are made to each sale for dissimilarities as compared to the subject property. These adjustments may include the date of sale, location, age, floor plan, condition, quality, size or external factors that may influence rents or occupancy levels. Typically, the reliability of the sales comparison approach is based on a number of factors such as:


- Availability of comparable sales data
- Verification of sales data
- Degree of comparability to the extent that large or numerous adjustments are not necessary to compensate for the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales used

I have found that the reliability of the sales comparison approach for traditional real estate is excellent when valuing vacant land, single family homes or small commercial type properties where there is more activity, a larger data base, and greater degree of comparability. For more complex and larger investment grade properties such as shopping centers, nursing homes, and apartment complexes, the required adjustments are often numerous and the degree to which they can be performed without a considerable amount of subjectivity is difficult. As mentioned previously, a number of factors must be verifiable and documented in order to make appropriate adjustments. Items necessary for verification might include the following:

- Location
- Condition
- Appeal
- Date of Sale
- Amenities
- Income and Expense Data
- Personal Property Included
- Financing Terms and Conditions
- Management Contracts Involved

There are obviously other differences that must be adjusted in the marketplace. For the purposes of this report, the appraiser has analyzed a number of sales; however, only those believed to be most similar to the subject were included. The information from the sales analyzed will be included. The information from the sales analyzed will be used to determine a value estimate for the subject property by the sales comparison approach. The unit of comparison considered will be the price paid per unit. The following sales are offered as an indication of value of the subject property as of the date of this assignment.

Comparable Sales Map



Comparable Sales

Multi-Family Sale No. 1



Property Identification

Record ID 3403 Property Type Walk-Up

Property Name Douglas Pines Apartments

Address 820 Bowens Mill Road Southeast, Douglas, Coffee County,

Georgia 31533

Tax ID0117C 131Market TypeMarket

Sale Data

Grantor

Grantee

SHS Management
Sale Date

Deed Book/Page
Property Rights

Conditions of Sale
Financing

Miles E. Sears
SHS Management
October 21, 2016
001870000182
Fee Simple
Normal
Conventional

Verification Assessor; May 10, 2017

 Sale Price
 \$1,925,100

 Cash Equivalent
 \$1,925,100

 Adjusted Price
 \$1,925,100

Land Data

Land Size7.590 Acres or 330,620 SFFront FootageBowens Mill Road Southeast

ZoningMultifamilyTopographyNearly LevelUtilitiesE, G, W, S

Multi-Family Sale No. 1 (Cont.)

Shape Irregular

<u>Unit Type</u> 2/2	<u>Un</u> No. of <u>Units</u> 48	it Mix Size SF 841	Rent/Mo. \$575	Mo. <u>Rent/SF</u> \$0.68
Total Units Avg. Unit Size Avg. Rent/Unit Avg. Rent/SF	48 841 \$575 \$0.68			
Gross SF Net SF	45,000 40,368			
General Physical Data No. of Buildings Construction Type HVAC Parking Stories Utilities with Rent Year Built Condition	5 Siding Central Elec/C L/0 2 Water, Sewer, 1987 Average		tion	

Indicators

Sale Price/Gross SF\$42.78Sale Price/Net SF\$47.69Sale Price/Unit\$40,106

Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Swimming Pool and Laundry Facility

Multi-Family Sale No. 2



Property Identification

Record ID 3404 Property Type Walk-Up

Property Name Spanish Mission Apartments

Address 422 Connell Road, Valdosta, Lowndes County, Georgia 31602

Tax ID0112A 113Market TypeMarket

Sale Data

Grantor The Paddocks at Mt. Juliet, LLC

Grantee LIT Property Services - Cascades on the River

Sale Date September 09, 2015

Deed Book/Page5810-0146Property RightsFee SimpleConditions of SaleNormalFinancingConventional

Verification Assessor; May 10, 2017

 Sale Price
 \$7,000,000

 Cash Equivalent
 \$7,000,000

 Adjusted Price
 \$7,000,000

Land Data

Land Size 12.000 Acres or 522,720 SF

Front Footage Connell Road

Zoning C-4

TopographyNearly LevelUtilitiesE, G, W, SShapeIrregular

Multi-Family Sale No. 2 (Cont.)

Unit Mix

	No. of			Mo.
Unit Type	<u>Units</u>	Size SF	Rent/Mo.	Rent/SF
1/1	10	890	\$642	\$0.72
1/1		890	\$667	\$0.75
2/2	20	1,213	\$735	\$0.61
2/2		1,213	\$760	\$0.63
2/2.5	58	1,125	\$790	\$0.70
3/2.5	62	1,456	\$815	\$0.56
3/2.5		1,456	\$865	\$0.59

Total Units	150
Avg. Unit Size	1,258
Avg. Rent/Unit	\$783
Avg. Rent/SF	\$0.62

Gross SF 200,000 **Net SF** 188,682

General Physical Data

No. of Buildings 22 Construction Type Brick

HVAC Central Elec/Central Elec

Parking L/0 Stories 2

Utilities with Rent Trash Collection

Year Built 1976 Condition Average

Indicators

Sale Price/Gross SF \$35.00 Sale Price/Net SF \$37.10 Sale Price/Unit \$46,667

Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Fireplace, Clubhouse, Swimming Pool, Exercise Room, Picnic Area, Playground, Basketball Court, Tennis Court and Car Wash Area

Multi-Family Sale No. 3



Property Identification

Record ID 3405

Property TypeWalk-Up/TownhouseProperty NameFriar Tuck Apartments

Address 2215 Friar Tuck Lane, Albany, Dougherty County, Georgia

31707

Tax ID 0000S-00004-01D

Market Type Market

Sale Data

Grantor Delta Partners

Grantee BAM Property Management

Sale Date

Deed Book/Page
Property Rights

Conditions of Sale

November 30, 2016
004372000246
Fee Simple
Normal

Multi-Family Sale No. 3 (Cont.)

Financing Conventional

Verification Assessor; May 10, 2017

 Sale Price
 \$2,100,000

 Cash Equivalent
 \$2,100,000

 Adjusted Price
 \$2,100,000

Land Data

Land Size 2.830 Acres or 123,275 SF

Front Footage Friar Tuck Lane
Zoning R-6, Multifamily
Topography Nearly Level
Utilities E, G, W, S
Shape Irregular

Unit Mix

	No. of			Mo.
Unit Type	<u>Units</u>	Size SF	Rent/Mo.	Rent/SF
1/1	15	807		
2/1.5 TH	14	1,079		
2/2	15	1,146		

 Total Units
 44

 Avg. Unit Size
 1,009

 Avg. Rent/Unit
 \$225

 Avg. Rent/SF
 \$0.22

 Gross SF
 44,401

General Physical Data

No. of Buildings 5
Construction Type Siding

HVAC Central Elec/Central Elec

44,401

Parking L/0 Stories 2

Utilities with Rent Trash Collection

Year Built 2003 Condition Average

Indicators

Net SF

Sale Price/Gross SF\$47.30Sale Price/Net SF\$47.30Sale Price/Unit\$47,727

Amenities

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet and Laundry Facility

Comparable Sales Chart - As Is

Sales Analysis Grid		Comp 1	Comp 2	Comp 3	
	4700 Rolling Pine Drive	820 Bowens Mill Road	422 Connell Road	2215 Friar Tuck Lane	
Address	· ·	Southeast			
City	Lake Park	Douglas	Valdosta	Albany	
State	GA	GA	GA	GA	
Date	5/10/2017	10/21/2016	9/9/2015	11/30/2016	
Price		\$1,925,100	\$7,000,000	\$2,100,000	
Total No. of Units	43	48	150	44	
Price per Unit		\$40,106	\$46,667	\$47,727	
Transaction Adjustments Property Rights	Fee Simple	Fee Simple 0.0%	Fee Simple 0.0%	Fee Simple 0.0%	
Financing	Conventional	Conventional 0.0%	Conventional 0.0%	Conventional 0.0%	
Conditions of Sale	Normal	Normal 0.0%	Normal 0.0%	Normal 0.0%	
Adjusted Price per Unit	Nomia	\$40,106	\$46,667	\$47,727	
Market Trends Through	05/10/17	0%	0%	0%	
Adjusted Price per Unit		\$40,106	\$46,667	\$47,727	
Location	Average	Similar	Superior	Superior	
% Adjustment		0%	-10%	-10%	
\$ Adjustment		\$0	-\$4,667	-\$4,773	
Total No. of Units	43	48	150	44	
% Adjustment		0%	0%	0%	
\$ Adjustment		\$0	\$0	\$0	
Versille 1970 en en eta 1	4005	1007	4070	0000	
YearBuilt/Renovated % Adjustment	1995	1987 0%	1976 0%	2003 0%	
% Adjustment \$ Adjustment		\$0	\$0	\$0	
ф Aujustinent		ΨΟ	Ψ0	ΨΟ	
Condition/Street Appeal	Average	Similar	Similar	Superior	
% Adjustment		0%	0%	-5%	
\$ Adjustment		\$0	\$0	-\$2,386	
HVAC	Central Electric/Central Electric	Central Elec/Central Elec	Central Elec/Central Elec	Central Elec/Central Elec	
% Adjustment	Ochinal Electric/Ochinal Electric	0%	0%	0%	
\$ Adjustment		\$0	\$0	\$0	
		·		·	
Parking	L/0	L/0	L/0	L/0	
% Adjustment		0%	0%	0%	
\$ Adjustment		\$0	\$0	\$0	
A 10	D. (-)	Detrimentar December	Detries and a Decree (O	Detrimentary Design (O)	
Amenities	Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet,	Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer	Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher,	Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl,	
	Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet (2 BR), Coat Closet, Playground,	Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Swimming Pool and	Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Fireplace,	Blinds, Walk-In Closet and Laundry Facility	
	Extra Storage and Video			Lauridry Facility	
	Surveillance	Laundry Facility	Clubhouse, Swimming Pool, Exercise Room, Picnic Area,		
	Sui veillai ice		Playground, Basketball Court,		
			Tennis Court and Car Wash		
			Area		
% Adjustment		2%	-5%	3%	
\$ Adjustment		\$802	-\$2,333	\$1,432	
Adjusted Price per Unit		\$40,908	\$39,667	\$42,000	
Net adjustments		2.0%	-15.0%	-12.0%	
Gross adjustments		2.0%	-15.0%	-12.0%	

Based on the preceding analysis, it is the appraiser's opinion that the market value of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, via the Sales Comparable Approach is as follows:

43 units x \$41,000 per unit = **\$1,763,000**

Indicated Value = \$1,765,000

Comparable Sales Explanations & Value - As Is

Comp	Address	Date	Price	Price per Unit	Total No. of Units	Year Built/Renovated
1	820 Bowens Mill Road Southeast	10/21/2016	\$1,925,100	\$40,106	48	1987
2	422 Connell Road	9/9/2015	\$7,000,000	\$46,667	150	1976
3	2215 Friar Tuck Lane	11/30/2016	\$2,100,000	\$47,727	44	2003

Improved Sales Analysis

The sale prices of the comparables range from \$40,106 to \$47,727 per unit before adjustments. Attempts were made to find comparable sales properties within the subject's city and market area. However, there were no verifiable sales found within these areas. Therefore, it was necessary to expand the search area in order to find comparables to provide a good basis of comparison. The sales were analyzed in order to estimate their comparability to the subject based on the following characteristics of value.

Location

The subject is located in Lake Park, Georgia. Comparable 1 is located in Douglas. Comparable 2 is located in Valdosta. Comparable 3 is located in Albany. The following table was utilized to determine appropriate adjustments for differences in location between Lake Park and the cities in which the comparables are located.

U.S. Census Bureau Stats	Lake Park	Valdosta	% Diff	Douglas	% Diff	Albany	% Diff
2015 Population	1,070	56,504	98.11%	11,750	90.89%	76,466	98.60%
Households	371	21,029	98.24%	4,061	90.86%	29,076	98.72%
Median Income	\$43,661	\$29,336	-48.83%	\$31,465	-38.76%	\$29,676	-47.13%
Median Home Value	\$162,800	\$125,700	-29.51%	\$96,100	-69.41%	\$98,200	-65.78%
Median Rent	\$742	\$732	-1.37%	\$570	-30.18%	\$684	-8.48%

Valdosta is larger in population than Lake Park. However, the median income and median home value are higher for Lake Park than for Valdosta. The median rent between the two cities is very similar. In general, the higher median income and home value would offset the larger population in Lake Park. However, Valdosta services as the major employment hub for the county. Therefore, it was considered to be superior to the subject. Based on the previous table as well as considering all other factors, a downward adjustment of 10 percent was determined for the comparable in Valdosta. Douglas is larger in population than Lake Park. However, it is lower in median income, median home value and median rent. The larger population is offset by the lower economic factors. Therefore, no adjustment is needed for the comparable in Douglas. Albany is also larger than Lake Park in population. The median rent is only slightly lower for Albany than for Lake Park. However, the median income and median home value are higher for Lake Park than for Albany. There are significantly more services available in Albany than in Lake Park, making it superior in location to the subject. Based on the previous table as well as considering all other factors, the comparable in Albany was adjusted downward 10 percent.

Total No. of Units

Size can have an impact on value based on the premise that smaller facilities tend to sell for a higher price per unit than larger facilities. The subject contains 43 units. The number of units of the comparables range from 44 to 150. No adjustments were needed.

Year Built/Renovated

The subject was built in 1995. It is in average condition. Comparable 1 was built in 1987. Comparable 2 was constructed in 1976. Comparable 3 was built in 2003. Any necessary adjustment was utilized in the condition/street appeal adjustment.

Condition/Street Appeal

The subject is currently in average condition for a property of its age. Comparable 1 is similar. Comparable 2 is similar. Comparable 3 is newer than the subject and is slightly superior in condition. This comparable was adjusted downward five percent.

HVAC

The subject contains central electric heating and cooling. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

Parking

The subject contains lot parking. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

Amenities

The subject contains a refrigerator, range/oven, washer/dryer hook-ups, carpet, vinyl, blinds, walk-in closet (2 br), coat closet, playground, extra storage and video surveillance. Comparable 1 contains a Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Swimming Pool and Laundry Facility. Comparable 2 contains a Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Fireplace, Clubhouse, Swimming Pool, Exercise Room, Picnic Area, Playground, Basketball Court, Tennis Court and Car Wash Area. Comparable 3 contains a Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet and Laundry Facility. Comparable 1 was adjusted upward two percent. Comparable 2 was adjusted downward five percent. Comparable 3 was adjusted upward three percent.

Arbor Trace II Apartments * 4700 Rolling Pine Drive * Lake Park, Georgia

Summary and Conclusion

The comparables range from \$39,667 to \$42,000 per unit after adjustments. Comparables 1 and 2 were given the most consideration as they are similar in condition. However, Comparable 3 is only slightly superior in condition and was also given consideration. Based on the preceding analysis, it is the appraiser's opinion that the market value of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, via the Sales Comparable Approach is as follows:

43 units x \$41,000 per unit = \$1,763,000

Indicated As Is Market Value = \$1,765,000

Comparable Sales Chart – As Complete

Sales Analysis Grid		Comp 1	Comp 2	Comp 3
	4700 Rolling Pine Drive	820 Bowens Mill Road	422 Connell Road	2215 Friar Tuck Lane
Address	•	Southeast		
City	Lake Park	Douglas	Valdosta	Albany
State	GA	GA	GA	GA
Date	5/10/2017	10/21/2016	9/9/2015	11/30/2016
Price		\$1,925,100	\$7,000,000	\$2,100,000
Total No. of Units	43	48	150	44
Price per Unit		\$40,106	\$46,667	\$47,727
Transaction Adjustments	Fra Cimala	Fac Simple 0.00/	Fee Simple 0.0%	Fra Cimple 0.00/
Property Rights	Fee Simple Conventional	Fee Simple 0.0% Conventional 0.0%	Fee Simple 0.0% Conventional 0.0%	Fee Simple 0.0% Conventional 0.0%
Financing				
Conditions of Sale Adjusted Price per Unit	Normal	Normal 0.0% \$40,106	Normal 0.0% \$46,667	Normal 0.0% \$47,727
Market Trends Through (05/10/17	\$40,106 0%	0%	0%
Adjusted Price per Unit	03/10/17	\$40,106	\$46,667	\$47,727
Location	Average	Similar	Superior	Superior
% Adjustment	Average	0%	-10%	-10%
\$ Adjustment		\$0	-\$4,667	-\$4,773
φ Aujustinent		Ψ0	-\$4,007	-ψ-4,773
Total No. of Units	43	48	150	44
% Adjustment	.0	0%	0%	0%
\$ Adjustment		\$0	\$0	\$0
ψ / tajaotinont		45	40	ψ3
YearBuilt/Renovated	1995/Proposed	1987	1976	2003
% Adjustment		0%	0%	0%
\$ Adjustment		\$0	\$0	\$0
		•	·	· ·
Condition/Street Appeal	Good	Inferior	Inferior	Inferior
% Adjustment		10%	10%	5%
\$ Adjustment		\$4,011	\$4,667	\$2,386
HVAC	Central Electric/Central Electric	Central Elec/Central Elec	Central Elec/Central Elec	Central Elec/Central Elec
% Adjustment		0%	0%	0%
\$ Adjustment		\$0	\$0	\$0
0.11				
Parking	L/0	L/0	L/0	L/0
% Adjustment		0% \$0	0% \$0	0%
\$ Adjustment		\$0	\$0	\$0
Amenities	Refrigerator, Range/Oven,	Refrigerator, Range/Oven,	Refrigerator, Range/Oven,	Refrigerator, Range/Oven,
	Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet,	Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer	Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher,	Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl,
	Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet (2	Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl,	Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups,	Blinds, Walk-In Closet and
	BR), Coat Closet, Playground, Extra Storage and Video	Blinds, Swimming Pool and Laundry Facility	Carpet, Vinyl, Fireplace, Clubhouse, Swimming Pool,	Laundry Facility
	Surveillance	Lauriury Facility	Exercise Room, Picnic Area,	
	Surveillance		Playground, Basketball Court,	
			Tennis Court and Car Wash	
			Area	
			Alea	
% Adjustment		2%	-5%	3%
\$ Adjustment		\$802	-\$2,333	\$1,432
Adjusted Price per Unit		\$44,919	\$44,333	\$46,773
Net adjustments		12.0%	-5.0%	-2.0%
Gross adjustments		12.0%	-5.0%	-2.0%

Based on the preceding analysis, it is the appraiser's opinion that the market value of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, via the Sales Comparable Approach is as follows:

43 units x \$45,000 per unit = \$1,935,000

Indicated Value = \$1,935,000

Comparable Sales Explanations & Value – As Complete

Comp	Address	Date	Price	Price per Unit	Total No. of Units	Year Built/Renovated
1	820 Bowens Mill Road Southeast	10/21/2016	\$1,925,100	\$40,106	48	1987
2	422 Connell Road	9/9/2015	\$7,000,000	\$46,667	150	1976
3	2215 Friar Tuck Lane	11/30/2016	\$2,100,000	\$47,727	44	2003

Improved Sales Analysis

The sale prices of the comparables range from \$40,106 to \$47,727 per unit before adjustments. Attempts were made to find comparable sales properties within the subject's city and market area. However, there were no verifiable sales found within these areas. Therefore, it was necessary to expand the search area in order to find comparables to provide a good basis of comparison. The sales were analyzed in order to estimate their comparability to the subject based on the following characteristics of value.

Location

The subject is located in Lake Park, Georgia. Comparable 1 is located in Douglas. Comparable 2 is located in Valdosta. The following table was utilized to determine appropriate adjustments for differences in location between Lake Park and the cities in which the comparables are located.

U.S. Census Bureau Stats	Lake Park	Valdosta	% Diff	Douglas	% Diff	Albany	% Diff
2015 Population	1,070	56,504	98.11%	11,750	90.89%	76,466	98.60%
Households	371	21,029	98.24%	4,061	90.86%	29,076	98.72%
Median Income	\$43,661	\$29,336	-48.83%	\$31,465	-38.76%	\$29,676	-47.13%
Median Home Value	\$162,800	\$125,700	-29.51%	\$96,100	-69.41%	\$98,200	-65.78%
Median Rent	\$742	\$732	-1.37%	\$570	-30.18%	\$684	-8.48%

Valdosta is larger in population than Lake Park. However, the median income and median home value are higher for Lake Park than for Valdosta. The median rent between the two cities is very similar. In general, the higher median income and home value would offset the larger population in Lake Park. However, Valdosta services as the major employment hub for the county. Therefore, it was considered to be superior to the subject. Based on the previous table as well as considering all other factors, a downward adjustment of 10 percent was determined for the comparable in Valdosta. Douglas is larger in population than Lake Park. However, it is lower in median income, median home value and median rent. The larger population is offset by the lower economic factors. Therefore, no adjustment is needed for the comparable in Douglas. Albany is also larger than Lake Park in population. The median rent is only slightly lower for Albany than for Lake Park. However, the median income and median home value are higher for Lake Park than for Albany. There are significantly more services available in Albany than in Lake Park, making it superior in location to the subject. Based on the previous table as well as considering all other factors, the comparable in Albany was adjusted downward 10 percent.

Total No. of Units

Size can have an impact on value based on the premise that smaller facilities tend to sell for a higher price per unit than larger facilities. The subject contains 43 units. The number of units of the comparables range from 44 to 150. No adjustments were needed.

Year Built/Renovated

The subject was built in 1995 and will be rehabilitated. It will be in good condition. Comparable 1 was built in 1987. Comparable 2 was constructed in 1976. Comparable 3 was built in 2003. Any necessary adjustment was utilized in the condition/street appeal adjustment.

Condition/Street Appeal

The subject will be in good condition after rehabilitation. All comparables will be inferior to varying degrees. Comparables 1 and 2 were adjusted upward 10 percent, and Comparable 3 was adjusted upward five percent.

HVAC

The subject contains central electric heating and cooling. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

Parking

The subject contains lot parking. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

Amenities

The subject will contain a refrigerator, range/oven, washer/dryer hook-ups, carpet, vinyl, blinds, walk-in closet (2 br), coat closet, playground, extra storage and video surveillance. Comparable 1 contains a refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, washer/dryer hook-ups, carpet, vinyl, blinds, swimming pool and laundry facility. Comparable 2 contains a refrigerator, range/oven, garbage disposal, dishwasher, washer/dryer hook-ups, carpet, vinyl, fireplace, clubhouse, swimming pool, exercise room, picnic area, playground, basketball court, tennis court and car wash area. Comparable 3 contains a refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, carpet, vinyl, blinds, walk-in closet and laundry facility. Comparable 1 was adjusted upward two percent. Comparable 2 was adjusted downward five percent. Comparable 3 was adjusted upward three percent.

Summary and Conclusion

The comparables range from \$44,333 to \$46,773 per unit after adjustments. All comparables were given consideration. Based on the preceding analysis, it is the appraiser's opinion that the market value of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, via the Sales Comparable Approach is as follows:

43 units x \$45,000 per unit = \$1,935,000

Indicated As Complete Market Value = \$1,935,000

Restricted Value Determination

The sales comparison approach is applicable but not necessary for a credible appraisal and has not been developed for the restricted value determination. The subject is a Rural Development property with restricted rents. As a result, there are very few similar operating properties in the market area and none that could be confirmed as having sold within the past five years. Research for sales comparables similar to the subject was conducted with local realtors, MLS and CoStar, and none could be confirmed. As per the scope of work for this assignment, the sales comparison approach is not required and was not developed.



Conclusion of Value

Reconciliation involves the weighing of the three approaches in relation to their importance or their probable influence on the reactions of typical uses and investors in the market. Consideration is given to the quality and quantity of the data available for examination in each approach, to the inherent advantages and disadvantages of each approach, and to the relevancy of each to the subject property.

The Cost Approach considers the current cost of replacing a property, less depreciation from three sources: physical deterioration, functional obsolescence and external obsolescence. A summation of the market value of the land, assumed vacant and the depreciated replacement cost of the improvements provides an indication of the total value of the property. This approach is given less consideration as the validity of this approach decreases as the property's age increases.

The Income Approach is typically used when the real estate is commonly developed, or bought and sold for the anticipated income stream. Income and expense data of similar properties in Lake Park and the surrounding area were used in this analysis. The most weight is accorded to the indication via the Income Comparison Approach in the final value conclusion.

The Sales Comparison Approach is a reflection of the buying and selling public based on physical and/or financial units of comparison. The market for properties similar to the subject has been active in the subject's market area. As was noted in the improved sales analysis, the range of unit values after adjustments was relatively narrow. Quantitative (percentage) adjustments for the differences between the comparables and the subject were made to the comparables.

The indicated value of the subject would best be represented by a value within this range. The data utilized and the value indicated by the three approaches is considered appropriate in estimating the value of the subject property. Weight is given to the Income Comparison Approaches and this value is considered to provide the best indication of value for the subject.

The market value of the fee simple estate, unrestricted or conventional, subject to short-term leases, was determined under the hypothetical condition that the subject was a conventional property and not subject to any rent restrictions.

The "prospective" values of the fee simple estate were determined under the extraordinary assumption that the rehabilitation is completed as detailed in the scope of work and that the proposed rents indicated in the report are approved.

The following values are determined for the Clients and Intended Users:

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Market Value, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), Premised Upon a Hypothetical Condition as-if Conventional Housing, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows.

TWO MILLION TWO HUNDRED SEVENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$2,270,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Market Value, Subject to Restricted Rents, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(i), as of May 10, 2017, is as follows.

SEVEN HUNDRED EIGHTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$780,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Prospective Market Value within 7 CFR Part 3560.752(b)(1)(ii), Premised Upon A Hypothetical Condition As-If Conventional Housing as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

TWO MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS \$2,715,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the Prospective Market Value, Subject to Restricted Rents, within 7 CFR part 3560.752(b)(1)(i), as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

ONE MILLION EIGHTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$1,080,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the Value of the Interest Credit Subsidy from the Existing USDA RD Section 515 Loan of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

SEVEN HUNDRED TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$720,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the Value of the Interest Credit Subsidy from the Proposed USDA RD Section 515 Loan of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

FIVE HUNDRED FIFTY FOUR THOUSAND DOLLARS \$554,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the value of the Low Income Housing Tax Credits, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

EIGHT HUNDRED SEVENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$870,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 625 square feet one-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

FIVE HUNDRED FIFTEEN DOLLARS \$515.00

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 860 square feet two-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

FIVE HUNDRED NINETY DOLLARS \$590.00

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Is Market Rent (CRCU) of the 910 square feet three-bedroom units of the subject property, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows:

SIX HUNDRED SIXTY FIVE DOLLARS \$665.00 Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 625 square feet one-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

FIVE HUNDRED SIXTY FIVE DOLLARS \$565.00

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 860 square feet two-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

SIX HUNDRED FORTY DOLLARS \$640.00

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is our opinion that the As Complete Market Rent (CRCU) of the 910 square feet three-bedroom units of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows:

SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTEEN DOLLARS \$715.00

The following values are determined for the DCA:

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the market value of the land, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$150,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the "As Is" market value of the subject property, subject to market rents, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows.

TWO MILLION TWO HUNDRED SEVENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$2,270,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the "As Is" market value of the subject property, subject to restricted rents, as of May 10, 2017, is as follows.

SEVEN HUNDRED EIGHTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$780,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the prospective market value upon stabilization – market rents, of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

TWO MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS \$2,715,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the "Prospective" market value, upon completion and as stabilized (restricted rents), as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

ONE MILLION EIGHTY THOUSAND DOLLARS \$1,080,000

Based on the data, analyses and conclusions presented in the attached report, it is my opinion the prospective market value at loan maturity – market rents, of the subject property, as of January 31, 2019, is as follows.

EIGHT MILLION TWENTY SIX THOUSAND DOLLARS \$8,026,000.00

Sources Used

Information used in the appraisal was obtained from various sources including; the U.S. Census Bureau, Nielsen Claritas and Ribbon Demographics, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, interviews with local city and government officials and interviews with local property owners or managers.





EXHIBIT A LEGAL DESCRIPTION

All that tract or parcel of land situate, lying and being in Land Lot 109 of the 15th land district of Lovades County, Georgia, and being 2.50 acres and depicted as Lot 1 in Block "A" of Arbor Trace subdivision as per a map or plat of survey dated February 23, 1993 by Andrew J. Fitzsinous, Georgia Registered Surveyor and recorded in Flat Record Book G, page 209, in the office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Louades County, Georgia, said tract being more particularly described as follows:

For FOINT OF REPERENCE CHLY, begin at the projected northwest right-of-way intersection of the Lake Park Clyattville Road (Georgia State Route Ro. 376) (Loc foot right-of-way) and Rolling Pine Drive (60 foot right-of-way) and process North 10° 2" 56" East a distance of 402.37 feet along the western right-of-wav of Molling Pine Drive to an irea pin; cun thence in a northweste __ direction along the arm of a curve an arc distance of 27.59 feet to an iron pin (said are having a chord distance of 25.46 feet and a chord course of Morth 29' 28' 32" Rest); run thence in a northwesterly direction an arc distance of 376.99 feet to an iron pin (cold arc having a chord distance of 371.48 and a chord dourse of North 53' Go: OD" West); thence run North; 35" oo! OD" Wost a distance of 544.20 feet to an Iron pin; run thence North 25, 00' 00" West a distance of 166.14 feet to a consente nonmant; non thence in a northwesterly direction an ard distance of 167.57 feat to a concrete menument (cold are having a chord distance of 165.81 feet and a chord course of North 44° 29° 46" West); you thence Worth 53° 59° 32° West a distance of 156.75 feet to a concrete ponument which morks the Foldy of MEGINNING; from said FOINT OF BEGINNING run thence Morth 53° 59' 32" Best a distance of 198,33 feat to a concrete monument; run thence in a northwesterly direction on aro distance of 30.64 feet to a concrete monument (said are having a chord distance of 30.63 feet and a chord course of North 51: 20) 29" West); run thance South 57" 40" 57" West a distance of 295.63 Zeet to a concrete monument; run thence South 45' 55' 03" East a distance of 296.03 feet to a concrete monument; run thence South 80° 00° 00° East a distance of 23.88 feet to a concrete monument; run thence North 45' 04' 57" East a Gistence of 407.42 feet to a concrete monament which marks the POINT OF REGIREING.

13



Achievable Rent Analysis Estimates of Restricted Rent by Comparison - As Complete

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Housing

OMB Approval No. 2502-0029

(exp. 09/30/2016)

Federal Housing Commissioner

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information is needed to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjustment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type. On a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is necessarily existence in the properties of the

1. Unit Type One-Bedroom	2. Subject Property (Ad Arbor Trace II Apar 4700 Rolling Pine D	tments	A. Comparable Proper Francis Lake II. 939 Lakes Bou	Apartment		B. Comparable Proper Rolling Pine Ap 4741Rolling Pin	artments		C. Comparable Brookha 4740 Bro	ven Apar	tments	ess)	D. Comparable Prope	rty No. 4 (addr	ress)	E. Comparab	ole Proper	ty No. 5 (add	ldress)
	Lake Park, Lownde	s, GA	Lake Park , Lov	vndes, GA		Lake Park , Low	ndes, GA		Lake Par	k, Lownd	les, GA								
Characteris	stics	Dat a	Data	Adjust	ments	Dat a	Adjust	ments	Data		Adjustm	ents	Data	Adjustm	nents	Data	a	Adjus	st ment s
3. Effective D	ate of Rental	05/2017	05/2017			05/2017			05/20	17			•••••	T					T
4. Type of Pro	oject/Stories	G/1	WU/2			T/2			G/1, WU/2	2, T/2									
5. Floor of Un	nit in Building	First	Varies			Varies			Varies	S							***************************************		
6. Project Oc	cupancy %	97%	100%			96%			96%										
7. Concessio	ns	N	N	1		N			N							***************************************			1
8. Year Built		1995/Proposed	1983		\$50	1994		\$50	1978			\$50				1			
9. Sq. Ft. Area	a	625	672	(\$5)		838	(\$30)		623			***************************************							
10. Number of	Bedrooms	1	1			1			1										1
11. Number of E	Baths	1.0	1.0			1.0			1.0										1
12. Number of	Rooms	3	3			3			3										1
13. Balc./Terra	ce/Patio	N	Y	(\$5)		N			N					1		***************************************		 	1
14. Garage or C	Carport	L/0	L/0	1		L/0			L/0										†
15. Equipment		C	С	1		C			C					†				 	†
	b. Range/Refrigerator	RF	RF			RF			RF									·	1
***************************************	c. Disposal	N	N	1		N			N					1			***************************************		1
***************************************	d. Microwave/Dishwasher	N	N			D	(\$10)	***************************************	M		(\$5)		***************************************			***************************************			1
	e. Washer/Dryer	HU	HU	1		WD	(\$20)		L		(+ -/	\$5							1
***************************************	f. Carpet	С	С			C			С								***************************************		1
***************************************	g. Drapes	В	В	1		В			В					 					+
	h. Pool/Rec. Area	R	N	1	\$10	ER	(\$10)		PER		(\$20)			 				l	+
16. Services	a. Heat/Type	N/E	N/E	1		N/E	\\$/		N/E		(4=5/			+				l	+
	b. Cooling	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E										+
	c. Cook/Type	N/E	N/E	1		N/E			N/E					 		~		 	+
***************************************	d. Electricity	N N	N	1		N N		***************	N				***************************************			100000000000000000000000000000000000000			+
	e. Hot Water	N/E	N/E	-		N/E			N/E										+
	f. Cold Water/Sewer	N N	N N	+		N N			N			***********		 				 	+
	g. Trash	Y	Y	+		Ϋ́Υ			Y					 					+
17. Storage	g. 110511	Y/0	Y/0	-		N .		\$5	N			\$5		 					+
18. Project Loc	cation	Average	Similar	-		Similar			Simila	er				 		***************************************			+
19. Security		Y	N	-	\$5	N		\$5	N	-		\$5		-			•••••		-
	/M eeting Room	N N	MR	(\$5)		C	(\$5)	Ψ0	MR		(\$5)			-		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			+
21. Special Feat		N	N	(\$3)		N	(\$3)		N		(\$3)			-		***************************************			+
	Center / Nbhd Netwk	N	N	-		ВС	(\$5)		BC		(\$5)								+
23. Unit Rent P		14	\$365	-		\$450	(\$3)		\$370	,	(43)							-	+
24. Total Adjus			4000	\$50		ψ+30	(\$20)		ψ0.0		\$30		!						1
25. Indicated R			\$415	\$30		\$430	(ΨΖΟ)	***************	\$400)	\$30		İ						+
26. Correlated		\$ 4 15		ny Romark	e chack	here and add the re	marke to	the back		·			L	.L		1		d	.i
Zo. Correlated	- Oubject Nent	,	\$430	low		•		range		4 - 6	. 404								
properties. If sub	stments column, enter dolla bject is better, enter a "Plu Use back of page to expla	s" amount and if subje	bject property varies ct is inferior to the co	from comp	arable	\$400 Appraiser's Signature	00%	range	\$406	Date (mm/	dd/yy) /10/17	Rev	viewer's Signature				Dat	e (mm/dd/y	уууу)
Previous editions	s are obsolete															fo	rm HUD.	-92273 ((07/2003

Estimates of Restricted Rent by Comparison - As Complete

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Housing Federal Housing Commissioner

OM B Approval No. 2502-0029 (exp. 09/30/2016)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information is needed to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjust ment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is considered nonsensitive and doesnot require special protection. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this legalsys a currently valid OMB control number.

1. Unit Type	2. Subject Property (Ad	dress)	A. Comparable Proper	ty No. 1(add	ress)	B. Comparable Prope	erty No. 2 (ad	dress)	C. Comparable Pr	operty No. 3 (add	ress)	D. Comparable Property No. 4 (addres	ss) E.	Comparable Pro	perty No. 5 (ad	Idress)
	Arbor Trace II Apar	tments	Francis Lake II	Apartment	s	Rolling Pine A	partments		Brookhaver	n Apartments						
Two-Bedroom	4700 Rolling Pine D	rive	939 Lakes Bou	levard		4741Rolling Pi	ine Drive		4740 Brook	haven Court						
	Lake Park, Lownde	s, GA	Lake Park, Lov	vndes, GA		Lake Park, Lo	wndes, GA	١	Lake Park,	Lowndes, GA						
Characterist	tics	Data	Data	Adjus	ments	Data	Adjust	ments	Data	Adjust	ments	Data Adjustme	ents	Data	Adjus	stments
3. Effective Da	ate of Rental	05/2017	05/2017	T		05/2017			05/2017		T			***************************************		T
4. Type of Pro	ject/Stories	G/1	WU/2			T/2			G/1, WU/2, T	/2						
5. Floor of Un	it in Building	First	Varies			Varies			Varies							
6. Project Occ	cupancy %	97%	100%	T		96%			96%							T
7. Concession	ns	N	N			N			N							
8. Year Built		1995/Proposed	1983		\$50	1994		\$50	1978		\$50)				
9. Sq. Ft. Area	ı	860	865			1,070	(\$25)		773		\$ 10					T
10. Number of E	Bedrooms	2	2			2			2							
11. Number of B	Baths	1.0	1.0			2.0	(\$20)		1.0							T
12. Number of F	Rooms	4	4			3			4							
13. Balc./Terrac	ce/Patio	N	Y	(\$5)		N			N							
14. Garage or C	Carport	L/0	L/0			L/0			L/0							T
15. Equipment	a. A/C	С	С			С			С							
	b. Range/Refrigerator	RF	RF			RF			RF							
***************************************	c. Disposal	N	N	1		N			N		1			***************************************		T
	d. Microwave/Dishwasher	N	N			D	(\$10)		M	(\$5)				***************************************		
***************************************	e. Washer/Dryer	HU	HU	1		WD	(\$20)		L		\$5	5		***************************************		T
	f. Carpet	С	С			С			С							
	g. Drapes	В	В			В			В							
	h. Pool/Rec.Area	R	N	1	\$10	ER	(\$ 10)		PER	(\$20)	1			***************************************		
16. Services	a. Heat/Type	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E					***************************************		
	b. Cooling	N/E	N/E	1		N/E			N/E		1			•••••		
***************************************	c. Cook/Type	N/E	N/E	1		N/E			N/E		1			***************************************		
	d. Electricity	N	N			N			N					***************************************		
	e. Hot Water	N/E	N/E	1		N/E			N/E					***************************************		
	f. Cold Water/Sewer	N	N			N			N					•••••		
	g. Trash	Y	Y			Y			Y							
17. Storage	***************************************	Y/0	Y/0			N		\$5	N		\$5	5		***************************************		
18. Project Loc	ation	Average	Similar	1		Similar			Similar				***************************************	***************************************		1
19. Security		Y	N	1	\$5	N		\$5	N		\$:	5				
20. Clubho use/	Meeting Room	N	MR	(\$5)		С	(\$5)		MR	(\$5)	1		**********	***************************************		1
21. Special Feat	ures	N	N	1		N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		N				************	******************************	_	1
22. Business C	enter / Nbhd Netwk	N	N	1		BC	(\$5)		BC	(\$5)	 			•••••		1
23. Unit Rent P	er Month		\$395			\$525			\$380	1						1
24. Total Adjus	stment			\$55			(\$35)			\$40						
25. Indicated R	ent		\$450	1		\$490			\$420							1
26. Correlated	Subject Rent	\$450	If there are a	ny Remarl	ks, check	here and add the r	remarks to	the back	of page.			~ 				.t
		high rent	\$490	low	rent	\$420	60%	6 range	\$434 to	\$476					***************************************	***************************************
Note: In the adius	stments column, enter dolla		bject property varies	from comp	arable	Appraiser's Signatur	×			te (mm/dd/yy)	Re	eviewer's Signature			Date (mm/dd/	уууу)
properties. If subj	ject is better, enter a "Plu Use back of page to expla	s" amount and if subje	ct is inferior to the co							05/10/17						
Previous editions						S								form HI	JD-92273	(07/20
																, = 0 0

Estimates of Restricted Rent by Comparison - As Complete

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Housing

(exp. 09/30/2016)

OM B Approval No. 2502-0029

Federal Housing Commissioner

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information is needed to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjust ment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is considered nonsensative and does not require special protection. This agency may not collect this information is completed in the properties of the properti

1. Unit Type	2. Subject Property (Add Arbor Trace II Apar	tments	A. Comparable Proper Francis Lake II A	partment		B. Comparable Prope Rolling Pine Ap	partments			Apartments	ess)	D. Comparable Prope	rty No. 4 (ad	ddress)	E. Comparable F	roperty No. 5	(address)
Two-Bedroom	4700 Rolling Pine D		939 Lakes Boul			4741Rolling Pi			4740 Brook								
Characteristics	Lake Park, Lownde	S, GA Data	Lake Park , Low Data		ments	Lake Park , Lov		ments	Data	_o wndes, GA Adjustn	nante	Data	Δdius	tments	Data	Δ	djustments
***************************************		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Aujus	,t		7	t	***************************************			Data	70,00	~~~~	- Data		,,uakinenta ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
3. Effective Date		05/2017	05/2017			05/2017			05/2017	/a							
4. Type of Project		T/2	WU/2	-		T/2			G/1, WU/2, T/	/2							_
5. Floor of Unit in		First	Varies			Varies	-		Varies				-	 			
Project Occup Concessions	oancy%	97%	100% N			96%	-		96% N					ļ	ļ		
8. Year Built		N	1983	ļ	\$50	N	-		1978								
***************************************		1995/Proposed		ļ	\$50	1994	(0.05)	\$50	773		\$50 \$10		ļ	↓			
9. Sq. Ft. Area 10. Number of Bed	-l	860	865 2	-		1,070	(\$25)		2		\$10			╂			
11. Number of Bat		2	1.0	ļ	\$10	2	-		1.0		\$ 10		 				
	-	1.5			\$10	2.0	(\$ 10)				\$10		-	-			_
12. Number of Ro		4	4 Y	(0 =		3	-		4 N				ļ	 	ļ		
		N		(\$5)		N							-	+			
14. Garage or Car		L/0	L/0 C	-		L/0	-		L/0 C					 	ļ		
15. Equipment a.		<u>C</u>	RF			C	-		RF				-	-			
	Range/Refrigerator	RF		ļ		RF	ļ						ļ	 			
	Disposal	N	N N			N			N M						ļ		
***************************************	Microwave/Dishwasher	N		ļ		D	(\$ 10)			(\$5)			ļ				
***************************************	Washer/Dryer	HU	HU			WD	(\$20)		L		\$5						
***************************************	Carpet	C	C B	ļ		C	-		C B				ļ	ļ	ļ		
***************************************	Drapes	В		ļ		В	-						ļ				
	Pool/Rec.Area	R	N		\$10	ER	(\$10)		PER	(\$20)							
***************************************	Heat/Type	N/E	N/E N/E			N/E	-		N/E				-		ļ		
	Cooling	N/E		ļ		N/E	ļ		N/E				ļ	 			
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Cook/Type	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E					<b></b>	ļ		
	Electricity	N	N N/E	-		N=	-		N N/F				-	-			
	Hot Water	N/E	N/E			N/E	-		N/E				-	-			
	Cold Water/Sewer	N	N Y			N	-		N Y					<b></b>	ļ		
***************************************	Trash	Y				Y								<b></b>			
17. Storage		Y/0	Y/0			N		\$5	N		\$5						
18. Project Locati	on	Average	Similar			Similar	-		Similar				-	<b></b>	ļ		
19. Security		Y	N		\$5	N	-	\$5	N		\$5	•	ļ	<b></b>			
20. Clubhouse/Me		N	MR	(\$5)		C	(\$5)		MR	(\$5)							
21 Special Feature		N	N N			N			N BC				-				
22. Business Cent		N				BC	(\$5)			(\$5)				ļ			
23. Unit Rent Per			\$395			\$525	(0.00)		\$380	2						-	
24. Total Adjustm			£ 460	\$65		<b>\$</b> 500	(\$25)		\$430	\$50		}	ļ	ļ			
25. Indicated Rent		<b>*</b> 400	\$460			\$500		411				L	L	J	I		
26. Correlated Su	bject Kent	\$460		·		here and add the r	~~~~										
		high rent	\$500	low		\$430	×	range									
properties. If subject	ents column, enter dolla t is better, enter a "Plu e back of page to expla	s" amount and if subje	ct is inferior to the co			Appraiser's Signature	9		Dai	05/10/17	Re	viewer's Signature				Date (mm/	id/yyyy)
Previous editions are	e obsolete										,				form	- HUD-9227	73 (07/2003


#### **Estimates of Restricted Rent** by Comparison - As Complete

#### U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Housing Federal Housing Commissioner

OMB Approval No. 2502-0029 (exp. 09/30/2016)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information isneeded to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjustment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is considered nonsensitive and does not require special protection. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. Unit Type	2. Subject Property (Add		A. Comparable Proper			B. Comparable Prope			C. Comparable Pro		ess)	D. Comparable Prop	erty No. 4 (a	ddress)	E. Comparable P	roperty No. 5 (a	iddress)
	Arbor Trace II Apart		Francis Lake II		S	Rolling Pine A	•		Brookhaven	•							
Three-Bedroom	4700 Rolling Pine D		939 Lakes Bou			4741Rolling Pi			4740 Brookh								
Characteristi	Lake Park, Lowndes	Data	Lake Park, Lov		ments	Lake Park , Lo	wndes, GA Adjust		Lake Park , L	Owndes, GA Adjust	ments	Data	Adiu	stments	Data	Adiu	ustments
3. Effective Da			05/2017	710,000	t		710,000		05/2017		,,,,,,,	Data	7.0,0			7.0,0	
4. Type of Proj		05/2017 T/2	WU/2	-		05/2017 T/2			G/1, WU/2, T/				-	+			
5. Floor of Unit			VVU/2 Varies	-					Varies	-			-	+			
6. Project Occi		First 97%	100%			Varies 96%	-		96%				-	-			
7. Concession		97% N	N			96% N	-		90% N				-	-			-
8. Year Built	5	1995/Proposed	1983	-	\$50	1994		\$50	1978		\$50		-	+			
9. Sq. Ft. Area		910	924	-	\$30	1,254	(\$40)	\$30	892		\$50			-			9
10. Number of B	odroomo	3	3	-		3	(\$40)		3					-			
11. Number of B		1.5	1.5			2.0	(\$ 10)		1.5					+			
12. Number of R		5	5			5	(\$ 10)		5				-				
13. Balc./Terrace		N	Y	/¢ E\		N	-		N N			<b>_</b>	+	+	-		
14. Garage or Ca		N L/0	L/0	(\$5)		L/0	-		L/0				-	-	-		
	i	L/0 C	C C	-		L/0 C	-		C					-			
15. Equipment a	b. Range/Refrigerator	RF	RF	-		RF	-		RF					+	-		
	·		N				-		N N					-			
	c. Disposal d. Microwave/Dishwasher	N	N			N D	(C.40)		M	(A.E.)				-	-		
~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	N	HU	-		WD	(\$10) (\$20)		L	(\$5)	\$5			-			
	e. Washer/Dryer	HU C	C	-		C	(\$20)		C		φι	•	-	+			
***************************************	f. Carpet	В	В			В	-		В								
	g. Drapes	~~~~~	N N		\$ 10		(0.40)		PER	(0.00)							
~~~~~~	h. Pool/Rec.Area	R N/E	N/E		φ IU	ER N/E	(\$ 10)		N/E	(\$20)							
	. Heat/Type		N/E N/E						N/E				-				
	b. Cooling	N/E	N/E N/E			N/E	-		N/E								
	c. Cook/Type	N/E N	N N	-		N/E N	-		N N				-	-			-
	d. Electricity	N/E	N/E	-	***************************************		-		N/E				-	-			-
	e. Hot Water		N N	-		N/E			N N				-	-			
~~~~~~	f. Cold Water/Sewer	N	Y			N	-		Y								
17. Storage	g. Trash	Y Y/0	Y/0	-		Y N					\$5		-	-			
18. Project Loca	atio n		Similar					\$5	Similar		φο)	-	-	••••••		-
19. Security	111011	Average Y	N	-	\$5	Similar N		\$5			\$5				•		
20. Clubhouse/N	A ooting Poom	Y N	MR	(\$5)	Φ5	N C	(\$5)	\$5	MR	(\$5)	\$5	<u>'</u>	-	+			
21. Special Featu		N N	N N	(\$5)		N N	(\$5)		N N	(\$5)			-	-	-		
	nter / Nbhd Netwk	N N	N N	-			(0.5)		BC	/¢ =\				-			
23. Unit Rent Pe		IN	\$425			BC Ccoo	(\$5)		\$455	(\$5)				-	 		
24. Total Adjust			\$4Z5	0.55		\$600	(C.4C)		\$455	600		1			1	C 44	10
25. Indicated Re			\$480	\$55		P.ECO	(\$40)		\$485	\$30		·	-			\$ 11	IU .
		¢ 40E		nu Dom	o obos!:	\$560	amarka t-	the beat	L		I	1		_i	.1		
26. Correlated S	oubject Kent	\$485	- tumel			here and add the r											
		high rent	\$560	low		\$480	×	range		\$544		BELOW 60% F	RANGE				
properties. If subje	ments column, enter dolla ect is better, enter a "Plus Ise back of page to explai	" amount and if subject	ct is inferior to the co			Appraiser's Signatur	е		Dat	(mm/dd/yy) 05/10/17	Re	viewer'sSignature				Date (mm/dd/	/yyyy)



Rent Roll

Arbor Trace II Apartments (010)

Report Date: 04/2017 **Building: 0**

<u>Unit</u>	Tenant s with Square Footage Set	Move In	Lease End	Description	Potential	Net Rent	<u>Lease</u>	Sq. Ft.
G44	Metzger, Kandis	08/01/2011	07/31/2017	Z2	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	935
Units in Bu Occupied U % Occupied	Inits: 1				\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0	0
Building:	Ī							
<u>Unit</u>	Tenant	Move In	Lease End	Description	Potential	Net Rent	Lease	Sq. Ft.
	with Square Footage Set		00/20/2017	3.72	0.465.00			
A01	Pittman, Christy	09/25/2009	09/30/2017	M3	\$465.00	\$276.00	276.00	962
A02	Spindler, Louise		07/28/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
A03	Griffin, Mercedes		01/15/2018	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
A04	Holley, Tyaisha		01/31/2018	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
A05	Young, Luciana		05/15/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$455.00	455.00	935
A06	Ferguson, Brittney		02/01/2018	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
	R Willis, Twillonda	01/16/2017	04/10/2017	M2	\$143.00	\$143.00	143.00	935
A07	* VACANT * 4/11/2017 - 4/30/2017			M2	\$287.00	\$0.00	0.00	935
A08	JERNIGAN, BETTIE	10/04/2002	10/03/2017	M3	\$465.00	\$293.00	293.00	962
Units in Bu Occupied U % Occupied	Jnits: 7				\$3,510.00	\$2,887.00	2,887.0	0
Building:	2							
Unit	Tenant	Move In	Lease End	Description	Potential	Net Rent	Lease	Sq. Ft.
Units	with Square Footage Set							
B09	Bean, Gary	10/31/2016	10/30/2017	S1	\$390.00	\$390.00	390.00	645
B10	TEMPLE, RICHARD	05/07/2004	07/31/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$127.00	127.00	935
B11	Patchett, Lindy	10/26/2016	05/31/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
B12	Stallings, Mindy	01/25/2013	01/30/2018	M2	\$430.00	\$552.00	552.00	935
B13	VEGA, ANGEL	11/28/2006	04/30/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$87.00	87.00	935
B14	Dannels, Valarie	08/21/2013	10/31/2017	S1	\$390.00	\$93.00	93.00	645
Units in Bu Occupied U % Occupied	Jnits: 6				\$2,500.00	\$1,679.00	1,679.0	0
Building:	3							
Unit	Tenant	Move In	Lease End	Description	Potential	Net Rent	Lease	Sq. Ft.
Units	with Square Footage Set			es where the same of			a 	30-1070-30
C15	Fives, Diane	11/04/2011	11/30/2017	M3	\$465.00	\$240.00	240.00	962
** = Expire	d Lease							
	d Deuse							

*MR = Moved out during the report range.
Print Date & Time: 05/22/2017 8:52:47AM

Rent Roll

Arbor Trace II Apartments (010)

Report Date: 04/2017 **Building: 3**

<u>Unit</u>	Tenant	Move In	Lease End	Description	Potential	Net Rent	Lease	Sq. Ft.
C16	*MR West, Linda	07/12/2016	04/10/2017	M2	\$143.00	\$143.00	143.00	935
C16	* VACANT * 4/11/2017 - 4/17/2017			M2	\$100.00	\$0.00	0.00	935
C16	James, Kendrick	04/18/2017	04/17/2018	M2	\$186.00	\$186.00	186.00	935
C17	Thompson, Jessica	12/16/2016	12/15/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
C18	Jackson, Simone	11/11/2016	11/10/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
C19	* VACANT * 4/1/2017 - 4/13/2017			M2	\$186.00	\$0.00	0.00	935
C19	Green, Marianne	04/14/2017	04/13/2018	M2	\$244.00	\$244.00	244.00	935
C20	Powell, Bernard	08/15/2016	08/14/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
C21	Pendleton, Annie	01/27/2017	01/26/2018	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
C22	Mixon, Michelle	01/06/2017	01/05/2018	M3	\$465.00	\$465.00	465.00	962
	n Building: 8 ed Units: 8 upied: 100%				\$3,509.00	\$2,998.00	2,998.00	

Building: 4

<u>Unit</u>	Tenant	Move In	Lease End	Description	Potential	Net Rent	Lease	Sq. Ft.
ı	Jnits with Square Footage Set							
D23	Plain, Patricia	01/31/2017	01/30/2018	S1	\$390.00	\$390.00	390.00	645
D24	Felkins, Billy	11/18/2014	11/17/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
D25	Rountree, Deidre	01/25/2016	01/24/2018	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
D26	Williams, JaNajah	11/18/2016	05/05/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
D27	Murrell, Amber	11/21/2016	11/20/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
D28	Woodson, Angela	02/28/2017	02/27/2018	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
D29	Harris, Mack	09/09/2016	09/08/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$484.00	484.00	935
D30	Brown, Joshua	04/08/2016	05/31/2017	S1	\$390.00	\$404.00	404.00	645
Units i	n Building: 8				\$3,360.00	\$3,428.00	3,428.00	
Occup	ied Units: 8				\$3,300.00	\$5,426.00	5,420.00	
% Occ	rupied: 100%							

Building: 5

<u>Unit</u>	Tenant	Move In	Lease End	Description	Potential	Net Rent	Lease	Sq. Ft.
Uı	nits with Square Footage Set							
E31	GIFFORD, BRENDA	03/03/2010	03/31/2018	M3	\$465.00	\$465.00	465.00	962
E32	Stephens, Tasha	09/27/2013	04/30/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$270.00	270.00	935
E33	Bridges, Tina	12/01/2016	11/30/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
E34	Reed, Brandy	02/10/2017	02/09/2018	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
E35	Bacon, Shantavia	01/31/2017	01/30/2018	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
E36	JOHNSON, MARY	04/02/2010	06/30/2017	M3	\$465.00	\$465.00	465.00	962

^{** =} Expired Lease

^{*}MR = Moved out during the report range.
Print Date & Time: 05/22/2017 8:52:47AM

Rent Roll

Arbor Trace II Apartments (010)

Report Date: 04/2017 **Building:** 5

<u>Unit</u>	<u>Tenant</u>		Move In	Lease End	Description	Potential	Net Rent	Lease	Sq. Ft.
Units in B		6 6				\$2,650.00	\$2,490.00	2,490.00)
% Occupie	ed:	100%							

Building: 6

<u>Unit</u>	Tenant	Move In	Lease End	Description	Potential	Net Rent	Lease	Sq. Ft.
	Units with Square Footage Set							
F37	Young, Chantel	07/27/2016	07/26/2017	M3	\$465.00	\$465.00	465.00	962
F38	Brown, Fathiyyah	02/07/2017	02/06/2018	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
F39	Johnson, Farrellvonta	02/10/2017	02/09/2018	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
F40	Tabron, Shawntel	11/06/2015	11/05/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$552.00	552.00	935
F41	Lippel, Brandy	08/11/2016	08/10/2017	M2	\$430.00	\$430.00	430.00	935
F42	Gray, Marlana	01/16/2015	01/31/2018	M3	\$465.00	\$465.00	465.00	962
Units	s in Building: 6				\$2,650.00	\$2,772.00	2,772.00	
Occu	pied Units: 6				\$2,030.00	\$2,772.00	2,772.00	
% O	ccupied: 100%							

\$18,179.00 \$16,254.00 16,254.00 **Total Units:** 43 **Grand Totals:**

Total Occupied: 42.00 Total % Occupied: 97.67

Selected Parameters:

Property Name - Arbor Trace II Apartments Rent Roll for - 04/2017

Show Negative Rents as Zero - True

Sort By Unit - True

Include Inactive Units - False

** = Expired Lease

*MR = Moved out during the report range. Print Date & Time: 05/22/2017 8:52:47AM

Page 3 of 3

Dec-14

ow Labels	Sum of Amount
Advertising	\$412
Advertising	\$41
Annual Ancillary Income	\$4,19
Laundry and Vending Revenue	\$1
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$1
Tenant Charges	\$3,04
Application Fees Received	\$1,15
Annual Gross Potential Rental Income	\$195,480
Rental Income from Current Year Budget	\$195,48
Annual Income (Commercial)	\$
Rent Revenue - Stores and Commercial	\$
Decorating	\$5,34
Painting	\$5,34
Elevator Maintenance Expense	\$
Elevator Maintenance/Contract	\$
Employee Benefits	\$74
Health Insurance & Other Emp. Benefits	\$20
Workmen's Compensation	\$53
Employee Payroll Tax	\$3,14
Payroll Taxes	\$3,14
Excluded Expense	\$
Annual Capital Budget	\$
Excluded Income	\$189,96
Interest Income	\$
Other Project Sources	\$
Rental Income from Current Year Actual	\$162,90
Retained Excess Income	\$
RHS Rental Assist. Received from Actual	\$27,05
Special Claims Revenue	\$
Exterminating	\$2,19
Services	\$2,19
Fuel	\$
Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas)	\$
Garbage and Trash Removal	\$7,74
Garbage & Trash Removal	\$7,74
Gas	Ś
Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas)	\$
Ground Expense	\$12,70
Grounds	\$12,70
Snow Removal	\$1
Insurance	\$8,52

Fidelity Coverage Insurance	\$0
Other Insurance	\$0
Property & Liability Insurance	\$8,522
Lighting and Miscellaneous Power	\$5,657
Electricity	\$5,657
Management Fee	\$21,939
Management Fee	\$21,939
Misc. Taxes/Licenses	\$88
Other Taxes, Licenses & Permits	\$88
Special Assessments	\$0
Other Administrative	\$29,659
Legal Expense	\$29,639
Office Furniture & Equipment	\$972
Province of the Control of the Contr	
Office Supplies	\$2,526
Other Administrative Expenses	\$2,460
Project Auditing Expense	\$3,880
Project Bookkeeping/Accounting	\$0
Site Management Payroll	\$16,271
Telephone & Answering Service	\$2,714
Training Expense	\$564
Other Maintenance	\$0
Other Maintenance	\$0
Other Operating	\$15,036
Maintenance & Repairs Supply	\$14,931
Other Operating Expense	\$106
Other Utilities	\$0
Payroll	\$19,497
Maintenance & Repairs Payroll	\$19,497
Personal Property Tax	\$0
Personal Property Taxes	\$0
Real Estate Tax	\$14,822
Real Estate Taxes	\$14,822
Repairs	\$0
Maintenance & Repairs Contract	\$0
Replacement Reserves Releases Included as Expense	ŚC
Reserves for Replacement	\$14,844
Transfer to Reserve	\$14,844
Service Coordinator	\$0
Service Coordinator Expenses	\$0
Service Coordinator Income	\$0
Vacancy (Apartments)	-\$5,515
Vacancies - Apartments	-\$5,515
Vacancies - Apartments Vacancies - Concessions	\$3,313
Vacancy (Commercial)	\$0
Vacancies - Stores and Commercial	
	\$0 \$420
Water/Sewer	\$439

Water	\$189
(blank)	\$680,024
Net Rental Revenue	\$189,965
Operating Expenses	
Subtotal	\$124,516
Total Operating Expenses	\$147,948
Total Other Revenue	\$4,197
Total Rent Revenue	\$195,480
Total Revenue	
Total Taxes and Insurance	\$23,432
Total Vacancies	-\$5,515
(blank)	
rand Total	\$1,226,943

Dec-15

ow Labels	Sum of Amount
Advertising	\$26
Advertising	\$26
Annual Ancillary Income	\$3,94
Laundry and Vending Revenue	\$65
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$
Tenant Charges	\$2,33
Application Fees Received	\$96
Annual Gross Potential Rental Income	\$203,04
Rental Income from Current Year Budget	\$203,04
Annual Income (Commercial)	\$
Rent Revenue - Stores and Commercial	\$
Decorating	\$4,19
Painting	\$4,19
Elevator Maintenance Expense	\$
Elevator Maintenance/Contract	\$1
Employee Benefits	\$1,75
Health Insurance & Other Emp. Benefits	\$99
Workmen's Compensation	\$75
Employee Payroll Tax	\$2,52
Payroll Taxes	\$2,52
Excluded Expense	\$
Annual Capital Budget	\$
Excluded Income	\$196,37
Interest Income	\$
Other Project Sources	\$
Rental Income from Current Year Actual	\$171,11
Retained Excess Income	\$
RHS Rental Assist. Received from Actual	\$25,26
Special Claims Revenue	\$
Exterminating	\$1,95
Services	\$1,95
Fuel	\$
Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas)	\$
Garbage and Trash Removal	\$7,02
Garbage & Trash Removal	\$7,02
Gas	Ś
Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas)	\$
Ground Expense	\$11,25
Grounds	\$11,25
Snow Removal	\$1
Insurance	\$8,62

Fidelity Coverage Insurance	\$0
Other Insurance	\$0
	\$8,621
Property & Liability Insurance	
Lighting and Miscellaneous Power	\$5,587
Electricity	\$5,587
Management Fee	\$22,575
Management Fee	\$22,575
Misc. Taxes/Licenses	\$53
Other Taxes, Licenses & Permits	\$53
Special Assessments	\$0
Other Administrative	\$29,570
Legal Expense	\$1,533
Office Furniture & Equipment	\$903
Office Supplies	\$1,941
Other Administrative Expenses	\$451
Project Auditing Expense	\$3,880
Project Bookkeeping/Accounting	\$0
Site Management Payroll	\$16,578
Telephone & Answering Service	\$3,681
Training Expense	\$603
Other Maintenance	ŚC
Other Maintenance	\$0
Other Operating	\$14,869
Maintenance & Repairs Supply	\$14,612
Other Operating Expense	\$257
Other Utilities	\$257
	\$12,367
Payroll Maintanance & Banaira Baurall	
Maintenance & Repairs Payroll	\$12,367
Personal Property Tax	\$0
Personal Property Taxes	\$0
Real Estate Tax	\$10,195
Real Estate Taxes	\$10,195
Repairs	\$0
Maintenance & Repairs Contract	\$0
Replacement Reserves Releases Included as Expense	\$0
Reserves for Replacement	\$14,844
Transfer to Reserve	\$14,844
Service Coordinator	\$0
Service Coordinator Expenses	\$0
Service Coordinator Income	\$0
Vacancy (Apartments)	-\$6,661
Vacancies - Apartments	-\$6,661
Vacancies - Concessions	\$0
Vacancy (Commercial)	\$0
Vacancies - Stores and Commercial	\$0
Water/Sewer	\$483
ridee, joene.	\$275

Water	\$208
(blank)	\$863,620
Net Rental Revenue	\$196,379
Operating Expenses	
Subtotal	\$114,424
Total Operating Expenses	\$133,294
Total Other Revenue	\$3,948
Total Rent Revenue	\$203,040
Total Revenue	\$200,327
Total Taxes and Insurance	\$18,870
Total Vacancies	-\$6,661
(blank)	
irand Total	\$1,408,464
	τ-//

Expense Year

Dec-16

ow Labels	Sum of Amount
Advertising	\$517
Advertising	\$517
Annual Ancillary Income	\$2,244
Laundry and Vending Revenue	\$0
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$0
Tenant Charges	\$2,900
Application Fees Received	-\$656
Annual Gross Potential Rental Income	\$210,600
Rental Income from Current Year Budget	\$210,600
Annual Income (Commercial)	\$0
Rent Revenue - Stores and Commercial	\$0
Decorating	\$6,821
Painting	\$6,821
Elevator Maintenance Expense	\$0
Elevator Maintenance/Contract	\$0
Employee Benefits	\$1,939
Health Insurance & Other Emp. Benefits	\$1,244
Workmen's Compensation	\$695
Employee Payroll Tax	\$2,415
Payroll Taxes	\$2,415
Excluded Expense	\$0
Annual Capital Budget	\$0
Excluded Income	\$200,389
Interest Income	\$0
Other Project Sources	\$0
Rental Income from Current Year Actual	\$175,178
Retained Excess Income	\$0
RHS Rental Assist. Received from Actual	\$25,211
Special Claims Revenue	\$0
Exterminating	\$1,848
Services	\$1,848
Fuel	\$0
Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas)	\$0
Garbage and Trash Removal	\$6,922
Garbage & Trash Removal	\$6,922
Gas	\$0
Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas)	\$0
Ground Expense	\$11,604
Grounds	\$11,604
Snow Removal	\$0
Insurance	\$8,628

Fidelity Coverage Insurance	\$0
Other Insurance	\$0
Property & Liability Insurance	\$8.628
Lighting and Miscellaneous Power	\$5,647
Electricity	\$5,647
	\$23,047
Management Fee	
Management Fee	\$23,086
Misc. Taxes/Licenses	\$278
Other Taxes, Licenses & Permits	\$278
Special Assessments	\$0
Other Administrative	\$29,710
Legal Expense	\$2,814
Office Furniture & Equipment	\$1,280
Office Supplies	\$2,350
Other Administrative Expenses	\$391
Project Auditing Expense	\$3,880
Project Bookkeeping/Accounting	\$0
Site Management Payroll	\$16,403
Telephone & Answering Service	\$2,048
Training Expense	\$544
Other Maintenance	\$0
Other Maintenance	\$0
Other Operating	\$13,182
Maintenance & Repairs Supply	\$13,065
Other Operating Expense	\$117
Other Utilities	\$0
Payroll	\$12,864
Maintenance & Repairs Payroll	\$12,864
Personal Property Tax	\$0
Personal Property Taxes	\$0
Real Estate Tax	\$10,643
Real Estate Taxes	\$10,643
Repairs	\$0
Maintenance & Repairs Contract	\$0
Replacement Reserves Releases Included as Expense	\$0
Reserves for Replacement	\$19,844
Transfer to Reserve	\$19,844
Service Coordinator	\$15,64
Service Coordinator Expenses	\$0
Service Coordinator Expenses Service Coordinator Income	\$0
	200
Vacancy (Apartments)	-\$10,211
Vacancies - Apartments	-\$10,211
Vacancies - Concessions	\$0
Vacancy (Commercial)	\$0
Vacancies - Stores and Commercial	\$0
Water/Sewer	\$528

Water	\$228
(blank)	\$878,923
Net Rental Revenue	\$200,389
Operating Expenses	
Subtotal	\$117,085
Total Operating Expenses	\$136,634
Total Other Revenue	\$2,244
Total Rent Revenue	\$210,600
Total Revenue	\$202,633
Total Taxes and Insurance	\$19,548
Total Vacancies	-\$10,211
(blank)	
and Total	\$1,438,423

Expense Year

Budget

ow Labels	Sum of Amount
Advertising	\$40
Advertising	\$40
Annual Ancillary Income	\$2,40
Application Fees	\$
Laundry and Vending Revenue	\$
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$
Tenant Charges	\$2,40
Annual Gross Potential Rental Income	\$218,16
Rental Income from Current Year Budget	\$218,16
Annual Income (Commercial)	\$
Rent Revenue - Stores and Commercial	\$
Decorating	\$4,50
Painting	\$4,50
Elevator Maintenance Expense	\$
Elevator Maintenance/Contract	\$
Employee Benefits	\$2,70
Health Insurance & Other Emp. Benefits	\$2,00
Workmen's Compensation	\$70
Employee Payroll Tax	\$2,90
Payroll Taxes	\$2,90
Excluded Expense	\$
Annual Capital Budget	\$
Excluded Income	\$
Interest Income	\$
Other Project Sources	\$
Rental Income from Current Year Actual	\$
Retained Excess Income	\$
RHS Rental Assist. Received from Actual	\$
Special Claims Revenue	\$
Exterminating	\$2,45
Services	\$2,45
Fuel	Ś
Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas)	 \$
Garbage and Trash Removal	\$6,70
Garbage & Trash Removal	\$6,70
Gas	Ś
Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas)	Ś
Ground Expense	\$11,75
Grounds	\$11,75
Snow Removal	\$11,75
Insurance	\$9.69

Fidelity Coverage Insurance	\$0
Other Insurance	\$150
Property & Liability Insurance	\$9,546
Lighting and Miscellaneous Power	\$6,000
Electricity	\$6,000
Management Fee	\$24,696
Management Fee	\$24,696
Misc. Taxes/Licenses	\$150
Other Taxes, Licenses & Permits	\$150
And the Commission of Commission of the Commission of Comm	\$130
Special Assessments Other Administrative	
DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	\$28,454
Legal Expense	\$600
Office Furniture & Equipment	\$915
Office Supplies	\$2,400
Other Administrative Expenses	\$300
Project Auditing Expense	\$4,000
Project Bookkeeping/Accounting	\$0
Site Management Payroll	\$16,848
Telephone & Answering Service	\$2,700
Training Expense	\$691
Other Maintenance	\$0
Other Maintenance	\$0
Other Operating	\$16,200
Maintenance & Repairs Supply	\$16,000
Other Operating Expense	\$200
Other Utilities	\$0
Payroll	\$15,000
Maintenance & Repairs Payroll	\$15,000
Personal Property Tax	\$0
Personal Property Taxes	\$0
Real Estate Tax	\$15,200
Real Estate Taxes	\$15,200
Repairs	\$0
Maintenance & Repairs Contract	\$0
Replacement Reserves Releases Included as Expense	\$0
Reserves for Replacement	\$19,844
Transfer to Reserve	\$19,844
Service Coordinator	\$19,844
Service Coordinator Expenses	\$0 \$0
Service Coordinator Income	\$0
Vacancy (Apartments)	-\$9,817
Vacancies - Apartments	-\$9,817
Vacancies - Concessions	\$0
Vacancy (Commercial)	\$0
Vacancies - Stores and Commercial	\$0 \$520
Water/Sewer	

Water	\$220
blank)	\$924,485
Net Rental Revenue	\$208,343
Operating Expenses	
Subtotal	\$122,282
Total Operating Expenses	\$147,328
Total Other Revenue	\$2,400
Total Rent Revenue	\$218,160
Total Revenue	\$210,743
Total Taxes and Insurance	\$25,046
Total Vacancies	-\$9,817
(blank)	
nd Total	\$1,302,400

Expense Year Dec-17 # of Months 4

Row Labels	Sum of Amount
Advertising	\$47
Advertising	\$47
Annual Ancillary Income	\$1,020
Laundry and Vending Revenue	\$0
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$2!
Application Fees	\$120
Tenant Charges/Damages	\$50
Income - Cleaning & Rep	\$183
Income - Late Fees	\$619
Forfeited Security Deposits	\$2!
Annual Gross Potential Rental Income	\$71,494
Rental Income from Current Year Budget	\$72,720
Rural Development Overage	-\$1,220
Annual Income (Commercial)	\$0
Rent Revenue - Stores and Commercial	\$0
Decorating	\$1,77
Unit Turns	\$1,77
Elevator Maintenance Expense	\$0
Elevator Maintenance/Contract	\$(
Employee Benefits	\$1,154
Workmen's Compensation	\$863
Group Health Insurance	\$50
Retirement Plan Expense	\$23
Employee Payroll Tax	\$74
Payroll Taxes-FICA	\$64!
Unemployment Taxes	\$99
Excluded Income	\$69,604
Retained Excess Income	\$(
Rental Income from Current Year Actual	\$62,848
RHS Rental Assist. Received from Actual	\$6,750
Interest Income	\$0
Exterminating	\$1,369
Services	\$1,369
Fuel	Ś
Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas)	\$(
Garbage and Trash Removal	
Garbage & Trash Removal	
Gas	Ś
Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas)	Ś
Ground Expense	\$3,327

Snow Removal	\$0
Grounds	\$3,327
nsurance	\$8,549
Property & Liability Insurance	\$8,549
Fidelity Coverage Insurance	\$0
Other Insurance	\$0
ighting and Miscellaneous Power	\$1,937
Electricity	\$1,937
Management Fee	\$7,860
Management Fee	\$7,860
Misc. Taxes/Licenses	\$128
Special Assessments	\$0
Other Taxes, Licenses & Permits	\$128
Other Administrative	\$15,918
Site Management Payroll	\$5,492
Accounting/Auditing Fees	\$3,880
Project Bookkeeping/Accounting	\$0
Legal Expense	\$692
Telephone	\$1,037
Office Supplies	\$447
Computer Equipment	\$486
Prospect Screening	\$357
Training Expense	\$62
Bank Charges/Fees	\$42
Postage and Shipping	\$59
Professional Services/Fees	\$3,113
Travel Expense	\$24
Collection Agency Fees	\$174
Late Charges/Fees	\$53
Other Maintenance	\$0
Other Maintenance	\$0
Other Operating	\$5,354
Maintenance & Repairs Supply	\$2,623
Other Operating Expense	\$0
Sanitation	\$2,732
Payroll	\$3,276
Maintenance & Repairs Payroll	\$3,276
Personal Property Tax	\$0
Personal Property Taxes	\$0
Real Estate Tax	\$0
Real Estate Taxes	\$0
Repairs	\$0
Maintenance & Repairs Contract	\$0
Reserves for Replacement	\$ 5,948
Transfer to Reserve	\$5,948
Service Coordinator	\$3,548
Service Coordinator Expenses	\$0

\$0
-\$3,116
-\$3,116
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$163
\$93
\$70
\$310,372
\$68,378
\$51,598
\$1,020
\$71,494
\$69,399
\$8,677
-\$3,116
\$42,921
\$0
\$0

Form RD 3560-7

MULTIPLE FAMILY HOUSING PROJECT BUDGET/ UTILITY ALLOWANCE

FORM APPROVED OMB NO.0575-0189

(Rev. 03-00)	11120		OTILIT	IALL	OWAIIC	, IL			
PROJECT NAM Arbor Trace II Apa		l l	BORROWER N Arbor Trace Apts F				RRO\ 489960	WER ID AND P	ROJECT NO.
Loan/Transfer	Amount \$ 1,484,	100.00	Note Rate Payme	ent \$	9,234.80	IC	Paymo		
Reporting Period	Budget Type	Project Ren	tal Type Profit Typ	e	The follow	ving utilities are	master	I hereby reque	st
Annual	Initial	Family	Full P	rofit	metered:			23 units of	of RA. Current number
✓ Quarterly	✓ Regular Report	Elderly				icity Gas		of RA units _	7
Monthly	Rent Change	Congre		Profit	Water	Sewer		Borrower Ac	counting Method
	SNR	Group	The state of the s		✓ Trash				
8	Other Servicing	Mixed			Other		_	Cash	Accrual
		I	PART I—CASI	H FLO	W STATI	EMENT	251		20
					RENT			PROPOSED	COMMENTS
DE(DECIN	NIDIC DATEC		DGET 01 - 14)	ACTUAL		BUDGET	or (YTD)
			NING DATES> DING DATES>		31 - 14)	(01 - 01 - 1		(01 - 01 - 15) (12 - 31 - 15)	(01 - 01 - 14) (12 - 31 - 14)
OPER ATTON	T C LOVE COVER		DING DITLES	(12-	31 - 14)	(12 - 31 -	4)	(12-31-15)	(12-31-14)
	L CASH SOURC			10	5,480.00	162,908	01	203,040.00	42 Revenue Pr
	NCOME AL ASSISTANCE			10	0,100.00	27,057	-	200,040.00	42 November 1
	ION FEES RECEI					1,155	1200		8
	AND VENDING			8	0.00		0.00	0.00	
	INCOME			2	0.00		0.00	0.00	
	HARGES				2,000.00	3,041		2,500.00	
	ROJECT SOURCE			-	0.00	0000000000	0.00	0.00	
	incy and Continger			(8,797.00)			(9,137.00)	4.5%
	ncy and continger			(0.00)			(0.00)	0
1 0	TAL [(1 thru 7) - (8			18	8.683.00	194,162	2.00	196,403.00	8
	TONAL CASH SO					97700009953			
	N PROJECT			2	0.00	(0.00	0.00	
	ZED LOAN (Non-			9.	0.00		0.00	0.00	
	R FROM RESERV			3	9,628.00	8,847		48,628.00	
	TAL (11 thru 13)			3	9,628.00	8,847	.92	48,628.00	8
15. TOTAL O	CASH SOURCES	(10+14)		22	8,311.00	203,009	9.92	245,031.00	8
OPERATIONA	L CASH USES								To-
16. TOTAL O&	M EXPENSES (F	rom Part I	<i>I)</i>		2,214.00	147,948	-	135,921.00	
17. RHS DEBT	PAYMENT			3	7,855.00	37,855		37,855.00	
18. RHS PAYM	IENT (Overage)					8,902	4.3 (2.3)		
	IENT (Late Fee)						0.00		
	ON IN PRIOR YEA						0.00		
	JTILITY PAYMEN				4.044.00	103/03/03	3.00	1101100	
	R TO RESERVE				4,844.00	14,844		14,844.00	0040 PTO!-
	O OWNER /NP ASS				3,672.00	3,672		3,672.00	2013 RTO paid
	AL (16 thru 23)			18	8,585.00	214,064	1.43	192,292.00	
	TONAL CASH U		DATE:		0.00		0.00	0.00	
	ZED DEBT PAYM		,	-	9,628.00	8,847	10000	48,628.00	8
	CAPITAL BUDGE	1			0.00	27.50.50	.28	0.00	Rounding
	NEOUS			2	9,628.00	8,846	300 000	48,628.00	Rounding
28. SUB-TOT	TAL (25 thru 27)				0,020.00	0,010	7.0-1	40,020.00	
29. TOTAL O	CASH USES (24+	28)		22	8,213.00	222,911	07	240,920.00	
2). IOIAL	ASH USES (24)	20)			.0,210.00	LLL,0		210,020.00	
30. NET CAS	SH (DEFICIT) (1.	5-29)			98.00	-19,901	.15	4,111.00	8
CASH BALAN	S 550A	/,			V1500000-5050				1
	IG CASH BALAN	CE		2	8,668.20	26,508	3.47	28,766.20	
	TO CASH ADJUS					-1,435	.64		Adjust to accru
	ASH BALANCE			2	8,766.20	5,171	.68	32,877.20	

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0575-0189. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 2 1/2 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

MAIN MAIN PAIN SNOV ELEV GROU SERV	TENANCE TENANCE	AND REPAIRS PAYROLL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	or (YTD)
MAIN MAIN PAIN SNOV ELEV GROU SERV	TENANCE TENANCE	AND REPAIRS PAYROLL				
MAIN MAIN PAIN SNOV ELEV GROU SERV	TENANCE TENANCE	AND REPAIRS PAYROLL	13,000.00	19,496.54	13,500.00	100
. MAIN . PAINT . SNOV . ELEV . GROU . SERV	TENANCE	A A ID DED A ID C CLIDDIAL	11,900.00	14,930.72	12,000.00	
PAINT SNOV ELEV GROV SERV	TING	AND REPAIRS SUPPLY	0.00	0.00	0.00	
SNOV ELEV GROU SERV		AND REPAIRS CONTRACT	3,200.00	5.345.29	3.500.00	
. ELEV . GROU . SERV			0.00	0.00	0.00	
GROU SERV		.L	0.00	0.00	0.00	
SERV		NTENANCE/CONTRACT	11,756.00	12.704.90	11.756.00	\$938/M + 50
				2,198.00	2,456.00	108/M PestCo
ANN			2,016.00	7/	<u> </u>	106/M PesiCo
		ALBUDGET(From Part V - Operating)	0.00	0.00 105.68	0.00 200.00	UA CALC FE
0. OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES (Itemize)			200.00	200100100001781 NASS	5)	UA CALC FE
1. SUB-	TOTAL MA	INT. & OPERATING (1 thru 10)	42,072.00	54,781.13	43,412.00	
PELEC	TRICITY	If master metered	5,200.00	5,657.19	5,300.00	Increased outo
3. WATE		check box on	500.00	189.01	200.00	
1. SEWI		front.	400.00	249.65	250.00	
	100 100		0.00	0.00	0.00	
	FUEL (Oil/Coal/Gas)		5,500.00	7,742.30	6,200.00	
	GARBAGE & TRASH REMOVAL			0.00	0.00	
		ES ILITIES (12 thru 17)	0.00 11,600.00	13,838.15	11,950.00	
. PROJ	MANAGEMENT FEE		22,428.00 4,000.00 0.00	21,938.50 3,880.00 0.00	23,184.00 4,000.00 0.00	46x42Ux12N
		KEEPING/ACCOUNTINGES	350.00	271.75	250.00	
		E3	400.00	412.22	300.00	
		ANSWERING SERVICE	1,600.00	2.714.09	1.900.00	15
			1,850.00	2,526.40	1,900.00	
	OFFICE SUPPLIES			972.44	890.00	48.13/MComp
	TRAINING EXPENSE		880.00 686.00	563.92	686.00	
	HEALTH INS. & OTHER EMP. BENEFITS		600.00	206.74	500.00	
	PAYROLL TAXES		3,100.00	3,144.61	3,200.00	
	WORKER'S COMPENSATION		650.00	535.32	675.00	
	OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES (Itemize)		50.00	2.459.84	300.00	Prop tax cons
3. SUB-TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE (19 thru 32)		52,470.00	55,896.73	54,129.00		
		N. N. S.			101	400
4. REAL	REAL ESTATE TAXES		17,000.00	14,822.21	17,100.00	
	SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS		0.00	0.00	0.00	53
	OTHER TAXES, LICENSES & PERMITS		150.00	88.13	150.00	Reg Fee
	PROPERTY & LIABILITY INSURANCE		8,772.00	8,522.00	9,030.00	210/U (3% inc
FIDELITY COVERAGE INSURANCE		0.00	0.00	0.00		
O. OTHER INSURANCE			150.00	0.00	150.00	EP
		XES & INSURANCE (34 thru 39)	26,072.00	23,432.34	26,430.00	

		CURRENT		PROPOSED	COMMENTS
		BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	or (YTD)
RI	SERVE ACCOUNT:			let.	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
1.	BEGINNING BALANCE	38,836.98	73,248.70	77,330.70	lance
2.	TRANSFER TO RESERVE	14,844.00	14.844.00	14,844.00	\$1237/N
	TRANSFER FROM RESERVE				
	3. OPERATING DEFICIT	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	4. ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET (Part V - Reserve)	39,628.00	8,847.92	48,628.00	
	5. BUILDING & EQUIPMENT REPAIR	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	6. OTHER NON-OPERATING EXPENSES	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	7. TOTAL (3 thru 6)	(39,628.00)	(8,847.92)	(48,628.00)	
3.	ENDING BALANCE [(1+2)-7]	14,052.98	79,244.78	43,546.70	
	CAL ESTATE TAX AND INSURANCE ESCROW				
•	BEGINNING BALANCE		13,247.50		
	ENDING BALANCE		5,056.29		
Γŀ	BEGINNING BALANCEENDING BALANCE		9.719.00 8,017.00		
(*(Complete upon submission of actual expenses.)				
	JMBER OF APPLICANTS ON THE WAITING LIST JMBER OF APPLICANTS NEEDING RA		SERVE ACCT. RE		0.00

PART IV—RENT SCHEDULE AND UTILITY ALLOWANCE

A. CURRENT APPROVED RENTS/ UTILITY ALLOWANCE

UNIT DESCRIPTION		RIPTION RENTAL RATES			POTENTIAL INCOME FROM EACH RATE				
BR SIZE	UNIT TYPE	NUMBER	BASIC	NOTE RATE	HUD	BASIC	NOTE RATE	HUD	UTILITY ALLOWANCE
1	*	4	345.00	432.00	0.00	16,560.00	20,736.00	0.00	122.00
2	*	30	385.00	507.00	0.00	138,600.00	182,520.00	0.00	136.00
3	*	8	420.00	567.00	0.00	40,320.00	54,432.00	0.00	177.00
2	Z	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	*	С	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	(*)	С	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	*	С	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	*	С	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			C	URRENT REN	T TOTALS:	195,480.00	257,688.00	0.00	

BASIC NOTE HUD

B. PROPOSED RENTS - Effective Date: 12 / 31 /14

C. PROPOSED UTILITY ALLOWANCE - Effective Date:

UNIT DESCRIPTION		RENTAL RATES			POTENTIAL INCOME FROM EACH RATE			
BR SIZE	UNIT TYPE	NUMBER	BASIC	NOTE RATE	HUD	BASIC	NOTE RATE	HUD
1	*	4	360.00	447.00	0.00	17,280.00	21,456.00	0.00
2	*	30	400.00	522.00	0.00	144,000.00	187,920.00	0.00
3	*	8	435.00	582.00	0.00	41,760.00	55,872.00	0.00
2	Z	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	*	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	*	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	*	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	*	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		S	PI	ROPOSED REI	NT TOTALS:	203,040.00	265,248.00	0.00

BASIC NOTE HUD

MONTHLY DOLLAR ALLOWANCES

	MONTHLY DOLLAR ALLOWANCES									
BR SIZE	UNIT TYPE	NUMBER	ELECTRIC	GAS	WATER	SEWER	TRASH	OTHER	TOTAL	
1	*	4	77.00	0.00	17.00	22.00	0.00	0.00	116.00	
2	*	30	89.00	0.00	17.00	23.00	0.00	0.00	129.00	
3	*	8	128.00	0.00	17.00	23.00	0.00	0.00	168.00	
2	Z	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0	*	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0	*	О	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

12 / 31 / 14

PART V - ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET

	PART	ΓV - ANNU.	AL CAPIT	AL BUDG	ET			
		Proposed	Proposed		Proposed			
		Number of	from	Actual from	from	Actual from	Actual Total	Total Actual
		Units/Items	Reserve	Reserve	Operating	Operating	Cost	Units/Items
Appliances:				1				
	Range	3	900.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Refrigerator	0	1,650.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Range Hood	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Washers & Dryers	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C
Carpet & Vinyl:	Other:							
curper co vinyii	1BR	1	2,000.00	1,634.10	0.00	0.00	1,634.10	1
	2BR	2	4,400.00	891.88	0.00	0.00	891.88	1
	3BR	2 0	6,678.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	4BR	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Cabinets:	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Cabinets:	Kitchens	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	С
	Bathrooms	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C
	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Doors:			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Exterior	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C
	Interior Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Window Coverings			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	List:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	С
Heating & Air Con			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Heating	0 2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Air Conditioning Other:	0	6,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C C
Plumbing:	Outer,		0.00		2 2500		We see the second	
	Water Heater	0	0.00	988.66	0.00	0.00	988.66	3
	Bath Sinks	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C
	Kitchen Sinks	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Faucets	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C
	Toilets	0	0.00	650.00	0.00	0.00	650.00	1
Major Electrical:	Outer		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O	List;		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:	iii.	3,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Structures:	war v	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C
	Windows	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C
	Walls		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Roofing		24,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Siding		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Exterior Painting		0.00	0.00 2,690.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 2,690.00	
Davis	Other		0.00	2,030.00	0.00	0.00	2,030.00	
Paving:	Asphalt		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Concrete		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Seal & Stripe		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Landscape & Grou			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Landscaping Lawn Equipment		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Fencing		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Recreation Area		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Signs		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Accessibility Featu			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	List: Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Automation Equip								
rationation Equip	Site Management		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Common Area		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Other:	Lints		0.00	1,993.28	0.00	0.00	1,993.28	
	List: List:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	List:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
TOTAL CAPI	TAL	12	48,628.00	8,847.92	0.00	0.00	8,847.92	6
EXPENSES:		12	40,020.00	0,047.92	0.00	0.00	0,047.92	C
RD 3560-7 P	age 5							

PART VI -- SIGNATURES, DATES AND COMMENTS

Warning: Section 1001 of Title 18, United States Code provides: "Whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

 $IHAVE\,READ\,THE\,ABOVE\,WARNING\,STATEMENT\,AND\,I\,HEREBY\,CERTIFY\,THAT\,THE\,FOREGOING\,INFORMATION\,IS\,COMPLETE\,AND\,ACCURATE\,TO\,THE\,BEST\,OF\,MY\,KNOWLEDGE.$

(DATE)	(Signature of Borrower or Borrowe	er's Representative)
	(Title)	
AGENCY APPROVAL (Rural Development Approval Official):		DATE:
COMMENTS:		
Page 1 Line 13. Actual transfers from 1% reserve were within budget.		
Line 23. The RTO paid in 2014 was the 2013 RTO.		
Page 2		

- Line 11. Actual Maintenance and Operating Expenses were 26% higher than budgeted, primarily due to increased maintenance payroll required at the property.
- Line 18. Actual Utilities were 16% over budget, primarily due to increased sanitation costs.
- Line 33. Actual Administrative Expenses were 3% over budget.
- Line 40. Actual Tax & Insurance Expense was less than budgeted due to a lower property tax bill than budgeted.

R R R W W O Carpet & Vinyl: Cabinets:	tange	2 3 0 0 0	900.00 1,650.00 0.00			Operating	Cost	Units/Item
R R R W W O Carpet & Vinyl: Cabinets:	tefrigerator tange Hood Vashers & Dryers Other: BR	3 0 0 0	1,650.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Carpet & Vinyl: 11 22 33 44 00 Cabinets: K B O Doors: E Ir W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W	tange Hood Vashers & Dryers Other: BR BR	0 0 0		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Carpet & Vinyl: 11 22 33 44 Cabinets: K B O Doors: E Ir Window Coverings: L Heating & Air Condition H A O O Plumbing: W M B K F T T O Major Electrical: L Structures: W S W	Vashers & Dryers	0		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Carpet & Vinyl: 1	BR BR	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Carpet & Vinyl: 2 3 3 4 4 0 Cabinets: K B O Doors: E Ir O Window Coverings: L O Heating & Air Condition H A O Plumbing: W B K F T T O O Major Electrical: L Structures: W S W	BR		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2i 3i 4i O Cabinets: K B O Doors: E Ir O Window Coverings: L O Heating & Air Condition H A O Plumbing: W B K F T T O O Major Electrical: L O Structures: W S W	BR		2,000.00	1,634.10	0.00	0.00	1,634.10	
Cabinets: K B O Cabinets: K B O O Doors: E Ir O Window Coverings: L Heating & Air Condition H A O O Plumbing: W B B K F T T O O Major Electrical: L Structures: W S W		2	4,400.00	891.88	0.00	0.00	891.88	
Ali O Cabinets: K B O O Cabinets: K B O O O Cabinets: K C O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		2	6,678.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Cabinets: K B O O Doors: E Ir O Window Coverings: L O Heating & Air Condition H A O Plumbing: W B K F T T O O Major Electrical: L O Structures: W S S	BR	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Cabinets: K B O O Doors: E Ir O Window Coverings: L Heating & Air Condition H A O Plumbing: W B B K F T O Major Electrical: L O Structures: W S W	BR	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
B O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O								
ODoors: E Ir O Window Coverings: L O Heating & Air Condition H A O Plumbing: W B K F T T O Major Electrical: L Structures: W S W	Litchens	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Doors: E Ir Window Coverings: L Heating & Air Condition H A O Plumbing: W B K F T T O O Major Electrical: L Structures: W W	Bathrooms	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
E Ir O Window Coverings: L OHeating & Air Condition H A O Plumbing: W B K F T T O Major Electrical: L Structures: W S W	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Window Coverings: L O Heating & Air Condition A O Plumbing: W B K F T T O Major Electrical: L C Structures: W S W	exterior	0 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Vindow Coverings: L Officering & Air Condition H A Officering	nterior	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
L O O leating & Air Condition H A A O O lumbing: What B B K F C T O O I Condition Con	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
leating & Air Condition H A O lumbing: W B K F T O O fajor Electrical: L O tructures: W S W	0	0.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
leating & Air Condition H AA O lumbing: W B K F T O dajor Electrical: L O tructures: W S W	ist:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
H A O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
A O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	leating	0 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
lumbing: WM B K F T O O Iajor Electrical: L O tructures: W S W	Air Conditioning	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
M B K K F. T. O O fajor Electrical: L O tructures: W S. W	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
B K Fi TO O ajor Electrical: L O ructures: W Si		0 1	0.00	988.66	0.00	0.00	988.66	
K F. To O ajor Electrical: L O ructures: W S.	Vater Heater	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ajor Electrical: L Oructures: W S.	Bath Sinks	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Iajor Electrical: L Orructures: W S. W	Litchen Sinksaucets	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
fajor Electrical: L Otructures: W S W	oilets	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
L O	Other	0	0.00	650.00	0.00	0.00	650.00	
uctures: W S-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
uctures: W Si W	ist:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
W Se W	Other;						1	
W	Vindows	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	creens	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Valls	-	24,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Loofing	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	iding		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	exterior Painting		0.00	2,690.00	0.00	0.00	2,690.00	
aving:								
	sphalt		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Concrete		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	eal & Stripe		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
O ndscape & Grounds:	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
11.00	andscaping		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	awn Equipment		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	encing		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
R	Lecreation Area		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	igns		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ccessibility Features:	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	.ist:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
atomation Equipment			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	ite Management		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Common Area		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ther:	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	.ist:		0.00	1,993.28	0.00	0.00	1,993.28	
	ist:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	ist:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
OTAL CAPITAL	L	10	39,628.00	8,847.92	0.00	0.00	8,847.92	

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) LAKE PARK, GEORGIA

FINANCIAL AND COMPLIANCE REPORTS

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	1-2
Balance Sheets	3-4
Statements of Income	5
Statements of Partners' (Deficit)	6
Statements of Cash Flows	7-8
Notes to Financial Statements	9-16
Supplemental Information	17-18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	19-20
Schedule of Findings and Responses	21
Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	22-24
Multiple Family Housing Borrower Balance Sheet, RD 3560-10	25-27
Multiple Family Housing Project Budget, RD 3560-7	28-34



Henderson & Godbee, LLP

Certified Public Accountants and Business Consultants

Members of American Institute of Certified Public Accountants • Georgia Society of Certified Public Accountants

Robert A. Goddard, Jr., CPA (1943-1989) Gerald H. Henderson, CPA J. Wendell Godbee, CPA Mnrk S. Regers, CPA James W. Godbee, Jr., CPA Maureen P. Collins, CPA Kevin R. Hiers, CPA, CVA Troy D. Newham, CPA Annanda W. Shapard, CPA Scott R. Simpson, CPA J. Philip Young, CPA Billie A. Baxter, CPA Mae A. Johnson, CPA Keeley T. Cullius, CPA Kaitlyn E. Hannany, CPA Cassic R. Baggett, CPA Kala M. Bennett, CPA Jacey B. Pittman, CPA M. Allison Hutchins, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Partners
Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.
(A Limited Partnership)
Valdosta, Georgia

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. (a Limited Partnership), USDA, RD No: 10-092-949489960 which comprises the balance sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of income, partners' (deficit), and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness

3488 North Valdosta Road Valdosta, GA 31602 Phone: (229) 245-6040 / Toll Free: (877) 245-6040 FAX: (229) 245-1669

www.hgncpa.com - www.facebook.com/hendersonandgodbee

P.O. Box 2241 Valdosta, GA 31604-2241 of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations, partners' (deficit), and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Report on Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information shown on pages 17-18 and 25-34 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Multi Family Housing Asset Management Handbook issued by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Development, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Report Issued in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated February 11, 2016 on our consideration of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting and our test of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Therefore And Lee, LCP
Henderson & Godbee, LLP
Certified Public Accountants

Valdosta, Georgia

February 11, 2016

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) BALANCE SHEETS **DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014**

	2015	2014	
ASSETS			
Current Assets			
Cash	\$ 774	\$ 116	
Accounts receivable - tenants	656	98	
Total Current Assets	1,430	214	
Restricted Deposits and Funded Reserves	報		
Escrow-tenants' security deposits	7,826	8,017	
Escrow-replacement reserve	87,709	79,245	
Escrow-tax reserve	11,048	5,056	
Total Restricted Deposits and Funded Reserves	106,583	92,318	
Property, Plant and Equipment			
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	1,806,435	1,806,435	
Accumulated depreciation	(1,197,108)	(1,142,536)	
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	609,327	663,899	
Total Assets	\$ 717,340	\$ 756,431	

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) BALANCE SHEETS DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

	2015	2014
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' (DEFICIT)		
Current Liabilities		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 14,657	\$ 13,635
Accounts payable	1,006	413
Total Current Liabilities	, 15,663	14,048
Deposits and Prepayment Liabilities	FF	
Tenants' security deposits	7,826	8,017
Total Deposits and Prepayment Liabilities	7,826	8,017
Long-Term Liabilities		
Mortgage payable, less current maturities	1,318,328	1,332,985
Total Long-Term Liabilities	1,318,328	1,332,985
Total Liabilities	1,341,817	1,355,050
Partners' (Deficit)		
Partners' (Deficit)	(624,477)	(598,619)
Total Liabilities And Partners' (Deficit)	\$ 717,340	\$ 756,431

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) STATEMENTS OF INCOME FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

	2015	2014	
Revenues			
Gross potential rental income	\$ 210,758	\$ 205,225	
Less: vacancy loss	(14,379)	(15,260)	
Net rental income	196,379	189,965	
Other income	3,945_	4,197	
Total Revenues	200,324	194,162	
Expenses		E	
Operating and maintenance	51,021	63,628	
Utilities	13,206	14,682	
Administrative	56,686	55,896	
Taxes and insurance	18,869	23,432	
Total Operating Expenses	139,782	157,638	
Net Operating Income	60,542	36,524	
Non-Operating Expenses			
Interest subsidy income	(65,355)	(64,061)	
Interest expense	97,183	98,134	
Depreciation	54,572	54,572	
Total Non-Operating Expenses	86,400	88,645	
Net (Loss)	\$ (25,858)	\$ (52,121)	

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' (DEFICIT)

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

	2015	2014
Limited Partners' (Deficit)	. .	s/
Balance, January I	\$ (543,467)	\$ (490,832)
Distributions	%	(1,035)
Net (Loss)	(25,599)	(51,600)
Balance, December 31	\$ (569,066)	\$ (543,467)
General Partner's (Deficit)		
Balance, January 1	\$ (55,152)	\$ (51,994)
Distributions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(2,637)
Net (Loss)	(259)	(521)
Balance, December 31	\$ (55,411)	\$ (55,152)
Total Partners' (Deficit)		5%
Balance, January I	\$ (598,619)	\$ (542,826)
Distributions	-	(3,672)
Net (Loss)	(25,858)	(52,121)
Balance, December 31	\$ (624,477)	\$ (598,619)

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

	2015	2014
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Net (Loss)	\$ (25,858)	\$ (52,121)
Adjustments To Reconcile Net (Loss) To Net Cash		
Provided By Operating Activities:		
Depreciation	54,572	54,572
Changes In Operating Assets And Liabilities:	State Programme California	Ø 2€1881=81
Accounts receivable	(558)	52
Security deposits	191	1,702
Replacement reserve	(8,464)	100.000
Tax reserve	(5,992)	8,192
Accounts payable	593	(1,488)
Tenants' security deposits	(191)	(1,702)
Total Adjustments	40,151	55,332
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	14,293	3,211
Cash Flows From Financing Activities		
Principal payments on long-term debt	(13,635)	(12,684)
Distributions		(3,672)
Net Cash (Used In) Financing Activities	(13,635)	(16,356)
Net Increase (Decrease) In Cash	658	(13,145)
Cash, Beginning Of Year	116	13,261
Cash, End Of Year	\$ 774	\$ 116

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

	8	2015	<u> </u>	2014
Supplemental Disclosures Of Cash Flow Information:				
Cash Paid During The Year For:				
Interest expense	\$	97,183	\$	98,134
Less: subsidized portion	¥ 	(65,355)	7-	(64,061)
Interest paid, net of subsidy	\$	31,828	\$	34 <u>,073</u>

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements follows:

Organization

The partnership known as Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P., was formed as a limited partnership under the laws of the State of Georgia on February 22, 1993, to develop, own and operate a 43-unit rental housing project for persons of low and moderate income in the community. The partnership agreement was amended and restated, effective November 1, 1993, to admit a new limited partner, Gateway Tax Credit Fund II, Ltd., (a Florida limited partnership), and retain as the general partners, Rural Housing Partnership, Inc. This project is financed by a RRH Loan from the U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development (USDA, RD), formerly the Farmer's Home Administration and Rural Housing & Community Development Service, and therefore is regulated by the USDA, RD as to rent charges and operating methods.

Effective April 15, 2011, the partnership agreement was amended to admit Gantt Housing, LLC as the limited partner and to permit the withdrawal of Gateway Tax Credit Fund II, Ltd., (a Florida limited partnership).

Basis of Accounting

The financial statements of the partnership are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting, whereby revenues are recognized as earned and expenses are recognized as obligations are incurred.

Income Taxes

The Partnership is a pass-through entity for income tax purposes and, as such, is not subject to income taxes. Rather, all items of taxable income, deductions and tax credits are passed through to and are reported by its owners on their respective income tax returns. The Partnership's federal tax status as a pass-through entity is based on its legal status as a Partnership. Accordingly, the Partnership is not required to take any tax positions in order to qualify as a pass-through entity. The Partnership is required to file and does file tax returns with the Internal Revenue Service and other taxing authorities. Accordingly, these financial statements do not reflect a provision for income taxes and the Partnership has no other tax positions which must be considered for disclosure.

The Partnership is subject to routine audits by taxing jurisdictions; however, there are currently no audits for any tax periods in progress.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Capitalization and Depreciation

Land, buildings and improvements are recorded at cost. Depreciation is provided for in amounts sufficient to relate the cost of depreciable assets to operations over their estimated service lives using the straight-line method. Improvements are capitalized, while expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Upon disposal of depreciable property, the appropriate property accounts are reduced by the related costs and accumulated depreciation. The resulting gains and losses are reflected in the statement of income.

Use of Estimates

The presentation of financial statements, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Tenant Receivables

Tenant receivables are recorded at net realizable value consisting of the carrying amount less the allowance for uncollectible accounts, as needed.

The Partnership uses the allowance method to account for uncollectible tenant receivable balances. Under the allowance method, if needed, an estimate of uncollectible tenant balances is made based upon specific account balances that are considered uncollectible. Factors used to establish an allowance include the credit quality of the tenant and whether the balance is significant. Accounts are considered past due once the unpaid balance is 90 days or more outstanding, unless payment terms are extended by contract. When an account balance is past due and attempts have been made to collect the receivable through legal or other means, the amount is considered uncollectible and is written off. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, there was no allowance balance required. Tenant receivables had a balance in the amount of \$656 and \$98, at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Mortgage Subsidy

Under an interest credit agreement with the USDA, RD, a mortgage subsidy is provided, thus reducing the interest rate to approximately 1% over the life of the agreement. The interest subsidy is treated as additional income with interest expense being recorded at the note rate.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$262 and \$412, respectively.

NOTE 2 - RESTRICTED CASH AND ESCROW ACCOUNTS

Replacement Reserve

	2015		2014	
Annual funding required by loan agreement	\$	14,841	_\$	14,841
Actual funding including interest income	\$	14,844	\$	14,844
Withdrawals approved by Rural Development Operating and maintenance expenses	<u>\$</u>	6,380	<u></u> \$	8,848
Reserve balance at year end	\$	87,709	\$	79,245
Fully funded balance per loan agreement		60,954		52,493
Excess	\$	26,755		26,752

Tenant Security Deposits

Security deposits collected from tenants are held in a separate bank account. The account's status at December 31 is:

	()	2015	 2014
Tenant security deposits cash	\$	7,826	\$ 8,017
Tenant security deposits payable	<u> 20</u>	7,826	 8,017
Excess (Deficit)	<u> </u>		\$

NOTE 2 - RESTRICTED CASH AND ESCROW ACCOUNTS (CONTINUED)

Real Estate Tax and Insurance Escrow

USDA, RD regulations require the Partnership to make monthly appropriations of cash to a tax reserve account to insure that adequate cash is available when taxes are due. The account's status at December 31 is:

	(2015	7	2014
Real estate tax and insurance escrow	\$	11,048	\$	5,056
Accrued or unpaid taxes and insurance		<u> </u>	1	-
Excess	\$	11,048	\$	5,056

NOTE 3 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of the property, plant and equipment is as follows at December 31:

	Depreciable Life	2015	2014
Land		\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
Buildings	30 Years	1,637,156	1,637,156
Equipment	10 Years	69,279	69,279
Total		1,806,435	1,806,435
Less: Accumulated depreciation		(1,197,108)	(1,142,536)
Net Property, Plant and Equipment		\$ 609,327	\$ 663,899

Property, plant and equipment is pledged as collateral on long-term debt. Depreciation expense aggregated \$54,572 and \$54,572 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

The following is a list of accounts payable at December 31:

	9 2 - 9900 - 900	2015	2	014
Utilities and telephone	\$	725	\$	•
Office supplies		1		306
Group health insurance		<u>.</u> .		17
Repairs and maintenance		280		90
	\$	1,006	\$	413

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS

Long-term indebtedness consists of the following at December 31:

USDA, RD, made April 20, 1995, 9.00%, due in	-	2013	-	2014
599 monthly installments, secured by land, buildings and equipment with an original cost of \$1,803,885.	\$	1,332,985	\$	1,346,620
Less current maturities	37 4	(14,657)	89	(13,635)
Long-term portion	\$	1,318,328	\$	1,332,985

Management has determined it is not practicable to determine the fair value of the mortgage debt because of the unique terms of the note. It would not be possible to obtain replacement financing under similar terms.

Maturities of long-term debt as of December 31, 2015, for the succeeding five years are as follows:

<u>Year</u>	
2016	\$ 14,657
2017	15,756
2018	16,937
2019	18,206
2020	19,571

2014

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS (CONTINUED)

Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. incurred interest costs of \$97,183 and \$98,134 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, all of which was properly charged to expense.

NOTE 6 - RENTAL ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS

USDA, RD has contracted with the Partnership pursuant to Section 521(a)(2)(A) of Title V of the Housing Act of 1949 to make housing assistance payments to the Partnership on behalf of qualified tenants. Payments under the contract period ended December 31, are as follows:

	2015	200	2014	
Rental assistance payments	\$ 25,265	_\$_	27,057	

NOTE 7 - TAXABLE INCOME (LOSS)

The financial statements of the Partnership and the Partnership tax returns are prepared on the accrual basis. The following is a reconciliation between net income (loss) as reported and Partnership income (loss) for tax purposes:

	<u> </u>	2015	3 <u></u>	2014
Net (Loss) per financial statement Book depreciation in excess of	\$	(25,858)	\$	(52,121)
tax depreciation		13,174		13,174
Partnership (Loss) per tax return	\$	(12,684)	\$	(38,947)

NOTE 8 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The following is a list of related parties and the nature of the relationship to the Partnership and a description of the transactions between the related parties:

Investors Management Company, Inc.

Investors Management Company, Inc., an affiliate of the general partner, is the property manager of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. The partnership paid Investors Management Company, Inc. management fees of \$22,575 and \$21,939 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

NOTE 8 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (CONTINUED)

Rural Housing Reinsurance Company International Ltd. (CJ Thomas Company, Inc.)

An affiliate of the general partner is also an owner of CJ Thomas Company, Inc., which provides property insurance to Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. The partnership paid CJ Thomas Company, Inc. insurance fees of \$8,621 and \$8,522 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

NOTE 9 - PARTNERSHIP PROFITS, LOSSES AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Profits or losses from operations are allocated 99% to the Limited Partner and 1% to the General Partner. Tax credits are to be allocated 99% to the Limited Partner and 1% to the General Partner. Profit or loss from sales of property and cash distributions will be allocated as formulated in the Partnership Agreement. Annual distributions to the partners are limited by government regulation to \$3,672, which is \$% of the borrowers' initial capital investment required by USDA, RD.

NOTE 10 - CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK - CASH IN BANKS

Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. maintains its cash accounts at one bank in Valdosta, Georgia. Accounts are guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) up to \$250,000 for the years ending December 31, 2015 and 2014. A summary of the total insured and uninsured cash balances at December 31 consists of the following:

		2015	127732 M	2014
Total cash in all banks	\$	107,257	\$	92,334
Portion insured by FDIC	¥-	107,257		92,334
Uninsured cash balances	\$		\$	-

NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

USDA, RD may terminate the interest subsidy agreement if it determines that no subsidy is necessary or if the Partnership is determined to be in violation of USDA, RD rules or regulations.

NOTE 12 - IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

FASB ASC 360-10 (formerly FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets), requires long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used by an entity be reviewed for impairment whenever events of changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable through the estimated undiscounted future cash flows from the use of these assets. Through December 31, 2015, no impairment loss recognition has been required.

NOTE 13 - CURRENT VULNERABILITY DUE TO CERTAIN CONCENTRATIONS

The Partnership's sole asset is Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II. The Partnership's operations are concentrated in the affordable housing real estate market. In addition, the Partnership operates in a heavily regulated environment. The operations of the Partnership are subject to the administrative directives, rules and regulations of federal, state and local regulatory agencies, including, but not limited to, RD and state agencies. Such administrative directives, rules and regulations are subject to change by an act of Congress or an administrative change mandated by RD or state agencies. Such changes may occur with little notice or inadequate funding to pay for the related cost, including additional administrative burden, to comply with a change.

NOTE 14 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events were evaluated through February 11, 2016, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

1. Management Fee Calculation

The management fee is based on a fee per unit occupied by tenants during the month.

Total Qualified Units (43 * 1 month)	43
Less: Rent Free Units (1 * 1)	(1)
Vacancies	(4)
Total Occupied Units	38
Fee Per Unit (Effective January, 2011)	\$ 44.50
Management Fee January 2015	1,691
Total Qualified Units (43 * 11 months)	473
Less: Rent Free Units (1 * 11)	(11)
Vacancies	(8)
Total Occupied Units	454
Fee Per Unit (Effective February, 2015)	\$ 46.00
Management Fee February through December 2015	20,884
Management Fee Expense	\$ 22,575

2. Insurance Disclosure

The Partnership maintains insurance coverage as follows:

	Deductible		-	Coverage	
Property Coverage on Buildings	\$	1,000	\$	3,332,500	
Comprehensive Business Liability		(=)		2,000,000	
Fidelity / Employee Dishonesty		5.000		500,000	

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

3. Return to Owner

In accordance with the Loan Agreement, the annual return to owner is as follows:

Maximum Return to Owner (See Note 9)		<u>\$</u>	3,672
Budget Return to Owner		\$	3,672
Return to Owner Paid:			
General Partner Distribution	*	\$	
Limited Partner Distribution			-
8		\$	-



Henderson & Godbee, LLP

Certified Public Accountants and Business Consultants

Members of American Institute of Certified Public Accountants • Georgia Society of Certified Public Accountants

Robert A. Goddard, Jr., CPA (1943-1989) Gerald H. Henderson, CPA J. Wendell Godhee, CPA Mark S. Rogers, CPA James W. Godhee, Jr., CPA Maureen P. Collins, CPA Kevin R. Hiers, CPA, CVA Troy D. Newham, CPA Amanda W. Shapard, CPA Scott R. Simpson, CPA J. Philip Young, CPA Billic A. Baxter, CPA Mae A. Johnson, CPA Keeley T. Collins, CPA Kaitlyn E. Hannay, CPA Cassic R. Baggett, CPA Kala M. Bennett, CPA Jacey B. Pittman, CPA M. Allison Hutchins, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Partners of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. Valdosta, Georgia USDA Rural Development Tifton, Georgia

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P., which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2015, and the related statements of income, changes in partner's (deficit), and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 11, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

3488 North Valdosta Road Valdosta, GA 31602 Phone: (229) 245-6040 / Foll Free: (877) 245-6040 FAX: (229) 245-1669

www.hgncpa.com - www.facebook.com/hendersonandgodbee

P.O. Box 2241 Valdosta, GA 31604-2241 Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Henderson & Godbee, LLP
Certified Public Accountants

Valdosta, Georgia

February 11, 2016

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

Current Year Findings

There were no findings this year.

Status Of Prior Year Findings

There were no findings in the prior year.

AUDITEE'S COMMENTS ON PRIOR AUDIT RESOLUTION MATTERS RELATED TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

There are no significant unresolved findings from previous annual audits, physical inspections or management review reports during our audit of the 2015 financial statements. There were no compliance findings noted during our audit of the 2015 financial statements relating to physical inspections or management reviews for which the Partnership has not taken corrective action.



Henderson & Godbee, LLP

Certified Public Accountants and Business Consultants

Members of American Institute of Certified Public Accountants • Georgia Society of Certified Public Accountants

Robert A. Goddard, Jr., CPA (1943-1989) Gerald H. Henderson, CPA J. Wendell Godbee, CPA Mark S. Rogers, CPA James W. Godbee, Jr., CPA Maureen P. Collins, CPA Kevin R. Hiers, CPA, CVA Tray D. Newham, CPA Amanda W. Shapard, CPA Scott R. Simpson, CPA J. Philip Young, CPA Billic A. Baxter, CPA Mac A. Johnson, CPA Keeley T. Collins, CPA Kaitlyn E. Hannay, CPA Cassic R. Baggett, CPA Kafa M. Bennett, CPA Jacey B, Pittman, CPA M. Allison Hutchins, CPA

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

To the Partners of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. Valdosta, Georgia

And

United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development Tifton, Georgia

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development ("RD") and Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. ("Owner") the owner of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II ("Project") located in Lake Park, Georgia, solely to assist those parties in evaluating that the accompanying Form RD 3560-10, Multiple Family Housing Borrower Balance Sheet, Form RD 3560-7, Multiple Family Housing Project Budget ("Financial Reports") and Supporting Documentation are prepared in accordance with the criteria specified in RD Regulations contained in 7 CFR 3560 Section 303(b) and section 306, in accordance with the determinations noted in Attachment 4-D of RD Handbook HB-2-3560, for the year ended December 31, 2015. The owner is responsible for the presentation of the financial reports. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of RD and the owner. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

 We examined selected receipts, invoices, and cancelled checks (or checks imaging on original bank statement) that support administrative; and operating and maintenance expenses presented on Form RD 3560-7, Part II, line items 1-10 and 19-32 to determine they were incurred as part of the supporting documentation, based on the sample size determined by RD in Attached 4-D of RD Handbook HB-2-3560, for expenses included in Lines 1-10 and 19-32 of Form RD 3560-7 and determined that the services were eligible expenses, in accordance

3488 North Valdosta Road Valdosta, GA 31602 Phone: (229) 245-6040 / Toll Free: (877) 245-6040 FAX: (229) 245-1669

www.hgncpa.com - www.facebook.com/hendersonandgodbee

P.O. Box 2241 Valdosta, GA 31604-2241 with Attachment 4-A of HB-2-3560, and the shipping address agreed to the project address. In addition, we confirmed a sample of the expenditures with the vendors to determine the invoice paid agreed to the vendor's records.

Findings:

Total Number of Invoices in Population: Approximately 380 Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: \$117,207

Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 15

Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: \$2,221

Total Number of Invoices in Vendor Confirmation Sample: 1

Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Vendor Confirmation Sample: \$300

Total Number of Vendor Confirmations Not Received: 0

Total Dollar Amount of Vendor Confirmations Not Received: \$0

Total Number of Deviations: 0

Total Dollar Amount of Deviations: \$0

2. We confirmed the balance in the replacement reserve account presented on Form RD 3560-7, Part III, and confirmed that no amounts were encumbered by the financial institution that holds the accounts. We determined that all balances are within the FDIC insurance limits. We determined number of reserve account withdrawals from the original bank statements and compared the withdrawals to the amounts approved by RD on Form RD 3560-12. We compared the invoices and cancelled checks (or check imaging on original bank statement) to the approved withdrawals from RD.

Findings:

Total Number of Reserve Account Withdrawals: 8

Total Number of Withdrawals Authorized by RD: 8

Total Dollar Amount of Reserve Account Withdrawals: \$6,380

Total Dollar Amount of Withdrawals Authorized by RD: \$6,380

Total Number of Deviations: 0

Total Dollar Amount of Deviations: \$0

The confirmation received from the financial institution agreed to the project's bank reconciliation and no encumbrances were noted on the confirmation. All withdrawals were paid to the vendors approved by RD of Form RD 3560-12.

3. We obtained the Identity of Interest (IOI) company listing and Form RD 3560-31 from RD and determined that the services provided and approved fees, if applicable, agree to the actual service and fees charged to the project. We examined a sample of invoices and determined that the services and charges are in accordance with the disclosures contained in Form RD 3560-31 as agreed to by RD.

Findings:

Total Number of Forms RD 3560-31 Received: 2

Company Name 1: Investor's Management Company, Inc.

Total Dollar Amount for the Year: \$22,575 Total Number of Invoices in Population: 12

Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: \$22,575 Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 12 Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: \$22,575

Company Name 2: Rural Housing Reinsurance Company International, Ltd. (CJ Thomas

Company, Inc)

Total Dollar Amount for the Year: \$8,621 Total Number of Invoices in Population: 1

Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: \$8,621

Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 1

Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: \$8,621

The agreed-upon procedures performed above and the Management Representation Letter revealed no undisclosed IOI companies.

We are not engaged to, and did not, conduct an audit of the subject matter, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the agreed-upon procedures, applied to the financial reports and supporting documentation of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the owner and management agent of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P., and Rural Development, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Soulles, UP

Henderson & Godbee, LLP Certified Public Accountants

Valdosta, Georgia

February 11, 2016

Form RD 3560-10 (02-05)

Position 3 **MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING BORROWER BALANCE SHEET** PART 1 - BALANCE SHEET

FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 0575-0189

PROJECT NAME	BORROWER NAME Arbor Trace Apartments	BORROWER ID AND PROJECT NO. 10-092-949489960		
Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II	Phase II, L.P.			
	CURRENT YEAR	PRIOR YEAR	COMMENTS	
BEGINNING DATES>		01-01-14		
ENDING DATES>	12-31-15	12-31-14	8	
<u>ASSETS</u>	8: 9:	85025022 x2 x		
CURRENT ASSETS	2007		50 AV	
I. GENERAL OPERATING ACCOUNT	774	116	75(1) 2000	
2. R.E. TAX & INSURANCE ACCOUNT	11,048	5,056	-0 1 <u>9</u> -20	
B. RESERVE ACCOUNT	87,709	79,245	1/21/16/19/07/2	
4. SECURITY DEPOSIT ACCOUNT	7,826	8,017		
5. OTHER CASH (identify)	98 - 69	i i		
6. OTHER (identify)		1		
7. TOTAL ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE (Attach list)	656	98		
ACCTS RCVBL 0-30 DAYS \$			110	
ACCTS RCVBL 30-60 DAYS \$	As a secretary to a printing a			
ACCTS RCVBL 60-90 DAYS \$				
ACCTS RCVBL OVER 90 DAYS \$	10 No. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			
B. LESS: ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS	Telefore (1689) fore - Galeria Court, British place \$10,000			
9. INVENTORIES (supplies)		 	ä	
10. PREPAYMENTS				
11.	271407192 27100200	-	W 327 W	
12. TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS (Add 1 thru 11)	108,013	92,532	8	
FIXED ASSETS 13. LAND	100,000	100,000	MASAY N	
14. BUILDINGS	1,637,156	1,637,156	W 888	
15. LESS: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	(1,127,829)	(1,073,257)		
16. FURNITURE & EQUIPMENT	69,279	69,279		
17. LESS: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	(69,279)	(69,279)		
18.				
19. TOTAL FIXED ASSETS (Add 13 thru 18)	609,327	663,899		
OTHER ASSETS			101 101.00	
20.				
21. TOTAL ASSETS (Add 12, 19, and 20)	717,340	756,431	WIN	
LIABILITIES AND OWNERS EQUITY CURRENT LIABILITIES		30000	- 00 - 20 - 257.2 	
22. TOTAL ACCOUNTS PAYABLE (Attach list)	1,006	413		
ACCTS PAYABLE 0-30 DAYS \$	SPECIAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPER			
ACCTS PAYABLE 30-60 DAYS \$				
ACCTS PAYABLE 60-90 DAYS \$				
ACCTS PAYABLE OVER 90 DAYS \$				
23. NOTES PAYABLE (Attach list) DEFERRED REVENUE	-	u=.		
24. SECURITY DEPOSITS	7,826	8,017		
25. TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES (Add 22 thru 24)	8,832	8,430		

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency my not conduct or spansor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0575-0189. The time required to complete this information collection is satimated to average 1 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

LONG-TERM LIABILITIES			
26. NOTES PAYABLE RURAL DEVELOPMENT	1,332,985	1,346,620	
27. OTHER (Identify)	-		
28. TOTAL LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Add 26 and 27)	1,332,985	1,346,620	- 1000
29. TOTAL LIABILITIES (Add 25 and 28)	1,341,817	1,355,050	
30. OWNER'S EQUITY (Net Worth) (21 minus 29)	(624,477)	(598,619)	<u> </u>
31 TOTAL LIABILITIES AND OWNER'S EQUITY			
(Add 29 and 30)	717,340	756,431	
Warning: Section 1001 of Title 18, United States Code provides: "Whoever, in any knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick, scheme, or dev representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.	ice a material fact, or makes as	y false, fictitious or fraudule:	ot statements or
I HAVE READ THE ABOVE WARNING STATEMENT AND I HER COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLED		REGOING INFORMATI	ON IS
(Signature of Borrower or Borrower's Representative)	-	(Date)	
(Title)			
PART II-THIRD PARTY VE	CRIFICATION OF REV	EW	
I/We have reviewed the borrower's records. The accompanying balance RD 3560-7, is a fair presentation of the borrower's records.	e sheet, and statement of ac	tual budget and income o	n Form
I/We certify that no identity of interest exists between me/us and my ind	lividual or organization do	ing business with the proj	ect or borrower.
(Signature)		(Date)	
(Name and Title)			
(Address)			
In lieu of the above verification and signature, a review completed, of certification is attached.	dated and signed by a perso	on or firm qualified by lic	ense or

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. \$26\$

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. ATTACHMENT TO FORM RD 3560-10 DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

Page 25. Line 22	, <u>;</u>	2015		014
Utilities and telephone	\$	725	\$	=
Office supplies		1		306
Group health insurance		(=)		17
Repairs and maintenance	3	280		90
. 7	_\$	1,006	. <u>\$</u>	413

Position 3

FORM APPROVED Form RD 3560-7 MULTIPLE FAMILY HOUSING PROJECT BUDGET/ OMB NO 0575-0189 UTILITY ALLOWANCE (Rev. 05-06) PROJECT NAME BORROWER NAME BORROWER ID AND PROJECT NO. 949489960 Arbor Trace II Apartments Arbor Trace Apts Ph. II, L.p. 016 Loan/Transfer Amount \$ 1,484,100.00 Note Rate Payment \$ 9,234.80 3,154.59 IC Payment \$ Project Rental Type | Profit Type Reporting Period Budget Typa Full Profit 23 units of RA. Current numb Annual
Quarterly **7** Family metered: Initial Regular Report Electricity Gas
Water Sewe Elderly Limited Profit of RA units __7_ Congregate
Group Home Non-Profit Monthly Rent Change Borrower Accounting Method 7 Trash SNR Other Servicing Mixed LH **✓** Cash Accrual Other PART I—CASH FLOW STATEMENT CURRENT PROPOSED COMMENTS BUDGET ACTUAL BUDGET or (YTD) (01-01-15) BEGINNING DATES> ENDING DATES> (01 - 01 - 15) (01 - 01 - 16) (01 - 01 - 15) (12-31-15) (12 - 31 - 15) 12 - 31 - 16) (12 - 31 - 15) **OPERATIONAL CASH SOURCES** 210,600,00 42 Revenue Pr 203.040.00 171,114,00 1. RENTAL INCOME 25,265.00 RHS RENTAL ASSISTANCE RECEIVED 7.72 10 300 960.00 APPLICATION FEES RECEIVED 0.00 656.00 LAUNDRY AND VENDING 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 INTEREST INCOME 2,500.00 2,329.62 2,400.00 TENANT CHARGES ... 0.00 0.00 OTHER - PROJECT SOURCES 0.00 4.5% 9,477.00) LESS (Vacancy and Contingency Allowance) 9,137.00) 0.00) 0.00) LESS (Agency Approved Incentive Allowance) 200,324.62 203,523.00 10. SUB-TOTAL [(1 thru 7) - (8 & 9)] 196,403.00 NON-OPERATIONAL CASH SOURCES 0.00 0.00 0.00 11. CASH · NON PROJECT 0.00 0.00 0.00 12. AUTHORIZED LOAN (Non-RHS) 48,628.00 6.379.90 50,300.00 13. TRANSFER FROM RESERVE 50,300.00 48,628.00 6,379.90 SUB-TOTAL (11 thru 13) 253,823.00 245,031.00 206,704.52 TOTAL CASH SOURCES (10+14) **OPERATIONAL CASH USES** 140.334.00 135,921.00 133.293.93 16. TOTAL O&M EXPENSES (From Part II) 37,855.00 37,855.00 37,855.08 17. RHS DEBT PAYMENT 7,608.00 18. RHS PAYMENT (Overage) Access to 0.00 19. RHS PAYMENT (Late Fee) 0.00 20. REDUCTION IN PRIOR YEAR PAYABLES 110.00 21. TENANT UTILITY PAYMENTS 14,844.00 14.844.00 22. TRANSFER TO RESERVE 3,672.00 No RTO paid ir 3,672,00 0.00 23. RETURN TO OWNER INP ASSET MANAGEMENT FEE. 192,292.00 193,711.01 201,705.00 24. SUB-TOTAL (16 thru 23) NON-OPERATIONAL CASH USES 0.00 25. AUTHORIZED DEBT PAYMENT (Non-RHS)..... 0.00 0.00 48,628.00 6,379.90 50,300.00 26. ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET (From Part III, Lines 4-6) Rounding -1.12 0.00 0.00 27. MISCELLANEOUS 50,300,00 6,378.78 SUB-TOTAL (25 thru 27) 48 628 00 28. 240,920.00 200,089.79 252,005.00 29. TOTAL CASH USES (24+28) 1,818.00 4,111.00 6,614.73 NET CASH (DEFICIT) (15-29) 30 CASH BALANCE 5,171.68 32,877.20 28,766.20 31. BEGINNING CASH BALANCE Adjust to accru 34.69 32. ACCRUAL TO CASH ADJUSTMENT 34,695,20 32.877.20 11.821.10 33. ENDING CASH BALANCE (30+31+32)

According to the Paparneark Reduction stee of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponso; and a person is not required to exspand to a collection of information unless a displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0575-0189. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 2 1/2 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and naturalizing the data needed, and completing and reviewing the callection of information.

		PART II—OPERATING AND MA	CURRENT		PROPOSED	COMMENTS
			BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	or (YTD)
		; -				
155	MAINTENANCE	AND REPAIRS PAYROLL	13,500.00	12,367.10	13,000.00	D 100 10
		AND REPAIRS SUPPLY	12,000.00	14,611.88	14,000.00	
		AND REPAIRS CONTRACT	0.00	0.00	0.00	
			3,500.00	4,193,21	4,000.00	
	SNOW REMOVA	L	0.00	0.00	0.00	
		NTENANCE/CONTRACT	0.00	0.00	0.00	(A)
	GROUNDS		11,756.00	11,256.00	11,756.00	\$938/M + 500
	SERVICES		2,456,00	1,956.00	2,456.00	108/M PestCo
	ANNUAL CAPIT	AL BUDGET (From Part V - Operating)	0.00	0.00	0.00	
١,	OTHER OPERAT	ING EXPENSES (Itemize)	200.00	256.62	200.00	UA CALC FEE
	SUB-TOTAL MA	INT. & OPERATING (1 thru 10)	43,412.00	44,640.81	45,412.00	
	ELECTRICITY	If master metered	5,300.00	5,586.60	5,300.00	Increased outd
	WATER	check box on	200.00	208.14	220.00	
	SEWER	front.	250.00	274.98	300,00	
i.	FUEL (Oil/Coal/	Gas)	0.00	0.00	0.00	2 - 42
Ś.	GARBAGE & TR	RASH REMOVAL	6,200.00	7,025.98	6,200.00	1 12 1 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
١.	OTHER UTILITY	ES	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3.	SUB-TOTAL UT	ILITIES (12 thru 17)	11,950.00	13,095.70	12,020.00	
9.	SITE MANAGEI	MENT PAYROLL	16,344.00	16,578.29	16,848.00	\$1404/M (3% I
		FEE	23,184.00	22,575.00	23,688.00	47x42Ux12N
		TING EXPENSE	4,000.00	3,880.00	4,000,00	*****
2.	PROJECT BOOK	KEEPING/ACCOUNTING	0.00	0.00	0.00	
		SES	250.00	1,532.61	500.00	L
1.	ADVERTISING	***************************************	300.00	262.46	400.00	
5.	TELEPHONE &	ANSWERING SERVICE	1,900.00	3,680.81	2,500.00	
		ES	1,900.00	1,940.97	2,000.00	
7	OFFICE FURNIT	TURE & EQUIPMENT	890.00	902.82	917.00	50.13/MComp
8	TRAINING EXP	ENSE	686.00	603.21	686,00	
9	HEALTH INS. &	OTHER EMP. BENEFITS	500.00	998.26	800.00	(2.72 a)
0	PAYROLL TAXE	S	3,200.00	2,525.27	2,800.00	
		MPENSATION	675.00	756.73	675.00	
2	OTHER ADMIN	ISTRATIVE EXPENSES (Itemize)	300.00	451.46	300.00	Prop tax cons
3	. SUB-TOTAL AD	MINISTRATIVE (19 thru 32)	54,129.00	56,687.89	56,114.00	
4	REAL ESTATE	TAXES	17,100.00	10,195.20	17,200.00	
		SSMENTS	0.00	0.00	0.00	
		LICENSES & PERMITS	150.00	53.33	150.00	Reg Fee
	**	IABILITY INSURANCE	9,030.00	8,621.00	9,288.00	216/U (3% inc
5		ERAGE INSURANCE	0.00	00.0	0.00	1000 - 1000 1000 - 1000
6		ANCE	150.00	0.00	150.00	EP
678					26,788,00	
6789	. OTHER INSURA	XES & INSURANCE (34 thru 39)	26,430.00	18,869.53	26,768.00	
6789	. OTHER INSURA		26,430.00	16,869.53	26,788.00	1 100 NO 100

	27 No. 1800 181 1821 No. 401	CURRENT		PROPOSED	COMMENT
		BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	or (YTD)
2225	RVE ACCOUNT:	202001	7.07.07.15	DODGE.	G. (. 1.5)
107.0	EGINNING BALANCE	77,330,70	79.244.78	81,898,38	Prop beg budg
	RANSFER TO RESERVE	14,844.00	14.844.00	19.844.00	\$1237/M + \$5
	RANSFER FROM RESERVE				
	OPERATING DEFICIT	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4.	ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET (Part V - Reserve)	48.628.00	6,379,90	50,300.00	
	BUILDING & EQUIPMENT REPAIR	0.00	0.00	0.00	-300
	OTHER NON-OPERATING EXPENSES	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7.	TOTAL (3 thru 6)	(48,628.00)	(6,379.90)	(50,300.00)	
	NDING BALANCE ((1+2)-7]	43,546.70	87,708.88	51,442.38	
REAL	ESTATE TAX AND INSURANCE ESCROW				r
AC	COUNT:*				
ACC B	COUNT:* EGINNING BALANCE		5,056.29		
ACC B	COUNT:*		5,056.29 11,048.09		
ACC B E	COUNT:* EGINNING BALANCE		10.000000000000000000000000000000000000		
ACC B E TEN	COUNT:* EGINNING BALANCE NDING BALANCE		10.000000000000000000000000000000000000		
ACC B E FENA	COUNT:* EGINNING BALANCE NDING BALANCE		11,048.09		
ACC B E FENA B E	COUNT:* EGINNING BALANCE NDING BALANCE LIT SECURITY DEPOSIT ACCOUNT:* EGINNING BALANCE	ä	11,048.09 8,017.00		
ACC B E TENA B E	COUNT:* EGINNING BALANCE	расс В	11,048.09 8,017.00	O BALANCE	0.0

PART IV—RENT SCHEDULE AND UTILITY ALLOWANCE CURRENT APPROVED RENTS/UTILITY ALLOWANCE POTENTIAL INCOME FROM UNIT DESCRIPTION RENTAL RATES **EACH RATE** NOTE RATE NOTE RATE UNIT UTILITY BR SIZE TYPE NUMBER BASIC ALLOWANCE HUD BASIC HUD 360.00 447.00 0.00 17,280.00 21,456.00 0.00 116.00 30 400.00 522.00 144,000.00 129.00 0.00 187,920.00 0.00 168.00 8 41,760,00 435.00 582,00 0.00 55,872,00 0.00 2 Z 1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 ٥ 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 . 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 * 0.00 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 265,248.00 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 CURRENT RENT TOTALS: 203,040.00 0.00 BASIC NOTE HUD

UNIT	DESCE	RIPTION	RE	NTAL RATES		1987,000	IAL INCOME ACH RATE	FROM
BR SIZE	UNIT	NUMBER	BASIC	NOTE RATE	HUD	BASIC	NOTE RATÉ	HUD
1		4	375.00	462.00	0.00	18,000.00	22,176.00	0.00
2	•	30	415,00	537.00	0.00	149,400.00	193,320.00	0.00
3	•	8	450.00	597.00	0.00	43,200.00	57,312.00	0.00
2	2	1	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	di.	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	t .	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	10	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00
0	•	0	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	39.5	10	Pl	ROPOSED REI	NT TOTALS:	210,600.00	272,808.00	0.0
					V-	BASIC	NOTE	HUD

C.	PROPOSED UTILITY ALLOWANCE - Effective Date: _	12/ 31 / 15	

			MONT	HLY DOL	LAR ALLO	WANCES	509.0		444000
BR SIZE	UNIT TYPE	NUMBER	ELECTRIC	GAS	WATER	SEWER	TRASH	OTHER	TOTAL
1	•	4	77.00	0.00	17.00	22.00	0.00	0.00	116.00
2		30	00.68	0.00	17.00	23.00	0.00	0.00	129.00
3		8	128.00	0.00	17.00	23.00	0.00	0.00	168.00
2	z	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
o		0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00
0	•	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Arbor Trace II Apartments

PART V - ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET

		Proposed Number of	Proposed from	Actual from	Proposed from	Actual from	Actual Total	Total Act
		Units/Items	Reserve	Reserve	Operating	Operating	Cost	Units/Ite
ppliances:			0.00	400 64	0.00	0.00	400 54	
	Range	0	2,200.00	480,54 1,905.46	0.00	0.00	480.54 1,905.46	
	Refrigerator	Ö	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<u> </u>
	Range Hood	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	00,0	0.00	
	Washers & Dryers	Ö	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
arpet & Vinyl:	Other:		8 8					
aper as 1 myr.	IBR	1	2,300,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000
	2BR	2	4,B00.00	3,571.04	0.00	0.00	3,571,04	
	3BR	2	7,000,00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0,00_	
	4BR	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:	[0]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
binets:		I	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00		<u> </u>
	Kitchens	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Bathrooms ,	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:	U_1	0.00	Ų.UU	0.00.	10.00	0,00	-
ors:	Planting.		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1 0.00	
	Exterior	7 7	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.00	
	Interior	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
indow Coverings		3 1 3 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 			8,500,700			
он очница	List:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100
	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	102
ating & Air Con							80 COM	
	Heating	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Air Conditioning	2	7,000,00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
	Other	0	00,00	0.00	0.00	0,00	<u> 0.00</u>	
ımbing;		0 1	0.00	422.66	0.00	0.00	422.86	
	Water Heater		0.00	.0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Bath Sinks	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	CT COLUMN X
	Kitchen Sinks		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Faucels	ō	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	254
	Toilets	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	-
jor Electrical:	Olle	· ·	4-4-2	ol. Ballon	4 48			1 mbana dal
gor steemeat.	List:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:	300 ME 200 2	3,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	ETC-AL
uctures:		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	T
	Windows		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Screens	STPS/ASSAURACE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	CT YEAR
	Walls		24,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Roofing		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Siding		0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	
	Exterior Painting		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	
NA-MORECO.	Other		0.00	0.00				90 90 90 90 PM
ving:	A separate services	STATE OF THE PARTY AND	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	741
	Aspiralt		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	
	Seal & Stripe		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other,		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.7 2.0
ndscape & Grou		00000000000000000000000000000000000000		N N N N	2000 2000			
arassapa et CIVI	Landscaping		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Lawn Equipment		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Fencing		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	Recreation Area		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		-
	Signs		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
	Other:	PERSONAL MANAGEMENT	0.00	0.00	1 4'00	1 0.00	1 0.00	- Martin
cessibility Feat		West Committee of the C	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NAME OF STREET
	List	医阴影 图	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	Other,						380	60 colf
tomation Equip		AND DESCRIPTION OF REAL PROPERTY.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	DO THE
	Site Management		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	THE SELECT
	Other,		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
her:	Official programment of the second of the se				500 10		SIT	95
and .	List		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	
	List		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	List: ,,,,,,,,,	SEPARES IN	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2000年
			40	807780	4,000	eac as	W	Di Vigir
OTAL CAPI	ITAL.	11	50,300.00	6,379.90	0.00	0.00	6,379.90	
ノしれし しハニ								

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

PART VI -- SIGNATURES, DATES AND COMMENTS

Warning: Section 1001 of Title 18, United States Code provides: "Whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick. scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

I HAVE READ THE ABOVE WARNING STATEMENT AND I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING INFORMATION IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.

(DATE)	(Signature of Borrower or Borrower's Representative)		
	(Tide)		
AGENCY APPROVAL (Rural Development Appr	oval Official): DATE:		

COMMENTS:

- Page 1
 Line 13. Actual transfers from 1% reserve were much less than budget. The roofing repair/replacement budgeted for 2015 was not completed...
- Line 23. There was no RTO paid in 2015.

Page 2

- Line 11. Actual Maintenance and Operating Expenses were 3% higher than budget.
- Line 18. Actual Utilities were 10% over budget.
- Line 33. Actual Administrative Expenses were 5% over budget.
- Line 40. Actual Tax & Insurance Expense was less than budgeted due to a lower property tax bill than budgeted.

		Current Number of Units/Items	Current from Reserved	YTD from Reserve	Current from Operating	YTD from Operating	YTD Tetal Cost	Total Y7 Units/Ite
pptiances:				400.64	0.001		400.54	
	Range	3	1,650.00	480.54 1,905.46	0. <u>00</u> 0. 0 0	0.00	480.54 1,905.46	1 10
	Refrigerator	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Range Hood	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Wushers & Dryers	Õ	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
arpet & Vinyl:			2,000.00	0.00	0.00.	0.00	0.00	
	IBR	2	4,400.00	3,571.04	0.00	0.00	3,571.04	2000
	28R	2	6,678.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	3BR	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-70
	Other:	ō	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
abinets:	Called American posterior and posterior and an arrangement of the called the					1446		
	Kitchens	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
	Bathrooms	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1000
pors:	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	- 4,55	100
1	Exterior	0	0.00	. 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Interior	Ö	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	
	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	
/indow Coverings:	: List:	- 01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	
eating & Air Con		POSSON OF THE	- 100	2. 2001—0000000000	1000		1.0	13. 15.
	Heating	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	7 96
	Air Conditioning	2	6,030.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0,00	
umbine:	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
nuong.	Water Heater	0	0.00	422.86	0.00	0.00	422.86	
	Bath Sinks	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Kitchen Sinks	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Faucets	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Toilets	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ajor Electrical:	Other		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	
ajor Electricat.	List:	700	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:		3,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00_	Jean m
ructures:	1302 -	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17000
	Windows	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Walls		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	
	Reofing		24,000.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	
	Siding		0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0,00	
	Exterior Painting		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other	M. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
aving:	A . Itala	NAME OF THE OWNER.	90.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	DE SEN
	Concrete		0.00	0.00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
	Seal & Stripe		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
indscape & Groui		1	~~~					
	Landscaping		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Lawn Equipment		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Fencing		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	THE STATE OF
	Recreation Area		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:	ALL THE SERVICE STATES	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ccessibility Featu		(124-24-24
	List:		0.00	0.00	0,00	0,00	0,00	
utomation Equips	Olher:	[terrari-spreador]		22.2	e mus			
atomation equip	Site Management		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	202
	Common Area		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NEW STATE
-1700000	Olher:	A GREAT CONTRACTOR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	190555
ther:	Lier	(Marie San Carl	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100
	List:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	List:	STATE OF THE STATE OF	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	
PROGRAMMAN - INCOMPANSAN			- Control		1-17-2000			
OTAL CAPI'	TAL	12	48,628.00	6,379.90	0.00	0.00	6,379.90	
XPENSES:	andum Pogo The accommendation			E		1		1

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) LAKE PARK, GEORGIA

FINANCIAL AND COMPLIANCE REPORTS

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	1-2
Balance Sheets	3-4
Statements of Income	5
Statements of Partners' (Deficit)	6
Statements of Cash Flows	7-8
Notes to Financial Statements	9-16
Supplemental Information	17-18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	19-20
Schedule of Findings and Responses	21
Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	22-24
Multiple Family Housing Borrower Balance Sheet, RD 3560-10	25-27
Multiple Family Housing Project Budget, RD 3560-7	28-34



Henderson & Godbee, LLP

Certified Public Accountants and Business Consultants

Members of American Institute of Certified Public Accountants • Georgia Society of Certified Public Accountants

Robert A. Goddard, Jr., CPA (1943-1989) Gerald H. Henderson, CPA J. Wendell Godbee, CPA Mark S. Rogers, CPA James W. Godbee, Jr., CPA Maureen P. Collins, CPA Kevin R. Hiers, CPA, CVA Amanda W. Shapard, CPA Troy D. Newham, CPA Scott R. Simpson, CPA J. Philip Young, CPA Billie A. Baxter, CPA Mne A. Johnson, CPA Keeley T. Collins, CPA Kaitlyn E. Hannay, CPA Jacey B. Pittman, CPA M. Allison Hutchins, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Partners Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. (A Limited Partnership) Valdosta, Georgia

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. (a Limited Partnership), USDA, RD No: 10-092-949489960 which comprises the balance sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related statements of income, partners' (deficit), and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness

3488 North Valdosta Road Valdosta, GA 31602 Phone: (229) 245-6040 FAX: (229) 245-1669

www.hgncpa.com - www.facebook.com/hendersonandgodbee

P.O. Box 2241 Valdosta, GA 31604-2241 of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of its operations, partners' (deficit), and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Report on Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information shown on pages 17-18 and 25-34 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the *Multi Family Housing Asset Management Handbook* issued by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Development, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Report Issued in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated February 10, 2017 on our consideration of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting and our test of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Henderson & Godbee, LLP
Certified Public Accountants

Valdosta, Georgia

February 10, 2017

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) BALANCE SHEETS DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash	\$ 1,768	\$ 774
Accounts receivable - tenants	1,108	656
Total Current Assets	2,876	1,430
Restricted Deposits and Funded Reserves		
Escrow-tenants' security deposits	6,767	7,826
Escrow-replacement reserve	46,267	87,709
Escrow-tax reserve	10,405	11,048
Total Restricted Deposits and Funded Reserves	63,439	106,583
Property, Plant and Equipment		
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	1,806,435	1,806,435
Accumulated depreciation	(1,251,680)	(1,197,108)
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	554,755	609,327
Total Assets	\$ 621,070	\$ 717,340

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) BALANCE SHEETS **DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015**

	2016	2015
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' (DEFICIT)		
Current Liabilities		
Current maturities of long-term debt Accounts payable	\$ 15,756 660	\$ 14,657 1,006
Total Current Liabilities	16,416	15,663
Deposits and Prepayment Liabilities		
Tenants' security deposits	6,767	7,826
Total Deposits and Prepayment Liabilities	6,767	7,826
Long-Term Liabilities		
Mortgage payable, less current maturities	1,302,572	1,318,328
Total Long-Term Liabilities	1,302,572	1,318,328
Total Liabilities	1,325,755	1,341,817
Partners' (Deficit)		
Partners' (Deficit)	(704,685)	(624,477)
Total Liabilities And Partners' (Deficit)	\$ 621,070	\$ 717,340

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) STATEMENTS OF INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

	2016	2015
Revenues		
Gross potential rental income	\$ 215,100	\$ 210,758
Less: vacancy loss	(14,711)	(14,379)
Net rental income	200,389	196,379
Other income	3,264	3,945
Total Revenues	203,653	200,324
Expenses		
Operating and maintenance	107,604	51,021
Utilities	13,648	13,206
Administrative	57,668	56,686
Taxes and insurance	19,549	18,869
Total Operating Expenses	198,469	139,782
Net Operating Income	5,184	60,542
Non-Operating Expenses		
Interest subsidy income	(69,013)	(65,355)
Interest expense	96,161	97,183
Depreciation	54,572	54,572
Total Non-Operating Expenses	81,720	86,400
Net (Loss)	\$ (76,536)	\$ (25,858)

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP)

STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' (DEFICIT) FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

P. V. V.	2016	2015
Limited Partners' (Deficit) Balance, January 1 Distributions Net (Loss)	\$ (569,066) (1,035) (75,771)	\$ (543,467) (25,599)
Balance, December 31	\$ (645,872)	\$ (569,066)
General Partner's (Deficit)		
Balance, January 1 Distributions Net (Loss)	\$ (55,411) (2,637) (765)	\$ (55,152) - (259)
Balance, December 31	\$ (58,813)	\$ (55,411)
Total Partners' (Deficit)		
Balance, January 1 Distributions	\$ (624,477) (3,672)	\$ (598,619)
Net (Loss)	(76,536)	(25,858)
Balance, December 31	\$ (704,685)	\$ (624,477)

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

	2016	2015
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Net (Loss)	\$ (76,536)	\$ (25,858)
Adjustments To Reconcile Net (Loss) To Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities:	,	Ĩ
Depreciation	54,572	54,572
Changes In Operating Assets And Liabilities:	54 YORK \$144 AND AND	
Accounts receivable	(452)	(558)
Security deposits	1,059	191
Replacement reserve	41,442	(8,464)
Tax reserve	643	(5,992)
Accounts payable	(346)	593
Tenants' security deposits	(1,059)	(191)
Total Adjustments	95,859	40,151
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	19,323	14,293
Cash Flows From Financing Activities		
Principal payments on long-term debt	(14,657)	(13,635)
Distributions	(3,672)	
Net Cash (Used In) Financing Activities	(18,329)	(13,635)
Net Increase In Cash	994	658
Cash, Beginning Of Year	774	116_
Cash, End Of Year	\$ 1,768	\$ 774

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

	100 CO	2016	- 32	2015
Supplemental Disclosures Of Cash Flow Information:				
Cash Paid During The Year For:				
Interest expense	\$	96,161	\$	97,183
Less: subsidized portion	23- 402-6	(69,013)	- C-10	(65,355)
Interest paid, net of subsidy	_\$_	27,148	\$	31,828

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements follows:

Organization

The partnership known as Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P., was formed as a limited partnership under the laws of the State of Georgia on February 22, 1993, to develop, own and operate a 43-unit rental housing project for persons of low and moderate income in the community. The partnership agreement was amended and restated, effective November 1, 1993, to admit a new limited partner, Gateway Tax Credit Fund II, Ltd., (a Florida limited partnership), and retain as the general partners, Rural Housing Partnership, Inc. This project is financed by a RRH Loan from the U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development (USDA, RD), formerly the Farmer's Home Administration and Rural Housing & Community Development Service, and therefore is regulated by the USDA, RD as to rent charges and operating methods.

Effective April 15, 2011, the partnership agreement was amended to admit Gantt Housing, LLC as the limited partner and to permit the withdrawal of Gateway Tax Credit Fund II, Ltd., (a Florida limited partnership).

Basis of Accounting

The financial statements of the partnership are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting, whereby revenues are recognized as earned and expenses are recognized as obligations are incurred.

Income Taxes

The Partnership is a pass-through entity for income tax purposes and, as such, is not subject to income taxes. Rather, all items of taxable income, deductions and tax credits are passed through to and are reported by its owners on their respective income tax returns. The Partnership's federal tax status as a pass-through entity is based on its legal status as a Partnership. Accordingly, the Partnership is not required to take any tax positions in order to qualify as a pass-through entity. The Partnership is required to file and does file tax returns with the Internal Revenue Service and other taxing authorities. Accordingly, these financial statements do not reflect a provision for income taxes and the Partnership has no other tax positions which must be considered for disclosure.

The Partnership is subject to routine audits by taxing jurisdictions; however, there are currently no audits for any tax periods in progress.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Capitalization and Depreciation

Land, buildings and improvements are recorded at cost. Depreciation is provided for in amounts sufficient to relate the cost of depreciable assets to operations over their estimated service lives using the straight-line method. Improvements are capitalized, while expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Upon disposal of depreciable property, the appropriate property accounts are reduced by the related costs and accumulated depreciation. The resulting gains and losses are reflected in the statement of income.

Use of Estimates

The presentation of financial statements, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Tenant Receivables

Tenant receivables are recorded at net realizable value consisting of the carrying amount less the allowance for uncollectible accounts, as needed.

The Partnership uses the allowance method to account for uncollectible tenant receivable balances. Under the allowance method, if needed, an estimate of uncollectible tenant balances is made based upon specific account balances that are considered uncollectible. Factors used to establish an allowance include the credit quality of the tenant and whether the balance is significant. Accounts are considered past due once the unpaid balance is 90 days or more outstanding, unless payment terms are extended by contract. When an account balance is past due and attempts have been made to collect the receivable through legal or other means, the amount is considered uncollectible and is written off. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, there was no allowance balance required. Tenant receivables had a balance in the amount of \$1,108 and \$656, at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Mortgage Subsidy

Under an interest credit agreement with the USDA, RD, a mortgage subsidy is provided, thus reducing the interest rate to approximately 1% over the life of the agreement. The interest subsidy is treated as additional income with interest expense being recorded at the note rate.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 were \$517 and \$262, respectively.

NOTE 2 -RESTRICTED CASH AND ESCROW ACCOUNTS

Replacement Reserve

	- 42	2016	-	2015
Annual funding required by loan agreement	\$	14,841	_\$_	14,841
Actual funding including interest income	\$	19,844	\$	14,844
Withdrawals approved by Rural Development Operating and maintenance expenses	\$	61,286	_\$	6,380
Reserve balance at year end	\$	46,267	\$	87,709
Fully funded balance per loan agreement	-	14,510		60,954
Excess	\$	31,757	\$	26,755
Toward Faculty Down its				

Tenant Security Deposits

Security deposits collected from tenants are held in a separate bank account. The account's status at December 31 is:

	 2016	ş. .	2015
Tenant security deposits cash	\$ 6,767	\$	7,826
Tenant security deposits payable	 6,767		7,826
Excess (Deficit)	\$ 8 -	\$	<u> </u>

NOTE 2 - RESTRICTED CASH AND ESCROW ACCOUNTS (CONTINUED)

Real Estate Tax and Insurance Escrow

USDA, RD regulations require the Partnership to make monthly appropriations of cash to a tax reserve account to ensure that adequate cash is available when taxes are due. The account's status at December 31 is:

	97 3 (7	2016	(<u>*</u>	2015
Real estate tax and insurance escrow	\$	10,405	\$	11,048
Accrued or unpaid taxes and insurance				<u> </u>
Excess		10,405	\$	11,048

NOTE 3 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of the property, plant and equipment is as follows at December 31:

	Depreciable Life	2016	2015
Land Buildings Equipment	30 Years 10 Years	\$ 100,000 1,637,156 69,279	\$ 100,000 1,637,156 69,279
Total		1,806,435	1,806,435
Less: Accumulated depreciation		(1,251,680)	(1,197,108)
Net Property, Plant and Equipment		\$ 554,755	\$ 609,327

Property, plant and equipment is pledged as collateral on long-term debt. Depreciation expense aggregated \$54,572 and \$54,572 for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

The following is	a list of	accounts	navable at	December	31.

	n	2016	(2015
Utilities and telephone	\$	213	\$	725
Legal		20		
Office supplies		134		1
Advertising		46		13-6
Repairs and maintenance	\$ 	247		280
	\$	660	\$	1,006

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS

Long-term indebtedness consists of the following at December 31:

	e -	2016	 2015
USDA, RD, made April 20, 1995, 9.00%, due in 599 monthly installments, secured by land, buildings and equipment with an original cost of \$1,803,885.	\$	1,318,328	\$ 1,332,985
Less current maturities	£-	(15,756)	(14,657)
Long-term portion	\$	1,302,572	\$ 1,318,328

Management has determined it is not practicable to determine the fair value of the mortgage debt because of the unique terms of the note. It would not be possible to obtain replacement financing under similar terms.

Maturities of long-term debt as of December 31, 2016, for the succeeding five years are as follows:

\$ 15,756
16,937
18,206
19,571
21,038
\$

NOTE 5 - LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS (CONTINUED)

Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. incurred interest costs of \$96,161 and \$97,183 for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, all of which was properly charged to expense.

NOTE 6 - RENTAL ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS

USDA, RD has contracted with the Partnership pursuant to Section 521(a)(2)(A) of Title V of the Housing Act of 1949 to make housing assistance payments to the Partnership on behalf of qualified tenants. Payments under the contract period ended December 31, are as follows:

	2016		2015	
Rental assistance payments	\$	25,211	\$	25,265

NOTE 7 - TAXABLE INCOME (LOSS)

The financial statements of the Partnership and the Partnership tax returns are prepared on the accrual basis. The following is a reconciliation between net income (loss) as reported and Partnership income (loss) for tax purposes:

	r-	2016	Fig	2015
Net (Loss) per financial statement Book depreciation in excess of	\$	(76,536)	\$	(25,858)
tax depreciation	II 	13,174		13,174
Partnership (Loss) per tax return	\$	(63,362)	\$	(12,684)

NOTE 8 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The following is a list of related parties and the nature of the relationship to the Partnership and a description of the transactions between the related parties:

Investors Management Company, Inc.

Investors Management Company, Inc., an affiliate of the general partner, is the property manager of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. The partnership paid Investors Management Company, Inc. management fees of \$23,086 and \$22,575 for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

NOTE 8 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (CONTINUED)

Rural Housing Reinsurance Company International Ltd. (CJ Thomas Company, Inc.)

An affiliate of the general partner is also an owner of CJ Thomas Company, Inc., which provides property insurance to Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. The partnership paid CJ Thomas Company, Inc. insurance fees of \$8,628 and \$8,621 for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

NOTE 9 - PARTNERSHIP PROFITS, LOSSES AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Profits or losses from operations are allocated 99% to the Limited Partner and 1% to the General Partner. Tax credits are to be allocated 99% to the Limited Partner and 1% to the General Partner. Profit or loss from sales of property and cash distributions will be allocated as formulated in the Partnership Agreement. Annual distributions to the partners are limited by government regulation to \$3,672, which is 8% of the borrowers' initial capital investment required by USDA, RD.

NOTE 10 - CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK - CASH IN BANKS

Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. maintains its cash accounts at one bank in Valdosta, Georgia. Accounts are guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) up to \$250,000 for the years ending December 31, 2016 and 2015. A summary of the total insured and uninsured cash balances at December 31 consists of the following:

	2016	2015
Total cash in all banks Portion insured by FDIC	\$ 65,107 65,107	\$ 107,257 107,257
Uninsured cash balances	 70 <u>14</u> 6	 12

NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

USDA, RD may terminate the interest subsidy agreement if it determines that no subsidy is necessary or if the Partnership is determined to be in violation of USDA, RD rules or regulations.

NOTE 12 - IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

FASB ASC 360-10 (formerly FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets), requires long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used by an entity be reviewed for impairment whenever events of changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable through the estimated undiscounted future cash flows from the use of these assets. Through December 31, 2016, no impairment loss recognition has been required.

NOTE 13 - CURRENT VULNERABILITY DUE TO CERTAIN CONCENTRATIONS

The Partnership's sole asset is Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II. The Partnership's operations are concentrated in the affordable housing real estate market. In addition, the Partnership operates in a heavily regulated environment. The operations of the Partnership are subject to the administrative directives, rules and regulations of federal, state and local regulatory agencies, including, but not limited to, RD and state agencies. Such administrative directives, rules and regulations are subject to change by an act of Congress or an administrative change mandated by RD or state agencies. Such changes may occur with little notice or inadequate funding to pay for the related cost, including additional administrative burden, to comply with a change.

NOTE 14 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events were evaluated through February 10, 2017, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

1. Management Fee Calculation

The management fee is based on a fee per unit occupied by tenants during the month.

Total Qualified Units (43 * 1 month)		43
Less: Rent Free Units (1 * 1)		(1)
Vacancies		(4)
Total Occupied Units	50 1 02	38
Fee Per Unit (Effective February 2015)	_\$	46
Management Fee January 2016		1,748
Total Qualified Units (43 * 11 months)		473
Less: Rent Free Units (1 * 11)		(11)
Vacancies		(8)
Total Occupied Units	¥ 1. 1. 1.	454
Fee Per Unit (Effective February 2016)	_\$	47
Management Fee February through December 2016	\$	21,338
Management Fee Expense	\$	23,086

2. Insurance Disclosure

The Partnership maintains insurance coverage as follows:

	Deductible		Coverage	
Property Coverage on Buildings	\$	1,000	\$	3,440,000
Comprehensive Business Liability		500 777		2,000,000
Fidelity / Employee Dishonesty		5,000		500,000

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

3. Return to Owner

In accordance with the Loan Agreement, the annual return to owner is as follows:

Maximum Return to Owner (See Note 9)	\$ 3,672
Budget Return to Owner	<u>\$_3,672</u>
Return to Owner Paid:	
General Partner Distribution	\$ 2,637
Limited Partner Distribution	1,035
	\$ 3,672



Henderson & Godbee, LLP

Certified Public Accountants and Business Consultants

Members of American Institute of Certified Public Accountants • Georgia Society of Certified Public Accountants

Robert A. Goddard, Jr., CPA (1943-1989) Gerald H. Henderson, CPA J. Wendell Godbee, CPA Mark S. Rogers, CPA James W. Godbee, Jr., CPA Maureen P. Collins, CPA Kevin R. Hiers, CPA, CVA Amanda W. Shapard, CPA Troy D. Newham, CPA Scott R. Simpson, CPA J. Philip Young, CPA Billic A. Baxter, CPA Mae A. Johnson, CPA Keeley T. Collins, CPA Kaitlyn E. Hannay, CPA Jaccy B. Pittman, CPA M. Allison Hutchins, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Partners of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. Valdosta, Georgia USDA Rural Development Tifton, Georgia

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P., which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2016, and the related statements of income, changes in partner's (deficit), and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 10, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

3488 North Valdosta Road Valdosta, GA 31602 Phone: (229) 245-6040 FAX: (229) 245-1669

www.hgpcpa.com - www.facebook.com/hendersonandgodbee

P.O. Box 2241 Valdosta, GA 31604-2241 Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P.'s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Henderson & Godbee, LLP
Certified Public Accountants

Valdosta, Georgia

February 10, 2017

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. (A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP) SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

Current Year Findings

There were no findings this year.

Status Of Prior Year Findings

There were no findings in the prior year.

AUDITEE'S COMMENTS ON PRIOR AUDIT RESOLUTION MATTERS RELATED TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

There are no significant unresolved findings from previous annual audits, physical inspections or management review reports during our audit of the 2016 financial statements. There were no compliance findings noted during our audit of the 2016 financial statements relating to physical inspections or management reviews for which the Partnership has not taken corrective action.



Henderson & Godbee, LLP

Certified Public Accountants and Business Consultants

Members of American Institute of Certified Public Accountants • Georgia Society of Certified Public Accountants

Robert A. Goddard, Jr., CPA (1943-1989) Gerald H. Henderson, CPA J. Wendell Godbee, CPA Mark S. Rogers, CPA James W. Godbee, Jr., CPA Maureen P. Collins, CPA Kevin R. Hiers, CPA, CVA Amanda W. Shapard, CPA Troy D. Newham, CPA

Scott R. Simpson, CPA J. Philip Young, CPA Billie A. Baxter, CPA Mae A. Johnson, CPA Keeley T. Collins, CPA Kuidya E. Hannay, CPA Jacey B. Pittman, CPA M. Allison Hutchins, CPA

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

To the Partners of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. Valdosta, Georgia

And

United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development Tifton, Georgia

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development ("RD") and Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. ("Owner") the owner of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II ("Project") located in Lake Park, Georgia, solely to assist those parties in evaluating that the accompanying Form RD 3560-10, Multiple Family Housing Borrower Balance Sheet, Form RD 3560-7, Multiple Family Housing Project Budget ("Financial Reports") and Supporting Documentation are prepared in accordance with the criteria specified in RD Regulations contained in 7 CFR 3560 Section 303(b) and section 306, in accordance with the determinations noted in Attachment 4-D of RD Handbook HB-2-3560, for the year ended December 31, 2016. The owner is responsible for the presentation of the financial reports. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of RD and the owner. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

 We examined selected receipts, invoices, and cancelled checks (or checks imaging on original bank statement) that support administrative; and operating and maintenance expenses presented on Form RD 3560-7, Part II, line items 1-10 and 19-32 to determine they were incurred as part of the supporting documentation, based on the sample size determined by RD in Attached 4-D of RD Handbook HB-2-3560, for expenses included in Lines 1-10 and 19-32 of Form RD 3560-7 and determined that the services were eligible expenses, in accordance

22

3488 North Valdosta Road Valdosta, GA 31602

Phone: (229) 245-6040 FAX: (229) 245-1669 P.O. Box 2241 Valdosta, GA 31604-2241

www.hgncpa.com - www.facebook.com/hendersonandgodbee

with Attachment 4-A of HB-2-3560, and the shipping address agreed to the project address. In addition, we confirmed a sample of the expenditures with the vendors to determine the invoice paid agreed to the vendor's records.

Findings:

Total Number of Invoices in Population: Approximately 400 Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: \$175,383

Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 15

Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: \$2,973

Total Number of Invoices in Vendor Confirmation Sample: 1

Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Vendor Confirmation Sample: \$938

Total Number of Vendor Confirmations Not Received: 0

Total Dollar Amount of Vendor Confirmations Not Received: \$0

Total Number of Deviations: 0

Total Dollar Amount of Deviations: \$0

2. We confirmed the balance in the replacement reserve account presented on Form RD 3560-7, Part III, and confirmed that no amounts were encumbered by the financial institution that holds the accounts. We determined that all balances are within the FDIC insurance limits. We determined number of reserve account withdrawals from the original bank statements and compared the withdrawals to the amounts approved by RD on Form RD 3560-12. We compared the invoices and cancelled checks (or check imaging on original bank statement) to the approved withdrawals from RD.

Findings:

Total Number of Reserve Account Withdrawals: 10
Total Number of Withdrawals Authorized by RD: 10
Total Dollar Amount of Reserve Account Withdrawals: \$61,286
Total Dollar Amount of Withdrawals Authorized by RD: \$61,286
Total Number of Deviations: 0

Total Dollar Amount of Deviations: \$0

The confirmation received from the financial institution agreed to the project's bank reconciliation and no encumbrances were noted on the confirmation. All withdrawals were paid to the vendors approved by RD of Form RD 3560-12.

3. We obtained the Identity of Interest (IOI) company listing and Form RD 3560-31 from RD and determined that the services provided and approved fees, if applicable, agree to the actual service and fees charged to the project. We examined a sample of invoices and determined that the services and charges are in accordance with the disclosures contained in Form RD 3560-31 as agreed to by RD.

Findings:

Total Number of Forms RD 3560-31 Received: 2

Company Name 1: Investor's Management Company, Inc.

Total Dollar Amount for the Year: \$23,086 Total Number of Invoices in Population: 12

Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: \$23,086

Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 12

Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: \$23,086

Company Name 2: Rural Housing Reinsurance Company International, Ltd. (CJ Thomas

Company, Inc)

Total Dollar Amount for the Year: \$8,628 Total Number of Invoices in Population: 1

Total Dollar Amount of Invoices in Population: \$8,628

Total Number of Invoices Reviewed: 1

Total Dollar Amount of Invoices Reviewed: \$8,628

The agreed-upon procedures performed above and the Management Representation Letter revealed no undisclosed IOI companies.

We are not engaged to, and did not, conduct an audit of the subject matter, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the agreed-upon procedures, applied to the financial reports and supporting documentation of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the owner and management agent of Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II, L.P., and Rural Development, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Henderson & Godbee, LLP
Certified Public Accountants

Valdosta, Georgia

February 10, 2017

Form RD 3560-10 (02-05) Position 3 FORM APPROVEI

I-FAMILY HOUSING OMB NO. 0575-018

MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING BORROWER BALANCE SHEET PART I - BALANCE SHEET

PROJECT NAME	BORROWER NAME	BORROWER ID AND P	ROJECT NO.	
T 1 W 1 W 10	Arbor Trace Apartments			
Arbor Trace Apartments Phase II	Phase II, L.P.	10-092-949489960		
	CURRENT YEAR	PRIOR YEAR	COMMENTS	
BEGINNING DATES>		01-01-15		
ENDING DATES>	12-31-16	12-31-15		
ASSETS				
CURRENT ASSETS			10. 1791	
i. GENERAL OPERATING ACCOUNT	1,768	774		
2. R.E. TAX & INSURANCE ACCOUNT	10,405	11,048		
3. RESERVE ACCOUNT	46,267	87,709		
4. SECURITY DEPOSIT ACCOUNT	6,767	7,826		
5. OTHER CASH (identify)	320	w 20 % 1 d		
6. OTHER (identify)	4000	lo.		
7. TOTAL ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE (Attach list)	1,108	656		
ACCTS RCVBL 0-30 DAYS \$	图图 经国际	6	5: Md2; 50; 25;	
ACCTS RCVBL 30-60 DAYS \$		<u> </u>		
ACCTS RCVBL 60-90 DAYS \$			1803881 - 1903 3	
ACCTS RCVBL OVER 90 DAYS \$		·		
8. LESS: ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS		2. 2004 10 30	### 48900 ## ## ## ##	
9. INVENTORIES (supplies)	W #			
10. PREPAYMENTS	-	y - .		
11.				
12. TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS (Add 1 thru 11)	66,315	108,013		
60. 100 60—100 (MANUSE - BACOSO) - 50 - 50	55			
FIXED ASSETS			4000	
13. LAND,	100,000	100,000		
14. BUILDINGS	1,637,156	1,637,156		
15. LESS: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	(1,182,401)			
16. FURNITURE & EQUIPMENT	69,279	69,279		
17. LESS: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	(69,279)	(69,279)	1 77 NO 85 WARREST CO.	
18.		C Grad Address to the Control of the	50 50 2002	
19. TOTAL FIXED ASSETS (Add 13 thru 18)	554,755	609,327		
Name angus ration and an annual state of the				
OTHER ASSETS				
20.			·	
21. TOTAL ASSETS (Add 12, 19, and 20)	621,070	717,340		
	 		-	
LIABILITIES AND OWNERS EQUITY				
CURRENT LIABILITIES				
22. TOTAL ACCOUNTS PAYABLE (Attach list)	660	1,006	Site Value dive	
ACCTS PAYABLE 0-30 DAYS \$			-	
ACCTS PAYABLE 30-60 DAYS \$	Francisco Artolico I			
ACCTS PAYABLE 60-90 DAYS \$	医特别氏线 网络			
ACCTS PAYABLE OVER 90 DAYS \$			0.5	
23. NOTES PAYABLE (Attach list) DEFERRED REVENUE	-	-	200	
24. SECURITY DEPOSITS	6,767	7,826		
25. TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES (Add 22 thru 24)	7,427	8,832		

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency my not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The volid OMB control number for this information collection is 6575-6189. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 2 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

LONG-TERM LIABILITIES			
26. NOTES PAYABLE RURAL DEVELOPMENT	1,318,328	1,332,985	
27. OTHER (Identify)			* <u>-</u> 2% <u>9 /26</u>
28. TOTAL LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Add 26 and 27)	1,318,328	1,332,985	N
29. TOTAL LIABILITIES (Add 25 and 28)	1,325,755	1,341,817	
30. OWNER'S EQUITY (Net Worth) (21 minus 29)	(704,685)	(624,477)	
31 TOTAL LIABILITIES AND OWNER'S EQUITY			
(Add 29 and 30)	621,070	717,340	
Warning: Section 1001 of Title 18, Untied States Code provides: "Whoever, in an knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick, scheme, or derepresentations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.	vice a material fact, or makes a	ny false, fictitious or fraudulent s	tatements or
I HAVE READ THE ABOVE WARNING STATEMENT AND I HEI COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLED		REGOING INFORMATION	N IS
(Signature of Borrower or Borrower's Representative)	-	(Date)	
(Title)			
PART II-THIRD PARTY V	ERIFICATION OF REV	IEW	
I/We have reviewed the borrower's records. The accompanying balanc RD 3560-7, is a fair presentation of the borrower's records.	ce sheet, and statement of a	ctual budget and income on	Form
I/We certify that no identity of interest exists between me/us and my in	dividuai or organization de	oing business with the projec	t or borrower.
(Signature)		(Date)	<u>v_</u> a
-			
(Name and Title)			
(Address)			
In lieu of the above verification and signature, a review completed, certification is attached.	dated and signed by a pers	on or firm qualified by licen	se or

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

ARBOR TRACE APARTMENTS PHASE II, L.P. ATTACHMENT TO FORM RD 3560-10 DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

Page 25, Line 7		2016		2015
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				
Due from tenants	<u>\$</u>	1,108		656
Page 25, Line 22				
Utilities and telephone	\$	213	\$	725
Legal		20		-
Office supplies		134		1
Advertising		46		=
Repairs and maintenance		247	-	280
	_\$	660	\$	1,006

Position 3

FORM APPROVED Form RD 3560-7 MULTIPLE FAMILY HOUSING PROJECT BUDGET/ OMB NO.0575-0189 (Rev. 05-06) UTILITY ALLOWANCE PROJECT NAME BORROWER NAME BORROWER ID AND PROJECT NO. Arbor Trace II Apartments Arbor Trace Apts Ph. II, L.p. 949489950 016 Loan/Transfer Amount \$ 1.484.100.00 IC Payment \$ Note Rate Payment \$ 9,234,80 3.154.59 The following utilities are master I hereby request Reporting Period **Budget Type** Project Rental Type Full Profit
Limited Profit Family Initial Regular Report 23 units of RA. Current number IsunuA metered: **✓** Quarterly Elderly Electricity Gas Rent Change Monthly Congregate Non-Profit ₩ater √Trash Sewer Borrower Accounting Method SNR Group Home Other Servicine Cash Accrual Mixed LH Other PART I—CASH FLOW STATEMENT CURRENT PROPOSED COMMENTS BUDGET ACTUAL BUDGET or (YTD) BEGINNING DATES>
ENDING DATES> (01 - 01 - 16 (01 - 01 - 16) (01 - 01 - 16) 01 - 01 - 17 (12-31-16) (12 - 31 - 16) (12 - 31 - 16) 12 - 31 - 17) OPERATIONAL CASH SOURCES 175,178.00 218,160.00 42 Revenue Pr 210,600.00 1. RENTAL INCOME RHS RENTAL ASSISTANCE RECEIVED..... 25,211.00 APPLICATION FEES RECEIVED _____ 1,020.00 LAUNDRY AND VENDING 0.00 0.00 -656,00 0.00 INTEREST INCOME 0.00 0.00 2,400.00 2,900.00 2,400.00 TENANT CHARGES OTHER - PROJECT SOURCES..... 0.00 0.00 0.00 7. 9.477.00) 9.817.00) 4.5% LESS (Vacancy and Contingency Allowance) 0.00) 0.00) LESS (Agency Approved Incentive Allowance) 203,523.00 203,653.00 210,743.00 SUB-TOTAL [(1 thru 7) - (8 & 9)] NON-OPERATIONAL CASH SOURCES 11. CASH - NON PROJECT 0.00 0.00 0.00 12. AUTHORIZED LOAN (Non-RHS) 0.00 0.00 0.00 13. TRANSFER FROM RESERVE 50,300.00 61,285.50 36,548.00 SUB-TOTAL (11 thru 13) 50.300.00 61,285.50 36,548.00 253,823.00 264,938.50 247,291.00 TOTAL CASH SOURCES (10+14) 15. **OPERATIONAL CASH USES** 140,334.00 136 633 87 147.328.00 16. TOTAL O&M EXPENSES (From Part II) 17. RHS DEBT PAYMENT 37,855,00 37,855.08 37,855.00 3,950.00 18. RHS PAYMENT (Overage) 0.00 19. RHS PAYMENT (Late Fee) 0.00 20. REDUCTION IN PRIOR YEAR PAYABLES 21. TENANT UTILITY PAYMENTS 550.00 19,844.00 19,844.00 19,844.00 22. TRANSFER TO RESERVE 3,672.00 3,672.00 2015 RTO pald 3.672.00 23. RETURN TO OWNER INP ASSET MANAGEMENT FEE. 201,705.00 202,504.95 208,699.00 24. SUB-TOTAL (16 thru 23) **NON-OPERATIONAL CASH USES** 0.00 0.00 0.00 25. AUTHORIZED DEBT PAYMENT (Non-RHS) 50,300.00 61,285.50 36,548,00 26. ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET (From Part III, Lines 4-6) 27. MISCELLANEOUS 0.00 -1.25 0.00 Reunding 61,284,25 36,548.00 50,300.00 28. SUB-TOTAL (25 thru 27) 29. TOTAL CASH USES (24+28) 252,005.00 263,789.20 245,247.00 1.818.00 1,149.30 2.044.00 30. NET CASH (DEFICIT) (15-29) CASH BALANCE 31. BEGINNING CASH BALANCE 32,877.20 11,821.10 34,695.20 -797.25 Adjust to accru-32. ACCRUAL TO CASH ADJUSTMENT 34,695.20 12,173.15 36,739.20 33. ENDING CASH BALANCE (30+31+32)

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, or agency may not conduct or sporsor; and a param is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0575-0189. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 2 1/2 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

		12	CURRENT	8	PROPOSED	COMMENT
		l	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	or (YTD)
	26455	Г	40,000,00	40.004.40	45 000 00	
		E AND REPAIRS PAYROLL	13,000.00	12,864.46	15,000.00	
		E AND REPAIRS SUPPLY	14,000.00	13,064.59	16,000.00	
		E AND REPAIRS CONTRACT	0.00	0.00	0.00	
			4,000.00	6,821.30	4,500.00	600000 00
		AL	0.00	0.00	0.00	2000 - 2000 -
	ELEVATOR MA	INTENANCE/CONTRACT	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	GROUNDS	***************************************	11,756.00	11,603,88	11,756.00	\$938/M + 50
	SERVICES	***************************************	2,456.00	1,848.00	2,456.00	108/M PesiCo
	ANNUAL CAPIT	TAL BUDGET (From Part V - Operating)	0.00	0.00	0.00	4 20
		TNG EXPENSES (Itemize)	200.00	117.25	200.00	UA CALC FE
1.	SUB-TOTAL MA	AINT. & OPERATING (I thru 10)	45,412.00	46,319.48	49,912.00	
	OW/C					
	ELECTRICITY	If master metered	5,300.00	5,647.19	6,000.00	Increased outo
3.	WATER	check box on	220.00	227.61	220.00	Action Action
4.	SEWER _	front	300,00	300.77	300.00	12 12
5.	FUEL (Oil/Coal/	Gas)	0.00	0.00	0.00	
б.	GARBAGE & TI	RASH REMOVAL	6,200.00	6,922.17	6,700.00	
		ies	0.00	0.00	0.00	
		TLITIES (12 thru 17)	12,020.00	13,097.74	13,220.00	
			1000 i			
9.	SITE MANAGE	MENT PAYROLL	16,848.00	16,403.36	16,848.00	\$1404/M (3%
		FEE	23,688.00	23,086.00	24,696.00	49x42Ux12N
۱.	PROJECT AUDI	TING EXPENSE	4,000.00	3,880.00	4,000.00	
2.	PROJECT BOOK	CKEEPING/ACCOUNTING	0.00	0.00	0.00	2
3.	LEGAL EXPENS	SES	, 500,00	2,814.38	600,00	
		MINISTER CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	400.00	517,38	400.00	
		ANSWERING SERVICE	2,500.00	2,047.50	2,700.00	(d)
5.	OFFICE SUPPLI	ES	2,000.00	2,349.51	2,400.00	
		TURE & EQUIPMENT	917.00	1,280.38	915.00	50.13/MComp
		ENSE	686.00	544.10	691.00	V 10 SEST WINNESS
).	HEALTH INS. &	OTHER EMP. BENEFITS	800.00	1,243.96	2,000.00	
		38	2,800.00	2,415.47	2,900.00	
		MPENSATION	675.00	694.93	700.00	
		ISTRATIVE EXPENSES (Itemize)	300.00	391.20	300.00	Prop tax const
3.	SUB-TOTAL AD	MINISTRATIVE (19 thru 32)	56,114.00	57,668.17	59,150.00	
l.	REAL ESTATE 1	TAXES	17,200.00	10,642.70	15,200.00	
5.	SPECIAL ASSES	SSMENTS	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5.	OTHER TAXES.	LICENSES & PERMITS	150.00	277.78	150.00	Reg Fee:
		IABILITY INSURANCE	9,288.00	8,628.00	9,546.00	222/U (3% inc
		ERAGE INSURANCE	0.00	0.00	0.00	
		NCE	150.00	0.00	150.00	EP
		XES & INSURANCE (34 thru 39)	26,788.00	19,548.48	25,046.00	
			140,334.00	136,633.87	147,328.00	

	-	CURRENT		PROPOSED	COMMENTS
D.Y	NOTIFIE A GROUPING	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	or (YTD)
	ESERVE ACCOUNT:				
l.	BEGINNING BALANCE	81,898.38	87,708.88	51,442.38	
2.	TRANSFER TO RESERVE	19,844.00	19,844,00	19,844.00	\$1237/M + \$5k
	TRANSFER FROM RESERVE	and subtribute		fisē Setijavanā	1. 1. 1.
	3. OPERATING DEFICIT	0.00	0.00	0,00	
	4. ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET (Part V - Reserve)	50,300.00	61,285,50	36,548.00	l.,
	5. BUILDING & EQUIPMENT REPAIR	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	6. OTHER NON-OPERATING EXPENSES	0.00	0.00	0.00	25 27
33	7. TOTAL (3 thru 6)	(50,300.00)	(61,285,50)	(36,548.00)	
8.	ENDING BALANCE [(1+2)-7]	51,442.38	46,267.38	34,738.38	
	CAL ESTATE TAX AND INSURANCE ESCROW				
(3)	BEGINNING BALANCE		11,048.09	3	
	ENDING BALANCE		10,405.39		
TE	NANT SECURITY DEPOSIT ACCOUNT:*				
	BEGINNING BALANCE		7,826.00	3	
	ENDING BALANCE		6,767.00		196000 A 19600
(*(Complete upon submission of actual expenses.)				
	IMBER OF APPLICANTS ON THE WAITING LIST	Q pro	ERVE ACCT. RE	O DALANCE	0.00
N					

A. CUR	RENT.	APPROVED	RENTS/ UTII	ITY ALLOW	ANCE				
UNI		RIPTION	RE	NTAL RATES		POTENT I			
BR SIZE	UNIT TYPE	NUMBER	BASIC	NOTE RATE	HUD	BASIC	NOTE RATE	HUD	UTILITY ALLOWANCE
1		4	375.00	462.00	0.00	18,000.00	22,176.00	0.00	116.00
2	400	30	415.00	537.00	0.00	149,400.00	193,320.00	0.03	129.00
3	•	8	450.00	597.00	0.00	43,200.00	57,312.00	0.00	168.00
2	z	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0		0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	283	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0		0	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
. 0		0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Cī	JRRENT REN	TOTALS:	210,600.00	272,808.00	0.00	
						BASIC	NOTE	HUD	

UNIT	DESC	RIPTION	RE	NTAL RATES		POTENTIAL INCOME FROM EACH RATE			
BR SIZE	UNIT TYPE	NUMBER	BASIC	NOTE RATE	HUD	BASIC	NOTE RATE	HUD	
1	•	4	390.00	477.00	0.00	18,720.00	22,896.00	0.00	
2	140	30	430.00	552.00	0.00	154,800.00	198,720.00	0.00	
3	(*)	8	465.00	613.00	0,00	44,640.00	58,848.00	0,00	
2	ż	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0	•	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0	7.	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0	•	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0	360	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0,0	
	10 201 40		PI	ROPOSED REI	NT TOTALS:	218,160.00	280,464.00	0,0	
					Andrea - Company of the Parish	BASIC	NOTE	HUD	

C.	PROPOSED UTILI	TY ALLOWAN	ICE - Effective	Date:	12/ 31 /	16		
		·	MON	THLY DO	LLAR ALLC	WANCES		
73.77	OUTE IN HOUSEDD	25732 50000	DI DOMBIO	0.0	1771 00000	A 11111 1111	 	

BR SIZE	UNITTYPE	NUMBER	ELECTRIC	GAS	WATER	SEWER	TRASH	OTHER	TOTAL
1	•	4	77.00	0.00	17.00	23.00	0.00	0.00	117.00
2	•	30	90.00	0.00	17.00	22.00	0.00	0.00	129.00
3		8	122.00	0.00	20.00	26.00	0.00	0.00	168.00
2	2	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00
0	**	a	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	,	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

PART V - ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET

	PAR	T V - ANNUA	L CAPIT	AL BUDG	ET	pr 20 20 2	200	
		Proposed	Proposed	THE STATE OF THE S	Proposed	material and the part (part of the part of		
		Number of Units/Items	from Reserve	Actual from Reserve	from Operating	Actual from Operating	Actual Total Cost	Total Actua Units/Item
ppliances;								
ppnances;	Range	0.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Refrigerator	4	2,200.00	483.11	0.00	0.00	483.11	. 104
	Range Hond	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Washers & Dryers	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:	0 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
arpet & Vinyl:		01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	1BR	3	7.200.00	6,276.45	0.00	0.00	6,276,45	100
	2BR	2	7,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	. "
	3BR4BR	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
abinets;		71	042005 22					
	Kitchens	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Bathrooms	0	00,0	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:		0.00	0.00	0,00	00,0	0.00	
cors:	P	1 01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Exterior	Ö	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1000 j
indow Covering		27 %		20000000		200000	31.00	
30.01.08	List:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Olher: ,	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
cating & Air Co	nditioning:							
	Heating	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Air Conditioning	2	7,000,00	2,505.00	0,00	0.00	2,505,00	
	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	L
umbing:	White- Danie-	2 1	920.00	1.350.94	0.00	0.00	1,350.94	
	Water Heater	ől	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Kitchen Sinks	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Fauceis	0	0.00	00,0	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Toilets	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
lajor Electrical:		[GRENOSZETUNIZATEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	SINK KENCIN
	List:		3,000,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:	[Harrest-Sources.	2,000,001	0.00	0,04	1 0.00	0.00	1
tructures:	112-4	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Windows	0	0.00	0.03	0,00	0.00	0.00	
	Walls		0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Roofing		9,228,00	50,670.00	0.00	0.00	50,670.00	
	Siding		0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Exterior Painting		0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	
	Other	SAN AND MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
oving:	STORY SHOW	(Constanting)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	Land Control
	Asphalt		0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	
	Concrete		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Seal & Stripe		0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	THE REAL PROPERTY.
andscape & Gro			orana manara na mpana na	WOMAN - 180 1000 1	10			
anacops & Olo	Landscaping		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.
	Lawn Equipment		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Fencing		0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0,00	
	Recreation Area		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Signs		0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	
			0.00	0.00	U.UU	0.00	u.00	INTERNATION OF
	Other:	EHOLESCULET SERVE						
ecessibility Feat	ures:	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15201 11000 1100
ecessibility Feat	ures:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	
18 N	ures: List:							
18 N	ures: List:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
18 N	ures: List:		0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	
16 N	ures: List: Other: priceit: Site Management		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
utomation Equip	ures: List: Other: pment: Site Management Common Area		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	
automation Equip	ures: List: Other: pment: Site Management Common Area		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	
utomation Equip	List: List: Common Area Cother: List: List		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	
accessibility Feat	ures: List: Other: Other: Site Management Common Area Other: List:		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	
utomation Equip	List: List: Common Area Other: List: List: List: List: List: List:		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	
utomation Equip	List: List: Common Area Other: List: List: List: List: List: List:	13	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	

PART VI - SIGNATURES, DATES AND COMMENTS

Warning: Section 1001 of Title 18, United States Code provides: "Whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick. scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

I HAVE READ THE ABOVE WARNING STATEMENT AND I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING INFORMATION IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.

DATE)	(Signature of Borrower or Borrower's Representative					
	(Title)					
AGENCY APPROVAL (Rural Development Approve	al Official):	DATE:				

COMMENTS:

Page 1
Line 13. Actual transfers from 1% reserve were 22% over budget due to \$50,670 in roofing expenses to re-roof Buildings A,E,F,G.

Line 23. The 2015 RTO was paid in 2016.

Page 2

Line 11. Actual Maintenance and Operating Expenses were 2% higher than budget.

- Line 18, Actual Utilities were 9% over budget.
- Line 33. Actual Administrative Expenses were 3% over budget.
- Line 40. Actual Tax & Insurance Expense was less than budgeted due to a lower property tax bill than budgeted.

Arbor Trace II Apartn		Current	Current	S S	Current	98.3038.42 at	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O	
		Number of Units/Items	from Reserved	YTD from Reserve	from Operating	YTD from Operating	YTD Total Cost	Total YTD Units/Items
Appliances:		330		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		195 700 19		
585	Range	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Refrigerator	4	2,200.00	483.11	0.00	0.00	483.11	0
	Range Hood	0	D0.0 D0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Washers & Dryers	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
O	Other:	Mal.	5.00	12.05 [0.00	0.00 1	0,00	1000
Carpet & Vinyl:	1BR	(iT	2,300,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	2BR	2	4,800.00	6,276.45	0.00	0.00	6,276,45	
	3BR	2	7,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	. 0.
	4BR	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Other:	. 0	0.00	0.00	00,0	0.00	0.00	0
Cabinets:								
	Kitchens	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Bathrooms	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Doors:	Other:		0.00	U.U.	น.มยู		0.00	U
Duurs.	Exterior	[0]	0.00	0.60	0,00	0.00	0.00	0
	Interior	Ö	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Ö
	Other	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Window Coverings:			CUADING ST					
-	List:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0
	Other:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Heating & Air Condi								
	Heating	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Air Conditioning	2	7,000,00 0,00	2,505,00 0.00	0.00	0.00	2,505.00 0.00	0
Plumbing:	Other:	<u>v</u> _	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	U,UII	- 0
Tuttering.	Water Heater	0	0.00	1,350.94	0.00	0,00	1,350.94	3
	Bath Sinks	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0_
	Kitchen Sinks	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Fauccts	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Toilets	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Other	10	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00,00	0
Major Electrical:	www.	BEAL RESIDENT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Contract and Contract
	List:		3,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Structures:	Other:	المنابع والمساوا		(a) (a) (b)				
Budciales.	Windows	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Screens	0	0.00	0.00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0
	Wells		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Roofing		24,000.00	50,670.00	0.00	0.00	50,670.00	
	Siding		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Exterior Painting		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other	See Section Section 1995	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Essectivity extract
Peving:	4	200 100 100 100	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Asphalt		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Scal & Stripe		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Other:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Landscape & Ground				2000 M	04 303 34404 14			
65	Landscaping		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Lawn Equipment		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Fencing		0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	
	Recreation Area		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Signs		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Other:	10						
	List:	(C) 14 (C) 16 (C)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Accessionity realist	Other:	医服务系统	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	机动物和
Accessionity readile								H-AN-L-W
100	ent:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	ALM FRANCES DE PECST
100	cnt: Site Management				-		A 84	建筑线内外
400			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Automation Equipm	Site Management				0.00 0.00		0.00 0.00	
Automation Equipm	Site Management		0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Accessibility Feature Automation Equipm Other:	Site Management		0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00	
Automation Equipm	Site Management		0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. 34

50,300.00 61,285.50

0.00

0.00

61,265.50

10

TOTAL CAPITAL

EXPENSES: Yardi Classic Addendum Page

Page 1 5/22/2017 08:51 AM

Balance Sheet (Cash) Arbor Trace II - (010) April 2017

CURRENT ASSETS

CASH	
1010.0 Petty Cash	100.00
1020.0 CDA-Checking	273.98
1021.0 Operating-Checking	5,176.60
1030.0 Tax & Insurance Reserve	5,856.39
1040.0 Replacement Reserve	52,215.38
TOTAL CASH	63,622.35
1130.0 A/R Tenants	1,108.00
FIXED ASSETS	100 000 00
2010.0 Land	100,000.00
2030.0 Buildings	1,637,156.00 68,565.95
2050.0 Equipment 2070.0 Lawn Equipment	712.50
2110.0 Accumulated Depreciation-Buildings	-1,182,401.84
2120.0 Accumulated Depreciation-Furniture & Fixt	-69,278.45
TOTAL FIXED ASSETS	554,754.16
OTHER ACCETS	
OTHER ASSETS 2510.0 Security Deposits-Checking	7,772.00
TOTAL OTHER ASSETS	7,772.00
TOTAL ADDETO	607.056.51
TOTAL ASSETS	627,256.51
LIABILITIES & CAPITAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	
3021.0 Security Deposits Payable	7,772.00
3028.0 Accounts Payable	660.40
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	8,432.40
LONG TERM LIABILITIES	
4020.0 Mortgage Payable	1,318,327.70
TOTAL LONG TERM LIABILITIES	1,318,327.70
TOTAL LIABILITIES	1,326,760.10
CAPITAL	
5006.0 Return To Owners	-3,672.00
5020.0 General Partners Equity	-52,359.88
5030.0 Retained Earnings	-71,354.59
5040.0 Limited Partners Equity	-525,543.75
5050.0 General Partners Capital	-3,051.12
5051.0 Limited Partners Capital	-43,522.25
TOTAL CAPITAL	-699,503.59
TOTAL LIABILITIES & CAPITAL	627,256.51

Budget Comparison (Cash) Arbor Trace II - (010) April 2017

	MTD Actual	MTD Budget	\$ Var.	% Var.	YTD Actual	YTD Budget	\$ Var.	% Var.	Annual
INCOME									
RENT INCOME									
6010.0 Income-Rent	16,134.00	18,180.00	-2,046.00	-11.25	62,848.10	72,720.00	-9,871.90	-13.58	218,160.00
6011.0 Income-Rental Assistan	1,689.00	0.00	1,689.00	0	6,756.00	0.00	6,756.00	0	0.00
TOTAL RENT INCOME	17,823.00	18,180.00	-357.00	-1.96	69,604.10	72,720.00	-3,115.90	-4.28	218,160.00
OTHER INCOME									
6015.0 Income-Application Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	120.00	0.00	120.00	0	0.00
6018.0 Tenant Charges/Damag	0.00	25.00	-25.00	-100.0	50.00	100.00	-50.00	-50.00	300.00
6029.0 Income-Cleaning & Rep	0.00	25.00		-100.0	181.40	100.00		81.40	300.00
6030.0 Income-Late Fees	77.00	100.00		-23.00	619.00	400.00	219.00		1,200.00
6031.0 Forfeited Security Depo 6033.0 Income-Miscellaneous	0.00	25.00		-100.0 -100.0	25.00 25.00	100.00		-75.00 -75.00	300.00
		25.00				100.00			
TOTAL OTHER INCOME	77.00	200.00	-123.00	-61.50	1,020.40	800.00	220.40	27.55	2,400.00
TOTAL INCOME	17,900.00	18,380.00	-480.00	-2.61	70,624.50	73,520.00	-2,895.50	-3.94	220,560.00
OFFSETS TO INCOME									
7100.0 Vacancy Allowance	0.00	-818.08	818.08		0.00	-3,272.32	3,272.32		-9,817.00
7300.0 Rural Development Ove	-337.00	0.00	-337.00	0	-1,226.00	0.00	-1,226.00	0	0.00
TOTAL OFFSETS	-337.00	-818.08	481.08	58.81	-1,226.00	-3,272.32	2,046.32	62.53	-9,817.00
TOTAL INCOME AFTER O	17,563.00	17,561.92	1.08	0.01	69,398.50	70,247.68	-849.18	-1.21	210,743.00
OPERATING EXPENSES									
OPERATING & MAINTENANCE									
MAINTENANCE & REPAIRS PAYR									
8045.0 Payroll-Maintenance Pe	368.55	1,250.00	881.45	70.52	3,084.62	5,000.00	1,915.38	38.31	15,000.00
8050.0 Payroll-Gas/Travel Allo	41.73	0.00	-41.73	0	191.42	0.00	-191.42	0	0.00
TOTAL MAINTENANCE & RE	410.28	1,250.00	839.72	67.18	3,276.04	5,000.00	1,723.96	34.48	15,000.00
MAINTENANCE & REPAIRS SUP									
8075.0 R&M Building Supplies	324.56	333.33	8.77	2.63	896.12	1,333.32	437.20	32.79	4,000.00
8080.0 R&M-Heating & Air Sup	159.00	333.33	174.33		452.04	1,333.32	881.28		4,000.00
8085.0 R&M-Plumbing Supplie	563.60	333.33	-230.27		844.49	1,333.32	488.83		4,000.00
8090.0 R&M-Appliance Supplie	91.63	333.33	241.70	720000000000	430.02	1,333.32	903.30		4,000.00
TOTAL MAINTENANCE & RE	1,138.79	1,333.32	194.53	14.59	2,622.67	5,333.28	2,710.61	50.82	16,000.00
UNIT TURNS									
8135.0 Paint/Clean/Clean Carp	235.00	375.00	140.00	37.33	1,771.51	1,500.00	-271.51	-18.10	4,500.00
TOTAL UNIT TURNS	235.00	375.00	140.00	37.33	1,771.51	1,500.00	-271.51	-18.10	4,500.00
GROUNDS									
8160.0 Monthly Contracted Gr	938.00	938.00	0.00	0.00	2,814.00	3,752.00	938.00	25.00	11,256.00
8175.0 Grounds Supplies	0.00	41.67	41.67	100.0	512.50	166.68	-345.82	-207.4	500.00
TOTAL GROUNDS	938.00	979.67	41.67	4.25	3,326.50	3,918.68	592.18	15.11	11,756.00
PEST CONTROL									
8195.0 Pest Control Service	0.00	108.00	108.00	100.0	1,369.00	432.00	-937.00	-216.9	1,796.00
8200.0 Termite Service/Bond	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	660.00
TOTAL PEST CONTROL	0.00	108.00	108.00	100.0	1,369.00	432.00	-937.00	-216.9	2,456.00
OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES 8220.0 Outside Services	0.00	16.67	16.67	100.0	0.00	66.68	66 68	100.0	200.00
TOTAL OTHER OPERATING	0.00	16.67	ana.com	100.0	0.00	66.68	TO CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE	100.0	200.00
TOTAL OPERATING & MAIN	2,722.07	4,062.66	1,340.59	33.00	12,365.72	16,250.64	3,884.92	23.91	49,912.00
UTILITIES			, , , , , , ,		(ATT - TANKE)				19.7.4.786.775.75.
8255.0 Utilities-Electricity	443.10	500.00	56.90	11.38	1,936.90	2,000.00	63.10	3.16	6,000.00
8260.0 Utilities-Water	17.60	18.33	0.73		70.40	73.32	2.92	3.98	220.00
8265.0 Utilities-Sewer	23.26	25.00	1.74		93.04	100.00	6.96	6.96	300.00
8275.0 Utilities-Sanitation	700.83	558.33	-142.50		2,731.71	2,233.32	-498.39		6,700.00

Budget Comparison (Cash) Arbor Trace II - (010) April 2017

	MTD Actual	MTD Budget	\$ Var.	% Var.	YTD Actual	YTD Budget	\$ Var.	% Var.	Annual
TOTAL UTILITIES	1,184.79	1,101.66	-83.13	-7.55	4,832.05	4,406.64	-425.41	-9.65	13,220.00
ADMINISTRATIVE									
8310.0 Site Management Payro	1,403.21	1,404.00	0.79	0.06	5,491.55	5,616.00	124.45	2.22	16,848.00
8315.0 Management Fees	2,009.00	2,058.00	49.00	2.38	7,860.00	8,232.00	372.00	4.52	24,696.00
8320.0 Accounting/Auditing Fe	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	3,880.00	4,000.00	120.00	3.00	4,000.00
8325.0 Legal Expenses	146,11	50.00	-96.11	-192.2	691.71	200.00	-491.71	-245.8	600.00
8330.0 Advertising	0.00	33.33	33.33	100.0	46.57	133.32	86.75	65.07	400.00
8335.0 Telephone	138.05	225.00		38.64	1,037,14	900.00	-137.14		2,700.00
8340.0 Office Supplies	0.00	200.00	200.00	100.0	446.72	800.00	353.28		2,400.00
8350.0 Computer Equipment,	50.13	76.25		34.26	486.06	305.00	-181.06		915.00
8355.0 Prospect Screening Ex	49.65	0.00	-49.65	0	357.33	0.00	-357.33	0	0.00
8360.0 Training Expenses	15.48	57.58		73.12	61.92	230.32	168.40	processor (2)	691.00
8361.0 Travel Expenses	0.00	0.00	0.00	70.12	23.81	0.00	-23.81	75.12	0.00
8365.0 Group Health Insurance	14.04	83.33	69.29		56.16	333.32	277.16	•	1.000.00
8370.0 Retirement Plan Expen	47.80	83.33		42.64	236.90	333.32		28.93	1,000.00
	132.84	217.50	84.66		644.92	870.00	225.08		2,610.00
8375.0 Payroll Taxes-FICA									
8380.0 Unemployment Taxes	14.77	24.17		38.89	99.10	96.68	-2.42		290.00
8385.0 Workmans Compensati	0.00	58.33		100.0	861.30	233.32	-627.98		700.00
8390.0 Bank Charges/Fees	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	42.46	0.00	-42.46	0	0.00
8395.0 Collection Agency Fees	0.00	0.00	0.00		174.04	0.00	-174.04	0	0.00
8400.0 Late Charges/Fees	12.80	0.00	-12.80	0	53.10	0.00	-53.10	0	0.00
8405.0 Postage & Shipping	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	59.29	0.00	-59.29	0	0.00
8410.0 Professional Services/F	3,113.34	25.00	-3,088.34	-12,35	3,113.34	100.00	-3,013.34	-3,013	300.00
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE	7,147.22	4,595.82	-2,551.40	-55.52	25,723.42	22,383.28	-3,340.14	-14.92	59,150.00
TAXES & INSURANCE									
8440.0 Taxes-Real Estate	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	15,200.00
8445.0 Licenses & Permits	0.00	12.50	12 50	100.0	128.13	50.00	-78 13	-156.2	150.00
8450.0 Property Insurance	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	8,549.00	9,546.00	997.00		9,546.00
8460.0 EPL Insurance	0.00	12.50	12.50		0.00	50.00	50.00		150.00
TOTAL TAXES & INSURANC	0.00	25.00	25.00		8,677.13	9,646.00	968.87	10.04	25,046.00
8510.0 Replacement Reserve P	2,237.00	2,237.00	0.00	0.00	5,948.00	5,948.00	0.00	0.00	19,844.00
TOTAL OPERATING EXPE	13,291.08	12,022.14	-1,268.94	-10.56	57,546.32	58,634.56	1,088.24	1.86	167,172.00
TO THE OF ENAMING EXITE	10,231.00	12,022.14	1,200.54	-10.50	37,340.02	30,004.30	1,000.24	1.00	107,172.00
OPERATING INCOME BEFORE D	4,271.92	5,539.78	-1,267.86	-22.89	11,852.18	11,613.12	239.06	2.06	43,571.00
DEBT SERVICE									
8805.0 Rural Development Pay	3,154.59	3,154.58	-0.01	0.00	12,618.36	12,618.32	-0.04	0.00	37,855.00
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE	3,154.59	3,154.58	-0.01	0.00	12,618.36	12,618.32	-0.04	0.00	37,855.00
OPERATING INCOME AFTER DE	1,117.33	2,385.20	-1,267.87	-53.16	-766.18	-1,005.20	239.02	23.78	5,716.00
REPLACEMENT RESERVE									
8910.0 1% Building Repair & I	0.00	2,202,33	2,202.33	100.0	0.00	8,809.32	8,809.32	100.0	26,428.00
8915.0 1% Equipment Repair &	0.00	843.33	843.33		0.00	3,373.32	3,373.32		10,120.00
TOTAL REPLACEMENT RES	0.00	3,045.66	3,045.66		0.00	12,182.64	12,182.64		36,548.00
V 85 25-1 45-1 45-1 45-1 45-1 45-1 45-1 45-1 4		621215222	10.000.000.000.000		1997/1997	5-24-5-25-21-V			\$1.550
945.0 Reserve Payments Adjustm	-2,237.00	0.00	2,237.00	0	-5,948.00	0.00	5,948.00	0	0.00

October 31, 2016

Mr. David Brown Investor Management Company 3548 North Crossing Circle Valdosta, GA 31604

RECEIVED HOV - 8 2016

RE: Arbor Trace Apartments, Phase II, Lake Park, Georgia
Dear Mr. Brown:
The following information is enclosed for the 2017 budget year:
_X Form RD 3560-7, "Multiple Family Housing Budget" approved.
_X Rent_increase approval letter.
_X Approved Management Certification, Form RD 3560-13.
Approved renewal of Servicing Workout Plan (SWP)
Should you have any questions regarding the above documents, please advise.

Sincerely,

LINDA BAKER Area Specialist

Enclosures

Rural Development • Tifton Area Office 2406 North Tift Avenue Suite 103 • Tifton, GA 31794 Voice (229) 382-0273 • TDD (706) 546-2011 • Fax (855)803-3870

USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

If you wish to file a Civil Rights program complaint of discrimination, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form (PDF), found online at http://www.ascr.usda.gov/complaint_filing_cust.html, or at any USDA office, or call (888) 832-9992 to request the form. You may also write a letter containing all of the information requested in the form. Send your completed complaint form or letter to us by mail at U.S. Department of Agriculture, Director, Office of Adjudication, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, by fax (202) 690-7442 or email at program.intake@usda.gov.



October 31, 2016

Mr. David Brown Investors Management Company 3548 North Crossing Circle Valdosta, GA 31604

NOTICE OF APPROVED RENT AND/OR UTILITY ALLOWANCE CHANGE

Dear Mr. Brown:

You are hereby notified that Rural Development has reviewed the request for a change in shelter costs for **Arbor Trace Apartments**, **Phase II**, **Lake Park**, **Georgia**, and considered all justifications provided by project management. The Rural Development has approved the following rent and/or utility allowance rates listed below. The changes for all units will become effective on **January 1**, **2017** or later effective date in accordance with state or local laws. The change is needed for the following reason(s): Increased Operating and Maintenance Expenses.

The approved changes are as follows:

Unit Size	Present	Rent	Approved R	ent
	Basic	Note Rate	Basic	Note Rate
1-Bedroom	\$ 375	\$ 462	\$ 390	\$ 477
2-Bedroom	\$ 415	\$ 537	\$ 430	\$ 552
3-Bedroom	\$ 450	\$ 597	\$ 465	\$ 613
1201 10	Present Utility	Proposed	Utility changed	
1-Bedroom	\$ 116	\$ 117	\$1 Increase	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
2-Bedroom	\$ 129	\$ 129	No Change	0
3-Bedroom	\$ 168	\$ 168	No Change	N 1951200 - 5X

Should you have any questions or concerns, you may contact Rural Development. The Rural Development Servicing Office address is:

USDA, Rural Development 2406 North Tift Avenue, Suite 103 Tifton, Georgia 31794

Rural Development • Tifton Area Office 2406 North Tift Avenue Suite 103 • Tifton, GA 31794 Voice (229) 382-0273 • TDD (706) 546-2011 • Fax (855) 803-3870

USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

If you wish to file a Civil Rights program complaint of discrimination, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form (PDF), found online at http://www.asor.usda.gov/complaint_filing_cust.html, or at any USDA office, or call (836) 632-9992 to request the form. You may also write a letter containing all of the information requested in the form. Send your completed complaint form or letter to us by mail at U.S. Department of Agriculture, Director, Office of Adjudication, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, by fax (202) 690-7442 or email at program.intake@usda.gov.

You must notify the tenants of Rural Development's approval of the rent and utility allowance changes by posting this letter in the same manner as the "NOTICE TO TENANTS OR PROPOSED RENT AND UTILITY ALLOWANCE CHANGE." This notification must be posted in a conspicuous place and cannot be substituted for the usual written notice to each individual tenant.

This approval does not authorize you to violate the terms of any lease you currently have with your tenants.

For those tenants receiving rental assistance (RA), their costs for rent and utilities will continue to be based on the higher of 30 percent of their adjusted monthly income or 10 percent of gross monthly income or if the household is receiving payments for public assistance from a public agency, the portion of such payments which is specifically designated by that agency to meet the household's shelter cost. If tenants are receiving Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Section 8 subsidy assistance, their costs for rent and utilities will be determined by the current HUD formula.

You may file an appeal regarding the rate and utility allowance change as approved. An appeal must be received in the Regional Office no later than 30 calendar days after receipt of the adverse decision. The appeal should state what agency decision is being appealed and should include, if possible, a copy of the adverse decision and a brief statement of why the decision is wrong. A copy of the appeal request should be sent to the agency.

You must inform the tenants of their right to request an explanation of the rate and utility allowance change approval decision within 45 days of the date of this notice by writing to State Director, USDA Rural Development, 355 East Hancock Avenue, Athens, Georgia 30601. All tenants are required to pay the changed amount of rent as indicated in the notice of approval.

Any tenant who does not wish to pay the Rural Development approved rent changes may give the owner a 30-day notice that they will vacate. The tenant will suffer no penalty as a result of this decision to vacate, and will not be required to pay the changed rent. However, if the tenant later decides to remain in the unit, the tenant will be required to pay the changed rent from the effective date of the changed rent.

//

LINDA BAKER AREA SPECIALIST

Multi-Family Information System (MFIS) Proposed Budget

Date: 10/4/2016 Page: 1 of 9

Project Name:	ARBOR TRACE APT II
Borrower Name:	ARBOR TRACE APT PH II LP
Borrower ID and Project No:	949489960 01-6
Date of Operation:	04/20/1995
Date of Operation.	04/20/1993
	04/20/1773
Loan/Transfer Amount:	04/20/1393
	\$3,154.59

Reporting Period X Annual Quarterly Monthly	Budget Type Initial Regular Report X Rent Change SMR Other Servicing	Project Rental Type X Family Elderly Congregate Group Home Mixed LH	Profit Type Full Profit X Limited Profit Non-Profit
_I hereby request _	units of RA. Current numb	er of RA units 7	
The following master meterer Gas X Electric X Water X Sewer Trash Other	2022	Borrower Accounting Method Cash Accrual	

Multi-Family Information System (MFIS) Proposed Budget

Date: 10/4/2016 Page: 2 of 9

Project Name: ARBOR TRACE APT II

Borrower Name: ARBOR TRACE APT PH II LP

Classification: A Fiscal Year: 2017 Version: 01/01/2017 RECEIVED Totals: By Project Analyzed: N

Item	Current Budget	Actual	Proposed Budget	Comment
Effective Dates:	01/01/2016	01/01/2016	01/01/2017	
Ending Dates:	12/31/2016	12/31/2016	12/31/2017	
PART I - CASH FLOW STATEMENT				
Operational Cash Sources				501 (96/5) (96/5)
1. Rental Income	210,600.00	0.00	218,160.00	42 REVENUE PRODUCING UNITS
2. RHS Rental Assist. Received	14-20-42-44-5	0.00		
3. Application Fee Received		0.00		
4. Laundry And Vending	0.00	0.00	0,00	W
5. Interest Income	0.00	0.00	0.00	NUMBERS ESPON
6. Tenant Charges	2,400.00	0.00	2,400.00	12.77.10.2
7. Other - Project Sources	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6. Less (Vency @ Cntgncy Allw)	-9,477.00	F1.9	-9,817.00	4.5%
9. Less (Agncy Aprvd Incentv)	0.00	A	0.00	
10. Sub-Ttl [(1 thru 7)-(8@9)]	203,523.00	0.00	210,743.00	
Non-Operational Cash Sources		1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 - 1700 -		
11. Cash - Non Project	0.00	0.00	0.00	
12. Authorized Loan (Non-RHS)	0.00	0.00	0.00	
13. Transfer From Reserve	50,300.00	0.00	36,548.00	
14. Sub-Total (11 thru 13)	50,300.00	0.00	36,548.00	
15. Total Cash Sources (10+14)	253,823.00	0,00	247,291.00	
Operational Cash Uses			J.,,	J
16. Ttl O@M Exp (From Part II)	140,334.00	0.00	147,328.00	
17. RHS Debt Payment	37,855.00	0.00	37,855.00	
18. RHS Payment (Overage)		0.00		
19. RHS Payment (Late Fee)		0.00	75 15 15	
20. Reductn In Prior Yr Pybles		0.00		
21, Tenant Utility Payments	F-50. 150.5 14.5	0.00	Resident of the	
22. Transfer to Reserve	19,844.00	0.00	19,844.00	
23. RTN Owner/NP Asset Mgt Fee	3,672.00	0.00	3,672.00	2016 RTO TO BE PAID IN 201
24. Sub-Total (16 thru 23)	201,705.00	0.00	208,699.00	
Non-Operational Cash Uses			1	J
25. Authzd Debt Pymnt (NonRHS)	0.00	0.00	0.00	
26. Capital Budget (III 4-6)	50,300.00	0.00	36,548.00	
27. Miscellaneous	0.00	0.00	0.00	
28. Sub-Total (25 thru 27)	50,300.00	0.00	36,546.00	
29. Total Cash Uses (24+28)	252,005.00	0.00	245,247.00	
30. Net (Deficit) (15-29)	1,818.00	0.00	2,044.00	
Cash Balance				
31. Beginning Cash Balance	32,877.20	0.00	34,695.20	
32. Accrual To Cash Adjustment		0.00		
33. Ending Cash Bal (30+31+32)	34,695.20	0.00	36,739.20	200 JULY 100

Multi-Family Information System (MFIS) Proposed Rudget

Report: FIN1000

Date: 10/4/2016 Page: 3 of 9

Project Name: ARBOR TRACE APT II State: 10 Servicing Office: 605 County: 92
Borrower Name: ARBOR TRACE APT PH II LP Borr ID: 949489960 Prj Nbr: 01-6 Paid Code: Active Classification: A Fiscal Year: 2017 Version: 01/01/2017 RECEIVED Totals: 3y Project Analyzed: N

Item	Current Budget	Actual	Proposed Budget	Comment
Effective Dates:	01/01/2016	01/01/2016 12/31/2016	01/01/2017	
Ending Dates:	12/31/2016	12/31/2016	12/31/201/	<u> </u>
PART II - O@M EXPENSE SCHEDULE		200		AND SECURITY OF SECURITY
				
1. Maint. @ Repairs Payroll	13,000.00	0.00	15,000.00	
2. Maint. @ Repairs Supply	14,000.00	0.00	15,000.00	CONTROL ST WARRANT ON ARTHUR
3. Maint. @ Repairs Contract	0.00	0.00	0.00	Control Contro
4. Painting	4,000.00	0.00	4,500.00	
5. Snow Removal	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6. Elevator Maint./Contract	0.00	0.00	0.00	A SALES CONTRACTOR OF THE SALE
7. Grounds	11,756.00	0.00	11,756.00	\$938/M + 500
8. Services	2,456.00	0.00	2,456.00	108/M PESTCONTROL+ 660TERMITEBOND + 500 BEDBUGCONTINGENCY
9. Cptl Bgt(Part V operating)	0.00	0.00	0.00	
10. Other Operating Expenses	200.00	0.00	200.00	UA CALC FEE
11. Sub-Ttl 0@M (1 thru 10)	45,412.00	0.00	49,912.00	
12. Electricity	5,300.00	0.00	6,000.00	INCREASED OUTDOOR LIGHTING
13. Water	220.00	0.00	220.00	
14. Sewer	300.00	0.00	300.00	
15. Fuel (Oil/Coal/Gas)	0.00	0.00	0.00	And the second s
16. Garbage @ Trash Removal	6,200.00	0.00	6,700.00	
17. Other Utilities	0.00	0.00	0.00	
18. Sub-Ttl Util. (12 thru 17)	12,020.00	0.00	13,220.00	
19. Site Management Payroll	16,848.00	0.00	16,848.00	\$1404/M (3% INC)
20. Management Pee	23,689.00	0.00	24,696.00	49X42UX12M
21. Project Auditing Expense	4,000.00	0.00	4,000.00	NO. 2000004129-004-004-004-004-004-004-004-004-004-00
22, Proj. Bookkeeping/Acceting	0.00	0.00	0.00	
23. Legal Expenses	500.00	0,00	600.00	
24. Advertising	400.00	0.00	400.00	
25. Phone @ Answering Service	2,500.00	0.00	2,700.00	
26. Office Supplies	2,000.00	0.00	2,400.00	
27. Office Furniture @ Equip.	917.00	0.00	915.00	50.13/MCOMPSUPPORT+289YARDI+25 EMAILACCT
28. Training Expense	686.00	0.00	691.00	BERTINCET
29. Hlth Ins. @ Other Benefits	800.00	0.00	2,000.00	
30. Payroll Taxes	2,800.00	0.00	2,900.00	
31. Workmans Compensation	675.00	0.00	700.00	
32. Other Admin.Expenses	300.00	0.00	300.00	PROP TAX CONSULTANT
33. Sub-Ttl Admin (19 thru 32)	56,114.00	0.00	59,150.00	
34. Real Estate Taxes	17,200.00	0.00	15,200.00	
35. Special Assessments	0.00	0.00	0.00	
36. Othr Taxes, Lonses, Permts	150.00	0.00	150.00	REG FEES
37. Property @ Liability Ins.	9,288.00	0.00	9,546.00	222/U (3% INC)
38. Fidelity Coverage Ins.	0.00	0.00	0.00	
39. Other Insurance	150.00	0.00	150.00	ESP
40. Sub-Ttl Tx/In (34 thru 39)	26,788.00	0.00	25,046.00	
41. Ttl O@M Exps (11+18+33+40)	140,334.00	0.00	147,328.00	

Project Name: ARBOR TRACE APT II

Multi-Family Information System (MFIS) Proposed Budget

Date: Page:

State: 10

Servicing Office: 605

10/4/2016

4 of 9

Paid Code: Active Borrower Name: ARBOR TRACE APT PH II LP Classification: A Fiscal Year: 2017 Borr ID: 949489960 Prj Nbr: 01-6 Paid Version: 01/01/2017 RECEIVED Totals: By Project Classification: A Analyzed: N Proposed Current Item Budget 01/01/2016 Actual 01/01/2016 Budget 01/01/2017 Comment Effective Dates: 12/31/2016 12/31/2017 12/31/2016 Ending Dates: PART III - ACCT BUDGET/STATUS 1.Beginning Balance 81,898.38 0.00 51,442.38 0,00 19,844.00 \$1237/M + \$5K ADD L 2. Transfer to Reserve 19,844.00 Transfer From Reserve 0.00 3. Operating Deficit 0,00 0.00 4. Cptl Bgt (Part V reserve) 50,300.00 0.00 36,548.00 5. Building @ Equip Repair 0.00 0.00 0.00 6. Othr Non-Operating Expenses 0.00 0.00 0.00 7. Total (3 thru 6) 50,300.00 0.00 36,548.00 0.00 34,738.38 8. Ending Balance [(1+2)-7)] 51,442.38 General Operating Account Beginning Balance 0.00 Ending Balance Real Estate Tax And Ins Escrow Beginning Balance 0.00 Ending Balance 0.00 Tenant Security Deposit Acct Beginning Balance 74 Ending Balance 0.00 Number of Applicants on Waiting List Reserve Acct. Req. Balance 62,536.09 Amount Ahead/Behind 27,646.79 Number of Applicants Needing RA

Multi-Family Information System (MFIS) Proposed Budget

Date: 10/4/2016 Page: 5 of 9

117

ART I		- COV		• ***		(500)444								90.00	6040031401 6.6
32775	55.0				THETT. TTV A	LLOWANCE: 0	1/01/2016								
A. C.	Contract of the Contract of th	GRS213-DANE	THE STREET CO., LANS	ption			ental Rates		Pot	ential	Income	From	Rach Rate	h	Jtility
Туре	Size		Rev	Unit	Number	Basic	Note	HUD		sic	Note		HUD		Allowance
111		A11			30	415	537	0	14	9,400	193	320		0	12
A11	3	A11			8	450	597	0	4	3,200	57,	312		О	16
111		All			4	375	462	0	1	8,000	22,	176		0	11:
					11		CURRENT RI	NT TOTALS	21	0,600	272,	808		ō.	
	110100				CCC ACTA Annual Management (Acta Company)				L	- 4					Calculation (1-2 April 4) Sec. (18)
EFFEC	TIVE	DATE	OF RE	NTS/UI	ILITY ALL	OWANCE: 01/	01/2016								
	U	nit D	escri	ption	U	tility Type	s				700	SCHOOL S			
Type	Size	HC	R	ev	Unit	Elect	Gas	Sev	er	Tr	ash	Other Tota		Total Allow	
Alì	2	Al1				8:	9	0	23 0			0		12:	
All	3	All				12	3	0	23		0		0		168
All	1	A11		V4 - 10		7	7	D .	22		0		٥		116
B. PR	OPOSE	D CHI	ANGE (F RENT	S/UTILITY	ALLOWANCE:	01/01/2017	7				197		0000000	
-	Uı	nit D	escri	ption		Re	ental Rates		Pot	ential	Income	From	Each Rate	T	Jtility
Туре	Size	HC	Rev	Unit	Number	Basic	Note	HUD	Ba	sic	Note		HUD	7	Allowance
A11	2	All			30	430	552	0	1.5	4,800	198,	720		0	129
A11	3	All			8	465	613	0	4	4,640	58,	848	300.00	٥	168
A11	1	A11			4	390	477	0	1	8,720	22,	896		0	11
			t	S-20-80, TABLES			PROPOSED RE	ENT TOTALS	21	8,160	280,	464		0	
															A
EFFEC						OWANCE: 01/								90.000	
	0.30	Desiration (A)		ption		tility Type	S Gas	Set		T	ash		ther		Total Allow
Туре	Size			ev	Unit	Elect	10			11	asn	U	o o		10tar Arrow
All	2	All				9	1	0	22						168
A11	3	All			17	1.2:	2	0	26	ı	0		0		1.

23

Multi-Family Information System (MFIS) Proposed Budget

State: 10

Date:

Page:

Servicing Office: 605 County: 92

10/4/2016

6 of 9

Report: FIN1000

Project Name: ARBOR TRACE APT II Borrower Name: ARBOR TRACE APT PH II LP Borr ID: 949489960 Prj Nbr: 01-6 Pai Totals: By Project Paid Code: Active Analyzed: N Classification: A Fiscal Year: 2017 Version: 01/01/2017 RECEIVED Proposed Actual Actual Actual Proposed Proposed From Operating From From Total Actual Reserve Operating Cost Units/Items Units/Items Reserve 01/01/2016 01/01/2016 01/01/2017 Effective Dates: 12/31/2016 12/31/2016 12/31/2016 Ending Dates: 12/31/2016 12/31/2016 ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET Appliances Appliances - Range 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 o Appliances - Refrigerator 2,200.00 0.00 0 4 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0,00 0.00 ۵ 0 0.00 Appliances - Range Hood D 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Appliances - Washers @ Dryers Appliances - Other 0.00 0 0.00 0,00 0.00 0.00 0 Carpet and Vinyl 0.00 0.00 0 Carpet @ Vinyl - 1 Br. 0.00 0.00 0.00 Đ Carpet @ Vinyl - 2 Br. 3 7,200.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 Carpet @ Vinyl - 3 Br. 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 7,000.00 2 Carpet @ Vinyl - 4 Br. 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0,00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 O 0.00 Carpet @ Vinyl - Other Cabinets 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 Cabinets - Kitchens o 0.00 0.00 0.00 ō Cabinets - Bathroom 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 Cabinets Other 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Doors Doors - Exterior Doors - Interior 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 ō o 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 D 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 Doors - Other 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Window Coverings 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 Window Coverings - Detail 0 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 Window Coverings - Other Heat and Air Conditioning 0.00 ō Heat @ Air - Heating 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 o a Heat @ Air - Air 2 7,000.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 n nn Conditioning Heat @ Air - Other 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Plumbing Plumbing - Water Heater 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 Plumbing - Bath Sinks 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0 Plumbing - Kitchen Sinks 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 Plumbing - Faucets 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 n Plumbing - Toilets 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 ō 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 Plumbing Other Major Electrical Major Electrical - Detail 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 0 6.00 Major Electrical - Other 0 3,000.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Structures 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0,00 Structures - Windows 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 Structures - Screens n 0.00 Structures - Walls 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 Structures - Roofing 0 9,228,00 0.00 Structures - Siding 0.00 0.00 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 Structures - Exterior 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Painting Structures - Other 0.00 0.00 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00

Multi-Family Information System (MFIS) Proposed Budget

Report: FIN1000

Date: 10/4/2016 Page: 7 of 9

State: 10 Servicing Office: 605 County: 92
Borr ID: 949489960 Frj Nbr: 01-6 Paid Code: Active
Version: 01/01/2017 RECEIVED Totals: By Project Analyzed: N Project Name: ARBOR TRACE APT II Borrower Name: ARBOR TRACE APT PH II L2 Classification: A Fiscal Year: 2017

Item	Proposed Number Units/Items	Proposed From Reserve	Actual From Reserve	Proposed From Operating	Actual From Operating	Actual Total Cost	Total Actual Units/Items
Effective Dates:	01/01/2016	01/01/2017	01/01/2016	01/01/2017	01/01/2016	01/01/2016	01/01/2016
Ending Dates:	12/31/2016		12/31/2016		12/31/2016	12/31/2016	12/31/2016
Paving			<u> </u>	1	1	II.	
Faving - Asphalt	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Paving - Concrete	j j	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Faving - Seal and Stripe	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Paving - Other	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Landscape and Grounds	CONTROL CONTRO		School Control		(2) (m) ((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((0. 10	102772-1016-174-1/400/26/2020
Lndscp@Grnds - Landscaping	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Endscp@Grnds - Lawn Equipment	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Lndscp@Grnds - Fencin	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0
Indscp@Grnds - Recreation Area	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Lndscp@Grnds - Signs	D	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Lndscp@Grnds - Other	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Accessibility Features				2 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			
Accessibility Features - Detail	D	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Accessibility Features - Other	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Automation Equipment			1840055		ene sa secretario	1200	
Automation EquipSite Mngt.	D	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Automation EquipCommon Area	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Automation EquipOther	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Other	300		NAME OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	226		12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -	No. of the second second
List: ?	D D	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
List: ?	0	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
List: ?	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Total Capital Expenses	0	36,548.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

Multi-Family Information System (MFIS) Proposed Budget

Date: 10/4/2016 Page: 8 of 9

State: 10 Servicing Office: 605 County: 92
Borr ID: 949489960 Prj Nbr: 01-6 Paid Code: Active
Version: 01/01/2017 RECEIVED Totals: By Project Analyzed: N Project Name: ARBOR TRACE APT II Borrower Name: ARBOR TRACE APT PH II LP Classification: A Fiscal Year: 2017 Part VI - SIGNATURES, DATES AND COMMENTS

Section 1001 of Title 18, United States Code provides: "Whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representation, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. Warning

I HAVE READ THE ABOVE WARNING STATEMENT AND I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING INFORMATION IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.

09/21/2016 (Date Submitted)	INVESTORS MANAGEMENT COMPANY (Management Agency)	MA734114 (MA#)
(Date)	(Signature of Eorrower or Borrower's Representative)	Market - 1, 100 in 11, 100 in 11
4	(Title) /	
Aundie Agency Approval (Ru	Ball and Specialist	10/31/20/E

Multi-Family Information System (MFIS) Report: FIN1000 Proposed Budget

Date: Page:

10/4/2016 9 of 9

State: 10 Borr ID: 949489960 Servicing Office: 605 Prj Nbr: 01-6 Paid Cod County: 92 Project Name: ARBOR TRACE APT II Borrower Name: ARBOR TRACE APT PH II LP Paid Code: Active Totals: By Project Version; 01/01/2017 RECEIVED Analyzed: N Classification: A Fiscal Year: 2017

SPVS Comment: Batched/ II 092016

Narrative:

A) Arbor Trace Phase II Apartments is a 43 unit family complex in Lake Fark, GA. The property has 4 1-br units, 30 2-br units, 8 3-br units, and 1 resident manager's unit. The property has 7 RA units. The property needs more RA and is requesting additional RA with the budget. The property has good occupancy (over 95%). B) The property is in compliance with its loan agreement and RD regulations. The property is in compliance with its loan agreement and RD regulations. The property is in compliance with its 504 accessibility plan. C) The property of this age. We plan to deposit additional funds into the Reserve Account as cash flow permits, beginning with anadditional deposit of \$5000 in 2017. D) There are no budget expense categories that exceed the 10% tolerance threshold. Administrative Expenses are 27% ofGross Potential and within the 27% threshold used by the state of Georgia. E) 2017 projected capital expenditures and reserve withdrawals -Replacement ofappliances -Carpet and vinyl -HVAC Units -Water Heaters -Additional property lighting -Roofing repair 1% Estimate \$36,548 Projected capital needs 2018-2020 -Replacement of appliances -Carpet and vinyl -HVAC units -Exterior painting -Roofrepairs -Parking lot repairs Estimate \$48,000 F) The 2017 proposed budget includes a \$15 rent increase. The rent increase is needed due to the projected increases in operating and maintenance expenses. The property is getting older and is more expensive to maintain. We are requesting an increase in the 1BR utility allowance from \$116 to \$117 and no change in the 2BR & 3BR utility allowances of\$129 and \$168. We are requesting an increase in the current management fee from\$47 per unit per month to \$49 per unit per month. The property is well maintained and is in stable financial condition. The proposed rental rates are reasonable for the market area. The 1% reserve is at or ahead of its required funding andthe propert

MULTI-FAMILY PROJECT BORROWER'S/MANAGEMENT AGENT'S MANAGEMENT CERTIFICATION

Effective Date (xx-xx-2)	0xx): 01-01-2017
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Trace Apartments II Borrower Case# /Project ID:01-6
City/State: Lake Par	
Acting on behalf of A	rbor Trace Apartments, LP , the project borrower (Borrower), and
	ment Co. , the management agent (Agent), make the following certifications and d States Department of Agriculture regarding management of the above project.
	a states Department of Agriculture regarding management of the above project.
have been made to	with Rural Development requirements and contract obligations, and agree that no payments to the Borrower in return for awarding the management contract to the Agent, and that no such made in the future.
	or will execute, within 30 days a management agreement (Agreement) for this project.
The Agreement pr Month (PUPM) m	ovides that the Agent will manage the project for the term and for the Per Unit Per anagement fee described below. Changes in the management fee will be implemented with Rural Development's requirements.
(1) Term of Agr (2) Fees:	reement (xx-xx-20xx through xx-xx-20xx): 1/1/2017-1/1/2020
a) 🗸	PUPM fee as specified in HB-2-3560, Attachment 3-F, as revised, for the term specified above (applied to revenue producing occupied units only).
b) 🔲	PUPM fee is below the PUPM fee specified in HB-2-3560, Attachment 3-F, as revised, for the term specified above (applied to revenue producing occupied units only)
	Fee Amount: \$
c) []	Add-On Fees as specified in HB-2-3560, Check all that apply. Include total add-on fees below. (applied to revenue producing occupied units only)
	Management of properties with 15 units or less.
	 ☐ One project that has buildings located on different noncontiguous parcels of land (i.e. across town or in another town). ☐ Management of properties in a remote location. ☐ Troubled properties with workout plans and new management only.
e. We will disburse r	nanagement fees from project income only after:
 We have sub 	mitted this certification to Rural Development:
 d. We understand the e. If Rural Developm 	opment has approved the Agent to manage this project at no fees may be earned or paid after Rural Development has terminated the Agreement nent notifies me of a management fee above that listed in HB-2-3560; Attachment 3-F the 30 days of the notice either:
(2) Require the A	ompensation to an amount Rural Development determines to be reasonable and Agent to refund to the project all excessive fees collected, or
	ecision and abide by the results of the appeal process, making any required reductions iin 30 days after the date of the decision letter on the appeal.
	mit tenants, compute tenant rents and assistance payments, recertify tenants and carry out other strative responsibilities in accordance with HB-2-3560 and Rural Development regulations.
According to the Paperw required to respond to, a control number for this is is estimated to average 3	ork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB dormation collection is 0575-0189. The time required to complete this information collection 0 nimutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data aintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

3. We agree to:

- a. Comply with this project's Mortgage and Promissory Note, and Loan Agreement/Resolution or Workout Agreement.

 b. Comply with Rural Development Handbooks and other policy directives that relate to the management
- of the project.
- c. Comply with Rural Development requirements regarding payment and reasonableness of the management fee and the project account.
- d. Refrain from purchasing goods or services from entities that have identity of interest with us unless the requirements of 7C.F.R. §3560.102(g) are met.

4. The Agent agrees to:

- a. Ensure that all expenses of the project are reasonable and necessary.

 b. Exert reasonable effort to maximize project income and to take advantage of discounts, rebates and similar money-saving techniques.
- c. Obtain contracts, materials, supplies and services including the preparation of the annual financial reports on terms most advantageous to the project.
- d. Credit the housing project with all discounts, rebates or commissions including any sales or property tax relief granted by the State or local government received.
- e. Obtain the necessary verbal or written cost estimates and document reasons for accepting other than the lowest bid.
- f. Maintain copies of the documentation and make such documentation available for inspection during normal business hours
- g. Invest project funds that Rural Development policies require to be invested and take reasonable effort to invest other project funds unless the Borrower specifically directs the Agent not to invest those funds.
- 5. We certify that the types of insurance policies checked below are enforceable and will be maintained to the best of our ability at all times. Fidelity bonds and hazard insurance policies will name Rural Development as co-payee in the event of loss. Note: for any box not checked, Rural Development may require an explanation as to why a certain type of insurance was not obtained.
 - a. Fidelity bond or employee dishonesty coverage for:
 - (1)all principals of the Agent and
 - (2) all persons who participate directly or indirectly in the management or maintenance of the project and its assets, accounts and records.
 - b. A Hazard insurance coverage required by 7 C.F.R. §3560.105.
 - c. Public liability insurance required by 7 C.F.R. §3560.105.
- d. Other (specify) as may be required by 7 C.F.R. §3560.105.
- 6. The Agent agrees to:
 - a. Furnish a written response to Rural Development's supervisory visit review reports, physical inspection reports, and written inquiries regarding the project's annual financial statements or monthly accounting reports within 30 days after receipt of the report or inquiry.
 - b. Establish and maintain the project's accounts, books and records in accordance with:
 - (1) Rural Development's administrative requirements; and (2) Accounting principles under C.F.R. §3560.302(b).
- 7. We agree that:
 - a. All records related to the operation of the project, regardless of where they are housed, shall be considered the property of the project
 - b. Rural Development, the Office of Inspector General (OIG), and those agencies' representatives may inspect:
 - (1) Any records which relate to the project's purchase of goods or services,
 - (2) The records of the Borrower and the Agent, and
 - (3) The records of companies having an identity-of-interest with the Borrower, Rural Development and the Agent.
- c. The following clause will be included in any contract entered into with an identity-of-interest individual or business for the provision of goods or services to the project:
- "Upon request by Rural Development, the Borrower or Agent, the contractor or the supplier will make available to Rural Development at a reasonable time and place, its records and records of identity-of-interest companies which relate to goods and services charged to the project. Records and information will be sufficient to permit Rural Development to determine the services performed, the dates the services were performed, the location at which the services were performed, the time consumed in providing the services, the charges made for materials, and the per unit and total charges levied for said services." The Borrower agrees to request from the contractor or supplier such records within seven (7) days of receipt of Rural Development's
- 8. We agree to include the following provisions in the Agreement and to be bound by them:

- a. Rural Development has the right to terminate the Agreement for failure to comply with the provisions of this Certification, or other good cause.
- b. If Rural Development exercises this right of termination, I, the Borrower, agree to promptly make arrangements for providing management to the property that is satisfactory to Rural Development.
- c. If there is a conflict between the Agreement and Rural Development's rights and requirements, Rural Development's rights and requirements will prevail.
- d. If the Agreement is terminated, I, the Agent, will give to the Borrower all of the project's cash, trust accounts, investments and records within 30 days of the date the Agreement is terminated.
- 9. 1, the Borrower, agree to submit a new management certification to Rural Development before taking any of the following actions:
 - a. Authorizing the agent to collect a fee different from the fees specified in Paragraph 1 of this Certification.
 - h. Changing the expiration date of the Agreement;

 - c. Renewing the Agreement; d. Permitting a new Agent to operate the project;
 - e. Permitting a new Agent to collect a fee;
 - f. Undertaking self-management of the project.
- 10. We agree to:
 - a. Comply with all Federal, State, or local laws prohibiting discrimination against any persons on grounds of race, color, creed, familial status, handicap, sex or national origin, including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Fair Housing Act, Executive Order 11063 and all regulations implementing those laws.
 - b. When the head of household or spouse is otherwise eligible, give families with children equal consideration for admission.
 - c. Give handicapped persons priority for subsidized units that were built and equipped specifically for the handicapped.
 - d. The project will comply with the provisions of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 and all regulations and administrative instructions implementing these laws. The Agent understands that these laws and regulations prohibit discrimination against applicants or tenants who are handicapped or of a certain age.
 - e. Furnish Rural Development any reports and information required to monitor the project's compliance with Rural Development's fair housing and affirmative marketing requirements.
 - f. Not discriminate against any employee, applicant for employment or contractor because of race, color, handicap, religion, sex or national origin.
 - g. Provide minorities, women, and socially and economically disadvantaged firms equal opportunity to participate in the project's procurement and contracting activities
- 11. We certify that we have read and understand Rural Development's definition of "identity-of-interest" as defined in 7C.F.R §3560.11 and that the statement(s) checked and information entered below is true.
 - a. \(\sigma\) No identity-of-interest exists among the Borrower, the Agent and any individuals or companies that regularly do business with the project, or
 - Only the individuals and companies listed on Form RD 3560-31 have an identity-of-interest with the Borrower or the Agent.
- 12. The items checked below are attached:
 - a. Management Plan
 - b. 🔲 Identity-of-Interest (IOI) Disclosure Qualification Certificate or Certification of No Identity-of-Interest
 - c. / Other (Specify): Statement Attached


Warnings: There are fines and imprisonment for anyone who makes false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or entries in any matter within the jurisdiction of the Federal Government (18 U.S.C. 1001).


There are fines and imprisonment for anyone who misuses rents and proceeds in violation of Rural Development regulations relative to this project. (Section 543 of the Housing Act of 1949).


Rural Development may seek a "double damages" civil money damages remedy for the use of assets or income in violation of any Loan Agreement/Resolution or any applicable Rural Development regulations.


Rural Development may seek additional civil money penalties to be paid by the mortgagor through personal funds pursuant to 7 C.F.R. §3360.461(b). The penalties could be as much as \$50,000 per violation (Section 543 (b) of the Housing Act of 1949).


By Project Borrower: Arbor Tra Name/Fitle: David A. Brown Signature:	ce Apartments, Phase II, LP	Date: 09-01-2016
By Management Agent: Investo	rs Management Company	
	to management company	
Name/Title: Becky Watson	Bedy Waton	
Signature:	Bary	Date: 09-01-2016
By Servicing Official:		
by servicing official.	1. An Carichist	
Name/Title: Linda Bak	er, Area speciers	199
Signature:	lev, Area Specialist Beler	Date: 10/31/2016































93007 FOUNDATION PLAN 4 TWO STORY UNITS & 2 ONE BEDROOM UNITS 6 TWO STORY UNITS & 2 THREE BEDROOM UNITS 4 TWO STORY UNITS & 2 THREE BEDROOM UNITS SITE STAKING PLAN ELLIS, RICKET & ASSOCIATES

A IR C IH II I IS C I S

Pet Office Box 7977 Valdotta, Georgia 31601 Telephone (9)21, 242.3550 SITE STAKING PLAN






2015	REHABILITATION WORK SCOPE				
PROJECT NAME: ARBOR TRACE II APARTMENTS		YEAR BUILT:	1994		
PROJECT LOCATION: LAKE PARK, GA.		UNIT COUNT:	43		
OWNER: ARBOR TRACE II LAKE PARK LP		GROSS SQUARE	FOOTAGE:	36371	

				ĺ				
CSI D	IVISION							
				Percentage of				
				total existing to		UNIT		
New			Describe scope: materials, performance	be demoed or		(sf, lf, ea, cy,		TOTAL
Format	Old Format	TRADE ITEM	specifications	replaced	QUANTITY	sy, etc.)	UNIT COST	(quantity * unit cost)
24	n/a	Accessibility						\$0
			Three 1 Bedroom accessible Units , Demo existing		ĺ			
			kitchen, bathroom, HVAC, and part of bedroom walls.		ĺ			
			Demo bathroom concrete floor to relocate plumbing.		ĺ			
			Relocate laundry room and HVAC closet. Frame new		ĺ			
			walls, install new plumbing lines, new electrical lines		ĺ			
			in walls that were moved. Install new drywall, paint		ĺ			
			and floor covering. Provide one sight and hearing kit		ĺ			
24		convert existing units to UFAS-complaint units	and leave in the office for the manager.	55	1 3	apts	17280	\$51,840
24		retrofit existing units for Fair Housing compliance	Blocking missing in bathrooms for Grabbars	22		apts	265	\$11,395
		Jan	Replace the Laundry Sink that is not Accessible,					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			Replace the storm door at the office entrance that is		ĺ			
24		retrofit existing clubhouse to meet UFAS, Fair Housing, & ADA	not accessible.	100	ĺ 1	leach	620	\$620
		J	68% of the Main Sidewalks cross slope exceeds 2%	1				7.2
			and must be redone to have a 2% or less cross slope.	1	ĺ			
			60 LF of Main sidewalks have slopes between 5% and	1	ĺ			
			8.33 % and need hand rails added to both sides of the	1	ĺ			
			sidewalks. 5 Apartment entrance sidewalks are		ĺ			
			between 5% and 8.33 % and need handrails installed	I	Í			
			on both sides of the sidewalk. 3 Handicap parking		ĺ			
			spaces have a slope greater then 2% slope and cross		ĺ			
			slope and need to be redone. The entrance sidewalk		ĺ			
			to the laundry and office has a slope of 5.7% and		ĺ			
24		retrofit exisiting site to meet Fair Housing, ADA	needs handrails on both sides.	29	6840	SE	5.5	\$58,884
2-7		Total (Accessibility)	node handrale on both class.	20	00.0	0.	0.0	\$122,739
37	2	Demolition						\$122,739
37		site			ĺ			\$0
37		bldg interiors: ceilings, walls, floor, plumbing, HVAC, elec				+		\$0
37		bldg exteriors: siding, roofing, patios, decks, stairs, breezeways				+		\$0
35	2	Unusual site conditions (such as lead, asbestos, mold abatement)	n/a			<u> </u>		\$0
35		lead abatement	n/a			-		\$0
35		asbestos abatement	n/a					\$0
35		mold abatement	n/a			-		\$0
30	2	Earth Work	iva .					\$0
30		regrade for drainage control	regrade swells, fill and level low ponding areas	22	18000	SE	0.14	\$2,520
30		regrade for elimination of erosion situations	regrade erosion area by road	22	18000	l each	1040	\$1,040
30		Erosion control	regrade erosion area by road			eacii	1040	\$1,040
34	2	Landscaping & irrigation				<u> </u>		\$0
34	۷.	sodding/seeding				+		\$0
34		trees, shrubs, and annuals	Add native and drought tolerant trees and plants	5	100	each	85	\$8,500
34		irrigation	Repair hose bibb irrigation system.	25		system	680	\$680
34		tree pruning, root removal	Prune limbs close to buildings	5		each	92	\$1,104
33	2	Retaining walls	n/a	0		udio11	92	\$1,104
33	2	Site Improvements		· ·		+		\$0
33	-	fencing	Decorative Vinyl Fence for playground; dumpster	new	1/1	LF	60	\$8,400
33		exterior amenities construction (list each amenity separately)	Playground	new		each	14000	\$14,000
32	2	Roads (paving)	r lay ground		 '	OGOT1	14000	\$14,000
32	- 4	asphalt paving	Overlay asphalt paving and Restripe	100	33525	SF	2	\$67,050
32	2	Site concrete (curbs, gutters, & sidewalks)	O tomay dopman passing and restripe	100	55525			\$07,030
32	-	curb & gutter	Repair Damaged Curb and Gutter	7	5.5	3 LF	14	
32		outo a guitor	Replace cracked and non accesible walks, add new	- '	36	 	14	9012
32		sidewalks	sidewalks for additional parking and ammenities	,	20	SF	12	\$456
32		Video utilities	organica for additional parking and annihelities	- 3	30	01	12	\$450
31	2	Site Utilities		-				\$0
31	۷	water service	OK	I	 	1		\$0
		fire service	OK			1		\$0
			IOK					\$0
31			OV					en.
31		storm water piping	OK			-		\$0
31 31		storm water piping sewer service	OK					\$0 \$0
31 31 31		storm water piping sewer service electrical service	OK OK					\$0 \$0 \$0
31 31		storm water piping sewer service	OK					\$0 \$0

1	3	Total (Land Improvements) Concrete (building pads & gypcrete)						\$104,562 \$0
2	4	Masonry	Pressure Wash Brick and Point Up	22	7	Bldgs	500	\$3,500
3	5	Metals (stair stringers, metal decking, handrails, structural steel) stair pans/stringers	n/a					\$0 \$0
3		corrugated metal decking handrails	n/a Handrails on accessible walks	80	6	each	537	\$0 \$3,222
3 4	6	structural steel Rough carpentry (framing, sheathing, decking)						\$0 \$0
4	-	framing						\$0
4		ext wall sheathing floor decking	n/a					\$0 \$0
4		attic draft stops exterior wood decks/patios and rails	Attic Draft stops labor and materials, Repair n/a	16	43	units	145	\$6,235 \$0
5	6	Finish Carpentry (window sills, wood base, wood paneling, exterior						\$0
5		wood trim, shutters, etc) exterior trim including shutters						\$0
5 6	7	interior trim including wood base Waterproofing	Replace door casing and bad window sills Flashing and Caulking for Ext. Doors Windows, porch	100	242 43	LF units	1.8 308.8	\$1,051 \$13,278
7		Insulation wall insulation						\$0 \$0
7		roof insulation	Boost insulation to R-38	100	23540	SF	0.39	\$9,181
8	7	sound insulation Roofing						\$0 \$0
8		shingles (or other roofing material) gutters & downspouts	Replace with 25 year shingles	100	334	Sq.	250	\$83,500 \$0
6	7 8	Flashing, ducts and other sheet meatal	Panair damaged Vinus aiding and Vinus Trim	5	620	ee.	4	\$0 \$2,480
10		Siding/stucco Doors & hardware	Repair damaged Vinyl siding and Vinyl Trim		620		4	\$0
10 10		interior doors exterior doors	Raised panel masonite, int door units, includes labor Steel 6 panel Exterior door units, includes labor	100		pcs	90 390	\$49,140 \$50,700
10 11	8	hardware	Replace Door Hardware	100	43	units	320	\$13,760 \$0
11	· ·	Windows/glass Windows	Vinyl Windows U-Factor 0.35, SHGC 0.30, inc. labor	100	198	pcs	330	\$79,200
11	9	mirrors Drywall						\$0 \$0
13 13		repair and replacement-walls repair and placement-ceiling	Repair damaged areas Repair damaged areas and spray finish	3 2	724 482		4 4	\$2,896 \$1,928
14	9	Tile work			702		1	\$0
14 14		tub surrounds ceramic floors	n/a n/a					\$0 \$0
16 16	9	Resilient/wood flooring VCT						\$0 \$0
16		sheet goods	Repalce Vinyl Flooring	63	2551	SY	18.75	\$47,831
17	9	wood flooring Painting	n/a					\$0 \$0
17 17		exterior walls interior walls	n/a Primer and Semi-gloss enamel	100	36671	SF	0.75	\$0 \$27,503
17 17		ceilings doors & trim	Primer and Semi-Gloss Fnamel	100	36671		0.25	\$0 \$9,168
17		steel: handrails, stairs, etc	Primer and Ext. Metal Urethane	100		each	245	\$2,940
17 18	10	additional prep work (sandblasting) Specialties	n/a					\$0 \$0
18 18		signage toilet accessories including framed mirrors	Towel Bars, Paper Holders, Shower Rods, framed Mirro	100	43	units	88	\$0 \$3,784
18		fire extinguishers		100	-10	unio		\$0
18 18		shelving mailboxes	Included in Finish Carpentry USPS certified with parcel Lockers installed in office w	100		each	12540	\$0 \$12,540
18 20	11	stovetop fire suppression Cabinets (incl. countertops)	2 per stove below range hood	100	43	each	92	\$3,956 \$0
20		unit kitchens	Refinish	100		each	880	\$37,840
20 20		countertops bathroom vanities	Custom Ufgrade Formica Refinish	100 100		each each	690 85	\$29,670 \$3,655
21 21	11	Appliances refrigerators	Whirlpool energy star	53	23	each	705.12	\$0 \$16,218
21 21		stove vent hood	Whirlpool Whirlpool	93 100		each each	459.03 84.53	\$18,361 \$3,635
21		dishwasher	Whirlpool	100	43	each	305.71	\$13,146
21 21		microwave disposals	Whirlpool n/a	100	43	each	153.37	\$6,595 \$0
22 23	12 12	Blinds & Shades Carpets	Faux Blinds	100	176	each	59	\$10,384 \$0
24	13	Special Construction Interior Demolition, ceilings, walls, floor, Plumbir						\$0
25 26	14 15	Elevators Sprinklers	n/a n/a					\$0 \$0
26 26	15	Plumbing bathtubs and/or pre-fab showers	Repair and refinish bathtubs	100	43	units	200	\$0 \$8,600
26		shower heads						\$0
26 26		tub faucets bathroom sinks	Replace with new delta faucets Replace with new china sink, delta faucet, trap and sur	100 100		units units	400 385	\$17,200 \$16,555
26 26		bathroom faucets kitchen sinks	Replace kitchen sink, delta faucet, Strainers, Trap and	100	43	units	385	\$0 \$16,555
26		kitchen faucets toilets		100			385	\$0
26 26		new water servicepiping, valves, etc	Replace toilets, wax seals and supplys	100	43	units	385	\$16,555 \$0
26 26		new waste/vent servicepiping, valves, etc water heaters	Replace Water Heater, Pan, and fittings	79	34	units	450	\$0 \$15,300
26 27	15	individual water metering HVAC	Existing individual water meters All Labor And Materials HVAC Subcontractor	100		units	2900	\$0 \$124,700
27	13	air conditioning equipment	Included in HVAC Subcontractor	100	40	Jimo	2900	\$0
27 27		heating equipment ductwork cleaning	Included in HVAC Subcontractor Included in HVAC Subcontractor					\$0 \$0
27 27		ductwork duct insulation	Included in HVAC Subcontractor Included in HVAC Subcontractor					\$0 \$0
27		bathroom ventilation fans		100	43	units	100	\$4,300
27 29	16	solar hot water heating Electrical	n/a		_			\$0 \$0
29 29		unit light fixtures Allowance common area/exterior building mounted light fixtures	Light Fixtures CFL, Led Bulbs, energy star fans Included in Light Fixture Allowance	100	43	units	690	\$29,670 \$0
29		pole lights	n/a - GA Power maintained					\$0
29 29		ceiling fans electrical wiring (within unit)	Included in Light Fixture Allowance Replace switches and outlets	100		units	450	\$0 \$19,350
29 29		outlets & light switches distributionbreaker boxes, breakers, meters	Install GFI Outlets in Laundry and Kitchen n/a	100	86	Each	50	\$4,300 \$0
29	16	solar panels Communications Systems (cable, phone, internet, etc)						\$0
29 29	10	cable outlets	Included in Electrical Subcontractor					\$0 \$0
29 29		cable wiring phone jacks	Included in Electrical Subcontractor Included in Electrical Subcontractor					\$0 \$0
29 29		phone wiring (per unit) internet system (wireless or hard wired?)	Included in Electrical Subcontractor Included in Electrical Subcontractor					\$0 \$0
29	16	Safety systems						\$0
29 29		smoke detectors fire alarm system	Included in Electrical Subcontractor n/a					\$0 \$0
29 29		security alarm system access control system	n/a n/a					\$0 \$0
29		camera system	n/a					\$0
		Subtotal (structures)						\$840,382
		Total (Structure & Land Imprvmts & Acccessibili	ty)					\$1,067,683



ZONING DISTRICTS

- **4-1 Establishment of Districts.** In order that the purposes of this ordinance as defined in Section 1 may be accomplished, there are hereby established within City of Lake Park the zoning districts identified as follows:
- **R-1 Single-Family Residential:** The purpose of this district is to provide for residential areas restricted to single-family uses with a minimum lot size of one acre, said areas being protected from the effects of higher density and the encroachment of those areas which are incompatible to a low density residential environment.
- **R-15 Single Family Residential:** The purpose of this district is to provide for residential areas restricted to single family uses with a minimum lot size of 15,000 square feet, said areas being protected from the effects of higher density and the encroachment of those areas which are incompatible to a low density residential environment.
- **R-10 Single Family Residential:** The purpose of this district is to provide for residential areas restricted to single family uses with a minimum lot size of 10,000 square feet.
- **R-6 Multi-Family Residential:** The purpose of this district is to provide orderly development of high density residential areas for one family dwellings, with minimum lot sizes of 6,000 square feet for a one family dwelling, said areas being protected from the encroachment of those uses which are incompatible to a desirable residential environment. If developed multi-family housing, the maximum allowable density is 14 units per acre provided water and sewerage is approved by the Lowndes County Health Department.
- **M-H Manufactured Home Residential:** The purpose of this district is to create an area that, in addition to conventional housing, allows manufactured homes as a matter of right in a conventional subdivision. Lots in this district must have a minimum of 10,000 square feet with a community water and sewage disposal system. If such a water and sewage disposal system is not available, all lots must meet the requirements of the Lowndes County Health Department.
- **R-P Residential Professional:** The purpose of this district shall be to create an area in which residential, business, professional, educational, and institutional uses can be compatibly mixed while maintaining a healthful living environment for the residents of the district and at the same time preventing the development of blight and slum conditions.
- **R-C Cluster Residential:** The purpose of this district is to provide areas of sufficient size and reasonable flexibility in land use for the development of a group of structures resulting in an efficient use of land with smaller utility and street systems, thus lowering housing costs. To be considered for this district, property must be located on an approved paved street or road. For a one family dwelling, the minimum lot size shall be 6,000 square feet. If developed multi-family housing, the maximum allowable density is 14 units per acre provided water and sewerage is approved by the Lowndes County Health Department.
- MHC Manufactured Home Community: The purpose of this district is to provide for the development of property that is located and planned for manufactured home use. Property developed for this district is to remain under single ownership for rental purposes only. Manufactured Home Communities shall be developed per Section 6-2 of the Zoning Ordinance for City of Lake Park. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
- C-C Community Commercial: The purpose of this district is to provide for and protect areas that are convenient to and will service immediately adjacent residential neighborhoods.

- **C-H Highway Commercial:** The purpose of this district is to provide for and encourage the proper grouping and development of highway-oriented uses which include a wide variety of sales and services that will best accommodate the needs of the city and the traveling public in order to reduce highway traffic congestion, traffic hazards or blight. To be considered for this district, properties must be located on major arterial roadways as delineated in the City of Lake Park Thoroughfare Plan.
- C-A Adult Commercial: The purpose of this district shall be to provide a reasonable location within the community for the development of adult-oriented businesses including adult entertainment establishments.
- M-1 Manufacturing: The purpose of this district shall be to provide and protect areas for those industrial uses which do not create excessive noise, odor, smoke, dust, and which do not possess other objectionable characteristics which might be detrimental to surrounding neighborhoods, or to the other uses permitted in the district.
- M-2 Manufacturing: The purpose of this district shall be to provide and protect areas for those industrial uses which cannot comply with the regulations of an M-1 district. To be considered for this classification, properties must be located on an arterial roadway as delineated in the City of Lake Park Thoroughfare Plan. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 11-11-03 P. C. File # LP-2003-05)
- **4-2 Zoning Map and Street and Road Classification and Major Thoroughfare Plan.** The boundaries of each zoning district are shown on the Official Zoning Map as defined by this ordinance. Said map shall be maintained by the Director of the Planning Commission, certified by the Zoning Administrator, and shall be kept on file in the offices of both the Planning Commission and the Zoning Administrator. Said map is hereby made a part of this ordinance.

The classification of streets and roads within City of Lake Park are shown on a map entitled "Thoroughfare Plan, City of Lake Park, Georgia." This map shall be dated and certified by the Mayor of the City of Lake Park, Georgia. Said map is hereby made a part of this ordinance. Accurate copies of the "Thoroughfare Plan, City of Lake Park, Georgia," shall be on file in the office of the Zoning Administrator or designated official at all times. Said map shall accurately show all map amendments made in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, and the date when said amendments became effective. It shall be the duty of the Zoning Administrator or designated official to see to it that the "Thoroughfare Plan, City of Lake Park, Georgia" displayed in the office, is kept up-to-date and accurately shows all amendments.

- **4-3 Interpretation of Zoning District Boundaries.** When uncertainty exists with respect to the location of boundaries of any zoning district as shown on the "Zoning Map of Lake Park", the following rules shall apply:
- **4-3.1** Unless otherwise specifically indicated, where district boundaries are indicated on the zoning map as approximately following the centerline of a street, highway, railroad right-of-way line, stream bed or river bed; such centerlines shall be construed to be such district boundaries.
- 4-3.2 Boundaries indicated as approximately following platted lot lines shall be construed as following such lot lines.
- **4-3.3** Where district boundaries are indicated on the zoning map as approximately following the corporate limit line of the city, then such corporate limit lines shall be construed to be such district boundaries.
- **4-3.4** Where district boundaries are indicated on the zoning map as being set back from a street, road, highway, railroad, stream, or river, and parallel thereto, then such district boundaries unless otherwise specifically indicated, shall be construed as being at the scaled distance from the centerline of such street, road, highway, railroad, stream, or river and as being parallel thereto.

SCHEDULE OF PERMITTED USES AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

- **5-1 Table of Permitted Uses.** Within the various zoning districts as indicated on the "Zoning Map of City of Lake Park," no building or structure shall be constructed, erected, or altered except as indicated in the following schedules:
 - **5-1.1** Uses Permitted by Right: Uses permitted as a matter of right are indicated in the following schedule by the letter "X" in the appropriate column.
 - **5-1.2 Special Exceptions:** Uses permitted only after special review and approval of the City Council are indicated on the following schedule by the letters "SE" in the appropriate column. Requests to approve a use by Special Exception shall be advertised, reviewed and processed in the same manner as an amendment to the Official Zoning Map as described in Section 12 Amendment. In the event that a rezoning is also necessary for the proposed use, the Special Exception request and rezoning request may be processed and heard in conjunction with each other at the required public hearing. (*Adopted by Lake Park Mayor/Council 4-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2004-04*) Special Exceptions shall only be approved based on findings by the City Council that:
 - a. The proposed use will not be contrary to the purpose of this ordinance.
 - **b.** The proposed use will not be detrimental to the use or development of adjacent properties or the general neighborhood nor affect adversely the health and safety of residents and workers.
 - c. The proposed use will not constitute a nuisance or hazard because of the number of persons who will attend or use such facility, vehicular movement, noise or fumes generation, or type of physical activity.
 - **d.** The proposed use will not be affected adversely by the existing uses of adjacent properties; and the proposed use will be placed on a lot of sufficient size to satisfy the space requirements of said use.
 - e. The parking and all development standards set forth for each particular use for which a permit may be granted have been met.
 - **f.** Provided that the City Council may impose or require such additional restrictions and standards as may be necessary to protect the health and safety of workers and residents in the community, and to protect the value and use of property in the general neighborhood; and provided that wherever the City Council shall find, in the case of any permit granted pursuant to the provisions of these regulations that any term, condition or restriction upon which such permit was granted are not being complied with, said City Council shall rescind and revoke such permit after giving due notice to all parties concerned and granting full opportunity for a public hearing.
 - g. Special Exceptions granted by the City Council shall be valid for 12 months from the date of approval, shall not be transferable except upon written approval of the City Council, or shall be executed within the granted period or become null and void and subject to procedures for resubmission as hereinabove established.
 - **5-1.3** Structural modification of existing residential units shall not exceed 25% of total existing gross floor area on any property rezoned to R-P from R-15, R-10, R-6, and R-C.

SIGNAT)Z	ONING	ZONING DISTRICT	RICT		8	-	X — der	notes use	X — denotes use allowed as a matter of right	as a mat	ter of ri	ght
- TEO CHET	,	1	9			-	SE denotes use allowed only by Special Exception	ofes use	allowed	only by	Special E	xceptio	
	R-1	R-15	R-10	R-6	м-н	R-C	MHC	R-P	ပ္	H-O	C-A	M-1	M-2
RESIDENTIAL & RELATED USES													
Accessory Buildings or Uses (Refer to Section 3-9)	X	×	×	×	X	X	×	×	X	X		X	×
Accessory Building over Water (Shall not extend more than 26 feet over water measured from high water mark as per survey. Variance to rear yards must be obtained in each case.)	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE		SE					
Boarding or Rooming House								SE	×	×			
Church or Other Place of Worship; including Sunday School buildings, parish house, convent, nursery school, kindergarten and other related uses on the same premises and operated by the church provided that within an R-15, R-10, R-6 and R-C district: a) The lot size shall be no less than 3 acres;	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE		×	×	×			
 b) any building or structure established with any such use shall have minimum side and rear yard of 50 feet; any lot for a church shall front on an arterial roadway or collector roadway as specified on the Official Street and 													
c) Thoroughfare Plan of the City of Lake Park, Georgia.													
d) Within R-15 and R-10 districts, parking access shall be separated from adjoining private properties by a distance of at least 20 feet with buffer planting provided thereon.													
Dwelling Single-family detached, including Residential Industrialized Buildings. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 - P. C. File # LP-2003-07)	×	×	×	×	×	×		×					
Dwelling Two-family			×		X			SE					
Dwelling Multi-family			×		×								
Garage Apartment; provided no more than one (1) shall be permitted on a lot with another dwelling and provided such shall be permitted only within a rear yard and provided that the lot on which such use is to be established meets the minimum lot area requirements for a two-family dwelling.	SE	SE	SE	×	SE	×		SE					
Guest House provided no more than one (1) shall be permitted on a lot with another dwelling and provided such shall be permitted only within a rear year and proved that the lot on which such use is to be established meets the minimum lot area requirements for a two-family dwelling. (Adopted by Lake Park Mayor/Council 6-6-06-P. C. File #1P-2006-05)	X	X	X	X	X	Х		×					
Home Business (Refer to Section 6-6)	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	×	×		×	×
Home Occupation (Refer to Section 6-5)	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		×	×
	1											1	

LAND USE	[OZ	NING	ZONING DISTRICT	RICT		<u></u>	SE den	X — der	notes use	X — denotes use allowed as a matter of right denotes use allowed only by Special Exception	as a mad	ter of ri	ght
	R-1	R-15	R-10	R-6	M-H	R-C	МНС	R-P	C-C	С-Н	C-A	M-1	M-2
RESIDENTIAL & RELATED USES (continued)													
Manufactured Home (Refer to Section 6-4) (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 – P. C. File # LP-2003-07)				SE	×	SE	×						
Manufactured Home Community (Refer to Section 6-2) (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 - P. C. File # LP-2003-07)							×						
Public Owned Recreation Center, YMCA, Boys Club, and Institutions of a similar nature						SE		SE	×	X			
School; public or private	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE		SE	SE	SE			
Storage Building – Permitted only on lots of 2.5 acres or more on which no other principal building has been built. (refer to Sections 2-1 and 3-9.2) (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council on 4-5-2011 – P. C. File # LP-2011-04-02)	SE	SE	SE										
Tourist Home										×			
Travel Traller Park (Refer to Section 6-3)										×			
BUSINESS USES													
Accessory Buildings or Uses		×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×		×	×
Ambulance or Rescue Squad									×	X		×	×
Amusement or Recreational Activities (Commercial); carried on outside a building such as a golf or baseball driving range, miniaure golf course, softball field, and uses of a similar nature.									×	×		×	×
Amusement or Recreational Activities (Commercial); carried on outside a building which produces noise and/or noxious fumes, such as drag strips, race tracks, motorcycle races, ATV (all terrain vehicles) and uses of a similar nature.										SE		×	×
Amusement or Recreational Activities (Commercial); carried on wholly within a building, such as cinema, theater, auditorium, and uses of a similar nature.							×		×				
Animal Hospital, Commercial Kennel, Veterinary Clinic or Animal Boarding Place, located at least 300 feet from the nearest residential district.									SE	×			
Art Studio								SE	×	×			
Automobile Parking Lot or Parking Garage (Commercial)									×	×		×	×
Automobile Service Station; provided that major auto repair shall not be permitted, nor shall there be outside storage of materials or equipment other than merchandise offered for sale in a C-C or C-H district.									×	X		×	×
Automobile, Truck, Farm Equipment, Other Motorized Vehicles, Motorcycle Sales, Repair, or Upholstery, Auto Washerteria, Paint Shops, or Tire Recapping, (including rebuilding of parts or sales of parts and equipment indoors only.)										х		×	×

LAND USE	ZOZ	SNIN	ZONING DISTRICT	SICT		S	SE deno	(— den	otes use	X — denotes use allowed as a matter of right denotes use allowed only by Special Exception	as a mat pecial E	ter of ri	ght
	R-1	R-15	R-10	R-6	M-H	R-C	МНС	R-P	C-C	С-Н	C-A	M-1	M-2
BUSINESS USES (continued)													
Auto Washerteria				Г		Г			×	×		Г	
Bait and Tackle Store									×	×		×	×
Bank, Financial Institution, or Offices not specifically listed elsewhere.								SE	×	×			
Bookbinding, Printing, Engraving, Blueprinting, Photostatting, or Letter Shop									×	×		×	×
Building Contractor and Related Activities such as sale and storage of building supplies and materials. Provided there shall be no outside storage of equipment or materials on the premises including overnight parking of equipment.									×	X		×	×
Building Contractor and Related Activities such as sale and storage of building supplies and materials, provided that outside storage is permitted in a CH district by Special Exception under the following conditions: a) minimum front yard setbacks for storage areas shall be 80 feet or equal to the principal building setback, whichever is greater. b) opaque screening of a nature not injurious to adjoining properties shall be erected on all sides to screen outside storage to a minimum height of 8 feet. Such screening shall be of a quality and design comparable to the principal building.										SE		×	×
Business School		Г						SE	×	×			
Cabaret, Nightclub, Lounge				Г		Г				×	×		
Clothing or Dry Goods Store; including shoe store, men's shop, women's shop, variety store and stores of a similar nature.									×	×			
Club or Lodge (private) other than recreation oriented facilities									×	Х			
Cultural Facilities; libraries, museums, and similar facilities.		D)						SE	×	×			
Dance School or Studio								SE	×	X			
Department Store									×	×			
Drive-in Restaurant										×			
Drive-in Theater										×			
Drug Store		Г						SE	×	X			
Electrical Repair and similar repair of a heavy commercial nature.										Х		X	X
Farmers Market						T				×			
Feed, Seed, and Insecticides, and Fertilizer Retail Sales	7					\exists			×	×			
											,		

LAND USE	OZ	NING	ZONING DISTRICT	RICT		<i>y</i> ,	J. Gene	V — den	otes use	X — denotes use allowed as a matter of right SE — denotes use allowed only by Special Exception	s a matte	er of rig	Ą
	R-1	R-15	R-10	R-6	M-H	R-C	МНС	R-P	C-C	С-Н	C-A	M-1	M-2
BUSINESS USES (continued)													
Flower Shop									×	×			
Food Store; including retail bakery, meat market, dairy products, convenience store, and stores of a similar nature.									×	×			
Freight Express Office										×		×	×
Funeral Home								SE	×	×			
Furniture Upholstery Shop									×	×		×	×
Gardens	×	×	×	×	X	×	×	×	×	×			
Glass Sales and Storage									×	×			
Golf Course, provided that: a) It shall be for daytime use only; and b) all greens and fairways shall be setback at least 60 feet from any property line; and c) all structures shall meet minimum setback requirements for single-family residences within the district.	SE	SE	SE	SE		SE	SE	SE	×	×			
Hardware; and similar supplies including hardware store, paint store, and stores of a similar nature.									×	х			
Home Furnishings such as appliance sales and repair, furniture store, and other stores of similar nature									×	X			
Hospitals and Nursing Homes, provided that: a) Any building or structure established with any such use shall have minimum side and rear yard of 50 feet; and, b) the setback shall be 25 feet more than required for other structures within the same district, and, c) the lot upon which any hospital is built shall front on an arterial roadway or collector roadway as specified on the Official Street and Road Classification and Major Thoroughfare Plan of the City of Lake Park, Georgia. d) lot size shall be no less than 3 acres within the R-P zoning district.								SE	×	×			
Family Personal Care Home	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE					
Group Personal Care Home, provided that minimum lot size shall be one acre.				SE		SE	SE	SE	×				
Congregate Personal Care Home, provided that minimum lot size shall be one acre.								SE	×				
Hotel									×	×			
Laboratory Serving Medical and Dental Requirements	Н	П		П	П	П	П	SE	×	X	П	П	

LAND USE	Noz	ZONING DISTRICT	MISTR	[C]		SE	X – denotes	- denote use allo	X — denotes use allowed as a matter of right SE — denotes use allowed only by Special Exception	ved as a n	latter of	right
	R-1 R	R-15 R	R-10 F	R-6 M-H	H R-C		2	R-P C	н-э э-э	C-A	M-1	M-2
BUSINESS USES (continued)												
Launderette or Washerterla (self-service)							_	_	×			
Medical, Dental or Similar Clinic							<u> </u>	×	×			
Motel or Tourist Home									×			
Music Teaching Studio							S	SE	×			
Neighborhood Drycleaning Plant	\vdash			-			\vdash	H				
 a) Dry cleaning plants using cleaning systems which make use of solvents rated at above 40 by the Underwriter's Laboratories Inc (ULI) standard of classification known as Class 1 Systems shall be prohibited; and 								×	×			
b) Dry cleaning plants which use cleaning systems which make use of solvents rated at more than 5 but less than 40 according to the ULI Standard Classification known as Class II and Class III Systems, shall not be established												
in buildings with other occupancy; and c) The building for a dry cleaning plant shall not contain more than 4.000 cutane feet of floor area inclusive of												
c) me butuing tot a cut creating prant shall not contain more trian 4,000 square feet of not area increases of dry cleaning pick-up facilities within the building; and												
d) The dry cleaning plant shall be designed to operate in a manner that will not emit smoke, odor, or objectionable waste materials, and which will not produce noise that will carry beyond the walls of the building												
occupied by such plant; and									_			
e) Fuel tor operation of equipment shall be smokeless tuel; and							_	_				
 The applicant for the dry cleaning plant shall certify in writing, at the time of application, that all the above conditions will be met. 						-						
Newspaper or Magazine Publishing and Distributing								_	X			
Nursery School, Daycare Center (with more than 6 children), Kindergarten							L					
a) Off-street loading and unloading spaces are supplied; and							S	SE >	×			
b) At least 100 feet of outdoor play area is supplied for each child accommodated; and									_			
c) The entire play area is enclosed by a fence having a minimum height of at least 4 feet and constructed in such a manner that maximum safety to the children is ensured; and												
d) Point of business signs and identification signs shall be allowed; provided copy area of sign shall not exceed 2 square feet and that sign shall be attached to principal building. The 2 square-foot sign requirement does not anoly for this in a commercial district.												
Office Equipment Sales and Service							-		×			
Pawn Shop								^	×			
Personal Service Shop; such as barber/beauty shop, shoe repair, laundty pick-up station, locksmith,								×	×			
guinnititi, and outer services of a similar nature. Post Control: enoughing no change of chamicale and materiale awant in M.1 or M.2 dietricte (Adontad by I D	+	\dagger		+	+	+	+	+	×		×	×
Metro Council on 5-2-06)	_	_		_	_	_	\dashv					:

LAND USE	oz	NING	ZONING DISTRICT	RICT			X — denotes use allowed as a matter of rig SE denotes use allowed only by Special Exception	X — der	notes us	X — denotes use allowed as a matter of right otes use allowed only by Special Exception	as a ma	tter of r	ight
	R-1	R-15	R-10	R-6	м-н	R-C	МНС	R-P	C-C	С-Н	C-A	M-1	M-2
BUSINESS USES (continued)													
Photography Studio								SE	×	×			
Professional Office to include accountants, architects, attorneys dentists, physicians, realtors, and offices of a similar nature carried on wholly within a structure and provided that the lot has direct access off a Federal or State highway.	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE		×	×	×			
Radio / TV Studio								SE	×	×		×	×
Radio, TV Tower, Utility Substation; provided all buildings, masts, and other facilities are located at least 200 feet from adjacent property lines on lots within or adjoining residential districts.									SE	SE		×	×
Railroad or Bus Passenger Station										×		×	X
Residential Trailer Sales Room and Sales Lot										×			
Restaurant, Food Catering Service									×	×		×	×
Retail Auto Parts or Tire Store									×	×			
Retail Store, otherwise not covered elsewhere in this section									×	×			
Shell Home Display Yard										×			
Shrubbery Sales									×	×			
Specialty Shop; such as jewelry shop, gift shop, and stores of a similar nature.								SE	×	×			
Taxidermist									SE	×			
Taxi Service									×	×		×	×
Telegraph or Messenger Service									×	×			
Trade School									×	×			
Utility Trailer Rentals and Rent-Alls									Х	Х		Х	Х
Vending Machines; located out-of-doors subject to yard and setback requirements for the respective districts (as an accessory use only).							×		×	×		X	X

LAND USE	ZOZ	SUING	ZONING DISTRICT	CT		S	SE deno	V — den tes use a	otes use	X — denotes use allowed as a matter of right denotes use allowed only by Special Exception	s a matt	er of rig ception	çht
	R-1 F	R-15	R-10	R-6	М-Н	R-C	МНС	R-P	2-C	С-Н	C-A	M-1	M-2
MANUFACTURING, WHOLESALING and INDUSTRY													
Freezer Locker Service, Ice Storage										×		×	×
Greenhouse and Plant Nursery used for the production of plants for sale at either wholesale or retail.									×	×		×	×
Heavy Manufacturing; any manufacturing, packaging, processing, or handling of materials provided that any uses such as the manufacturing of cement, corrosive acids, bone distillation, drop forge industry, fat rendering, fertilizer manufacturing, organic material reduction, meat processing plants, and the like which produce noise, odors, dust, frumes, fire hazards, or other nuisance features shall be set back not less than 500 feet from any M-2 district boundary.													×
Junk Yard or Auto Graveyard provided that: a) If within 500 feet of a public right-of-way, an 8 foot high solid fence or wall shall be erected to screen storage yard or area from the roads; and b) shall not be located adjacent to any residential zoning district or area developed residential. Allowed only in M-2 zoning district.													×
Light Manufacturing:													
 a) Appliance and electronic device assembly plant including the manufacturing of parts for appliances and electronic devices; and, 										SE		×	×
 b) manufacturing of food, cosmetic and pharmaceutical products, but not including fish and meat products, sauerkraut, vinegar, yeast, and rendering plants; and 													
c) machine shop and related activities; and													
d) construction of signs, including painted signs; and													
e) cooperage; and													
 bottling and canning plants; and light sheet metal products such as ventilating ducts and eaves; and 													
i) laundry, drycleaning, and dyeing plants; and													
 k) ceramic products provided that kilns shall only be by gas or electricity; and l) assembly of products from previously prepared materials; and 													
m) tinsmith and roofing services; and													
 n) other manufacturing processing, packaging, or handling of a similar nature which shall not omit or produce more smoke, noise, odor, dust, vibration or fumes than the uses listed herein. 													
Petroleum Bulk Plant (Storage only)										SE		×	×
Truck Terminal								Γ		SE		×	×
Warehousing (not related to sales on the premises) - Storage facilities for personal property other than commercial warehousing or storage is permitted in C-H.										×		×	×
Warehousing; storage for personal property — must be wholly contained within a building. (If storage of personal property involves marine equipment (boats, etc), the maximum height of any structure shall be 35 feet. Any structure for the storage of boats shall be enclosed on 3 sides.)									SE	×		×	×
Wholesaling of a Light Commercial Nature when operated in conjunction with or as part of a retail outlet.									SE	×		×	×

LAND USE	0Z	NING	ZONING DISTRICT	RICT		3,	X — denotes use allowed as a matter of rig SE — denotes use allowed only by Special Exception	X — den	notes use	X — denotes use allowed as a matter of right otes use allowed only by Special Exception	as a mat pecial E	ter of rig xception	ght
	R-1	R-15	R-10	R-6	М-Н	R-C	МНС	R-P	၁-၁	С-Н	C-A	M-1	M-2
SIGNS													
Off-Premise Sign									×	×		×	×
Identification Sign or Bulletin Board	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		×	×
On-Premise Sign (Point of Business Sign) (Not to exceed 2 square feet if associated with Home Occupation) (For Home Busines, see section 6-6) (Adopted by Lake Park Mayor/Council 3-9-04 – P. C. File # LO-2003-08)	×	×	×	×	×	×	X	×	×	×	×	×	×
Signs which do not require a permit (Refer to Section 9-6)	×	×	×	×	×	×	X	×	×	×	×	×	×
MISCELLANEOUS													
Adult Entertainment Establishment; provided that, a) No adult entertainment establishment shall advertise its location by signs more than 6 inches in height placed on the firont of the building which shall state the name of the business and hours of operation only. b) No adult entertainment establishment shall advertise its location with flashing or other kinds of lights, signs, banners, or other devices, intended to attract the attention of the general public to its location. c) Adult book stores shall maintain all windows in a clear unobstructed manner so as to provide an open and unobstructed view throughout the interior of the book store. d) Adult movie houses shall maintain all windows in a clear and unobstructed manner, so that the entire reception area, lobby, ticket sales area of the theater is open and unobstructed to view by the public from all adjoining public thoroughfares and rights-of-way. c) Adult service establishments (bath houses, etc.) shall maintain all windows in a clear and unobstructed manner, so that the entire reception area, lobby, ticket sales area of the service establishment is open and unobstructed to view by the public from all adjoining public rights-of-way.											×		
Armory; for meetings and training of military organizations.										×		×	×
Carnival, Rodeo, Horse Show, or Athletic Event, Community Fair or Other Event of interest to the public									×	×		×	×
Religious Meetings held in a tent or other temporary structure not to exceed 30 days (subject to building code restrictions).												x	×
Temporary Buildings and Storage of Materials (in conjunction with construction of a building), on a lot where construction is taking place or on adjacent lots such temporary uses to be terminated upon completion of construction.	X	X	Х	Х	X	Х	X	Х	X	X	X	Х	X

5-2 Development Standards. Within the various zoning districts as indicated on the "Zoning Map of the City of Lake Park, Georgia," no building or structure, excluding all signs (see Section 9 for Sign Regulations) shall be constructed or erected except as indicated in the following schedule:

DEVELOPMENT						INOZ	ZONING DISTRICT	TRIC	H				
STANDARD	R-1	R-15	R-10	R-6	м-н	R-C	МНС	R-P	2-2	С-Н	C-A	M-1	M-2
Minimum Gross Floor Area Per Dwelling Unit (Sq.Ft.)	1,800	1,200	1,000	008	700	800	‡	800	fi	П	- E	-	-
Minimum Lot Area For Development (Sq.Ft.)	1 acre	15,000	10,000	**	10,000	**	1)	l acre	1	I	1 acre	Eg .	ı
Minimum Lot Width (Feet)	120	100	08	09	08	200	1	09	09	09	150	None	None
Minimum Front Yard Setback (Feet from Centerline of Right-of-way) - Arterial Streets - Collector Streets - Local Streets	80* 70* 60*	80* 65* 55*	80* 65* 55*	80* 65* 55*	80* 65* 55*	80* 70* 60*	1 1 1	80* 65* 55*	100* 70* 65*	80* 70* N/A	100* 70* N/A	100* 70* N/A	100* 70* N/A
Minimum Side Yard Setback (Fect)	20	10	10	8	10	8	I.	10	None +++	None +++	40	None +++	None +++
Minimum Rear Yard Setback (Feet)	04 ‡	30	30	30	30	30	1	30	12 ++	12 ++	40	12	12 ++

^{*} Plus ½ any amount which the right-of-way width exceeds 60 feet for residential streets, 70 feet for collector streets, and 80 feet for principal and minor arterials.

^{**} If developed multi-family, the maximum density is 14 units per acre, provided water and sewer is approved by the Lowndes County Health Department.

⁺⁺ The minimum distance from other property lines to any building over 35 feet in height shall be increased one foot for every 2 feet (or part of 2 feet) of building height greater

⁺⁺⁺ If the adjoining yard is within a residential district, the yard requirements specified in this table shall be increased 10 feet and screening shall be provided as specified in Section 3-15 along the lot line common with the residential lot.

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Refer to Section 6-2.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS FOR CERTAIN USES

- **6-1 Group Development Projects.** Within any district other than R-15 or R-10, any group of buildings constructed on a plot of land not subdivided into customary streets and lots and which will not be so subdivided, intended to be operated under one management or under a condominium-type ownership arrangement, known herein as a group development project.
 - **6-1.1 Minimum Lot Size:** The minimum lot size requirement shall be sufficient so that any structure constructed on said lot or groups of lots can be located so as to meet all setback requirements, parking requirements, equal to the total for all uses in the lot, and space requirements for loading and unloading for each proposed use.
 - **6-1.2 Street Access:** All principal buildings established as a part of the group development project shall be accessible to emergency or service vehicles.
 - **6-1.3 Setback Requirements:** All buildings and structures established as a part of a group development project shall comply with the front yard setbacks and exterior side and rear yard requirements established for the district in which it is located.
 - 6-1.4 Uses Prohibited: Uses are limited to those permitted within the district in which the project is located.
- **6-2 Manufactured Home Community.** Manufactured home communities shall be allowed within the Manufactured Home Community (MHC) Zoning District as a matter of right provided the following requirements are met: (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
 - a. The minimum size shall be 3 acres.
 - **b.** The community shall have minimum side yards of 20 feet and a front yard at least 20 feet greater than that required for other uses permitted in the district. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
 - c. Each manufactured home shall be connected to an approved water and sewer system. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
 - **d.** The minimum lot size for each manufactured home shall be 4,000 square feet with a minimum lot width of 40 feet where lots are served by both public water and public sewer systems. The minimum lot size shall be 7,500 square feet where lots are served by a community water system and a septic tank. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
 - e. The minimum distance between any two (2) manufactured homes or between any manufactured home and any other building in the community shall be 20 feet. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File #LP-2003-07)
 - **f.** Each individual manufactured home lot shall have a properly drained and weatherproofed parking space for at least two motor vehicles, designed and built in compliance with Section 7-5 as it pertains to parking stalls. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File #LP-2003-07)
 - g. Each manufactured home lot shall be clearly defined by means of concrete, steel, or iron pipe markers placed at all corners. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)

- **h.** At least 200 square fee per manufactured home lot not to be a part of required manufactured home lot, shall be provided in one (1) or more locations for community playground and recreation purposes. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
- i. Any property line of a manufactured home community behind the frontyard set back which abuts or is within a residential zoning district shall be screened as called for in Section 3-15. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
- **j.** No manufactured home community shall be occupied by a greater number of manufactured homes than that authorized in the approved building and inspection permit. No manufactured home community shall be enlarged or extended unless a separate building permit and a separate final inspection permit have been issued. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
- k. Entrance to the community shall be limited to paved streets with at least 60 feet of right-of-way. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
- **l.** No building permit may be issued unless the community layout has been reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
- m. An identification sign, including the name of the manufactured home community, and the name of the owner/manager or another designated individual who can act for the owner/manager, measuring not less than 10 square feet and no more than 16 square feet, shall be placed on private property, close to the entrance of the community and readily visible from both directions of the public right-of-way. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)

6-3 Travel Trailer Park. A travel trailer park is permitted in a C-H district as a matter of right subject to the following conditions:

- **a.** No travel trailer park shall be located except with direct access to a designated highway, or major or secondary street, with a minimum lot width of not less than 50 feet for that portion used for entrance and exit. No entrance or exit shall be through a residential district, or shall require movement of traffic from the park through a residential district.
- b. The minimum lot area per park shall be 3 acres.
- c. Spaces in a travel trailer park may be used by travel trailers provided they shall be rented by the day or week only, and an occupancy of such space shall remain in the same trailer park for a period of not more than 30 days.
- **d.** Management headquarters, recreational facilities, toilets, showers, laundry facilities and other uses and structures customarily incidental to operation of a trailer park are permitted as accessory uses in any district in which trailer parks are allowed provided such establishments and the parking area primarily related to their operations shall not occupy more than 10% of the area of the park.
- e. No space shall be so located that any part intended for occupancy for sleeping purposes shall be within 20 feet of the exterior property line.
- f. In addition to meeting the above requirements, the travel trailer park site plan shall be accompanied by a certificate of approval of the Lowndes County Health Department.

- **6-4 Manufactured and Mobile Homes.** All manufactured homes not located within a mobile home park shall meet the following requirements: (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
 - **6-4.1** No mobile homes shall be permitted within the City Limits of Lake Park. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File #LP-2003-07)
 - **6-4.2** Singlewide manufactured homes shall be restricted to Manufactured Home Communities. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
 - **6-4.3** All new manufactured homes shall be installed as required by O.C.GA 8-2-160 and 8-2-164. For the purposes of administration of this section, installation shall mean the construction of a foundation system and the placement or erection of a manufactured home on the foundation system. This also includes, without limitation, supporting, blocking, leveling, securing or anchoring such home and connecting multiple or expandable sections of such home. Said installation shall be performed by a person who is able to obtain a license pursuant to the provisions of O.C.GA 8-2-164. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
 - **6-4.4** Manufactured homes may only be used as a dwelling unit. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
 - **6-4.5** A curtain wall, also known as skirting or underpinning, shall be constructed of masonry material a minimum of four (4) inches thick and shall be un-pierced, except for required minimum ventilation and access so that the area under the home is enclosed to the ground level. Said access shall remain closed when not being used for that purpose. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-07)
 - **6-4.6** After placement on the lot and before occupancy, all tongues, axles, transporting lights and other towing apparatus are to be removed from the site. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 1-6-04 P. C. File #LP-2003-07)
 - **6-4.7** Utility meters shall be mounted directly to the manufactured home, to a pedestal, or to a pole as required by the building and electrical codes as adopted by the City of Lake Park. (Adopted by Lake Park Mayor/Council 4-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2004-05)
 - **6-4.8** At all exterior doors there shall be a landing as required by the building codes as adopted by the City of Lake Park. Said landing shall be constructed in a manner as to be permanently affixed to the ground. (Adopted by Lake Park Mayor/Council 4-6-04 P. C. File #LP-2004-05)
 - **6-4.9** All new manufactured homes shall be situated on the lot so that the conventional front of the home faces the front yard as defined by this ordinance. (*Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 4-6-04 P. C. File # LP-2004-05*)
 - 6-4.10 All new manufactured homes must meet the minimum size requirements for the district in which they are to be located.
- 6-5 Home Occupation. A home occupation as defined by this ordinance shall be governed by the following requirements:
 - **6-5.1** Home occupations shall be conducted entirely within the principal dwelling, unless the occupation requires off-site work, in which case only administrative functions may be conducted at the premises.
 - 6-5.2 Only residents of the dwelling may be engaged in the home occupation.

- **6-5.3** The home occupation shall be clearly incidental to the residential use of the dwelling and shall not change the essential residential character of the dwelling.
- **6-5.4** Except as otherwise stated by this Section, there shall be no exterior evidence of the home occupation, including but not limited to, outside storage of materials or equipment, nor shall such items be displayed in a manner to be viewed from the outside of the dwelling.
- 6-5.5 No internal or external alterations inconsistent with the residential use of the building shall be permitted.
- **6-5.6** No machinery or equipment causing noise, smoke, odor, vibration, electrical interference, or other objectionable condition may be used, nor may the occupation otherwise constitute a nuisance in the neighborhood.
- 6-5.7 Instruction in music and similar subjects shall be limited to two (2) students at a time.
- **6-5.8** No more than two (2) vehicles used in conjunction with the home occupation shall be allowed, provided that any vehicles of 7,500 pounds or greater gross vehicle weight capacity (gvwc) shall be parked in the rear yard.
- 6-5.9 Unless otherwise specified, there shall be no more than one (1) client or customer on the premises at any one time.
- **6-5.10** Signs for Home Occupations shall be limited to one (1), shall be mounted on the wall of the primary building and shall also follow the guidelines set forth in Section 5-1 of this ordinance. (Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 3-9-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-08)
- **6-5.11** No variances shall be considered to the above criteria and any occupation that does not meet the above criteria shall be considered a Home Business, if it meets the Home Business restrictions.
- **6-6 Home Business**. A home business as defined by this ordinance shall be allowed by Special Exception only, and be governed by the following restrictions:
 - **6-6.1** Home businesses shall conform to the restrictions of the Home Occupation regulations Sections 6-5.3, 6-5.5, and 6-5.8 as it pertains to the property.
 - 6-6.2 Accessory buildings used for home businesses shall be subject to all applicable accessory structure standards.
 - **6-6.3** A sign for a Home Business shall be allowed as a matter of right provided that the sign is no more than two (2) square feet in size and is mounted to the wall of the primary building. Other types of signage may be allowed by Special Exception, which may be done in conjunction with the Home Business approval if included within the proposal. The total number of signs shall not equal more than one (1) per Home Business. No sign shall be approved that is larger than 2 square feet, except where located on an arterial street where a sign up to 12 square feet may be approved. No variances shall be considered to this subsection. (*Approved by Lake Park Mayor/Council 3-9-04 P. C. File # LP-2003-08*)


OFF-STREET PARKING AND SERVICE REQUIREMENTS


- **7-1 Scope of Provisions.** Except as provided in this section, no application for a building permit shall be approved unless there is included with the plan for such building, improvements, or use, a plat plan showing the required space reserved for off-street parking and service purposes. Occupancy shall not be allowed unless the required off-street parking and service facilities have been provided in accordance with those shown on an approved plan.
- 7-2 Parking Spaces Shall Not Be Reduced. Off-street parking spaces shall not be reduced below the minimum required number for the use or facility to which they are assigned.
- **7-3 Drainage, Construction, and Maintenance.** All off-street parking, loading, and service areas shall be drained so as to prevent damage to abutting properties and/or public streets and shall be constructed of asphalt, concrete or equivalent material which will assure a surface resistant to erosion. All such areas shall be at all times maintained at the expense of the owners thereof in a clean, orderly, and dust-free condition.
- **7-4 Separation from Walkways, Sidewalks, and Streets.** All off-street parking, loading, and service areas shall be separated from walkways, sidewalks, and streets by curbing or other suitable protective device.
- 7-5 Parking Area Design. Parking stalls shall have a minimum width of 8½ feet and length of 20 feet. There shall be provided adequate interior driveways to connect each parking space with a public right-of-way. Interior driveways shall be at least 24 feet wide where used with 90 degree angle parking, at least 18 feet wide where used with 60 degree angle parking, at least 12 feet wide where used with 45 degree parking, and at least 12 feet wide for one-way traffic movement, and at least 24 feet wide for two-way traffic movement.
- **7-6 Zoning for Parking Facilities.** Parking required for, or storage parking for a principal use must be located in the same zone required for the principal use, i.e., commercial vehicles or customers of a commercial use must park in a commercial district.
- 7-7 Number of Parking Spaces. In order to assure a proper and uniform development of public parking areas throughout the area of jurisdiction of this ordinance, to relieve traffic congestion on the streets, and to minimize any detrimental effects on adjacent properties, off-street parking space shall be provided and maintained as called for in the following schedule, the requirements shall be the same as for a similar use as mentioned herein. Parking requirements for additions to existing uses shall be based upon the new addition even if the existing use is deficient. Each off-street parking space shall be clearly marked, and directional arrows or signs shall be provided wherever necessary. Markers, directional arrows, and signs shall be properly maintained so as to ensure their maximum efficiency. There shall be adequate provision for handicap parking in accord with current regulations.

LAND USE	PARKING SPACES
Apartment and Multi-family Dwelling	Two (2) spaces for each dwelling unit.
Auditorium, Stadium, Assembly Hall, Gymnasium, Theater, Community Recreation Center, Church	(a) One (1) space per 4 fixed seats in largest assembly room, or (b) one (1) space for each 40 square feet of floor area available for the accommodation of movable seats in the largest assembly room or combination of fixed and movable seats, or (c) one (1) space per each 150 square feet of gross floor area; whichever is greatest.
Automobile Service Station	One (1) space (in addition to service area) for each pump and grease rack and one (1) space for each two (2) employees during period of greatest employment but not less than 4 spaces.
Automobile Sales and Repair, Service Stations and Auto Washerterias	Same as Section 7-7.3 above plus one (1) space for each 500 square feet of gross floor area of the shop or washerteria.
Bowling Alley	Four (4) spaces per alley plus requirements for any other use associated with the establishment such as a restaurant, etc.
Club or Lodge	One (1) space for each two (2) employees plus one (1) space for each 200 square feet of gross floor area within the main assembly area plus additional spaces for other uses permitted within the premises.
Combined Use	Parking spaces shall be the total of the spaces required for each separate use established by this schedule.
Dance School	One (1) space for each employee plus one (1) space per 150 square feet of gross floor area plus safe and convenient loading and unloading of students.
Fraternity or Sorority or College Dormitories	One (1) parking space for each two (2) residents and one (1) space for each two (2) employees.
Golf Course	Two (2) spaces for each hole and one (1) space for each two (2) employees plus requirements for any other use associated with the golf course.
High Schools, Trade Schools, Colleges and Universities	One (1) space for each two (2) teachers, employees, and administrative personnel plus safe and convenient loading of students plus 5 spaces for each classroom.
Hospital or Care Home	One (1) space for each 3 beds plus one (1) space for each two (2) employees (nurses, attendants, etc.) plus one (1) space for each staff or visiting doctor.
Hotel	One (1) space for each 3 guest rooms, suites, or units plus one (1) space for each two (2) employees.
Indoor and Outdoor Recreational Areas (Commercial), YMCA and Similar Uses	(a) One (1) space for each 150 square feet of gross floor, building, ground area, or combination devoted to such use; or (b) one (1) space per each 4 seats or facilities available for patron use; whichever is greatest.
Industrial or Manufacturing Establishment or Warehouse	One (1) space for each 300 square feet of the gross floor area, plus one (1) space for each two (2) employees.
Kindergarten and Nursery Schools	One (1) space for each 300 square feet of the gross floor area, plus one (1) space for each two (2) employees.

	One (1) space for each unit plus one (1) space for each
Motel	two (2) employees.
	One (1) space for each 300 square feet of the gross floor
Office, Professional Building, or Similar Use	area, plus one (1) space for each two (2) employees.
3100	One (1) space per each unit (residential driveways will
One-Two Family Dwelling	satisfy this need).
	One (1) space for each 200 square feet of gross floor
Personal Service Establishment	area, but not less than two (2) spaces for each
	employee.
Restaurant or Place Dispensing Food, Drink, or	One (1) space for each two (2) seats plus one (1) space
Refreshments	for each two (2) employees on shift of greatest
	employment.
Schools, Elementary	One (1) space for each teacher, one (1) space for each
	two (2) employees and administrative personnel, and
	one (1) space for each classroom, plus safe and
	convenient loading and unloading of students.
Swimming Pool to be Used by the Public	One (1) space for every 200 square feet of water surface
	area plus requirements for additional uses in
	association with the establishment such as a restaurant,
	etc.
Shopping Center	One (1) space for every 200 square feet gross floor area.
	One (1) space for each trailer stall plus one (1) space for
Travel Trailer or Mobile Home Park	each two (2) employees.
Retail Stores of All Types Not Mentioned	One (1) space per 200 square feet of gross floor area.
Otherwise	20 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -
Wholesale Establishment	One (1) space for each employee plus sufficient spaces
	to accommodate vehicles used in the conduct of the
	business.
Adult Entertainment Establishments	One (1) parking space per 100 square feet of gross
	building area or one (1) for each 3 customer seats,
	whichever is greater.


(Adopted by Lake Park Mayor/Council 11-11-03 – P. C. File # LP-2003-05)





© 2015 - STDB. All rights reserved

This Report is for the sole benefit of the Customer that ordered and paid for the Report and is based on the property information provided by that Customer. That Customer's use of this Report is subject to the terms agreed to by that Customer when accessing this product. No third party is authorized to use or rely on this Report for any purpose. THE SELLER OF THIS REPORT MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES TO ANY PARTY CONCERNING THE CONTENT, ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF THIS REPORT, INCLUDING ANY WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. The seller of this Report shall not have any liability to any third party for any use or misuse of this Report.



STATE OF GEORGIA REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD

SAMUEL TODD GILL

258907

IS AUTHORIZED TO TRANSACT BUSINESS IN GEORGIA AS A CERTIFIED GENERAL REAL PROPERTY APPRAISER

THE PRIVILEGE AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THIS APPRAISER CLASSIFICATION SHALL CONTINUE IN EFFECT AS LONG AS THE APPRAISER PAYS REQUIRED APPRAISER FEES AND COMPLIES WITH ALL OTHER REQUIREMENTS OF THE OFFICIAL CODE OF GEORGIA ANNOTATED, CHAPTER 43-39-A. THE APPRAISER IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PAYMENT OF ALL FEES ON A TIMELY BASIS.

D. SCOTT MURPHY Chairperson JEANMARIE HOLMES KEITH STONE

JEFF A. LAWSON Vice Chairperson

SAMUEL TODD GILL

268907 Status ACTIVE ORIGINALLY LICENSED 02/08/2003 END OF RENEWAL

CERTIFIED GENERAL REAL PROPERTY APPRAISER

THIS LICENSE EXPIRES IF YOU FAIL TO PAY RENEWAL FEES OR IF YOU FAIL TO COMPLETE ANY REQUIRED EDUCATION IN A TIMELY MANNER.

State of Georgia Real Estate Commission Suite 1000 - International Tower 229 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30303-1605

LYNN DEMPSEY
Real Estate Commissioner

46665603

SAMUEL TODD GILL

ORIGINALLY LICENSED 02/06/2003

 #
 268807

 8 tatus
 ACTIVE

 CERTIFIED GENERAL REAL PROPERTY

CERTIFIED GENER APPRAISER END OF RENEWAL 09/30/2018


APPRAISER

THIS LICENSE EXPIRES IF YOU FAIL TO PAY RENEWAL FEES OR IF YOU FAIL TO COMPLETE ANY REQUIRED EDUCATION IN A TIMELY MANNER.

State of Georgia Real Estate Commission Suite 1000 - International Tower 229 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30303-1605

LYNN DEMPSEY

46665603



Samuel T. Gill 512 North One Mile Road P.O. Box 784 Dexter, Missouri 63841 573-624-6614 (phone) 573-624-2942 (fax) todd.gill@gillgroup.com

OVERVIEW

Extensive multifamily experience over the past 25 years specializing in work for the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), United States Department of Agriculture/Rural Development (USDA/RD) as well as lenders and developers through the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program including but not limited to, Section 8, Section 202, Section 236, Section 515 and Section 538 Programs. Additionally, extensive experience since inception of the Multifamily Accelerated Processing (MAP) Program of Sections 202/223(f), 232/223(f), 221(d)3, 221(d)4 and 223(f). Also, more than 20 years of experience with nursing homes, hotels and complicated commercial appraisal assignments.

ACCREDITATIONS

State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser Alabama State License Number: G00548
Arizona State License Number: 31453
Colorado State License Number: CG40024048
Connecticut State License Number: RCG.0001276 District of Columbia License Number: GA11630 Georgia State License Number: 258907 Hawaii State License Number: CGA 1096 Idaho State License Number: CGA-3101 Illinois State License Number: 153.0001384 Indiana State License Number: CG40200270 Iowa State License Number: CG02426 Kansas State License Number: G-1783 Louisiana State License Number: G1126 Maine State License Number: CG3635 Maryland State License Number: 32017 Michigan State License Number: 1201068069 Minnesota State License Number: 40186198 Mississippi State License Number: GA-624 Missouri State License Number: RA002563 Montana State License Number: REA-RAG-LIC-8530 Nebraska State License Number: CG2000046R New York State License Number: 46000039864 North Carolina State License Number: A5519 North Dakota State License Number: CG-2601 Ohio State License Number: 448306 Oklahoma State License Number: 12524CGA Oregon State License Number: C000793 Pennsylvania State License Number: GA001813R South Carolina State License Number: 3976 Tennessee State License Number: 00003478 Texas State License Number: 1329698-G Utah State License Number: 5510040-CG00 Virginia State License Number: 4001 015446 Washington State License Number: 1101018 West Virginia State License Number: CG358 Wisconsin State License Number: 1078-10 Wyoming State License Number: 479

Also received temporary licenses in the following states: Arkansas, California, Delaware, Florida, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Dakota, the U.S. Virgin Islands and Vermont.

EXPERIENCE (1991 TO PRESENT)

Primary provider of HUD Mark-to-Market Full Appraisals for mortgage restructuring and Mark-to-Market Lites for rent restructuring and has worked with HUD in this capacity since inception. Completed approximately 350 appraisals assignments under this program.

Provider of HUD MAP and TAP appraisals and market studies for multiple lenders since its inception. Completed approximately 350 appraisal assignments under this program.

Contract MAP quality control reviewer and field inspector for CohnReznick and HUD. Have completed approximately 350 reviews under this program. Have completed approximately 100 field inspections under this program.

Currently approved state reviewer for HUD Rent Comparability Studies for Section 8 Renewals in Alabama, California, Connecticut, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oregon, Utah, Virgin Islands, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia and Wisconsin. Completed approximately 500 reviews under this program.

Provider of HUD Rent Comparability Studies for contract renewal purposes nationwide. Completed approximately 400 rent comparability studies.

Provider of tax credit financing analysis and value of financing analysis. Completed approximately 300 appraisal assignments and market studies under this program.

Provider of multifamily appraisals under the RD 515 and 538 programs. Completed approximately 200 appraisal assignments under these programs.

Partial list of clients include: Colorado Housing Finance Agency, CreditVest, Inc., Foley & Judell, LLP, Kentucky Housing Corporation, Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority, Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, Missouri Housing Development Agency, New Mexico Mortgage Finance Authority, Ontra, Inc., Quadel Consulting Corporation, CohnReznick, L.L.P., Group, Siegel Group, Signet Partners and Wachovia Securities.

DEVELOPMENT/OWNERSHIP/ MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE (2006 TO PRESENT)

For the past 10 years, he has owned three separate companies that develop, own and manage commercial, multifamily, residential, agricultural and vacant land properties.

In his portfolio are over 100,000 square feet of commercial space, over 1,000 units of multifamily, 200 acres of farmland, and 10 parcels of developable commercial and multifamily lots, all in the Midwest.

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Arts Degree

Southeast Missouri State University

Associate of Arts Degree

Three Rivers Community College

HUD/FHA Appraiser Training

Arkansas State Office

Multifamily Accelerated Processing Valuation (MAP)

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

2nd Annual Multifamily Accelerated Processing Basic and Advanced Valuation (MAP)

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

FHA Appraising Today

McKissock, Inc.

Texas USDA Rural Development Multifamily Housing Appraiser Training

Texas Rural Development

Kentucky USDA Rural Development Multifamily Housing Appraiser Training

Kentucky Rural Development

Financial Analysis of Income Properties

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Income Capitalization

McKissock, Inc.

Introduction to Income Property Appraising

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Concepts, Terminology & Techniques

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice

Central Missouri State University

Appraisal of Scenic, Recreational and Forest Properties

University of Missouri-Columbia

Appraiser Liability

McKissock, Inc.

Appraisal Trends

McKissock, Inc.

Sales Comparison Approach

Hondros College

Even Odder: More Oddball Appraisals

McKissock, Inc.

Mortgage Fraud: A Dangerous Business

Hondros College

Private Appraisal Assignments

McKissock, Inc.

Construction Details & Trends

McKissock, Inc.

Condemnation Appraising: Principles & Applications

Appraisal Institute

Michigan Law

McKissock, Inc.

Pennsylvania State Mandated Law

McKissock, Inc.

Valuing Real Estate in a Changing Market

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Principles of Residential Real Estate Appraising

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Real Estate Appraisal Methods

Southeast Missouri State University

Lead Inspector Training

The University of Kansas

Lead Inspector Refresher

Safety Support Services, Incorporated

Home Inspections: Common Defects in Homes

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Heating and Air Conditioning Review

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Professional Standards of Practice

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Developing & Growing an Appraisal Practice - Virtual Classroom

McKissock, Inc.

The Appraiser as Expert Witness

McKissock, Inc.

Current Issues in Appraising

McKissock, Inc.

2011 ValExpo: Keynote-Valuation Visionaries

Van Education Center/Real Estate

Residential Report Writing

McKissock, Inc.

The Dirty Dozen

McKissock, Inc.

Risky Business: Ways to Minimize Your Liability

McKissock, Inc.

Introduction to Legal Descriptions

McKissock, Inc.

Introduction to the Uniform Appraisal Dataset

McKissock, Inc.

Mold Pollution and the Appraiser

McKissock, Inc.

Appraising Apartments: The Basics

McKissock, Inc.

Foundations in Sustainability: Greening the Real Estate and Appraisal Industries

McKissock, Inc.

Mortgage Fraud

McKissock, Inc.

The Nuts and Bolts of Green Building for Appraisers

McKissock, Inc.

The Cost Approach

McKissock, Inc.

Pennsylvania State Mandated Law for Appraisers

McKissock, Inc.

Michigan Appraisal Law

McKissock, Inc.

Modern Green Building Concepts

McKissock, Inc.

Residential Appraisal Review

McKissock, Inc.

Residential Report Writing: More Than Forms

McKissock, Inc.

2-4 Family Finesse

McKissock, Inc.

Appraisal Applications of Regression Analysis

McKissock, Inc.

Appraisal of Self-Storage Facilities

McKissock, Inc.

Supervisor-Trainee Course for Missouri

McKissock, Inc.

The Thermal Shell

McKissock, Inc.

Even Odder - More Oddball Appraisals

McKissock, Inc.

Online Data Verification Methods

Appraisal Institute

Online Comparative Analysis

Appraisal Institute

Advanced Hotel Appraising - Full Service Hotels

McKissock, Inc.

Appraisal of Fast Food Facilities

McKissock, Inc.

Appraisal Review for Commercial Appraisers

McKissock, Inc.

Exploring Appraiser Liability

McKissock, Inc.