2016 Georgia BoS CoC Application – Project Performance & CoC Priority Scoring Criteria
Renewal Projects Only

Applicant Agency _____

HUD Project Name _____

HUD Project Type:
☐ Permanent Supportive Housing   ☐ Rapid Re-Housing

For APR data requested within the application, agencies should use data from the HUD APR part 1 and part 2 from HMIS or APRICOT/ALICE covering the period of 7/1/2015 to 6/30/2016 (submission of these reports is required). Please note that renewal projects that have are first-time renewal projects funded under the 2015 competition, (and have not been operational for 1 full year) will automatically receive the median points awarded for like projects on performance, with the exception of bonus points, which will be applicable as scored.

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Reviewer Score	Reviewer Notes
Agency demonstrates they have the capacity to carry out and implement the project proposed. Eligible renewing projects are considered to have met threshold unless other information is available to the contrary.	Pass/Fail		

Performance Criteria	Standard / Goal	Points Available	Scoring	Data Source	Review Score
1. ALL Projects - Spending all grant funds awarded for last grant award period. [Total of all funds expended/total funds awarded by HUD for most recently completed operating year]	Spending 100% of award or Proposed Project Implementation	5	95% or > spent = 5 90-94% spent = 4 85-89% spent = 3 80-84% spent =2 70-79% = 0* *may be subject to reduction	Applica. or APR [Q 30a1-4 or Q31]	
1-a. ALL Projects – Quarterly Draw Downs from LOCCS. [Funds are drawn down from LOCCS every 90 days or less once the contract was executed]	Funds drawn down every 90 days or less.	5	90 days or less = 5	Provider report from LOCCS or internal database.	
2. ALL Projects – Project Utilization Units/Clients [% of unit utilization for housing programs]	Unit/Client Utilization 100% Or Capacity to fully utilize program	5	95% or > = 5 90-94% = 4 85-89% = 3 80-84% =2 51-79% = 0 Projects at 50% or less = -20 (and may be subject to reduction or reallocation)	Review Applica. / or APR	
Subtotal Grant / Utilization		**15**			

Program Performance Criteria	Standard / Goal	Points Available	Scoring	Data Source	Review Score
3. <u>PH Programs</u>: Housing Stability for clients served in the reporting period of the last APR submitted to HUD. [% PH persons who remained in the PH program as of end of year OR exited to PH during year]	85%	20	85% or > = 20 75-84% = 15 70-74% = 5 Below 70% = 0	APR [Q36a PH] or Q29 Perm Destination/ # Leavers	
3a. <u>Length of Stay</u>: Average length of stay for all participants during 7/1/15-6/30/16. (Informational Purposes only)	TBD	N/A	N/A	APR (HMIS data)	
3b. <u>Returns to Homelessness</u>: Percentage of participants who exited 7/1/2014-6/30/15 that returned to homelessness. (Informational only)	TBD	N/A	N/A	DCA (HMIS data)	
Subtotal Housing Stability		**20**			
4. <u>ALL Programs</u>: Program has dedicated beds/units for serving persons who are chronically homeless. <u>Current Projects</u> will be scored on dedicated chronic beds compared with clients being served by the project. Must meet HUD's definition of chronically homeless.	100%	10	100% = 10 70-99% = 7.5 50-69% = 5 30-49% = 2.5 Less than 30% = 0	Applica., & verified w/APR (HMIS data)	
5. <u>ALL Programs</u>: Program targets/serves Veterans. <u>Current Projects</u> will be scored on adults being served by the project.	100% HHs w/adult Veteran	10	100% = 10 70-99% = 7.5 50-69% = 5 30-49% = 2.5 Less than 30% = 0	Applica., & verified w/APR	
6. <u>ALL Programs</u>: Program serves persons who have special needs or high barriers to housing. (disabilities, victims of domestic violence, and youth households (adults 18-24)	100%	10	100% = 10 70-99% = 7 40-69% = 5 Less than 40% = 0	Applica. & verified w/APR (Q16, Q18a, Q18b, or Q19a)	
7. <u>ALL Programs</u>: At least one Adult per household w/previous residence that indicates literal homelessness (project eligibility).	100%	10	100% = 10	APR Data	
Subtotal Homeless & Special Needs		**40**			
8. <u>ALL Programs</u>: Employment Income for Leavers [adult leavers exiting with 'earned income'/ adult leavers	20%	10	20% or > = 10 15- 19% = 4 Below 15% = 0	APR [Q25a1 & Q25a2]	

9. ALL Programs: Increased Income from All Sources [% of persons age 18 or older who maintained or increased total income from all sources as of the end of the operating year or at program exit.}	54%	10	54% or > = 10 49-53% = 7 40-48% = 4 35-39% = 2 Below 35% = 0	APR [Q36]	
10. ALL Programs: Leavers with Non Cash Mainstream Benefit Sources [adult leavers with '1+ source of non-cash benefits'/total leaving adults]	80% or >	10	80% or > = 10 65-79% = 7.5 50-64% = 4 49% or below = 0	APR [Q26a1 & Q26a2]	
11. Renewal Programs: Leavers who exit housing or program to shelter, streets, or unknown [leavers exiting to 'emergency shelter', 'place not meant for human habitation', or 'don't know/refused'/all leavers]	10% or less	11	10% or < = 11 11-20% = 5 Over 20% = 0	APR [Q29a1 &Q29a2]	
Subtotal Performance		**41**			
12. Current Project Applicants - Monitoring – HUD Findings	No Findings or Concerns	4	See table below	HUD Monitoring Report and recipient response	
Subtotal Compliance		**4**			
13. CoC Participation: Attended BoS CoC Statewide or Regional Meetings (January 2016 or March 2016), Participates in BoS CoC Governance (Board or Committee Participation), or as either a Veteran Registry Coordinator.	Yes or No	10	Yes = 10	DCA list	
14. HMIS Data Quality * [% of Universal Data Elements (UDEs) with 'Don't Know or Refused' or 'Missing Data' in HMIS greater than 5% overall] (Applicants that are not a current CoC grantee & not currently using HMIS may submit data from a comparable MIS system.)	<5%	5	0-5% = 5 6-10% = 2.5 10% or more = 0	DCA /HMIS data pull (APR Q7)	
Subtotal: CoC Participation & HMIS Data Quality		**15**			
15. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources) With the exception of leasing, all agencies must demonstrate match resources equal to at least 25% of the total requested HUD funding.		5	Well defined = 5 Acceptable = 3 Unacceptable = 0	Applica.	

16. Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources) - The CoC goal for all leveraged resources (including match) is 150% of the grant amount. For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication		5	125% or more = 5 100-124% = 3 90-99% = 1 Less than 90% = 0	Applica.	
Subtotal: Match and Leveraging		**10**			
Total Points Available for Performance Criteria (listed on this form)		**145**			
Total Points Available for Outcome Criteria (Addendum criteria scoresheet)		**50**			
Total Possible Points**		**195**			
17. Bonus Points: Continuum of Care Permanent Supportive Housing Priority. Bonus points available for permanent supportive housing programs currently providing housing to people who are homeless with a disability.	100%	30 Current PSH Project	Current PSH program = 30	Application Project Type	
18. Bonus Points: Housing First: Bonus points available for permanent housing projects (PSH or RRH) that are currently using, or commit to using, the Housing First model.	100%	10	Yes (with supporting docs) = 10 No = 0	App, Certification, & Supporting Documents	
19. Bonus Points: Low Barrier Projects: Bonus points available to project applications that are low barrier projects (or commit to being low barrier), meaning project allows entry to program participants that includes: low or no income, current or past substance use, criminal records–with the exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state or local law or ordinance, and history of domestic violence.	100%	10	Yes (with supporting docs) = 10 No = 0	App, Certification, & Supporting Documents	
20. Bonus Points: Veteran Prioritization - Bonus points available to project applications that commit to partnering w/BoS CoC's Initiative to End Veteran Homelessness and will prioritize beds as available in Housing Blitz for Veterans on Master List.	100%	10	Yes (with supporting docs) = 10 No = 0	App, Certification, & Supporting Documents	

21. Bonus Points: Veteran Homeless Registry – Bonus points available to project applications submitted by an agency that served as a coordinator for the Homeless Veteran Registry in March 2016.	100%	10	Yes = 10 No = 0	DCA	
** Timeliness of Application Submission – Late submission of the review application will lose points. The rate will be <5% of the total SCORED points available in the competition for each working day that the application is late.	<5% of total points each working day the application is late	Depends on Submission Date	1 Day Late = <5% total points available 2 Days Late = <10% total points available 3 Days Late = <15% total points available Etc.	Based on date received at DCA.	
Total Points Received					

HUD Monitoring of CoC Program (point scale)		
Score	**Findings**	**Concerns**
4	No Findings	No Concerns
3	1 Program Finding	1 Financial Concern & 1 Program Concern 2 Program Concerns
2	One Financial Finding	3 or more concerns
2	2 Program Findings	
1	3 or More Findings	
0	Currently there are outstanding findings that have not been closed within 30 days.	

Notes:
1. Applicants must provide sufficient information to compete with other applicants under criteria, regardless of whether or not this information is required for the final application being submitted electronically to HUD.
2. In the event of a tie, decisions to be to be broken based upon funds requested/number served at capacity.
3. Review Team reserves discretion to award points between high and low ranges on Outcome, Match, and Leveraging criteria (Addendum criteria).
4. Note that this criteria reflects CoC priorities as well as HUD priorities outlined in the FY 2016 NOFA. As a matter of information to applicants, the Balance of State CoCs are judged competitively on CoC Coordination and Engagement (43 points), Project Ranking, Review and Capacity (30 points), Homeless

Management Information System (18 points), Point-In-Time Count (9 points), System Performance (40 points), and Performance and Strategic Planning (60 points) – 200 total points possible.

5. The Balance of State CoC project renewal applications for HMIS, and Coordinated Assessment have no impact upon the HUD score for the CoC application. Because of their importance to the CoC effort across the State, they will not be scored by the review panel and will be placed on the priority ranking within Tier 1. The CoC Planning grant is not part of the Tier ranking and does not impact the funding available.

6. Project Applicants that believe they were not allowed to participate in a fair and open process and were rejected by the CoC have the right to appeal the rejection directly to HUD as outlined in the NOFA.

7. More information on Housing First can be found on the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness web site at: http://usich.gov/resources/uploads/asset_library/Housing_First_Checklist_FINAL.pdf. It was also was sent out by HUD through the SNAPS Weekly Focus series that was released on August 21, 2013 (https://www.hudexchange.info/news/snaps-weekly-focus-adopting-a-housing-first-approach/). The entire series can be found at: https://www.hudexchange.info/homelessness-assistance/snaps-weekly-focus/. Competition Focus Messages for the 2016 competition can be found at: https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/fy-2016-coc-program-nofa-coc-program-competition/.

8. The Final Rule on Defining "Chronic Homeless" published in December 2015, can be found at: https://www.hudexchange.info/homelessness-assistance/resources-for-chronic-homelessness/.