# Project Narrative Scott Boulevard Senior Residences

Decatur, DeKalb County

Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, is a proposed development encompassing 90-units of senior housing apartments located at 1665 Scott Boulevard, in North Decatur in DeKalb County, Georgia 30033 on 0.92 acres. The site is located at the convergence of two major thoroughfares, Scott Boulevard and North Decatur Road in Decatur, one of Atlanta's premier in-town residential districts.

The new development will provide 90 one-bedroom/one-bath units contained in a 5 story apartment building with brick and siding. Each senior one-bedroom/one-bath unit will provide an average of 700 square feet. The property will include a fitness center, park, business center, movie theatre, community room with kitchen, art and crafts room, central laundry facility and elevators. The apartments will include HVAC systems, EnergyStar refrigerators/dishwashers, stoves w/range cook tops, and fire suppression canisters installed above range cook.

The proposed senior housing project will be included in a massive mixed-use development entitled Decatur Crossing. The Master planned site is approximately 26-acres nestled in unincorporated Decatur of DeKalb County, Georgia. The project began in 2015 with the development of a 240-unit market rate, multifamily development. The second Phase incorporates a 450-unit market rate, multifamily building as well as 82,000 square feet grocer (Sprouts Farmers Market). Phase III of the development (currently under construction) will add a five-story, 262-unit market rate, multifamily building with parking, an 100,000 square-foot self-storage facility with a conference center, a 4,600 square-foot fast food retailer (Chick-fil-a), and a 20,000 square-foot traditional grocer (Aldi's). Upon completion Decatur Crossing, will house more than 950 market rate apartment units, 90 senior housing units (Scott Boulevard Senior Residences) with set asides for affordable housing, and 100,000 square feet of retail, including a bank, restaurants, two grocery stores, and retail shops with national retailers including Sprouts Farmer's Market, Dunkin Donuts, Aldi's, Great Clips, Verizon Wireless, Chick-fil-A, and Zoe's Kitchen. The master development has already been approved as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). It is a brownfield site.

Tapestry Development Group will be the non-profit sponsor and co-developer for this project. Its affiliate, Tapestry Scott Senior, LLC will own 51% of the General Partner. Jonathan Toppen is the managing principal of Tapestry Development Group, which is the Certifying Principal of Tapestry Scott Senior, LLC.

New Columbia Residential, LLC will own 49% of the General Partner. James S. Grauley is the Certifying Principal for New Columbia Residential, LLC. He and Noel Khalil, each own 50% of this entity. James Grauley is also the President of the co-developer, New Affordable Housing Partners, LLC, which he and Noel Khalil also each own 50% of the entity.

	PART (	ONE - PROJI	ECT INFORM <i>A</i>	ATION - 2017	-0 Scott Boul	evard Senior	Residences	s, Decatur, D	eKalb Count	у		
	Please note:  May 4 Revision			cells are unlo		and <b>do not cor</b> se and <b>do conta</b>			can be overwrit		Use ONLY -	Project Nbr: 17-0
	DCA RESOURCES	LIHTC (auto	o-filled from late		\$	950,000		DCA HOME	(from Conse	nt Form)	\$	
 II.	TYPE OF APPLICATION	Competitive I			>	Pre-Applicat	ion Numbai		•	•		7-059
	THEOFAITECATION	Competitive	touriu		]>	Have any cha						Vo
	Was this project previously submitted to the	e Ga Denartr	nent of Commi	ınity Affairs?	Yes	If Yes, please	3		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Project Name previously used:		vard Senior Re		103	ii 105, piodso	provide the		t Nbr previous		2016-018	cu project.
	Has the Project Team changed?	Yes			Qualification D	etermination for	or the Team			J · · · · · · ·	· L	
III.	APPLICANT CONTACT FOR APPLICATI	ON REVIEW	<del>_</del>									
	Name	Clara Trejos	S						Title	VP Tax Cred	dit Operations	
	Address	,	tree St NW, S	uite 684					Direct Line		(904) 642-63	
	City	Atlanta							Fax		(404) 506-97	03
	State	GA			Zip+4	30309	-2496		Cellular		(404) 867-69	21
	Office Phone				Ext.		E-mail	ctrejos@co	lumbiares.con	n		
	(Enter phone numbers without using hyphens, $\mu$	parentheses, et	ic - ex: 1234567	890)								
IV.	PROJECT LOCATION							_				
	Project Name		vard Senior Re	esidences				Phased Pro	,		No	
	Site Street Address (if known)	1665 Scott	Blvd					_ ,	t Nbr of previo			7
	Nearest Physical Street Address *	1	22 705574			04.005054		Scattered S	ite?	No	Nbr of Sites	
	Site Geo Coordinates (##.#####)	Latitude: Decatur	33.795571		Longitude: 9-digit Zip^^	-84.285354 30033	E404	Acreage	Canaua Tra	at Niumah ar	1.3830 223.01	
	City Site is predominantly located:		orated County		, ·	DeKalb	-3004		Census Tra QCT?	No	DDA?	No
	In USDA Rural Area?	No		ral County?	No	Overall:	Urban		HUD SA:	MSA	Atlanta-Sand	
	* If street number unknown		ressional	,	Senate	State F		** Must bo v		icant using fol		<del>.</del>
	Legislative Districts **	Congi	4		12	84		Zip Codes	erineu by appi	-	sps.com/zip4/v	
	If on boundary, other district:		<u>'</u>		12	Ŭ	<u>'</u>	Legislative Dis	tricts:	http://votesmart		
	Political Jurisdiction	DeKalb Cou	ınty					Website	www.dekalb	countyga.gov		
	Name of Chief Elected Official	Michael Thu			Title	CEO						
	Address		nerce Drive, 6tl		_			City	Decatur			
	Zip+4	30030-3222		Phone	(	(404) 371-288°		Email	ceoMichael	Thurmond@de	ekalbcountyga	.gov
٧.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION											
	A. Type of Construction:			ī	•						<b>-</b>	-
	New Construction			90	-		Adaptive Re		Non-historic	0	Historic	
	Substantial Rehabilitation			0			Historic Reh		اكتابات سمائت	adalaalt		0
	Acquisition/Rehabilitation			0		>	For Acquisit	ion/Rehabilita	ation, date of c	original constru	uction:	

### B. Mixed Use No C. Unit Breakdown **PBRA** D. Unit Area Total Low Income Residential Unit Square Footage Number of Low Income Units 72 50,400 Total Unrestricted (Market) Residential Unit Square Footage Number of 50% Units 18 0 12,600 Total Residential Unit Square Footage Number of 60% Units 54 0 63,000 Total Common Space Unit Square Footage Number of Unrestricted (Market) Units 18 0 63,000 90 Total Square Footage from Units **Total Residential Units Common Space Units** 0 **Total Units** 90 E. Buildings Number of Residential Buildings Total Common Area Square Footage from Nonresidential areas 5,000 Number of Non-Residential Buildings **Total Square Footage** 68,000 **Total Number of Buildings** F. Total Residential Parking Spaces 55 (If no local zoning requirement: DCA minimum 1.5 spaces per unit for family projects, 1 per unit for senior projects) VI. TENANCY CHARACTERISTICS If Other, specify: We are using FHA definition of senior w A. Family or Senior (if Senior, specify Elderly or HFOP) Elderly If combining Other with Family **Elderly** Family or Sr, show # Units: **HFOP** Other 5% B. Mobility Impaired Nbr of Units Equipped: % of Total Units 5.6% Required: Roll-In Showers Nbr of Units Equipped: 2 40.0% 40% % of Units for the Mobility-Impaired Required: C. Sight / Hearing Impaired Nbr of Units Equipped: % of Total Units 2.2% Required: 2% VII. RENT AND INCOME FLECTIONS 40% of Units at 60% of AMI A. Tax Credit Election B. DCA HOME Projects Minimum Set-Aside Requirement (Rent & Income) 20% of HOME-Assisted Units at 50% of AMI VIII. SET ASIDES A. LIHTC: Yes Nonprofit CHDO B. HOMF: (must be pre-qualified by DCA as CHDO) IX. COMPETITIVE POOL Flexible TAX EXEMPT BOND FINANCED PROJECT Issuer: Inducement Date: Applicable QAP: Office Street Address T-E Bond \$ Allocated: State City Zip+4 Contact Name Title E-mail Website 10-Digit Office Phone Direct line

### PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County

	PAK	TONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017	-0 Scott Bot	nevard Senior Residences, Decatt	ir, Dekaib County		
XI.	AWARD LIMITATIONS FOR CURRENT	DCA COMPETITIVE ROUND					
	The following sections apply to all direct a	and indirect Owners, Developers and Cons	sultants (Entit	y and Principal) :			
	A. Number of Applications Submitted	: 3	1				
	B. Amount of Federal Tax Credits in A	<u></u>	1				
		ner, Developer and Consultant(s) and ea	⊒ ach of its nri	ncinals has a direct or indirect Ow	vnershin interest		
	Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest	Project Participant	Name of Project	Interes	t
	New Columbia Residential/New Affordable		Direct	7			
	Tapestry Development Group	Scott Boulevard Senior Residences	Direct	8			
	3			9			
	4			10			
	5			11			
	6			12			
	DCA Experience Requirements: Project Participant  New Columbia Residential  New Affordable Housing Partners  3  4  5  6	Name of Project Scott Boulevard Senior Residences Quest Commons West	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Project Participant  7  8  9  10  11	Name of Project		
XII.	PRESERVATION  A. Subsequent Allocation    Year of Original Allocation    Original GHFA/DCA Project Number    First Year of Credit Period    Expiring Tax Credit (15 Year)    Date all buildings will complete 15 yr  B. Expiring Section 8  C. Expiring HUD			First Building ID Nbr Last Building ID Nbr	in Project	GA- GA-	
	HUD funded affordable <b>non</b> public ho	using project		HUD funded affordab	ole public housing project		

### PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County

XIII. ADDITIONAL PROJECT INF	ORMATION				
A. PHA Units				_	
	f a local public housing replacement prog				
	Units reserved and rented to public hou			% of Total Residential Units	0%
Nbr of Units Reserved and Local PHA	d Rented to: PHA Tenants w/ PBRA:	Households	on Waiting List:	% of Total Residential Units 0%	0%
Street Address				Contact  Direct line	
City		Zip+4		Cellular	
Area Code / Phone		Email		Condidi	
	rently an Extension of Cancellation Op	<u></u>	If yes, expiration year:	Nbr yrs to forgo cancellation opti	on:
New properties: to exerc	cise an Extension of Cancellation Opti	on? Yes	If yes, expiration year:	Nbr yrs to forgo cancellation opti	on: 5
C. Is there a Tenant Owners	ship Plan?	No			
D. Is the Project Currently (	Occupied?	No	If Yes>:	Total Existing Units	
				Number Occupied	
				% Existing Occupied	
	rovals - have the following waivers an	d/or pre-approvals been a	pproved by DCA?	Ovalification Determination?	Vac
Amenities? Architectural Standards?				Qualification Determination?  Payment and Performance Bond (HOME only)?	Yes
	Site Analysis Packet or Feasibility study	?		Other (specify): Cost Waiver	Yes
HOME Consent?	one runaryele raenere r caelamy etaay			State Basis Boost (extraordinary circumstances)	
Operating Expense?				>;	
Credit Award Limitation (e	extraordinary circumstances)?		If Yes, new Limit is	>;	
F. Projected Place-In-Servi	ce Date				
Acquisition					
Rehab		Danambar 20, 2010			
New Construction		December 30, 2019			
XIV. APPLICANT COMMENTS			XV.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY	
	e using FHA financing for this project (221(d)4				
	ne head of household to be 62 years of age or Areas will not allow me to take the full 2 points				
the points	ireas will not allow the to take the rail 2 points	s, so we had to select Elderly in	order to claim		
	aiver of project cap limitations for Scott Blvd S	Senior Residences, see waiver	under Tab 19		

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

### I. OWNERSHIP INFORMATION

A. OWNERSHIP ENTITY		rd Senior, L.P.				Name of Principal	Jonathan R. Toppen
Office Street Address	321 W. Hill St					Title of Principal	Manager
City	Decatur		Fed Tax ID:			Direct line	(404) 419-1432
State	GA	Zip+4 30030		Org Type:	For Profit	Cellular	(404) 783-8060
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.			E-mail	jontoppen@ta	apestrydevelopment.org		
(Enter phone nbrs w/out using hyphens	, parentheses, e	etc - ex: 1234567890)			* Must be v	erified by applicant usi	ng following website:
B. PROPOSED PARTNERSHIP INFORMA 1. GENERAL PARTNER(S)				ps.com/zip4/welcome.jsp	_		
<ul><li>a. Managing Gen'l Partner</li></ul>	Tapestry Scot	tt Senior, LLC				Name of Principal	Jonathan R. Toppen
Office Street Address	321 W. Hill St	. Suite 2				Title of Principal	Manager
City	Decatur		Website		development.org	Direct line .	(404) 997-6788
State	GA		Zip+4	30030		Cellular	(678) 386-1118
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.			E-mail	jontoppen@ta	apestrydevelopment.org		
b. Other General Partner	New Columbia					Name of Principal	James S. Grauley
Office Street Address		ee St, NW, Suite 684				Title of Principal	President
City	Atlanta		Website	www.columbi		Direct line	(404) 419-1432
State	GA		Zip+4	30309	-2496	Cellular	(404) 783-8060
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.			E-mail				
c. Other General Partner						Name of Principal	
Office Street Address						Title of Principal	
City			Website			Direct line	
State			Zip+4			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.			E-mail				
2. LIMITED PARTNERS (PROPOSED (	OR ACTUAL)						
a. Federal Limited Partner	SunTrust Con	nmunity Capital LLC				Name of Principal	Brian Womble
Office Street Address	1155 Peachtre	ee St, Ste 300				Title of Principal	First Vice President
City	Atlanta		Website	www.suntrus		Direct line	(404) 588-8775
State	GA		Zip+4	30309		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.			E-mail	brian.womble	@suntrust.com		
b. State Limited Partner	SunTrust Con	nmunity Capital LLC				Name of Principal	Brian Womble
Office Street Address		ee St, Ste 300				Title of Principal	First Vice President
City	Atlanta		Website	www.suntrus	t.com	Direct line	(404) 588-8775
State	GA		Zip+4	30309		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	_		E-mail	brian.womble	@suntrust.com		
3. NONPROFIT SPONSOR							_
Nonprofit Sponsor	Tapestry Dev	elopment Group, Inc.				Name of Principal	Jonathan R. Toppen
Office Street Address	321 W. Hill St					Title of Principal	Managing Principal
City	Decatur		Website	www.tapestry	development.org	Direct line	(404) 997-6788
State	GA		Zip+4	30030		Cellular	(678) 386-1118
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.			E-mail	jontoppen@ta	apestrydevelopment.org		,
**	-						

	Do NOT delete this tab from this	workbook. Do NOT Copy from ar	nother workl	book to "Paste" here. Use "Paste	Special" and select "Valu	ues" instead.
l.	DEVELOPER(S)					
	A. DEVELOPER	Tapestry Development Group, Inc			Name of Principal	Jonathan R. Toppen
	Office Street Address	321 W. Hill St. Suite 2			Title of Principal	Managing Principal
	City	Decatur	Website	www.tapestrydevelopment.org	Direct line .	(404) 997-6788
	State	GA	Zip+4	30030-4362	Cellular	(678) 386-1118
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail	jontoppen@tapestrydevelopment.c	rg	
	B. CO-DEVELOPER 1	New Affordable Housing Partners,	IIC		Name of Principal	James S. Grauley
	Office Street Address	1718 Peachtree St, NW, Suite 684	LLO		Title of Principal	Manager
	City	Atlanta	Website	www.columbiares.com	Direct line	(404) 419-1432
	State	GA	Zip+4	30309-2496	Cellular	(404) 783-8060
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	G/Y	E-mail	igrauley@columbiares.com	Contaidi	(10.1) 7.00 0000
					Name of Delevior	
	C. CO-DEVELOPER 2				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address		\	Τ	Title of Principal	
	City State		Website		Direct line Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Zip+4 E-mail		Cellulai	
	<del></del>		E-IIIali			
	D. DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			
II.	OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS					
	A. OWNERSHIP CONSULTANT				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			
	B. GENERAL CONTRACTOR	Prestwick Companies			Name of Principal	Ray Dotson
	Office Street Address	3715 Northside Parkway, NW, Bldc	n 400 Suite 1	20	Title of Principal	President
	City	Atlanta	Website	prestwickcompanies.com	Direct line	(404) 949-3882
	State	GA	Zip+4	30327-3886	Cellular	(404) 747 3002
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	UA	E-mail	ray@prestwickcompanies.com	Celiulai	
			Liliuii	ray = prostimonoompanios.com		
	C. MANAGEMENT COMPANY	<u> </u>			Name of Principal	Ashley Monroe
	Office Street Address	New Columbia Residential Property	y Manageme	nt	Title of Principal	President
	City	1718 Peachtree St, Ste 684			Direct line	(404) 419-1453
	State	GA	Zip+4	30309-2496	Cellular	(443) 350-2802
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail	amonroe@columbiares.com		

PAR	T TWO - E	DEVELOPMENT TEAM	INFORMATIO	N - 2017-0 S	Scott Boulevard Senior Re	sidences, De	catur, DeKalb County	
	ab from th				ook to "Paste" here . Use	"Paste Spec		
D. ATTORNEY		Hunter, MacLean, E	Exley & Dunn, P	P.C.			Name of Principal	Ted Henneman
Office Street Address		200 E. St. Julian Str	eet	Website	Junuary huntermedeen eem		Title of Principal Direct line	Attorney at Law (912) 944-1635
City State		Savannah GA		vvebsite Zip+4	www.huntermaclean.com 31401-2700		Cellular	(912) 944-1035
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Fxt.	GA		E-mail	thenneman@huntermaclea	an.com	Celiulai	
E. ACCOUNTANT	, =	Cohn Reznick		2			Name of Principal	Wendy Langlais-Tillery
Office Street Address		2002 Summit Blvd					Title of Principal	Partner
City		Atlanta		Website	www.cohnreznick.com		Direct line	(404) 847-7774
State		GA		Zip+4	30319-1497		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.			E-mail	wendy.langlais@cohnrezni	ick.com		
F. ARCHITECT		James, Harwick & F	Partners				Name of Principal	John Schrader
Office Street Address		8340 Meadow Rd, S	Ste 248				Title of Principal	Principal
City		Dallas		Website	www.jhparch.com		Direct line	
State	1 5.4	TX		Zip+4	30319-1497	al com	Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone			al'ana la dance	E-mail	wendy.langlais@cohnrezni	ICK.COM		
IV. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMA		Scott Boulevard Mix					10 Digit Dhana / Ext	4049071709
A. LAND SELLER (If applicab Office Street Address	ie)	3575 Piedmont Rd,		Principal	Heather Correa		10-Digit Phone / Ext. City	Atlanta
State		GA Zip+4		5-1623	E-mail heather.corre	a@funuadev		Allanta
B. IDENTITY OF INTEREST		ZIP+4	30300	J-1023	L-IIIdii IIICdiiICI.COITC	aeruquaucv	COM	
Is there an ID of interest between:	Yes/No I	f Yes, explain relations	nip in boxes pro	ovided below,	and use Comment box at bo	ottom of this t	ab or attach additional p	ages as needed:
1. Developer and	No	•						
Contractor?								
2. Buyer and Seller of	No							
Land/Property?	.10							
. ,	NI.							
3. Owner and Contractor?	No							
4. Owner and Consultant?								
<ol><li>Syndicator and</li></ol>	No							
Developer?								
·	No							
6. Syndicator and Contractor?	INO							
<ol><li>Developer and</li></ol>								
Consultant?								

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

8. Other

Yes Noel F. Khalil and Jim Grauley are 50/50 owners of New Columbia Residential, LLC, New Affordable Housing Partners, LLC, and New Columbia Residential Property Management, LLC; they are the co-general partner, co-developer and manager entities of this development. Tapestry Development Group, Inc. is an affiliate of the co-general partners and the development entity.

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

V. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION - Continued (Answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.)

### C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Participant	1. Has any person, principal, or agent for this e	ntity ever	2. Is entity	3. Org Type	4. Project	5. Does this	s entity or a member of this entity have a conflict of interest with any
	been convicted of a felony (Yes or No)	?	a MBE/	(FP,NP,	Ownership	member,	officer, or employee of an entity that partners or contracts with the
			WBE?	CHDO)	Percentage		If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and use Comment box at
				ĺ		''	the bottom of this tab or attach explanation.
	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and either use						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Comment box or attach explanation.	Yes/No				Yes/No	Brief Explanation
Managing		No	No	Nonprofit	0.0051%	No	
Genrl Prtnr							
Other Genrl		No	No	For Profit	0.0049%	No	
Prtnr 1							
Other Genrl							
Prtnr 2							
Federal Ltd		No	No	For Profit	99.9800%	No	
Partner							
State Ltd		No	No	For Profit	0.0100%	No	
Partner							
NonProfit							
Sponsor							
Developer		No	No	Nonprofit		No	
Co-		No	No	For Profit		No	
Developer 1							
Co-							
Developer 2							
Owner							
Consultant							
Developer							
Consultant							
Contractor		No	No	For Profit		No	
Managemen		No	No	For Profit		No	
t Company							
VI. APPI	LICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS			Total	100.0000%		VI. DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY

### I. GOVERNMENT FUNDING SOURCES (check all that apply)

Yes	Tax Credits			FHA Risk Share	Georgia TCAP *			
	Historic Rehab Credits		Yes	FHA Insured Mortgage	USDA 515			
	Tax Exempt Bonds: \$			Replacement Housing Funds	JSDA 538			
	Taxable Bonds	<u>-</u>		McKinney-Vento Homeless	USDA PBRA			
	CDBG			FHLB / AHP *	Section 8 PBRA			
	HUD 811 Rental Assista	nce Demonstration (RAD)		NAHASDA	Other PBRA - Source:	Specify Other PBRA Source here		
	DCA HOME * Amt \$			Neigborhood Stabilization Program *	National Housing Trust	National Housing Trust Fund		
	Other HOME * Amt \$			HUD CHOICE Neighborhoods	Other Type of Funding - de	escribe type/program here		
	Other HOME - Source	Specify Other HOME Source here		<del>-</del>	Specify Administrator of O	pecify Administrator of Other Funding Type here		

<sup>\*</sup>This source may possibly trigger Uniform Relocation Act and/or HUD 104(d) reqmts. Check with source. For DCA HOME, refer to Relocation Manual. DCA HOME amount from DCA Consent Ltr.

### II. CONSTRUCTION FINANCING

Financing Type	Name of Financing Entity	Amount	Effective Interest Rate	Term (In Months)
Mortgage A	Bellwether Enterprise FHA 221(d)4	2,380,700	4.500%	24
Mortgage B				
Mortgage C				
Federal Grant				
State, Local, or Private Grant				
Deferred Developer Fees				
Federal Housing Credit Equity	SunTrust Community Capital	6,543,600		
State Housing Credit Equity	SunTrust Community Capital	4,206,600		
Other Type (specify)				
Other Type (specify)				
Other Type (specify)				
Total Construction Financing:		13,130,900		
Total Construction Period Costs from Development Budget:		13,130,900		
Surplus / (Shortage) of Construction funds to Construction costs:		0		

### PART THREE - SOURCES OF FUNDS - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County

### PERMANENT FINANCING

I ERWANEINI I INANGINO			Cffootivo	Torm	A ma ort	Annual Daht Carriag in	
Financing Type	Name of Financing Entity	Principal Amount	Effective Int Rate	Term (Years)	Amort. (Years)	Annual Debt Service in Year One	Loan Type
Mortgage A (Lien Position 1)		2,380,700	4.500%	40	40	128,433	Amortizing
Mortgage B (Lien Position 2)	•	=	1100070				g
Mortgage C (Lien Position 3)							
Other:							
Foundation or charity funding	g*					•	
Deferred Devlpr Fee 1:	3.36% TDG/New Affordable Housing Partners	231,066	0.000%	12	12		Cash Flow
Total Cash Flow for Years 1 - 15	5: 1,282,170				•		
DDF Percent of Cash Flow (Yrs	1-15) 18.021% 18.021%						
Cash flow covers DDF P&I?	Yes						
Federal Grant							
State, Local, or Private Gran	t			<u>Equity</u>	Check	<u>+ / -</u>	TC Equity
Federal Housing Credit Equi	ty SunTrust Community Capital	7,980,000		7,980	0,000	0.00	% of TDC
State Housing Credit Equity	SunTrust Community Capital	5,130,000		5,130,000		0.00	51%
Historic Credit Equity							33%
Invstmt Earnings: T-E Bonds							83%
Invstmt Earnings: Taxable B	onds						<u> </u>
Income from Operations							
Other:							
Other:							
Other:							
Total Permanent Financing:		15,721,766					
Total Development Costs fro	m Development Budget:	15,721,766					
Surplus/(Shortage) of Perma	nent funds to development costs:	0					
undation or charity funding to co	over costs exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I,	Section II).					

<sup>\*</sup>Foundation or charity funding to cover costs exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I, Section II).

IV.	APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	IV.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY

I. DEVELOPM	ENT BUDGET				TOTAL COST	New Construction	Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable
DDE DEVELO	DIAGNIT COCTO				TOTAL COST	Basis			Basis
	PMENT COSTS				7,500	7,500	PRE-DEVELO	PMENT COSTS	
Property Appra	11541				5,000	5,000			
Market Study Environmental	Donart(c)				40,000	40,000			
Soil Borings	Report(s)				10,000	10,000			
	Topographical Surve	7//			7,000	7,000			
Zoning/Site Pla		, у			8,000	8,000			
Other:	di 1 003				0,000	0,000			
	er description here; pro	vide detail &	iustification in tab Part	IV-b >>					
	er description here; pro								
			•	Subtotal	77,500	77,500	-	-	-
ACQUISITION	1						ACQU	ISITION	
Land					900,000				900,000
Site Demolition	า								
	gal Fees (if existing s	tructures)							
Existing Struct	ures								
	VENTENTO			Subtotal	900,000		-	01/51/51/50	900,000
LAND IMPRO			_	040.054	245 (07	210 500	LAND IMPR	OVEMENTS	25.000
Site Construct			Per acre:	249,954	345,687	310,599			35,088
Site Construct	ion (Oii-sile)			Cubtotal	345,687	310,599			35,088
STRUCTURES	2			Subtotal	343,007	310,399	- STDIIC	TURES	33,000
	o Tuctures - New Const	ruction			9,171,908	7,660,872	SIRUC	TURES	1,511,036
	ructures - Rehab	ruction			7,171,700	1,000,012			1,511,000
	uctures (ie. communit	tv blda mai	intenance bldg_etc.)	- New Constr					
	uctures (ie. communit								
,	( )	.,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Subtotal	9,171,908	7,660,872	-	-	1,511,036
CONTRACTO	R SERVICES	Ι	OCA Limit	12.237%			CONTRACTO	OR SERVICES	
Builder Profit:		6.000%	571,056	5.245%	499,154	499,154			
Builder Overhe		2.000%	190,352	1.748%	166,385	166,385			
General Requi		6.000%	571,056	5.245%	499,154	499,154			
*See QAP: Gener	al Requirements policy	14.000%	1,332,463	Subtotal	1,164,693	1,164,693	-	-	-
	STRUCTION HARD (					OTHER CONSTRUC	TI <u>ON HARD COSTS (</u> I	Non-GC work scope	items done by Owner)
Other: << Ent	er description here; pro	vide detail &	justification in tab Part	IV-b >>					
Total Constru	uction <u>H</u> ard <u>C</u> osts		A TOUO	118.692.09	per <u>Res'l</u> unit	118,692.09	per unit	157.09	per total sq ft
10,682,288.00			Average TCHC:		per <u>Res'l</u> unit SF	169.56	per unit sq ft		·
CONSTRUCT	ION CONTINGENCY	,					CONSTRUCTION	N CONTINGENCY	-
Construction C				4.68%	500,000	500,000			
	J J								

I. DEVELOPMEN	NT BUDGET (cont'd)		New	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or	
			TOTAL COST	Construction Basis	Basis	Basis	Non-Depreciable Basis
CONSTRUCTIO	N PERIOD FINANCING			Da515	CONSTRUCTION P	FRIOD FINANCING	Da515
Bridge Loan Fee					CONCINCION	ERIOD I III III III III	
Bridge Loan Inte							
Construction Loa			47,614	47,614			
Construction Loa			80,356	67,058			13,298
Construction Leg	gal Fees						
	iod Inspection Fees		20,000	20,000			
	iod Real Estate Tax		20,000	20,000			
Construction Ins	urance		20,000	20,000			
Title and Record	ing Fees		25,000	25,000			
	rformance bonds		66,000	66,000			
Other: HUD FHA			11,904	11,904			
Other: HUD FHA	VExam Fee		7,142	7,142			
		Subtotal	298,016	284,718	-	-	13,298
PROFESSIONA		,			PROFESSION	AL SERVICES	
Architectural Fee			170,000	170,000			
Architectural Fee			41,457	41,457			
Green Building (			20,000	20,000			
	Program Certification Fee (LEED or Earthcraft)		35,750	35,750			
	pections and Plan Review		12,500	12,500			
Construction Ma	terials l'esting		40,000	40,000			
Engineering			60,000	60,000			
Real Estate Atto	rney		67,000	67,000			
Accounting			60,000	60,000			
As-Built Survey	decembra here, we yild detail 0 instification in tab Dort IV/	h	5,000	5,000			
Other: << Enter	description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-t		511,707	511,707			
LOCAL GOVER	NMENT FEES Avg per unit: 2,178	Subtotal	311,707	511,707	LOCAL GOVE	ONMENT FEEC	-
Building Permits		İ	30,000	30,000	LUCAL GUVE	RIVIVIEIVI FEES	
Impact Fees			166,039	166,039			
Water Tap Fees	waived? No		100,037	100,037			
Sewer Tap Fees							
Sewer rap rees	warved: No	Subtotal	196,039	196,039	_	_	_
PERMANENT F	NANCING FEES	Oubtotai	170,007	170,007	PERMANENT FI	NANCING FFFS	
Permanent Loan			35,710				35,710
Permanent Loan			23,3				227 0
Title and Record							
Bond Issuance F							
	/ Underwriter's Discount						
Other: << Enter	description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-l	b >>					
		Subtotal	35,710				35,710

. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)			New Construction	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable
		TOTAL COST	Basis	Basis	Basis	Basis
DCA-RELATED COSTS	-			DCA-RELA	ATED COSTS	
DCA HOME Loan Pre-Application Fee (\$1000 FP/JV, \$500 NP)						
Tax Credit Application Fee (\$6500 ForProf/JntVent, \$5500 NonProf)		6,500				6,500
DCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees		2,500				2,500
LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee	76,000	76,000				76,000
LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee	72,000	72,000				72,000
DCA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000)						
DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000)		3,000				3,000
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-		1/0.000				1/0.000
	Subtotal	160,000				160,000
EQUITY COSTS	i			EQUIT	Y COSTS	
Partnership Organization Fees						
Tax Credit Legal Opinion						
Syndicator Legal Fees Others are Enter description here, provide detail & justification in tab Port IV	h					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV						
DEVELOPER'S FEE	Subtotal			DEVELO	PER'S FEE	
	21.131%	365,350	365,350	DEVELO	PERSFEE	
· ·	0.000%	300,300	300,300			
	0.000%					
	78.869%	1,363,650	1,363,650			
Developel 3 Front	Subtotal	1,729,000	1,729,000	_	_	_
START-UP AND RESERVES	Jubiolai	1,727,000	1,727,000	Δ ΔΙΙ-ΤΟΛΤ2	ND RESERVES	
Marketing		12,000		SIAKI-UI A	ND RESERVES	12,000
Rent-Up Reserves	120,765	121,000				121,000
Operating Deficit Reserve:	305,746	306,000				306,000
Replacement Reserve	000// 10	000/000				000/000
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Proposed Avg Per Unit:	2,139	192,506	192,506			
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-		, , , ,	, , , , , ,			
	Subtotal	631,506	192,506	-	-	439,000
OTHER COSTS		,		OTHE	R COSTS	
Relocation	ĺ					
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-	-b >>					
	Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL <u>D</u> EVELOPMENT <u>C</u> OST (TDC)		15,721,766	12,627,634	-	-	3,094,132
Average TDC Per: Unit: 174,686.29 Sq	uare Foot:	231.20				

TARTIOUR OSES OF FORDS - 20	orr o ocott Boalevara oc	ornor resordorisco, E	occurat, Bertain Court	· J	
II. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - BASIS METHOD		New Construction	4% Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	
Subtractions From Eligible Basis		Basis	Dasis	Dasis	
Amount of federal grant(s) used to finance qualifying development costs Amount of nonqualified nonrecourse financing Costs of Nonqualifying units of higher quality Nonqualifying excess portion of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) Other		0		0	
Eligible Basis Calculation	•				
Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Adjusted Eligible Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation	Type: State Boost	12,627,634 0 12,627,634 110.00% 13,890,397 80.00% 11,112,318 9.00% 1,000,109	0 0 80.00% 0 0 1,000,109	0 0 0 0 80.00% 0	
III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD					
Equity Gap Calculation	į				
Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL. Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Commer Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap	TDC exceeds QAP PUCL! ats if TDC > PCL)	14,210,730 15,721,766 2,380,700 13,341,066	If TDC > QAP Total PCL, from foundation or charitat cost exceed		
Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation	n)	/ 10 1,334,107 1.3800 <b>966,744</b>	Federal = 0.8400	State + 0.5400	
TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or	DCA Limit:	950,000	7		
TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but m	nay be lower:	950,000	Ī		
IV. TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION - Lower of Tax Credit Request and Tax Credit	it Project Maximum	950,000			

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	VI.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY
1. Inspection Fee includes \$11,904 HUD Inspection Fee		
2 Lender counsel fees are included in the Construction Loan Fee (Financing Fee) and Permanent Loan Fee (Permanent Placing		
Fee)		
3. The detailed Impact Fee Calculation is included in Tab 1. Water and Sewer Tap fees are included in the Impact Fee		
Calculation		
4. Scott Boulevard Senior qualifies for the State Basis Boost. We have 6 points under the Stable Communities Scoring. We are		
requesting a 10% boost. We have deferred over 10% of our developer fee.		

### PART FOUR (b) - OTHER COSTS - 2017-0 - Scott Boulevard Senior Residences - Decatur - DeKalb, County

2017 Funding Application

DCA requires the Applicant provide a narrative for all "Other" development costs listed on Part IV-Uses of Funds. For any amounts shown below the Applicant needs to provide an explanation of the cost incurred by the development. The narrative should be a brief description for DCA intended to justify its inclusion as a valid development cost. Further, if the "Other" cost is included into eligible basis, a second narrative is required describing its justification into tax credit basis. Expand row size as needed to show text.

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS		
0		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		
HUD FHA/MIP	FHA fees	Bellwether Commitment letter
Total Cost 11,904 Total Basis 11,904		
HUD FHA/Exam Fee	FHA fees	Bellwether Commitment letter
Total Cost 7,142 Total Basis 7,142		
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>  Total Cost - Total Basis -		

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
DCA-RELATED COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
EQUITY COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		

2017 Funding Application

Housing Finance and Development Division

**DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name**Section's Other Line Item

**Description/Nature of Cost** 

**Basis Justification** 

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
START-UP AND RESERVES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
OTHER COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

### PART FIVE - UTILITY ALLOWANCES - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County

### DCA Utility Region for project: North

Note: Elderly allowances cannot be used except at properties that have 100% HUD PBRA and satisfy the DCA definition of "elderly"

I. UTILITY ALLOWAN	ICE SCHEDULE :	#1	Source of U	Itility Allowances	Ī	Dominion Du	e Diligence G	Group		
		·· -		ty Allowances		April 28, 2017		Structure	3+ Story	
				check one)	<u>.                                    </u>	•		4	y Unit Size (#	t Parma)
Utility	Fuel		Tenant	Owner		Efficiency	1 - Alia Utility F	anowances i	y Ullit Size (# 3	# Burilis) 1
Heat	Electric Heat Pu	ımn	X	Owner		Linciency	3.6		<u> </u>	<del></del>
Cooking	Electric	ипр	X		H		5			
Hot Water	Electric		X				5			
Air Conditioning	Electric		X				3.4			
Range/Microwave	Electric		X		-		0.4			
Refrigerator	Electric		X		-		5			
Other Electric	Electric		X				21.67			
Water & Sewer	Submetered*?	Yes	X				62			
Refuse Collection		. 00	~	Х			<u> </u>			
Total Utility Allowa	nce by Unit Size					0	105.67	0	0	0
					_					
II. UTILITY ALLOWAN	ICE SCHEDULE	#2		Itility Allowances	L			Г _	1	
			Date of Utili	ty Allowances	L			Structure		
			Paid By (d	check one)		Tenant-l	Paid Utility A	llowances b	y Unit Size (#	# Bdrms)
Utility	Fuel		Tenant	Owner		Efficiency	1	2	3	4
Heat	< <select fuel=""></select>									
Cooking	< <select fuel=""></select>									
Hot Water	< <select fuel=""></select>	·>								
Air Conditioning	Electric									
Range/Microwave	Electric									
Refrigerator	Electric									
Other Electric	Electric									
Water & Sewer	Submetered*?	<select></select>								
Refuse Collection										
Total Utility Allowa	nce by Unit Size					0	0	0	0	0
*New Construction units	MUST be sub-metered	d.								
APPLICANT COMM			NS							
DCA COMMENTS		_								

### PART SIX - PROJECTED REVENUES & EXPENSES - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County

I. RENT SCHEDULE Do NOT cut, copy or paste cells in this tab. Complete ALL columns. For Common Space (non-income producing) units, select "N/A-CS" for Rent Type and "Common Space" for Employee Unit.

IOME proje are 100% of				units:	Max	Pro-posed	Utility Allowance	PBRA Provider or			MSA/NonMSA: Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Mari		AMI 67,500	Certifie Historic
Rent Type	Nbr of Bdrms	No. of Baths	Unit Count	Unit Area	Gross Rent Limit	Gross Rent	(UA Sched 1 UA, so over-write if UA Sched 2 used)	Operating Subsidy *** (See note below)	Monthly Per Unit	Net Rent Total	Employee Unit	Building Design Type	Type of Activity	Deemed Historic (See QAF
50% AMI	1	1.0	18	700	633	633	106		527	9,492	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	1	1.0	54	700	759	759	106		653	35,280	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
Inrestricted	1	1.0	18	700	n/a	1,095	0		1,095	19,710	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
						,	0		0	0		,		
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
< <select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
00.000		TOTAL	90	63,000		I		140117	HLY TOTAL	64,482				

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> NOTE: When selecting "PHA Operating Subsidy" in the "PBRA Provider or Operating Subsidy" column above, please also then enter a zero in the "Proposed Gross Rent" column above AND include the PHA operating subsidy amount in the "III. Ancillary and Other Income" section below. Also refer to the Application Instructions provided separately.

### II. UNIT SUMMARY

Units:				Efficiency	1BR	
	Low-Income		60% AMI	0	54	
NOTE TO			50% AMI	0	18	
<b>APPLICANTS</b>			Total	0	72	
: If the	Unrestricted			0	18	
numbers	Total Residentia	I		0	90	
compiled in	Common Space			0	0	
this Summary	Total			0	90	
do not			COO/ ANAL		0	
	PBRA-Assisted		60% AMI 50% AMI	0	0	
appear to match what	(included in LI above	)	Total	0	0	
			Total	U	<u> </u>	
was entered	PHA Operating S	Subsidy-	60% AMI	0	0	
in the Rent	Assisted		50% AMI	0	0	
Chart above,	(included in LI above	)	Total	0	0	
please verify	<b>-</b> ,	No. Occasionation			70	
that all	Type of	New Construction	Low Inc Unrestricted	0	72	
applicable	Construction		Total + CS	0	18 90	
columns	Activity	Acq/Rehab	Low Inc	0	0	
were		Acq/iteriab	Unrestricted	0	0	
completed in			Total + CS	0	0	
the rows		Substantial Rehab	Low Inc	0	0	
used in the		Only	Unrestricted	0	0	
Rent Chart			Total + CS	0	0	
above.		Adaptive Reuse				
		Historic Adaptive Reuse				
		10.4			•	
		Historic		0	0	
	Building Type:	Multifamily		0	90	
	(for <i>Utility</i>	Waltharmy	1-Story	0	0	
	<b>Allowance</b> and		Historic	ő	ő	
	other purposes)		2-Story	0	0	
	outor purposes)		Historic	0	0	
			2-Story WIkp	0	0	
			Historic	0	0	
			3+-Story	0	90	
			Historic	0	0	
		SF Detached		0	0	
		T	Historic	0	0	
		Townhome	Historia	0	0	
		Duploy	Historic	0	0	
		Duplex	Historic	0		
		Manufactured home	i iistorio	0	0	
		manulaciuleu nome	Historic		0	
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Efficiency	1BR	2BR	3BR	4BR	Total	
0	54	0	0	0	54	(Includes inc-restr mgr
0	18	0	0	0	18	units)
0	72	0	0	0	72	
0	18	0	0	0	18	
0	90	0	0	0	90	
0	0	0	0	0	0	(no rent charged)
0	90	0	0	0	90	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
	_		•			· [
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	72	0	0	0	72	
0	18	0	0	0	18	
0	90	0	0	0	90	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
					0	
					0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
				•	•	
0	90	0	0	0	90	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	90	0	0	0	90	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	ő	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

Operating Subsidy Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other:  Total OI NOT in Mgt Fee  11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19  Operating Subsidy Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other:  Total OI NOT in Mgt Fee  21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29  Operating Subsidy Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29  Operating Subsidy Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  Total OI in Mgt Fee	Georgi	a Department of	Community Affairs			2017 F	unding App	lication		Н	ousing Finance	and Development D	Division
Note   Hostoric   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O			Detached / SemiDe	etached	Historic								
Malkup   Historic   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0			Row House				0	0	0	0	0	0	
Elevator			Walkup				0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unit Square Footage:   Low Income   80% AMI   50% AMI   0   37,800   0   0   0   0   37,800   0   0   0   12,600   0   0   0   12,600   0   0   0   12,600   0   0   0   12,600   0   0   0   12,600   0   0   0   12,600   0   0   0   12,600   0   0   0   12,600   0   0   0   12,600   0   0   0   0   12,600   0   0   0   0   0   12,600   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0			Elevator				0	90	0	0	0	90	
Low Income	Unit Square	e Footage:			Thotono	L	<u> </u>	U	Ü	O_	<u> </u>	Ü	
Unrestricted Total Residential Common Space Total Common Space Total  III. ANCILLARY AND OTHER INCOME (annuel amounts)  Ancillary Income Other income (Ob y Var: Included in Migr Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other: Total Oil Not' in Migr Fee  1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  Coperating Subsidy Other: Total Oil Not' in Migr Fee  III. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19  Coperating Subsidy Other: Total Oil not' in Migr Fee  Included in Migr Fee:  Total Oil not' in Migr Fee  Included in Migr Fee:  Total Oil not' in Migr Fee  Included in Migr Fee:  Total Oil not' in Migr Fee  Included in Migr Fee:  Total Oil not' in Migr Fee  Included in Migr Fee:  Total Oil not' in Migr Fee  Included in Migr Fee:  Total Oil not' in Migr Fee  Included in Migr Fee:  Total Oil not' in Migr Fee  Included in Migr Fee:  Total Oil not' in Migr Fee  Included in Migr Fee:  Total Oil not' in Migr Fee  Included in Migr Fee:  Total Oil not' in Migr Fee  Included in Migr Fee:  Included													
Unrestricted Total Residential Common Space Total Common Space Total  III. ANCILLARY AND OTHER INCOME (annual amounts)  Ancillary income Other income (OI) by Year: Included in Mgr Fee:  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9  Operating Subsidy Other: Total OI in Mgr Fee  NOT Included in Mgr Fee:  1 1 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19  Operating Subsidy Other: Total OI in Mgr Fee  Included in Mgr Fee:  III. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19  Operating Subsidy Other: Total OI in Mgr Fee  NOT Included in Mgr Fee:  Included													
Common Space   Total O   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0							0	12,600				12,600	
Total													
III. ANCILLARY AND OTHER INCOME (annual amounts)   Ancillary Income   15,476   Laundry, vending, app fees, etc. Actual pct of PGI: 2.00%													
Other Income (0) by Year:	III. ANCILLAR		NCOME (annual a	amounts)		l	0	63,000	0	0	0	63,000	
Included in Mgt Fee	-					15,476		Laundry, ven	ding, app fees,	etc. Actual pc	t of PGI:	2.00%	
Other:	Included in I	Mgt Fee:		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total Ol NOT in Mgt Fee  11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Operating Subsidy Other: Total Ol in Mgt Fee NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total Ol NOT in Mgt Fee  11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19  Operating Subsidy Other: Total Ol in Mgt Fee Property Tax Abatement Other: Total Ol NOT in Mgt Fee 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29  Operating Subsidy Other: Total Ol in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total Ol in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total Ol in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total Ol in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Total Ol in Mgt Fee: Total Ol		bsidy											
Property Tax Abatement		Total OI in Mgt Fe	e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cher:					•								
Included in Mgt Fee:   11   12   13   14   15   16   17   18   19		Abatement											
Operating Subsidy         Other:			gt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other:  Total OI NOT in Mgt Fee  21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29  Operating Subsidy Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  31 32 33 34 35  Operating Subsidy Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  1 1 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3				11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Total OI in Mgt Fee:  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other:  Total OI NOT in Mgt Fee  21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29  Operating Subsidy Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee:  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee:  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Operating Subsidy Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Total OI NOT in Mgt Fee  1 2 2 23 24 25 26 27 28 29  Included in Mgt Fee:  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		bsidy											
NOT Included in Mgt Fee:         Property Tax Abatement	Otner:	Total OI in Mgt Fe	e	_	_	_		_	_	_	-	-	
Other:         Total OI NOT in Mgt Fee         -	NOT Include	d in Mgt Fee:										I	
Total OI NOT in Mgt Fee:  Included in Mgt Fee:  Operating Subsidy  Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee		Abatement											
Operating Subsidy         Total OI in Mgt Fee         -	Other.	Total OI <b>NOT</b> in M	gt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement Other:  Total OI NOT in Mgt Fee  31 32 33 34 35  Operating Subsidy Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement  NOT Included in Mgt Fee:  Property Tax Abatement	Included in l	Mgt Fee:		21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Total OI in Mgt Fee		bsidy											
NOT Included in Mgt Fee:         Property Tax Abatement	Other:	Total OLin Mat Fe	Δ	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	
Property Tax Abatement       0ther:       -	NOT Include	d in Mgt Fee:	C		<u> </u>							<u> </u>	
Total OI NOT in Mgt Fee:    31   32   33   34   35													
Included in Mgt Fee:         31         32         33         34         35           Operating Subsidy         Image: Control of the control o	Other:	Tatal Ol NOT in M	at Eas										
Operating Subsidy Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee			gt Fee	L					-	-	-	-	-
Other:  Total OI in Mgt Fee				<u>31</u>	32	აა	34	ან	ĺ				
Total OI in Mgt Fee	Included in l												
Property Tax Abatement	Included in I Operating Su	bsidy											
	Included in I Operating Su Other:	bsidy  Total OI in Mgt Fe	e	-	-	-	-	-					
	Included in I Operating Su Other:	bsidy Total OI in Mgt Fe d in Mgt Fee:	e	-	-	-	-	-					
Total OI <b>NOT</b> in Mgt Fee	Included in I Operating Su Other:	bsidy Total OI in Mgt Fe d in Mgt Fee:	е	-	-	-	-	-					

### IV. ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BUDGET

On-Site Staff Costs		On-Site Security		Taxes and Insurance	
Management Salaries & Benefits	100,000	Contracted Guard		Real Estate Taxes (Gross)*	67,397
Maintenance Salaries & Benefits	70,000	Electronic Alarm System	5,000	Insurance**	29,443
Support Services Salaries & Benefits		Subtotal	5,000	Other (describe here)	
Services Coordinator	17,179	<u></u>		Subtotal	96,840
Subtotal	187,179				
On-Site Office Costs		Professional Services		Management Fee:	44,041
Office Supplies & Postage	5,000	Legal	10,000	526.18 Average per un	nit per year
Telephone	8,000	Accounting	10,000	43.85 Average per un	nit per month
Travel		Advertising	5,000	(Mgt Fee - see Pro Forma, Sect 1, 0	Operating Assumptions)
Leased Furniture / Equipment	5,000				
Activities Supplies / Overhead Cost	10,000	Subtotal	25,000	TOTAL OPERATING EXPE	<b>NSES</b> 483,060
				Average per unit 5,367.3	3
Subtotal	28,000			Total OE Requi	ired 360,000
Maintenance Expenses		<b>Utilities</b> (Avg\$/mth/unit)		Replacement Reserve (RR	22,500
Contracted Repairs	10,000	Electricity 14	15,000	Proposed averaga RR/unit amount:	250
General Repairs	10,000	Natural Gas 0		Minimum Replacement R	eserve Calculation
Grounds Maintenance	10,000	Water&Swr 14	15,000	Unit Type Units x RR	Min Total by Type
Extermination	5,000	Trash Collection	10,000	Multifamily	
Maintenance Supplies	10,000	Other (describe here)		Rehab 0 units x \$3	350 = 0
Elevator Maintenance	5,000	Subtotal	40,000	New Constr 90 units x \$2	250 = 22,500
Redecorating	7,000			SF or Duplex 0 units x \$4	20 = 0
Other (describe here)				Historic Rhb 0 units x \$4	20 = 0
Subtotal	57,000			Totals 90	22,500
				TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSE	<b>S</b> 505,560
APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICA	ATIONS	VI.	DCA COMMENTS		
ervices Coordinator will be shared between several propertie					
ee Insurance and Property Tax detailed calculations in Tab 1	I - Feasibility				

1.45

2,358,954

Oper Exp Coverage Ratio

Mortgage A Balance

Mortgage B Balance Mortgage C Balance Other Source Balance 1.44

2,336,208

1.43

2,312,418

1.34

2,112,306

	PART SEVEN -	- OPERATING I	PRO FORMA ·	- 2017-0 Scott	Boulevard Se	enior Residen	ces, Decatur, I	DeKalb County	1		
I. OPERATING ASSUMPT	IONS	P	Please Note:	G	reen-shaded cells a	re unlocked for you	r use and <b>contain</b> re	eferences/formulas t	hat <b>may</b> be overwrit	ten if needed.	
Revenue Growth	2.00%	Д	sset Managem	ent Fee Amour	nt (include total	7,500	Yr 1 Asset N	Mgt Fee Percen	tage of EGI:	-1.02%	
Expense Growth	3.00%	cl	harged by all lende	rs/investors)	_			J	J		
Reserves Growth	3.00%	P	Property Mgt Fe	e Growth Rate	(choose one):		Yr 1 Prop M	lgt Fee Percent	age of EGI:	6.00%	
Vacancy & Collection Loss	7.00%		Expense Gro	wth Rate (3.00	%)		> If Yes, indic	ate Yr 1 Mgt Fe	ee Amt:		
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%		Percent of Ef	fective Gross I	ncome	Yes	> If Yes, indic	ate actual perc	entage:	6.000%	
II. OPERATING PRO FORMA											
Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Revenues	773,781	789,257	805,042	821,143	837,566	854,317	871,403	888,831	906,608	924,740	
Ancillary Income	15,476	15,785	16,101	16,423	16,751	17,086	17,428	17,777	18,132	18,495	
Vacancy	(55,248)	(56,353)	(57,480)	(58,630)	(59,802)	(60,998)	(62,218)	(63,463)	(64,732)	(66,026	
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(439,019)	(452,190)	(465,755)	(479,728)	(494,120)	(508,943)	(524,212)	(539,938)	(556, 136)	(572,820	
Property Mgmt	(44,041)	(44,921)	(45,820)	(46,736)	(47,671)	(48,624)	(49,597)	(50,589)	(51,600)	(52,633	
Reserves	(22,500)	(23,175)	(23,870)	(24,586)	(25,324)	(26,084)	(26,866)	(27,672)	(28,502)	(29,357	
NOI	228,449	228,403	228,217	227,886	227,400	226,754	225,938	224,946	223,770	222,398	
Mortgage A	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433	
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.											
Asset Mgmt	(7,500)	(7,725)	(7,957)	(8,195)	(8,441)	(8,695)	(8,955)	(9,224)	(9,501)	(9,786	
Cash Flow	92,516	92,245	91,828	91,257	90,526	89,627	88,550	87,289	85,836	84,179	
DCR Mortgage A	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.77	1.77	1.77	1.76	1.75	1.74	1.73	
DCR Mortgage B											
DCR Mortgage C											
DCR Other Source											
Total DCR	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.77	1.77	1.77	1.76	1.75	1.74	1.73	

1.41

2,287,535

1.40

2,261,509

1.39

2,234,287

1.38

2,205,814

1.36

2,176,034

1.35

2,144,885

	DART SEVEN	- OPERATING	DRO FORMA	2017 0 Scot	t Poulovard S	onior Bosidon	cos Docatur	DoKalh Count	·	
	PART SEVEN	- OPERATING	PRO FORMA	- 2017-0 3000	it Boulevaru S	emor Residen	ces, Decatur,	Denain Count	y	
I. OPERATING ASSUMPT	IONS	F	Please Note:		Green-shaded cells	are unlocked for you	ır use and <b>contain</b> r	references/formulas	that <b>may</b> be overwri	tten if needed.
Revenue Growth	2.00%		Asset Managen		nt (include total	7,500	Yr 1 Asset	Mgt Fee Percer	ntage of EGI:	-1.02%
•	3.00%		charged by all lend	,	_					
	3.00%	F	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:							6.00%
Vacancy & Collection Loss			•	owth Rate (3.00	,			cate Yr 1 Mgt F		
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%		Percent of E	ffective Gross	Income	Yes	> If Yes, indi	cate actual perd	centage:	6.000%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR	MA									
Year	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Revenues	943,235	962,100	981,342	1,000,968	1,020,988	1,041,408	1,062,236	1,083,480	1,105,150	1,127,253
Ancillary Income	18,865	19,242	19,627	20,019	20,420	20,828	21,245	21,670	22,103	22,545
Vacancy	(67,347)	(68,694)	(70,068)	(71,469)	(72,899)	(74,356)	(75,844)	(77,360)	(78,908)	(80,486)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(590,005)	(607,705)	(625,936)	(644,714)	(664,056)	(683,977)	(704,497)	(725,632)	(747,400)	(769,822)
Property Mgmt	(53,685)	(54,759)	(55,854)	(56,971)	(58,111)	(59,273)	(60,458)	(61,667)	(62,901)	(64,159)
Reserves	(30,238)	(31,145)	(32,080)	(33,042)	(34,033)	(35,054)	(36,106)	(37,189)	(38,305)	(39,454)
NOI	220,825	219,038	217,031	214,791	212,309	209,575	206,576	203,302	199,739	195,877
Mortgage A	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(10,079)	(10,382)	(10,693)	(11,014)	(11,344)					
Cash Flow	82,312	80,224	77,905	75,344	72,532	81,142	78,143	74,869	71,306	67,444
DCR Mortgage A	1.72	1.71	1.69	1.67	1.65	1.63	1.61	1.58	1.56	1.53
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.72	1.71	1.69	1.67	1.65	1.63	1.61	1.58	1.56	1.53
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.33	1.32	1.30	1.29	1.28	1.27	1.26	1.25	1.24	1.22
Mortgage A Balance	2,078,229	2,042,588	2,005,309	1,966,317	1,925,534	1,882,877	1,838,261	1,791,595	1,742,786	1,691,734
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PART SEVEN	- OPERATING	PRO FORMA	- 2017-0 Scot	t Boulevard So	enior Residen	ces, Decatur, I	DeKalb County	y .	
I. OPERATING ASSUME	PTIONS	!	Please Note:	(	Green-shaded cells a	are unlocked for you	ur use and <b>contain</b> r	eferences/formulas t	that <b>may</b> be overwri	iten if needed.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00%		Asset Manager charged by all lend	nent Fee Amou ers/investors)	Int (include total	7,500	Yr 1 Asset I	Mgt Fee Percer	ntage of EGI:	-1.02%
Reserves Growth	3.00%		Property Mgt Fo	ee Growth Rate	e (choose one):		Yr 1 Prop M	lgt Fee Percent	age of EGI:	6.00%
Vacancy & Collection Los	s 7.00%		Expense Gr	owth Rate (3.00	0%)		> If Yes, indic	ate Yr 1 Mgt Fe	ee Amt:	
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%		Percent of E	ffective Gross	Income	Yes	> If Yes, indic	ate actual perc	entage:	6.000%
II. OPERATING PRO FO	ORMA									
Year	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Revenues	1,149,798	1,172,794	1,196,250	1,220,175	1,244,578	1,269,470	1,294,859	1,320,757	1,347,172	1,374,115

II. OPERATING PRO FOR	WA									
Year	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Revenues	1,149,798	1,172,794	1,196,250	1,220,175	1,244,578	1,269,470	1,294,859	1,320,757	1,347,172	1,374,115
Ancillary Income	22,996	23,456	23,925	24,403	24,892	25,389	25,897	26,415	26,943	27,482
Vacancy	(82,096)	(83,737)	(85,412)	(87,120)	(88,863)	(90,640)	(92,453)	(94,302)	(96,188)	(98,112)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(792,917)	(816,705)	(841,206)	(866,442)	(892,435)	(919,208)	(946,785)	(975,188)	(1,004,444)	(1,034,577)
Property Mgmt	(65,442)	(66,751)	(68,086)	(69,447)	(70,836)	(72,253)	(73,698)	(75,172)	(76,676)	(78,209)
Reserves	(40,638)	(41,857)	(43,112)	(44,406)	(45,738)	(47,110)	(48,523)	(49,979)	(51,478)	(53,023)
NOI	191,702	187,200	182,359	177,163	171,598	165,648	159,298	152,531	145,329	137,677
Mortgage A	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cash Flow	63,269	58,767	53,926	48,730	43,165	37,215	30,865	24,098	16,896	9,244
DCR Mortgage A	1.49	1.46	1.42	1.38	1.34	1.29	1.24	1.19	1.13	1.07
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.49	1.46	1.42	1.38	1.34	1.29	1.24	1.19	1.13	1.07
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.21	1.20	1.19	1.18	1.17	1.16	1.15	1.14	1.13	1.12
Mortgage A Balance	1,638,336	1,582,486	1,524,070	1,462,970	1,399,064	1,332,221	1,262,308	1,189,183	1,112,698	1,032,700
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PART SEV	EN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Dec	catur, DeKalb County
I. OPERATING ASSUM	MPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and c	contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.
Revenue Growth	2.00%		Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -1.02%
Expense Growth	3.00%	charged by all lenders/investors)	
Reserves Growth	3.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Yr 1 F	Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: 6.00%
Vacancy & Collection Lo	oss 7.00%	Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)> If Yes	es, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%	Percent of Effective Gross Income Yes> If Yes	es, indicate actual percentage: 6.000%

### II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	31	32	33	34	35
Revenues	1,401,597	1,429,629	1,458,222	1,487,386	1,517,134
Ancillary Income	28,032	28,593	29,164	29,748	30,343
Vacancy	(100,074)	(102,076)	(104,117)	(106,199)	(108,323)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-
Ol Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(1,065,614)	(1,097,583)	(1,130,510)	(1,164,426)	(1,199,358)
Property Mgmt	(79,773)	(81,369)	(82,996)	(84,656)	(86,349)
Reserves	(54,613)	(56,252)	(57,939)	(59,678)	(61,468)
NOI	129,555	120,943	111,824	102,176	91,978
Mortgage A	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)	(128,433)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.					
Asset Mgmt	-	-	-	-	-
Cash Flow	1,122	(7,490)	(16,609)	(26,257)	(36,455)
DCR Mortgage A	1.01	0.94	0.87	0.80	0.72
DCR Mortgage B					
DCR Mortgage C					
DCR Other Source					
Total DCR	1.01	0.94	0.87	0.80	0.72
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.11	1.10	1.09	1.08	1.07
Mortgage A Balance	949,027	861,510	769,973	674,230	574,088
Mortgage B Balance					
Mortgage C Balance					
Other Source Balance					

	PART SEVEN - OPERATING	G PRO FORMA - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard	Senior Residenc	es, Decatur, DeKalb County	
I. OPERATING ASSUMPT Revenue Growth Expense Growth Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Loss Ancillary Income Limit	2.00% 3.00% 3.00% 7.00% 2.00%		s are unlocked for your 7,500	use and contain references/formulas that may be overwr Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: -> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	itten if needed. -1.02% 6.00%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR III. Applicant Comments			IV. DCA Comm	ents	
		e to the expenses increasing at a higher rate than the			
	en on year 30 and at this time we would				

### PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County

	Applicant Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.
DCA's Overall Comments / Approval Conditions:	
2.)	
3.)	
1.)	
5.)	
7.) 3.)	
).)	
0.)	
1.)	
2.)	
3.)	
4.)	
5.)	
6.)	
7.) 8.)	
9.)	
7.) (0.)	
1 PROJECT FEASIBILITY, VIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND CONFORM.	ANCE WITH PLAN Pass?
Threshold Justification per Applicant	
costs therefore meet the allowable cost limits. We have provided letters from SunTrust show with the current market. Operating costs for the project meet DCA threshold requirements	urces of funds we intend to apply for. We have received a waiver for the cost of building a parking deck, and our owing equity pricing at \$0.85 for Federal credits and \$0.55 for State, this pricing seems to be reasonable and in line is and reasonable for a project this size. Our DCR meets the minimum DCA requirement of 1.20 for the period of the deal negatively trends, therefore the DCR is where we need it to be so that we can be breakeven in year 30 and
DCA's Comments:	

### PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County

								A	Applicant Response DCA US
FINAL .	THRESHOL	D DETERMINAT	ΓΙΟΝ (DCA Use C	)nlv)	Disclaimer: DCA		ring section reviews pertain only to the		round and have
		D DETERMINA	IION (DCA 03e C	,,,,		no effect on s	subsequent or future funding round so	oring decisions.	Pass?
	T LIMITS	art in Part VI Revenues &	Now Construction and	7		Historia	Bahah ar Transit Orianta	d Dovinmt	rass:
		s by unit type are auto-calculated.	New Construction and Acquisition/Rehabilitation				Rehab or Transit-Oriented	•	Is this Criterion met? Yes
			•	] 			for Historic Preservation or		is this chemonimet:
D	Unit Type	Nbr Units	Unit Cost Limit tota	· · · · · ·	<del>)</del>	Nbr Units	Unit Cost Limit tota	al by Unit Type	
Detached/Se	,	0 0	139,407 x 0 units =	0		0	153,347 x 0 units =	0	MSA for Cost Limit
mi-Detached		1 0	182,430 x 0 units =	0		0	200,673 x 0 units =	0	purposes:
	2 BR	2 0	221,255 x 0 units =	0		0	243,380 x 0 units =	0	F F
	3 BR	3 0	270,488 x 0 units =	0		0	297,536 x 0 units =	0	Atlanta
	4 BR	4 0	$318,270 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	_	0	$350,097 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	
	Subotal	0		0		Ü		0	Tot Development Costs
Row House	Efficiency	0	130,931 x 0 units =	0		0	$144,024 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	15,721,766
	1 BR	1 0	171,658 x 0 units =	0		0	188,823 x 0 units =	0	13,721,700
	2 BR	2 <b>0</b>	$208,792 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	$229,671 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	Cost Waiver Amount:
	3 BR	3 0	256,678 x 0 units =	0		0	$282,345 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	
	4 BR	<b>4 0</b>	$304,763 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	$335,239 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	
	Subotal	0		0	_	0		0	Historic Preservation Pts
Walkup	Efficiency	0 0	108,868 x 0 units =	0		0	119,754 x 0 units =	0	0
- Tuniup	1 BR	1 0	150,379 x 0 units =	0		0	165,416 x 0 units =	0	Community Transp Opt P
	2 BR	2 0	190,725 x 0 units =	0		0	209,797 x 0 units =	0	2
	3 BR	3 0	249,057 x 0 units =	0		0	273,962 x 0 units =	0	
		4 0	•	0		0	,	0	
	4 BR Subotal	0	310,346 x 0 units =	0	_	0	341,380 x 0 units =	0	Project Cost
Floreston		-	440.704 0	0		· ·	404.000 0	-	Limit (PCL)
Elevator	Efficiency	0 0	112,784 x 0 units =	0		0	124,062 x 0 units =	0	
	1 BR	1 90	157,897 x 90 units =	14,210,730		0	173,686 x 0 units =	0	14,210,730
	2 BR	2 0	203,010 x 0 units =	0		0	223,311 x 0 units =	0	
	3 BR	3 0	270,681 x 0 units =	0		0	297,749 x 0 units =	0	Note: if a PUCL Waiver has be
	4 BR	4 0	$338,351 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	_	0	$372,186 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0	approved by DCA, that amour
	Subotal	90		14,210,730	_	0		0	would supercede the amounts
Total Per C	Construction Type	e 90		14,210,730	_	0		0	shown at left.
Threst	hold Justification p	er Applicant				DCA's Comm	nents:		
			project costs associated v	vith building a	parking				
			nce between surface parki						
		owable costs for this proje		3	3				
	ANCY CHARA		This project is designated	as <sup>.</sup>		Elderly			Pass?
-	hold Justification p		rine project to accignated	<b></b>		DCA's Comm	nonto:		
			uired to use the HUD defir	itian of conior	r havaina.	DCA'S COMM	ienis.		
therefore w	e received guidan	ice from DCA and we ve i	included it under Tab 3 Te	nancy Charac	teristics				
4 REQ	UIRED SERVI	CES							Pass?
			specific services and mee	t the additions	al policies rela	ated to services	Does Applica	nt agree?	Agree
	•	, ,	•		•		ngoing services from at lea		
	•	Il programs planned & ove	•	Specify:			rterly birthday parties, and		
	n-site enrichment o		croson by project mgr	Specify:			ation services and basic		
2) 01	r-site etitioninelit (	uasses		opecity.	•			•	รร sses, monthly nutrition/healthy eatir
3) Or	n-site health classe	es		Specify:		d monthly fitne		annty garacti cla	5555, monthly natification eath
4) Ot	her services appro	oved by DCA		Specify:	ciasses, dii	a mondiny nun	633 GIQ33C3		
4) Ol	ner services appro	oved by DCA		opecity.					

Applicant will provide services listed above to meet this requirement.

# PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County Applicant Response DCA USE FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) C. For applications for rehabilitation of existing congregate supportive housing developments: Name of behavioral health agency, continuum of care or service provider for which MOU is included. Threshold Justification per Applicant DCA'S Comments:

₺ ② 2017-041ScottBlvdSrGORACore

### PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County

	Applicant Response DCA USE
	ction reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have
MARKET FEASIBILITY	uent or future funding round scoring decisions.  Pass?
A. Provide the name of the market study analyst used by applicant:	A. Novogradac & Company LLP
B. Project absorption period to reach stabilized occupancy	B. 8 months
C. Overall Market Occupancy Rate	C. 97.70%
D. Overall capture rate for tax credit units	D. 14.90%
E. List DCA tax credit projects in close proximity to properties funded in 2014 or 2015. Include DCA project number and projects in close proximity to properties funded in 2014 or 2015.	
Project Nbr Project Name Project Nbr Project Name	Project Nbr Project Name
1 3	5
2 4	6
F. Does the unit mix/rents and amenities included in the application match those provided in the market study?	F. Yes
Threshold Justification per Applicant	
Market Study supports the proposed project, there is no significant adverse impact to the occupancy and financial health of exist	
preshold requirements, see Tab 5 of the application. There are no properties in close proximity to Scott Boulevard Senior funded	ed in 2014, 2015 or 2016
DCA's Comments:	
S APPRAISALS	Pass?
A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?	A. No
B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission?	B. No
If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions:  Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions:	
1) Does it provide a land value?	1)
2) Does it provide a value for the improvements?	2)
3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards?	3)
4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as comple	leted unencumbered appraised 4)
value of the property?	
C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3	3) years?
D. Has the property been:	D
1) Rezoned?	1)
2) Subdivided?	2)
3) Modified?	3)
Threshold Justification per Applicant	
lot applicable	
DCA's Comments:	
DOI to Commonia.	

			App	licant R	esponse	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERM	IINATION (DCA Hea Only)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section rev		d and have		
	,	no effect on subsequent or	future funding round scoring decisions.	Pass?		
7 ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREME	ENIS			rass :		
A. Name of Company that prepared the F	Phase I Assessment in accordance with ASTI	M 1527-13:	A. United Consulting			
B. Is a Phase II Environmental Report inc	cluded?			B.	No	
C. Was a Noise Assessment performed?	•			C.	Yes	
1) If "Yes", name of company that pre	epared the noise assessment?		1) Arpeggio Acoustic Consulting			
2) If "Yes", provide the maximum nois	se level on site in decibels over the 10 year p	rojection:		2)	64.4	
3) If "Yes", what are the contributing	factors in decreasing order of magnitude?					
Scott Blvd						
<b>D.</b> Is the subject property located in a:				D		
1) Brownfield?				1)	Yes	
2) 100 year flood plain / floodway?				2)	No	
If "Yes": a) Percen	ntage of site that is within a floodplain:			a)		
b) Will an	y development occur in the floodplain?			b)		
c) Is docu	umentation provided as per Threshold criteria	?		c)		
3) Wetlands?				3)	No	
If "Yes": a) Enter the	he percentage of the site that is a wetlands:			a)		
b) Will an	y development occur in the wetlands?			b)		
c) Is docu	umentation provided as per Threshold criteria	?		c)		
4) State Waters/Streams/Buffers and				4)	No	
E. Has the Environmental Professional id	dentified any of the following on the subject pr	roperty:		_		
Lead-based paint?     No.	o 5) Endangere	ed species? No	9) Mold	?	No	
2) Noise?	o 6) Historic de	esignation?	10) PCB	s?	No	
3) Water leaks?	, ., .	usion?	11) Rado	n?	No	
4) Lead in water?	-,	containing materials? No				
12) Other (e.g., Native American burial	I grounds, etc.) - describe in box below:					
_						
	entation required for a HOME application incl	uded, such as:				
,	and/or Floodplains required and included?			1)		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	IOME and HUD Environmental Questionnaire			2)		
,	in from undertaking any activities that could h	, ,	perty?	3)		
	granted, has the HUD Form 4128 been included			G.		
Projects involving HOME funds must also m	•		/v H. < <select></select>		< <sel< td=""><td>ant -</td></sel<>	ant -
mixed (25% - 49% minority), or Non-n	haracterized as [Choose either <i>Minority conc</i> minority (less than 25% minority)]:	entration (50% of more minority), Raciali	y n. < <select>:</select>	•	<<5ei	ect>>
I. List all contiguous Census Tracts:	I.					
J. Is Contract Addendum included in App	olication?			J.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant						
A Phase I Environmental meeting 2017 Enviror	nmental Manual Requirements has been incl	uded in Tab 7				
DCA's Comments:						

Applicant Response DCA USE

## PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Infestion and Scoring Section reviews pertain only to the corresponding no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions			
8 SITE CONTROL	Pass?		
A. Is site control provided through November 30, 2017? Expiration Date: 12/31/17	A.	Yes	
B. Form of site control:  B. Contract/	/Option	< <select>&gt;</select>	
C. Name of Entity with site control:			
D. Is there any Identity of Interest between the entity with site control and the applicant?	D.	No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Purchase Sale agreement included in Tab 8. It provides site control to the proposed Limited Partner, it provides the contract price, it is signed by both purchase provides site control until December 31, 2017.	er and seller, inclul	des a legal d	escription and
DCA's Comments:			
9 SITE ACCESS	Pass?		
A. Does this site provide a specified entrance that is legally accessible by paved roads and are the appropriate drawings, surveys, photographs and other documentation reflecting such paved roads included in the electronic application binder?	. А.	No	
B. If access roads are not in place, does the application contain documentation evidencing local government approval to pave the road, a commitment for funding, and the timetable for completion of such paved roads?	r B.	Yes	
C. If the road is going to be paved by the applicant, are these costs documented in the submitted electronic application binder and reflected in the development budget provided in the core application?	C.		
D. If use of private drive proposed, is site control of private drive documented by proof of ownership or by a properly executed easement on private drive, are the plans for paving private drive, including associated development costs, adequately addressed in Application?	and D.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
The master developer will be responsible for paving the road leading to our site, there is a commitment included in Tab 9. The seller has provided easement rights. The 2nd amendment to the Purchase Sale Agreement provides easement rights in Exhibit B, Section 10. This document is also included under Tab 9  DCA's Comments:	,me to decess our	property and	agii tiilo piivato
10 SITE ZONING	Pass?	·	
A. Is Zoning in place at the time of this application submission?	A.	Yes	
B. Does zoning of the development site conform to the site development plan?	В.		
C. Is the zoning confirmed, in writing, by the authorized Local Government official?	C.	Yes	
If "Yes":  1) Is this written confirmation included in the Application?	1)		
2) Does the letter include the zoning <i>and</i> land use classification of the property?	2)		
3) Is the letter accompanied by a clear explanation of the requirements (include a copy of the applicable sections of the zoning ordinance highlighted for the stated classification)?	3)		
4) Is the letter accompanied by all conditions of these zoning and land use classifications?	4)	Yes	
5) If project is requesting HOME or HUD funds, does Local Government official also comment on whether project will include development of prime or unique farmland?			
<b>D.</b> Is documentation provided (on the Architectural Site Conceptual Development Plan either graphically or in written form) that demonstrates that the site layout conforms to any moratoriums, density, setbacks or other requirements?	D.	Yes	
E. Are all issues and questions surrounding the zoning and land use classification clearly defined prior to this application submission?	E.	No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			-
Our site plan conforms with all zoning requirements. The Conceptual Site plan showing this requirements is included along with the zoning letter and zoning or	dinance in Tab 10	of the applica	ation.
DCA's Comments:			

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA	2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences	, Decatur, DeKalb County
---------------------------------	--	--------------------------

						<b>Applicant</b>	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use C	)nlv)	Disclaimer: DCA Three		coring section reviews pertain only to th		ding round and have		
•	Jiliy <i>)</i>		no effect o	on subsequent or future funding round so	coring decisions.	D0		
11 OPERATING UTILITIES						Pass?		
, ,	) Gas			ovider Name Here>>		1)		
	) Electric	Ge	eorgia Po	ower		2)	Yes	
There is electric service available to our site, see Georgia Power letter in Tab 11								
DCA's Comments:								
						-		
12 PUBLIC WATER/SANITARY SEWER/STORM SEWER						Pass?		
A. 1) Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA included in this applica	ation for this cr	riterion as it pertai	ins to sind	ale-family detached Rural pro	piects?	A1)	No	
2) If Yes, is the waiver request accompanied by an engineering report		•	•		,	2)		
	) Public water	· -	eKalb Co	<u> </u>		B1)	Yes	
,	) Public sewer		eKalb Co			2)	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	,			<u> </u>		<b>.</b> / I		
A sewer action plan has been submitted to DeKalb County. Fugua Developmen	nt, as master d	leveloper, is respo	onsible fo	r the installation and constru	ction and of ar	ny required stori	m manageme	nt system and
any county or city required sewer retainage vaults or systems. We have include	d a letter from	Fugua in Tab 12	. There w	vill be water and sewer availa	ble to our site.			
DCA's Comments:								
13 REQUIRED AMENITIES						Pass?		
Is there a Pre-Approval Form from DCA included in this application for this	criterion?						No	
A. Applicant agrees to provide following required Standard Site Amenities		ce with DCA Ame	nities Gu	idebook (select one in each cate	egory):	Α.	Agree	
Community area (select either community room or community building)				Room	1	1		
2) Exterior gathering area (if "Other", explain in box provided at right):	37		,	Gazebo	lf '	"Other", explain he	re	
3) On site laundry type:			,	On-site laundry	1			
B. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Additional Site Amen	ities to confor	m with the DCA A	menities	Guidebook.		В.	Agree	
The nbr of additional amenities required depends on the total unit count						l		Amenities
Additional Amenities (describe in space provided below)		? DCA Pre-approved?		Additional Amenities (descri	be below)	(	Guidebook Met?	DCA Pre-approve
1) Fenced Community Garden			3)	· ·	,			' '
2) Wellness Center			4)					
C. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Unit Amenities:	-		,			C.	Agree	
1) HVAC systems						1)	Yes	
Energy Star refrigerators						2)	Yes	
3) Energy Star dishwashers (not required in senior USDA or HUD prop	perties)					3)	Yes	
4) Stoves						4)	Yes	
5) Microwave ovens						5)	Yes	
6) a. Powder-based stovetop fire suppression canisters installed above	e the range cc	ook top, OR				6a)	Yes	
b. Electronically controlled solid cover plates over stove top burners	;					6b)		
D. If proposing a Senior project or Special Needs project, Applicant agrees	s to provide th	e following addition	onal requ	ired Amenities:		D.	Agree	
Elevators are installed for access to all units above the ground floor.		-				1)	Yes	
2) Buildings more than two story construction have interior furnished ga		s in several location	ons in the	lobbies and/or corridors		2)	Yes	
3) a. 100% of the units are accessible and adaptable, as defined by the	_					3a)	Yes	
b. If No, was a DCA Architectural Standards waiver granted?						3b)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant								
We have agreed to provide all required and additional amenities stated above. V	Ne have inclu	ded a site plan in	Tab 15 tl	hat includes all of these ame	nities.			

DCA's Comments:

		Appl	icant F	Response DCA USE
FII	NAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use C	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round	and have	
	TAL TIMEONOLD DETERMINATION (DOA 030 0	no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.		
14	REHABILITATION STANDARDS (REHABILITATION PRO-	JECTS ONLY)	Pass?	
	A. Type of rehab (choose one):	A. < <select>&gt;</select>		< <select>&gt;</select>
	B. Date of Physical Needs Assessment (PNA):	В.		1,00,000
	Name of consultant preparing PNA:			
	Is 20-year replacement reserve study included?			
	C. Performance Rpt indicates energy audit completed by qualified BPI Buil	Iding Analyst?	C.	
	Name of qualified BPI Building Analyst or equivalent professional:			
		ab,and clearly indicates percentages of each item to be either "demoed" or replaced	D.	
	DCA Rehabilitation Work Scope form referenced above clearly	1. All immediate needs identified in the PNA.	1)	
	addresses:	All application threshold and scoring requirements	2)	
		<ul><li>3. All applicable architectural and accessibility standards.</li><li>4. All remediation issues identified in the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.</li></ul>	3) 4)	
	F. Applicant understands that in addition to proposed work scope, the proi	ect must meet state and local building codes, DCA architectural requirements as	4) E.	
	set forth in the QAP and Manuals, and health and safety codes and requ			
	Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Not	applicable			
	DCA's Comments:			
15	SITE INFORMATION AND CONCEPTUAL SITE DEVELOP	PMENT PLAN	Pass?	
	A. Is Conceptual Site Development Plan included in application and has it Manual?	been prepared in accordance with all instructions set forth in the DCA Architectural	A.	Yes
	Are all interior and exterior site related amenities required and selected	in this application indicated on the Conceptual Site Development Plan?		Yes
	B. Location/Vicinity map delineates location point of proposed property (sit		В.	Yes
		properties & structures are included, numbered, dated & have brief descriptions?	C.	Yes
	Site Map delineates the approximate location point of each photo?			Yes
	Threshold Justification per Applicant	dentify existing property & adjacent land uses, and delineate property boundaries?	D.	Yes
A C	SDP, color site photos, site vicinity map and aerial photograph are inlcuded i	in Tab 15 of the application.		
	DCA's Comments:			
16	BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY		Pass?	
	A. Applicant agrees that this proposed property must achieve a minimum s completion as set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual?	standard for energy efficiency and sustainable building practices upon construction	A.	Agree
	B. Applicant agrees that the final construction documents must clearly ind meet the requirements set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manu	icate all components of the building envelope and all materials and equipment that ual?	B.	Agree
	Threshold Justification per Applicant			
We	agree to all building sustainability requirements per the 2017 QAP			
	DCA's Comments:			

	Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding function on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ling round and have		
7 ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS	Pass?		
A. 1) Upon completion, will this project comply with all applicable Federal and State accessibility laws including but not limited to: The Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Georgia Fair Housing Law and Georgia Access Law as set forth in the 2015 Accessibility Manual? (When two or more accessibility standards apply, the applicant is required to follow and apply both standards so that a maximum accessibility is obtained.)	,	Yes	
2) Owner understands that DCA requires the Section 504 accessibility requirements to be incorporated into the design and construction of ALL new construction and/or rehabilitation projects selected under the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan, regardless of whether or not the project will receive federal debt financing assistance (e.g., HOME). This constitutes a higher standard of accessibility than what may be required under federal laws. This means that all projects, including those financed with tax exempt bonds which receive an allocation of 4% tax credits and 9% tax credits-only projects, must incorporate at a minimum the requirements of the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards into the design and construction of the project.		Yes	
3) Owner claims that property is eligible for any of the stated statutory exemptions for any applicable federal, state, and local accessibility law? If so, support the claim with a legal opinion placed where indicted in Tabs Checklist.	3)	No	
4) Does this project comply with applicable DCA accessibility requirements detailed in the 2016 Architectural and Accessibility Manuals?	4)	Yes	
B. 1) a. Will at least 5% of the total units (but no less than Nbr of Units Minimum Required:			
one unit) be equipped for the mobility disabled, Equipped: Nbr of Units Percentage			
including wheelchair restricted residents?  1) a. Mobility Impaired  5  5%	B1)a.	Yes	
b. Roll-in showers will be incorporated into 40% of the mobility 1) b. Roll-In Showers 2 40% equipped units (but no fewer than one unit)?	b.	Yes	
2) Will least an additional 2% of the total units (but no less than one unit) be equipped for hearing and sight-impaired residents?	2)	Yes	
C. Applicant will retain a DCA qualified consultant to monitor the project for accessibility compliance who will not be a member of the proposed Project Team nor have an Identify of Interest with any member of the proposed Project Team?  The DCA qualified consultant will perform the following:  Name of Accessibility Consultant  EMG	C. <b>1</b>	Yes	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	]	Vac	
<ol> <li>A pre-construction plan and specification review to determine that the proposed property will meet all required accessibility requirements. The Consultant report must be included with the Step 2 construction documents submitted to DCA. At a minimum, the report will include the initial comments from the consultant, all documents related to resolution of identified accessibility issues and a certification from the consultant that the plans appear to meet all accessibility requirements.</li> </ol>	,	Yes	
2) At least two training sessions for General Contractor and Subcontractors regarding accessibility requirements. One training must be on site.	2).	Yes	
3) An inspection of the construction site after framing is completed to determine that the property is following the approved plans and specifications as to accessibility. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved.	3).	Yes	
4) A final inspection of the property after completion of construction to determine that the property has been constructed in accordance with all accessibility requirements. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved prior to submission of the project cost certification.		Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
/e agree to meet all accessibility requirements per the 2017 QAP and 2017 Architectural and Accessibility Manuals listed above			
DCA's Comments:			

		Response	
INAL THRESHOLD DETERMINA	ATION (DCA LISA Only) <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have		
8 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN & QUAL		·	
Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA inc	•••	No	
• •	andards contained in the Application Manual for quality and longevity?	Yes	
	ction Hard Costs - are the following minimum review standards for rehabilitation projects met or exceeded by this project?		
	for funding only if the per unit rehabilitation hard costs exceed \$25,000. The costs of furniture, fixtures, A.	Yes	
-	buildings and common area amenities are not included in these amounts.		
B. Standard Design Options for All Projects			
Exterior Wall Finishes (select one)	Exterior wall faces will have an excess of 40% brick or stone on each total wall surface  1)	Yes	
<ol> <li>Major Bldg Component Materials &amp; Upgrades (select one)</li> </ol>	Fiber cement siding or other 30 year warranty product installed on all exterior wall surfaces not already required to be brick	Yes	
C. Additional Design Options - not listed abo	ove, proposed by Applicant prior to Application Submittal in accordance with Exhibit A DCA Pre-application and		
Pre-Award Deadlines and Fee Schedule, a			
1)	1)		
2)	2)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
	ity standards on the 2017 Architectural Standards Manual		
DCA's Comments:			
9 QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROJECT T	Pass?		
	· ·		
<ul> <li>A. Did the Certifying Entity meet the experience</li> </ul>	e requirement in 2016?	Yes	
A. Did the Certifying Entity meet the experience     B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Prescription.	·	Yes No	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?	Yes No No	
<ul><li>B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr</li><li>C. Has there been any change in the Project T</li></ul>	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Eam since the initial pre-application submission?  C.	No	
<ul><li>B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr</li><li>C. Has there been any change in the Project T</li><li>D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa</li></ul>	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Eam since the initial pre-application submission?  C. siver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D.	No No No	P/Dev
<ul><li>B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr</li><li>C. Has there been any change in the Project T</li><li>D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa</li></ul>	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Eam since the initial pre-application submission?  C. siver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. ect's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):  E. Probationary	No No No Certifying G	
<ul> <li>B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr</li> <li>C. Has there been any change in the Project T</li> <li>D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa</li> <li>E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Project</li> </ul>	roject. Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  B. Feam since the initial pre-application submission?  C. siver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. ect's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):  E. Probationary	No No No Certifying G	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr C. Has there been any change in the Project T D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Proje F. DCA Final Determination  Threshold Justification per Applicant apestry Development Group, Inc has partnered with esidential for this development. The waiver is also	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  B. Team since the initial pre-application submission?  C. Silver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. Probationary  F. Select Description of this criterion?	No No No Certifying G	
<ul> <li>B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr</li> <li>C. Has there been any change in the Project T</li> <li>D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa</li> <li>E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Proje</li> <li>F. DCA Final Determination</li> <li>Threshold Justification per Applicant</li> <li>apestry Development Group, Inc has partnered with</li> </ul>	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  B. Team since the initial pre-application submission?  C. Silver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. Probationary  F. Select Description of this criterion?	No No No Certifying G	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr C. Has there been any change in the Project T D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Proje F. DCA Final Determination  Threshold Justification per Applicant apestry Development Group, Inc has partnered with esidential for this development. The waiver is also	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  B. Team since the initial pre-application submission?  C. Silver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. Probationary  F. Select Description of this criterion?	No No No Certifying G	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr C. Has there been any change in the Project T D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Proje F. DCA Final Determination  Threshold Justification per Applicant apestry Development Group, Inc has partnered with esidential for this development. The waiver is also DCA's Comments:	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Eam since the initial pre-application submission?  C. siver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. probationary  E. Probationary  F. << Select Determination indicated a status of (select one):  h New Columbia Residential to meet the Qualification determination, this is included in 19. A waiver of project cap was also graincluded in Tab 19	No No No Certifying G	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr C. Has there been any change in the Project T D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Proje F. DCA Final Determination  Threshold Justification per Applicant apestry Development Group, Inc has partnered with esidential for this development. The waiver is also DCA's Comments:	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Eeam since the initial pre-application submission?  C. siver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. ect's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):  E. Probationary  F. < Select Determination in the Columbia Residential to meet the Qualification determination, this is included in 19. A waiver of project cap was also graincluded in Tab 19  Pass?	No No No Certifying G	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr C. Has there been any change in the Project T D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Proje F. DCA Final Determination Threshold Justification per Applicant apestry Development Group, Inc has partnered with esidential for this development. The waiver is also DCA's Comments:  O COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY A. Was a pre-application submitted for this De	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Eam since the initial pre-application submission?  C. siver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. ect's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):  E. Probationary  F. < Select Determination in the New Columbia Residential to meet the Qualification determination, this is included in 19. A waiver of project cap was also graincluded in Tab 19  Pass?  A. etermination at the Pre-Application Stage?	No No Certifying G signation >>	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr C. Has there been any change in the Project T D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Proje F. DCA Final Determination Threshold Justification per Applicant apestry Development Group, Inc has partnered wite esidential for this development. The waiver is also DCA's Comments:  O COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY A. Was a pre-application submitted for this De B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the second support the second submitted for the secon	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Eam since the initial pre-application submission?  C. siver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. ect's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):  E. Probationary  F. < Select Determination in the New Columbia Residential to meet the Qualification determination, this is included in 19. A waiver of project cap was also graincluded in Tab 19  Pass?  A. etermination at the Pre-Application Stage?	No No No Certifying G esignation >> Anted to Colum	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr C. Has there been any change in the Project T D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Proje F. DCA Final Determination  Threshold Justification per Applicant apestry Development Group, Inc has partnered wittesidential for this development. The waiver is also  DCA's Comments:  O COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY A. Was a pre-application submitted for this De B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the s C. Has the Certifying Entity and all other project	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Eam since the initial pre-application submission?  C. aiver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. ect's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):  E. Probationary  F. < Select Determination at the Pre-Application determination, this is included in 19. A waiver of project cap was also graincluded in Tab 19  Pass?  Stermination at the Pre-Application Stage?  A. status of any project included in the CHS form?  B.	No No No Certifying G signation >> Anted to Column  Yes No	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr C. Has there been any change in the Project T D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Proje F. DCA Final Determination  Threshold Justification per Applicant apestry Development Group, Inc has partnered wit esidential for this development. The waiver is also  DCA's Comments:  O COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY A. Was a pre-application submitted for this De B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the s C. Has the Certifying Entity and all other project Participants?  Threshold Justification per Applicant	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Eam since the initial pre-application submission?  C. diver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. diver Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):  E. Probationary  F. Select Determination indicated a status of (select one):  Probationary  F. Select Determination at the Pre-Application Stage?  A. Status of any project included in the CHS form?  Ct team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for  C. C. Adversaria and the Pre-Application Stage?  B. Ct team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for  C. C. Adversaria and the Pre-Application Stage?  A. C.	No No No Certifying G signation >> Anted to Column  Yes No	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr C. Has there been any change in the Project T D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Proje F. DCA Final Determination  Threshold Justification per Applicant apestry Development Group, Inc has partnered wit esidential for this development. The waiver is also  DCA's Comments:  O COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY A. Was a pre-application submitted for this De B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the s C. Has the Certifying Entity and all other project Participants?  Threshold Justification per Applicant	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Eam since the initial pre-application submission?  C. diver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. diver Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):  E. Probationary  F. Select Determination indicated a status of (select one):  Probationary  F. Select Determination at the Pre-Application Stage?  A. Status of any project included in the CHS form?  Ct team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for  C. C. Adversaria and the Pre-Application Stage?  B. Ct team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for  C. C. Adversaria and the Pre-Application Stage?  A. C.	No No No Certifying G signation >> Anted to Column  Yes No	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Pr C. Has there been any change in the Project T D. Did the project team request a waiver or wa E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Proje F. DCA Final Determination  Threshold Justification per Applicant apestry Development Group, Inc has partnered witt esidential for this development. The waiver is also  DCA's Comments:  O COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY A. Was a pre-application submitted for this De B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the s C. Has the Certifying Entity and all other project Project Participants?  Threshold Justification per Applicant ompliance History summary was submitted at pre-	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Eam since the initial pre-application submission?  C. diver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?  D. diver Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):  E. Probationary  F. Select Determination indicated a status of (select one):  Probationary  F. Select Determination at the Pre-Application Stage?  A. Status of any project included in the CHS form?  Ct team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for  C. C. Adversaria and the Pre-Application Stage?  B. Ct team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for  C. C. Adversaria and the Pre-Application Stage?  A. C.	No No No Certifying G signation >> Anted to Column  Yes No	

		<b>Applicant</b>	Response	DCA USE
FII	NAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fur no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ding round and have		
	ELIGIBILITY FOR CREDIT UNDER THE NON-PROFIT SET-ASIDE	Pass?		
	A. Name of Qualified non-profit:  A. Tapestry Development Group, Inc			
	B. Non-profit's Website:  B. www.tapestrydevelopment.org			
	C. Is the organization a qualified non-profit, defined as a 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization, which is not affiliated with or controlled by a for-profit organization and has included the fostering of low income housing as one of its tax-exempt purposes?	C.	Yes	
	D. Will the qualified non-profit materially participate in the development and operation of the project as described in IRC Section 469(h) throughout the compliance period?	D.	Yes	
	E. Does the qualified non-profit own at least 51% of the GP's interest in the project and is the managing general partner of the ownership entity?	E.	Yes	
	<b>F.</b> Is this entity a corporation with 100 percent of the stock of such corporation held by one or more qualified non-profit organizations at all times during the period such corporation is in existence?	F.	Yes	
	G. All Applicants: Does the non-profit receive a percentage of the developer fee greater than or equal to its percentage of its ownership interest?	G.	Yes	
	1) CHDOS Only: If the nonprofit entity is also a CHDO, is it a DCA-certified CHDO which must own 100% of the General Partnership entity?			
	H. Is a copy of the GP joint venture agreement or GP operating agreement that provides the non-profit's GP interest and the Developer Fee amount included in the application?	H.	Yes	
	I. Is a an opinion of a third party attorney who specializes in tax law on the non-profit's current federal tax exempt qualification status included in the	I.	Yes	
	Application? If such an opinion has been previously obtained, this requirement may be satisfied by submitting the opinion with documentation			
	demonstrating that the non-profit's bylaws have not changed since the legal opinion was issued.  Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Tan	pestry Development Group, Inc meets all requirements under the non-profit set aside section. Documentation is included in Tab 21			
тир	DCA's Comments:			
22	ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE	Pass?		
	A. Name of CHDO:  Name of CHDO Managing GP:			
	B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?	B.		
	C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?	C.		
	D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent?  DCA HOME Consent amount:	7 D.		
	Threshold Justification per Applicant			
not	applicable			
	DCA's Comments:			
23	REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.	Pass?		
	A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition	A.	No	
	B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility	B.	No	
	C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status	C.	Yes	
	D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP]	D.	No	
	E. Other (If Yes, then also describe):			
	Threshold Justification per Applicant			
An I	legal opinion from a third party attorney is included in Tab 21			
	DCA's Comments:			

	Applicant R	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fund no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ing round and have		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
4 RELOCATION AND DISPLACEMENT OF TENANTS	Pass?		
A. Does the Applicant anticipate displacing or relocating any tenants?	A.		
B. 1) Are any of the other sources (not DCA HOME) considered to be Federal Funding?	B1)		
If Yes, applicant will need to check with the source of these funds to determine if this project will trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or 104(d).	_		
2) If tenants will be displaced, has Applicant received DCA written approval and placed a copy where indicated in the Tabs Checklist?	2)		
3) Will any funding source used trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or HUD 104 (d) requirements?	3)		
C. Is sufficient comparable replacement housing identified in the relocation plan according to DCA relocation requirements?	C.		
D. Provide summary data collected from DCA Relocation Displacement Spreadsheet:			
1) Number of Over Income Tenants 4) Number of Down units			
2) Number of Rent Burdened Tenants 5) Number of Displaced Tenants			
3) Number of Vacancies			
E. Indicate Proposed Advisory Services to be used (see Relocation Manual for further explanation):			
1) Individual interviews 3) Written Notifications			
2) Meetings 4) Other - describe in box provided:			
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
ot applicable			
DCA's Comments:			
5 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING (AFFH)	Pass?		
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing plan that:	_		
A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each service provider, homeless shelter or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is located?	A.	Agree	
B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to persons with disabilities and the homeless?	B.	Agree	
C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintains relationships between the management agent and community service providers?	C.	Agree	
<b>D.</b> Includes a referral and screening process that will be used to refer tenants to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable accommodations to facilitate the admittance of persons with disabilities or the homeless into the project?	D.	Agree	
E. Includes marketing of properties to underserved populations 2-4 months prior to occupancy?	E.	Agree	
F. Includes making applications for affordable units available to public locations including at least one that has night hours?	F.	Agree	
G. Includes outreach to Limited English Proficiency groups for languages identified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area?	G.	Agree	
H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to provide reasonable accommodation for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws.	Н.	Agree	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Ve agree to all requirements of AFFH			
DCA's Comments:			
26 OPTIMAL UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES	Pass?		
Threshold Justification per Applicant	_		
his project is part of a DRI - Development of Regional Impact			
DCA's Comments:			

PART NINE -	- SCORING	CRITERIA - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Ser	nior Reside	nces, Decatur, DeKalb County				
		icants must include comments in sections where points are c			Score	- 1	Self	DCA
		n only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on si will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" dedu		re funding round scoring decisions.	Value		core	
·	ranure to do so	will result in a one (1) boint. Abblication Combleteness. dedi	action.	TOTALS:	92	_	67	22
1. APPLICATION COMPLETENESS		(Applicants start with 10 pts. Any p	points entered	will be <u>subtracted</u> from score value)	10		10	10
A. Missing or Incomplete Documents	Number:					Α.		0
Organization	Number:				1	۸.		0
B. Financial and Other Adjustments		0 2-4 adjustments/revisions = one (1) pt dedi			•	В.		0
DCA's Comments:		Enter "1" for each ite					•	
A. Missing or Illegible or Inaccurate Documents or	Nbr	<b>1</b>	Nbr			_	Nb	
Application Not Organized Correctly	0	INCOMPLETE Documents:	0	B. Financial adjustments/revi	sions:		0	
1			n/a	1			n/a	a
2		2		2				
3		3	included in 2	3			include	d in 2
			2					
4		4		4			include	d in 2
•		ľ					o.uuo	
5		5	included in	5				
			4					
6		6		6				
7		7	In almost a diff	7				
I			included in 6					
8		8		8				
9		9	included in	9				
			8					
10		10		10				
		11	included in	11				
			10					
12		12		12				

COI	gia Department of Community Arians	2017 I unumg Application			riousing rinanc	e and De	20010	pineni	ופועום
	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2	2017-0 Scott Boulevard Ser	ior Reside	nces, Decatur,	<b>DeKalb County</b>				
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspond	comments in sections where points are c ding funding round and have no effect on su a point "Application Completeness" dedu	bsequent or futu	re funding round scoring	g decisions.	Score Value		Self Score	DCA Score
					TOTALS:	92		67	22
2.	DEEPER TARGETING / RENT / INCOME RESTRICTIONS	Choose A or B.				3		2	0
A.	Deeper Targeting through Rent Restrictions	Total Residential Units:	90						
	Applicant agrees to set income limits at 50% AMI and gross rents at or	Per Applicant Per DCA		Actual Percent	of Residential Units:				
	below 30% of the 50% income limit for at least:	Nbr of Restricted Residential Units:		Per Applicant	Per DCA	2	A.	2	0
	1. 15% of total residential units			0.00%	0.00%	1	1.	0	0
or	2. 20% of total residential units	18		20.00%	0.00%	2	2.	2	0
В.	Deeper Targeting through <u>New</u> PBRA Contracts	Nbr of PBRA Residential Units:				3	B.	0	0
	1. 15% (at least) of residential units to have PBRA for 10+ yrs:			0.00%	0.00%	2	1.	0	0
	<ol> <li>Application receives at least points under Section VII. Stable</li> <li>DCA's Comments:</li> </ol>	Communities. Points awarded in	Sect VII:	6	2	1	2.	0	0
3.	DESIRABLE AND UNDESIRABLE CHARACTERISTICS		Scoring for requ			13	L	13	0
	Is the completed and executed DCA Desirable/Undesirable Certification form in	ncluded in the appropriate application	n tab, in both	the original Excel ve	ersion and signed PDF	?		Yes	
Α.	Desirable Activities	(1 or 2 pts each - see QAP)	Complete this	section using results	from completed current	12	A.	12	
В.	Bonus Desirable	(1 pt - see QAP)			ation form. Submit this	1	В.	1	
C.	Undesirable/Inefficient Site Activities/Characteristics Scoring Justification per Applicant	(1 pt subtracted each)	completed	form in both Excel an indicated in Tabs Ch	•	various	C.		
with side	have listed numerous activities on the DCA form that total over 12 points, all action 0.5 miles walking distance from the pedestrian site entrance to the site. All decayalks are a condition of our zoning. We have also included letters from the Deker Tab 26. Therefore we are entitled to 13 points for this section.  DCA's Comments:	esirable activities will be accessible	through paved	sidewalks that will	be constructed as part	of the ove	rall dev	velopme	ent,
4.	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS	See so	coring criteria	for further requirem	ents and information	6		2	0
	Evaluation Criteria	Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible					Applicant Agrees?	DCA Agrees?
	1. All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Paved	Pedestrian Walkways.						Yes	/ Igrees :
	DCA has measured all required distances between a pedestrian site entra	•	d Pedestrian \	Walkways.					
	3. Each residential building is accessible to the pedestrian site entrance via	an on-site Paved Pedestrian Walkwa	ay.	•				Yes	
	4. Paved Pedestrian Walkway is in existence by Application Submission. If r showing a construction timeline, commitment of funds, and approval from	not, but is immediately adjacent to A	pplicant site,		itted documents			Yes	
	5. The Applicant has clearly marked the routes being used to claim points or	' '		, 20 20111				Yes	
	6 Transportation service is being publicized to the general public							Ves	

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Sco	ott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County				
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sec Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding rour Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Applica	nd and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value	Ş	Self Score	DCA Score
Finally to do no will reside in a one or bound.	TOTALS:	92	Ī	67	22
Flexible Pool Choose A or B.			<u></u>		
A. Transit-Oriented Development  Choose either option 1 or 2 under A.		6	Α.	0	0
Site is <b>owned</b> by local transit agency & is strategically targeted by agency to	For <i>ALL</i> options under this scoring criterion, <u>regardless</u> of	T 5	1.	U	
create housing with <b>on site or adjacent</b> access to public transportation	Competitive Pool chosen, provide the information below for the	9			
OR 2. Site is within one (1) mile* of a transit hub	transit agency/service:	4	2.		
3. Applicant in A1 or A2 above serves Family tenancy.	MARTA 404-848-5000	1 1	3.		
B. Access to Public Transportation Choose only one option in B.		3	В.	2	0
1. Site is within 1/4 mile * of an established public transportation stop	http://www.itsmarta.com/36.aspx	3	1.		
OR 2. Site is within 1/2 mile * of an established public transportation stop		2	2.	2	
OR 3. Site is within one (1) mile * of an established public transportation stop	Enter specific URL/webpage showing established <u>routes</u> from transit agency	1	3.	_	
Rural Pool	website (if different) here >>	'			
4. Publicly operated/sponsored and established transit service (including on-	call convice engite or fixed route convice within 1/2 mile of site entrance*)	2	4		
*As measured from an entrance to the site that is accessible to pedestrians and connected by sic		2			
Scoring Justification per Applicant	dewalks of established pedestrian walkways to the transportation hub/stop.				
The #36 bus is located on North Decatur Road to the left of the Scott on Ponce Apartments Scott	Boulevard. It is less than 1/2 mile walking distance from our site. The portion	of sidewal	ks tha	it is not b	ouilt vet
will be built as part of the master development. It is a zoning requirement. Letters are included in	·				, ,
DCA's Comments:					
DCA's Comments:					
	See scoring criteria for further requirements and information	2		2	
5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)	See scoring criteria for further requirements and information  Georgia Department of Natural Resource	<b>2</b>	1	2	
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource		] [		Yes/No
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or I</li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource			Yes/No	Yes/No
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or It.</li> <li>C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget?</li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource		c.[		Yes/No
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or I</li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource			Yes/No	Yes/No
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or I</li> <li>C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget?         DCA's Comments:     </li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource	es		Yes/No <b>N/a</b>	
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or I.</li> <li>C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments:</li> <li>6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS</li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting			Yes/No	Yes/No
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or It.</li> <li>C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments:</li> <li>6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.</li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting  Earth Craft Communities	es		Yes/No <b>N/a</b>	
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or I</li> <li>C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments:</li> <li>6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen:</li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting  Earth Craft Communities  Flexible	es		Yes/No <b>N/a</b>	
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or It.</li> <li>C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments:</li> <li>6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.</li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting  Earth Craft Communities Flexible  Betty Gomez  Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting  Earth Craft Communities  Columbia Residential	es		Yes/No <b>N/a</b>	
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or I</li> <li>C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments:</li> <li>6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen:</li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting  Earth Craft Communities  Flexible	es		Yes/No N/a  3	
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or I.</li> <li>C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments:</li> <li>6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS  Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.  Competitive Pool chosen:</li> <li>DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training</li> <li>Date of Course</li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting  Earth Craft Communities  Flexible  Betty Gomez Ashley Peng  Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting  Columbia Residential Tapestry Development Group	3		Yes/No N/a  3	
5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)  A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines  B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or It.  C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget?  DCA's Comments:  6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS  Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.  Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  Date of Course  2/14/17	Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting  Earth Craft Communities  Flexible  Betty Gomez Ashley Peng  Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting  Columbia Residential Tapestry Development Group	3		Yes/No N/a  3 Yes	
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or It.</li> <li>C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments:</li> <li>6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS  Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.  Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP?</li> </ul>	Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting  Earth Craft Communities Flexible Betty Gomez Ashley Peng W/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application	3 3	c.[	Yes/No N/a  3  Yes  Yes	0
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or It.</li> <li>C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget?  DCA's Comments:</li> <li>6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS  Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.  Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP?</li> <li>A. Sustainable Communities Certification</li> </ul>	Earth Craft Communities  Flexible  Betty Gomez Ashley Peng Ashley Peng W/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application Date of Audit Date of Report	3	c.[	Yes/No N/a  3 Yes Yes Yes/No	0
<ul> <li>5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)</li> <li>A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines</li> <li>B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or It.</li> <li>C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments:</li> <li>6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS  Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.  Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP?</li> </ul>	Earth Craft Communities  Flexible  Betty Gomez Ashley Peng Ashley Peng W/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application Date of Audit Date of Report	3 3	c.[	Yes/No N/a  3  Yes  Yes	0
5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)  A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines  B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or It.  C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget?  DCA's Comments:  6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS  Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.  Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP?  A. Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the program chosen above	Earth Craft Communities  Flexible  Betty Gomez Ashley Peng W/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application Date of Audit  Date of Report  Georgia Department of Natural Resource United Consulting  Earth Craft Communities  Flexible  Columbia Residential  Tapestry Development Group  W/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application Date of Audit  Date of Report	3 3	c.[	Yes/No N/a  3 Yes Yes Yes/No	0
5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)  A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines  B. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or It.  C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget?  DCA's Comments:  6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS  Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.  Competitive Pool chosen:  DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained?  An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP?  A. Sustainable Communities Certification  Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the program chosen above  1. EarthCraft Communities	Earth Craft Communities  Flexible  Betty Gomez Ashley Peng W/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application Date of Audit  Date of Audit  Date of Report  Revelopment where the project is located:  5/16/17	3 3	c.[	Yes/No N/a  3 Yes Yes Yes/No	0

	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County		
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.	Score	Self DCA
	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.  Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	Value	Score Score
	TOTALS:	92	67 22
	b) Name of nonrelated third party LEED AP that prepared Feasibility Study: << Enter LEED AP's Name here>> << Enter LEED AP 's Company Name here>>		
Con	nmitments for <i>Building</i> Certification:		Yes/No Yes/No
	1. Project will comply with the program version in effect at the time that the drawings are prepared for permit review?		1.
	<ol> <li>Project will meet program threshold requirements for Building Sustainability?</li> <li>Owner will engage in tenant and building manager education in compliance with the point requirements of the respective programs?</li> </ol>		2.
_			
	Sustainable Building Certification Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certification from the program chosen above?	1 3	B. C. Yes/No Yes/No
C.	<ul> <li>Exceptional Sustainable Building Certification</li> <li>Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certificate from certifying body demonstrating that project achieved highest level of certification chosen above?</li> </ul>	3	1. Tes/No Tes/No
D.	High Performance Building Design The proposed building design demonstrates:	1	D. 1 0
	1. A worst case HERS Index that is at least 15% lower than the ENERGY STAR Target Index?		1. Yes
	2. A 10% improvement over the baseline building performance rating? The energy savings will be established following the Performance Rating Method outlined in ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G with additional guidance from the ENERGY STAR Multifamily High-Rise Simulation Guidelines.		2.
	3. For minor, moderate, or substantial rehabilitations, a projected reduction in energy consumption ≥ 30%, documented by a RESNET-approved HERS Rating software		3.
	or ENERGY STAR compliant whole building energy model? Baseline performance should be modeled using existing conditions.  Scoring Justification per Applicant		
	e going for EarthCraft Communities and High Performance Building Design using EarthCraft with HERS Ratings. The HERS ratings exceed the ENERGY STAR Version 3 target index by a minimum of 15%. F	Preliminary EN	ERGY STAR reports
	provided with the analysis report. All documentation required has been included in Tab 29. 3 points are claimed.		
	provided that the drianger open it is adducted and been included in the 27 opening are drained.		·
			·
			·
	DCA's Comments:		
		7	6 2
7.	DCA's Comments:	<b>7</b> 3	
<i>7.</i> A	DCA's Comments:  STABLE COMMUNITIES  (Must use data from the most current FFIEC census report, published as of January 1, 2016)  Census Tract Demographics Competitive Pool chosen: Flexible	-	6 2
<i>7.</i> A &	DCA's Comments:  STABLE COMMUNITIES  (Must use data from the most current FFIEC census report, published as of January 1, 2016)  Census Tract Demographics Competitive Pool chosen: Flexible  1. Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census/):	-	6 2
<i>7.</i> A	The state of the most current form the most report, published as of January 1, 2016)  Census Tract Demographics Competitive Pool chosen:  Flexible  1. Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demographics according to the most recent form the most current form the	-	6 2 2 Yes/No Yes/No
<i>7.</i> A &	The state of the most current form the most	-	6 2 2 Yes/No Yes/No
<i>7.</i> A &	The state of the most current form the most report, published as of January 1, 2016)  Census Tract Demographics Competitive Pool chosen:  Flexible  1. Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demographics according to the most recent form the most current form the	3	6 2 2 Yes/No Yes/No
7. A & B.	DCA's Comments:  STABLE COMMUNITIES  (Must use data from the most current FFIEC census report, published as of January 1, 2016)  Census Tract Demographics  Competitive Pool chosen: Flexible  1. Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census/):  2. Less than 10% below Poverty level (see Income) Actual Percent 9.24%  3. Designated Middle or Upper Income level (see Demographics) Designation: Middle  4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS located within 1/4 mile of such a census tract. (Applicant answer to Question 1 above cannot be "Yes".)  Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities  Per Applicant Per DCA	-	6 2 2 Yes/No Yes/No
7. A & B.	### DCA's Comments:    DCA's Comments:   STABLE COMMUNITIES   (Must use data from the most current FFIEC census report, published as of January 1, 2016)	3	6 2  Yes/No Yes/No Yes
7. A & B.	DCA's Comments:  STABLE COMMUNITIES  (Must use data from the most current FFIEC census report, published as of January 1, 2016)  Census Tract Demographics  Competitive Pool chosen: Flexible  1. Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census/):  2. Less than 10% below Poverty level (see Income) Actual Percent 9.24%  3. Designated Middle or Upper Income level (see Demographics) Designation: Middle  4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS located within 1/4 mile of such a census tract. (Applicant answer to Question 1 above cannot be "Yes".)  Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities  Per Applicant Per DCA	3	6 2  Yes/No Yes/No Yes

	PART NINE - SCORING CF	RITERIA - 2	2017-0 Scott Boule		nior Reside	nces, Decatur.	DeKalb County		
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only	y to the correspond	comments in sections where ding funding round and have a point "Application Comple	no effect on s	ubsequent or future	e funding round scorin	•	Score Value	Self DCA Score Score
_							TOTALS:	92	67 22
8.	TRANSFORMATIONAL COMMUNITIES (cl Is this application eligible for two or more points under 2017 Sco If applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed DC If applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed DC	A Neighborhoo	od Redevelopment Certi	fication incl	uded in the app	ropriate tab of the	application?	10	0 0
	Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existir	ng Revitalization	n Plan meeting DCA sta	ndards, fill	out both Revital	ization Plan and T	ransformation Plan co	olumns):	
	<ul> <li>a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed propose</li></ul>	oject site, but dostages?  housing as a perframes for accept current and obtain?	oes not policy goal for the	a; bj c; d; e;	Revitali Yes/No <enter <enter="" nbr(="" nbr(<="" page="" th=""><th>zation Plan Yes/No s) from Plan&gt; s) from Plan&gt; s) from Plan&gt; s) from Plan&gt; s) from Plan&gt;</th><th></th><th>Trans: Yes/No  <enter <enter="" page="" page<="" th=""><th>formation Plan Yes/No  nbr(s) from Plan here&gt; /th></enter></th></enter>	zation Plan Yes/No s) from Plan>		Trans: Yes/No <enter <enter="" page="" page<="" th=""><th>formation Plan Yes/No  nbr(s) from Plan here&gt; /th></enter>	formation Plan Yes/No  nbr(s) from Plan here>
	Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan:								•
A.	Community Revitalization							2	A
	adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Tir	ite Plan origina ne (#yrs, #mth	lly adopted by Local Govs) from Plan Adoption to the original three decisions and the original three decisions are the original to the original three decisions are the original three decisions are three	Application			Enter page nbr(s) here		Yes/No Yes/No i.) ii.)
	<ul> <li>iii.) Public input and engagement <u>during the planning stages:</u></li> <li>a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s)</li> </ul>	a)							
	b) Type of event:    Date(s) of event(s):	b)	< <select 1="" event="" type="">&gt;</select>			< <select 2="" event="" th="" type<=""><th>*&gt;&gt;</th><th></th><th></th></select>	*>>		
	c) Letters of Support from local non- government entities. Type: Entity Name:	,	< <select 1="" entity="" type="">&gt;</select>			< <select 2="" entity="" th="" type<=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></select>			
	<ol> <li>Community Revitalization Plan - Application proposes to which the property will be located.</li> <li>Qualified Census Tract and Community Revitalization I</li> </ol>							1 1	1.
	<ol><li>Qualified Census Tract and Community Revitalization I a written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific cor</li></ol>			_	al is iii a Qualiiie	eu Gensus Tract al	nu mai contributes to	1 2	2.

Project is in a QCT?

223.01

Eligible Basis Adjustment:

Census Tract Number:

State Boost

		PART NINE - SCORING	CRITERIA -	2017-0 Scott	Boulevard Sei	nior Residen	ces, Decatur, DeKalb Co	ounty			
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA	A Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain	n only to the correspon	comments in section nding funding round ar 1) point "Application	nd have no effect on si	ubsequent or future	funding round scoring decisions.		Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
							TOTAL	_S:	92	67	22
R							-			L	
3. Comn	nunity Trans	sformation Plan							6 E	3.	
		eference an existing Community Revita	lization Plan mee	ting DCA standard	s?						
1. Co	ommunity-Ba	sed Team							2 1		
Comm	unity-Based D	eveloper (CBD)	Select at least tv	wo out of the three	options (i, ii and iii	) in "a" below, or	"b").	CBD	1		
Er	ntity Name				Website		,				
	ontact Name		Direct Line		Email					Yes/No	Yes/No
		ssfully partnered with at least two (2) es						sed or	1 >	•	
	_	ere) in the last two years and can docur	nent that these pa	artnerships have m		d community or	resident outcomes.				
	BO 1 Name	the sub-decide are months and the constraint			Purpose:						Support ded?
	ommunity/neig ontact Name	hborhd where partnership occurred	Direct Line		Website Email					IIICIU	ueu?
	BO 2 Name		Direct Line		Purpose:					Letter of	Support
_		hborhd where partnership occurred			Website						ded?
	ontact Name	morra where partnership eccurred	Direct Line		Email						
		years, the CBD has participated or led		vities benefitting ei	ther 1) the Defined	Neighborhood	or 2) a targeted area surroundin	g their	i	i.	
de	evelopment in a	another Georgia community. Use com	ment box or attac	h separate explana	ation page in corre	sponding tab of	Application Binder.				
iii Th	ne CBD has be	een selected as a result of a community	v-driven initiative b	ov the Local Gover	nment in a Reques	st for Proposal o	r similar public bid process.		ii	i	
		m received a HOME consent for the pr		•		51.0. 1 . op 00a. 0	- Ca. paz 2.a p. 00000.		b		
,	unity Quarterb	·	See QAP for red	-				CQB	1	<u> </u>	
	•	ommunity-based organization or public		•	ord of serving the F	Defined Neighbor	hood as delineated by the Con	_	Enter page		
		Plan, to increase residents' access to I						innanney	nbr(s) here		
		confirming their partnership with Proje						st?	( )		
	QB Name	<u> </u>			Website		,				
Co	ontact Name		Direct Line		Email						
2. Qı	uality Transfo	rmation Plan	_		-				4 2		
Tr	ransformation <sup>-</sup>	Team has completed Community Enga	gement and Outre	each prior to Applic	cation Submission	?					
,		ite Engagement			Tenancy:	Elderly					
		ts must engage at least <u>two</u> different T		rtner types, while S	Senior Applicants r						
	ransformation I	Partner 1 <select td="" transformation<=""><td>n Partner type&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Meeting 1 between Partners</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></select>	n Partner type>				Meeting 1 between Partners				
	rg Name						cation of meeting notice				
	ebsite		Direct Line			Publication(s)					
	ontact Name		Direct Line			Social Media					
	Email  Role  Which Partners were present at Public Mtg. 1 between Partners?										

PART NINE	- SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Scott	<b>Boulevard Senior Residences, Decatur, DeKalb County</b>	
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in section		Score Self DCA
	tion reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round at Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application	nd have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Value Score Score
		TOTALS:	92 67 22
ii. Transformation Partner 2 < Select	t Transformation Prtnr type>	If "Other" Type, Date of Public Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs	
Org Name		specify below: Date(s) of publication of meeting notice	
Website		Publication(s)	
Contact Name	Direct Line	Social Media	
Email		Mtg Locatn	
Role		Which Partners were present at Public Mtg 2 between	Partners?
b) Citizen Outreach Choose	e either "I" or "ii" below for (b).		Yes/No Yes/No
	f blank survey and itemized summary of results incl	uded in corresponding tab in application binder?	i.
or Nbr of F	Respondents		
ii. Public Meetings			ii.
Meeting 1 Date		Dates: Mtg 2 Mtg Notice Publication	
Date(s) of publication of Meeting 1 notic	;e	Public Mtg 2 ramt met by req'd public mtg between Tra	nsformatn Partners?
Publication(s)		Publication(s)	
Social Media		Social Media	
Meeting Location		Mtg Locatn	
Copy(-ies) of published notices provided		Copy(-ies) of published notices provided in application	
		this community from accessing local resources (according to feedback from	n the low income population to
,	g goals and solutions for the Transformation Team	and Partners to address:	
<ul> <li>i. Local Population Challenge 1         Goal for increasing residents' access     </li> </ul>			
ÿ .			
Solution and Who Implements Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access			
Solution and Who Implements			
ii. Local Population Challenge 2			
Goal for increasing residents' access			
Solution and Who Implements			
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access			
Solution and Who Implements			
iii. Local Population Challenge 3			
Goal for increasing residents' access			
Solution and Who Implements			
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access			
Solution and Who Implements			
iv. Local Population Challenge 4			
Goal for increasing residents' access			
Solution and Who Implements			
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access			
Solution and Who Implements			
v. Local Population Challenge 5			
Goal for increasing residents' access			
Solution and Who Implements			
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access			

PAR	T NINE - SCORING	CRITERIA - 20	017-0 Scott E	Boulevard Ser	nior Residences, Decatu	r, DeKalb County			
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and S	Scoring section reviews pertain	cants must include col only to the correspondir vill result in a one (1) n	ng funding round and	d have no effect on su	ubsequent or future funding round scori	ng decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
						TOTALS:	92	67	22
Solution and Who Implements									
C. Community Investment		_		•			4		
Community Improvement Fu	nd Amount / Bala	ance		Doub Nome	Elderly	,	1	1.	
Source Contact		Direct Line		Bank Name Account Name				lease use "Pt I	
Email		Direct Line		Bank Website				mprovmt Narr"	tab
Bank Contact		Direct Line		Contact Email			provided.		
Description of									
Use of Funds									
Narrative of									
how the									
secured funds									
support the									
Community Revitalization									
Plan or									
Community									
Transformation									
Plan.									
2. Long-term Ground Lease							1	2.	
a) Projects receives a long-term (	ground lease (no less that	n 45-year) for nomir	al consideration	and no other land	d costs for the entire property?				
<ul><li>b) No funds other than what is dis</li></ul>		have been or will be	e paid for the lea	se either directly	or indirectly?				
3. Third-Party Capital Investme	nt				Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible	2	3.	
Unrelated Third-Party Name Unrelated Third-Party Type					-Color uprolated 2rd party turn	^-	Improveme	ent Completi	on Doto
Is 3rd party investment commu	ınity-wide in scope or was	s improvement com	oleted more than	3 yrs prior to Apr	Select unrelated 3rd party type lication Submission?	e> T	Improveme	ent Completi	on Date
Distance from proposed project				i 3 yi 3 piloi to App	miles				
Description of Investment or									
Funding Mechanism									
Description of Investment's									
Furtherance of Plan									
Description of how the									
investment will serve the tenant base for the proposed									
development									
Full Cost of Improvement					Total Development Costs (TDC	3):			
as a Percent of TDC:	0.0000%	0.000	7%		15 721 766	ή			

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA -	2017-0 Scott Boulevard Sc	enior Residences, Decatur, DeK	alb County			
	comments in sections where points are			Score	Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding to	naing lunding round and have no ellect on 1) point "Application Completeness" de		JNS.	Value	Score	Score
Fallure to do 30 will result in a one t	Troom Addication Completeness de		OTALS:	92	67	22
D. Community Decignations	(Choose only o		OTALO.	10	D	
Community Designations     HUD Choice Neighborhood Implementation (CNI) Grant	(Choose only o	ne.)		10	1.	
Purpose Built Communities					2.	
Scoring Justification per Applicant					2.	
Scoring Justinication per Applicant						
DCA's Comments:						
9. PHASED DEVELOPMENTS / PREVIOUS PROJECTS	(choose A or B)			4	3	0
	Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible				
A. Phased Developments	Phased Development?	No 0		3	A.	
<ol> <li>Application is in the Flexible Pool and the proposed project is part of a P</li> </ol>					1.	
past five (5) funding rounds (only the second and third phase of a project	may receive these points) and at I	east one phase has commenced construc	tion per that allo	cation by		
the 2017 Application Submission deadline?						
If Yes, indicate DCA Project Nbr and Project Name of the first phase:	Number:	Name				
If current application is for third phase, indicate for second phase:	Number:	Name				
2. Was the community originally designed as one development with different					2.	
3. Are any other phases for this project also submitted during the current fu	=				3.	
4. Was site control over the entire site (including all phases) in place when				_	4.	
B. Previous Projects (Flexible Pool)	(choose 1 or 2)			3	В. 3	0
The proposed development site is not within a 1-mile radius of a Geo	orgia Housing Credit developme	ent that has received an award in the	last	•	. —	
1. Five (5) DCA funding cycles				3	1. 3	
OR 2. Four (4) DCA funding cycles				2	2.	
C. Previous Projects (Rural Pool)	(choose 1 or 3)	1 (00) 0 111		4	C. <b>0</b>	0
The proposed development site is within a Local Government bound	ary which has not received an a	award of 9% Credits:		^	4	
Within the last <b>Five (5)</b> DCA funding cycles				3	1.	
2. Since the 2000 DCA Housing Credit Competitive Round	(additional point)			1	2.	
OR 3. Within the last Four (4) DCA funding cycles				2	3.	
Scoring Justification per Applicant	nost E system therefore we are als	iming 2 points				
No properties have been awarded credits within a one-mile radius of our site in the	e past 5 cycles, therefore we are cla	airning 3 points				
DCA's Comments:						

	,		5 11		<u> </u>			
	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERI	A - 2017-0 Sc	ott Boulevard S	enior Residences, Decatur, D	eKalb County			
	REMINDER: Applicants must in Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the co		· ·		risions	Score	Self	
	Failure to do so will result in a				CISIONS.	Value	Score	Scor
					TOTALS:	92	67	22
10.	MARKET CHARACTERISTICS					2	2	0
1	For DCA determination:						Yes/No	Yes/N
	Are more than two DCA funded projects in the primary market area which base as the proposed project?	h have physical occ	cupancy rates of less	than 90 percent and which compete for	or the same tenant		A. No	
	Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the propoposed tenant population?	sed market which o	could detrimentally af	fect the long term viability of the propos	sed project and the		B. <b>No</b>	
	Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the	e likelihood that the	demand for the proj	ect is weaker than projected?			C. No	
_	Is the capture rate of a specific bedroom type and market segment over					1	D. <b>No</b>	
,	Scoring Justification per Applicant							
is loc	s. The project is also part of a mixed used development located within water and the state of th	alking distance to th	ne hospital, Walmart	and many other desirable activities; the	refore, we are clair	ming 2 points	s. The mar	ket study
11	EXTENDED AFFORDABILITY COMMITMENT	(choose on	ly one)			1	1	0
	Waiver of Qualified Contract Right	(0110030 0111	iy one,				A. 1	U
	Applicant agrees to forego cancellation option for at least 5 yrs after clos	se of Compliance pe	eriod?			•	Yes	
	Tenant Ownership					1	В.	
	Applicant commits to a plan for tenant ownership at end of compliance p	eriod (only applies	to single family units	).		•		
	DCA's Comments:	` ,	,	,				
12.	EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT	Tapestry D	evelopment Group	, Inc		3		
I	Nonprofit Setaside selection from Project Information tab:	Yes					Yes/No	Yes/N
1	Is the applicant claiming these points for this project?						Yes	
- 1	Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points	in this funding rour	nd?				Yes	
- 1	Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the required documentation include	ded in the appropria	ate tab of the applica	tion?			Yes	
	DCA's Comments:							
13.	RURAL PRIORITY Competitive Pool: Flexible	(NOTE: Only	y Rural Pool applican	ts are eligible!) Urban or Rural: Urb	an	2		
	n Applicant will be limited to claiming these points for one Rural project in icant to designate these points to only one qualified project will result in r	•		rest and which involves 80 or fewer un	ts. Failure by the	Unit Total	90	
MGP	Tapestry Scott Senior, LLC 0.0051% Jonathan R.	Toppen	NPSponsr	Tapestry Development Group, Inc.	0.0000%	Jonathan R. T	Гор	
OGP1	New Columbia Residential 0.0049% James S. Gra	auley	Developer	Tapestry Development Group, Inc	0.0000%	Jonathan R. 7		
OGP2	0 0.0000% 0		Co-Developer 1	New Affordable Housing Partners, LL	0.0000%	James S. Gra	iule	
OwnCon	5.555575		Co-Developer 2	0	0.0000%	0		
Fed LP State LP			Developmt Consult	0	0.0000%	0		
	Scoring Justification per Applicant	C		DCA's Comments:				

PART NINE - SCORI	NG CRITERIA - 2017-0 Scott	<b>Boulevard Senior Reside</b>	ences, Decatur, DeKalb Cou	inty	
REMINDER: A <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pe	Applicants must include comments in section ertain only to the corresponding funding round a		re funding round scoring decisions.	Score	Self DCA
Failure to do	so will result in a one (1) point "Application	Completeness" deduction.	<b>TOTAL</b> 6	<u>Value</u>	Score Score
			TOTALS	S: 92	67 22
4. DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES				2	0 0
A. Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GICH)				1	
Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Community I	Housing team that clearly:			,	A. Yes/No Yes/No
1. Identifies the project as located within their GICH co	ommunity:	< Select applicable	GICH >	1	1.
2. Is indicative of the community's affordable housing	goals		<u></u>	2	2.
3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objective	es of the GICH Plan			3	3.
4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or se	econdary contact on record w/ University	y of Georgia Housing and Demog	graphic Research Center as of 5/1/1	7? 4	1.
5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three	years			5	5.
NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a G	ICH community, no project in tha	at community shall be awar	ded this point.		
B. Designated Military Zones	http://www.dca.state.ga.us/economic/Deve	elopmentTools/programs/militaryZones.	<u>asp</u>	1	
Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-				E	В.
City: Decatur County:	DeKalb QCT		Census Tract #: 223.01		
Scoring Justification per Applicant		DCA's Comn	nents:		
15. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES		Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible	4	4 0
Indicate that the following criteria are met:					Yes/No Yes/No
a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding an		is section.			a) Yes
b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for	9 ,				b) Yes
<ul> <li>c) Loans are for both construction and permanent fina</li> <li>d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and re</li> </ul>	0.	h the exception that HID 221(d)	1 loans and LISDA 538 loans must r		c) Yes
rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the	•			shedt interest (	Yes
e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are no	·			(	e) Yes
f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this s	•	* *	Serve ver bearings		f) N/a
1. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from	om the following sources:	A	Amount		Ámount
a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Progr	ram (AHP)	a)		a)	
b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD I	PHI fund	b)		b)	
c) HOME Funds		c)		c)	
d) Beltline Grant/Loan		d)		d)	
e) Historic tax credit proceeds		e)		e)	
f) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) prog	ram funds	f)		1)	
<ul><li>g) National Housing Trust Fund</li><li>h) Georgia TCAP acquisition loans passed through a</li></ul>	Qualified CDEL revolving loop fund	g)		g) h)	
i) Foundation grants, or loans based from grant proce	•	h)		i)	
j) Federal Government grant funds or loans	cus per an	i) 2	380,700	i)	
j, reacial develuition grant failed or leane				1/1	
Total Qualifying Sources (TQS):		"	380,700		0
Total Qualifying Sources (TQS):  2 Point Scale	Total Development Costs (TDC)	2,	·		0
Total Qualifying Sources (TQS):  2. Point Scale Scoring Justification per Applicant	Total Development Costs (TDC): TQS as a Percent of TDC:	2,	380,700 ,721,766 5,1427%	(	0,0000%

therefore we are entitled to 4 points

DCA's Comments:

0 11				<u>'</u>
PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard	Senior Residences, Decat	ur, DeKalb County		
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points a			Score	Self DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect of the corresponding funding round roun		oring decisions.	Value	Score Score
Tallule to do so will tesuit ill a offe t il bollit. Abblication Combleteless i	dedaction.	TOTALS:	92	67 22
				<u> </u>
16. INNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT			3	
Is the applicant claiming these points?			3	Yes
Selection Criteria		Ranking Pts Value Rar	nge	Ranking Pts
Presentation of the project concept narrative in the Application.		0 - 10	<u>igo</u>	1.
2. Uniqueness of innovation.		0 - 10		2.
3. Demonstrated replicability of the innovation.		0 - 5		3.
4. Leveraged operating funding		0 - 5		4.
5. Measureable benefit to tenants		0 - 5		5.
6. Collaborative solutions proposed and <u>evidence</u> of subject matter experts' <u>direct</u> involvement in the strate	gic concept development.	0 - 5	_	6. <b> </b>
DCA's Comments:		0 - 40		Total: 0
47 INTECRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING				
17. INTEGRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING			3	2 0
A. Integrated Supportive Housing/ Section 811 RA	10% of Total Units (max):	9	2	A. 2 0
1. Applicant agrees to accept Section 811 PBRA or other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units for the	Total Low Income Units	72		1. Agree
purpose of providing Integrated Supportive Housing (ISH) opportunities to Persons w/ Disabilities (PWD), and is prepared to accept the full utilization by DCA of 10% of the units?	Min 1 BR LI Units required	7		
	1 BR LI Units Proposed	72		0
2. Applicant understands the requirements of HUD's Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) program,	including the 30-year use restriction	on for all PRA units?		2. Yes
<ul><li>3. At least 10% of the total low-income units in the proposed Application will be one bedroom units?</li><li>4. Applicant is willing to accept Assistance affordable to 50% AMI tenants?</li></ul>				3. Yes 4. Yes
			•	
B. Target Population Preference	therity which has alcoted to offer a	tonant calcation	3	B. <b>0 0</b>
<ol> <li>Applicant has a commitment of HUD Section 8 project-based rental assistance from a Public Housing Aut preference in their Voucher programs for persons with specific disabilities identified in the Settlement Agre</li> </ol>		tenant selection		1.
Name of Public Housing Authority providing PBRA:	PBRA Expiration:			
2. Applicant agrees to implement a minimum of 15% of the total units targeting the Settlement population?	Nbr of Settlement units:	0	0.0%	2.
Scoring Justification per Applicant	The of Collienters arms.		0.070	
We will accept other DCA offered rental assistance such as Shelter Plus Care and Georgia Housing Voucher progra	am with DBHDD, therefore we are	entitled to 2 points for th	is section.	
DCA's Comments:				
18. HISTORIC PRESERVATION (choose A or B)			2	0 0
The property is: < <select applicable="" status="">&gt;</select>	Historic Credit Equity:	0		
A. Historic and Adaptive Reuse	Historic adaptive reuse units:	0	2	A.
The proposed development includes historic tax credit proceeds and is an adaptive reuse of a	Total Units	90		
certified historic structure.	% of Total	0.00%		
<< Enter here Applicant's Narrative of how building will be reused >>				
			_	
B. Historic	Nbr Historic units:	0	1	В.
The property is a certified historic structure per QAP or is deemed historic via a Georgia DNR-HPD approved	Total Units	90	4	
NPS Part 1- Evaluation of Significance to have a preliminary determination of listing on the National Register	% of Total	0.00%	1	

	PART NINE - SCORING	CRITERIA - 2017-0 Scott Boulevard Senior Residences, Deca	atur, DeKalb County			
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain	icants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.  n only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round swill result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	scoring decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
			TOTALS:	92	67	22
ı	DCA's Comments:				·	
19.	HEALTHY HOUSING INITIATIVES	(choose A or B or C)		3	3	0
ı	Pre-requisites:				Agree or Y/	N Agree or Y/N
	In Application submitted, Applicant used the following r	needs data to more efficiently target the proposed initiative for a proposed property:			Agree	<u> </u>
	a) A local Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA)				Yes	
	b) The "County Health Rankings & Reports" website:	http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/health-gaps/georgia			Yes	
	c) The Center for Disease Control and Prevention – Com				Yes	
2	<ol> <li>The Applicant identified target healthy initiatives to local</li> </ol>	·			Agree	
3	3. Explain the need for the targeted health initiative propo	•				
Α. Ι	Preventive Health Screening/Wellness Program fo	or Residents		3	3	0
•	1. a) Applicants agrees to provide on-site preventive hea	th screenings and or Wellness Services at the proposed project?			a) Agree	
	b) The services will be provided at least monthly and b	e offered at minimal or no cost to the residents?			b) Yes	
	c) The preventive health initiative includes wellness an	d preventive health care education and information for the residents?			c) Yes	
2	Description of Service (Enter "N/a" if necessary)		Occurrer	тсе	Cost to	Resident
	a) on site health screening for diabetes, high blood pressu	-	monthl	У		0
	b) wellness services such as health education, healthy ea	ting and activities	monthl	У		0
	c) n/a d) n/a					
_	' <u> </u>			2	0	Ι ο
	<b>Healthy Eating Initiative</b> Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Eating Initiative, as d	ofined in the OAR at the proposed project?		2	U	0
	1. The community garden and edible landscape will:	a) Emphasize the importance of local, seasonal, and healthy food?			a)	
	The community garden and calable landscape will.	b) Have a minimum planting area of at least 400 square feet?			b)	
		c) Provide a water source nearby for watering the garden?			c)	
		d) Be surrounded on all sides with fence of weatherproof construction?			d)	
		e) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's Architectural Manual – Amenities	Guidebook?		e)	
2	2. The monthly healthy eating programs will be provided f	ree of charge to the residents and will feature related events?			2.	
	Description of Monthly Healthy Eating Programs	· ·	of Related Event			
	a)					

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.  Score	DCA								
Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.  Value  Value	Score								
TOTALS: 92 67	22								
c)									
d)									
C. Healthy Activity Initiative	0								
Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Activity Initiative, as defined in the QAP, at the proposed project? << If Agree, enter type of Healthy Activity Initiative here >>									
1. The dedicated multi-purpose walking trail that is ½ mile or longer that promotes walking, jogging, or biking will:									
a) Be well illuminated?  a) f) Provide trash receptacles? f)									
b) Contain an asphalt or concrete surface?  b) g) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's g)									
c) Include benches or sitting areas throughout course of trail?									
d) Provide distance signage?									
e) Provide 1 piece of fitness equipment per every 1/8 mile of trail?  e) Length of Trail	miles								
2. The monthly educational information will be provided free of charge to the residents on related events?									
Scoring Justification per Applicant									
Scott Boulevard Senior, L.P. has entered into an MOU with JenCare Senior Medical center to provide the services detailed above. The services will take place at the onsite Wellness Center and they version of the services will be serviced above.	ill be								
free of charge to the tenants. All documentation is included in Tab 40, therefore we are entitled to 3 points.									
204.0									
DCA's Comments:									
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS 3 2	0								
Application develops a property located in the attendance zone of one or more high-performing schools as determined by the state CCRPI?  Yes									
NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School System - from state CCRPI website: DeKalb County									
CCRPI Data Must Tenancy Elderly									
Be Used If Charter school used, does it have a designated (not district wide) attendance zone that includes the property site?									
CCRPI Scores from School Years Ending In: Average CCR									
	PI S								
School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School? 2013 2014 2015 2016 CCRPI Score State Av									
School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School? 2013 2014 2015 2016 CCRPI Score State Av									
School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) Grades Served Charter School? 2013 2014 2015 2016 CCRPI Score State Av a) Primary/Elementary Laurel Ridge Elementary pk-5 No 84.20 87.60 89.00 86.93 Yes									
School Level         School Name (from state CCRPI website)         Grades Served         Charter School?         2013         2014         2015         2016         CCRPI Score         State Av           a) Primary/Elementary         Laurel Ridge Elementary         pk-5         No         84.20         87.60         89.00         86.93         Yes           b) Middle/Junior High         Druid Hills Middle School         6-8         No         76.50         76.20         73.80         75.50         Yes           c) High         Druid Hills High School         9-12         No         64.50         77.00         80.60         74.03         Yes									
School Level         School Name (from state CCRPI website)         Grades Served         Charter School?         2013         2014         2015         2016         CCRPI Score         State Av           a) Primary/Elementary         Laurel Ridge Elementary         pk-5         No         84.20         87.60         89.00         86.93         Yes           b) Middle/Junior High         Druid Hills Middle School         6-8         No         76.50         76.20         73.80         75.50         Yes           c) High         Druid Hills High School         9-12         No         64.50         77.00         80.60         74.03         Yes           d) Primary/Elementary         Laurel Ridge Elementary         pk-5         No									
School Level         School Name (from state CCRPI website)         Grades Served         Charter School?         2013         2014         2015         2016         CCRPI Score         State Average State Average           a) Primary/Elementary         Laurel Ridge Elementary         pk-5         No         84.20         87.60         89.00         86.93         Yes           b) Middle/Junior High         Druid Hills Middle School         6-8         No         76.50         76.20         73.80         75.50         Yes           c) High         Druid Hills High School         9-12         No         64.50         77.00         80.60         74.03         Yes           d) Primary/Elementary         Laurel Ridge Elementary         pk-5         No         0 <td></td>									
School Level         School Name (from state CCRPI website)         Grades Served         Charter School?         2013         2014         2015         2016         CCRPI Score         State Average State Average           a) Primary/Elementary         Laurel Ridge Elementary         pk-5         No         84.20         87.60         89.00         86.93         Yes           b) Middle/Junior High         Druid Hills Middle School         6-8         No         76.50         76.20         73.80         75.50         Yes           c) High         Druid Hills High School         9-12         No         64.50         77.00         80.60         74.03         Yes           d) Primary/Elementary         Laurel Ridge Elementary         pk-5         No         No         0         0         0         74.03         Yes           e) Middle/Junior High         Druid Hills Middle School         6-8         No         0									
School Level         School Name (from state CCRPI website)         Grades Served         Charter School?         2013         2014         2015         2016         CCRPI Score         State Average State Average           a) Primary/Elementary         Laurel Ridge Elementary         pk-5         No         84.20         87.60         89.00         86.93         Yes           b) Middle/Junior High         Druid Hills Middle School         6-8         No         76.50         76.20         73.80         75.50         Yes           c) High         Druid Hills High School         9-12         No         64.50         77.00         80.60         74.03         Yes           d) Primary/Elementary         Laurel Ridge Elementary         pk-5         No         0         64.50         77.00         80.60         74.03         Yes           e) Middle/Junior High         Druid Hills Middle School         6-8         No         0									
School Level         School Name (from state CCRPI website)         Grades Served         Charter School?         2013         2014         2015         2016         CCRPI Score         State Average State Average           a) Primary/Elementary         Laurel Ridge Elementary         pk-5         No         84.20         87.60         89.00         86.93         Yes           b) Middle/Junior High         Druid Hills Middle School         6-8         No         76.50         76.20         73.80         75.50         Yes           c) High         Druid Hills High School         9-12         No         64.50         77.00         80.60         74.03         Yes           d) Primary/Elementary         Laurel Ridge Elementary         pk-5         No         No         0 </td <td></td>									

eor	gia Department of Community Affairs		2017 Fundi	ing Application	Housing Finance and Development Divisi					
		PART	NINE - SCORING CRITERIA	- 2017-0 Scott B	oulevard Senior	Residences, Decatur	, DeKalb County			
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DC	A Threshold and Scor	REMINDER: Applicants must incluring section reviews pertain only to the corres Failure to do so will result in a one	ponding funding round and	d have no effect on subseq	quent or future funding round scorir	ng decisions.  TOTALS:	Score Value 92	Self Score	DCA Score
21.	WORKFORCE I	HOUSING NE	ED (choose A or B)	(Must use 2014 da	ata from "OnTheMap"	tool, but 2015 data may be		2	2	0
OR		hreshold met <u>and</u>	<b>60</b> % of workers within a 2-mile radiu				,	2 2		
	Jobs	City of			Atlanta Metro			Other	Rural	
	Threshold	Atlanta	(Cherokee, Clayton, Co	bb, DeKalb, Douglas,		nnett, Henry and Rockdale c	ounties)	MSA	Area	٦
	Minimum	20,000			15,000			6,000	3,000	
	Project Site				40,703				2 222/	
	Min Exceeded by:	0.00%			171.35%			0.00%	0.00%	
	Total Nbr of Jobs w/i Nbr of Jobs in 2-mile Percentage of Jobs v to work:	n the 2-mile radiu radius w/ worker w/in the 2-mile rad	from chart above) Nbr of Jobs: is: s who travel > 10 miles to work: dius w/ workers travelling over 10 mile	Per Applicant  One of the content of	Per DCA  0.00%	Project City Project County HUD SA MSA / Non-MSA Urban or Rural	Decatur DeKalb Atlanta-Sandy Spring MSA Urban	gs-Marietta		
num	Scoring Justification ber of jobs exceeds r	<u>, ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>	shold, documentation is inlcuded in ta	b 42. We arer claimin	g 2 points					
		,	·		•					
	DCA's Comments:									
22.	COMPLIANCE / Base Score Deductions Additions Scoring Justification		NCE					10	10	10
	DCA's Comments:									
						ONPROFIT POINTS JECT CONCEPT POINT	s	92	67	22 0 0
				NET POSSIBI	LE SCORE WITH	OUT DCA EXTRA PO	NTS			22

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.

Score Value 92 Self DCA Score Score

TOTALS:

DCA has included the following area for Applicants to make comments in any section they claimed points but were not provided with comment section. Include the section/(s) you are referring to within this area along with any applicable comments.

- 1. Application is complete 10 points;
- 2.A. 20% of units at 50% of AMI 2 points;
- 5. Based on the identified detections (detailed in the PG letter included under Tab 28) and the planned redevelopment, the Property, including the Scott Sr Site, was entered into the Georgia Brownfield Program through submission of a Prospective Purchaser Corrective Action Plan (PPCAP), dated August 12, 2015. The PPCAP was approved and the Property was granted a provisional limitation of liability (LoL) in a letter from the Georgia Environmental Protection Divisions (EPD) dated September 22, 2015. United Consulting recently completed a Limited Phase II Environmental Assessment at the Project Site. No VOC or SVOC constituents were identified in the samples collected from the Project Site. Further, review of previous analytical data collected from within the boundaries of the Project Site have not detected chemicals of concern (COC). We have received a letter from the master site developer, Fuqua Development, LP, dated May 9, 2017. The letter indicates that as lead developer, they will be handling environmental compliance and completion of the PPCR. Fuqua Development, L.P. does not expect the Brownfield process to add additional development costs to the Scott Boulevard Seniors development. All necessary documentation is included in Tab 28 2 points;
- 11. Extended Affordability Commitment we are extending the affordability period 5 years beyond the 15 year compliance period 1 point;
- 16. Innovative Project The partners have focused on the importance of education for successful aging by creating life-long learning opportunites at Columbia Scott Blvd. Senior Residences. The development will connect residents with free classes available for seniors at nearby colleges.

## Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

Scott Boulevard Senior Residences Decatur, DeKalb County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

Scott Boulevard Senior Residences Decatur, DeKalb County

# Scoring Section 14 - DCA Community Initiatives: GICH Project Narrative

Scott Boulevard Senior Residences Decatur, DeKalb County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

#### Scoring Section 16 - Innovative Project Concept Narrative

DRAFT 2017 Funding Application

Scott Boulevard Senior Residences
Decatur, DeKalb County

Senior housing owners have a responsibility to help promote successful aging—that is, maintaining a high quality of life through the senior years—for their residents. Key elements of successful aging include helping seniors "maintain better mental health, broaden horizons, become more knowledgeable, feel happy and confident, have a positive attitude towards life and make them feel energetic, alive and connected". Researchers have found formal education to be a powerful tool for successful aging. Concepts such as "lifelong learning" and "third age education" capture the need for seniors to continue learning into late life. (See Tab 37 for citations.)

Unfortunately, researchers have found that seniors face many challenges to accessing education opportunities. One researcher writes that policymakers need to improve access, including "finding ways to eliminate or alleviate as far as possible those barriers to late-life learning, in particular those barriers that are under the control of institutional providers and organizers". Some barriers include the "low availability of the Internet and even the insufficient cooperation between the educational institutions and the employers or social and economic partners".

Senior housing owners can be one of these "social partners" to link education institutions and senior citizens, and thus reduce barriers to lifelong learning. Therefore, we at Tapestry Development Group and Columbia Residential will create a continuing education program for the residents of Scott Boulevard Senior Residences to improve their access to continuing education.

The centerpiece of this program is that many nearby colleges, universities, technical colleges, and libraries offer formal classes to seniors at no cost on a wide variety of subjects. Institutions that provide these programs include Georgia Perimeter (Clarkston), Georgia State (downtown Atlanta), Georgia Piedmont (Clarkston), and DeKalb County Library System (Decatur, Clarkston). While these programs are available to seniors, they are rarely advertised. Our program will help tenants understand options for the campus locations, advise on available classes, assist them on the admissions and/or registration process, and help them consider transportation options. We will also have a computer lab on the property for online classes and online program registrations.

Options are available for tenants whose formal education has ended in a variety of ways. For those who never finished high school, interested residents could complete their General Equivalency Degree (GED) and/or English as a Second Language. Other tenants can audit some college-level classes for free without need to complete course requirements. Tenants could also take some initial classes for free to evaluate if they want to pursue a degree and then later could complete all the degree requirements. Tenants could get associates or bachelor degrees to study topic of interest such as English or art history. Other tenants may wish to gain specific skills such as auto repair, paralegal skills, or learning a new language. Tenants could also take online classes in their homes or in the computer lab.

Our goal is that at least 10% of our tenants will take advantage of these free public resources. We will measure participations rates but also outcomes described in Tab 37.

Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Finance and Development Division 60 Executive Park South, NE. Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2231

Re: Application for Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and/or HOME Funding

To DCA:

This Application is submitted in accordance with the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan and the Housing Finance and Development Division Manuals. In submitting this Application for funding consideration, the undersigned applicant hereby certifies:

- 1) I understand that, in the event an allocation (or an allowance) for LIHTCs was obtained with false information supplied to the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA"), DCA will recapture the LIHTCs or request that the IRS deny tax credits to the Applicant entity. Also, a supplier, including the developer or owner, who knowingly provides false information will be barred by DCA from program participation for a period of five (5) years from the date the false information was discovered, in accordance with a Memorandum of Understanding between the Internal Revenue Service and the Georgia Housing and Finance Authority.
- 2) I am responsible for ensuring the project consists or will consist of a qualified low-income building (or buildings) as defined in the Internal Revenue Code section 42(c)(2) and will satisfy all applicable requirements of State and Federal tax law in the acquisition, development and operation of the project to receive State and federal housing tax credits.
- 3) I am responsible for all calculations and figures relating to the determination of the eligible basis of the building(s). I understand and agree the amount of the credit is allocated by reference to the figures that I submit as to eligible and qualified basis. I understand that the actual amount of credit allocated may vary somewhat from the amount initially reserved or committed due to (a) the determination by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA") as to the amount of credit necessary for the financial feasibility of the project and its viability as a qualified low-income housing project; (b) revisions in the calculations of eligible and qualified basis as finally determined; (c) fluctuations in the prevailing credit percentage; and (d) availability of the credit.
- 4) I understand and agree that DCA makes no representations or warranties regarding the financial feasibility of the project, the amount of credit, or the appropriateness of the allocation of the credit and makes no independent investigation as to the eligible and qualified basis and that any and all credit awards and credit amounts are solely based on representations made by me. I therefore agree to hold harmless and indemnify DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA in the event that I or anyone acting on my behalf, at my request or by and through me incurs any loss, injury, or damages in conjunction with the project including those that may result from any inquiries or gathering of information by DCA concerning the proposed project team or Application, diminution of the credit, loss of the credit, recapture of part or all of the credit, failure to allocate the credit requested in my Application or, the failure of DCA, in whole or in part, to grant my Application.
- 5) I understand and agree that neither DCA nor any of its individual directors, employees, members, officers, or agents assume any responsibility or make any representations or warranties with respect to: (i) the amount or availability of credit for the project; or (ii) the financial feasibility of the project.

- 6) I understand and agree that my Application for a low-income housing credit and/or HOME loan, all attachments thereto, amendments, and all correspondence relating to my Application in particular or the credit in general are subject to a request disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I further understand and agree that any and all correspondence to me from DCA, other DCA-generated documents, or documents to or from a third party in the possession of DCA relating to my Application are subject to a request for disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I agree to hold harmless DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA against all losses, costs, damages, expenses, and liability of whatsoever nature or kind (including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, litigation, and court costs) directly or indirectly resulting from or arising out of the release of all information pertaining to my Application pursuant to a request under the Georgia Open Records Act or resulting from or arising out of the release.
- 7) I understand and agree to authorize DCA access to information relevant to DCA's Application review. I understand that DCA may request an IRS Form 8821 for any Project Participant through final allocation of credits and/or disbursement of funds. Project Participants will complete Form 8821 upon DCA's request.
- 8) I understand that any misrepresentations, which includes fraudulent, negligent, and/or innocent, in this Application or supporting documentation may result in a withdrawal of tax credits and/or HOME loan by DCA, my (and related parties) being barred from future program participation, and notification of the Internal Revenue Service and/or HUD.
- 9) I certify that all sources of funds, including but not limited to Federal, State and local funding sources, have been disclosed and revealed.

In addition, Applicant understands:

- The above certifications are of a continuing nature and apply at all stages of the Application process: initial application, commitment, carryover allocation, and final allocation/funding.
- DCA must be notified of any subsequent events or information, which would change any statements or representations in the attached Application or amendments thereto;
- DCA reserves the right to verify all information or documents used in processing the Application, including requiring credit checks on all parties involved in the transaction. Applicant hereby authorizes the financing bank, accountant, mortgage lender, creditors, other state housing agencies and others sources identified in the Application to release information to DCA or its designee in order to verify the accuracy of information in the Application and amendments thereto.

Applicant agrees and understands that it may be charged for all fees and costs incurred by DCA in the inspection of funded properties during and after construction and in the enforcement of DCA regulations and policies.

Under penalty of perjury, to the best of my knowledge, I certify that all of the information in the attached Application, including all supporting documentation, is correct, complete and accurate.

APPLICANT/OWNER	
Printed Name	Title
Signature	Date
	[SEAL]

ADDLICANT/OWNED