

**2015 Georgia BoS CoC Application – Project Performance & CoC Priority Scoring Criteria
Renewal Projects Only**

Applicant Agency _____

HUD Project Name _____

HUD Project Type:

Permanent Supportive Housing

Transitional Housing

Rapid Re-Housing

Please note, for APR data requested within application, agencies should use data from the most recent program APR submitted to HUD within e-snaps (submission of this report is required). Agencies who have not had an APR due to HUD yet (new project/newly transferred project) can submit HUD APR from HMIS or APRICOT/ALICE on clients served between 4/1/2014 and 3/31/2015.

Please note: Renewal projects that have a HUD approved transfer, or are first-time renewal projects under the 2013 or 2014 competition, (and have not been operational for 1 full year) are in Hold Harmless status and will automatically receive the median points awarded for like projects, with the exception of bonus points, which will be applicable as scored.

| Project Threshold Criteria | Scoring | Reviewer Score | Reviewer Notes |
|---|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| Agency demonstrates they have the capacity to carry out and implement the project proposed. Eligible renewing projects are considered to have met threshold unless other information is available to the contrary. | Pass/Fail | | |

| Performance Criteria | Standard / Goal | Points Available | Scoring | Data Source | Review Score |
|---|---|------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. ALL Projects - Spending all grant funds awarded for last grant award period. [Total of all funds expended/total funds awarded by HUD for most recently completed operating year] | Spending 100% of grant award or Proposed Project Implementation | 5 | 95% or > spent = 5 90-94% spent = 4 85-89% spent = 3 80-84% spent = 2 Below 79% = 0 | Applica. or APR [Q 30a1-4 or Q31] | |
| 2. ALL Projects – Project Utilization Units/Clients [% of unit utilization for housing programs] | Unit/Client Utilization 100% Or Capacity to fully utilize program | 5 | 95% or > = 5 90-94% = 4 85-89% = 3 80-84% = 2 Below 79% = 0 | Review Applica. / or APR | |
| Subtotal Grant / Utilization | | 10 | | | |

| Program Performance Criteria | Standard / Goal | Points Available | Scoring | Data Source | Review Score |
|---|-----------------|------------------|--|---|--------------|
| 3. PSH Programs: Housing Stability for clients served in the reporting period of the last APR submitted to HUD. [% PH persons who remained in the PH program as of end of year OR exited to PH during year] | 80% | 20 | 80% or > = 20 75-79% = 15 70-74% = 5 Below 70% = 0 | APR [Q36a PH] or Q29 Perm Destination/# Leavers | |
| 4. TH Renewal Programs: Exits to Permanent Housing [% of leavers exiting to permanent destination] | 80% | 20 | 80% or > = 20 75-79% = 15 70-74% = 5 Below 70% = 0 | APR [Q36b TH] or Q29 Perm Destination/# Leavers | |
| Subtotal Housing Stability | | 20 | | | |
| 5. ALL Programs: Program targets/ serves persons who are chronically homeless. <u>Current Projects</u> will be scored on clients being targeted/served by the project. Must meet HUD's definition of chronically homeless. | 100% | 10 | 100% = 10 70-99% = 7.5 50-69% = 5 30-49% = 2.5 Less than 30% = 0 | Applica., & verified w/APR | |
| 6. ALL Programs: Program targets/serves Veterans. <u>Current Projects</u> will be scored on clients being targeted/served by the project. | 100% | 10 | 100% = 10 70-99% = 7.5 50-69% = 5 30-49% = 2.5 Less than 30% = 0 | Applica., & verified w/APR | |
| 7. ALL Programs: Program serves persons who have special needs or high barriers to housing. | 100% | 10 | 100% = 10 70-99% = 7 40-69% = 5 Less than 40% = 0 | Applica., & verified w/APR | |
| Subtotal Special Needs | | 30 | | | |
| 8. ALL Programs: Employment Income for Leavers [adult leavers exiting with 'earned income'/ adult leavers] | 20% | 10 | 20 or > = 10 15- 19% = 4 Below 15% = 0 | APR [Q25a1 & Q25a2] | |
| 9. ALL Programs: Increased Income from All Sources [% of persons age 18 or older who maintained or increased total income from all sources as of the end of the operating year or at program exit.] | 54% | 10 | 54% or > = 10 49-53% = 7 40-48% = 4 35-39% = 2 Below 35% = 0 | APR [Q36] | |

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 10. ALL Programs: Leavers with Non Cash Mainstream Benefit Sources [adult leavers with '1+ source of non-cash benefits'/total leaving adults] | 56% or > | 10 | 56% or > = 10 46-55% = 7.5 26-45% = 4 Below 25% = 0 | APR [Q26a1 & Q26a2] | |
| 11. Renewal Programs: Leavers who exit housing or program to shelter, streets or unknown [leavers exiting to 'emergency shelter', 'place not meant for human habitation', 'don't know/refused', or 'information missing'/all leavers] | 10% or less | 11 | 10% or < = 11 11-20% = 5 Over 20% = 0 | APR [Q29a1 & Q29a2] | |
| Subtotal Performance | | 41 | | | |
| 12. Current Project Applicants - Monitoring – DCA Findings | No Findings or Concerns | 4 | See table below | DCA Monitoring Report and recipient response | |
| Subtotal Compliance | | 4 | | | |
| 13. CoC Participation: Attended BoS CoC Statewide Meeting (March 2015), Participating in BoS CoC Governance (Board or Committee Participation), or as either a Homeless Count Coordinator or Member. | Yes or No | 10 | Yes = 10 | DCA list | |
| 14. HMIS Data Quality * [% of Universal Data Elements (UDEs) with 'Don't Know or Refused' or 'Missing Data' in HMIS greater than 5% overall] (Applicants that are not a current CoC grantee & not currently using HMIS may submit data from a comparable MIS system.) | <5% | 5 | 0-5% = 5 6-10% = 2.5 10% or more = 0 | DCA /HMIS data pull (APR Q7) | |
| Subtotal: CoC Participation & HMIS Data Quality | | 15 | | | |
| 15. Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources) With the exception of leasing, all agencies must demonstrate match resources equal to at least 25% of the total requested HUD funding. | | 5 | Well defined = 5 Acceptable = 3 Unacceptable = 0 | Applica. | |
| Leveraging (Cash or In-Kind Resources) - The CoC goal for all leveraged resources (including match) is 150% of the grant amount. For this section, agencies should have reported leveraged resources outside | | 5 | 125% or more = 5 100-124% = 3 90-99% = 1 Less than 90% = 0 | Applica. | |

| | | | | | |
|---|--------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication | | | | | |
| Subtotal: Match and Leveraging | | 10 | | | |
| Total Points Available for Performance Criteria (listed on this form) | | 130 | | | |
| Total Points Available for Outcome Criteria (Addendum criteria scoresheet) | | 50 | | | |
| Total Possible Points** | | 180 | | | |
| 16. Bonus Points: Continuum of Care Permanent Housing / Permanent Supportive Housing Priority. Bonus points available for permanent housing programs/ permanent supportive housing programs. | 100% | 30 Current PH/PSH Project | Current PH/PSH program = 30 | GIW | |
| 17. Bonus Points: CoC TH Preference for DV, SA, or Youth. Bonus points available for transitional housing programs that serve underage homeless youth (≤ 24 yrs.), persons fleeing domestic violence, or assistance with recovery from addiction*. | 75-80% | 5 Current TH Project | Current TH program project serves 75-80% of one of these special needs groups = 5 | App verified by APR data | |
| 18. Bonus Points: Housing First: Bonus points available for permanent housing projects (PSH or RRH) that are currently using, or commit to using, the Housing First model when housing program participants. For Transitional Housing projects to receive any of these bonus points, they must be supporting the Housing First model (or commit to supporting this model) by providing low-barrier transitional housing that does not have service participation requirements or preconditions to entry. | 100% | PSH, RRH, TH Project | Yes (with supporting docs) = 10 No = 0 | App, Certification, & Supporting Documents | |
| 18. Bonus Points: Low Barrier Projects: Bonus points available to project applications that are low barrier projects (or commit to being low barrier projects), meaning project allows entry to program participants that includes: low or no income, current or past substance use, criminal records—with the | 100% | PSH, RRH, TH Project | Yes (with supporting docs) = 10 No = 0 | App, Certification, & Supporting Documents | |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----|-----------------------|---|--|
| exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state or local law or ordinance (e.g., restrictions on serving people who are listed on sex offender registries), and history of domestic violence | | | | | |
| 19. Bonus Points: ALL Programs: Program will prioritize Veterans. Current Projects will be scored on clients being targeted by the project. Points are not automatic & applicant must demonstrate need, outreach strategy, & targeting. | At least 75-80% | 10 | At least 75-80% = 10 | Applica., & verified w/supporting documents | |
| 20. Bonus Points: Timeliness of Application Submission – Any application received on time. | Due date. | 5 | Submitted on time = 5 | Based on date received at DCA. | |
| Total Points Received | | | | | |

| DCA Monitoring of CoC Program (point scale) | | |
|--|--|---|
| Score | Findings | Concerns |
| 4 | No Findings | No Concerns |
| 3 | 1 Program Finding | 1 Financial Concern & 1 Program Concern 2 Program Concerns |
| 2 | One Financial Finding | 3 or more concerns |
| 2 | 2 Program Findings | |
| 1 | 3 or More Findings | |
| 0 | Currently there are outstanding findings that have not been closed within 30 days. | |

Notes:

1. Applicants must provide sufficient information to compete with other applicants under criteria, regardless of whether or not this information is required for the final application being submitted electronically to HUD.
2. In the event of a tie, decisions to be broken based upon funds requested/number served at capacity.

3. Review Team reserves discretion to award points between high and low ranges on Outcome, Match, and Leveraging criteria (Addendum criteria).
4. Note that this criteria reflects CoC priorities as well as HUD priorities outlined in the FY 2015 NOFA. As a matter of information to applicants, the Balance of State CoCs are judged competitively on CoC Coordination and Engagement (49 points), Project Ranking, Review and Capacity (26 points), Homeless Management Information System (18 points), Point-In-Time Count (9 points), System Performance (38 points), Performance and Strategic Planning (60 points), and Bonus Points (3 points) – 203 total points possible.
5. The Balance of State CoC project renewal applications for HMIS, Coordinated Assessment, and CoC Planning have no impact upon the HUD score for the CoC application. Because of their importance to the CoC effort across the State, they will not be scored by the review panel and will be placed on the priority ranking within Tier 1.
6. Project Applicants that believe they were not allowed to participate in a fair and open process and were rejected by the CoC have the right to appeal the rejection directly to HUD as outlined in the NOFA.
7. More information on Housing First can be found on the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness web site at: http://usich.gov/resources/uploads/asset_library/Housing_First_Checklist_FINAL.pdf. It was also sent out by HUD through the SNAPS Weekly Focus series that was released on August 21, 2013 (<https://www.onecpd.info/news/snaps-weekly-focus-adopting-a-housing-first-approach/>). The entire series can be found at: <https://www.onecpd.info/homelessness-assistance/snaps-weekly-focus/>.
8. The definition of chronically homeless can be found at: http://usich.gov/media_center/news/hud_releases_rural_housing_stability_assistance_program_rhsp_regulations_an.