Project Narrative

WR Redevelopment Phase I Warner Robins, Houston County

WR Redevelopment Phase I is the first phase of a mixed-income/mixed-use master plan, located at 1000 S. Armed Forces Blvd, Warner Robins, GA. The WR Redevelopment Master Plan will be a multi-phase/mixed-use development of an approximate 15 acre City owned site and adjacent 10 acre Memorial Park. Phase I will be a multifamily mixed-income development with a mix of one, two and three bedroom units, in four 3-story garden apartment buildings, to support families and individuals in the City of Warner Robins. Phase I will be affordable to households at 50% and 60% Area Median Income, as well as unrestricted market rate units. The project will be affordable to households at 50% and 60% Area Median Income, as well as unrestricted market rate units. The project will be affordable to households at 50% and 60% area Median Income, as well as unrestricted market rate units. The project will be affordable to households at 50% and 60% area Median Income, as well as unrestricted market rate units. The project will be affordable development to provide quality affordable housing to the community and City for years to come. The Applicant will pursue EarthCraft Communities Certifications as well as High Performance Building Design Certification.

The City and Applicant have also participated in a Community Transformation Plan (CTP) for the neighborhood surrounding the master plan. As part of the CTP planning process, local residents have been engaged to better understand their challenges and need for services, and to bring together so many of our local community organizations, who have been providing services to the City and County for years. The CTP will help coordinate the provision of local services and resources, in order to increase access to education, job training, housing, healthcare, and transportation to our families and individuals most in need in this neighborhood. The "Transformation Partners" are an impressive list of some of our most local non-profits and other organizations with vested interest in the neighborhood.

The City has committed to funding infrastructure improvements to support the Master Plan. These improvements are a critical part of this transformational project for the City of Warner Robins and are specifically referenced as being required in our Community Transformation Plan (Plan). These improvements will provide better access to the Master Plan, both by vehicle and by foot, for the Master Plan's residents and their visitors, while creating a new and exciting "curb appeal" of the new community. The off-site improvements will be an important component of this project by creating public spaces where people interact, which will help define this community's aesthetic quality, identity, economic activity, health, and social cohesion. These pedestrian-friendly street improvements will make the WR Redevelopment community a healthier, more vibrant, and more attractive place to call home.

	PART C	ONE - PROJECT INFORMA	TION - 2017-	0 WR Redeve	elopment Phas	se I, Warne	r Robins, Ho	uston Count	ty		
	Please note: May 4 Revision		cells are unlo		and do not con t e and do contai			can be overwrit		A Use ONLY -	Project Nbr: 17-0
I.	DCA RESOURCES	LIHTC (auto-filled from late	er entries)	\$	908,906		DCA HOME	(from Conse	nt Form)	\$	
II.	TYPE OF APPLICATION	Competitive Round		>	Pre-Application						
III.	Was this project previously submitted to the Project Name previously used: Has the Project Team changed? APPLICANT CONTACT FOR APPLICATION.	If No, what w			Have any char If Yes, please etermination fo	provide the	information r	equested belo t Nbr previous	ow for the prev		pre-app ted project:
	Name	Amon Martin						Title	Senior Deve	eloper	
	Address	675 Ponce de Leon Avenu	e, Suite 8500)				Direct Line		(470) 585-24	13
	City	Atlanta						Fax		(423) 634-89	56
	State	GA		Zip+4	30308-	0000		Cellular		(470) 585-24	13
	Office Phone	(470) 585-2413		Ext.		E-mail	amartin@pe	ennrose.com			
	(Enter phone numbers without using hyphens, p	parentheses, etc - ex: 12345678	390)								
IV.	PROJECT LOCATION										
	Project Name	WR Redevelopment Phase					Phased Pro	ject?		Yes- w/Maste	er Plan
	Site Street Address (if known)	1000 S Armed Forces Blvc					t Nbr of previo				
	Nearest Physical Street Address *	Corner of Wall St and S Ar	med Forces				Scattered S	ite?	No	Nbr of Sites	1
	Site Geo Coordinates (##.#####)	Latitude: 32.602140		Longitude:	-83.596120	.=	Acreage			4.3800	
	City	Warner Robins		9-digit Zip^^	31088-	4/92		Census Tra		207.00	1
	Site is predominantly located:	Within City Limits	al Carrati O	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Houston	L Lula a ca		QCT?	Yes	DDA?	No
	In USDA Rural Area?	No In DCA Rui	,	No	Overall:	Urban		HUD SA:	MSA	Warner Robi	
	* If street number unknown	Congressional		Senate	State H		-	erified by appl		lowing website	
	Legislative Districts ** If on boundary, other district:	8		26	147	<u> </u>	Zip Codes Legislative Dis	tricts:	http://zip4.us	<mark>sps.com/zip4/w</mark> t.ora/	<u>reicome.jsp</u>
	Political Jurisdiction	City of Warner Robins			<u> </u>		Website	www.wrga.c	•		
	Name of Chief Elected Official	Randy Toms		Title	Mayor		W obsite	ga.g	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	Address	700 Watson Blvd			7 -		City	Warner Rob	oins		
	Zip+4	31093-0000	Phone	((478) 302-5515		Email	rtoms@wrg	a.gov		
V.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION A. Type of Construction: New Construction Substantial Rehabilitation		90 0]		Adaptive Re Historic Reh		Non-historic	0	Historic	0
	Acquisition/Rehabilitation		0	<u></u>				tion, date of o	original constru	uction:	U

		PART ONE - PROJECT INFORM	ATION - 2017-	0 WR Redev	elopment Ph	ase I, Warner	Robins, Hou	uston County	У		
	B. Mixed Use		No								
	C. Unit Breakdown			PBRA	D.	Unit Area				_	
	Number of Low Income Un		59	0		Total Low Inc			0		54,352
	Number of 50		18	0			•	•	Unit Square F	ootage	27,550
	Number of 60		41	0		Total Reside		U		·	81,902
	Number of Unrestricted (Ma	arket) Units	31			Total Commo			age		0
	Total Residential Units		90			Total Square	Footage fron	n Units			81,902
	Common Space Units Total Units		90								
		anidantial Duildinas] 1		Takal Camana		F f	N ! - -		0.470
	<u> </u>	esidential Buildings on-Residential Buildings	0			Total Commo	•	re Footage in	om Nonreside	ntiai areas	2,470 84,372
	Total Number	g .	4			rotal Square	rootage			l	04,372
	F. Total Residential Parking	Spaces	118	j			• .		nimum 1.5 spa	aces per unit f	or family
VI.	TENANCY CHARACTERISTIC	CS				projects, 1 pe	er unit for sen	ior projects)			
	A. Family or Senior (if Senior, s	specify Elderly or HFOP)	Family]	If Other, spec	cify:				
						If combining O		Family		Elderly	
						Family or Sr, s	show # Units:	HFOP		Other	
	B. Mobility Impaired	Nbr of Units Equipped:	5			% of Total Ur			5.6%	Required:	5%
	Roll-In Showe	ers Nbr of Units Equipped:	2			% of Units for	r the Mobility-	Impaired	40.0%	Required:	40%
	C. Sight / Hearing Impaired	Nbr of Units Equipped:	2			% of Total Ur	nits		2.2%	Required:	2%
/II.	RENT AND INCOME ELECTION	ONS									
	A. Tax Credit Election		40% of Units	at 60% of AN	/I]					
	B. DCA HOME Projects Mini	mum Set-Aside Requirement (Rent	& Income)			20% of HON	ME-Assisted l	Jnits at 50% o	of AMI		No
/III.	SET ASIDES										
	A. LIHTC:	Nonprofit	No]							
	B. HOME:	CHDO	No			(must be pre-qu	alified by DCA a	s CHDO)			
Χ.	COMPETITIVE POOL		Flexible]						
ζ.	TAX EXEMPT BOND FINANC	CED PROJECT									
	Issuer:							Inducement	Date:		
	Office Street Address					_		Applicable C			
	City		State		Zip+4			T-E Bond \$ /	Allocated:		
	Contact Name		Title				E-mail				
	10-Digit Office Phone		Direct line			Website					

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County

XΙ	$\Delta W \Delta R D$	I IMITATIONS FOR CURRENT DCA COMPETITIVE ROUND

The following sections apply	to all direct and indirect	Owners Developers and	Consultants (Enti-	v and Princinal) ·
THE IDIIOWING SECTIONS apply	to all ullect and multet	OWINGS, DEVELOPERS and	Consultants (Little	y and i micipal).

A. Number of Applications Submitted:

B. Amount of Federal Tax Credits in All Applications:

908,906

C. Names of Projects in which an Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals has a direct or indirect Ownership interest:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest	Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest
1 WR Wall Street LLC	WR Redevelopment Phase I	Direct	7		
2			8		
3			9		
4			10		
5			11		
6			12		

D. Names of Projects in which the Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals is partnering with an inexperienced unrelated entity for purposes of meeting DCA Experience Requirements:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Project Participant	Name of Project	
1		7		
2		8		
3		9		
4		10		
5		11		
6		12		

XII. PRESERVA	MOIT
---------------	------

A.	Subsequent	Allocation
----	------------	------------

Year of Original Allocation

Original GHFA/DCA Project Number

First Year of Credit Period

Expiring Tax Credit (15 Year)

Date all buildings will complete 15 yr Compliance pd

B. Expiring Section 8

C. Expiring HUD

HUD funded affordable **non**public housing project

No	

No

No

First Building ID Nbr in Project Last Building ID Nbr in Project

SA-	ìΑ-	
	ìA-	

HUD funded affordable public housing project

Nο	

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County

(III. ADDITIONAL PROJECT INF	ORMATION						
Number of Public Housing	f a local public housing replacement program? g Units reserved and rented to public hou <u>sing tenant</u>	s:_	No		esidential Units		0%
Nbr of Units Reserved and	Rented to: PHA Tenants w/ PBRA:	Households	on Waiting List:		esidential Units	0%	0%
Local PHA Street Address				Contact Direct line			
City		Zip+4		Cellular			
Area Code / Phone		Email					
B. Existing properties: cur	rently an Extension of Cancellation Option?	No	If yes, expiration year:		Nbr yrs to forgo cancellation of	option:	
New properties: to exerc	cise an Extension of Cancellation Option?	Yes	If yes, expiration year:	2037	Nbr yrs to forgo cancellation of	option:	5
C. Is there a Tenant Owner	ship Plan?	No					
D. Is the Project Currently	Occupied?	No	If Yes>:	Total Existing			
				Number Occ			
F Waivers and/or Pre-Ann	rovals - have the following waivers and/or pre-ap	nrovals hoon a	innroyed by DCA2	% Existing O	ccupiea	L	
Amenities?	rovals - mave the following warvers and/or pre-ap	No		Qualification	Determination?		No
Architectural Standards?		No		Payment and	Performance Bond (HOME only	y)?	No
	Site Analysis Packet or Feasibility study?	No		Other (specif		,	No
HOME Consent?		No	If Van many limit in		Boost (extraordinary circumstanc	es)	No
Operating Expense? Credit Award Limitation (e	extraordinary circumstances)?	No No	If Yes, new Limit is If Yes, new Limit is				
F. Projected Place-In-Servi	•	-					
Acquisition							
Rehab	14 21 2	040					
New Construction	May 31, 2	019					
	S AND CLARIFICATIONS	101 1 "	XV.	DCA COMM	ENTS - DCA USE ONLY		
ne site consists of 4.3-story buildings argets of 50 and 60% AMI or less and	s with 90 housing units. The unit mix will consist of 1, 2 and the consist of 1, 2 and	a 3 bearoom units	with income				
he site zoning requires 1 parking spa	ice per unit, the propsoed 118 parking spaces is allowed p	er zoning.					

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

1	OWNEDCHIE	PINFORMATI	
Ι.	OWNERSHIP	' INFURINA H	UIV

A. OWNERSHIP ENTITY	WR Wall Stre						Name of Principal	Mark H. Dambly
Office Street Address	230 Wyoming	Avenue		Title of Principal	President, Managing Mbr			
City	Kingston		Fed Tax ID:				Direct line	(267) 386-8668
State		Zip+4	18704-3535	Org Type:		· Profit	Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(267) 386-860		E-mail	mdambly@p	ennrose.com			
(Enter phone nbrs w/out using hyphens	, parentheses, e	tc - ex: 12345	67890)			* Must be v	erified by applicant us	ing following website:
B. PROPOSED PARTNERSHIP INFORMA 1. GENERAL PARTNER(S)	TION					http://zip4.us	ps.com/zip4/welcome.jsp	
	Pennrose GP						Name of Principal	Richard A. Gower
Office Street Address	230 Wyoming	Avenue					Title of Principal	CFO
City	Kingston		Website	www.pennros		_	Direct line	(267) 386-8680
State	PA	-	Zip+4		7-3535		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(267) 386-868	30	E-mail	rgower@pen	nrose.com			
b. Other General Partner							Name of Principal	
Office Street Address							Title of Principal	
City			Website				Direct line	
State			Zip+4				Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.			E-mail					
c. Other General Partner							Name of Principal	
Office Street Address							Title of Principal	
City			Website				Direct line	
State			Zip+4				Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.			E-mail					
2. LIMITED PARTNERS (PROPOSED C	R ACTUAL)							
 a. Federal Limited Partner 	RBC Capital N	Markets					Name of Principal	Chris Tully
Office Street Address	200 Vesey St	reet, 9th Floo	or				Title of Principal	Vice President
City	New York		Website	www.rbccm.c			Direct line	(212) 618-5619
State	NY		Zip+4	10281			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(212) 618-561	19	E-mail	christopher.tu	ully@rbccm.c	com		
b. State Limited Partner	RBC Capital N	Markets					Name of Principal	Chris Tully
Office Street Address	200 Vesey St		or				Title of Principal	Vice President
City	New York		Website	www.rbccm.c			Direct line	(212) 618-5619
State	NY		Zip+4		-8098		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(212) 618-561	19	E-mail	christopher.tu	ully@rbccm.c	com		
3. NONPROFIT SPONSOR								
Nonprofit Sponsor							Name of Principal	
Office Street Address							Title of Principal	
City			Website				Direct line	
State			Zip+4				Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.			E-mail					

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

II. DEVELOPER(S

II.	DEVELOPER(S)						
	A. DEVELOPER	Pennrose Properties, LLC			Name of Principal	Mark H. Dambly	
	Office Street Address	1301 N. 31st Street			Title of Principal	President	Ī
	City	Philadelphia	Website	www.pennrose.com	Direct line	(267) 386-8668	Ī
	State	PA	Zip+4	19121-4495	Cellular	7	Ī
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(267) 386-8600	E-mail	mdambly@pennrose.com			i
	B. CO-DEVELOPER 1				Name of Principal		
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal		
	City		Website		Direct line		
	State		Zip+4		Cellular		
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail		Celiulai		-
			L-IIIdii				
	C. CO-DEVELOPER 2				Name of Principal		_
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal		_
	City		Website		Direct line		_
	State		Zip+4		Cellular		_
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail				
	D. DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT	Collaborative Housing Solutions, I	nc.		Name of Principal	Jon Toppen	Ī
	Office Street Address	321 W. Hill Street, Suite 3			Title of Principal	Vice President	Ī
	City	Decatur	Website		Direct line	(404) 997-6788	Ī
	State	GA	Zip+4	30030-4362	Cellular		
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(404) 997-6788	E-mail	jon@collaborativehousingsolutions.co	m		Ì
ш	OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS						
ш.							_
	A. OWNERSHIP CONSULTANT				Name of Principal		_
	Office Street Address		347 1 1:		Title of Principal		_
	City		Website		Direct line		
	State		Zip+4		Cellular		
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail				
	B. GENERAL CONTRACTOR	Capstone Building Corp			Name of Principal	Chris Tavis	Ī
	Office Street Address	3415 Independence Drive			Title of Principal	Sr. VP- Finance	
	City	Birmingham	Website	www.capstonebuilding.com	Direct line	(205) 380-5671	
	State	AL	Zip+4	35209-8314	Cellular		Ì
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(205) 380-5671	E-mail	ctravis@capstonebuilding.com			
	C. MANAGEMENT COMPANY	Alco Management Inc.			Name of Principal	Stewart Carlin	
	Office Street Address	35 Union Avenue, Suite 200			Title of Principal	VP of Asset Mgmt	i
	City	Memphis	Website	www.alcomgt.com	Direct line	(901) 544-1737	i
	State	TN	Zip+4	38103-2417	Cellular	,	ĺ
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(901) 544-1737	E-mail	scarlin1@alcomgt.com			ĺ
					·	·	-

212	T TIMO .	DEVELOPMENT TEAM WEARING		10 D 1 1 1 1 D1 1				
		DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATIO						
	ab from th	his workbook. Do NOT Copy from a	nother workb	book to "Paste" here . Use '	"Paste Spec			
D. ATTORNEY		Berman Indictor LLP				Name of Principal	Steve Berman	
Office Street Address		30 N. 41st Street, Suite 450				Title of Principal	Principal	
City		Philadelphia	Website	bermanindictor.com		Direct line	(215) 825-9740	
State		PA	Zip+4	19104-2590		Cellular	` /	
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Fxt	(215) 825-9730	E-mail	berman@bermanindictor.com	m			_
	, Ext.	· · ·	E man			1		_
E. ACCOUNTANT		CohnReznick				Name of Principal	Michael Cumming	
Office Street Address		500 East Pratt Street, Suite 200				Title of Principal	Principal	
City		Baltimore	Website	www.cohnreznick.com		Direct line	(410) 783-4900	
State		MD	Zip+4	21202-3100		Cellular		
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.	(410) 783-4900	E-mail	Mike.Cumming@Cohnreznic	ck.com			
F. ARCHITECT		Kitchen and Associates				Name of Principal	Steve Schoch	Ξ
Office Street Address		756 Haddon Avenue				Title of Principal	Principal Principal	-
City		Collingwood	Website	www.kitchenandassociates.c	com	Direct line	(856) 559-2063	-
State				08108-3712	COIII		(630) 339-2003	_
	/ Ev#	NJ (856) 854-1880	Zip+4	sschoch@kitchenandassocia	atac aam	Cellular		_
10-Digit Office Phone		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	E-mail	E-	ates.com			_
		Inswer each of the questions below						
A. LAND SELLER (If applicab		City of Warner Robins	Principal	Randy Toms		10-Digit Phone / Ext.	(478) 302-5515	
Office Street Address		700 Watson Blvd				City	Warner Robins	
State		GA Zip+4 3109	3-0000	E-mail rtoms@wrga.g	OV			
B. IDENTITY OF INTEREST								
	Yes/No	If Yes, explain relationship in boxes pro	ovided below,	and use Comment box at bot	ttom of this ta	ab or attach additional p	ages as needed:	_
 Developer and 	No							
Contractor?								
• • • • • • • •								_
Buyer and Seller of	No							
Land/Property?								
3. Owner and Contractor?	No							۲
3. Owner and Contractor?	INO							
4. Owner and Consultant?	No							Т
i. Owner and Consultant.	140							
Syndicator and	No							
Developer?								
·								L
6. Syndicator and	No							
Contractor?								
	N/							
Developer and	No							
Consultant?								
8. Other	No							
o. Other	INU							

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

V. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION - Continued (Answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.)

C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Participant	1. Has any person, principal, or agent for this e	ntity ever	2. Is entity	3. Org Type	4. Project	5. Does this	s entity or a member of this entity have a conflict of interest with any		
	been convicted of a felony (Yes or No)	?	a MBE/	(FP,NP,	Ownership	member, o	officer, or employee of an entity that partners or contracts with the		
			WBE?	CHDO)	Percentage		If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and use Comment box at		
-				,	3	the bottom of this tab or attach explanation.			
	If you avaloin briefly in boyon halow and either you						the bottom of the tab of attach explanation		
	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and either use Comment box or attach explanation.	Yes/No				Yes/No	Brief Explanation		
Managing	'	No	No	For Profit	0.0100%	Yes	Pennrose GP, LLC is an affiliate of the Developer, Pennrose Properties, LLC.		
Genrl Prtnr							Please see comment below.		
Other Genrl									
Prtnr 1									
Other Genrl									
Prtnr 2									
Federal Ltd		No	No	For Profit	99.9800%	No			
Partner									
State Ltd		No	No	For Profit	0.0100%	No			
Partner									
NonProfit									
Sponsor									
Developer		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	Yes	Pennrose GP, LLC, the managing general partner, is an affiliate of the Developer,		
							Pennrose Properties, LLC. Please see comment below.		
Co-									
Developer 1									
Co-									
Developer 2									
Owner									
Consultant									
Developer		No	Yes	For Profit	0.0000%	No			
Consultant									
Contractor		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	No			
Managemen		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	No			
t Company									
	ICANT COMMENTS AND OLADISICATIONS			Total	100.0000%		VI DCA COMMENTS DCA LISE ONLY		

APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

VI. DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead. Pennrose GP, LLC is the General Partner and also the Managing Member of the WR Wall Street, LLC, the Ownership entity of the development. Pennrose Properties, LLC, is the Developer of this redevelopment effort. Mark H. Dambly, Richard K. Barnhart and Timothy I. Henkel are members of Pennrose GP, LLC, the General Partner and Managing Member of the ownership entity, and are also members of Pennrose Properties, LLC, which is the Developer. Collaborative Housing Solutions is a development consultant for the LIHTC application only and will receive less than 5% of the developer fee.

I. GOVERNMENT FUNDING SOURCES (check all that apply)

Yes	Tax Credits		FHA Risk Share	Georgia TCAP *		
	Historic Rehab Credits	Yes	FHA Insured Mortgage	USDA 515		
	Tax Exempt Bonds: \$		Replacement Housing Funds	USDA 538		
	Taxable Bonds		McKinney-Vento Homeless	USDA PBRA		
	CDBG		FHLB / AHP *	Section 8 PBRA		
	HUD 811 Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD)		NAHASDA	Other PBRA - Source:	Specify Other PBRA Source here	
	DCA HOME * Amt \$		Neigborhood Stabilization Program *	National Housing Trust F	und	
	Other HOME * Amt \$		HUD CHOICE Neighborhoods	Other Type of Funding - de	scribe type/program here	
	Other HOME - Source Specify Other HOME Source here			Specify Administrator of O	ther Funding Type here	

^{*}This source may possibly trigger Uniform Relocation Act and/or HUD 104(d) regmts. Check with source. For DCA HOME, refer to Relocation Manual. DCA HOME amount from DCA Consent Ltr.

II. CONSTRUCTION FINANCING

Financing Type	Name of Financing Entity	Amount	Effective Interest Rate	Term (In Months)
Mortgage A	Bellwether Enterprise - FHA 221(d)4	1,363,400	5.000%	40
Mortgage B				
Mortgage C				
Federal Grant				
State, Local, or Private Grant				
Deferred Developer Fees				
Federal Housing Credit Equity	RBC Capital Markets	5,797,054		
State Housing Credit Equity	RBC Capital Markets	3,571,695		
Other Type (specify)				
Other Type (specify)				
Other Type (specify)				
Total Construction Financing:		10,732,149		
Total Construction Period Costs from Development Budget:		10,732,149		
Surplus / (Shortage) of Construction funds to Construction costs:		0		

PERMANENT FINANCING

I EKWANELITI I IIWANOMO			Effective	Term	Amort.	Annual Debt Service in	
Financing Type	Name of Financing Entity	Principal Amount	Int Rate	(Years)	(Years)	Year One	Loan Type
Mortgage A (Lien Position 1)	Bellwether Enterprise - FHA 221(d)4	1,363,400	5.000%	40	40	78,891	Amortizing
Mortgage B (Lien Position 2)							
Mortgage C (Lien Position 3)							
Other:							
Foundation or charity funding*							
Deferred Devlpr Fee							
Total Cash Flow for Years 1 - 15:	585,177						
DDF Percent of Cash Flow (Yrs 1-15)	0.000% 0.000%						
Cash flow covers DDF P&I?							
Federal Grant							
State, Local, or Private Grant				<u>Equity</u>	Check	<u>+ / -</u>	TC Equity
Federal Housing Credit Equity	RBC Capital Markets	7,543,919		7,543	3,919	0.00	% of TDC
State Housing Credit Equity	RBC Capital Markets	4,726,311		4,72	5,311	0.00	55%
Historic Credit Equity							35%
Invstmt Earnings: T-E Bonds							90%
Invstmt Earnings: Taxable Bonds							
Income from Operations							
Other:							
Other:							
Other:							
Total Permanent Financing:		13,633,631					
Total Development Costs from Deve	elopment Budget:	13,633,631					
Surplus/(Shortage) of Permanent fu	nds to development costs:	0					
	sts exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I, Secti	on II).					

IV. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY The FHA 221(d)(4) interest rate includes the HUD Mortgage Insurance Premium - rate includes MIP, GNMA and Servicing Fees

. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET			TOTAL COST	New Construction Basis	Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable Basis
PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS				Dasis	PRE-DEVELOP	MENT COSTS	Dasis
Property Appraisal					THE BEVELOT	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
Market Study			7,500	7,500			
Environmental Report(s)			10,000	10,000			
Soil Borings			10,000	10,000			
Boundary and Topographical Survey			5,000	5,000			
Zoning/Site Plan Fees			-	-			
Other:							
Other:							
Other:		Calabata	22.500	22.500			
ACQUISITION		Subtotal	32,500	32,500	ACQUI:	- CITION	-
Land					ACQUI	SITION	_
Site Demolition			-				
Acquisition Legal Fees (if existing structures)							
Existing Structures							
2.110.11.19 0.1.110.110		Subtotal	-		-		-
LAND IMPROVEMENTS					LAND IMPR	OVEMENTS	
Site Construction (On-site)	Per acre:	136,986	600,000	510,000			90,000
Site Construction (Off-site)							
		Subtotal	600,000	510,000	-	-	90,000
STRUCTURES					STRUC	TURES	
Residential Structures - New Construction			7,695,000	7,695,000			
Residential Structures - Rehab	-Patanana kilda ata V	Name Oans de					
Accessory Structures (ie. community bldg, m.							
Accessory Structures (ie. community bldg, m.	aintenance blug, etc.) -	Subtotal	7,695,000	7,695,000			
CONTRACTOR SERVICES	DCA Limit	14.000%	7,075,000	7,073,000	CONTRACTO	P SEBVICES	
Builder Profit: 6.000%		6.000%	497,700	497,700	CONTRACTO	CSERVICES	
Builder Overhead 2.000%	165,900	2.000%	165,900	165,900			
General Requirements* 6.000%	497,700	6.000%	497,700	497,700			
*See QAP: General Requirements policy 14.000%	1,161,300	Subtotal	1,161,300	1,161,300	-	-	-
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS (No	on-GC work scope items do	ne by Owner)		OTHER CONSTRUCT	ION HARD COSTS (N	on-GC work scope i	tems done by Owner)
Other:	on de from doop nome de						
\underline{T} otal \underline{C} onstruction \underline{H} ard \underline{C} osts	Average TCHC:	105,070.00	per <u>Res'l</u> unit	105,070.00	per unit	112.08	per total sq ft
9,456,300.00	Average TOTIC.	115.46	per <u>Res'l</u> unit SF	115.46	per unit sq ft		
CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY					<u>CONSTRUCTION</u>	CONTINGENCY	
Construction Contingency		5.00%	472,750	472,750			

I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)			New	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or
		TOTAL COST	Construction Basis	Basis	Basis	Non-Depreciable Basis
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING			Dasis	CONSTRUCTION P	ERIOD FINANCING	Dasis
Bridge Loan Fee						
Bridge Loan Interest						
Construction Loan Fee		95,218	95,218			
Construction Loan Interest		51,128	34,085			17,043
Construction Legal Fees		45,000	45,000			
Construction Period Inspection Fees		6,817	6,817			
Construction Period Real Estate Tax		20,000	20,000			
Construction Insurance		53,900 40,000	53,900 40,000			
Title and Recording Fees		50,000	50,000			
Payment and Performance bonds Other:		50,000	30,000			
Other:						
Outer.	Subtotal	362,063	345,020	-	_	17,043
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	Cubician	002/000	0.10/020	PROFESSION	AL SERVICES	177010
Architectural Fee - Design		300,000	300,000			
Architectural Fee - Supervision	i	55,000	55,000			
Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000		15,000	15,000			
Green Building Program Certification Fee (LEED or Earthcraft)		25,000	25,000			
Accessibility Inspections and Plan Review		5,000	5,000			
Construction Materials Testing		35,000	35,000			
Engineering		100,000	100,000			
Real Estate Attorney		90,000	90,000			
Accounting		30,000	30,000			
As-Built Survey		3,000	3,000			
Other:	Cubtotal	4E0 000	4E0.000			
LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Avg per unit: 757	Subtotal	658,000	658,000	LOCAL COVE	RNMENT FEES	-
Building Permits	ſ	22,198	22,198	LUCAL GOVE	RIVIVIEIVI FEES	
Impact Fees		22,170	22,170			
Water Tap Fees waived?		31,650	31,650			
Sewer Tap Fees waived?		14,250	14,250			
Solitor Tap 1 Soci	Subtotal	68,098	68,098	-	-	-
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES				PERMANENT FI	NANCING FEES	
Permanent Loan Fees	ſ	-				-
Permanent Loan Legal Fees						
Title and Recording Fees		5,000				5,000
Bond Issuance Premium						
Cost of Issuance / Underwriter's Discount						
Other:						
	Subtotal	5,000				5,000

I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)	I	TOTAL COST	New Construction Basis	Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable Basis
DCA-RELATED COSTS			240.0	DCA-RELA	ATED COSTS	240.0
DCA HOME Loan Pre-Application Fee (\$1000 FP/JV, \$500 NP)						
Tax Credit Application Fee (\$6500 ForProf/JntVent, \$5500 NonProf)		6,500				6,500
DCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees	·					
LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee	72,712	72,713				72,713
LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee	72,000	72,000				72,000
DCA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000)						
DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000)		3,000				3,000
Other:						
Other:						
	Subtotal	154,213				154,213
EQUITY COSTS				EQUIT	Y COSTS	
Partnership Organization Fees		20,000				20,000
Tax Credit Legal Opinion						
Syndicator Legal Fees		40,000				40,000
Other:						
	Subtotal	60,000				60,000
DEVELOPER'S FEE				DEVELO	PER'S FEE	
Developer's Overhead	0.000%					
Consultant's Fee	0.000%					
Guarantor Fees	0.000%	1 770 200	4 770 200			
Developer's Profit	100.000%	1,778,300	1,778,300			
CTART UR AND DECERVES	Subtotal	1,778,300	1,778,300	- CTART UR A	- IND DECEDIFIC	-
START-UP AND RESERVES	ľ	/7 705		START-UP A	ND RESERVES	/7.705
Marketing	101.070	67,725				67,725
Rent-Up Reserves	121,079	121,329				121,329
Operating Deficit Reserve:	281,603	297,353				297,353
Replacement Reserve	1 111	100,000	100,000			
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Proposed Avg Per Unit:	1,111	100,000	100,000			_
Other:	Subtotal	586,407	100,000			486,407
OTHER COSTS	Subtotal	300,407	100,000	OTHE	R COSTS	400,407
Relocation	ſ			OTHER	(00313	
Other:						
Outer.	Subtotal	-	_	-	-	_
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COCT /TDC\	Jubiolai		12 020 0/0			010 //0
TOTAL <u>D</u> EVELOPMENT <u>C</u> OST (TDC)		13,633,631	12,820,968	-	-	812,663
Average TDC Per: Unit: 151,484.78 S	quare Foot:	161.59				

II. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - BASIS METHOD Subtractions From Eligible Basis	New Construction Basis	4% Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	
Amount of federal grant(s) used to finance qualifying development costs Amount of nonqualified nonrecourse financing Costs of Nonqualifying units of higher quality Nonqualifying excess portion of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) Other	0		0	
Eligible Basis Calculation Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type: DDA/QCT Adjusted Eligible Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation	12,820,968 0 12,820,968 130.00% 16,667,258 65.56% 10,926,314 9.00% 983,368	0 0 65.56% 0 0 983,368	0 0 0 0 65.56% 0	
III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD Equity Gap Calculation Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL. Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation	13,633,632 13,633,631 1,363,400 12,270,231 / 10 1,227,023 1.3500 908,906	If TDC > QAP Total PCL, from foundation or charitate cost exceed Funding Amount Federal 0.8300		
TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:	908,906			
TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower: IV. TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION - Lower of Tax Credit Request and Tax Credit Project Maximum	908,906	=		
IV. IAA GILDIT ALLOGATION - Lower of Tax Gredit Request and Tax Gredit Project Maximum	700,700			

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	VI. DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY
Construction hard costs were determined based on a preliminary cost estimate from the proposed general contractor, Capstone Builders.	
Developer fee was calculated using the total development costs (\$13,663,631) minus developer fee (\$1,778,300) x 15% = \$1,778,300 for the maximum fee. The maximum allowable Developer Fee of \$1,778,300 is reflected in the budget.	
Operating Reserve reflects 6 months of operating expenses, replacement reserves and must-pay hard-debt service per RBC Equity LOI enclosed in Tab 01.	
Construction Loan Fee Calculation: Application Fee: \$3.00 per thousand Loan Amt : ((1,363,400/1000)*3.00) = \$4,060 MIP: .25% of Loan Amt: (.0025*1,363,400) = \$3,409 Financing Fee: 2% of Loan Amt: (.02*1,363,400) = \$27,268 Placement Fee: 1.5% of Loan Amt: (.015*1,363,400) = \$20,451 MAP Third Party Fees: \$40,000 Total: \$95,218	
Inspection Fee: .5% of Loan Amt: (.005*1,363,400) = 6,817	
Tax Credit Pricing reflects current equity market for mixed income properties (market rate units).	

PART FOUR (b) - OTHER COSTS - 2017-0 - WR Redevelopment Phase I - Warner Robins - Houston, County

DCA requires the Applicant provide a narrative for all "Other" development costs listed on Part IV-Uses of Funds. For any amounts shown below the Applicant needs to provide an explanation of the cost incurred by the development. The narrative should be a brief description for DCA intended to justify its inclusion as a valid development cost. Further, if the "Other" cost is included into eligible basis, a second narrative is required describing its justification into tax credit basis. Expand row size as needed to show text.

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS		
0		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
0		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS		
0		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		
0		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
0		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES		
0		
Total Cost -		
DCA-RELATED COSTS		
0		
Total Cost -		
0		
Total Cost -		
EQUITY COSTS		
Total Cost -		

2017 Funding Application

Housing Finance and Development Division

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item

Description/Nature of Cost

Basis Justification

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
START-UP AND RESERVES		
0		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
OTHER COSTS		
)		
Total Cast Total Pasis		

PART FIVE - UTILITY ALLOWANCES - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County

DCA Utility Region for project: North

Note: Elderly allowances cannot be used except at properties that have 100% HUD PBRA and satisfy the DCA definition of "elderly"

ITILITY ALLOWAN	ICE SCHEDULE #1	Source of U	Itility Allowances		Georgia Department of Community Affairs					
		Date of Utili	ity Allowances	January 1, 20	17	Structure 2-Story Walkup				
		Paid By (d	check one)	Tenant-P	Tenant-Paid Utility Allowances by Unit Size (# Bdrms)					
Itility	Fuel	Tenant	Owner	Efficiency	1	2	3	4		
leat	Electric Heat Pump	Х		4	5	6	9	11		
Cooking	Electric	Х		5	7	9	12	15		
lot Water	Electric	Х		9	14	19	24	28		
ir Conditioning	Electric	Х		5	6	9	12	14		
lange/Microwave	Electric	X								
Refrigerator	Electric	Х								
Other Electric	Electric	Х		15	21	27	33	42		
Vater & Sewer	Submetered*? Yes	Х		35	41	48	59	71		
Refuse Collection			Х							
otal Hility Allowa	nce by Unit Size			73	94	118	149	181		
•	ICE SCHEDULE #2	Date of Utili	Itility Allowances	Tonant-F	Paid Utility	Structure	/ Unit Size /	# Rdrme\		
ITILITY ALLOWAN	ICE SCHEDULE #2	Date of Utili Paid By (c	ity Allowances check one)		Paid Utility	Allowances by	-	# Bdrms)		
TILITY ALLOWAN	•	Date of Utili	ity Allowances	Tenant-F Efficiency	Paid Utility <i>i</i>		/ Unit Size (#	# Bdrms) 4		
ITILITY ALLOWAN	ICE SCHEDULE #2	Date of Utili Paid By (c	ity Allowances check one)		Paid Utility /	Allowances by	-	# Bdrms) 4		
ITILITY ALLOWAN Itility leat Cooking	ICE SCHEDULE #2	Date of Utili Paid By (c	ity Allowances check one)		Paid Utility /	Allowances by	-	# Bdrms) 4		
ITILITY ALLOWAN Itility Ieat Cooking lot Water	ICE SCHEDULE #2	Date of Utili Paid By (c	ity Allowances check one)		Paid Utility /	Allowances by	-	# Bdrms) 4		
ITILITY ALLOWAN Itility leat cooking lot Water ir Conditioning	Fuel	Date of Utili Paid By (c	ity Allowances check one)		Paid Utility <i>i</i> 1	Allowances by	-	# Bdrms) 4		
ITILITY ALLOWAN Itility Ieat Cooking Iot Water Ir Conditioning Range/Microwave	Fuel Electric	Date of Utili Paid By (c	ity Allowances check one)		Paid Utility I	Allowances by	-	# Bdrms) 4		
ITILITY ALLOWAN Itility leat cooking lot Water ir Conditioning	Fuel Electric Electric	Date of Utili Paid By (c	ity Allowances check one)		Paid Utility A	Allowances by	-	# Bdrms) 4		
Itility leat Cooking lot Water Lir Conditioning Lange/Microwave	Fuel Electric Electric Electric Electric	Date of Utili Paid By (c	ity Allowances check one)		Paid Utility /	Allowances by	-	# Bdrms) 4		
Itility leat Cooking lot Water Lir Conditioning Lange/Microwave Lefrigerator Other Electric	Fuel Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric	Date of Utili Paid By (c	ity Allowances check one)		Paid Utility /	Allowances by	-	# Bdrms) 4		
Itility Itility Iteat Cooking Iot Water Lir Conditioning Lange/Microwave Lefrigerator Other Electric Vater & Sewer	Fuel Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*?	Date of Utili Paid By (c	ity Allowances check one)		Paid Utility A	Allowances by	-	# Bdrms) 4		
Itility Iteat Cooking Iot Water Lir Conditioning Lange/Microwave Lefrigerator Other Electric Vater & Sewer Lefuse Collection Total Utility Allowa	Fuel Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? nce by Unit Size MUST be sub-metered.	Date of Utili Paid By (c Tenant	ity Allowances check one)	Efficiency	1	Allowances by 2	3	4		
Itility Iteat Cooking Iot Water Lir Conditioning Lange/Microwave Lefrigerator Other Electric Vater & Sewer Lefuse Collection Total Utility Allowa New Construction units I	Fuel Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*?	Date of Utili Paid By (c Tenant	ty Allowances check one) Owner	Efficiency	0	Allowances by 2	0	0		

2017 Funding Application

PART SIX - PROJECTED REVENUES & EXPENSES - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County

I. RENT SCHEDULE Do NOT cut, copy or paste cells in this tab. Complete ALL columns. For Common Space (non-income producing) units, select "N/A-CS" for Rent Type and "Common Space" for Employee Unit.

IOME proje	ects - Fix	ed or F	loating ι	units:			Utility	PBRA			MSA/NonMS	SA:	AMI	Certifie
re 100% o				No	Max	Dre mesed	Allowance	Provider or			Warner Rob	ins	59,300	Historio
			!		Gross	Pro-posed	(UA Sched 1 UA, so	Operating					De	
Rent	Nbr of	No. of	Unit	Unit	Rent	Gross	over-write if UA	Subsidy ***	Monthly	Net Rent	Employee	Building	Type of	Historic
Type	Bdrms	Baths	Count	Area	Limit	Rent	Sched 2 used)	(See note below)	Per Unit	Total	Unit	Design Type	Activity	(See QAI
50% AMI	1	1.0	5	690	616	616	94		522	2,610	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
50% AMI	2	1.0	7	983	740	740	118		622	4,354	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
50% AMI	2	2.0	5	1,028	740	740	118		622	3,110	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
50% AMI	3	2.0	1	1,291	854	854	149		705	705	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
60% AMI	1	1.0	15	690	739	674	94		580	8,700	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
60% AMI	2	1.0	12	983	888	823	118		705	8,460	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
60% AMI	2	2.0	10	1,028	888	823	118		705	7,050	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
60% AMI	3	2.0	4	1,291	1,025	894	149		745	2,980	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
Jnrestricted	1	1.0	16	690	610	610	0		610	9,760	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
Jnrestricted	2	1.0	5	983	745	745	0		745	3,725	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
Jnrestricted	2	2.0	5	1,028	745	745	0		745	3,725	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
Jnrestricted	3	2.0	5	1,291	800	800	0		800	4,000	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
							0		0	0				
		TOTAL	90	81,902				MONIT	HLY TOTAL	59,179	1			

^{***} NOTE: When selecting "PHA Operating Subsidy" in the "PBRA Provider or Operating Subsidy" column above, please also then enter a zero in the "Proposed Gross Rent" column above AND include the PHA operating subsidy amount in the "III. Ancillary and Other Income" section below. Also refer to the Application Instructions provided separately.

II. UNIT SUMMARY

n		

Onits.			
NOTE TO APPLICANTS : If the numbers compiled in this Summary	Low-Income Unrestricted Total Residential Common Space Total	l	60% AMI 50% AMI Total
do not appear to match what was entered in the Rent Chart above,	PBRA-Assisted (included in LI above) PHA Operating S Assisted	Subsidy-	60% AMI 50% AMI Total 60% AMI 50% AMI
please verify that all applicable columns were completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above.	Type of Construction Activity	New Construction Acq/Rehab Substantial Rehab Only Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse	Total Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Total + CS
	Building Type: (for <i>Utility</i> <i>Allowance</i> and other purposes)	Historic Multifamily SF Detached	1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlk _I Historic 3+-Story Historic
		Townhome Duplex Manufactured home	Historic Historic Historic
			Historic

Efficiency	1BR	2BR	3BR	4BR	Total	
0	15	22	4	0	41	(Includes inc-restr mgr
0	5	12	1	0	18	units)
0	20	34	5	0	59	
0	16	10	5	0	31	
0	36	44	10	0	90	
0	0	0	0	0		(no rent charged)
0	36	44	10	0	90] -
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	U	U	U	U	U	l
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	20	34	5	0	59	1
0	16	10	5	0	31	
0	36	44	10	0	90	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
					0	
					0	l
0	0	0	0	0	0]
0	36	44	10	0	90	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	36	44	10	0	90	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0 0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

Georgia Department of	Community Affairs			2017 F	unding App	lication		H	ousing Finance	and Development D	ivision
Building Type:	Detached / SemiDeta	ached			0	0	0	0	0	0	
(for Cost Limit			Historic	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	
purposes)	Row House		Lliataria		0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	
	Walkup		Historic	-	0	36	44	10	0	90	
	vvaikup		Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Elevator		Thistoric	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Lievatoi		Historic		Ö	0	0	ő	0	0	
Unit Square Footage:				L	Ü	Ü	Ů,	ŭ j	ŭ	<u> </u>	
Low Income			60% AMI	ſ	0	10,350	22,076	5,164	0	37,590	
			50% AMI		0	3,450	12,021	1,291	0	16,762	
			Total	•	0	13,800	34,097	6,455	0	54,352	
Unrestricted					0	11,040	10,055	6,455	0	27,550	
Total Residentia					0	24,840	44,152	12,910	0	81,902	
Common Space	9				0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total					0	24,840	44,152	12,910	0	81,902	
II. ANCILLARY AND OTHER I	NCOME (annual an	nounts)									
Ancillary Income				5,000		Laundry, ven	ding, app fees, e	etc. Actual pct	t of PGI:	0.70%	
Other Income (OI) by Year:			_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Included in Mgt Fee:	F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Operating Subsidy											
Other: Total OI in Mgt Fe	00	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	
NOT Included in Mgt Fee:					_						
Property Tax Abatement											
Other:											
Total OI NOT in N	lgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in Mgt Fee:	-	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Operating Subsidy											
Other:	_										
Total OI in Mgt Fe NOT Included in Mgt Fee:	ee L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Property Tax Abatement											
Other:											
Total OI NOT in N	lgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Included in Mgt Fee:	·	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Operating Subsidy											
Other:											
Total OI in Mgt Fe NOT Included in Mgt Fee:	ee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Г										
Property Tax Abatement Other:											
Total OI NOT in N	1at Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in Mgt Fee:	ي. 	31	32	33	34	35		I			
Operating Subsidy	Г	<u>, </u>	J_		<u> </u>						
Other:	_										
Total OI in Mgt Fe	ee	-	-	-	-	-					
NOT Included in Mgt Fee:	-										
Property Tax Abatement											
Other: Total OI NOT in N	Agt Coo										
i otai Oi no i in N	igi ree	-	-	-	-	-					

IV. ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BUDGET

On-Site Staff Costs	
Management Salaries & Benefits	95,000
Maintenance Salaries & Benefits	75,000
Support Services Salaries & Benefits	15,000
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	185,000

On-Site Office Costs	
Office Supplies & Postage	7,500
Telephone	7,500
Travel	5,000
Leased Furniture / Equipment	5,500
Activities Supplies / Overhead Cost	15,000
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	40,500

Maintenance Expenses	
Contracted Repairs	15,000
General Repairs	18,500
Grounds Maintenance	7,500
Extermination	6,750
Maintenance Supplies	15,000
Elevator Maintenance	
Redecorating	20,000
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	82,750

On-Site	Security

Contracted Guard	
Electronic Alarm System	7,500
Subtotal	7,500

Professional Services	
Legal	7,500
Accounting	12,500
Advertising	10,000
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	30,000

Utilities	(Avg\$/mth/unit)	1
Electricity	19	20,000
Natural Gas	0	
Water&Swr	9	10,000
Trash Collec	tion	4,500
Other (describe	here)	
	Subtotal	34.500

VI.

DCA COMMENTS

Taxes and Insurance

Real Estate Taxes (Gross)*	35,000
Insurance**	29,815
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	64.815

		_	
wana	aement	ree:	

468.94	Average per unit per year
39.08	Average per unit per month

(Mgt Fee - see Pro Forma, Sect 1, Operating Assumptions)

TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES 484,315

Average per unit 5,381.28

Total OE Required

 Replacement Reserve (RR)
 31,500

 Proposed averaga RR/unit amount:
 350

	Proposed a	veraga R	R/unit amount:	350				
	Minimum Replacement Reserve Calculation							
	Unit Type		Units x RR Min	Total by Type				
	Multifamily							
	Rehab		0 units $x $350 =$	0				
	New Constr		90 units x \$250 =	22,500				
	SF or Duplex		0 units x \$420 =	0				
Historic Rhb			0 units x \$420 =	0				
		Totals	90	22,500				

TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES

515,815

39,250

360,000

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

Real Estate Tax assumptions are based on an 8% capitalization rate on year one NOI to estimate the fair market value upon completion. Assessed value is 40% of FMV. The millage rate of \$33.28 is based on the millage rate for the City of Warner Robins, see Tab 1.

Insurance expense is based on an estimate from an insurance broker included in Tab 1.

Replacement Reserve is \$350/PUPA per FHA Lender, see Tab 1.

PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed. Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 7,500 Revenue Growth 2.00% Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -1.13% charged by all lenders/investors) **Expense Growth** 3.00% Reserves Growth 3.00% Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: 5.90% --> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: Vacancy & Collection Loss 7.00% Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Yes 39,250 **Ancillary Income Limit** 2.00% Percent of Effective Gross Income --> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Revenues	710,148	724,351	738,838	753,615	768,687	784,061	799,742	815,737	832,052	848,693
Ancillary Income	5,000	5,100	5,202	5,306	5,412	5,520	5,631	5,743	5,858	5,975
Vacancy	(50,060)	(51,062)	(52,083)	(53,124)	(54,187)	(55,271)	(56,376)	(57,504)	(58,654)	(59,827)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(445,065)	(458,417)	(472,169)	(486,335)	(500,925)	(515,952)	(531,431)	(547,374)	(563,795)	(580,709)
Property Mgmt	(39,250)	(40,428)	(41,640)	(42,890)	(44,176)	(45,502)	(46,867)	(48,273)	(49,721)	(51,212)
Reserves	(31,500)	(32,445)	(33,418)	(34,421)	(35,454)	(36,517)	(37,613)	(38,741)	(39,903)	(41,100)
NOI	149,273	147,099	144,729	142,151	139,358	136,339	133,086	129,589	125,837	121,820
Mortgage A	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)
Mortgage B	-	-			-	-	-			-
Mortgage C	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(7,500)	(7,725)	(7,957)	(8,195)	(8,441)	(8,695)	(8,955)	(9,224)	(9,501)	(9,786)
Cash Flow	62,881	60,483	57,881	55,064	52,026	48,753	45,240	41,474	37,445	33,143
DCR Mortgage A	1.89	1.86	1.83	1.80	1.77	1.73	1.69	1.64	1.60	1.54
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.89	1.86	1.83	1.80	1.77	1.73	1.69	1.64	1.60	1.54
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.29	1.28	1.26	1.25	1.24	1.23	1.22	1.20	1.19	1.18
Mortgage A Balance	1,352,430	1,340,898	1,328,776	1,316,035	1,302,641	1,288,562	1,273,763	1,258,206	1,241,854	1,224,665
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PART SEVEN	- OPERATING	PRO FORMA	- 2017-0 WR	Redevelopme	nt Phase I, Wa	rner Robins, I	Houston Coun	ty	
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.										
	2.00% 3.00%		Asset Manage		unt (include total	7,500	Yr 1 Asset	Mgt Fee Perce	entage of EGI:	-1.13%
Reserves Growth	3.00%		Property Mgt F	ee Growth Rat	e (choose one)			Mgt Fee Percer		5.90%
Vacancy & Collection Loss Ancillary Income Limit	7.00% 2.00%		•	rowth Rate (3.0 Effective Gross	,	Yes		icate Yr 1 Mgt F icate actual per		39,25
Ancillary income Limit	2.00%		Percent of t	Ellective Gloss	income		> II Tes, IIIu	icate actual per	centage.	
II. OPERATING PRO FORMA										
Year	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Revenues	865,666	882,980	900,639	918,652	937,025	955,766	974,881	994,379	1,014,266	1,034,552
Ancillary Income	6,095	6,217	6,341	6,468	6,597	6,729	6,864	7,001	7,141	7,284
Vacancy	(61,023)	(62,244)	(63,489)	(64,758)	(66,054)	(67,375)	(68,722)	(70,097)	(71,499)	(72,928
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(598,130)	(616,074)	(634,556)	(653,593)	(673,201)	(693,397)	(714,199)	(735,625)	(757,693)	(780,424
Property Mgmt	(52,749)	(54,331)	(55,961)	(57,640)	(59,369)	(61,150)	(62,985)	(64,874)	(66,820)	(68,825
Reserves	(42,333)	(43,603)	(44,911)	(46,259)	(47,647)	(49,076)	(50,548)	(52,065)	(53,627)	(55,235
NOI	117,526	112,944	108,063	102,870	97,353	91,498	85,291	78,720	71,769	64,422
Mortgage A	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(10,079)	(10,382)	(10,693)	(11,014)	(11,344)	-	-	-	-	-
Cash Flow	28,555	23,671	18,479	12,965	7,117	12,606	6,400	(171)	(7,122)	(14,469
DCR Mortgage A	1.49	1.43	1.37	1.30	1.23	1.16	1.08	1.00	0.91	0.82
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.49	1.43	1.37	1.30	1.23	1.16	1.08	1.00	0.91	0.82
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.17	1.16	1.15	1.14	1.12	1.11	1.10	1.09	1.08	1.07
Mortgage A Balance	1,206,597	1,187,604	1,167,640	1,146,654	1,124,595	1,101,407	1,077,032	1,051,411	1,024,478	996,168
Mortgage B Balance	, ,	, ,	, ,	, , ,		, ,				,
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Course Balance										

Other Source Balance

	PART SEVEN	N - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 WR Redevelopme	nent Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County
I. OPERATING ASSUM	IPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cel	ells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors)	7,500 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -1.13%
Reserves Growth	3.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one	
Vacancy & Collection Lo Ancillary Income Limit	ss 7.00% 2.00%	Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Percent of Effective Gross Income	Yes> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: 39,250

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Revenues	1,055,243	1,076,347	1,097,874	1,119,832	1,142,228	1,165,073	1,188,375	1,212,142	1,236,385	1,261,113
Ancillary Income	7,430	7,578	7,730	7,884	8,042	8,203	8,367	8,534	8,705	8,879
Vacancy	(74,387)	(75,875)	(77,392)	(78,940)	(80,519)	(82,129)	(83,772)	(85,447)	(87,156)	(88,899)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ol Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(803,837)	(827,952)	(852,791)	(878,374)	(904,726)	(931,867)	(959,823)	(988,618)	(1,018,277)	(1,048,825)
Property Mgmt	(70,890)	(73,017)	(75,207)	(77,463)	(79,787)	(82,181)	(84,646)	(87,186)	(89,801)	(92,495)
Reserves	(56,893)	(58,599)	(60,357)	(62,168)	(64,033)	(65,954)	(67,933)	(69,971)	(72,070)	(74,232)
NOI	56,666	48,483	39,857	30,771	21,206	11,144	568	(10,545)	(22,214)	(34,459)
Mortgage A	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	-	-	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-	-
Cash Flow	(22,225)	(30,409)	(39,034)	(48,120)	(57,685)	(67,747)	(78,323)	(89,437)	(101,105)	(113,351)
DCR Mortgage A	0.72	0.61	0.51	0.39	0.27	0.14	0.01	(0.13)	(0.28)	(0.44)
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	0.72	0.61	0.51	0.39	0.27	0.14	0.01	(0.13)	(0.28)	(0.44)
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.06	1.05	1.04	1.03	1.02	1.01	1.00	0.99	0.98	0.97
Mortgage A Balance	966,409	935,128	902,247	867,683	831,351	793,160	753,015	710,816	666,458	619,831
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.

7,500

Asset Management Fee Amount (include total Revenue Growth 2.00%

Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -1.13%

charged by all lenders/investors) Expense Growth 3.00%

Reserves Growth 3.00% Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one):

Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:

Vacancy & Collection Loss 7.00% Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) **Ancillary Income Limit** 2.00% Percent of Effective Gross Income

--> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: Yes --> If Yes, indicate actual percentage: 5.90% 39,250

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	31	32	33	34	35
Revenues	1,286,335	1,312,062	1,338,303	1,365,069	1,392,370
Ancillary Income	9,057	9,238	9,423	9,611	9,803
Vacancy	(90,677)	(92,491)	(94,341)	(96,228)	(98,152)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(1,080,290)	(1,112,698)	(1,146,079)	(1,180,462)	(1,215,875)
Property Mgmt	(95,270)	(98,128)	(101,072)	(104,104)	(107,227)
Reserves	(76,459)	(78,753)	(81,115)	(83,549)	(86,055)
NOI	(47,304)	(60,770)	(74,882)	(89,662)	(105,136)
Mortgage A	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)	(78,891)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.					
Asset Mgmt	-	-	-	-	-
Cash Flow	(126,195)	(139,662)	(153,773)	(168,553)	(184,027)
DCR Mortgage A	(0.60)	(0.77)	(0.95)	(1.14)	(1.33)
DCR Mortgage B					
DCR Mortgage C					
DCR Other Source					
Total DCR	(0.60)	(0.77)	(0.95)	(1.14)	(1.33)
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	0.96	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.93
Mortgage A Balance	570,818	519,298	465,142	408,215	348,375
Mortgage B Balance					
Mortgage C Balance					
Other Source Balance					

ART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA	- 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase	e I, Warner Robins, Houston County	
DNS Please Note: .00% Asset Manage .00% charged by all lend .00% Property Mgt F .00% Expense G	Green-shaded cells are unlock ment Fee Amount (include total ders/investors) Fee Growth Rate (choose one): rowth Rate (3.00%)	Aced for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwring, and the statement of the statement	tten if needed. -1.13% 5.90% 39,250
A Clarifications			
	Please Note: .00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors) Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Percent of Effective Gross Income	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors) Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Percent of Effective Gross Income TYr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 2 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 3 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 4 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 5 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 5 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 5 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 6 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 7 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 7 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 8 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 9 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 2 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 3 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 4 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 4 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 5 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 5 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 6 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI

	Applicant Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fun no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ding round and have
DCA's Overall Comments / Approval Conditions:	
1.)	
2.)	
3.)	
4.)	
5.)	
6.)	
7.)	
8.)	
9.) 10.)	
11.)	
12.)	
13.)	
14.)	
15.)	
16.)	
17.)	
18.)	
19.)	
20.)	
1 PROJECT FEASIBILITY, VIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND CONFORMANCE WITH PLAN	Pass?
Threshold Justification per Applicant	
DCA's Comments:	

									Ap	plicant Re	sponse	DCA USE
FINAL .	THRESHO	D DET	FRMINA	TION (DCA Use O	nlv)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA		ing section reviews pertain only to the		ound and have		
	T LIMITS				,		no effect on s	ubsequent or future funding round sco	oring aecisions.	Pass?		
	nts are linked to Rent C	hart in Dart VI Da	evenues 8.	New Construction and]		Historia I	Rehab or Transit-Oriented	d Dovinmt	1 433 .		
	Cost Limit Per Unit tota			Acquisition/Rehabilitation				for Historic Preservation or	•	Is this C	riterion met?	Yes
•	Unit Type		Nbr Units	Unit Cost Limit total	 by Unit Tyro	•	Nbr Units		, , ,	13 11113 0	monom mot.	163
Detached/Se		_	0	117,818 x 0 units =	n by Offic Type	-	0	Unit Cost Limit tota 129,599 x 0 units =	0			
mi-Detached	,		0	154,420 x 0 units =	0		0	169,862 x 0 units =	0		MSA for C	Cost Limit
IIII-Detacrieu	2 BR		0	187,511 x 0 units =	0		0	206,262 x 0 units =	0		purpo	oses:
	3 BR		0	229,637 x 0 units =	0		0	252,600 x 0 units =	0			
	4 BR		0	,	0		0		0		Vald	osta
	4 BK Subotal	4	0	270,341 x 0 units =	0	=	0	297,375 x 0 units =	0		Tat Davidan	
D Harras				440.004 0 1-	Ü		•	404.007 0 '/-	-	<u> </u>	ot Develop	ment Costs:
Row House	Efficiency		0	110,334 x 0 units =	0		0	121,367 x 0 units =	0		13,63	3.631
	1 BR		0	144,909 x 0 units =	0		0	159,399 x 0 units =	0		•	-
	2 BR		0	176,506 x 0 units =	0		0	194,156 x 0 units =	0	_	Cost Waive	er Amount:
	3 BR		0	217,443 x 0 units =	0		0	$239,187 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0			
	4 BR	4	0	258,414 x 0 units =	0		0	$284,255 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0			
	Subotal		0		0		0		0	F	listoric Pres	servation Pts
Walkup	Efficiency		0	91,210 x 0 units =	0		0	$100,331 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0)
	1 BR		36	125,895 x 36 units =	4,532,220		0	138,484 x 0 units =	0	Co	mmunity T	ransp Opt Pts
	2 BR		44	159,553 x 44 units =	7,020,332		0	175,508 x 0 units =	0			3
	3 BR		10	208,108 x 10 units =	2,081,080		0	228,918 x 0 units =	0		-	,
	4 BR		0	259,274 x 0 units =	0		0	285,201 x 0 units =	0		Ducies	4 0004
	Subotal	-	90	,	13,633,632	_	0	,	0		Projec	
Elevator	Efficiency		0	95,549 x 0 units =	0		0	105,103 x 0 units =	0		Limit	(PCL)
	1 BR		0	133,769 x 0 units =	0		0	147,145 x 0 units =	0		40.00	2 622
	2 BR		0	171,988 x 0 units =	0		0	189,186 x 0 units =	0		13,63	3,632
	3 BR		0	229,318 x 0 units =	0		0	252,249 x 0 units =	0	No	te· if a PLICL '	Waiver has been
	4 BR		0	286,647 x 0 units =	0		0	315,311 x 0 units =	0			CA, that amount
	Subotal	-	0	200,011 / 0 00	0	_	0	0.0,0.1.7.0 00	0		,	de the amounts
Total Bor C	Construction Typ	=	90		13,633,632	=	0		0	VV	shown	
					13,033,032		Ü	anta.	U		3110W11	at icit.
	nold Justification ow Project Cost L		'IL				DCA's Comm	ents:				
	•		TICC	This project is designated a	201		Fam: !!			Dece 2		
	ANCY CHAR			This project is designated a	15.		Family			Pass?		
	nold Justification ix will be family.	рег Аррисаг	TIL .				DCA's Comm	ents:				
	UIRED SERV	UCES.								Pass?		
			de de la companya de la			al a a Pata a mala r				1 435 :		
	•	•	•	specific services and meet		•				low for Conjor	Agree	
	•		-	m at least 2 categories belo	, ,	·		<u> </u>		low for Senior	projects:	
,			pianned & ov	erseen by project mgr	Specify:		•	al Activities eg. Potluck o				
,	n-site enrichment				Specify:	Enrichment	Ciasses eg. a	rts and crafts, safety clas	sses			
,	n-site health class				Specify:							
,	her services appı	-			Specify:							
	• •			congregate supportive hous			_					
		•	•	m of care or service provide	r for which M	10U is included						
	nold Justification						DCA's Comm	ents:				
Two service	es are required fo	or the propos	sed 90 unit pr	oject.								

		Applicant F	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) MARKET FEASIBILITY Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section review no effect on subsequent or future for the	s pertain only to the corresponding fur re funding round scoring decisions.	ding round and have Pass?		
A. Provide the name of the market study analyst used by applicant: A.	Novogradac & Company I	_LP		
B. Project absorption period to reach stabilized occupancy	6 Months			
C. Overall Market Occupancy Rate C.	94.50%			
	2.90%			
E. List DCA tax credit projects in close proximity to properties funded in 2014 or 2015. Include DCA project number and nu	ame in each case.			
Project Nbr Project Name Project Nbr Project Name	Project Nbr	Project Name		
1 3	5			
24	6			
F. Does the unit mix/rents and amenities included in the application match those provided in the market study?		F.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant The Market Study confirms that there is a significant need for housing in this area. The unit mix and rent structure have been analyzed to				
DCA's Comments:				
S APPRAISALS		Pass?		
A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?		Pass?	No	
		L	No No	
A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?		A. [
A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission?		A. [
 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 		A. B.		
 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 		A. [B. [
 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 	encumbered appraised	A. B. 1) 2)		
 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: Does it provide a land value? Does it provide a value for the improvements? Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed under the project exceed 90% of the ascompleted under the project exceed 90% of the project exceed 90% of the ascompleted under the project exceed 90% of the 90% of 90%		A. B. 1) 2) 3)		
 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: Does it provide a land value? Does it provide a value for the improvements? Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed une value of the property? 		A. B.	No	
 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: Does it provide a land value? Does it provide a value for the improvements? Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed une value of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? 		A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4)	No	
 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: Does it provide a land value? Does it provide a value for the improvements? Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed une value of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? D. Has the property been: 		A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C. D.	No	
 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: Does it provide a land value? Does it provide a value for the improvements? Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed une value of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? D. Has the property been: Rezoned? 		A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C. D. 1)	No No Yes	
 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unevalue of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? D. Has the property been: 1) Rezoned? 2) Subdivided? 3) Modified? Threshold Justification per Applicant 	?	A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C. D. 1) 2) 3)	No No Yes No No	
 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unevalue of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? D. Has the property been: 1) Rezoned? 2) Subdivided? 3) Modified? Threshold Justification per Applicant This project involves an option for ground lease for a nominal payment. There is not an identity of interest between the buyer and seller. 	?	A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C. D. 1) 2) 3)	No No Yes No No	air market
 A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project? B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission? If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name: 1) Does it provide a land value? 2) Does it provide a value for the improvements? 3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards? 4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed une value of the property? C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years? D. Has the property been: 1) Rezoned? 2) Subdivided? 3) Modified? 	?	A. B. 1) 2) 3) 4) C. D. 1) 2) 3)	No No Yes No No	air market

					Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINΔ	I THRESHOLD	DETERMINATION (1167 1160 (1NIV)	old and Scoring section reviews pertain only to			
	NVIRONMENTAL R	•	n and the second	o effect on subsequent or future funding round	scoring decisions. Pass?		
, L	WINOIWILIVIAL IX	LQUINLINILI					
		•	ent in accordance with ASTM 1527-13:	A. RMY Consu	ılting		
	. Is a Phase II Environme	•			В.	No	
C	. Was a Noise Assessme	'		-	C.	Yes	
	•	mpany that prepared the noise		1) Harry Walls	Environmental Consulting		
			decibels over the 10 year projection:		2)	66.1	
	·	e contributing factors in decrea	<u> </u>				
_			Regional Airport and Robbins Air Force Base		D.		
D,	Is the subject property lo1) Brownfield?	ocated in a.			1)	No	
	100 year flood plain.	/ floodway?			2)	No	
	If "Yes":	a) Percentage of site that i	s within a floodolain:		a)	NO	
	11 163.	b) Will any development of	•		b)		
		, ,	led as per Threshold criteria?		c)		
	3) Wetlands?	o, lo decementation provid	iod do por rimosmora omona.		3)	No	
	If "Yes":	a) Enter the percentage of	the site that is a wetlands:		a)		
		b) Will any development of			b)		
			led as per Threshold criteria?		c)		
	4) State Waters/Stream	ns/Buffers and Setbacks area?	•		4)	No	
E.	. Has the Environmental I	Professional identified any of th	e following on the subject property:				
	1) Lead-based paint?	No	5) Endangered species?	No	9) Mold?	No	
	2) Noise?	No	Historic designation?	No	10) PCB's?	No	
	3) Water leaks?	No	7) Vapor intrusion?	No	11) Radon?	No	
	4) Lead in water?	No	8) Asbestos-containing materials?	No			
	/ \ \ \	merican burial grounds, etc.) -	describe in box below:				
	N/A						
F.			for a HOME application included, such as:		1		
	, •	or Wetlands and/or Floodplain	•		1)	No	
		mpleted the HOME and HUD E			2)	No	
•			ng any activities that could have an adverse effect	on the subject property?	3)	Yes	
		· ·	HUD Form 4128 been included?		G.	N/A	
•	•		g Site and Neighborhood Standards: Choose either Minority concentration (50% or mor	e minority). <i>Racially</i> - F	H. Minority concentration	< <se< td=""><td>lect>></td></se<>	lect>>
		ority), or <i>Non-minority</i> (less that	,				
I.	. List all contiguous Cens	us Tracts:	I. 204, 206, 208, 209, 211.05				
J.	. Is Contract Addendum i	ncluded in Application?			J.	No	
	reshold Justification per A						
			nental concerns were identified on the subject site				

DCA's Comments:

not applicable. See Tab 7.

2017 Funding Application

Georgia Department of Community Affairs

Housing Finance and Development Division

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County

Applicant Response DCA USE

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

	Applican	Response	DCA USE
- 11	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have	e ·	
	NAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) NAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) NAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) NO effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.		
8	SITE CONTROL Pass		
	A. Is site control provided through November 30, 2017? Expiration Date: 12/31/18 B. Form of site control: B. Ground lease/Option		
	B. Form of site control: C. Name of Entity with site control: C. WR Wall Street LLC	< <select>></select>	
	D. Is there any Identity of Interest between the entity with site control and the applicant?). No	
	Threshold Justification per Applicant	. 110	
Terr	n of option for a ground lease is until 12 months after the date of the 2017 LIHTC award notifications; a copy of the executed option to ground lease is included in Tab 8.		
	DCA's Comments:		
9	SITE ACCESS Pass	?	
	A. Does this site provide a specified entrance that is legally accessible by paved roads and are the appropriate drawings, surveys, photographs and other documentation reflecting such paved roads included in the electronic application binder?	Yes	
	B. If access roads are not in place, does the application contain documentation evidencing local government approval to pave the road, a commitment for funding, and the timetable for completion of such paved roads?	3.	
	C. If the road is going to be paved by the applicant, are these costs documented in the submitted electronic application binder and reflected in the development budget provided in the core application?	;.	
	D. If use of private drive proposed, is site control of private drive documented by proof of ownership or by a properly executed easement on private drive, and are the plans for paving private drive, including associated development costs, adequately addressed in Application?).	
	Threshold Justification per Applicant		
Doc	umentation that the site is legally accessible by paved vehicular roads and paved pedestrian walkways via S Armed Forces Blvd is in included in Tab 9.		
	DCA's Comments:		
10	SITE ZONING Pass	?	
	A. Is Zoning in place at the time of this application submission?	. Yes	
	B. Does zoning of the development site conform to the site development plan?	Yes	
	C. Is the zoning confirmed, in writing, by the authorized Local Government official?	Yes	
	,) Yes	
	2) Does the letter include the zoning and land use classification of the property?	Yes	
	3) Is the letter accompanied by a clear explanation of the requirements (include a copy of the applicable sections of the zoning ordinance highlighted for the stated classification)?	Yes	
	4) Is the letter accompanied by all conditions of these zoning and land use classifications?	Yes	
	5) If project is requesting HOME or HUD funds, does Local Government official also comment on whether project will include development of prime or unique farmland?	N/Ap	
	D. Is documentation provided (on the Architectural Site Conceptual Development Plan either graphically or in written form) that demonstrates that the site layout conforms to any moratoriums, density, setbacks or other requirements?	Yes	
	E. Are all issues and questions surrounding the zoning and land use classification clearly defined prior to this application submission?	Yes	
	Threshold Justification per Applicant		
The	proposed site plan conforms to the site zoning, allI required zoning documents are enclosed in Tab 10.		
	DCA's Comments:		

					Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA	llse Only)			ring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding t	unding round and have		
11 OPERATING UTILITIES	osc omy,	no e	effect on s	subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Pass?		
A. Check applicable utilities and enter provider name:	1) Gas	N/A			1)	No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	2) Electric	Flint E	nterp	rises	2)	Yes	
Flint Enterprises has available capacity and will supply electric power to	,		е. р.				
DCA's Comments:	'						
12 PUBLIC WATER/SANITARY SEWER/STORM SEW	ER				Pass?		
A. 1) Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA included in this	s application for this crite	erion as it pertains to	single	e-family detached Rural projects?	A1)	No	
 If Yes, is the waiver request accompanied by an engineerin 			_		2)	_	
B. Check all that are available to the site and enter provider	1) Public water	City of	f Warn	er Robins	B1)	Yes	
name:	2) Public sewer	City of	f Warn	er Robins	2)	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	,						
The City of Warner Robins has available capacity and will supply water,	sanitary sewer and stor	m sewer to WR Red	develop	oment, see Tab 12.			
DCA's Comments:							
13 REQUIRED AMENITIES					Pass?		
Is there a Pre-Approval Form from DCA included in this application	for this criterion?					No	
A. Applicant agrees to provide following required Standard Site Ar		with DCA Amenities	s Guid	ebook (select one in each category):	A.	Agree	
Community area (select either community room or commun			A1) R				
2) Exterior gathering area (if "Other", explain in box provided a	•		,	Sazebo	If "Other", explain he	ere	
3) On site laundry type:	0 /			Vasher and dryer in each unit			
B. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Additional Si	te Amenities to conform		· ·		 В.	Agree	
The nbr of additional amenities required depends on the total u	init count: 1-125 units =	2 amenities, 126+ ι	units =	= 4 amenities		Additiona	l Amenities
Additional Amenities (describe in space provided below)	Guidebook Met? [OCA Pre-approved?	А	additional Amenities (describe below)		Guidebook Met?	DCA Pre-approv
Equipped Computer Center			3)				
2) Wellness Center			4)				
C. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Unit Amenition	es:				C.	Agree	
1) HVAC systems					1)	Yes	
Energy Star refrigerators					2)	Yes	
3) Energy Star dishwashers (not required in senior USDA or I	HUD properties)				3)	Yes	
4) Stoves					4)	Yes	
5) Microwave ovens					5)	Yes	
6) a. Powder-based stovetop fire suppression canisters install	ed above the range cool	k top, OR			6a)	Yes	
 b. Electronically controlled solid cover plates over stove top 	burners				6b)	No	
D. If proposing a Senior project or Special Needs project, Applican	nt agrees to provide the	following additional r	require	ed Amenities:	D.	N/A	
1) Elevators are installed for access to all units above the ground	und floor.				1)		
2) Buildings more than two story construction have interior furn	nished gathering areas in	n several locations ir	n the lo	obbies and/or corridors	2)		
3) a. 100% of the units are accessible and adaptable, as defin	ed by the Fair Housing A	Amendments Act of	1988		3a)		
b. If No, was a DCA Architectural Standards waiver granted	l?				3b)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant							
Two Amenities are required for this proposed 90 units project.							
DCA's Comments:							

	A	oplicant l	Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use (Dnly) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding r	ound and have	
4 REHABILITATION STANDARDS (REHABILITATION PRO		Pass?	
·			
A. Type of rehab (choose one):	A		< <select>></select>
B. Date of Physical Needs Assessment (PNA):	В.		
Name of consultant preparing PNA:			
Is 20-year replacement reserve study included? C. Performance Rpt indicates energy audit completed by qualified BPI Bu	ilding Analyst?	C.	
Name of qualified BPI Building Analyst or equivalent professional:	liding Analyst?	U.	
	tab,and clearly indicates percentages of each item to be either "demoed" or replacec	D.	
DCA Rehabilitation Work Scope form referenced above clearly	All immediate needs identified in the PNA.	1)	
addresses:	All application threshold and scoring requirements	2)	
	All applicable architectural and accessibility standards.	3)	
	All remediation issues identified in the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.	4)	
E. Applicant understands that in addition to proposed work scope, the pro	ject must meet state and local building codes, DCA architectural requirements as	É.	
set forth in the QAP and Manuals, and health and safety codes and rec	,		
Threshold Justification per Applicant	·	L.	
I/A New Construction.			
DCA's Comments:			
5 SITE INFORMATION AND CONCEPTUAL SITE DEVELO	PMENT PLAN	Pass?	
A. Is Conceptual Site Development Plan included in application and has it Manual?	t been prepared in accordance with all instructions set forth in the DCA Architectural	A.	Yes
Are all interior and exterior site related amenities required and selected	I in this application indicated on the Conceptual Site Development Plan?		Yes
B. Location/Vicinity map delineates location point of proposed property (si	ite geo coordinates) & shows entire municipality area (city limits, etc.)?	В.	Yes
C. Ground level color photos of proposed property & adjacent surrounding	g properties & structures are included, numbered, dated & have brief descriptions?	C.	Yes
Site Map delineates the approximate location point of each photo?			Yes
D. Aerial color photos are current, have high enough resolution to clearly	identify existing property & adjacent land uses, and delineate property boundaries?	D.	Yes
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
he Conceptual Site Development meets DCA requirements and all required do	ocuments are included in Tab 15.		
DCA's Comments:			
6 BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY		Pass?	
A. Applicant agrees that this proposed property must achieve a minimum completion as set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual?	standard for energy efficiency and sustainable building practices upon construction	A.	Agree
B. Applicant agrees that the final construction documents must clearly inc meet the requirements set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Mar	dicate all components of the building envelope and all materials and equipment that and?	В.	Agree
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
pplicant agrees to meet all requirements in this section.			
DCA's Comments:			

Applicant Response DCA USE

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Description of the corresponding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	
17 ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS	
A. 1) Upon completion, will this project comply with all applicable Federal and State accessibility laws including but not limited to: The Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Georgia Fair Housing Law and Georgia Access Law as set forth in the 2015 Accessibility Manual? (When two or more accessibility standards apply, the applicant is required to follow and apply both standards so that a maximum accessibility is obtained.)	Yes
 Owner understands that DCA requires the Section 504 accessibility requirements to be incorporated into the design and construction of ALL new construction and/or rehabilitation projects selected under the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan, regardless of whether or not the project will receive federal debt financing assistance (e.g., HOME). This constitutes a higher standard of accessibility than what may be required under federal laws. This means that all projects, including those financed with tax exempt bonds which receive an allocation of 4% tax credits and 9% tax credits-only projects, must incorporate at a minimum the requirements of the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards into the design and construction of the project. 	Yes
3) Owner claims that property is eligible for any of the stated statutory exemptions for any applicable federal, state, and local accessibility law? If so, support the claim with a legal opinion placed where indicted in Tabs Checklist.	No
4) Does this project comply with applicable DCA accessibility requirements detailed in the 2016 Architectural and Accessibility Manuals? 4)	Yes
B. 1) a. Will at least 5% of the total units (but no less than Nbr of Units Minimum Required: Equipped: Nbr of Units Percentage	
including wheelchair restricted residents? 1) a. Mobility Impaired 5 5% B1)a.	Yes
b. Roll-in showers will be incorporated into 40% of the mobility 1) b. Roll-In Showers 2 40% b.	Yes
equipped units (but no fewer than one unit)?	100
2) Will least an additional 2% of the total units (but no less than one 2) Sight / Hearing Impaired 2 2 2% 2) unit) be equipped for hearing and sight-impaired residents?	Yes
C. Applicant will retain a DCA qualified consultant to monitor the project for accessibility compliance who will not be a member of the proposed Project Team or have an Identify of Interest with any member of the proposed Project Team?	Yes
The DCA qualified consultant will perform the following: Name of Accessibility Consultant TBD	
1) A pre-construction plan and specification review to determine that the proposed property will meet all required accessibility requirements. The Consultant report must be included with the Step 2 construction documents submitted to DCA. At a minimum, the report will include the initial comments from the consultant, all documents related to resolution of identified accessibility issues and a certification from the consultant that the plans appear to meet all accessibility requirements.	Yes
2) At least two training sessions for General Contractor and Subcontractors regarding accessibility requirements. One training must be on site.	Yes
3) An inspection of the construction site after framing is completed to determine that the property is following the approved plans and specifications as to accessibility. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved.	Yes
4) A final inspection of the property after completion of construction to determine that the property has been constructed in accordance with all accessibility requirements. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved prior to submission of the project cost certification.	Yes
Threshold Justification per Applicant	
All requirements will be met.	
DCA's Comments:	

		Applicant F	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINA	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fur	iding round and have		
		B2		
18 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN & QUAL		Pass?		
Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA inc	•••		No	
• •	ndards contained in the Application Manual for quality and longevity?		Yes	
	ction Hard Costs - are the following minimum review standards for rehabilitation projects met or exceeded by			
	for funding only if the per unit rehabilitation hard costs exceed \$25,000. The costs of furniture, fixtures	, A.		
•	buildings and common area amenities are not included in these amounts.	L		
B. Standard Design Options for All Projects	Exterior wall faces will have an excess of 40% brick or stone on each total wall surface	B.	Vaa	
Exterior Wall Finishes (select one)	Extendi wali faces will have an excess of 40% brick of stone on each total wall surface	1)	Yes	
2) Major Bldg Component Materials &	Upgraded roofing shingles, or roofing materials (warranty 30 years or greater)	2)	Yes	
Upgrades (select one)				
	ve, proposed by Applicant prior to Application Submittal in accordance with Exhibit A DCA Pre-application and			
Pre-Award Deadlines and Fee Schedule, ar	nd subsequently approved by DCA.	C		
1)		1)		
2)		2)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
All requirements will be met.				
DCA's Comments:				
19 QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROJECT T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Pass?		
A. Did the Certifying Entity meet the experience	·	A.	Yes	
	oject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?	B.	No	
	eam since the initial pre-application submission?	C.	No	
	iver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?	D.	No	
	ct's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):			
F. DCA Final Determination	F	. << Select De	signation >>	•
Threshold Justification per Applicant	nout Conditions. We have enclosed all other required documentation to DCA in Tab 19.			
	lout Conditions, we have enclosed all other required documentation to DCA in Tab 19.			
DCA's Comments:				
20 COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY	<u> </u>	Pass?		
A. Was a pre-application submitted for this De		A.	No	
B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the s	tatus of any project included in the CHS form?	В.		
C. Has the Certifying Entity and all other project Project Participants?	ct team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for	C.	Yes	
·		L		
Threshold Justification per Applicant There are no pecative compliance issues with any te	eam member. All of this documentation in included in Tab 20.			
DCA's Comments:	sam member. All of this documentation in included in Tab 20.			

	Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspond no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decidents.			
21 ELIGIBILITY FOR CREDIT UNDER THE NON-PROFIT SET-ASIDE	Pass?		
A. Name of Qualified non-profit: A.			
B. Non-profit's Website:			
C. Is the organization a qualified non-profit, defined as a 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization, which is not affiliated with or controlled by a for-profit organization and has included the fostering of low income housing as one of its tax-exempt purposes?	C.		
D. Will the qualified non-profit materially participate in the development and operation of the project as described in IRC Section 469(h) throughout the compliance period?	D.		
E. Does the qualified non-profit own at least 51% of the GP's interest in the project and is the managing general partner of the ownership entity?	E.		
F. Is this entity a corporation with 100 percent of the stock of such corporation held by one or more qualified non-profit organizations at all times during period such corporation is in existence?	-		
G. All Applicants: Does the non-profit receive a percentage of the developer fee greater than or equal to its percentage of its ownership interest?	G.		
1) CHDOS Only: If the nonprofit entity is also a CHDO, is it a DCA-certified CHDO which must own 100% of the General Partnership entity?			
H. Is a copy of the GP joint venture agreement or GP operating agreement that provides the non-profit's GP interest and the Developer Fee amount in the application?	ncluded H.		
I. Is a an opinion of a third party attorney who specializes in tax law on the non-profit's current federal tax exempt qualification status included in the	I.		
Application? If such an opinion has been previously obtained, this requirement may be satisfied by submitting the opinion with documentation demonstrating that the non-profit's bylaws have not changed since the legal opinion was issued.			
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Not applicable.			
DCA's Comments:			
20/10 OSIMINONAI			
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE	Pass?		
A. Name of CHDO: Name of CHDO Managing GP:			
B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?	В.		
C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?			
D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount:	D.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant		·	
Not Applicable			
DCA's Comments:			
23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.	Pass?		
A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition	A.		
B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility	B.		
C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status	C.		
D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP]	D.		
E. Other (If Yes, then also describe):			
Threshold Justification per Applicant		<u> </u>	
No legal opinions required.			
DCA's Comments:			

Applicant F	Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	
24 RELOCATION AND DISPLACEMENT OF TENANTS Pass?	
A. Does the Applicant anticipate displacing or relocating any tenants?	No
B. 1) Are any of the other sources (not DCA HOME) considered to be Federal Funding?	
If Yes, applicant will need to check with the source of these funds to determine if this project will trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or 104(d).	<u> </u>
2) If tenants will be displaced, has Applicant received DCA written approval and placed a copy where indicated in the Tabs Checklist?	
3) Will any funding source used trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or HUD 104 (d) requirements?	
C. Is sufficient comparable replacement housing identified in the relocation plan according to DCA relocation requirements?	
D. Provide summary data collected from DCA Relocation Displacement Spreadsheet:	<u> </u>
1) Number of Over Income Tenants 4) Number of Down units	
2) Number of Rent Burdened Tenants 5) Number of Displaced Tenants	
3) Number of Vacancies	
E. Indicate Proposed Advisory Services to be used (see Relocation Manual for further explanation):	
1) Individual interviews 3) Written Notifications	
2) Meetings 4) Other - describe in box provided:	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	
Not Applicable. DCA Relocation Survey Form included in Tab 24.	
DCA's Comments:	
25 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING (AFFH) Pass?	
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing plan that:	
A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each service provider, homeless shelter or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is located?	Agree
B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to persons with disabilities and the homeless?	Agree
C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintains relationships between the management agent and community service providers?	Agree
D. Includes a referral and screening process that will be used to refer tenants to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable accommodations to facilitate the admittance of persons with disabilities or the homeless into the project?	Agree
E. Includes marketing of properties to underserved populations 2-4 months prior to occupancy?	Agree
F. Includes making applications for affordable units available to public locations including at least one that has night hours?	Agree
G. Includes outreach to Limited English Proficiency groups for languages identified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area?	Agree
 H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to provide reasonable accommodation for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing H. criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. 	Agree
Threshold Justification per Applicant	
Applicant agrees to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing Plan if selected.	
DCA's Comments:	
26 OPTIMAL UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES Pass?	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	
The owners ensure the complete, effective, efficient, and lawful utilization of the low income housing credits if awarded by DCA.	
DCA's Comments:	

PART NINE	- SCORING	CRITERIA -	- 2017-0 WR R	edevelopment	Phase I, Wa	arner R	obins, Ho	ouston Coun	ty			
				ons where points are ci					Score		Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section				and have no effect on su n Completeness" dedu		re funding ro	ound scoring de	ecisions.	Value		Score	
	railule to do so	will result in a one	etti boliti. Abblicatioi	ir Combleteness dedu	CHOH.			TOTALS:	92	•	68	20
1. APPLICATION COMPLETENESS			(Applicants star	t with 10 pts. Any p	oints entered	will be <u>su</u>	<u>btracted</u> fro	m score value)	10		10	10
Organization Number: 0 2-4 adjustments/revisions = one (1) pt deduction total; then (1) pt deducted for each add'l adjustment.							Α.	0	0			
Organization	Number:						1		0	0		
	Number:	0					ted for each ac	ld'l adjustment.		В.	0	0
DCA's Comments:			E	Enter "1" for each ite		V.						L
A. Missing or Illegible or Inaccurate Documents or Application Not Organized Correctly	Nbr	۱۱۱۱	COMPLETE Docui	monto	Nbr	1	P Einanaia	l adjustments/re	aviolenci	ĺ		br D
Application Not Organized Correctly	0	1	COMPLETE DOCU	ments.	0 n/a	1	D. FIIIdiicia	aujustinents/re	evisions.			<u>Ј</u> /а
'					II/a						11	ra
2		2				2						
3		3			included in 2	3					includ	ed in 2
4		4				4					includ	ed in 2
5		5			included in 4	5						
6		6				6						
7		7			included in 6	7						
8		8				8						
9		9			included in 8	9						
10		10				10						
11		11			included in 10	11						
12		12				12						

COI	gia Department of Community Arians	2017 I unumg Application			riousing rinanc	e and D	SVEIO	hiiieiii	. Divisi
	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2	2017-0 WR Redevelopment	Phase I, W	arner Robins, I	Houston County				
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspon	comments in sections where points are c ding funding round and have no effect on st) point "Application Completeness" dedu	ibsequent or futu	re funding round scoring	decisions.	Score Value		Self Score	DCA Score
					TOTALS:	92		68	20
2.	DEEPER TARGETING / RENT / INCOME RESTRICTIONS	Choose A or B.				3		2	0
A.	Deeper Targeting through Rent Restrictions	Total Residential Units:	90						
	Applicant agrees to set income limits at 50% AMI and gross rents at or	Per Applicant Per DCA		Actual Percent of	of Residential Units:				
	below 30% of the 50% income limit for at least:	Nbr of Restricted Residential Units:	,	Per Applicant	Per DCA	2	A.	2	0
	1. 15% of total residential units			0.00%	0.00%	1	1.	0	0
or	2. 20% of total residential units	18		20.00%	0.00%	2	2.	2	0
В.	Deeper Targeting through <u>New</u> PBRA Contracts	Nbr of PBRA Residential Units:				3	B.	0	0
	1. 15% (at least) of residential units to have PBRA for 10+ yrs:			0.00%	0.00%	2	1.	0	0
	 Application receives at least points under Section VII. Stable DCA's Comments: 	e Communities. Points awarded in	Sect VII:	0	0	1	2.	0	0
	DESIRABLE AND UNDESIRABLE CHARACTERISTICS Is the completed and executed DCA Desirable/Undesirable Certification form in	ncluded in the appropriate application		the original Excel ve	_			13 Yes	0
	Desirable Activities	(1 or 2 pts each - see QAP)		J	from completed current	12		12	
	Bonus Desirable	(1 pt - see QAP)			ation form. Submit this	1	В.	1	
C.	Undesirable/Inefficient Site Activities/Characteristics	(1 pt subtracted each)	completed	form in both Excel and indicated in Tabs Ch	•	various	C.	0	
wall	Scoring Justification per Applicant site is conveniently located near many amenities, including grocery stores, par kway of at least three neighborhood amenities. Additionally, WR Redevelopmen 26 for list of map and images of desirables.								
	DCA's Comments:								
4.	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS	See se	coring criteria	for further requireme	ents and information	6		3	0
	Evaluation Criteria	Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible					Applicant Agrees?	DCA Agrees?
	1. All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Paved	Pedestrian Walkways.						Yes	.g '
	2. DCA has measured all required distances between a pedestrian site entra	ance and the transit stop along Pave	d Pedestrian '	Walkways.					
	3. Each residential building is accessible to the pedestrian site entrance via	an on-site Paved Pedestrian Walkwa	ay.					Yes	
	4. Paved Pedestrian Walkway is in existence by Application Submission. If showing a construction timeline, commitment of funds, and approval from		• •	• •	itted documents			Yes	
	5. The Applicant has clearly marked the routes being used to claim points or	n the site map submitted for this sec	tion.					Yes	
	6. Transportation service is being publicized to the general public.							Yes	

	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 WI	R Redevelopment Phase I, Wa	arner Robins, Houston County				
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.							DCA Score
	TOTALS:						20
Fle	exible Pool Choose <u>A or B.</u>						
	a. Transit-Oriented Development Choose either option 1 or 2 under A.			6	Α.	0	0
	Site is owned by local transit agency & is strategically targeted by agency	For ALL options under th	nis scoring criterion, <u>regardless</u> of	T 5	1.	0	0
	create housing with on site or adjacent access to public transportation	The state of the s	provide the information below for the				
OR	2. Site is within one (1) mile* of a transit hub	•	agency/service:	4	2.		
	3. Applicant in A1 or A2 above serves Family tenancy.	Watner Robins Transit	(478) 954-2812	1	3.		
В	Access to Public Transportation Choose only one option in B.			3	В.	3	0
_	1. Site is within 1/4 mile * of an established public transportation stop	http://www.wrtransit.com/routes.php		3	1.	3	Ü
OR	2. Site is <i>within 1/2 mile</i> * of an established public transportation stop			2	2.	3	
	3. Site is within one (1) mile * of an established public transportation stop	http://www.wrtransit.com/routes.php		1	3.		
	ural Pool	Thep.// WWW. With an State Of the Total Co.		'	Ŭ. <u> </u>		
IXU	4. Publicly operated/sponsored and established transit service (including or	call sarvice ensite or fixed route sarvi	ico within 1/2 mile of site entrance*)	2	4		
*Δc	s measured from an entrance to the site that is accessible to pedestrians and connected by s			2	٠		
	Scoring Justification per Applicant	idewalks of established pedestrial wa	inways to the transportation hub/stop.				
WR	R Redevelopment is conveniently located within 0.25 miles of public transportation options. T	here is a bus stop located within a sho	ort walking distance from the property ent	rance. Refe	er to T	ab 27 fo	r mans.
	nedules, images and public awareness information.	nore to a suc stop resulted minimi a site	nt mamming anotamous month and proporty one			as =: .c	аро,
	icoulds, images and public awareness information.						
-	DCA's Comments:						
	DCA's Comments:	See scoring criteria for further n	equirements and information	2		0 1	
5.	DCA's Comments: BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)	See scoring criteria for further re	equirements and information	2	, [0	
5. A.	DCA's Comments: BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline	S:	equirements and information	2] [Vos/No
<i>5.</i> A. B.	DCA's Comments: BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of	S:	equirements and information	2		Yes/No	Yes/No
<i>5.</i> A. B.	DCA's Comments: BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget?	S:	equirements and information	2	c.		Yes/No
<i>5.</i> A. B.	DCA's Comments: BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of	S:	equirements and information	2		Yes/No	Yes/No
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments:	S:	equirements and information			Yes/No N/a	
<i>5.</i> A. B.	DCA's Comments: BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS	s: Limitation of Liability Itr		2		Yes/No	Yes/No
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.	s: Limitation of Liability Itr Earth Craft Commu				Yes/No N/a	
5. A. B. C.	DCA's Comments: BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS	s: Limitation of Liability Itr				Yes/No N/a	
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.	S: Limitation of Liability Itr Earth Craft Communication				Yes/No N/a	
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Hast the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen:	S: Limitation of Liability Itr Earth Craft Communication	nities			Yes/No N/a 3	
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Hast the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Date of Course	Earth Craft Communification Flexible 7 Dian Torres	nities Pennrose Properties	3		Yes/No N/a 3	
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the state estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? Date of Course Date of Course	Earth Craft Communification Flexible 7 Dian Torres	nities Pennrose Properties	3		Yes/No N/a 3	
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the stimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP?	Earth Craft Communication of Liability Itr Earth Craft Communication Flexible Dian Torres e w/ minimum score required under property in the communication of Liability Itr	nities Pennrose Properties rogram selected, is included in applicatio	3	c.[Yes/No N/a 3 Yes Yes N/a	0
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP? Sustainable Communities Certification	Earth Craft Communification Flexible Dian Torres e w/ minimum score required under property and the property of the propert	nities Pennrose Properties rogram selected, is included in applicatio	3	c.[Yes/No N/a 3 Yes Yes N/a Yes/No	0
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Hast the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Date of Course Date of Course Date of Course Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP? Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the program chosen about the	Earth Craft Communification Flexible Dian Torres e w/ minimum score required under property and the property of the propert	nities Pennrose Properties rogram selected, is included in applicatio	3	c.[Yes/No N/a 3 Yes Yes N/a	0
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the stimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP? Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the program chosen about. EarthCraft Communities	Earth Craft Communification of Liability Itr Earth Craft Communification Flexible Dian Torres e w/ minimum score required under purple of Audit Date of Audit	Pennrose Properties rogram selected, is included in applicatio Date of Report	3	c.[Yes/No N/a 3 Yes Yes N/a Yes/No	0
5. A. B. C.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Hast the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Date of Course Date of Course Date of Course Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP? Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the program chosen about the	Earth Craft Communication of Liability Itr Earth Craft Communication Flexible Dian Torres e w/ minimum score required under property and property and property and property and property are also as a second property and prop	Pennrose Properties rogram selected, is included in applicatio Date of Report	3	c.[Yes/No N/a 3 Yes Yes N/a Yes/No	0

	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 WR Re	development	Phase I, W	larner Robins, F	louston County			
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in section Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round an Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application (d have no effect on s	ubsequent or futu	ure funding round scoring	decisions.	Score Value 92	Sc	elf DCA core Score
	b) Name of nonrelated third party LEED AP that prepared Feasibility Study:				. 0 17(20)	<u> </u>		. 20
B. C.	 Project will comply with the program version in effect at the time that the drawings are preparative. Project will meet program threshold requirements for Building Sustainability? Owner will engage in tenant and building manager education in compliance with the point results and building Certification. Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building Exceptional Sustainable Building Certification. Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certificate from certifying body demonstration. Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certificate from certifying body demonstration. A worst case HERS Index that is at least 15% lower than the ENERGY STAR Target Index. 	1 3 1	1. Y 2. Y 3. Y B. N C. Ye 1. N	s/No Yes/No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes You Yes You Yes You Yes You Yes You Yes Yes/No Yes				
 A 10% improvement over the baseline building performance rating? The energy savings will be established following the Performance Rating Method outlined in ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G with additional guidance from the ENERGY STAR Multifamily High-Rise Simulation Guidelines. For minor, moderate, or substantial rehabilitations, a projected reduction in energy consumption ≥ 30%, documented by a RESNET-approved HERS Rating software or ENERGY STAR compliant whole building energy model? Baseline performance should be modeled using existing conditions. Scoring Justification per Applicant WR Redevelopment Phase I will meet Earth Craft Community standards. A member of the development entitiy partcipated in DCA's Green Building for Afflodable Housing Training Course. Southface completed the report on May 9, 2017. Refer to Tab 29 for draft scoring worksheet, certificates of participation, memorandum of understanding and additional required documents. 								N/a N/a ERS Index
	DCA's Comments:							
	DOI TO COMMINIMO.							
7.	STABLE COMMUNITIES (Must use data from the	the most current FFIE	C census report,	published as of January	1, 2016)	7		0 0
A & B.	Census Tract Demographics Competitive Pool chosen: Flexible 1. Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demographics according to the located in a census tract that meets the following demographics according to the located to the located in a census tract (see Income) 3. Designated Middle or Upper Income level (see Demographics) 4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographic (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS located within 1/4 mile of such a census tract. (Applicant answ	s according to the	e most recent l	Actual Percent Designation:		3	Ye	s/No Yes/No No
C.	Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities Sub-cluster in which project is located, according to the most recent GDPH data hosted on the E Housing Properties" map:			Per Applicant	Per DCA <select></select>	2		0 0
D.	Mixed-Income Developments in Stable Communities Market units: 31 DCA's Comments:	Total Units:	90	Mkt Pct of Total:	34.44%	2		0 0

		CRITERIA - 2017-0 WR Redevel			louston County			
		cants must include comments in sections where				Score	Self	DCA
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain				decisions.	Value		Score
	Failure to do so v	vill result in a one (1) point "Application Complet	teness" dedu	iction.				
					TOTALS:	92	68	20
	TRANSFORMATIONAL COMMUNITIES	(choose A or B)				10	10	0
	s this application eligible for two or more points under 2017						No	
	applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed						N/a	
lf	applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed	DCA Community Transformation Plan Cert	tificate inclu	uded in the appropriate tab of the a	application?		Yes	
E	Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on exi	sting Revitalization Plan meeting DCA star	ndards, fill o	out both Revitalization Plan and Tr	ansformation Plan co	lumns):		
				Revitalization Plan		Transf	formation P	lan
				Yes/No Yes/No		Yes/No	Yes	s/No
	a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed		a)			Yes		
	encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / count						6,7,8	
	b) Includes public input and engagement during the planni	ng stages?	b)			Yes		
							49 - 66	
	c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rel	ntal housing as a policy goal for the	c)			Yes		
	community?						45	
	d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific	ime frames for achievement of	d)			Yes		
	policies & housing activities?						34 - 47	
	The specific time frames and implementation measures	are current and ongoing?				Yes	04. 47	
	A Discussion of the College of the Secretary and the		- \				34 - 47	
	e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the	ie plan?	e)			Yes	24 47	
	f) le included in full in the appropriate tele of the application	n hindar	t \				34 - 47	
	f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application		f)			Yes		
	Vebsite address (URL) of Revitalization Plan:	N/A						
۷	Vebsite address (URL) of <i>Transformation</i> Plan:	http://www.wrga.gov/						
A. C	Community Revitalization					2	۸.	
	•			_			Yes/No	Yes/No
i.)	, , ,			i.)			i.)	
ii.	,,	Date Plan originally adopted by Local Gove		ii.)			ii.)	
		Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to						
		Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Loc	cal Governn	nent, if applicable:				
	.) Public input and engagement <u>during the planning stage</u>							
	a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s)	a)						
	b) Type of event:	b)						
	Date(s) of event(s):	5)						
	c) Letters of Support from local non-	c)						
	government entities. Entity Name:	,						
1	. Community Revitalization Plan - Application proposes	to develop housing that contributes to a w	vritten Comi	munity Revitalization Plan for the	specific community in	1 1		
	which the property will be located.	· -			•	1 1		
2	Qualified Census Tract and Community Revitalization	n Plan - Application proposes to develop h	housing tha	t is in a Qualified Census Tract an	d that contributes to			

Project is in a QCT?

a written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific community in which the property will be located.

Census Tract Number:

207.00

Eligible Basis Adjustment:

DDA/QCT

	PART	INE - SCORING	CRITERIA -	2017-0 WR Re	<mark>developm</mark> ent	Phase I, Wa	arner Robins, Ho	uston County			
				comments in section					Score	Self	DCA
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scori						re funding round scoring dec	cisions.	Value	Score	
		Failure to do so	will result in a one (1) point "Application (Completeness" ded	uction.		TOTAL C.			
								TOTALS:	92	68	20
R									•	_	
	mmunity Transformation Plan		r 51	504	•				6	B. <u>10</u>	
Do	es the Applicant reference an existir	ng Community Revita	alization Plan mee	ting DCA standards	5?					No	
1.	Community-Based Team								2	1. 2	
Cor	mmunity-Based Developer (CBD)		Select at least to	vo out of the three	options (i, ii and ii	i) in "a" below,	or "b").	CBD	1	1	
	Entity Name Pennrose Propert	ies	_		Website	https://pennro	se.com/				
	Contact Name Amon Martin		Direct Line	(470) 585-2413		amartin@pen				Yes/No	Yes/No
a) <i>i</i>	i. CBD has successfully partnered w							ent (proposed or	,	► Yes	
	existing elsewhere) in the last two	-									
	CBO 1 Name Spalding County			Children Inc	Purpose:		anization - Community	Services Collabora	tion		f Support
	Community/neighborhd where par	tnership occurred	Griffin, GA		Website	http://spalding	, , , ,				uded?
	Contact Name Regina Abbott		Direct Line	(678) 632-8176	Email		dingcollaborative.com			Yes	
	CBO 2 Name The Salvation Am		0 : (() = 0 A		Purpose:		mmunity Services Org				of Support
	Community/neighborhd where par	<u> </u>	Griffin, GA	(770) 440 0504	Website		narmygeorgia.org/griffi	1/			uded?
	Contact Name Lietenant Tim Ble		Direct Line	(770) 412-6561			uss.salvationarmy.org	P. 41.2		Yes	
II.	 In the last three years, the CBD had development in another Georgia of 							surrounding their		ii. No	
	Not applicable.	offilliarily. Ose con	intent box or attac	п зерагате ехріана	mon page in cone	sponding tab o	Application binder.				
	пот аррисавіе.										
iii.	The CBD has been selected as a	result of a communit	y-driven initiative b	by the Local Govern	nment in a Reque	st for Proposal	or similar public bid pro	ocess.		iii. Yes	
or b)	The Project Team received a HOM	ME consent for the p	roposed property a	and was designated	as a CHDO.					b) No	
Cor	mmunity Quarterback (CQB)		See QAP for red	uirements.				CQB	1	1	
i.	. CQB is a local community-based	organization or public	c entity and has a	demonstrated reco	rd of serving the [Defined Neighbo	orhood, as delineated l	by the Community	05.00	.,	
	Transformation Plan, to increase	•	•		•	-			25-26	Yes	
ii.	Letter from CQB confirming their p	artnership with Proj	ect Team to serve	as CQB is included	d in electronic app	olication binder	where indicated by Tab	s Checklist?		Yes	
iii.	. CQB Name Phoenix Center B	ehavioral Health / He	ouston Co Family	Connection	Website	http://phoenixe	centeradmin.wix.com				
	Contact Name Deborah Kinlaw		Direct Line	'8-988-1002 ext 1	Email	deborahkinlav	w@phoenixcenterbhs.c	om			
2.	Quality Transformation Plan				•				4	2. 4	
	Transformation Team has comple	ted Community Enga	agement and Outre	each prior to Applic	ation Submission	ı?				Yes	
a)	Public and Private Engagement				Tenancy:	Family					
	Family Applicants must engage at			rtner types, while S	Senior Applicants	must engage at	t least <u>one</u> . <u>Applican</u>	t agrees?		Yes	
i.	Transformation Partner 1	mployment svcs pro	vider			Date of Public	Meeting 1 between Pa			4/13/17	
	Org Name GA VECTR Center	er					clication of meeting not		3/29/2017		
	Website http://gavectr.org/						Houston Home Journa				
	Contact Name Dr. Joseph C. Ric		Direct Line	(478) 397-3491			Development Authority		Facebook	Page	
	Email joe.richardson@g					_	Warner Robins City H				
	Role Transformation P	artner				Which Partne	rs were present at Pub	lic Mtg 1 between F	Partners?	1 a	nd 2

		PART						arner Robins, Houston County	1			
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DC	A Threshold and So	coring sectio	EMINUER: Applicants must includ in reviews pertain only to the corresp Failure to do so will result in a one	onding funding round and	d have no effect on su	ubsequent or futur	re funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score	
								TOTALS:	92	68	20	
ii.	Transformation	Partner 2	Local hea	alth provider		If "Other" Type,	Date of Public	Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs		5/4/17		
	Org Name	Houston Count	y Health [4/5/2017			
	Website	http://northcent	ralhealthd	district.org/department/houston-county-health-depa Publication(s) Houston Home Journal								
		Chris Sikes		Direct Line (478) 218-0000 Social Media Development Authority of Warner Ro					bins Facebook Page			
	Email	Chris.Sikes@d					Mtg Locatn	Warner Robins City Hall				
	Role	Transformation					Which Partne	rs were present at Public Mtg 2 betweer	Partners?		nd 2	
b)	Citizen Outreaci	h		either "I" or "ii" below for (b).							Yes/No	
	Survey			plank survey and itemized sum	mary of results inclu	ded in correspond	ding tab in appl	lication binder?	i	No		
or 			Nbr of Re	espondents								
II.	Public Meetings	i		14047			1	5/4/47 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ii			
	Meeting 1 Date			4/13/17			Dates: Mtg 2	-				
	Date(s) of public	cation of Meetin						qmt met by req'd public mtg between Tr	ansformath Pa	rtners?	Yes	
	Publication(s)			Home Journal				Houston Home Journal				
	Social Media	_	N/A	Robins City Hall			Social Media	Warner Robins City Hall				
	Meeting Locatio			n application binder?	I	Yes		published notices provided in application	hindor?	T v	es	
۵)					llanges proventing th			cal resources (according to feedback fro				
c)				goals and solutions for the Tra				car resources (according to reedback inc	in the low inco	ine popula	מנוטוז נט	
i	Local Population		Sporiding	Transportation to After-School		ina i artificis to ad	idi 033.					
		g residents' access	:	Public transportation options								
		Who Implements	,	Warner Robins Community D	<u>'</u>	nent to add bus st	nn					
		g neighborhood's a	ccess	Increase usage of existing aft	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	t Church				
	•		.00000					ed to the after-school tutoring and educa	ation programm	ing at Firs	t Baptist	
	Solution and	Who Implements		Church of Garmon Street thro					, 0	J	'	
ii.	Local Population	n Challenge 2		Awareness of Available Healt	h Services in the Nei	ighborhood						
	Goal for increasin	g residents' access	5	Increased numbers of resider	nts use health service	es available in the	neighborhood					
				Family Connection, First Bap	tist, Houston Co Hea	Ith Dept, Houston	Healthcare, P	hoenix Center to create marketing mate	rials and flyers			
	Solution and	Who Implements		regarding health fairs and oth	er health and wellnes	ss events and pro	vide to WR Re	edevelopment property management stat	ff for distribution	n to		
		•		residents.								
	Goal for catalyzin	g neighborhood's a	ccess	Increased numbers of resider	nts use health service	es available in the	neighborhood					
								ete information about all available health	and wellness			
	Solution and	Who Implements		services throughout the neigh			·					
iii	Local Population	n Challenge 3		Availability of Health Services	in the Neighborhood							
	•	g residents' access	:	Establish a monthly health so								
		·	,		01 0	Healthcare coord	inate to provide	e a monthly health screening and wellne	ss education			
	Solution and	Who Implements		program at the project site.				g				
	Goal for catalyzing	g neighborhood's a	ccess	Establish a monthly health so	reening program							
	•					Healthcare coord	inate to provide	e a monthly health screening and wellne	ss education			
	Solution and	Who Implements		program at the project site.				,				
iv.	Local Population	n Challenge 4		Financial Feasibility and Awa	reness of After-Scho	ol Activities in the	Neighborhood	I				
	Goal for increasing residents' access Increased participation in after-school activities in the neighborhood											

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction

Score Value

DCA Self Score Score

TOTALS:

92

68 20

Solution and Who Implements

Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access

Solution and Who Implements

v. Local Population Challenge 5

Goal for increasing residents' access Solution and Who Implements Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access

Solution and Who Implements

Family Connection, First Baptist, Phoenix Center to create marketing material and flyers regarding after-school tutoring, enrichment, and other activities are provided to on-site property management staff for distribution to residents.

Increased participation in after-school activities in the neighborhood

Increased financial support for after-school programs and supplies throught the establishment of a \$50,000 Community Improvement Fund by Pennrose **Properties**

Lack of Affordable Housing

Develop new affordable multifamily rental housing

Pennrose Properties will use Low Income Housing Tax Credits as a financing mechanism to develop new affordable multifamily rental housing.

Rehabilitate existing affordable housing

Using CDBG funds, the City of Warner Robins Community Development Department will fund organizations to repair and rehabilitate homes for low- and moderate-income households.

C. Community Investment

1. Community Improvement Fund Amount / Balance		lance	50,000		Family	1 1. 1
Source	WR Wall Street LLC			Bank Name	Jersey Shore State Bank	Applicants: Disease use "Dt IV D
Contact	Amon Martin	Direct Line	(470) 585-2413	Account Name	HBD	Applicants: Please use "Pt IX B- Community Improvmt Narr" tab
Email	amartin@pennrose.com			Bank Website	www.jssb.com	provided.
Bank Contact	Stephanie Burkman	Direct Line	(570) 322-1111	Contact Email	Stephanie.Burkman@jssb.com	provided.
December Comment	The second of the Constant of the second of	and the second and a few all a second	!	Landah at Elast Danilat	Oh	and a shared to the observation and an account of the con-

Description of Use of Funds

The uses of the funds will include updated computers and related equipment for the computer lab at First Baptist Church of Garmon Street, the cost of hiring teachers and staff for after-school tutoring programs, a van driver to meet transportation-related challenges to participation in after-school activities, and supplies for summer camps and back-to-school programs.

Narrative of how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation

Plan.

WR Redevelopment Phase I (Project) will bring important resources and capacity to the community through the direct contribution by Pennrose Properties, LLC (Pennrose) in a Community Improvement Fund. Pennrose is pursuing an allocation of Low Income Housing Tax Credits from the Georgia Department of Community Affairs that will be a key aspect of financing the development. If awarded the requested allocation of tax credits, Pennrose Properties, LLC is committed to making a direct contribution of \$50,000 to a Community Improvement Fund, whose purpose will be to provide financial resources over five years to help meet education-related needs of students who will reside at the project site and those in the neighborhood beyond. As described in our Community Transformation Plan (Plan), these resources will be used to expand educational of children age 18 and under living at the property, by assisting in expanding the existing services provided by our Transformation Partners. Please see attached pages (pages 15, 35-36 & 42) from the Plan describing these services and addressing the education access challenges in the defined neighborhood.

First Baptist Church (Transformation Partner) has been present in the neighborhood for decades and offers a wide variety of community-focused programming available to all neighborhood residents including afterschool tutoring and youth mentoring. The Community Improvement Fund will be used to update computers and related equipment for the computer lab at First Baptist Church (Transformation Partner) and at the Project, purchasing school and summer camp supplies, the cost of hiring teachers and other staff for after-school tutoring programs and assisting with covering transportation-related challenges for the Defined Neighborhood. The Community Improvement Fund will support existing First Baptist Church of Garmon Street education programs that seek to increase educational achievement of children age 18 and under and assist in increasing access to these services and programs for WR Redevelopment residents and the neighborhood as a whole.

2. Long-term Ground Lease

- a) Projects receives a long-term ground lease (no less than 45-year) for nominal consideration and no other land costs for the entire property?
- b) No funds other than what is disclosed in the Application have been or will be paid for the lease either directly or indirectly?

3. Third-Party Capital Investment

Unrelated Third-Party Name Unrelated Third-Party Type

Competitive Pool chosen: Flexible City of Warner Robins, GA

2

Yes 3. 2

Yes

2.

Additional documentation required - see QAP. Government Improvement Completion Date Is 3rd party investment community-wide in scope or was improvement completed more than 3 yrs prior to Application Submission? No Completed by 03/19

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction

Score Value 92

TOTALS:

DCA Self Score Score 20 68

Description of Investment or Funding Mechanism Description of Investment's

Furtherance of Plan

2012 and 2018 Special Purpose Local Option Sales Tax (SPLOST)

Distance from proposed project site in miles, rounded up to the next tenth of a mile

The scope of work includes the construction of two new streets and utilities to support the master plan of the WR Redevelopment, as well as the newly renovated Memorial Park. The construction of the streets will also include new sidewalks, street lighting, utilities and signage, which will provide great connectivity to the surrounding neighborhood.

0.5

Description of how the investment will serve the tenant base for the proposed development

These improvements are a critical part of this transformational project for the City of Warner Robins and are specifically referenced as being required in our Community Transformation Plan (Plan). These improvements will provide better access to the Development, both by vehicle and by foot, for the Development's residents and their visitors, while creating a new and exciting "curb appeal" of the new community. The off-site improvements will be an important component of this project by creating public spaces where people interact, which will help define this community's aesthetic quality, identity, economic activity, health, and social cohesion. These pedestrian-friendly street improvements will make the WR Redevelopment community a healthier, more vibrant, and more attractive place to call home. As part of our overall revitalization effort for this community, to support the VECTR Center, the City constructed the extension of S. Armed Forces Boulevard in 2015. We are currently moving forward with installing street lights along S. Armed Forces Boulevard. Installation of the street lights will begin this summer (2017).

Full Cost of Improvement as a Percent of TDC:

1,430,000	
10.4888%	0.0000%

Total Development Costs (TDC): 13,633,631

miles

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment F	Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston County
--	--

(Choose only one.)

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction

Score Value 92

10

D.

1.

TOTALS:

Self	DCA
Score	Score
68	20
0	
No	

D. Community Designations

1. HUD Choice Neighborhood Implementation (CNI) Grant

2. Purpose Built Communities

Scoring Justification per Applicant

This Community Transformation Plan describes a process by which neighborhood-level change can be initiated through the coordinated work of community partners to improve the availability of health, education, employment, and transportation services. The neighborhood covered by this plan consists of a portion of downtown Warner Robins in Houston County, Georgia. This defined neighborhood is comprised of Houston County census tracts 207, 208, and a portion of tract 204. Contained within the neighborhood is a tax allocation district generally along the Watson Boulevard corridor in the northern end of the neighborhood. This area reflects significant recent investment in such facilities as a newly renovated City Hall and public library as well as an expanded campus for Middle Georgia State University.

A Transformation Team, composed of a Community-Based Developer, Community Quarterback, and seven Transformation Partners has been assembled to lead, inform, and resource the local transformation effort. The Community-Based Developer brings to the Team past experience producing affordable multifamily housing through collaborative processes that have engaged community partners in holistic solutions for the neighborhoods surrounding its developments. The Community Quarterback is a strong and stable organization with an ability to convene diverse local entities, is rooted in the Defined Neighborhood, and drives the Community Transformation Plan. The Transformation Partners each represent one or more of the service areas coordinated under this plan: education, health, employment, and transportation. The role of the Transformation Partners is to bring to the table local knowledge and expertise as well as resources with which to implement the plan's transformation

For 45 years, Pennrose Properties, LLC has been working to improve the lives of working families by creating safe, secure housing communities where residents can build fulfilling lives as a Community-Based Developer in Griffin, GA. Within the last two years, Pennrose has successfully partnered with two established community organizations related to a comparable development project in Griffin, a small city in Spalding County, Georgia.

This Community Transformation Plan is driven by Houston County Family Connection and the Phoenix Center partnering together to jointly carry out the responsibilities of the Community Quarterback. Family Connection and the Phoenix Center have a strong history of working together, having co-sponsored community training programs and collaborated earlier this year on a "Teen Maze" project to instill youth with life decision-making skills. The two organizations are described in this section and the plan's Appendix contains letters from each of them, expressing their commitment to partner together in the Community Quarterback role and annually update and submit to DCA the Challenge Worksheet documenting the Transformation Partners' progress toward the goals laid out later in this plan.

In addition to the Community-Based Developer and the Community Quarterbacks, the Transformation Team is rounded out by a group of seven Transformation Partners. These partners are respected organizations and institutions within the community who serve residents of the Defined Neighborhood (although their respective service areas are sometimes much larger) and provide, support, or fund services in the areas of health, education, employment, and/or transportation.

The two public forums conducted in the development of this Community Transformation Plan followed a format designed to solicit input on residents' current levels of access to health, education, employment, and transportation services and their challenges in accessing those services. All Transformation Partners attened the public forums. Based on the input received, the challenges were prioritized by the project team in order to inform the access plan and Challenge Worksheet appearing in Tab 31.

After completing the compilation and analysis of input from the public forums, the planning team presented the data to the Transformation Team. The Transformation Partners were urged to consider actions that could be taken to overcome or reduce the five most significant barriers to accessing services. Input from the Transformation Partners was then received by the planning team and, through a collaborative process, the goals and strategies, metrics and milestones were determined and are enclosed in Tab 31.

The Applicant has committed \$50,000 to a Community Improvement Fund to support the goal of increasing educational achievement of children age 18 and under living on the property, see Tab 31.

WR Redevelopment has a long-term ground lease for nominal consideration, see Tab 31.

The City of Warner Robins has committed \$1,430,000 for off-site improvements to support the goals of the Transformation Plan, see Tab 31.

DCA's	Comment	S.
-------	---------	----

9. PHASED DEVELOPMENTS / PREVIOUS PROJECTS

(choose A or B) Competitive Pool chosen:

Part IX A-Scoring Criteria

Flexible

3

eoi	gia Department of Community Arians	2017 Funding Applica	auon	nousing rinand	e and D	evei	opmen	UIVISIC
	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA -	2017-0 WR Redevelopm	ent Phase I, Warner Robi	ns, Houston County				
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresp	e comments in sections where points onding funding round and have no effec (1) point "Application Completeness"	t on subsequent or future funding round	scoring decisions.	Score Value		Self Score	DCA Score
				TOTALS:	92	ſ	68	20
A.	Phased Developments	Phased Development?	Yes- w/Master Plan	0	3	A.		
	1. Application is in the Flexible Pool and the proposed project is part of a F past five (5) funding rounds (only the second and third phase of a project the 2017 Application Submission deadline?	•	•			1.		
	If Yes, indicate DCA Project Nbr and Project Name of the first phase:	Number:	Name					
	If current application is for third phase, indicate for second phase:	Number:	Name					
	2. Was the community originally designed as one development with different	ent phases?				2.		
	3. Are any other phases for this project also submitted during the current f	unding round?				3.		
	4. Was site control over the entire site (including all phases) in place when	the initial phase was closed?				4.		
В.	Previous Projects (Flexible Pool)	(choose 1 or 2)			3	B.	3	0
	The proposed development site is not within a 1-mile radius of a Ge	orgia Housing Credit develop	ment that has received an aw	ard in the last		-		
	1. Five (5) DCA funding cycles				3	1.	3	
OR	2. Four (4) DCA funding cycles				2	2.		
C.	Previous Projects (Rural Pool)	(choose 1 or 3)			4	C.	0	0
	The proposed development site is within a Local Government bound	dary which has not received a	n award of 9% Credits:			-		
	1. Within the last Five (5) DCA funding cycles				3	1.		
	2. Since the 2000 DCA Housing Credit Competitive Round	(additional point)			1	2.		
OR	3. Within the last Four (4) DCA funding cycles				2	3.		
	Scoring Justification per Applicant							
Per	prose was selected by the City of Warner Robins. GA to develop quality mixe	ed-income housing in an area in the	ne City that has demand for new h	ousing Pennrose is leading	ng a maste	er nla	nning pr	ocess

that includes representatives from the City, Development Authority, community residents and stakeholders, such as our Transformation Partner First Baptist Church (Collaborative Team). The Collaborative Team is working to identify potential sites that could support new housing development that are within the boundaries of the Community Transformation Plan. WR Redevelopment Phase I will be the first phase of housing that will address one of the challenges identified in the Community Transformation Plan. The Collaborative Team will continue to refine the master plan which will be a mixed-income/mixeduse plan to address the needs of the neighborhood/community. See Tab 32 for master plan. No Georgia Housing Credit development is located within a 1-mile radius of the proposed site. The closest Georgia Housing Credit development to the proposed site was funded in 1999. See Tab 45.

DCA's Comments:

PART	NINE - SCORING	CRITERIA -	2017-0 WR R	edevelopmen	t Phase I, Warner Robi	ns, Houston County			
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and So	coring section reviews pertail	n only to the correspo	comments in section and ing funding round a solid point "Application"	nd have no effect on	subsequent or future funding round s	scoring decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
						TOTALS:	92	68	20
10. MARKET CHARACTERISTI	cs						2	2	0
For DCA determination:								Yes/No	Yes/No
A. Are more than two DCA funded proj base as the proposed project?	ects in the primary mark	ket area which hav	ve physical occupa	ancy rates of less	than 90 percent and which co	mpete for the same tenant		A. No	
B. Has there been a significant change proposed tenant population?	B. Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the proposed market which could detrimentally affect the long term viability of the proposed project and the proposed tenant population?					e proposed project and the)	B. No	
C. Does the proposed market area app	ear to be overestimated	d, creating the like	lihood that the der	mand for the proje	ect is weaker than projected?			C. No	
D. Is the capture rate of a specific bedr	oom type and market s	egment over 55%	?					D. No	
Scoring Justification per Applicant								-	
The Market Study confirms that there is a	a significant need for ho	using in this area.	Please Refer to	Tab 05 for market	study.				
DCA's Comments:									
11. EXTENDED AFFORDABILI	TY COMMITMENT		(choose only o	ne)			1	1	0
A. Waiver of Qualified Contract F	Right						1	A. 1	
Applicant agrees to forego cancellat	ion option for at least 5	yrs after close of	Compliance period	d?				Yes	
B. Tenant Ownership							1	B.	
Applicant commits to a plan for tena	ant ownership at end of o	compliance period	I (only applies to s	ingle family units)				N/a	
DCA's Comments:									
12. EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROF	IT		0				3		
Nonprofit Setaside selection from Pr	roject Information tab:		No					Yes/No	Yes/No
Is the applicant claiming these point								No	
Is this is the only application from th	is non-profit requesting	these points in thi	is funding round?					N/a	
Is the NonProfit Assessment form a	nd the required docume	ntation included in	n the appropriate t	ab of the applicat	ion?			N/a	
DCA's Comments:									
13. RURAL PRIORITY	Competitive Pool:	Flexible	(NOTE: Only Ru	ıral Pool applican	s are eligible!) Urban or Rura	al: Urban	2	0	
Each Applicant will be limited to claiming Applicant to designate these points to on					rest and which involves 80 or f	ewer units. Failure by the	Unit Total	90	
MGP Pennrose GP, LLC	0.0100%	Richard A. Gower		NPSponsr	0	0.0000%	0		
OGP1 0	0.0000%	0		Developer	Pennrose Properties, LLC	0.0000%	Mark H. Dar	mbly	
OGP2 0	0.0000%	0		Co-Developer 1	0	0.0000%	0		
OwnCons 0	0.0000%	0		Co-Developer 2	0	0.0000%	0		
Fed LP RBC Capital Markets	99.9800%	Chris Tully		Developmt Consult	Collaborative Housing Solutions	, Inc. 0.0000%	Jon Toppen		
State LP RBC Capital Markets	0.0100%	Chris Tully			DOM: O-min				
Scoring Justification per Applicant					DCA's Comments:				
Not Applicable									

56 of 71

DART NINE SCORING CRITERIA 2017 0 W	/P Podovolonment	Phase I Warner Robins	Houston Count	V		
PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 W	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	, mouston Count	у		
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding r	•		ing docisions	Score	Self	DCA
<u>Disciallier.</u> DCA Threshold and Scotling section reviews pertain only to the corresponding iditioning in			ing decisions.	Value	Score	Score
			TOTALS:	92	68	20
4. DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES				2	2	0
A. Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GICH)				1	1	
Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Community Housing team that clearly:				•	A. Yes/No	Yes/No
Identifies the project as located within their GICH community:		Warner Robins			1. Yes	. 00,110
Is indicative of the community's affordable housing goals					2. Yes	
Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives of the GICH Plan					3. Yes	
Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ Unit	iversity of Georgia Housi	ng and Demographic Research	Center as of 5/1/172		4. Yes	
Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years	iversity of Georgia Flousi	ng and Demographic Research	Center as or 5/1/17:		5. No	
•	in that community sk	all be awarded this point			J. 140	
NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in http://www.dca.state.ga.us/econom				1	1	
Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).	ila Development roots/program	ns/mintaryzones.asp		•	B. Yes	
	QCT? Yes	Census Tract #:	207 00		D. IES	
Scoring Justification per Applicant	Q01. 100	DCA's Comments:	201.00			
R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a GICH alumni community and aligns with the go	pals of the GICH plan.					
R Redevelopment received letters of support from the GICH contact and City of Warner Rob						
obins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to t	the QAP no longer					
obins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to the niting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials a	the QAP no longer are included in Tab 35.					
obins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to to the niting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials	the QAP no longer are included in Tab 35.					
obins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to the niting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials a	the QAP no longer are included in Tab 35.					
obins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to the niting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials a	the QAP no longer are included in Tab 35.					
obins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to the niting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials a	the QAP no longer are included in Tab 35.	ool chosen:	Flexible	4	3	0
obins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to tobiting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials	the QAP no longer are included in Tab 35. s are included in Tab 35.	ool chosen:	Flexible	4	3 Yes/No	_
obins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to the iting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials to the interval of th	the QAP no longer are included in Tab 35. s are included in Tab 35. S Competitive P	ool chosen:	Flexible	4		_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to the fitting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials at Italian Indicate that the following criteria are met:	the QAP no longer are included in Tab 35. s are included in Tab 35. S Competitive P	ool chosen:	Flexible	4	Yes/No	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to the iting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials at LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set fortly	the QAP no longer are included in Tab 35. s are included in Tab 35. S Competitive P	ool chosen:	Flexible	4	Yes/No a) Yes	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to the fitting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF	the QAP no longer are included in Tab 35. are included in Tab 35. Competitive P h in this section.	nt HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA		·	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to thiting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report of	the QAP no longer are included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. Competitive P in this section. R, with the exception that in April 20, 2017, plus 10.	nt HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points.		·	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to the iting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report or e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points	che QAP no longer are included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. Competitive P in the in this section. R, with the exception that in April 20, 2017, plus 10 in this section.	nt HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points.		·	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to thiting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report or e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated	che QAP no longer are included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. Competitive P in the in this section. R, with the exception that in April 20, 2017, plus 10 in this section.	at HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points. · 30, 2017.		·	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes f) N/a	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to thiting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report or e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources:	che QAP no longer are included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. Competitive Purchase in the exception that in April 20, 2017, plus 100 in this section. HUD 22 In by USDA by September in the interval interval in the interval int	nt HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points.	. 538 loans must reflec	ct interest	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to thiting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report on e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources: a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP)	che QAP no longer are included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. Competitive Purchase in the exception that in April 20, 2017, plus 100. In this section. HUD 22 In by USDA by September 10.	at HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points. · 30, 2017.	. 538 loans must reflec	ct interest	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes f) N/a	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to the iting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials and I required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report on e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources: a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP) b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD PHI fund	che QAP no longer are included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. In a re included in Tab 35. Competitive Purchase in the exception that in April 20, 2017, plus 100 in this section. HUD 22 In by USDA by September in the interval interval in the interval int	at HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points. · 30, 2017.	. 538 loans must reflec	a)	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes f) N/a	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to the iting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials and I required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report of e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources: a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP) b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD PHI fund c) HOME Funds	competitive P h in this section. Competitive P h in this section. R, with the exception than April 20, 2017, plus 100 in this section. a) b) c)	at HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points. · 30, 2017. Amount	. 538 loans must reflec	ct interest	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes f) N/a	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to thiting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report or e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources: a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP) b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD PHI fund c) HOME Funds d) Beltline Grant/Loan	competitive P h in this section. R, with the exception than April 20, 2017, plus 100 in this section. HDD 22 by USDA by September a)	at HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points. · 30, 2017. Amount	. 538 loans must reflec	a)	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes f) N/a	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to thiting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report of e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources: a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP) b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD PHI fund c) HOME Funds d) Beltline Grant/Loan e) Historic tax credit proceeds	competitive P h in this section. R, with the exception that April 20, 2017, plus 10. in this section. HUD 22 by USDA by September a) b) c) d)	at HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points. · 30, 2017. Amount	. 538 loans must reflec	a) b) c)	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes f) N/a	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to thiting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at R Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report of e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources: a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP) b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD PHI fund c) HOME Funds d) Beltline Grant/Loan e) Historic tax credit proceeds f) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program funds	competitive P h in this section. R, with the exception that April 20, 2017, plus 10. in this section. HUD 22 by USDA by September a) b) c) d)	at HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points. · 30, 2017. Amount	s 538 loans must reflec	a) b) c) d) e) f)	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes f) N/a	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to thiting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report or e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources: a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP) b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD PHI fund c) HOME Funds d) Beltline Grant/Loan e) Historic tax credit proceeds f) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program funds g) National Housing Trust Fund	competitive P h in this section. R, with the exception that n April 20, 2017, plus 100 in this section. April 20, 2017, plus 100 in this section. By USDA by September a) b) c) d) e) f)	at HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points. · 30, 2017. Amount	s 538 loans must reflec	a) b) c)	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes f) N/a	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to thiting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report of e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources: a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP) b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD PHI fund c) HOME Funds d) Beltline Grant/Loan e) Historic tax credit proceeds f) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program funds g) National Housing Trust Fund h) Georgia TCAP acquisition loans passed through a Qualified CDFI revolving loan fund	competitive P h in this section. R, with the exception that n April 20, 2017, plus 100 in this section. April 20, 2017, plus 100 in this section. By USDA by September a) b) c) d) e) f)	at HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points. · 30, 2017. Amount	s 538 loans must reflec	a) b) c) d) e) f)	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes f) N/a	_
bins last received a tax credit award in 2014, DCA issued an administrative amendment to thiting GICH communities to obtaining these points every three years. All required materials at Redevelopment Phase I is located within a Designated Military Zone, all required materials. 5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set forth b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA. c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases. d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below AF rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report or e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for points f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be obligated. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources: a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP) b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD PHI fund c) HOME Funds d) Beltline Grant/Loan e) Historic tax credit proceeds f) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program funds g) National Housing Trust Fund	competitive P h in this section. R, with the exception that n April 20, 2017, plus 100 in this section. April 20, 2017, plus 100 in this section. By USDA by September a) b) c) d) e) f)	at HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA 0 basis points. 1(d)4 loans eligible for points. · 30, 2017. Amount	s 538 loans must reflec	a) b) c) d) e) f) g)	Yes/No a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes d) Yes e) Yes f) N/a	_

orgia Department of Community Arians	2017 I unuing Application) i i	riousing rinan	se and De	velobilietit r
PART NINE - SC	CORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 WR Redevelopmen	t Phase I, Warner Robins	, Houston County		
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section re	NUER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are views pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on the todo so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" de	subsequent or future funding round sco		Score Value	Self C Score S
			TOTALS:	92	68
2. Point Scale	Total Development Costs (TDC):	13,633,631			
Scoring Justification per Applicant	TQS as a Percent of TDC:	10.0003%			0.0000%
o Tab 36 for commitment letter for qualifying source. April 20, 2017, plus 100 basis points, or 5.0%.	commitment for permanent financing through HUD Section 22'. The stated interest rate of 5.00% (including Mortgage Insurance)				
DCA's Comments:					
16. INNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT Is the applicant claiming these points?				3	No
Selection Criteria			Ranking Pts Value Ran	ne en	Rank
Presentation of the project concept narrative	in the Application.		0 - 10	<u>yc</u>	1.
2. Uniqueness of innovation.			0 - 10		2.
3. Demonstrated replicability of the innovation.			0 - 5		3.
4. Leveraged operating funding			0 - 5		4.
5. Measureable benefit to tenants			0 - 5		5. 6.
DCA's Comments:	<u>ce</u> of subject matter experts' <u>direct</u> involvement in the strategic	concept development.	0 - 5 0 - 40	-	Total:
DONG COMMONG.			0 40		Totali
17. INTEGRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSIN	G			3	2
A. Integrated Supportive Housing/ Section 8		10% of Total Units (max):	9	_	A. 2
	A or other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units for the	Total Low Income Units	59	† ′	1. Agree
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	lousing (ISH) opportunities to Persons w/ Disabilities (PWD),	Min 1 BR LI Units required	6	1	- g. cc
and is prepared to accept the full utilization b	y DCA of 10% of the units?	1 BR LI Units Proposed	20	1	
2. Applicant understands the requirements of H	UD's Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) program, in	•		4	2. Yes
3. At least 10% of the total low-income units in	the proposed Application will be one bedroom units?				3. Yes
4. Applicant is willing to accept Assistance affor	rdable to 50% AMI tenants?				4. Yes
B. Target Population Preference				3	В. 0
Applicant has a commitment of HUD Section	8 project-based rental assistance from a Public Housing Authorsons with specific disabilities identified in the Settlement Agree	ment (#1:10-CV-249-CAP)?	tenant selection	_	1.
Name of Public Housing Authority providing I		PBRA Expiration:			
	15% of the total units targeting the Settlement population?	Nbr of Settlement units:	0	0.0%	2.
Scoring Justification per Applicant The applicant agrees to accept Section 811 PBRA or or	other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units for the purpose	of providing Integrated Supporti	ve Housing opportunities	s to Persons	w/Disabilities
	,	,	0 -FF		,
DCA's Comments:					
18. HISTORIC PRESERVATION	(choose A or B)	_		2	0
The property is:		Historic Credit Equity:	0		

2017 I driding Applicati	1011	riousing rinanc	o ana bov	оюринон	CDIVIOIC
PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 WR Redevelopme	nt Phase I, Warner Robins,	Houston County			
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points at			Score	Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect o	•	g decisions.	Value		Score
Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" d	eduction.	T0T410			
		TOTALS:	92	68	20
A. Historic <u>and</u> Adaptive Reuse	Historic adaptive reuse units:	0	2	۹.	
The proposed development includes historic tax credit proceeds and is an adaptive reuse of a	Total Units	90			
certified historic structure.	% of Total	0.00%			
B. Historic	Nbr Historic units:	0	1 [В.	
The property is a certified historic structure per QAP or is deemed historic via a Georgia DNR-HPD approved	Total Units	90			
NPS Part 1- Evaluation of Significance to have a preliminary determination of listing on the National Register	% of Total	0.00%			
DCA's Comments:					
				-	
19. HEALTHY HOUSING INITIATIVES (choose A or B or C)			3	3	0
Pre-requisites:				Agree or Y/N	Agree or Y/N
1. In Application submitted, Applicant used the following needs data to more efficiently target the proposed in	itiative for a proposed property:			Agree	
a) A local Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA)				Yes	
b) The "County Health Rankings & Reports" website: http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/hea	ılth-gaps/georgia			Yes	
c) The Center for Disease Control and Prevention – Community Health Status Indicators (CHSI) website				Yes	
2. The Applicant identified target healthy initiatives to local community needs?				Agree	
Explain the need for the targeted health initiative proposed in this section.				J	
n 2013, the North Central Health District conducted a Community Health Assessment of Houston County, Geor	gia. In 2014, Houston Healthcare co	onducted a 2014 PRC C	Community H	ealth Need	S
Assessment of Houston County, Georgia. These assessments collected and analyzed data to better educate a					
improve the public's health. The reports acknowledges geographical disparities in regards to crime, access to h					
enclosed health assessments provide an overview of the current health conditions of the County's residents and					
disease, cancer and respiratory diseases. The Houston County Health Rankings & Report and the Center for D the need for increased health screening and wellness programs.	isease Control and Prevention – Co	mmunity Health Status	indicators bo	otn turtner s	support
The Houston County Health Department and Houston Healthcare (Health Partners) participated in the Transform	mation Planning efforts in Spring 20	17. Both Health Partne	rs are currer	ntly engage	d in
providing health screening and health services to residents within the Defined Neighborhood of the Transformat					
the community to better understand the needs of the community and the current health limitations of low-incom					
Assessment finding resulted in the development of the WR Redevelopment Healthy Housing Initiative.					
				1	1
A. Preventive Health Screening/Wellness Program for Residents			3	3	0
1. a) Applicants agrees to provide on-site preventive health screenings and or Wellness Services at the prop	osed project?			a) Agree	
b) The services will be provided at least monthly and be offered at minimal or no cost to the residents?	for the residents O			b) Yes	
c) The preventive health initiative includes wellness and preventive health care education and information	for the residents?	•		c) Yes	
Description of Service (Enter "N/a" if necessary) Blood Pressure Checks		Occurrent			Resident
a) Blood Pressure Checks b) Biometric Measurements		Monthly Monthly))
c) Hypertensoin Monitoring		Monthly			<u>)</u>)
d) Diabetes Monitoring		Monthly))
B. Healthy Eating Initiative			2		
Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Eating Initiative, as defined in the QAP, at the proposed project?			۷	0	0
Applicant agrees to provide a meaning Lating initiative, as defined in the WAF, at the proposed project?					

orgia Department C	n Community Analis		2017 I unu	ing Application	l .		i lousing i ina	ince and Dev	elobilieli	ופועום ו
	PART NINE - SCORII	NG CRITERIA - 2	2017-0 WR Re	development	Phase I, W	arner Robins,	Houston Count	ty		
		Applicants must include (Score	Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DC	A Threshold and Scoring section reviews po		0 0			ire funding round scori	ng decisions.	Value	Score	
	Failure to do	o so will result in a one (1) point "Application (Completeness" dedu	iction.		TOTALO			
							TOTALS:	92	68	20
 The community 	garden and edible landscape will:		e importance of lo			?		;	a)	
			um planting area o					ļ	0)	
			er source nearby fo						C)	
		· ·	d on all sides with	•		:ion? ıal – Amenities Gu	idaha ak?	'	a)	
		,				iai – Amenilies Gu	idebook?	•	-	
· ·	ealthy eating programs will be provide	ed free of charge to the	e residents and wi	Il feature related e	vents?				2.	
Description of N	Monthly Healthy Eating Programs					Description of Re	elated Event			
a)										
b)										
c)										
- /								0		
C. Healthy Activity I		as defined in the OAD	at the proposed m	rainat?				2	0	0
	provide a Healthy Activity Initiative, a multi-purpose walking trail that is ½				•					
a) Be well illumina		inile or longer that pro		girig, or bikirig will		f) Provide trash	recentacles?		f)	
,	halt or concrete surface?		a) b)				tional criteria outlined	d in DCA's	7)	
	s or sitting areas throughout course	of trail?	c)				nual – Amenities Guid		9/	
d) Provide distance			d)							
•	e of fitness equipment per every 1/8	mile of trail?	e)			Length of Trail				miles
2. The monthly ed	lucational information will be provide	ed free of charge to the	residents on relat	ed events?		_	\ <u></u>		2.	
Scoring Justification	n per Applicant	ŭ								
	alth Department and Houston Health									
	alth services to residents within the D									
	Redevelopment Phase I Healthy Horing I Healthy Horing I									
	Wellness Center located near the W									
	creenings and consultation. The We						oritor. Trio vvoiirioco	Contor Will Do a	Tarrilorio a	
·	Ç		·	·						
DCA's Comments:										
O. QUALITY EDUC	CATION AREAS							3	1	0
	s a property located in the attendance	ce zone of one or more	high-performina s	chools as determ	ined by the sta	ate CCRPI?		J	Yes	
, p			3 · F - · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						. 00	
NOTE: 2013-2016	Dietrict / School Sy	stem - from state CCE	PI wahsita	Houston County '	2013-2015					
NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must		stem - from state CCF	RPI website:	Houston County 2 Family	2013-2015					
NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used	Tenancy			Family		cludes the propert	y site?		No	
CCRPI Data Must	Tenancy	stem - from state CCF sed, does it have a des		Family ct wide) attendance	ce zone that in		•	Averege	No	
CCRPI Data Must Be Used	Tenancy If Charter school us	sed, does it have a des	signated (not distri	Family ct wide) attendand CC	ce zone that in	om School Years E	Ending In:	Average	CCR	PI >
CCRPI Data Must	Tenancy	sed, does it have a des		Family ct wide) attendance	ce zone that in		•	Average CCRPI Sco	CCR	PI >

	PART NINE - SCORING	CRITERIA - 2	2017-0 WR Re	development	Phase I, Wa	arner Robins,	Houston County			
REMINUER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.									Self Score	DCA Score
TOTALS:									68	20
b) Middle/Junior High	Huntington Middle School	6-8	No	80.70	72.40	70.60		74.57	Yes	
c) High										
d) Primary/Elementary										
e) Middle/Junior High	Huntington Middle School	6-8	No							
f) High										
Scoring Justification per Applicant										

WR Redevelopment Phase I is located within the Huntington Middle School attendance zone serving grades 6-8. Huntington Middle School has a 3-year CCRPI average of 74.57, exceeding the minimum 73.2 required. All required documents are included in Tab 41.

DCA's Comments:

COL	gia Departifient o	Community	Alialis	2017 1 0110	ing Application		riousing rinar	ice ai lu De	velopinel	IL DIVISIO
		PART	NINE - SCORING CRITERIA -	2017-0 WR Red	development l	Phase I, Warner Robins,	Houston County	/		
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DC/	A Threshold and Sc	REMINUER: Applicants must include coring section reviews pertain only to the correspor Failure to do so will result in a one (1	nding funding round and	d have no effect on sul	osequent or future funding round scorir	ng decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
							TOTALS:	92	68	20
21.	WORKFORCE H	HOUSING N	EED (choose A or B)	(Must use 2014 da	ata from "OnTheM	ap" tool, but 2015 data may be	used if available)	2	0	0
	A. Minumum iobs t	hreshold met <i>ai</i>	nd 60% of workers within a 2-mile radius	travel over 10 miles	to their place of w	vork		2		
OR	B. Exceed the mini				·			2		
	Jobs	City of		1	Atlanta Metro			Other	Rural	
	Threshold	Atlanta	(Cherokee, Clayton, Cobb	o, DeKalb, Douglas	, Fayette, Fulton, C	Swinnett, Henry and Rockdale c	ounties)	MSA	Area	-
	Minimum	20,000			15,000			6,000	3,000	
	Project Site				2.224				2 2 2 2 4	
	Min Exceeded by:	0.00%			0.00%			0.00%	0.00%	
Not .	Total Nbr of Jobs w/i Nbr of Jobs in 2-mile	n the 2-mile rad radius w/ worke w/in the 2-mile r	(from chart above) Nbr of Jobs: lius: ers who travel > 10 miles to work: adius w/ workers travelling over 10 miles	Per Applicant 0.00%	0.00%	Project City Project County HUD SA MSA / Non-MSA Urban or Rural	Warner Robins Houston Warner Robins MSA Urban			
22.	COMPLIANCE / Base Score Deductions Additions	PERFORMA	ANCE					10	10	10
	Scoring Justification									
	umentation from Synd		ıll 10 points in the Compliance/Performan perties located outside of Georgia are in (e issues that would de	educt points i	n this sectio	n.
	DCA's Comments:									
				TOTAL POS	SIBLE SCOR	RE		92	68	20
						NONPROFIT POINTS		- -		0
						ROJECT CONCEPT POINT	s			0

NET POSSIBLE SCORE WITHOUT DCA EXTRA POINTS

20

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-0 WR Redevelopment Phase I, Warner Robins, Houston Count	У
--	---

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.

Score Value 92

TOTALS:

Self DCA Score Score

DCA has included the following area for Applicants to make comments in any section they claimed points but were not provided with comment section. Include the section/(s) you are referring to within this area along with any applicable comments.

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

WR Redevelopment Phase I Warner Robins, Houston County

WR Redevelopment Phase I (Project) will bring important resources and capacity to the community through the direct contribution by Pennrose Properties, LLC (Pennrose) in a Community Improvement Fund. Pennrose is pursuing an allocation of Low Income Housing Tax Credits from the Georgia Department of Community Affairs that will be a key aspect of financing the development. If awarded the requested allocation of tax credits, the Pennrose Properties, LLC is committed to making a direct contribution of \$50.000 to a Community Improvement Fund, whose purpose will be to provide financial resources over five years to help meet education-related needs of students who will reside at the project site and those in the neighborhood beyond. As described in our Community Transformation Plan (Plan), these resources will be used to expand educational of children age 18 and under living at the property, by assisting in expanding the existing services provided by our Transformation Partners. Please see attached pages (pages 15, 35-36 & 42) from the Plan describing these services and addressing the education access challenges in the defined neighborhood.

First Baptist Church (Transformation Partner) has been present in the neighborhood for decades and offers a wide variety of community-focused programming available to all neighborhood residents including afterschool tutoring and youth mentoring. The Community Improvement Fund will be used to update computers and related equipment for the computer lab at First Baptist Church (Transformation Partner) and at the Project, purchasing school and summer camp supplies, the cost of hiring teachers and other staff for after-school tutoring programs and assisting with covering transportation-related challenges for the Defined Neighborhood. The Community Improvement Fund will support existing First Baptist Church of Garmon Street education programs that seek to increase educational achievement of children age 18 and under and assist in increasing access to these services and programs for WR Redevelopment residents and the neighborhood as a whole.

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

WR Redevelopment Phase I Warner Robins, Houston County

Scoring Section 14 - DCA Community Initiatives: GICH Project Narrative

WR Redevelopment Phase I Warner Robins, Houston County

The City of Warner Robins is an alumnus of the GICH program. The City's objective of the GICH Plan is to focus on providing quality affordable housing for all. During its GICH participation over the course of three years, from 2012 - 2014, the Warner Robins GICH team worked to formulate a plan and set goals to set the course for the City's future redevelopment. The recommendations of the GICH process include the expansion of quality affordable housing supply and civic investments to improve transportation options, the enhancement and preservation of open space and strengthened communities. Community stakeholders are actively engaged in the neighborhood transformation planning process as result of the WR Redevelopment Phase I project.

WR Redevelopment Phase I helps the City of Warner Robins meet its housing goals as defined in its 2014 Affordable Quality Housing is For Everyone Plan. These goals include the expansion of the quality affordable housing supply in Warner Robins and the encouragement of community stakeholder's involvement in neighborhoods.

Scoring Section 16 - Innovative Project Concept Narrative

WR Redevelopment Phase I Warner Robins, Houston County



Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Finance and Development Division 60 Executive Park South, NE. Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2231

Re: Application for Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and/or HOME Funding

To DCA:

This Application is submitted in accordance with the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan and the Housing Finance and Development Division Manuals. In submitting this Application for funding consideration, the undersigned applicant hereby certifies:

- 1) I understand that, in the event an allocation (or an allowance) for LIHTCs was obtained with false information supplied to the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA"), DCA will recapture the LIHTCs or request that the IRS deny tax credits to the Applicant entity. Also, a supplier, including the developer or owner, who knowingly provides false information will be barred by DCA from program participation for a period of five (5) years from the date the false information was discovered, in accordance with a Memorandum of Understanding between the Internal Revenue Service and the Georgia Housing and Finance Authority.
- 2) I am responsible for ensuring the project consists or will consist of a qualified low-income building (or buildings) as defined in the Internal Revenue Code section 42(c)(2) and will satisfy all applicable requirements of State and Federal tax law in the acquisition, development and operation of the project to receive State and federal housing tax credits.
- 3) I am responsible for all calculations and figures relating to the determination of the eligible basis of the building(s). I understand and agree the amount of the credit is allocated by reference to the figures that I submit as to eligible and qualified basis. I understand that the actual amount of credit allocated may vary somewhat from the amount initially reserved or committed due to (a) the determination by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA") as to the amount of credit necessary for the financial feasibility of the project and its viability as a qualified low-income housing project; (b) revisions in the calculations of eligible and qualified basis as finally determined; (c) fluctuations in the prevailing credit percentage; and (d) availability of the credit.
- 4) I understand and agree that DCA makes no representations or warranties regarding the financial feasibility of the project, the amount of credit, or the appropriateness of the allocation of the credit and makes no independent investigation as to the eligible and qualified basis and that any and all credit awards and credit amounts are solely based on representations made by me. I therefore agree to hold harmless and indemnify DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA in the event that I or anyone acting on my behalf, at my request or by and through me incurs any loss, injury, or damages in conjunction with the project including those that may result from any inquiries or gathering of information by DCA concerning the proposed project team or Application, diminution of the credit, loss of the credit, recapture of part or all of the credit, failure to allocate the credit requested in my Application or, the failure of DCA, in whole or in part, to grant my Application.
- 5) I understand and agree that neither DCA nor any of its individual directors, employees, members, officers, or agents assume any responsibility or make any representations or warranties with respect to: (i) the amount or availability of credit for the project; or (ii) the financial feasibility of the project.

- 6) I understand and agree that my Application for a low-income housing credit and/or HOME loan, all attachments thereto, amendments, and all correspondence relating to my Application in particular or the credit in general are subject to a request disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I further understand and agree that any and all correspondence to me from DCA, other DCA-generated documents, or documents to or from a third party in the possession of DCA relating to my Application are subject to a request for disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I agree to hold harmless DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA against all losses, costs, damages, expenses, and liability of whatsoever nature or kind (including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, litigation, and court costs) directly or indirectly resulting from or arising out of the release of all information pertaining to my Application pursuant to a request under the Georgia Open Records Act or resulting from or arising out of the release.
- 7) I understand and agree to authorize DCA access to information relevant to DCA's Application review. I understand that DCA may request an IRS Form 8821 for any Project Participant through final allocation of credits and/or disbursement of funds. Project Participants will complete Form 8821 upon DCA's request.
- 8) I understand that any misrepresentations, which includes fraudulent, negligent, and/or innocent, in this Application or supporting documentation may result in a withdrawal of tax credits and/or HOME loan by DCA, my (and related parties) being barred from future program participation, and notification of the Internal Revenue Service and/or HUD.
- 9) I certify that all sources of funds, including but not limited to Federal, State and local funding sources, have been disclosed and revealed.

In addition, Applicant understands:

- The above certifications are of a continuing nature and apply at all stages of the Application process: initial application, commitment, carryover allocation, and final allocation/funding.
- DCA must be notified of any subsequent events or information, which would change any statements or representations in the attached Application or amendments thereto;
- DCA reserves the right to verify all information or documents used in processing the Application, including requiring credit checks on all parties involved in the transaction. Applicant hereby authorizes the financing bank, accountant, mortgage lender, creditors, other state housing agencies and others sources identified in the Application to release information to DCA or its designee in order to verify the accuracy of information in the Application and amendments thereto.

Applicant agrees and understands that it may be charged for all fees and costs incurred by DCA in the inspection of funded properties during and after construction and in the enforcement of DCA regulations and policies.

Under penalty of perjury, to the best of my knowledge, I certify that all of the information in the attached Application, including all supporting documentation, is correct, complete and accurate.

APPLICANT/OWNER	
Printed Name	Title
Signature	Date
	[SEAL]