Project Narrative Emilia Place Elberton, Elbert County

Emilia Place is a 48 unit, elderly (HFOP) apartment complex to be located in an ideal, convenient location in Elberton. The site selected is located in a targeted community revitalization area and a Qualified Census Tract that is within walking distance of downtown Elberton and the City's town square. According to the DCA Database, Elbert County has not received funding for a Tax Credit property in approximately 20 years, that being a rehabilitation project. This location offers a unique opportunity to revitalize a neighborhood on a prominent City street where empty lots and abandoned structures will be replaced with a quality 3 story residential complex for those 55 an older. A wide variety of retail, restaurants, grocery, pharmacy, convenience stores, churches, banking, City parks, hospital and other services are all within two miles with most being found within one mile.

All utilities are adequate, available, and currently in use at the site as indicated in writing by Elberton Utilities. The City of Elberton has shown solid support for Emilia Place. The City has recently invested in this neighborhood. Elbert County has a very active new Senior Center in Elberton with transportation available to the center if needed by residents.

A spacious Community Building is planned for the complex to be available at no charge to residents. In addition, a number of other amenities are planned such as outdoor and indoor gathering areas and a laundry facility. The Community building will have a separate, equipped room to be utilized as a wellness center. Monthly wellness activities are planned as part of the project's commitment to the Housing Health Initiative. Other spaces and activities include an Arts and Crafts room, fitness center, large gathering area and kitchen/dining area.

Energy efficiency and low maintenance will be a priority in the design and construction of Emilia Place. Examples are furnishings that include appliances that are Energy Star rated and the use of building materials that are maintenance free and/or rated and approved for their efficiency and extended life. The development will include safety features such as security cameras and privacy fencing around the perimeter of the property.

Emilia Place is committed to obtaining a sustainable building certification through Southface Energy Institute's and Greater Atlanta Homebuilder's Association's EarthCraft Multifamily certification program.

		PART ON	IE - PROJECT	T INFORMAT	ION - 2017-0	21 Emilia Plac	e, Elberton,	, Elbert Cour	nty			
	Please note:		Blue-shaded c	ells are unlock	ed for your use	and do not con	tain reference	es/formulas.		DCA	Use ONLY -	Project Nbr:
					cked for your us	se and do conta i	in references/	formulas that o	an be overwritte	en.	201	7-021
	May Final Revision		Yellow cells - DO	CA Use ONLY								
I.	DCA RESOURCES	LIHTC (auto-	-filled from late	er entries)	\$	577,874		DCA HOME	(from Conser	nt Form)	\$	-
II.	TYPE OF APPLICATION	Competitive R	ound		>	Pre-Applicati					< <enter pre<="" td=""><td>e-App Nbr>></td></enter>	e-App Nbr>>
					_	Have any cha	nges occurre	ed in the proje	ect since pre-a	application?	< <se< td=""><td>elect>></td></se<>	elect>>
	Was this project previously submitted to the	e Ga Departm	ent of Commu	unity Affairs?	No	If Yes, please	provide the		•		iously submit	ed project:
	Project Name previously used:		1						Nbr previous			
	Has the Project Team changed?		If No, what w	as the DCA C	Qualification D	etermination fo	or the Team	in that review	< Select D	esignation >	>>	
III.	APPLICANT CONTACT FOR APPLICATION								_			
	Name	Patricia Dobl							Title	Chief Financ		
	Address	404 E McKin	ney Ave						Direct Line		(256) 878-60	
	City	Albertville]	05050	1000	1	Fax		(256) 878-61	
	State	AL (25() 070 (0	<u> </u>		Zip+4	35950-			Cellular	l	(256) 558-27	13
	Office Phone	(256) 878-60		200)	Ext.		E-mail	paldobblinse	olympiacons [®]	truction.net		
	(Enter phone numbers without using hyphens, p	arenineses, eid	, - ex: 12343078	390)								
IV.	PROJECT LOCATION	E 11 DI						1 5	0		l N I	
	Project Name	Emilia Place 168 S Oliver	Ctroot					Phased Proj			No	
	Site Street Address (if known)	168 S Oliver						Scattered Si	Nbr of previo		Nbr of Sites	
	Nearest Physical Street Address * Site Geo Coordinates (##.#####)	Latitude:	34.106392		Longitude:	82.870409		Acreage	ile?	No	3.6600	
	City	Elberton	34.100372		9-digit Zip^^	30635-	1954	Acreage	Census Trac	t Number	4.00	
	Site is predominantly located:	Within City L	imits		County	Elbert	.,,,,		QCT?	Yes	DDA?	No
	In USDA Rural Area?	Yes		ral County?	Yes	Overall:	Rural		HUD SA:	Non-MSA		
	* If street number unknown	Congre	essional	State	Senate	• State F	louse	** Must be ve	erified by appli	cant using foll	owing website	es:
	Legislative Districts **		9		24	33		Zip Codes	, , ,	-	ps.com/zip4/v	
	If on boundary, other district:							Legislative Dist	ricts:	http://votesmart	.org/	
	Political Jurisdiction	City of Elbert	ton Georgia			_		Website	www.cityofel	berton.net		
	Name of Chief Elected Official	Larry Guest			Title	Mayor						
	Address	203 Elbert S	t					City	Elberton			
	Zip+4	30635-2101		Phone	((706) 213-3100		Email	cchurney@c	ityofelberton.r	net	
٧.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION											
	A. Type of Construction:			1	7						7	
	New Construction			48			Adaptive Re		Non-historic	0	Historic	0
	Substantial Rehabilitation			0			Historic Reh		Mana alaki iC	alada al	!	0
	Acquisition/Rehabilitation			0		>	For Acquisiti	on/Renabilita	tion, date of o	riginai constru	iction:	

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County B. Mixed Use No C. Unit Breakdown **PBRA** D. Unit Area Total Low Income Residential Unit Square Footage Number of Low Income Units 43 47,190 Total Unrestricted (Market) Residential Unit Square Footage Number of 50% Units 10 0 4.776 Total Residential Unit Square Footage Number of 60% Units 33 0 51,966 Total Common Space Unit Square Footage 1,194 Number of Unrestricted (Market) Units 4 47 Total Square Footage from Units 53,160 **Total Residential Units Common Space Units Total Units** 48 E. Buildings Number of Residential Buildings Total Common Area Square Footage from Nonresidential areas 2,508 Number of Non-Residential Buildings **Total Square Footage** 55,668 **Total Number of Buildings** F. Total Residential Parking Spaces 80 (If no local zoning requirement: DCA minimum 1.5 spaces per unit for family projects, 1 per unit for senior projects) VI. TENANCY CHARACTERISTICS If Other, specify: **A. Family or Senior** (if Senior, specify Elderly or HFOP) **HFOP** If combining Other with Family **Elderly** Family or Sr, show # Units: **HFOP** 48 Other % of Total Units B. Mobility Impaired Nbr of Units Equipped: 6.3% Required: 5% Nbr of Units Equipped: 2 Roll-In Showers % of Units for the Mobility-Impaired 66.7% Required: 40% C. Sight / Hearing Impaired Nbr of Units Equipped: % of Total Units 2.1% Required: 2% VII. RENT AND INCOME ELECTIONS A. Tax Credit Election 40% of Units at 60% of AMI B. DCA HOME Projects Minimum Set-Aside Requirement (Rent & Income) 20% of HOME-Assisted Units at 50% of AMI VIII. SET ASIDES A. LIHTC: Nonprofit No B. HOME: **CHDO** No (must be pre-qualified by DCA as CHDO) IX. COMPETITIVE POOL Rural TAX EXEMPT BOND FINANCED PROJECT Issuer: Inducement Date: Office Street Address Applicable QAP: T-E Bond \$ Allocated: City State Zip+4 Contact Name Title E-mail 10-Digit Office Phone Website Direct line

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County

		PART UNE - PROJEC	T INFORMATION - 2017-0	21 Emilia Place, Elberton, Eli	Dert County		
XI.	AWARD LIMITATIONS FOR CURRENT D	OCA COMPETITIVE ROUN	D				
	The following sections apply to all direct ar	nd indirect Owners, Develop	pers and Consultants (Entity	y and Principal) :			
	A. Number of Applications Submitted:		1				
	B. Amount of Federal Tax Credits in Al	l Applications:	577,874				
	C. Names of Projects in which an Owne	er. Developer and Consul	tant(s) and each of its prir	ncipals has a direct or indirec	t Ownership interest:		
	Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest	Project Participant	Name of Project	Inter	est
	Olympia Construction, Inc.	Emilia Place	Direct	7	•		
	2			8			
	3			9			
	4			10			
	5			12			
						5 5 11	
	D. Names of Projects in which the Own DCA Experience Requirements:	er, Developer and Consu	Itant(s) and each of its pri	incipals is partnering with an	inexperienced unrelated entity	, for purposes of meetin	g
	Project Participant	Name of Project		Project Participant	Name of Project		
	NONE	Name of Froject		7	Name of Froject		
	2			8			
	3			9			
	4			10			
	5			11			
	6			12			
XII.	PRESERVATION		No				
,	A. Subsequent Allocation		110				
	Year of Original Allocation						
	Original GHFA/DCA Project Number			1			
	First Year of Credit Period			First Building ID	Nbr in Project	GA-	
	Expiring Tax Credit (15 Year)			Last Building ID	Nbr in Project	GA-	
	Date all buildings will complete 15 yr C	ompliance pd					
	B. Expiring Section 8						
	C. Expiring HUD		_				
	HUD funded affordable non public hous	sing project		HUD funded affo	ordable public housing project		

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County

XIII. A	DDITIONAL PROJECT INFORMATION				
Α	. PHA Units			_	
	Is proposed project part of a local public housing replacement program?		No		
	Number of Public Housing Units reserved and rented to public housing tenants:	-		% of Total Residential Units	0%
	Nbr of Units Reserved and Rented to: PHA Tenants w/ PBRA:	Households	on Waiting List:	% of Total Residential Units 0%	0%
	Local PHA Street Address			Contact Direct line	
	Street Address City	Zip+4		Cellular	
	Area Code / Phone	Email		Cellulai	
В	Existing properties: currently an Extension of Cancellation Option?		If yes, expiration year:	Nbr yrs to forgo cancellation opti	on:
	New properties: to exercise an Extension of Cancellation Option?	Yes	If yes, expiration year:	2039 Nbr yrs to forgo cancellation opti	
С	. Is there a Tenant Ownership Plan?	No			
D	. Is the Project Currently Occupied?	No	If Yes>:	Total Existing Units	
				Number Occupied	
				% Existing Occupied	
E	. Waivers and/or Pre-Approvals - have the following waivers and/or pre-appr		pproved by DCA?		
	Amenities?	No		Qualification Determination?	No
	Architectural Standards? Sustainable Communities Site Analysis Packet or Feasibility study?	No No		Payment and Performance Bond (HOME only)? Other (specify):	No
	HOME Consent?	No		State Basis Boost (extraordinary circumstances)	No
	Operating Expense?	No	If Yes, new Limit is	>:	
	Credit Award Limitation (extraordinary circumstances)?	No	If Yes, new Limit is	>;	
F	. Projected Place-In-Service Date				
	Acquisition				
	Rehab				
	New Construction August 31, 2	2019			
XIV.	APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS		XV.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY	
	ion of Cancellation Option: the year 2039 represents the time period of 20 years after the approximation of the control of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the approximation of the period of 20 years after the 20				
	footages in this CORE reflect gross square footage. The calcualtion used on the Conceptual reflects the net square footage.	al Site Developn	nent Plan		
CSPD-	r reflects the fiet square footage.				

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

1 (ገለ	/NF	RSI	HIP	INF	ORI	MAT	TON
I. \	ノ٧٧	/ 1 1	เงงเ		HIVI	OIN	י תוע	IVIV

A. OWNERSHIP ENTITY	Emilia Place, LP			Name of Principal	Jeff Beaver
Office Street Address	P.O. Box 1909			Title of Principal	Member of GP Member
City	Albertville	Fed Tax ID:		Direct line	(256) 878-6054
State		0-0032	Org Type: For Profit	Cellular	(256) 571-5054
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 878-6054	E-mail	jeff@olympiaconstruction.net		
(Enter phone nbrs w/out using hyphens	s, parentheses, etc - ex: 1234567890)	-"	* Must be	verified by applicant us	sing following website:
B. PROPOSED PARTNERSHIP INFORMA 1. GENERAL PARTNER(S)	TION		http://zip4.	usps.com/zip4/welcome.jsp	
a. Managing Gen'l Partner	Emilia Place GP, LLC			Name of Principal	Jeff Beaver
Office Street Address	P.O. Box 1909			Title of Principal	Member of GP Member
City	Albertville	Website		Direct line	(256) 878-6054
State	AL	Zip+4	35950-0032	Cellular	(256) 571-5054
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 878-6054	E-mail	jeff@olympiaconstruction.net	o o nanan	K sty
b . Other General Partner			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Name of Principal	
Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
City		Website		Direct line	
State		Zip+4		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail		Celiulai	
**		L-IIIali			
c. Other General Partner				Name of Principal	
Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
City		Website		Direct line	
State		Zip+4		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			
2. LIMITED PARTNERS (PROPOSED (OR ACTUAL)				
a. Federal Limited Partner	Regions Bank			Name of Principal	Reed Dolihite
Office Street Address	1900 5th Ave North			Title of Principal	VP Comm Investment
City	Birmingham	Website		Direct line .	(205) 264-4017
State	AL	Zip+4	35203-2610	Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(205) 265-4017	E-mail	reed.dolihite@regions.com		
b. State Limited Partner	Gardner Capital	•		Name of Principal	Mark Gardner
Office Street Address	1414 E Primrose St, Suite 100			Title of Principal	President
City	Springfield	Website		Direct line	(417) 447-1800
State	MO	Zip+4	65804-4283	Cellular	(417) 447 1000
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(417) 447-1800	E-mail	mgardner@gardnercaptial.com	Celiulai	
	(417) 447 1000	L-man	mgaraner e garanereaptian.com		
3. NONPROFIT SPONSOR					
Nonprofit Sponsor				Name of Principal	
Office Street Address		14/ 1 1:		Title of Principal	
City		Website		Direct line	
State		Zip+4		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			

	Do NOT delete this tab from this	workbook. Do NOT Copy from ar	nother workb	book to "Paste" here . Use "Paste	Special" and select "Valu	es" instead.
II.	DEVELOPER(S)					
	A. DEVELOPER	Olympia Construction, Inc.			Name of Principal	Jeff Beaver
	Office Street Address	404 E McKinney Ave			Title of Principal	President
	City	Albertville	Website	olympiaconstruction.net	Direct line	(256) 878-6054
	State	AL	Zip+4	35950-1832	Cellular	(256) 571-5054
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 878-6054	E-mail	jeff@olympiaconstruction.net		Ι /
	B. CO-DEVELOPER 1				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail		Celiulai	
			Landii			
	C. CO-DEVELOPER 2				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			
	D. DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail		Octivial	
III.	OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS					
	A. OWNERSHIP CONSULTANT				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			
	B. GENERAL CONTRACTOR	Olympia Construction, Inc.			Name of Principal	Jeff Beaver
	Office Street Address	404 E McKinney Ave			Title of Principal	President
	City	Albertville	Website	olympiaconstruction.net	Direct line	(256) 878-6054
	State	Al	Zip+4	35950-1832	Cellular	(256) 571-5054
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 878-6054	E-mail	jeff@olympiaconstruction.net	Collulai	(200) 07 : 000 :
		, , , , , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Land
	C. MANAGEMENT COMPANY	Olympia Management, Inc.			Name of Principal	Jeff Beaver
	Office Street Address	450 E McKinney Ave	147 - L - 21	Tah mandananan nangun terak	Title of Principal	President (OF 4)
	City	Albertville	Website	olympiamanagement.net	Direct line	(256) 878-6054
	State	AL	Zip+4	35950-1832	Cellular	(256) 571-5054
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 878-6054	E-mail	jeff@olympiaconstruction.net		

	D/	ART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM IN	FORMATION	2017 021 Emilia Placo	Elborton Elb	ort County	
D. NOT data della della							all transfer of
	ab from t	his workbook. Do NOT Copy from a	notner workd	ook to "Paste" here. Use			
D. ATTORNEY		Coleman Talley, LLP				Name of Principal	Russ Henry
Office Street Address		910 North Patterson ST				Title of Principal	Partner
City		Valdosta	Website			Direct line	(229) 671-8235
State		GA	Zip+4	31601-4531		Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.	(229) 671-8235	E-mail	russ.henry@colemantalley.	.com		
E. ACCOUNTANT		Donald W. Causey & Associates P) C			Name of Principal	Don Causey
Office Street Address		364 Sutton Bridge Rd	.0.			Title of Principal	
			\\/ - ! -	T			Owner (254) 542 2707
City		Rainbow City	Website	35906-3217		Direct line	(256) 543-3707
State		AL (25() 542 2707	Zip+4			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone	/ EXt.	(256) 543-3707	E-mail	don@donaldwcauseycpa.c	com		
F. ARCHITECT		McKean & Associates, Architects,	IIC			Name of Principal	Rory McKean
Office Street Address		2315 Eastchase Lane				Title of Principal	Member-Manager
City		Montgomery	Website			Direct line	(334) 272-4044
State		AL	Zip+4	36117-7026		Cellular	(001) 272 1011
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Fyt	(334) 272-4044	E-mail	rmckean@mckeanarch.cor	m	Ccilulai	
o.					111		
		Answer each of the questions below Atl Real Estate Group(1 of 5)		Teresa Lains		10 Digit Dhana / Fut	(770) 922-2108
A. LAND SELLER (If applicable	ie)	All Real Estate Group(1 of 5)	Principal	reresa Lairis		10-Digit Phone / Ext.	
Office Street Address		2890 Hwy 212 Suite A-259	4.0070	L E " ICEE COMME	NT DOV FOD	City	Conyers
State		GA Zip+4 3009	4-3363	E-mail SEE COMME	ENT BOX FOR	REMAINING LAND SE	LLER INFO (2-5 01 5)
B. IDENTITY OF INTEREST							
		If Yes, explain relationship in boxes pro	ovided below,	and use Comment box at bo	ottom of this ta	ib or attach additional p	ages as needed:
 Developer and 	Yes	Jeff Beaver and Ralph Fullerton are owners of C	Olympia Construct	tion, Inc., the Developer, General C	Contractor, and M	ember of the GP Member.	
Contractor?							
2 5 10 11 1	N.I.						
Buyer and Seller of	No						
Land/Property?							
3. Owner and Contractor?	Yes	Jeff Beaver and Ralph Fullerton are owners of C	Olympia Construct	tion Inc. the Developer General C	Contractor and M	amhar of the CD Mamhar	
3. Owner and Contractor?	res	ben beaver and Kaipir i diletton are owners or c	Diyiripia Coristiuci	tion, inc., the Developer, General C	Contractor, and w	ember of the Of Member.	
4. Owner and Consultant?	No						
4. Owner and Consultant:	110						
Syndicator and	No						
Developer?							
Developel !							
6. Syndicator and	No						
Contractor?							
Developer and	No						
Consultant?							
8. Other	Yes	Jeff Beaver and Ralph Fullerton are owners of C			Contractor, and M	ember of the GP Member. Je	eff and Ralph are also owners of
		Olympia Management, Inc. the selected Manage	ement Agent for t	ne project.			

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

V. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION - Continued (Answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.)

C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Participant	1. Has any person, principal, or agent for this e	ntity ever	2. Is entity	3. Org Type	4. Project	5. Does this	s entity or a member of this entity have a conflict of interest with any
	been convicted of a felony (Yes or No)	?	a MBE/	(FP,NP,	Ownership	member,	officer, or employee of an entity that partners or contracts with the
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		WBE?	CHDO)	Percentage		If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and use Comment box at
]		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l or contage	- 1-1	the bottom of this tab or attach explanation.
	Market and the second s						the bottom of this tab of attach explanation.
	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and either use Comment box or attach explanation.	Yes/No				Yes/No	Brief Explanation
Managing	Comment box of attach explanation.		No	For Profit	0.0100%	Yes	Jeff Beaver and Ralph Fullerton are owners of Olympia Construction, Inc., the
		No	INO	FOI PIOIIL	0.0100%	162	Developer, General Contractor, and Member of the GP Member. Jeff and
Genrl Prtnr							(cont'd) Ralph are also owners of Olympia Management, Inc. the selected
Other Genri							Management Agent for the project.
Prtnr 1							management rigent for the project.
Other Genrl							
Prtnr 2		N.I	N.I.	E D C:	00.00000/	N	
Federal Ltd		No	No	For Profit	98.9900%	No	
Partner				- D G:	1.00000/		Chata LTD Destroy III also be a 10/ Feederal Investor
State Ltd		No	No	For Profit	1.0000%	No	State LTD Partner will also be a 1% Federal Investor.
Partner							
NonProfit							
Sponsor							
Developer		No	No	For Profit		Yes	Jeff Beaver and Ralph Fullerton are owners of Olympia Construction, Inc., the Developer, General Contractor, and Member of the GP Member. Jeff and
Co-							(cont'd) Ralph are also owners of Olympia Management, Inc. the selected
Developer 1							Management Agent for the project.
Co-							
Developer 2							
Owner							
Consultant							
Developer							
Consultant							
Contractor		No	No	For Profit		Yes	Jeff Beaver and Ralph Fullerton are owners of Olympia Construction, Inc., the Developer, General Contractor, and Member of the GP Member. Jeff and
Managemen		No	No	For Profit		Yes	(cont'd) Ralph are also owners of Olympia Management, Inc. the selected
t Company				2			Management Agent for the project.
				Total	100.0000%		
	LOANIT COMMENTS AND OF A DIFFORTIONS				. 55.555070		VI DOA COMMENTO DOA LICE ONLY

/I. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

VI. DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

Gardner Capital will also purchase 1% of the Federal Credits which is also reflected on the uses tab in the full amount of Federal Equity to be paid into the LP for the Federal Credits.

Under "C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION" space does not allow for the addition of the following explanation for each applicable "Brief Explanation": Jeff Beaver and Ralph Fullerton are owners of Olympia Construction, Inc., the Developer, General Contractor, and Member of the GP Member. Jeff and Ralph are also owners of Olympia Management, Inc. the selected Management Agent for the project.

LAND SELLER CONTACT INFORMATION:

*Project consists of 5 contiguous parcels, each with a separate owner, which will be used as one site for the Emilia Place development. (2 of 5) Raul & Norieda Carrillo (706)436-3931

170 S Oliver St Elberton, GA 30635-1954

- (3 of 5) Roshella (Strong) Huff (706)213-7036 182 S Oliver St Elberton, GA 30635-1954
- (4 of 5) Lisa Burns (706)599-3801 1686 Red Hill Road Carnesville, GA 30521-2221
- (5 of 5) Clover Run Farm, Inc. Kathy Motter (770)380-0653 268 Brockton Loop Jefferson, GA 30549-3753 cloverrunrescue@windstream.net

I. GOVERNMENT FUNDING SOURCES (check all that apply)

Yes	Tax Credits			FHA Risk Share		Georgia TCAP *	
	Historic Rehab Credits			FHA Insured Mortgage			
	Tax Exempt Bonds: \$			Replacement Housing Funds	Yes	USDA 538	
	Taxable Bonds	_		McKinney-Vento Homeless		USDA PBRA	
	CDBG			FHLB / AHP *		Section 8 PBRA	
	HUD 811 Rental Assista	nce Demonstration (RAD)		NAHASDA		Other PBRA - Source:	Specify Other PBRA Source here
	DCA HOME * Amt \$			Neigborhood Stabilization Program *		National Housing Trust	Fund
	Other HOME * Amt \$			HUD CHOICE Neighborhoods		Other Type of Funding - describe type/program here	
	Other HOME - Source Specify Other HOME Source here					Specify Administrator of O	ther Funding Type here

^{*}This source may possibly trigger Uniform Relocation Act and/or HUD 104(d) reqmts. Check with source. For DCA HOME, refer to Relocation Manual. DCA HOME amount from DCA Consent Ltr.

II. CONSTRUCTION FINANCING

Financing Type	Name of Financing Entity	Amount	Effective Interest Rate	Term (In Months)
Mortgage A	Bonneville Multifamily Capital- 538 Loan	400,000		
Mortgage B				
Mortgage C				
Federal Grant				
State, Local, or Private Grant				
Deferred Developer Fees				
Federal Housing Credit Equity	Regions Bank	4,172,828		
State Housing Credit Equity	Gardner Capital	2,262,377		
Other Type (specify)				
Other Type (specify)				
Other Type (specify)				
Total Construction Financing:		6,835,205		
Total Construction Period Costs from Development Budget:		6,635,799		
Surplus / (Shortage) of Construction funds to Construction costs:		199,406		

III. PERMANENT FINANCING

ILINIMIL	IVI I IIVAIVEINO			Cff o others	Т	A al	Annual Daht Canilas in	
Financing 1	- Tyne	Name of Financing Entity	Principal Amount	Effective Int Rate	Term (Years)	Amort. (Years)	Annual Debt Service in Year One	Loan Type
	(Lien Position 1)	Bonneville Multifamily- USDA 538	400,000	5.500%	25	40	24,757	Amortizing
	3 (Lien Position 2)		100,000	0.00070			2.11.2.	g
0 0	C (Lien Position 3)							
Other:								
Foundation	or charity funding*						•	
Deferred D	evlpr Fee 0.05%		489					
Total Cash F	low for Years 1 - 15:	461,063						
DDF Percen	t of Cash Flow (Yrs 1-15)	0.106% 0.106%						
Cash flow co	overs DDF P&I?	Yes						
Federal Gra	ant							
State, Loca	l, or Private Grant				<u>Equity</u>	Check	<u>+ / -</u>	TC Equity
Federal Ho	using Credit Equity	Regions Bank	4,795,874		4,790	5,354	-480.20	% of TDC
State Hous	ing Credit Equity	Gardner Capital	2,600,433		2,600,433		0.00	62%
Historic Cre	edit Equity							33%
Invstmt Ear	nings: T-E Bonds							95%
Invstmt Ear	nings: Taxable Bonds							
Income from	m Operations							
Other:	Developer Fee	Applicant Developer Fee for Comm. Invest.	50,000					
Other:	Investor Equity	Redution in amounts shown above	(50,000)					
Other:								
Total Perm	anent Financing:		7,796,796					
Total Devel	lopment Costs from Deve	elopment Budget:	7,796,796					
Surplus/(Sh	nortage) of Permanent fu	nds to development costs:	0					
undation or ch	arity funding to cover co	sts exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I, Secti	ion II).					

^{*}Foundation or charity funding to cover costs exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I, Section II).

IV. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

IV. DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY

The reduction in the developer fee of \$50,000 is shown on the Uses Tab. The Community Investment Fund is shown as a separate line item on the budget in order to reflect that the Developer/Applicant is committing funds toward the project for the use of these funds to help with the existing Urban Redevelopment Plan (Community Revitalization Plan) and the ongoing efforts to remove blight which is part of the URP. The other details of the budgeted \$50,000 and Commitment by the Applicant/Developer are included in the required separate documents.

Federal equity is included on the same line item for each of the investors. Regions is purchasing the predominate portion of the Federal Equity with a TBD State Investor (Gardner Capital) to be purchasing a 1% interest of the Federal Credits at the Equity Closing. The State Credit Investment Equity Commitment Letter form Gardner Capital includes the full purchase of 100% of the State Credits. Once an award is received and formal commitment letters are requested, the 1% portion of the Federal Credits will be listed as Gardner Capital in the Regions Bank Equity Commitment Letter.

I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET				New	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or
			TOTAL COST	Construction Basis	Basis	Basis	Non-Depreciable Basis
PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS					PRE-DEVELO	PMENT COSTS	
Property Appraisal			15,000	15,000			
Market Study			5,500	5,500			
Environmental Report(s)			8,500	8,500			
Soil Borings			5,500	5,500			
Boundary and Topographical Surve	ey		14,000	14,000			
Zoning/Site Plan Fees			4,500	4,500			
Other: Aerial Ftg. Expense- GADCA			1,800	1,800			
Other: << Enter description here; pro							
Other: << Enter description here; pro	vide detail & justification in tab Part						
		Subtotal	54,800	54,800	-	-	-
ACQUISITION			417 500		ACQU	ISITION	41 / 500
Land			416,500				416,500
Site Demolition	tructure of		30,000				30,000
Acquisition Legal Fees (if existing s	uructures)						
Existing Structures		Subtotal	446,500				446,500
LAND IMPROVEMENTS		Sublulai	440,300		I AND IMDE	ROVEMENTS	440,500
Site Construction (On-site)	Per acre:	262,456	960,590	870,000	LAND IIVIF N	VEWENTS	90,590
Site Construction (Off-site)	i di dole.	202,430	700,370	070,000			70,370
Site construction (on site)		Subtotal	960,590	870,000	_	-	90,590
STRUCTURES		Oubtotui	7007070	0.07000	STRUC	CTURES	767676
Residential Structures - New Const	ruction		3,286,027	3,286,027			
Residential Structures - Rehab							
Accessory Structures (ie. communi	ty bldg, maintenance bldg, etc.)	- New Constr	225,000	225,000			
Accessory Structures (ie. communi	ty bldg, maintenance bldg, etc.)	- Rehab					
		Subtotal	3,511,027	3,511,027	-	-	-
CONTRACTOR SERVICES	DCA Limit	14.000%			CONTRACTO	OR SERVICES	
Builder Profit:	6.000% 268,297	6.000%	268,297	268,297			
Builder Overhead	2.000% 89,432	2.000%	89,432	89,432			
General Requirements*	6.000% 268,297	6.000%	268,297	268,297			
*See QAP: General Requirements policy	14.000% 626,026	Subtotal	626,026	626,026	-	-	-
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD (OTHER CONSTRUCT	I <u>on Hard Costs (</u> i	Non-GC work scope i	tems done by Owner)
Other: << Enter description here; pro	vide detail & justification in tab Part	IV-b >>					
\underline{T} otal \underline{C} onstruction \underline{H} ard \underline{C} osts	A TOUC	108,460.49	per <u>Res'l</u> unit	106,200.90	per unit	91.57	per total sq ft
5,097,643.00	Average TCHC:		per <u>Res'l</u> unit SF	95.89	per unit sq ft		·
CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY					CONSTRUCTION	N CONTINGENCY	-
Construction Contingency		4.49%	228,906	228,906			
			-,-,-				

TOTAL COST Construction PERIOD FINANCING Basis Basis Basis Mont-epiercane Basis Construction PERIOD FINANCING	I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)	New	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or	
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING Fixing Peam Fee Fixing Loan Interest Construction Loan Fee Construction Loan Fee Construction Loan Fee Construction Loan Interest Construction Period Real Estate Tax Construction Period Real		TOTAL COST	Construction Rasis	•	Basis	Non-Depreciable Rasis
Bridge Loan Fee	CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		Buolo	CONSTRUCTION P	ERIOD FINANCING	Buolo
Bidge Loan Interest						
Construction Loan Free Construction Loan Free Construction Logal Fees T1,000 Construction Period Real Estate Tax T1,000 T5,000 T5,0						
Construction Loan Interest	Si di					
Construction Legal Fees						
Construction Period Real Estate Tax		71,000	65,000			6,000
Construction Period Real Estate Tax						.,,,,,,
Construction Insurance						
Title and Recording Fees Payment and Performance bonds Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: «Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>						
Payment and Performance bonds Cher.						
Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Other: <= Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Othe		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Control Cont						
Subtotal 104,000 98,000						
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		104,000	98.000	-	-	6,000
Architectural Fee - Design				PROFESSION	AL SERVICES	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Architectural Fee - Supervision Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000 Green Building Program Certification Fee (LEED or Earthcraft) Accessibility Inspections and Plan Review 7,500 Construction Materials Testing 15,000 Engineering 60,000 Real Estate Attorney 20,000 Accounting Accounting As-Built Survey Other:						

. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)		TOTAL COST	New Construction Basis	Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable Basis
DCA-RELATED COSTS	Į.			DCA-RELA	TED COSTS	
DCA HOME Loan Pre-Application Fee (\$1000 FP/JV, \$500 NP)						
Tax Credit Application Fee (\$6500 ForProf/JntVent, \$5500 NonProf)		6,500				6,500
DCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees						
LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee	46,230	46,230				46,230
LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee	38,400	38,400				38,400
DCA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000)						
DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000)		3,000				3,000
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV						
	Subtotal	94,130				94,130
EQUITY COSTS				EQUIT	Y COSTS	
Partnership Organization Fees		1,000				1,000
Tax Credit Legal Opinion		1,000				1,000
Syndicator Legal Fees		20,000				20,000
Other: Syndication Reserves		54,000				54,000
	Subtotal	76,000				76,000
DEVELOPER'S FEE				DEVELO	PER'S FEE	
Developer's Overhead	46.316%	431,377	431,377			
Consultant's Fee	0.000%					
Guarantor Fees	0.000%					
Developer's Profit	53.684%	500,000	500,000			
	Subtotal	931,377	931,377	-	-	-
START-UP AND RESERVES				START-UP A	ND RESERVES	
Marketing		31,182				31,182
Rent-Up Reserves	41,091	41,091				41,091
Operating Deficit Reserve:	94,560	94,560				94,560
Replacement Reserve						
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Proposed Avg Per Unit:	625	30,000	30,000			
Other: T & I Escrow		36,540				36,540
	Subtotal	233,373	30,000	-	-	203,373
OTHER COSTS				OTHER	R COSTS	
Relocation		5,000				5,000
Other: Community Investment Fund		50,000				50,000
	Subtotal	55,000			-	55,000
TOTAL <u>D</u> EVELOPMENT <u>C</u> OST (TDC)		7,796,796	6,755,836	-		1,040,960
Average TDC Per: Unit: 162,433.25 S	quare Foot:	140.06				

II. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - BASIS METHOD Subtractions From Eligible Basis	New Construction Basis	4% Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	
Amount of federal grant(s) used to finance qualifying development costs Amount of nonqualified nonrecourse financing Costs of Nonqualifying units of higher quality Nonqualifying excess portion of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) Other				

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	VI. DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY
Construction hard costs have been estimated using actual costs of materials and labor on GDCA projects currently under contract	
with Olympia Construction, Inc. in various areas of Georgia. These properties are of similar design and materials. Site costs are based upon the estimated actual site work on the Elberton lots to be obtained and cleared for the development and	
construction of the project. There is a line for demolition which will cover the cost of removing the existing structures on the lots.	
PERM LOAN LEGAL FEES- The Perm Loan Legal fees included in the Perm Loan costs section of this Uses Tab includes	
estimated legal fees similar to properties, the General Partner Members, and developer has closed with Bonneville for 538	
financing and includes the Legal terms of the Investors, the Lender and for the Applicant limited partnership.	

PART FOUR (b) - OTHER COSTS - 2017-021 - Emilia Place - Elberton - Elbert, County

DCA requires the Applicant provide a narrative for all "Other" development costs listed on Part IV-Uses of Funds. For any amounts shown below the Applicant needs to provide an explanation of the cost incurred by the development. The narrative should be a brief description for DCA intended to justify its inclusion as a valid development cost. Further, if the "Other" cost is included into eligible basis, a second narrative is required describing its justification into tax credit basis. Expand row size as needed to show text.

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item

Description/Nature of Cost

Basis Justification

PRF-I	FVFI	OPMENT	COSTS

Aerial Ftg. Expense- GADCA req'd for site overlay & adjacent lot structures	Aerial Footage expense- as required by DCA for site overlay of property lines, adjacent site structures, surrounding community, etc.	The cost for the aerial footage has been included in basis as it is determined to be a type of other professional development expense for determining suitability of property lines, adjacent structures that might impact the buildings to be constructed on the site to be included in the property, etc.
Total Cost 1,800 Total Basis 1,800		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
Section's Other Line Item		
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Total Cost - Total Basis		

Basis Justification

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES	
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>	
Total Cost	
DCA-RELATED COSTS	
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>	
Total Cost -	
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>	
Total Cost -	
EQUITY COSTS	
Syndication Reserves	Syndication reserves have been set up by Regions Bank to be held in an account with Regions Bank as additional reserves for payment of any asset management fees that may become due quarterly and paid before year end cashflow distributions are made. The asset management fee/investor service fee will be shown as an operating expense per the investor agreement.

2017 Funding Application

Housing Finance and Development Division

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name

Section's Other Line Item

Total Cost	54,000

Description/Nature of Cost

Basis Justification

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name

Section's Other Line Item

Description/Nature of Cost

Basis Justification

START-UP AND RESERVES

T & I Escrow

The state of the expression of the

T&I Estimated Escrow reserves are based upon the estimated timeframe for converting the USDA Bonneville 538 Loan to Perm which requires a funding of the estimated T & I expenses that will be due at the end of the year or the 1st of the following year after the property is placed in service. This amount is equal to 8 months of T & I form the Revenues & Expenses Tab and will be deposited with Bonneville at the Perm Loan conversion.

The T & I Escrow has not been included in the tax credit basis.

OTHER COSTS

Community Investment Fund

Total Cost 50,000 Total Basis -

50,000 contribution by Equity Provider included in total equity pricing for the reserves to be funded for the future community collaborative services contributing to the existing Urban Redevelopment Plan.

The funds for the reserves for the Community Investment Fund contributing to the existing Urban Redevelopment Plan have been excluded from the tax credit basis.

PART FIVE - UTILITY ALLOWANCES - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County

DCA Utility Region for project: North

Note: Elderly allowances cannot be used except at properties that have 100% HUD PBRA and satisfy the DCA definition of "elderly"

ı.	UTILITY ALLOWANG	CE SCHEDULE #1	Source of Utility Allowances		GADCA Northern Region				
				ty Allowances	January 1, 201		Structure MF		
			Paid By (check one)					lowances by Unit Size (# Bdrms)	
	Utility	Fuel	Tenant	Owner	Efficiency	ald Othlity A	2	3	/ Burilis) //
	Heat	Electric	X	OWITE	Linciency	5	6	3	
	Cooking	Electric	X			7	9		
	Hot Water	Electric	X			14	19		
	Air Conditioning	Electric	X			6	9		
	Range/Microwave	Electric							
	Refrigerator	Electric							
	Other Electric	Electric	Х			21	27		
	Water & Sewer	Submetered*? Yes	Х			41	48		
	Refuse Collection			Χ					
	Total Utility Allowar	nce by Unit Size			0	94	118	0	0
			Date of Utili	ty Allowances			Structure		
			Paid By (c	check one)	Tenant-Pa	aid Utility A	llowances by	Unit Size (#	Bdrms)
	Utility	Fuel	Paid By (d	heck one) Owner		aid Utility A	llowances by 2	Unit Size (#	Bdrms)
	Utility Heat	Fuel < <select fuel="">></select>	• ,	,	Tenant-Pa Efficiency	aid Utility A 1	•	•	•
			• ,	,		aid Utility A	•	•	•
	Heat Cooking Hot Water	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">></select></select></select>	• ,	,		aid Utility A 1	•	•	•
	Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric</select></select></select>	• ,	,		aid Utility A 1	•	•	•
	Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric</select></select></select>	• ,	,		aid Utility A 1	•	•	•
	Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	• ,	,		aid Utility A	•	•	•
	Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	• ,	,		aid Utility A	•	•	•
	Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	• ,	,		aid Utility A	•	•	•
	Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select></select></select></select></select>	• ,	,	Efficiency	1	2	3	4
	Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select></select></select></select></select>	• ,	,		aid Utility A	•	•	•
	Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select> ace by Unit Size</select></select></select></select>	• ,	,	Efficiency	1	2	3	4
	Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowar	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select> ace by Unit Size</select></select></select></select>	Tenant	,	Efficiency	1	2	3	4
	Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowar *New Construction units M APPLICANT COMMI	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select> IUST be sub-metered.</select></select></select></select></select>	Tenant	Owner	Efficiency	1	2	3	4
	Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowar *New Construction units M APPLICANT COMMI	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select> IUST be sub-metered. ENTS AND CLARIFICATION</select></select></select></select></select>	Tenant	Owner	Efficiency	1	2	3	4

PART SIX - PROJECTED REVENUES & EXPENSES - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County

I. RENT SCHEDULE Do NOT cut, copy or paste cells in this tab. Complete ALL columns. For Common Space (non-income producing) units, select "N/A-CS" for Rent Type and "Common Space" for Employee Unit.

HOME proje				units:			Utility	PBRA			MSA/NonMS	A:	AMI	Certifie
Are 100% o	f units H	UD PBR	A?	No	Max	Pro-posed	Allowance	Provider or			Elbert Co.		42,500	Historio
					Gross	rio-poseu	(UA Sched 1 UA, so							Deeme
Rent	Nbr of	No. of	Unit	Unit	Rent	Gross	over-write if UA	Subsidy ***	Monthly	Net Rent	Employee	Building	Type of	Historio
Type	Bdrms	Baths	Count	Area	Limit	Rent	Sched 2 used)	(See note below)	Per Unit	Total	Unit	Design Type	Activity	(See QA
50% AMI	1	1.0	5	848	425	423	94		329	1,645	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	1	1.0	7	848	510	500	94		406	2,842	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
50% AMI	2	2.0	5	1,194	510	503	118		385	1,925	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
60% AMI	2	2.0	26	1,194	612	600	118		482	12,532	No	3+ Story	New Construction	No
N/A-CS	2	2.0	1	1,194	0	0	0		0	0	Common Space	3+ Story	New Construction	No
Unrestricted	2	2.0	4	1,194	800	575	0		575	2,300	Residential	3+ Story	New Construction	No
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
		TOTAL	48	53,160				MONIT	HLY TOTAL	21,244				

^{***} NOTE: When selecting "PHA Operating Subsidy" in the "PBRA Provider or Operating Subsidy" column above, please also then enter a zero in the "Proposed Gross Rent" column above AND include the PHA operating subsidy amount in the "III. Ancillary and Other Income" section below. Also refer to the Application Instructions provided separately.

II. UNIT SUMMARY

Low-Income APPLICANTS : If the numbers compiled in this Summary do not appear to match what was entered in the Rent Chart above, please verify that all applicable columns Activity Were completed in the rows used in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Low-Income 50% AMI 50% AMI Total FOR AMI Total BURNA-Assisted 60% AMI FOR AMI Total FOR AMI Total Common Space 50% AMI FOR AM	iency
APPLICANTS : If the numbers compiled in this Summary do not appear to match what was entered in the Rent Chart above, please verify that all applicable columns were completed in the rows used in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) In the rows of the rows	0
: If the numbers compiled in this Summary do not appear to match what was entered in the Rent Chart above, please verify that all applicable columns were a completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Interest Composed Total Residential Common Space Total applicable and other purposes of the state of t	0
Total Residential Common Space Total Common Space Total Common Space Total Common Space Total PBRA-Assisted appear to match what was entered in the Rent Chart above, please verify that all applicable columns were completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Activity Allowance and other purposes) Total Gommon Space Total Gommon Space Total Gommon Space Gommon S	0
Total Residential Common Space Total	0
Common Space Total Total Description of this Summary do not appear to appear to (included in LI above)	0
this Summary do not	0
do not appear to (included in LI above) match what was entered in the Rent Chart above, please verify that all applicable columns were completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) ### Applicable Construction Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) ### Applicable Construction ### Applicable Construction ### Acq/Rehab	0
appear to match what was entered in the Rent Chart above, please verify that all Type of New Construction Unrestricted Columns were Completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Total	
match what was entered in the Rent Chart above, please verify that all Type of New Construction Unrestricted columns were completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) PHA Operating Subsidy- 60% AMI Assisted 50% AMI Total Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Unrestricted Total + CS Unrestricted Total + CS Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Unres	0
was entered in the Rent Chart above, please verify that all applicable columns were completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: Was entered in the Rent Chart above, please verify that all applicable construction Activity Acq/Rehab Substantial Rehab Conly Adaptive Reuse Historic Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) PHA Operating Subsidy- Assisted 50% AMI Total Unrestricted Total + CS Unrestricted Total + CS Unrestricted Total + CS Adaptive Reuse Historic 2-Story Historic 3+-Story	0
in the Rent Chart above, please verify that all Type of New Construction Unrestricted Columns Were Completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: Historic Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Historic Gow AMI 50% AMI Total Low Inc Unrestricted Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low I	0
Chart above, please verify that all Type of New Construction Unrestricted Total Columns Activity Total Completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Assisted (included in LI above) Total Columns Total Columns Unrestricted Unr	
Chart above, please verify that all Type of New Construction Low Inc applicable Construction Total CS columns Activity Total + CS were Acq/Rehab Low Inc completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) (included in LI above) Total New Construction Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Adaptive Rehab Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	0
please verify that all Type of New Construction Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Unrestricted Unrestricted Total + CS Unrestricted Unrestricted Total + CS Unrestricted	0
that all Type of New Construction applicable Construction Columns Activity Were Acq/Rehab Completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Acq/Rehab New Construction Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Unrestricted Total + CS Total	<u> </u>
applicable Construction Activity Acq/Rehab Were completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Acq/Rehab Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Adaptive Reuse Historic Building Type: Multifamily 1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 3+-Story	0
columns were	0
were completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above. Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Mark Acq/Rehab Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Adaptive Reuse Historic Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS Adaptive Reuse Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 3+-Story	0
completed in the rows Substantial Rehab Low Inc used in the Only Unrestricted Rent Chart Total + CS Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse Historic Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Building Type: Unrestricted Total + CS Adaptive Reuse Historic 1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 3+-Story	0
the rows used in the Unrestricted Rent Chart above. Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse Historic Building Type: (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Multifamily 1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 3+-Story	0
used in the Rent Chart Only Unrestricted Total + CS Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse Historic Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Multifamily 1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 3+-Story	0
Rent Chart above. Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse Historic Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 3+-Story	0
Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse Historic Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility Allowance and Other purposes) Adaptive Reuse Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	0
Historic Adaptive Reuse Historic Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility Allowance and Historic other purposes) 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	0
Historic Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility Allowance and other purposes) 1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	
Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility 1-Story Allowance and Historic other purposes) 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	
Building Type: Multifamily (for Utility 1-Story Allowance and Historic other purposes) 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	
(for Utility Allowance and other purposes) 1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	0
(for Utility Allowance and other purposes) 1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	0
Allowance and Historic other purposes) 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	0
other purposes) 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	0
Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	0
2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story	ő
Historic 3+-Story	0
3+-Story	ő
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
Historic	ő
SF Detached	0
Historic	0
Townhome	0
Historic	0
Duplex	0
Historic	0
Manufactured home	0
Historic	0

Efficiency	1BR	2BR	3BR	4BR	Total	
0	7	26	0	0	33	(Includes inc-restr mgr
0	5	5	0	0	10	units)
0	12	31	0	0	43	ao,
0	0	4	0	0	4	
0	12	35	0	0	47	
0	0	1	0	0		(no rent charged)
0	12	36	0	0	48	
0		0	0		0.1	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	U	U	U	U	U	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	Ö	0	0	Ö	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
			•	•		
0	12	31	0	0	43	
0	0	4	0	0	4	
0	12	36	0	0	48	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
					0	
					0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
	U	0	U	0	U I	
0	12	36	0	0	48	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	12	36	0	0	48	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

Georgia	Department of	Community Affairs			2017 F	unding App	lication		Н	ousing Finance	and Development D	ivision
	Building Type: for Cost Limit	Detached / SemiDet	tached	Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0 0	
	ourposes)	Row House		Thistoric		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Р	uiposes)			Historic		Ö	Ö	0	Ö	0	0	
		Walkup				0	0	0	0	0	0	
				Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Elevator				0	12	36	0	0	48	
Unit Square	Footage:			Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
-	ow Income			60% AMI	Ī	0	5,936	31,044	0	0	36,980	
_	ow moonio			50% AMI		0	4,240	5,970	ő	Ö	10,210	
				Total		0	10,176	37,014	0	0	47,190	
L	Inrestricted					0	0	4,776	0	0	4,776	
	otal Residentia					0	10,176	41,790	0	0	51,966	
	Common Space					0	0	1,194	0	0	1,194	
	otal					0	10,176	42,984	0	0	53,160	
		NCOME (annual a	mounts)									
Ancillary Incor					5,099		Laundry, ven	ding, app fees, e	etc. Actual pc	t of PGI:	2.00%	
Other Income Included in Mo	(OI) by Year:		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Operating Subs				_		•			-			.,
Other:												
<u>T</u>	otal OI in Mgt Fe	е	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NOT Included												
Property Tax All Other:												
Ī	otal OI NOT in M	gt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in Mg			11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Operating Subs	sidy											
Other:	atal Ol in Mat Fa										_	
NOT Included	otal OI in Mgt Fei in Mat Fee:	е	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Property Tax Al												
Other:												
	otal OI NOT in M	gt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in Mo			21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Operating Subs	sidy											
Other:	otal OI in Mgt Fe	Δ	-	-	_		_	-	-	-	_	
NOT Included	in Mgt Fee:	o .		1								
Property Tax Al												
Other:												
	otal OI NOT in M	gt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in Mg			31	32	33	34	35					
Operating Subs	sidy											
Other:	otal OI in Mgt Fe	Α	_	_	_		_					
NOT Included	in Mgt Fee:	•				_	_					
Property Tax Al												
Other:	otal OI NOT in M	_										

IV. ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BUDGET

On-Site Staff Costs	
Management Salaries & Benefits	15,300
Maintenance Salaries & Benefits	15,300
Support Services Salaries & Benefits	3,600
Other (describe here)	500
Subtotal	34,700

On-Site Office Costs	
Office Supplies & Postage	1,200
Telephone	1,200
Travel	600
Leased Furniture / Equipment	
Activities Supplies / Overhead Cost	800
Computer software & support & internet	1,800
Subtotal	5,600

Maintenance Expenses	
Contracted Repairs	4,500
General Repairs	5,000
Grounds Maintenance	7,500
Extermination	1,800
Maintenance Supplies	
Elevator Maintenance	5,000
Redecorating	1,000
Aprtment Cleanup Labor	2,625
Subtotal	27,425

On-Site Security	
Contracted Guard	
Electronic Alarm System	
Subtotal	0

Professional Services	
Legal	1,250
Accounting	4,850
Advertising	300
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	6,400

Utilities	(Avg\$/mth/unit)	
Electricity	10	6,000
Natural Gas	0	
Water&Swr	8	4,600
Trash Collect	tion	4,800
Fire sprinkler ma	intenance	6,200
	Subtotal	21,600

VI.

DCA COMMENTS

Taxes and Insurance

2,770
,
17.520
31,420

Manad	ement	Fee:	

16,928

379.21 Average per unit per year
31.60 Average per unit per month

(Mgt Fee - see Pro Forma, Sect 1, Operating Assumptions)

TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES 164,363

Average per unit 3,424.23

Total OE Required

144,000

Replacement	12,000			
Proposed average	Proposed averaga RR/unit amount:			
<u>Minimum F</u>	Minimum Replacement Reserve			
Unit Type	Units x RR Min	Total by Type		
Multifamily				
Rehab	0 units x \$350 =	0		
New Constr	48 units x \$250 =	12,000		
SF or Duplex	0 units $x $420 =$	0		
Historic Rhb	0 units x \$420 =	0		

TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES

Totals

12,000 176,363

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

Insurance expense estimate is based on documentation received from the Randy Jones Insurance Agency. The Agency provides insurance for all existing properties associated with Olympia Construction, Inc. and its partners.

The estimated real estate property taxes have been calculated from the Elberton Tax Assessor website and further review by Banyan Property Solutions, a consultant with whom the developer works concerning currently owned and managed properties.

The "other" budgeted items are based upon the Developer's 27 year experience in the development and construction of tax credit affordable housing throughout the Southeast. These properties are managed by the affiliated management company.

		PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County	
I. OPERATING ASSUM	MPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwrited as the contain references of the contain reference of the contain referenc	tten if needed.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 6,100 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: charged by all lenders/investors)	-2.52%
Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Lo	3.00% oss 7.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) No> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:	7.00%
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%	Percent of Effective Gross Income Yes> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	7.000%

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Revenues	254,928	260,027	265,227	270,532	275,942	281,461	287,090	292,832	298,689	304,663
Ancillary Income	5,099	5,201	5,305	5,411	5,519	5,629	5,742	5,857	5,974	6,093
Vacancy	(18,202)	(18,566)	(18,937)	(19,316)	(19,702)	(20,096)	(20,498)	(20,908)	(21,326)	(21,753)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(147,435)	(151,858)	(156,414)	(161,106)	(165,939)	(170,918)	(176,045)	(181,326)	(186,766)	(192,369)
Property Mgmt	(16,928)	(17,266)	(17,612)	(17,964)	(18,323)	(18,690)	(19,063)	(19,445)	(19,834)	(20,230)
Reserves	(12,000)	(12,360)	(12,731)	(13,113)	(13,506)	(13,911)	(14,329)	(14,758)	(15,201)	(15,657)
NOI	65,462	65,177	64,838	64,443	63,990	63,475	62,897	62,251	61,535	60,746
Mortgage A	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)
Cash Flow	34,605	34,320	33,981	33,586	33,133	32,618	32,040	31,394	30,678	29,889
DCR Mortgage A	2.64	2.63	2.62	2.60	2.58	2.56	2.54	2.51	2.49	2.45
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	2.64	2.63	2.62	2.60	2.58	2.56	2.54	2.51	2.49	2.45
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.37	1.36	1.35	1.34	1.32	1.31	1.30	1.29	1.28	1.27
Mortgage A Balance	397,172	394,185	391,030	387,696	384,175	380,454	376,524	372,372	367,987	363,353
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PA	RT SEVEN - OF	PERATING PR	RO FORMA - 2	2017-021 Emil	ia Place, Elber	ton, Elbert Co	unty		
L ODEDATING ACCUMPT	10110		N N [0				that are the same of	u 16 d. d.
I. OPERATING ASSUMPT			Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overw							
	2.00%			ment Fee Amou	unt (include total	6,100	Yr 1 Asset	Mgt Fee Percer	ntage of EGI:	-2.52%
•	3.00%		charged by all lend	*			V 45	4.5		7.000/
	3.00%	ŀ			e (choose one)			Mgt Fee Percen		7.00%
Vacancy & Collection Loss	7.00% 2.00%		•	owth Rate (3.0	,			cate Yr 1 Mgt F		7.0000/
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%		Percent of E	Effective Gross	income	Yes	> if Yes, indi	cate actual per	centage:	7.000%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR	MA									
Year	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Revenues	310,756	316,971	323,310	329,777	336,372	343,100	349,962	356,961	364,100	371,382
Ancillary Income	6,215	6,339	6,466	6,596	6,727	6,862	6,999	7,139	7,282	7,428
Vacancy	(22,188)	(22,632)	(23,084)	(23,546)	(24,017)	(24,497)	(24,987)	(25,487)	(25,997)	(26,517)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(198,140)	(204,085)	(210,207)	(216,513)	(223,009)	(229,699)	(236,590)	(243,688)	(250,998)	(258,528)
Property Mgmt	(20,635)	(21,048)	(21,468)	(21,898)	(22,336)	(22,782)	(23,238)	(23,703)	(24,177)	(24,661)
Reserves	(16,127)	(16,611)	(17,109)	(17,622)	(18,151)	(18,696)	(19,256)	(19,834)	(20,429)	(21,042)
NOI	59,881	58,935	57,908	56,792	55,587	54,288	52,889	51,388	49,781	48,062
Mortgage A	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)
Cash Flow	29,024	28,078	27,051	25,935	24,730	23,431	22,032	20,531	18,924	17,205
DCR Mortgage A	2.42	2.38	2.34	2.29	2.25	2.19	2.14	2.08	2.01	1.94
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	2.42	2.38	2.34	2.29	2.25	2.19	2.14	2.08	2.01	1.94
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.25	1.24	1.23	1.22	1.21	1.20	1.19	1.18	1.17	1.16
Mortgage A Balance	358,458	353,288	347,825	342,054	335,958	329,518	322,715	315,528	307,935	299,915
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

		PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County	
I. OPERATING ASSUM	IPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwrit	ten if needed.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 6,100 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: charged by all lenders/investors)	-2.52%
Reserves Growth	3.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:	7.00%
Vacancy & Collection Lo Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%	Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Percent of Effective Gross Income No> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: > If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	7.000%

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Revenues	378,810	386,386	394,114	401,996	410,036	418,236	426,601	435,133	443,836	452,713
Ancillary Income	7,576	7,728	7,882	8,040	8,201	8,365	8,532	8,703	8,877	9,054
Vacancy	(27,047)	(27,588)	(28,140)	(28,702)	(29,277)	(29,862)	(30,459)	(31,069)	(31,690)	(32,324)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(266, 284)	(274,273)	(282,501)	(290,976)	(299,705)	(308,696)	(317,957)	(327,496)	(337,321)	(347,440)
Property Mgmt	(25,154)	(25,657)	(26,170)	(26,693)	(27,227)	(27,772)	(28,327)	(28,894)	(29,472)	(30,061)
Reserves	(21,673)	(22,324)	(22,993)	(23,683)	(24,394)	(25,125)	(25,879)	(26,655)	(27,455)	(28,279)
NOI	46,227	44,272	42,192	39,981	37,634	35,146	32,511	29,722	26,775	23,663
Mortgage A	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)
Cash Flow	15,370	13,415	11,335	9,124	6,777	4,289	1,654	(1,135)	(4,082)	(7,194)
DCR Mortgage A	1.87	1.79	1.70	1.61	1.52	1.42	1.31	1.20	1.08	0.96
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.87	1.79	1.70	1.61	1.52	1.42	1.31	1.20	1.08	0.96
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.15	1.14	1.13	1.12	1.11	1.10	1.09	1.08	1.07	1.06
Mortgage A Balance	291,441	282,490	273,034	263,045	252,492	241,344	229,567	217,125	203,982	190,098
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

		PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-021 Emilia Pla	lace, Elberton, Elbert County
I. OPERATING ASSU	MPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are uni	inlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.
Revenue Growth	2.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total	6,100 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -2.52%
Expense Growth	3.00%	charged by all lenders/investors)	
Reserves Growth	3.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one):	Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: 7.00%
Vacancy & Collection L	oss 7.00%	Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)	No> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%	Percent of Effective Gross Income	Yes> If Yes, indicate actual percentage: 7.000

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	31	32	33	34	35
Revenues	461,767	471,002	480,422	490,031	499,831
Ancillary Income	9,235	9,420	9,608	9,801	9,997
Vacancy	(32,970)	(33,630)	(34,302)	(34,988)	(35,688)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(357,863)	(368,599)	(379,657)	(391,047)	(402,778)
Property Mgmt	(30,662)	(31,275)	(31,901)	(32,539)	(33,190)
Reserves	(29,127)	(30,001)	(30,901)	(31,828)	(32,783)
NOI	20,379	16,917	13,269	9,429	5,389
Mortgage A	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)	(24,757)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.					
Asset Mgmt	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)	(6,100)
Cash Flow	(10,478)	(13,940)	(17,588)	(21,428)	(25,468)
DCR Mortgage A	0.82	0.68	0.54	0.38	0.22
DCR Mortgage B					
DCR Mortgage C					
DCR Other Source					
Total DCR	0.82	0.68	0.54	0.38	0.22
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.05	1.04	1.03	1.02	1.01
Mortgage A Balance	175,430	159,935	143,566	126,273	108,005
Mortgage B Balance					
Mortgage C Balance					
Other Source Balance					

	PART SEV	EN - OPERATING PRO FOR	MA - 2017-021 Emilia P	lace, Elberton, Elbert County	
	PART SEV	EN-OFERATING PRO FOR	MA - 2017-021 Ellilla P	lace, Liberton, Libert County	
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTI	ONS	Please Note:	Green-shaded cells are u	unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be over	erwritten if needed.
Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00% 3.00%	Asset Management Fe charged by all lenders/inves Property Mgt Fee Grov	tors)	6,100 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EG Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI	
Vacancy & Collection Loss		Expense Growth Ra Percent of Effective	ate (3.00%)	No> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: Yes> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	7.00%
II. OPERATING PRO FORM	ΛA				
III. Applicant Comments &	Clarifications		IV.	DCA Comments	
of the Investment Limited Partner, Reg		iirements of staying above 1.20. This D	on is and the requirement		

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County

	Applicant Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only no effect on subsequent or future funding rour	
DCA's Overall Comments / Approval Conditions:	d scoring decisions.
1.)	
•7	
2.)	
3.)	
4.)	
5.)	
6.) 7.)	
8.)	
9.)	
10.)	
11.)	
12.)	
13.) 14.)	
15.)	
16.)	
17.)	
18.)	
19.)	
20.)	
1 PROJECT FEASIBILITY, VIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND CONFORMANCE WITH PLAN	Pass?
Threshold Justification per Applicant	
The Project Feasibility, Viability, and Conformance with the Qualified Allocation Plan for 2017 is evidenced by the high market need for the units to	
Elberton, Elbert County area. With the site being located in a Qualified Census Tract and in an area covered by the Urban Redevelopment Plan, to	
provide a beautiful home place closer to services and community events. The federal LIHTC and State LIHTC combined together provide the fina levels through the Compliance Period of affordability and through the extended use period. The Use of the 538 Rural USDA Guaranteed Loan for	
affordability and management of the property to be maintained in an excellent condition throughout the term of the loan. The development plan ar	
and goals to improve the lives of Georgia residents. Construction of the buildings and site using energy efficient materials and equipment furnishing	
DCA's Comments:	

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County

								A	pplicant F	Response DCA USE
FINAI .	THRESHOLD D	FTFRMINA	TION (DCA Use C)nlv)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA		ring section reviews pertain only to		round and have	
	T LIMITS		11011 (2011 000 0	, y /		no effect on s	subsequent or future funding round	scoring decisions.	Pass?	
	nts are linked to Rent Chart in Pa	art VI Revenues &	New Construction and	٦		Historic	Rehab or Transit-Orient	ed Devinmt	. 400.	
	Cost Limit Per Unit totals by unit		Acquisition/Rehabilitation				for Historic Preservation	•	Is thi	s Criterion met? Yes
	Unit Type	Nbr Units	Unit Cost Limit tota	」 al by Unit Tyne	2	Nbr Units	Unit Cost Limit to	,	10 1111	163
Detached/Se		0	117,818 x 0 units =	<u> </u>	_	0	129.599 x 0 units =			
mi-Detached	,	0	154,420 x 0 units =	0		0	169,862 x 0 units =	0		MSA for Cost Limit
IIII-Detacheu	2 BR 2	0	187,511 x 0 units =	0		0	206,262 x 0 units =	0		purposes:
	3 BR 3	0	229,637 x 0 units =	0		0	252,600 x 0 units =	0	Г	
	4 BR 4	0	270,341 x 0 units =	0		0	297,375 x 0 units =	0		Valdosta
	Subotal		270,541 x 0 drills =	0	_	0	231,313 X 0 dilit3 =	0	L	Tot Development Costs:
Row House	Efficiency	0	110,334 x 0 units =	0		0	121,367 x 0 units =	0	Г	·
ROW HOUSE	1 BR	0	144,909 x 0 units =	0		0	159,399 x 0 units =	0		7,796,796
	2 BR 2	0	176,506 x 0 units =	0		0	194,156 x 0 units =	0	L	Cost Waiver Amount:
	3 BR 3	0	217,443 x 0 units =	0		0	239.187 x 0 units =	0	ſ	Cost Waiver Amount.
	4 BR 4	0	,	0		0	284,255 x 0 units =	0		
	Subotal	0	258,414 x 0 units =	0	_	0	204,233 X 0 utilis =	0	L	Historic Preservation Pts
VA / - II			04.040 - 0 11-	0			400.004 0 1-	0	Г	
Walkup	Efficiency 0	0	91,210 x 0 units =	0		0	100,331 x 0 units =	0	L	O Community Transport Ont Dta
	1 BR 1	0	125,895 x 0 units =	0		0	138,484 x 0 units =	0	Г	Community Transp Opt Pts
	2 BR 2	0	159,553 x 0 units =	· ·		0	175,508 x 0 units =	0	L	2
	3 BR 3	0	208,108 x 0 units =	0		0	228,918 x 0 units =	0		
	4 BR Subotal	<u>0</u>	259,274 x 0 units =	0	=	<u> </u>	285,201 x 0 units =	0		Project Cost
.			05540 0 "	Ü			105 100 0 "	0		Limit (PCL)
Elevator	Efficiency	0	95,549 x 0 units =	0		0	105,103 x 0 units =	0	Г	
	1 BR 1	12	133,769 x 12 units =	1,605,228		0	147,145 x 0 units =	0		7,796,796
	2 BR 2	36	171,988 x 36 units =	6,191,568		0	189,186 x 0 units =	0	L	<u> </u>
	3 BR 3	0	229,318 x 0 units =	0		0	252,249 x 0 units =	0		Note: if a PUCL Waiver has been
	4 BR 4	0 	286,647 x 0 units =	0 7,796,796	_	0	315,311 x 0 units =	0		approved by DCA, that amount
	Subotal	40			=			U		would supercede the amounts
Total Per C	Construction Type	48		7,796,796		0		0		shown at left.
Threst	hold Justification per Ap	plicant				DCA's Comn	nents:			
Project is w	rithin the cost limit for th	e Valdosta MSA.								
3 TENA	ANCY CHARACTE	RISTICS	This project is designated	as:		HFOP			Pass?	
	hold Justification per Ap	•			-	DCA's Comn	nents:			
	=		neet the income requireme	nts (only the	4 market rate					
units will no	ot require income qualifi	cation)								
4 REQ	UIRED SERVICES	\							Pass?	
			:fi:i	. 4 4 5 - 2 - 2 - 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			Dana Ammili		. 400.	Agree
A. Ap	oplicant certilles that the	y will designate the	specific services and mee n at least 2 categories belo	et the additions	ai policies relai	ed to services	nacing continues from at l	cant agree?	L	Agree
						ners and Bir		east 5 categories b	elow for Serii	ior projects.
	ocial & recreational prog		erseen by project mgr	Specify:	Arts & Crafts		igo			
,	n-site enrichment classe	*5		Specify:			v oating/lifectule hebite\			
,	n-site health classes	DCA		Specify:	neam Educ	auon (nealth)	y eating/lifestyle habits)			
,	her services approved by	•	anaraasta amaaasti oo baa	Specify:	nonto:					
			congregate supportive hou				14			
		• •	n of care or service provid	ei ioi wilich ivi	ioo is included					
ınresi	hold Justification per Ap	piicant				DCA's Comn	nents:			

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert Count

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017	-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County
	Applicant Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)	ICA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.
Services are offered on a monthly basis and will be coordinated and sponsored by the Management Ager and on-site manager. The manager is trained and equipped with the tools and funds necessary for carrying out each service. The funds will be provided in part by the Community Investment Fund set up by the Developer. The Marketing and Management Agreement included with this application refelcts the Management Agent's knowledge and acceptance of the Healthy Housing Initiative and other on-site activities.	

	<u> </u>	Applicant Respo	nse DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) MARKET FEASIBILITY Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section review no effect on subsequent or fu	ews pertain only to the corresponding fundir ture funding round scoring decisions.	Pass?	
A. Provide the name of the market study analyst used by applicant:	Jerry Koontz (Koontz & Sal	nger)	
B. Project absorption period to reach stabilized occupancy	6 months from PIS		
C. Overall Market Occupancy Rate	. 99+%		
D. Overall capture rate for tax credit units	. 17.40%		
E. List DCA tax credit projects in close proximity to properties funded in 2014 or 2015. Include DCA project number and project	name in each case.		
Project Nbr Project Name Project Nbr Project Name	Project Nbr	Project Name	
1 NA None 3	5		
2 4	6		
F. Does the unit mix/rents and amenities included in the application match those provided in the market study?		F. Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant larket Study indicates a strong need for the project as proposed. Officials of the City of Elberton agree with the Market Study findings			
eed of safe, decent, affordable housing and who meet the income requirements necessary for the Emilia Place development. The carroject.	pture rate is extremely favorab	le and reflects the likel	y success of the
DCA's Comments:			
APPRAISALS		Pass?	
A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?		A. No	
B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission?		B. No	
If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Name	:		
1) Does it provide a land value?		1)	
2) Does it provide a value for the improvements?		2)	
3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards?		3)	
4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as completed u value of the property?	nencumbered appraised	4)	
C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) year	rs?	C.	
D. Has the property been:		D.	•
1) Rezoned?		1)	
2) Subdivided?		2)	
3) Modified?		3)	
Threshold Justification per Applicant		· <u></u>	
o appraisal is included as there is no Identity of Interest between buyer and seller of the project			
DCA's Comments:			

							Applica	nt Re	esponse	DCA USE
FI	NAL THRESHOLD DET	FRMINAT					corresponding funding round and h	ave		
			ION (DCA 03	no eff	ect on subsequent or	future funding round sco	ring decisions. Pas			
7	ENVIRONMENTAL REQUI	IKEWEN 15					ras	.5:		
	A. Name of Company that prepar	ed the Phase I	Assessment in accord	dance with ASTM 1527-13:		A. GIBCO Enviro	nmental, LLC			
	B. Is a Phase II Environmental Re	eport included?						B.	No	
	C. Was a Noise Assessment perf	formed?				_		C.	Yes	
	 If "Yes", name of company 	that prepared	the noise assessmen	t?		1) GIBCO Enviro	nmental, LLC			
	2) If "Yes", provide the maxin	num noise level	l on site in decibels ov	ver the 10 year projection:				2)	57.7	
	3) If "Yes", what are the contr									
	Railways, Roadways, Aircra	aft- based on th	ne order of explanation	ns of findings appearing in the Noise Asse	essment contaiı	ned in the Phase	Environmental Report			
	D. Is the subject property located	in a:						D		
	1) Brownfield?							1)	No	
	2) 100 year flood plain / flood	way?						2)	No	
	If "Yes": a)	Percentage of	site that is within a flo	oodplain:				a)		
	b)	Will any develop	opment occur in the fl	oodplain?				b)		
	c)	Is documentat	ion provided as per T	hreshold criteria?				c)		
	3) Wetlands?							3)	No	
	If "Yes": a)	Enter the perc	entage of the site that	is a wetlands:				a)		
	b)	Will any develop	opment occur in the w	vetlands?				b)		
	•		ion provided as per T	hreshold criteria?				c)		
	State Waters/Streams/Buff							4)	No	
	E. Has the Environmental Profess		any of the following	, , , ,	-			_	-	
	 Lead-based paint? 	Yes		5) Endangered species?	No		9) Mold?		No	
	2) Noise?	No		6) Historic designation?	No		10) PCB's?		No	
	3) Water leaks?	No		7) Vapor intrusion?	No		11) Radon?		No	
	4) Lead in water?	No		8) Asbestos-containing materials?	Yes					
	12) Other (e.g., Native America	an burial ground	ds, etc.) - describe in I	oox below:						
		-1	manusina di fan a LIONE	andication included such as						
	F. Is all additional environmental							1)	No	
	 Eight-Step Process for We Has Applicant/PE complete 							1)	No	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				the aubicat pro	norty?		2)	No	
	G. If HUD approval has been prev		0 ,	ities that could have an adverse effect on	the subject pro	perty?		3) G.	N/A	
Dro	jects involving HOME funds must	, 0	•					G	IN/A	
	•		•	er <i>Minority concentration</i> (50% or more m	ninority) <i>Racial</i>	//v Н.[< <select>></select>		< <se< td=""><td>lect>></td></se<>	lect>>
	mixed (25% - 49% minority), o	or Non-minority	•	· ·	iiiloitty), rtaoiaii	<i>iy</i> 11.	(Cociecis)			
	 List all contiguous Census Tra 	cts:	l.							
	J. Is Contract Addendum include		1?					J.		
	Threshold Justification per Applica									
No	HOME funds are utilized for this app	plication								
	DCA's Comments:									

Applica	ınt F	Response	DCA USE
INAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	have		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ss?		
A. Is site control provided through November 30, 2017? Expiration Date: 12/31/17	Α.	Yes	
B. Form of site control: B. Contract/Option	_	< <select>></select>	
C. Name of Entity with site control: C. Olympia Construction, Inc.		CCOEIECI>>	
D. Is there any Identity of Interest between the entity with site control and the applicant?	D.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant	٥.		
ptions to purchase (5) are valid through December 31, 2017 with an available extension. The entity with site control (Developer) has assigned all Options to Emilia Place, LF	,		
DCA's Comments:			
SITE ACCESS Pa	ss?		
A. Does this site provide a specified entrance that is legally accessible by paved roads and are the appropriate drawings, surveys, photographs and other	A.		
documentation reflecting such paved roads included in the electronic application binder?		Yes	
B. If access roads are not in place, does the application contain documentation evidencing local government approval to pave the road, a commitment for	B.		
funding, and the timetable for completion of such paved roads?			
C. If the road is going to be paved by the applicant, are these costs documented in the submitted electronic application binder and reflected in the development budget provided in the core application?	C.		
D. If use of private drive proposed, is site control of private drive documented by proof of ownership or by a properly executed easement on private drive, and	D.		
are the plans for paving private drive, including associated development costs, adequately addressed in Application?			
Threshold Justification per Applicant	_		
te is accessed directly from S Oliver Street- a paved city street			
DCA's Comments:			
) SITE ZONING Pa	ss?		
A. Is Zoning in place at the time of this application submission?	A.	Yes	
B. Does zoning of the development site conform to the site development plan?	B.	Yes	
C. Is the zoning confirmed, in writing, by the authorized Local Government official?	C.	Yes	
If "Yes": 1) Is this written confirmation included in the Application?	1)	Yes	
2) Does the letter include the zoning and land use classification of the property?	2)	Yes	
3) Is the letter accompanied by a clear explanation of the requirements (include a copy of the applicable sections of the zoning ordinance highlighted for the stated classification)?	3)	Yes	
4) Is the letter accompanied by all conditions of these zoning and land use classifications?	4)	Yes	
5) If project is requesting HOME or HUD funds, does Local Government official also comment on whether project will include development of prime or unique farmland?	5)	N/Ap	
D. Is documentation provided (on the Architectural Site Conceptual Development Plan either graphically or in written form) that demonstrates that the site	D.	.,	
layout conforms to any moratoriums, density, setbacks or other requirements?		Yes	
E. Are all issues and questions surrounding the zoning and land use classification clearly defined prior to this application submission?	E.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
ne site is zoned R-2 which allows for the project to be constructed as planned.			
DCA's Comments:			

6b)

D

1)

2)

3a)

3b)

No

Agree

Yes

Yes

Yes

Georgia Department of Community Affairs 2017 Funding Application PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County Applicant Response DCA USE Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Pass? 11 OPERATING UTILITIES NA A. Check applicable utilities and enter provider name: 1) Gas Elberton Utilities 2) Electric Threshold Justification per Applicant Yes Utilities are available to the site. There are currently residential structures on site with operating utilities. Project will be total electric. DCA's Comments: Pass² 12 PUBLIC WATER/SANITARY SEWER/STORM SEWER A. 1) Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA included in this application for this criterion as it pertains to single-family detached Rural projects? A1 2) If Yes, is the waiver request accompanied by an engineering report confirming the availability of water and the percolation of the soil? 2) **Elberton Utilities** B. Check all that are available to the site and enter provider 1) Public water B1) Yes name: Elberton Utilities 2) Public sewer Yes Threshold Justification per Applicant Utilities are available to the site. There are currently residential structures on site with operating utilities. DCA's Comments: Pass? 13 REQUIRED AMENITIES Is there a Pre-Approval Form from DCA included in this application for this criterion? No A. Applicant agrees to provide following required Standard Site Amenities in conformance with DCA Amenities Guidebook (select one in each category): Agree A1) Building 1) Community area (select either community room or community building): If "Other", explain here A2) Gazebo 2) Exterior gathering area (if "Other", explain in box provided at right): A3) On-site laundry 3) On site laundry type: B. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Additional Site Amenities to conform with the DCA Amenities Guidebook. Agree Additional Amenities The nbr of additional amenities required depends on the total unit count: 1-125 units = 2 amenities, 126+ units = 4 amenities Additional Amenities (describe in space provided below) Guidebook Met? DCA Pre-approved? Additional Amenities (describe below) Guidebook Met? DCA Pre-approve 3) Exercise/Fitness Room 1) Arts & Crafts/Activity Center 2) Wellness Center 4) Security Cameras and Privacy Fencing C. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Unit Amenities: С Agree 1) HVAC systems 1) Yes 2) Yes 2) Energy Star refrigerators 3) Energy Star dishwashers (not required in senior USDA or HUD properties) 3) Yes 4) 4) Stoves Yes 5) 5) Microwave ovens Yes Yes 6) a. Powder-based stovetop fire suppression canisters installed above the range cook top, OR 6a)

b. If No, was a DCA Architectural Standards waiver granted? Threshold Justification per Applicant

b. Electronically controlled solid cover plates over stove top burners

1) Elevators are installed for access to all units above the ground floor.

D. If proposing a Senior project or Special Needs project, Applicant agrees to provide the following additional required Amenities:

3) a. 100% of the units are accessible and adaptable, as defined by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988

2) Buildings more than two story construction have interior furnished gathering areas in several locations in the lobbies and/or corridors

Each amenity is designed to coordinate with planned services and activities for the residents of Emilia Place. The addition of security cameras and privacy fencing were added as an extra security measure. Amenities will be provided using the appropriate guidelines in DCA's Amenities Guidebook. The Management Agent is aware and agrees to these provisions per the executed Management Marketing Agreement found in the application.

		Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use	Only) <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding function on effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ling round and have		
DCA's Comments:				
14 REHABILITATION STANDARDS (REHABILITATION PRO	DJECTS ONLY)	Pass?		
A. Type of rehab (choose one):	A. < <select>></select>		< <select>></select>	
B. Date of Physical Needs Assessment (PNA):	В.			
Name of consultant preparing PNA:				
Is 20-year replacement reserve study included?				
C. Performance Rpt indicates energy audit completed by qualified BPI Bu	uilding Analyst?	C.		
Name of qualified BPI Building Analyst or equivalent professional:				T
•	tab, and clearly indicates percentages of each item to be either "demoed" or replace			
DCA Rehabilitation Work Scope form referenced above clearly	1. All immediate needs identified in the PNA.	1)		
addresses:	All application threshold and scoring requirements	2)		
	All applicable architectural and accessibility standards. All applicable architectural and accessibility standards. All applicable architectural and accessibility standards.	3)		
E. Applicant understands that is addition to proposed work soons, the pro-	4. All remediation issues identified in the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment pject must meet state and local building codes, DCA architectural requirements as	. 4) E.		
set forth in the QAP and Manuals, and health and safety codes and re	,	⊏.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant	quitements. Applicant agrees:			
This section does not apply				
DCA's Comments:				
15 SITE INFORMATION AND CONCEPTUAL SITE DEVELO	PMENT PLAN	Pass?		
	it been prepared in accordance with all instructions set forth in the DCA Architectural	Α.	Yes	
Manual?	it been prepared in accordance with all histractions set forth in the DOA Architectural	Α.,	res	
	d in this application indicated on the Conceptual Site Development Plan?		Yes	
B. Location/Vicinity map delineates location point of proposed property (s	·	В.	Yes	
	g properties & structures are included, numbered, dated & have brief descriptions?	C.	Yes	
Site Map delineates the approximate location point of each photo?	0 1 •1 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Yes	
	identify existing property & adjacent land uses, and delineate property boundaries?	D.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant				•
Ground site photos were taken on April 13, 2017. Aerials and other ground leve	el photos were taken May 20, 2017.			
DCA's Comments:				
16 BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY		Pass?		
A. Applicant agrees that this proposed property must achieve a minimum completion as set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual?	standard for energy efficiency and sustainable building practices upon construction	ı A.	Agree	
B. Applicant agrees that the final construction documents must clearly in meet the requirements set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Man	dicate all components of the building envelope and all materials and equipment that nual?	B.	Agree	
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
The project will be built according to the requirements in DCA's Architectural M product both inside and out for each building planned for the project.	anual. The applicant works with members of the Development Team to achieve a mo	ore energy effic	ient and low-n	naintenance

DCA's Comments:

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County

	Applicant	Response DCA U	SE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding function on effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ling round and have		
17 ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS	Pass?		
A. 1) Upon completion, will this project comply with all applicable Federal and State accessibility laws including but not limited to: The Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Georgia Fair Housing Law and Georgia Access Law as set forth in the 2015 Accessibility Manual? (When two or more accessibility standards apply, the applicant is required to follow and apply both standards so that a maximum accessibility is obtained.)	i I	Yes	
2) Owner understands that DCA requires the Section 504 accessibility requirements to be incorporated into the design and construction of ALL new construction and/or rehabilitation projects selected under the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan, regardless of whether or not the project will receive federal debt financing assistance (e.g., HOME). This constitutes a higher standard of accessibility than what may be required under federal laws. This means that all projects, including those financed with tax exempt bonds which receive an allocation of 4% tax credits and 9% tax credits-only projects, must incorporate at a minimum the requirements of the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards into the design and construction of the project.	:	Yes	
3) Owner claims that property is eligible for any of the stated statutory exemptions for any applicable federal, state, and local accessibility law? If so, support the claim with a legal opinion placed where indicted in Tabs Checklist.	3)	No	
4) Does this project comply with applicable DCA accessibility requirements detailed in the 2016 Architectural and Accessibility Manuals?	4)	Yes	
B. 1) a. Will at least 5% of the total units (but no less than Nbr of Units Minimum Required:			
one unit) be equipped for the mobility disabled, Equipped: Nbr of Units Percentage			
including wheelchair restricted residents? 1) a. Mobility Impaired 3 5%	B1)a.	Yes	
b. Roll-in showers will be incorporated into 40% of the mobility 1) b. Roll-In Showers 2 40% equipped units (but no fewer than one unit)?	b.	Yes	
2) Will least an additional 2% of the total units (but no less than one 2) Sight / Hearing Impaired 1 2% unit) be equipped for hearing and sight-impaired residents?	2)	Yes	
C. Applicant will retain a DCA qualified consultant to monitor the project for accessibility compliance who will not be a member of the proposed Project Team nor have an Identify of Interest with any member of the proposed Project Team?	C.	Yes	
The DCA qualified consultant will perform the following: Name of Accessibility Consultant Terracon Terracon]		
1) A pre-construction plan and specification review to determine that the proposed property will meet all required accessibility requirements. The Consultant report must be included with the Step 2 construction documents submitted to DCA. At a minimum, the report will include the initial comments from the consultant, all documents related to resolution of identified accessibility issues and a certification from the consultant that the plans appear to meet all accessibility requirements.	ĺ	Yes	
2) At least two training sessions for General Contractor and Subcontractors regarding accessibility requirements. One training must be on site.	2).	Yes	
3) An inspection of the construction site after framing is completed to determine that the property is following the approved plans and specifications as to accessibility. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved.	3).	Yes	
4) A final inspection of the property after completion of construction to determine that the property has been constructed in accordance with all accessibility requirements. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved prior to submission of the project cost certification.		Yes	

Threshold Justification per Applicant

The project will be built in accordance with ADA guidelines and in accordance with DCA's standards for accessibility in the Accessibility Manual. The General Contractor for the development is highly experienced in the area of accessibility. Olympia Construction, Inc. has helped to remedicate issues on existing LIHTC properties. The GC also works closely with architects, subcontractors, and the Accessibility Consultant to ensure all required elements are present and acceptable for the project.

DCA's Comments:

		Applicant R	esponse	DCA USE
INAL THRESHOLD DETERMINA	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding	g round and have		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	D 0		
8 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN & QUAL		Pass?		
Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA inc			No	
• •	ndards contained in the Application Manual for quality and longevity?	L	Yes	
	etion Hard Costs - are the following minimum review standards for rehabilitation projects met or exceeded by this	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	for funding only if the per unit rehabilitation hard costs exceed \$25,000. The costs of furniture, fixtures,	A.		
•	buildings and common area amenities are not included in these amounts.	_ L		
B. Standard Design Options for All Projects		В.	.,	
Exterior Wall Finishes (select one)	Exterior wall faces will have an excess of 40% brick or stone on each total wall surface	1)	Yes	
Major Bldg Component Materials & Upgrades (select one)	Fiber cement siding or other 30 year warranty product installed on all exterior wall surfaces not already required to be brick	2)	Yes	
	ve, proposed by Applicant prior to Application Submittal in accordance with Exhibit A DCA Pre-application and			<u> </u>
Pre-Award Deadlines and Fee Schedule, ar	nd subsequently approved by DCA.	C		
1) NA		1)		
2)		2)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
pplicant will utilize options which promote efficiency	and longevity throughout the project. The project is utilizing Earth Craft Multifamily standards for construction.			
DCA's Comments:				
9 QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROJECT T	EAM (PERFORMANCE)	Pass?		
A. Did the Certifying Entity meet the experience	·	A.	Yes	
	oject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?	B.	No	
C. Has there been any change in the Project T	eam since the initial pre-application submission?	C.		
	iver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?	D.		
E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Projection		<< Select Des		
F. DCA Final Determination	F. <mark>-</mark>	<< Select Des	signation >>	>
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
pplicant did not submit a pre-application for the 201	17 funding round. All information required to determine the qualification of the Certifying Entity is included with thi	s final applicat	ion.	
DCA's Comments:				
0 COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY	,	Pass?		
A. Was a pre-application submitted for this De				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	A.	No	
B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the s		B.		
C. Has the Certifying Entity and all other project Project Participants?	ct team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for	C.		
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
	17 funding round. All information required to determine the qualification of the Certifying Entity is included with thi	s final applicat	ion.	
DCA's Comments:				_

	Applicant Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspond no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decision.	
21 ELIGIBILITY FOR CREDIT UNDER THE NON-PROFIT SET-ASIDE	Pass?
A. Name of Qualified non-profit: A.	
B. Non-profit's Website: B.	
C. Is the organization a qualified non-profit, defined as a 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization, which is not affiliated with or controlled by a for-profit	C.
organization and has included the fostering of low income housing as one of its tax-exempt purposes?	0.
D. Will the qualified non-profit materially participate in the development and operation of the project as described in IRC Section 469(h) throughout the compliance period?	D.
E. Does the qualified non-profit own at least 51% of the GP's interest in the project and is the managing general partner of the ownership entity?	E.
F. Is this entity a corporation with 100 percent of the stock of such corporation held by one or more qualified non-profit organizations at all times during period such corporation is in existence?	the F.
G. All Applicants: Does the non-profit receive a percentage of the developer fee greater than or equal to its percentage of its ownership interest?	G.
1) CHDOS Only: If the nonprofit entity is also a CHDO, is it a DCA-certified CHDO which must own 100% of the General Partnership entity?	
H. Is a copy of the GP joint venture agreement or GP operating agreement that provides the non-profit's GP interest and the Developer Fee amount inc in the application?	luded H.
I. Is a an opinion of a third party attorney who specializes in tax law on the non-profit's current federal tax exempt qualification status included in the	1.
Application? If such an opinion has been previously obtained, this requirement may be satisfied by submitting the opinion with documentation	
demonstrating that the non-profit's bylaws have not changed since the legal opinion was issued.	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	
his section does not apply	
DCA's Comments:	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE	Pass?
A. Name of CHDO: Name of CHDO Managing GP:	
B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?	B.
C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?	C.
D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount:	D.
Threshold Justification per Applicant	D.
This section does not apply	
DCA's Comments:	
DOA'S COMMUNIC.	
Out to be desired as the second secon	PO
23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.	Pass?
23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.	Pass?
TO REGULES LEGAL OF INTORES	
A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition	A
A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility	A. B.
A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status	A. B. C.
A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP]	A. B. C.
A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] E. Other (If Yes, then also describe): E.	A. B. C.
A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] E. Other (If Yes, then also describe): Threshold Justification per Applicant	A. B. C.

Арр	licant F	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round program of future funding round scoring decisions.	d and have		
to office of additional and an arrange of the arran			
24 RELOCATION AND DISPLACEMENT OF TENANTS	Pass?		
A. Does the Applicant anticipate displacing or relocating any tenants?	A.	No	
B. 1) Are any of the other sources (not DCA HOME) considered to be Federal Funding?	B1)		
If Yes, applicant will need to check with the source of these funds to determine if this project will trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or 104(d).	-		
2) If tenants will be displaced, has Applicant received DCA written approval and placed a copy where indicated in the Tabs Checklist?	2)		
3) Will any funding source used trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or HUD 104 (d) requirements?	3)		
C. Is sufficient comparable replacement housing identified in the relocation plan according to DCA relocation requirements?	C.		
D. Provide summary data collected from DCA Relocation Displacement Spreadsheet:			
1) Number of Over Income Tenants 4) Number of Down units			
2) Number of Rent Burdened Tenants 5) Number of Displaced Tenants			
3) Number of Vacancies			
E. Indicate Proposed Advisory Services to be used (see Relocation Manual for further explanation):			
1) Individual interviews 3) Written Notifications			
2) Meetings 4) Other - describe in box provided:			
Threshold Justification per Applicant The project involves the displacement of homeowners who are voluntarily selling their property in order to purchase a new home in another location. Relocation procedure			
DCA's Comments:			
25 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING (AFFH)	Pass?		
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing plan that:	L		
A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each service provider, homeless shelter or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is located?	A.	Agree	
B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to persons with disabilities and the homeless?	В.	Agree	
C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintains relationships between the management agent and community service providers?	C.	Agree	
D. Includes a referral and screening process that will be used to refer tenants to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable accommodations to facilitate the admittance of persons with disabilities or the homeless into the project?	D.	Agree	
E. Includes marketing of properties to underserved populations 2-4 months prior to occupancy?	E.	Agree	
F. Includes making applications for affordable units available to public locations including at least one that has night hours?	F.	Agree	
G. Includes outreach to Limited English Proficiency groups for languages identified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area?	G.	Agree	
H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to provide reasonable accommodation for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing	Н.	Agree	
criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Applicant has selected a highly experienced management agent. Olympia Management, Inc. has highly trained individuals in its employ who regulate more than 90 prope is more than qualified and experienced in the AFFH policies and requirements.	rties throu	ighout the soi	utheast. OMI
DCA's Comments:			
26 OPTIMAL UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES	Pass?		_

Applicant Response DCA USE

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

All members of the Development Team are committed to producing the most quality, energy efficient, and economical project possible. Costs are kept to a minimum as each part of the development process is reviewed for cost-effectiveness. After a stringent review of available products, materials and services are selected based on their superior quality, durability, and economic properties. Members of the Development Team have extensive experience in providing quality, safe, energy-efficient, affordable housing for communities throughout the southeast.

DCA's Comments:

			- SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia		rton, Elbert County				
			icants must include comments in sections where points are o			Score	Ī	Self	DCA
			n only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on si		e funding round scoring decisions.	Value		Score	
	'	Fallure to do so	will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" dedu	JCTION.	TOTALS:	92	Ē	63	20
1	APPLICATION COMPLETENESS		(Applicants start with 10 pts Apv.)	noints entered	will be <u>subtracted</u> from score value)	10	F	10	10
		Number:				10	, L		
A.	Missing or Incomplete Documents Organization	Number:				1	Α.	0	0
В.	Financial and Other Adjustments	Number:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•	В.	0	0
	A's Comments:		Enter "1" for each ite						
A	. Missing or Illegible or Inaccurate Documents or	Nbr	_	Nbr				N	
	Application Not Organized Correctly	0	INCOMPLETE Documents:	0	B. Financial adjustments/revi	isions:			
1			1	n/a	1			n/	'a
2			2		2				
2				to all all to				la alasala	
3			3	included in 2	3			include	ed in 2
				_					
4			4		4			include	- d : m O
4			4		4			include	ea in z
5			5	included in	<u> </u>				
5				4	5				
6			6		6				
0									
7			7	included in	7				
				6					
8			8		8				
9			9	included in	9				
				8					
10			10		10				
11			11	included in	11				
				10					
12			12		12				

COI	gia Department of Community Analis		ullig Application			ribusing rinanc	e and De	CVCI	opinen	וטוטוטוע
	PART NINE - SCORING C	RITERIA - 20	17-021 Emilia	Place, Elbe	erton, Elbert C	ounty				
	REMINDER: Applicants must include Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspo Failure to do so will result in a one (onding funding round a	nd have no effect on s	ubsequent or futu	ure funding round scoring		Score Value		Self Score	DCA Score
						TOTALS:	92		63	20
2.	DEEPER TARGETING / RENT / INCOME RESTRICTIONS		Choose A or B.				3		2	0
A.	Deeper Targeting through Rent Restrictions	-	Total Residential Units	47						
	Applicant agrees to set income limits at 50% AMI and gross rents at or	Per Applicant	Per DCA		Actual Percent	of Residential Units:				
	below 30% of the 50% income limit for at least:	Nbr of Restricted	d Residential Units	:	Per Applicant	Per DCA	2	A.	2	0
	1. 15% of total residential units				0.00%	0.00%	1	1.	0	0
or	2. 20% of total residential units	10			21.28%	0.00%	2	2.	2	0
В.	Deeper Targeting through <u>New</u> PBRA Contracts	Nbr of PBRA F	Residential Units:				3	В.	0	0
	1. 15% (at least) of residential units to have PBRA for 10+ yrs:				0.00%	0.00%	2	1.	0	0
	2. Application receives at least points under Section VII. Stable	le Communities.	Points awarded i	n Sect VII:	0	0	1	2.	0	0
	DCA's Comments:									
3.	DESIRABLE AND UNDESIRABLE CHARACTERISTICS		See QA	P Scoring for req	uirements.		13	Γ	13	0
	Is the completed and executed DCA Desirable/Undesirable Certification form	included in the ap	propriate application	on tab, in both	the original Excel v	ersion and signed PDF	?	Ī	Yes	
Α.	Desirable Activities	(1 or 2 pts each - se	e QAP)	Complete this	s section using results	s from completed current	12	A.	12	
В.	Bonus Desirable	(1 pt - see QAP)		DCA Desirab	le/Undesirable Certifi	cation form. Submit this	1	В.	1	
C.	Undesirable/Inefficient Site Activities/Characteristics	(1 pt subtracted eac	h)	completed	I form in both Excel at indicated in Tabs C	nd signed PDF, where	various	C.		
T1	Scoring Justification per Applicant	(-9) - ((b - E)b	Th (1				- the Oeat'	" t' -		11
	site is located within walking distance of the downtown area which features re mile from the site.	etali stores, the Elb	eri meaire, restau	rants, and the	town square. Most	or the activities listed if	i the Certii	icalic	ni are ie	ss man
	DCA's Comments:									
4.	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS		See s	coring criteria	for further requiren	nents and information	6		2	0
	Evaluation Criteria	Competitive F	Pool chosen:	Rural					Applicant Agrees?	DCA Agrees?
	1. All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Pave	d Pedestrian Walk	ways.						Yes	7 igi 000 i
	2. DCA has measured all required distances between a pedestrian site enti	rance and the trans	sit stop along Pave	ed Pedestrian	Walkways.			L		
	3. Each residential building is accessible to the pedestrian site entrance via	a an on-site Paved	Pedestrian Walkw	ay.				Ī	Yes	
	4. Paved Pedestrian Walkway is in existence by Application Submission.				• •	nitted documents		ı	Yes	
	showing a construction timeline, commitment of funds, and approval from				ay will be built.					
	5. The Applicant has clearly marked the routes being used to claim points of	on the site map sul	bmitted for this sed	ction.				ļ	N/a	
	6. Transportation service is being publicized to the general public.								Yes	

	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA -	2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County				
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in s Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding ro Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Applic	and and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value		Self Score	DCA Score
		TOTALS:	92	Ī	63	20
Fle	exible Pool Choose <u>A or B.</u>					
	. Transit-Oriented Development Choose either option 1 or 2 under A.		6	A.	0	0
	1. Site is owned by local transit agency & is strategically targeted by agency t		5	1.		
	create housing with on site or adjacent access to public transportation	Competitive Pool chosen, provide the information below for the				
OR	2. Site is within one (1) mile* of a transit hub	transit agency/service:	4	2.		
	Applicant in A1 or A2 above serves Family tenancy.	Elbert Transpo (786) 283-2034	1	3.		
В	Access to Public Transportation Choose only one option in B.		3	B.	0	0
	 Site is within 1/4 mile * of an established public transportation stop 	http://www.elbertparksandrecreation.com/32822.html	3	1.		
OR	2. Site is within 1/2 mile * of an established public transportation stop		2	2.		
OR	3. Site is within one (1) mile * of an established public transportation stop	http://www.elbertparksandrecreation.com/32822.html	1	3.		
Ru	ural Pool			_		
	4. Publicly operated/sponsored and established transit service (including on	-call service onsite or fixed-route service within 1/2 mile of site entrance*)	2	4.	2	
*As	s measured from an entrance to the site that is accessible to pedestrians and connected by s	idewalks or established pedestrian walkways to the transportation hub/stop.				
	Scoring Justification per Applicant					
	ert Transpo offers affordable on-call transportation to residents of Elbert County. The transit					
	DCA's Comments:					
5.	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)	See scoring criteria for further requirements and information	2			
	BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation) Le Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline	· ·	2]		
Α	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s:	2]	Yes/No	Yes/No
A B	Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline	s:	2	C.	Yes/No	Yes/No
A B	Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of	s:	2		Yes/No	Yes/No
A B	 Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? 	s:	2		Yes/No	Yes/No
A B	 Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? 	s:	3		Yes/No	Yes/No
A B C	 Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: 	s:				
A B C	Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS	S: Limitation of Liability Itr				
A B C	Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Hast the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen:	Earth Craft House Multifamily Rural				
A B C	Lenvironmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Hast the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen:	Earth Craft House Multifamily Rural			2	
A B C	Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Date of Course 3/3/17	Earth Craft House Multifamily Rural Bill Frantz Olympia Construction, Inc.	3		2	
A B C	Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? Date of Course Date of Course	Earth Craft House Multifamily Rural Bill Frantz Olympia Construction, Inc.	3		2 Yes	
6.	Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Hast the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP?	Earth Craft House Multifamily Rural Bill Frantz Olympia Construction, Inc. e w/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application	3	c.[Yes Yes N/a	0
6.	Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Hast the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP? Sustainable Communities Certification	Earth Craft House Multifamily Rural Bill Frantz Olympia Construction, Inc. e w/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application Date of Audit Date of Report	3	c.[Yes Yes N/a Yes/No	0
6.	Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Hast the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP? Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the program chosen above	Earth Craft House Multifamily Rural Bill Frantz Olympia Construction, Inc. e w/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application Date of Audit Date of Report	3	c.[Yes Yes N/a	0
6.	Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Brown appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Brown appears to meet requirements for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Brown appears to meet requirements for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Brown appears to meet requirements for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Brown appears to meet requirements for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Brown appears to meet requirements for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Brown appears to meet requirements for issuance of EPD No Further Action of EPD No Further Act	Earth Craft House Multifamily Rural Bill Frantz Olympia Construction, Inc. e w/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application Date of Audit Date of Report	3	c.[Yes Yes N/a Yes/No	0
6.	Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guideline. Source of opinion Itr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action of the Hast the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget? DCA's Comments: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements. Competitive Pool chosen: DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Course - Participation Certificate obtained? An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP? Sustainable Communities Certification Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the program chosen above	Earth Craft House Multifamily Rural Bill Frantz Olympia Construction, Inc. e w/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application Date of Audit Date of Report ove? development where the project is located:	3	c.[Yes Yes N/a Yes/No	0

	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County			
	REMINUER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.	Score	Se	f DCA
	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	Value	Sco	re Score
	TOTALS:	92	63	20
	b) Name of nonrelated third party LEED AP that prepared Feasibility Study: < <enter ap's="" here="" leed="" name="">> </enter> >			
Com	mitments for <i>Building</i> Certification:		Yes/	No Yes/No
	1. Project will comply with the program version in effect at the time that the drawings are prepared for permit review?		1. Ye :	
	2. Project will meet program threshold requirements for Building Sustainability?		2. Yes	
	3. Owner will engage in tenant and building manager education in compliance with the point requirements of the respective programs?		3. Ye :	
	Sustainable Building Certification Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certification from the program chosen above?	1	B. Yes	
	Exceptional Sustainable Building Certification 1. Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certificate from certifying body demonstrating that project achieved highest level of certification chosen above?	3	C. Yes/	
	High Performance Building Design The proposed building design demonstrates:	1	D. 1	0
	1. A worst case HERS Index that is at least 15% lower than the ENERGY STAR Target Index?	•	1. Ye :	
	2. A 10% improvement over the baseline building performance rating? The energy savings will be established following the Performance Rating Method outlined in		2. N/a	
	ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G with additional guidance from the ENERGY STAR Multifamily High-Rise Simulation Guidelines.			
	3. For minor, moderate, or substantial rehabilitations, a projected reduction in energy consumption ≥ 30%, documented by a RESNET-approved HERS Rating software or ENERGY STAR compliant whole building energy model? Baseline performance should be modeled using existing conditions.		3. N/a	
	Scoring Justification per Applicant			
throug	ant will utilize requirements set forth in the Earth Craft Multifamily guidelins for design and furnishings. The Architect and General Contractor selected for the project have extensive experience in both affordab hout the southeast. Team members will work together to provide the most energy efficient, low-maintenance, and quality building materials, accessory items, and indoor furnishings available.	ie nodsing de	won do gre	on mildives
	DCA's Comments:			
7.	STABLE COMMUNITIES (Must use data from the most current FFIEC census report, published as of January 1, 2016)	7	0	0
	Census Tract Demographics	3	0	
	Competitive Pool chosen: Rural		Yes/	No Yes/No
В.	 Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census/): Less than Select > below Poverty level (see Income) Actual Percent			
	3. Designated Middle or Upper Income level (see Demographics) 4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report			
	 3. Designated Middle or Upper Income level (see Demographics) 4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS located within 1/4 mile of such a census tract. (Applicant answer to Question 1 above cannot be "Yes".) 			
С. (3. Designated Middle or Upper Income level (see Demographics) 4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS located within 1/4 mile of such a census tract. (Applicant answer to Question 1 above cannot be "Yes".) Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities Per Applicant Per DCA	2	0	0
C. (3. Designated Middle or Upper Income level (see Demographics) 4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS located within 1/4 mile of such a census tract. (Applicant answer to Question 1 above cannot be "Yes".) 	2	0	0
C. (3. Designated Middle or Upper Income level (see Demographics) 4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS located within 1/4 mile of such a census tract. (Applicant answer to Question 1 above cannot be "Yes".) Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities Sub-cluster in which project is located, according to the most recent GDPH data hosted on the DCA "Multi-Family Affordable Select> Select>	2	0	

eoi	gia Department di Community Arians 2017 i unung Application riousing i mar	ice and De	evelopine	HIL DIVISI
	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County			
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	Score Value	Self Scor	DCA e Score
	TOTALS:	92	63	20
8.	TRANSFORMATIONAL COMMUNITIES (choose A or B)	10	5	0
	Is this application eligible for two or more points under 2017 Scoring Section 7 Stable Communities, regardless of whether the points are requested?			
	If applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed DCA Neighborhood Redevelopment Certification included in the appropriate tab of the application?			
	If applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed DCA Community Transformation Plan Certificate included in the appropriate tab of the application?			
	Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan co	olumns):	<u> </u>	
	Revitalization Plan		nsformation	Plan
	Yes/No Yes/No	Yes/No	o Y	es/No
	a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed project site, but does not			
	encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / county? Appendix A: Maps	<enter pag<="" td=""><td>ge nbr(s) from</td><td>Plan here></td></enter>	ge nbr(s) from	Plan here>
	b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages? b) Yes			
	Appendix C: Citizen Participation	<enter pag<="" td=""><td>ge nbr(s) from</td><td>Plan here></td></enter>	ge nbr(s) from	Plan here>
	c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community?	F .	1 () 6	DI I
	, p. 11, 20	<enter pag<="" td=""><td>ge nbr(s) from</td><td>Plan nere></td></enter>	ge nbr(s) from	Plan nere>
	d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities? d) Yes pp.19,20	Enter no	ge nbr(s) from	Dlan horox
	The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing? Yes	<enter pat<="" td=""><td>ge fibi(s) ilolli</td><td>Plati fiele></td></enter>	ge fibi(s) ilolli	Plati fiele>
	pp.19-20	<enter nac<="" td=""><td>ge nbr(s) from</td><td>Plan here></td></enter>	ge nbr(s) from	Plan here>
	e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?	VEITICI PUQ	ge fibr(3) from	Tium nerez
	pp. 16-19	<enter par<="" td=""><td>ge nbr(s) from</td><td>Plan here></td></enter>	ge nbr(s) from	Plan here>
	f) Is included <i>in full</i> in the appropriate tab of the application binder?		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: http://www.cityofelberton.net/URP/URPindex.htm (This is for the 2017 update only. See comment below)			
	Website address (URL) of <i>Transformation</i> Plan:			
A.	Community Revitalization	2	A. 2	lo Voo/No
	i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site?		i.) Yes	lo Yes/No
	ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially Date Plan originally adopted by Local Govt:		ii.) Yes	
	adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date: 5 Years		/	
	the Local Govt? Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable: 5/15/17			
	iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stages:			
	a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: a) April 19, 2017-May 3, 2017; August 22, 2012-September 10, 2012			
	Publication Name(s) Elberton Star			
	b) Type of event: b) Published local govt public mtg Published local govt public mtg			
	Date(s) of event(s): 5/3/17 9/10/12			
	c) Letters of Support from local non-government entities. Type: Development authority Other (see comments) Downtown Development Authority of Elberton Housing Authority of the City of Elberton			
	1. Community Revitalization Plan - Application proposes to develop housing that contributes to a written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific community in	1		
	which the property will be located.	1	1. 1	
	2. Qualified Census Tract and Community Revitalization Plan - Application proposes to develop housing that is in a Qualified Census Tract and that contributes to	1	2. 1	
	a written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific community in which the property will be located.	1	۷.	

Project is in a QCT?

Yes

4.00

Eligible Basis Adjustment:

Census Tract Number:

DDA/QCT

		PART NINE	- SCORING C	RITERIA - 20	17-021 Emilia	Place, Elbe	rton, Elbert County				
				comments in section				Score	9,	elf	DCA
	Disclaimer: DCA	A Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain					e funding round scoring decisions.	Value	Sco		Score
		Failure to do so	will result in a one (1) point "Application	Completeness" dedu	uction.	TOTALC				
							TOTALS:	92	63	5	20
R								•			
		sformation Plan	lination Dlan mos	tina DOA atau dand	1-0			6	В	_	
		eference an existing Community Revita	llization Plan mee	ting DCA standard	S?					<u> </u>	
	Community-Ba							2	1.		
Cor	nmunity-Based D	eveloper (CBD)	Select at least to	wo out of the three	- ') in "a" below, o	or "b"). CE	D 1			
	Entity Name		_		Website						
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email				Yes	/No \	Yes/No
a) <i>i</i> .							a around the development (proposed or		•		
	_	ere) in the last two years and can docur	nent that these pa	artnerships have m		ed community o	r resident outcomes.				
	CBO 1 Name				Purpose:						Support
	· ĭ	hborhd where partnership occurred		Г	Website					include	3a?
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email				<u> </u>		
	CBO 2 Name				Purpose:						Support
		hborhd where partnership occurred	D:		Website					include	30?
	Contact Name	and the ODD has a salidated and all	Direct Line	de la la casa fine a cal	Email	I N I - Carlo la carlo a carl	1 0) - 1 1 - 1			—	
II.		years, the CBD has participated or led another Georgia community. Use com					or 2) a targeted area surrounding their		ii.		
	development in	another Georgia community. Ose com	THEIR DOX OF ALIAC	ii separate explaita	ation page in cone	sporturing tab of	Application binder.			_	
iii.	The CBD has be	een selected as a result of a community	/-driven initiative b	by the Local Gover	nment in a Reques	st for Proposal	or similar public bid process.		iii.		
or b)	The Project Tea	m received a HOME consent for the pr	oposed property a	and was designated	d as a CHDO.				b)		
Cor	nmunity Quarterb	ack (COB)	See QAP for red	uirements.			CC)B 1			
	•	• •		•	ord of serving the D	Defined Neighbo	orhood, as delineated by the Communi		ie		
		Plan, to increase residents' access to I	•		•	-		nbr(s) he			
ii.		confirming their partnership with Proje						. ,			
	CQB Name				Website						
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email						
2.	Quality Transfo	rmation Plan	_		=			4	2.		
		Team has completed Community Enga	gement and Outro	each prior to Applic	cation Submission	?					
a)	Public and Priva		J		Tenancy:	HFOP					
,		ts must engage at least <u>two</u> different T	ransformation Pa	rtner types, while \$	Senior Applicants r	must engage at	least one. Applicant agrees?				
i.	Transformation I			91 7	11		Meeting 1 between Partners				
	Org Name						lication of meeting notice				
	Website					Publication(s)					
	Contact Name		Direct Line			Social Media					
	Email			1		Mtg Locatn					
	Role					,	rs were present at Public Mtg 1 betwee	n Partners?			

	<u>.</u>	,	P	ART NINE -	SCORING C	RITERIA - 20	17-021 Emilia	Place, Elbe	erton, Elbert County			
						comments in section			-	Score	Self	DCA
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DC	CA Threshold and S				nding funding round ar 1) point "Application			re funding round scoring decisions.	Value		Score
				anure to do so v	viii resuit iii a one t	11 DOING ADDICATION	Combleteness deal	JCHOH.	TOTALS:	92	63	20
ii.	Transformation	Partner 2	<select t<="" td=""><td>ransformation</td><th>Prtnr type></th><th></th><td>If "Other" Type,</td><td>Date of Public</td><td>Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs</td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td></select>	ransformation	Prtnr type>		If "Other" Type,	Date of Public	Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs	<u> </u>		
	Org Name	T GITTION 2	1001001	ranoromianon	Titli typor		specify below:		olication of meeting notice			
	Website						opcomy necessity	Publication(s)				
	Contact Name				Direct Line			Social Media				
	Email							Mtg Locatn				
	Role						•	Which Partne	rs were present at Public Mtg 2 betweer	Partners?		
b)	Citizen Outreac	h	Choose e	either "I" or "ii"	below for (b).			_			Yes/No	Yes/No
i.	Survey				nd itemized sumr	nary of results incl	uded in correspon	ding tab in appl	lication binder?		i.	
or	•		Nbr of Re	espondents								
ii.	Public Meetings		ı					=			ï.	
	Meeting 1 Date							Dates: Mtg 2				_
	Date(s) of public	cation of Meetin	g 1 notice					Public Mtg 2 r	qmt met by req'd public mtg between Tr	ansformatn Pa	artners?	
	Publication(s)							Publication(s)				
	Social Media							Social Media				
	Meeting Location						T	Mtg Locatn				
,	Copy(-ies) of pu								published notices provided in application			
c)						enges preventing t nsformation Team			cal resources (according to feedback fro	m the low inco	ome popula	ation to
i	Local Populatio	-	sponding	goais and solu	illons for the frai	isioimation ream	and Faithers to ac	iuress.				
1.		ng residents' acces:	c									
		I Who Implements	3									
		ng neighborhood's a	229116									
	-	Who Implements	200033									
ii.	Local Populatio											
		ng residents' access	s									
		Who Implements										
		ng neighborhood's a	access									
		Who Implements										
iii.	Local Populatio	on Challenge 3										
	Goal for increasir	ng residents' access	S									
	Solution and	Who Implements										
	Goal for catalyzin	ng neighborhood's a	access									
		Who Implements										
iv.	Local Populatio											
		ng residents' acces	S									
		I Who Implements										
	-	ng neighborhood's a	access									
		Who Implements										
V.	Local Populatio		_									
		ng residents' access	5									
		Who Implements										
	Goal for catalyzin	ng neighborhood's a	access									

Solution and	Who Implements					TOTALS:	92	63 2
Community Inves	tment						4	3
1. Community Im	provement Fund Amount /	Balance	50,000		HFO	P	1	1. 1
Source	Olympia Construction, Inc.			Bank Name	Regions Bank		Annlicants:	Please use "Pt IX B-
	Jeff Beaver	Direct Line	(256) 878-6054	Account Name	Community Investment Fund			Improvmt Narr" tab
	jeff@olympiaconstruction.net	ln:	(005) 004 4047	Bank Website	regions.com		provided.	'
Bank Contact Description of	Reed Dolihite Funds will be used to support On-Site Hea	Direct Line	(205) 264-4017		reed.dolihite@regions.com	ough their mechile core		
Use of Funds	Funds will be used to support and provide			•	•	ough their mobile care.		
USE OF Fullus								
	Funds can be used to support other recre					-		
	Funds will be used to provide opportunitie					i Theatre.		
	Funds will be used for supplies, equipmer	•			, ,			
	Funds can be used to assist the City of El	berton in an effort to rem	ove blighted run down s	tructures within the ta	irgeted area/neighborhood.			
Narrative of	The City of Elberton has shown overwheln	ning support for Emilia Pla	ace and the application	for funding of the pro	ject. One significant reason for the su	pport and interest is the locati	ion selected. Th	he area along S. Olive
	0 1 1 1 0 10 10 1 1 1 1							
how the					berton Urban Redevelopment Plan (l			
	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned							
how the		blighted structures. The	Community Investment I	by Emilia Place will a	lso compliment other previous investr	ments by the City and others i	n this neighborl	hood. The ongoing
how the secured funds	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned	blighted structures. The blighted structures. The blighted by Emilia Place will not be	Community Investment lonly help reach goals of	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would to	lso compliment other previous investr clearly be a catalyst for other positive	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This	n this neighborl is will be realize	hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a
how the secured funds support the	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated	blighted structures. The blighted structures. The blighted by Emilia Place will not be	Community Investment lonly help reach goals of	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would to	lso compliment other previous investr clearly be a catalyst for other positive	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This	n this neighborl is will be realize	hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a
how the secured funds support the Community	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated	blighted structures. The of by Emilia Place will not of cices that will improve phy	Community Investment I only help reach goals of rsical health, mental well	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would o l- being, and promote	lso compliment other previous investr clearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber	ments by the City and others in development and activity. Thin neficial to the residents but als	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors	hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow serv	blighted structures. The of by Emilia Place will not of cices that will improve phy	Community Investment I only help reach goals of risical health, mental well which designates the area	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would on the being, and promote where Emilia Place	lso compliment other previous investr clearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutl	ments by the City and others in development and activity. Thin neficial to the residents but also haide. The URP seeks to bring the control of the contro	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr	hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow serv The Investment serves to further the object	blighted structures. The of by Emilia Place will not of circes that will improve phy stives found in the URP with found in the URP also s	Community Investment I only help reach goals of sical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for le	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would on the being, and promote where Emilia Place	lso compliment other previous investr clearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutl	ments by the City and others in development and activity. Thin neficial to the residents but also haide. The URP seeks to bring the control of the contro	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr	hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow serve. The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan	blighted structures. The of by Emilia Place will not of circes that will improve phy citives found in the URP with found in the URP also significant of the community revitation.	Community Investment I only help reach goals of sical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for la lization plan or URP.	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of l-being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res	Iso compliment other previous investr clearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutl ources for redevelopment". Emilia Pla	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but als his his the URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Con	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn	hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit roperties to standard nent Fund will assist i
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow serv The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investigation.	blighted structures. The of the period by Emilia Place will not offices that will improve phystives found in the URP with found in the URP also significant based on the pro-assistance of the community revita	Community Investment I only help reach goals of rsical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for I dization plan or URP.	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of l-being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to th	Iso compliment other previous investroclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutlources for redevelopment. Emilia Plate Southside sub-area and our neighb	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also haide. The URP seeks to brinace, and in particular, the Control or hood. An example of how the seven development is the control of th	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an	hood. The ongoing ad aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit roperties to standard nent Fund will assist in d the URP will work v
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow serv The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective	blighted structures. The of the pro-entire blighted structures and the pro-entire blighted by Emilia Place will not or circes that will improve phystives found in the URP who found in the URP also set of the community revita structure based on the pro-entire based on th	Community Investment I only help reach goals of issical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for lalization plan or URP. active stance by City of I ree Emilia Place represe	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of l-being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several	Iso compliment other previous investriclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutlources for redevelopment". Emilia Plate Southside sub-area and our neight City officials including the City Manager	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also hade. The URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Comporthood. An example of how the ger. It was learned that the Cit	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an	hood. The ongoing ad aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit roperties to standard nent Fund will assist in d the URP will work v
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow served. The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective. Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on New March 1981.	blighted structures. The of the pro-entire blighted structures and the pro-entire blighted by Emilia Place will not or circes that will improve phystives found in the URP who found in the URP also set of the community revita structure based on the pro-entire based on th	Community Investment I only help reach goals of issical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for lalization plan or URP. active stance by City of I ree Emilia Place represe	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of l-being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several	Iso compliment other previous investriclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutlources for redevelopment". Emilia Plate Southside sub-area and our neight City officials including the City Manager	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also hade. The URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Comporthood. An example of how the ger. It was learned that the Cit	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an	hood. The ongoing ad aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit roperties to standard nent Fund will assist in d the URP will work v
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow served. The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective. Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on New March 1981.	blighted structures. The of the pro-entire blighted structures and the pro-entire blighted by Emilia Place will not or circes that will improve phystives found in the URP who found in the URP also set of the community revita structure based on the pro-entire based on th	Community Investment I only help reach goals of issical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for lalization plan or URP. active stance by City of I ree Emilia Place represe	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of l-being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several	Iso compliment other previous investriclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutlources for redevelopment". Emilia Plate Southside sub-area and our neight City officials including the City Manager	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also hade. The URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Comporthood. An example of how the ger. It was learned that the Cit	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an	hood. The ongoing ad aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit roperties to standard nent Fund will assist in d the URP will work v
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow served. The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective. Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on New March 1981.	blighted structures. The of the pro-entire blighted structures and the pro-entire blighted by Emilia Place will not or circes that will improve phystives found in the URP who found in the URP also set of the community revita structure based on the pro-entire based on th	Community Investment I only help reach goals of issical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for lalization plan or URP. active stance by City of I ree Emilia Place represe	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of l-being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several	Iso compliment other previous investriclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutlources for redevelopment". Emilia Plate Southside sub-area and our neight City officials including the City Manager	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also hade. The URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Comporthood. An example of how the ger. It was learned that the Cit	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an	hood. The ongoing ad aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit roperties to standard nent Fund will assist in d the URP will work v
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow served. The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective. Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on New March 1981.	blighted structures. The of the pro-entire blighted structures and the pro-entire blighted by Emilia Place will not or circes that will improve phystives found in the URP who found in the URP also set of the community revita structure based on the pro-entire based on th	Community Investment I only help reach goals of issical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for lalization plan or URP. active stance by City of I ree Emilia Place represe	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of l-being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several	Iso compliment other previous investriclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutlources for redevelopment". Emilia Plate Southside sub-area and our neight City officials including the City Manager	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also hade. The URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Comporthood. An example of how the ger. It was learned that the Cit	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an	hood. The ongoing ad aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit roperties to standard nent Fund will assist in d the URP will work v
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow served. The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective. Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on New March 1981.	blighted structures. The of the pro-entire blighted structures and the pro-entire blighted by Emilia Place will not or circes that will improve phystives found in the URP who found in the URP also set of the community revita structure based on the pro-entire based on th	Community Investment I only help reach goals of issical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for lalization plan or URP. active stance by City of I ree Emilia Place represe	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of l-being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several	Iso compliment other previous investriclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutlources for redevelopment". Emilia Plate Southside sub-area and our neight City officials including the City Manager	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also hade. The URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Comporthood. An example of how the ger. It was learned that the Cit	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an	hood. The ongoing ad aesthetically and a in this area of the Ci roperties to standard nent Fund will assist d the URP will work v
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow served. The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective. Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on New March 1981.	blighted structures. The of the pro-entire blighted structures and the pro-entire blighted by Emilia Place will not or circes that will improve phystives found in the URP who found in the URP also set of the community revita structure based on the pro-entire based on th	Community Investment I only help reach goals of issical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for lalization plan or URP. active stance by City of I ree Emilia Place represe	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of l-being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several	Iso compliment other previous investriclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutlources for redevelopment". Emilia Plate Southside sub-area and our neight City officials including the City Manager	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also hade. The URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Comporthood. An example of how the ger. It was learned that the Cit	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an	hood. The ongoing ad aesthetically and a in this area of the Ci roperties to standard nent Fund will assist in d the URP will work were the control of
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation Plan.	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow serv The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plar meeting these and other specific objective Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on Nabandoned structure at 190 S. Oliver Street	blighted structures. The of the pro-entire blighted structures and the pro-entire blighted by Emilia Place will not or circes that will improve phystives found in the URP who found in the URP also set of the community revita structure based on the pro-entire based on th	Community Investment I only help reach goals of issical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for lalization plan or URP. active stance by City of I ree Emilia Place represe	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of l-being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several	Iso compliment other previous investriclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutlources for redevelopment". Emilia Plate Southside sub-area and our neight City officials including the City Manager	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also hade. The URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Comporthood. An example of how the ger. It was learned that the Cit	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an	hood. The ongoing ad aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit roperties to standard nent Fund will assist in d the URP will work v
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation Plan.	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow serv The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plar meeting these and other specific objective Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on Nabandoned structure at 190 S. Oliver Street	blighted structures. The of by Emilia Place will not offices that will improve physicives found in the URP with found in the URP also is softhe community revital stment based on the production of the which is adjacent to the	Community Investment I only help reach goals of sical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for I dization plan or URP. active stance by City of I ree Emilia Place represe Emilia Place site. This	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of l-being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several is expected to take p	Iso compliment other previous investriclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Soutlources for redevelopment". Emilia Plate Southside sub-area and our neighbority officials including the City Managlace within the next 6 weeks based or	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also haide. The URP seeks to brinace, and in particular, the Comporthood. An example of how loger. It was learned that the Citin their comments.	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an ty had a Court (hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a in this area of the Cil roperties to standard nent Fund will assist i d the URP will work v Order to demolish an
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation Plan. Long-term Gro Projects receive	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow served. The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on Nabandoned structure at 190 S. Oliver Street abandoned structure at 190 S. Oliver Street with the served by the served by the served by the plant of the served by the plant of the served by the plant of the served by t	blighted structures. The of by Emilia Place will not or ices that will improve phy stives found in the URP win found in the URP also is softhe community revital stment based on the produgative and the which is adjacent to the et which is adjacent to the sthan 45-year) for not	Community Investment I only help reach goals of rsical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for I dization plan or URP. active stance by City of I active stance by City of I are Emilia Place represe Emilia Place site. This	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would o l- being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several is expected to take p	Iso compliment other previous investroclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be ber is located as a Sub-Area called Southources for redevelopment". Emilia Place Southside sub-area and our neighbority officials including the City Managlace within the next 6 weeks based or do costs for the entire property?	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also haide. The URP seeks to brinace, and in particular, the Comporthood. An example of how loger. It was learned that the Citin their comments.	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an ty had a Court (hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a in this area of the Ci roperties to standard nent Fund will assist i d the URP will work v Order to demolish an
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation Plan. Long-term Gro Projects receive No funds other to	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow served. The Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on Nabandoned structure at 190 S. Oliver Street a	blighted structures. The of by Emilia Place will not or ices that will improve phy stives found in the URP win found in the URP also is softhe community revital stment based on the produgative and the which is adjacent to the et which is adjacent to the sthan 45-year) for not	Community Investment I only help reach goals of rsical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for I dization plan or URP. active stance by City of I active stance by City of I are Emilia Place represe Emilia Place site. This	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would o l- being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several is expected to take p	Iso compliment other previous investrolearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be bere is located as a Sub-Area called Southources for redevelopment. Emilia Place Southside sub-area and our neighbority officials including the City Managalace within the next 6 weeks based or do costs for the entire property? or indirectly?	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also haide. The URP seeks to brinace, and in particular, the Control porhood. An example of how longer. It was learned that the Citin their comments.	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an ty had a Court 0	hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a in this area of the Ci roperties to standard nent Fund will assist in the URP will work worder to demolish an
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation Plan. Long-term Gro Projects received No funds other to Third-Party Cal	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow served the Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on Nabandoned structure at 190 S. Oliver Street and Lease is a long-term ground lease (no less than what is disclosed in the Applicational Investment	blighted structures. The of by Emilia Place will not or ices that will improve phy stives found in the URP win found in the URP also is softhe community revital stment based on the produgative and the which is adjacent to the et which is adjacent to the sthan 45-year) for not	Community Investment I only help reach goals of rsical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for I dization plan or URP. active stance by City of I active stance by City of I are Emilia Place represe Emilia Place site. This	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would o l- being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several is expected to take p	Iso compliment other previous investrolearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be bere is located as a Sub-Area called Southources for redevelopment. Emilia Place Southside sub-area and our neighbority officials including the City Managalace within the next 6 weeks based or do costs for the entire property? or indirectly? Competitive Pool chosen:	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also haide. The URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Consorthood. An example of how loger. It was learned that the Citin their comments.	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an ty had a Court (hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a in this area of the Cit roperties to standard nent Fund will assist i d the URP will work v Order to demolish an
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation Plan. Long-term Gro Projects received No funds other to Third-Party Cal Unrelated Third-	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow served the Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on Nabandoned structure at 190 S. Oliver Street and Lease is a long-term ground lease (no less than what is disclosed in the Applicational Investment Party Name	blighted structures. The of by Emilia Place will not offices that will improve physicives found in the URP with found in the URP also so softhe community revital street based on the pro-away 12, 2017 between the et which is adjacent to the street which will be soften at the street when the street which is adjacent to the street when the street which is adjacent to the street which is adjacent to the street which is adjacent to the street when the street which is adjacent to the street when	Community Investment I only help reach goals of insical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for labelization plan or URP. active stance by City of I active stance by City of I active Emilia Place represe Emilia Place site. This opportunities for labelization plan or URP.	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would o l- being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several is expected to take p	Iso compliment other previous investrictearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be bere is located as a Sub-Area called Southources for redevelopment. Emilia Plate Southside sub-area and our neight City officials including the City Managalace within the next 6 weeks based or or indirectly? Competitive Pool chosen: City of Elberton; Elbert Theat	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also haide. The URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Consorthood. An example of how loger. It was learned that the Citin their comments.	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an ty had a Court 0	hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a sin this area of the Ci roperties to standard nent Fund will assist of the URP will work worder to demolish an 2.
how the secured funds support the Community Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation Plan. Long-term Groa Projects received No funds other to Unrelated Third-Unrelated Third-Unrelated Third-	goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned programs, activities, and impact generated community. The Investment will allow served the Investment serves to further the object condition or remove them. The Action Plan meeting these and other specific objective Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investogether was highlighted in a meeting on Nabandoned structure at 190 S. Oliver Street and Lease is a long-term ground lease (no less than what is disclosed in the Applicational Investment Party Name	blighted structures. The of by Emilia Place will not offices that will improve physicives found in the URP win found in the URP also sits of the community revital stment based on the production of the which is adjacent to the sthan 45-year) for notation have been or will additional of the production of the structure of the stru	Community Investment I only help reach goals of visical health, mental well which designates the area seeks "opportunities for I dization plan or URP. active stance by City of I ree Emilia Place represe Emilia Place site. This opportunities for I will be paid for the lead documentation recommunity and the standard of the lead documentation recommunity is seen to some the standard of the lead documentation recommunity is seen to some the standard of the lead documentation recommunity is seen to some the standard of the seen th	by Emilia Place will a the URP, but would of being, and promote a where Emilia Place everaging private res Elberton specific to the entatives and several is expected to take p and no other lan ase either directly	Iso compliment other previous investroclearly be a catalyst for other positive community which will not only be bere is located as a Sub-Area called Southources for redevelopment. Emilia Place Southside sub-area and our neight City officials including the City Managalace within the next 6 weeks based or indirectly? Competitive Pool chosen: City of Elberton; Elbert Theat. Government	ments by the City and others in development and activity. This neficial to the residents but also haide. The URP seeks to bring ace, and in particular, the Consorthood. An example of how loger. It was learned that the Citin their comments.	n this neighborl is will be realize so to neighbors g dilapidated pr nmunity Investn Emilia Place an ty had a Court 0	hood. The ongoing ed aesthetically and a in this area of the Ci roperties to standard nent Fund will assist in the URP will work worder to demolish an

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction

Score Value 92

TOTALS:

DCA Self Score Score 63 20

Description of Investment or **Funding Mechanism** Description of Investment's Furtherance of Plan

Description of how the investment will serve the tenant base for the proposed development

Full Cost of Improvement as a Percent of TDC: The City of Elberton contributed funds in the amount of \$630,087.00; the Elbert Theatre Foundation donate equipment with an estimated value of \$56,007

One of the areas covered by the Plan is the Downtown Elberton area. The central part of Downton Elberton is listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The Plan seeks to improve buildings and continue to provide entertainment for the community. The Theatre is listed as an asset to the area. A description of Downtown Elberton is on pages 6-8 of the 2012 Urban Redevelopment Plan and letters describing the City's investment are located in Appendix D of the updated 2017 Urban Revitalization Plan.

The Elbert Theatre is located within walking distanct to the site for Emilia Place, accessible by a paved sidewalk. Presentations at the Theatre include films, musicals, and other entertainment events which would be appealing to the residents of Emilia Place.

630,087	
8.0814%	0.0000%

Total Development Costs (TDC): 7,796,796

PART NINE - SCORING O	RITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert Coun	ty			
Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding	e comments in sections where points are claimed. Inding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring dec In point "Application Completeness" deduction.	isions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
ranure to do so win result in a one		TOTALS:	92	63	20
D. Community Designations	(Choose only one.)	1017(20.	10	D.	
HUD Choice Neighborhood Implementation (CNI) Grant	(5)		10	1.	
2. Purpose Built Communities				2.	
Scoring Justification per Applicant				-	
The Urban Redevelopment Plan located on the City of Elberton's website is the updated and currer citing organizational support of the Urban Redevelopment Plan include letters from the Downtown I		nal 2012 edition and t	he updated 2	017 version. If	ne letters
DCA's Comments:					
9. PHASED DEVELOPMENTS / PREVIOUS PROJECTS	(choose A or B)		4	4	0
	Competitive Pool chosen: Rural				
 A. Phased Developments 1. Application is in the Flexible Pool and the proposed project is part of a F past five (5) funding rounds (only the second and third phase of a project the 2017 Application Submission deadline? 	Phased Development? No hased Development in which one or more phases received an allocation of t may receive these points) and at least one phase has commenced constructions.			A. 1.	
If Yes, indicate DCA Project Nbr and Project Name of the first phase:	Number: Name				•
If current application is for third phase, indicate for second phase:	Number: Name				
2. Was the community originally designed as one development with different	·			2.	
3. Are any other phases for this project also submitted during the current for	· ·			3.	
4. Was site control over the entire site (including all phases) in place when	(choose 1 or 2)		3	4. В. 0	0
B. Previous Projects (Flexible Pool) The proposed development site is not within a 1-mile radius of a Ge	,	a last	3	D. U	U
Five (5) DCA funding cycles	orgia riousing Orean development that has received an award in th	o last	3	1.	
OR 2. Four (4) DCA funding cycles			2	2.	
C. Previous Projects (Rural Pool)	(choose 1 or 3)		4	C. 4	0
The proposed development site is within a Local Government bound	lary which has not received an award of 9% Credits:				
1. Within the last Five (5) DCA funding cycles			3	1. 3	
2. Since the 2000 DCA Housing Credit Competitive Round	(additional point)		1	2. 1	
OR 3. Within the last Four (4) DCA funding cycles			2	3.	
Scoring Justification per Applicant There have been no funded projects in Elbert County since before the year 2000.					
There have been no funded projects in Elbert County since before the year 2000. DCA's Comments:					
DGA's Comments.					

	PART NINE -	SCORING CRITERIA - 20	017-021 Emilia	Place, Elberton, Elbert County				
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain	cants must include comments in section only to the corresponding funding round call the corresponding funding round call the corresponding funding round call the corresponding funding funding the corresponding funding fund	and have no effect on s	subsequent or future funding round scoring decision	ns.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
	,				OTALS:	92	63	20
10.	MARKET CHARACTERISTICS					2	2	0
. • .	For DCA determination:					_	Yes/No	
	Are more than two DCA funded projects in the primary marked base as the proposed project?	et area which have physical occup	ancy rates of less t	than 90 percent and which compete for th	e same tenant	,	A. No	
	Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in proposed tenant population?	in the proposed market which cou	ld detrimentally affe	ect the long term viability of the proposed	project and the	I	B. No	
C.	Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated,	creating the likelihood that the de	mand for the project	ct is weaker than projected?		(C. No	
D.	Is the capture rate of a specific bedroom type and market seg	gment over 55%?				!	D. No	
	Scoring Justification per Applicant							
that t	Market Study prepared by Jerry Koontz of Koontz & Salinger he development would fill a great need and be a strong addit DCA's Comments:				g. 1110 Supto	and factor and	2	aa 5115W
11	EXTENDED AFFORDABILITY COMMITMENT	(choose only o	nne)			1	1	0
	Waiver of Qualified Contract Right	(choose only c	nie)				A. 1	U
	Applicant agrees to forego cancellation option for at least 5 y	rs after close of Compliance perio	.d?			1 '	Yes	
	Tenant Ownership	13 after close of Compilarice perio	u:			1	В.	
	Applicant commits to a plan for tenant ownership at end of co	omnliance period (only applies to	single family units)			, ,	J	
	DCA's Comments:	ompliance period (only applies to t	single fairing drints).					
12	EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT	0				3		
	Nonprofit Setaside selection from Project Information tab:	No				3	Vec/No	Yes/No
	Is the applicant claiming these points for this project?	NO					103/140	3 103/140
	Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting the	hase points in this funding round?						
	Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the required documen		tah of the application	on?				
	DCA's Comments:	itation included in the appropriate	tab of the application	on:				
	DOA'S COMMENS.							
13	RURAL PRIORITY Competitive Pool:	Rural		Urban or Rural: Rural		2	2	
	·				Cailona bootha	_		
	Applicant will be limited to claiming these points for one Rur cant to designate these points to only one qualified project w			est and which involves 80 or lewer units.	Fallure by the	Unit Total	48	
MGP		Jeff Beaver	NPSponsr	0	0.000070	0		
OGP1		0	Developer	Olympia Construction, Inc.		Jeff Beaver		
OGP2		0	Co-Developer 1	0	0.000070	0		
OwnCor		0 Bood Dolibito	Co-Developer 2	0		0		
Fed LP State LF	•	Reed Dolihite Mark Gardner	Developmt Consult	0	0.0000%	0		
Sidle LF	•	IVIAIN GAIUITEI		DCA's Comments:				
	Scoring Justification per Applicant			DOA'S COITHIBINS.				

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia	Place, Elberton, Elbert County			
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are continuous Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on support the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on support the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on support the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on support the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on support the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on support the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on support the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on support the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on support the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on support the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on support the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect on substitution of the corresponding funding round and have no effect of the cor		Self Score	-	
Emilia Place is located in Rural Elberton, GA. In addition, USDA 538 funding is utilized as a funding source for the project. Olympia Construction, Inc. is a Member of the Sole Member of the General Partner and also acts as the Certifying Entity. Olympia Construction, Inc. is participating in only one application for the 2017 funding round.	TOTALS:	92	63	20

				nilia Place, Elberton, Elbert	County		
	REN <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section i	INDER: Applicants must include comme			oring decisions	Score	Self DCA
		lure to do so will result in a one (1) poin			oring decisions.	Value	Score Score
					TOTALS:	92	63 20
14.	DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES					2	0 0
Α.	. Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (G	ICH)				1	
	Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Com	munity Housing team that clearly:					A. Yes/No Yes/No
	Identifies the project as located within their	GICH community:		< Select applicable GICH >			1.
	2. Is indicative of the community's affordable h	nousing goals					2.
	3. Identifies that the project meets one of the						3.
	4. Is executed by the GICH community's prima	•	w/ University of Georgia	Housing and Demographic Research	n Center as of 5/1/17?		4.
	5. Has not received a tax credit award in the la		, 0	3 7			5.
	NOTE: If more than one letter is issued		piect in that commun	ity shall be awarded this point			
В.	Designated Military Zones		economic/DevelopmentTools/			1	
	Project site is located within the census tract of	a DCA-designated Military Zone (M.	Z).				В.
	City: Elberton C	County: Elbert	QCT? Yes	Census Tract #	<i>t</i> : 4.00		
	Scoring Justification per Applicant	•		DCA's Comments:			
рр	olicant is not claiming points in this section						
15.	LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOUR	CES	Competit	ive Pool chosen:	Rural	4	2 0
	Indicate that the following criteria are met:						Yes/No Yes/No
	a) Funding or assistance provided below is bir	nding and unconditional except as s	et forth in this section.				a) Yes
	b) Resources will be utilized if the project is se	elected for funding by DCA.					b) Yes
	c) Loans are for both construction and permar						c) Yes
	d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten year				A 538 loans must reflect	tinterest	d) Yes
	rates at or below Bank prime loan, as poste			•			103
	e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans						e) N/a
_	f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points		, , ,	·			f) Yes
1.	Qualifying Sources - New loans or new gr	•	S:	Amount		,	Amount
	a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing			a)	a	·	
	b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or otherc) HOME Funds	er HOD PHI tuna		b)	b	<u> </u>	
	d) Beltline Grant/Loan			c)		′ -	
	e) Historic tax credit proceeds			e)	-	<i>'</i>	
	f) Community Development Block Grant (CDE	SG) program funds		f)		<i>'</i>	
	g) National Housing Trust Fund	50) program rando		g)	g	/	
	h) Georgia TCAP acquisition loans passed thr	ough a Qualified CDFI revolving loa	an fund	h)	- s h		
	i) Foundation grants, or loans based from gra			i)		i)	
	j) Federal Government grant funds or loans			j) 400,000		j)	
	Total Qualifying Sources (TQS):			400,000			0
2.	Point Scale	Total Development Co	ests (TDC):	7,796,796			
	Scoring Justification per Applicant	TQS as a Percent of T	,	5.1303%			0.0000%
	ISDA 538 loan is applied to the sources in both co					kina alaaahuu	

representatives in order to ensure the September 30, 2017 deadline is met.

eorgia Department of Community Arians 2017 I unding Application	JII	riousing rinar	ice and be	velopinent bivisio
PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia	a Place, Elberton, Elbert	County		
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are			Score	Self DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on	1	oring decisions.	Value	Score Score
Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" de	eduction.	TOTALS:	92	
		TOTALS:	92	63 20
16. INNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT			3	
Is the applicant claiming these points?				
Selection Criteria		Ranking Pts Value Rar	<u>nge</u>	Ranking Pts
1. Presentation of the project concept narrative in the Application.		0 - 10		1.
2. Uniqueness of innovation.		0 - 10		2.
3. Demonstrated replicability of the innovation.		0 - 5		3.
4. Leveraged operating funding		0 - 5		4.
5. Measureable benefit to tenants Collaborative polytices proposed and evidence of cubicat matter experts' direct involvement in the etvatoric	a account development	0 - 5		5. 6.
6. Collaborative solutions proposed and <u>evidence</u> of subject matter experts' <u>direct</u> involvement in the strategic DCA's Comments:	c concept development.	0 - 5 0 - 40	_	Total: 0
DCA'S COMMENTS.		0 - 40		Total. U
47 INTECRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING				
17. INTEGRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING			3	2 0
A. Integrated Supportive Housing/ Section 811 RA	10% of Total Units (max):	5	2	A. 2 0
1. Applicant agrees to accept Section 811 PBRA or other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units for the	Total Low Income Units	43		1. Agree
purpose of providing Integrated Supportive Housing (ISH) opportunities to Persons w/ Disabilities (PWD), and is prepared to accept the full utilization by DCA of 10% of the units?	Min 1 BR LI Units required	4		
	1 BR LI Units Proposed	12		
2. Applicant understands the requirements of HUD's Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) program, in	ncluding the 30-year use restricti	on for all PRA units?		2. Yes
3. At least 10% of the total low-income units in the proposed Application will be one bedroom units?				3. Yes
4. Applicant is willing to accept Assistance affordable to 50% AMI tenants?				4. Yes
B. Target Population Preference			3	B. 0 0
1. Applicant has a commitment of HUD Section 8 project-based rental assistance from a Public Housing Author		tenant selection		1.
preference in their Voucher programs for persons with specific disabilities identified in the Settlement Agree	,		_	
Name of Public Housing Authority providing PBRA:	PBRA Expiration:	-	0.00/	0
2. Applicant agrees to implement a minimum of 15% of the total units targeting the Settlement population?	Nbr of Settlement units:	0	0.0%	2.
Scoring Justification per Applicant The applicant agrees to accept Section 811 PBRA or other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units. Applicant is co	ommitted to following all Fair Ho	using initiatives		
DCA's Comments:	stoa to ronowing an rail rio			
18. HISTORIC PRESERVATION (choose A or B)			2	0 0
The property is: < <select applicable="" status="">></select>	Historic Credit Equity:	0	¬ ¯	
A. Historic and Adaptive Reuse	Historic adaptive reuse units:	0	d 2	Λ
The proposed development includes historic tax credit proceeds and is an adaptive reuse of a	Total Units	48		Λ.
certified historic structure.	% of Total	0.00%	╡	
<< Enter here Applicant's Narrative of how building will be reused >>	70 01 10tui	0.00 /0		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
B. Historic	Nbr Historic units:	0	7 1	В.
The property is a certified historic structure per QAP or is deemed historic via a Georgia DNR-HPD approved	Total Units	48	┪ '	
NPS Part 1 - Evaluation of Significance to have a preliminary determination of listing on the National Register	% of Total	0.00%	=	

	PART NINE	- SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elbe	rton, Elbert Co	ounty			
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertai	icants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. n only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or futur will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	e funding round scorin	g decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
				TOTALS:	92	63	20
	DCA's Comments:						
19.	HEALTHY HOUSING INITIATIVES	(choose A or B or C)			3	3	0
	Pre-requisites:					Agree or Y/N	Agree or Y/N
	1. In Application submitted, Applicant used the following r	needs data to more efficiently target the proposed initiative for a propo	sed property:			Agree	
	a) A local Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA)					Yes	
	b) The "County Health Rankings & Reports" website:	http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/health-gaps/georg	<u>jia</u>			Yes	
	c) The Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Com	munity Health Status Indicators (CHSI) website				Yes	
	2. The Applicant identified target healthy initiatives to local	al community needs?				Agree	
	3. Explain the need for the targeted health initiative propo	sed in this section. s ranked 119 in the Health Outcomes category. Many factors contribu					
	The population in Elbert County is in need of health educati cardiovascular disease, hypertension, lung cancer, COPD a	are obese, 17% are smokers, and 15% are reportedly heavy drinkers on and preventative health screenings. Wellness Services can significand diabetes by providing early detection. Educational programs can be early detection of breast cancer and other complications as well as present the providing early detection.	cantly reduce the a be targeted to prom	mount of deaths and on the healthy diet, exercite the second control of the second contr	complications cise, and lifes	due to	es.
A.	Preventive Health Screening/Wellness Program for	or Residents			3	3	0
		Ith screenings and or Wellness Services at the proposed project?				a) Agree	
	 b) The services will be provided at least monthly and be 					b) Yes	
	, .	d preventive health care education and information for the residents?				c) Yes	
	Description of Service (Enter "N/a" if necessary)			Occurren			Resident
	a) Wellness Services-Health Screenings such as blood p			Once every thre			0
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	healthy diet, exercise, lifestyle choices, and diabetes concerns thas mammography and pap smears along with educational material	distribution	Once every thre			0
	d) **Programs are based on a rotating schedule so that a		distribution	NA	o months		NA NA
R	Healthy Eating Initiative	1 0			2	0	0
٥.	Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Eating Initiative, as d	efined in the QAP, at the proposed project?			_	0	J
	1. The community garden and edible landscape will:	a) Emphasize the importance of local, seasonal, and healthy food?				a)	
		b) Have a minimum planting area of at least 400 square feet?				b)	
		c) Provide a water source nearby for watering the garden?				c)	
		d) Be surrounded on all sides with fence of weatherproof construction				d)	
		e) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's Architectural Manua	al – Amenities Guid	debook?		e)	
	2. The monthly healthy eating programs will be provided to	ree of charge to the residents and will feature related events?				2.	
	Description of Monthly Healthy Eating Programs		Description of Rel	ated Event			
	a)						

	PART NINE -	SCORING CF	RITERIA - 20°	17-021 Emilia	a Place, Elbe	rton, Elbert C	ounty					
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Score											
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DC						e funding round scorin	g decisions.	Value	Score	_		
	Failure to do so v	vill result in a one (1)) point "Application (Completeness" de	duction.							
							TOTALS:	92	63	20		
c)												
d)												
C. Healthy Activity I	Initiative							2	0	0		
Applicant agrees to	provide a Healthy Activity Initiative, as de	efined in the QAP,	at the proposed p	project?	<< If Agree, e	nter type of Health	y Activity Initiative her	'e >>				
1. The dedicated multi-purpose walking trail that is ½ mile or longer that promotes walking, jogging, or biking will:												
a) Be well illumina			a)			f) Provide trash re		f)				
	halt or concrete surface?		b)				ional criteria outlined i					
c) Include benches or sitting areas throughout course of trail?												
d) Provide distance signage?												
	e of fitness equipment per every 1/8 mile		e)			Length of Trail				miles		
	ducational information will be provided fre	e of charge to the	residents on relat	ted events?				2.				
Scoring Justification												
	cted extensive research regarding the me									ents of		
	aware of potential health concerns and a									de la .		
	ealthier lifestyle for each resident. Outco h the necessary tools to carry out the He			leaith Score feat	ured on the Hea	ith Assessment Qi	uestionnaire. The on-s	ite manager wii	i be thord	ougniy		
	if the necessary tools to carry out the rie	ailii iiiilialive Fiaii	Set fortifi.									
DCA's Comments:												
									_			
20. QUALITY EDUC								3	1	0		
Application develops	s a property located in the attendance zo	ne of one or more	high-performing s	schools as deter	mined by the sta	te CCRPI?			Yes			
NOTE: 2013-2016	District / School System	n - from state CCR	RPI website:	Elbert County S	chools							
CCRPI Data Must	Tenancy			HFOP				_				
Be Used	If Charter school used,	does it have a des	signated (not distri	ct wide) attenda	nce zone that inc	cludes the property	site?		N/a			
				С	CRPI Scores fro	m School Years E	nding In:	Average	CCI	RPI >		
School Level	School Name (from state CCRPI website)	Grades Served	Charter School?	2013	2014	2015	2016	CCRPI Score		verage?		
a) Primary/Elementary	Elbert County Primary & Elementary	K-4	No	80.00	78.70	72.30		77.00	Yes	1		
b) Middle/Junior High	, , ,											
c) High												
, ,	Elbert County Primary & Elementary	K-4	No						<u>!</u> 1	<u>.</u> 		
d) Primary/Elementarye) Middle/Junior High	Elbert County Filmary & Elementary	N-4	INO						-			
· -									-			
f) High	A 1' 1											
Scoring Justification	n per Applicant eCCRPI scores from years 2013-2015 fo	r Elbort County D	iman, School and	Elbort County F	Iomontani Coho	al. The project site	is within the school as	one for the Elbe	rt Count	School		
Applicant utilized average District.	600NF1 300168 110111 years 2013-2013 10	Libert County Pr	illiary School and	Libert County E	iementary SCHO	or. The project site	is within the school 20	DIE IOI LITE EIDE	it County	3011001		
DCA's Comments:												

eorgia Department of Community Affairs				2017 Funding Application			Housing Finance and Development Divisi			
			PART NINE - SCORING	CRITERIA - 201	7-021 Emilia F	Place, Elberton, Elbert C	ounty			
REMINDER: Applicants must Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the			oring section reviews pertain only to the corres	nclude comments in sections where points are claimed. orresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding rou a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.					Self Score	DCA Score
21	. WORKFORCE H	HOUSING NE	ED (choose A or B)	(Must use 2014 da	ata from "OnTheMa	ap" tool, but 2015 data may be	used if available)	2	2	0
OF	 A. Minumum jobs threshold met <u>and</u> 60% of workers within a 2-mile radius travel over 10 miles to their place of work B. Exceed the minimum jobs threshold by 50% 									
	Jobs	City of		,	Atlanta Metro			Other	Rural	
	Threshold	Atlanta	(Cherokee, Clayton, Co	bb, DeKalb, Douglas,		Swinnett, Henry and Rockdale c	ounties)	MSA	Area	7
	Minimum	20,000			15,000			6,000	3,000	
	Project Site								4,525	
	Min Exceeded by:	0.00%			0.00%			0.00%	50.83%	
	Total Nbr of Jobs w/i Nbr of Jobs in 2-mile	in the 2-mile radion the 2-mile radion w/ worke w/in the 2-mile ra	(from chart above) Nbr of Jobs: us: rs who travel > 10 miles to work: dius w/ workers travelling over 10 mile	Per Applicant 3,000 4,525 2,298 50.78%	Per DCA 0.00%	Project City Project County HUD SA MSA / Non-MSA Urban or Rural	Elberton Elbert Elbert Co. Non-MSA Rural			
	ing the site provided in nimum by more than 50 DCA's Comments:	•	plicant selected a point using coordina	ates within the site bou	undaries and found	I that the total number of jobs w	ithin the two-mile radi	ius is 4,525. 1	This total exc	ceeds the
	Base Score Deductions Additions Scoring Justification	per Applicant						10	10	10
full sta	y aware of the long-teri	m requirements	milia Place proposal consists of orgar and commitments required for such ar agencies throughout the Southeast. Th	endeavor. The Devel	loper, General Cor	ntractor, and General Partner M	emberOlympia Cons	struction, Inc.	has enjoye	ed a long-
	DCA's Comments:									
					SIBLE SCOR	E NONPROFIT POINTS		92	63	20

Part IX A-Scoring Criteria 63 of 73

INNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT POINTS

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.

Score Value

Self DCA Score Score 63 20

TOTALS:

92

NET POSSIBLE SCORE WITHOUT DCA EXTRA POINTS

20

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-021 Emilia Place, Elberton, Elbert County		
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.	Saara	60

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.

Score Value 92

TOTALS:

Self DCA Score Score

OCA has included the following area for Applicants to make comments in any section they claimed points but were not provided with comment section. Include the section/(s) you are eferring to within this area along with any applicable comments.			

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative Emilia Place

Elberton, Elbert County

Narrative

The City of Elberton has shown overwhelming support for Emilia Place and the application for funding of the project. One significant reason for the support and interest is the location selected. The area along S. Oliver Street is in a Qualified Census Tract but also lies within a specific area targeted for revitalization by the City of Elberton Urban Redevelopment Plan (URP). The construction of Emilia Place will in and of itself contribute to a goal of the plan by eliminating abandoned blighted structures. The Community Investment by Emilia Place will also compliment other previous investments by the City and others in this neighborhood. The ongoing programs, activities, and impact generated by Emilia Place will not only help reach goals of the URP, but would clearly be a catalyst for other positive development and activity. This will be realized aesthetically and as community. The Investment will allow services that will improve physical health, mental well- being, and promote community which will not only be beneficial to the residents but also to neighbors in this area of the City.

The Investment serves to further the objectives found in the URP which designates the area where Emilia Place is located as a Sub-Area called Southside. The URP seeks to bring dilapidated properties to standard condition or remove them. The Action Plan found in the URP also seeks "opportunities for leveraging private resources for redevelopment". Emilia Place, and in particular, the Community Investment Fund will assist in meeting these and other specific objectives of the community revitalization plan or URP.

Emilia Place believes this is a worthy investment based on the pro-active stance by City of Elberton specific to the Southside sub-area and our neighborhood. An example of how Emilia Place and the URP will work well together was highlighted in a meeting on May 12, 2017 between three Emilia Place representatives and several City officials including the City Manager. It was learned that the City had a Court Order to demolish an abandoned structure at 190 S Oliver Street which is adjacent to the Emilia Place site. This is expected to take place within the next 6 weeks based on their comments.

Use of Funds

Funds will be used to support On-Site Health Classes and Activities such as those that can be provided by the Elberton Hospital Wellness Center through their mobile care. The Wellness offers a variety of monthly options/contracts that fit the tenant population of Emilia Place. Examples are lectures, educational classes and literature, as well as fitness/exercise classes and instruction on proper exercise.

Funds will be used to support and provide supplies for On-Site enrichment classes such as those associated with Arts and Crafts. A furnished Arts and Crafts room will be a part of the Emilia Place Community facility.

Funds can be used to support other recreational and social activities to be offered On-Site by management and other local providers as available.

Funds will be used to provide opportunities as appropriate for residents of Emilia Place to attend events, at no charge, at the nearby historic Elberton Theatre. The Theatre has been restored through the efforts of the City and non-profit groups and citizens and is a vital part of the Revitalization Plan and the improvement of the Emilia Place neighborhood. The Theatre is within walking distance of Emilia Place.

Funds will be used for supplies, equipment, and library for the Wellness Room to be located within the Community Building. This room will be used on a regular basis as part of the Emilia Place Healthy Housing Initiative. This effort will require continued replenishing of supplies, educational literature related to healthy living and wellness as well as documentation of activities and progress of residents who participate.

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative Emilia Place

Elberton, Elbert County

Funds can be used to assist the City of Elberton in an effort to remove blighted run down structures within the targeted area/neighborhood as part of an effort to support the Urban Redevelopment Plan (Community Revitalization Plan) that has this neighborhood and this effort as one of its goals. Specifically, the assistance will be offered as it applies to blighted areas that contain abandoned structures which may be adjacent or near Emilia Place. This would have a very positive impact to Emilia Place, its residents and its neighbors.

Scoring Section 14 - DCA Community Initiatives: GICH Project Narrative

Emilia Place Elberton, Elbert County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Scoring Section 16 - Innovative Project Concept Narrative

Emilia Place Elberton, Elbert County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Finance and Development Division 60 Executive Park South, NE. Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2231

Re: Application for Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and/or HOME Funding

To DCA:

This Application is submitted in accordance with the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan and the Housing Finance and Development Division Manuals. In submitting this Application for funding consideration, the undersigned applicant hereby certifies:

- 1) I understand that, in the event an allocation (or an allowance) for LIHTCs was obtained with false information supplied to the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA"), DCA will recapture the LIHTCs or request that the IRS deny tax credits to the Applicant entity. Also, a supplier, including the developer or owner, who knowingly provides false information will be barred by DCA from program participation for a period of five (5) years from the date the false information was discovered, in accordance with a Memorandum of Understanding between the Internal Revenue Service and the Georgia Housing and Finance Authority.
- 2) I am responsible for ensuring the project consists or will consist of a qualified low-income building (or buildings) as defined in the Internal Revenue Code section 42(c)(2) and will satisfy all applicable requirements of State and Federal tax law in the acquisition, development and operation of the project to receive State and federal housing tax credits.
- 3) I am responsible for all calculations and figures relating to the determination of the eligible basis of the building(s). I understand and agree the amount of the credit is allocated by reference to the figures that I submit as to eligible and qualified basis. I understand that the actual amount of credit allocated may vary somewhat from the amount initially reserved or committed due to (a) the determination by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA") as to the amount of credit necessary for the financial feasibility of the project and its viability as a qualified low-income housing project; (b) revisions in the calculations of eligible and qualified basis as finally determined; (c) fluctuations in the prevailing credit percentage; and (d) availability of the credit.
- 4) I understand and agree that DCA makes no representations or warranties regarding the financial feasibility of the project, the amount of credit, or the appropriateness of the allocation of the credit and makes no independent investigation as to the eligible and qualified basis and that any and all credit awards and credit amounts are solely based on representations made by me. I therefore agree to hold harmless and indemnify DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA in the event that I or anyone acting on my behalf, at my request or by and through me incurs any loss, injury, or damages in conjunction with the project including those that may result from any inquiries or gathering of information by DCA concerning the proposed project team or Application, diminution of the credit, loss of the credit, recapture of part or all of the credit, failure to allocate the credit requested in my Application or, the failure of DCA, in whole or in part, to grant my Application.
- 5) I understand and agree that neither DCA nor any of its individual directors, employees, members, officers, or agents assume any responsibility or make any representations or warranties with respect to: (i) the amount or availability of credit for the project; or (ii) the financial feasibility of the project.

- 6) I understand and agree that my Application for a low-income housing credit and/or HOME loan, all attachments thereto, amendments, and all correspondence relating to my Application in particular or the credit in general are subject to a request disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I further understand and agree that any and all correspondence to me from DCA, other DCA-generated documents, or documents to or from a third party in the possession of DCA relating to my Application are subject to a request for disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I agree to hold harmless DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA against all losses, costs, damages, expenses, and liability of whatsoever nature or kind (including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, litigation, and court costs) directly or indirectly resulting from or arising out of the release of all information pertaining to my Application pursuant to a request under the Georgia Open Records Act or resulting from or arising out of the release.
- 7) I understand and agree to authorize DCA access to information relevant to DCA's Application review. I understand that DCA may request an IRS Form 8821 for any Project Participant through final allocation of credits and/or disbursement of funds. Project Participants will complete Form 8821 upon DCA's request.
- 8) I understand that any misrepresentations, which includes fraudulent, negligent, and/or innocent, in this Application or supporting documentation may result in a withdrawal of tax credits and/or HOME loan by DCA, my (and related parties) being barred from future program participation, and notification of the Internal Revenue Service and/or HUD.
- 9) I certify that all sources of funds, including but not limited to Federal, State and local funding sources, have been disclosed and revealed.

In addition, Applicant understands:

- The above certifications are of a continuing nature and apply at all stages of the Application process: initial application, commitment, carryover allocation, and final allocation/funding.
- DCA must be notified of any subsequent events or information, which would change any statements or representations in the attached Application or amendments thereto;
- DCA reserves the right to verify all information or documents used in processing the Application, including requiring credit checks on all parties involved in the transaction. Applicant hereby authorizes the financing bank, accountant, mortgage lender, creditors, other state housing agencies and others sources identified in the Application to release information to DCA or its designee in order to verify the accuracy of information in the Application and amendments thereto.

Applicant agrees and understands that it may be charged for all fees and costs incurred by DCA in the inspection of funded properties during and after construction and in the enforcement of DCA regulations and policies.

Under penalty of perjury, to the best of my knowledge, I certify that all of the information in the attached Application, including all supporting documentation, is correct, complete and accurate.

APPLICANT/OWNER

Jeff Beaver	President of Olympia Construction, IncMember of the GP			
Printed Name	Title			
	May 22, 2017			
Signature	Date			
	[SEAL]			