# Project Narrative Tupelo Creek at Town Center

Centerville, Houston County

Tupelo Creek at Town Center is a proposed 72-unit family development located in the City of Centerville, Houston County. If awarded, this application will be the first tax credit property to be developed in the City of Centerville. The proposed units will be set-aside as follows: 20% at 50% AMI, 20% unrestricted market rate, and the balance at 60% AMI. A total of 4 units will be handicapped/mobility impaired units, all of which will be available to serve those with disabilities. Property management will coordinate and market with the local public housing authority to serve this tenant population. Residents will be provided multiple onsite amenities such as a playground with commercial-grade equipment, covered picnic area with picnic tables and grill, and outdoor sitting / communal areas. Other amenities included within the community clubhouse shall include, but may not be limited to a covered porch sitting area, exercise / fitness room, computer center with fully-equipped workstations, a community room with kitchenette facilities, TV room for gatherings and services onsight management will coordinate for residents, and washer/dryer appliances provided within the units at no extra cost to the residents. Gunn Road will seek the full Enterprise Green Communities Certification, but at a minimum will commit to obtaining a sustainable certification under the EarthCraft House multi-family program.

The site enjoys proximity to multiple services and amenities and will be located within a short walk (<775 feet) of a Warner Robins Transit serviced bus stop at the Centerville Branch Library. The family site is districted in a Houston County school system, which has elementary and middle school ranked as high-performing schools. Further, the City of Centerville was recently ranked as the 7th happiest city in Georgia based on a study by Zippia.com (http://www.macon.com/news/local/article67599027.html). Lastly, the Gunn Road site is one of the newest, fasting growing neighborhood areas of Houston County / City of Centerville. Gunn Road provides 6 out of 7 DCA's Stable Communities points with (a 7.76% poverty rate, Middle Income, Public Health A-3 sub-cluster, and 20% market rate units).

The Project Team has over 30 years experience in affordable housing, with over 25 properties financed in part with Federal HOME funds. Since 2000, the principals of the Project Team, under Zimmerman Investments, have either developed or acquired over 100 affordable housing communities across 11 states. The Project Team has long standing relationships with major bank investors and commercial lenders to ensure the proposed applications are built to the highest standard, on time and budget, and operated by an affiliated Property Management company with a portfolio in excess of 15,000 units. With the help of a seminational, highly experienced, and P&P bondable general contract, the Project Team is confident in its ability to deliver the proposed community to the State of Georgia, DCA, and the citizens and community it will serve.

	PART (	ONE - PROJECT INFORMA	TION - 2017	-026 Tupelo (	Creek at Town Center,	Centerville, Ho	uston Count	:y		
	Please note:  May Final Revision		cells are unlo		and <b>do not contain</b> refere e and <b>do contain</b> referenc		can be overwrit			Y - Project Nbr: 017-026
I.	DCA RESOURCES	LIHTC (auto-filled from late	er entries)	\$	796,000	DCA HOME	(from Conse	nt Form)	\$	2,000,000
II.	TYPE OF APPLICATION	Competitive Round	,	>	Pre-Application Numb		•	,		17PA-071
	<u>-</u>			1	Have any changes occi					No
	Was this project previously submitted to the Project Name previously used:	e Ga Department of Commu	nity Affairs?	No	If Yes, please provide t		equested below t Nbr previous		iously subi	nitted project:
	Has the Project Team changed?	No If No, what w	as the DCA (	Qualification D	etermination for the Tea	m in that reviev	Qualified v	v/out Condition	ns	
III.	APPLICANT CONTACT FOR APPLICATION	ON REVIEW								
	Name	Timothy Bullard					Title	VP of Develo	pment	
	Address	1730 East Republic Road,	Suite F				Direct Line			
	City	Springfield				_	Fax			
	State	MO		Zip+4	65804-0000		Cellular			
	Office Phone	(417) 883-16 <del>3</del> 32		Ext.	E-mail	tbullard@wi	Ihoitpropertie	s.com		
	(Enter phone numbers without using hyphens, p	parentheses, etc - ex: 12345678	390)							
IV.	PROJECT LOCATION								_	
	Project Name	Tupelo Creek at Town Cer	iter			Phased Pro	,		No	
	Site Street Address (if known)	258 Gunn Road					t Nbr of previo		N/A	
	Nearest Physical Street Address *				00 (0)507	Scattered S	ite?	No	Nbr of Sit	es N/A
	Site Geo Coordinates (##.#####)	Latitude: 32.623981		Longitude: 9-digit Zip^^	-83.696587	Acreage	o =		6.3540	
	City	Centerville		ı	31028-0000		Census Tra		0201.09 DDA?	No
	Site is predominantly located: In USDA Rural Area?	Within City Limits  No In DCA Rui	ral County?	County No	Houston Overall: Urban		QCT? HUD SA:	No MSA	Warner R	No Johine
			,			** 14				
	* If street number unknown Legislative Districts **	Congressional 8		Senate 18	State House 147	Zip Codes	erified by appi	licant using fol		sites: 4/welcome.jsp
	If on boundary, other district:	ŏ		10	147	Legislative Dis	tricts:	http://votesmart		4/weicome.jsp
	Political Jurisdiction	City of Centerville				Website		centervillega.o	ra/	
	Name of Chief Elected Official	John R. Harley		Title	Mayor			<u>J</u>	3.	
	Address	300 E. Church Street			,	City	Centerville			
	Zip+4	31028-0000	Phone	(	478) 953-4734	Email	mayor@cer	nterville.mgacc	xmail.com	
٧.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION									
	A. Type of Construction:									
	New Construction		72	]	Adaptive	Reuse:	Non-historic	0	Histo	oric 0
	Substantial Rehabilitation		0	1	Historic R				•	0
	Acquisition/Rehabilitation		0	]	> For Acqui	sition/Rehabilita	ntion, date of o	original constru	ıction:	N/A

#### PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County B. Mixed Use No C. Unit Breakdown **PBRA** D. Unit Area Total Low Income Residential Unit Square Footage Number of Low Income Units 57 0 62,950 Total Unrestricted (Market) Residential Unit Square Footage Number of 50% Units 20 0 17,450 Total Residential Unit Square Footage 80,400 Number of 60% Units 37 0 Total Common Space Unit Square Footage Number of Unrestricted (Market) Units 15 0 72 Total Square Footage from Units **Total Residential Units** 80,400 **Common Space Units** 0 **Total Units** 72 E. Buildings Number of Residential Buildings Total Common Area Square Footage from Nonresidential areas 2,000 3 Number of Non-Residential Buildings **Total Square Footage** 82,400 **Total Number of Buildings** F. Total Residential Parking Spaces 149 (If no local zoning requirement: DCA minimum 1.5 spaces per unit for family projects, 1 per unit for senior projects) VI. TENANCY CHARACTERISTICS If Other, specify: **A. Family or Senior** (if Senior, specify Elderly or HFOP) Family If combining Other with Family **Elderly** Family or Sr, show # Units: **HFOP** Other % of Total Units B. Mobility Impaired Nbr of Units Equipped: 5.6% Required: 5% 3 75.0% Roll-In Showers Nbr of Units Equipped: % of Units for the Mobility-Impaired Required: 40% C. Sight / Hearing Impaired Nbr of Units Equipped: % of Total Units 2.8% Required: 2% VII. RENT AND INCOME ELECTIONS A. Tax Credit Election 40% of Units at 60% of AMI B. DCA HOME Projects Minimum Set-Aside Requirement (Rent & Income) 20% of HOME-Assisted Units at 50% of AMI Yes VIII. SET ASIDES A. LIHTC: Nonprofit No B. HOME: **CHDO** No (must be pre-qualified by DCA as CHDO) IX. COMPETITIVE POOL Flexible TAX EXEMPT BOND FINANCED PROJECT Issuer: Inducement Date: Office Street Address Applicable QAP: T-E Bond \$ Allocated: City State Zip+4 Contact Name Title E-mail

10-Digit Office Phone

Direct line

Website

#### PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County

XΙ	$\Delta W \Delta R D$	I IMITATIONS FOR CURRENT DCA COMPETITIVE ROUND

The following sections app	nly to all direct and indirect	Owners Developers ar	nd Consultants (Er	tity and Principal)
THE IDIIOWING SECTIONS APP	DIV 10 All Ullect allu illullect	i Owiters, Developers at	iu Cuiisuitatits (Ei	ilily and Philicipal).

A. Number of Applications Submitted:

B. Amount of Federal Tax Credits in All Applications:

1,700,000

C. Names of Projects in which an Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals has a direct or indirect Ownership interest:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest	Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest
Vaughn C. Zimmerman	Tupelo Creek / Ridge	Indirect	7		
Rebecca A. Zimmerman	Tupelo Creek / Ridge	Indirect	8		
Justin M. Zimmerman	Tupelo Creek / Ridge	Indirect	9		
D. Leah Zimmerman	Tupelo Creek / Ridge	Indirect	10		
5			11		
6			12		

D. Names of Projects in which the Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals is partnering with an inexperienced unrelated entity for purposes of meeting DCA Experience Requirements:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Project Participant	Name of Project	
1		7		
2		8		
3		9		
4		10		
5		11		
6		12		

#### XII. PRESERVATION

A. Subsequent Allocation

Year of Original Allocation

Original GHFA/DCA Project Number

First Year of Credit Period

Expiring Tax Credit (15 Year)

Date all buildings will complete 15 yr Compliance pd

B. Expiring Section 8

C. Expiring HUD

HUD funded affordable **non**public housing project

	_
No	

First Building ID Nbr in Project Last Building ID Nbr in Project

A-			
A-			

No

No

HUD funded affordable public housing project

## PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County

XIII. A	DDITIONAL PROJECT INF	ORMATION										
Α	A. PHA Units											
	Is proposed project part of a local public housing replacement program?											
	Number of Public Housing		'	ing tenants:		·			esidential Units		0%	
	Nbr of Units Reserved and	Rented to: F	PHA Tenants w/ PBRA:		Households	on Waiting List:			esidential Units	0%	0%	
	Local PHA Street Address							Contact Direct line				
	City				Zip+4			Cellular				
	Area Code / Phone				Email			Celiulai				
В	. Existing properties: curr	ently an Extension	on of Cancellation Opt	ion?	No	If yes, expir	ration year:		Nbr yrs to forgo cancella	tion option:		
	New properties: to exerc	cise an Extension	of Cancellation Optio	n?	Yes	If yes, expir	ration year:	2039	Nbr yrs to forgo cancella	tion option:	5	
С	. Is there a Tenant Owners	ship Plan?			No							
D	. Is the Project Currently (	Occupied?			No	If Yes	>;	Total Existing	Units			
				_		•		Number Occi	•			
								% Existing O	ccupied			
E	. Waivers and/or Pre-Appr	rovals - have the	following waivers and	or pre-appro		pproved by [	DCA?	0 110 11	D		.,	
	Amenities? Architectural Standards?			ŀ	No No				Determination?	- only \\ \	Yes	
	Sustainable Communities	Sita Analysis Pacl	vot or Foasibility study?		No			Other (specif	Performance Bond (HOMI	<u> </u>		
	HOME Consent?	Site Analysis i dei	cet of a casibility study:		No			, i	Boost (extraordinary circums	stances)	No	
	Operating Expense?				No	If Yes, new	Limit is		>;	,		
	Credit Award Limitation (e.	xtraordinary circur	nstances)?		No	If Yes, new	Limit is		>;			
F	. Projected Place-In-Servi	ce Date										
	Acquisition											
	Rehab											
	New Construction		L	October 1, 20	19							
XIV.	APPLICANT COMMENTS						XV.	DCA COMM	ENTS - DCA USE ONLY			
	olicant submitted for PT qualific											
to the p	roposed development has beer	n provided in the ele	ctronically submitted applic	cation, along wi	tn tne required	rees.						

## PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

1 (	ገለ	/NF	RSI	HIP	INF	ORI	MAT	TON
I. \	ノ٧٧	/   1   _	เงงเ		HIVI	OIN	י תוע	IVIV

A. OWNERSHIP ENTITY	Tupelo Creek, LP					Name of Principal	Vaughn Zimmerman
Office Street Address	1730 East Republic Road, Suite F					Title of Principal	Member
City		Fed Tax ID:	To Be Applied			Direct line	
State	MO Zip+4 65804		Org Type:		r Profit	Cellular	
	(417) 883-1632	E-mail	vzimmerman	@wilhoitpro <sub>l</sub>	perties.com		
(Enter phone nbrs w/out using hyphens	, parentheses, etc - ex: 1234567890)				* Must be v	verified by applicant usi	ng following website:
B. PROPOSED PARTNERSHIP INFORMAT 1. GENERAL PARTNER(S)	TION				http://zip4.us	ps.com/zip4/welcome.jsp	
a. Managing Gen'l Partner	Tupelo Creek Housing, LLC					Name of Principal	Vaughn Zimmerman
Office Street Address	1730 East Republic Road, Suite F					Title of Principal	Member
City	Springfield	Website				Direct line	
State	MO	Zip+4	65804			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(417) 883-1632	E-mail	vzimmerman	@wilhoitpro <sub>l</sub>	perties.com		
b. Other General Partner						Name of Principal	
Office Street Address						Title of Principal	
City		Website				Direct line	
State		Zip+4				Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			-		•
c. Other General Partner						Name of Principal	
Office Street Address						Title of Principal	
City		Website				Direct line	
State		Zip+4				Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail					
2. LIMITED PARTNERS (PROPOSED O	OR ACTUAL)						
	Raymond James Tax Credit Funds	Inc				Name of Principal	James Dunton
Office Street Address	880 Carillon Parkway	, 1110.				Title of Principal	VP - Director of Acquisitions
City	St. Petersburg	Website				Direct line	VI Billoctor of Ataquisitions
State	FI	Zip+4	33716	-0000		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(800) 438-8088	E-mail	james.dunton		ames.com	Condidi	
<b>b.</b> State Limited Partner	Sugar Creek Capital		<b>µ</b>			Name of Principal	Chris Hite
Office Street Address	1819 Peachtree Road, NE					Title of Principal	President
City	Atlanta	Website				Direct line	Fresident
State	GA	Zip+4	30309	-0000		Cellular	
	(404) 343-1062	E-mail	chite@sugard		COM	Celiulai	
3. NONPROFIT SPONSOR	(404) 343 1002	L-mail	critic C sugar	or concapital.	COM		
						Name of Drivainal	
Nonprofit Sponsor						Name of Principal	
Office Street Address		Mohoita				Title of Principal	
City State		Website				Direct line Cellular	
		Zip+4				Cellulai	1
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail					

## PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County

II.	Do NOT delete this tab from this DEVELOPER(S)	s workbook. Do NOT Copy from ar	nother workb	oook to "Paste" here . Use "Paste Sp	ecial" and select "Valu	es" instead.
•••	A. DEVELOPER Office Street Address	Zimmerman Properties SE, LLC 1730 East Republic Road, Suite F			Name of Principal Title of Principal	Vaughn Zimmerman Member
	City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	Springfield  MO  (417) 883-1632	Website Zip+4 E-mail	65804-0000 vzimmerman@wilhoitproperties.com	Direct line Cellular	Member
	B. CO-DEVELOPER 1 Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Website Zip+4 E-mail		Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	
	C. CO-DEVELOPER 2 Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Website Zip+4 E-mail		Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	
	D. DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Website Zip+4 E-mail		Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	
III.	OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS					
	A. OWNERSHIP CONSULTANT Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Website Zip+4 E-mail		Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	
	B. GENERAL CONTRACTOR Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	Base Construction & Management, 620 W. Republic Road, Suite 101 Springfield MO (417) 351-2380	Website Zip+4 E-mail	www.base-cm.com 65807-0000 cody@base-cm.com	Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	Cody Ritter President
	C. MANAGEMENT COMPANY Office Street Address City State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext	Wilhoit Properties, Inc. 1730 East Republic Road, Suite F Springfield MO (417) 883-1632	Website Zip+4	65804-0000	Name of Principal Title of Principal Direct line Cellular	Bob Davidson Member

DAD	T TWO	DEVEL ODMENT TEAM INCODMATIO	N 2017 02	/ Turnala Create at Tayon Ca		uille Herreten Country		
		DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATIO				<u> </u>		
	ab from t	his workbook. Do NOT Copy from a	nother workb	ook to "Paste" here . Use	: "Paste Spec			
D. ATTORNEY		Kendall McPhail, LLC				Name of Principal	Kendall McPhail	
Office Street Address		2828 S. Ingram Road				Title of Principal	Owner	
City		Springfield	Website			Direct line		
State		MO	Zip+4	65804-0000		Cellular		
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.	(417) 883-2828	E-mail	kmcphail@myexcel.com				
E ACCOUNTANT		Duckett & Ladd, LLC				Mama of Dringing	Jared Duckett	
E. ACCOUNTANT						Name of Principal		
Office Street Address		4650 S. National Ave, Suite B-4	14/ 1 1			Title of Principal	Principal	
City		Springfield	Website	/F004 0000		Direct line		
State		MO	Zip+4	65804-0000		Cellular		
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.	(417) 883-6590	E-mail	jduckett@duckettladd.com				
F. ARCHITECT		Martin Riley & Associates				Name of Principal	Mike Riley	
Office Street Address		215 Church St				Title of Principal	Partner	
City		Decatur	Website			Direct line	T ditiroi	
State		GA	Zip+4	30030-0000		Cellular		
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Evt	(404) 373-2800	E-mail	mriley@martinriley.com		Celiulai		
o.								
		Answer each of the questions below				<b>1</b>	(4=0) 000	
A. LAND SELLER (If applicable	le)	KKLN Properties, LLC	Principal	Kevin Bowen		10-Digit Phone / Ext.	(478) 808-3299	
Office Street Address		P.O. Box 129				City	Kathleen	
State		GA Zip+4 31047	7-0000	E-mail kevinbowenc	pa@gmail.cor	n		
B. IDENTITY OF INTEREST								
Is there an ID of interest between:	Yes/No	If Yes, explain relationship in boxes pro	ovided below,	and use Comment box at bo	ottom of this ta	ab or attach additional p	ages as needed:	
<ol> <li>Developer and</li> </ol>	No							
Contractor?								
<ol><li>Buyer and Seller of</li></ol>								
Land/Property?								
, ,								
3. Owner and Contractor?	No							
4. 0	NI.							
4. Owner and Consultant?	No							
5. Syndicator and	No							
3	INO							
Developer?								
6. Syndicator and	No							
	INU							
Contractor?								
7. Developer and	No	N/A						
Consultant?	140							
CONSUITABLE!								
8. Other	Yes	The underlying individual owners of the GP have	e either a direct, o	or indirect ownership interest in the	Management Co	ompany.		
	. 55			•				

## 2017 Funding Application

## PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

V. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION - Continued (Answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.)

#### C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Participant	1. Has any person, principal, or agent for this e	ntity ever	2. Is entity	3. Org Type	4. Project	5. Does thi	s entity or a member of this entity have a conflict of interest with any
	been convicted of a felony (Yes or No)	?	a MBE/	(FP,NP,	Ownership	member,	officer, or employee of an entity that partners or contracts with the
	,		WBE?	CHDO)	Percentage	Applicant?	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and use Comment box at
		]		,	3		the bottom of this tab or attach explanation.
	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and either use						
	Comment box or attach explanation.	Yes/No				Yes/No	Brief Explanation
Managing	·	No	No	For Profit	0.0100%	Yes	The underlying individual owners of the GP have a direct / indirect ownership
Genrl Prtnr							interest in the Developer and Mgmt Co.
Other Genrl		No	No				
Prtnr 1							
Other Genrl		No	No				
Prtnr 2							
Federal Ltd		No	No	For Profit	98.9900%	No	
Partner							
State Ltd		No	No	For Profit	1.0000%	No	
Partner							
NonProfit		No	No				
Sponsor							
Developer		No	No	For Profit		Yes	The underlying individual owners of the Developer have a direct / indirect ownership interest in the GP and Mgmt Co.
Co-		No	No				
Developer 1							
Co-		No	No				
Developer 2							
Owner		No	No				
Consultant							
Developer		No	No				
Consultant							
Contractor		No	No	For Profit		No	
Managemen		No	No	For Profit		Yes	The underlying individual owners of the GP have a direct / indirect ownership interest in the Developer and Mgmt Co.
t Company				T-1-1	400 00000		interest in the Developer and mythic Co.
				ıotal	100.0000%		N// DOA COMMENTO DOA MOT ONLY

#### VI. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

VI. DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY

The Applicant has an IOI with the Developer and Management Company, but no other IOI exists within the project team. While no MBE/WBE entity is currently part of the project team, there will be efforts made to engage the minimum necessary MBE/WBE entities for relevant aspects of the proposed development.

## I. GOVERNMENT FUNDING SOURCES (check all that apply)

Yes	Tax Credits	FHA Risk Share	Yes	Georgia TCAP *
	Historic Rehab Credits	FHA Insured Mortgage		USDA 515
	Tax Exempt Bonds: \$	Replacement Housing Funds		USDA 538
	Taxable Bonds	McKinney-Vento Homeless		USDA PBRA
	CDBG	FHLB / AHP *		Section 8 PBRA
	HUD 811 Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD)	NAHASDA		Other PBRA - Source: Specify Other PBRA Source here
Yes	DCA HOME * Amt \$ 2,000,000	Neigborhood Stabilization Program *		National Housing Trust Fund
	Other HOME * Amt \$	HUD CHOICE Neighborhoods		Other Type of Funding - describe type/program here
	Other HOME - Source Specify Other HOME Source here			Specify Administrator of Other Funding Type here

<sup>\*</sup>This source may possibly trigger Uniform Relocation Act and/or HUD 104(d) regmts. Check with source. For DCA HOME, refer to Relocation Manual. DCA HOME amount from DCA Consent Ltr.

#### II. CONSTRUCTION FINANCING

Name of Financing Entity	Amount	Effective Interest Rate	Term (In Months)
DCA	2,000,000	0.000%	24
Oakstar Bank	8,270,000	4.000%	24
Raymond James Tax Credit Funds, Inc.	669,800		
Sugar Creek Capital	444,600		
DCA	2,000,000		
	13,384,400		
	10,862,610		
	2,521,790		
	DCA Oakstar Bank  Raymond James Tax Credit Funds, Inc. Sugar Creek Capital	DCA 2,000,000 Oakstar Bank 8,270,000  Raymond James Tax Credit Funds, Inc. 669,800 Sugar Creek Capital 444,600 DCA 2,000,000  13,384,400 10,862,610	DCA 2,000,000 0.000% Oakstar Bank 8,270,000 4.000%  Raymond James Tax Credit Funds, Inc. 669,800 Sugar Creek Capital 444,600 DCA 2,000,000  13,384,400 10,862,610

#### PERMANENT FINANCING

I LIXIVI/ XIVLI	*	,				F. CC	_			
E			Name of Electrical English		Deleveloral Assessed	Effective	Term	Amort.	Annual Debt Service in	Lana Tana
Financing T			Name of Financing Entity		Principal Amount	Int Rate	(Years)	(Years)	Year One	Loan Type
~ ~	(Lien Position 1		DCA		2,000,000	1.000%	20	20	110,375	Amortizing
Mortgage B	(Lien Position 2	2)								
Mortgage C	(Lien Position 3	3)								
Other:										
Foundation	or charity fundii	ng*								
Deferred De	evlpr Fee	6.14%	Zimmerman Properties SE	, LLC	106,180	0.000%	13	n/a	47,141	Cash Flow
Total Cash FI	ow for Years 1 -	15:	608,100							
DDF Percent	of Cash Flow (Yr	s 1-15)	17.461%	17.461%						
Cash flow cov	vers DDF P&I?		Yes							
Federal Gra	nt									
State, Local	, or Private Gra	nt					<u>Equity</u>	Check	<u>+ / -</u>	TC Equity
Federal Hou	ısing Credit Equ	uity	Raymond James Tax Cred	lit Funds, Inc.	6,698,000		6,766	5,000	-68,000.00	% of TDC
State Housin	ng Credit Equity	/	Sugar Creek Capital		4,446,000		4,378	3,000	68,000.00	51%
Historic Cred	dit Equity									34%
Invstmt Earr	nings: T-E Bond	ls								84%
Invstmt Earr	nings: Taxable I	Bonds								
Income from	n Operations									
Other:	•									
Other:										
Other:										
Total Perma	nent Financing	:			13,250,180					
	ū		lopment Budget:		13,250,180					
Total Development Costs from Development Budget: Surplus/(Shortage) of Permanent funds to development costs:			0	i.						
	0 ,		ts exceeding DCA cost limi	t (see Annendix I Sect	•					

<sup>\*</sup>Foundation or charity funding to cover costs exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I, Section II).

#### APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY IV.

The Applicant has several contacts for debt and equity financing of the proposed development. All letters of intent / interest have been provided based on fair market estimates as of the application date.

I. DE	VELOPMENT BUDGET					New Construction	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable
					TOTAL COST	Basis	Basis	Basis	Basis
PRE	E-DEVELOPMENT COSTS						PRE-DEVELOR	PMENT COSTS	
Pro	perty Appraisal				6,000	6,000			-
	ket Study				6,100	6,100			-
	ironmental Report(s)				10,000	10,000			-
	Borings				14,000	14,000			-
	ndary and Topographical Surve	У			10,000	10,000			-
	ing/Site Plan Fees								-
	er: << Enter description here; prov								-
	er: << Enter description here; prov								-
Oth	er: << Enter description here; prov	vide detail &	justification in tab Part		1/ 100	1/ 100			-
	OLUGITION.			Subtotal	46,100	46,100	-	-	-
	QUISITION				795,000		ACQUI	SITION	705.000
Lan					795,000				795,000
	Demolition	truoturoo)							-
	uisition Legal Fees (if existing st sting Structures	iruciures)							-
EXIS	sung structures			Subtotal	795,000		_		795,000
ΙΔΙ	ND IMPROVEMENTS			Subiolai	773,000		I AND IMPR	OVEMENTS	773,000
	Construction (On-site)		Per acre:	196,302	1,247,300	1,184,300	LAND IIII N	VEINENTS	63,000
	Construction (Off-site)		1 01 40101	170,002	12,700	1,101,000			12,700
Oito	Sonsit dollor (On Silo)			Subtotal		1,184,300	-	-	75,700
STF	RUCTURES				, , , , , , ,	,,	STRUC	TURES	
Res	idential Structures - New Constr	ruction			6,083,000	6,083,000			-
Res	idential Structures - Rehab								-
Acc	essory Structures (ie. communit	y bldg, ma	intenance bldg, etc.)	New Constr	253,000	178,000			75,000
Acc	essory Structures (ie. communit	y bldg, ma	intenance bldg, etc.)	Rehab					-
				Subtotal	6,336,000	6,261,000	-	-	75,000
	NTRACTOR SERVICES		DCA Limit	14.000%			CONTRACTO	R SERVICES	
	der Profit:	6.000%	455,760	6.000%	455,760	455,760			-
	der Overhead	2.000%	151,920	2.000%	151,920	151,920			-
	neral Requirements*	6.000%	455,760	6.000%	455,760	455,760			-
*See	QAP: General Requirements policy	14.000%	1,063,440	Subtotal		1,063,440	-	-	-
	HER CONSTRUCTION HARD C					OTHER CONSTRUCT	TI <u>on Hard Costs (</u> N	lon-GC work scope i	tems done by Owner)
Oth	er: << Enter description here; prov	vide detail &	justification in tab Part	IV-b >>					-
T of	al <u>C</u> onstruction <u>H</u> ard <u>C</u> osts		A	120,270.00	per <u>Res'l</u> unit	120,270.00	per unit	105.09	per total sq ft
	59,440.00		Average TCHC:		per <u>Res'l</u> unit SF	107.70	per unit sq ft		
	NSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY						CONSTRUCTION	CONTINGENCY	
	struction Contingency			5.00%	432,970	432,970			-
	3 · · J								

I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)		New	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or
	TOTAL COST	Construction	Basis	Basis	Non-Depreciable
CONCEDUCTION DEDICO FINANCINO	TOTAL COST	Basis			Basis
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING			CONSTRUCTION P	ERIOD FINANCING	
Bridge Loan Fee Bridge Loan Interest					-
Construction Loan Fee	82,700	82,700			-
Construction Loan Interest	145,000	142,000			3,000
Construction Legal Fees	50,000	142,000			50,000
Construction Period Inspection Fees	15,000	15,000			-
Construction Period Real Estate Tax	12,000	12,000			-
Construction Insurance	12,000	12,000			_
Title and Recording Fees	14,000	-			14,000
Payment and Performance bonds	11,000				-
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					-
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					-
Subtota	al 330,700	263,700	-	-	67,000
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PROFESSION	AL SERVICES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Architectural Fee - Design	132,000	132,000			-
Architectural Fee - Supervision	30,000	30,000			-
Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000	20,000	20,000			-
Green Building Program Certification Fee (LEED or Earthcraft)					-
Accessibility Inspections and Plan Review	5,000	5,000			-
Construction Materials Testing	20,000	20,000			-
Engineering	75,000	75,000			-
Real Estate Attorney	10,000	-			10,000
Accounting	12,500	12,500			-
As-Built Survey	10,000	10,000			-
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					-
Subtota	<b>al</b> 314,500	304,500	-	-	10,000
LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Avg per unit: 829			LOCAL GOVE	R <u>nment fees</u>	
Building Permits	22,800	22,800			-
Impact Fees	22.007	22.007			-
Water Tap Fees waived? No	22,986	22,986			-
Sewer Tap Fees waived? No	13,904	13,904			-
Subtota PERMANENT FINANCING FEES	59,690	59,690	DEDMANENT FI	NANCING FFFC	-
			PERMANENT FI	NANCING FEES	
Permanent Loan Fees	15,000				15,000
Permanent Loan Legal Fees Title and Recording Fees	15,000				13,000
Bond Issuance Premium					-
Cost of Issuance / Underwriter's Discount					-
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					_
Subtota	al 15,000				15,000
Subioli	13,000				15,000

DEVELOPMENT DUDGET (			New			Amortizable or
. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)	ı		Construction	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Non-Depreciable
		TOTAL COST	Basis	Basis	Basis	Basis
DCA-RELATED COSTS	•			DCA-RELA	ATED COSTS	
DCA HOME Loan Pre-Application Fee (\$1000 FP/JV, \$500 NP)		2,000				2,000
Tax Credit Application Fee (\$6500 ForProf/JntVent, \$5500 NonProf)		6,500				6,500
DCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees						-
LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee	63,680	63,680				63,680
LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee	57,600	57,600				57,600
DCA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000)						-
DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000)						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part N						-
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV						-
	Subtotal	129,780				129,780
EQUITY COSTS	1			EQUIT	Y COSTS	
Partnership Organization Fees		37,000				37,000
Tax Credit Legal Opinion		10,000				10,000
Syndicator Legal Fees		40,000				40,000
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV		.=				-
	Subtotal	87,000				87,000
DEVELOPER'S FEE	. 1		0.17.100	DEVELO	PER'S FEE	·
Developer's Overhead	20.000%	345,600	345,600			-
Consultant's Fee	0.000%					-
Guarantor Fees	0.000%					-
Developer's Profit	80.000%	1,382,400	1,382,400			-
	Subtotal	1,728,000	1,728,000	-	-	-
START-UP AND RESERVES	1			START-UP A	ND RESERVES	
Marketing		22.222				-
Rent-Up Reserves	91,736	92,000				92,000
Operating Deficit Reserve:	238,659	239,000				239,000
Replacement Reserve		45.000	45.000			-
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Proposed Avg Per Unit:	625	45,000	45,000			-
Other: Pre-Stabilization Property Tax Escrow		276,000	-			276,000
OTUED COOTS	Subtotal	652,000	45,000	-	-	607,000
OTHER COSTS	1			OTHE	R COSTS	
Relocation						-
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV						-
	Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL <u>D</u> EVELOPMENT <u>C</u> OST (TDC)		13,250,180	11,388,700	-	-	1,861,480
Average TDC Per: Unit: 184,030.28 S	quare Foot:	160.80				

II. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - BASIS METHOD	New Construction	4% Acquisition	Rehabilitation	
Subtractions From Eligible Basis	Basis	Basis	Basis	
Amount of federal grant(s) used to finance qualifying development costs  Amount of nonqualified nonrecourse financing  Costs of Nonqualifying units of higher quality				
Nonqualifying excess portion of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only)				
Other <a href="#">Enter detailed description here; use Comments section if needed&gt;</a> Total Subtractions From Basis:	0		0	
Eligible Basis Calculation	V		V	
Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost)  Type: << Select>>>	11,388,700 0 11,388,700 100.00%	0	0 0 0	
Adjusted Eligible Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation	11,388,700 78.30% 8,916,899 9.00% 802,521	0 78.30% 0 0 802,521	0 78.30% 0	
III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD				
Equity Gap Calculation  Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL.  Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Comments if TDC > PCL)  Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds  Equity Gap	14,676,336 13,250,180 2,000,000 11,250,180 / 10	from foundation or charital	provide amount of funding ole organization to cover th ding the PCL:	
Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation	1,125,018 1.4000 803,584	Federal = 0.8500	State + 0.5500	
TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:	802,521			
TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:	796,000			
IV. TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION - Lower of Tax Credit Request and Tax Credit Project Maximum	796,000			

DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY

#### PART FOUR - USES OF FUNDS - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County

#### V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

The General Contractor has built several M/F communities similar to the proposed development, and has reviewed the preliminary plans to provide reasonable estimates used for this application. Costs have been adjusted to account for project and site specific site grading needs, scope of work and level of sustainability (Enterprise Green Communities..."EGC"), and additional site amenities.

Applicant has budgeted \$12,700 (635lf x 4' wide sidewalk at \$5/lsqft) for the construction and installation of sidewalks / PPWs adjacent the site, shown above as Off-Site Land Improvements. These costs have been removed from eligible basis. Per discussions with both the Houston County Public Works department, who says the Gunn Road Right-Of-Way ("ROW") is controlled by the City of Centerville, and Mike Brumfield, Public Works director for the City of Centerville who oversees all development activity within the City, when a parcel is developed adjacent a City-controlled roadway the developer is allowed, by right, to construct and install sidewalks within the City's ROW, subject only to submittal of plans and specs to ensure compliance with City requirements. Confirmation of applicant's ability to propose and build these new sidewalks has been provided in the Community Transportation folder of the application. The commitment of funds to construct and install these sidewalks / PPWs is made by the applicant by submittal of this application, is covered by the various LOIs (Federal and State equity) provided, and has been included with the application as required by the QAP. Timeline for construction will mirror that of the overall proposed development (begin near October 2018, or shortly thereafter) and will be installed and completed prior to completion (around, or before October 2019) and beginning of lease-up.

The Architect has been provided all zoning requirements, including any special conditions applicable to the site, for preparing the preliminary plans used for this application. The Architect is also familiar with, and understands the demands and requirements necessary to attain EGC certification. The Applicant is also aware, and capable of developing the site and community to the specifications and requirements necessary to attain EGC certification, and will contract with the appropriate green rater / technical adviser to ensure compliance with the appropriate program(s).

All other development costs are either in compliance with the 2017 QAP threshold and underwriting requirements, have been estimated by 3rd party professionals specific to each service and craft, or has been estimated by the Applicant using best practices and experience from other similar developments Applicant has built.

Federal and State syndication prices are conservative fair market estimates providing for market fluctuations from application submittal to closing and stabilization.

# PART FOUR (b) - OTHER COSTS - 2017-026 - Tupelo Creek at Town Center - Centerville - Houston, County

DCA requires the Applicant provide a narrative for all "Other" development costs listed on Part IV-Uses of Funds. For any amounts shown below the Applicant needs to provide an explanation of the cost incurred by the development. The narrative should be a brief description for DCA intended to justify its inclusion as a valid development cost. Further, if the "Other" cost is included into eligible basis, a second narrative is required describing its justification into tax credit basis. Expand row size as needed to show text.

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Total Cost		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Total Cost - Total Basis		

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
DCA-RELATED COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
EQUITY COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		

Total Basis

## 2017 Funding Application

Housing Finance and Development Division

#### **DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name** Section's Other Line Item

**Description/Nature of Cost** 

**Basis Justification** 

Total Cost

START-UP AND RESERVES			
Pre-Stabilization Property Tax Escrow  Total Cost 276,000 Total Basis -	As per support provided in Tab 01 for Feasibility, the County assesses M/F properties at replacement costs until 3 years of operations have occurred, then M/F properties are taxed using the Income Capitalization approach. This reserve covers the difference between Cost-and-Income taxation methodologies for the 3 years prior to the County switching to use the Income approach using actual income and expenses.		
OTHER COSTS			
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>			

## PART FIVE - UTILITY ALLOWANCES - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County

#### DCA Utility Region for project: North

Note: Elderly allowances cannot be used except at properties that have 100% HUD PBRA and satisfy the DCA definition of "elderly"

UTILITY ALLOWANCE SCHEDULE #1		Source of Utility Allowances		HUD Utility Allowance Schedule					
		Date of Utili	ty Allowances	January 1, 2	017	Structure	MF		
		Paid By (d	check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility A	llowances by	y Unit Size (#	Bdrms)	
Jtility	Fuel	Tenant	Owner	Efficiency	1	2	3	4	
-leat	Electric Heat Pump	Х			39.30	42.56	45.83	49.09	
Cooking	Electric	Х			5.43	7.85	10.28	12.71	
Hot Water	Electric	Х			12.61	16.09	19.57	23.0	
Air Conditioning	Electric	Х			20.49	28.51	36.53	44.5	
Range/Microwave	Electric								
Refrigerator	Electric								
Other Electric	Electric	Х			10.63	14.73	18.84	22.94	
Nater & Sewer	Submetered*? Yes		Х						
Refuse Collection	-		Х						
Total Utility Allowa	•			0	88.4497136	109.744995	131.040277	152.33555	
Total Utility Allowa	•			0	88.4497136	109.744995	131.040277	152.33555	
Total Utility Allowa	nce by Unit Size		Itility Allowances	0	88.4497136		131.040277	152.33555	
Total Utility Allowa	•					Structure			
Total Utility Allowa	•	Date of Utili	Itility Allowances		88.4497136 Paid Utility A	Structure			
Total Utility Allowa	•	Date of Utili	Itility Allowances ty Allowances			Structure			
Total Utility Allowa JTILITY ALLOWAN	Fuel    CSelect Fuel >>	Date of Utili Paid By (c	Itility Allowances ty Allowances check one)	Tenant-		Structure			
Total Utility Allowa  JTILITY ALLOWAN  Jtility  Heat  Cooking	Fuel <select fuel="">&gt;  <select fuel="">&gt;</select></select>	Date of Utili Paid By (c	Itility Allowances ty Allowances check one)	Tenant-		Structure			
Total Utility Allowa  JTILITY ALLOWAN  Jtility  Heat Cooking Hot Water	Fuel <select fuel="">&gt;  <select fuel="">&gt;</select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select>	Date of Utili Paid By (c	Itility Allowances ty Allowances check one)	Tenant-		Structure			
Total Utility Allowa  JTILITY ALLOWAN  Jtility  Heat  Cooking	Fuel <select fuel="">&gt;  <select fuel="">&gt;</select></select>	Date of Utili Paid By (c	Itility Allowances ty Allowances check one)	Tenant-		Structure			
Total Utility Allowa  JTILITY ALLOWAN  Jtility  Heat Cooking Hot Water	Fuel <select fuel="">&gt;  <select fuel="">&gt;</select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select>	Date of Utili Paid By (c	Itility Allowances ty Allowances check one)	Tenant-		Structure			
Total Utility Allowa  JTILITY ALLOWAN  Jtility  Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator	Fuel <select fuel="">&gt; <select fuel="">&gt; <select fuel="">&gt; Electric Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	Date of Utili Paid By (c	Itility Allowances ty Allowances check one)	Tenant-		Structure			
Jtility Allowa  Jtility  Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric	Fuel <select fuel="">&gt; <select fuel="">&gt; <select fuel="">&gt; Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	Date of Utili Paid By (c	Itility Allowances ty Allowances check one)	Tenant-		Structure			
Jtility  Jtility  Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer	Fuel <select fuel="">&gt; <select fuel="">&gt; <select fuel="">&gt; Electric Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	Date of Utili Paid By (c	Itility Allowances ty Allowances check one)	Tenant-		Structure			
Jtility Allowa  Jtility  Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric	Fuel <select fuel="">&gt; <select fuel="">&gt; <select fuel="">&gt; Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	Date of Utili Paid By (c	Itility Allowances ty Allowances check one)	Tenant-		Structure			

We have utilized HUD's most current utility allowance calculation model along with the most current utility rates provided by the site's service providers to calculate allowances for the application. The HUD model has been reviewed and approved by our Property Management compliance department for accuracy.

## **DCA COMMENTS**

#### 2017 Funding Application

#### PART SIX - PROJECTED REVENUES & EXPENSES - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County

I. RENT SCHEDULE Do NOT cut, copy or paste cells in this tab. Complete ALL columns. For Common Space (non-income producing) units, select "N/A-CS" for Rent Type and "Common Space" for Employee Unit.

OME proje					Floating	]	Utility	PBRA			MSA/NonMS		AMI	Certifie
re 100% o	f units H	UD PBR	A?	No	Max	Pro-posed	Allowance	Provider or			Warner Rob	ins	59,300	Historio
					Gross	•	(UA Sched 1 UA, so	- 1						Deeme
Rent	Nbr of	No. of	Unit	Unit	Rent	Gross	over-write if UA	Subsidy ***		Net Rent	Employee	Building	Type of	Historic
Type	Bdrms	Baths	Count	Area	Limit	Rent	Sched 2 used)	(See note below)	Per Unit	Total	Unit	Design Type	Activity	(See QAI
50% AMI	1	1.0	3	800	616	579	89		490	1,470	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
50% AMI	2	2.0	5	1,000	740	685	110		575	2,875	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
50% AMI	3	2.0	9	1,250	854	782	132		650	5,850	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
50% AMI	4	2.0	3	1,400	953	853	153		700	2,100	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	1	1.0	7	800	660	654	89		565	3,955	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	2	2.0	15	1,000	806	750	110		640	9,600	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	3	2.0	10	1,250	1,025	847	132		715	7,150	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	4	2.0	5	1,400	1,144	918	153		765	3,825	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
Inrestricted	1	1.0	2	800	N/A	739	89		650	1,300	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
Inrestricted	2	2.0	4	1,000	N/A	835	110		725	2,900	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
Inrestricted	3	2.0	5	1,250	N/A	932	132		800	4,000	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
Inrestricted	4	2.0	4	1,400	N/A	1,003	153		850	3,400	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
<select>&gt;</select>							0		0	0				
		TOTAL	72	80,400				MONT	HLY TOTAL	48,425				

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> NOTE: When selecting "PHA Operating Subsidy" in the "PBRA Provider or Operating Subsidy" column above, please also then enter a zero in the "Proposed Gross Rent" column above AND include the PHA operating subsidy amount in the "III. Ancillary and Other Income" section below. Also refer to the Application Instructions provided separately.

#### II. UNIT SUMMARY

n		

· · · · · ·	Low-Income		60% AMI
NOTE TO APPLICANTS: If the numbers compiled in this Summary	Unrestricted Total Residential Common Space Total		50% AMI Total
do not appear to match what was entered in the Rent	PBRA-Assisted (included in LI above)		60% AMI 50% AMI Total 60% AMI
Chart above, please verify	Assisted (included in LI above)		50% AMI Total
that all applicable	Type of Construction Activity	New Construction	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS
columns were completed in	Activity	Acq/Rehab	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS
the rows used in the Rent Chart		Substantial Rehab Only	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS
above.		Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse	Total T OO
		Historic	
	Building Type: (for <i>Utility</i> <i>Allowance</i> and other purposes)	Multifamily	1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story Historic
		SF Detached	Historic
		Townhome	Historic
		Duplex	Historic
		Manufactured home	Historic

Efficiency	1BR	2BR	3BR	4BR	Total	
0	7	15	10	5	37	(Includes inc-restr mgr
0	3	5	9	3	20	units)
0	10	20	19	8	57	
0	2	4	5	4	15	
0	12	24	24	12	72	
0	0	0	0	0		(no rent charged)
0	12	24	24	12	72	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	l
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	10	20	19	8	57	
0	2	4	5	4	15	
0	12	24	24	12	72	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
					0	
					0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	12	24	24	12	72	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ö	Ö	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	12	24	24	12	72	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0 0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

Georgia Department of	Georgia Department of Community Affairs			2017 F	unding App	lication		Housing Finance and Development Division			
Building Type: (for <b>Cost Limit</b>	Detached / SemiDet	ached	Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
purposes)	Row House				0	0	0	0	0	0	
,	Mallana		Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Walkup		Historic		0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	
	Elevator				0	12	24	24	12	72	
			Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unit Square Footage: Low Income			COO/ AMI		0	F 600	15 000	12.500	7,000	40,100	
Low income			60% AMI 50% AMI		0	5,600 2,400	15,000 5,000	12,500 11,250	4,200	22,850	
			Total		0	8,000	20,000	23,750	11,200	62,950	
Unrestricted					0	1,600	4,000	6,250	5,600	17,450	
Total Residentia Common Space					0	9,600	24,000 0	30,000	16,800 0	80,400	
Total	<del>.</del>				0	9,600	24,000	30,000	16,800	80,400	
II. ANCILLARY AND OTHER I	NCOME (annual a	mounts)		!	J	0,000	21,000	00,000	10,000	00,100	
Ancillary Income	·	,		8,640		Laundry, ven	ding, app fees, e	etc. Actual pct	of PGI:	1.49%	
Other Income (OI) by Year:		_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Included in Mgt Fee: Operating Subsidy		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Other:											
Total OI in Mgt Fe	ee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement											
Other:											
Total OI <b>NOT</b> in N	/lgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in Mgt Fee:		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Operating Subsidy Other:											
Total OI in Mgt Fe	ee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NOT Included in Mgt Fee:											
Property Tax Abatement Other:											
Total OI <b>NOT</b> in N	/lgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in Mgt Fee:		21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Operating Subsidy											
Other: Total OI in Mgt Fe	<u> </u>	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	
NOT Included in Mgt Fee:	-										
Property Tax Abatement											
Other: Total OI <b>NOT</b> in N	Mat Fee	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_
Included in Mgt Fee:	J. ·	31	32	33	34	35	I			L	
Operating Subsidy											
Other: Total OI in Mgt Fe											
NOT Included in Mgt Fee:	<del>;</del> <del>C</del>	-	-	-	-	-					
Property Tax Abatement											
Other:	Ant For										
Total OI <b>NOT</b> in N	ıgt ree	-	-	-	-	-					

#### IV. ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BUDGET

On-Site Staff Costs	
Management Salaries & Benefits	35,000
Maintenance Salaries & Benefits	35,000
Support Services Salaries & Benefits	15,000
FICA, WC, Bonuses, etc.	22,440
Subtotal	107.440

Management Salaries & Benefits	35,000
Maintenance Salaries & Benefits	35,000
Support Services Salaries & Benefits	15,000
FICA, WC, Bonuses, etc.	22,440
Subtotal	107,440

On-Site Office Costs	
Office Supplies & Postage	10,000
Telephone	5,000
Travel	
Leased Furniture / Equipment	
Activities Supplies / Overhead Cost	9,925
Early Learning Center & myON subscription	3,075
Subtotal	28,000

Maintenance Expenses	
Contracted Repairs	
General Repairs	32,400
Grounds Maintenance	7,500
Extermination	3,600
Maintenance Supplies	7,200
Elevator Maintenance	
Redecorating	5,760
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	56,460

Contracted Guard	
Electronic Alarm System	1,000
Subtotal	1,000

Professional Services	
Legal	2,000
Accounting	9,000
Advertising	3,000
Other (describe here)	750
Subtotal	14,750

Utilities	(Avg\$/mth/unit)	
Electricity	17	14,400
Natural Gas	0	
Water&Swr	38	33,000
Trash Collect	tion	11,100
Other (describe I	nere)	
	Subtotal	58,500

VI.

**DCA COMMENTS** 

#### **Taxes and Insurance**

Real Estate Taxes (Gross)*	41,000
Insurance**	21,401
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	62,401

#### Management Fee:

38,392

573.36 Average per unit per year 47.78 Average per unit per month

(Mgt Fee - see Pro Forma, Sect 1, Operating Assumptions)

#### TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES 366,943

Average per unit 5.096.43

> Total OE Required 288,000

Replacemen	18,000					
Proposed averag	250					
Minimum Replacement Reserve Calculation						
Unit Type	Units x RR Min	Total by Type				

<u>Minimum Re</u>	Minimum Replacement Reserve Calculation					
<u>Unit Type</u>	Units x RR Min	Total by Type				
Multifamily						
Rehab	0 units $x $350 =$	0				
New Constr	72 units x \$250 =	18,000				
SF or Duplex	0 units x \$420 =	0				
Historic Rhb	0 units x \$420 =	0				
Totals	72	18 000				

**TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES** 

384.943

#### V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

Property Taxes were estimated using the he NOI approach and current millage rate as provided in the Feasibility sub-folder of the electronic application.

\*\* Insurance quote was provided by the master policy holder / provider for Applicant's existing portfolio, and support for the amount has been included in the appropriate application folder.

All other operating expenses have been reviewed and approved by the Property Management company, and is consistent with similar communities the Applicant has built, and the Property Management company manages.

PART	SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at To	own Center, Centerville, Houston County
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are	unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.
Revenue Growth 2.00% Expense Growth 3.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors)	6,000 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -1.09%
Reserves Growth 3.00% Vacancy & Collection Loss 7.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one):  Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)	Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: 7.00% > If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:
Ancillary Income Limit 2.00%	Percent of Effective Gross Income	Yes> If Yes, indicate actual percentage: 7.000

#### II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Revenues	581,100	592,722	604,576	616,668	629,001	641,581	654,413	667,501	680,851	694,468
Ancillary Income	8,640	8,813	8,989	9,169	9,352	9,539	9,730	9,925	10,123	10,326
Vacancy	(41,282)	(42,107)	(42,950)	(43,809)	(44,685)	(45,578)	(46,490)	(47,420)	(48,368)	(49,336)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(328,551)	(338,408)	(348,560)	(359,017)	(369,787)	(380,881)	(392,307)	(404,076)	(416,199)	(428,685)
Property Mgmt	(38,392)	(39,160)	(39,943)	(40,742)	(41,557)	(42,388)	(43,236)	(44,100)	(44,982)	(45,882)
Reserves	(18,000)	(18,540)	(19,096)	(19,669)	(20,259)	(20,867)	(21,493)	(22,138)	(22,802)	(23,486)
NOI	163,515	163,320	163,017	162,601	162,066	161,407	160,617	159,692	158,624	157,406
Mortgage A	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(6,000)	(6,180)	(6,365)	(6,556)	(6,753)	(6,956)	(7,164)	(7,379)	(7,601)	(7,829)
Cash Flow	47,141	46,765	46,277	45,670	44,938	44,076	43,078	41,938	40,648	39,203
DCR Mortgage A	1.48	1.48	1.48	1.47	1.47	1.46	1.46	1.45	1.44	1.43
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.48	1.48	1.48	1.47	1.47	1.46	1.46	1.45	1.44	1.43
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.42	1.41	1.40	1.39	1.38	1.36	1.35	1.34	1.33	1.32
Mortgage A Balance	1,909,210	1,817,508	1,724,884	1,631,331	1,536,837	1,441,394	1,344,992	1,247,622	1,149,274	1,049,937
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PART SEVEN	- OPERATING	PRO FORMA	- 2017-026 Tu	pelo Creek at	Town Center	, Centerville, H	ouston Count	y	
I. OPERATING ASSUMPT	IONS		Please Note:		Green-shaded cells a	are unlocked for vo	ur use and <b>contain</b> r	eferences/formulas	that <b>may</b> he overwrii	ten if needed
Revenue Growth	2.00% 3.00%	A		nent Fee Amou		6,000		Mgt Fee Percer	-	-1.09%
Vacancy & Collection Loss		F	Expense Gro	ee Growth Rate owth Rate (3.00	)%)		> If Yes, indic	/lgt Fee Percent cate Yr 1 Mgt F	ee Amt:	7.00%
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%		Percent of E	ffective Gross	Income	Yes	> If Yes, indic	cate actual perd	centage:	7.000%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR	MA									
Year	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Revenues	708,358	722,525	736,975	751,715	766,749	782,084	797,726	813,680	829,954	846,553
Ancillary Income	10,532	10,743	10,958	11,177	11,400	11,628	11,861	12,098	12,340	12,587
Vacancy	(50,322)	(51,329)	(52,355)	(53,402)	(54,470)	(55,560)	(56,671)	(57,804)	(58,961)	(60,140)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(441,545)	(454,791)	(468, 435)	(482,488)	(496,963)	(511,872)	(527,228)	(543,045)	(559,336)	(576,116)
Property Mgmt	(46,800)	(47,736)	(48,690)	(49,664)	(50,658)	(51,671)	(52,704)	(53,758)	(54,833)	(55,930)
Reserves	(24,190)	(24,916)	(25,664)	(26,434)	(27,227)	(28,043)	(28,885)	(29,751)	(30,644)	(31,563)
NOI	156,032	154,495	152,789	150,903	148,831	146,566	144,099	141,420	138,520	135,391
Mortgage A	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,374)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(8,063)	(8,305)	(8,555)	(8,811)	(9,076)	-	-	-	-	-
Cash Flow	37,594	35,815	33,860	31,717	29,381	36,192	33,724	31,045	28,146	25,017
DCR Mortgage A	1.41	1.40	1.38	1.37	1.35	1.33	1.31	1.28	1.26	1.23
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.41	1.40	1.38	1.37	1.35	1.33	1.31	1.28	1.26	1.23
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.30	1.29	1.28	1.27	1.26	1.25	1.24	1.23	1.21	1.20
Mortgage A Balance	949,603	848,260	745,900	642,511	538,084	432,608	326,072	218,465	109,778	0
Mortgage B Balance	,		,	,	,	,	,	,		
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PART SEVEN	- OPERATING	PRO FORMA	- 2017-026 Tu	upelo Creek at	Town Center	, Centerville, H	louston Coun	ty	
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS  Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.										
	2.00%		<u> </u>	ment Fee Amou	_	6,000		Mgt Fee Perce	-	-1.09%
	3.00%		charged by all lend		arre (interdude tetur	0,000	11 1710001	mgt r oo r oroo	mago or Eon	1.0070
	3.00%	F	Property Mat F	ee Growth Rate	e (choose one)	:	Yr 1 Prop I	Mgt Fee Percer	tage of EGI:	7.00%
Vacancy & Collection Loss	7.00%			owth Rate (3.0				cate Yr 1 Mgt F		
	2.00%	!	•	Effective Gross	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes		cate actual per		7.000%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR	MA									
Year	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Revenues	863,484	880,754	898,369	916,336	934,663	953,356	972,423	991,872	1,011,709	1,031,943
Ancillary Income	12,839	13,095	13,357	13,624	13,897	14,175	14,458	14,747	15,042	15,343
Vacancy	(61,343)	(62,569)	(63,821)	(65,097)	(66,399)	(67,727)	(69,082)	(70,463)	(71,873)	(73,310)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ol Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(593,400)	(611,202)	(629,538)	(648,424)	(667,877)	(687,913)	(708,550)	(729,807)	(751,701)	(774,252)
Property Mgmt	(57,049)	(58,190)	(59,353)	(60,540)	(61,751)	(62,986)	(64,246)	(65,531)	(66,842)	(68,178)
Reserves	(32,510)	(33,485)	(34,490)	(35,525)	(36,590)	(37,688)	(38,819)	(39,983)	(41,183)	(42,418)
NOI	132,021	128,403	124,525	120,375	115,943	111,217	106,185	100,835	95,153	89,128
Mortgage A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cash Flow	132,021	128,403	124,525	120,375	115,943	111,217	106,185	100,835	95,153	89,128
DCR Mortgage A										
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR										
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.19	1.18	1.17	1.16	1.15	1.14	1.13	1.12	1.11	1.10
Mortgage A Balance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PART SEVE	N - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Towr	n Center, Centerville, Houston County	
I. OPERATING ASSU	MPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlo	ocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwi	ritten if needed.
Revenue Growth	2.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total	6,000 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:	-1.09%
Expense Growth	3.00%	charged by all lenders/investors)		
Reserves Growth	3.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one):	Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:	7.00%
Vacancy & Collection L	oss 7.00%	Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)	> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:	
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%	Percent of Effective Gross Income	Yes> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	7.000%

#### II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	31	32	33	34	35
Revenues	1,052,582	1,073,634	1,095,107	1,117,009	1,139,349
Ancillary Income	15,650	15,963	16,282	16,608	16,940
Vacancy	(74,776)	(76,272)	(77,797)	(79,353)	(80,940)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-
Ol Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(797,480)	(821,404)	(846,046)	(871,427)	(897,570)
Property Mgmt	(69,542)	(70,933)	(72,351)	(73,798)	(75,274)
Reserves	(43,691)	(45,001)	(46,351)	(47,742)	(49,174)
NOI	82,744	75,987	68,843	61,296	53,330
Mortgage A	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage B	-	•	•	•	-
Mortgage C	-	•	•	•	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.					
Asset Mgmt	-	-		ı	-
Cash Flow	82,744	75,987	68,843	61,296	53,330
DCR Mortgage A					
DCR Mortgage B					
DCR Mortgage C					
DCR Other Source					
Total DCR					
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.09	1.08	1.07	1.06	1.05
Mortgage A Balance	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgage B Balance					
Mortgage C Balance					
Other Source Balance					

	PART SEVEN - OPERATING	G PRO FORMA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek a	t Town Center,	Centerville, Houston County	
I. OPERATING ASSUMPT	TIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells	s are unlocked for you	ır use and <b>contain</b> references/formulas that <b>may</b> be overwr	ritten if needed.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors)	6,000	Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:	-1.09%
Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Loss		Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)		Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:	7.00%
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%	Percent of Effective Gross Income	Yes	> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	7.000%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR	RMA				
III. Applicant Comments	& Clarifications		IV. DCA Comm	ments	
The Asset Mgmt fee is the combined proposed Development Team in Par		uity LOIs provided by the syndicators listed as part of the			
,	•	ME loan in 20 years, as required by the QAP. Any residual , should final budgets and syndication rates warrant.			
					/

# 

I PROJECT FEASIBILITY, VIABILITY ANALYSIS	S, AND CONFORMANCE WITH PLAN
---	------------------------------

Pass?

Threshold Justification per Applicant

Applicant has reviewed and complied with the requirements listed in Threshold Section I. Project Feasibility, Viability Analysis & Conformance with Plan. Applicant confirms that documentation submitted is in existence as of Application Submission day and is complete and accurate.

DCA's Comments:

		PART	EIGHT - TH	HRESHOLD CRITERIA	- 2017-0	26 Tupelo	Creek at	Town Center, Cen	terville, Houst		
											Response DCA USE
FINAL	THRESHO	LD DET	TERMINA	ATION (DCA Use O	nlv)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA		oring section reviews pertain onl n subsequent or future funding ro		ding round and have	
	T LIMITS			(	<b>,</b> ,		no enect of	r subsequent or ruture runding re	ound scoring decisions.	Pass?	
	unts are linked to Rent 0	Chart in Part VI	Revenues &	New Construction and	1		Histori	c Rehab or Transit-Ori	ented Devlomt	1	
	Cost Limit Per Unit tota			Acquisition/Rehabilitation				g for Historic Preservati		Is th	nis Criterion met? Yes
calculated.	Unit Type		Nbr Units	Unit Cost Limit total	」 I by I Init Tyne		Nbr Units	<u> </u>	it total by Unit Type	1	
Detached/Se			0	117,818 x 0 units =	0 Onto 1 ype	-	0	129,599 x 0 units		-	
mi-Detached	•		0	154,420 x 0 units =	0		0	169,862 x 0 units			MSA for Cost Limit
III Detachee	2 BR		Ö	187,511 x 0 units =	0		0	206,262 x 0 units			purposes:
	3 BR		0	229,637 x 0 units =	0		0	252,600 x 0 units			
	4 BR		0	270,341 x 0 units =	0		0	297,375 x 0 units			Valdosta
	Subotal		0		0	-	0	201,010 11 0 11111	0	- '	Tot Development Costs:
Row House	Efficiency		0	110,334 x 0 units =	0		0	121,367 x 0 units	= 0	ı	
Now House	1 BR		0	144.909 x 0 units =	0		0	159.399 x 0 units		ļ	13,250,180
	2 BR		0	176,506 x 0 units =	0		0	194,156 x 0 units		ļ	Cost Waiver Amount:
	3 BR		0	217,443 x 0 units =	0		0	239,187 x 0 units		ı	Cost Waiver Amount.
	4 BR		0	258,414 x 0 units =	0		0	284,255 x 0 units		ļ	
	Subotal		0	_ 200,414 x 0 unito =	0	-	0	204,200 X 0 drillo		- !	Historic Preservation Pts
Walkup	Efficiency		0	91,210 x 0 units =	0		0	100,331 x 0 units	= 0		0
waikup	1 BR		0	125,895 x 0 units =	0		0	138,484 x 0 units	-		Community Transp Opt Pt
	2 BR		0	159,553 x 0 units =	0		0	175,508 x 0 units			3
	3 BR		0	208,108 x 0 units =	0		0	228,918 x 0 units		!	<u> </u>
	4 BR		0	259,274 x 0 units =	0		0	285,201 x 0 units			
	Subotal		0		0	=	0	200,201 X 0 units		=	Project Cost
Elevator			0	OF F40 v 0 unito -	0		0	105 102 v 0 unito	= 0		Limit (PCL)
Elevator	Efficiency 1 BR		12	95,549 x 0 units =	1,605,228		0	105,103 x 0 units 147,145 x 0 units			` ` `
			24	133,769 x 12 units =	4,127,712		0	, -	-	!	14,676,336
	2 BR 3 BR		24	171,988 x 24 units = 229,318 x 24 units =	5,503,632		0	189,186 x 0 units 252,249 x 0 units		!	
	4 BR		12	,	3,439,764		0	,			Note: if a PUCL Waiver has bee
	Subotal		72	286,647 x 12 units =	14,676,336	-	0	315,311 x 0 units	= 0	-	approved by DCA, that amount
				=		=				<b>=</b>	would supercede the amounts
	Construction Typ	•	72		14,676,336		0		0		shown at left.
	hold Justification						DCA's Com	ments:			
	elopment Costs ar ent Cost Limits.	re less than	the cost limit	s for the Valdosta MSA HUD	2016 Unit To	tal					
•	ANCY CHARA	ACTERIS	TICC	This project is designated a	oc:		Family			Pass?	
		_		This project is designated a	15.					rass:	
	hold Justification				and the second section	- 41	DCA's Com	ments:			
			•	nd to encourage community a							
neignborn	ood, and will have	e no leasing	restrictions c	other than standard Section 4	2 income and	rent.					
4 REQ	<b>UIRED SERV</b>	ICES								Pass?	
			l decianate th	ne specific services and meet	the additiona	l nolicies relati	ed to service	s Does Ar	plicant agree?	ļ	Agree
				om at least 2 categories belov						helow for Seni	
				overseen by project mgr	Specify:			nual pot luck dinners			ioi projecta.
,	n-site enrichment		piannou a o	. c. coon by project mgr	Specify:			nce and financial plan			Prop. Mamt.
,	n-site health class				Specify:			with Univ. of GA exten	<u> </u>		
,	ther services app		CA		Specify:	Tourn'y Latin	5	J. J. J. O. CALOII			
,				congregate supportive hous		ents:					
				um of care or service provide			С	n/a - new construction	1		
	hold Justification						DCA's Com				
				residents to create a commu	nity atmosphe	ere. The					

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houst	on County	
	Applicant Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fun on effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	nding round and have	
5 MARKET FEASIBILITY	Pass?	
A. Provide the name of the market study analyst used by applicant:  A. RPRG - Tad Scepaniak		
B. Project absorption period to reach stabilized occupancy  B. 4-5 months		
C. Overall Market Occupancy Rate		
D. Overall capture rate for tax credit units		
E. List DCA tax credit projects in close proximity to properties funded in 2014 or 2015. Include DCA project number and project name in each case.		
	Project Name	
1 2014-023 Oliver Place 3 5		
2 <b>2014-046 Potemkin Senior Ph II</b> 4		
F. Does the unit mix/rents and amenities included in the application match those provided in the market study?	F. Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant  No recently funded DCA tax credit projects exist within 1-mile of the proposed site, nor within the jurisdictional limits of Centerville (the above listed properties are in		
which currently exists.  DCA's Comments:		
6 APPRAISALS	Pass?	
A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?	A. No	
B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission?	В. <b>No</b>	
If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions:  Appraiser's Name:	4)	
1) Does it provide a land value?	1)	
2) Does it provide a value for the improvements?	2)	
3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards?	3)	
4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed unencumbered appraised value of the property?	4)	
C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) years?	C. No	
D. Has the property been:	D.	•
1) Rezoned?	1) <b>No</b>	
2) Subdivided?	2) <b>No</b>	
3) Modified?	3) <b>No</b>	
Threshold Justification per Applicant		
N/Aapplicant has not provided an appraisal as one is not required since there is no IOI.		
DCA's Comments:		

PART EIGHT - THRESHOL	D CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at	t Town Center, Centervill	e, Houston County		
			Applicant I	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (		Scoring section reviews pertain only to the co			
•	no effect	t on subsequent or future funding round scorii	ng decisions.  Pass?		
7 ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS			FdSS		
A. Name of Company that prepared the Phase I Assessmen	nt in accordance with ASTM 1527-13:	A. Kaw Valley Eng	ineering		
B. Is a Phase II Environmental Report included?		•	B.	No	
C. Was a Noise Assessment performed?			C.	No	
<ol> <li>If "Yes", name of company that prepared the noise a</li> </ol>		1)			
<ol><li>If "Yes", provide the maximum noise level on site in</li></ol>	, , ,		2)		
3) If "Yes", what are the contributing factors in decreas	ing order of magnitude?				
<b>D.</b> Is the subject property located in a:			D.	NI.	
1) Brownfield?			1)	No No	
2) 100 year flood plain / floodway?     If "Yes":	within a floodalain:		2) a)	NO	
b) Will any development on	·		a) [ b)		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed as per Threshold criteria?		c)		
3) Wetlands?	,a as per infresticia cinena:		3)	No	
If "Yes": a) Enter the percentage of t	the site that is a wetlands:		a)		
b) Will any development oc			b)		
•	ed as per Threshold criteria?		c)		
State Waters/Streams/Buffers and Setbacks area?	•		4)	No	
E. Has the Environmental Professional identified any of the	following on the subject property:		· •		
1) Lead-based paint? No	5) Endangered species?	No	9) Mold?	No	
2) Noise? No	6) Historic designation?	No	10) PCB's?	No	
3) Water leaks? No	7) Vapor intrusion?	No	11) Radon?	No	
4) Lead in water? No	Asbestos-containing materials?	No			
12) Other (e.g., Native American burial grounds, etc.) - d	escribe in box below:				
No					
F. Is all additional environmental documentation required for	• •		[		
Eight-Step Process for Wetlands and/or Floodplains	•		1)	No	
2) Has Applicant/PE completed the HOME and HUD Er			2)	No No	
3) Owner agrees that they must refrain from undertaking any activities that could have an adverse effect on the subject property?					
G. If HUD approval has been previously granted, has the H			G.	No	1
Projects involving HOME funds must also meet the following  H. The Census Tract for the property is characterized as [C		ority). Racially H.	Racially mixed	Sa	lect>>
mixed (25% - 49% minority), or Non-minority (less than	25% minority)]:	ionty), Nacially	Racially Illixed	~~~	160122
I. List all contiguous Census Tracts:	1. 0211.04, 0201.08, 0201.06, 0401.02, 0401.01				
J. Is Contract Addendum included in Application?			J.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant		A self-section to the control of	.1		. () .
The proposed development is requesting HOME funds, and all re surrounding area, or elements listed above and in the Phase I. P	•			•	
environmental concerns for the site. Should any change occur w				and mororore	prosontrio
DCA's Comments:					

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston C	ounty						
Ар	plicant	Response	DCA USE				
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round scoring decisions.	und and have	-					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Pass?						
8 SITE CONTROL  A. Is site control provided through November 30, 2017? Expiration Date: 10/31/18		Vaa					
A. Is site control provided through November 30, 2017? Expiration Date: 10/31/18  B. Form of site control: Expiration Date: 5. Contract/Option	A.	Yes < <select>&gt;</select>					
C. Name of Entity with site control:  C. Tupelo Creek Housing, LLC		CCOCICCIO					
D. Is there any Identity of Interest between the entity with site control and the applicant?	D.	No					
Threshold Justification per Applicant	L						
The Buyer in the site control document has assigned the purchase contract to the GP of Applicant. While there is an IOI between the Applicant and stated Buyer, there is	no IOI be	tween the Buy	er and				
current owner / Seller.							
DCA's Comments:							
9 SITE ACCESS	Pass?						
A. Does this site provide a specified entrance that is legally accessible by paved roads and are the appropriate drawings, surveys, photographs and other documentation reflecting such paved roads included in the electronic application binder?	A.	Yes					
B. If access roads are not in place, does the application contain documentation evidencing local government approval to pave the road, a commitment for funding, and the timetable for completion of such paved roads?	B.	No					
C. If the road is going to be paved by the applicant, are these costs documented in the submitted electronic application binder and reflected in the development budget provided in the core application?							
D. If use of private drive proposed, is site control of private drive documented by proof of ownership or by a properly executed easement on private drive, and D.							
are the plans for paving private drive, including associated development costs, adequately addressed in Application?  Threshold Justification per Applicant							
Applicant is proposing 3 points of ingress/egress off Old Gunn Road / Gunn Road. The primary site entrance will be at the intersection of Old Gunn Road and Willie Lee light and signalized pedestrian crosswalks and sidewalks currently exist. Applicant has worked with the land owner to develop joint access from the 2 x western points of with full curb-gutter-sidewalk configuration. Upon completion, applicant will dedicate the portion of the road connecting the 2 x western points of access to the County for maintenance. However, the project will have prominant signage at the Old Gunn Rd and Willie Lee Pkwy intersection, with secondary ingress / egress at the other 2 x ac of access have been shown on the conceptual site plans submitted with this application.	f access, a long-term	nd will develo ownership an	p the road d				
DCA's Comments:							
10 SITE ZONING	Pass?						
A. Is Zoning in place at the time of this application submission?	A.	Yes					
B. Does zoning of the development site conform to the site development plan?	В.	Yes					
C. Is the zoning confirmed, in writing, by the authorized Local Government official?							
If "Yes":  1) Is this written confirmation included in the Application?	1)	Yes Yes					
2) Does the letter include the zoning and land use classification of the property?	2)	Yes					
3) Is the letter accompanied by a clear explanation of the requirements (include a copy of the applicable sections of the zoning ordinance highlighted for the stated classification)?	3)	Yes					
4) Is the letter accompanied by all conditions of these zoning and land use classifications?							
5) If project is requesting HOME or HUD funds, does Local Government official also comment on whether project will include development of prime or unique farmland?	5)	Yes No					
D. Is documentation provided (on the Architectural Site Conceptual Development Plan either graphically or in written form) that demonstrates that the site layout conforms to any moratoriums, density, setbacks or other requirements?	D.	Yes					
E. Are all issues and questions surrounding the zoning and land use classification clearly defined prior to this application submission?	E.	Yes					
Threshold Justification per Applicant	L						

The western portion of the site is zoned PUD, which allows M/F by right, and the eastern portion of the site is zoned C-2, which also allows M/F by right. A zoning confirmation letter has been provided in the application, signed by the local representative who oversees zoning compliance for the Town of Centerville. Also, this site is within a HUD designated Urbanized area. Therefore, per HUD guidelines, the site is exempt from protection as unique or prime farm land, as verified by the 2010 Census Urbanized Area Reference Map for the Warner Robins MSA. Accordingly, the local official has not been asked, nor can be confirm this aspect relating to the site.

DCA's Comments:

DCA's Comments:

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town	n Center, Centerville, Houstor	County		
	7	Applicant	Response	DCA USE
	ection reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding	g round and have		
14 REHABILITATION STANDARDS (REHABILITATION PROJECTS ONLY)	equent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Pass?		
<u> </u>				
· · · // · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	elect>>		< <select>&gt;</select>	
B. Date of Physical Needs Assessment (PNA):  Name of consultant preparing PNA:				1
Is 20-year replacement reserve study included?				
C. Performance Rpt indicates energy audit completed by qualified BPI Building Analyst?		C.		
Name of qualified BPI Building Analyst or equivalent professional:				
D. DCA's Rehabilitation Work Scope form is completed, included in PNA tab, and clearly indicates percentages of each ite	em to be either "demoed" or replaced:	D.		
DCA Rehabilitation Work Scope form referenced above clearly  1. All immediate needs identified in the PNA.	·	1)		
addresses: 2. All application threshold and scoring require	rements	2)		
3. All applicable architectural and accessibility	ty standards.	3)		
4. All remediation issues identified in the Phas		4)		
E. Applicant understands that in addition to proposed work scope, the project must meet state and local building codes, D	DCA architectural requirements as	E.		
set forth in the QAP and Manuals, and health and safety codes and requirements. Applicant agrees?				
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
n/a New Construction				
DCA's Comments:				
		,		
15 SITE INFORMATION AND CONCEPTUAL SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN		Pass?		
A. Is Conceptual Site Development Plan included in application and has it been prepared in accordance with all instruction Manual?	ons set forth in the DCA Architectural	A.	Yes	
Are all interior and exterior site related amenities required and selected in this application indicated on the Conceptual			Yes	
B. Location/Vicinity map delineates location point of proposed property (site geo coordinates) & shows entire municipality		B.	Yes	
C. Ground level color photos of proposed property & adjacent surrounding properties & structures are included, numbered	ed, dated & have brief descriptions?	C.	Yes	
Site Map delineates the approximate location point of each photo?			Yes	
D. Aerial color photos are current, have high enough resolution to clearly identify existing property & adjacent land uses, a	and delineate property boundaries?	D.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	San and Providence			
Applicant feels all required site plan information, including amenities and photographs, have been accurately presented with this	is application.			
DCA's Comments:				
16 BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY		Pass?		
A. Applicant agrees that this proposed property must achieve a minimum standard for energy efficiency and sustainable completion as set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual?	e building practices upon construction	A.	Agree	
<b>B.</b> Applicant agrees that the final construction documents must clearly indicate all components of the building envelope meet the requirements set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual?	and all materials and equipment that	В.	Agree	
Threshold Justification per Applicant				·
Applicant intends to develop and construct the units and buildings to meet and/or exceed the requirements for the indicated sus	stainable certification provided with this	application.		
DCA's Comments:				

### PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County

	Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funnous effection subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ding round and have		
17 ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS	Pass?		
A. 1) Upon completion, will this project comply with all applicable Federal and State accessibility laws including but not limited to: The Fair Housing	a A1).	Yes	
Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Georgia Fair Housing Law and Georgia Access Law as set forth in the 2015 Accessibility Manual? (When two or more accessibility standards apply, the applicant is required to follow and apply both standards so that a maximum accessibility is obtained.)	a ,	165	
2) Owner understands that DCA requires the Section 504 accessibility requirements to be incorporated into the design and construction of ALL new construction and/or rehabilitation projects selected under the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan, regardless of whether or not the project will receive federa debt financing assistance (e.g., HOME). This constitutes a higher standard of accessibility than what may be required under federal laws. This means that all projects, including those financed with tax exempt bonds which receive an allocation of 4% tax credits and 9% tax credits-only projects must incorporate at a minimum the requirements of the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards into the design and construction of the project.	] S	Yes	
3) Owner claims that property is eligible for any of the stated statutory exemptions for any applicable federal, state, and local accessibility law? If so support the claim with a legal opinion placed where indicted in Tabs Checklist.	, 3)	No	
4) Does this project comply with applicable DCA accessibility requirements detailed in the 2016 Architectural and Accessibility Manuals?	4)	Yes	
B. 1) a. Will at least 5% of the total units (but no less than Nbr of Units Minimum Required:			
one unit) be equipped for the mobility disabled, Equipped: Nbr of Units Percentage including wheelchair restricted residents? 1) a. Mobility Impaired 4 5%	B1)a.	Yes	
7,	ы)а.		
b. Roll-in showers will be incorporated into 40% of the mobility 1) b. Roll-In Showers 2 40% equipped units (but no fewer than one unit)?	b.	Yes	
Will least an additional 2% of the total units (but no less than one 2) Sight / Hearing Impaired 2 2% unit) be equipped for hearing and sight-impaired residents?	2)	Yes	
C. Applicant will retain a DCA qualified consultant to monitor the project for accessibility compliance who will not be a member of the proposed Project Team nor have an Identify of Interest with any member of the proposed Project Team?	C.	Yes	
The DCA qualified consultant will perform the following:  Name of Accessibility Consultant  Zeffert Associates	1		
1) A pre-construction plan and specification review to determine that the proposed property will meet all required accessibility requirements. The Consultant report must be included with the Step 2 construction documents submitted to DCA. At a minimum, the report will include the initial comments from the consultant, all documents related to resolution of identified accessibility issues and a certification from the consultant that the plans appear to meet all accessibility requirements.	ĺ	Yes	
2) At least two training sessions for General Contractor and Subcontractors regarding accessibility requirements. One training must be on site.	2).	Yes	
3) An inspection of the construction site after framing is completed to determine that the property is following the approved plans and specifications as to accessibility. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved.	3).	Yes	
4) A final inspection of the property after completion of construction to determine that the property has been constructed in accordance with al accessibility requirements. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have beer resolved prior to submission of the project cost certification.		Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	Section 12 and 2		
Applicant will adhere to all accessibility standards required by DCA, as well as hold a meeting with a DCA qualified consultant to ensure plans are developed as indi	cated in this ap	plication.	
DCA's Comments:			

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houst	on County		
	<b>Applicant</b>	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funning of the corresponding function of the corresp	ding round and have		
18 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN & QUALITY STANDARDS	Pass?		
	1 433 1	No	
Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA included in this application for this criterion?  Does this application meet the Architectural Standards contained in the Application Manual for quality and longevity?		Yes	
A. Constructed and Rehabilitation Construction Hard Costs - are the following minimum review standards for rehabilitation projects met or exceeded by the	nis project?	165	
Rehabilitation projects will be considered for funding only if the per unit rehabilitation hard costs exceed \$25,000. The costs of furniture, fixtures			
construction or rehabilitation of community buildings and common area amenities are not included in these amounts.	'		
B. Standard Design Options for All Projects	B.		
1) Exterior Wall Finishes (select one) Exterior wall faces will have an excess of 40% brick or stone on each total wall surface	1)	Yes	
Major Bldg Component Materials & Upgraded roofing shingles, or roofing materials (warranty 30 years or greater)     Upgrades (select one)	2)	Yes	
C. Additional Design Options - not listed above, proposed by Applicant prior to Application Submittal in accordance with Exhibit A DCA Pre-application and	<b>.</b>		
Pre-Award Deadlines and Fee Schedule, and subsequently approved by DCA.	C.		
1)	1)		
2)	2)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant	-		
Applicant will meet and/or exceed the minimum architectural requirements for quality and longevity, as shown in the submitted plans.			
DCA's Comments:			
19 QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROJECT TEAM (PERFORMANCE)	Pass?		
A. Did the Certifying Entity meet the experience requirement in 2016?	A.	Yes	
B. Is there a pre-application Qualification of Project Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?	B.	Yes	
C. Has there been any change in the Project Team since the initial pre-application submission?	C.	No	
D. Did the project team request a waiver or waiver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?	D.	No	
E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Project's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):	Certifying GI		
F. DCA Final Determination	< Select De	esignation >:	>
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Applicant received a pre-application determination stating the project team was qualified - complete			
DCA's Comments:			
20 COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY	Pass?		
A. Was a pre-application submitted for this Determination at the Pre-Application Stage?	A.	Yes	
B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the status of any project included in the CHS form?	B.	No	
C. Has the Certifying Entity and all other project team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for Project Participants?	C.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
No changes to the Project Team have been made since the pre-app, which was Qualified - Complete, therefore no additional information has been provided with the	is full application	າ	
DCA's Comments:			

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston	on County		
	Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding function on effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ding round and have		
21 ELIGIBILITY FOR CREDIT UNDER THE NON-PROFIT SET-ASIDE	Pass?		
	Fass		
A. Name of Qualified non-profit:  B. Non-profit's Website:  B. Non-profit's Website:			
	C.		
C. Is the organization a qualified non-profit, defined as a 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization, which is not affiliated with or controlled by a for-profit organization and has included the fostering of low income housing as one of its tax-exempt purposes?	C.		
D. Will the qualified non-profit materially participate in the development and operation of the project as described in IRC Section 469(h) throughout the compliance period?	D.		
E. Does the qualified non-profit own at least 51% of the GP's interest in the project and is the managing general partner of the ownership entity?	E.		
F. Is this entity a corporation with 100 percent of the stock of such corporation held by one or more qualified non-profit organizations at all times during the period such corporation is in existence?	F.		
G. All Applicants: Does the non-profit receive a percentage of the developer fee greater than or equal to its percentage of its ownership interest?	G.		
1) CHDOS Only: If the nonprofit entity is also a CHDO, is it a DCA-certified CHDO which must own 100% of the General Partnership entity?			
H. Is a copy of the GP joint venture agreement or GP operating agreement that provides the non-profit's GP interest and the Developer Fee amount included in the application?	H.		
I. Is a an opinion of a third party attorney who specializes in tax law on the non-profit's current federal tax exempt qualification status included in the	I.		
Application? If such an opinion has been previously obtained, this requirement may be satisfied by submitting the opinion with documentation			
demonstrating that the non-profit's bylaws have not changed since the legal opinion was issued.			
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Not Applicableno Non-Profit affiliated with this application			
DCA's Comments:			
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE	Pass?		
A. Name of CHDO: N/A Name of CHDO Managing GP:	ı		
B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?	B.		
C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?	C.		
D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount: 2,000,000	D.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Not Applicableno CHDO affiliated with this application			
DCA's Comments:			
23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.	Pass?		
A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition	A.	No	
B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility	B.	No	
C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status	C.	No	
D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP]	D.	No	
E. Other (If Yes, then also describe):			
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
N/A - no legal opinions required for proposed application.			
DCA's Comments:			

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston	County		
A	pplicant I	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding understanding on the corresponding funding funding round scoring decisions.	ound and have		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Pass?		
24 RELOCATION AND DISPLACEMENT OF TENANTS	-		
A. Does the Applicant anticipate displacing or relocating any tenants?	A.	No	
B. 1) Are any of the other sources (not DCA HOME) considered to be Federal Funding?	B1)	No	
If Yes, applicant will need to check with the source of these funds to determine if this project will trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or 104(d).	o) [		
2) If tenants will be displaced, has Applicant received DCA written approval and placed a copy where indicated in the Tabs Checklist?	2)		
3) Will any funding source used trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or HUD 104 (d) requirements? C. Is sufficient comparable replacement housing identified in the relocation plan according to DCA relocation requirements?	3) C.		
D. Provide summary data collected from DCA Relocation Displacement Spreadsheet:	U.[		
1) Number of Over Income Tenants  4) Number of Down units			
2) Number of Rent Burdened Tenants 5) Number of Displaced Tenants			
3) Number of Vacancies			
E. Indicate Proposed Advisory Services to be used (see Relocation Manual for further explanation):			
1) Individual interviews  3) Written Notifications			
2) Meetings 4) Other - describe in box provided:			
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
No residents or tenants of any type will be displaced, or need relocated due to the proposed application.			
DCA's Comments:			
OF AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING (AFFIL)	Pass?		
25 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING (AFFH)	1 4331		
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing plan that:	. 1		
A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each service provider, homeless shelter or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is located?	A.	Agree	
<b>B.</b> Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to persons with disabilities and the homeless?	В.	Agree	
C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintains relationships between the management agent and community service providers?	C.	Agree	
D. Includes a referral and screening process that will be used to refer tenants to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable accommodations to facilitate the admittance of persons with disabilities or the homeless into the project?	D.	Agree	
E. Includes marketing of properties to underserved populations 2-4 months prior to occupancy?	E.	Agree	
F. Includes making applications for affordable units available to public locations including at least one that has night hours?	F.	Agree	
G. Includes outreach to Limited English Proficiency groups for languages identified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area?	G.	Agree	
H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to provide reasonable accommodation for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. Threshold Justification per Applicant	H.	Agree	
Applicant agrees to adhere to all AFFH requirements of DCA and the 2017 QAP.			
DCA's Comments:			
26 OPTIMAL UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES	Pass?		
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
The proposed development will be built at a cost lower than DCA / HUD mandated per unit cost limitations. The contractor is highly skilled in minimizing waste through			•
construction phase to allow for efficient building schedules; and sub-contracts out to the most qualified and reasonably priced professionals while making sure schedule			
down time. The Applicant has extensive relationships with financing providers to garner the most competitive pricings for both construction, permament, and tax credit combined, the proposed development will be built on-time, within the budget, and to the highest quality achievable, all while requiring fewer resources than most similar	•		
DCA's Comments:			

PART NINE -	SCORING	CRITERIA -	2017-026 Tup	oelo Creek at T	own Cente	r, Centerville,	<b>Houston County</b>			
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring secti	KEMINDER: Appil	cants must include	comments in section	ns where points are cr	aimea. becauset er future	o funding round ecoring	a decicione	Score	Se	elf DCA
				n Completeness" dedu		e fullaling round Scotling		Value	Sco	ore Score
							TOTALS:	92	63	3 22
1. APPLICATION COMPLETENESS			(Applicants star	t with 10 pts. Any p	oints entered v	vill be <u>subtracted</u>	from score value)	10	10	10
A. Missing or Incomplete Documents	Number:		•	incomplete document,				1	Α. 0	
Organization	Number: 0 One (1) pt deducted if not organized as set out in the Tab checklist and the Application Instructions								0	
B. Financial and Other Adjustments	Number:	0		risions = one (1) pt dedu			n add'l adjustment.		В. 0	0
DCA's Comments:  A. Missing or Illegible or Inaccurate Documents or	Nbr			Enter "1" for each ite	em iistea beiow Nbr	/.				Nbr
Application Not Organized Correctly	0	INC	OMPLETE Docui	ments:	0	B. Finan	cial adjustments/revis	sions:		0
1		1			n/a	1	-			n/a
2		2				2				
3		3			included in	3			inc	luded in 2
4		4			^	4			inc	luded in 2
5		5			included in	5				
6		6			•	6				
7		7			included in	7				
8		8			^	8				
9		9			included in	9				
10		10			^	10				
11		11			included in	11				
12		12			10	12				
2. DEEPER TARGETING / RENT / INC	COME REST	RICTIONS		Choose A or B.				3	2	0
A. Deeper Targeting through Rent Restr	ictions			Total Residential Units:	72					
Applicant agrees to set income limits at 50% AMI and gr	ross rents at or		Per Applicant	Per DCA		Actual Percent	of Residential Units:			
below 30% of the 50% income limit for at least:			Nbr of Restricte	d Residential Units:		Per Applicant	Per DCA	2	A. 2	0
1. 15% of total residential units						0.00%	0.00%	1	1. 0	
or 2. 20% of total residential units			20			27.78%	0.00%	2	2. 2	0
B. Deeper Targeting through <u>New</u> PBRA			Nbr of PBRA	Residential Units:	Ī			3	B. 0	
1. 15% (at least) of residential un		•				0.00%	0.00%	2	1. 0	
2. Application receives at least 3	points under S	Section VII. Stable	Communities.	Points awarded in	Sect VII:	6	2	1	2. 0	0
DCA's Comments:										
3. DESIRABLE AND UNDESIRABLE	CUADACT	EDICTICS		200 041	Scoring for regu	iromonte		13	13	3 0
	-		adudad in the an		•		raion and aigned DDF2	_		
Is the completed and executed DCA Desirable  A. Desirable Activities	erondestrable C	eruncauon iofm if				-	-	12	A. 12	-
A. Desirable Activities (1 or 2 pts each - see QAI  B. Bonus Desirable (1 pt - see QAP)				UAF)			s from completed current cation form. Submit this	12	A. 12 B. 1	
C. Undesirable/Inefficient Site Activities/	/Characterist	ice	(1 pt subtracted eac	·h)			nd signed PDF, where	various	C. <b>0</b>	
Scoring Justification per Applicant			( ) pr subtracted ear	,,,,		indicated in Tabs C	•	various	J. 0	
There are no Undesirables within the stated distance	ces of the site.	Applicant has pro	ovided data for AL	L Desirables within	the 2.0 mile w	alking / driving, an	d 1/2-mile walking dista	inces.		
DCA's Comments:										

	PART NINE - SCORING CRI	TERIA - 2017-026 Tup	elo Creek at T	own Center, C	enterville, Houston County			
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.  Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.							
	Failure to do so will res	suit in a one (1) boint. Abblication	Completeness dedu	Hon.	TOTALS:	Value 92	63	Score 22
4.	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS		See s	coring criteria for fu	rther requirements and information	6	3	0
	Evaluation Criteria	Competitive P	ool chosen:	Flexible			Applicant Agrees?	DCA Agrees?
	All community transportation services are accessible to tenan	s by Paved Pedestrian Walkw	ays.				Yes	Agrees
	DCA has measured all required distances between a pedestri	•	•	Pedestrian Walkw	ays.			
	3. Each residential building is accessible to the pedestrian site e	ntrance via an on-site Paved F	Pedestrian Walkwa	/.			Yes	
	<ol> <li>Paved Pedestrian Walkway is in existence by Application Sub showing a construction timeline, commitment of funds, and ap</li> </ol>						Yes	
	5. The Applicant has clearly marked the routes being used to cla	im points on the site map subr	mitted for this section	on.			Yes	
	6. Transportation service is being publicized to the general public	c.					Yes	
Fle	xible Pool Choose <u>A or B.</u>							
		ion 1 <u>or</u> 2 under A.				6	A. 0	0
	1. Site is owned by local transit agency & is strategicall	y targeted by agency to			oring criterion, <u>regardless</u> of	5	1.	
	create housing with on site or adjacent access to pu	blic transportation	Competitive I	<b>Pool chosen</b> , prov	vide the information below for the			
OR	2. Site is within one (1) mile * of a transit hub			transit ager		4	2.	
	3. Applicant in A1 or A2 above serves Family tenancy.		Warner Robins Trans	t	(478) 954-2812	1	3.	
В.	Access to Public Transportation Choose only one	•				3	В. 3	0
	1. Site is within 1/4 mile * of an established public trans		http://www.wrtransit.c	om/routes.php		3	1. 3	
	2. Site is within 1/2 mile * of an established public trans	•				2	2.	
OR	3. Site is within one (1) mile * of an established public to	ransportation stop	http://www.wrtransit.c	om/routes.php		1	3.	
Ru	ral Pool							
	4. Publicly operated/sponsored and established tran	, ,			•	2	4.	
*As	measured from an entrance to the site that is accessible to pedestr	ans and connected by sidewal	lks or established p	edestrian walkway	s to the transportation hub/stop.			
	Scoring Justification per Applicant							
	site is within 775' of a regularly serviced bus stop, located adjacent V to be installed by applicant, adjacent to the site in the County righ							
	Community Transportation Option has been provided in the approp	•	be allowed by the	County DOT, and p	onor to completion of the developmen	it. All lilloill	nation pertai	illig to
	DCA's Comments:	nate application folder						
	DOA'S COMMENTS.							
_	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentati	on)	Soo opering oritor	io for further requir	amonto and information	2	0	
_	<b>BROWNFIELD</b> (With EPA/EPD Documentation Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and	,	See Scoring Criter	ia ioi iuitiiei requiii	ements and information	2	U	
	Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance		tion of Liability Itr				Voc/No	Yes/No
	Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included		lion of Liability III				C. N/a	1 63/110
C.	DCA's Comments:	ir the development budget?					C. IV/a	
	DOA'S COMMENTS.							
_	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS					2	3	0
0.	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Change only one See cooring criterio for further requirements		10 Dtc > Mi	n In EF Green Comr	nunitios	3		U
	Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.  Competitive Pool chosen:		Flexible	TILLE GIEER COIN	TIUTILLES			
	•			T_				
	3	of Course 3/3/17	Robert Fink	Zimn	nerman Propertiese SE, LLC		Yes	
	·	of Course	<u>.</u> .					
	An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for developme			ired under progran		, 1	Yes	
	For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitt	ed per current QAP?	Date of Audit		Date of Report		N/a	

PART	NINE - SCORING CRITERIA			enter, Center vine	, rioustori obuilty		
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and So	coring section reviews pertain only to the cor	rresponding funding round	and have no effect on subsequent o	or future funding round scorir	ng decisions.	Score Value	Self DCA Score Scor
	Failure to do so will result in a	one (1) point "Application	n Completeness" deduction.		TOTALS:	92	63 22
A. Sustainable Communities Cer	tification					2	A. Yes/No Yes/N
Project seeks to obtain a sustainable		gram chosen above?				_	7.1. 1.00/110 1.00/110
1. EarthCraft Communities						_	
	es Memorandum of Participation was			located:			
2. Leadership in Energy and Env		•	EED-ND v4)			_	
, . ,	y prepared by a nonrelated third part EED AP that prepared Feasibility Sti	•	< <enter ap's="" here="" leed="" name=""></enter>	>> <= Enter LEED AP 's	Company Name here>>		1
ommitments for <i>Building</i> Certific							Yes/No Yes/N
Project will comply with the programment		the drawings are prep	pared for permit review?				1. Yes
2. Project will meet program thresh	old requirements for Building Sustain	nability?					2. Yes
3. Owner will engage in tenant and	building manager education in comp	pliance with the point i	equirements of the respective	e programs?			3. Yes
B. Sustainable Building Certificat	ion Project commits to obtaining	ng a sustainable build	ing certification from the progr	ram chosen above?		1	В.
C. Exceptional Sustainable Build	_					3	C. Yes/No Yes/No
Project commits to obtaining a su	•		trating that project achieved h	nighest level of certificat	tion chosen above?	4	1. Yes
	sign The proposed building des	sign demonstrates:				1	D. 0 0
	at least 15% lower than the ENERG	SV STAR Target Inde	v?				1
<ol> <li>A worst case HERS Index that is</li> <li>A 10% improvement over the bar</li> </ol>		_		ne Performance Rating I	Method outlined in		1. 2.
<ol> <li>A worst case HERS Index that is</li> <li>A 10% improvement over the base</li> </ol>		The energy savings v	vill be established following the		Method outlined in		
<ol> <li>A worst case HERS Index that is</li> <li>A 10% improvement over the base ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G</li> <li>For minor, moderate, or substant</li> </ol>	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduc	The energy savings vanings van	vill be established following the unily High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by	uidelines. a RESNET-approved F			
<ol> <li>A worst case HERS Index that is</li> <li>A 10% improvement over the base ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G</li> <li>For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant who is the substant of the substant of the substant or ENERGY STAR compliant who is the substant of the substant</li></ol>	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E	The energy savings vanings van	vill be established following the unily High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by	uidelines. a RESNET-approved F			2.
<ol> <li>A worst case HERS Index that is</li> <li>A 10% improvement over the base ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G</li> <li>For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant will Scoring Justification per Applicant</li> </ol>	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduchole building energy model? Baselin	The energy savings v NERGY STAR Multifa tion in energy consum ne performance should	vill be established following th umily High-Rise Simulation Gu aption ≥ 30%, documented by d be modeled using existing co	uidelines. a RESNET-approved Honditions.	HERS Rating software	a requirement	3.
<ol> <li>A worst case HERS Index that is</li> <li>A 10% improvement over the base ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G</li> <li>For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant will Scoring Justification per Applicant</li> </ol>	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduchole building energy model? Baselin	The energy savings v NERGY STAR Multifa tion in energy consum ne performance should	vill be established following th umily High-Rise Simulation Gu aption ≥ 30%, documented by d be modeled using existing co	uidelines. a RESNET-approved Honditions.	HERS Rating software	g requirement	3.
<ol> <li>A worst case HERS Index that is</li> <li>A 10% improvement over the base ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G</li> <li>For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant will Scoring Justification per Applicant</li> </ol>	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduchole building energy model? Baselin	The energy savings v NERGY STAR Multifa tion in energy consum ne performance should	vill be established following th umily High-Rise Simulation Gu aption ≥ 30%, documented by d be modeled using existing co	uidelines. a RESNET-approved Honditions.	HERS Rating software	g requirement	3.
A worst case HERS Index that is     A 10% improvement over the backstrain ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G     For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with Scoring Justification per Applicant //ith the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduchole building energy model? Baselin	The energy savings v NERGY STAR Multifa tion in energy consum ne performance should	vill be established following th umily High-Rise Simulation Gu aption ≥ 30%, documented by d be modeled using existing co	uidelines. a RESNET-approved Honditions.	HERS Rating software	g requirement	3.
A worst case HERS Index that is     A 10% improvement over the bar ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G     For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with Scoring Justification per Applicant (ith the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and DCA's Comments:	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduchole building energy model? Baselin	The energy savings v NERGY STAR Multifa- tion in energy consum- ne performance should draft scoring sheet showing	vill be established following th umily High-Rise Simulation Gu aption ≥ 30%, documented by d be modeled using existing co	uidelines.  a RESNET-approved Founditions.	HERS Rating software	g requirement	3.
A worst case HERS Index that is     A 10% improvement over the bar ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G     For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with Scoring Justification per Applicant Vith the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and DCA's Comments:  T. STABLE COMMUNITIES	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduchole building energy model? Baselin	The energy savings v NERGY STAR Multifa- tion in energy consum- ne performance should draft scoring sheet showing	will be established following the unity High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by the modeled using existing or more than 10 points above the min	uidelines.  a RESNET-approved Founditions.	HERS Rating software	7	and is claiming 3 points.
A worst case HERS Index that is     A 10% improvement over the bar ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G     For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with Scoring Justification per Applicant (Ith the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and DCA's Comments:      STABLE COMMUNITIES     Census Tract Demographics	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduc hole building energy model? Baselin d an Architect the applicant has provided a d	The energy savings v NERGY STAR Multifa- tion in energy consum- ne performance should draft scoring sheet showing	will be established following the unity High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by the modeled using existing or more than 10 points above the min	uidelines.  a RESNET-approved Founditions.	HERS Rating software	J 1	2. 3. and is claiming 3 points.
1. A worst case HERS Index that is 2. A 10% improvement over the bar ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G 3. For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with Scoring Justification per Applicant Vith the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and DCA's Comments:  7. STABLE COMMUNITIES 4. Census Tract Demographics 6. Competitive Pool chosen:	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduc hole building energy model? Baselin d an Architect the applicant has provided a d	The energy savings vinerally strong in energy consume performance should draft scoring sheet showing (Must use data from	will be established following the unity High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by the modeled using existing or more than 10 points above the minum the most current FFIEC census remains the most current following the most curre	uidelines.  a RESNET-approved Fronditions.  nimum Enterprise Foundation	HERS Rating software  Green Communities scoring  Ty 1, 2016)	7	2. 3. and is claiming 3 points.
1. A worst case HERS Index that is 2. A 10% improvement over the bar ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G 3. For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with Scoring Justification per Applicant With the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and DCA's Comments:  7. STABLE COMMUNITIES 4. Census Tract Demographics 5. Competitive Pool chosen: 6. 1. Project is located in a census tract.	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduc hole building energy model? Baselin d an Architect the applicant has provided a d	The energy savings vine NERGY STAR Multifaction in energy consume performance should draft scoring sheet showing (Must use data from phics according to the	will be established following the unity High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by the modeled using existing or more than 10 points above the minum the most current FFIEC census remains the most current following the most curre	uidelines.  a RESNET-approved Fronditions.  nimum Enterprise Foundation	HERS Rating software  Green Communities scoring  Ty 1, 2016)	7	2. 3. and is claiming 3 points.  6 2 2 yes/No Yes/N
1. A worst case HERS Index that is 2. A 10% improvement over the bar ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G 3. For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and DCA's Comments:  7. STABLE COMMUNITIES A Census Tract Demographics Competitive Pool chosen: 3. 1. Project is located in a census tract Less than 10% 3. Designated Middle or Upper Incompleted.	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduc hole building energy model? Baselin d an Architect the applicant has provided a d  Flexible act that meets the following demographelow Poverty level (see Income ome level (see Demog	The energy savings vine NERGY STAR Multifaction in energy consume performance should draft scoring sheet showing (Must use data from phics according to the e) graphics)	will be established following the unity High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by the modeled using existing or more than 10 points above the minum the most current FFIEC census remost recent FFIEC Census Fermost rec	conditions.  a RESNET-approved Fronditions.  Inimum Enterprise Foundation  apport, published as of Januar  Report (www.ffiec.gov/C  Actual Percent  Designation:	HERS Rating software  of Green Communities scoring  ry 1, 2016)  Census/): 7.76%  Middle	7	and is claiming 3 points.  6 2  Yes/No Yes/N  Yes
1. A worst case HERS Index that is 2. A 10% improvement over the bar ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G 3. For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and DCA's Comments:  7. STABLE COMMUNITIES 4. Census Tract Demographics 5. Competitive Pool chosen: 6. 1. Project is located in a census tract 10% 6. 1. Designated Middle or Upper Inco. 6. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT Inco. 7. STABLE COMMUNITIES 8. Competitive Pool chosen: 9. 1. Project is located in a census tract 10% 9. 1. Project is Pool Project is NOT Inco. 9. 1. Project is Pool Project is NOT Inco. 9. 1. Project is NOT Inco.	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduc hole building energy model? Baselin d an Architect the applicant has provided a d  Flexible act that meets the following demograt below Poverty level (see Income ome level (see Demog ocated in a census tract that meets the	The energy savings vinerally strip in energy consume performance should draft scoring sheet showing (Must use data from phics according to the e) graphics) he above demographic	will be established following the unity High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by the modeled using existing or more than 10 points above the minum the most current FFIEC census remost recent FFIEC Census For according to the most recent recent following the most rece	conditions.  a RESNET-approved Heconditions.  Inimum Enterprise Foundation  apport, published as of Januar  Report (www.ffiec.gov/C  Actual Percent  Designation:  ent FFIEC Census Report	HERS Rating software  of Green Communities scoring  ry 1, 2016)  Census/): 7.76%  Middle	7	and is claiming 3 points.  6 2 2 Yes/No Yes/N
1. A worst case HERS Index that is 2. A 10% improvement over the bar ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G 3. For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and DCA's Comments:  7. STABLE COMMUNITIES 4. Census Tract Demographics 5. Competitive Pool chosen: 6. 1. Project is located in a census tract 10% 6. 1. Designated Middle or Upper Inco. 6. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT Ic (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduc hole building energy model? Baselin d an Architect the applicant has provided a d  Flexible tot that meets the following demograt below Poverty level (see Income ome level (see Demog ocated in a census tract that meets the located within 1/4 mile of such a cer	The energy savings vinerally strip in energy consume performance should draft scoring sheet showing (Must use data from phics according to the e) graphics) he above demographic	will be established following the unity High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by the modeled using existing or more than 10 points above the minum the most current FFIEC census remost recent FFIEC Census For according to the most recent recent following the most rece	eport, published as of Januar Report (www.ffiec.gov/C Actual Percent Designation: ent FFIEC Census Report	HERS Rating software of Green Communities scoring ry 1, 2016)  Census/): 7.76% Middle ort	7 3	and is claiming 3 points.  6 2 2 Yes/No Yes/N Yes  N/a
1. A worst case HERS Index that is 2. A 10% improvement over the bar ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G 3. For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with scoring Justification per Applicant  With the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and DCA's Comments:  7. STABLE COMMUNITIES A Census Tract Demographics Competitive Pool chosen: 3. 1. Project is located in a census tract 10% 3. Designated Middle or Upper Inco. 4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT Ic (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS C. Georgia Department of Public Heal	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduct hole building energy model? Baselin d an Architect the applicant has provided a d definition of the provided and t	The energy savings vine NERGY STAR Multifaction in energy consume performance should draft scoring sheet showing (Must use data from phics according to the e) graphics) he above demographinsus tract. (Applicant ans	will be established following the unity High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by the modeled using existing or more than 10 points above the minum the most current FFIEC census remains according to the most receiver to Question 1 above cannot be "Year to Question 1 above	eport, published as of Januar Report (www.ffiec.gov/C Actual Percent Designation: ent FFIEC Census Report es".) Per Applicant	HERS Rating software of Green Communities scoring ry 1, 2016)  Census/): 7.76% Middle ort  Per DCA	7	and is claiming 3 points.  6 2  Yes/No Yes/N  Yes
1. A worst case HERS Index that is 2. A 10% improvement over the bar ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G 3. For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with scoring Justification per Applicant  With the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and DCA's Comments:  7. STABLE COMMUNITIES A Census Tract Demographics Competitive Pool chosen: 3. 1. Project is located in a census tract 10% 3. Designated Middle or Upper Inco. 4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT Ic (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS C. Georgia Department of Public Heal Sub-cluster in which project is located.	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduct hole building energy model? Baselin d an Architect the applicant has provided a d definition of the applicant has provided a d defini	The energy savings vine NERGY STAR Multifaction in energy consume performance should draft scoring sheet showing (Must use data from phics according to the e) graphics) he above demographinsus tract. (Applicant ans	will be established following the unity High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by the modeled using existing or more than 10 points above the minum the most current FFIEC census remains according to the most receiver to Question 1 above cannot be "Year to Question 1 above	eport, published as of Januar Report (www.ffiec.gov/C Actual Percent Designation: ent FFIEC Census Report es".) Per Applicant	HERS Rating software of Green Communities scoring ry 1, 2016)  Census/): 7.76% Middle ort	7 3	and is claiming 3 points.  6 2 2 Yes/No Yes/N Yes  N/a
1. A worst case HERS Index that is 2. A 10% improvement over the bar ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G 3. For minor, moderate, or substant or ENERGY STAR compliant with scoring Justification per Applicant  With the guidance of an Enterprise Qualified TA and DCA's Comments:  7. STABLE COMMUNITIES A Census Tract Demographics Competitive Pool chosen: 3. 1. Project is located in a census tract 10% 3. Designated Middle or Upper Inco. 4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT Ic (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS C. Georgia Department of Public Heal	seline building performance rating? with additional guidance from the E tial rehabilitations, a projected reduce hole building energy model? Baselin d an Architect the applicant has provided a d  Flexible act that meets the following demograte below Poverty level (see Income one level (see Demograted in a census tract that meets the located within 1/4 mile of such a cer lth Stable Communities d, according to the most recent GDP	The energy savings vine NERGY STAR Multifaction in energy consume performance should draft scoring sheet showing (Must use data from phics according to the e) graphics) he above demographinsus tract. (Applicant ansult of the element of the energy of the element	will be established following the unity High-Rise Simulation Guaption ≥ 30%, documented by the modeled using existing or more than 10 points above the minum the most current FFIEC census remains according to the most receiver to Question 1 above cannot be "Year to Question 1 above	eport, published as of Januar Report (www.ffiec.gov/C Actual Percent Designation: ent FFIEC Census Reportes".) Per Applicant	ry 1, 2016)  Census/): 7.76% Middle  Ort  Per DCA <select></select>	7 3	and is claiming 3 points.  6 2 2 Yes/No Yes/N Yes  N/a

Score   Disclaimary DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews patam only to the corresponding pound and have to effect on subsequent of future funding round scring disclaims.   Value   Pathers to do so will result in a none (11 noist "Aprellication Correlationses" disclaims.   Value   Pathers to do so will result in a none (11 noist "Aprellication deligate for two or more points under 2017 Scoring Section 3 Stable Communities, regardless of whether the points are requested?   10   0   0   15   15   15   15   15					er, Centerville, Houston Count	y	
Rank   TRANSFORMATIONAL COMMUNITIES   Choose A or B    10		Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the	corresponding funding round and have n	o effect on subsequent or futu			
Is this application eligible for two or more points under 2017 Scoring Section 7 Stable Communities, regardless of whether the points are requested?  If applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed DCA Negation Plan Cell Cell Stable Community Transformation Plan Cell Cell Stable Cell Stable Cell Cell Cell Cell Cell Cell Cell C					TOTALS:	92	63 22
If applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed DCA Neighborhood Redevelopment Certification included in the appropriate tab of the application?  Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns):    Revitalization Plan   Transformation Plan columns):   Transformation Plan columns):   Transformation Plan columns):   Transformation Plan columns):   Transformation Plan   Transformation Pl			,			10	0 0
Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns):  Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns):  Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns):  Revitalization Plan Transformation Plan columns):  Revitalization Plan Transformation Plan ves/No Yes/No Y							
Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns):  Revitalization Plan Yes/No			•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Revitalization Plan Yes/No Yes/No  a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed project site, but does not encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / county? b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages? c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community? d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities? The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing?  Enter page hotr(s) from Plan  Enter page hotr(s) from Plan>  Enter page hotr(s) from Plan heres  I) Inches page hotr(s) from Plan heres  Enter page hotr(s) from Plan>  Enter page hotr(s) from Plan heres  I) Inches p			•	• •			N/a
a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed project site, but does not encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / county?  b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages?  c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community?  d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities?  The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing?  e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?  e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?  e) Discusses (URL) of Revitalization Plan:  Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan:  Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan:  A. Community Revitalization Plan have been officially adopted by Local Gov:  ii) Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted by Local Government, if applicable:  iii) Public input and engagement during the planning stages:  a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community:  Publication Name(s)  b) Type of event:  b) Celect Event 1 type>>  VesiNo Ves/No  Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No **Celect Event 2 type>>  **Center page nbr(s) from Plan bere- **Center page nbr(s) from Plans **Center page nbr	EI	<b>igibility</b> - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Rev	ritalization Plan meeting DCA stan				formation Plan
encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / county?  b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages?  c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community?  d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities?  The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing?  enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing?  enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing?  enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plan beresent of policies & housing activities?  Enter page							
b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages?  c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community?  d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities?  The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing?  e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?  e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?  f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder?  Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan:  Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan:  A. Community Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted by Local Govt:  adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (Hyrs, #miths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date:  ii) Pelan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site?  iii) Public input and engagement during the planning stages:  a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community:  Publication Name(s)  b) ( <select 1="" event="" type="">&gt;    Select Event 1 type&gt;&gt;   Center page nbr(s) from Plan &gt;   Center page nbr(s</select>	á		te, but does not	•	r(s) from Plan>	<enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>
c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community?  d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities?  The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing?  e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?  e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?  f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder?  Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan:  Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan:  A. Community Revitalization  i) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site?  ii) Revitalization Plan has been officially Date Plan originally adopted by Local Govt:  iii) Revitalization Plan has been officially Date Plan originally adopted by Local Government, if applicable:  iii) Public input and engagement during the planning stages:  a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community:  b) «Select Event 2 type»>	t	b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages	?	b)			
community?  d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities?  The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing?  e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?  e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?  f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder?  Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan:  Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan:  Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan:  Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan:  I) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site?  ii) Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted by Local Govt:  adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date:  by Public input and engagement during the planning stages:  a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community:  publication Name(s)  b) Type of event:  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan >  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan here>  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan >  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan here>  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan here>  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan >  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan here>  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan >  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan here>  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan >  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan here>  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan here>  cEnter page nbr(s) from Plan >  cEnter page				<enter nb<="" page="" th=""><th>r(s) from Plan&gt;</th><th><enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter></th></enter>	r(s) from Plan>	<enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>
d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities?  The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing?  Enter page nbr(s) from Plans  Enter page nbr(s) f	(	,	ng as a policy goal for the	- /	r(s) from Plan >	<enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>
The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing?    Center page nbr(s) from Plan	C		s for achievement of	d)			
e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?  e)		The specific time frames and implementation measures are curre	nt and ongoing?				
**Enter page nbr(s) from Plan here>  **Yes/No Yes/No Y				<enter nb<="" page="" th=""><th>r(s) from Plan&gt;</th><th><enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter></th></enter>	r(s) from Plan>	<enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>
f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder?  Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan:  A. Community Revitalization  i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site?  ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted by Local Govt: adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by the Local Govt?  iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stages: a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s) b) Type of event:  b) ( <select 1="" event="" type="">&gt;  A. 0 Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No I.) N/a  ii.) N/a  iii.) N/a  iii.) Publication Submission Date:   </select>	6	e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?		- /	(A) form Pilot	Fatanasa	aha(a) faana Dhan haan
Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan:  A. Community Revitalization  i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site?  ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted by Local Govt:  adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable:  iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stages:  a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s)  b) Type of event:  A. O  Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No II.)  N/a  iii.) N/a  iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stages:  a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s)  b) <a href="#"> <a href="#"> <a href="#"> <a href="#"> <a href="#"> <a href="#"> <a href="#"></a></a></a></a></a></a></a>		f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder?			r(s) from Plan>	<enter page<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here&gt;</th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>
Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan:  A. Community Revitalization  i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site?  ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted by Local Govt:  adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable:  iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stages:  a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s)  b) Type of event:  A. O  Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No II.)  N/a  iii.) N/a  iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stages:  a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s)  b) <a href="#"> <a href="#"> <a href="#"> <a href="#"> <a href="#"> <a href="#"> <a href="#"></a></a></a></a></a></a></a>	W	ebsite address (URL) of Revitalization Plan:		· <u></u>			
i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site?  ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially Date Plan originally adopted by Local Govt:  adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable:  iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stages: a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s) b) Type of event: b) <a #select="" 2="" event="" href="#sex-No Yes/No Y&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;W&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;ebsite address (URL) of &lt;i&gt;Transformation&lt;/i&gt; Plan:&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site?  ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted by Local Govt:  adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable:  iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stages: a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s) b) Type of event: b) &lt;a href=" type="">&gt;&gt;</a>	A. C	ommunity Revitalization				2	
adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable:  iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stages: a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s) b) Type of event: b) <select 1="" event="" type="">&gt;   Select Event 2 type&gt;&gt;</select>	i.)	Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site?			i.) Enter page nbr(s) here		
the Local Govt?  Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable:  Date(s) of Public input and engagement during the planning stages:  Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community:  Publication Name(s)  Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable:    Comparison of the Community	ii.)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •		<b>'</b>		ii.) N/a
iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stages:  a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community:  Publication Name(s)  b) Type of event:  b) < <select 1="" event="" type="">&gt;  &lt;<select 2="" event="" type="">&gt;</select></select>				• •			
Publication Name(s) b) Type of event: b) < <select 1="" event="" type="">&gt;</select>	iii.)	* *	Tan road monitor and by Loo	ar Covorrimoni, ii appliot			
b) Type of event:	8	,	a)				
	ŀ	( )	b) < <select 1="" event="" type="">&gt;</select>		< <select 2="" event="" type="">&gt;</select>		
	_	Date(s) of event(s):	σ <sub>1</sub>		31		
c) Letters of Support from local non- government entities.  Type:  c) < <select 1="" entity="" type="">&gt;  &lt;<select 2="" entity="" type="">&gt;  c&gt;Select Entity 2 type&gt;&gt;</select></select>	(	,	c) < <select 1="" entity="" type="">&gt;</select>		< <select 2="" entity="" type="">&gt;</select>		
Community Revitalization Plan - Application proposes to develop housing that contributes to a written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific community in which the property will be located.      1. 0	1.		op housing that contributes to a wi	ritten Community Revitali	ization Plan for the specific community in	1 1	1. 0
2. Qualified Census Tract and Community Revitalization Plan - Application proposes to develop housing that is in a Qualified Census Tract and that contributes to a	2.	<del>-</del>			ied Census Tract and that contributes to	a 1 2	2. 0
written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific community in which the property will be located.  Project is in a QCT?  No  Census Tract Number:  0201.09  Eligible Basis Adjustment:  < <select>&gt;&gt;</select>		·			Eligible Basis Adjustment:	< <select>&gt;</select>	

						own Center, Centerville, Houston County	•		
	Disclaimer: DC		opiicants must include				Score	Self	DCA
	<u>Discialmer:</u> DC		iain only to the correspo so will result in a one (			ubsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Value	Scor	Score
		Tandre to do	so will result in a one (	Tr boilt - Abbitcation	Combination and a deduction	TOTALS:	92	63	22
B. Co	mmunity Trans	sformation Plan					6	в. 0	
		eference an existing Community Revit	alization Plan meeti	ng DCA standards	?			N/a	
1.	Community-Ba	ased Team					2	1. 0	
	nmunity-Based D		Select at least tv	vo out of the three	options (i. ii and iii)	) in "a" below, or "b").		0	
	Entity Name	(==)			Website				
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email			Yes/N	o Yes/No
a) <i>i</i>						serve the area around the development (proposed or	1	▶ N/a	
	existing elsewhe	ere) in the last two years and can docu	ument that these pa	rtnerships have me	asurably improved	community or resident outcomes.	ı	IV/a	
	CBO 1 Name				Purpose:				of Support
	, ,	hborhd where partnership occurred			Website				luded?
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email			N/a	10
	CBO 2 Name	the deleter to the second seco			Purpose:				of Support
		ghborhd where partnership occurred	Discoul Line		Website			N/a	luded?
;;	Contact Name	years, the CPD has participated or le	Direct Line	ition honofitting oith	Email	Neighborhood or 2) a targeted area surrounding their		ii.	
".		another Georgia community. Use con		-	•			" N/a	
					7 7 7 1 1 1 1	7 - 3 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 -			
			•	•	•	t for Proposal or similar public bid process.		iii. <b>N/</b> a	
or b)	The Project Tea	am received a HOME consent for the p	proposed property a	nd was designated	as a CHDO.			b) <b>N/a</b>	
Cor	nmunity Quarterb	pack (CQB)	See QAP for req	uirements.		CQE	3 1	0	
i.			•		•	efined Neighborhood, as delineated by the Community	Enter pag	e N/a	
		Plan, to increase residents' access to					nbr(s) her	е	
		confirming their partnership with Pro	ject Team to serve	as CQB is included		cation binder where indicated by Tabs Checklist?		N/a	
iii.	CQB Name		T		Website				
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email		4		
2.	Quality Transfo						4	2. 0	
- \		Team has completed Community Eng	agement and Outre	ach prior to Applica		Family		N/a	
a)	Public and Priva	5 5	Transformation Dar	tnor tunos vubilo C	Tenancy:			N/a	
,	Transformation	· · · — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		ther types, while Se	enior Applicants m	ust engage at least <u>one</u> . <u>Applicant agrees?</u> Date of Public Meeting 1 between Partners		N/a	
1.	Org Name	Select Haristoffiati	on Farmer type>			Date(s) of publication of meeting notice			
	Website					Publication(s)			
	Contact Name		Direct Line			Social Media			
	Email		Direct Line			Mtg Locatn			
	Role					Which Partners were present at Public Mtg 1 between	Partners?		
ii	Transformation	Partner 2 < Select Transformati	on Prtnr type>		If "Other" Type,	Date of Public Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs			
".	Org Name	Societ Harbitinati	/iii iypo>		specify below:	Date(s) of publication of meeting notice			
	Website				.,,	Publication(s)			
	Contact Name		Direct Line			Social Media			
	Email					Mtg Locatn			
	Role				-	Which Partners were present at Public Mtg 2 between	Partners?		

DARTAUNE	COORING CRITERIA 2017 200 Turnels Creek at Taure Contact Contact Head of Contact	
	SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston Count	<u> </u>
	on reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Score Self DCA
Discidifici.	Value Score Score	
	92 63 22	
b) Citizen Outreach Choose	either "I" or "ii" below for (b).	Yes/No Yes/No
•	plank survey and itemized summary of results included in corresponding tab in application binder?	i. N/a
	espondents	
ii. Public Meetings		ii. N/a
Meeting 1 Date	Dates: Mtg 2 Mtg Notice Publicati	
Date(s) of publication of Meeting 1 notice	Public Mtg 2 rqmt met by req'd public mtg between Ti	
Publication(s)	Publication(s)	
Social Media	Social Media	
Meeting Location	Mtg Locatn	
Copy(-ies) of published notices provided		
	int format below the top 5 challenges preventing this community from accessing local resources (according to feedback from	m the low income population to be
,	als and solutions for the Transformation Team and Partners to address:	
i. Local Population Challenge 1		
Goal for increasing residents' access		
Solution and Who Implements		
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access		
Solution and Who Implements		
ii. Local Population Challenge 2		
Goal for increasing residents' access		
Solution and Who Implements		
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access		
Solution and Who Implements		
iii. Local Population Challenge 3		
Goal for increasing residents' access		
Solution and Who Implements		
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access		
Solution and Who Implements		
iv. Local Population Challenge 4		
Goal for increasing residents' access		
Solution and Who Implements		
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access		
Solution and Who Implements		
v. Local Population Challenge 5		
Goal for increasing residents' access		
Solution and Who Implements		
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access		
Solution and Who Implements		

						own Center, Centervill	e, Houston County				
Disability on DOA Thousan				comments in section			ala a de alabara	Score		Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Thresi				nding funding round an  1) point "Application		bsequent or future funding round sco	iring decisions.	Value			Score
	F.	mure to do so t	wiii resuit iii a one t	n boint Aboncanon	Combleteness dedu	Liton.	TOTALS:	92	_	63	22
C. Community Investment							. •	4		0	
Community Investment     Community Improven		Amount / Bala	2000		1	Fami	lv	4	1	0	
Source	ient runa - F	AITIOUTIL / Bala	ince		Bank Name	raiii	ıy	1 '			
Contact			Direct Line		Account Name			Applicants:			
Email			Direct Line		Bank Website			Community	Improvm	nt Narr" t	.ab
Bank Contact			Direct Line		Contact Email			provided.			
Description of			Direct Line	<u> </u>	Contact Linaii						
Use of Funds											
000 01.1 01.100											
Narrative of											
how the											
secured funds											
support the											
Community											
Revitalization											
Plan or											
Community											
Transformation											
Plan.											
2. Long-term Ground Le								1	2.	0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						costs for the entire property?				N/a	
<ul><li>b) No funds other than wh</li></ul>		e Application	have been or will	be paid for the lea	se either directly o	indirectly?				N/a	
3. Third-Party Capital In	vestment					Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible	_ 2	3.	0	
Unrelated Third-Party N	lame										
Unrelated Third-Party T	уре					<select 3rd="" party="" td="" ty<="" unrelated=""><td>pe&gt;</td><td>Improvem</td><td>ent Co</td><td>mpletic</td><td>n Date</td></select>	pe>	Improvem	ent Co	mpletic	n Date
Is 3rd party investment	•	•	•	•	3 yrs prior to Appli	cation Submission?					
Distance from proposed	d project site in mile:	s, rounded up	to the next tenth	of a mile		miles					
Description of Investme	nt or										
Funding Mechanism											
Description of Investme	nt's										
Furtherance of Plan											
Description of how the											
investment will serve th	e										
tenant base for the prop											
development											
Full Cost of Improvement						Total Development Costs (TD	OC):				
as a Percent of TDC:	0.0	000%	0.0	000%	1	13 250 180	- /				

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County						
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	core		Self	DCA		
Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.						
	92		63	22		
D. Community Designations (Choose only one.)	10	D.	0			
1. HUD Choice Neighborhood Implementation (CNI) Grant		1.	N/a			
2. Purpose Built Communities		2.	N/a			
Scoring Justification per Applicant						
N/Anot seeking, nor eligible to see points under Transformational Communities.						
DCA's Comments:						
9. PHASED DEVELOPMENTS / PREVIOUS PROJECTS (choose A or B)	4		3	0		
Competitive Pool chosen: Flexible	•	<u> </u>				
A. Phased Developments Phased Development? No N/A	3	A.	0			
1. Application is in the Flexible Pool and the proposed project is part of a Phased Development in which one or more phases received an allocation of 9% tax credits within the	e past	1.	N/a			
five (5) funding rounds (only the second and third phase of a project may receive these points) and at least one phase has commenced construction per that allocation by the						
2017 Application Submission deadline?						
If Yes, indicate DCA Project Nbr and Project Name of the first phase: Number: Name						
If current application is for third phase, indicate for second phase:  Number:  Name						
2. Was the community originally designed as one development with different phases?		2.	N/a			
3. Are any other phases for this project also submitted during the current funding round?		3.	N/a			
4. Was site control over the entire site (including all phases) in place when the initial phase was closed?		4.	N/a			
B. Previous Projects (Flexible Pool) (choose 1 or 2)	3	В.	3	0		
The proposed development site is not within a 1-mile radius of a Georgia Housing Credit development that has received an award in the last		•—				
1. Five (5) DCA funding cycles	3	1.	3			
OR 2. Four (4) DCA funding cycles		2.				
C. Previous Projects (Rural Pool) (choose 1 or 3)		c.	0	0		
The proposed development site is within a Local Government boundary which has not received an award of 9% Credits:	-	_				
, , , , ,	3	1.				
2. Since the 2000 DCA Housing Credit Competitive Round (additional point)		2.				
OR 3. Within the last Four (4) DCA funding cycles		3.				
Scoring Justification per Applicant	_	o				
No previous DCA competitively funded developments are located within a 1-mile radius of the proposed application site, nor within the jurisdictional limits of the Town of Centerville.						
DCA's Comments:						
10. MARKET CHARACTERISTICS	2		2	0		
For DCA determination:		Y	'es/No	Yes/No		
A. Are more than two DCA funded projects in the primary market area which have physical occupancy rates of less than 90 percent and which compete for the same tenant		A.	No			
base as the proposed project?						
<b>B.</b> Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the proposed market which could detrimentally affect the long term viability of the proposed project and the proposed tenant population?		B.	No			
		C.	No			
<ul><li>C. Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker than projected?</li><li>D. Is the capture rate of a specific bedroom type and market segment over 55%?</li></ul>						
Scoring Justification per Applicant		D.	No			
A strong market exists for the proposed development, and unit mix and rent structures have been carefully analyzed to ensure proper fit within the local MSA.						
DCA's Comments:						
25.10 COMMINION.						

11. EXTENDED AFFORDABILITY COMMITMENT (choose only one) 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0										
Disclaims (Inc.A. Threshold and Scring section reviews parkin may to the corresponding funding round of where to effect on subsequent or future funding round scring decision.    TOTALS: 92	PART NI					Town Center, Centerville, Ho	ouston County			
11. EXTENDED AFFORDABILITY COMMITMENT (choose only one) 11. A 1	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scorin	g section reviews perta	in only to the correspo	onding funding round a	and have no effect on s		cisions.			
A Walvier of Qualified Contract Right Applicant agrees to frozep coancellation option for at least 5 yrs after close of Compliance period?  Figure 1. The proper to the proper contract of the part of the proper to the proper deplicant commits to a plan for tenant ownership at end of compliance period (only applies to single family units).  DCA's Communities  12. EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT  NIA  Nonprofit Stasside selection from Project Information to the project?  Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?  Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?  Is this with PROFIT Assessment form this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?  Is the project and take the project of the project?  Is the project point as seed to project of the project of		Failule to do St	i wiii resuit iii a one	O DOINE ADDIICANOL	n Combleteness ded	uction.	TOTALS:	92		1
A Walvier of Qualified Contract Right Applicant agrees to frozep coancellation option for at least 5 yrs after close of Compliance period?  Figure 1. The proper to the proper contract of the part of the proper to the proper deplicant commits to a plan for tenant ownership at end of compliance period (only applies to single family units).  DCA's Communities  12. EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT  NIA  Nonprofit Stasside selection from Project Information to the project?  Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?  Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?  Is this with PROFIT Assessment form this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?  Is the project and take the project of the project?  Is the project point as seed to project of the project of	11. FXTENDED AFFORDABILITY	COMMITMENT	•	(choose only o	one)			1	1	0
Para	_			(,	,			1		
Applicant commits to a plan for tenant ownership at end of compliance period (only applies to single family units).    Comments:			yrs after close of	Compliance period	l?				Yes	
12. EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT  No Norportit Settaside selection from Project Information tab: Is the applicant claiming these points for this project? Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round? Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the required documentation included in the appropriate tab of the application?  DCA's Comments:  13. RURAL PRIORITY  Competitive Pool:  Each Applicant will be limited to claiming these points for one Rural project in which they have a direct or indirect interest and which involves 80 or fewer units. Failure by the Applicant to designate these points to only one qualified project will result in no points being awarded.  Project Tuple Creek Housing, LLC  0.0000% 0.00000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.00000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000% 0.0000	B. Tenant Ownership	-						1	В.	
12. EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT  NOPPORTS Setaisde selection from Project Information tab:  No Nonprofit Setaisde selection from Project Information tab:  Is the happlication from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?  Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the required documentation included in the appropriate tab of the application?  DCA'S Comments:  13. RURAL PRIORITY  Competitive Pool:  Flexible  (NOTE: Only Rural Pool applicants are eligible!) Urban or Rural:  Urban  2  Leach Applicant of tealming these points for one Rural project in which they have a direct or indirect interest and which involves 80 or fewer units. Failure by the Unit Total  Uni	Applicant commits to a plan for tenant ownership at end of compliance period (only applies to single family units).									
Nonprofit Setaside selection from Project Information tab:  Is this is the applicant claiming these points for this project?  Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?  Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the required documentation included in the appropriate tab of the application?  DCA's Comments:  13. RURAL PRIORITY  Competitive Pool:  Flexible  (NOTE: Only Rural Pool applicants are eligible!)  Urban or Rural:  Urban  Z  Load Applicant will be limited to claiming these points for one Rural: project in which they have a direct or indirect interest and which involves 80 or fewer units. Failure by the Applicant to designate these points to only one qualified project will result in no points being awarded.  Note:  Tupelo Creek Housing: LLC  0.0100% Vaught Zimmerman  Nisport  0.0000% 0  0.0	DCA's Comments:									
Nonprofit Setaside selection from Project Information tab:  Is this is the applicant claiming these points for this project?  Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?  Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the required documentation included in the appropriate tab of the application?  DCA's Comments:  13. RURAL PRIORITY  Competitive Pool:  Flexible  (NOTE: Only Rural Pool applicants are eligible!)  Urban or Rural:  Urban  Z  Load Applicant will be limited to claiming these points for one Rural: project in which they have a direct or indirect interest and which involves 80 or fewer units. Failure by the Applicant to designate these points to only one qualified project will result in no points being awarded.  Note:  Tupelo Creek Housing: LLC  0.0100% Vaught Zimmerman  Nisport  0.0000% 0  0.0										
Is the applicant claiming these points for this project?  Is the NonProfit Assessment from and the required documentation included in the appropriate tab of the application?  Is the NonProfit Assessment from and the required documentation included in the appropriate tab of the application?  Is the NonProfit Assessment from and the required documentation included in the appropriate tab of the applicants are eligible!) Urban or Rural: Urban	12. EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT			N/A				3		
Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?   Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the required documentation included in the appropriate tab of the application?   DCA's Comments:   13. RURAL PRIORITY   Competitive Pool:   Flexible   (NOTE: Only Rural Pool applicants are eligible!)   Urban or Rural:   Urban	Nonprofit Setaside selection from Project	Information tab:		No					Yes/No	Yes/No
Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the required documentation included in the application?    Comments:	Is the applicant claiming these points for	this project?							N/a	
DCA's Comments:    Survail Priority   Competitive Pool:   Flexible   (NOTE: Only Rural Pool applicants are eligible!)   Urban or Rural:   Urban   2	Is this is the only application from this no	n-profit requesting	these points in thi	s funding round?					N/a	
13. RURAL PRIORITY Competitive Pool: Flexible (NOTE: Only Rural Pool applicants are eligiblet) Urban or Rural: Urban 2  Each Applicant will be limited to claiming these points for one Rural project in which they have a direct or indirect interest and which involves 80 or fewer units. Failure by the Applicant to designate these points to only one qualified project will result in no points being awarded.  MCP Tupelo Creek Housing, LLC 0.0100% Vaughn Zimmerman NPSports 0 0.0000% 0 0.0	Is the NonProfit Assessment form and th	e required docume	ntation included in	the appropriate ta	ab of the applicatio	n?			N/a	
Each Applicant will be limited to claiming these points for one Rural project will result in no points being awarded.    Applicant to designate these points to only one qualified project will result in no points being awarded.	DCA's Comments:									
Each Applicant will be limited to claiming these points for one Rural project will result in no points being awarded.    Applicant to designate these points to only one qualified project will result in no points being awarded.										
Applicant to designate these points to only one qualified project will result in no points being awarded.  MCP Tuple Creek Housing, LLC 0.0100% Vaughn Zimmerman NPSporss 0 0.0000% 0 CPI 0 0.0000% 0 0.00000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.0000% 0 0.00000% 0 0.00000% 0 0.00000% 0 0.00000% 0 0.00000% 0 0.00000% 0 0.00000% 0 0.00000% 0 0.00000% 0 0.00000% 0 0.000000% 0 0.000000% 0 0.00000000	13. RURAL PRIORITY Cor	npetitive Pool:	Flexible	(NOTE: Only Ru	ural Pool applicants	s are eligible!) Urban or Rural: Url	oan	2		
MCP Tupelo Creek Housing, LLC 0.0100% Vaughn Zimmerman NPSports 0 0.0000% 0 0 0 0		•		-		st and which involves 80 or fewer unit	s. Failure by the	Unit Total	72	
OGP1 0 0 0,0000% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			•	· ·		0	0.0000%	0		
Own Corns   Own			· ·	G. 1					meri	
Fed LP Raymond James Tax Credit Funds, 98.9900% James Dunton Developmit Consult 0 0.0000% 0 State LP Sugar Creek Capital 1.0000% Chris Hite  Scoring Justification per Applicant  DCA's Comments:  NAsite is not in an eligible rural area  14. DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES  A. Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GICH)  Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Community Housing team that clearly:  1. Identifies the project as located within their GICH community:  2. Is indicative of the community's affordable housing goals  3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives of the GICH Plan  4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ University of Georgia Housing and Demographic Research Center as of 5/1/17?  5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years  NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in that community shall be awarded this point.  B. Designated Military Zones  Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).  City: Centerville County: Houston QCT? No Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant  DCA's Comments:	OGP2 0	0.0000%	0		Co-Developer 1	0	0.0000%	0		
State LP Sugar Creek Capital 1.000% Chris Hite    Scoring Justification per Applicant		0.0000%			Co-Developer 2	*		-		
Scoring Justification per Applicant  N/Asite is not in an eligible rural area  14. DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES  A. Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GICH)  Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Community Housing team that clearly:  1. Identifies the project as located within their GICH community:  2. Is indicative of the community's affordable housing goals  3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives of the GICH Plan  4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ University of Georgia Housing and Demographic Research Center as of 5/1/17?  5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years  NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in that community shall be awarded this point.  B. Designated Military Zones  http://www.dca.stale.ga.us/economic/DevelopmentTools/programs/military/Zones asp  Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).  City: Centerville  County: Houston  QCT? No Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant  DCA's Comments:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Developmt Consult	0	0.0000%	0		
NAsite is not in an eligible rural area  14. DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES  A. Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GICH)  Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Community Housing team that clearly:  1. Identifies the project as located within their GICH community:  2. Is indicative of the community's affordable housing goals  3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives of the GICH Plan  4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ University of Georgia Housing and Demographic Research Center as of 5/1/17?  5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years  NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in that community shall be awarded this point.  B. Designated Military Zones  Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).  City: Centerville County: Houston QCT? No Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant  DCA's Comments:		1.0000%	Chris Hite			DCA's Comments:				
14. DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES  A. Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GICH)  Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Community Housing team that clearly:  1. Identifies the project as located within their GICH community:  2. Is indicative of the community's affordable housing goals  3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives of the GICH Plan  4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ University of Georgia Housing and Demographic Research Center as of 5/1/17?  5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years  NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in that community shall be awarded this point.  B. Designated Military Zones  Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).  City: Centerville County: Houston QCT? No Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant  2						DOA'S COMMENS.				
A. Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GICH)  Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Community Housing team that clearly:  1. Identifies the project as located within their GICH community:  2. Is indicative of the community's affordable housing goals  3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives of the GICH Plan  4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ University of Georgia Housing and Demographic Research Center as of 5/1/17?  5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years  NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in that community shall be awarded this point.  B. Designated Military Zones  http://www.dca.stale.ga.us/economic/DevelopmentTools/programs/militaryZones.asp  Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).  City: Centerville  County: Houston  QCT? No  Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant  DCA's Comments:		3						2	0	0
Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Community Housing team that clearly:  1. Identifies the project as located within their GICH community:  2. Is indicative of the community's affordable housing goals  3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives of the GICH Plan  4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ University of Georgia Housing and Demographic Research Center as of 5/1/17?  5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years  NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in that community shall be awarded this point.  B. Designated Military Zones  http://www.dca.slate.ga.us/economic/DevelopmentTools/programs/militaryZones.asp  Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).  City: Centerville  County: Houston  QCT? No  Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant  A. Yes/No Yes/No  1.  A. Yes/No Yes/No  1.  D. Wes/No Yes/No  Census Tract #: 0201.09	A. Georgia Initiative for Community House	sing (GICH)						1	0	
2. Is indicative of the community's affordable housing goals 3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives of the GICH Plan 4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ University of Georgia Housing and Demographic Research Center as of 5/1/17? 5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years  NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in that community shall be awarded this point.  B. Designated Military Zones  http://www.dca.state.ga.us/economic/DevelopmentTools/programs/militaryZones.asp  Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).  City: Centerville  County: Houston  QCT? No  Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant  DCA'S Comments:	_		sing team that cle	arly:					A. Yes/No	Yes/No
3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives of the GICH Plan 4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ University of Georgia Housing and Demographic Research Center as of 5/1/17? 5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years  NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in that community shall be awarded this point.  B. Designated Military Zones    http://www.dca.state.ga.us/economic/DevelopmentTools/programs/militaryZones.asp   Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).    City: Centerville   County: Houston   QCT? No   Census Tract #: 0201.09     Scoring Justification per Applicant   DCA's Comments:	5 5	•	•	,	< Se	lect applicable GICH >			1.	
4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ University of Georgia Housing and Demographic Research Center as of 5/1/17?  5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years  NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in that community shall be awarded this point.  B. Designated Military Zones  Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).  City: Centerville  County: Houston  QCT? No  Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant  4.  DCA'S Comments:	2. Is indicative of the community's affor	dable housing goa	ls						2.	
5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years  NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in that community shall be awarded this point.  B. Designated Military Zones	3. Identifies that the project meets one	of the objectives o	f the GICH Plan						3.	
NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no project in that community shall be awarded this point.  B. Designated Military Zones http://www.dca.state.ga.us/economic/DevelopmentTools/programs/militaryZones.asp 1  Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).  City: Centerville County: Houston QCT? No Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant DCA's Comments:	4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w/ University of Georgia Housing and Demographic Research Center as of 5/1/17?									
B. Designated Military Zones  Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).  City: Centerville County: Houston QCT? No Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant  DCA'S Comments:	5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years									
Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ).  City: Centerville County: Houston QCT? No Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant DCA's Comments:		ssued by a GIC								
City: Centerville County: Houston QCT? No Census Tract #: 0201.09  Scoring Justification per Applicant DCA's Comments:	•				/elopmentTools/progra	ms/militaryZones.asp		1	0	
Scoring Justification per Applicant DCA's Comments:	•			, ,	70 N	Consult Tract # 000	24.00		В.	
The state of the s	,	County:	Houston	QCT	r INO		01.08			
		area eligible for th	e above.			DOA'S COMMENTS.				

	DADT NINE C	CODING CRITERIA 2047 026 Tur	sole Creek of Town Conton Contonsi	lla Hauston Count	to a		
	PART NINE - S	INDER: Applicants must include comments in section	pelo Creek at Town Center, Centervi	lie, Houston Coun	_		
			and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round s	coring decisions.	Score	Self	DCA
		lure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application			Value		Score
				TOTALS:	92	63	22
15.	LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOUR	CES	Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible	4	4	0
	Indicate that the following criteria are met:					Yes/No	Yes/No
	<ul> <li>a) Funding or assistance provided below is bin</li> </ul>	ding and unconditional except as set forth in this	s section.			a) Yes	
	b) Resources will be utilized if the project is sel	ected for funding by DCA.				b) Yes	
	c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases.					c) Yes	
	,		n the exception that HUD 221(d)4 loans and USI	DA 538 loans must reflect	interest	d) Yes	
rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Report on April 20, 2017, plus 100 basis points.							
	e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans	•	( ) .			e) Yes	
	f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points i					f) Yes	
1.	Qualifying Sources - New loans or new g		Amount		,	Amount	
	a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housin	• • • •	a)		a)		
	b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or othe	r HUD PHI Tuna	b) 2,000,000		b)		
	c) HOME Funds		, , ,		c)		
d) Beltline Grant/Loan d) d) e) Historic tax credit proceeds e) e)					e)		
	f) Community Development Block Grant (CDB	G) program funds	f)		f)		
	g) National Housing Trust Fund	o) program rands	g)		g)		
	h) Georgia TCAP acquisition loans passed thro	ough a Qualified CDFI revolving loan fund	h)		h)		
	i) Foundation grants, or loans based from gran	· ·	i)		i)		
	j) Federal Government grant funds or loans	1	Ď		j)		
	Total Qualifying Sources (TQS):		2,000,000		"	0	
2.	Point Scale	Total Development Costs (TDC):	13,250,180				
	Scoring Justification per Applicant	TQS as a Percent of TDC:	15.0941%			0.0000%	
Appli	icant obtained HOME Consent from DCA, which	s an eligible source for leveraging points, and the	he percent leveraging qualifies the development	for the above prescribed	points.		
	DCA's Comments:						
16.	INNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT				3		
	Is the applicant claiming these points?					Yes	
	Selection Criteria			Ranking Pts Value Ra	<u>ange</u>	R	anking Pts
	1. Presentation of the project concept narrative	in the Application.		0 - 10		1.	
	2. Uniqueness of innovation.			0 - 10		2.	
	Demonstrated replicability of the innovation.			0 - 5		3.	
	<ol> <li>Leveraged operating funding</li> <li>Measureable benefit to tenants</li> </ol>			0 - 5 0 - 5		4. 5	
	<ol> <li>Collaborative solutions proposed and evider</li> </ol>	ace of subject matter experts' direct involvemen	nt in the strategic concept development.	0 - 5		6.	
	DCA's Comments:		3.2	0 - 40		Total:	0
				-			

	,			<u> </u>			
	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at T		<b>Houston County</b>				
EMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.  Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.							DCA Score
			TOTALS:	92		63	22
17	. INTEGRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING	3		2	0		
A.	. Integrated Supportive Housing/ Section 811 RA	10% of Total Units (max):	7	2	A.	2	0
	1. Applicant agrees to accept Section 811 PBRA or other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units for the	Total Low Income Units	57	1	1.	Agree	
	purpose of providing Integrated Supportive Housing (ISH) opportunities to Persons w/ Disabilities (PWD), and	Min 1 BR LI Units required	6		,		
	is prepared to accept the full utilization by DCA of 10% of the units?	1 BR LI Units Proposed	10				
	2. Applicant understands the requirements of HUD's Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) program, inclu	iding the 30-year use restriction for	or all PRA units?		2.	Yes	
	3. At least 10% of the total low-income units in the proposed Application will be one bedroom units?				3.	Yes	
	4. Applicant is willing to accept Assistance affordable to 50% AMI tenants?				4.	Yes	
В.	. Target Population Preference			3	В.	0	0
	<ol> <li>Applicant has a commitment of HUD Section 8 project-based rental assistance from a Public Housing Authority preference in their Voucher programs for persons with specific disabilities identified in the Settlement Agreement</li> </ol>		ant selection		1.		
	Name of Public Housing Authority providing PBRA:	PBRA Expiration:		1			
	2. Applicant agrees to implement a minimum of 15% of the total units targeting the Settlement population?	Nbr of Settlement units:	0	0.0%	2.		
	Scoring Justification per Applicant						
	olicant agrees to accept Section 811 project based rental assistance or other DCA offered rental assistance for up to Disabilities and is prepared to accept the full utilization of 10% of units.	10% of the units for the purpose	of providing integrated	housing op	portu	inities to	Persons
	DCA's Comments:						
18	. HISTORIC PRESERVATION (choose A or B)			2		0	0
	The property is: < <select applicable="" status="">&gt;</select>	Historic Credit Equity:	0				
A.	, Historic <u>and</u> Adaptive Reuse	Historic adaptive reuse units:	0	2	A.	0	
	The proposed development includes historic tax credit proceeds and is an adaptive reuse of a	Total Units	72		,		
	certified historic structure.	% of Total	0.00%				
	<< Enter here Applicant's Narrative of how building will be reused >>						
В.	. Historic	Nbr Historic units:	0	1	В.		
	The property is a certified historic structure per QAP or is deemed historic via a Georgia DNR-HPD approved NPS	Total Units	72		,		
	Part 1- Evaluation of Significance to have a preliminary determination of listing on the National Register	% of Total	0.00%	_			
_	DCA's Comments:						

### PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County

REMINDER: ADDICANTS MUST INCLUDE COMMENTS IN SECTIONS WHERE DOTHIS ARE CIAIMED

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

Score Value 92

3

TOTALS:

 Self
 DCA

 Score
 Score

 63
 22

 2
 0

Agree or Y/N Agree or Y/N

Agree Yes

Yes

Yes

Agree

#### 19. HEALTHY HOUSING INITIATIVES

(choose A or B or C)

LALITITIO CONTO INTITATIVE CONCOCCION OF EX

Pre-requisites:

- 1. In Application submitted, Applicant used the following needs data to more efficiently target the proposed initiative for a proposed property:
- a) A local Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA)
- b) The "County Health Rankings & Reports" website:

http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/health-gaps/georgia

- c) The Center for Disease Control and Prevention Community Health Status Indicators (CHSI) website
- 2. The Applicant identified target healthy initiatives to local community needs?
- 3. Explain the need for the targeted health initiative proposed in this section.

The applicant used information and data from three sources to determine the local needs for our community. The following three sources were used as the basis to determine which health initiative to

- 2015 Community Health Needs Assessment Central Georgia
- 2. County Health Rankings website (countyhealthrankings.org)
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website (wwwn.cdc.gov/communityhealth)

Our development will be located in Houston County. Based on the research and information gathered the residents in Houston County have a need to improve their health outcomes related to obesity, diabetes, and the food environment index factor. Below is a summary of the research from each of the three data sources.

2015 Community Health Needs Assessment – Central Georgia

The applicant reviewed the 2015 Community Health Needs Assessment prepared for Navicent Health by Professional Research Consultant, Inc. The full report is included as part of the documentation for this section. The report covered the counties of Central Georgia (Bibb, Houston, Peach, Jones, Twiggs, Monroe, and Crawford). Below are key summary points which highlight community needs, primarily for Houston County, related to the the prevalence of diabetes, obesity, and access to local healthy food.

- Pages 141-142 notes that Houston County has unfavorably high age-adjusted diabetes death rates.
- Pages 143-145 highlight that 14.1% of the total area adults have been diagnosed with diabetes, with a concentration of diabetes in low-income residents. This rate of diagnoses is worse than the statewide proportion.
- Page 192 of the CHNA, shows that 40% of Low Income residents in the area found it "very" or "somewhat" difficult to find affordable fresh produce.
- Page 193 shows that 42% of Houston County's population is far from a supermarket or large grocery store.
- Pages 204-205, showed results that 40% of the total area adults are obese, with a prevalence of obesity seen in low income persons.
- Page 209 states that 22.6% of the total area children are obese, which places this population in the greater 95th percentile for obesity.
- Page 211 highlights the need for locally grown food and community gardens, to alleviate obesity. Access to healthy foods is particularly crucial to fighting childhood obesity.

#### County Health Rankings:

- Adult obesity in Houston County is shown to be 31%, which is 16% worse than the national top performers at 26% a slightly worse than the state average of 30%. (source)
- The overall diabetes prevalence rate in Houston county is 13% while the average for Georgia is 11%. (source)
- On the Food Environment Index Factor Houston County ranks as a 6.6. The Food Environment Index measures the quality of the food environment in a county on a scale from 0 being (worst) to 10 being (best). The average in Georgia is a 6.6. (source)

The applicant also used the CHSI website (wwwn.cdc.gov) to investigate community needs.

- Houston County has the least favorable (worst) rankings for indicators such as Adult Diabetes, Adult Obesity, and Limited access to healthy food.
- 10.7% of adults living in Houston County have been diagnosed with Adult Diabetes, as compared to the US average of 8.1% (source)
- The percentage of adult obesity for Houston County is 34.8% as compared to the US average of 30.4%. (source)
- Low limited access to healthy food in Houston County as 9.4% do not live close to a grocery store, with the US average being 6.2% not living close to a grocery store. (source)

Based on this information the applicant is providing a Healthy Eating Initiative. The applicant will provide an onsite community garden where residents are engaged in growing and using locally sourced foods in their diet. Our development site has access to additional greenspace which can easily support a community garden where healthy food options can be grown. To further the initiative quarterly classes, newsletters and information will be made available to the residents to promote healthy eating. With these efforts, we will address the community needs of lowering obesity, lowering the diagnosis rate of diabetes, and increasing reliable access to healthy food options.

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center	. Centerville, Ho	ouston County					
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future  Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.		Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score			
		TOTALS:	92	63	22		
A. Preventive Health Screening/Wellness Program for Residents			3	0	0		
1. a) Applicants agrees to provide on-site preventive health screenings and or Wellness Services at the proposed project?			a)				
b) The services will be provided at least monthly and be offered at minimal or no cost to the residents?			b)				
c) The preventive health initiative includes wellness and preventive health care education and information for the residents?			c)				
2. Description of Service (Enter "N/a" if necessary)		Occurrenc	e	Cost to I	Resident		
a)							
b)							
c)							
d)							
B. Healthy Eating Initiative			2	2	0		
Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Eating Initiative, as defined in the QAP, at the proposed project?				Agree			
1. The community garden and edible landscape will:  a) Emphasize the importance of local, seasonal, and healthy food?			a)	Yes			
b) Have a minimum planting area of at least 400 square feet?			b)	Yes			
c) Provide a water source nearby for watering the garden?			c)	Yes			
<ul> <li>d) Be surrounded on all sides with fence of weatherproof construction</li> </ul>	n?		d)	Yes			
e) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's Architectural Manual	<ul> <li>Amenities Guidebo</li> </ul>	ok?	e)	Yes			
2. The monthly healthy eating programs will be provided free of charge to the residents and will feature related events?			2.	Yes			
Description of Monthly Healthy Eating Programs	Description of Related	d Event					
a) University of Georgia's Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program (EFNEP)	Residents will be prov	vided with a monthly	healthy eating	newslette	er informe		
b) EFNEP Food Talk Program	Food Talk consists of	eight lessons, each	containing sir	nple mess	ages cor		
c) UGA Extension Office of Houston County - Gardening Classes	Demonstrations on ga	ardening techniques	at the commu	nity garde	n and		
d) UGA Extension Office of Houston County - Garden Bench News Letter	Bi-monthly newsletter	providing residents	with best prac	tices on g	ardening		
C. Healthy Activity Initiative			2	0	0		
Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Activity Initiative, as defined in the QAP, at the proposed project?	iter type of Healthy Ad	ctivity Initiative here:	>>				
1. The dedicated multi-purpose walking trail that is ½ mile or longer that promotes walking, jogging, or biking will:							
a) Be well illuminated?	f) Provide trash recep	ptacles?	f)				
b) Contain an asphalt or concrete surface?  b) g) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's g)							
c) Include benches or sitting areas throughout course of trail?							
d) Provide distance signage?							
e) Provide 1 piece of fitness equipment per every 1/8 mile of trail?	Length of Trail				miles		
2. The monthly educational information will be provided free of charge to the residents on related events?			2.				
Scoring Justification per Applicant							
Based on the results of reasearch of local community needs, our development will contain onsite community gardens. These gardens with the contain of the community gardens.							
Our management company will collaborate with the University of Georgia's Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program (EFNEP) as on the benefits of healthy eating and best practices on maintaining a community garden. These classes and information will be provided				dents edu	cation		
on the penetric of healthy eating and best practices on maintaining a community garden. These classes and information will be provided	u on a monthly basis,	at 110 cost, to our ter	iaillo.				
DCA's Comments:							

		PART	<b>NINE - SCORING</b>					er, Centerville,	<b>Houston County</b>			
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> D	OCA Threshold and So	coring section reviews pertain	ncants must include to n only to the correspon will result in a one (1)	ding funding round an	d have no effect on su	ubsequent or futu	re funding round scoring		Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
									TOTALS:	92	63	22
20.	QUALITY EDU									3	2	0
	Application develop	s a property locate	ed in the attendance zo	ne of one or more	high-performing so	chools as determin	ned by the sta	te CCRPI?		_	Yes	
	NOTE: 2013-2016		District / School System	n - from state CCR	PI website:	Houston County						
	CCRPI Data Must		Tenancy			Family						1
	Be Used		If Charter school used,	does it have a des	ignated (not distric					_	No	
				0				om School Years E	<u> </u>	Average		RPI >
	School Level		(from state CCRPI website)		Charter School?	2013	2014	2015	2016	CCRPI Score	1	verage?
,	Primary/Elementary	Eagle Springs E		PK-5	No	89.10	84.70	75.60		83.13	Yes	
,	Middle/Junior High	Thomson Middle	9	6-8	No	81.90	72.00	70.10		74.67	Yes	
,	High	Northside High		9-12	No	67.70	66.70	71.10		68.50	No	
- /	Primary/Elementary	Eagle Springs E		PK-5	No							
	Middle/Junior High	Thomson Middle	Э	6-8	No							
f)	High Scoring Justification	Northside High		9-12	No						]	
	DCA's Comments:		chieving scores for the		(14	- 1- (    O . Tl -		0045 July 200 July				
21.	WORKFORCE	HOUSING NE	ED (choose A o	r в)	(Must use 2014 d	ata from "On I neil	viap" tooi, but	2015 data may be	used if available)	2	0	0
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	d 60% of workers within	n a 2-mile radius tra	avel over 10 miles	to their place of w	vork			2		
OR	<b>B.</b> Exceed the mir	nimum jobs thresh	old by 50%							2		
	Jobs	City of				Atlanta Metro				Other	Rural	
	Threshold	Atlanta	(Cherol	kee, Clayton, Cobb	, DeKalb, Douglas	, Fayette, Fulton,	Gwinnett, Hei	nry and Rockdale co	ounties)	MSA	Area	
	Minimum	20,000				15,000				6,000	3,000	
	Project Site											
	Min Exceeded by:	0.00%				0.00%				0.00%	0.00%	
					Per Applicant	Per DCA		Project City	Centerville			
	Applicable Minimum	n Jobs Threshold (	(from chart above) Nb	or of Jobs	т ст тррпсан	TOIDON	7	Project County	Houston			
Total Nbr of Jobs w/in the 2-mile radius:  HUD SA  Warner Robins												
Nbr of Jobs in 2-mile radius w/ workers who travel > 10 miles to work:  MSA / Non-MSA MSA												
Percentage of Jobs w/in the 2-mile radius w/ workers travelling over 10 miles  Urban or Rural  Urban												
	to work:			3 :	0.00%	0.00%						
	Scoring Justification	n per Applicant										
N/A.	site does not qualit											
	DCA's Comments:											

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-026 Tupelo Creek at Town Center, Centerville, Houston County	У		
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.  Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.  Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
TOTALS:	92	63	22
22. COMPLIANCE / PERFORMANCE	10	10	10
Base Score		10	10
Deductions		0	
Additions		0	
Scoring Justification per Applicant			

Applicant has not been notified of any reason for point deductions by DCA, nor any other State agency, and the applicant was deemed Qualified w/out Conditions pursuant to the pre-application. Therefore, the above points are considered justified.

DCA's Comments:

TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE

EXCEPTIONAL NONPROFIT POINTS

INNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT POINTS

NET POSSIBLE SCORE WITHOUT DCA EXTRA POINTS

22

DCA has included the following area for Applicants to make comments in any section they claimed points but were not provided with comment section. Include the section/(s) you are referring to within this area along with any applicable comments.

Applicant believes all information required for a complete review of the proposed community has been provided in the appropriate application folders. The proposed community will set-aside at least 20% of the units for persons / families meeting the 50% AMI restrictions. The site is located in a Stable community with less than 10% poverty, and is designated as a Upper Income census tract, and also falls in the A-3 GDPH sub-cluster with at least 20% of the units designated as unrestricted, market-rate units.

The Applicant agrees to extend the affordability period an additional 5 years, as well as work with DCA on their Integrated Supportive Housing, Healthy Places initiatives, as well as the Innovative Project Concept detailed within the overall application.

## Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

Tupelo Creek at Town Center Centerville, Houston County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

## Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

Tupelo Creek at Town Center Centerville, Houston County

# Scoring Section 14 - DCA Community Initiatives: GICH Project Narrative

Tupelo Creek at Town Center Centerville, Houston County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

### Scoring Section 16 - Innovative Project Concept Narrative

Tupelo Creek at Town Center Centerville, Houston County

Currently, two-thirds of Georgia's third graders aren't reading on grade level, bringing long-term negative consequences to the children, their families and communities, and the state of Georgia. Below-grade reading proficiency is a proven barrier to achieving a quality education - an issue intensified within low-income families. Zimmerman Properties is proposing an on-site Early Learning Center ("ELC") to address this issue by providing children access to resources and supportive services to become proficient readers. The goal is simple...Bring solutions to the doorsteps of Georgia's next generation to increase reading proficiency by the 3rd grade.

#### Uniqueness:

The Case Studies Narrative shows...

- · A direct correlation exists between a child's 3rd grade reading proficiency and their future educational achievements.
- Children of low-income families face greater risks of not becoming proficient 3rd grade readers.
- Creating a strong learning environment using a community approach can enable low-income children to become proficient 3rd grade readers.

The Staffing and Organizational Plan Narrative shows...

- Zimmerman will "Bring solutions to the doorsteps..." that address early childhood education.
- For the first time, the Four Pillars of the Get Georgia Reading campaign ("GGR") will be introduced into affordable housing communities.
- The use of myON's software, which fosters reading aptitude, will be utilized and highlighted in an affordable housing community.

#### Replicability:

This Narrative shows replicability through...

- The potential for higher community buy-in and involvement due to the benefit derived.
- Simple expansion of the community center/clubhouse and leveraging of operations.
- The potential for DCA to incorporate the concept through architectural designs requirements, project amenities and/or a scoring category.

### Leveraging:

This Narrative shows...

- Leveraging of % of the combined 10-year cost to operate and initial build-out via collaborations with organizations such as GGR, its statewide partners, and others, including...
- o Private capital partners
- o Social services
- o Foundational entities

### Measurable:

This Narrative shows...

- Metrics exist to track individual reading proficiency for children of our tenants and the community.
- · Children, parents, and educators have access to reports which increase accountability and awareness.
- Third-party reporting through myON and the Houston County Board of Education ensures reliable reports.
- · Schools can supplement reporting, capturing the ELC's impact within the community.

#### Collaboration:

The Partners Narrative shows...

- The ELC is a collaborative approach among state, local governmental and non-profit partners to increase reading proficiency.
- A proper organizational plan and design with supportive services which can be improved through relationships with local subject matter experts.
- Seven organizations were directly involved in the ELC concept development, providing a focus of improving childhood reading proficiency in the housing and affordable housing industry.

Zimmerman's community proposal will help increase low-income children's reading proficiency, a proven outcome that positively impacts future educational achievements. This concept provides DCA an entry point to join GGR's campaign to improve reading proficiency across Georgia. The ELC will provide an innovative and novel solution to a complex issue of addressing early childhood education: Bring solutions to the doorsteps of Georgia's next generation to increase reading proficiency by the 3rd grade.

Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Finance and Development Division 60 Executive Park South, NE. Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2231

Re: Application for Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and/or HOME Funding

To DCA:

This Application is submitted in accordance with the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan and the Housing Finance and Development Division Manuals. In submitting this Application for funding consideration, the undersigned applicant hereby certifies:

- 1) I understand that, in the event an allocation (or an allowance) for LIHTCs was obtained with false information supplied to the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA"), DCA will recapture the LIHTCs or request that the IRS deny tax credits to the Applicant entity. Also, a supplier, including the developer or owner, who knowingly provides false information will be barred by DCA from program participation for a period of five (5) years from the date the false information was discovered, in accordance with a Memorandum of Understanding between the Internal Revenue Service and the Georgia Housing and Finance Authority.
- 2) I am responsible for ensuring the project consists or will consist of a qualified low-income building (or buildings) as defined in the Internal Revenue Code section 42(c)(2) and will satisfy all applicable requirements of State and Federal tax law in the acquisition, development and operation of the project to receive State and federal housing tax credits.
- 3) I am responsible for all calculations and figures relating to the determination of the eligible basis of the building(s). I understand and agree the amount of the credit is allocated by reference to the figures that I submit as to eligible and qualified basis. I understand that the actual amount of credit allocated may vary somewhat from the amount initially reserved or committed due to (a) the determination by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA") as to the amount of credit necessary for the financial feasibility of the project and its viability as a qualified low-income housing project; (b) revisions in the calculations of eligible and qualified basis as finally determined; (c) fluctuations in the prevailing credit percentage; and (d) availability of the credit.
- 4) I understand and agree that DCA makes no representations or warranties regarding the financial feasibility of the project, the amount of credit, or the appropriateness of the allocation of the credit and makes no independent investigation as to the eligible and qualified basis and that any and all credit awards and credit amounts are solely based on representations made by me. I therefore agree to hold harmless and indemnify DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA in the event that I or anyone acting on my behalf, at my request or by and through me incurs any loss, injury, or damages in conjunction with the project including those that may result from any inquiries or gathering of information by DCA concerning the proposed project team or Application, diminution of the credit, loss of the credit, recapture of part or all of the credit, failure to allocate the credit requested in my Application or, the failure of DCA, in whole or in part, to grant my Application.
- 5) I understand and agree that neither DCA nor any of its individual directors, employees, members, officers, or agents assume any responsibility or make any representations or warranties with respect to: (i) the amount or availability of credit for the project; or (ii) the financial feasibility of the project.

- 6) I understand and agree that my Application for a low-income housing credit and/or HOME loan, all attachments thereto, amendments, and all correspondence relating to my Application in particular or the credit in general are subject to a request disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I further understand and agree that any and all correspondence to me from DCA, other DCA-generated documents, or documents to or from a third party in the possession of DCA relating to my Application are subject to a request for disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I agree to hold harmless DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA against all losses, costs, damages, expenses, and liability of whatsoever nature or kind (including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, litigation, and court costs) directly or indirectly resulting from or arising out of the release of all information pertaining to my Application pursuant to a request under the Georgia Open Records Act or resulting from or arising out of the release.
- 7) I understand and agree to authorize DCA access to information relevant to DCA's Application review. I understand that DCA may request an IRS Form 8821 for any Project Participant through final allocation of credits and/or disbursement of funds. Project Participants will complete Form 8821 upon DCA's request.
- 8) I understand that any misrepresentations, which includes fraudulent, negligent, and/or innocent, in this Application or supporting documentation may result in a withdrawal of tax credits and/or HOME loan by DCA, my (and related parties) being barred from future program participation, and notification of the Internal Revenue Service and/or HUD.
- 9) I certify that all sources of funds, including but not limited to Federal, State and local funding sources, have been disclosed and revealed.

In addition, Applicant understands:

- The above certifications are of a continuing nature and apply at all stages of the Application process: initial application, commitment, carryover allocation, and final allocation/funding.
- DCA must be notified of any subsequent events or information, which would change any statements or representations in the attached Application or amendments thereto;
- DCA reserves the right to verify all information or documents used in processing the Application, including requiring credit checks on all parties involved in the transaction. Applicant hereby authorizes the financing bank, accountant, mortgage lender, creditors, other state housing agencies and others sources identified in the Application to release information to DCA or its designee in order to verify the accuracy of information in the Application and amendments thereto.

Applicant agrees and understands that it may be charged for all fees and costs incurred by DCA in the inspection of funded properties during and after construction and in the enforcement of DCA regulations and policies.

Under penalty of perjury, to the best of my knowledge, I certify that all of the information in the attached Application, including all supporting documentation, is correct, complete and accurate.

## APPLICANT/OWNER

Vaughn Zimmerman	Member
Printed Name	Title
Signature	Date
	[SEAL]