#### Project Narrative Enclave at Depot Park Kennesaw, Cobb County

#### History of Developer

Royal American was founded in Panama City, Florida in 1968 by Joseph F. Chapman, III. Royal American today consists of three separate companies, vertically organized to provide the greatest degree of product control.

Royal American Development, Inc. (RAD) is responsible within the Royal American team for all elements of ownership and development of properties. Market identification and research culminating in selection of product type, style and mix through land acquisition, engineering and architectural designs and construction are supervised and coordinated by Royal American Development, Inc. During the past forty years, Royal American Development, Inc has developed 131 residential multifamily rental communities, 11 residential subdivisions, and 1 twenty-two story condominium development.

Eighty-nine (89) of these residential communities have been developed utilizing governmental programs provided through the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and/or the U.S.D.A., Rural Development.

Sixty-three (63) of these residential developments were developed through the utilization of Low Income Housing Tax Credits as administered by the Alabama Housing Finance Authority, the Florida Housing Finance Corporation, the Georgia Department of Community Affairs Affordable Housing Resource Bank, the North Carolina Housing Finance Agency, and the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs.

In addition, RAD has developed several mixed-income communities throughout the State of Florida including Blairstone at Governor's Square, The Reserve at Kanapaha and The Reserve at Northshore all of which were developed through the Florida Housing Finance Corporation's Multifamily Revenue Bond Program. With experience in virtually every governmental program providing affordable housing, RAD has consistently been recognized for its superior performance.

Royal American Construction Co., Inc. is a Licensed General Contractor in several states and has been directly responsible for the construction of more than 140 residential, rental communities since 1968. In addition to the construction of residential communities owned by Royal American Development, Inc., Royal American Construction has built for outside owners as well as acting as the General Contractor for several major developments owned by municipal housing authorities, and as General Contractor for the construction of financial institutions, hotel facilities and other commercial buildings. Royal American Construction's record is that of maintaining the highest possible standards of construction within the industry.

Royal American Management, Inc. (RAM) handles all management and compliance for all communities developed by RAD. However, RAM also manages as a third-party for owners in the market place. RAM currently manages 224 properties totaling 22,000 residential rental units. Royal American Management has been selected by HUD as the Managing Agent for properties controlled by that Agency in the states of Florida, Kentucky, Missouri, North Carolina and St. Croix the U.S. Virgin Islands. Recently, the company has been selected by Florida Housing Finance Corporation as the Preferred Management Company for its distressed property portfolio. Royal American Management's recognized standard of excellence together with its technical experience in operating one of the most varied portfolios of properties is recognized throughout the industry. National Affordable Housing Management Association (NAHMA) ranked RAM #15 in its largest affordable multifamily property management companies in 2016 and was awarded Institute of Real Estate Management's (IREM) Accredited Management Organization of the year.

RAM implements Resident Selection Criteria at each property based on its development criteria within the state. Residents must demonstrate their ability to meet the income restrictions and verify their income. In senior properties, no more than two persons are permitted to live per bedroom. The head of household must be 62 or older and no person under the age of forty-five may reside within the unit as a permanent resident. Each resident must undergo screenings for rental history, credit and criminal backgrounds prior to application acceptance.

RAM performs annual certifications of income verification for residents and compliance monitoring, which is reported to the state agency. Each state agency performs annual audits and inspections of the rental communities. Lenders and syndicators also require annual audits and inspections.

#### Vertical Integration

RAD remains as owner/general partner of a majority of the residential rental communities developed by Royal American. These properties continue to be managed by Royal American Management. The vertical integration of the three companies provides the foundation of the concept of owner/developer which is carefully nurtured by Royal American. Royal American has experience with virtually every major federal and state housing program utilized for the production of affordable housing during the past forty years. Royal American remains committed to the concept of long-term ownership thus insuring the highest quality of design, construction and management for all types of properties developed. It is Royal American's intent to hold its properties for long-term ownership. The strategy of developing and holding a property into perpetuity continues with each new development.

Enclave at Kennesaw Station is a planned new construction, independent living, senior apartment community with 78 residences and outdoor recreational amenities. The development will be financed with Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) equity and will have an affordability component in which 80% of the units will be rented to residents making 50% or 60% of the Area Median Income while the remaining 20% of the units will be market rate. The property is located at the corner of S. Main Street and Sardis Street in Kennesaw, Cobb County, Georgia. The site is approximately 5.03± acres of vacant land, which is outlined in the attached site plan.

The site is zoned CBD, which allows for a variety of commercial and multifamily uses, site plan specific as approved by the Kennesaw Downtown Development Authority, Historic Preservation Committee, Mayor and Council. The proposed development represents 15.6 units per acre is appropriate for the location and consistent with new developments within the general area. While the building plans are not required to be submitted to DCA at this time, upon tax credit award, RAD will work with the City of Kennesaw to ensure the plans are developed based on the CBD guidelines.

Enclave at Kennesaw Station will include an L-shaped three-story garden style building targeting seniors ages 62+. Amenities within the building will a management office, club room, library and business center. The community will also feature commercial space for three offices. The community will also feature commercial space for three office space (approximately 1,200 SF) will be leased to RAM for its Regional Management Team, who currently lease office space with Regus-TownPark Center in Kennesaw. The community will also feature commercial space for a beauty salon. Salons in senior communities are typically 800-1,000 SF and are leased to an independent salon operator. RAD will work with its Regional Management Team to identify a suitable operator for the salon space. Rent levels for both the office and salon space will be determined based on market driven demands.

Exterior amenities will include a pocket park to tie in elements from the new Gateway Park across the street along Sardis and Main, a walking path and community garden. Units are spaciously designed and feature walk in closets, eat in kitchens, pantry, balconies, washer and dryer, energy star rated appliances and fixtures. RAM will coordinate with local health providers to conduct health and wellness initiatives at the property including monthly biometric screenings for the residents. The management will also have on-going programs for the residents to allow for community engagement and resident enrichment including: social and recreational programs, computer tutoring, gardening classes, group led fitness or yoga classes, nutrition, wellness and cooking classes.

The targeted population for the subject property is retired seniors ages 62 and older, who live on a fixed income. In general, the resident profile at our senior properties consists of 60% single women with the remaining 40% a mix of couples and single men. In general, residents rarely move out of senior properties, remaining in-place until health reasons require more interactive attention. The community will be designed utilizing premier sustainable building materials, systems and practices, meaning it will utilize the industry's most up-to-date energy efficiency model. This ensures the residents can minimize their monthly utility expenses. Although seniors are on a fixed income, they are still a mainstay to the local economy. The location of the proposed development is critical to the tax credit application process, as it is imperative to ensure residents are within close proximity to local services and retail amenities.

	P/	ART ONE - PROJECT INFO	RMATION -	2017-073 End	clave at Depot Park ,	Kennesaw, Cobl	o County			
	Please note:  May Final Revision		cells are unlo		and <b>do not contain</b> refe e and <b>do contain</b> referer		can be overwrit		A Use ONLY - 2017	
I.	DCA RESOURCES	LIHTC (auto-filled from late	er entries)	\$	713,748	DCA HOME	(from Conse	nt Form)	\$	
II.	TYPE OF APPLICATION	Competitive Round	<u> </u>	>	Pre-Application Num		,	,		e-App Nbr>>
					Have any changes oc					lect>>
	Was this project previously submitted to the	e Ga Department of Commu	nity Affairs?	No	If Yes, please provide	the information r	equested belo	ow for the prev	iously submitt	ed project:
	Project Name previously used:	_					t Nbr previous			
	Has the Project Team changed?	If No, what w	as the DCA (	Qualification D	etermination for the Te	eam in that review	< Select I	Designation :	>>	
III.	APPLICANT CONTACT FOR APPLICATION	ON REVIEW					_			
	Name	Patti Adams					Title	Vice Preside		
	Address	1002 W. 23rd Street, Suite	400				Direct Line		(334) 220-83	72
	City	Panama City		]			Fax		()	
	State	FL (050) 7/0 0001		Zip+4	32405-3645		Cellular		(334) 220-83	72
	Office Phone	(850) 769-8981	100)	Ext.	E-ma	ail patti.adams	@royalamerio	can.com		
	(Enter phone numbers without using hyphens, p	parentneses, etc - ex: 12345678	390)							
IV.	PROJECT LOCATION									
	Project Name	Enclave at Depot Park				Phased Pro	,		No	
	Site Street Address (if known)	2726 S. Main Street					t Nbr of previo		Aller of Cites	1
	Nearest Physical Street Address * Site Geo Coordinates (##.#####)	2741 S. Main Street  Latitude: 34.114390		Longitude:	84.363673	Scattered S	ile?	No	Nbr of Sites 5.0300	
	City	Kennesaw		9-digit Zip^^	30144-3538	Acreage	Census Tra	ct Number	302.27	
	Site is predominantly located:	Within City Limits		Ŭ '	Cobb		QCT?	No	DDA?	No
	In USDA Rural Area?	No In DCA Rui	al County?	No	Overall: Urba	n	HUD SA:	MSA	Atlanta-Sand	
	* If street number unknown	Congressional	State	Senate	State House	** Must be v	erified by appl	icant using fol	lowing website	es:
	Legislative Districts **	11		32	34	Zip Codes	<i>y</i> 11		sps.com/zip4/w	
	If on boundary, other district:					Legislative Dis	tricts:	http://votesmar	t.org/	
	Political Jurisdiction	City of Kennesaw				Website	www.kenne	saw-ga.gov		
	Name of Chief Elected Official	Derek Easterling		Title	Mayor					
	Address	2529 J O Stephenson Ave				City	Kennesaw			
	Zip+4	30144-2780	Phone	(	770) 424-8274	Email	deasterling	@kennesaw-g	a.gov	
٧.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION									
	A. Type of Construction:			٦					7	
	New Construction		78	1	Adaptive		Non-historic	0	Historic	0
	Substantial Rehabilitation		0	1	Historic		Para di C	antata at a s	! !	0
	Acquisition/Rehabilitation		0	]	> For Acq	uisition/Rehabilita	ition, date of d	original constri	uction:	

		PART ONE - PROJECT INF	ORMATION -	2017-073 End	clave at Depo	ot Park , Kenr	nesaw, Cobb	County			
	B. Mixed Use		Yes	1							
	C. Unit Breakdown			PBRA	D.	D. Unit Area					
	Number of Low Income Unit	S	58	0	]		come Resider	ntial Unit Squa	re Footage		53,800
	Number of 50%	% Units	28	0				) Residential l	•	ootage	18,800
	Number of 60%	% Units	30	0		Total Reside	ntial Unit Squ	are Footage			72,600
	Number of Unrestricted (Ma	rket) Units	20		_			t Square Foota	age		0
	Total Residential Units		78	<b>=</b>		Total Square	Footage fron	n Units			72,600
	Common Space Units		0	_							
	Total Units		78	<u>]</u>							
	•	sidential Buildings	1				•	re Footage fro	m Nonreside	ntial areas	
		n-Residential Buildings				Total Square	Footage				72,600
	Total Number	· ·	1	<u> </u>		4.5					
	F. Total Residential Parking S	•				(If no local zoning requirement: DCA minimum 1.5 spaces per unit for fa projects, 1 per unit for senior projects)					
VI.	TENANCY CHARACTERISTIC	S			_	projects, i pe	er unil for sen	ior projects)			
	A. Family or Senior (if Senior, sp	pecify Elderly or HFOP)	Elderly			If Other, spec	cify:				
						If combining O		Family		Elderly	
						Family or Sr, s	show # Units:	HFOP		Other	
	B. Mobility Impaired	Nbr of Units Equipped:	4			% of Total Ur	nits		5.1%	Required:	5%
	Roll-In Shower	rs Nbr of Units Equipped:	2			% of Units for	r the Mobility-	Impaired	50.0%	Required:	40%
	C. Sight / Hearing Impaired	Nbr of Units Equipped:	2	]		% of Total Ur	nits		2.6%	Required:	2%
VII.	RENT AND INCOME ELECTIO	NS									
	A. Tax Credit Election		40% of Units	at 60% of AM	/I						
	B. DCA HOME Projects Minin	num Set-Aside Requirement (Rent	& Income)			20% of HON	ME-Assisted l	Jnits at 50% o	f AMI	[	Yes
VIII.	SET ASIDES										
	A. LIHTC:	Nonprofit	No								
	B. HOME:	CHDO	No			(must be pre-qu	alified by DCA a	s CHDO)			
IX.	COMPETITIVE POOL		Flexible								
Χ.	TAX EXEMPT BOND FINANCE	ED PROJECT									
	Issuer:							Inducement [	Date:		
	Office Street Address			_		_		Applicable Q	AP:		
	City		State		Zip+4			T-E Bond \$ A	Illocated:		
	Contact Name		Title				E-mail				
	10-Digit Office Phone		Direct line			Website					

## PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park , Kennesaw, Cobb County

XI.		ARD LIMITATIONS FOR CURRENT DO			sultants (Entity	and Princina	ın ·			
		Number of Applications Submitted:	aa oot oo.o, o o o	2	<b>]</b>	a	.,, .			
		Amount of Federal Tax Credits in All	Applications:		]					
		Names of Projects in which an Owner	• •	tant(s) and ea	⊒ ach of its prir	cipals has a	direct or indirect Owners	hip interest:		
			Name of Project	(-)	Interest	•		Name of Project		Interest
			Enclave at Depot Park		Direct	7				
	F	Royal American Development, Inc.	Enclave at the Mill District		Direct	8				
	3	}				9				
	4					10				
	5					11 12				
	0									
		Names of Projects in which the Owne	er, Developer and Consu	Itant(s) and e	ach of its pri	ncipals is pa	rtnering with an inexperie	enced unrelated entity for	or purposes of	meeting
		OCA Experience Requirements:	N (D )			D ' ' D ''		N (D )		
	-  -	Project Participant	Name of Project			Project Parti	cipant	Name of Project		
	2	)				γ				
	3					9				
	4					10				
	5					11				
	6	)				12				
	-	COEDI/ATION			1					
XII.		SERVATION		No	_					
		Subsequent Allocation								
		Year of Original Allocation				1				
		Original GHFA/DCA Project Number First Year of Credit Period					First Duilding ID Nhr in Dr	alo at	GA-	
		Expiring Tax Credit (15 Year)					First Building ID Nbr in Pro Last Building ID Nbr in Pro		GA-	
		Date all buildings will complete 15 yr Co	mnliance nd			1	Last building ID Not in Fit	Jeci	GA-	
		0 1 3	mpilance pu		1	l				
		Expiring Section 8								
		Expiring HUD	na project		1		IIID fundad affardelda acc	blia bauaina praiaat		
	F	HUD funded affordable <u>non</u> public housi	ng project				HUD funded affordable pu	idlic nousing project		

## PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park , Kennesaw, Cobb County

XIII. AD	DDITIONAL PROJECT INFO	ORMATION							
A.	PHA Units					<u>_</u>			
	Is proposed project part of				N			Г	
	Number of Public Housing		· ·			% of Total Res		00/	0%
	Nbr of Units Reserved and Local PHA	Rented to: PHA Tenar	nts w/ PBRA:	Households	on Waiting List:	% of Total Res	sidential Units	0%	0%
	Street Address					Direct line			
	City			Zip+4		Cellular			
	Area Code / Phone			Email					
B.	Existing properties: curr	ently an Extension of Ca	ncellation Option	1?	If yes, expiration y	year:	Nbr yrs to forgo cance	ellation option:	
	New properties: to exerc	ise an Extension of Can	cellation Option?		If yes, expiration y	year:	Nbr yrs to forgo cance	ellation option:	
C.	Is there a Tenant Owners	ship Plan?							
D.	Is the Project Currently C	Occupied?			If Yes	9			
						Number Occu			
F	Waivers and/or Pre-Appr	ovals - have the followin	a waivers and/or	nro-annrovals hoon a	onroved by DCA2	% Existing Oc	cupied	L	
L,	Amenities?	ovais - nave the followin	g waivers and/or	No No	proved by DCA:	Oualification [	Determination?	ſ	No
	Architectural Standards?			No			Performance Bond (HO	ME only)?	
	Sustainable Communities	Site Analysis Packet or Fe	asibility study?	No		Other (specify			
	HOME Consent?			No	16.74		oost (extraordinary circu	ımstances)	No
	Operating Expense? Credit Award Limitation (ex	ytraardinary circumstansoo	-12	No No		SS			
г	Projected Place-In-Service	•	5) (	INU	II 165, Hew LIIIII IS	2	·>.		
г.	Acquisition	Le Dale							
	Rehab								
	New Construction		Dec	cember 31, 2019					
XIV.	APPLICANT COMMENTS	AND CLARIFICATIONS			XV.	DCA COMME	NTS - DCA USE ONLY	,	

## PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park , Kennesaw, Cobb County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

	OWNER	DOLLID	INICADI	AATIONI
I.	COVVINE	KZHIP	INFORI	MATION

A. OWNERSHIP ENTITY	Enclave at Mill District, LP				Name of Principal	Joeseph F. Chapman, IV
Office Street Address	1002 W. 23rd Street, Suite	e 400			Title of Principal	President
City	Panama City	Fed Tax ID:	TBD		Direct line	(850) 914-3230
State	FL Zip+4	32405-3648	Org Type:	For Profit	Cellular	(850) 596-0588
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail	joeychapman	@royalamerican.com		
(Enter phone nbrs w/out using hyphens	, parentheses, etc - ex: 12345	67890)		* Must b	e verified by applicant us	ing following website:
B. PROPOSED PARTNERSHIP INFORMA 1. GENERAL PARTNER(S)	TION			http://zip4.	usps.com/zip4/welcome.jsp	
a. Managing Gen'l Partner	Royal American Developn				Name of Principal	Joeseph F. Chapman, IV
Office Street Address	1002 W. 23rd Street, Suite				Title of Principal	President
City	Panama City	Website	www.royalam	erican.com	Direct line	(850) 914-3230
State	FL	Zip+4	32405		Cellular	(850) 596-0588
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail	joeychapman	@royalamerican.com		
b. Other General Partner					Name of Principal	
Office Street Address					Title of Principal	
City		Website			Direct line	
State		Zip+4			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail				
c. Other General Partner					Name of Principal	
Office Street Address					Title of Principal	
City		Website			Direct line	
State		Zip+4			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail				
2. LIMITED PARTNERS (PROPOSED C	OR ACTUAL)					
<ul> <li>a. Federal Limited Partner</li> </ul>	R4 Capital, LLC				Name of Principal	Jay Segel
Office Street Address	155 Federal Street, Suite	1004			Title of Principal	Executive Vice President
City	Boston	Website	www.r4cap.co		Direct line	(617) 502-5946
State	MA	Zip+4	02110		Cellular	(617) 981-1406
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(617) 502-5948	E-mail	Jsegel@r4ca	p.com		
<b>b</b> . State Limited Partner	Sugar Creek Capital				Name of Principal	Christopher Hite
Office Street Address	1819 Peachtree Road, NE	, Suite 230			Title of Principal	President
City	Atlanta	Website	www.sugarcre	eekcapital.com	Direct line	(314) 561-6804
State	GA	Zip+4	30309		Cellular	(314) 482-1700
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(314) 968-2205	E-mail	chite@sugard	creekcapital.com		
3. NONPROFIT SPONSOR						
Nonprofit Sponsor					Name of Principal	
Office Street Address					Title of Principal	
City		Website			Direct line	
State		Zip+4			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail				
	-					

		workbook. Do NOT Copy from an				upe" instead
II	DEVELOPER(S)	WORKBOOK. BO NOT COPY HORTAL	IOTHEL WOLKE	JOOK to Taste Here. Use	Taste Special and select valu	instead.
	A. DEVELOPER Office Street Address City State	Royal American Development, Inc. 1002 W. 23rd Street, Suite 400 Panama City FL	Website Zip+4	www.royalamerican.com 32405-3648	Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	Joeseph F. Chapman, IV President (850) 914-3230 (850) 596-0588
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(850) 769-8981	E-mail	joeychapman@royalamerica	an.com	
	B. CO-DEVELOPER 1 Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Website Zip+4 E-mail		Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	
	C. CO-DEVELOPER 2 Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Website Zip+4 E-mail		Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	
	D. DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Website Zip+4 E-mail		Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	
III.	OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS					
	A. OWNERSHIP CONSULTANT Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Website Zip+4 E-mail		Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	
	B. GENERAL CONTRACTOR Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	Royal American Construction, Inc. 1002 W. 23rd Street, Suite 400 Panama City FL (850) 769-8981	Website Zip+4 E-mail	www.royalamerican.com 32405-3648 joeychapman@royalamerica	Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular an.com	Joseph F. Chapman, INV President (850) 914-3230 (850) 596-0588
	C. MANAGEMENT COMPANY Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	Royal American Management, Inc. 1002 W. 23rd Street, Suite 400 Panama City FL (850) 769-8981	Website Zip+4 E-mail	www.royalamericanmanage 32405-3648 kerri.toth@royalamerican.cc	Cellular	Kerri Toth President (850) 769-8981 (850) 814-2015

	DADTT	WO DEVELOPMENT TEAM INCODA	ATION OO	17.070 Feedow at David Da	de Maria	Oalde Oarmin	
		WO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORM					
	ab from t	this workbook. Do NOT Copy from a	nother workb	book to "Paste" here . Use	"Paste Spec		
D. ATTORNEY		Coleman Talley, LLP				Name of Principal	Gregory Q. Clark
Office Street Address		910 N. Patterson Street				Title of Principal	Partner
City		Valdosta	Website	www.ColemanTalley.com		Direct line	(229) 671-8260
State		GA	Zip+4	31601-4531		Cellular	(229) 834-9704
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.	(229) 242-7562	E-mail	Greg.Clark@ColemanTalley	y.com		
				<u> </u>	•	lu (D	Leabara D. Marillandi
E. ACCOUNTANT		Tidwell Group	0 1 1007			Name of Principal	Joshua D. Northcutt
Office Street Address		345 Peachtree Industrial Parkway,				Title of Principal	Managing Partner
City		Suwanee	Website	www.tidwellgroup.com		Direct line	(470) 273-6611
State		GA	Zip+4	30024-8822		Cellular	(404) 285-7248
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.	(470) 273-6640	E-mail	joshua.northcutt@tidwellgro	oup.com		
F. ARCHITECT		Studio 8 Design Architecture				Name of Principal	Robert Byington, Jr.
Office Street Address		2722 N. Oak Street				Title of Principal	Managing Partner/Principal
City		Valdosta	Website	www.s8darchitects.com		Direct line	(229) 244-1188
State		GA	Zip+4	31602-1770		Cellular	(229) 561-1863
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Fvt	(229) 253-0004	E-mail	rbyington@s8darchitects.co	nm	Octividi	(227) 301 1003
U		<u> </u>			)III		
		Answer each of the questions below				10 Divil Diving / For	7702204200
A. LAND SELLER (If applicable of the Charles of the		Sovereign Funding and Academy	Principal	Andrew C. Shipp		10-Digit Phone / Ext.	7703294200
Office Street Address		PO Box 1375	1075	I E II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		City	Conyers
State		GA Zip+4 30012	2-1375	E-mail ashipp@sover	reignpartners	.com	
B. IDENTITY OF INTEREST	/N.	IICV		O was a like was like		the control of the control of	
		If Yes, explain relationship in boxes pro	ovided below,	and use Comment box at bo	ottom of this ta	ab or attach additional p	ages as needed:
<ol> <li>Developer and</li> </ol>	Yes	The Developer, Royal American Development, I	nc., and the Gen	eral Contractor, Royal American Co	onstruction, Inc. a	ire part of the Royal America	n family of companies.
Contractor?							
2 December of Callery of	NI.						
2. Buyer and Seller of	No						
Land/Property?							
3. Owner and Contractor?	No						
3. Owner and Contractor:	INO						
4. Owner and Consultant?	No						
<ol><li>Syndicator and</li></ol>	No						
Developer?							
•							
<ol><li>6. Syndicator and</li></ol>	No						
Contractor?							
7 Davidana and	NIa						
<ol><li>Developer and</li></ol>	No						
Consultant?							
8. Other							
<b>0.</b> Other							

## PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park, Kennesaw, Cobb County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

V. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION - Continued (Answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.)

#### C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Participant	Has any person, principal, or agent for this e been convicted of a felony (Yes or No)	-	2. Is entity a MBE/	3. Org Type (FP,NP,	4. Project Ownership		s entity or a member of this entity have a conflict of interest with any officer, or employee of an entity that partners or contracts with the			
			WBE?	CHDO)	Percentage	Applicant?	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and use Comment box at			
						the bottom of this tab or attach explanation.				
	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and either use						B1.65 1 1			
	Comment box or attach explanation.	Yes/No				Yes/No	Brief Explanation			
Genrl Prtnr	Royal American Development, Inc.	No	No	For Profit	0.0050%	No				
	JBC of Panama City, Inc.	No	No	For Profit	0.0050%	No				
Prtnr 1										
Other Genrl										
Prtnr 2										
Federal Ltd	R4C Capital, LLC	No	No	For Profit	98.9900%	No				
Partner										
State Ltd	Sugar Creek Capital	No	No	For Profit	1.0000%	No				
Partner										
NonProfit										
Sponsor										
Developer	Royal American Development, Inc	No	No	For Profit		No				
Co-										
Developer 1										
Co-										
Developer 2										
Owner										
Consultant										
Developer										
Consultant										
	Royal American Construction, Inc.	No	No	For Profit		No				
Managemen t Company	Royal American Management Inc.	No	No	For Profit		No				
				Total	100.0000%					

APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

	DOA COMMENTO	DOALIGE ONLY
VI.	DCA COMMENTS -	DCA USE ONL

The total site contains parcels from two different land owners. Contact information for Land Seller #2: Bonni P. Thompson and Steven L. Jolivette; adchome@comcast.net; (850) 233-0879; P.O. Box 18349 Panama City Beach, FL 32417-8349

## GOVERNMENT FUNDING SOURCES (check all that apply)

Yes	Tax Credits			No	FHA Risk Share	No	Georgia TCAP *	
No	Historic Rehab Credits			Yes	FHA Insured Mortgage	No	USDA 515	
No	Tax Exempt Bonds: \$			No	Replacement Housing Funds	No	USDA 538	
No	Taxable Bonds			No	McKinney-Vento Homeless	No	USDA PBRA	
No	CDBG			No	FHLB / AHP *	No	Section 8 PBRA	
No	HUD 811 Rental Assista	nce Demonstration (RAD)		No	NAHASDA	No	Other PBRA - Source:	Specify Other PBRA Source here
No	DCA HOME * Amt \$			No	Neigborhood Stabilization Program *	No	National Housing Trust	Fund
No	Other HOME * Amt \$			No	HUD CHOICE Neighborhoods		Other Type of Funding - de	escribe type/program here
	Other HOME - Source	Specify Other HOME Source	here		_		Specify Administrator of O	ther Funding Type here

<sup>\*</sup>This source may possibly trigger Uniform Relocation Act and/or HUD 104(d) regmts. Check with source. For DCA HOME, refer to Relocation Manual. DCA HOME amount from DCA Consent Ltr.

#### CONSTRUCTION FINANCING II.

Financing Type	Name of Financing Entity	Amount	Effective Interest Rate	Term (In Months)
Mortgage A	SunTrust	9,000,000	3.750%	24
Mortgage B				
Mortgage C				
Federal Grant				
State, Local, or Private Grant				
Deferred Developer Fees	Royal American Devleopment, Inc.	248,015		
Federal Housing Credit Equity	R4 Capital, LLC	1,413,080		
State Housing Credit Equity	Sugar Creek Capital	785,044		
Other Type (specify)				
Other Type (specify)				
Other Type (specify)				
Total Construction Financing:		11,446,139		
Total Construction Period Costs from Development Budget:		11,446,139		
Surplus / (Shortage) of Construction funds to Construction costs:		0		

### PERMANENT FINANCING

	Effective	Term			
	Int Rate	(Years)	Amort. (Years)	Annual Debt Service in Year One	Loan Type
Mortgage A (Lien Position 1) HUD 221(d)(4)Capital One Multifamily Finance, L 3,852,100	4.250%	40	40	200,442	Amortizing
Mortgage B (Lien Position 2)					J. J.
Mortgage C (Lien Position 3)					
Other:					
Foundation or charity funding*	•				
Deferred Devlpr Fee 0.04% Royal American Development, Inc. 658					
Total Cash Flow for Years 1 - 15: 727,124					
DDF Percent of Cash Flow (Yrs 1-15) 0.090% 0.090%					
Cash flow covers DDF P&I? Yes					
Federal Grant					
State, Local, or Private Grant		<u>Equity</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>+ / -</u>	TC Equity
Federal Housing Credit Equity R4 Capital, LLC 6,358,852		6,423	3,732	-64,880.00	% of TDC
State Housing Credit Equity Sugar Creek Capital 3,989,851		3,925	5,614	64,237.00	45%
Historic Credit Equity					28%
Invstmt Earnings: T-E Bonds					73%
Invstmt Earnings: Taxable Bonds					
Income from Operations					
Other:					
Other:					
Other:					
Total Permanent Financing: 14,201,461					
Total Development Costs from Development Budget: 14,201,461					
Surplus/(Shortage) of Permanent funds to development costs:					
oundation or charity funding to cover costs exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I, Section II).					

<sup>\*</sup>Fou

IV. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	IV.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY	

l. I	DEVELOPMENT BUDGET					New Construction	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable
					TOTAL COST	Basis	Basis	Basis	Basis
I	PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS						PRE-DEVELOR	PMENT COSTS	
F	Property Appraisal				6,000	6,000			
	Market Study				7,000	7,000			
	Environmental Report(s)				6,000	6,000			
	Soil Borings				10,000	10,000			
	Boundary and Topographical Surve	eV.			-				
	Zoning/Site Plan Fees	,							
	Other: << Enter description here; prov	vide detail &	justification in tab Part	IV-b >>					
	Other: << Enter description here; prov								
	Other: << Enter description here; prov		,						
			•	Subtotal	29,000	29,000	-	-	-
	ACQUISITION						ACQUI	SITION	
I	and				1,100,000				1,100,000
(	Site Demolition								
	Acquisition Legal Fees (if existing st	tructures)							
	Existing Structures	,							
	3			Subtotal	1,100,000		-		1,100,000
ı	AND IMPROVEMENTS						LAND IMPR	OVEMENTS	
	Site Construction (On-site)		Per acre:	198,807	1,000,000				1,000,000
	Site Construction (Off-site)			,					
	, ,			Subtotal	1,000,000	-	-	-	1,000,000
	STRUCTURES						STRUC	TURES	
F	Residential Structures - New Constr	ruction			6,569,500	6,569,500			
	Residential Structures - Rehab								
/	Accessory Structures (ie. communit	v blda, mai	intenance bldg, etc.)	- New Constr	250,000	250,000			
	Accessory Structures (ie. communit								
	•	<i>J J</i> ,	J. ,	Subtotal	6,819,500	6,819,500	-	-	-
(	CONTRACTOR SERVICES	[	OCA Limit	14.000%			CONTRACTO	OR SERVICES	
[	Builder Profit:	6.000%	469,170	6.000%	469,170	469,170			
[	Builder Overhead	2.000%	156,390	2.000%	156,390	156,390			
(	General Requirements*	6.000%	469,170	6.000%	469,170	469,170			
*	See QAP: General Requirements policy	14.000%	1,094,730	Subtotal	1,094,730	1,094,730	-	-	-
(	OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD C	OSTS (Nor	n-GC work scone items do	ne hy Owner)		OTHER CONSTRUCT	TION HARD COSTS (N	Jon-GC work scope i	items done by Owner)
(	Other: << Enter description here; prov	vide detail &	justification in tab Part	IV-b >>		THER CONSTRUCT	TION TIP THE COSTS (I	on do work scope i	delie by ewiler)
_	otal <u>C</u> onstruction <u>H</u> ard <u>C</u> osts				) per <u>Res'l</u> unit	114,285.00	per unit	122.79	per total sq ft
	3,914,230.00		Average TCHC:		per <u>Res'l</u> unit SF	122.79	per unit sq ft	122.17	F 51 10101 04 11
	CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY			122.77	r <u></u> 5 5.	122.77	, ,	I CONTINGENCY	
	Construction Contingency			5.00%	445,711	445,711	CONSTRUCTION	CONTINUENCE	
`	Jonal delien Contingency			0.0070	110,711	110,711			

I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)		New	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or
	TOTAL COST	Construction Basis	Basis	Basis	Non-Depreciable Basis
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		Busis	CONSTRUCTION P	ERIOD FINANCING	Dusis
Bridge Loan Fee	7,500	7,500			
Bridge Loan Interest	·	·			
Construction Loan Fee	44,100	44,100			
Construction Loan Interest	296,250	246,250			50,000
Construction Legal Fees	27,000	27,000			
Construction Period Inspection Fees	19,261	19,261			
Construction Period Real Estate Tax	5,000	5,000			
Construction Insurance	15,000	15,000			
Title and Recording Fees	33,000	33,000			
Payment and Performance bonds					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					
Subtotal	447,111	397,111	-	-	50,000
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES			PROFESSION	AL SERVICES	
Architectural Fee - Design	312,000	312,000			
Architectural Fee - Supervision	40,000	40,000			
Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000	20,000	20,000			
Green Building Program Certification Fee (LEED or Earthcraft)	40,000	40,000			
Accessibility Inspections and Plan Review	51,171	51,171			
Construction Materials Testing					
Engineering	60,000	60,000			
Real Estate Attorney	10,000	10,000			
Accounting	20,000	20,000			
As-Built Survey	15,000	15,000			
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					
Subtotal	568,171	568,171	-	-	-
LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Avg per unit: 4,212			LOCAL GOVER	RNMENT FEES	
Building Permits	102,342	102,342			
Impact Fees	56,535	56,535			
Water Tap Fees waived? No	169,650	169,650			
Sewer Tap Fees waived? No					
Subtotal	328,527	328,527	-	-	-
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES			PERMANENT FI	NANCING FEES	
Permanent Loan Fees	118,645				118,645
Permanent Loan Legal Fees	38,000				38,000
Title and Recording Fees					
Bond Issuance Premium					
Cost of Issuance / Underwriter's Discount					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					
Subtotal	156,645				156,645

. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)	Ī	TOTAL COST	New Construction Basis	Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable Basis
DCA-RELATED COSTS			Dasis	DCA-RFLA	TED COSTS	Dasis
DCA HOME Loan Pre-Application Fee (\$1000 FP/JV, \$500 NP)				DOMNELM		
Tax Credit Application Fee (\$6500 ForProf/JntVent, \$5500 NonProf)		6,500				6,500
DCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees		·				•
LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee	57,100	57,100				57,100
LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee	62,400	62,400				62,000
DCA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000)						
DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000)		3,000				3,000
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV	/-b >>					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV	/-b >>					
	Subtotal	129,000				128,600
EQUITY COSTS				EQUIT\	Y COSTS	
Partnership Organization Fees		2,500				2,500
Tax Credit Legal Opinion						
Syndicator Legal Fees		25,000				25,000
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV						
	Subtotal	27,500				27,500
DEVELOPER'S FEE	i			DEVELO	PER'S FEE	
Developer's Overhead	0.000%					
Consultant's Fee	0.000%					
Guarantor Fees	0.000%					
Developer's Profit	100.000%	1,672,595	1,672,595			
	Subtotal	1,672,595	1,672,595	-	-	-
START-UP AND RESERVES	i			START-UP AI	ND RESERVES	
Marketing						
Rent-Up Reserves	82,977	87,750				87,750
Operating Deficit Reserve:	266,175	275,721				275,721
Replacement Reserve		19,500				19,500
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Proposed Avg Per Unit:	0					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV		000 074				000 074
OTUED COOTS	Subtotal	382,971	-	-	-	382,971
OTHER COSTS	i			OTHER	RCOSTS	
Relocation	, ,					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV						
	Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL <u>D</u> EVELOPMENT <u>C</u> OST (TDC)		14,201,461	11,355,345	-	-	2,845,716
Average TDC Per: Unit: 182,070.01 Se	quare Foot:	195.61				

Amount of forth regions gashs Historic Tax Credit (Regions from Basis)  Eligible Rasis Calculation Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Filipble Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Filipble Basis Historic Tax Credit (DDA/OCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type: < <select>&gt; 11,355,345 0 0 0 0 Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/OCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type: &lt;<select>&gt; 11,355,345 0 0 0 0 Cualified Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Tax Credit Remount Total Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicabl</select></select>	II. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - BASIS METHOD	New Construction	4% Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	
Costs of Nonqualitying units of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) Other Lenter detailed description here: use Comments section if neededs Total Subtractions From Basis:  Eligible Basis Calculation Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Cligible Basis Calculation Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Cligible Basis Calculation Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Cligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type:   Selects > 10.0  11.355,345 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Subtractions From Eligible Basis	Basis			
Costs of Nonqualifying units of higher quality Nonqualifying exess portion of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) Other LeEnter detailed description here: use Comments section if neededs Total Subtractions From Basis:  Eligible Basis Calculation  Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Calculation  Total Eligible Basis Calculation  Total Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type:   Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type:   Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Subtraction or State Designated Boost) Type:   Less Total Subtraction Type:   Less Type:   Less Total Subtraction Type:   Less	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Nonqualifying excess portion of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) Other *Einfer detailed description here: use Comments Section if needed> Total Subtractions From Basis:  Eligible Basis Calculation Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above)  Total Eligible Basis (Basis Calculation or State Designated Boost) Total Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type: <select> 11,355,345</select>	·				
Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) Other schiter detailed description here; use Comments section if needed> Total Subtractions From Basis:  Eligible Basis Calculation Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Calculation Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/GCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type: <select>  Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/GCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type: <select> 11,355,345 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</select></select>	, , , ,				
Other <enter comments="" description="" detailed="" here:="" if="" needed="" section="" use=""> Total Subtractions From Basis:  Eligible Basis Calculation  Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Calculation  Total Eligible Basis Calculation  Total Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Multiply Coulified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Multiply Coulified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Multiply Gap Calculation  III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD  Equity Gap Calculation  Project Qest Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation &gt; OAP PCL Total Development (Dost (TIC. PCL, or TIC. less Foundation Funding explain in Comments if TIC. &gt; PCL) Subtract Non-LIHT C (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:</enter>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0			
Total Subtractions From Basis:  Eligible Basis Calculation  Total Basis  Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above)  Total Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost)  Adjusted Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost)  Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction  Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction  Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction  Total Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Fraction  Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage  Maximum Tax Credit Amount  Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD  Equity Gap Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > OAP PCL  Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD  Equity Gap Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > OAP PCL  Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding, explain in Comments if TDC > PCL)  Subtract Non-LitHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds  Equity Gap  Divide Equity Gap by 10  Annual Equity Required  Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)  Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Eligible Basis Calculation  Total Basis  Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above)  Total Eligible Basis  Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost)  Adjusted Eligible Basis  Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction  Qualified Basis by Applicable Praction  Qualified Basis by Applicable Praction  Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Project Maximum Tax Credit Amount  Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicants PCL calculation Subtract Non-LiHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds  Equity Gap  Divide Equity Gap by 10  Annual Equity Required  Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)  Total CREDIT CREQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  Total Basis  11,355,345  0 0 0 0 74,10% 74,10	·	0		0	
Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/OCT Location or State Designated Boost) Adjusted Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/OCT Location or State Designated Boost) Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  ### Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL Equity Gap Calculation Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL Subtract Non-LiHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT CREDIT CREQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  #### TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  #### TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  #### TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  #### TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  ##### TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  ##### TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  ###### TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  ########### Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:		U		U	
Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Adjusted Eligible Basis of Unity Designated Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Adjusted Eligible Assisted Basis by Applicable Fraction Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Adjus	·	44.055.045	2		
Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/OCT Location or State Designated Boost)  Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Oualified Basis by Applicable Fraction Oualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD Equity Gap Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > OAP PCL Total Development Cost (TDC. PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding: explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation Funding GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation Funding GP contribution) TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  713,749  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  713,748			0		
Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost)  Adjusted Eligible Basis  Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction  Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage  Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage  Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage  Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage  Maximum Tax Credit Amount  Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  Project Qost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > OAP PCL.  Total Development Qost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding: explain in Comments if TDC > PCL)  Subtract Non-LiHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds  Equity Gap  Divide Equity Gap by 10  Annual Equity Required  Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)  Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  Total Development Qost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding: PCL)  If TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation to cover the cost exceeding the PCL:  If TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation to cover the cost exceeding the PCL:  If TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation to cover the cost exceeding the PCL:  If TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation to cover the cost exceeding the PCL:  If TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If TDC > PCL Indicate Pcl PCL:  If TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If TDC > PCL Indicate Pcl PCL:  If TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If TDC > PCL Indicate Pcl PCL:  If TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide	·		0	-	
Adjusted Eligible Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments If Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL. Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding: explain in Comments If TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LiHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  Tax CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  Tax CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:			U	0	
Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD Equity Gap Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > OAP PCL. Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding: explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit: TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  74.10% 8,414,842 0 0 0 0 T757,336  14,210,712 If TDC > QAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding 1f proposed project has Historic Designation, indicate below (Y/N): Hist Desig  14,201,461 14,201,461 14,201,461 14,201,461 14,201,461 14,201,461 15,201,461 16,201,461 16,201,461 16,201,461 17,201,461	y , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			0	
Oualified Basis  Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage  Maximum Tax Credit Amount  Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD  Equity Gap Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > OAP PCL.  Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding: explain in Comments if TDC > PCL)  Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds  Equity Gap  Divide Equity Gap by 10  Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)  Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  713,748	,		-		
Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage  Maximum Tax Credit Amount  Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD  Equity Gap Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > OAP PCL.  Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding: explain in Comments if TDC > PCL)  Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds  Equity Gap  Divide Equity Gap by 10  Annual Equity Required  Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)  Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  713,748					
Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation    Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation			-		
Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation  III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD  Equity Gap Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > OAP PCL. Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding: explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  Title TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation, indicate below (Y/N):  14,210,712 11 TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation, indicate below (Y/N):  14,210,712 11 TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation, indicate below (Y/N):  14,210,712 11 TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation, indicate below (Y/N):  14,210,712 11 TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation, indicate below (Y/N):  14,210,712 11 TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation to cover the cost exceeding the PCL:  14,210,712 11 TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation to cover the cost exceeding the PCL:  14,210,712 11 TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation to cover the cost exceeding the PCL:  14,210,712 11 TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation to cover the cost exceeding the PCL:  14,210,712 11 TDC > OAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding If proposed project has Historic Designation to cover the cost exceeding					
Equity Gap Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL.  Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Comments if TDC > PCL)  Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds  Equity Gap  Divide Equity Gap by 10  Annual Equity Required  Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)  Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  If TDC > QAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding from foundation or charitable organization to cover the cost exceeding the PCL:  14,210,712  14,210,712  14,210,712  14,210,712  1710  14,201,461  10,349,361  Funding Amount  0 Hist Designation, indicate below (Y/N):  Federal State  1,034,936  Federal State  1,05500  T13,749  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  713,749  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:	Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation		757,336		
Equity Gap Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL.  Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding: explain in Comments if TDC > PCL)  Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds  Equity Gap  Divide Equity Gap by 10  Annual Equity Required  Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)  Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  If TDC > QAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding from foundation or charitable organization to cover the cost exceeding the PCL:  14,210,712  14,210,712  14,210,712  14,210,712  14,201,461  10,349,361  Funding Amount  0 Hist Desig  Hist Desig  Hist Desig  Hist Desig  Hist Designation, indicate below (Y/N):  1,034,936  Federal State  1,034,936  Federal State  1,09000 + 0.5500  Tay 13,749  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  713,748	III. TAY OPENIT CALCIII ATION - CAD METHOD				
Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL.  Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding: explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  14,210,712 If TDC > QAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding from foundation or charitable organization to cover the cost exceeding the PCL:  14,201,461					
Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Comments if TDC > PCL)  14,201,461  10,349,361 Funding Amount 0 Federal 1,034,936 Feder		14 210 712	KTDO OAD T-1-1 DOL		a life and a second and to at least
Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds  Equity Gap  Divide Equity Gap by 10  Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)  Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  Tidicate below (Y/N):  10  10  10  10  1.4500  11.450					
Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  10,349,361 Funding Amount 0 Hist Desig  10,349,361 Federal State  1,034,936 Federal 9 0.9000 Federal 713,749 713,749				•	
Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  713,748  Federal State  9 0.9000 + 0.5500  713,749  713,749			Funding Amount	0	Hist Desig
Annual Equity Required  Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)  Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:	· · ·		Ü		•
Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation  TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  713,749  713,749  713,749		1,034,936	Federal	State	
TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:  TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  713,749  713,748	Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)	1.4500	= 0.9000	+ 0.5500	
TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:  713,748	Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation	713,749			_
	TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:	713,749			
IV. TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION - Lower of Tax Credit Request and Tax Credit Project Maximum 713,748	TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:	713,748			
	IV. TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION - Lower of Tax Credit Request and Tax Credit Project Maximum	713,748	Ī		

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	VI.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY
The hard costs were based on cost estimates from Royal American Construction, Inc. from other projects and a review of the		
initial site plans and scope based on numerous years of tax credit construction experience.		
Per City of Kennesaw (Scott Banks):		
Building Permit \$102,342		
Plan Review Fee: \$51,171		
Impact Fee: 55,000 Tech Fee: \$1,535		
Per Tim Davidson with Cobb County Water and Sewer, 1 and 2 BR units must pay a water and sewer tap fee of \$2,175/unit.		
The first davidson with copb county water and sewer, I and 2 bk units must pay a water and sewer tap ree or \$2,173/unit.		

## PART FOUR (b) - OTHER COSTS - 2017-073 - Enclave at Depot Park - Kennesaw - Cobb, County

DCA requires the Applicant provide a narrative for all "Other" development costs listed on Part IV-Uses of Funds. For any amounts shown below the Applicant needs to provide an explanation of the cost incurred by the development. The narrative should be a brief description for DCA intended to justify its inclusion as a valid development cost. Further, if the "Other" cost is included into eligible basis, a second narrative is required describing its justification into tax credit basis. Expand row size as needed to show text.

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>	sis Justification
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part	
Total Cost - Total Basis -	
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING	
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Total Cost	
Total Cost - Total Basis -	
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>	
Total Cost - Total Basis -	
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>  Total Cost - Total Basis -	

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
DCA-RELATED COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
EQUITY COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		

2017 Funding Application

Housing Finance and Development Division

**DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name** Section's Other Line Item

**Description/Nature of Cost** 

**Basis Justification** 

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
START-UP AND RESERVES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
OTHER COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost Total Basis		

# PART FIVE - UTILITY ALLOWANCES - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park , Kennesaw, Cobb County

DCA Utility Region for project: North

Note: Elderly allowances cannot be used except at properties that have 100% HUD PBRA and satisfy the DCA definition of "elderly"

•										
I. UTILITY ALLOWAN	NCE SCHEDULE	#1	Source of L	Itility Allowances	3	Cobb County				
			Date of Utili	ity Allowances		January 1, 20	)17	Structure	3+ Story	
			Paid By (c	check one)		Tenant-l	Paid Utility A	llowances b	y Unit Size (#	# Bdrms)
Utility	Fuel		Tenant	Owner		Efficiency	1	2	3	4
Heat	Electric		X				20	25		_
Cooking	Electric		X				7	9		
Hot Water	Electric		X				12	17		
Air Conditioning	Electric		X				10	13		
Range/Microwave	Electric		Х							
Refrigerator	Electric		Х							
Other Electric	Electric		Х				37	40		
Water & Sewer	Submetered*?	No	Х				32	47		
Refuse Collection		=		Χ						
Total Utility Allowa	nce by Unit Size	!				0	118	151	0	0
	IOE OOLIEDIU E	"0	0 (1	ICC All	_					
II. UTILITY ALLOWAN	MCE SCHEDULE	#2		Itility Allowances	5				1	
			Date of Utili	ity Allowances				Structure		
			Paid By (d	check one)		Tenant-l	Paid Utility A	Allowances b	y Unit Size (#	# Bdrms)
Utility	Fuel		Tenant	Owner		Efficiency	1	2	3	4
Heat	< <select fuel=""></select>									
Cooking	< <select fuel=""></select>									
Hot Water	< <select fuel=""></select>	>>								
Air Conditioning	Electric									
Range/Microwave	Electric									
Refrigerator	Electric									
Other Electric	Electric									
Water & Sewer	Submetered*?	<select></select>								
Refuse Collection										
Total Utility Allowa	ince by Unit Size	<b>!</b>				0	0	0	0	0
*New Construction units	MUST be sub-metere	d.								
APPLICANT COMM			NS							
DCA COMMENTS										
DOA GOMMENTO										

#### PART SIX - PROJECTED REVENUES & EXPENSES - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park, Kennesaw, Cobb County

I. RENT SCHEDULE Do NOT cut, copy or paste cells in this tab. Complete ALL columns. For Common Space (non-income producing) units, select "N/A-CS" for Rent Type and "Common Space" for Employee Unit.

IOME proje	ects - Fix	ed or Fl	oating ι	units:			Utility	PBRA			MSA/NonMS	A:	AMI	Certified
re 100% o	f units H	UD PBR	A?	No	Max	Pro-posed	Allowance	Provider or			Atlanta-Sand	dy Springs-Mari	67,500	Historic
					Gross	Pro-poseu	(UA Sched 1 UA, so	Operating						Deemed
Rent	Nbr of	No. of	Unit	Unit	Rent	Gross	over-write if UA	Subsidy ***	Monthly	Net Rent	Employee	Building	Type of	Historic
Type	<b>Bdrms</b>	Baths	Count	Area	Limit	Rent	Sched 2 used)	(See note below)	Per Unit	Total	Unit	Design Type	Activity	(See QAF
50% AMI	1	1.0	8	850	633	582	118		464	3,712	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
50% AMI	2	2.0	20	1,000	760	699	151		548	10,960	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	1	2.0	20	850	759	695	118		577	11,540	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	2	2.0	10	1,000	912	836	151		685	6,850	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
Inrestricted	1	1.0	8	850	870	870	0		870	6,960	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
Inrestricted	2	2.0	12	1,000	1,040	1,040	0		1,040	12,480	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
~~OEIEU(>>		TOTAL	78	72,600			U		HLY TOTAL	52,502				

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> NOTE: When selecting "PHA Operating Subsidy" in the "PBRA Provider or Operating Subsidy" column above, please also then enter a zero in the "Proposed Gross Rent" column above AND include the PHA operating subsidy amount in the "III. Ancillary and Other Income" section below. Also refer to the Application Instructions provided separately.

### II. UNIT SUMMARY

n		

NOTE TO APPLICANTS : If the numbers compiled in this Summary do not appear to	Unrestricted Total Residential Common Space Total PBRA-Assisted (included in LI above)		60% AMI 50% AMI Total 60% AMI 50% AMI
match what was entered in the Rent Chart above, please verify that all	PHA Operating S Assisted (included in LI above)	Subsidy-	Total 60% AMI 50% AMI Total
that all applicable columns were completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above.	Type of Construction Activity	New Construction  Acq/Rehab  Substantial Rehab Only  Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse Historic	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS
	Building Type: (for <i>Utility</i> <i>Allowance</i> and other purposes)	Multifamily  SF Detached  Townhome  Duplex  Manufactured home	1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story Historic Historic Historic Historic

Efficiency	1BR	2BR	3BR	4BR	Total	
0	20	10	0	0	30	(Includes inc-restr mgr
0	8	20	0	0	28	units)
0	28	30	0	0	58	u
0	8	12	0	0	20	
0	36	42	0	0	78	
0	0	0	0	0		(no rent charged)
0	36	42	0	0	78	J
0	0	0	0	0	0	]
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	J
0	0	0	0	0	0	]
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	]
0	28	30	0	0	58	1
0	8	12	0	0	20	
0	36	42	0	0	78	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
					0	
					U	J
0	0	0	0	0	0	]
0	36	42	0	0	78	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	36	42	0	0	78	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0 0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	-
0	0	0	0	0	0	

	gia Department of	Community Affairs			2017 F	unding App	lication		Н	ousing Finance	and Development D	ivision
	Building Type: (for <b>Cost Limit</b>	Detached / SemiDe	etached	Historic		0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	
	purposes)	Row House		Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Walkup		Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Elevator		Historic		0	36 0	42 0	0	0	78 0	
Unit Squa	re Footage:			THSTOTIC		<u> </u>	U	0	0	U	O J	
	Low Income			60% AMI 50% AMI		0 0	17,000 6,800	10,000 20,000	0 0	0 0	27,000 26,800	
	Unrestricted			Total		0	23,800 6,800	30,000 12,000	0	0	53,800 18,800	
	Total Residentia					0	30,600	42,000	0	0	72,600	
	Common Space Total					0	0 30,600	0 42,000	0	0	72,600	
		NCOME (annual a	amounts)		40.000		l			4 - 4 DOI:	0.000/	
Ancillary In Other Incor	ncome me (OI) by Year:				12,600		Launary, vend	ding, app fees, e	etc. Actual pc	t of PGI:	2.00%	
Included in	Mgt Fee:		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Operating S Other:												
<b>NOT</b> Includ	Total OI in Mgt Fe led in Mgt Fee:	е	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Property Tax	x Abatement											
Other:	Total OI <b>NOT</b> in M	lgt Fee	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>
Included in			11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Operating S Other:	subsidy											
NOT Includ	Total OI in Mgt Fe led in Mgt Fee:	е	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	x Abatement											
	Total OI <b>NOT</b> in M	lgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in Operating S			21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Other:												
NOT Includ	Total OI in Mgt Fe led in Mgt Fee:	e	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Property Tax Other:	x Abatement											
Other.	Total OI <b>NOT</b> in M	lgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			31	32	33	34	35					
Included in			<u> </u>	1								
Included in Operating S Other:	Subsidy		Ŭ,	V-								
Operating S Other:	Subsidy Total OI in Mgt Fe	e	-	-	-	-	-					
Operating S Other: NOT Includ	Subsidy	е				-	-					

### IV. ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BUDGET

On-Site Staff Costs		On-Site Security		Taxes and Insu	ırance	
Management Salaries & Benefits	37,050	Contracted Guard		Real Estate Tax	es (Gross)*	50,700
Maintenance Salaries & Benefits	37,050	Electronic Alarm System		Insurance**		25,350
Support Services Salaries & Benefits		Subtotal	0	Other (describe here	e)	
Other (describe here)				Subtotal		76,050
Subtotal	74,100				·	
On-Site Office Costs		Professional Services		Management F	ee:	35,858
Office Supplies & Postage	7,800	Legal	7,000	_	Average per unit per yea	ar
Telephone	7,800	Accounting	2,000	41.19	Average per unit per mo	nth
Travel		Advertising	16,000	(Mgt Fee - see Pro F	orma, Sect 1, Operating	Assumptions)
Leased Furniture / Equipment	7,800	Other (describe here)			_	
Activities Supplies / Overhead Cost	11,700	Subtotal	25,000	TOTAL OPERA	TING EXPENSES	331,908
Other (describe here)				Average per unit	4,255.23	
Subtotal	35,100				Total OE Required	312,000
Maintenance Expenses		<b>Utilities</b> (Avg\$/mth/unit)		Replacement R	eserve (RR)	19,500
Contracted Repairs	39,000	Electricity 17	15,600	Proposed averaga R	R/unit amount:	250
General Repairs		Natural Gas 0		Minimum Re	olacement Reserve	Calculation
Grounds Maintenance		Water&Swr 25	23,400	Unit Type	Units x RR Min	Total by Type
Extermination		Trash Collection	7,800	Multifamily		
Maintenance Supplies		Other (describe here)		Rehab	0 units x \$350 =	0
Elevator Maintenance		Subtotal	46,800	New Constr	78 units x \$250 =	19,500
Redecorating				SF or Duplex	0 units x \$420 =	0
Other (describe here)				Historic Rhb	0 units x \$420 =	0
Subtotal	39,000			Totals	78	19,500
				TOTAL ANNUA	L EXPENSES	351,408
APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICA		VI.	DCA COMMENTS			
all Applicants:RE Taxes were derived using an 8% cap rate	to the NOI; applying a 40% d	liscount per the tax assessment and finally				
ring a millage rate for Cobb County/Kennesaw of 38.25.  Insurance expense was derived based on the developer an	d managament company port	folio which covers over 20 000 units across				
southeast including 20 properties in the State of Georgia. A						
5/unit.	g p ,,					

	PART	SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-073 Enclave a	t Depot Park , Kennesaw, Cobb County
I. OPERATING ASSUI	MPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cell	s are unlocked for your use and <b>contain</b> references/formulas that <b>may</b> be overwritten if needed.
Revenue Growth	2.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total	7,500 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -1.25%
Expense Growth	3.00%	charged by all lenders/investors)	
Reserves Growth	3.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one	): Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: 6.00%
Vacancy & Collection L	oss 7.00%	Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)	No> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%	Percent of Effective Gross Income	Yes> If Yes, indicate actual percentage: 6.000%

### II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Revenues	630,024	642,624	655,477	668,587	681,958	695,597	709,509	723,700	738,174	752,937
Ancillary Income	12,600	12,852	13,110	13,372	13,639	13,912	14,190	14,474	14,763	15,059
Vacancy	(44,984)	(45,883)	(46,801)	(47,737)	(48,692)	(49,666)	(50,659)	(51,672)	(52,706)	(53,760)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ol Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(296,050)	(304,932)	(314,079)	(323,502)	(333,207)	(343,203)	(353,499)	(364,104)	(375,027)	(386,278)
Property Mgmt	(35,858)	(36,576)	(37,307)	(38,053)	(38,814)	(39,591)	(40,382)	(41,190)	(42,014)	(42,854)
Reserves	(19,500)	(20,085)	(20,688)	(21,308)	(21,947)	(22,606)	(23,284)	(23,983)	(24,702)	(25,443)
NOI	246,233	248,001	249,711	251,358	252,937	254,444	255,875	257,225	258,488	259,661
Mortgage A	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)
Cash Flow	38,291	40,059	41,770	43,416	44,995	46,502	47,934	49,283	50,546	51,719
DCR Mortgage A	1.23	1.24	1.25	1.25	1.26	1.27	1.28	1.28	1.29	1.30
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.23	1.24	1.25	1.25	1.26	1.27	1.28	1.28	1.29	1.30
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.70	1.69	1.67	1.66	1.64	1.63	1.61	1.60	1.59	1.57
Mortgage A Balance	3,814,649	3,775,574	3,734,806	3,692,271	3,647,893	3,601,592	3,553,284	3,502,882	3,450,297	3,395,432
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PART SEV	'EN - OPERAT	ING PRO FOR	MA - 2017-07	3 Enclave at D	Depot Park , Ke	ennesaw, Cob	b County			
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTION	ONS	Р	lease Note:	G	reen-shaded cells a	re unlocked for your	use and contain re	ferences/formulas th	at <b>may</b> be overwritt	en if needed.	
	2.00% 3.00%		sset Managem	ent Fee Amour rs/investors)	t (include total	7,500	Yr 1 Asset M	lgt Fee Percent	age of EGI:	-1.25%	
Reserves Growth 3	3.00%	Р	roperty Mgt Fe	e Growth Rate	(choose one):	Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: 6.00					
Vacancy & Collection Loss 7	7.00%		Expense Gro	wth Rate (3.00	%)	No -	-> If Yes, indica	ate Yr 1 Mgt Fe	e Amt:		
Ancillary Income Limit 2		Percent of Ef	fective Gross In	ncome	Yes -	-> If Yes, indicate	ate actual perce	entage:	6.000%		
II. OPERATING PRO FORM	<b>Λ</b> Α										
Year	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
Revenues	767,996	783,356	799,023	815,003	831,303	847,929	864,888	882,186	899,829	917,826	
Ancillary Income	15,360	15,667	15,980	16,300	16,626	16,959	17,298	17,644	17,997	18,357	
Vacancy	(54,835)	(55,932)	(57,050)	(58,191)	(59,355)	(60,542)	(61,753)	(62,988)	(64,248)	(65,533)	
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(397,866)	(409,802)	(422,097)	(434,759)	(447,802)	(461,236)	(475,073)	(489,326)	(504,005)	(519,125)	
1 .	,		,								

Tour				17	10				10	
Revenues	767,996	783,356	799,023	815,003	831,303	847,929	864,888	882,186	899,829	917,826
Ancillary Income	15,360	15,667	15,980	16,300	16,626	16,959	17,298	17,644	17,997	18,357
Vacancy	(54,835)	(55,932)	(57,050)	(58,191)	(59,355)	(60,542)	(61,753)	(62,988)	(64,248)	(65,533)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(397,866)	(409,802)	(422,097)	(434,759)	(447,802)	(461,236)	(475,073)	(489,326)	(504,005)	(519,125)
Property Mgmt	(43,711)	(44,585)	(45,477)	(46,387)	(47,314)	(48,261)	(49,226)	(50,210)	(51,215)	(52,239)
Reserves	(26,206)	(26,993)	(27,802)	(28,636)	(29,495)	(30,380)	(31,292)	(32,231)	(33,197)	(34,193)
NOI	260,737	261,711	262,577	263,329	263,963	264,468	264,842	265,075	265,160	265,092
Mortgage A	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	•	•	-	•	•	•	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)
Cash Flow	52,795	53,769	54,635	55,387	56,021	56,526	56,900	57,133	57,219	57,150
DCR Mortgage A	1.30	1.31	1.31	1.31	1.32	1.32	1.32	1.32	1.32	1.32
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.30	1.31	1.31	1.31	1.32	1.32	1.32	1.32	1.32	1.32
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.56	1.54	1.53	1.52	1.50	1.49	1.48	1.46	1.45	1.44
Mortgage A Balance	3,338,189	3,278,466	3,216,155	3,151,143	3,083,313	3,012,545	2,938,709	2,861,673	2,781,298	2,697,441
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PART S	SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Pa	ark , Kennesaw, Cobb County	
I. OPERATING ASSU	MPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked	I for your use and <b>contain</b> references/formulas that <b>may</b> be overw	ritten if needed.
Revenue Growth	2.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 7,	Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:	-1.25%
Expense Growth	3.00%	charged by all lenders/investors)		
Reserves Growth	3.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one):	Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:	6.00%
Vacancy & Collection L	oss 7.00%	Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)	No> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:	
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%	Percent of Effective Gross Income	Yes> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	6.000%

#### II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Revenues	936,183	954,906	974,004	993,484	1,013,354	1,033,621	1,054,294	1,075,379	1,096,887	1,118,825
Ancillary Income	18,724	19,098	19,480	19,870	20,267	20,672	21,086	21,508	21,938	22,376
Vacancy	(66,843)	(68,180)	(69,544)	(70,935)	(72,353)	(73,801)	(75,277)	(76,782)	(78,318)	(79,884)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ol Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(534,699)	(550,740)	(567,262)	(584,280)	(601,809)	(619,863)	(638,459)	(657,613)	(677,341)	(697,661)
Property Mgmt	(53,284)	(54,349)	(55,436)	(56,545)	(57,676)	(58,830)	(60,006)	(61,206)	(62,430)	(63,679)
Reserves	(35,219)	(36,276)	(37,364)	(38,485)	(39,639)	(40,829)	(42,054)	(43,315)	(44,615)	(45,953)
NOI	264,860	264,459	263,878	263,109	262,143	260,971	259,585	257,971	256,121	254,024
Mortgage A	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)
Cash Flow	56,919	56,517	55,936	55,167	54,202	53,030	51,643	50,029	48,180	46,082
DCR Mortgage A	1.32	1.32	1.32	1.31	1.31	1.30	1.30	1.29	1.28	1.27
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.32	1.32	1.32	1.31	1.31	1.30	1.30	1.29	1.28	1.27
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.42	1.41	1.40	1.39	1.37	1.36	1.35	1.34	1.33	1.31
Mortgage A Balance	2,609,949	2,518,666	2,423,426	2,324,059	2,220,386	2,112,220	1,999,366	1,881,621	1,758,773	1,630,602
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

#### PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park , Kennesaw, Cobb County

I. OPERATING ASSUM	IPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritted.	en if needed.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 7,500 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: charged by all lenders/investors)	-1.25%
Reserves Growth	3.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:	6.00%
Vacancy & Collection Lo	oss 7.00%	Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)  No> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:	
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%	Percent of Effective Gross Income Yes> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	6.000%

#### II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	31	32	33	34	35
Revenues	1,141,201	1,164,025	1,187,306	1,211,052	1,235,273
Ancillary Income	22,824	23,281	23,746	24,221	24,705
Vacancy	(81,482)	(83,111)	(84,774)	(86,469)	(88,198)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(718,591)	(740,149)	(762,353)	(785,224)	(808,781)
Property Mgmt	(64,953)	(66,252)	(67,577)	(68,928)	(70,307)
Reserves	(47,332)	(48,752)	(50,214)	(51,721)	(53,272)
NOI	251,668	249,042	246,134	242,931	239,420
Mortgage A	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)	(200,442)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.					
Asset Mgmt	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(7,500)
Cash Flow	43,726	41,100	38,192	34,990	31,478
DCR Mortgage A	1.26	1.24	1.23	1.21	1.19
DCR Mortgage B					
DCR Mortgage C					
DCR Other Source					
Total DCR	1.26	1.24	1.23	1.21	1.19
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.30	1.29	1.28	1.27	1.26
Mortgage A Balance	1,496,876	1,357,354	1,211,786	1,059,909	901,451
Mortgage B Balance					
Mortgage C Balance					
Other Source Balance					

	PART SEVEN - OPER	ATING PRO FORMA - 2017-073 Enclave a	nt Depot Park , Kennesaw, Cobb County
Expense Growth Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Loss Ancillary Income Limit  II. OPERATING PRO FOR	2.00% 3.00% 3.00% 7.00% 2.00%	Please Note:  Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors)  Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)  Percent of Effective Gross Income	e): Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: 6.00%  No> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:  Yes> If Yes, indicate actual percentage: 6.000%
III. Applicant Comments a			IV. DCA Comments
APPLICANTS: Explain any any debt	service payment amounts that deviate	from the amount shown in Permanent Sources (Part III)	

		licant Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round	d and have
DCA's Overall Comments / Approval Conditions:	no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	
1.)		
1.,		
2)		
2.)		
2)		
3.)		
4)		
4.)		
5.)		
6.)		
7.)		
8.)		
9.) 10.)		
11.)		
12.)		
13.)		
14.)		
15.)		
16.)		
17.)		
18.)		
19.)		
20.)		
1 DDO IECT EEASIBII ITV VIABII ITV ANAI VSIS AND CONFORMA	NCE WITH DI AN	Pass?
1 PROJECT FEASIBILITY, VIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND CONFORMAL	NOE WITH FLAN	1 400.
Threshold Justification per Applicant		
Our project is in compliance with all DCA underwriting critera, within cost liimits, within accep	ptable debt coverage ratios throughout the compliance period.	
DCA's Comments:		

								Applicant	Response DCA USE		
FINΔI ·	THRESHOLD	) DETERMINAT	TION (DCA Use C	nlv)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DC/		ing section reviews pertain only to the co		е		
		DETERMINA	1011 (DOA 030 C	,,,,		no effect on s	subsequent or future funding round scoring	ig decisions. Pass	2		
	T LIMITS  nts are linked to Rent Chart	t in Part VI Povonuos 8	New Construction and	7		Historia I	Rehab or Transit-Oriented I				
		by unit type are auto-calculated.	Acquisition/Rehabilitation				for Historic Preservation or T	•	this Criterion met?		
		Nbr Units		l la col lasia Tona		Nbr Units		- 1 - ( - /	this officion fiet:		
D 1 1 1/0	Unit Type		Unit Cost Limit tota	, ,,	<u>e</u>		Unit Cost Limit total b	<del>, ,,</del>			
Detached/Se	,	0 0	139,407 x 0 units =	0		0	153,347 x 0 units =	0	MSA for Cost Limit		
mi-Detached		1 0	182,430 x 0 units =	0		0	200,673 x 0 units =	0	purposes:		
	2 BR	2 0	221,255 x 0 units =	0		0	243,380 x 0 units =	0	F F		
	3 BR	3 0	270,488 x 0 units =	0		0	297,536 x 0 units =	0	Atlanta		
	4 BR	4 0	$318,270 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	350,097 x 0 units =	0			
	Subotal	0		0		0		0	Tot Development Costs:		
Row House	Efficiency	0 0	$130,931 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	144,024 x 0 units =	0	14,201,461		
	1 BR	1 0	171,658 x 0 units =	0		0	188,823 x 0 units =	0	· · ·		
	2 BR	2 <b>0</b>	$208,792 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	229,671 x 0 units =	0	Cost Waiver Amount:		
	3 BR	3 0	256,678 x 0 units =	0		0	282,345 x 0 units =	0			
	4 BR	4 0	$304,763 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	$335,239 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0			
	Subotal	0		0	_	0		0	Historic Preservation Pts		
Walkup	Efficiency	0 0	108,868 x 0 units =	0		0	119,754 x 0 units =	0	0		
•	1 BR	1 0	150,379 x 0 units =	0		0	165,416 x 0 units =	0	Community Transp Opt Pts		
	2 BR	2 0	190,725 x 0 units =	0		0	209,797 x 0 units =	0	0		
	3 BR	3 0	249,057 x 0 units =	0		0	273,962 x 0 units =	0			
	4 BR	4 0	310,346 x 0 units =	0		0	341,380 x 0 units =	0			
	Subotal	0	310,540 X 0 units =	0	<u> </u>		541,500 x 0 units =	0	Project Cost		
Elevator	Efficiency	0 0	112,784 x 0 units =	0		0	124,062 x 0 units =	0	Limit (PCL)		
	1 BR	36	157,897 x 36 units =	5,684,292		0	173,686 x 0 units =	0			
	2 BR	2 42	203,010 x 42 units =	8,526,420		0	223,311 x 0 units =	0	14,210,712		
	3 BR	3 0	270,681 x 0 units =	0,320,420		0	297,749 x 0 units =	0	Note: if a PUCL Waiver has been		
	4 BR	4 0	338,351 x 0 units =	0		0	372,186 x 0 units =	0			
	Subotal	78	338,331 X 0 units =	14,210,712	_	0	372,100 X 0 utilis =	0	approved by DCA, that amount		
					=		=		would supercede the amounts		
	Construction Type			14,210,712		0		0	shown at left.		
	nold Justification pe		and but the LUID TDC limits	for Atlanta N	10 A for 20	DCA's Comm	ents:				
			ned by the HUD TDC limits		VISA, 101 36			_			
	ANCY CHARAC		This project is designated	as:		Elderly		Pass	?		
	nold Justification pe		()			DCA's Comm	nents:				
Enclave at	Depot Park is a sen	niors housing developm	ent (62+)								
4 REQ	UIRED SERVIC	ES						Pass	?		
<b>A.</b> Ap	plicant certifies that	t they will designate the	specific services and mee	t the addition	al policies rela	ated to services	. <u>Does Applicant</u>	agree?	Agree		
<b>B.</b> Sp	ecify at least 2 basi	ic ongoing services fron	n at least 2 categories belo	w for Family	projects, or at	least 4 basic or	ngoing services from at least	3 categories below for Se	enior projects:		
1) So	cial & recreational p	programs planned & ove	erseen by project mgr	Specify:	Social Ever	nts, pot lucks, l	birthday/holiday celebratior	าร			
						ion, gardening master on site					
3) On-site health classes Specify: On site n					On site mor	ntlhy health an	d wellness - biometric scre	enings			
	her services approv			Specify:		-					
			ongregate supportive hou	' '	ments:						
			n of care or service provide			c C.					
	nold Justification pe		·			DCA's Comm	nents:				
	_										

		<b>Applicant Resp</b>	onse DCA l	USE
	on reviews pertain only to the corresponding fund int or future funding round scoring decisions.	ing round and have Pass?		
A. Provide the name of the market study analyst used by applicant:	A. Novogradac & Company L	LC		
B. Project absorption period to reach stabilized occupancy	B. Four to five months			
C. Overall Market Occupancy Rate	C. <b>99.80</b> %			
D. Overall capture rate for tax credit units	D. <b>18.20%</b>			
E. List DCA tax credit projects in close proximity to properties funded in 2014 or 2015. Include DCA project number and pro	oject name in each case.			
Project Nbr Project Name Project Nbr Project Name	Project Nbr	Project Name		
1 3	5			
2 4	6			
F. Does the unit mix/rents and amenities included in the application match those provided in the market study?	<u></u>	F.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant			•	
our market study, performed by Novogradac & Company and found in Tab 5, shows a strong market for our proposed developme conomic health.	ent. The indicators listed above pro	oject a quick stabiliza	lion and long-ter	:1111
DCA's Comments:				
APPRAISALS		Pass?		
A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?		A. <b>N</b>	lo	
B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission?		В. 1	lo	
If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions:  Appraiser's N	lame:			
1) Does it provide a land value?		1)		
2) Does it provide a value for the improvements?		2)		
3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards?		3)		
4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as complevalue of the property?	eted unencumbered appraised	4)		
C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3)	) years?	C.		
D. Has the property been:	•	D.	•	
1) Rezoned?		1)		
2) Subdivided?		2)		
3) Modified?		3)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant			•	
s the land purchase is an arms-length transaction, we have not included an appraisal with this application.				
DCA's Comments:				

								Applica	nt Response	DCA USE
FII	NAL THRESHOLD DE	TERMINA	TION (DC	A Use Only)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and	9	ews pertain only to the co ture funding round scorir	. 9 9	nave	
	ENVIRONMENTAL REQ			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	no enect	on subsequent of ful	ture furturing round scorii	Pas	ss?	
	A. Name of Company that prep	ared the Phase I	Assessment	in accordance with ASTM 15	527-13:	A	Dominion Due	Dilligence Group		
	B. Is a Phase II Environmental				-			'	B. Yes	
	C. Was a Noise Assessment pe	•							C. Yes	
	If "Yes", name of compa	ny that prepared	the noise ass	essment?		1)	Dominion Due	Dilligence Group		
	·			cibels over the 10 year proje	ection:	,			2) 70.5	
	3) If "Yes", what are the co			, , ,					´ L	
	CSX Railway			,						
	D. Is the subject property locate	ed in a:							D.	
	1) Brownfield?								1) Yes	
	2) 100 year flood plain / floo	odway?							2) <b>No</b>	
	If "Yes":	a) Percentage of	f site that is w	thin a floodplain:					a)	
		b) Will any deve	lopment occu	r in the floodplain?					b)	
		c) Is documenta	tion provided	as per Threshold criteria?					c)	
	3) Wetlands?								3) Yes	
	If "Yes":	a) Enter the perd	entage of the	site that is a wetlands:					a) <b>5.000</b> %	
		b) Will any deve	lopment occu	r in the wetlands?					b) <b>No</b>	
		c) Is documenta	tion provided	as per Threshold criteria?					c)	
	4) State Waters/Streams/B	uffers and Setba	cks area?						4) Yes	
	E. Has the Environmental Profe	essional identifie	d any of the fo	llowing on the subject prope	erty:					
	<ol> <li>Lead-based paint?</li> </ol>	No		<ol><li>5) Endangered s</li></ol>	pecies?	No		9) Mold?	No	
	2) Noise?	Yes		<ol><li>Historic design</li></ol>	nation?	No		10) PCB's?	No	
	3) Water leaks?	No		<ol><li>Vapor intrusio</li></ol>	n?	No		11) Radon?	No	
	4) Lead in water?	No		,	taining materials?	No				
	12) Other (e.g., Native Amer	ican burial groun	ds, etc.) - des	cribe in box below:						
	E la all additional autinomant			- LIOME analization include	d					
	F. Is all additional environment			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d, such as:				1)	
	Eight-Step Process for W     Applicant/DE complete		•	•					1)	
	Has Applicant/PE comple     Owner agrees that they re				on advarag affact on th	a aubiaat araa	o mto cO		2)	
	, ,		J	any activities that could have		e subject prope	erty?		3) G.	
Dro	G. If HUD approval has been p jects involving HOME funds mu								G	
110	H. The Census Tract for the pro		_	•		ority) <i>Racially</i>	н.	< <select>&gt;</select>	< <se< td=""><td>elect&gt;&gt;</td></se<>	elect>>
	mixed (25% - 49% minority)		-	•	auon (30 % of more min	onty), racially	11.	<b>~~</b>	100	.icci>>
	I. List all contiguous Census T	racts:	l.							
	J. Is Contract Addendum include	ded in Application	า?						J.	
	Threshold Justification per Appli	icant								
	DCA's Comments:									

	Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ng round and have		
SITE CONTROL	Pass?		
A. Is site control provided through November 30, 2017? Expiration Date: 12/31/17	A.	Yes	
B. Form of site control:  B. Contract/Option	on	< <select>&gt;</select>	
C. Name of Entity with site control:  C. Enclave at Depot Park, L.P. (via assignment)			
D. Is there any Identity of Interest between the entity with site control and the applicant?	D.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
ontracts are with Royal American Development, which has assigned its interest to the ownership entity, Enclave at Depot Park, L.P.			
DCA's Comments:			
SITE ACCESS	Pass?		
A. Does this site provide a specified entrance that is legally accessible by paved roads and are the appropriate drawings, surveys, photographs and other documentation reflecting such paved roads included in the electronic application binder?	A.	Yes	
<b>B.</b> If access roads are not in place, does the application contain documentation evidencing local government approval to pave the road, a commitment for funding, and the timetable for completion of such paved roads?	В.		
C. If the road is going to be paved by the applicant, are these costs documented in the submitted electronic application binder and reflected in the development budget provided in the core application?	C.		
D. If use of private drive proposed, is site control of private drive documented by proof of ownership or by a properly executed easement on private drive, and are the plans for paving private drive, including associated development costs, adequately addressed in Application?	D.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant	ı		
/e have legal access via entrance on main road, as shown on the conceptual site development plan			
DCA's Comments:			
0 SITE ZONING	Pass?		
A. Is Zoning in place at the time of this application submission?	A.	Yes	
B. Does zoning of the development site conform to the site development plan?	B.	Yes	
C. Is the zoning confirmed, in writing, by the authorized Local Government official?	C.	Yes	
If "Yes": 1) Is this written confirmation included in the Application?	1)	Yes	
2) Does the letter include the zoning and land use classification of the property?	2)	Yes	
3) Is the letter accompanied by a clear explanation of the requirements (include a copy of the applicable sections of the zoning ordinance highlighted for the stated classification)?	3)	Yes	
4) Is the letter accompanied by all conditions of these zoning and land use classifications?	4)	Yes	
5) If project is requesting HOME or HUD funds, does Local Government official also comment on whether project will include development of prime or unique farmland?	5)	N/Ap	
D. Is documentation provided (on the Architectural Site Conceptual Development Plan either graphically or in written form) that demonstrates that the site layout conforms to any moratoriums, density, setbacks or other requirements?	D.	Yes	
E. Are all issues and questions surrounding the zoning and land use classification clearly defined prior to this application submission?	E.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
ite is properly zoned by the City of Kennesaw for the proposed use of senior housing development			
DCA's Comments:			

#### PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park, Kennesaw, Cobb County

						Applicant I	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use	Only			coring section reviews pertain only to the		ling round and have		
•	Offig)	ı	o effect o	n subsequent or future funding round scor	ng decisions.	<b>D</b> 0		
11 OPERATING UTILITIES						Pass?		
··	1) Gas	N/A				1)	No	
	2) Electric	Geo	rgia Po	ower Company		2)	Yes	
Electric utilities provided to the site by Georgia Power Company								
DCA's Comments:								
12 PUBLIC WATER/SANITARY SEWER/STORM SEWER						Pass?		
A. 1) Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA included in this appli	cation for this crite	erion as it pertains	to sing	gle-family detached Rural proje	cts?	A1)	No	
<ol> <li>If Yes, is the waiver request accompanied by an engineering repo</li> </ol>						2)		
	Public water			nty Water System		B1)	Yes	
name:	2) Public sewer			nty Water System		2)	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	,			•		J 'I		<u> </u>
Water and sewer services are provided to the site by Cobb County Water Syst	tem.							
DCA's Comments:								
13 REQUIRED AMENITIES						Pass?		
Is there a Pre-Approval Form from DCA included in this application for thi	e criterion?							
A. Applicant agrees to provide following required Standard Site Amenities		with DCA Amenit	ios Gu	idebook (solost one in each cates	on/).	Α.		
Applicant agrees to provide rollowing required Standard Site America     Community area (select either community room or community built		WILLI DOA ALLIELIII		Building	ory).	۸۰۱		
	0,		,	Gazebo	If	'Other", explain he	ro	
<ol> <li>Exterior gathering area (if "Other", explain in box provided at right)</li> </ol>	).			Washer and dryer in each u		Ciriei , expiairi riei	16	
3) On site laundry type:	:4: 44		,		IIL	J В.		
B. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Additional Site Ame						D.	Additions	l Amenities
The nbr of additional amenities required depends on the total unit cou		2 amenities, 126 DCA Pre-approved?	+ units		, holow)	,		n Amerines DCA Pre-appro
Additional Amenities (describe in space provided below)  1) Walking Path	Guidebook Wet? L	CA Pre-approveu?	٥١	Additional Amenities (describ Exercise Room	e below)		Juluebook iviet?	оса Ріе-арріо
2) Community Gardens			,	Library/Computer Station				
,			4)	Library/Computer Station		0	A	
<b>C.</b> Applicant agrees to provide the following required Unit Amenities:						C.	Agree	
1) HVAC systems						1)	Yes	
2) Energy Star refrigerators						2)	Yes	
3) Energy Star dishwashers (not required in senior USDA or HUD pr	roperties)					3)	Yes	
4) Stoves						4)	Yes	
5) Microwave ovens						5)	Yes	
<ol><li>a. Powder-based stovetop fire suppression canisters installed about</li></ol>	ŭ	k top, OR				6a)	No	
<ul> <li>Electronically controlled solid cover plates over stove top burne</li> </ul>	rs					6b)	Yes	
D. If proposing a Senior project or Special Needs project, Applicant agree	es to provide the	following addition	al requ	ired Amenities:		D.	Agree	
<ol> <li>Elevators are installed for access to all units above the ground flo</li> </ol>	or.					1)	Yes	
2) Buildings more than two story construction have interior furnished	gathering areas in	n several location	s in the	lobbies and/or corridors		2)	Yes	
3) a. 100% of the units are accessible and adaptable, as defined by	the Fair Housing <i>F</i>	Amendments Act	of 1988	}		3a)	Yes	
b. If No, was a DCA Architectural Standards waiver granted?						3b)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant								
						<u> </u>		
DCA's Comments:								

## PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park , Kennesaw, Cobb County

	A	oplicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use C	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding r	ound and have		
4 REHABILITATION STANDARDS (REHABILITATION PRO	The effect of earliest of father father father greater greater	Pass?		
·	,		4 Coloots >	
A. Type of rehab (choose one):	A.  << <b>Select&gt;&gt;</b> B.		< <select>&gt;</select>	
B. Date of Physical Needs Assessment (PNA): Name of consultant preparing PNA:	D			
Is 20-year replacement reserve study included?				
C. Performance Rpt indicates energy audit completed by qualified BPI Bui	Iding Analyst?	C.		
Name of qualified BPI Building Analyst or equivalent professional:	iding Analyst:	C.		
	ab,and clearly indicates percentages of each item to be either "demoed" or replaced	D.		
DCA's Rehabilitation Work Scope form referenced above clearly	All immediate needs identified in the PNA.	1)		
addresses:	All application threshold and scoring requirements	2)		
3333333	All applicable architectural and accessibility standards.	3)		
	A. All remediation issues identified in the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.	4)		
E Applicant understands that in addition to proposed work scope, the pro-	ject must meet state and local building codes, DCA architectural requirements as	Ψ) E.		
set forth in the QAP and Manuals, and health and safety codes and req				
Threshold Justification per Applicant	<u> </u>	ļ		
Enclave at Depot Park is a new development, therefore this section is not applic	able			
DCA's Comments:				
DOA'S COMMUNIC.				
UE CITE INFORMATION AND CONCERTINAL CITE DEVELOR	DMENT DLAN	Pass?		
15 SITE INFORMATION AND CONCEPTUAL SITE DEVELOR		Fa55:		
A. Is Conceptual Site Development Plan included in application and has it Manual?	been prepared in accordance with all instructions set forth in the DCA Architectural	A.	Yes	
Are all interior and exterior site related amenities required and selected	in this application indicated on the Conceptual Site Development Plan?		Yes	
B. Location/Vicinity map delineates location point of proposed property (si	te geo coordinates) & shows entire municipality area (city limits, etc.)?	B.	Yes	
C. Ground level color photos of proposed property & adjacent surrounding	properties & structures are included, numbered, dated & have brief descriptions?	C.	Yes	
Site Map delineates the approximate location point of each photo?			Yes	
D. Aerial color photos are current, have high enough resolution to clearly i	dentify existing property & adjacent land uses, and delineate property boundaries?	D.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
DCA's Comments:				
6 BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY		Pass?		
A. Applicant agrees that this proposed property must achieve a minimum	standard for energy efficiency and sustainable building practices upon construction	A	1	
completion as set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual?	oraniana ion onorgy omornoy and oddamado odmanig practicos apon conciliance.	. "	Agree	
	licate all components of the building envelope and all materials and equipment that	В.	_	
meet the requirements set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Man	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Agree	
Threshold Justification per Applicant		!		
Ve agree to adhere to all DCA energy efficiency and sustainable building require	rements.			
DCA's Comments:				

Applicant Response DCA USE

#### PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park , Kennesaw, Cobb County

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round scoring decisions.	ound and have	
17 ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS	Pass?	
A. 1) Upon completion, will this project comply with all applicable Federal and State accessibility laws including but not limited to: The Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Georgia Fair Housing Law and Georgia Access Law as set forth in the 2015 Accessibility Manual? (When two or more accessibility standards apply, the applicant is required to follow and apply both standards so that a maximum accessibility is obtained.)	A1).	Yes
2) Owner understands that DCA requires the Section 504 accessibility requirements to be incorporated into the design and construction of ALL new construction and/or rehabilitation projects selected under the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan, regardless of whether or not the project will receive federal debt financing assistance (e.g., HOME). This constitutes a higher standard of accessibility than what may be required under federal laws. This means that all projects, including those financed with tax exempt bonds which receive an allocation of 4% tax credits and 9% tax credits-only projects, must incorporate at a minimum the requirements of the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards into the design and construction of the project.	2)	Yes
3) Owner claims that property is eligible for any of the stated statutory exemptions for any applicable federal, state, and local accessibility law? If so, support the claim with a legal opinion placed where indicted in Tabs Checklist.	3)	No
4) Does this project comply with applicable DCA accessibility requirements detailed in the 2016 Architectural and Accessibility Manuals?	4)	Yes
B. 1) a. Will at least 5% of the total units (but no less than Nbr of Units Minimum Required:		
one unit) be equipped for the mobility disabled, Equipped: Nbr of Units Percentage including wheelchair restricted residents? 1) a. Mobility Impaired 4 5%	B1)a.	Yes
, a masing impaired	Bija.	
b. Roll-in showers will be incorporated into 40% of the mobility 1) b. Roll-In Showers 2 40% equipped units (but no fewer than one unit)?	b.[_	Yes
2) Will least an additional 2% of the total units (but no less than one 2) Sight / Hearing Impaired 2 2% unit) be equipped for hearing and sight-impaired residents?	2)	Yes
<ul> <li>C. Applicant will retain a DCA qualified consultant to monitor the project for accessibility compliance who will not be a member of the proposed Project Team nor have an Identify of Interest with any member of the proposed Project Team?</li> <li>The DCA qualified consultant will perform the following:</li> </ul> Name of Accessibility Consultant	C.	Yes
1) A pre-construction plan and specification review to determine that the proposed property will meet all required accessibility requirements. The Consultant report must be included with the Step 2 construction documents submitted to DCA. At a minimum, the report will include the initial comments from the consultant, all documents related to resolution of identified accessibility issues and a certification from the consultant that the plans appear to meet all accessibility requirements.	C1).	Yes
2) At least two training sessions for General Contractor and Subcontractors regarding accessibility requirements. One training must be on site.	2).	Yes
3) An inspection of the construction site after framing is completed to determine that the property is following the approved plans and specifications as to accessibility. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved.	3).	Yes
4) A final inspection of the property after completion of construction to determine that the property has been constructed in accordance with all accessibility requirements. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved prior to submission of the project cost certification.	4).	Yes
Threshold Justification per Applicant		
We agree to adhere to all federal, state, and local accessibility laws and regulations  DCA's Comments:		
DOA'S COMMENS.		

### PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park, Kennesaw, Cobb County

			Applicant l	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINA	TION (DCA Use Only)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding			
18 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN & QUAL		no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Pass?		
Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA inc		n?	. 400 .	No	1
Does this application meet the Architectural Star	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Yes	
		nimum review standards for rehabilitation projects met or exceeded b	y this project?	103	
		pilitation hard costs exceed \$25,000. The costs of furniture, fixture			
construction or rehabilitation of community b					
B. Standard Design Options for All Projects			В.		
1) Exterior Wall Finishes (select one)	Exterior wall faces will have an excess	s of 40% brick or stone on each total wall surface	1)	Yes	
<ol><li>Major Bldg Component Materials &amp; Upgrades (select one)</li></ol>	Fiber cement siding or other 30 year verguired to be brick	warranty product installed on all exterior wall surfaces not already	2)	Yes	
C. Additional Design Options - not listed above	ve, proposed by Applicant prior to Appl	ication Submittal in accordance with Exhibit A DCA Pre-application a	nd		
Pre-Award Deadlines and Fee Schedule, an	nd subsequently approved by DCA.		C.		
1)			1)		
2)			2)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant					
Ve agree to adhere to all DCA archtectural design s	tandards to ensure quality, longevity, e	conomy, and sustainability of our product.			
DCA's Comments:					
19 QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROJECT T	`		Pass?		_
A. Did the Certifying Entity meet the experience	•		A.	No	
<b>B.</b> Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pro	· •	• •	B.	No	
C. Has there been any change in the Project To			C.	No	
<b>D.</b> Did the project team request a waiver or wai	_		D.		
E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Project	ct's Team Determination indicated a sta	atus of (select one):	E. << Select De		
F. DCA Final Determination			F. << Select De	signation >>	>
Threshold Justification per Applicant	Control of the contro	III			
As we did not take advantage of the pre-application of	options, we are including our entire qua	allications package for DCA review now.			
DCA's Comments:					
20 COMPLIANCE HIGTORY CHIMMARY			Pass?		
20 COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY		-0			
A. Was a pre-application submitted for this Det			A.	No	
B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the st	• • •		В.	N/A	
Project Participants?	et team members completed all required	d documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for	or C.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant					1
s we did not take advantage of the pre-application	options, we are including our entire con	npliance package for DCA review now.			
DCA's Comments:					

Georgia Department of Community Affairs

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park	. Kennesaw	. Cobb County
--	------------	---------------

	Applicant R	lesponse DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding not only to the corresponding funding round scoring decisions.	ing round and have	
1 ELIGIBILITY FOR CREDIT UNDER THE NON-PROFIT SET-ASIDE	Pass?	
	r a55 :	
A. Name of Qualified non-profit:  A.		
B. Non-profit's Website:  B. Latte approfit on a profit defined on 504(a)(a) on 504(a)(b) appropriation which is not efficient with a controlled by a for profit.	0	
C. Is the organization a qualified non-profit, defined as a 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization, which is not affiliated with or controlled by a for-profit organization and has included the fostering of low income housing as one of its tax-exempt purposes?	C.	
D. Will the qualified non-profit materially participate in the development and operation of the project as described in IRC Section 469(h) throughout the compliance period?	D.	
E. Does the qualified non-profit own at least 51% of the GP's interest in the project and is the managing general partner of the ownership entity?	E.	
F. Is this entity a corporation with 100 percent of the stock of such corporation held by one or more qualified non-profit organizations at all times during the period such corporation is in existence?	F.	
G. All Applicants: Does the non-profit receive a percentage of the developer fee greater than or equal to its percentage of its ownership interest?	G.	
1) CHDOS Only: If the nonprofit entity is also a CHDO, is it a DCA-certified CHDO which must own 100% of the General Partnership entity?		
H. Is a copy of the GP joint venture agreement or GP operating agreement that provides the non-profit's GP interest and the Developer Fee amount included in the application?	H.	
I. Is a an opinion of a third party attorney who specializes in tax law on the non-profit's current federal tax exempt qualification status included in the	I.	
Application? If such an opinion has been previously obtained, this requirement may be satisfied by submitting the opinion with documentation		
demonstrating that the non-profit's bylaws have not changed since the legal opinion was issued.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant		
toyal American Development and its affiliates are not nonprofit organizations		
DCA's Comments:		
DOA'S COMMENS.		
DOA'S COMMENS.		
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE	Pass?	
	Pass?	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE	Pass?	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  Name of CHDO Managing GP:		
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?	В.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?	B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  DCA HOME Consent amount:  0	B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  Threshold Justification per Applicant	B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  DCA HOME Consent amount:  Threshold Justification per Applicant  Royal American Development and its affiliates are not CHDOs.	B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  Threshold Justification per Applicant  Boyal American Development and its affiliates are not CHDOs.  DCA's Comments:	B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  Threshold Justification per Applicant  Royal American Development and its affiliates are not CHDOs.  DCA's Comments:  State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.	B. C. D.	
ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  Threshold Justification per Applicant  Evoyal American Development and its affiliates are not CHDOs.  DCA'S Comments:  State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.  A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition	B. C. D.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  Threshold Justification per Applicant  Royal American Development and its affiliates are not CHDOs.  DCA's Comments:  State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.  A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition  B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility	B. C. D. Pass? A. B.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  Threshold Justification per Applicant  toyal American Development and its affiliates are not CHDOs.  DCA's Comments:  State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.  A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition  B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility  C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status	Pass? A. B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  Threshold Justification per Applicant  toyal American Development and its affiliates are not CHDOs.  DCA's Comments:  23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS  State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.  A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition  B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility  C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status  D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP]	B. C. D. Pass? A. B.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  Threshold Justification per Applicant  toyal American Development and its affiliates are not CHDOs.  DCA's Comments:  23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS  State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.  A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition  B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility  C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status  D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP]  E. Other (If Yes, then also describe):	Pass? A. B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  Threshold Justification per Applicant  Boyal American Development and its affiliates are not CHDOs.  DCA'S Comments:  State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.  A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition  B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility  C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status  D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP]  E. Other (If Yes, then also describe):  Threshold Justification per Applicant	Pass? A. B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE  A. Name of CHDO:  B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?  C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?  D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  Threshold Justification per Applicant  toyal American Development and its affiliates are not CHDOs.  DCA's Comments:  23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS  State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.  A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition  B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility  C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status  D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP]  E. Other (If Yes, then also describe):	Pass? A. B. C.	

## PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-073 Enclave at Depot Park , Kennesaw, Cobb County

Applic	ant R	esponse	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round a no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	nd have		
	<u> </u>		
24 REEGOATION AND DIGI EAGEMENT OF TENANTO	ass?		
A. Does the Applicant anticipate displacing or relocating any tenants?	Α.	No	
B. 1) Are any of the other sources (not DCA HOME) considered to be Federal Funding?	B1)		
If Yes, applicant will need to check with the source of these funds to determine if this project will trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or 104(d).			
2) If tenants will be displaced, has Applicant received DCA written approval and placed a copy where indicated in the Tabs Checklist?	2)		
3) Will any funding source used trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or HUD 104 (d) requirements?	3)		
C. Is sufficient comparable replacement housing identified in the relocation plan according to DCA relocation requirements?	C.		
D. Provide summary data collected from DCA Relocation Displacement Spreadsheet:	1		
1) Number of Over Income Tenants 4) Number of Down units			
2) Number of Rent Burdened Tenants 5) Number of Displaced Tenants			
3) Number of Vacancies			
E. Indicate Proposed Advisory Services to be used (see Relocation Manual for further explanation):			
1) Individual interviews 3) Written Notifications			
2) Meetings 4) Other - describe in box provided:			
Threshold Justification per Applicant As this project is a new development of vacant land, there is no relocation or displacement of any kind.			
DCA's Comments:			
DOA'S COMMENS.			
OF AFFIRMATIVE V FURTUERING FAIR HOUGING (AFFU)	ass?		
23 ATTIMIATIVEET TORTHERING FAIR HOUGHNO (ATTI)	a55 :		
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing plan that:	. –	_	
A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each service provider, homeless shelter or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is located?	A.	Agree	
B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to persons with disabilities and the homeless?	B.	Agree	
C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintains relationships between the management agent and community service providers?	C.	Agree	
D. Includes a referral and screening process that will be used to refer tenants to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable accommodations to facilitate the admittance of persons with disabilities or the homeless into the project?	D.	Agree	
E. Includes marketing of properties to underserved populations 2-4 months prior to occupancy?	E.	Agree	
F. Includes making applications for affordable units available to public locations including at least one that has night hours?	F.	Agree	
G. Includes outreach to Limited English Proficiency groups for languages identified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area?	G.	Agree	
H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to provide reasonable accommodation for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws.	H.	Agree	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
We agree to adhere to all federal, state, and local fair housing laws and regulations, and to prepare an AFFH marketing plan according to DCA requirements.			
DCA's Comments:			
OR ORTHAN LITH IZATION OF RECOURCES	10002		
20 OF THIS CONTROL OF REGOVERED	ass?		
Threshold Justification per Applicant We strive for economy and good stewardship of scarce resources; therefore we maximize the use of credit allocation at \$9,150 per unit			
DCA's Comments:			
DONG Continuents.			

PART N	NINE - SCO	RING CRITERIA - 2017-073 Enclave a	t Depot Park,	Kennesaw, Cobb County		
Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section	on reviews pertai	III cants must include comments in sections where points in only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness"	on subsequent or futur	re funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value	Self DCA Score Score
				TOTALS:	92	65 22
1. APPLICATION COMPLETENESS		(Applicants start with 10 pts. A	Any points entered	will be <u>subtracted</u> from score value)	10	10 10
A. Missing or Incomplete Documents	Number:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A	
Organization	Number:			ecklist and the Application Instructions	1	0
B. Financial and Other Adjustments	Number:			(1) pt deducted for each add'l adjustment.	В	. 0
DCA's Comments:	N III	Enter "1" for ea	ch item listed belov	V.		N IIa u
A. Missing or Illegible or Inaccurate Documents or Application Not Organized Correctly	Nbr <b>0</b>	INCOMPLETE Documents:	Nbr <b>0</b>	B. Financial adjustments/rev	visions:	Nbr <b>0</b>
1	U	1	n/a	1	71310113.	n/a
			.,,,			.,,
2		2		2		
3		3	included in 2	3		included in 2
4		4		4		included in 2
5		5	included in 4	5		
6		6		6		
7		7	included in 6	7		
8		8		8		
9		9	included in 8	9		
10		10		10		
11		11	included in 10	11		
12		12		12		

	g.a ep a	==:::: : ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::			Ü				
	PART NINE - SCORING CRITER	RIA - 2017-073 Enclave at	<b>Depot Park</b>	, Kennesaw, C	obb County				
		comments in sections where points a				Score	S	elf	DCA
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspo	onding funding round and have no effect o (1) point "Application Completeness" d		ture funding round scorir	ng decisions.	Value			Score
	randre to do so will result in a one t	Troomication Combleteness of	eduction.		TOTALS:	92	6		22
2.	DEEPER TARGETING / RENT / INCOME RESTRICTIONS	Choose A or	R		TOTALO.	3		2	0
						J	<u></u>		
Α	Deeper Targeting through Rent Restrictions	Total Residential U	nits: <b>78</b>	A - 1 1 D	of Development Library				
	Applicant agrees to set income limits at 50% AMI and gross rents at or below 30% of the 50% income limit for at least:	Per Applicant Per DCA			of Residential Units:	_	۸ 🗀		
		Nbr of Restricted Residential Un	its:	Per Applicant	Per DCA	2		2	0
	1. 15% of total residential units			0.00%	0.00%	1		)	0
or	2. 20% of total residential units	28		35.90%	0.00%	2	2.	2	0
В	. Deeper Targeting through <u>New</u> PBRA Contracts	Nbr of PBRA Residential Units	:			3	В. (	)	0
	1. 15% (at least) of residential units to have PBRA for 10+ yrs:			0.00%	0.00%	2	1. (	)	0
	2. Application receives at least 3 points under Section VII. Stable	le Communities. Points awarde	d in Sect VII:	6	2	1	2. (	)	0
	DCA's Comments:					_			
_	DECIDADI E AND UNDECIDADI E QUADACTEDICTICO	Coo	OAD Cooring for ro	autromonto		40		<u>. Т</u>	
3.	DESIRABLE AND UNDESIRABLE CHARACTERISTICS		QAP Scoring for re			13		3	0
	Is the completed and executed DCA Desirable/Undesirable Certification form		·	· ·	<b>o</b>			es	
	Desirable Activities	(1 or 2 pts each - see QAP)	•	•	s from completed current	12		2	
_	. Bonus Desirable	(1 pt - see QAP)		ed form in both Excel a	cation form. Submit this	1		<u> </u>	
C	. Undesirable/Inefficient Site Activities/Characteristics	(1 pt subtracted each)	complete	indicated in Tabs C	•	various	C	)	
Due	Scoring Justification per Applicant  e to our site's location in historic downtown Kennesaw, near the traditional towr	square and with easy access to o	rocery shopping	g, medical care, sch	ools, restaurants, public	c services.	and sho	pping.	we
	er a full complement of desirable characteristics. All are within two miles of wal	•		•				PP9,	
	DCA's Comments:								
4.	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS	٥٥	e scorina criteria	a for further requiren	nents and information	6		)	0
4.	Evaluation Criteria	Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible	a for farther regainer	ionis and imorniation	U		licant	DCA
		•	Flexible				Agr	ees?	Agrees?
	All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Pave     All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Pave		our d Dadastiis	M/=U					
	DCA has measured all required distances between a pedestrian site entire.	, ,		i vvaikways.					
	<ol> <li>Each residential building is accessible to the pedestrian site entrance via</li> <li>Paved Pedestrian Walkway is in existence by Application Submission.</li> </ol>		•	Applicant has sub-	nittad dagumanta				
	showing a construction timeline, commitment of funds, and approval from				milea aocaments				
	5. The Applicant has clearly marked the routes being used to claim points of			,					
	6. Transportation service is being publicized to the general public.								

			3 Enclave at Depot Park ,	, itellicouv, o					
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain	n only to the correspondir	ng funding round a		ure funding round scorir	g decisions.	Score Value			DCA Score
Failure to do so	will result in a one (1) p	oint "Application	n Completeness" deduction.		TOTALS:	92	=	65	22
Channe A o	D				IOIALS.	32		00	22
Flexible Pool  A. Transit-Oriented Development  Choose <u>A o</u> Choose eith	<u>г в.</u> er option 1 or 2 un	uder A				6	A.	0	0
Site is <b>owned</b> by local transit agency & is strate	· —		For <b>ALL</b> options under the	his scoring criterior	regardless of	5	1.	U	U
create housing with <i>on site or adjacent</i> access	• • • •	•	Competitive Pool chosen	•		3			
OR 2. Site is within one (1) mile* of a transit hub	to public transport	auon	•	t agency/service:		4	2.		
3. Applicant in A1 or A2 above serves Family tenan	cy.		<< Enter transit agency/service name		<enter here="" phone=""></enter>	1	3.		
	one option in B.					3	В.	0	0
1. Site is within 1/4 mile * of an established public		р	<< Enter specific URL/webpage show	ving established <u>sched</u>	<u>ıle</u> from transit agency	3	1.		
OR 2. Site is within 1/2 mile * of an established public	transportation sto	р	website here >>			2	2.		
OR 3. Site is within one (1) mile * of an established pu	ublic transportation	n stop	<< Enter specific URL/webpage show	ring established <u>routes</u>	from transit agency	1	3.		
Rural Pool			website (if different) here >>						
4. Publicly operated/sponsored and established						2	4.		
*As measured from an entrance to the site that is accessible to p	edestrians and conn	ected by sidev	valks or established pedestrian w	alkways to the trans	sportation hub/stop.				
Scoring Justification per Applicant Unfortunately, the site is not served by public transportation with	U (1								
DCA's Comments:									
5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Docum	nentation)		See scoring criteria for further			2		2	
A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfi	ield and determined clear	nup guidelines:			ent Protection Division				
<b>B.</b> Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for		ther Action or Limi	itation of Liability Itr						Yes/No
- 0	<b>C.</b> Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget?								
DOM: 0	ncluded in the developme	ent budget?	itation of Liability III	Georgia Environn	ent Protection Division			es/No N/a	
DCA's Comments:	ncluded in the developme	ent budget?	itation of Elability III	Georgia Environn	ent Protection Division				
	ncluded in the developme	ent budget?	itation of Elability III	Georgia Environn	ent Protection Division			N/a	
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS	·	ent budget?			ent Protection Division	3			0
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements	·	ent budget?	Platinum Cert - LEED f		ent Protection Division			N/a	
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requiremental competitive Pool chosen:	ents.				ent Protection Division			N/a	
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requiremental competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training	ents.  Date of Course	5/25/17	Platinum Cert - LEED f Flexible Patti Adams	for Homes  Royal American Deve	lopment		C.	N/a	
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirementative Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?	ents.  Date of Course Date of Course	5/25/17	Platinum Cert - LEED f Flexible Patti Adams <-Enter Participant 's Name here>>	for Homes  Royal American Deve	lopment Company Name here>>	3	C.	3	
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requiremed Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for dever	ents.  Date of Course Date of Course	5/25/17 compliance w/	Platinum Cert - LEED f Flexible Patti Adams <-Enter Participant 's Name here>> / minimum score required under p	Royal American Devo	lopment Company Name here>> included in application	3	C.	3	
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirementative Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?	ents.  Date of Course Date of Course	5/25/17 compliance w/	Platinum Cert - LEED f Flexible Patti Adams <-Enter Participant 's Name here>>	for Homes  Royal American Deve	lopment Company Name here>> included in application	3	C.	3	
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requiremed Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for dever	ents.  Date of Course Date of Course	5/25/17 compliance w/	Platinum Cert - LEED f Flexible Patti Adams <-Enter Participant 's Name here>> / minimum score required under p	Royal American Devo	lopment Company Name here>> included in application	3	C	3 Yes	
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requiremed Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for developments - required Energy Audit Report station.  A. Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification	ents.  Date of Course Date of Course elopment, illustrating	5/25/17 compliance w/	Platinum Cert - LEED f Flexible Patti Adams <- <enter 's="" here="" name="" participant="">&gt; / minimum score required under p Date of Audit</enter>	Royal American Devo	lopment Company Name here>> included in application	3	C	3 Yes	0
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requiremed Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for developments - required Energy Audit Report states.  A. Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification 1. EarthCraft Communities	ents.  Date of Course Date of Course elopment, illustrating submitted per current	5/25/17  compliance w/	Platinum Cert - LEED f Flexible Patti Adams <-Enter Participant 's Name here>> / minimum score required under p Date of Audit	for Homes  Royal American Deve < <enter 's="" date="" is="" of="" participant="" program="" report<="" selected,="" td=""><td>lopment Company Name here&gt;&gt; included in application</td><td>3</td><td>C</td><td>3 Yes</td><td>0</td></enter>	lopment Company Name here>> included in application	3	C	3 Yes	0
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requiremed Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for developments - required Energy Audit Report states.  A. Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification 1. EarthCraft Communities Date that EarthCraft Communities Memorandum of Participation	ents.  Date of Course Date of Course elopment, illustrating submitted per current in from the program of	5/25/17  compliance w/ t QAP?  chosen above?	Platinum Cert - LEED f Flexible Patti Adams <-Enter Participant 's Name here>> / minimum score required under p Date of Audit  relopment where the project is loc	for Homes  Royal American Deve < <enter 's="" date="" is="" of="" participant="" program="" report<="" selected,="" td=""><td>lopment Company Name here&gt;&gt; included in application</td><td>3</td><td>C</td><td>3 Yes</td><td>0</td></enter>	lopment Company Name here>> included in application	3	C	3 Yes	0
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requiremed Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for developments - required Energy Audit Report states.  A. Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification 1. EarthCraft Communities	ents.  Date of Course Date of Course elopment, illustrating submitted per current in from the program of	5/25/17  compliance w/ cQAP?  chosen above?  ted for the dev	Platinum Cert - LEED f Flexible Patti Adams <-Enter Participant 's Name here>> / minimum score required under p Date of Audit  relopment where the project is loc	for Homes  Royal American Deve < <enter 's="" date="" is="" of="" participant="" program="" report<="" selected,="" td=""><td>lopment Company Name here&gt;&gt; included in application</td><td>3</td><td>C</td><td>3 Yes</td><td>0</td></enter>	lopment Company Name here>> included in application	3	C	3 Yes	0

	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-073 Er	nclave at Depot Park,	Kennesaw, Cobb County		
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections wn  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and ha  Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Com	ve no effect on subsequent or futu		Score Value	Self DCA Score Score
			TOTALS:	92	65 22
	b) Name of nonrelated third party LEED AP that prepared Feasibility Study:	Enter LEED AP's Name here>>	< <enter 's="" ap="" company="" here="" leed="" name="">&gt;</enter>		
B. C.	<ol> <li>Project will comply with the program version in effect at the time that the drawings are prepared</li> <li>Project will meet program threshold requirements for Building Sustainability?</li> <li>Owner will engage in tenant and building manager education in compliance with the point requirements for Building Certification project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certification</li> <li>Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building Certification</li> <li>Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certificate from certifying body demonstration</li> <li>High Performance Building Design</li> </ol>	rements of the respective proertification from the program	chosen above?	1 3	Yes/No Yes/No  1.
υ.	<ol> <li>A worst case HERS Index that is at least 15% lower than the ENERGY STAR Target Index?</li> <li>A 10% improvement over the baseline building performance rating? The energy savings will be ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G with additional guidance from the ENERGY STAR Multifamily</li> <li>For minor, moderate, or substantial rehabilitations, a projected reduction in energy consumption or ENERGY STAR compliant whole building energy model? Baseline performance should be represented.</li> </ol>	High-Rise Simulation Guidel n ≥ 30%, documented by a R	lines. RESNET-approved HERS Rating software	·	1. 2. 3.
	Scoring Justification per Applicant	modeled using existing cond	illions.		
	DCA's Comments:				
Α	STABLE COMMUNITIES  Census Tract Demographics  Competitive Pool chosen: Flexible  1. Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demographics according to the most period of the most pe	nost current FFIEC census report, st recent FFIEC Census Rep	•	<b>7</b> 3	6   2     2
	4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographics ac (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS located within 1/4 mile of such a census tract. (Applicant answer to Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities Sub-cluster in which project is located, according to the most recent GDPH data hosted on the DCA Housing Properties" map:	Question 1 above cannot be "Yes".)	Per Applicant Per DCA  A1   Select>	2	N/a 2 0
D.	Mixed-Income Developments in Stable Communities Market units: 20  DCA's Comments:	Total Units: 78	Mkt Pct of Total: 25.64%	2	2 2

corg	a Department of Community Analis		ung Application	•	and dia be	relopinent bivisio
	PART NINE - SCO	RING CRITERIA - 2017-07:	3 Enclave at Depot Pa	ark , Kennesaw, Cobb County		
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain	icants must include comments in section of only to the corresponding funding round a will result in a one (1) point "Application	nd have no effect on subsequent	or future funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value 92	Self DCA Score Score
l:	TRANSFORMATIONAL COMMUNITIES s this application eligible for two or more points under 2017 f applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed f applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed	I DCA Neighborhood Redevelopmer	nt Certification included in th	ne appropriate tab of the application?	10	0 0
E	Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on ex	isting Revitalization Plan meeting D		evitalization Plan		formation Plan Yes/No
	a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / coun		a) <enter pa<="" th=""><th>ge nbr(s) from Plan&gt;</th><th><enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter></th></enter>	ge nbr(s) from Plan>	<enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>
	b) Includes public input and engagement during the plann	ing stages?	b)	ge nbr(s) from Plan>		nbr(s) from Plan here>
	c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable recommunity?	ntal housing as a policy goal for the	c)	ge nbr(s) from Plan >		nbr(s) from Plan here>
	d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific policies & housing activities?	time frames for achievement of	d) <enter pa<="" th=""><th>ge nbr(s) from Plan&gt;</th><th><enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter></th></enter>	ge nbr(s) from Plan>	<enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>
	The specific time frames and implementation measures	s are current and ongoing?	<enter pa<="" th=""><th>ge nbr(s) from Plan&gt;</th><th><enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter></th></enter>	ge nbr(s) from Plan>	<enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>
	e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement t	he plan?	e) <a href="#">Enter pa</a>	ge nbr(s) from Plan>	<enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>
	f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application	on binder?	f)			
	Vebsite address (URL) of <i>Revitalization</i> Plan: Vebsite address (URL) of <i>Transformation</i> Plan:					
Α. (	Community Revitalization				2	Yes/No Yes/No
i. II	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Date Plan originally adopted by Lo Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adop Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed	ption to Application Submiss			i.)
"	a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community:     Publication Name(s)	a)				
	b) Type of event: Date(s) of event(s):	b) < <select 1="" event="" th="" type<=""><th></th><th>&lt;<select 2="" event="" type="">&gt;</select></th><th></th><th></th></select>		< <select 2="" event="" type="">&gt;</select>		
	c) Letters of Support from local non- government entities.  Type: Entity Name:	c) < <select 1="" entity="" th="" typ<=""><th>06&gt;&gt;</th><th>&lt;<select 2="" entity="" type="">&gt;</select></th><th></th><th></th></select>	06>>	< <select 2="" entity="" type="">&gt;</select>		
	. Community Revitalization Plan - Application propose which the property will be located.		•	•	1 1	1.
2	Qualified Census Tract and Community Revitalization a written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific			Qualified Census Tract and that contributes	to 1 2	2.
	Project is in a QCT?	Census Tract Number:	302.27	Eligible Basis Adjustment:	< <select>&gt;</select>	

							Kennesaw, Cobb County			
				comments in section				Score	Self	DCA
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA	A Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertai		nding funding round an  1) point "Application (			e funding round scoring decisions.	Value		Score
		Failure to do so	wiii resuit in a one ti	n boine Abblication	Completeness deat	ICHOH.	TOTALS:	92	65	22
							IOTALS.	92	03	
R										
	Community Trans		lization Dlan most	ing DCA standards	-2			6 B	•	
	• •	eference an existing Community Revita	ilization Plan meet	ing DCA standards	5?					
	1. Community-Bas							2 1		
<u>(</u>	Community-Based De	eveloper (CBD)	Select at least tw	vo out of the three		in "a" below, o	or "b"). CBD	1		
	Entity Name		<u></u>	1	Website					
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email				Yes/No	Yes/No
8							a around the development (proposed or	/ ▶	•	
		re) in the last two years and can docur	nent that these pa	irtherships have me			resident outcomes.		Lattere	f Cummont
	CBO 1 Name	he and alcohour montropuelin account			Purpose: Website					f Support ided?
	Community/neig	hborhd where partnership occurred	Direct Line		Email				IIICIU	ueu?
	CBO 2 Name		Direct Line		Purpose:				Lottor of	f Support
		hborhd where partnership occurred			Website					ided?
	Contact Name	riborna where partnership occurred	Direct Line		Email				IIICIG	ucu.
		vears, the CBD has participated or led		ities benefitting eit		Neighborhood	or 2) a targeted area surrounding their	i	i.	
		another Georgia community. Use com								
		3		<u> </u>	1 0		••		_	
		een selected as a result of a communit		•		st for Proposal	or similar public bid process.	ii		
or b	The Project Tear	m received a HOME consent for the pr	oposed property a	ind was designated	as a CHDO.			b	' <u></u>	
(	Community Quarterb	ack (CQB)	See QAP for req	uirements.			CQB	1		
			•		•	-	orhood, as delineated by the Community	Enter page		
		Plan, to increase residents' access to			•			nbr(s) here		
		confirming their partnership with Proje	ect Team to serve	as CQB is included	1	lication binder v	where indicated by Tabs Checklist?			
	iii. CQB Name		-		Website					
_	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email					
2	2. Quality Transfo					_		4 2		
		Feam has completed Community Enga	agement and Outre	each prior to Applic						
a	a) Public and Priva				Tenancy:	Elderly				
		ts must engage at least <u>two</u> different		rtner types, while S	senior Applicants r					
	i. Transformation F	Partner 1 <select td="" transformatio<=""><td>n Partner type&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Meeting 1 between Partners</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></select>	n Partner type>				Meeting 1 between Partners			
	Org Name						lication of meeting notice	J		
	Website		Direct Line			Publication(s) Social Media				
	Contact Name Email		Direct Line			Mtg Locatn				
	Role					,	rs were present at Public Mtg 1 between	Partners?		
	Role					Which Partnei	rs were present at Public Mtg 1 between	Partners?		

		PART N	INE - SCOF	RING CRITER	IA - 2017-073	Enclave at D	epot Park,	Kennesaw, Cobb County			
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DC		KE	-мімиек: Аррію	cants must include	comments in section	ns w <mark>n</mark> ere points are c	laimed.	re funding round scoring decisions.	Score	Self	DCA
		F	ailure to do so v	will result in a one (	1) point "Application	Completeness" dedu	uction.	TOTAL 0	Value		Score
							-	TOTALS:	92	65	22
ii. Transformation	Partner 2	<select t<="" td=""><td>ransformation</td><td>Prtnr type&gt;</td><td></td><td>If "Other" Type,</td><td></td><td>c Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs</td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td></select>	ransformation	Prtnr type>		If "Other" Type,		c Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs	3		
Org Name						specify below:		olication of meeting notice			
Website				In			Publication(s)				
Contact Name				Direct Line		_	Social Media				
Email Role							Mtg Locatn	ers were present at Public Mtg 2 betwe	an Dantaana		
		Chassa	ither "I" or "ii"	holow for (h)			which Partne	ers were present at Public Mtg 2 betwe	en Partners?	Voc/No	Yes/No
b) Citizen Outread i. Survey	ы			` '	nary of results incl	uded in correspond	ding tah in ann	lication hinder?		j.	162/140
or			spondents	ia itemizea saim	nary or results inci	uded in correspon	uing tab in app	ilication binder:		1.	
ii. Public Meeting	s	1401 01 110	оронастио							ii.	
Meeting 1 Date		ſ					Dates: Mtg 2	Mtg Notice Publica	tion	<i>n</i> .	
•	ication of Meetin	ıa 1 notice						rgmt met by reg'd public mtg between		Partners?	1
Publication(s)		.9					Publication(s)			u	<u>.</u>
Social Media							Social Media				
Meeting Location	on						Mtg Locatn				
	ublished notices	provided in	n application b	oinder?				published notices provided in applicat	ion binder?		
					enges preventing t	his community from		cal resources (according to feedback		come popula	ation to
					nsformation Team			· •			
i. Local Population	on Challenge 1										
	ng residents' acces	S									
Solution and	d Who Implements										
Goal for catalyzi	ng neighborhood's	access									
Solution and	d Who Implements										
ii. Local Population	on Challenge 2										
	ng residents' acces	S									
	d Who Implements										
	ng neighborhood's	access									
	d Who Implements										
iii. Local Population											
	ng residents' acces	S									
	d Who Implements	:									
,	ng neighborhood's	access									
	d Who Implements										
iv. Local Populatio		_									
	ng residents' acces	S									
	d Who Implements										
	ng neighborhood's	access									
v. Local Population	d Who Implements	}									
	ng residents' acces	c									
	d Who Implements	ى د									
	na neiahborhood's :	20000									

	PART NINE - SCO	RING CRITERI	A - 2017-073	<b>Enclave at D</b>	epot Park , Kennesaw, (	Cobb County			
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and So	coring section reviews pertain	cants must include of only to the correspondation only to the correspondation at one (1)	ding funding round an	d have no effect on so	ubsequent or future funding round sco	ring decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
						TOTALS:	92	65	22
Solution and Who Implements									
C. Community Investment							4		
1. Community Improvement Fur	nd Amount / Bala	ance			Elderl	y	_ 1	1.	
Source		Direct Line		Bank Name			Applicants: P	lease use "Pt I	K B-
Contact Email		Direct Line		Account Name Bank Website			,	mprovmt Narr"	tab
Bank Contact		Direct Line		Contact Email			provided.		
Description of									
Use of Funds									
Narrative of how the									
secured funds									
support the									
Community									
Revitalization									
Plan or									
Community Transformation									
Plan.									
T Idili.									
2. Long-term Ground Lease							1	2.	
a) Projects receives a long-term g	round lease (no less tha	n 45-year) for nom	inal consideration	and no other land	d costs for the entire property?				
b) No funds other than what is dis-	closed in the Application	have been or will	be paid for the lea	se either directly	or indirectly?				
3. Third-Party Capital Investmen	nt				Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible	2	3.	
Unrelated Third-Party Name					Only of constant of Only or other terms				5.
Unrelated Third-Party Type	nitu wida in acana ar wa	a improvement cor	malatad mara than	2 uma maiar ta Ama	Select unrelated 3rd party type	00>	Improveme	ent Completi	on Date
Is 3rd party investment commun Distance from proposed project	•	•	•	i 3 yrs prior to App	miles				
Description of Investment or	Site in miles, realiaca a	p to the next tenth	or a fillio		miles				
Funding Mechanism									
Description of Investment's									
Furtherance of Plan									
Description of how the									
investment will serve the									
tenant base for the proposed									
development									
Full Cost of Improvement					Total Development Costs (TD0	<u>C)</u> :			
as a Percent of TDC:	0.0000%	0.00	00%		14,201,461				

PART NINE - SCORING CRITER	IA - 2017-073 Enclave a	t Depot Park	, Kennesaw, Cobb County			
REMINDER: Applicants must include  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspo			ure funding round scoring decisions.	Score	Self	DCA
	1) point "Application Completeness"	· ·	g g	Value	Score	Score
			TOTALS:	92	65	22
D. Community Designations	(Choose only	one.)		10	D.	
1. HUD Choice Neighborhood Implementation (CNI) Grant					1.	
2. Purpose Built Communities					2.	
Scoring Justification per Applicant						
DCA's Comments:						
						_
9. PHASED DEVELOPMENTS / PREVIOUS PROJECTS	(choose A or B)			4	3	0
	Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible		_	. —	
A. Phased Developments	Phased Development?	No		3	A. 1.	
1. Application is in the Flexible Pool and the proposed project is part of a Pl past five (5) funding rounds (only the second and third phase of a project the 2017 Application Submission deadline?	•	•			1.	
If Yes, indicate DCA Project Nbr and Project Name of the first phase:	Number:	Name				
If current application is for third phase, indicate for second phase:	Number:	Name				
2. Was the community originally designed as one development with differen	nt phases?				2.	
3. Are any other phases for this project also submitted during the current fu	nding round?				3.	
4. Was site control over the entire site (including all phases) in place when	the initial phase was closed?				4.	
B. Previous Projects (Flexible Pool)	(choose 1 or 2)			3	В. <b>3</b>	0
The proposed development site is not within a 1-mile radius of a Geo	orgia Housing Credit developr	nent that has re	eceived an award in the last			
1. Five (5) DCA funding cycles				3	1. <b>3</b>	
OR 2. Four (4) DCA funding cycles				2	2. <b>0</b>	
C. Previous Projects (Rural Pool)	(choose 1 or 3)			4	C. <b>0</b>	0
The proposed development site is within a Local Government bound	ary which has not received ar	n award of 9% C	Credits:			
1. Within the last Five (5) DCA funding cycles				3	1.	
2. Since the 2000 DCA Housing Credit Competitive Round	(additional point)			1	2.	
OR 3. Within the last Four (4) DCA funding cycles				2	3.	
Scoring Justification per Applicant						
No tax credit awards made in the City of Kennesaw in the past five years						
DCA's Comments:						

P	ART NINE - SCO	RING CRITE	RIA - 2017-	-073 Enclave at I	Depot Park , Kennesaw,	Cobb County		
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Sco	oring section reviews pertain	n only to the correspo	onding funding rou	ections wnere points are and and have no effect on ation Completeness" dec	subsequent or future funding round sc	oring decisions.  TOTALS:	Score Value 92	Self DCA Score Score
10. MARKET CHARACTERISTIC For DCA determination:	es						2	2 0 Yes/No Yes/No
A. Are more than two DCA funded proje base as the proposed project?	cts in the primary marl	ket area which ha	ve physical oc	cupancy rates of less	than 90 percent and which com	pete for the same tenant	А	
B. Has there been a significant change i proposed tenant population?	n economic conditions	in the proposed	market which o	could detrimentally aff	ect the long term viability of the	proposed project and the	В	. No
C. Does the proposed market area appe	ear to be overestimated	d, creating the like	elihood that the	e demand for the proje	ect is weaker than projected?		С	. No
<b>D.</b> Is the capture rate of a specific bedro	om type and market s	egment over 55%	?				D	. No
Scoring Justification per Applicant								
As shown in our market study prepared by	Novogradac & Compa	any, our market is	s strong with hi	gh occupancy rates a	nd low capture rates.			
DCA's Comments:								
								-
11. EXTENDED AFFORDABILIT			(choose on	ly one)			1 .	1 0
A. Waiver of Qualified Contract Ri	•		0	10			1 A	•
Applicant agrees to forego cancellation	on option for at least 5	yrs after close of	Compliance pe	eriod?			4	Yes
B. Tenant Ownership	t according at an electric		d (a a b a a a a Pasa	( la alla fa as lla cos lla l			1 B	
Applicant commits to a plan for tenan DCA's Comments:	t ownership at end of o	compliance perior	a (only applies	to single family units)				No
DOA'S COMMENS.								
40 EVOERTIONAL NON BROSE	<del>-</del>							
12. EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFI			0				3	\
Nonprofit Setaside selection from Pro	•		No					Yes/No Yes/No
Is the applicant claiming these points				10				
Is this is the only application from this			_					
Is the NonProfit Assessment form an	d the required docume	ntation included	n the appropria	ate tab of the applicat	ion?			
DCA's Comments:								
10. 5115.11. 5510.5151/		Eleccione.						
	Competitive Pool:	Flexible	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s are eligible!) Urban or Rural		2	0
Each Applicant will be limited to claiming t Applicant to designate these points to only					rest and which involves 80 or fe	wer units. Failure by the	Unit Total	78
MGP Royal American Development	0.0050%	Joeseph F. Chapn	nan, IV	NPSponsr	0	0.0000%	0	
OGP1 0	0.0050%	0		Developer	Royal American Development, Inc	0.0000%	Joeseph F. Ch	aŗ
OGP2 0	0.0000%	0		Co-Developer 1	0	0.0000%	0	
OwnCons 0	0.0000%	0 Jan Sagal		Co-Developer 2	0	0.0000%	0	
Fed LP R4 Capital, LLC State LP Sugar Creek Capital	98.9900% 1.0000%	Jay Segel Christopher Hite		Developmt Consult	0	0.0000%	U	
Scoring Justification per Applicant	1.0000%	Cambiopher rine			DCA's Comments:			
Coo.mg Cadamoation por rippiloant								

	PART N	IINE - SCORING CRITERI	A - 2017-073 Encl	ave at Depot Park , Kennesaw,	Cobb County		·	
	א <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring sectio	EMINDER: Applicants must include o	comments in sections where ding funding round and have n	points are claimed. o effect on subsequent or future funding round so	coring decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
					TOTALS:	92	65	22
14.	DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES					2	0	0
	Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (	(GICH)				1		
	Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Co	` '	urly:				A. Yes/No	Yes/No
	Identifies the project as located within the		ĺ	< Select applicable GICH >			1.	
	2. Is indicative of the community's affordable	· ·					2.	
	3. Identifies that the project meets one of the	e objectives of the GICH Plan					3.	
	4. Is executed by the GICH community's pri	mary or secondary contact on re	cord w/ University of Geo	rgia Housing and Demographic Researc	ch Center as of 5/1/17?		4.	
	5. Has not received a tax credit award in the	e last three years					5.	
	NOTE: If more than one letter is issue				t.			
В.	Designated Military Zones			ools/programs/militaryZones.asp		1		
	Project site is located within the census tract of	=					В.	
	City: Kennesaw	County: Cobb	QCT? No	Census Tract	#: 302.27			
	Scoring Justification per Applicant			DCA's Comments:				
	LEVERACING OF BURLIO DECOU	DOE0.	Comm	actitive Deal shapen.	Florible	4		
15.	LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOUL		Comp	etitive Pool chosen:	Flexible	4	4 Yes/No	<b>0</b> Yes/No
	Indicate that the following criteria are met:  a) Funding or assistance provided below is l		as set forth in this section	n				r es/ino
	b) Resources will be utilized if the project is	-	as sectional in this section	11.			a) Yes b) Yes	
	c) Loans are for both construction and perm	~ .					c) Yes	
	d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten ye	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	or below AFR, with the ex	ception that HUD 221(d)4 loans and USI	DA 538 loans must reflec	t interest	d)	
	rates at or below Bank prime loan, as pos						Yes	
	e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loa	ans are not used as consideratio	n for points in this section	. HUD 221(d)4 loans eligible for points.			e) Yes	
	f) If 538 loans are beng considered for point	ts in this section, the funds will b	e obligated by USDA by	September 30, 2017.			f) Yes	
1.	Qualifying Sources - New loans or new		irces:	Amount			Amount	
	a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Hou			a)		a)		
	b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or of	ther HUD PHI fund		b)		0)		
	c) HOME Funds			c)		c)		
	d) Beltline Grant/Loan			d)		d)		
	<ul><li>e) Historic tax credit proceeds</li><li>f) Community Development Block Grant (Cl</li></ul>	DBC) program funds		e) f)		f)		
	g) National Housing Trust Fund	DBG) program funds		g)		g)		
	h) Georgia TCAP acquisition loans passed t	through a Qualified CDFI revolvir	ng loan fund	h)		9/ 1)		
	i) Foundation grants, or loans based from g	_	ig loan rand	i)		i)		
	j) Federal Government grant funds or loans			j) 3,852,100		j)		
	Total Qualifying Sources (TQS):			3,852,100			0	
2.	Point Scale	Total Developme	nt Costs (TDC):	14,201,461				
-	Scoring Justification per Applicant	TQS as a Percen	` '	27.1247%			0.0000%	
۷e	have included a commitment for a HUD 221(d)				roughout the state of Ge	orgia.		
	DCA's Comments:							

, or gic	a Department of Community Arians 2017 I driding Applicati	511	1 lodoling i indii	oc ana be	sveiopinent Divisio
	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-073 Enclave at		Cobb County		
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are	e ciaimed.		Score	Self DCA
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect or		oring decisions.	Value	Score Score
	Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" de	eduction.	TOTALO		-
			TOTALS:	92	65 22
-	NNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT			3	
Is	the applicant claiming these points?				No
S	election Criteria		Ranking Pts Value Ran	<u>ige</u>	Ranking Pts
1	Presentation of the project concept narrative in the Application.		0 - 10		1.
	2. Uniqueness of innovation.		0 - 10		2.
	B. Demonstrated replicability of the innovation.		0 - 5		3.
	Leveraged operating funding		0 - 5		4.
	5. Measureable benefit to tenants		0 - 5		5.
	6. Collaborative solutions proposed and <u>evidence</u> of subject matter experts' <u>direct</u> involvement in the strategi	c concept development.	0 - 5	_	6.
D	CA's Comments:		0 - 40		Total: 0
17. IN	NTEGRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING			_ 3	2 0
A. In	stegrated Supportive Housing/ Section 811 RA	10% of Total Units (max):	8	2	A. <b>2 0</b>
1	I. Applicant agrees to accept Section 811 PBRA or other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units for the	Total Low Income Units	58		1. Agree
	purpose of providing Integrated Supportive Housing (ISH) opportunities to Persons w/ Disabilities (PWD),	Min 1 BR LI Units required	6		
	and is prepared to accept the full utilization by DCA of 10% of the units?	1 BR LI Units Proposed	28		
2	2. Applicant understands the requirements of HUD's Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) program, in			4	2. Yes
	3. At least 10% of the total low-income units in the proposed Application will be one bedroom units?	.o.uuge ee yeu. uee reee			3. Yes
	I. Applicant is willing to accept Assistance affordable to 50% AMI tenants?				4. Yes
				•	
	arget Population Preference	anita andriale leas also task to affect	tanant aalaatian	3	B. <b>0 0</b>
1	<ol> <li>Applicant has a commitment of HUD Section 8 project-based rental assistance from a Public Housing Auth preference in their Voucher programs for persons with specific disabilities identified in the Settlement Agree</li> </ol>		tenant selection		1.
	Name of Public Housing Authority providing PBRA:	PBRA Expiration:	0	0.007	0
	2. Applicant agrees to implement a minimum of 15% of the total units targeting the Settlement population?	Nbr of Settlement units:	0	0.0%	2.
	coring Justification per Applicant ree to assist DCA in providing housing to persons with disabilities and accept referrals and rental assistance th	arough HLID Section 811 program	n		
	CA's Comments:				
	one commone.				
18 H	IISTORIC PRESERVATION (choose A or B)			2	0 0
	,	Listoria Cradit Favitur	•	¬ ~	
	ne property is: < <select applicable="" status="">&gt;</select>	Historic Credit Equity:	0	<u> </u>	
	istoric <u>and</u> Adaptive Reuse	Historic adaptive reuse units:	0	2	Α.
	ne proposed development includes historic tax credit proceeds and is an adaptive reuse of a	Total Units	78		
	ertified historic structure.	% of Total	0.00%		
<<	< Enter here Applicant's Narrative of how building will be reused >>				
	istoric	Nbr Historic units:	0	1	В.
	ne property is a certified historic structure per QAP or is deemed historic via a Georgia DNR-HPD approved	Total Units	78		
	PS Part 1- Evaluation of Significance to have a preliminary determination of listing on the National Register	% of Total	0.00%		
D	CA's Comments:				

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future	funding round scoring	g decisions.	Score	Self	DCA
Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.			Value		Score
		TOTALS:	92	65	22
19. HEALTHY HOUSING INITIATIVES (choose A or B or C)			3	3	0
Pre-requisites:				Agree or Y/N	N Agree or Y/N
1. In Application submitted, Applicant used the following needs data to more efficiently target the proposed initiative for a proposed	ed property:			Agree	
a) A local Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA)				Yes	
b) The "County Health Rankings & Reports" website: <a href="http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/health-gaps/georgi">http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/health-gaps/georgi</a>	<u>a</u>			Yes	
c) The Center for Disease Control and Prevention – Community Health Status Indicators (CHSI) website				Yes	
2. The Applicant identified target healthy initiatives to local community needs?				Agree	
3. Explain the need for the targeted health initiative proposed in this section.					
positive healthy outcomes.					
A. Preventive Health Screening/Wellness Program for Residents			3	3	0
1. a) Applicants agrees to provide on-site preventive health screenings and or Wellness Services at the proposed project?				a) Agree	
b) The services will be provided at least monthly and be offered at minimal or no cost to the residents?				b) Yes	
c) The preventive health initiative includes wellness and preventive health care education and information for the residents?				c) Yes	
2. Description of Service (Enter "N/a" if necessary)		Occurren			Resident
a) Biometric Screenings b) Healthy Eating and Exercise initaitives		Monthly			0
c) Preventative care and education		Monthly Monthly			0
d) Health Assessments		Monthly			0
B. Healthy Eating Initiative			2	0	0
Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Eating Initiative, as defined in the QAP, at the proposed project?			_	0	
1. The community garden and edible landscape will:  a) Emphasize the importance of local, seasonal, and healthy food?				a)	
b) Have a minimum planting area of at least 400 square feet?				b)	
c) Provide a water source nearby for watering the garden?				c)	
d) Be surrounded on all sides with fence of weatherproof constructio				d)	
e) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's Architectural Manual	<ul> <li>Amenities Guid</li> </ul>	lebook?		e)	
2. The monthly healthy eating programs will be provided free of charge to the residents and will feature related events?				2.	
	Description of Rela	ated Event			
a)					
b)					
c)					
/-					

PART NINE - SCORING CF	RITERIA - 2017-073	B Enclave at D	epot Park , I	Kennesaw, Co	bb County					
REMINUER: Applicants must				- 6 mallon manual anadas	. de datama	Score	Self	DCA		
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the c Failure to do so will result in				e tunding round scoring	g decisions.	Value	Score	Score		
TOTALS: 92 65 2										
C. Healthy Activity Initiative						2	0	0		
Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Activity Initiative, as defined in the	ne QAP, at the proposed p	project?	<< If Agree, en	nter type of Healthy	Activity Initiative her			J		
1. The dedicated multi-purpose walking trail that is ½ mile or longer				,,	•					
a) Be well illuminated?	a)			f) Provide trash re		f)				
b) Contain an asphalt or concrete surface?	b)			•	onal criteria outlined i	Ο,				
c) Include benches or sitting areas throughout course of trail?	c)			Architectural Manu	ual – Amenities Guide	ebook?				
d) Provide distance signage?	d)			Lameth of Tool [				:1		
e) Provide 1 piece of fitness equipment per every 1/8 mile of trail?	e)			Length of Trail				miles		
<ol><li>The monthly educational information will be provided free of charg Scoring Justification per Applicant</li></ol>	e to the residents on rela	ted events?				2.				
Coorning Custinication per rippindant										
2011 2										
DCA's Comments:										
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS						3	2	0		
Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one	or more high-performing	schools as determ	nined by the state	e CCRPI?		3	Yes	U		
NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School System - from sta		Cobb County Sci	•				103			
CCRPI Data Must Tenancy	ale corti i website.	Elderly	Hoor District							
Be Used If Charter school used, does it ha	ve a designated (not distri	ict wide) attendan	ce zone that incl	ludes the property	site?		N/a			
		CC	CRPI Scores from	m School Years Er	ndina In:	Average	CCR	PPI >		
School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades S	Served Charter School?	2013	2014	2015	2016	CCRPI Score				
a) Primary/Elementary Big Shanty Elementary 3-5	5 No	72.30	79.50	79.60	77.30	77.18	Yes			
b) Middle/Junior High Palmer Middle School 6-8	B No	83.90	84.90	78.60	81.30	82.18	Yes			
c) High North Cobb High School 9-1	2 No	76.30	76.00	81.70	89.00	80.75	Yes			
d) Primary/Elementary Big Shanty Elementary 3-3	5 No									
e) Middle/Junior High Palmer Middle School 6-8	3 No									
	2 No									
f) High North Cobb High School 9-1										
Scoring Justification per Applicant										
Scoring Justification per Applicant Our site is located in an area with strong, high-scoring schools in the northe	ern part of Cobb County.	It should be noted	that the elemen	ntary-school age is	split between Kennes	saw Primary (Pr	e-K throu	gh 2nd		
Scoring Justification per Applicant	ern part of Cobb County. I evel Big Shanty's scores	It should be noted since scores for K	that the elemen Kennesaw were u	ntary-school age is unavailable for 201	split between Kennes 5 and 2016. Kennes	saw Primary (Pr aw Primary's sc	e-K throu ores for 2	gh 2nd 2013 and		

eor	gia Department of	f Community Aff	airs	2017 Fundi	ng Application		Housing Finar	nce and De	velopmer	nt Divis
		PAF	RT NINE - SCORING CRITI	ERIA - 2017-073	<b>Enclave at Dep</b>	oot Park , Kennesaw, C	obb County			
•	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA	A Threshold and Scoring	REMINDER: Applicants must inclug section reviews pertain only to the correst Failure to do so will result in a or	sponding funding round and	have no effect on subse	equent or future funding round scor		Score Value	Self Score	_
							TOTALS:	92	65	22
21.	<b>WORKFORCE</b> F	HOUSING NEEL	(choose A or B)	(Must use 2014 da	ata from "OnTheMap	o" tool, but 2015 data may be	used if available)	2	2	0
OR	<b>A.</b> Minumum jobs to <b>B.</b> Exceed the mini	·	<b>60</b> % of workers within a 2-mile radid by 50%	ius travel over 10 miles	to their place of wo	rk		2 2		
	Jobs	City of		A	Atlanta Metro			Other	Rural	
	Threshold	Atlanta	(Cherokee, Clayton, C	cobb, DeKalb, Douglas,	Fayette, Fulton, Gw	vinnett, Henry and Rockdale	counties)	MSA	Area	_
	Minimum	20,000			15,000			6,000	3,000	
	Project Site				23,327					
	Min Exceeded by:	0.00%			55.51%			0.00%	0.00%	
Our	Total Nbr of Jobs w/ii Nbr of Jobs in 2-mile Percentage of Jobs v to work: Scoring Justification	n the 2-mile radius: radius w/ workers v v/in the 2-mile radiu per Applicant	om chart above) Nbr of Jobs: who travel > 10 miles to work: is w/ workers travelling over 10 miles g, in that not only do the number of	62.78%	0.00%  mile radius exceed to	Project City Project County HUD SA MSA / Non-MSA Urban or Rural	Urban		obs within th	ne radius
trav	eling over 10 miles to DCA's Comments:	work is over 60%.	Please find the "OnTheMap" cens	us report in Tab 42.						
22.	COMPLIANCE /	PERFORMANO	)E					10	10	10
	Base Score								10	10
	Deductions									
	Additions									
Dov	Scoring Justification		k record with the tax credit prograr	m as shown by letters	from the Alahama a	and Florida state housing fine	and against and ayn	diaatara with	whom wo he	ove den
ΙΝΟ	DCA's Comments:	ong history and trac	k record with the tax credit program	in, as shown by letters	ITOTT THE Alabama a	and Florida State Housing lina	ice agencies, and sym	ulcators with	WHOTH WE HE	ave done
	DOA'S COMMENTS.									
				TOTAL POS	SIBLE SCORE	Ī		92	65	22
					EXCEPTIONAL N	NONPROFIT POINTS				0
					INNOVATIVE PR	OJECT CONCEPT POINT	·s			0
				'			-			•

NET POSSIBLE SCORE WITHOUT DCA EXTRA POINTS

22

<b>PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA -</b>	2017-073 Enclave at De	pot Park . I	Kennesaw.	Cobb County	,

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.

Score Value 92

DCA Self Score Score 65 22

**TOTALS:** 

DCA has included the following area for Applicants to make comments in any section they claimed points but were not provided with comment section. Include the section/(s) you are referring to within this area along with any applicable comments.

5. We are in receipt of a NFA letter from Georgia EPD for our site. Although designated as a Brownfield, all necessary actions have been fulfilled and no further action is need	ded.

#### Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

**Enclave at Depot Park** Kennesaw, Cobb County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

**Enclave at Depot Park** Kennesaw, Cobb County



## Scoring Section 14 - DCA Community Initiatives: GICH Project Narrative

Enclave at Depot Park Kennesaw, Cobb County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

# Scoring Section 16 - Innovative Project Concept Narrative

Enclave at Depot Park Kennesaw, Cobb County Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Finance and Development Division 60 Executive Park South, NE. Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2231

Re: Application for Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and/or HOME Funding

To DCA:

This Application is submitted in accordance with the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan and the Housing Finance and Development Division Manuals. In submitting this Application for funding consideration, the undersigned applicant hereby certifies:

- 1) I understand that, in the event an allocation (or an allowance) for LIHTCs was obtained with false information supplied to the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA"), DCA will recapture the LIHTCs or request that the IRS deny tax credits to the Applicant entity. Also, a supplier, including the developer or owner, who knowingly provides false information will be barred by DCA from program participation for a period of five (5) years from the date the false information was discovered, in accordance with a Memorandum of Understanding between the Internal Revenue Service and the Georgia Housing and Finance Authority.
- 2) I am responsible for ensuring the project consists or will consist of a qualified low-income building (or buildings) as defined in the Internal Revenue Code section 42(c)(2) and will satisfy all applicable requirements of State and Federal tax law in the acquisition, development and operation of the project to receive State and federal housing tax credits.
- 3) I am responsible for all calculations and figures relating to the determination of the eligible basis of the building(s). I understand and agree the amount of the credit is allocated by reference to the figures that I submit as to eligible and qualified basis. I understand that the actual amount of credit allocated may vary somewhat from the amount initially reserved or committed due to (a) the determination by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA") as to the amount of credit necessary for the financial feasibility of the project and its viability as a qualified low-income housing project; (b) revisions in the calculations of eligible and qualified basis as finally determined; (c) fluctuations in the prevailing credit percentage; and (d) availability of the credit.
- 4) I understand and agree that DCA makes no representations or warranties regarding the financial feasibility of the project, the amount of credit, or the appropriateness of the allocation of the credit and makes no independent investigation as to the eligible and qualified basis and that any and all credit awards and credit amounts are solely based on representations made by me. I therefore agree to hold harmless and indemnify DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA in the event that I or anyone acting on my behalf, at my request or by and through me incurs any loss, injury, or damages in conjunction with the project including those that may result from any inquiries or gathering of information by DCA concerning the proposed project team or Application, diminution of the credit, loss of the credit, recapture of part or all of the credit, failure to allocate the credit requested in my Application or, the failure of DCA, in whole or in part, to grant my Application.
- 5) I understand and agree that neither DCA nor any of its individual directors, employees, members, officers, or agents assume any responsibility or make any representations or warranties with respect to: (i) the amount or availability of credit for the project; or (ii) the financial feasibility of the project.

- 6) I understand and agree that my Application for a low-income housing credit and/or HOME loan, all attachments thereto, amendments, and all correspondence relating to my Application in particular or the credit in general are subject to a request disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I further understand and agree that any and all correspondence to me from DCA, other DCA-generated documents, or documents to or from a third party in the possession of DCA relating to my Application are subject to a request for disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I agree to hold harmless DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA against all losses, costs, damages, expenses, and liability of whatsoever nature or kind (including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, litigation, and court costs) directly or indirectly resulting from or arising out of the release of all information pertaining to my Application pursuant to a request under the Georgia Open Records Act or resulting from or arising out of the release.
- 7) I understand and agree to authorize DCA access to information relevant to DCA's Application review. I understand that DCA may request an IRS Form 8821 for any Project Participant through final allocation of credits and/or disbursement of funds. Project Participants will complete Form 8821 upon DCA's request.
- 8) I understand that any misrepresentations, which includes fraudulent, negligent, and/or innocent, in this Application or supporting documentation may result in a withdrawal of tax credits and/or HOME loan by DCA, my (and related parties) being barred from future program participation, and notification of the Internal Revenue Service and/or HUD.
- 9) I certify that all sources of funds, including but not limited to Federal, State and local funding sources, have been disclosed and revealed.

In addition, Applicant understands:

- The above certifications are of a continuing nature and apply at all stages of the Application process: initial application, commitment, carryover allocation, and final allocation/funding.
- DCA must be notified of any subsequent events or information, which would change any statements or representations in the attached Application or amendments thereto;
- DCA reserves the right to verify all information or documents used in processing the Application, including requiring credit checks on all parties involved in the transaction. Applicant hereby authorizes the financing bank, accountant, mortgage lender, creditors, other state housing agencies and others sources identified in the Application to release information to DCA or its designee in order to verify the accuracy of information in the Application and amendments thereto.

Applicant agrees and understands that it may be charged for all fees and costs incurred by DCA in the inspection of funded properties during and after construction and in the enforcement of DCA regulations and policies.

Under penalty of perjury, to the best of my knowledge, I certify that all of the information in the attached Application, including all supporting documentation, is correct, complete and accurate.

APPLICANT/OWNER	
Printed Name	Title
Signature	Date
	[SEAL]

A DDL IO A NIT/OVA/NIED