Project Narrative Wood Meadow Apartments Port Wentworth, Chatham County

Wood Meadow Apartments is an 80 unti family complex located in the city of Port Wentworth. It will consists of 8- one bedroom units, 48 - two bedroom units and 24 - three bedroom units. There will be 4 tenant buildings that will feature washer and dryers in each unit and dishwashers to appeal to family households. There will be a clubhouse with a covered porch. The complex will also have an equipped pinic pavillion, an equipped playground and tot-lot. The clubhouse will also be equipped with a designated screening space for the monthly wellness check ups. The complex will have rents structured for households at or below 50% and 60% of AMI as well as some market rate units. Wood Meadow Apartments will comply with the integrated supportive housing opportunity by accepting remtal assistance for at least 10% of the total low-income units. We believe, based on our knowledge of the market area, that the proposed development will be favorably received in the market place and will present a sound investment for the Department of Community Affairs affordable housing resources. There have been no LIHTC developments in Port Wentworth.

	PART OF	NE - PROJECT INFORMATI	ON - 2017-0	13 Wood Mea	adow Apartme	nts, Port V	Ventworth, Ch	atham Cour	nty		
	Please note:				and do not con tee and do contai			an be overwritt			- Project Nbr:
	May Final Revision	Yellow cells - DC									
I.	DCA RESOURCES	LIHTC (auto-filled from late	er entries)	\$	850,000		DCA HOME	(from Conse	nt Form)	\$	2,000,000
II.	TYPE OF APPLICATION	Competitive Round		>	Pre-Application	on Numbei	r (if applicable) -	use format 201	7PA-###	2017	7PA-008
				-	Have any char	nges occurr	red in the proje	ct since pre-	application?		No
	Was this project previously submitted to the	e Ga Department of Commu	nity Affairs?	No	If Yes, please	provide the	information re	quested belo	w for the prev	iously subm	itted project:
	Project Name previously used:	No					DCA Project			N/A	
	Has the Project Team changed?	No If No, what w	as the DCA (Qualification D	etermination fo	r the Team	in that review	Qualified w	/ Conditions	(i)	
III.	APPLICANT CONTACT FOR APPLICATION	ON REVIEW									
	Name	Allan Rappuhn						Title	Manager of	GP	
	Address	920 Florence Blvd.						Direct Line			
	City	Florence					_	Fax		(256) 767-5	
	State	AL		Zip+4	35630-			Cellular		(256) 335-4	.030
	Office Phone	(256) 760-9657		Ext.	102	E-mail	arappuhn@g	jatewaymgt.c	om		
	(Enter phone numbers without using hyphens, p	arentheses, etc - ex: 12345678	190)								
IV.	PROJECT LOCATION						<u>_</u>				
	Project Name	Wood Meadow Apartments	5				Phased Proje			No	
	Site Street Address (if known)	Coldbrook Station Circle					DCA Project				
	Nearest Physical Street Address *	7210 GA-21, Port Wentwor	th, GA 3140		1		Scattered Sit	te?	No	Nbr of Site	s 1
	Site Geo Coordinates (##.#####)	Latitude: 32.197057		Longitude: 9-digit Zip^^	-81.194913	0047	Acreage			16.0000	
	City	Port Wentworth		Ŭ '	31407-	9217		Census Trac		107.00	T _M .
	Site is predominantly located: In USDA Rural Area?	Within City Limits Yes In DCA Rur	ral County?	County No	Chatham	Rural		QCT? HUD SA:	No MSA	DDA?	No
			,		Overall:					Savannah	
	* If street number unknown	Congressional		Senate	State H		_	rified by appl	cant using fol http://zip4.us		
	Legislative Districts ** If on boundary, other district:	I		2	16		Zip Codes Legislative Distr	ioto.	http://votesmarl	•	weicome.jsp
	•	David Ward and the									
	Political Jurisdiction	Port Wentworth Glen Jones		Title	Moyor		Website	www.cityofp	ortwentworth.	com	
	Name of Chief Elected Official Address	305 South Coastal Highwa		Title	Mayor		City	Port Wentwo	orth		
	Zip+4	31407-2001	y Phone	1	(912) 964-4379				ofportwentwo	rth com	
V	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	31407-2001	FIIOHE		712) 704-4377		Liliali	gjonesecity	orportwerttwo	TUT.COTT	
٧.	A. Type of Construction:										
	New Construction	1	80	1	,	Adaptive Re	NICO:	Non-historic	0	Histori	ic 0
	Substantial Rehabilitation		0	-		listoric Reh		TVOITTIISTOTIC	U	1 1131011	0
	Acquisition/Rehabilitation		0				ion/Rehabilitat	ion, date of o	riginal constru	uction:	n/a
	1		-	_	•	-1		,	J		

	PART O	NE - PROJECT INFORMA	TION - 2017-013	3 Wood Mead	dow Apartm	ents, Port W	entworth, Ch	atham Coun	ty		
B. Mix	ed Use		No								
	t Breakdown			PBRA	D.	Unit Area					
Nu	nber of Low Income Units		72	0		Total Low Inc	come Resider	itial Unit Squa	re Footage		77,740
	Number of 50% Units		17	0			ricted (Market)		Jnit Square F	ootage	9,172
N.I.	Number of 60% Units		55	0			ntial Unit Squ	•			86,912
	nber of Unrestricted (Market) Units al Residential Units		8 80				on Space Unit Footage fron		age		0 86,912
	nmon Space Units		0			Total Square	t i oolage iron	i Uliits		l	00,712
	al Units		80								
E. Bu	Idings Number of Residential Bu	uildings	4			Total Commo	on Area Squa	re Footage fro	om Nonreside	ntial areas	2,480
	Number of Non-Resident	· ·	2							89,392	
	Total Number of Building	S	6								
	al Residential Parking Spaces		160			•	oning requiren er unit for seni		nimum 1.5 spa	aces per unit f	or family
	ICY CHARACTERISTICS					. , .		ioi projecis)			
A. Fai	nily or Senior (if Senior, specify Elderly	or HFOP)	Family			If Other, spec	-				
						If combining O Family or Sr, s		Family HFOP		Elderly Other	
B. Mo	oility Impaired	Nbr of Units Equipped:	4			% of Total Ur			5.0%	Required:	5%
	Roll-In Showers	Nbr of Units Equipped:	2				r the Mobility-	Impaired	50.0%	Required:	40%
C. Sig	ht / Hearing Impaired	Nbr of Units Equipped:	2			% of Total Ur	nits		2.5%	Required:	2%
VII. RENT	AND INCOME ELECTIONS										
A. Tax	Credit Election		40% of Units a	it 60% of AMI							
B. DC	A HOME Projects Minimum Set-A	Aside Requirement (Rent a	& Income)			20% of HO	ME-Assisted L	Jnits at 50% o	f AMI		Yes
VIII. SET A	SIDES										
A. LIF	TC:	Nonprofit	No								
B. HO	ME:	CHDO	No			(must be pre-qu	alified by DCA as	S CHDO)			
IX. COMP	ETITIVE POOL		Rural								
X. TAX E	KEMPT BOND FINANCED PROJE	CT									
Iss								Inducement I			
	ce Street Address		Civi	Г	7: 4			Applicable Q			
City	ntact Name		State		Zip+4		E-mail	T-E Bond \$ A	Allocated:		
	Digit Office Phone		Direct line			Website	L-IIIali				
	5					ı					

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County

XI. AWARD LIMITATIONS FOR CURRENT DCA COMPETITIVE ROU

The following sections apply to all direct and indirect Owners, Developers and Consultants (Entity and Principal):

A. Number of Applications Submitted:

1

B. Amount of Federal Tax Credits in All Applications:

850,000

C. Names of Projects in which an Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals has a direct or indirect Ownership interest:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Interest	-	Name of Project	Interest
Allan Rappuhn	Wood Meadow Apartments	Direct	7		
2			8		
3			9		
4			10		
5			11		
6			12		

D. Names of Projects in which the Owner, Developer and Consultant(s) and each of its principals is partnering with an inexperienced unrelated entity for purposes of meeting DCA Experience Requirements:

Project Participant	Name of Project	Project Participant	Name of Project	
1		7		
2		8		
3		9		
4		10		
5		11		
6		12		

XII.	PF	۲FS	ER۱	/ΔΤ	IOI

A.	Subseq	juent A	llocation

Year of Original Allocation

Original GHFA/DCA Project Number

First Year of Credit Period

Expiring Tax Credit (15 Year)

Date all buildings will complete 15 yr Compliance pd

B. Expiring Section 8

C. Expiring HUD

HUD funded affordable **non**public housing project

N	0	

No

First Building ID Nbr in Project Last Building ID Nbr in Project

GA-
GA-

No

No

HUD funded affordable public housing project

PART ONE - PROJECT INFORMATION - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County

XIII. A	ADDITIONAL PROJECT INF	ORMATION						
I	A. PHA Units							
		f a local public housing replaceme			No	OV at Tatal D		00/
	Number of Public Housing Nbr of Units Reserved and	Units reserved and rented to pub Rented to: PHA Tenants w/ PB			on Waiting List:		esidential Units esidential Units 09	0% % 0%
	Local PHA	Reflect to. Pha felialits W/ PB	KA:	Householus	on waiting List.	Contact		0 0 /0
	Street Address					Direct line		
	City			Zip+4		Cellular		
	Area Code / Phone			Email				
E	B. Existing properties: curr	ently an Extension of Cancellat	ion Option?	No	If yes, expiration year	:	Nbr yrs to forgo cancellation opt	ion:
	New properties: to exerc	cise an Extension of Cancellatio	n Option?	Yes	If yes, expiration year	2039	Nbr yrs to forgo cancellation opt	tion: 5
(C. Is there a Tenant Owners	ship Plan?		No				
[D. Is the Project Currently (Occupied?		No	If Yes>			
						Number Occ	•	
					d b DCA2	% Existing O	ccupied	
ŀ	E. waivers and/or Pre-Appi Amenities?	rovals - have the following waiv	ers and/or pre-app	No No	oproved by DCA?	Oualification	Determination?	Yes
	Architectural Standards?			No			d Performance Bond (HOME only)?	
		Site Analysis Packet or Feasibility	study?	No		Other (specif		No
	HOME Consent?			Yes			Boost (extraordinary circumstances	s) No
	Operating Expense?			No	If Yes, new Limit is			
	,	xtraordinary circumstances)?		No	If Yes, new Limit is		>;	
ŀ	F. Projected Place-In-Servi	ce Date						
	Acquisition Rehab							
	New Construction		March 1, 20)19				
XIV.	APPLICANT COMMENTS				XV.	DCA COMM	ENTS - DCA USE ONLY	
		e pre-application. Allan Rappuhn was			letters from			
the Sy	ndicator stating that all propertie	s are in good standing. Letters from S	syndicators are include	ed in tab 19.				

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

1	UMMEDCHID	INFORMATION
Ι.	UMMERSHIP	INFURINATION

A. OWNERSHIP ENTITY	Wood Meadow Apartments, LP				Name of Principal	Allan Rappuhn
Office Street Address	920 Florence Blvd.				Title of Principal	Manager of GP
City		Fed Tax ID:			Direct line	
State)-3731	Org Type:	For Profit	Cellular	(256) 335-4030
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 760-9657 102	E-mail	arappuhn@ga	atewaymgt.com		
(Enter phone nbrs w/out using hyphens	s, parentheses, etc - ex: 1234567890)			* Must be	verified by applicant usi	ing following website:
3. PROPOSED PARTNERSHIP INFORMA	ATION			http://zin/	usps.com/zip4/welcome.jsp	
1. GENERAL PARTNER(S)	or			<u> 11ttp://2104.</u>	usps.com/zip4/wcicomc.jsp	
a. Managing Gen'l Partner	Gateway Wood Meadow, LLC				Name of Principal	Allan Rappuhn
Office Street Address	920 Florence Blvd.				Title of Principal	Manager
City	Florence	Website			Direct line	a.iagei
State	AL	Zip+4	35630	-3731	Cellular	(256) 335-4030
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 760-9657 102	E-mail		atewaymgt.com	Collulai	(200) 000 1000
••	(200)	E man	[Name of Dringing	
b. Other General Partner					Name of Principal	
Office Street Address		\Mahaita	1		Title of Principal	
City		Website			Direct line	
State		Zip+4			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail				
c. Other General Partner					Name of Principal	
Office Street Address					Title of Principal	
City		Website			Direct line	
State		Zip+4			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail				
2. LIMITED PARTNERS (PROPOSED O	OR ACTUAL)					
a. Federal Limited Partner	Raymond James Tax Credit Equity	Funds, Inc.			Name of Principal	John Colvin
Office Street Address	2900 Highway 280, Suite 100				Title of Principal	VP of Acquisitions
City	Birmingham	Website	www.raymond		Direct line	(205) 874-4327
State	AL	Zip+4	35223	-2469	Cellular	(205) 516-3099
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(205) 874-4327	E-mail	john.colvin@r	aymondjames.com		
b. State Limited Partner	Raymond James Tax Credit Equity	Funds, Inc.			Name of Principal	John Colvin
Office Street Address	2900 Highway 280, Suite 100				Title of Principal	VP of Acquisitions
City	Birmingham	Website	www.raymono	djames.com	Direct line .	(205) 874-4327
State	AL	Zip+4	35223		Cellular	(205) 516-3099
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(205) 874-4327	E-mail	john.colvin@r	aymondjames.com		.,
3. NONPROFIT SPONSOR						
Nonprofit Sponsor					Name of Principal	
Office Street Address					Title of Principal	
City		Website			Direct line	
State		Zip+4			Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			Solidiai	•
10 Digit Cilion Finding Entitle						

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

		Workbook. Do Not copy Ironi at	IOTHEL MOLKE	book to raste field. Use raste spi	eciai aliu selecti valu	es insteau.
I.	DEVELOPER(S)					
	A. DEVELOPER	Gateway Development Corporation			Name of Principal	Allan Rappuhn
	Office Street Address	920 Florence Blvd.			Title of Principal	Chairman
	City	Florence	Website		Direct line	
	State	AL	Zip+4	35630-3731	Cellular	(256) 335-4030
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 760-9657 102	E-mail	arappuhn@gatewaymgt.com		
	B. CO-DEVELOPER 1				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail	-		
	C. CO-DEVELOPER 2				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail		Ociididi	
			L man		_	
	D. DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail			
II.	OTHER PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS					
	A. OWNERSHIP CONSULTANT				Name of Principal	
	Office Street Address				Title of Principal	
	City		Website		Direct line	
	State		Zip+4		Cellular	
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.		E-mail	-		
	B. GENERAL CONTRACTOR	Reed Construction Company, LLC			Name of Principal	J. Myles Reed
	Office Street Address	114 N. Hoyle Ave. #2			Title of Principal	Member
	City	Bay Minette	Website		Direct line	(251) 937-9098
	State	AL	Website	36507-4828	Cellular	(251) 689-3966
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(256) 937-9098	E-mail	myles@reedconstruct.com	Celiulai	(231) 007-3700
						Dandy Flags
	C. MANAGEMENT COMPANY Office Street Address	Gateway Management Company, L 22 Inverness Pkwy. Suite 22	.LU		Name of Principal Title of Principal	Randy Fleece President
	City	Birmingham	Website	www.thegatewaycompanies.com	Direct line	i resident
	State	Al	MEDSILE	35242-4818	Cellular	(205) 777-9765
	10-Digit Office Phone / Ext.	(205) 980-3245 205	E-mail	rfleece@gatewaymgt.com	CEIIUIAI	(203) 111-7103
	10-Digit Office Fliotie / Ext.	(200) 700-3240 203	L-IIIaII	mooce yatewaymyt.com		

				3 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wer		
	ab from t		another work	book to "Paste" here . Use "Paste Sp		
D. ATTORNEY		Coleman Talley			Name of Principal	Thomas Kurrie, Jr.
Office Street Address		910 N. Patterson St.			Title of Principal	Managing Partner
City		Valdosta	Website	www.colemantalley.com	Direct line	
State		GA	Zip+4	31601-4531	Cellular	(229) 548-4476
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.	(229) 671-8216	E-mail	tom.kurrie@colemantalley.com		
E. ACCOUNTANT		Frost, Cummings, Tidwell Group,	LLC		Name of Principal	Barry Tidwell
Office Street Address		2001 Park Place North, Suite 900)		Title of Principal	Managing Partner
City		Birmingham	Website	www.thefctgroup.com	Direct line .	
State		AL	Zip+4	35204-4803	Cellular	
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.	(205) 822-1010	E-mail	barry.tidwell@thefctgroup.com		
F. ARCHITECT		McKean & Associates, Architects,	. LLC		Name of Principal	Rory McKean
Office Street Address		2315 Eastchase Lane	, 223		Title of Principal	Manager
City		Montgomery	Website	N/A	Direct line	
State		AL	Zip+4	36117-7026	Cellular	(334) 546-1821
10-Digit Office Phone	/ Ext.	(334) 272-4044	E-mail	rmckean@mckeanarch.com		
IV. OTHER REQUIRED INFORM/	ATION (A	Answer each of the questions below	v for each par	rticipant listed below.)		
A. LAND SELLER (If applicable		Michelle S. Vine			10-Digit Phone / Ext.	
Office Street Address		2910 River Drive, #103E	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1.00	City	Savannah
State			04-5092	E-mail		
B. IDENTITY OF INTEREST						
	Yes/No	If Yes, explain relationship in boxes p	rovided below	, and use Comment box at bottom of this	s tab or attach additional r	pages as needed:
1. Developer and	No					
Contractor?						
2. Buyer and Seller of	No					
Land/Property?	i					
3. Owner and Contractor?	No					
3. Owner and Contractor:	INO					
4. Owner and Consultant?	No					
F. Cumdinator and	No					
5. Syndicator and	No					
Developer?						
6. Syndicator and	No					
Contractor?						
7. Developer and	No					
Consultant?						
O Othor	V/00	Cataway Dayalanmont Corporation, the dayala	anar has an iden!	tity of interest with Gateway Management Compar	ny LLC the management comm	agny Allan Dannuhn is the Chairman
8. Other		of Gateway Development Corporation, the development Corporation and the			ly, LLC, the management comp	any. Alian Kappunin is the Chairman
	ı Y	of Galeway Development Corporation and the	ivialiaging ivicinibe	er of dateway Management Company, LLC.		

PART TWO - DEVELOPMENT TEAM INFORMATION - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County

Do NOT delete this tab from this workbook. Do NOT Copy from another workbook to "Paste" here. Use "Paste Special" and select "Values" instead.

V. OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION - Continued (Answer each of the questions below for each participant listed below.)

C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Participant	 Has any person, principal, or agent for this e been convicted of a felony (Yes or No) 		2. Is entity a MBE/ WBE?	3. Org Type (FP,NP, CHDO)	4. Project Ownership Percentage	member,	5. Does this entity or a member of this entity have a conflict of interest with member, officer, or employee of an entity that partners or contracts with t Applicant? If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and use Comment bo		
]					the bottom of this tab or attach explanation.		
	If yes, explain briefly in boxes below and either use Comment box or attach explanation.	Yes/No				Yes/No	Brief Explanation		
Managing Genrl Prtnr		No	No	For Profit	0.0100%	Yes	Please see comments below		
Other Genrl Prtnr 1									
Other Genrl Prtnr 2									
Federal Ltd Partner		No	No	For Profit	99.9800%	No			
State Ltd Partner		No	No	For Profit	0.0100%	No			
NonProfit Sponsor									
Developer		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	Yes	Please see comments below		
Co- Developer 1									
Co- Developer 2									
Owner Consultant									
Developer Consultant									
Contractor		No	Yes	For Profit	0.0000%	No			
Managemen t Company		No	No	For Profit	0.0000%	Yes	Please see comments below		
				Total	100.0000%				

APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

The General Partner is Gateway Wood Meadow, LLC. Gateway Master GP, LLC and Gateway Holding, LLC are members of the General Partner. Allan Rappuhn is the Manager of all three entities. The Developer is Gateway Development Corporation, Allan Rappuhn is the Chairman and the Managemnet Company is Gateway Management Company, LLC. Allan Rappuhn is the Managing Member.

DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY

VI.

I. GOVERNMENT FUNDING SOURCES (check all that apply)

Yes	Tax Credits			No	FHA Risk Share	No	Georgia TCAP *		
No	Historic Rehab Credits			No	FHA Insured Mortgage	No	USDA 515		
No	Tax Exempt Bonds: \$			No	Replacement Housing Funds	No	USDA 538		
No	Taxable Bonds	_		No	McKinney-Vento Homeless	No	USDA PBRA		
No	CDBG			No	FHLB / AHP *	No	Section 8 PBRA		
No	HUD 811 Rental Assista	nce Demonstration (RAD)		No	NAHASDA	No	Other PBRA - Source:	Specify Other PBRA Source here	
Yes	DCA HOME * Amt \$	2,000,000		No	Neigborhood Stabilization Program *	No	National Housing Trust I	Fund	
No	Other HOME * Amt \$			No	HUD CHOICE Neighborhoods	No	Other Type of Funding - describe type/program here		
	Other HOME - Source	other HOME - Source Specify Other HOME Source here					Specify Administrator of Other Funding Type here		

^{*}This source may possibly trigger Uniform Relocation Act and/or HUD 104(d) regmts. Check with source. For DCA HOME, refer to Relocation Manual. DCA HOME amount from DCA Consent Ltr.

II. CONSTRUCTION FINANCING

Financing Type		Name of Financing Entity	Amount	Effective Interest Rate	Term (In Months)
Mortgage A		DCA HOME/TCAP Loan	2,000,000	0.000%	24
Mortgage B					
Mortgage C					
Federal Grant					
State, Local, or Private C	Grant				
Deferred Developer Fees	S				
Federal Housing Credit E	Equity	Raymond James Tax Credit Equity	5,938,696		
State Housing Credit Equ	uity	Raymond James Tax Credit Equity	2,983,500		
Other Type (specify)					
Other Type (specify)					
Other Type (specify)					
Total Construction Fina	ancing:		10,922,196		
Total Construction Period	d Costs from Development Budget:		10,922,196		
Surplus / (Shortage) of C	Construction funds to Construction costs:		0		

PERMANENT FINANCING

LINANCINO			Effective	Term	Amort.	Annual Debt Service in	
Financing Type	Name of Financing Entity	Principal Amount	Int Rate	(Years)	(Years)	Year One	Loan Type
Mortgage A (Lien Position 1)	DCA HOME Loan	2,000,000	1.000%	20	20	110,375	Amortizing
Mortgage B (Lien Position 2)							
Mortgage C (Lien Position 3)							
Other:							
Foundation or charity funding*							
Deferred Devlpr Fee 3.17%	Gateway Development Corporation	48,980	0.000%	5	5	9,796	Amortizing
Total Cash Flow for Years 1 - 15:	648,419						
DDF Percent of Cash Flow (Yrs 1-15)	7.554% 7.554%						
Cash flow covers DDF P&I?	Yes		_				
Federal Grant							
State, Local, or Private Grant				<u>Equity</u>	Check	<u>+ / -</u>	TC Equity
Federal Housing Credit Equity	Raymond James Tax Credit Equity	7,225,000		7,225	5,000	0.00	% of TDC
State Housing Credit Equity	Raymond James Tax Credit Equity	3,825,000		3,825	5,000	0.00	55%
Historic Credit Equity							29%
Invstmt Earnings: T-E Bonds							84%
Invstmt Earnings: Taxable Bonds							
Income from Operations							
Other:							
Other:							
Other:							
Total Permanent Financing:		13,098,980					
Total Development Costs from Dev	elopment Budget:	13,098,980					
•		_	ľ				
Surbius/(Snortage) of Permanent it	inds to development costs:	0					

IV. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY Allan Rappuhn received a DCA HOME consent for \$2,000,000. All commitment letters are included in the feasibility section.

I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET	тотл	AL COST	New Construction Basis	Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	Amortizable or Non-Depreciable Basis
PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS	<u> </u>		Dasis	PRE-DEVELOP	MENT COSTS	Dasis
Property Appraisal		8,000	8,000	T RE BEVELOT	WENT 00313	
Market Study		5,000	5,000			
Environmental Report(s)		7,500	7,500			
Soil Borings		5,000	5,000			
Boundary and Topographical Survey		10,000				10,000
Zoning/Site Plan Fees						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in						
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in						
	Subtotal	35,500	25,500	-	<u> </u>	10,000
ACQUISITION		000 000		ACQUI	SITION	000,000
Land Site Demolition		800,000				800,000
Site Demolition						
Acquisition Legal Fees (if existing structures) Existing Structures						
Existing Structures	Subtotal	800,000				800,000
LAND IMPROVEMENTS	Subtotal	000,000		LAND IMPR	OVEMENTS	000,000
	er acre: 75,000	1,200,000	1,200,000	E) (IVE IVII IV	VEINEIVIO	
Site Construction (Off-site)		,,	1 22,722			
(* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Subtotal	1,200,000	1,200,000	-	-	-
STRUCTURES				STRUC	TURES	
Residential Structures - New Construction		6,425,000	6,425,000			
Residential Structures - Rehab						
Accessory Structures (ie. community bldg, maintenance bld						
Accessory Structures (ie. community bldg, maintenance bld	9 ,					
00177407070707070	Subtotal	6,425,000	6,425,000	-	-	-
CONTRACTOR SERVICES Builder Profit: CONTRACTOR SERVICES DCA Limit 6.000% 457,500	14.000% 6.000%	4E7 E00	457 500	CONTRACTO	R SERVICES	
Builder Profit: 6.000% 457,500 Builder Overhead 2.000% 152,500		457,500 152,500	457,500 156,800			
General Requirements* 6.000% 457,500		457,500	457,500			
*See QAP: General Requirements policy 14.000% 1,067,50		1,067,500	1,071,800	_	_	_
			<u> </u>		on CC work soons it	tems done by Owner)
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS (Non-GC work scope Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in			THER CONSTRUCT	ION HARD COSTS (N	on-GC work scope ii	lems done by Owner)
	<u> </u>					
$\underline{\underline{I}}$ otal $\underline{\underline{C}}$ onstruction $\underline{\underline{H}}$ and $\underline{\underline{C}}$ osts Average TO	CHC: 108,656.25 per <u>Res</u>		108,656.25	per unit	97.24	per total sq ft
8,692,500.00	100.01 per <u>Res</u>	<u>'l</u> unit SF	100.01	per unit sq ft		
CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY				CONSTRUCTION	CONTINGENCY	
Construction Contingency	4.95%	430,000	446,880			

I. DEVELOPMENT BUDGET (cont'd)		New	Acquisition Rehabilitation	Amortizable or
	TOTAL COST	Construction Basis	Basis Basis	Non-Depreciable Basis
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		Dasis	CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCIN	
Bridge Loan Fee			CONSTRUCTION LEGISTIMANON	
Bridge Loan Interest				
Construction Loan Fee				
Construction Loan Interest				
Construction Legal Fees	25,000	25,000		
Construction Period Inspection Fees				
Construction Period Real Estate Tax	15,000	15,000		
Construction Insurance	21,000	21,000		
Title and Recording Fees	,	,		
Payment and Performance bonds	83,944	83,944		
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>				
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>				
Subto	tal 144,944	144,944	-	-
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES			PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	
Architectural Fee - Design	148,000	148,000		
Architectural Fee - Supervision	52,000	52,000		
Green Building Consultant Fee Max: 20,000	10,000	10,000		
Green Building Program Certification Fee (LEED or Earthcraft)	10,000	10,000		
Accessibility Inspections and Plan Review	10,000	10,000		
Construction Materials Testing				
Engineering	90,000	90,000		
Real Estate Attorney	40,000	40,000		
Accounting	18,000	18,000		
As-Built Survey	12,000	12,000		
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		202.000		
Subto	<i>tal</i> 390,000	390,000		-
LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES Avg per unit: 5,502	25.000	25,000	LOCAL GOVERNMENT FEES	
Building Permits	35,000	35,000		
Impact Fees	202,590	202,590		
Water Tap Fees waived? No Sewer Tap Fees waived? No	202,590	202,590		
Sewer Tap Fees waived? No Subto		440,180		
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES	tal 440,100	440,100	PERMANENT FINANCING FEES	
Permanent Loan Fees	20,000		I ERMANENT I INANCINOTEES	20,000
Permanent Loan Legal Fees	30,000			30,000
Title and Recording Fees	60,000			72,044
Bond Issuance Premium	00,000			121017
Cost of Issuance / Underwriter's Discount				
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>				
Subto	tal 110,000			122,044
Cubic	-,			, , , , , ,

Tax Credit Application Fee (\$6500 ForProf/JntVent, \$5500 NonProf) DCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee 64,000 CA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000) DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000) DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000) Other: <enter &="" description="" detail="" here:="" in="" iv-b"="" justification="" part="" provide="" tab=""> CUITY COSTS EQUITY COSTS Partnership Organization Fee Tax Credit Legal Opinion Syndicator Legal Fees Other: <enter &="" description="" detail="" here:="" in="" iv-b"="" justification="" part="" provide="" tab=""> Subtotal DEVELOPER'S FEE Developer'S FURDED Consultant's Fee Guarantor Fees 0,000% Developer's Profit 80,000% 1,234,287 Subtotal Subtotal Start-UP AND RESERVES Marketing 35,000 6,500 6,600 6,000 6,40</enter></enter>	1,000 6,500 68,000 64,000 39,500
DCA HOME Loan Pre-Application Fee (\$1000 FP/JV, \$500 NP)	6,500 68,000 64,000 39,500
Tax Credit Application Fee (\$6500 ForProf/JntVent, \$5500 NonProf) DCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee 64,000 CA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000) DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000) DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000) Other: <enter &="" description="" detail="" here:="" in="" iv-b"="" justification="" part="" provide="" tab=""> CUITY COSTS EQUITY COSTS Partnership Organization Fee Tax Credit Legal Opinion Syndicator Legal Fees Other: <enter &="" description="" detail="" here:="" in="" iv-b"="" justification="" part="" provide="" tab=""> Subtotal DEVELOPER'S FEE Developer'S FURDED Consultant's Fee Guarantor Fees 0,000% Developer's Profit 80,000% 1,234,287 Subtotal Subtotal Start-UP AND RESERVES Marketing 35,000 6,500 6,600 6,000 6,40</enter></enter>	6,500 68,000 64,000 39,500
DCA Waiver and Pre-approval Fees	68,000 64,000 39,500
LIHTC Allocation Processing Fee 68,000 68,000 LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee 64,000 64,000 DCA HoME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000) 56 DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000) 50 Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> 50 COUITY COSTS EQUITY COSTS Partnership Organization Fees 500 Tax Credit Legal Opinion 2,000 Syndicator Legal Fees 500 Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b > DEVELOPER'S FEE 500 Developer'S Overhead 20,000% Consultant's Fee 0,000% Guarantor Fees 0,000% Developer's Profit 80,000% 1,234,287 Developer's Profit 80,000% 1,542,859 START-UP AND RESERVES START-UP AND RESERVES	39,500
LIHTC Compliance Monitoring Fee 64,000 64,000 DCA Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000) DCA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000) Other: « Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> COUITY COSTS EQUITY COSTS EQUITY COSTS Fartnership Organization Fees Tax Credit Legal Opinion Syndicator Legal Fees Other: « Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> DEVELOPER'S FEE Developer's Overhead Consultant's Fee Quarantor Fees Developer's Profit Subtotal Subtotal Start-UP AND RESERVES Marketing 64,000 64,	39,500
DCA HOME Front End Analysis Fee (when ID of Interest; \$3000) COA Final Inspection Fee (Tax Credit only - no HOME; \$3000) Other: <	39,500
Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> CHORD Subtoal 139,500 EQUITY COSTS Partnership Organization Fees 500 Tax Credit Legal Opinion Syndicator Legal Fees Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> DEVELOPER'S FEE Developer's Overhead 20,000% 308,572 Consultant's Fee 0,000% Guarantor Fees 0,000% Developer's Profit 80,000% 1,234,287 Subtoal 1,542,859 START-UP AND RESERVES Marketing Subtral Subtral Subtral States Stat	500
Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Control of Equity Costs EQUITY Costs Fartnership Organization Fees Tax Credit Legal Opinion Syndicator Legal Fees Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> EQUITY Costs Equity	500
Other: <enter &="" description="" detail="" here;="" in="" iv-b="" justification="" part="" provide="" tab="">> EQUITY COSTS Partnership Organization Fees Tax Credit Legal Opinion Tax Credit Legal Opinion Syndicator Legal Fees Other: <enter &="" description="" detail="" here;="" in="" iv-b="" justification="" part="" provide="" tab="">> EVELOPER'S FEE Developer's Overhead 20,000% Guarantor Fees Oeveloper's Profit 80,000% Subtotal 1,542,859 Tax T-UP AND RESERVES EQUITY COSTS EQUITY COSTS EQUITY COSTS EQUITY COSTS DEVELOPER'S DEVELOPER'S 1,234,287 1,234,287 1,234,287 1,542,859 Tax T-UP AND RESERVES START-UP AND RESERVES 335,000 START-UP AND RESERVES START-UP AND RESERVES</enter></enter>	500
Subtotal 139,500 139	500
EQUITY COSTS Partnership Organization Fees 500 Tax Credit Legal Opinion 2,000 Syndicator Legal Fees	500
Partnership Organization Fees 500 Tax Credit Legal Opinion 2,000 Syndicator Legal Fees ————————————————————————————————————	
Tax Credit Legal Opinion 2,000 Syndicator Legal Fees 2,000 Other: ≪ Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> 2,500 DEVELOPER'S FEE DEVELOPER'S FEE Developer's Overhead 20,000% 308,572 Consultant's Fee 0,000% 308,572 Guarantor Fees 0,000% 1,234,287 Developer's Profit 80,000% 1,542,859 Subtotal 1,542,859 1,542,859 START-UP AND RESERVES START-UP AND RESERVES	
Syndicator Legal Fees Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Z Subtotal 2,500 DEVELOPER'S FEE Developer's Overhead 20.000% 308,572 308	
Other: < Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Subtotal 2,500 2 DEVELOPER'S FEE DEVELOPER'S FEE Developer's Overhead 20,000% 308,572<	2,000
Subtotal 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500 2,500	
DEVELOPER'S FEE DEVELOPER'S FEE Developer's Overhead 20.000% 308,572 308,572 308,572 Consultant's Fee 0.000% - - - Guarantor Fees 0.000% 1,234,287 1,234,287 - - Developer's Profit 80.000% 1,542,859 1,542,859 - - - START-UP AND RESERVES 35,000 35	
Developer's Overhead 20.000% 308,572 <td>2,500</td>	2,500
Consultant's Fee 0.000% Guarantor Fees 0.000% Developer's Profit 80.000% 1,234,287 Subtotal 1,542,859 1,542,859 START-UP AND RESERVES START-UP AND RESERVES Marketing 35,000 35	
Guarantor Fees 0.000% 1,234,287 1,234,287 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 1,234,287 0.000%	
Developer's Profit 80.000% 1,234,287 1,234,287 1,234,287 -	
Subtotal 1,542,859 1,542,859 - <td></td>	
Subtotal 1,542,859 1,542,859	
Marketing 35,000 35	-
	35,000
Rent-Up Reserves 81,937 81,937	81,937
Operating Deficit Reserve: 219,060 219,060 219,060	219,060
Replacement Reserve	
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Proposed Avg Per Unit: 438 35,000 35,000	
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>	
Subtotal 370,997 35,000 - 335	35,997
OTHER COSTS OTHER COSTS	
Relocation	
Other: << Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>	
Subtotal	-
	10,041
Average TDC Per: Unit: 163,737.25 Square Foot: 146.53	

Amount of federal grant(s) used to finance qualifying development costs Amount of nonqualified nonrecourse financing Costs of Nonqualifying units of higher quality Nonqualifying excess portion of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) Other <enter comments="" description="" detailed="" here:="" if="" needed="" section="" use=""> O Total Subtractions From Basis: Eligible Basis Calculation Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Calculation Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Valled Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Valled Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation IT TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - GAP METHOD Equity Gap Calculation Project Qost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation Funding: explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Funding Amount Validation or charitable organization to cover the code exceeding the PCL: Funding Amount Validation or charitable organization to cover the code exceeding the PCL: Funding Amount Validation or charitable organization to cover the code exceeding the PCL: Funding Amount Validation or the state of the Code of Funds Funding Amount Validation or charitable organization to cover the code exceeding the PCL: Funding Amount Validation or the state of the Code of Funds Funding Amount Validation or charitable organization to cover the code exceeding the PCL: Funding Amount Validation or charitable organization to cover the code exceeding the PCL: Funding Amount Validation or charitable organization to cover the code exceeding the PCL: Validation or Charitable organization to cover the code exceeding the PCL: Validation or Charitable organization to cover the code exceeding the PCL: Validation</enter>	II. TAX CREDIT CALCULATION - BASIS METHOD Subtractions From Eligible Basis	New Construction Basis	4% Acquisition Basis	Rehabilitation Basis	
Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Adjusted Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Type: <select>> 100.00% Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount Total Basis Method Tax Credit Calculation Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL. Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds 11,722,163 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 17,722,163 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 17,722,163 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 17,722,163 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 17,722,163 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 17,722,163 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 17,722,163 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</select>	Amount of nonqualified nonrecourse financing Costs of Nonqualifying units of higher quality Nonqualifying excess portion of higher quality units Historic Tax Credits (Residential Portion Only) Other < Enter detailed description here; use Comments section if needed>	0 0 0 0 0		0	
Equity Gap Calculation Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL. Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds 15,026,360 15 TDC > QAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding from foundation or charitable organization to cover the cost exceeding the PCL: 13,098,980 16 TDC > QAP Total PCL, provide amount of funding from foundation or charitable organization to cover the cost exceeding the PCL: 13,098,980 2,000,000	Total Basis Less Total Subtractions From Basis (see above) Total Eligible Basis Eligible Basis Adjustment (DDA/QCT Location or State Designated Boost) Adjusted Eligible Basis Multiply Adjusted Eligible Basis by Applicable Fraction Qualified Basis Multiply Qualified Basis by Applicable Credit Percentage Maximum Tax Credit Amount	0 11,722,163 100.00% 11,722,163 89.45% 10,485,099 9.00%	0 89.45% 0	0 0 0 89.45%	
Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution) Total Gap Method Tax Credit Calculation 7 10 1,109,898 Federal State 0.8500 + 0.4500 853,768	Equity Gap Calculation Project Cost Limit (PCL) - Explain in Comments if Applicant's PCL calculation > QAP PCL. Total Development Cost (TDC, PCL, or TDC less Foundation Funding; explain in Comments if TDC > PCL) Subtract Non-LIHTC (excluding deferred fee) Source of Funds Equity Gap Divide Equity Gap by 10 Annual Equity Required Enter Final Federal and State Equity Factors (not including GP contribution)	13,098,980 2,000,000 11,098,980 / 10 1,109,898 1.3000	from foundation or charitate cost exceed Funding Amount Federal	ole organization to cover the ding the PCL: 0 State	Historic Designation, indicate below (Y/N):
TAX CREDIT PROJECT MAXIMUM - Lower of Basis Method, Gap Method or DCA Limit: 850,000	· ·				
TAX CREDIT REQUEST - Cannot exceed Tax Credit Project Maximum, but may be lower: 850,000 IV. TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION - Lower of Tax Credit Request and Tax Credit Project Maximum 850,000		· ·			

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS	VI.	DCA COMMENTS - DCA USE ONLY
Hard cost estimates were provided by our General Contractor, Reed Construction Company, LLC. These estimates were based on similar type projects completed by Reed Construction Company.		

PART FOUR (b) - OTHER COSTS - 2017-013 - Wood Meadow Apartments - Port Wentworth - Chatham, County

DCA requires the Applicant provide a narrative for all "Other" development costs listed on Part IV-Uses of Funds. For any amounts shown below the Applicant needs to provide an explanation of the cost incurred by the development. The narrative should be a brief description for DCA intended to justify its inclusion as a valid development cost. Further, if the "Other" cost is included into eligible basis, a second narrative is required describing its justification into tax credit basis. Expand row size as needed to show text.

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PRE-DEVELOPMENT COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
OTHER CONSTRUCTION HARD COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD FINANCING		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >> Total Cost - Total Basis		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		

Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
PERMANENT FINANCING FEES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
DCA-RELATED COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		
EQUITY COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost -		

2017 Funding Application

Housing Finance and Development Division

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item

Description/Nature of Cost

Basis Justification

DEVELOPMENT COST SCHEDULE Section Name Section's Other Line Item	Description/Nature of Cost	Basis Justification
START-UP AND RESERVES		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost - Total Basis -		
OTHER COSTS		
<< Enter description here; provide detail & justification in tab Part IV-b >>		
Total Cost Total Basis		

PART FIVE - UTILITY ALLOWANCES - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County

DCA Utility Region for project: South

Note: Elderly allowances cannot be used except at properties that have 100% HUD PBRA and satisfy the DCA definition of "elderly"

UTILITY ALLOWANCE SCHEDULE #1			of Utility Allowances		ECI Energy Consumption Model					
			Jtility Allowances	March 30, 20	017	Structure	2-Story Wal	kup		
		Paid B	(check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility	Allowances b	y Unit Size (# Bdrms)		
Utility	Fuel	Tenan	t Owner	Efficiency	1	2	3	4		
Heat	Electric	Х			69	81	94			
Cooking	Electric	Х								
Hot Water	Electric	Х								
Air Conditioning	Electric	Х								
Range/Microwave	Electric	X								
Refrigerator	Electric	X								
Other Electric	Electric	X								
Water & Sewer	Submetered*? Ye	es X			48	57	59			
Refuse Collection			X							
Total Utility Allowar	nce by Unit Size			0	117	138	153	0		
UTILITY ALLOWAN	CE SCHEDULE #2	Date of I	of Utility Allowances Utility Allowances		D-1114TF	Structure		# D I		
		Date of I	Jtility Allowances (check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility	Structure Allowances b	 by Unit Size (# Bdrms)		
Utility	Fuel	Date of I	Jtility Allowances (check one)		Paid Utility		by Unit Size (# Bdrms) 4		
Utility Heat	Fuel < <select fuel="">></select>	Date of I	Jtility Allowances (check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility 1	⊐ Allowances b	-			
Utility Heat Cooking	Fuel <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">></select></select>	Date of I	Jtility Allowances (check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility 1	⊐ Allowances b	-			
Utility Heat Cooking Hot Water	Fuel <select fuel="">> <select fuel="" puel="">> <select fuel="" p<="" puel="" td=""><td>Date of I</td><td>Jtility Allowances (check one)</td><td>Tenant-</td><td>Paid Utility 1</td><td>⊐ Allowances b</td><td>-</td><td></td></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select></select>	Date of I	Jtility Allowances (check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility 1	⊐ Allowances b	-			
Utility Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning	Fuel <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric</select></select></select>	Date of I	Jtility Allowances (check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility 1	⊐ Allowances b	-			
Utility Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave	Fuel <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric</select></select></select>	Date of I	Jtility Allowances (check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility 1	⊐ Allowances b	-			
Utility Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator	Fuel <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	Date of I	Jtility Allowances (check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility 1	⊐ Allowances b	-			
Utility Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric	Fuel <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	Paid B	Jtility Allowances (check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility 1	⊐ Allowances b	-			
Utility Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric Water & Sewer	Fuel <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric</select></select></select>	Paid B	Jtility Allowances (check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility 1	⊐ Allowances b	-			
Utility Heat Cooking Hot Water Air Conditioning Range/Microwave Refrigerator Other Electric	Fuel <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> <select fuel="">> Electric Electric Electric Electric Submetered*? <selected< td=""><td>Paid B</td><td>Jtility Allowances (check one)</td><td>Tenant-</td><td>Paid Utility 1</td><td>⊐ Allowances b</td><td>-</td><td></td></selected<></select></select></select>	Paid B	Jtility Allowances (check one)	Tenant-	Paid Utility 1	⊐ Allowances b	-			

DCA COMMENTS

2017 Funding Application

PART SIX - PROJECTED REVENUES & EXPENSES - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County

I. RENT SCHEDULE Do NOT cut, copy or paste cells in this tab. Complete ALL columns. For Common Space (non-income producing) units, select "N/A-CS" for Rent Type and "Common Space" for Employee Unit.

HOME proje					Floating		Utility	PBRA			MSA/NonMS	SA:	AMI	Certifie
Are 100% o	f units H	UD PBR	A?	No	Max	Pro-posed	Allowance	Provider or			Savannah		63,500	Historio
					Gross	•	(UA Sched 1 UA, so							Deeme
Rent	Nbr of	No. of	Unit	Unit	Rent	Gross	over-write if UA	Subsidy ***		Net Rent	Employee	Building	Type of	Historic
Туре	Bdrms	Baths	Count	Area	Limit	Rent	Sched 2 used)	(See note below)	Per Unit	Total	Unit	Design Type	Activity	(See QAI
50% AMI	1	1.0	8	817	595	542	117		425	3,400	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
50% AMI	2	2.0	7	1,056	715	638	138		500	3,500	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
50% AMI	3	2.0	2	1,237	825	728	153		575	1,150	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
60% AMI	2	2.0	37	1,056	858	688	138		550	20,350	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
60% AMI	3	2.0	18	1,237	990	778	153		625	11,250	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
Jnrestricted	2	2.0	4	1,056	738	738	138		600	2,400	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
Jnrestricted	3	2.0	4	1,237	828	828	153		675	2,700	No	2-Story Walkup	New Construction	No
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
< <select>></select>							0		0	0				
~~361601>>		TOTAL	80	96 042			U	MONIT	THLY TOTAL	44,750				
		IOTAL	δU	86,912					UAL TOTAL	537,000	1			

^{***} NOTE: When selecting "PHA Operating Subsidy" in the "PBRA Provider or Operating Subsidy" column above, please also then enter a zero in the "Proposed Gross Rent" column above AND include the PHA operating subsidy amount in the "III. Ancillary and Other Income" section below. Also refer to the Application Instructions provided separately.

II. UNIT SUMMARY

	ıt	

NOTE TO	Low-Income		60% AMI 50% AMI
APPLICANTS : If the numbers compiled in this Summary	Unrestricted Total Residential Common Space Total		Total
do not appear to match what was entered	PBRA-Assisted (included in LI above) PHA Operating S		60% AMI 50% AMI Total
in the Rent Chart above, please verify	Assisted (included in LI above)		50% AMI Total
that all applicable columns	Type of Construction Activity	New Construction	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS
were completed in	,	Acq/Rehab	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS
the rows used in the Rent Chart		Substantial Rehab Only	Low Inc Unrestricted Total + CS
above.		Adaptive Reuse Historic Adaptive Reuse	
		Historic	
	Building Type: (for <i>Utility</i> <i>Allowance</i> and other purposes)	Multifamily	1-Story Historic 2-Story Historic 2-Story Wlkp Historic 3+-Story Historic
		SF Detached	Historic
		Townhome	Historic
		Duplex Manufactured home	Historic
		mandactured nome	Historic

Efficiency	1BR	2BR	3BR	4BR	Total	
0	0	37	18	0	55	(Included increase mar
0	8	7	2	0	17	(Includes inc-restr mgr units)
0	8	44	20	0	72	uriits)
0	0	4	4	0	8	
0	8	48	24	0	80	
0	0	0	0	0		(no rent charged)
0	8	48	24	0	80	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	8	44	20	0	72	
0	0	4	4	0	8	
0	8	48	24	0	80	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
					0	
					0	-
0	0	0	0	0	0	_
0	8	48	24	0	80	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	8	48	24	0	80	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

Georgia Department of Community Affairs					2017 F	unding App	ding Application Housing Finance and Developme				and Development D	Division
	Building Type:	Detached / SemiDe	tached		Ī	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	(for Cost Limit			Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
	purposes)	Row House				0	0	0	0	0	0	
				Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Walkup				0	8	48	24	0	80	
				Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Elevator				0	0	0	0	0	0	
				Historic		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unit Squar	e Footage:			000/ 414		0.1	0.1	00.070	00.000		04.000	
	Low Income			60% AMI		0	0 500	39,072	22,266	0	61,338	
				50% AMI		0	6,536	7,392	2,474	0	16,402	
	l loro otrioto d			Total		0	6,536	46,464	24,740	0	77,740	
	Unrestricted	ı					6,536	4,224 50,688	4,948 29,688	0	9,172 86,912	
	Total Residentia					0				0	00,912	
	Common Space Total					0	6,536	0 50,688	0 29,688	0	86,912	
LANCHLAD		NCOME (applied a	mountal			U	0,330	30,000	29,000	0	00,912	
		NCOME (annual a	imounts)									
Ancillary Inc					10,740		Laundry, ven	ding, app fees, e	etc. Actual pc	of PGI:	2.00%	
Other Incom Included in	ne (OI) by Year:		4	2	•	4	_	c	7		0	40
			1	2	3	4	5	6		8	9	10
Operating Su Other:	ibsidy											
Other.	Total OI in Mgt Fe	ρ	_	_	-	_	_	_	-		_	-
NOT Include	ed in Mgt Fee:	•									ı	
Property Tax												
Other:												
	Total OI NOT in M	gt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in	Mgt Fee:		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Operating Su	ubsidy											
Other:												
NOT	Total OI in Mgt Fe	е	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ed in Mgt Fee:											
Property Tax Other:	Abatement											
Other.	Total OI NOT in M	at Fee	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	-
Included in		grroc	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Operating Su					23	2-7	23	20	<u> </u>	20		30
Other:	ibolay											
	Total OI in Mgt Fe	e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NOT Include	ed in Mgt Fee:			•								
Property Tax	Abatement											
Other:												
	Total OI NOT in M	gt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Included in			31	32	33	34	35	-				
	ubsidy											
Operating Su												
Operating Su Other:	T							1				
Other:	Total OI in Mgt Fe	е	-	-	-	-	-					
Other: NOT Include	ed in Mgt Fee:	е	-	-	-	-		l I				
Other:	ed in Mgt Fee:	е	-	-	-	-	-					

IV. ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BUDGET

ıv.	ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSE BO	DUGET
	On-Site Staff Costs	
	Management Salaries & Benefits	40,000
	Maintenance Salaries & Benefits	15,000
	Support Services Salaries & Benefits	
	Other (describe here)	5,500
	Subtotal	60,500
	On-Site Office Costs	
	Office Supplies & Postage	2,000
	Telephone	2,000
	Travel	2,000
	Leased Furniture / Equipment	
	Activities Supplies / Overhead Cost	
	Other (describe here)	
	Subtotal	6,000
	Maintenance Expenses	
	Contracted Repairs	9,600
	General Repairs	9,600
	Grounds Maintenance	9,600
	Extermination	9,600
	Maintenance Supplies	9,600
	Elevator Maintenance	
	Redecorating	8,800
	Other (describe here)	

On-Site Security	
Contracted Guard	
Electronic Alarm System	
Subtotal	0

Professional Services	
Legal	2,000
Accounting	7,000
Advertising	2,000
Other (describe here)	
Subtotal	11,000

Utilities	(Avg\$/mth/unit)	
Electricity	16	15,000
Natural Gas	0	
Water&Swr	35	33,250
Trash Collec	tion	15,000
Other (describe	here)	
	Subtotal	63,250

VI.

Taxes and Insurance

Subtotal	94 250
Other (describe here)	
Insurance**	35,750
Real Estate Taxes (Gross)*	48,600

Management Fee:

Average per unit per year51.35 Average per unit per month

(Mgt Fee - see Pro Forma, Sect 1, Operating Assumptions)

TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES 327,746

Average per unit 4,096.83

Total OE Required 280,000

 Replacement Reserve (RR)
 20,000

 Proposed averaga RR/unit amount:
 250

Proposed a	veraga R	R/unit amount:	250							
Minimum Replacement Reserve Calculation										
Unit Type		Units x RR Min	Total by Type							
Multifamily										
Rehab		0 units $x $350 =$	0							
New Constr		80 units x \$250 =	20,000							
SF or Duplex		0 units x \$420 =	0							
Historic Rhb		0 units x \$420 =	0							
	Totals	80	20,000							

TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES

347,746

45,846

V. APPLICANT COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

Subtotal

Real estate taxes were calculated assuming a tax value of \$45,000 per unit or \$3,600,000. Using this tax value with a 40% assessment rate and a mill rate of 33.746(City and County), we have estimated property taxes to be \$48,600.

56,800

Insurance Premiums were calculated using an assumed tax value of \$8,937,600 (the amount of the construction contract) X .0040 (the average insurance rate across our portfolio) = \$35,750

DCA COMMENTS

	PART SEVEN	N - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County	
I. OPERATING ASSUM	IPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritted.	en if needed.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total 2,500 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: charged by all lenders/investors)	-0.49%
Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Lo Ancillary Income Limit	3.00% oss 7.00% 2.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Percent of Effective Gross Income Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: > If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: > If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	9.00% 45,846

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Revenues	537,000	547,740	558,695	569,869	581,266	592,891	604,749	616,844	629,181	641,765
Ancillary Income	10,740	10,955	11,174	11,397	11,625	11,858	12,095	12,337	12,584	12,835
Vacancy	(38,342)	(39,109)	(39,891)	(40,689)	(41,502)	(42,332)	(43,179)	(44,043)	(44,924)	(45,822)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(281,900)	(290,357)	(299,068)	(308,040)	(317,281)	(326,799)	(336,603)	(346,701)	(357,102)	(367,816)
Property Mgmt	(45,846)	(47,221)	(48,638)	(50,097)	(51,600)	(53,148)	(54,743)	(56,385)	(58,076)	(59,819)
Reserves	(20,000)	(20,600)	(21,218)	(21,855)	(22,510)	(23,185)	(23,881)	(24,597)	(25,335)	(26,095)
NOI	161,652	161,408	161,054	160,586	159,998	159,284	158,438	157,454	156,327	155,048
Mortgage A	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)
Cash Flow	48,778	48,534	48,180	47,712	47,123	46,409	45,563	44,580	43,453	42,173
DCR Mortgage A	1.46	1.46	1.46	1.45	1.45	1.44	1.44	1.43	1.42	1.40
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.46	1.46	1.46	1.45	1.45	1.44	1.44	1.43	1.42	1.40
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.46	1.45	1.44	1.42	1.41	1.40	1.38	1.37	1.35	1.34
Mortgage A Balance	1,909,210	1,817,508	1,724,885	1,631,331	1,536,837	1,441,394	1,344,993	1,247,622	1,149,274	1,049,938
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PART SEVEN - O	PERATING PR	RO FORMA - 2	2017-013 Woo	d Meadow Apa	rtments, Port	Wentworth, C	hatham Count	ty	
I. OPERATING ASSUM	MPTIONS	P	lease Note:	Gi	een-shaded cells ar	e unlocked for your	use and contain ref	erences/formulas th	at may be overwrit	ten if needed.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00%		sset Managem	ent Fee Amour	t (include total	2,500	Yr 1 Asset M	gt Fee Percent	age of EGI:	-0.49%
Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Lo	3.00%	Р		e Growth Rate wth Rate (3.00	`	Yes -	Yr 1 Prop Mg	gt Fee Percenta ate Yr 1 Mgt Fe		9.00% 45,846
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%		•	fective Gross Ir	· -		-> If Yes, indica	_		
II. OPERATING PRO F	FORMA									
Year	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Revenues	654,600	667,692	681,046	694,667	708,560	722,731	737,186	751,930	766,968	782,308

Year	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Revenues	654,600	667,692	681,046	694,667	708,560	722,731	737,186	751,930	766,968	782,308
Ancillary Income	13,092	13,354	13,621	13,893	14,171	14,455	14,744	15,039	15,339	15,646
Vacancy	(46,738)	(47,673)	(48,627)	(49,599)	(50,591)	(51,603)	(52,635)	(53,688)	(54,762)	(55,857)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OI Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(378,850)	(390,216)	(401,922)	(413,980)	(426,399)	(439,191)	(452,367)	(465,938)	(479,916)	(494,313)
Property Mgmt	(61,613)	(63,462)	(65,365)	(67,326)	(69,346)	(71,427)	(73,569)	(75,776)	(78,050)	(80,391)
Reserves	(26,878)	(27,685)	(28,515)	(29,371)	(30,252)	(31,159)	(32,094)	(33,057)	(34,049)	(35,070)
NOI	153,612	152,010	150,238	148,285	146,143	143,806	141,265	138,510	135,532	132,323
Mortgage A	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)
Cash Flow	40,738	39,136	37,363	35,410	33,269	30,931	28,390	25,635	22,657	19,448
DCR Mortgage A	1.39	1.38	1.36	1.34	1.32	1.30	1.28	1.25	1.23	1.20
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.39	1.38	1.36	1.34	1.32	1.30	1.28	1.25	1.23	1.20
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.33	1.32	1.30	1.29	1.28	1.27	1.25	1.24	1.23	1.22
Mortgage A Balance	949,603	848,261	745,901	642,512	538,085	432,608	326,073	218,466	109,779	0
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

	PART SEVEN	- OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apar	rtments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County	
I. OPERATING ASSUM	IPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are	unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwr	itten if needed.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth	2.00% 3.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors)	2,500 Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:	-0.49%
Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Lo Ancillary Income Limit	3.00% oss 7.00% 2.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Percent of Effective Gross Income	Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yes> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: No> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	9.00% 45,846

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Revenues	797,954	813,913	830,191	846,795	863,731	881,005	898,626	916,598	934,930	953,629
Ancillary Income	15,959	16,278	16,604	16,936	17,275	17,620	17,973	18,332	18,699	19,073
Vacancy	(56,974)	(58,113)	(59,276)	(60,461)	(61,670)	(62,904)	(64,162)	(65,445)	(66,754)	(68,089)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ol Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(509,143)	(524,417)	(540,150)	(556,354)	(573,045)	(590,236)	(607,943)	(626,181)	(644,967)	(664,316)
Property Mgmt	(82,803)	(85,287)	(87,846)	(90,481)	(93,195)	(95,991)	(98,871)	(101,837)	(104,892)	(108,039)
Reserves	(36,122)	(37,206)	(38,322)	(39,472)	(40,656)	(41,876)	(43,132)	(44,426)	(45,759)	(47,131)
NOI	128,871	125,168	121,202	116,963	112,439	107,619	102,490	97,041	91,257	85,126
Mortgage A	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	•	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	•	-		•	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.										
Asset Mgmt	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)
Cash Flow	15,996	12,293	8,327	4,088	(435)	(5,255)	(10,384)	(15,834)	(21,617)	(27,749)
DCR Mortgage A	1.17	1.13	1.10	1.06	1.02	0.98	0.93	0.88	0.83	0.77
DCR Mortgage B										
DCR Mortgage C										
DCR Other Source										
Total DCR	1.17	1.13	1.10	1.06	1.02	0.98	0.93	0.88	0.83	0.77
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.21	1.19	1.18	1.17	1.16	1.15	1.14	1.13	1.11	1.10
Mortgage A Balance	(110,882)	(222,878)	(335,999)	(450,256)	(565,661)	(682,226)	(799,961)	(918,880)	(1,038,993)	(1,160,312)
Mortgage B Balance										
Mortgage C Balance										
Other Source Balance										

PART SEVEN - OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County

I. OPERATING ASSUM	MPTIONS	Please Note: Green-shaded cells are un	nlocked for you	ur use and contain references/formulas that may be overwr	itten if needed.
Revenue Growth	2.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors)	2,500	Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:	-0.49%
Expense Growth Reserves Growth	3.00% 3.00%	Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one):		Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI:	9.00%
Vacancy & Collection Lo	oss 7.00%	Expense Growth Rate (3.00%)	Yes	> If Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt:	45,846
Ancillary Income Limit	2.00%	Percent of Effective Gross Income	No	> If Yes, indicate actual percentage:	

II. OPERATING PRO FORMA

Year	31	32	33	34	35
Revenues	972,701	992,155	1,011,998	1,032,238	1,052,883
Ancillary Income	19,454	19,843	20,240	20,645	21,058
Vacancy	(69,451)	(70,840)	(72,257)	(73,702)	(75,176)
Other Income (OI)	-	-	-	-	-
Ol Not Subject to Mgt Fee	-	-	-	-	-
Expenses less Mgt Fee	(684,245)	(704,773)	(725,916)	(747,693)	(770,124)
Property Mgmt	(111,280)	(114,619)	(118,057)	(121,599)	(125,247)
Reserves	(48,545)	(50,002)	(51,502)	(53,047)	(54,638)
NOI	78,634	71,765	64,507	56,842	48,756
Mortgage A	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)	(110,375)
Mortgage B	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage C	-	-	-	-	-
D/S Other Source,not DDF	-	-	-	-	-
DCA HOME Cash Resrv.					
Asset Mgmt	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,500)
Cash Flow	(34,241)	(41,109)	(48,368)	(56,032)	(64,119)
DCR Mortgage A	0.71	0.65	0.58	0.51	0.44
DCR Mortgage B					
DCR Mortgage C					
DCR Other Source					
Total DCR	0.71	0.65	0.58	0.51	0.44
Oper Exp Coverage Ratio	1.09	1.08	1.07	1.06	1.05
Mortgage A Balance	(1,282,851)	(1,406,620)	(1,531,633)	(1,657,901)	(1,785,439)
Mortgage B Balance					
Mortgage C Balance					
Other Source Balance					

	PART SEVEN	- OPERATING PRO FORMA - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County
I. OPERATING ASSUMF		Please Note: Green-shaded cells are unlocked for your use and contain references/formulas that may be overwritten if needed.
Revenue Growth Expense Growth Reserves Growth Vacancy & Collection Los Ancillary Income Limit	2.00% 3.00% 3.00% s 7.00% 2.00%	Asset Management Fee Amount (include total charged by all lenders/investors) Property Mgt Fee Growth Rate (choose one): Expense Growth Rate (3.00%) Percent of Effective Gross Income Yr 1 Asset Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: Yr 1 Prop Mgt Fee Percentage of EGI: 9.00% Yes, indicate Yr 1 Mgt Fee Amt: 45,846
II. OPERATING PRO FO III. Applicant Comments		ns IV. DCA Comments
Debt service payments are based	on the DCA HOME Id	oan of \$2,000,000. This is a fully amortizing 20 year loan.

	Applicant Response DCA USE
	section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have sequent or future funding round scoring decisions.
DCA's Overall Comments / Approval Conditions:	sequent of fatale fatiging found scoring decisions.
1.)	
2.)	
3.)	
4.)	
5.)	
6.)	
7.)	
8.) 9.)	
10.)	
11.)	
12.)	
13.)	
14.)	
15.)	
16.)	
17.)	
18.)	
19.)	
20.)	
1 PROJECT FEASIBILITY, VIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND CONFORMANCE WITH PLAN	Pass?
Threshold Justification per Applicant The project is feasible per the DCA guidelines. All commitment letters are included in tab 01.	
The project is feasible per the DCA guidelines. All commitment letters are included in tab 01.	
DCA's Comments:	

								A	pplicant R	esponse	DCA USE
FINΔI .	THRESHOL	D DETERMINA	TION (DCA Use O	nlv)	Disclaimer: DCA		ing section reviews pertain only to the		round and have		
		DEILIMINA	TION (DOA 036 O	,,,,		no effect on s	subsequent or future funding round scor	ing decisions.	Decad		
	T LIMITS			1					Pass?		
	nts are linked to Rent Cha		New Construction and				Rehab or Transit-Oriented	-		aa.'	
Expenses rab.	Cost Limit Per Unit totals	by unit type are auto-calculated.	Acquisition/Rehabilitation			qualifying	for Historic Preservation or	ΓOD pt(s)	Is this	Criterion met?	Yes
	Unit Type	Nbr Units	Unit Cost Limit tota	I by Unit Type	9	Nbr Units	Unit Cost Limit total	by Unit Type			
Detached/Se	,	0 0	$128,669 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	$141,535 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		MSA for (Cost Limit
mi-Detached	1 BR	1 0	$168,462 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	185,308 x 0 units =	0			oses:
	2 BR	2 0	$204,394 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	224,833 x 0 units =	0	_	Puipi	0363.
	3 BR	3 0	$250,016 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	$275,017 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		Sava	ınnah
	4 BR	4 0	$294,230 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	$323,653 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		Java	iiiiaii
	Subotal	0	_	0	_	0	_	0		Tot Develop	ment Costs:
Row House	Efficiency	0 0	$120,734 \times 0 \text{ units} =$	0		0	132,807 x 0 units =	0		42.00	0.000
	1 BR	1 0	158,379 x 0 units =	0		0	174,216 x 0 units =	0		13,09	8,980
	2 BR	2 0	192,727 x 0 units =	0		0	211,999 x 0 units =	0	_	Cost Waiv	er Amount:
	3 BR	3 0	237,087 x 0 units =	0		0	260,795 x 0 units =	0			
	4 BR	4 0	281,584 x 0 units =	0		0	309,742 x 0 units =	0			
	Subotal	0	- '	0	_	0	·	0		Historic Pres	servation Pts
Walkup	Efficiency	0 0	100,204 x 0 units =	0		0	110,224 x 0 units =	0			0
Walkap	1 BR	1 8	138,379 x 8 units =	1,107,032		0	152,216 x 0 units =	0			ransp Opt Pts
	2 BR	2 48	175,464 x 48 units =	8,422,272		0	193,010 x 0 units =	0	È		2
	3 BR	3 24	229,044 x 24 units =	5,497,056		0	251,948 x 0 units =	0			_
	4 BR	4 0	285,392 x 0 units =	0		0	313,931 x 0 units =	0			
	Subotal	80	205,592 x 0 units =	15,026,360	=	0	515,951 x 0 units =	0		Projec	ct Cost
Elevator	Efficiency	0 0	104,177 x 0 units =	0		0	114,594 x 0 units =	0		Limit	(PCL)
	1 BR	1 0	145,848 x 0 units =	0		0	160,432 x 0 units =	0			•
	2 BR	2 0	187,519 x 0 units =	0		0	206,270 x 0 units =	0		15,02	6,360
	3 BR	3 0	250,025 x 0 units =	0		0	275,027 x 0 units =	0		lote: if a PLICI	Waiver has been
	4 BR	4 0	312,532 x 0 units =	0		0	343,785 x 0 units =	0			CA, that amount
	Subotal	0		0	_	0		0		11	de the amounts
Total Bor C	Construction Type	e 80	=	15,026,360	=	0	=	0			at left.
	onstruction Type old Justification p			13,020,300		DCA's Comm	onto:	U		31101111	i di icit.
			is under the DCA Project Co	ost Limit Calc	ulation	DCA'S COMM	ienis.				
	ANCY CHARA		This project is designated a			Family			Pass?		
	nold Justification p					DCA's Comm	nents:				
		or Family tendancies.				00710 007777	iorito.				
4 REQ	UIRED SERVI	CES							Pass?		
A. Ap	plicant certifies tha	at they will designate th	e specific services and mee	t the additiona	al policies rela	ited to services	. Does Applicar	nt agree?	Ī	Agree	
			om at least 2 categories belo						elow for Senic	or projects:	
1) Social & recreational programs planned & overseen by project mgr Specify: Semi-monthly birthday											
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				arts and Crafts							
,	n-site health classe			Specify:							
,	her services appro			Specify:							
,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	congregate supportive hous	' '	nents:						
	• •	•	im of care or service provide			c C. N	/A				
	nold Justification p	J ,,	5. 54.5 5. 56.4106 provide			DCA's Comm					
			o categories for Families.			DOA'S COITIII	iorito.				
Applicatit a	groca to z pasic or	ngonig services iroill (W	o calegories for Farmiles.								

	A	Applicant Response	DCA USE
	on reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding nt or future funding round scoring decisions.	ground and have	
5 MARKET FEASIBILITY	nt of fatale farialing round scoring decisions.	Pass?	
A. Provide the name of the market study analyst used by applicant:	A. Jerry M. Koontz		
B. Project absorption period to reach stabilized occupancy	B. 5 months		
C. Overall Market Occupancy Rate	C. 97.90 %		
D. Overall capture rate for tax credit units	D. 3.30 %		
E. List DCA tax credit projects in close proximity to properties funded in 2014 or 2015. Include DCA project number and pro	oject name in each case.		
Project Nbr Project Name Project Nbr Project Name	Project Nbr P	roject Name	
1 3	5		
2 4	6		
F. Does the unit mix/rents and amenities included in the application match those provided in the market study?		F. Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
he project meets all of the market feasibilty requirements as shown above i.e. 5 month absorbtion rate, 97.3% overall market oc	ccupancy and 3.30% capture rate for	all low tax credit units. Plea	ase see
narket study in tab 5.			
DCA's Comments:			
DOA'S COMMENTS.			
S APPRAISALS		Pass?	
A. Is there is an identity of interest between the buyer and seller of the project?		A. No	
B. Is an appraisal included in this application submission?		В. No	
If an appraisal is included, indicate Appraiser's Name and answer the following questions: Appraiser's N	lame: N/A	•	
1) Does it provide a land value?		1)	
2) Does it provide a value for the improvements?		2)	
3) Does the appraisal conform to USPAP standards?		3)	
4) For LIHTC projects involving DCA HOME funds, does the total hard cost of the project exceed 90% of the as comple	ted unencumbered appraised	4)	
value of the property?			
C. If an identity of interest exists between the buyer and seller, did the seller purchase this property within the past three (3)	years?	C. No	
D. Has the property been:		D.	•
1) Rezoned?		1) No	
2) Subdivided?		2) No	
3) Modified?		3) No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant		-	
he property has not been rezoned, subdivided or modified. Please refer to tab 10 for zoning information. There is no identity of	interest		
	microsi.		
DCA's Comments:	interest.		

			Applicant	Response	DCA USE	
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.						
•	no effect of	on subsequent or future funding round sco	oring decisions. Pass?			
7 ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS			Fa33:			
A. Name of Company that prepared the Phase I Assessmer	nt in accordance with ASTM 1527-13:	A. Professional	Service Industries, Inc.			
B. Is a Phase II Environmental Report included?			B.	No		
C. Was a Noise Assessment performed?			C.	Yes		
1) If "Yes", name of company that prepared the noise a	ssessment?	1) Arpeggio Aco	oustic Consulting, Inc.			
2) If "Yes", provide the maximum noise level on site in o	lecibels over the 10 year projection:		2)	64.9		
3) If "Yes", what are the contributing factors in decreasi						
A wall will be constructed to accomodate the outside	amenities and construction materials will be used to ac	commodate inside noise leve		ded in the Pha	se I.	
D. Is the subject property located in a:			D.			
1) Brownfield?			1)	No		
2) 100 year flood plain / floodway?			2)	Yes		
If "Yes": a) Percentage of site that is	•		a)	17.400%		
b) Will any development occ	•		b)	No		
c) Is documentation provide	d as per Threshold criteria?		c)	Yes		
3) Wetlands?			3)	Yes		
If "Yes": a) Enter the percentage of the second se			a)	4.700%		
b) Will any development occ			b)	No		
c) Is documentation provide	a as per infeshola criteria?		c)	Yes		
4) State Waters/Streams/Buffers and Setbacks area?E. Has the Environmental Professional identified any of the	following on the cubicat property:		4)	No		
1) Lead-based paint?	5) Endangered species?	No	9) Mold?	No		
2) Noise? Yes	6) Historic designation?	No	10) PCB's?	No		
3) Water leaks?	7) Vapor intrusion?	No	11) Radon?	No		
4) Lead in water?	8) Asbestos-containing materials?	No	11) Radon:	140		
12) Other (e.g., Native American burial grounds, etc.) - de	,	110				
	Arpeggio Acoustic Consulting, LLC included in the	Phase I.				
F. Is all additional environmental documentation required for						
Eight-Step Process for Wetlands and/or Floodplains			1)	No		
2) Has Applicant/PE completed the HOME and HUD En	vironmental Questionnaire?		2)	Yes		
3) Owner agrees that they must refrain from undertaking	any activities that could have an adverse effect on the	e subject property?	3)	Yes		
G. If HUD approval has been previously granted, has the HI	JD Form 4128 been included?		G.	N/A		
Projects involving HOME funds must also meet the following	Site and Neighborhood Standards:					
H. The Census Tract for the property is characterized as [Cl mixed (25% - 49% minority), or Non-minority (less than 2)	,	ority), <i>Racially</i> H.	Racially mixed	< <sel< td=""><td>ect>></td></sel<>	ect>>	
I. List all contiguous Census Tracts:	. 106.03,106.01,9800.00,9503.00,303.05,303.04,303.	01,304.02,108.01 and 108.03	3			
J. Is Contract Addendum included in Application?			J.	Yes		
Threshold Justification per Applicant						
Wetlands and Floodplain are identified on our site but will not be disturbed. The Army Corps of Engineers has made a determination on this site. A covenants maps is included in our Phase I.						
DCA's Comments:						

		Applicant I	Response	DCA USE
FI	NAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funning of the corresponding function of the correspon	ding round and have		
8	SITE CONTROL	Pass?		
	A. Is site control provided through November 30, 2017? Expiration Date: 3/30/18	Α.	Yes	
	B. Form of site control: B. Contract/Op		< <select>></select>	
	C. Name of Entity with site control: C. Wood Meadow Apartments, LP			
	D. Is there any Identity of Interest between the entity with site control and the applicant?	D.	Yes	
	Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Site	e Control is provided beyond 11/30/17. Please see contract included in tab 8. Allan Rappuhn is the Mgr. of the GP of Wood Meadow Apartments, LP and also the	e certifying prin	cipal of the ap	plicant.
	DCA's Comments:			
9	SITE ACCESS	Pass?		
	A. Does this site provide a specified entrance that is legally accessible by paved roads and are the appropriate drawings, surveys, photographs and other	A.	Yes	
	documentation reflecting such paved roads included in the electronic application binder?	В		
	B. If access roads are not in place, does the application contain documentation evidencing local government approval to pave the road, a commitment for funding, and the timetable for completion of such paved roads?	B.		
	C. If the road is going to be paved by the applicant, are these costs documented in the submitted electronic application binder and reflected in the development budget provided in the core application?	C.		
	D. If use of private drive proposed, is site control of private drive documented by proof of ownership or by a properly executed easement on private drive, and are the plans for paving private drive, including associated development costs, adequately addressed in Application?	D.		
	Threshold Justification per Applicant	ļ		
The	e site is accessible via Coldbrook Station Circle, a paved road. Please see tab 9 for documentation.			
	DCA's Comments:			
10	SITE ZONING	Pass?		
. •	A. Is Zoning in place at the time of this application submission?	A.	Yes	
	B. Does zoning of the development site conform to the site development plan?	В.	Yes	
	C. Is the zoning confirmed, in writing, by the authorized Local Government official?	C.	Yes	
	If "Yes": 1) Is this written confirmation included in the Application?	1)	Yes	
	2) Does the letter include the zoning and land use classification of the property?	2)	Yes	
	3) Is the letter accompanied by a clear explanation of the requirements (include a copy of the applicable sections of the zoning ordinance highlighted for the stated classification)?	3)	Yes	
	4) Is the letter accompanied by all conditions of these zoning and land use classifications?	4)	Yes	
	5) If project is requesting HOME or HUD funds, does Local Government official also comment on whether project will include development of prime or unique farmland?	5)	Yes	
	D. Is documentation provided (on the Architectural Site Conceptual Development Plan either graphically or in written form) that demonstrates that the site	D.	Yes	
	layout conforms to any moratoriums, density, setbacks or other requirements?	_		
	E. Are all issues and questions surrounding the zoning and land use classification clearly defined prior to this application submission?	E.	Yes	
T:	Threshold Justification per Applicant			
The	e site conforms to all zoning requirements as indicated by zoning letter and zoning ordinance sections. Please see tab 10 for required documentation.			
	DCA's Comments:			

				Applicant	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Us	e Only)		Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fun	ding round and have		
•	e Only)	no effect o	on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Pass?		
11 OPERATING UTILITIES	4) 0			1	Ma	_
A. Check applicable utilities and enter provider name:	1) Gas	Georgia Po	ower	1)	No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant The site has electric service available per Georgia Power letter in tab 11.	2) Electric	Georgia Po	ower	2)	Yes	<u>. </u>
DCA's Comments:						
BOTTO COMMENTO.						
40 BUBLIO MATERIOANITARY OF MEDIOTORIA OF MED				Pass?		
12 PUBLIC WATER/SANITARY SEWER/STORM SEWER				газэ:		
A. 1) Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA included in this ap	plication for this criterion	on as it pertains to sing	gle-family detached Rural projects?	A1)	No	
2) If Yes, is the waiver request accompanied by an engineering re	port confirming the ava		·	2)		
B. Check all that are available to the site and enter provider	 Public water 			B1)	Yes	
name:	Public sewer	City of Por	rt Wentworth	2)	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant						
	th in tab 12.					
DCA's Comments:						
13 REQUIRED AMENITIES				Pass?		
Is there a Pre-Approval Form from DCA included in this application for	this criterion?				No	
A. Applicant agrees to provide following required Standard Site Amen	ities in conformance w	rith DCA Amenities Gu	idebook (select one in each category):	A.	Agree	
					_	
2) Exterior gathering area (if "Other", explain in box provided at rig	ht):	A2)	Covered Porch If	"Other", explain he	re	
3) On site laundry type:		A3)	Washer and dryer in each unit			
B. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Additional Site A	menities to conform w	ith the DCA Amenities	Guidebook.	В.	Agree	
The nbr of additional amenities required depends on the total unit of	name: 2) Public sewer City of Port Wentworth Covered Porth Covered Porch If 'City of Port Wentworth A1) Building A2) Covered Porch If 'City of Port Wentworth A1) Building A2) Covered Porch If 'City of Port Wentworth A2) Wester one cach category): A3) Washer and dryer in each unit A2) Washer and dryer in each unit A2) Covered Porch If 'City of Port Wentworth A2) Washer and City of Porthworth A3) Washer and dryer in each unit A2) Washer and dryer in each unit A3) Washer and dryer in each unit A2) Covered Porch If 'City of Porthworth A3) Washer and dryer in each unit A2) Covered Porch If 'City of Porthworth A3) Washer and dryer in each unit A4) Was					l Amenities
	ar Approval Letter From DCA included in this application for this criterion as it pertains to single-family detached Rural projects? iver request accompanied by an engineering report confirming the availability of water and the percolation of the soil? City of Port Wentworth City of Port We		(Guidebook Met?	DCA Pre-approv	
Covered Pavillion with picnic and barbeque equipment		3)	Tot lot			
,		4)				
C. Applicant agrees to provide the following required Unit Amenities:				C.	Agree	
1) HVAC systems				1)	Yes	
Energy Star refrigerators				2)	Yes	
, , ,	properties)			3)	Yes	
4) Stoves				4)	Yes	
5) Microwave ovens				5)	Yes	
,	•	op, OR		6a)	Yes	
 b. Electronically controlled solid cover plates over stove top bur 				6b)	No	
D. If proposing a Senior project or Special Needs project, Applicant ac		llowing additional requ	ired Amenities:	D.	N/A	
Elevators are installed for access to all units above the ground				1)		
2) Buildings more than two story construction have interior furnish	0 0			2)		
3) a. 100% of the units are accessible and adaptable, as defined by	by the Fair Housing Am	nendments Act of 1988	3	3a)		
b. If No, was a DCA Architectural Standards waiver granted?				3b)		
Threshold Justification per Applicant						
All amenities selected and described above will be provided for the complex	k. See attached site pla	an in tab 15.				
DCA's Comments:						

	Aŗ	plicant	Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use C	Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round scoring decisions.	ound and have	
4 REHABILITATION STANDARDS (REHABILITATION PRO	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Pass?	
A. Type of rehab (choose one):	A. < <select>></select>		< <select>></select>
B. Date of Physical Needs Assessment (PNA):	В.		
Name of consultant preparing PNA:			
Is 20-year replacement reserve study included?		_	
C. Performance Rpt indicates energy audit completed by qualified BPI Bui	Iding Analyst?	C.	
Name of qualified BPI Building Analyst or equivalent professional:			
DCA's Renabilitation Work Scope form is completed, included in PNA to DCA Rehabilitation Work Scope form referenced above clearly	ab,and clearly indicates percentages of each item to be either "demoed" or replaced 1. All immediate needs identified in the PNA.	D. 1)	
addresses:	All application threshold and scoring requirements	2)	
444.0000	All applicable architectural and accessibility standards.	3)	
	A. All remediation issues identified in the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.	4)	
E. Applicant understands that in addition to proposed work scope, the proj	ect must meet state and local building codes, DCA architectural requirements as	E.	
set forth in the QAP and Manuals, and health and safety codes and req			
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
Vood Meadow is a new construction development. Rehab standards are n/a.			
DCA's Comments:			
5 SITE INFORMATION AND CONCEPTUAL SITE DEVELOP	PMENT PLAN	Pass?	
A. Is Conceptual Site Development Plan included in application and has it Manual?	been prepared in accordance with all instructions set forth in the DCA Architectural	A.	Yes
Are all interior and exterior site related amenities required and selected	in this application indicated on the Conceptual Site Development Plan?		Yes
B. Location/Vicinity map delineates location point of proposed property (sit		B.	Yes
	properties & structures are included, numbered, dated & have brief descriptions?	C.	Yes
Site Map delineates the approximate location point of each photo?			Yes
·	dentify existing property & adjacent land uses, and delineate property boundaries?	D.	Yes
Threshold Justification per Applicant The conceptual site plan has been prepared in accordance with the threshold re	guiroment and is included in tab 15		
DCA's Comments:	quirement and is included in tab 15.		
DCA'S Comments.			
A DUM DING CHOTAINADILITY		Pass?	
6 BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY		F 4 5 5 1	
completion as set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Manual?	standard for energy efficiency and sustainable building practices upon construction	A.	Agree
B. Applicant agrees that the final construction documents must clearly ind meet the requirements set forth in the QAP and DCA Architectural Man	licate all components of the building envelope and all materials and equipment that ual?	B.	Agree
Threshold Justification per Applicant		<u>'</u>	
he development will meet all energy requirements of the QAP and Architectura	l Manual.		
DCA's Comments:			

				Applicant	Response	DCA USE
INAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use (Only) <u>Disclaimer:</u> DC		ction reviews pertain only to the corresponding quent or future funding round scoring decisions			
7 ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS	•	no check on subsect	point of ratalie failuring round scorning decisions	Pass?		
A. 1) Upon completion, will this project comply with all applicable Fee Amendments Act of 1988, Americans with Disabilities Act, Section Access Law as set forth in the 2015 Accessibility Manual? (When apply both standards so that a maximum accessibility is obtained.)	on 504 of the Rehabilitation	Act of 1973, Geo	rgia Fair Housing Law and Geo	rgia	Yes	
2) Owner understands that DCA requires the Section 504 accessible construction and/or rehabilitation projects selected under the 201 federal debt financing assistance (e.g., HOME). This constitutes a This means that all projects, including those financed with tax exe projects, must incorporate at a minimum the requirements of the project.	7 Qualified Allocation Plan higher standard of access mpt bonds which receive a	n, regardless of whesibility than what in allocation of 4% to	ether or not the project will recommay be required under federal latax credits and 9% tax credits-	eive lws. only	Yes	
Owner claims that property is eligible for any of the stated statuto support the claim with a legal opinion placed where indicted in Tabs		icable federal, state	e, and local accessibility law? If	so, 3)	No	
4) Does this project comply with applicable DCA accessibility requirem	nents detailed in the 2016 A	rchitectural and Acc	-	4)	Yes	
B. 1) a. Will at least 5% of the total units (but no less than		Nbr of Units	Minimum Required:			
one unit) be equipped for the mobility disabled,		Equipped:	Nbr of Units Percenta	•	.,	
including wheelchair restricted residents?	1) a. Mobility Impaired	4	4 5%	B1)a.	Yes	
b. Roll-in showers will be incorporated into 40% of the mobility equipped units (but no fewer than one unit)?	1) b. Roll-In Showers	2	2 40%	b.	Yes	
2) Will least an additional 2% of the total units (but no less than one unit) be equipped for hearing and sight-impaired residents?	2) Sight / Hearing Impaire	ed 2	2 2%	2)	Yes	
C. Applicant will retain a DCA qualified consultant to monitor the project for nor have an Identify of Interest with any member of the proposed Proje		who will not be a me	mber of the proposed Project Te	am C.	Yes	
The DCA qualified consultant will perform the following:	Name of Accessibility Cor		t & Associates			
 A pre-construction plan and specification review to determine the Consultant report must be included with the Step 2 construction comments from the consultant, all documents related to resolution appear to meet all accessibility requirements. 	documents submitted to	DCA. At a minimu	m, the report will include the ir	itial	Yes	
2) At least two training sessions for General Contractor and Subcontra	actors regarding accessibilit	y requirements. One	e training must be on site.	2).	Yes	
 An inspection of the construction site after framing is completed to accessibility. DCA must receive a copy of the report issued by the 	' ' '	0 11		s to 3).	Yes	
4) A final inspection of the property after completion of construction accessibility requirements. DCA must receive a copy of the report resolved prior to submission of the project cost certification.	''			,	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant						

DCA's Comments:

		Applicant F	Response	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINA	ATION (DCA LISA Only) <u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fundir	ng round and have		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Pass?		
18 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN & QUAL		газэ		
Is there a Waiver Approval Letter From DCA inc		-	No	
· ·	andards contained in the Application Manual for quality and longevity?		Yes	
	ction Hard Costs - are the following minimum review standards for rehabilitation projects met or exceeded by thi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	for funding only if the per unit rehabilitation hard costs exceed \$25,000. The costs of furniture, fixtures, buildings and common area amenities are not included in these amounts.	A.	No	
B. Standard Design Options for All Projects	•	В.		
Exterior Wall Finishes (select one)	Exterior wall faces will have an excess of 40% brick or stone on each total wall surface	1)	Yes	
1) = Merrer 11 am 1 mierres (estest erre)		.,		
Major Bldg Component Materials & Upgrades (select one)	Upgraded roofing shingles, or roofing materials (warranty 30 years or greater)	2)	Yes	
C. Additional Design Options - not listed abo	ove, proposed by Applicant prior to Application Submittal in accordance with Exhibit A DCA Pre-application and	_		
Pre-Award Deadlines and Fee Schedule, ar		C.		
1) n/a		1)	No	
2) n/a		2)	No	
Threshold Justification per Applicant		-		
We agree to the DCA architectural design and qualit	ty standards selected above.			
DCA's Comments:	,			
19 QUALIFICATIONS FOR PROJECT T	EAM (PERFORMANCE)	Pass?		
A. Did the Certifying Entity meet the experience		A.	Yes	
	roject Team Determination from DCA included in this application for this criterion?	В.	Yes	
	eam since the initial pre-application submission?	C.	No	
, ,	iver renewal of a Significant Adverse Event at pre-application?	D.	No	
		Certifying GP	/Developer	
F. DCA Final Determination		<< Select De		
Threshold Justification per Applicant				-
There have been no changes to the Project Team si	ince pre-application.			
DCA's Comments:				
20 COMPLIANCE HISTORY SUMMARY	1	Pass?		
A. Was a pre-application submitted for this De		Α.	Yes	
B. If 'Yes", has there been any change in the s		в.	No	
	ct team members completed all required documents as listed in QAP Threshold Section XIX Qualifications for	C.	140	
Project Participants?	of the state of th	Ŭ.	Yes	
Threshold Justification per Applicant				
Compliance history summary information was includ	led in the pre-application process.			
DCA's Comments:				

	Applicant i	Response DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding fun no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	ding round and have	
PINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA USE OTHY) no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. 21 ELIGIBILITY FOR CREDIT UNDER THE NON-PROFIT SET-ASIDE	Pass?	
A. Name of Qualified non-profit: A.		
B. Non-profit's Website: B.		
C. Is the organization a qualified non-profit, defined as a 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization, which is not affiliated with or controlled by a for-profit	C.	
organization and has included the fostering of low income housing as one of its tax-exempt purposes?	O.	
D. Will the qualified non-profit materially participate in the development and operation of the project as described in IRC Section 469(h) throughout the compliance period?	D.	
E. Does the qualified non-profit own at least 51% of the GP's interest in the project and is the managing general partner of the ownership entity?	E.	
F. Is this entity a corporation with 100 percent of the stock of such corporation held by one or more qualified non-profit organizations at all times during the period such corporation is in existence?	F.	
G. All Applicants: Does the non-profit receive a percentage of the developer fee greater than or equal to its percentage of its ownership interest?	G.	
1) CHDOS Only: If the nonprofit entity is also a CHDO, is it a DCA-certified CHDO which must own 100% of the General Partnership entity?		
H. Is a copy of the GP joint venture agreement or GP operating agreement that provides the non-profit's GP interest and the Developer Fee amount included in the application?	H.	
I. Is a an opinion of a third party attorney who specializes in tax law on the non-profit's current federal tax exempt qualification status included in the	I.	
Application? If such an opinion has been previously obtained, this requirement may be satisfied by submitting the opinion with documentation		
demonstrating that the non-profit's bylaws have not changed since the legal opinion was issued.	_	
Threshold Justification per Applicant		
his section is not applicable.		
DCA's Comments:		
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE	Pass?	
	Pass?	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE	Pass?	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: Name of CHDO Managing GP:		
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)?	B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount: 2,000,000	В.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant DCA HOME Consent amount: 2,000,000	B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This section is not applicable.	B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant DCA HOME Consent amount: 2,000,000	B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This section is not applicable.	B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This section is not applicable. DCA's Comments:	B. C. D.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Thireshold Justification per Applicant This section is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided.	B. C. D.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount: 2,000,000 Threshold Justification per Applicant This section is not applicable. DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition	B. C. D. Pass?	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This section is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status	B. C. D. Pass?	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount: 2,000,000 Threshold Justification per Applicant This section is not applicable. DCA's Comments: State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility	B. C. D. Pass? A. B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount: Threshold Justification per Applicant This section is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] E. Other (If Yes, then also describe): E.	B. C. D. Pass? A. B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? Threshold Justification per Applicant This section is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] E. Other (If Yes, then also describe): Threshold Justification per Applicant	B. C. D. Pass? A. B. C.	
22 ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME LOANS UNDER THE CHDO SET-ASIDE A. Name of CHDO: B. Is a copy of the CHDO pre-qualification letter from DCA included in the Application? C. Is the CHDO either the sole general partner of the ownership entity or the managing member of the LLC general partner of the ownership entity (the CHDO must also exercise effective control of the project)? D. CHDO has been granted a DCA HOME consent? DCA HOME Consent amount: 2,000,000 Threshold Justification per Applicant This section is not applicable. DCA's Comments: 23 REQUIRED LEGAL OPINIONS State legal opinions included in application using boxes provided. A. Credit Eligibility for Acquisition B. Credit Eligibility for Assisted Living Facility C. Non-profit Federal Tax Exempt Qualification Status D. Scattered Site Developments [as defined in Section 42(g)(7) of the Code and this QAP] E. Other (If Yes, then also describe):	B. C. D. Pass? A. B. C.	

Appl	icant R	esponse	DCA USE
FINAL THRESHOLD DETERMINATION (DCA Use Only) Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	and have		
24 RELOCATION AND DISPLACEMENT OF TENANTS	Pass?		
A. Does the Applicant anticipate displacing or relocating any tenants?	A.	No	
B. 1) Are any of the other sources (not DCA HOME) considered to be Federal Funding?	B1)	No	
If Yes, applicant will need to check with the source of these funds to determine if this project will trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or 104(d).	_		
2) If tenants will be displaced, has Applicant received DCA written approval and placed a copy where indicated in the Tabs Checklist?	2)		
3) Will any funding source used trigger the Uniform Relocation Act or HUD 104 (d) requirements?	3)	No	
C. Is sufficient comparable replacement housing identified in the relocation plan according to DCA relocation requirements?	C.		
D. Provide summary data collected from DCA Relocation Displacement Spreadsheet:			
1) Number of Over Income Tenants 4) Number of Down units			
2) Number of Rent Burdened Tenants 5) Number of Displaced Tenants			
3) Number of Vacancies			
E. Indicate Proposed Advisory Services to be used (see Relocation Manual for further explanation):			
1) Individual interviews 3) Written Notifications			
2) Meetings 4) Other - describe in box provided:			
Threshold Justification per Applicant			
This development will be on undeveloped land and will not require any displacement or relocation.			
DCA's Comments:			
25 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING (AFFH)	Pass?		
If selected, does the Applicant agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing plan that:	_		
A. Incorporates outreach efforts to each service provider, homeless shelter or local disability advocacy organization in the county in which the project is located?	A.	Agree	
B. Has a strategy that affirmatively markets to persons with disabilities and the homeless?	B.	Agree	
C. Has a strategy that establishes and maintains relationships between the management agent and community service providers?	C.	Agree	
D. Includes a referral and screening process that will be used to refer tenants to the projects, the screening criteria that will be used, and makes reasonable accommodations to facilitate the admittance of persons with disabilities or the homeless into the project?	D.	Agree	
E. Includes marketing of properties to underserved populations 2-4 months prior to occupancy?	E.	Agree	
F. Includes making applications for affordable units available to public locations including at least one that has night hours?	F.	Agree	
G. Includes outreach to Limited English Proficiency groups for languages identified as being prevalent in the surrounding market area?	_	Agree	
	G.	3	
H. If selected, does the Applicant agree to provide reasonable accommodation for these tenants in the Property Management's tenant application? Leasing criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws.	Н.	Agree	
criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. Threshold Justification per Applicant			
criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws.			
criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. Threshold Justification per Applicant When selected, we agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing Plan that satisfies all requirements.			
criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. Threshold Justification per Applicant When selected, we agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing Plan that satisfies all requirements.			
criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. Threshold Justification per Applicant When selected, we agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing Plan that satisfies all requirements. DCA's Comments: 26 OPTIMAL UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES Threshold Justification per Applicant	н.		
criteria must clearly facilitate admission and inclusion of targeted population tenants and must not violate federal or state fair housing laws. Threshold Justification per Applicant When selected, we agree to prepare and submit an AFFH Marketing Plan that satisfies all requirements. DCA's Comments: 26 OPTIMAL UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES	н.		

				_					
			RITERIA - 2017-013 Wood Meadow A	-	ort Wentworth, Chatham Coun	ty			
			icants must include comments in sections where points			Score	Γ	Self	DCA
			n only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect		re funding round scoring decisions.	Value		Score	
		Fallure to do so	will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness"	deduction.	TOTALS:	92	Ē	63	20
1	APPLICATION COMPLETENESS		(Applicants start with 10 pts A	ny points entered	will be <u>subtracted</u> from score value)	10	Ē	10	10
		Ni la a m					, L		
	Missing or Incomplete Documents Organization	Number: Number:	3 1		necklist and the Application Instructions	1	Α.		0
	Financial and Other Adjustments	Number:			(1) pt deducted for each add'l adjustment.	ı	В.		0
	's Comments:	Number.		ch item listed belov			Б.		
	Missing or Illegible or Inaccurate Documents or	Nbr	2.1.0	Nbr				N	br
	Application Not Organized Correctly	0	INCOMPLETE Documents:	0	B. Financial adjustments/rev	isions:	Γ		0
1			1	n/a	1			n/	/a
2			2		2				
3			3	included in	3			include	ed in 2
•				2					
1			1		4			include	od in 2
+								meruu	5U III Z
			-		-				
5			5	included in	5				
				-					
6			6		6				
1			7	included in	7				
				6					
8			8		8				
9			9	included in	9				
				8					
10			10		10				
11			11	included in	11				
				10					
12			12		12				

COI	gia Department of Community Allans	,					e and De	2 A CH	opiliei	וניטואוטונ
	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2	2017-013 Wood	Meadow Apa	rtments, P	ort Wentworth	, Chatham County	у			
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the correspo	onding funding round ar	nd have no effect on su	bsequent or futu	re funding round scoring	ng decisions.	Score Value		Self Score	DCA Score
						TOTALS:	92		63	20
2.	DEEPER TARGETING / RENT / INCOME RESTRICTIONS		Choose A or B.				3	[2	0
A.	Deeper Targeting through Rent Restrictions	Т	otal Residential Units:	80						
	Applicant agrees to set income limits at 50% AMI and gross rents at or	Per Applicant	Per DCA		Actual Percent	of Residential Units:				
	below 30% of the 50% income limit for at least:	Nbr of Restricted	Residential Units:	,	Per Applicant	Per DCA	2	A.	2	0
	1. 15% of total residential units				0.00%	0.00%	1	1.	0	0
or	2. 20% of total residential units	17			21.25%	0.00%	2	2.	2	0
В.	Deeper Targeting through <u>New</u> PBRA Contracts	Nbr of PBRA R	Residential Units:				3	В.	0	0
	1. 15% (at least) of residential units to have PBRA for 10+ yrs:				0.00%	0.00%	2	1.	0	0
		le Communities.	Points awarded in	Sect VII:	4	0	1	2.	0	0
	DCA's Comments:									
3.	DESIRABLE AND UNDESIRABLE CHARACTERISTICS		See QAI	Scoring for requ	uirements.		13		13	0
	Is the completed and executed DCA Desirable/Undesirable Certification form	included in the app	propriate application	n tab, in both	the original Excel v	ersion and signed PDF	?	Ī	Yes	
Α.	Desirable Activities	(1 or 2 pts each - see	e QAP)	Complete this	section using results	s from completed current	12	Α.	12	
В.	Bonus Desirable	(1 pt - see QAP)		DCA Desirab	le/Undesirable Certific	cation form. Submit this	1	B.	1	
C.		(1 pt subtracted each	٦)	completed			various	C.	0	
- .	PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County Resilius: K. Applicanis must include commiss in access where points are cames. Decision: DCA Threshold and Soroing section recision point with the undergood for future from a charge-ger or future funding ound scoring decisions. TOTALS: 92 63 20 DEEPER TARGETING / RENT / INCOME RESTRICTIONS Choose A or B. Deeper Targeting through Rent Restrictions Total size and size									
							ai service p	rovia	ier iess	inan 1
	DCA's Comments:									
	20.0000									
4.	COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS		See s	coring criteria	for further requiren	nents and information	6	Ĺ		
	Evaluation Criteria	Competitive P	ool chosen:	Rural						
	1. All community transportation services are accessible to tenants by Pave	d Pedestrian Walk	ways.						Yes	
	2. DCA has measured all required distances between a pedestrian site enti-	rance and the trans	sit stop along Pave	d Pedestrian \	Walkways.			_		
	· ·			•					Yes	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				• •	nitted documents			N/a	
		, ,			y will be built.			ŀ	Voc	
	Transportation service is being publicized to the general public.	on the site map sur	omitted for this Sec	uon.				ŀ	Yes	
	o. Transportation service is being publicized to the general public.							L	162	

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-013 Woo	od Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham Count	у		
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round	and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
	TOTALS:	92	63	20
Florible Pool Choose A or R		-		1
		6	Α Ο	Ιο
•	For ALL options under this scoring criterion, regardless, of			U
		3	1.	
		1	2	
	Coastal Regional Coaches of Georgia (866) 543-6744	-		
	(000) 545 6744	•		0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	httn://coastalregionalcoaches.com			0
·	The particular of the control of the			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	http://coastalregionalcoaches.com			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	intp.//coastalicylonalcoaches.com	'	J.	
	all and in a series of five department of the A/O of the office of the series of]	4 2	
	•	2	4. Z	
·	ewaiks or established pedestrian walkways to the transportation hub/stop.			
	O.P.M. for a next day reservation. Reservations are taken daily Monday thru	Friday from	7:00 A M +	0.5:00
Choose A or B. Transit-Oriented Development Choose either option 1 or 2 under A. 1. Site is owned by local transit agency ks is strategically targeted by agency to create housing with on site or adjacent access to public transportation 2. Site is within one (1) mile* of a transit hub Casala Regional Coaches of Georgia 3. Applicant in A1 or A2 above serves Family tenancy. Access to Public Transportation Choose only one option in B. 1. Site is within 1/4 mile* of an established public transportation stop 2. Site is within 1/4 mile* of an established public transportation stop 3. Site is within 1/2 mile* of an established public transportation stop 4. Publicity operated/sponsored and established public transportation stop 4. Publicity operated/sponsored and established funding and connected by sidewalks or established pedestrian walkways to the transportation hubbitop. Scoring Justification per Applicant Storing Justification per Application per Applicati				
5. BROWNFIELD (With EPA/EPD Documentation)	See scoring criteria for further requirements and information	2		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4			
Flexible Pool A. Transit-Oriented Development Choose either option 1 or 2 under A. 1. Site is owned by local transit agency & is strategically targeted by agency to create housing with on site or adjacent access to public transportation OR 2. Site is within one (1) mile* of a transit hub 3. Applicant in A1 or A2 above serves Family tenancy. B. Access to Public Transportation CR 2. Site is within 1/4 mile* of an established public transportation stop OR 2. Site is within 1/2 mile* of an established public transportation stop OR 3. Site is within 1/2 mile* of an established public transportation stop OR 3. Site is within one (1) mile* of an established public transportation stop Rural Pool 4. Publicly operated/sponsored and established transit service (including on-call service onsite or fixed-route service within 1/2 mile of site vascessible to pedestrians and connected by sidewalks or established prediction or est			Yes/No	Yes/No
			O.	
DOTO COMMONO.				
A QUOTAINADI E DEVELODMENTO				Ι
	Forth Confillation Market william	3		U
·	,			
Competitive Pool chosen:	Rural			
			Yes	
	·			
An active current version of draft scoring worksheet for development, illustrating compliance v	w/ minimum score required under program selected, is included in application	<u>1</u> ?	Yes	
For Rehab developments - required Energy Audit Report submitted per current QAP?	Date of Audit Date of Report			
A. Sustainable Communities Certification		2	A. Yes/No	Yes/No
	?	_	No	
	evelopment where the project is located:			
·		•		
, ,	-			

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, C	hatham County		<u>'</u>	
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring de Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.		Score Value 92	Self Score	DCA Score
b) Name of nonrelated third party LEED AP that prepared Feasibility Study: << Enter LEED AP's Name here>> << Enter LEED AP 's Com			<u> </u>	
Commitments for Building Certification: 1. Project will comply with the program version in effect at the time that the drawings are prepared for permit review? 2. Project will meet program threshold requirements for Building Sustainability? 3. Owner will engage in tenant and building manager education in compliance with the point requirements of the respective programs?			Yes/No 1. Yes 2. Yes 3. Yes	Yes/No
 B. Sustainable Building Certification Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certification 1. Project commits to obtaining a sustainable building certificate from certifying body demonstrating that project achieved highest level of certification D. High Performance Building Design The proposed building design demonstrates: 1. A worst case HERS Index that is at least 15% lower than the ENERGY STAR Target Index? 2. A 10% improvement over the baseline building performance rating? The energy savings will be established following the Performance Rating Met ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Appendix G with additional guidance from the ENERGY STAR Multifamily High-Rise Simulation Guidelines. 3. For minor, moderate, or substantial rehabilitations, a projected reduction in energy consumption ≥ 30%, documented by a RESNET-approved HER or ENERGY STAR compliant whole building energy model? Baseline performance should be modeled using existing conditions. 	thod outlined in	1	B. Yes C. Yes/No 1. No D. 1 1. Yes 2. No 3. No	0
Bill Beaver, an employee of Gateway, the project developer, completed the Green Building for Affordable Housing training on 4/27/17. Dondi Williamson, the project architect, also completed scoring worksheets are in included in tab 29. We are claiming 1 point for committing to obtain a sustainable building certification based upon the Earth Craft House Multifamily program. In ad design. Our project design demonstrates a worst case HERS Index that is at least 15% lower that the Energy Star Target Index. All documentation is included in tab 29. DCA's Comments:				
7. STABLE COMMUNITIES (Must use data from the most current FFIEC census report, published as of January 1, 2	2016)	7	4	0
A Census Tract Demographics		3	2	V "
 Designated Middle or Upper Income level (see Demographics) (Flexible Pool) Project is NOT located in a census tract that meets the above demographics according to the most recent FFIEC Census Report 	nsus/): .82% per		Yes/No	Yes/No
(www.ffiec.gov/Census/), but IS located within 1/4 mile of such a census tract. (Applicant answer to Question 1 above cannot be "Yes".) C. Georgia Department of Public Health Stable Communities Sub-cluster in which project is located, according to the most recent GDPH data hosted on the DCA "Multi-Family Affordable Housing Properties" map:	Per DCA <select></select>	2	2	0
D. Mixed-Income Developments in Stable Communities Market units: 8 Total Units: 80 Mkt Pct of Total: DCA's Comments:	10.00%	2	0	0

500	gia Departificiti di Community Affairs	2017 1 0110	iii ig Applicatioi	•	r loading r inai	100 ana Dov	elopinent Divisio		
	PART NINE - SCORING C	RITERIA - 2017-013 Wood	Meadow Apa	rtments, Port Wentwo	rth, Chatham Coun	ity			
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain	Icants must include comments in section in only to the corresponding funding round ar will result in a one (1) point "Application"	nd have no effect on s	ubsequent or future funding round s	coring decisions. TOTALS:	Score Value 92	Self DCA Score Score		
					IOIALS.				
	TRANSFORMATIONAL COMMUNITIES	(choose A or B)				10	0 0		
	Is this application eligible for two or more points under 2017	_	-						
	If applying for sub-section A, is the completed and executed If applying for sub-section B, is the completed and executed	•			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Eligibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on ex	gibility - The Plan (if Transformation Plan builds on existing Revitalization Plan meeting DCA standards, fill out both Revitalization Plan and Transformation Plar							
	3 • , • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 1 11 21 2	,	Revitalization Plan			ormation Plan		
			Yes/No	Yes/No					
	a) Clearly delineates targeted area that includes proposed		a)						
	encompass entire surrounding city / municipality / coun	ty?		<enter from="" nbr(s)="" page="" plan=""></enter>		<enter page="" r<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here></th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>		
	b) Includes public input and engagement during the plann	ing stages?	b)						
				<enter from="" nbr(s)="" page="" plan=""></enter>		<enter page="" r<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here></th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>		
	c) Calls for the rehabilitation or production of affordable re	ntal housing as a policy goal for the	c)						
	community?			<enter from="" nbr(s)="" page="" plan=""></enter>		<enter page="" r<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here></th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>		
	d) Designates implementation measures along w/specific	time frames for achievement of	d)						
	policies & housing activities?			<enter from="" nbr(s)="" page="" plan=""></enter>		<enter page="" r<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here></th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>		
	The specific time frames and implementation measures	s are current and ongoing?							
				<enter from="" nbr(s)="" page="" plan=""></enter>		<enter page="" r<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here></th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>		
	e) Discusses resources that will be utilized to implement to	he plan?	e)						
				<enter from="" nbr(s)="" page="" plan=""></enter>		<enter page="" r<="" th=""><th>nbr(s) from Plan here></th></enter>	nbr(s) from Plan here>		
	f) Is included in full in the appropriate tab of the application	on binder?	f)						
	Website address (URL) of Revitalization Plan:								
	Website address (URL) of <i>Transformation</i> Plan:								
A.	Community Revitalization					2 A	Yes/No Yes/No		
	i.) Plan details specific work efforts directly affecting proje	ct site?			i.) Enter page nbr(s) here		Yes/No Yes/No		
	ii.) Revitalization Plan has been officially	Date Plan originally adopted by Loc	cal Govt:		ii.)	i	.,		
	adopted (and if necessary, renewed) by	Time (#yrs, #mths) from Plan Adop		Submission Date:	/		.,		
	the Local Govt?	Date(s) Plan reauthorized/renewed							
	iii.) Public input and engagement during the planning stage	es:							
	a) Date(s) of Public Notice to surrounding community:	a)							
	Publication Name(s)								
	b) Type of event:	b) < <select 1="" event="" th="" typ<=""><th>e>></th><th><<select 2<="" event="" th=""><th>type>></th><th></th><th></th></select></th></select>	e>>	< <select 2<="" event="" th=""><th>type>></th><th></th><th></th></select>	type>>				
	Date(s) of event(s):								
	c) Letters of Support from local non-	c) < <select 1="" entity="" th="" typ<=""><th>e>></th><th><<select 2<="" entity="" th=""><th>type>></th><th></th><th></th></select></th></select>	e>>	< <select 2<="" entity="" th=""><th>type>></th><th></th><th></th></select>	type>>				
	government entities. Entity Name:								
	Community Revitalization Plan - Application propose	s to develop housing that contributes	s to a written Com	munity Revitalization Plan for	the specific community in	ⁿ 1 1			
	which the property will be located.	on Plan Application propagate de	wolon housing the	at in in a Qualified Consus Tes	at and that asstributes to				
	Qualified Census Tract and Community Revitalization a written Community Revitalization Plan for the specific			at is in a Qualified Census Tra	ci and that contributes to	1 2			
	Project is in a QCT?	Census Tract Number:	107.00	Eligible Basis	Adjustment:	< <select>></select>			

		PART NINE - SCORING (CRITERIA - 2	017-013 Wood	Meadow Apa	rtments, Po	ort Wentworth, Chatham Count	у		
	<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA	A Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain	in only to the correspo	comments in section and an armound are funding funding round are (1) point "Application"	nd have no effect on su	ubsequent or futur	e funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
		Tandre to do se	wiii resait iii a one t	Troome Application	Completeness ded	ZGROTI.	TOTALS:	92	63	20
R										
3. Co	mmunity Trans	sformation Plan						6 B	3.	
Doe	es the Applicant re	eference an existing Community Revita	alization Plan mee	ting DCA standard	s?					
1.	1. Community-Based Team 2 1.									
Cor	mmunity-Based D	eveloper (CBD)	Select at least to	wo out of the three	options (i, ii and iii) in "a" below, o	or "b"). CBD			
	Entity Name				Website		·			
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email				Yes/No	Yes/No
a) <i>i</i> .							a around the development (proposed or	1 >		
	_	ere) in the last two years and can docu	ment that these pa	artnerships have m		d community o	r resident outcomes.			
	CBO 1 Name				Purpose:					Support
		hborhd where partnership occurred			Website				inclu	ded?
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email					
	CBO 2 Name	the set of set one or and search to a second			Purpose:					Support
	· ·	hborhd where partnership occurred	Disast Line		Website				Inclu	ded?
::	Contact Name	vegra the CRD has partisipated as led	Direct Line	vitica banafitting ai	Email	l Naighbarbaad	or 2) a targeted area surrounding their	i		
11.		another Georgia community. Use com						'	1.	
iii	N/a - no points are to	peing claimed een selected as a result of a communit	v-driven initiative	by the Local Gover	nment in a Reque	st for Proposal	or similar public bid process	ii	i I	
or b)		m received a HOME consent for the p	•	•	•			b.		
Cor	nmunity Quarterb	•	See QAP for red	•			CQB	. 1	' <u></u>	<u>. </u>
	•	,		•	ord of serving the C	efined Neighbo	orhood, as delineated by the Community	Enter page	_	
		Plan, to increase residents' access to						nbr(s) here		
ii.		confirming their partnership with Proje								
	CQB Name	,			Website		,			
	Contact Name		Direct Line		Email					
2.	Quality Transfo	rmation Plan	-		=			4 2		
	Transformation ⁷	Team has completed Community Enga	agement and Outr	each prior to Applic	cation Submission	?				
a)	Public and Priva	ite Engagement			Tenancy:	Family				
	Family Applicant	ts must engage at least <u>two</u> different	Transformation Pa	artner types, while S	Senior Applicants r	nust engage at	least one Applicant agrees?			
i.	Transformation I	Partner 1 <select td="" transformation<=""><td>n Partner type></td><td></td><td></td><td>Date of Public</td><td>Meeting 1 between Partners</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></select>	n Partner type>			Date of Public	Meeting 1 between Partners			
	Org Name						lication of meeting notice			
	Website					Publication(s)				
	Contact Name		Direct Line			Social Media				
	Email					Mtg Locatn				
	Role Which Partners were present at Public Mtg 1 between Partners?									

	SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-013 Wood			ort Wentworth, Chatham Count	y		
Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section	REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in section on reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round ar Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application"	nd have no effect on s	ubsequent or futur	re funding round scoring decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
				TOTALS:	92	63	20
ii. Transformation Partner 2 < Select	Transformation Prtnr type>	If "Other" Type,	Date of Public	Meeting 2 (optional) between Partnrs			
Org Name		specify below:		olication of meeting notice			
Website			Publication(s)				
Contact Name	Direct Line		Social Media				
Email			Mtg Locatn				
Role			Which Partne	rs were present at Public Mtg 2 between	Partners?		
b) Citizen Outreach Choose	either "I" or "ii" below for (b).					Yes/No	Yes/No
i. Survey Copy of	blank survey and itemized summary of results inclu	uded in correspon	ding tab in appl	lication binder?		i.	
or Nbr of R	espondents						
ii. Public Meetings					i	ii.	
Meeting 1 Date			Dates: Mtg 2				
Date(s) of publication of Meeting 1 notice	;			qmt met by req'd public mtg between Tra	ansformatn Pa	artners?	
Publication(s)			Publication(s)				
Social Media			Social Media				
Meeting Location			Mtg Locatn				
Copy(-ies) of published notices provided				published notices provided in application			
	oint format below the top 5 challenges preventing the			cal resources (according to feedback from	m the low inco	ome popula	ation to
	goals and solutions for the Transformation Team	and Partners to ac	ddress:				
i. Local Population Challenge 1							
Goal for increasing residents' access							
Solution and Who Implements							
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access							
Solution and Who Implements							
ii. Local Population Challenge 2							
Goal for increasing residents' access							
Solution and Who Implements							
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access							
Solution and Who Implements							
iii. Local Population Challenge 3							
Goal for increasing residents' access							
Solution and Who Implements							
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access							
Solution and Who Implements							
iv. Local Population Challenge 4							
Goal for increasing residents' access							
Solution and Who Implements							
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access							
Solution and Who Implements							
v. Local Population Challenge 5							
Goal for increasing residents' access							
Solution and Who Implements							
Goal for catalyzing neighborhood's access							

PART	NINE - SCORING C	RITERIA - 20	17-013 Wood	Meadow Apa	rtments, Port Wentwort	h, Chatham Count	У		
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and S	Scoring section reviews pertain	cants must include co only to the correspond will result in a one (1)	ing funding round an	d have no effect on su	ubsequent or future funding round sco	ing decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
						TOTALS:	92	63	20
Solution and Who Implements									
C. Community Investment		_					4		
1. Community Improvement Fu	nd Amount / Bala	ance			Family	<u> </u>	1	1.	
Source Contact		Direct Line		Bank Name Account Name			Applicants: P	lease use "Pt I	X B-
Email		Direct Line		Bank Website			,	mprovmt Narr"	tab
Bank Contact		Direct Line		Contact Email			provided.		
Description of									
Use of Funds									
Narrative of how the									
secured funds									
support the									
Community									
Revitalization									
Plan or									
Community									
Transformation Plan.									
Tidii.									
2. Long-term Ground Lease							1	2.	
a) Projects receives a long-term g	ground lease (no less tha	n 45-year) for nomi	nal consideration	and no other land	d costs for the entire property?				
b) No funds other than what is dis	sclosed in the Application	have been or will b	e paid for the lea	se either directly	or indirectly?				
3. Third-Party Capital Investme	nt				Competitive Pool chosen:	Rural	_ 2	3.	
Unrelated Third-Party Name								-	_
Unrelated Third-Party Type					<select 3rd="" party="" td="" typ<="" unrelated=""><td>e></td><td>Improveme</td><td>ent Completi</td><td>on Date</td></select>	e>	Improveme	ent Completi	on Date
Is 3rd party investment commu	,	•	•	3 yrs prior to App					
Distance from proposed project Description of Investment or	t site in miles, rounded u	p to the next tenth	or a mile		miles				
Funding Mechanism									
Description of Investment's									
Furtherance of Plan									
Description of how the									
investment will serve the									
tenant base for the proposed									
development									
Full Cost of Improvement					Total Development Costs (TDC	C):			
as a Percent of TDC:	0.0000%	0.000	00%		13,098,980	7			

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA -	2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham Cour	nty	
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresp	te comments in sections where points are claimed. conding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	Score Value	Self DCA Score Score
	TOTALS:	92	63 20
D. Community Designations	(Choose only one.)	10	D.
HUD Choice Neighborhood Implementation (CNI) Grant		.0	1.
2. Purpose Built Communities			2.
Scoring Justification per Applicant			
The project is not claiming any points under the transformational communities section.			
DCA's Comments:			
9. PHASED DEVELOPMENTS / PREVIOUS PROJECTS	(choose A or B)	4	4 0
	Competitive Pool chosen: Rural		
A. Phased Developments	Phased Development? No 0	3	A.
	Phased Development in which one or more phases received an allocation of 9% tax credits of the transfer of the security and at least one phase has commenced construction per that a security and at least one phase has commenced construction per that a security and the security a		1.
If Yes, indicate DCA Project Nbr and Project Name of the first phase:	Number: Name		
If current application is for third phase, indicate for second phase:	Number: Name		
2. Was the community originally designed as one development with different			2.
3. Are any other phases for this project also submitted during the current	· · ·		3.
4. Was site control over the entire site (including all phases) in place when			4.
B. Previous Projects (Flexible Pool)	(choose 1 or 2)	3	B. 0 0
	eorgia Housing Credit development that has received an award in the last		
1. Five (5) DCA funding cycles		3	1.
OR 2. Four (4) DCA funding cycles	(()	2	2.
C. Previous Projects (Rural Pool)	(choose 1 or 3)	4	C. 4 0
The proposed development site is within a Local Government boun	dary which has not received an award of 9% Credits:	2	4 0
Within the last Five (5) DCA funding cycles	(additional value)	3	1. 3
2. Since the 2000 DCA Housing Credit Competitive Round	(additional point)	1 2	2. 1
OR 3. Within the last Four (4) DCA funding cycles		2	3.
Scoring Justification per Applicant There have been no LIHTC developments in Port Wentworth since 2000.			
DCA's Comments:			
Dorro Commonto.			

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Port Wentworth, Chatham County REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. TOTALS: 92 10. MARKET CHARACTERISTICS For DCA determination: A. Are more than two DCA funded projects in the primary market area which have physical occupancy rates of less than 90 percent and which compete for the same tenant base as the proposed project? B. Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the proposed market which could detrimentally affect the long term viability of the proposed project and the proposed tenant population? C. Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker than projected? Score Value Value Score Value 10. MARKET CHARACTERISTICS FOR DCA determination: A. No Yes/No Yes/No Yes/No No No No No No No No No No
Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. TOTALS: 92 10. MARKET CHARACTERISTICS For DCA determination: A. Are more than two DCA funded projects in the primary market area which have physical occupancy rates of less than 90 percent and which compete for the same tenant base as the proposed project? B. Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the proposed market which could detrimentally affect the long term viability of the proposed project and the proposed tenant population? C. Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker than projected? Value Score Score Score Score 63 20 Yes/No Yes
TOTALS: 92 10. MARKET CHARACTERISTICS For DCA determination: A. Are more than two DCA funded projects in the primary market area which have physical occupancy rates of less than 90 percent and which compete for the same tenant base as the proposed project? B. Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the proposed market which could detrimentally affect the long term viability of the proposed project and the proposed tenant population? C. Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker than projected? C. No
10. MARKET CHARACTERISTICS For DCA determination: A. Are more than two DCA funded projects in the primary market area which have physical occupancy rates of less than 90 percent and which compete for the same tenant base as the proposed project? B. Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the proposed market which could detrimentally affect the long term viability of the proposed project and the proposed tenant population? C. Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker than projected? 2
For DCA determination: A. Are more than two DCA funded projects in the primary market area which have physical occupancy rates of less than 90 percent and which compete for the same tenant base as the proposed project? B. Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the proposed market which could detrimentally affect the long term viability of the proposed project and the proposed tenant population? C. Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker than projected? C. No
A. Are more than two DCA funded projects in the primary market area which have physical occupancy rates of less than 90 percent and which compete for the same tenant base as the proposed project? B. Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the proposed market which could detrimentally affect the long term viability of the proposed project and the proposed tenant population? C. Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker than projected? A. No No Proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker than projected? C. No
base as the proposed project? B. Has there been a significant change in economic conditions in the proposed market which could detrimentally affect the long term viability of the proposed project and the proposed tenant population? C. Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker than projected? C. No
proposed tenant population? C. Does the proposed market area appear to be overestimated, creating the likelihood that the demand for the project is weaker than projected? C. No
D. Is the capture rate of a specific bedroom type and market segment over 55%? D. No
Scoring Justification per Applicant
The project meets all of the market characteristics for scoring. The overall tax credit capture rate is 3.3% as shown on page 15 of the Market study in tab 05.
DCA's Comments:
11. EXTENDED AFFORDABILITY COMMITMENT (choose only one) 1 1 0
A. Waiver of Qualified Contract Right
Applicant agrees to forego cancellation option for at least 5 yrs after close of Compliance period?
B. Tenant Ownership
Applicant commits to a plan for tenant ownership at end of compliance period (only applies to single family units).
DCA's Comments:
12. EXCEPTIONAL NON-PROFIT 0 3
Nonprofit Setaside selection from Project Information tab: No Yes/No Yes/No
Is the applicant claiming these points for this project?
Is this is the only application from this non-profit requesting these points in this funding round?
Is the NonProfit Assessment form and the required documentation included in the appropriate tab of the application? DCA's Comments:
DCA'S COMMENTS.
13. RURAL PRIORITY Competitive Pool: Rural Urban or Rural: Rural 2 2
Each Applicant will be limited to claiming these points for one Rural project in which they have a direct or indirect interest and which involves 80 or fewer units. Failure by the Applicant to designate these points to only one qualified project will result in no points being awarded.
MGP Gateway Wood Meadow, LLC 0.0100% Allan Rappuhn NPSponsr 0 0.0000% 0
OGP1 0 0.0000% 0 Developer Gateway Development Corporation 0.0000% Allan Rappuhn
OGP2 0 0.0000% 0 Co-Developer 1 0 0.0000% 0
OwnCons 0 0.0000% 0 Co-Developer 2 0 0.0000% 0
Branch Lawre Tou On the Freith F
Fed LP Raymond James Tax Credit Equity F 99.980% John Colvin Developmt Consult 0 0.0000% 0
Fed LPRaymond James Tax Credit Equity F99.9800%John ColvinDevelopmt Consult00.0000%0State LPRaymond James Tax Credit Equity F0.0100%John ColvinScoring Justification per Applicant

PART NINE - SCORING	CRITERIA - 2017-013 Woo	d Meadow Apartm	ents, Port Wentworth	, Chatham Count	у		
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertage	pilicants must include comments in section ain only to the corresponding funding round o will result in a one (1) point "Application	and have no effect on subsec	uent or future funding round scori	ng decisions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
				TOTALS:	92	63	20
4. DCA COMMUNITY INITIATIVES					2	0	0
A. Georgia Initiative for Community Housing (GICH)					1		
Letter from an eligible Georgia Initiative for Community Ho	ousing team that clearly:				A	A. Yes/No	Yes/No
Identifies the project as located within their GICH com	nmunity:	< Select a	pplicable GICH >	1	1		
2. Is indicative of the community's affordable housing go	pals			_	2		
3. Identifies that the project meets one of the objectives	of the GICH Plan				3	s.	
4. Is executed by the GICH community's primary or second	ondary contact on record w/ Universi	ty of Georgia Housing a	nd Demographic Research (Center as of 5/1/17?	4		
5. Has not received a tax credit award in the last three y	ears				5	i.	
NOTE: If more than one letter is issued by a GIC							
B. Designated Military Zones	http://www.dca.state.ga.us/economic/Dev	<u>velopmentTools/programs/mi</u>	<u>itaryZones.asp</u>		1		
Project site is located within the census tract of a DCA-des				407.00	E	3.	
City: Port Wentworth County:	Chatham QCT	? No	Census Tract #:	107.00			
Scoring Justification per Applicant he project does not receive any points for DCA Community In	itiatives	DC	A's Comments:				
	manvos.	Otiti DI	- h	Descri	4		
5. LEVERAGING OF PUBLIC RESOURCES		Competitive Pool	cnosen:	Rural	4	4	0
Indicate that the following criteria are met:	unconditional except as set forth in the	nia agotion					Yes/No
a) Funding or assistance provided below is binding and		nis section.				Yes	
b) Resources will be utilized if the project is selected for	• ,					Yes Yes	
 c) Loans are for both construction and permanent finance d) Loans are for a minimum period of ten years and refle 	• .	th the exception that HI	ID 221(d)/I loans and LISDA	538 loans must reflect		c) Yes	
rates at or below Bank prime loan, as posted on the F	•	•	` ,	550 loans mast reflect	interest (Yes	
e) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ensured loans are not u	·		•		•	e) N/a	
f) If 538 loans are beng considered for points in this sec	•	` '	• .			f) N/a	
1. Qualifying Sources - New loans or new grants from	n the following sources:		Amount			Ámount	
a) Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program	m (AHP)	a)		a)			
b) Replacement Housing Factor Funds or other HUD PH	II fund	b)		b)			
c) HOME Funds		c)	2,000,000	c)			
d) Beltline Grant/Loan		d)		d)			
e) Historic tax credit proceeds		e)		e)			
f) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) progra	am funds	f)		f)			
g) National Housing Trust Fund	solition CDEL revelving loop fund	g)		g)			
 h) Georgia TCAP acquisition loans passed through a Qu i) Foundation grants, or loans based from grant proceed 		h)		h)			
j) Federal Government grant funds or loans	as per QAF	i)		') i)			
Total Qualifying Sources (TQS):		1/	2,000,000	1/		0	
2. Point Scale	Total Development Costs (TDC):	-	13,098,980	1	<u>-</u>	-	
Scoring Justification per Applicant	TQS as a Percent of TDC:		15.2684%	1	(0.0000%	
the project received a DCA HOME consent for \$2,000,000. Th		b 01 and in the leveragin					
DCA's Comments:							

2517 Funding Application	011	riodoling i indii	oc and be	velopinent bivisie
PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Ap	partments, Port Wentwort	h, Chatham Coun	y	
REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are			Score	Self DCA
<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect or		ring decisions.	Value	Score Score
Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" de	eduction.	TOTAL C.		
		TOTALS:	92	63 20
16. INNOVATIVE PROJECT CONCEPT			3	
Is the applicant claiming these points?				No
Selection Criteria		Ranking Pts Value Ran	<u>ige</u>	Ranking Pts
1. Presentation of the project concept narrative in the Application.		0 - 10		1.
2. Uniqueness of innovation.		0 - 10		2.
3. Demonstrated replicability of the innovation.		0 - 5		3.
4. Leveraged operating funding		0 - 5		4.
5. Measureable benefit to tenants6. Collaborative solutions proposed and <i>evidence</i> of subject matter experts' <i>direct</i> involvement in the strategic	c concept development	0 - 5 0 - 5		5. 6.
DCA's Comments:	c concept development.	0 - 40	-	Total: 0
DOA'S COMMONS.		0 40		Total. 0
AT INTECRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING				
17. INTEGRATED SUPPORTIVE HOUSING			3	2 0
A. Integrated Supportive Housing/ Section 811 RA	10% of Total Units (max):	8	┥	A. 2 0
1. Applicant agrees to accept Section 811 PBRA or other DCA-offered RA for up to 10% of the units for the	Total Low Income Units	72	_	1. Agree
purpose of providing Integrated Supportive Housing (ISH) opportunities to Persons w/ Disabilities (PWD), and is prepared to accept the full utilization by DCA of 10% of the units?	Min 1 BR LI Units required	7	_	
	1 BR LI Units Proposed	8	╛	
2. Applicant understands the requirements of HUD's Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) program, in	ncluding the 30-year use restriction	n for all PRA units?		2. Yes
3. At least 10% of the total low-income units in the proposed Application will be one bedroom units?				3. Yes
4. Applicant is willing to accept Assistance affordable to 50% AMI tenants?				4. Yes
B. Target Population Preference			3	B. 0 0
1. Applicant has a commitment of HUD Section 8 project-based rental assistance from a Public Housing Author	ority which has elected to offer a	tenant selection		1.
preference in their Voucher programs for persons with specific disabilities identified in the Settlement Agree	ement (#1:10-CV-249-CAP)?		_	
Name of Public Housing Authority providing PBRA:	PBRA Expiration:			
2. Applicant agrees to implement a minimum of 15% of the total units targeting the Settlement population?	Nbr of Settlement units:		0.0%	2.
Scoring Justification per Applicant				
he project receives 2 points for Integrated Supportive Housing/Section 811 RA. We have set aside 10% of our LI ur	nits for this purpose.			
DCA's Comments:				
18. HISTORIC PRESERVATION (choose A or B)			2	0 0
The property is: < <select applicable="" status="">></select>	Historic Credit Equity:	0	1	
A. Historic <u>and</u> Adaptive Reuse	Historic adaptive reuse units:	0	2 .	A.
The proposed development includes historic tax credit proceeds and is an adaptive reuse of a	Total Units	80		
certified historic structure.	% of Total	0.00%	-	
<< Enter here Applicant's Narrative of how building will be reused >>				
B. Historic	Nbr Historic units:	0	7 1	В.
The property is a certified historic structure per QAP or is deemed historic via a Georgia DNR-HPD approved	Total Units	80	╡ '	
NPS Part 1- Evaluation of Significance to have a preliminary determination of listing on the National Register	% of Total	0.00%	1	
DCA's Comments:		0.0070	_	

eorgia Department of Community Affairs	2017 Funding Application	ŀ	Housing Finance	e and Dev	elopmer	nt Divisi
PART NINE - SCORING	CRITERIA - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments, Po	ort Wentworth, Ch	atham County			
Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pert	plicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed. Itain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or futures will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.	e funding round scoring dec	isions.	Score Value	Self Score	DCA Score
		•	TOTALS:	92	63	20
19. HEALTHY HOUSING INITIATIVES	(choose A or B or C)			3	3	0
Pre-requisites:					Agree or Y/N	Agree or Y/N
1. In Application submitted, Applicant used the following	g needs data to more efficiently target the proposed initiative for a propo	sed property:			Agree	
a) A local Community Health Needs Assessment (CHN	,				No	
b) The "County Health Rankings & Reports" website:	http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/health-gaps/georg	<u>jia</u>			Yes	
c) The Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Cor	mmunity Health Status Indicators (CHSI) website				Yes	
2. The Applicant identified target healthy initiatives to lo	cal community needs?				Agree	
3. Explain the need for the targeted health initiative prop	posed in this section. Fandum of Understanding with Effingham Health Systems (EHS) and to					
Based on information gained from the "County Health Rar health rate and adults with diabetes is 10.4%. These are a be available to describe and develop wellness plans and p	to businesses and industries in the Port Wentworth and surrounding are hkings and Report" and the "CHSI website" Chatham County's population areas of concern. EHS will provide educational "Lunch and Learn" sess preventive health care screenings that will provide Residents with information plans, blood pressure controlling plans, diabetes monitoring and/or to the above noted areas of concern.	on has a 31% adult obe ions that include lunch nation that addresses th	, at no cost to the re ne findings listed at	esidents. Gu ove. Areas	est Speak of interest	ers will
A. Preventive Health Screening/Wellness Program	for Residents			3	3	0
1. a) Applicants agrees to provide on-site preventive he	ealth screenings and or Wellness Services at the proposed project?			á	Agree	
b) The services will be provided at least monthly and				k) Yes	
	and preventive health care education and information for the residents?			(c) Yes	
2. Description of Service (Enter "N/a" if necessary)		1	Occurrenc	е		Resident
a) Blood Pressure screenings			Monthly			0
b) Weight Monitoring c) Diabetes Monitoring			Monthly Monthly			0
d) CPR Classes, First Aid Instruction, and development	and management of wellness program		Monthly			0
B. Healthy Eating Initiative			,	2	0	0
Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Eating Initiative, as	defined in the OAP, at the proposed project?			۷		U
1. The community garden and edible landscape will:	a) Emphasize the importance of local, seasonal, and healthy food?			á	a)	
, ,	b) Have a minimum planting area of at least 400 square feet?			k	o)	
	c) Provide a water source nearby for watering the garden?			(c)	
	d) Be surrounded on all sides with fence of weatherproof construction			((k)	
	e) Meet the additional criteria outlined in DCA's Architectural Manua	al – Amenities Guidebo	ok?	6	e)	
2. The monthly healthy eating programs will be provided	d free of charge to the residents and will feature related events?			2	2.	
Description of Monthly Healthy Eating Programs		Description of Related	Event			
a)						

PART NINE - SCORING	CRITERIA - 20	17-013 Wood	Meadow Apa	artments, Po	rt Wentworth	, Chatham Coun	ty		
	plicants must include (- 6 mallon manual annula	or de etelesia	Score	Self	DCA
Disclaimer: DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions. Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction. Score Scor							Score		
Tanure to uo s	o wii resuit ii a one tr	Abblication C	ombicieness ded	uction.		TOTALS:	92	63	20
C. Healthy Activity Initiative						TO TALO.	2	0	0
Applicant agrees to provide a Healthy Activity Initiative, as	defined in the OAP	at the proposed p	roject?	<< If Agree, er	nter type of Health	y Activity Initiative her		U	U
1. The dedicated multi-purpose walking trail that is ½ mi			•		nor type or ricain	y riouvity initiative fier			
a) Be well illuminated?	0 1	a)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		f) Provide trash re	eceptacles?	f)		
b) Contain an asphalt or concrete surface?		b)			g) Meet the addit	ional criteria outlined i	in DCA's g)		
c) Include benches or sitting areas throughout course of	trail?	c)			Architectural Man	ual – Amenities Guide	ebook?		
d) Provide distance signage?		d)			i				•
e) Provide 1 piece of fitness equipment per every 1/8 mi	le of trail?	e)			Length of Trail				miles
2. The monthly educational information will be provided	free of charge to the	residents on relate	ed events?				2.		
Scoring Justification per Applicant									
We are claiming 3 points for Preventative Health Screenings/W						ional Medicine has en	ntered into a MO	U to targe	et the
nealth needs of our project. All required documentation includir	ng data needs result	s, executed MOO a	na backup docur	mentation is pro	vided in tab 40.				
DCA's Comments:									
20.10 20									
20.10 00									
							3	2	0
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance	zone of one or more	high-performing s	chools as determ	nined by the stat	ee CCRPI?		3	2 Yes	0
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance		0.			e CCRPI?		3		0
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance		RPI website:	chools as determ Chatham County Family		ee CCRPI?		3		0
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School Syst	em - from state CCF	RPI website:	Chatham County Family	/ - 625		site?	3		0
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School Syst CCRPI Data Must Tenancy	em - from state CCF	RPI website:	Chatham County Family ct wide) attendan	r - 625 ce zone that inc	ludes the property			Yes	
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School Syst CCRPI Data Must Tenancy	em - from state CCF	RPI website:	Chatham County Family ct wide) attendan	r - 625 ce zone that inc			Average CCRPI Score		RPI >
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School Syst CCRPI Data Must Tenancy Be Used If Charter school use	em - from state CCF	RPI website:	Chatham County Family It wide) attendand CC	ce zone that inc	ludes the property	nding In:	Average	Yes	RPI >
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School Syst CCRPI Data Must Tenancy Be Used If Charter school use School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website)	em - from state CCF d, does it have a des Grades Served	RPI website: signated (not distric Charter School?	Chatham County Family tt wide) attendand CC 2013	ce zone that inc CRPI Scores from 2014	ludes the property m School Years E 2015	nding In:	Average CCRPI Score	CCF State A	RPI >
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School Syst CCRPI Data Must Tenancy Be Used If Charter school use School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) a) Primary/Elementary Godley Station School - 0211	em - from state CCF d, does it have a des Grades Served PK-08	RPI website: signated (not district Charter School?	Chatham County Family It wide) attendance CC 2013 84.80	ce zone that inc CRPI Scores from 2014 88.20	ludes the property m School Years E 2015 74.20	nding In:	Average CCRPI Score 82.40	CCF State A'	RPI >
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level a) Primary/Elementary b) Middle/Junior High District / School Syst Tenancy If Charter school use School Name (from state CCRPI website) Godley Station School - 0211 Godley Station School - 0211	em - from state CCF d, does it have a des Grades Served PK-08 PK-08	RPI website: signated (not district Charter School? No No	Chatham County Family It wide) attendance CC 2013 84.80 82.20	ce zone that inc CRPI Scores from 2014 88.20 75.40	ludes the property m School Years E 2015 74.20 66.80	nding In:	Average CCRPI Score 82.40 74.80	CCF State A Yes Yes	RPI >
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School Syst CCRPI Data Must Be Used If Charter school use School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) School Level Godley Station School - 0211 Godley Station School - 0211 Groves High School - 3056	em - from state CCF d, does it have a des Grades Served PK-08 PK-08 09-12	RPI website: signated (not district Charter School? No No No	Chatham County Family It wide) attendance CC 2013 84.80 82.20	ce zone that inc CRPI Scores from 2014 88.20 75.40	ludes the property m School Years E 2015 74.20 66.80	nding In:	Average CCRPI Score 82.40 74.80	CCF State A Yes Yes	RPI >
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School Syst CCRPI Data Must Tenancy Be Used If Charter school use School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) School Level Godley Station School - 0211 Groves High School - 3056 d) Primary/Elementary Godley Station School - 0211	em - from state CCF d, does it have a des Grades Served PK-08 PK-08 09-12 PK-08	RPI website: signated (not district Charter School? No No No No No	Chatham County Family It wide) attendance CC 2013 84.80 82.20	ce zone that inc CRPI Scores from 2014 88.20 75.40	ludes the property m School Years E 2015 74.20 66.80	nding In:	Average CCRPI Score 82.40 74.80	CCF State A Yes Yes	RPI >
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level a) Primary/Elementary b) Middle/Junior High c) High d) Primary/Elementary e) Middle/Junior High f) High Groves High School - 0211 Godley Station School - 0211 Groves High School - 3056 Scoring Justification per Applicant	em - from state CCF d, does it have a des Grades Served PK-08 PK-08 09-12 PK-08 PK-08 09-12	RPI website: signated (not district Charter School? No No No No No No No No No N	Chatham County Family tt wide) attendance 2013 84.80 82.20 74.40	7 - 625 ce zone that inc CRPI Scores froi 2014 88.20 75.40 68.10	ludes the property m School Years E 2015 74.20 66.80 71.30	nding In: 2016	Average CCRPI Score 82.40 74.80 71.27	CCF State A' Yes Yes No	RPI > verage?
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 District / School Syst CCRPI Data Must Tenancy Be Used If Charter school use School Level School Name (from state CCRPI website) a) Primary/Elementary b) Middle/Junior High c) High Godley Station School - 0211 F) High Godley Station School - 0211 Godley Station School - 0211 F) High Groves High School - 3056 Scoring Justification per Applicant Please see the included Savanah Chatham County School Sys	Grades Served PK-08 PK-08 09-12 PK-08 PK-08 09-12 PK-08 09-12	Charter School? No No No No No No No No No N	Chatham County Family tt wide) attendance 2013 84.80 82.20 74.40 anowing our site is	ce zone that inc CRPI Scores from 2014 88.20 75.40 68.10	ludes the property m School Years E 2015 74.20 66.80 71.30	nding In: 2016 2016 uded the list of school	Average CCRPI Score 82.40 74.80 71.27	CCF State A' Yes Yes No	RPI > verage?
20. QUALITY EDUCATION AREAS Application develops a property located in the attendance NOTE: 2013-2016 CCRPI Data Must Be Used School Level a) Primary/Elementary b) Middle/Junior High c) High d) Primary/Elementary e) Middle/Junior High f) High Groves High School - 0211 Godley Station School - 0211 Groves High School - 3056 Scoring Justification per Applicant	Grades Served PK-08 PK-08 09-12 PK-08 PK-08 09-12 PK-08 09-12	Charter School? No No No No No No No No No N	Chatham County Family tt wide) attendance 2013 84.80 82.20 74.40 anowing our site is	ce zone that inc CRPI Scores from 2014 88.20 75.40 68.10	ludes the property m School Years E 2015 74.20 66.80 71.30	nding In: 2016 2016 uded the list of school	Average CCRPI Score 82.40 74.80 71.27	CCF State A' Yes Yes No	RPI > verage?

eorgia Department o	of Community .	Affairs	2017 Fundi	ing Application		Housing Finar	nce and De	velopmen	nt Divisio
	PART N	INE - SCORING CRITERIA -	2017-013 Wood	Meadow Apartme	ents, Port Wentworth	, Chatham Coun	ity		
<u>Disclaimer:</u> D(CA Threshold and Sco	REMINUER: Applicants must include pring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding section reviews pertain only to the corresponding section at the corresponding section in a section of the corresponding section in the corresponding section	onding funding round and	d have no effect on subsequ	uent or future funding round scorin	g decisions. TOTALS:	Score Value 92	Self Score	DCA Score
24 WORKEORCE	HOUSING NE	ED (chance A or B)	(Must use 2014 de	oto from "OnThe Man" i	tool but 2015 data may be				
21. WORKFORCE	HOUSING NE	ED (choose A or B)	(Must use 2014 da	ata from "On I neiviap" t	tool, but 2015 data may be	used if available)	2	0	0
A. Minumum jobs OR B. Exceed the min		\underline{d} 60 % of workers within a 2-mile radiunold by 50%	s travel over 10 miles	to their place of work			2 2		
Jobs	City of		,	Atlanta Metro			Other	Rural	
Threshold	Atlanta	(Cherokee, Clayton, Co	bb, DeKalb, Douglas,	Fayette, Fulton, Gwin	nett, Henry and Rockdale co	ounties)	MSA	Area	_
Minimum	20,000			15,000			6,000	3,000	
Project Site									
Min Exceeded by:	0.00%			0.00%			0.00%	0.00%	
Total Nbr of Jobs w Nbr of Jobs in 2-mil	/in the 2-mile radio le radius w/ worke w/in the 2-mile ra	(from chart above) Nbr of Jobs: us: rs who travel > 10 miles to work: adius w/ workers travelling over 10 mile	Per Applicant S 0.00%	Per DCA 0.00%		Port Wentworth Chatham Savannah MSA Rural			
DCA's Comments:									
22. COMPLIANCE	/ PERFORMA	NCE					10	10	10
Base Score Deductions Additions Scoring Justification								10	10
-	as submitted for A	Allan Rappuhn during the pre-application	n process.						
DCA's Comments:									
				SIBLE SCORE EXCEPTIONAL NO INNOVATIVE PROJ	NPROFIT POINTS	6	92	63	20 0 0
			NET POSSIBI	E SCORE WITH	OUT DCA EXTRA POI	NTS			20

PART NINE - SCORING CRITERIA - 2017-013 Wood Meadow Apartments	Port Wentworth, Chatham County
--	--------------------------------

REMINDER: Applicants must include comments in sections where points are claimed.

<u>Disclaimer:</u> DCA Threshold and Scoring section reviews pertain only to the corresponding funding round and have no effect on subsequent or future funding round scoring decisions.

Failure to do so will result in a one (1) point "Application Completeness" deduction.

Score Value 92

TOTALS:

Self DCA Score Score

DCA has included the following area for Applicants to make comments in any section they claimed points but were not provided with comment section. Include the section/(s) you are referring to within this area along with any applicable comments.

All documentation for scoring is provided in the corresponding tabs.

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

Wood Meadow Apartments Port Wentworth, Chatham County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Scoring Section 8.C.1 - Transformational Communities: Community Improvement Fund Narrative

Wood Meadow Apartments Port Wentworth, Chatham County



Scoring Section 14 - DCA Community Initiatives: GICH Project Narrative

Wood Meadow Apartments
Port Wentworth, Chatham County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Scoring Section 16 - Innovative Project Concept Narrative

Wood Meadow Apartments
Port Wentworth, Chatham County

<< Enter paragraph(s) here. Press and hold Alt-Enter to start new paragraphs. >>

Georgia Department of Community Affairs Housing Finance and Development Division 60 Executive Park South, NE. Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2231

Re: Application for Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and/or HOME Funding

To DCA:

This Application is submitted in accordance with the 2017 Qualified Allocation Plan and the Housing Finance and Development Division Manuals. In submitting this Application for funding consideration, the undersigned applicant hereby certifies:

- 1) I understand that, in the event an allocation (or an allowance) for LIHTCs was obtained with false information supplied to the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA"), DCA will recapture the LIHTCs or request that the IRS deny tax credits to the Applicant entity. Also, a supplier, including the developer or owner, who knowingly provides false information will be barred by DCA from program participation for a period of five (5) years from the date the false information was discovered, in accordance with a Memorandum of Understanding between the Internal Revenue Service and the Georgia Housing and Finance Authority.
- 2) I am responsible for ensuring the project consists or will consist of a qualified low-income building (or buildings) as defined in the Internal Revenue Code section 42(c)(2) and will satisfy all applicable requirements of State and Federal tax law in the acquisition, development and operation of the project to receive State and federal housing tax credits.
- 3) I am responsible for all calculations and figures relating to the determination of the eligible basis of the building(s). I understand and agree the amount of the credit is allocated by reference to the figures that I submit as to eligible and qualified basis. I understand that the actual amount of credit allocated may vary somewhat from the amount initially reserved or committed due to (a) the determination by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs ("DCA") as to the amount of credit necessary for the financial feasibility of the project and its viability as a qualified low-income housing project; (b) revisions in the calculations of eligible and qualified basis as finally determined; (c) fluctuations in the prevailing credit percentage; and (d) availability of the credit.
- 4) I understand and agree that DCA makes no representations or warranties regarding the financial feasibility of the project, the amount of credit, or the appropriateness of the allocation of the credit and makes no independent investigation as to the eligible and qualified basis and that any and all credit awards and credit amounts are solely based on representations made by me. I therefore agree to hold harmless and indemnify DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA in the event that I or anyone acting on my behalf, at my request or by and through me incurs any loss, injury, or damages in conjunction with the project including those that may result from any inquiries or gathering of information by DCA concerning the proposed project team or Application, diminution of the credit, loss of the credit, recapture of part or all of the credit, failure to allocate the credit requested in my Application or, the failure of DCA, in whole or in part, to grant my Application.
- 5) I understand and agree that neither DCA nor any of its individual directors, employees, members, officers, or agents assume any responsibility or make any representations or warranties with respect to: (i) the amount or availability of credit for the project; or (ii) the financial feasibility of the project.

- 6) I understand and agree that my Application for a low-income housing credit and/or HOME loan, all attachments thereto, amendments, and all correspondence relating to my Application in particular or the credit in general are subject to a request disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I further understand and agree that any and all correspondence to me from DCA, other DCA-generated documents, or documents to or from a third party in the possession of DCA relating to my Application are subject to a request for disclosure under the Georgia Open Records Act and I expressly consent to such disclosure. I agree to hold harmless DCA and the individual directors, employees, members, officers, and agents of DCA against all losses, costs, damages, expenses, and liability of whatsoever nature or kind (including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, litigation, and court costs) directly or indirectly resulting from or arising out of the release of all information pertaining to my Application pursuant to a request under the Georgia Open Records Act or resulting from or arising out of the release.
- 7) I understand and agree to authorize DCA access to information relevant to DCA's Application review. I understand that DCA may request an IRS Form 8821 for any Project Participant through final allocation of credits and/or disbursement of funds. Project Participants will complete Form 8821 upon DCA's request.
- 8) I understand that any misrepresentations, which includes fraudulent, negligent, and/or innocent, in this Application or supporting documentation may result in a withdrawal of tax credits and/or HOME loan by DCA, my (and related parties) being barred from future program participation, and notification of the Internal Revenue Service and/or HUD.
- 9) I certify that all sources of funds, including but not limited to Federal, State and local funding sources, have been disclosed and revealed.

In addition, Applicant understands:

- The above certifications are of a continuing nature and apply at all stages of the Application process: initial application, commitment, carryover allocation, and final allocation/funding.
- DCA must be notified of any subsequent events or information, which would change any statements or representations in the attached Application or amendments thereto;
- DCA reserves the right to verify all information or documents used in processing the Application, including requiring credit checks on all parties involved in the transaction. Applicant hereby authorizes the financing bank, accountant, mortgage lender, creditors, other state housing agencies and others sources identified in the Application to release information to DCA or its designee in order to verify the accuracy of information in the Application and amendments thereto.

Applicant agrees and understands that it may be charged for all fees and costs incurred by DCA in the inspection of funded properties during and after construction and in the enforcement of DCA regulations and policies.

Under penalty of perjury, to the best of my knowledge, I certify that all of the information in the attached Application, including all supporting documentation, is correct, complete and accurate.

APPLICANT/OWNER

Allan Rappuhn	Manager of GP
Printed Name	Title
	May 1, 2017
Signature	Date
	[SEAL]