Project Narrative
Peaks of Oakwood
Oakwood, Hall County

The Peaks of Oakwood project involves the new construction of an 84-unit multi-family rental community on a +9.25-acre site in Oakwood, Georgia. The proposed subject site has three single family homes in disrepair on a parcel of land situated within an established portion of Oakwood between commercial development and residential neighborhoods. Wooded land surrounds portions of the subject site which creates a natural buffer to the commercial to the east. The subject entrance is easily accessible from Atlanta Highway which borders the site to the north. The subject's location provides great access as it is within 0.5 miles of State Routes 13, 53 and 332 and Interstate 985, as well as public transportation services provided by Hall County Transit. The subject's location along Atlanta Highway also allows for convenient access to many area services with existing sidewalks and bike lanes just outside the subject entrance. Overall, the subject development is expected to benefit from its proximity to community services and employment.

The project will provide a mix of one, two, and three-bedroom market rate and tax credit apartments. According to the Bowen Market Study, "no vacancies exist within the surveyed affordable units within the Site PMA, all of which maintain wait lists. This indicates that pent-up demand for additional affordable housing exists within the market. As such, the proposed LIHTC units at the subject site will help alleviate a portion of this pent-up demand." Onsite amenities will include a community room, a gazebo with grilling areas, a laundry room, wellness center, and a playground. Georgia Power will provide the electricity to the site, and the City of Gainesville will provide water and sewer services.

The Market feasibility analysis shows an overall capture rate for the 84 units at Peaks of Oakwood as 3.6% for the LIHTC units and 3.9% for the market-rate units. The capture rates are low and show support for these units in the market area. Four LIHTC properties exist in the market area and all have 100% occupancy. There is high demand for additional affordable units in the area.

The development will be all new construction and will qualify for the EarthCraft Multifamily certification. The design features, services, and amenities will include Energy Star appliance packages in each unit, a community center with gathering area, a playground, and a picnic and grilling area. The development is within walking distance of restaurants, grocery stores, parks, banks, pharmacies, shopping, and employment along sidewalks and bike routes. Martin Riley will be the architect, Fairway Management will provide property management, and Fairway Construction will be the General Contractor.

The Greater Hall County Chamber of Commerce says that the "economy is growing". There were approximately 2,000 new jobs created in 2015 within Hall County. Kuboto Manufacturing will be adding 580 jobs by 2017, Wrigley will be expanding and adding 170 new jobs in 2016, ZF Manufacturing will be adding 46 new jobs in 2016, and Performance Food Group is expanding in Oakwood bringing with them 550 employees and hiring even more by 2018.

The City of Oakwood has been receptive to more affordable housing to the area. With the job growth and plant expansions in the area they were concerned about having enough housing for their growing workforce. Landbridge Development, LLC approached the city to discuss future development needs prior to placing the site under contract. The property is located along pedestrian walkways to many area amenities (retail, grocery, schools, churches, etc.), has access to city transportation, is within an attendance zone of schools that exceed the state average, and is located in a city that has a redevelopment plan that recognizes the need for affordable housing with a growing workforce adjacent to a stable community. This project has everything in place to build a successful LIHTC community, in an area that is projected to continue growing into the foreseeable future

		PART ONE -	PROJECT II	NFORMATIO	N - 2017-017	Peaks of Oak	wood, Oak	wood, Hall Co	ounty			
	Please note:		Blue-shaded c	ells are unlock	ed for your use	and do not co	ntain referenc	es/formulas.		DCA	Use ONLY -	Project Nbr:
									an be overwritt		2017	
	May Final Revision		Yellow cells - Do	CA Use ONLY								
l.	DCA RESOURCES	LIHTC (auto-	filled from late	er entries)	\$	920,283		DCA HOME	(from Conser	nt Form)	\$	-
II.	TYPE OF APPLICATION	Competitive R	ound		>	Pre-Applicat	ti <mark>on Numb</mark> ei	r (if applicable) -	use format 201	7PA-###	< <enter pre<="" td=""><td>-App Nbr>></td></enter>	-App Nbr>>
					-	Have any cha	anges occurr	ed in the proj	ect since pre-a	application?	< <sel< td=""><td>ect>></td></sel<>	ect>>
	Was this project previously submitted to the			unity Affairs?	Yes	If Yes, please	e provide the	information r	equested belo	w for the prev	iously submitte	ed project:
	Project Name previously used:	Peaks of Oal							Nbr previous		16-041	
	Has the Project Team changed?	No	If No, what w	as the DCA C	Qualification D	etermination f	or the Team	in that review	Qualified w	out Condition	ons	
III.	APPLICANT CONTACT FOR APPLICATION	ON REVIEW							_			
	Name	D'Anne Hilsn								Application N		
	Address		ree Dunwood	y Road NE, S	uite 4-100				Direct Line		(678) 638-418	
	City	Atlanta							Fax		(678) 638-42	
	State	GA (770) 404 00			Zip+4		3-1636		Cellular		(770) 310-93	11
	Office Phone	(770) 481-08		200	Ext.	302	E-mail	d_anne@gr	nco.com			
	(Enter phone numbers without using hyphens, p	arentheses, etc	: - ex: 12345678	390)								
IV.	PROJECT LOCATION							_				
	Project Name	Peaks of Oal						Phased Pro			No	
	Site Street Address (if known)		Highway/Osb				DCA Project Nbr of previous phase:					
	Nearest Physical Street Address *		Highway, Oa 34.218930	kwood, GA 3		02.0/5405		Scattered S	ite?	No	Nbr of Sites -9.2500	
	Site Geo Coordinates (##.#####)	Latitude: Oakwood	34.218930		Longitude: 9-digit Zip^^	-83.865405 30542	2010	Acreage	Census Trac	at Niconala ar	-9.2500 14.04	
	City Site is predominantly located:	Within City L	imits			Hall	2-2910		QCT?	No	DDA?	
	In USDA Rural Area?	No		ral County?	No	Overall:	Urban		HUD SA:	MSA	Gainesville	
	* If street number unknown		essional	,	Senate	<u>.</u>	House	** Must be ve			lowing website	s·
	Legislative Districts **		9		18		0	Zip Codes	app.		sps.com/zip4/w	
	If on boundary, other district:				· ·	-		Legislative Dist	ricts:	http://votesmart	.org/	
	Political Jurisdiction	City of Oakw	ood					Website	http://www.c	ityofoakwood.	net/	
	Name of Chief Elected Official	Lamar Scrog			Title	Mayor						
	Address	4035 Walnut	Circle					City	Oakwood			
	Zip+4	30566-3382		Phone	((770) 534-431	7	Email	lscroggs@ci	tyofoakwood.ı	net	
٧.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION											
	A. Type of Construction:			ī	•						- I	
	New Construction			84			Adaptive Re		Non-historic	0	Historic	0
	Substantial Rehabilitation			0			Historic Reh					0
	Acquisition/Rehabilitation			0		>	For Acquisit	ion/Rehabilita	tion, date of o	rıginal constru	uction:	

		TAIRT ONE TROSECT	in ordination	2017 0171	cuits of our	twood, oakw	oou, Hull oc	unty			
	B. Mixed Use		No								
	C. Unit Breakdown Number of Low Income Un Number of 50 Number of 60 Number of Unrestricted (M Total Residential Units Common Space Units Total Units	0% Units 0% Units	71 18 53 13 84 0	PBRA 0 0 0	D.	Total Unrestr Total Reside	ricted (Market ntial Unit Squ on Space Uni	t Square Foot	Jnit Square F	ootage	70,255 12,725 82,980 0 82,980
	E. Buildings Number of Re	esidential Buildings on-Residential Buildings er of Buildings	5 1 6			Total Commo Total Square		re Footage fro	om Nonreside	ntial areas	1,533 84,513
VI	F. Total Residential Parking TENANCY CHARACTERISTI	•	182			(If no local zo	0 1		nimum 1.5 spa	aces per unit fo	r family
V 1.	A. Family or Senior (if Senior,		Family			If Other, spec	cifv:				
		Specify 2.40.1, 6.7,	i. a.i.iij			If combining O Family or Sr, s	ther with	Family HFOP		Elderly Other	
	B. Mobility Impaired Roll-In Show C. Sight / Hearing Impaired	Nbr of Units Equipped: Nbr of Units Equipped: Nbr of Units Equipped:	5 2			% of Total Ur % of Units for % of Total Ur	r the Mobility-	Impaired	6.0% 40.0% 2.4%	Required: Required: Reguired:	5% 40% 2%
/II	RENT AND INCOME ELECTI		2			70 01 10101 01			2.470	rtequireu.	270
•	A. Tax Credit Election		40% of Units	at 60% of AMI							
	B. DCA HOME Projects Mini	imum Set-Aside Requirement (Rent	& Income)			20% of HOM	ME-Assisted I	Jnits at 50% o	f AMI		
/III.	SET ASIDES										
	A. LIHTC:	Nonprofit	Yes								
	B. HOME:	CHDO	No			(must be pre-qu	alified by DCA a	s CHDO)			
Χ.	COMPETITIVE POOL		Flexible								
Κ.	TAX EXEMPT BOND FINANC	CED PROJECT						-	ı		
	Issuer:							Inducement I			
	Office Street Address City		State		Zip+4			Applicable Q T-E Bond \$ A			
	Contact Name		Title		Дір і т		E-mail	i L Dolla y F	mocatca.		
	10-Digit Office Phone		Direct line			Website					
	•										

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

\/I		I INJUTATIONIC E	COMPETITIVE ROUND
ΧI	$\Lambda W \Lambda P \Pi$	1 11V/11 1 A 1 11 11VI > F	

The	falloudage	a a atlana	براممه	ااه ما	direct	and indirect	Oumara	Davidonara	لمصم	Canaultanta	/ F +!+.	and Dringing	۸.
HIE	IUIIUWIIIU	260110112	apply	w all	ullect	and munect	OWITEIS,	Developers	allu	Consulants i	.⊏HIUU\	and Principa	i) .

A. Number of Applications Submitted:

B. Amount of Federal Tax Credits in All Applications:

2,528,272

C. Names of Projects in which an Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals has a direct or indirect Ownership interest:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest	Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest
Resource Housing Group, Inc.	Peaks of Waycross	Direct	7		
Gary R. Hammond, Jr.	Peaks of Waycross	Direct	8		
Resource Housing Group, Inc.	Peaks of Cartersville	Direct	9		
Gary R. Hammond, Jr.	Peaks of Cartersville	Direct	10		
5			11		
6			12		

D. Names of Projects in which the Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals is partnering with an inexperienced unrelated entity for purposes of meeting DCA Experience Requirements:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Project Participant	Name of Project	
1		7		
2		8		
3		9		
4		10		
5		11		
6		12		

XII.	PF	۲FS	ER۱	/ΔΤ	IOI
/\II.		ᄔ	-1	$^{\prime\prime}$	IVII

Year of Original Allocation

Original GHFA/DCA Project Number

First Year of Credit Period

Expiring Tax Credit (15 Year)

Date all buildings will complete 15 yr Compliance pd

B. Expiring Section 8

C. Expiring HUD

HUD funded affordable **non**public housing project

No	

First Building ID Nbr in Project Last Building ID Nbr in Project

GA-	
GA-	

No

No

No

HUD funded affordable public housing project

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

XIII. AE	DDITIONAL PROJECT INFORMATION			
A.	PHA Units		_	
	Is proposed project part of a local public housing replacement program?	No		
	Number of Public Housing Units reserved and rented to public housing tenants:		% of Total Residential Units	0%
		lds on Waiting List:	% of Total Residential Units 0%	0%
	Local PHA Street Address		Contact Direct line	
	Street Address City Zip+4		Cellular	
	Area Code / Phone Email		Celiulai	
В.	Existing properties: currently an Extension of Cancellation Option?	If yes, expiration year:	Nbr yrs to forgo cancellation option:	
٥.				
	New properties: to exercise an Extension of Cancellation Option? Yes	If yes, expiration year:	Nbr yrs to forgo cancellation option:	5
C.	Is there a Tenant Ownership Plan?			
D.	Is the Project Currently Occupied? Yes	If Yes>:	Total Existing Units	3
			Number Occupied	1
_	W	II B040	% Existing Occupied	33.33%
E.	Waivers and/or Pre-Approvals - have the following waivers and/or pre-approvals beer Amenities?	approved by DCA?	Qualification Determination?	Yes
	Amenities? No Architectural Standards? No		Payment and Performance Bond (HOME only)?	No
	Sustainable Communities Site Analysis Packet or Feasibility study?		Other (specify):	No
	HOME Consent?		State Basis Boost (extraordinary circumstances)	No
	Operating Expense?	If Yes, new Limit is	>:	
	Credit Award Limitation (extraordinary circumstances)?	If Yes, new Limit is	>:	
F.	Projected Place-In-Service Date			
	Acquisition			
	Rehab			
	New Construction April 1, 2019			
XIV.	APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	XV.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY	
	The project team received a Qualified w/out Conditions Determination letter from DCA in 2016. Per Sec	ction XIX. D. of		
the QAP	, if a certifying entity was determined qualified in 2016 then they are grandfathered for 2017.			

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

I. OWNERSHIP INFORMATION

A. OWNERSHIP ENTITY	Peaks of Oakwood, LP				Name of Princip	pal Chase Northcutt
Office Street Address	1819 Peachtree Road NE, Suite				Title of Principa	President of Manager of GP
City	Atlanta	Fed Tax ID:			Direct line	(404) 364-2937
State		09-1851	Org Type:	Joint Ver	nture Cellular	(770) 262-5017
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(404) 364-2900	E-mail	cnorthcutt@rl	hgroup.org		
(Enter phone nbrs w/out using hyphens					3	ant using following website:
B. PROPOSED PARTNERSHIP INFORMA 1. GENERAL PARTNER(S)				<u>htt</u>	p://zip4.usps.com/zip4/welcom	
a. Managing Gen'l Partner	Peaks of Oakwood GP, LLC				Name of Princip	
Office Street Address	1819 Peachtree Road NE, Suite				Title of Principa	
City	Atlanta	Website	00000	4054	Direct line	(404) 364-2937
State	GA (404) 274 2027	Zip+4	30309		Cellular	(770) 262-5017
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(404) 364-2937	E-mail	cnorthcutt@rl	ngroup.org		
b. Other General Partner	Not Applicable				Name of Princip	
Office Street Address		I 147 I II			Title of Principa	1
City		Website			Direct line	
State		Zip+4			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail				
c. Other General Partner	Not Applicable				Name of Princip	
Office Street Address		M/ de elle			Title of Principa	11
City State		Website		-	Direct line Cellular	
State 10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		Zip+4 E-mail			Cellular	
	OD ACTUAL)	L-IIIali				
2. LIMITED PARTNERS (PROPOSED (Name of Delay	Drian Vince
a. Federal Limited Partner	Affordable Equity Partners, Inc. 206 Peach Way				Name of Princip	
Office Street Address City	Columbia	Website	www.aepartn	ore com	Title of Principa Direct line	Vice President
State	MO	Zip+4	65203		Cellular	(573) 424-8811
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(573) 443-2021	E-mail	bkimes@aep		Celiulai	(373) 424-0011
b. State Limited Partner	Affordable Equity Partners, Inc.	_ E maii	Diamics Cuop	4110101010	Name of Dringin	pal Brian Kimes
Office Street Address	206 Peach Way				Name of Principal Title of Principal Title	
City	Columbia	Website	www.aepartn	ers com	Direct line	Vice Fresident
State	MO	Zip+4	65203		Cellular	(573) 424-8811
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(573) 443-2021	E-mail	bkimes@aep		Ochalai	(676) 121 6611
3. NONPROFIT SPONSOR						
Nonprofit Sponsor	Resource Housing Group, Inc.				Name of Princip	pal Chase Northcutt
Office Street Address	1819 Peachtree Road NE, Suite	520			Title of Principa	
City	Atlanta	Website			Direct line	(404) 364-2937
State	GA	Zip+4	30309	-1851	Cellular	(707) 262-5017
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(404) 364-2900	E-mail	cnorthcutt@rl	hgroup.org		• • •
		-				

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

		s workbook. Do NOT Copy from a	n <mark>oth</mark> er workh	book to "Paste" here . Use "Paste :	Special" and select "Valu	es" instead.
l.	DEVELOPER(S)					
	A. DEVELOPER	Peaks of Oakwood Development, I			Name of Principal	Chase Northcutt
	Office Street Address	1819 Peachtree Road NE, Suite 52			Title of Principal	President of Managing Mer
	City	Atlanta	Website		Direct line	(404) 364-2937
	State	GA	Zip+4	30309-1851	Cellular	(770) 262-5017
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(404) 364-2937	E-mail	cnorthcutt@rhgroup.org		
	B. CO-DEVELOPER 1	Not Applicable			Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address	1101716611000010			Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail	-		
	C CO DEVELOPED 3	Not Applicable			Name of Dringing	
	C. CO-DEVELOPER 2 Office Street Address	Not Applicable			Name of Principal Title of Principal	
	City		Website	T	Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail		Cellulai	
	3		L-maii			
	D. DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT	Not Applicable			Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			
II.	OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS					
	A. OWNERSHIP CONSULTANT	Not Applicable			Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address	Not Applicable			Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail		Ocilulai	
	3					[O: D. III]
	B. GENERAL CONTRACTOR	Fairway Construction Co., Inc	222		Name of Principal	Steven D. Hickey
	Office Street Address	3290 Northside Parkway NW, Suite		Lancas Callerana and Lancal Community	Title of Principal	Director of Accounting and
	City	Atlanta	Website	www.fairwayconstruction.net	Direct line	(404) 520 7405
	State	GA (40.4) 0.25 0.277	Zip+4	30327-2245	Cellular	(404) 520-7495
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(404) 935-0077	E-mail	shickey@fairwayconstruction.net		
	C. MANAGEMENT COMPANY	Fairway Management, Inc.			Name of Principal	Ryan Stevens
	Office Street Address	206 Peach Way			Title of Principal	Director of Operations
	City	Columbia	Website	www.fairwaymanagement.com	Direct line	
	State	MO	Zip+4	65203-4905	Cellular	(573) 268-3474
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext	(573) 443-2021	F ₋ mail	rstevens@fairwaymanagement.com		

	PAR	T TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFO	RMATION -	2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood,	Oakwood,	Hall County			
Do NOT delete this t	ab from t	his workbook. Do NOT Copy from a	nother work	book to "Paste" here . Use "Pa	aste Specia	al" and select "Value	s" instead.		
D. ATTORNEY		Coleman Talley, LLP				Name of Principal	Gregory Q. Clark		
Office Street Address		901 N. Patterson Street				Title of Principal	Attorney		
City		Valdosta	Website	www.colemantalley.com		Direct line	(229) 671-8260		
State		GA	Zip+4	31601-0000		Cellular	(229) 834-9704		
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.	(229) 671-8260	E-mail	greg.clark@colemantalley.com		<u> </u>	1()		
••		<u> </u>				V (D: : 1	L. P. Mantollo		
E. ACCOUNTANT		CohnReznick				Name of Principal	Julie McNulty		
Office Street Address		3560 Lenox Road, Suite 2800	147 1 11	I		Title of Principal	Partner		
City		Atlanta	Website	www.cohnreznick.com		Direct line	(404) 250-4050		
State	/ [GA (404) 947 9447	Zip+4	30326-4276		Cellular	(770) 355-0090		
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext. (404) 847-9447 E-mail julie.mcnulty@cohnreznick.com									
F. ARCHITECT		Martin Riley Associates - Architects	s, P.C.			Name of Principal	Jackie Martin		
Office Street Address		215 Church Street, Suite 200			-	Title of Principal	President		
City		Decatur	Website	www.martinriley.com		Direct line			
State		GA	Zip+4	30030-3330		Cellular			
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.	(404) 373-2800	E-mail	jmartin@martinriley.com					
IV OTHER REQUIRED INFORM	ATION (A	Answer each of the questions below	for each par	ticinant listed below)					
A. LAND SELLER (If applicab		Robson Crossing, LLC	Principal Principal	Milton Robson		10-Digit Phone / Ext.	4046801895		
Office Street Address	,	3509 Tanners Mill Circle	Timopai	······································		City	Gainesville		
State			7-8839	E-mail miltonrobson@me		on y	- Ca		
B. IDENTITY OF INTEREST		<u> </u>							
Is there an ID of interest between:	Yes/No	If Yes, explain relationship in boxes pro	ovided below.	, and use Comment box at bottor	m of this tak	o or attach additional p	ages as needed:		
1. Developer and	No					<u>'</u>	3		
Contractor?	110								
Contractor:									
Buyer and Seller of	No								
Land/Property?									
			1 1 1011				" " " 0 1 1		
3. Owner and Contractor?	No	Currently no, when the equity closes and the Fe	deral and State	Limited Partners are admitted there will be	be as they bot	h share common principals	with the Contractor.		
4. Owner and Consultant?	No								
4. Owner and Consultant?	INO								
Syndicator and	No								
Developer?									
Developer:									
Syndicator and	Yes	The General Contractor and the Federal and Sta	ate Limited Partr	ners share common principals.					
Contractor?									
Developer and	No								
Consultant?									
0 Othor	Yes	The Management Company, General Contractor	r and the Federa	al and State Limited Partners all chare co	ommon princir	nale			
8. Other	res	тне манауетен сотрану, бенега сонцасто	i and the readle	arana State Elittitea Fattileis ali sildle CC	ommon princip	Juis.			

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

V. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION - Continued (Answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.)

C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Participant	1. Has any person, principal, or agent for this e			3. Org Type			s entity or a member of this entity have a conflict of interest with any	
	been convicted of a felony (Yes or No)	?	a MBE/	(FP,NP,	Ownership		officer, or employee of an entity that partners or contracts with the	
			WBE?	CHDO)	Percentage	Applicant? If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and use Comment b		
							the bottom of this tab or attach explanation.	
	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and either use						·	
	Comment box or attach explanation.	Yes/No				Yes/No	Brief Explanation	
Managing		No	No	For Profit	0.0100%	No		
Genrl Prtnr								
Other Genrl								
Prtnr 1								
Other Genrl								
Prtnr 2								
Federal Ltd		No	No	For Profit	98.9900%	No		
Partner								
State Ltd		No	No	For Profit	1.0000%	No		
Partner								
NonProfit		No	No	Nonprofit	0.0000%	No		
Sponsor								
Developer		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	No		
Co-								
Developer 1								
Co-								
Developer 2								
Owner								
Consultant								
Developer								
Consultant								
Contractor		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	No		
Managemen		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	No		
t Company								
	LIGANIT COMMENTO AND CUARTEST CONTROL			Total	100.0000%		NA COMMENTO CON USE ONLY	
	LICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS						VI. DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY	
The State Lin	nited Partner, Federal Limited Partner, Management C	orincipals.						

I. GOVERNMENT FUNDING SOURCES (check all that apply)

Yes	Tax Credits		Ī	No	FHA Risk Share	No	Georgia TCAP *		
No	Historic Rehab Credits			Yes	FHA Insured Mortgage	No	USDA 515		
No	Tax Exempt Bonds: \$			No	Replacement Housing Funds	No	USDA 538		
No	Taxable Bonds			No	McKinney-Vento Homeless	No	USDA PBRA		
No	CDBG			No	FHLB / AHP *	No	Section 8 PBRA		
No	HUD 811 Rental Assista	nce Demonstration (RAD)		No	NAHASDA	No	Other PBRA - Source:	Specify Other PBRA Source here	
No	DCA HOME * Amt \$			No	Neigborhood Stabilization Program *	No	National Housing Trust Fund		
No	Other HOME * Amt \$			No	HUD CHOICE Neighborhoods	No	Other Type of Funding - describe type/program here		
	Other HOME - Source	Specify Other HOME Source	here		_		Specify Administrator of O	ther Funding Type here	

^{*}This source may possibly trigger Uniform Relocation Act and/or HUD 104(d) reqmts. Check with source. For DCA HOME, refer to Relocation Manual. DCA HOME amount from DCA Consent Ltr.

II. CONSTRUCTION FINANCING

Financing Type		Name of Financing Entity	Amount	Effective Interest Rate	Term (In Months)
Mortgage A		Bellweather Enterprise Real Estate Capital	2,200,000	5.000%	18
Mortgage B		Sterling Bank	6,023,385	6.000%	18
Mortgage C					
Federal Grant					
State, Local, or Private C	Grant				
Deferred Developer Fee	S				
Federal Housing Credit B	Equity	Affordable Equity Partners	2,484,367		
State Housing Credit Equ	uity	Affordable Equity Partners	1,064,729		
Other Type (specify)					
Other Type (specify)					
Other Type (specify)					
Total Construction Fina	ancing:		11,772,481		
Total Construction Period	d Costs from Development Budget:		11,772,480		
Surplus / (Shortage) of C	Construction funds to Construction costs:		0		

PERMANENT FINANCING

I EKWANENT I INANCINO			Effortivo	Torm	A ma art	Annual Daht Carriag in	
Financing Type	Name of Financing Entity	Principal Amount	Effective Int Rate	Term (Years)	Amort. (Years)	Annual Debt Service in Year One	Loan Type
Mortgage A (Lien Position 1)	Bellweather Enterprise Real Estate Capital	2,200,000	5.000%	40	40	127,300	Amortizing
Mortgage B (Lien Position 2)	- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	=	0.00070			121,1000	
Mortgage C (Lien Position 3)							
Other:							
Foundation or charity funding*							
Deferred Devlpr Fee 0.05%	Peaks of Oakwood Development, LLC	837					
Total Cash Flow for Years 1 - 15:	528,535					•	
DDF Percent of Cash Flow (Yrs 1-15)	0.158% 0.158%						
Cash flow covers DDF P&I?	Yes						
Federal Grant							
State, Local, or Private Grant				<u>Equity</u>	Check	<u>+ / -</u>	TC Equity
Federal Housing Credit Equity	Affordable Equity Partners	8,373,736		8,37	4,571	-834.35	% of TDC
State Housing Credit Equity	Affordable Equity Partners	3,589,104		3,589	9,102	1.75	59%
Historic Credit Equity							25%
Invstmt Earnings: T-E Bonds							84%
Invstmt Earnings: Taxable Bonds							
Income from Operations							
Other:							
Other:							
Other: GP Contribution	Peaks of Oakwood GP, LLC	110					
Total Permanent Financing:		14,163,787					
Total Development Costs from Dev	relopment Budget:	14,163,787					
Surplus/(Shortage) of Permanent for	0						
	osts exceeding DCA cost limit (see Appendix I, Sect	ion II).					

^{*}Four

IV. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	IV.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY	
Mortgage A is an FHA 221(d)(4) Insured Mortage through Bellweather Enterprise and continues from construction through			
permanent conversion as required for points under the Leveraging section of the QAP.			

I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET					New Construction	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable
				TOTAL COST	Basis	Basis	Basis	Basis
PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS						PRE-DEVELO	PMENT COSTS	
Property Appraisal				7,500	7,500			
Market Study				8,500	8,500			
Environmental Report(s)				23,000	23,000			
Soil Borings				10,000	10,000			
Boundary and Topographical Surve	ЭУ			15,000	15,000			
Zoning/Site Plan Fees				2,000	2,000			
Other: Engineer DD & OPC				32,000	32,000			
Other: Phase I Archeological Survey				6,500	6,500			
Other: Phase I Historical Survey				4,000	4,000			
			Subtotal	108,500	108,500	-		-
ACQUISITION				050,000		ACQU	ISITION	050,000
Land				950,000				950,000
Site Demolition				25,000				25,000
Acquisition Legal Fees (if existing s	structures)							
Existing Structures			Subtotal	975,000				975,000
LAND IMPROVEMENTS			Subtotai	975,000		I VND IMDE	ROVEMENTS	975,000
Site Construction (On-site)		Per acre:	-151,778	1,403,950	1,263,555	LAIND IIVIF I	NOVEIVIENTS	140,395
Site Construction (Off-site)		i ci doic.	-131,770	1,403,730	1,203,333			140,373
Site Construction (On Site)			Subtotal	1,403,950	1,263,555	_	_	140,395
STRUCTURES			Subtotal	1/100/700	1/200/000	STRUC	CTURES	110/070
Residential Structures - New Const	ruction			5,981,050	5,981,050			
Residential Structures - Rehab								
Accessory Structures (ie. communi	ty bldg, ma	intenance bldg, etc.)	- New Constr	155,000	155,000			
Accessory Structures (ie. communi								
,	, ,	0 ,	Subtotal	6,136,050	6,136,050	-	-	-
CONTRACTOR SERVICES		DCA Limit	14.000%			CONTRACT	OR SERVICES	
Builder Profit:	6.000%	452,400	6.000%	452,400	452,400			
Builder Overhead	2.000%	150,800	2.000%	150,800	150,800			
General Requirements*	6.000%	452,400	6.000%	452,400	452,400			
*See QAP: General Requirements policy	14.000%	1,055,600	Subtotal	1,055,600	1,055,600	-	-	-
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD (COSTS (No	n-GC work scope items do	ne by Owner)		OTHER CONSTRUCT	TON HARD COSTS (Non-GC work scope i	tems done by Owner)
Other: Asebstos Abatement				18,240				18,240
\underline{I} otal \underline{C} onstruction \underline{H} ard \underline{C} osts]	Average TCHC:		per <u>Res'l</u> unit	102,545.71	per unit	101.92	per total sq ft
8,613,840.00]	Average TOTIC.	103.81	per <u>Res'l</u> unit SF	103.81	per unit sq ft		
CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY	′					CONSTRUCTIO	N CONTINGENCY	
Construction Contingency			5.00%	430,692	387,623			43,069
5 ,								

TOTAL COST Basis	I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)		New	Acquisition	Rehabilitation	Amortizable or
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING Stridge Loan face 61,000 Construction Loan Fee CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING CONSTRUCTION Loan Fee CONSTRU		TOTAL COST	Construction Basis	Basis	Basis	Non-Depreciable Basis
Bridge Loan Interest 219,571 200,614 18,957	CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING			CONSTRUCTION P	ERIOD FINANCING	
Construction Loan Fee	Bridge Loan Fee	61,000	61,000			
Construction Loan Interest	Bridge Loan Interest	219,571	200,614			18,957
Construction Legal Fees	Construction Loan Fee	72,600	72,600			
Construction Period Inspection Fees	Construction Loan Interest	125,007	61,365			63,642
Construction Period Real Estate Tax	Construction Legal Fees	25,000	25,000			
Construction Period Real Estate Tax	Construction Period Inspection Fees	12,000	12,000			
Title and Recording Fees 35,000 25,000		21,000	21,000			
Payment and Performance bonds G4,604 G4,6	Construction Insurance	30,200	5,000			25,200
Payment and Performance bonds G4.604 G4.6	Title and Recording Fees	35,000	25,000			10,000
Cither:		64,604				
Subtotal 665,982 548,183						
Subtotal 665,982 548,183						
Architectural Fee - Design Architectural Fee - Supervision Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000 Green Building Program Certification Fee (LEED or Earthcraft) Accessibility Inspections and Plan Review Real Estate Attorney Accounting As-Built Survey Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Building Permits Impact Fees Waived? No Subtotal PERMANENT FINANCING FEES Permanent Loan Fees Permanent Loan Fees Permanent Loan Legal Fees 13,700 139,040 34,760 34,700 34,700 36,000 36		665,982	548,183	-	-	117,799
Architectural Fee - Design Architectural Fee - Supervision Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000 Green Building Program Certification Fee (LEED or Earthcraft) Accessibility Inspections and Plan Review Real Estate Attorney Accounting As-Built Survey Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Building Permits Impact Fees Waived? No Subtotal PERMANENT FINANCING FEES Permanent Loan Fees Permanent Loan Fees Permanent Loan Legal Fees 13,700 139,040 34,760 34,700 34,700 36,000 36	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES			PROFESSION	AL SERVICES	
Architectural Fee - Supervision Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000 20,00	Architectural Fee - Design	139,040	139,040			
Subtotal		34,760	34,760			
Subtotal	Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000	20,000	20,000			
Accessibility Inspections and Plan Review Construction Materials Testing Engineering Real Estate Attorney Accounting As-Built Survey Other: << Enter description here: provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Subtotal LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Impact Fees Water Tap Fees Waived? No Subtotal Water Tap Fees Waived? No Subtotal S		15,000	15,000			
Engineering 68,800 68,800 50,000 50,000		8,000	8,000			
Engineering 68,800 68,800 50,000 50,000	Construction Materials Testing	45,000	45,000			
Real Estate Attorney	Engineering	68,800	68,800			
Accounting As-Built Survey Other: 	Real Estate Attorney	50,000	50,000			
As-Built Survey Other: CEnter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Subtotal A18,100 LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Avg per unit: 7,478 Building Permits Impact Fees Water Tap Fees Waived? No Subtotal PERMANENT FINANCING FEES Permanent Loan Fees Permanent Loan Legal Fees 13,700 Permanent Loan Legal Fees 7,500 7,500 418,100 4	Accounting	30,000	30,000			
Subtotal 418,100 418,100 - - - - - - - - -	As-Built Survey	7,500	7,500			
LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Avg per unit: 7,478 Building Permits 22,785 22,785 Impact Fees 322,785 322,785 Water Tap Fees 438,168 438,168 Sewer Tap Fees 438,168 438,168 Subtotal 628,175 628,175 PERMANENT FINANCING FEES 13,700 Permanent Loan Legal Fees 13,700 Permanent Loan Legal Fees 10,000	Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>					
Building Permits	Subtotal	418,100	418,100	-	-	-
Impact Fees Water Tap Fees Waived? No 167,222	LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Avg per unit: 7,478			LOCAL GOVE	RNMENT FEES	
Water Tap Fees waived? No 167,222 167,222 167,222 438,168 438,168 438,168 438,168 438,168 438,168 438,168 50,000 50,000 10,000	Building Permits	22,785	22,785			
Sewer Tap Fees waived? No 438,168 438,168 438,168 438,168 628,175 628,175 628,175 628,175 FERMANENT FINANCING FEES PERMANENT FINANCING FEES 13,700 13,700 13,700 10,000	Impact Fees					
Subtotal 628,175 628,175 -		167,222	167,222			
Subtotal 628,175 628,175 - <	Sewer Tap Fees waived? No	438,168	438,168			
Permanent Loan Fees 13,700 Permanent Loan Legal Fees 10,000		628,175	628,175	-	-	-
Permanent Loan Legal Fees 10,000	PERMANENT FINANCING FEES			PERMANENT FI	NANCING FEES	
Permanent Loan Legal Fees 10,000	Permanent Loan Fees	13,700				13,700
	Permanent Loan Legal Fees					
little and Recording Fees	Title and Recording Fees					
Bond Issuance Premium						
Cost of Issuance / Underwriter's Discount						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>						
Subtotal 23,700 23,700	Subtotal	23,700				23,700

. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)		TOTAL COST	New Construction Basis	Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable Basis
DCA-RELATED COSTS			Dusis	DCA-RELA	ATED COSTS	Dusis
DCA HOME Loan Pre-Application Fee (\$1000 FP/JV, \$500 NP)						
Tax Credit Application Fee (\$6500 ForProf/JntVent, \$5500 NonProf)		6,500				6,500
DCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees						
LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee	73,623	73,623				73,623
LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee	67,200	67,200				67,200
DCA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000)						
DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000)		3,000				3,000
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part I						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part I						
	Subtotal	150,323				150,323
EQUITY COSTS				EQUIT	Y COSTS	
Partnership Organization Fees		3,000				3,000
Tax Credit Legal Opinion		10,000				10,000
Syndicator Legal Fees						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part I		10.000				10.000
	Subtotal	13,000				13,000
DEVELOPER'S FEE		202.000	200 000	DEVELO	PER'S FEE	
Developer's Overhead	20.000%	339,000	339,000			
Consultant's Fee	0.000%					
Guarantor Fees	0.000%	1.257.000	1.257.000			
Developer's Profit	80.000%	1,356,000	1,356,000			
CTART UR AND RECEDUES	Subtotal	1,695,000	1,695,000	CTART UR A	ND DECEDVES	-
START-UP AND RESERVES		20,000		START-UP A	ND RESERVES	20,000
Marketing	00.175	20,000				20,000 98,175
Rent-Up Reserves	98,175					· ·
Operating Deficit Reserve:	260,000	260,000				260,000
Replacement Reserve Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Proposed Avg Per Unit:	561	47,100	47,100			
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part l'		47,100	47,100			
Other: << Enter description here, provide detail & justification in tab Part I	Subtotal	425,275	47,100			378,175
OTHER COSTS	Subtotai	423,273	47,100	OTHE	R COSTS	370,173
Relocation		16,200		OTHE	00313	16,200
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part I'	V-h >>	10,200				10,200
Other. SS Enter description here, provide detail & justification in tab Fatt	Subtotal	16,200	_	_	_	16,200
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COCT (TDC)	Jubiolai		12 207 007			
TOTAL <u>D</u> EVELOPMENT <u>C</u> OST (TDC)		14,163,787	12,287,886	-	-	1,875,901
Average TDC Per: Unit: 168,616.51 S	Square Foot:	167.59				

II. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - BASIS METHOD	New Construction	4% Acquisition	Rehabilitation	
Subtractions From Eligible Basis	Basis	Basis	Basis	
Amount of federal grant(s) used to finance qualifying development costs Amount of nonqualified nonrecourse financing Costs of Nonqualifying units of higher quality Nonqualifying excess portion of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) Other				
Total Subtractions From Basis:	0		0	
Eligible Basis Calculation Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Adjusted Eligible Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation	12,287,886 0 12,287,886 100.00% 12,287,886 84.52% 10,386,189 9.00% 934,757	0 0 84.52% 0 0 934,757	0 0 0 0 84.52% 0	
III. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD Equity Gap Calculation Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL. Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation	14,163,876 14,163,787 2,200,110 11,963,677 / 10 1,196,368 1.3000 920,283	from foundation or charitat	provide amount of funding ole organization to cover the ding the PCL: 0 State + 0.3900	If proposed project has Historic Designation, indicate below (Y/N): Hist Desig No
TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit:	920,283			
TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower:	920,283			
IV. TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION - Lower of Tax Credit Request and Tax Credit Project Maximum	920,283			

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	VI.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY
The back up for the construction costs are included in Tab 1 of the application.		

PART FOUR (b) - OTHER COSTS - 2017-017 - Peaks of Oakwood - Oakwood - Hall, County

DCA requires the Applicant provide a narrative for all "Other" development costs listed on Part IV-Uses of Funds. For any amounts shown below the Applicant needs to provide an explanation of the cost incurred by the development. The narrative should be a brief description for DCA intended to justify its inclusion as a valid development cost. Further, if the "Other" cost is included into eligible basis, a second narrative is required describing its justification into tax credit basis. Expand row size as needed to show text.

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name

Section's Other Line Item

Description/Nature of Cost

Basis Justification

	PRE-DEVEL	OPMENT	COSTS
--	-----------	--------	-------

Engineer DD & OPC	Matt Kriete with ESS Engineering provided a Due Diligence report and Opinion of Probable Cost for local government fees and site work. The Due Diligence included a review of local development and zoning code, utility infrastructure location and adequacy, and access and traffic qualities. The Opinion of Probable Cost included a preliminary grading plan and estimate for complete site work costs, as well as estimate for local government fees.	This Third Party Report was used to justify moving forward with the project, design of the conceptual site plan, discussions with city during rezoning, and in our estimates of project cost. Rather than including the cost of this task in Engineering, it has been separately listed here.
Total Cost 32,000 Total Basis 32,000		
Phase I Archeological Survey	The Phase I ESA included a Cultural Resources Records Review and Reconnaissance that recommended a Phase I Archeological Survey.	This is a required Third Party Report that should be includable in tax credit basis. Rather than including the costs of this survey in Environmental Costs, it has been separately listed here.
Total Cost 6,500 Total Basis 6,500]	
Phase I Historical Survey	The Phase I ESA included a Cultural Resources Records Review and Reconnaissance that recommended a Phase I Historical Survey.	This is a required Third Party Report that should be includable in tax credit basis. Rather than including the costs of this survey in Environmental Costs, it has been separately listed here.
Total Cost 4,000 Total Basis 4,000		

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS		
Asebstos Abatement	The current structures on the site have asbestos. The budget has been provided by GEC. It will be contracted directly for directly by the owner.	Asbestos abatement is an ineligible cost.
Total Cost 18,240 Total Basis -		
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Total Cost Total Basis		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Total Cost		

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
DCA-RELATED COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
EQUITY COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		

2017 Funding Application

Housing Finance and Development Division

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section NameSection's Other Line Item

Description/Nature of Cost

Basis Justification

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
START-UP AND RESERVES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
OTHER COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

PART FIVE - UTILITY ALLOWANCES - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

DCA Utility Region for project: North

Note: Elderly allowances cannot be used except at properties that have 100% HUD PBRA and satisfy the DCA definition of "elderly"

•	ICE SCHEDULE #1	Source of U	Itility Allowances	DCA Northern	Region - L	arger Apt Bldg.		
		Date of Utili	ty Allowances	January 1, 201	7	Structure	MF	
		Paid By (c	check one)	Tenant-Pa	aid Utility	– Allowances by	Unit Size (#	# Bdrms)
Utility	Fuel	Tenant	Owner	Efficiency	1	2	3	4
Heat	Electric	Х			5	6	9	
Cooking	Electric	Х			7	9	12	
Hot Water	Electric	Х			14	19	24	
Air Conditioning	Electric	Х			6	9	12	
Range/Microwave	Electric	X						
Refrigerator	Electric	Х						
Other Electric	Electric	Х			21	27	33	
Water & Sewer	Submetered*? Yes	Х			41	48	59	
Refuse Collection			Х					
Total Utility Allowa	nce by Unit Size			0	94	118	149	0
				I Anant-Di				t Rarmei
l Itility	Fuel		check one) Owner		-	Allowances by	•	-
	Fuel	Tenant	Owner	Efficiency	1	2	3	# Barms) 4
Heat	Fuel <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">></select></select>		-		-	_	•	-
Heat Cooking	< <select fuel="">></select>		-		-	_	•	-
Heat Cooking Hot Water	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">></select></select>		-		-	_	•	-
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">></select></select></select>		-		-	_	•	-
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric</select></select></select>		-		-	_	•	-
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator	<select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>		-		-	_	•	-
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric	< <select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> <<select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>		-		-	_	•	-
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer	<select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>		-		-	_	•	-
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection	<select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select></select></select></select></select></select>		-		-	_	•	-
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowa	<select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select></select></select></select></select></select>		-	Efficiency	1	2	3	4
Utility Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowa	<select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select> mce by Unit Size MUST be sub-metered.</select></select></select></select></select>	Tenant	-	Efficiency	1	2	3	4
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowa *New Construction units of the construction and the construction are constructed as a construction are constructed as a construction and the construction are constructed as a constructe	<select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select> make by Unit Size MUST be sub-metered. IENTS AND CLARIFICATION</select></select></select></select></select>	Tenant	Owner	Efficiency	1	2	3	4
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowa *New Construction units of the construction and the construction are constructed as a construction are constructed as a construction and the construction are constructed as a constructe	<select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select> mce by Unit Size MUST be sub-metered.</select></select></select></select></select>	Tenant	Owner	Efficiency	1	2	3	4
Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer Refuse Collection Total Utility Allowa *New Construction units of the comment of the co	<select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <select> make by Unit Size MUST be sub-metered. IENTS AND CLARIFICATION</select></select></select></select></select>	Tenant	Owner	Efficiency	1	2	3	4

PART SIX - PROJECTED REVENUES & EXPENSES - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

I. RENT SCHEDULE Do NOT cut, copy or paste cells in this tab. Complete ALL columns. For Common Space (non-income producing) units, select "N/A-CS" for Rent Type and "Common Space" for Employee Unit.

HOME pro				units:			Utility	PBRA			MSA/NonMS	SA:	AMI	Certified
Are 100%	of units H	UD PBR	A?	No	Max	- Pro-posed	Allowance	Provider or			Gainesville		53,000	Historic/ Deemed
Rent Type	Nbr of	No. of	Unit	Unit	Gross Rent	Gross	(UA Sched 1 UA, so over-write if UA	Operating Subsidy ***	Monthly	Net Rent	Employee	Building	Type of	Historic?
Type	Bdrms	Baths	Count	Area	Limit	Rent	Sched 2 used)	(See note below)	Per Unit	Total	Unit	Design Type	Activity	(See QAP)
50% AMI	1	1.0	3	705	530	509	94		415	1,245	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
50% AMI	2	2.0	10	1,000	636	613	118		495	4,950	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
50% AMI	3	2.0	5	1,105	735	714	149		565	2,825	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
60% AMI	1	1.0	7	705	636	609	94		515	3,605	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
60% AMI	2	2.0	30	1,000	763	738	118		620	18,600	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
60% AMI	3	2.0	16	1,105	881	854	149		705	11,280	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
Unrestricted		1.0	2	705		595	0		595	1,190	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
Unrestricted		2.0	8	1,000		695	0		695	5,560	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
Unrestricted		2.0	3	1,105		775	0		775	2,325	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>	_						0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
<<3eiect>>		TOTAL	84	82,980			U	MONT	HLY TOTAL	51,580				

^{***} NOTE: When selecting "PHA Operating Subsidy" in the "PBRA Provider or Operating Subsidy" column above, please also then enter a zero in the "Proposed Gross Rent" column above AND include the PHA operating subsidy amount in the "III. Ancillary and Other Income" section below. Also refer to the Application Instructions provided separately.

II. UNIT SUMMARY

NOTE TO APPLICANTS: If the numbers compiled in this Summary do not appear to match what was entered in the Rent Chart above,	Unrestricted Total Residential Common Space Total PBRA-Assisted (included in LI above) PHA Operating S Assisted (included in LI above)	Subsidy-	60% AMI 50% AMI Total 60% AMI 50% AMI Total 60% AMI 50% AMI
please verify that all applicable columns were completed in the rows used in the Rent Chart above.	Type of Construction Activity	New Construction Acq/Rehab Substantial Rehab Only Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse Historic	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS
	Building Type: (for <i>Utility</i> <i>Allowance</i> and other purposes)	Multifamily SF Detached Townhome Duplex Manufactured home	1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story Historic Historic Historic Historic Historic

Efficiency	1BR	2BR	3BR	4BR	Total	
0	7	30	16	0	53	(Includes inc-restr mgr
0	3	10	5	0	18	units)
0	10	40	21	0	71	a.mo)
0	2	8	3	0	13	
0	12	48	24	0	84	
0	0	0	0	0		(no rent charged)
0	12	48	24	0	84	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	l
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	10	40	21	0	71	
0	2	8	3	0	13	
0	12	48	24	0	84	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
					0	
					0	l
0	0	0	0	0	0]
0	12	48	24	0	84]
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	12	48	24	0	84	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	o o	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ö	0	0	0	0	0	

Georgia Department of	Community Affairs			2017 F	unding App	lication		H	ousing Finance	and Development D	ivision
Building Type: (for Cost Limit	Detached / SemiDet	tached	Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
purposes)	Row House		rnotono	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	
puipocco)			Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Walkup				0	12	48	24	0	84	
	[]t		Historic	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Elevator		Historic		0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	
Unit Square Footage:			Tilstone	L	0	U	0 [0	0	0	
Low Income			60% AMI	ſ	0	4,935	30,000	17,680	0	52,615	
			50% AMI		0	2,115	10,000	5,525	0	17,640	
			Total	-	0	7,050	40,000	23,205	0	70,255	
Unrestricted	-1			ļ	0	1,410	8,000	3,315	0	12,725	
Total Residenti Common Space					0	8,460 0	48,000 0	26,520 0	0	82,980 0	
Total	e e			•	0	8,460	48,000	26,520	0	82,980	
I. ANCILLARY AND OTHER	INCOME (annual a	mounts)		L	<u> </u>	0,400	+0,000	20,020	O_	02,300	
Ancillary Income	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		12,379		Laundry, ven	ding, app fees, e	etc. Actual pc	of PGI:	2.00%	
Other Income (OI) by Year:				·		•	0, 11	•	•		
Included in Mgt Fee:		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Operating Subsidy											
Other: Total OI in Mgt Fe	ee	_	_	-		_	-	_	_	-	
NOT Included in Mgt Fee:										- L	
Property Tax Abatement											
Other: Total OI NOT in N	Agt Egg	_					_		_		
Included in Mgt Fee:	ngt ree	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Operating Subsidy			12	13		13	10	.,	10	13	20
Other:											
Total OI in Mgt Fe	ee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NOT Included in Mgt Fee:											
Property Tax Abatement Other:											
Total OI NOT in N	/lgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in Mgt Fee:		21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Operating Subsidy											
Other: Total OI in Mgt Fe	20	_	-	_		_	_	_	_	_	
	5 6		_	-				-	-	<u> </u>	
NOT Included in Mat Fee:											
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement											
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other:											
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total OI NOT in N	//gt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total OI NOT in M Included in Mgt Fee:	Иgt Fee	31	32	- 33	- 34	- 35	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total OI NOT in M Included in Mgt Fee: Operating Subsidy	//gt Fee			<u>. </u>			-	-	-	-	-
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total OI NOT in M Included in Mgt Fee: Operating Subsidy Other: Total OI in Mgt Fe				<u>. </u>			-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total OI NOT in M Included in Mgt Fee: Operating Subsidy Other: Total OI in Mgt Fe NOT Included in Mgt Fee:		31	32	33	34	35	-	-	-	-	-
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total OI NOT in M Included in Mgt Fee: Operating Subsidy Other: Total OI in Mgt Fe NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement		31	32	33	34	35	-	-	-	-	-
NOT Included in Mgt Fee: Property Tax Abatement Other: Total OI NOT in M Included in Mgt Fee: Operating Subsidy Other: Total OI in Mgt Fe NOT Included in Mgt Fee:	ee	31	32	33	34	35	-	-	-	-	-

IV. ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BUDGET

On-Site Staff Costs	
Management Salaries & Benefits	65,000
Maintenance Salaries & Benefits	55,000
Support Services Salaries & Benefits	
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	120,000

Subtotal	120,000
On-Site Office Costs	
Office Supplies & Postage	2,680
Telephone	4,500
Travel	2,100
Leased Furniture / Equipment	
Activities Supplies / Overhead Cost	2,170
Wellness Center / Activities	2,400
Subtotal	13,850

Maintenance Expenses	
Contracted Repairs	3,360
General Repairs	16,000
Grounds Maintenance	22,250
Extermination	8,400
Maintenance Supplies	10,000
Elevator Maintenance	
Redecorating	5,040
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	65,050

On-Site Security	
Contracted Guard	
Electronic Alarm System	

Subtotal

Professional Services	
Legal	2,350
Accounting	8,500
Advertising	2,500
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	13,350

Utilities	(Avg\$/mth/unit)	1
Electricity	15	15,500
Natural Gas	0	
Water&Swr	10	10,500
Trash Collect	tion	6,250
Other (describe I	nere)	
	Subtotal	32.250

VI.

Taxes and Insurance

Subtotal	105,000
Other (describe here)	
Insurance**	21,000
Real Estate Taxes (Gross)*	84,000

Management Fee:

41,100

526.11 Average per unit per year 43.84 Average per unit per month

(Mgt Fee - see Pro Forma, Sect 1, Operating Assumptions)

TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES 392,700

Average per unit 4.675.00

Total OE Required

336,000

Replacement	21,000						
Proposed average	RR/unit amount:	250					
Minimum Replacement Reserve Calculation							
Unit Type	Units x RR Min	Total by Type					

IVIII III II	ш кер	<u>ilacement Neservi</u>	e Calculation	
Unit Type		Units x RR Min	Total by Type	
Multifamily				
Rehab		0 units x \$350 =	0	
New Constr		84 units x \$250 =	21,000	
SF or Duplex		0 units x \$420 =	0	
Historic Rhb		0 units x \$420 =	0	
	Totals	84	21.000	

TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES

413,700

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

Please see Tab 1, Item Number 6 in the Application for documentation regarding real estate tax expense and insurance expense. The taxes and insurance numbers have been rounded up from the back-up in Tab 1.

2016 Rent and Income Limits are being used in our calculations. 2017 Utility Allowances are being used in our calculations.

The buildings at Peaks of Oakwood are 3-story or include 3-story sections. However, if we select 3-story in the Rent Schedule above the Core Application calculates the Cost Limits as if we were building an elevator building. Since this is a family deal, elevators are not required. This project will not have elevators and therefore, we have selected 2-story walk-up so the cost calculations will be correct.

2,100 2,100

	P.	PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County	
I. OPERATING ASSUMP	TIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwing.	itten if needed.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 5,000 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: charged by all lenders/investors)	-0.85%
Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Loss Ancillary Income Limit	3.00% 7.00% 2.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Percent of Effective Gross Income Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: > If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: Yes > If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	7.00% 7.000%

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Revenues	618,960	631,339	643,966	656,845	669,982	683,382	697,049	710,990	725,210	739,714
Ancillary Income	12,379	12,627	12,879	13,137	13,400	13,668	13,941	14,220	14,504	14,794
Vacancy	(44,194)	(45,078)	(45,979)	(46,899)	(47,837)	(48,793)	(49,769)	(50,765)	(51,780)	(52,816)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(351,600)	(362,148)	(373,012)	(384,203)	(395,729)	(407,601)	(419,829)	(432,424)	(445,396)	(458,758)
Property Mgmt	(41,100)	(41,922)	(42,761)	(43,616)	(44,488)	(45,378)	(46,285)	(47,211)	(48,155)	(49,119)
Reserves	(21,000)	(21,630)	(22,279)	(22,947)	(23,636)	(24,345)	(25,075)	(25,827)	(26,602)	(27,400)
NOI	173,445	173,188	172,814	172,317	171,693	170,933	170,032	168,984	167,781	166,416
Mortgage A	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	•	•	-	•	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	•	•	-	•	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)
Cash Flow	41,146	40,888	40,514	40,017	39,393	38,633	37,732	36,684	35,481	34,116
DCR Mortgage A	1.36	1.36	1.36	1.35	1.35	1.34	1.34	1.33	1.32	1.31
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.36	1.36	1.36	1.35	1.35	1.34	1.34	1.33	1.32	1.31
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.42	1.41	1.39	1.38	1.37	1.36	1.35	1.33	1.32	1.31
Mortgage A Balance	2,182,298	2,163,691	2,144,131	2,123,571	2,101,958	2,079,241	2,055,360	2,030,258	2,003,872	1,976,136
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PART	SEVEN - OPER	RATING PRO I	FORMA - 201	7-017 Peaks o	of Oakwood, C	akwood, Hall	County		
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.										
	2.00% 3.00%		Asset Managen harged by all lende	nent Fee Amou	Int (include total	5,000	Yr 1 Asset	Mgt Fee Percer	ntage of EGI:	-0.85%
	3.00%	F		ee Growth Rate	` <u>'</u>			// ///////////////////////////////////		7.00%
	2.00%		•	ffective Gross	,			cate actual per		7.000%
II. OPERATING PRO FOR										
Year	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Revenues	754,509	769,599	784,991	800,691	816,705	833,039	849,699	866,693	884,027	901,708
Ancillary Income	15,090	15,392	15,700	16,014	16,334	16,661	16,994	17,334	17,681	18,034
Vacancy	(53,872)	(54,949)	(56,048)	(57,169)	(58,313)	(59,479)	(60,669)	(61,882)	(63,120)	(64,382)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(472,521)	(486,697)	(501,298)	(516,336)	(531,827)	(547,781)	(564,215)	(581,141)	(598,575)	(616,533)
Property Mgmt	(50,101)	(51,103)	(52,125)	(53,167)	(54,231)	(55,315)	(56,422)	(57,550)	(58,701)	(59,875)
Reserves	(28,222)	(29,069)	(29,941)	(30,839)	(31,764)	(32,717)	(33,699)	(34,710)	(35,751)	(36,824)
NOI	164,883	163,173	161,279	159,193	156,904	154,407	151,689	148,744	145,561	142,129
Mortgage A	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)
Cash Flow	32,583	30,873	28,979	26,893	24,604	22,107	19,389	16,444	13,261	9,829
DCR Mortgage A	1.30	1.28	1.27	1.25	1.23	1.21	1.19	1.17	1.14	1.12
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.30	1.28	1.27	1.25	1.23	1.21	1.19	1.17	1.14	1.12
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.30	1.29	1.28	1.27	1.25	1.24	1.23	1.22	1.21	1.20
Mortgage A Balance	1,946,981	1,916,334	1,884,119	1,850,256	1,814,661	1,777,244	1,737,913	1,696,570	1,653,112	1,607,430
Mortgage B Balance	.,,	, ,	, ,,	, , _ 30	.,,	.,,	., ,	.,,	·,,-1=	1,221,700
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										
Caron Source Balarioe										

		PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County	
I. OPERATING ASSUM	PTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if need	ded.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00%	<u> </u>	5%
Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Lo Ancillary Income Limit	3.00% ss 7.00% 2.00%	Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) No> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:	7.000%

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Revenues	919,742	938,137	956,900	976,038	995,558	1,015,469	1,035,779	1,056,494	1,077,624	1,099,177
Ancillary Income	18,395	18,763	19,138	19,521	19,911	20,309	20,716	21,130	21,552	21,984
Vacancy	(65,670)	(66,983)	(68,323)	(69,689)	(71,083)	(72,505)	(73,955)	(75,434)	(76,942)	(78,481)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ol Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(635,029)	(654,080)	(673,702)	(693,913)	(714,730)	(736,172)	(758,257)	(781,005)	(804,435)	(828,568)
Property Mgmt	(61,073)	(62,294)	(63,540)	(64,811)	(66,107)	(67,429)	(68,778)	(70,153)	(71,556)	(72,988)
Reserves	(37,928)	(39,066)	(40,238)	(41,445)	(42,689)	(43,969)	(45,288)	(46,647)	(48,046)	(49,488)
NOI	138,437	134,477	130,235	125,700	120,861	115,704	110,216	104,385	98,197	91,635
Mortgage A	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	•	-	•	•	•	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)
Cash Flow	6,137	2,177	(2,065)	(6,600)	(11,439)	(16,596)	(22,084)	(27,915)	(34,103)	(40,665)
DCR Mortgage A	1.09	1.06	1.02	0.99	0.95	0.91	0.87	0.82	0.77	0.72
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.09	1.06	1.02	0.99	0.95	0.91	0.87	0.82	0.77	0.72
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.19	1.18	1.17	1.16	1.15	1.14	1.13	1.12	1.11	1.10
Mortgage A Balance	1,559,411	1,508,935	1,455,877	1,400,104	1,341,478	1,279,853	1,215,074	1,146,982	1,075,406	1,000,167
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

Percent of Effective Gross Income

2.00%

PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Revenue Growth 2.00% Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed. Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 5,000 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -0.85%

No

Yes

Revenue Growth 2.00% Asset Management Fee Amount (include total Expense Growth 3.00% charged by all lenders/investors)

5,000 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: -0.85%

Reserves Growth 3.00% Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one):
Vacancy & Collection Loss 7.00% Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)

Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:

--> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:
--> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:

7.00%

7.000%

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Ancillary Income Limit

Year	31	32	33	34	35
Revenues	1,121,160	1,143,584	1,166,455	1,189,784	1,213,580
Ancillary Income	22,423	22,872	23,329	23,796	24,272
Vacancy	(80,051)	(81,652)	(83,285)	(84,951)	(86,650)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(853,425)	(879,028)	(905,399)	(932,561)	(960,538)
Property Mgmt	(74,447)	(75,936)	(77,455)	(79,004)	(80,584)
Reserves	(50,973)	(52,502)	(54,077)	(55,699)	(57,370)
NOI	84,688	77,337	69,569	61,365	52,710
Mortgage A	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)	(127,300)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.					
Asset Mgmt	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)
Cash Flow	(47,612)	(54,962)	(62,731)	(70,935)	(79,590)
DCR Mortgage A	0.67	0.61	0.55	0.48	0.41
DCR Mortgage B					
DCR Mortgage C					
DCR Other Source					
Total DCR	0.67	0.61	0.55	0.48	0.41
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.09	1.08	1.07	1.06	1.05
Mortgage A Balance	921,080	837,946	750,558	658,700	562,143
Mortgage B Balance					
Mortgage C Balance					
Other Source Balance					

	PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County	
I. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS Revenue Growth 2.00% Expense Growth 3.00% Reserves Growth 3.00% Vacancy & Collection Loss 7.00% Ancillary Income Limit 2.00%	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwing the second of the	7.00%
II. OPERATING PRO FORMA III. Applicant Comments & Clarifi	ifications IV. DCA Comments	7.500%
Debt service payment amounts do not deviate fr	e from the amount shown in Permanent Sources (Part III)	

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

	Applicant Response DCA USE
	n reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have
DCA's Overall Comments / Approval Conditions:	t or future funding round scoring decisions.
1.)	
2.)	
3.)	
4.)	
5.)	
5.)	
3.) 2.)	
9.) 10.)	
11.)	
12.)	
13.)	
14.)	
15.) 16.)	
16.) 17.)	
18.)	
19.)	
20.)	
1 PROJECT FEASIBILITY, VIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND CONFORMANCE WITH PLAN	Pass?
Threshold Justification per Applicant	
Applicant believes that it has complied with the Plan. Peaks of Oakwood is being financed with a HUD 221(d)(4). The Applicant I	has had the HUD Concept meeting and the Letter of Encouragment for a
straight to firm application is included in Tab 1 of the application. There is also a Bridge Laon Commitment from Sterling Bank an	d an equity commitment letter from AEP.
DCA's Comments:	
20.10 00	

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Pass?
	Pass?
	FdS5 f
Now Construction and Expenses Tab. Cost Limit Per Unit totals by unit type are auto-calculated. Acquisition/Rehabilitation Historic Rehab or Transit-Oriented Devlpmt qualifying for Historic Preservation or TOD pt(s)	Is this Criterion met? Yes
requisition results in a result of the results of t	is this chellon met:
Unit Type Nbr Units Unit Cost Limit total by Unit Type Nbr Units Unit Cost Limit total by Unit Type	
Detached/Se Efficiency 0 0 117,818 x 0 units = 0 0 129,599 x 0 units = 0	MSA for Cost Limit
mi-Detached 1 BR 0 154,420 x 0 units = 0 0 169,862 x 0 units = 0	purposes:
2 BR 2 0 187,511 x 0 units = 0 0 206,262 x 0 units = 0	F - 1
3 BR 3 0 229,637 x 0 units = 0 0 252,600 x 0 units = 0	Valdosta
$\frac{4 \text{ BR}}{\text{Substal}} \qquad \frac{0}{0} \qquad 270,341 \text{ x 0 units} = \frac{0}{0} \qquad \frac{0}{0} \qquad 297,375 \text{ x 0 units} = \frac{0}{0}$	
Subtai	Tot Development Costs:
Row House Efficiency 0 0 110,334 x 0 units = 0 0 121,367 x 0 units = 0	14,163,787
1 BR 0 144,909 x 0 units = 0 0 159,399 x 0 units = 0	· · · · ·
2 BR 2 0 $176,506 \times 0 \text{ units} = 0$ 0 $194,156 \times 0 \text{ units} = 0$	Cost Waiver Amount:
3 BR 3 0 $217,443 \times 0 \text{ units} = 0$ 0 $239,187 \times 0 \text{ units} = 0$	
<u>4 BR</u> <u>0</u> <u>258,414 x 0 units = 0</u> <u>0</u> <u>284,255 x 0 units = 0</u>	
Subotal 0 0 0	Historic Preservation Pts
Walkup Efficiency 0 91,210 x 0 units = 0 0 100,331 x 0 units = 0	0
1 BR 12 125,895 x 12 units = 1,510,740 0 138,484 x 0 units = 0	Community Transp Opt Pts
2 BR 2 48 159,553 x 48 units = 7,658,544 0 175,508 x 0 units = 0	2
3 BR 24 208,108 x 24 units = 4,994,592 0 228,918 x 0 units = 0	
4 BR 0 259,274 x 0 units = 0 0 285,201 x 0 units = 0	Project Cost
Subotal 84 14,163,876 0	_
Elevator Efficiency 0 95,549 x 0 units = 0 0 105,103 x 0 units = 0	Limit (PCL)
1 BR 0 133,769 x 0 units = 0 0 147,145 x 0 units = 0	14,163,876
2 BR 2 0 171,988 x 0 units = 0 0 189,186 x 0 units = 0	14,103,070
3 BR 0 229,318 x 0 units = 0 0 252,249 x 0 units = 0	Note: if a PUCL Waiver has been
4 BR 0 286,647 x 0 units = 0 0 315,311 x 0 units = 0	approved by DCA, that amount
Subotal0	would supercede the amounts
Total Per Construction Type 84 14,163,876 0 0	shown at left.
Threshold Justification per Applicant DCA's Comments:	
The project costs fall below the project cost limit.	
3 TENANCY CHARACTERISTICS This project is designated as: Family	Pass?
Threshold Justification per Applicant DCA's Comments:	
The project is a family project and will be marketed as such.	
4 REQUIRED SERVICES	Pass?
A. Applicant certifies that they will designate the specific services and meet the additional policies related to services. Does Applicant agree?	Agree
B. Specify at least 2 basic ongoing services from at least 2 categories below for Family projects, or at least 4 basic ongoing services from at least 3 categories below	v for Senior projects:
1) Social & recreational programs planned & overseen by project mgr Specify: Holiday and semi-monthly birthday parties, pot luck dinners.	
2) On-site enrichment classes Specify:	
3) On-site health classes Specify: Health Screenings, Health and Wellness Classes	
4) Other services approved by DCA Specify:	
C. For applications for rehabilitation of existing congregate supportive housing developments:	
Name of behavioral health agency, continuum of care or service provider for which MOU is included C.	
Threshold Justification per Applicant DCA's Comments:	

accordance with DCA Requirements.

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall

IA - 2017-017	reaks of Cakwood, Cakwood, Hall County
	Applicant Response DCA USE
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA	Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.
sidents in	

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)
The management company will be providing the social programming to the community/rea

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

		Applicant Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) MARKET FEASIBILITY Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section no effect on subsequent of the section subsequent of the secti	reviews pertain only to the corresponding fun or future funding round scoring decisions.	ding round and have Pass?	
A. Provide the name of the market study analyst used by applicant:	A. Bowen National Research		
B. Project absorption period to reach stabilized occupancy	B. 6-7 months		
C. Overall Market Occupancy Rate	C. 98.70 %		
D. Overall capture rate for tax credit units	D. 3.40 %		
E. List DCA tax credit projects in close proximity to properties funded in 2014 or 2015. Include DCA project number and project	ect name in each case.		
Project Nbr Project Name Project Nbr Project Name	Project Nbr	Project Name	
1 3	5		
24	6		
F. Does the unit mix/rents and amenities included in the application match those provided in the market study?		F.	
Threshold Justification per Applicant There are no LIHTC properties within the Peaks of Oakwood Site PMA funded in 2015 or 2016. The Market Analyst surveyed gene			
or comparability purposes. The closest LIHTC property is Oconee Springs built in 1998.			
DCA's Comments:			
6 APPRAISALS		Pass?	
A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?		A. No	
B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission?		B. No	
If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's Na	me:		
1) Does it provide a land value?	-	1)	
2) Does it provide a value for the improvements?		2)	
3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards?		3)	
4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as complete value of the property?	d unencumbered appraised	4)	
C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3) y	rears?	C.	
D. Has the property been:		D.	
1) Rezoned?		1) Yes	
2) Subdivided?		2) No	
3) Modified?		3) No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
here is no identity of interest, therefore, an appraisal is not necessary.			
DCA's Comments:			

PART EIGHT - THRESHOLD CRITERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

					Applican	t Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DE	TERMINATION (DCA Use Only)	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and So				/e	
	` ,	no effect o	n subsequent or fu	ture funding round scoring	decisions. Pass	2	
7 ENVIRONMENTAL REQU	JIREWIEN I S				rass		
A. Name of Company that prepa	ared the Phase I Assessment in accordance with	ASTM 1527-13:	А	Geotechnical &	Environmental Consul	tants	
B. Is a Phase II Environmental R	Report included?				E	3. No	
C. Was a Noise Assessment per	C. Was a Noise Assessment performed?				(
1) If "Yes", name of company that prepared the noise assessment?					Environmental Consul	tants	
If "Yes", provide the maxis	mum noise level on site in decibels over the 10 y	ear projection:			2	<65	
	tributing factors in decreasing order of magnitude	e?					
Railway <65; Roadway 58							
D. Is the subject property located	d in a:					D	
1) Brownfield?						1) No	
2) 100 year flood plain / flood						2) No	
) Percentage of site that is within a floodplain:					a)	
) Will any development occur in the floodplain?					0)	
) Is documentation provided as per Threshold cr	iteria?				C)	
3) Wetlands?						3) Yes	
) Enter the percentage of the site that is a wetlar	nds:				a) 0.002%	
) Will any development occur in the wetlands?					o) No	
) Is documentation provided as per Threshold cr	iteria?				Yes	
4) State Waters/Streams/Buf					4	4) Yes	
	ssional identified any of the following on the subj	· · · ·			0) 14 110		
1) Lead-based paint?	,	ingered species?	No		9) Mold?	No	
2) Noise?	,	ric designation?	No		10) PCB's?	No	
3) Water leaks?		r intrusion?	No		11) Radon?	No	
4) Lead in water?		stos-containing materials?	Yes				
, , , , ,	can burial grounds, etc.) - describe in box below:						
	existing structures on the site. All the building	ngs will be demolished, the asbe	estos will be	abated and the co	osts are		
included in the budget.							
F. Is all additional environmental	I documentation required for a HOME application	n included, such as:					
 Eight-Step Process for We 	etlands and/or Floodplains required and included	1 ?			•	1)	
Has Applicant/PE complet	ted the HOME and HUD Environmental Question	nnaire?			2	2)	
Owner agrees that they m	ust refrain from undertaking any activities that co	ould have an adverse effect on the	subject prop	erty?	;	3)	
•••	eviously granted, has the HUD Form 4128 been i				(Э.	
•	st also meet the following Site and Neighborh						
	perty is characterized as [Choose either <i>Minority</i> or <i>Non-minority</i> (less than 25% minority)]:	concentration (50% or more mino	ority), <i>Racially</i>	, H.	< <select>></select>	< <se< td=""><td>lect>></td></se<>	lect>>
 List all contiguous Census Tra 	acts: I.						
J. Is Contract Addendum include	• •					J.	
Threshold Justification per Application							
There are no HOME funds associated	with the project and therefore, Items F-J are not	applicable.					
DCA's Comments:							

	A	pplicant F	Response	DCA USE
EI	INAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	round and have		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Pass?		
8		-	V	
	A. Is site control provided through November 30, 2017? Expiration Date: 6/27/18	A.	Yes	
	B. Form of site control: C. Name of Entity with site control: C. Peaks of Oakwood, LP	1 .	< <select>></select>	
	D. Is there any Identity of Interest between the entity with site control and the applicant?	D.	No	
1	Threshold Justification per Applicant regards to Item D - Site Control is included in Tab 8 and includes an assignment from Landbridge Acquisitions, LLC to Peaks of Oakwood, LP giving the Applicant of the Appli			1(1) OAF
- S	Section VIII of Threshold). The initial Closing Date of the Contract is December 29, 2017; however there are three sixty (60) day extensions allowed which gives the 18.		•	
	DCA's Comments:	·		
		_		
9	SITE ACCESS	Pass?		
	A. Does this site provide a specified entrance that is legally accessible by paved roads and are the appropriate drawings, surveys, photographs and other documentation reflecting such paved roads included in the electronic application binder?	A.	Yes	
	B. If access roads are not in place, does the application contain documentation evidencing local government approval to pave the road, a commitment for funding, and the timetable for completion of such paved roads?	B.		
	C. If the road is going to be paved by the applicant, are these costs documented in the submitted electronic application binder and reflected in the development budget provided in the core application?	C.		
	D. If use of private drive proposed, is site control of private drive documented by proof of ownership or by a properly executed easement on private drive, and	D.		
	are the plans for paving private drive, including associated development costs, adequately addressed in Application?			
	Threshold Justification per Applicant			
The	e entrance to the property will be along Atlanta Highway; Items B-D are not applicable.			
	DCA's Comments:			
10	SITE ZONING	Pass?		
	A. Is Zoning in place at the time of this application submission?	A.	Yes	
	B. Does zoning of the development site conform to the site development plan?	В.	Yes	
	C. Is the zoning confirmed, in writing, by the authorized Local Government official?	C.	Yes	
	If "Yes": 1) Is this written confirmation included in the Application?	1)	Yes	
	2) Does the letter include the zoning and land use classification of the property?	2)	Yes	
	3) Is the letter accompanied by a clear explanation of the requirements (include a copy of the applicable sections of the zoning ordinance highlighted for the stated classification)?	3)	Yes	
	4) Is the letter accompanied by all conditions of these zoning and land use classifications?	4)	Yes	
	 If project is requesting HOME or HUD funds, does Local Government official also comment on whether project will include development of prime or unique farmland? 	5)	N/Ap	
	D. Is documentation provided (on the Architectural Site Conceptual Development Plan either graphically or in written form) that demonstrates that the site layout conforms to any moratoriums, density, setbacks or other requirements?	D.	Yes	
	E. Are all issues and questions surrounding the zoning and land use classification clearly defined prior to this application submission?	E.	Yes	
	Threshold Justification per Applicant	<u> </u>		
The	e property is zoned PRD which allows the construction of 84 units of multi-family. Documentation of the zoning is included in Tab 10 of the application.			
	DCA's Comments:			

Applicant Response DCA USE

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (D	CA Use Only)	er: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	funding round and have		
11 OPERATING UTILITIES		no shoot on subsequent or ratal oraniang round seeming decisions.	Pass?		
A. Check applicable utilities and enter provider name:	1) Gas	Not Applicable	1)	No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant	2) Electric	Georgia Power	2)	Yes	
Georgia Power will be providing electrical service to the site. Con	firmation letter can be found in Tab 1	11 of the application. Gas will not be utilized on this site.			
DCA's Comments:					
12 PUBLIC WATER/SANITARY SEWER/STORM S	SEWER		Pass?		
A. 1) Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA included	in this application for this criterion a	s it pertains to single-family detached Rural projects?	A1)	No	
2) If Yes, is the waiver request accompanied by an engir	eering report confirming the availab	ility of water and the percolation of the soil?	2)		
B. Check all that are available to the site and enter provider	1) Public water	City of Gainesville	B1)	Yes	
name:	2) Public sewer	City of Gainesville/City of Oakwood	2)	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant					
The City of Gainesville will be providing water service to the site. The teters from both entities can be found in Tab 12 of the application		to the City of Carwood, who has approved an allocation of	sewer capacity to	the site. Cor	iiiiiialioii
DCA's Comments:					
13 REQUIRED AMENITIES			Pass?		
Is there a Pre-Approval Form from DCA included in this applic	potion for this critorian?		1 400 .	No	1
		CA Amonition Cuidohook (select one in each esteron):	,		
 A. Applicant agrees to provide following required Standard S 1) Community area (select either community room or cor 		A1) Building	Α.	Agree	
Exterior gathering area (if "Other", explain in box prov		A2) Covered Porch	If "Other", explain her	re .	
3) On site laundry type:	ded at fight).	A3) On-site laundry	ii Guioi y oxpidiii iio		
B. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Addition	nal Site Amenities to conform with th	'L	В.	Agree	
The nbr of additional amenities required depends on the			٥.١		Amenities
Additional Amenities (describe in space provided belo		•	(DCA Pre-approv
1) Equipped Playground		3) Covered Pavilion with Picnic/Barbecue			I ''
2) Wellness Center		4)			
C. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Unit Ar	nenities:		C.	Agree	
1) HVAC systems			1)	Yes	
2) Energy Star refrigerators			2)	Yes	
3) Energy Star dishwashers (not required in senior USD	A or HUD properties)		3)	Yes	
4) Stoves			4)	Yes	
5) Microwave ovens			5)	Yes	
6) a. Powder-based stovetop fire suppression canisters i	nstalled above the range cook top, 0	OR	6a)	Yes	
b. Electronically controlled solid cover plates over stove	ve top burners		6b)		
D. If proposing a Senior project or Special Needs project, Ap	plicant agrees to provide the followi	ng additional required Amenities:	D.	N/A	
1) Elevators are installed for access to all units above the	e ground floor.		1)		
2) Buildings more than two story construction have interi	or furnished gathering areas in seve	eral locations in the lobbies and/or corridors	2)		
3) a. 100% of the units are accessible and adaptable, as	defined by the Fair Housing Amend	lments Act of 1988	3a)		
b. If No, was a DCA Architectural Standards waiver gr	anted?		3b)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant			-		

Applicant R	esponse	DCA USE	
Applicant is	COPOLISC		-

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)

meet the requirements set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual?

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

Applicant agrees to provide the first two amenities listed above in accordance with the DCA Amenities Manual for a project of 84 units. We have also selected a third optional amenity as it was unclear whether we could still select a wellness center as an amenity under threshold since it was also being selected under scoring. The Amenities as required by the QAP are shown on the conceptual site plan within Tab 15 of the application. This is a family project so the additional Amenities under Section D do not apply.

DCA's Comments:

	20/10 Commonte.					
14	REHABILITATION STANDARDS (REHABILITATION PRO	DJECTS ONLY)		Pass?		
	A. Type of rehab (choose one):	A.	< <select>></select>		< <select>></select>	
	B. Date of Physical Needs Assessment (PNA):	В.				
	Name of consultant preparing PNA:					
	Is 20-year replacement reserve study included?					
	C. Performance Rpt indicates energy audit completed by qualified BPI Bu	uilding Analyst?		C.		
	Name of qualified BPI Building Analyst or equivalent professional:	3				
	D. DCA's Rehabilitation Work Scope form is completed, included in PNA	tab.and clearly indicates percentages of	each item to be either "demoed" or replaced	D.		
	DCA Rehabilitation Work Scope form referenced above clearly	All immediate needs identified in the	•	1)		
	addresses:	2. All application threshold and scoring	requirements	2)		
		3. All applicable architectural and acce	•	3)		
		• •	ne Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.	4)		
	E. Applicant understands that in addition to proposed work scope, the pro	oject must meet state and local building c	odes, DCA architectural requirements as	É.		
	set forth in the QAP and Manuals, and health and safety codes and re-		•			
	Threshold Justification per Applicant			•		
This	s project is new construction and therefore, this section does not apply.					
	DCA's Comments:					
15	SITE INFORMATION AND CONCEPTUAL SITE DEVELO	ΡΜΕΝΤ ΡΙ ΔΝ		Pass?		
15						
	A. Is Conceptual Site Development Plan included in application and has Manual?	• •		A.	Yes	
	Are all interior and exterior site related amenities required and selected				Yes	
	B. Location/Vicinity map delineates location point of proposed property (s	site geo coordinates) & shows entire muni	cipality area (city limits, etc.)?	B.	Yes	
	C. Ground level color photos of proposed property & adjacent surroundin	g properties & structures are included, nu	ımbered, dated & have brief descriptions?	C.	Yes	
	Site Map delineates the approximate location point of each photo?				Yes	
	D. Aerial color photos are current, have high enough resolution to clearly	identify existing property & adjacent land	uses, and delineate property boundaries?	D.	Yes	
	Threshold Justification per Applicant					
	Conceptual Site Plan, Location/Vicinity maps and photographs have been uded in Tab 15 of the application.	prepared in accordance with the QAP and	d the instructions as set forth in the Architectur	al Manual. 1	All required in	formation is
	DCA's Comments:					
	50/10 Commond.					
16	BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY			Pass?		
. •		a standard for anargy officionaly and assata	singble building proctions upon construction	, I		
	A. Applicant agrees that this proposed property must achieve a minimum completion as set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual?	i standard for energy efficiency and susta	imable bulluing practices upon construction	A.	Agree	
	Applicant agrees that the final construction documents must clearly in	dicate all components of the huilding env	velone and all materials and equipment that	В		

Threshold Justification per Applicant

Agree

Applicant Response DCA USE

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

Applicant agrees that it will design and construction the buildings in accordance with the QAP and DCA Architectrual Manual.

	Applicant F	Response	DCA USE
INAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding function on effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ing round and have		
7 ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS	Pass?		
A. 1) Upon completion, will this project comply with all applicable Federal and State accessibility laws including but not limited to: The Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Georgia Fair Housing Law and Georgia Access Law as set forth in the 2015 Accessibility Manual? (When two or more accessibility standards apply, the applicant is required to follow and apply both standards so that a maximum accessibility is obtained.)	ŕ	Yes	
2) Owner understands that DCA requires the Section 504 accessibility requirements to be incorporated into the design and construction of ALL new construction and/or rehabilitation projects selected under the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan, regardless of whether or not the project will receive federal debt financing assistance (e.g., HOME). This constitutes a higher standard of accessibility than what may be required under federal laws. This means that all projects, including those financed with tax exempt bonds which receive an allocation of 4% tax credits and 9% tax credits-only projects, must incorporate at a minimum the requirements of the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards into the design and construction of the project.	Í	Yes	
3) Owner claims that property is eligible for any of the stated statutory exemptions for any applicable federal, state, and local accessibility law? If so, support the claim with a legal opinion placed where indicted in Tabs Checklist.	3)	No	
4) Does this project comply with applicable DCA accessibility requirements detailed in the 2016 Architectural and Accessibility Manuals?	4)	Yes	
B. 1) a. Will at least 5% of the total units (but no less than one unit) be equipped for the mobility disabled, Nbr of Units Equipped: Nbr of Units Percentage			
including wheelchair restricted residents? 1) a. Mobility Impaired 5 5%	B1)a.	Yes	
b. Roll-in showers will be incorporated into 40% of the mobility 1) b. Roll-In Showers 2 40% equipped units (but no fewer than one unit)?	b.	Yes	
2) Will least an additional 2% of the total units (but no less than one unit) be equipped for hearing and sight-impaired residents?	2)	Yes	
C. Applicant will retain a DCA qualified consultant to monitor the project for accessibility compliance who will not be a member of the proposed Project Team nor have an Identify of Interest with any member of the proposed Project Team? The DCA qualified consultant will perform the following: Name of Accessibility Consultant TBD	C.[Yes	
1) A pre-construction plan and specification review to determine that the proposed property will meet all required accessibility requirements. The Consultant report must be included with the Step 2 construction documents submitted to DCA. At a minimum, the report will include the initial comments from the consultant, all documents related to resolution of identified accessibility issues and a certification from the consultant that the plans appear to meet all accessibility requirements.	<i>,</i>	Yes	
2) At least two training sessions for General Contractor and Subcontractors regarding accessibility requirements. One training must be on site.	2).	Yes	
3) An inspection of the construction site after framing is completed to determine that the property is following the approved plans and specifications as to accessibility. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved.	3).	Yes	
4) A final inspection of the property after completion of construction to determine that the property has been constructed in accordance with all accessibility requirements. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the consultant as well as documentation that all issues, if any, have been resolved prior to submission of the project cost certification. Threshold Justification per Applicant		Yes	
plicant agrees to comply with QAP and DCA Architectural Requirements for Accessibility. A consultant has not yet been engaged but will be upon award.			
e are not claiming elgibility under A.3., therefore, a legal opinion is not required.			

		Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fund	ing round and have		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Deca?		
18 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN & QUALIT		Pass?		
Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA incli	• •		No	
• •	dards contained in the Application Manual for quality and longevity?		Yes	
	ion Hard Costs - are the following minimum review standards for rehabilitation projects met or exceeded by the			
• •	or funding only if the per unit rehabilitation hard costs exceed \$25,000. The costs of furniture, fixtures, uildings and common area amenities are not included in these amounts.	A.		
•	undings and common area amendes are not included in these amounts.	B.		
B. Standard Design Options for All Projects	Exterior wall faces will have an excess of 40% brick or stone on each total wall surface	1	Vaa	
Exterior Wall Finishes (select one)	Extends wall faces will have an excess of 40 % brick of stone on each total wall surface	1)	Yes	
,	Fiber cement siding or other 30 year warranty product installed on all exterior wall surfaces not already	2)	Yes	
, ,	required to be brick			
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e, proposed by Applicant prior to Application Submittal in accordance with Exhibit A DCA Pre-application and	_		
Pre-Award Deadlines and Fee Schedule, and	d subsequently approved by DCA.	C.		
1)		1)		
2)		2)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant	A is not applicable. Applicant agrees to comply with the Architectural Design & Quality Standards as indicated			
DCA's Comments:	To not application in agreed to comply that the international Design a Quality Grantation as introduced			
20/10 Commente.				
19 QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROJECT TE	EAM (PERFORMANCE)	Pass?		
A. Did the Certifying Entity meet the experience		A.	Yes	
, , , , ,	ject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?	В.	No	
C. Has there been any change in the Project Te		C.	No	
D. Did the project team request a waiver or waiver	ver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?	D.	No	
E. DCA's pre-application Qualification of Project	t's Team Determination indicated a status of (select one):	Certifying GI	P/Developer	
F. DCA Final Determination	F.	<< Select De	esignation >>	•
Threshold Justification per Applicant				•
	ration Determination in 2016 which is included in Tab 19 of the application. Per the QAP if the Team received ion is not required. The remaining items required to be submitted are in Tab 19 of the application.	a Determinatio	n in 2016 they	are deemed
DCA's Comments:				
20 COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY		Pass?		
A. Was a pre-application submitted for this Dete	explication at the Dre Application Stage?	A.	No	
	entilitation at the Fre-Application Stage?			
B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the sta		В.	N/A	
, ,			N/A Yes	
C. Has the Certifying Entity and all other project	atus of any project included in the CHS form?	B.		

Applicant Response DCA USE

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

	•	response	
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	und and have		
21 ELIGIBILITY FOR CREDIT UNDER THE NON-PROFIT SET-ASIDE	Pass?		
A. Name of Qualified non-profit: A. Resource Housing Group, Inc.	•		
B. Non-profit's Website: B.			
C. Is the organization a qualified non-profit, defined as a 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization, which is not affiliated with or controlled by a for-profit	C.	Yes	
organization and has included the fostering of low income housing as one of its tax-exempt purposes?			
D. Will the qualified non-profit materially participate in the development and operation of the project as described in IRC Section 469(h) throughout the compliance period?	D.	Yes	
E. Does the qualified non-profit own at least 51% of the GP's interest in the project and is the managing general partner of the ownership entity?	E.	Yes	
F. Is this entity a corporation with 100 percent of the stock of such corporation held by one or more qualified non-profit organizations at all times during the period such corporation is in existence?	F.	Yes	
G. All Applicants: Does the non-profit receive a percentage of the developer fee greater than or equal to its percentage of its ownership interest?	G.	Yes	
1) CHDOS Only: If the nonprofit entity is also a CHDO, is it a DCA-certified CHDO which must own 100% of the General Partnership entity?			
H. Is a copy of the GP joint venture agreement or GP operating agreement that provides the non-profit's GP interest and the Developer Fee amount included in the application?	H.	Yes	
I. Is a an opinion of a third party attorney who specializes in tax law on the non-profit's current federal tax exempt qualification status included in the	l.	Yes	
Application? If such an opinion has been previously obtained, this requirement may be satisfied by submitting the opinion with documentation			
demonstrating that the non-profit's bylaws have not changed since the legal opinion was issued. Threshold Justification per Applicant	L		
All documentation for the eligibility under the non-profit set-aside is included in Tab 21 of the application.			
DCA's Comments:			
Dorto Commona.			
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS LINDER THE CHOOSET ASIDE	Pass?		
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A Name of CHDO: Name of CHDO Managing GP:	Pass?		
A. Name of CHDO: Name of CHDO Managing GP:			
A. Name of CHDO: Name of CHDO Managing GP: S. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application?	В.		
A. Name of CHDO: Name of CHDO Managing GP:			
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?	В.		
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount: 0	В. С.		
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?	В. С.		
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant	В. С.		
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount: Threshold Justification per Applicant This project does not qualify for a HOME Loan under the CHDO Set-Aside and therefore this is not applicable.	В. С.		
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This project does not qualify for a HOME Loan under the CHDO Set-Aside and therefore this is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.	В. С.		
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount: Threshold Justification per Applicant This project does not qualify for a HOME Loan under the CHDO Set-Aside and therefore this is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition	B. C. D. Pass?	No	
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount: Thireshold Justification per Applicant This project does not qualify for a HOME Loan under the CHDO Set-Aside and therefore this is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility	B. C. D. Pass? A. B.	No	
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This project does not qualify for a HOME Loan under the CHDO Set-Aside and therefore this is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status	B. C. D. Pass? A. B. C.	No Yes	
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This project does not qualify for a HOME Loan under the CHDO Set-Aside and therefore this is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP]	B. C. D. Pass? A. B.	No	
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This project does not qualify for a HOME Loan under the CHDO Set-Aside and therefore this is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] E. Other (If Yes, then also describe): E.	B. C. D. Pass? A. B. C.	No Yes	
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This project does not qualify for a HOME Loan under the CHDO Set-Aside and therefore this is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] E. Other (If Yes, then also describe): E. Threshold Justification per Applicant	B. C. D. Pass? A. B. C.	No Yes	
A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This project does not qualify for a HOME Loan under the CHDO Set-Aside and therefore this is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] E. Other (If Yes, then also describe): E.	B. C. D. Pass? A. B. C.	No Yes	

u	Applicant F	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	g round and have		
24 RELOCATION AND DISPLACEMENT OF TENANTS	Pass?		
A. Does the Applicant anticipate displacing or relocating any tenants?	A.	Yes	
B. 1) Are any of the other sources (not DCA HOME) considered to be Federal Funding?	B1)	No	
If Yes, applicant will need to check with the source of these funds to determine if this project will trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or 104(d).	_		<u>-</u>
2) If tenants will be displaced, has Applicant received DCA written approval and placed a copy where indicated in the Tabs Checklist?	2)		
3) Will any funding source used trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or HUD 104 (d) requirements?	3)		
C. Is sufficient comparable replacement housing identified in the relocation plan according to DCA relocation requirements?	C.	Yes	
D. Provide summary data collected from DCA Relocation Displacement Spreadsheet:			
1) Number of Over Income Tenants 4) Number of Down units			
2) Number of Rent Burdened Tenants 1 5) Number of Displaced Tenants			
3) Number of Vacancies			
E. Indicate Proposed Advisory Services to be used (see Relocation Manual for further explanation):			
1) Individual interviews Yes 3) Written Notifications Yes			
2) Meetings Yes 4) Other - describe in box provided:			
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
grandparents would live in the 1 bedroom, and the parent and three children would reside in the 3 bedroom unit. DCA's Comments:			
25 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING (AFFH)	Pass?		
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing plan that:	-		
A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each service provider, homeless shelter or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is located?	A.	Agree	
B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to persons with disabilities and the homeless?	В.	Agree	
C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintains relationships between the management agent and community service providers?	C.	Agree	
D. Includes a referral and screening process that will be used to refer tenants to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable accommodations to facilitate the admittance of persons with disabilities or the homeless into the project?	D.	Agree	
E. Includes marketing of properties to underserved populations 2-4 months prior to occupancy?	E.	Agree	
F. Includes making applications for affordable units available to public locations including at least one that has night hours?	F.	Agree	
G. Includes outreach to Limited English Proficiency groups for languages identified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area?	G.	Agree	
H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to provide reasonable accommodation for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. Threshold Justification per Applicant	H.	Agree	
If selected for funding, Applicant agrees to prepare and submit all of the required documentation and to market to populations with disabilities or the homeless.			
DCA's Comments:			

FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only)

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

Applicant has reviewed Section XXVI of the QAP and believes the project is in compliance with each point. The project is efficiently designed, market supported, and has been placed on a site with good characterstics for the project. The project is not wasteful in any way and is worthy of DCA's consideration.

	T NUMBER CO	CODING CRITERIA - 2047 047 Pooles of	Oolawood (Coloured Hell County				
		CORING CRITERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of (Jakwood, Hall County				
		cants must include comments in sections where points are c			Score		Self	DCA
		only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on su		e funding round scoring decisions.	Value	- 1	Score S	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Failure to do so v	will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" dedu	ction.			Ľ		
				TOTALS:	92	Ļ	66	20
1. APPLICATION COMPLETENESS		(Applicants start with 10 pts. Any p	oints entered	will be <u>subtracted</u> from score value)	10		10	10
A. Missing or Incomplete Documents	Number:	O For each missing or incomplete document,	one (1) point will	be deducted		A.	0	0
Organization	Number:	One (1) pt deducted if not organized as set	out in the Tab ch	ecklist and the Application Instructions	1		0	0
B. Financial and Other Adjustments	Number:	0 2-4 adjustments/revisions = one (1) pt dedu	iction total; then ((1) pt deducted for each add'l adjustment.		В.	0	0
DCA's Comments:		Enter "1" for each ite						
A. Missing or Illegible or Inaccurate Documents or	Nbr		Nbr				Nbr	r
Application Not Organized Correctly	0	INCOMPLETE Documents:	0	B. Financial adjustments/revi	isions:		0	
1		1	n/a	1			n/a	1
2		2		2				
_								
3		3	included in	3			included	d in 2
			2					
4		4		4			included	d in 2
		<u> </u>	included in					
0		5	4	5				
			4					
6		6		6				
7		7	included in	7				
			6					
0		0		0				
8		δ		8				
9		9	included in	9				
			8					
10		10		10				
11		11	included in	11				
			10					
12		12	.0	12				
12		12		12				

-00	gia Department of Community Analis		iding Applicatio			riousing rinant	o and b	J V OIC	JPICI	IC DIVIC	"
	PART NINE - SCORING CRIT	ΓERIA - 2017	7-017 Peaks of	Oakwood,	Oakwood, Hal	I County					
	REMINDER: Applicants must include Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspo Failure to do so will result in a one (onding funding round	and have no effect on s	subsequent or fut	ure funding round scori	ng decisions.	Score Value		Self Score	DCA Scor	
						TOTALS:	92		66	20	
2.	DEEPER TARGETING / RENT / INCOME RESTRICTIONS		Choose A or B.				3		2	0	_
Α	. Deeper Targeting through Rent Restrictions		Total Residential Units	s: 84							
	Applicant agrees to set income limits at 50% AMI and gross rents at or	Per Applicant	Per DCA		Actual Percent	of Residential Units:					
	below 30% of the 50% income limit for at least:	Nbr of Restricte	ed Residential Units		Per Applicant	Per DCA	2	Α.	2	0	_
	1. 15% of total residential units				0.00%	0.00%	1	1.	0	0	
or	2. 20% of total residential units	18			21.43%	0.00%	2	2.	2	0	
В	. Deeper Targeting through <u>New</u> PBRA Contracts	Nbr of PBRA	Residential Units:				3	B.	0	0	_
	1. 15% (at least) of residential units to have PBRA for 10+ yrs:				0.00%	0.00%	2	1.	0	0	
	2. Application receives at least 3 points under Section VII. Stabl	le Communities.	Points awarded	in Sect VII:	1	0	1	2.	0	0	
	DCA's Comments:										
3.	DESIRABLE AND UNDESIRABLE CHARACTERISTICS			AP Scoring for rec			13	Ļ	13	0	_
	Is the completed and executed DCA Desirable/Undesirable Certification form				•	•		۰	Yes		_
	. Desirable Activities . Bonus Desirable	(1 or 2 pts each - so (1 pt - see QAP)	ee QAP)		•	s from completed current cation form. Submit this	12 1	A. B.	12 1		_
_	. Undesirable . Undesirable/Inefficient Site Activities/Characteristics	(1 pt - see QAP) (1 pt subtracted ea	ch)			nd signed PDF, where	ı various	C.	0		-
Ŭ	Scoring Justification per Applicant	(1 pt subtracted ea	city .		indicated in Tabs (•	various	٥.٢			_
	olicant utlized the 2017 Desirable/Undesirable Site Certification to score this Se e Certification is included in Tab 26 of the Application.	ection. There are	14 Desirables and 3	3 Bonus Desira	ables available to th	nis project, which suppo	orts a total s	core	of 13 po	oints.	
	DCA's Comments:										_
4.	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS		Sees	scoring criteria	for further requirer	ments and information	6		2	0	
	Evaluation Criteria	Competitive	Pool chosen:	Flexible					Applicant Agrees?	DCA Agrees?	?
	1. All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Paved	d Pedestrian Wal	kways.						Yes		
	2. DCA has measured all required distances between a pedestrian site entr	rance and the trar	nsit stop along Pave	ed Pedestrian	Walkways.						
	3. Each residential building is accessible to the pedestrian site entrance via			•					Yes		
	 Paved Pedestrian Walkway is in existence by Application Submission. If showing a construction timeline, commitment of funds, and approval fron 			• •	• •	mitted documents			Yes		
	5. The Applicant has clearly marked the routes being used to claim points of				,				Yes		
	6. Transportation service is being publicized to the general public.								Yes		

<u> </u>	3 11			<u> </u>	
PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017	-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County				
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in section Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round a Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application	and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value		Self Score	DCA Score
	TOTALS:	92		66	20
Flexible Pool Choose <u>A or B.</u>			_		
A. Transit-Oriented Development Choose either option 1 or 2 under A.		6	A.	0	0
1. Site is owned by local transit agency & is strategically targeted by agency to	For ALL options under this scoring criterion, <u>regardless</u> of	7 5	1.	0	_ Ŭ
create housing with on site or adjacent access to public transportation	Competitive Pool chosen, provide the information below for the			Ū	
OR 2. Site is within one (1) mile* of a transit hub	transit agency/service:	4	2.	0	
Applicant in A1 or A2 above serves Family tenancy.	Gainesville Connection (770) 503-3333	1	3.	0	
B. Access to Public Transportation Choose only one option in B.		3	B.	2	0
 Site is within 1/4 mile * of an established public transportation stop 	http://www.gainesville.org/hall area transit	3	1.	0	
OR 2. Site is within 1/2 mile * of an established public transportation stop		2	2.	2	
OR 3. Site is within one (1) mile * of an established public transportation stop	http://www.gainesville.org/fullpanel/uploads/files/gvilleconnectionrts-composite-july15-	1	3.	0	
Rural Pool	00001.pdf		_		
4. Publicly operated/sponsored and established transit service (including on-cal	·	2	4.	0	
*As measured from an entrance to the site that is accessible to pedestrians and connected by sidev	valks or established pedestrian walkways to the transportation hub/stop.				
Scoring Justification per Applicant					
The Gainesville Connection is available throughout Hall County. The bus stop location/pictures, cosinclude transit information in each residents move-in packages.	st, schedule, bus routes, and website information can be found within Tab 2	27. Addition	ally, m	nanagei	ment will
include transit information in each residents move-in packages.					
DCA's Comments:					
BOTO COMMONO.					
5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)	Con againg evitoria for further requirements and information	2		0	
A. Environmental regulatory agency which has designated site as a Brownfield and determined cleanup guidelines:	See scoring criteria for further requirements and information		,	U	
B. Source of opinion ltr stating that property appears to meet requiremts for issuance of EPD No Further Action or Lim	itation of Liability Itr		,	Voo/No	Yes/No
C. Has the estimated cost of the Environmental Engineer monitoring been included in the development budget?	itation of Etability III		C.	N/a	1 65/110
DCA's Comments:			C	N/a	
DCA'S COMMENTS.					
A QUATABLE DEVELOPMENTA					
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENTS	Forth Confi House Modificantly	3	L	2	0
Choose only one. See scoring criteria for further requirements.	Earth Craft House Multifamily				
Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible		. –		
DCA's Green Building for Affordable Housing Training Date of Course 2/14/17	Gary Hammond Landbridge Development			Yes	
Course - Participation Certificate obtained? Date of Course			_		
An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance w/		n?		Yes	
For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP?	Date of Audit Date of Report			N/a	
A. Sustainable Communities Certification		2	A. <u>\</u>	Yes/No	Yes/No
Project seeks to obtain a sustainable community certification from the program chosen above?				No	
1. EarthCraft Communities		_	_		
Date that EarthCraft Communities Memorandum of Participation was executed for the dev	•				
2. Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design for Neighborhood Development (LI	EED-ND v4)	_			
 a) Date of project's Feasibility Study prepared by a nonrelated third party LEED AP: 	4				

	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall Cou	inty		
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.		Score	Self DCA
	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decis Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	ions.	Value	Score Score
		OTALS:	92	66 20
	b) Name of nonrelated third party LEED AP that prepared Feasibility Study: <= Enter LEED AP's Name here>>			
Cor	ommitments for <i>Building</i> Certification:			Yes/No Yes/No
	1. Project will comply with the program version in effect at the time that the drawings are prepared for permit review?			1. Yes
	2. Project will meet program threshold requirements for Building Sustainability?			2. Yes
	3. Owner will engage in tenant and building manager education in compliance with the point requirements of the respective programs?			3. Yes
В.	. Sustainable Building Certification Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certification from the program chosen above?		1	B. Yes
C.	Exceptional Sustainable Building Certification		3	C. Yes/No Yes/No
_	1. Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certificate from certifying body demonstrating that project achieved highest level of certification of	nosen above?		1. No
D.	 High Performance Building Design The proposed building design demonstrates: 1. A worst case HERS Index that is at least 15% lower than the ENERGY STAR Target Index? 		1	D. 1 0
	2. A 10% improvement over the baseline building performance rating? The energy savings will be established following the Performance Rating Metho	d outlined in		2. NO
	ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G with additional guidance from the ENERGY STAR Multifamily High-Rise Simulation Guidelines.	a outilited in		Yes
	3. For minor, moderate, or substantial rehabilitations, a projected reduction in energy consumption ≥ 30%, documented by a RESNET-approved HERS or ENERGY STAR compliant whole building energy model? Baseline performance should be modeled using existing conditions.	Rating software		3. No
	Scoring Justification per Applicant			
	Applicant has provided whole building modeling indicating compliance with a 10% over the baseline building performance rating.			
	DCA's Comments:			
			_	
	STABLE COMMUNITIES (Must use data from the most current FFIEC census report, published as of January 1, 201	6)	7	1 0
	Census Tract Demographics		3	1
	Competitive Pool chosen: Flexible	Δ.		Yes/No Yes/No
В.	 Project is located in a census tract that meets the following demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report (www.ffiec.gov/Census Less than 10% below Poverty level (see Income) Actual Percent 9.29% 			No
	3. Designated Middle or Upper Income level (see Demographics) Actual Percent 9.297 Uppe			
	4. (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report			Yes
	(www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS located within 1/4 mile of such a census tract. (Applicant answer to Question 1 above cannot be "Yes".)			
C.	Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities Per Applicant	Per DCA	2	0 0
	Sub-cluster in which project is located, according to the most recent GDPH data hosted on the DCA "Multi-Family Affordable	<select></select>		
D.	Mixed-Income Developments in Stable Communities Market units: 13 Total Units: 84 Mkt Pct of Total: DCA's Comments:	15.48%	2	0 0

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction

Score Value 92

10

Yes/No

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

2

<<Select>>

TOTALS:

See City Manager Letter

ii.) 9/9/2013 Updated 5/9/16

year

NA

DCA Self Score Score 20 66 0 6 No

Yes/No

No

Yes

Transformation Plan

19

13-17, 28-50

20.23

20-25

20-25

25-26

0

Yes

Yes

Yes/No Yes/No

8. TRANSFORMATIONAL COMMUNITIES (choose A or B)

Is this application eligible for two or more points under 2017 Scoring Section 7 Stable Communities, regardless of whether the points are requested? If applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed DCA Neighborhood Redevelopment Certification included in the appropriate tab of the application? If applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed DCA Community Transformation Plan Certificate included in the appropriate tab of the application?

Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plan columns):

- a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed project site, but does not encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / county?
- b) Includes public input and engagement during the planning stages?
- c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable rental housing as a policy goal for the community?
- d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific time frames for achievement of policies & housing activities?

The specific time frames and implementation measures are current and ongoing?

- e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement the plan?
- f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application binder?

Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan: Website address (URL) of Transformation Plan: http://www.cityofoakwood.net/Assets/Files/Oakwood%20-%20URP%20amendment%20May%209%202016.pdf https://1drv.ms/b/s!Ajy-LEIiEkBLdQLthrxx67iTBw8

A. Community Revitalization

- Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting project site?
- Revitalization Plan has been officially adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by the Local Govt?

Date Plan originally adopted by Local Govt:

Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adoption to Application Submission Date:

Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed by Local Government, if applicable:

iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stages:

a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community: Publication Name(s)

b) Type of event: Date(s) of event(s):

c) Letters of Support from local non-Type: government entities. Entity Name:

Gainesville Times b) Published local govt public mtg 9/9/13 c) Development authority

a) 8/1/13 Published local govt public mtg 5/9/16 Local business coalition Oakwood Redevelopment Agency Greater Hall County Chamber of Commerce

Revitalization Plan

Separate Letter from City Manager

Separate Letter from City Manager

Yes/No

Yes/No

Yes

Yes 51-63

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

4.5

11. 12

18, 19

1. Community Revitalization Plan - Application proposes to develop housing that contributes to a written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific community in which the property will be located.

Qualified Census Tract and Community Revitalization Plan - Application proposes to develop housing that is in a Qualified Census Tract and that contributes to a written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific community in which the property will be located.

Project is in a QCT? Census Tract Number: 14.04

Eligible Basis Adjustment:

0		

		PART NINE - S	CORING CRI	TERIA - 2017-	017 Peaks of	Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall Coun	ity			
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA	A Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain	n only to the correspo	e comments in section anding funding round ar (1) point "Application	nd have no effect on si	ubsequent or future funding round scoring decision	ns.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
		randre to do so	wiii resuit iii a one i	17 DOING ADDITION	Combieteriess deut		TALS:	92	66	20
_						10	TALS.	92	- 00	20
R									_	
		sformation Plan	lization Dlan mad	ting DCA standard	•?			6 I	B. <u>5</u>	
DO	es the Applicant re	eference an existing Community Revita	ilization Plan mee	eting DCA standard	S?				Yes	
1.	Community-Bas	sed Team						2	1. 0	
Cor	mmunity-Based D	eveloper (CBD)	Select at least to	wo out of the three	options (i, ii and iii) in "a" below, or "b").	CBD	1	0	
	Entity Name		=		Website					
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email				Yes/No	Yes/No
a) <i>i</i>						t serve the area around the development	(proposed or	1	► N/a	
	_	ere) in the last two years and can docur	ment that these pa	artnerships have m	easurably improve	ed community or resident outcomes.		,		
	CBO 1 Name				Purpose:					f Support
		hborhd where partnership occurred			Website					uded?
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email				N/a	
	CBO 2 Name				Purpose:					f Support
		hborhd where partnership occurred			Website					uded?
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email				N/a	
ii.						Neighborhood or 2) a targeted area surr	ounding their		ii. N/a	
	development in a	another Georgia community. Use com	ment box or attac	th separate explana	ation page in corre	sponding tab of Application Binder.				
iii	The CBD has be	een selected as a result of a community	v-driven initiative	by the Local Gover	nment in a Reques	st for Proposal or similar public bid proces	SS.		iii. N/a	
or b)		m received a HOME consent for the pr	•	•	•	stront reposal or cirmal public sia process			o) N/a	
-,	•	•		-	a ao a 01120.		000	, '	′ —	_
	nmunity Quarterb	,	See QAP for red	•		National National and an abligated but	CQB	1	1	
I.						Defined Neighborhood, as delineated by the	ne Community	12	Yes	
		Plan, to increase residents' access to I					N I-l' - 10		V	
			ect Team to serve	as CQB is include	-	lication binder where indicated by Tabs C	neckiist?		Yes	
III.	l l	Family Promise of Hall County	Internation	(770) 505 0700	Website	www.familypromisehall.org				
_		Lindsey McCamy	Direct Line	(770) 535-0786	J Emaii	lindsey@familypromisehall.org		4	2 4	
2.	Quality Transfo				and the second section of	0		4	2. 4	
,		Team has completed Community Enga	igement and Outr	each prior to Applic					Yes	
a)	Public and Priva	5 5			Tenancy:	Family	_			
			ransformation Pa	artner types, while S	Senior Applicants r	nust engage at least <u>one</u> . <u>Applicant ag</u>			Yes	
i.	Transformation I					Date of Public Meeting 1 between Partn			2/24/17	
	9	Good News Clinics				Date(s) of publication of meeting notice		2/1/17 thru		
	l l	www.goodnewsclinics.org	I			Publication(s) Direct Mail Flyers to Serv	ce Providers a	nd Available	in offices f	or clients.
		Allison Borchert	Direct Line			Social Media N/A				
	l l	allisonb@goodnewsclinics.org				Mtg Locatn First Baptist Church, Ban				
	Role	Health				Which Partners were present at Public N	/Ita 1 between l	Partners?	1 1 a	nd 2

	•		DAP.	NINE - SCORING CE		017 Peaks of		Dakwood, Hall County			
								Jakwood, Hall County			
	Dicalaimar, DC	A Throchold and Co		MINUER: Applicants must inclu				o funding round cooring decisions	Score	Self	DCA
	<u>Discialifier:</u> DC	A THESHOU and SO		ailure to do so will result in a on				e funding round scoring decisions.	Value	Score	Score
			•	andre to do so will result in a on	e (17 boille Abblication)	Sombleteness dedi	A.HOH.	TOTALS:	92	66	20
ii.	Transformation	Partner 2	Local K-1	2 school district rep		If "Other" Type,	Date of Public	: Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs		5/4/17	
		Hall County Sc				specify below:		olication of meeting notice	4/30/2017	0, 1, 11	
	Website	www.hallco.org				open, solom		Gainesville Times	., ,		
		Holly Farmer	<u>'</u>	Direct Line	(678) 316-7949		Social Media				
	Email	holly.farmer@h	nallco.org	2001 20	(0.0) 0.0 .0.0			Family Promise of Hall County Offices			
	Role	Education						rs were present at Public Mtg 2 between	Partners?	1 a	nd 2
b)	Citizen Outreac		Choose e	ither "I" or "ii" below for (b).			1	g _ zetinee.		Yes/No	Yes/No
,	Survey			lank survey and itemized su	mmary of results inclu	uded in correspon	ding tab in appl	ication binder?	,	Yes	
or				spondents			g			25	
ii.	Public Meetings	•							iı		
	Meeting 1 Date		Ī	2/24/17			Dates: Mtg 2	5/4/17 Mtg Notice Publication		1	-
	Date(s) of public	cation of Meetin	L	2/1/17 thru 2/2	23/17			qmt met by req'd public mtg between Tr		rtners?	Yes
	Publication(s)		Direct Ma	il Flyers to Service Providers	and Available in office	ces for clients		Gainesville Times			_
	Social Media		N/A				Social Media				
	Meeting Locatio	n	First Bapt	ist Church, Banquet Hall, Ga	ninesville, GA		Mtg Locatn	Family Promise of Hall County Offices			
	Copy(-ies) of pu	blished notices	provided in	n application binder?		Yes	Copy(-ies) of	published notices provided in application	n binder?	Y	'es
c)	Please prioritize	in the summar	y bullet-poi	int format below the top 5 ch	allenges preventing th	nis community from	m accessing lo	cal resources (according to feedback fro	om the low inco	me popula	ation to
,	be served), alor	ng with the corre	sponding (goals and solutions for the T	ransformation Team a	and Partners to ac	ldress:	, ,			
i.	Local Population	n Challenge 1		Build/Produce Affordable Re	ental Housing within th	ne Defined Neighb	orhood				
	Goal for increasing	ig residents' access	S	Construct +80 units of Afford	dable Housing within t	he Defined Neigh	borhood throug	h the LIHTC Program.			
	Solution and	Who Implements		Peaks of Oakwood, LP to ap	ply for LIHTC Fundin	g and if awarded	to Complete Co	onstruction by December 2020			
	Goal for catalyzin	g neighborhood's a	access	Bridge the gap of homeless	ness to stable families	with permanent	affordable hous	sing.			
	Solution and	Who Implements		Peaks of Oakwood, LP will v	vork with Family Prom	nise as the homes	less liason to p	place residents.			
ii.	Local Population	n Challenge 2		Health and Wellness: Coord	ination of Communica	ation and Resourc	es				
	Goal for increasing	ig residents' access	S	Provide Wellness Centers a	t any new multi-family	housing commur	nity				
	Solution and	Who Implements		Good News Clinics and Pea	ks of Oakwood LP to	work together to	construct one (1) Wellness Center in the defined neighl	porhood by Dec	cember 20	20 and pr
	Goal for catalyzin	g neighborhood's a	access	Conduct monthly health initi	atives (screening, wel	Iness education/tr	aining, healthy	eating) to at least 25 families monthly.			
	Solution and	Who Implements				work together and	d provide servic	es through an MOU with local healthcar	e service provi	ders.	
iii.	Local Population	n Challenge 3		Education: Early Intervention							
		ig residents' access		Serve 25 families annually b							
		Who Implements						ontributed by the Developer.			
	-	g neighborhood's a	access	Set up Tutoring and Mentori							
		Who Implements			•	· -		Hall County Schools, United Way and	Peaks of Oakw	ood, LP	
iv.	Local Population	_		Economic Stability: Effective							
		ig residents' access		Provide life skills training to				•			
		Who Implements						y Promise & Peaks of Oakwood, LP			
	-	g neighborhood's a		Provide life skills training wit							
		Who Implements]				lucaton & job g	rowth. Peaks of Oakwood, LP will provi	de space and r	efreshme	nts for the
V.	Local Population	_		Transportation: Housing, Ec							
		ig residents' access						already in place to connection housing			
		Who Implements	L				`	ncome) with 1/4 mile of the Hall Areas T	ransit along ex	isting side	walks
	Coal for catalyzin	a poiabharhaadic a	200000	Host a job fair to promote er	try loval jobe within th	a community look	stad alana avai	lable transportation routes			

Scaling: DCA Threshood and Sorting section-reviews potal and by the ordered and byte to order to a subsequent of hard funding round scoling decisions. Score Sco		F	PART NINE - S	CORING CRIT	ERIA - 2017-	017 Peaks of	Oakwood, Oakwood, Ha	all County			
Solution and Who Implements Transformation Team Partners to recruit participants from area employers. Peaks of Cakwood, LP will provide location and refreshments: Community Improvement Fund	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DC/	A Threshold and Scoring	section reviews pertain	n only to the correspor	nding funding round ar	nd have no effect on si	ubsequent or future funding round sco	ring decisions.			
1. Community Improvement Fund Amount / Balance 50,000 Source Contact Contribution by Developer - Per Terms of Development Agreement Email Contribution by Developer - Per Terms of Development Agreement Email Contribution by Developer - Per Terms of Development Agreement Email Contribution by Developer - Per Terms of Development Agreement Email Contribution of Contribution by Developer - Per Terms of Development Agreement Email Contribution of Contribution by Developer - Per Terms of Development Agreement Email Contribution of Contributio								TOTALS:	92	66	20
1. Community Improvement Fund Amount / Balance 50,000 Contribution by Developer Fer Terms of Development Agreement Source Contribution by Developer Fer Terms of Development Agreement Bank Name Control Community Provided Fer Terms of Development Agreement Bank Name Boll Dupon Award & Funding Boll Dupon Award & Funding Boll Dupon Award & Funding Community Provided Fer Terms of Development Fer Terms of Development Provided Fer Terms of Development Fer Terms of Devel	Solution and	Who Implements	Transformati	ion Team Partners	to recruit participa	ants from area emp	oloyers. Peaks of Oakwood, LF	will provide location an	d refreshmen	ts.	
Source Contact Contact Chase Nethortalt Email Bank Contact Email Bank Measure Email Bank Contact Email Bank Measure Email Bank Contact Email Bank Measure Email Bank Measure Email Bank Contact Email Bank Measure Email Bank	•					=				1	
Contact Email Bank Contact Description of Interest Line Direct Line Contact Hamiltonian Contact Hamilt						Dank Nama		У	₁ 1 ·	1	
Bank Charts Bank Charts TBD Upon Award & Funding Description of Use of Funds Personance (PA) Description of Use of Funds Description of Use of Insurance Use of Use of Funds Description of Use Insurance Use of Funds Description of Use Insurance Use of Insurance Use of Insurance Use of Use of Use Office Use of Use Office Use O			eloper - Per Terms	•					Applicants: Ple	ease use "Pt I)	К В-
Bank Contact Description Use of Funds Use of Funds Use of Funds Funding Fundin			o.org	Direct Line	(404) 304-2337				-	provmt Narr" t	ab
Use of Funds Tubing				Direct Line					provided.		
Projects receives a long-term Ground Lease a) Projects receives a long-term ground lease (no less than 45-year) for nominal consideration and no other land costs for the entire property? 2. Long-term Ground Lease a) Projects receives a long-term ground lease (no less than 45-year) for nominal consideration and no other land costs for the entire property? b) No funds other than what is disclosed in the Application have been or will be paid for the lease either directly or indirectly? 2. The property of the property of the property of the property? b) No funds other than what is disclosed in the Application have been or will be paid for the lease either directly or indirectly? 2. The property of the property of the property of the property or the property? b) No funds other than what is disclosed in the Application have been or will be paid for the lease either directly or indirectly? 2. Ungetern Trive Party Name Unrelated Third-Party Type 3. Third-Party Type 3. Select unrelated 3rd party type> Improvement Completion Date 1. Select unrelated 3rd party type> Improvement Completion Date 1. Select unrelated 3rd party type> Improvement Completion Date 1. Select unrelated 3rd party type> Improvement Completion Date 1. Select unrelated 3rd party type> Improvement Completion Date 2. Select unrelated 3rd party type> Improvement Completion Date 2. Select unrelated 3rd party type> Improvement Completion Date 2. Description of Investment community-wide in scope or was improvement completed more than 3 yrs prior to Application Submission? 3. Discription of Investment community of Investment or Investment o	Description of	The Transformation Tea	nm will work with each a	affordable housing con	nmunity to encourage	establishment of an e	ducation fund to help families and indiv	viduals with the following cost	S.		
Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation Plan. 2. Long-term Ground Lease a) Projects receives a long-term ground lease (no less than 45-year) for nominal consideration and no other land costs for the entire property? b) No funds other than what is disclosed in the Application have been or will be paid for the lease either directly or indirectly? 3. Third-Party Capital Investment Unrelated Third-Party Name Unrelated Third-Party Type Unrelate	how the secured funds support the	College / Technical Sci Students will need to prodisbursement will require The Fund will provide m GOAL FIVE: Provide final GOAL SIX: Provide final	ovide letters from their e a recommendation from the arecommendation from the area to meet the follow mancial assistance for the assistance for GE	om an employer and v wing goals set forth with utoring. ED Classes, ACT/SAT (erification of employm hin the plan. Classes/Testing, and (ent. College/Technical Sch	ool Applications.		s. GED Classe:	s/Testing fund	
b) No funds other than what is disclosed in the Application have been or will be paid for the lease either directly or indirectly? 3. Third-Party Capital Investment Unrelated Third-Party Name Unrelated Third-Party Type Unrelated Third-Party Type Improvement Completion Date Is 3rd party investment community-wide in scope or was improvement completed more than 3 yrs prior to Application Submission? Distance from proposed project site in miles, rounded up to the next tenth of a mile Description of Investment or	Revitalization Plan or Community Transformation Plan. 2. Long-term Gro	und Lease					·	, programming.	1 :		
3. Third-Party Capital Investment Unrelated Third-Party Name Unrelated Third-Party Type Unrelated Third-Party Type Is 3rd party investment community-wide in scope or was improvement completed more than 3 yrs prior to Application Submission? Distance from proposed project site in miles, rounded up to the next tenth of a mile Description of Investment or											
Unrelated Third-Party Name Unrelated Third-Party Type Is 3rd party investment community-wide in scope or was improvement completed more than 3 yrs prior to Application Submission? Distance from proposed project site in miles, rounded up to the next tenth of a mile Description of Investment or	,		ed in the Application	n have been or will	be paid for the lea	ase either directly	•	Electric Control	0		
Unrelated Third-Party Type Is 3rd party investment community-wide in scope or was improvement completed more than 3 yrs prior to Application Submission? Distance from proposed project site in miles, rounded up to the next tenth of a mile Description of Investment or							Competitive Pool chosen:	Flexible	2 ; 1	s. 0	
Is 3rd party investment community-wide in scope or was improvement completed more than 3 yrs prior to Application Submission? Distance from proposed project site in miles, rounded up to the next tenth of a mile Description of Investment or		•					Select unrelated 3rd party tyr	De>	Improveme	nt Completio	on Date
Distance from proposed project site in miles, rounded up to the next tenth of a mile Description of Investment or		, ,,	vide in scope or wa	as improvement co	mpleted more that	n 3 yrs prior to App	. , , , ,		iprovomo	Jonnpioti	J Dato
		•	•	•	•						
Funding Mechanism	•						•				

	PART NINE - SC	ORING CRITERIA - 2017-0	017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall	County			
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Sc	oring section reviews pertain o	ants must include comments in sections only to the corresponding funding round an ill result in a one (1) point "Application (d have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring	decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
				TOTALS:	92	66	20
Description of Investment's Furtherance of Plan							
Description of how the investment will serve the tenant base for the proposed development							
Full Cost of Improvement as a Percent of TDC:	0.0000%	0.0000%	Total Development Costs (TDC): 14,163,787				

PART NINE - SCORING CRIT	ERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hal	II County			
	comments in sections where points are claimed.		Score	Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspo		ng decisions.	Value		Score
Failure to do so will result in a one () point "Application Completeness" deduction.	TOTALO			
		TOTALS:	92	66	20
D. Community Designations	(Choose only one.)		10	D. 0	
HUD Choice Neighborhood Implementation (CNI) Grant				1. N/a	
2. Purpose Built Communities				2. N/a	
Scoring Justification per Applicant					
All documentatoin support the points selected above are located in Tab 31 of the Application. Applicant will establish a Community Improvement Fund as described above. The Developer will ful	d to an account to be opened upon the closing on the land & financing and is obliga	ated to do so in the Developr	nent Agreeme	nt.	
DCA's Comments:					
9. PHASED DEVELOPMENTS / PREVIOUS PROJECTS	(choose A or B)		4	3	0
	Competitive Pool chosen: Flexible			-	
A. Phased Developments	Phased Development? No	0	3	A. 0	
1. Application is in the Flexible Pool and the proposed project is part of a Pl past five (5) funding rounds (only the second and third phase of a project the 2017 Application Submission deadline?	·			1. N/a	
If Yes, indicate DCA Project Nbr and Project Name of the first phase:	Number: Name				•
If current application is for third phase, indicate for second phase:	Number: Name				
2. Was the community originally designed as one development with differen	t phases?			2. N/a	
3. Are any other phases for this project also submitted during the current fu	iding round?			3. N/a	
4. Was site control over the entire site (including all phases) in place when	ne initial phase was closed?			4. N/a	
B. Previous Projects (Flexible Pool)	(choose 1 or 2)		3	В. 3	0
The proposed development site is not within a 1-mile radius of a Geo	rgia Housing Credit development that has received an award	in the last			
1. Five (5) DCA funding cycles			3	1. 3	
OR 2. Four (4) DCA funding cycles			2	2. 0	
C. Previous Projects (Rural Pool)	(choose 1 or 3)		4	C. 0	0
The proposed development site is within a Local Government bound	ry which has not received an award of 9% Credits:				
 Within the last Five (5) DCA funding cycles 			3	1. 0	
2. Since the 2000 DCA Housing Credit Competitive Round	(additional point)		1	2. 0	
OR 3. Within the last Four (4) DCA funding cycles			2	3. 0	
Scoring Justification per Applicant					
There have not been any LIHTC Funded projects within the City limits of Oakwood	since 2000 and therefore, the Applicant is eligible for the points sele	cted above.			
DCA's Comments:					

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, Oakwood, Hall County	
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.	re Self DCA
Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. Value	
TOTALS: 92	
10. MARKET CHARACTERISTICS 2	2 0
For DCA determination:	Yes/No Yes/No
A. Are more than two DCA funded projects in the primary market area which have physical occupancy rates of less than 90 percent and which compete for the same tenant base as the proposed project?	A. No
B. Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the proposed market which could detrimentally affect the long term viability of the proposed project and the proposed tenant population?	B. No
C. Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker than projected?	C. No
D. Is the capture rate of a specific bedroom type and market segment over 55%?	D. No
Scoring Justification per Applicant	
The Market Study supports the answers selected above. The capture rates are strong and the project is marketable and supportable.	
DCA's Comments:	
11. EXTENDED AFFORDABILITY COMMITMENT (choose only one) 1	1 0
A. Waiver of Qualified Contract Right	A. 1
Applicant agrees to forego cancellation option for at least 5 yrs after close of Compliance period?	Yes
B. Tenant Ownership	В. 0
Applicant commits to a plan for tenant ownership at end of compliance period (only applies to single family units).	N/a
DCA's Comments:	
12. EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT Resource Housing Group, Inc. 3	
Nonprofit Setaside selection from Project Information tab: Yes	Yes/No Yes/No
Is the applicant claiming these points for this project?	No
Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?	N/a
Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the required documentation included in the appropriate tab of the application?	N/a
DCA's Comments:	100
13. RURAL PRIORITY Competitive Pool: Flexible (NOTE: Only Rural Pool applicants are eligible!) Urban or Rural: Urban 2	0
Each Applicant will be limited to claiming these points for one Rural project in which they have a direct or indirect interest and which involves 80 or fewer units. Failure by the Applicant to designate these points to only one qualified project will result in no points being awarded.	tal 84
MGP Peaks of Oakwood GP, LLC 0.0100% Chase Northcutt NPSponsr Resource Housing Group, Inc. 0.0000% Chase I	Northcutt
OGP1 Not Applicable 0.0000% 0 Developer Peaks of Oakwood Development, LLC 0.0000% Chase I	Northcutt
OGP2 Not Applicable 0.0000% 0 Co-Developer 1 Not Applicable 0.0000% 0	
OwnCons Not Applicable 0.0000% 0 Co-Developer 2 Not Applicable 0.0000% 0	
Fed LP Affordable Equity Partners, Inc. 98.9900% Brian Kimes Developmt Consult Not Applicable 0.0000% 0	
State LP Affordable Equity Partners, Inc. 1.0000% Brian Kimes	
Scoring Justification per Applicant DCA's Comments: This is a flexible pool application and is therefore not eligible for these points.	

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA	- 2017-017 Peaks of C	akwood, Oakwood, Hal	II County			
REMINDER: Applicants must include commen Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fund			ing decisions	Score	Self	DCA
Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point	•		ing accisions.	Value	Score	Score
			TOTALS:	92	66	20
14. DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES				2	0	0
A. Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GICH)				1	0	
Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Community Housing team that clearly:				,	A. Yes/No	Yes/No
Identifies the project as located within their GICH community:	< Selec	t applicable GICH >		1	. N/a	
2. Is indicative of the community's affordable housing goals			_	2	2. N/a	
3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives of the GICH Plan				3	3. N/a	
4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or secondary contact on record w	/ University of Georgia Housing	g and Demographic Research (Center as of 5/1/17?	4	1. N/ a	
5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three years				5	5. N/a	
NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GICH community, no proje						
	conomic/DevelopmentTools/programs	<u>/militaryZones.asp</u>		1	0	
Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-designated Military Zone (MZ)			44.04	1	B. N/a	
City: Oakwood County: Hall Scoring Justification per Applicant	QCT? No	Census Tract #: DCA's Comments:	14.04			
Ve are not requesting points under this category. The GICH Contact would not return cal		JCA'S COMMENTS.				
15. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES	Competitive Po	ol choson:	Flexible	4	4	0
	Competitive Fo	oi chosen.	riexible	4	Yes/No	Yes/No
Indicate that the following criteria are met: a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and unconditional except as set	t forth in this section				a) Yes	163/140
b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for funding by DCA.					b) Yes	
c) Loans are for both construction and permanent financing phases.					c) Yes	
d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and reflect interest rates at or below	w AFR, with the exception that	HUD 221(d)4 loans and USDA	538 loans must reflect	interest	d) Yes	
rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the Federal Reserve H. 15 Repo	ort on April 20, 2017, plus 100	basis points.			res	
e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not used as consideration for po		. ,			e) N/a	
f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this section, the funds will be oblig	ated by USDA by September 3				f) Yes	
1. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from the following sources:	->F	Amount	-		Amount	
a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP) b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD PHI fund	a)[b)		a) b)			
c) HOME Funds	c)		c)			
d) Beltline Grant/Loan	d)		d)			
e) Historic tax credit proceeds	e)		e)			
f) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program funds	f)		f)			
g) National Housing Trust Fund	g)		g)			
h) Georgia TCAP acquisition loans passed through a Qualified CDFI revolving loan	fund h)		h)			
 Foundation grants, or loans based from grant proceeds per QAP 	i) _		i)			
j) Federal Government grant funds or loans	j)	2,200,000	j)			
Total Qualifying Sources (TQS):	Ļ	2,200,000	1		0	
2. Point Scale Total Development Cost	` '	14,163,787	_			
Scoring Justification per Applicant TQS as a Percent of TD		15.5326%	and the latest and th		0.0000%	
Project is being partially financed with a HUD 221(d)(4). Documentation from the lender a DCA's Comments:	ing HUD as required by the QA	P are included in the application	on behind Tab 1 and Ta	D 36.		
	and Field as required by the Qr	are moraded in the application	on benina rab rana ra	5 00.		

,016	gia Bepartment of Community Analis		<u> </u>	oo ana be	velopinent bivisie
	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of		all County		
	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are			Score	Self DCA
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on		oring decisions.	Value	Score Score
	Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" de	duction.	TOTALO		
			TOTALS:	92	66 20
16.	INNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT			3	
	Is the applicant claiming these points?				No
	Selection Criteria		Ranking Pts Value Ran	<u>ige</u>	Ranking Pts
	1. Presentation of the project concept narrative in the Application.		0 - 10		1.
	2. Uniqueness of innovation.		0 - 10		2.
	3. Demonstrated replicability of the innovation.		0 - 5		3.
	4. Leveraged operating funding		0 - 5		4.
	5. Measureable benefit to tenants		0 - 5		5.
	6. Collaborative solutions proposed and <u>evidence</u> of subject matter experts' <u>direct</u> involvement in the strategic	concept development.	0 - 5	_	6.
	DCA's Comments:		0 - 40		Total: 0
	INTEGRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING			_ 3	2 0
A.	Integrated Supportive Housing/ Section 811 RA	10% of Total Units (max):	8	2	A. 2 0
	1. Applicant agrees to accept Section 811 PBRA or other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units for the	Total Low Income Units	71		1. Agree
	purpose of providing Integrated Supportive Housing (ISH) opportunities to Persons w/ Disabilities (PWD),	Min 1 BR LI Units required	7		
	and is prepared to accept the full utilization by DCA of 10% of the units?	1 BR LI Units Proposed	10		
	2. Applicant understands the requirements of HUD's Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) program, in	cluding the 30-year use restriction	on for all PRA units?	_	2. Yes
	3. At least 10% of the total low-income units in the proposed Application will be one bedroom units?				3. Yes
	4. Applicant is willing to accept Assistance affordable to 50% AMI tenants?				4. Yes
R	Target Population Preference			3	в. 0 0
٥.	1. Applicant has a commitment of HUD Section 8 project-based rental assistance from a Public Housing Author	ority which has elected to offer a	tenant selection	3	1. Disagree
	preference in their Voucher programs for persons with specific disabilities identified in the Settlement Agreei				Diougioo
	Name of Public Housing Authority providing PBRA:	PBRA Expiration:			
	2. Applicant agrees to implement a minimum of 15% of the total units targeting the Settlement population?	Nbr of Settlement units:	0	0.0%	2. Disagree
	Scoring Justification per Applicant			0.070	- sound
	icant understands the requirements of the 811 Units and will adhere to and accept all tenants as required.				
	DCA's Comments:				
18.	HISTORIC PRESERVATION (choose A or B)			2	0 0
	The property is: < <select applicable="" status="">></select>	Historic Credit Equity:	0	7	
	Historic <u>and</u> Adaptive Reuse	Historic adaptive reuse units:	0	1 2	A. 0
	The proposed development includes historic tax credit proceeds and is an adaptive reuse of a	Total Units	84		/ · ·
	certified historic structure.	% of Total	0.00%		
	Enter here Applicant's Narrative of how building will be reused >>		2.0070		
	·				
В	Historic	Nbr Historic units:	0	7 1	В. 0
	The property is a certified historic structure per QAP or is deemed historic via a Georgia DNR-HPD approved	Total Units	84	1 '	J
	NPS Part 1- Evaluation of Significance to have a preliminary determination of listing on the National Register	% of Total	0.00%		
	DCA's Comments:		210070	_	

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-017 Peaks of Oakwood, O	akwood, Hall	County			
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.			Score	Self	DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future	funding round scoring	g decisions.	Value		Score
Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.		TOTAL C.			
		TOTALS:	92	66	20
19. HEALTHY HOUSING INITIATIVES (choose A or B or C)			3	3	0
Pre-requisites:				Agree or Y/N	Agree or Y/N
1. In Application submitted, Applicant used the following needs data to more efficiently target the proposed initiative for a proposed	ed property:			Agree	
a) A local Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA)				Yes	
b) The "County Health Rankings & Reports" website: http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/health-gaps/georgi	<u>a</u>			Yes	
c) The Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Community Health Status Indicators (CHSI) website				Yes	
2. The Applicant identified target healthy initiatives to local community needs?				Agree	
3. Explain the need for the targeted health initiative proposed in this section.					
Applicant has identified a need for additional care and access to education in Oakwood for High Blood Pressure, Diabetes, High C	holesterol. Biome	tric Screeninas. Immi	unizations. Den	tal Screer	nings
A. Preventive Health Screening/Wellness Program for Residents			3	3	0
1. a) Applicants agrees to provide on-site preventive health screenings and or Wellness Services at the proposed project?			a)	Agree	0
b) The services will be provided at least monthly and be offered at minimal or no cost to the residents?			b)	Yes	
c) The preventive health initiative includes wellness and preventive health care education and information for the residents?			c)	Yes	
2. Description of Service (Enter "N/a" if necessary)		Occurrer	nce	Cost to	Resident
a) Health Related Screening through a property Wellness Center which targets specific tenant needs.		Rotated Monthly	y Services	No	ne
b) Additional education in regards to nutrition and health.		Rotated Classe	s Monthly	No	ne
c) Access to a library of current health related materials kept in the Wellness Center		Continua	ally		ne
d) N/A		N/A		N	/A
B. Healthy Eating Initiative			2	0	0
Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Eating Initiative, as defined in the QAP, at the proposed project?				Disagree	•
1. The community garden and edible landscape will: a) Emphasize the importance of local, seasonal, and healthy food?			a)	N/a	
b) Have a minimum planting area of at least 400 square feet?			b)	N/a	
c) Provide a water source nearby for watering the garden?	•		c)	N/a	
d) Be surrounded on all sides with fence of weatherproof construction			d)	N/a	
e) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's Architectural Manual	– Amenities Guid	lebook?	e)	N/a	
2. The monthly healthy eating programs will be provided free of charge to the residents and will feature related events?			2.	N/a	
	Description of Rela	ated Event			
a)					
b)					
c)					
d)					

	PART NINE - S	CORING CRIT	ERIA - 2017-0	017 Peaks of 0	Oakwood, O	akwood, Hall (County			
Disabilities D			comments in sections			Eventure and a contract	destatana	Score	Self	DCA
<u>Discialmer:</u> Di	CA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain		aing iunaing round and) point "Application C			e lunding round scoring	decisions.	Value	Score	Score
	Tandre to do 30	wiii result iii a one tr	Abblication C	ombieteress dedd	iction.		TOTALS:	92	66	20
C Hoolthy Activity	Initiativo						IOIALO.	2	0	0
C. Healthy Activity	provide a Healthy Activity Initiative, as d	efined in the OAP	at the proposed p	roject?	<pre><< If Agree en</pre>	nter type of Healthy	Activity Initiative he		Disagree	
	multi-purpose walking trail that is ½ mile			,	•	nor type or ricality	rouvity initiative field	.0 >>	Disagree	
a) Be well illumina		3	a)	3 3, 1 3		f) Provide trash red	eptacles?	f)	N/a	
•	phalt or concrete surface?		b)				nal criteria outlined	in DCA's g)	N/a	
c) Include benche	es or sitting areas throughout course of tr	ail?	c)		,	Architectural Manua	al – Amenities Guide	ebook?		
d) Provide distant			d)			_				Ī
e) Provide 1 piece	e of fitness equipment per every 1/8 mile	of trail?	e)			Length of Trail				miles
	ducational information will be provided fre	ee of charge to the	residents on relate	ed events?				2.	N/a	
Scoring Justification	n per Applicant									
An MOU executed by the	e applicable parties is located in Tab 40 o	of the application a	along with additiona	al documention su	pporting the po	ints as selected abo	ove.			
DCA's Comments:										
20. QUALITY EDU	CATION AREAS							3	3	0
	CATION AREAS as a property located in the attendance zo	one of one or more	high-performing s	chools as determi	ined by the state	e CCRPI?		3	3 Yes	0
	s a property located in the attendance zo		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	chools as determi Hall County Scho		e CCRPI?		3		0
Application develop			RPI website:			e CCRPI?		3		0
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016	es a property located in the attendance zo District / School System	n - from state CCR	RPI website:	Hall County Scho Family	ols		ite?	3		0
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must	os a property located in the attendance zo District / School Systen Tenancy	n - from state CCR	RPI website:	Hall County Scho Family ct wide) attendanc	ols e zone that incl			3 Average	Yes N/a	0
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must	os a property located in the attendance zo District / School Systen Tenancy	n - from state CCR	RPI website:	Hall County Scho Family ct wide) attendanc	ols e zone that incl	ludes the property s			Yes N/a	RPI >
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used	os a property located in the attendance zo District / School Systen Tenancy If Charter school used,	n - from state CCR	RPI website:	Hall County Scho Family ct wide) attendanc CCI	ols e zone that incl	ludes the property s	ding In:	Average	Yes N/a	RPI >
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used	District / School System Tenancy If Charter school used, School Name (from state CCRPI website)	n - from state CCR does it have a des Grades Served	PI website: signated (not distric	Hall County Scho Family ct wide) attendanc CCI	e zone that incl RPI Scores from 2014	ludes the property s m School Years End 2015	ding In: 2016	Average CCRPI Score	Yes N/a CCF State A	RPI >
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level a) Primary/Elementary	District / School System Tenancy If Charter school used, School Name (from state CCRPI website) Martin Elementary School	n - from state CCR does it have a des Grades Served K-5th	RPI website: signated (not district Charter School? No	Hall County Scho Family ct wide) attendanc CCI	e zone that incl RPI Scores from 2014 75.70	ludes the property s m School Years End 2015 76.40	ding In: 2016 74.88	Average CCRPI Score 75.66	N/a CCF State A Yes	RPI >
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level a) Primary/Elementary b) Middle/Junior High	District / School System Tenancy If Charter school used, School Name (from state CCRPI website) Martin Elementary School South Hall Middle School	does it have a des Grades Served K-5th 6th-8th	RPI website: signated (not district Charter School? No No	Hall County Scho Family ct wide) attendanc CCI	re zone that incl RPI Scores from 2014 75.70 79.50	ludes the property s m School Years End 2015 76.40 70.30	2016 74.88 77.28	Average CCRPI Score 75.66 75.69	N/a CCF State A Yes Yes	RPI >
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level a) Primary/Elementary b) Middle/Junior High c) High	District / School System Tenancy If Charter school used, School Name (from state CCRPI website) Martin Elementary School South Hall Middle School Johnson High School	does it have a des Grades Served K-5th 6th-8th 9th-12th	PI website: signated (not district Charter School? No No No	Hall County Scho Family ct wide) attendanc CCI	re zone that incl RPI Scores from 2014 75.70 79.50	ludes the property s m School Years End 2015 76.40 70.30	2016 74.88 77.28	Average CCRPI Score 75.66 75.69	N/a CCF State A Yes Yes	RPI >
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level a) Primary/Elementary b) Middle/Junior High c) High d) Primary/Elementary	District / School System Tenancy If Charter school used, School Name (from state CCRPI website) Martin Elementary School South Hall Middle School Johnson High School Martin Elementary School	on - from state CCR does it have a des Grades Served K-5th 6th-8th 9th-12th K-5th	PI website: signated (not district Charter School? No No No No No	Hall County Scho Family ct wide) attendanc CCI	re zone that incl RPI Scores from 2014 75.70 79.50	ludes the property s m School Years End 2015 76.40 70.30	2016 74.88 77.28	Average CCRPI Score 75.66 75.69	N/a CCF State A Yes Yes	RPI >
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level a) Primary/Elementary b) Middle/Junior High c) High d) Primary/Elementary e) Middle/Junior High f) High Scoring Justification	District / School System Tenancy If Charter school used, School Name (from state CCRPI website) Martin Elementary School South Hall Middle School Johnson High School South Hall Middle School South Hall Middle School Johnson High School Fouth Hall Middle School Johnson High School Johnson High School	r - from state CCR does it have a des Grades Served K-5th 6th-8th 9th-12th K-5th 6th-8th 9th-12th	Charter School? No No No No No No No No No N	Hall County Scho Family ct wide) attendanc CCI 2013	re zone that incl RPI Scores from 2014 75.70 79.50 70.30	ludes the property s m School Years End 2015 76.40 70.30 87.00	ding In: 2016 74.88 77.28 79.20	Average CCRPI Score 75.66 75.69 78.83	N/a CCF State A Yes Yes Yes	RPI > verage?
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level a) Primary/Elementary b) Middle/Junior High c) High d) Primary/Elementary e) Middle/Junior High f) High Scoring Justification In accordance with the S	District / School System Tenancy If Charter school used, School Name (from state CCRPI website) Martin Elementary School South Hall Middle School Johnson High School Martin Elementary School South Hall Middle School Johnson High School In per Applicant Scoring Section of the QAP the data for 2	Grades Served K-5th 6th-8th 9th-12th K-5th 6th-8th 9th-12th 014-2016 was ava	Charter School? No No No No No No No No No N	Hall County Scho Family ct wide) attendanc CCI 2013	re zone that incl RPI Scores from 2014 75.70 79.50 70.30	ludes the property s m School Years End 2015 76.40 70.30 87.00	ding In: 2016 74.88 77.28 79.20	Average CCRPI Score 75.66 75.69 78.83	N/a CCF State A Yes Yes Yes	RPI > verage?
Application develop NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level a) Primary/Elementary b) Middle/Junior High c) High d) Primary/Elementary e) Middle/Junior High f) High Scoring Justification In accordance with the S	District / School System Tenancy If Charter school used, School Name (from state CCRPI website) Martin Elementary School South Hall Middle School Johnson High School South Hall Middle School South Hall Middle School Johnson High School Fouth Hall Middle School Johnson High School Johnson High School	Grades Served K-5th 6th-8th 9th-12th K-5th 6th-8th 9th-12th 014-2016 was ava	Charter School? No No No No No No No No No N	Hall County Scho Family ct wide) attendanc CCI 2013	re zone that incl RPI Scores from 2014 75.70 79.50 70.30	ludes the property s m School Years End 2015 76.40 70.30 87.00	ding In: 2016 74.88 77.28 79.20	Average CCRPI Score 75.66 75.69 78.83	N/a CCF State A Yes Yes Yes	RPI > verage?

eor	gia Department o	of Community	Affairs	2017 Fundi	ng Application		Housing Finar	nce and De	velopmer	ıt Divisi
			PART NINE - SCORING CRI	TERIA - 2017-0	17 Peaks of Oak	wood, Oakwood, Ha	II County			
REMINDER: Applicants must include on Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspond				comments in sections where points are claimed. Inding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. In point "Application Completeness" deduction.			Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score	
					TOTALS:				66	20
21.	1. WORKFORCE HOUSING NEED (choose A or B)			(Must use 2014 data from "OnTheMap" tool, but 2015 data may be used if available)				2	2	0
	Δ Minumum jobs	A. Minumum jobs threshold met <i>and</i> 60 % of workers within a 2-mile radius travel over 10 miles to their place of work						2	<u>-</u>	
OR	•	Exceed the minimum jobs threshold by 50%								
	Jobs	City of		,	Atlanta Metro			Other	Rural	
	Threshold	Atlanta	(Cherokee, Clayton, Col	ob, DeKalb, Douglas,	Fayette, Fulton, Gwin	nnett, Henry and Rockdale	counties)	MSA	Area	
	Minimum	20,000			15,000			6,000	3,000	
	Project Site							6,501		
	Min Exceeded by:	0.00%			0.00%			8.35%	0.00%	
	A 1' 11 A4' '		<i>(</i> ()	Per Applicant	Per DCA	Project City	Oakwood			
	Applicable Minimum Jobs Threshold (from chart above) Nbr of Jobs: Total Nbr of Jobs w/in the 2-mile radius:			6,000 6,501		Project County HUD SA	Hall Gainesville			
	Nbr of Jobs w/in the 2-inite radius. Nbr of Jobs in 2-mile radius w/ workers who travel > 10 miles to work: Percentage of Jobs w/in the 2-mile radius w/ workers travelling over 10 miles			4,017 MSA / Non-MSA Urban or Rural Urban						
	to work:	Will tile 2-illie ie	duds w/ workers travelling over 10 miles	61.79%	0.00%	onsum of munum	0.22			
	Scoring Justification	per Applicant								
App	roximately 62% of wo	orkers travel a dis	stance of more than 10 miles to their pla	ace of employment ar	nd therefore we are eli	gible for the points above.				
	DCA's Comments:									
	DCA's Comments:									
22	COMPLIANCE	/ PEREORMA	NCE					10	10	10
		T LINI ONNIA	1110L					10		
	Base Score Deductions								10	10
	Additions									
	Scoring Justification	per Applicant								1
App			ion letter in 2016 and is therefore deem	ed qualified and eligi	ble for these points.					
	DCA's Comments:									
	TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE								66	1 20
									66	20
	EXCEPTIONAL NONPROFIT POINTS									0
	INNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT POINTS									0
	NET POSSIBLE SCORE WITHOUT DCA EXTRA POINTS									20

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.

Score Value 92

TOTALS:

Self DCA Score Score 66 20

DCA has included the following area for Applicants to make comments in any section they claimed points but were not provided with comment section. Include the section/(s) you are referring to within this area along with any applicable comments.

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

Peaks of Oakwood Oakwood, Hall County

Upon award, when the project closes and the initial installment of Developer is received, a bank account in the name of the Limited Partner (i.e. Owner/Applicant) will be opened and \$50,000.00 will be funded into the account. The Community Improvement Fund will be used only for the purpose of supporting the provision of community services and resources to the residents of The Peaks of Oakwood. The Peaks of Oakwood is a family property so over the course of five years, \$10,000 per year will be distributed to families with children under the age of 18. The money will be utilized specifially for Tutoring, Prep Courses (i.e. PSAT, SAT/ACT), SAT/ACT Testing Fees, GED Classes/Testing, College/Techincal School Application Fees. If at the end of the year, the full \$10,000 for that year is not expended, the balance will carry into the next year with all monies expended by the end of five years.

In order to qualify for funds, students will need to provide letters from their Parent and School Counselor if asking for assistance to pay for Tutoring, Prep Courses, Testing Fees, and/or College Application Fees. Distribution of funds for GED Classes/Testing will require a recommendation from an employer and verification of employment.

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

Peaks of Oakwood Oakwood, Hall County

Scoring Section 14 - DCA Community Initiatives: GICH Project Narrative

Peaks of Oakwood Oakwood, Hall County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Scoring Section 16 - Innovative Project Concept Narrative

Peaks of Oakwood Oakwood, Hall County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Finance and Development Division 60 Executive Park South, NE. Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2231

Re: Application for Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and/or HOME Funding

To DCA:

This Application is submitted in accordance with the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan and the Housing Finance and Development Division Manuals. In submitting this Application for funding consideration, the undersigned applicant hereby certifies:

- 1) I understand that, in the event an allocation (or an allowance) for LIHTCs was obtained with false information supplied to the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA"), DCA will recapture the LIHTCs or request that the IRS deny tax credits to the Applicant entity. Also, a supplier, including the developer or owner, who knowingly provides false information will be barred by DCA from program participation for a period of five (5) years from the date the false information was discovered, in accordance with a Memorandum of Understanding between the Internal Revenue Service and the Georgia Housing and Finance Authority.
- 2) I am responsible for ensuring the project consists or will consist of a qualified low-income building (or buildings) as defined in the Internal Revenue Code section 42(c)(2) and will satisfy all applicable requirements of State and Federal tax law in the acquisition, development and operation of the project to receive State and federal housing tax credits.
- 3) I am responsible for all calculations and figures relating to the determination of the eligible basis of the building(s). I understand and agree the amount of the credit is allocated by reference to the figures that I submit as to eligible and qualified basis. I understand that the actual amount of credit allocated may vary somewhat from the amount initially reserved or committed due to (a) the determination by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA") as to the amount of credit necessary for the financial feasibility of the project and its viability as a qualified low-income housing project; (b) revisions in the calculations of eligible and qualified basis as finally determined; (c) fluctuations in the prevailing credit percentage; and (d) availability of the credit.
- 4) I understand and agree that DCA makes no representations or warranties regarding the financial feasibility of the project, the amount of credit, or the appropriateness of the allocation of the credit and makes no independent investigation as to the eligible and qualified basis and that any and all credit awards and credit amounts are solely based on representations made by me. I therefore agree to hold harmless and indemnify DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA in the event that I or anyone acting on my behalf, at my request or by and through me incurs any loss, injury, or damages in conjunction with the project including those that may result from any inquiries or gathering of information by DCA concerning the proposed project team or Application, diminution of the credit, loss of the credit, recapture of part or all of the credit, failure to allocate the credit requested in my Application or, the failure of DCA, in whole or in part, to grant my Application.
- 5) I understand and agree that neither DCA nor any of its individual directors, employees, members, officers, or agents assume any responsibility or make any representations or warranties with respect to: (i) the amount or availability of credit for the project; or (ii) the financial feasibility of the project.

- 6) I understand and agree that my Application for a low-income housing credit and/or HOME loan, all attachments thereto, amendments, and all correspondence relating to my Application in particular or the credit in general are subject to a request disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I further understand and agree that any and all correspondence to me from DCA, other DCA-generated documents, or documents to or from a third party in the possession of DCA relating to my Application are subject to a request for disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I agree to hold harmless DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA against all losses, costs, damages, expenses, and liability of whatsoever nature or kind (including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, litigation, and court costs) directly or indirectly resulting from or arising out of the release of all information pertaining to my Application pursuant to a request under the Georgia Open Records Act or resulting from or arising out of the release.
- 7) I understand and agree to authorize DCA access to information relevant to DCA's Application review. I understand that DCA may request an IRS Form 8821 for any Project Participant through final allocation of credits and/or disbursement of funds. Project Participants will complete Form 8821 upon DCA's request.
- 8) I understand that any misrepresentations, which includes fraudulent, negligent, and/or innocent, in this Application or supporting documentation may result in a withdrawal of tax credits and/or HOME loan by DCA, my (and related parties) being barred from future program participation, and notification of the Internal Revenue Service and/or HUD.
- 9) I certify that all sources of funds, including but not limited to Federal, State and local funding sources, have been disclosed and revealed.

In addition, Applicant understands:

- The above certifications are of a continuing nature and apply at all stages of the Application process: initial application, commitment, carryover allocation, and final allocation/funding.
- DCA must be notified of any subsequent events or information, which would change any statements or representations in the attached Application or amendments thereto;
- DCA reserves the right to verify all information or documents used in processing the Application, including requiring credit checks on all parties involved in the transaction. Applicant hereby authorizes the financing bank, accountant, mortgage lender, creditors, other state housing agencies and others sources identified in the Application to release information to DCA or its designee in order to verify the accuracy of information in the Application and amendments thereto.

Applicant agrees and understands that it may be charged for all fees and costs incurred by DCA in the inspection of funded properties during and after construction and in the enforcement of DCA regulations and policies.

Under penalty of perjury, to the best of my knowledge, I certify that all of the information in the attached Application, including all supporting documentation, is correct, complete and accurate.

APPLICANT/OWNER	
Printed Name	Title
Signature	Date
	[SEAL]