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August 11, 2015
Ms. Verona Campbell
Housing Authority of Columbus Georgia
PO Box 630
Columbus, Georgia 31902-0630

Re: Wilson Homes

Dear Ms. Verona Campbell:
The subject property, known as Wilson Homes, is an existing public housing development located at 3400 8th Avenue in Columbus, Muscogee County, Georgia (Parcel \# 014-020-011). The subject property consists of 288 revenueproducing units originally constructed in 1952. The sponsor has proposed to renovate and refinance the property under the RAD program coupled with tax credit and tax-exempt bond financing. Renovation is planned to commence in 2015 with market entry in 2016. The subject property is an open age development.

The subject property is proposed to consist of 288 revenue-producing units including 1, 2, 3 and 4-bedroom duplex and townhome units. A total of 288 units are proposed to be income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI ; no units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; a total of 288 units are proposed to benefit from project-based vouchers after RAD conversion; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing. Under the proposed terms of the LIHTC financing, these restrictions will have a term of at least 15 years.

The scope of this report is to estimate the "as complete \& stabilized" market value of the property subject to restricted rents as of the date of stabilization. We also provide the following "as complete \& stabilized" supplemental values: (1) the hypothetical "as complete \& stabilized" value subject to unrestricted rents, (2) the value of any favorable financing, (3) the value of any additional debt capacity at the subject property, and (4) the value of the tax credits associated with the subject property.

In addition, the scope of this report is to estimate the "as is" market value of the subject property assuming that it is converted to an unrestricted market rate property as set forth in PIH-2012-32 (HA), REV-1.

The accompanying report complies with applicable USPAP and Appraisal Institute requirements. Our valuation considered the income, sales comparison, and cost approaches to value. This process involved the collection of market data through discussions with persons familiar with the local real estate market. The completion of the report also included a site visit. This report is presented in an appraisal report format.

The purpose, intended use, and function of the report is to value the subject property for tax credit/bond application purposes. This report should not be used for any other purposes without the express written permission of Allen \& Associates Consulting.

The property rights assessed in this appraisal include of the fee simple estate subject to short-term leases of the subject property. The valuation addresses all rights in realty encumbered by applicable zoning, restrictive covenants that run with the land, building code regulations, and any income and rent restrictions associated with the subject property.

The report has been generated for the benefit of our client Housing Authority of Columbus Georgia. Georgia Department of Community Affairs and HUD is named as additional user of the report. No other person or entity may use the report for any reason whatsoever without our express written permission.

A summary of our findings follows:

| Valuation Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Value, As Complete \& Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | Footnote | Rent | Financing | Eff Date | \$ |
| Market Value, As Complete \& Stabilized | - | Restricted | Market | 01-Dec-16 | \$4,820,000 |


| Supplemental Values, As Complete \& Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Footnote | Rent | Financing | Eff Date | $\$$ |  |  |  |
| Value, As Complete \& Stabilized, Unrestricted | - | Unrestricted | Market | 01-Dec-16 | $\$ 10,689,000$ |  |  |  |
| Value, Favorable Financing, CB\&T Permanent Loan | - | Restricted | Market | 01-Dec-16 | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |
| Value, Favorable Financing, HACG Acquisition Loan | - | Restricted | Below Market | 01-Dec-16 | $\$ 5,790,000$ |  |  |  |
| Value, Favorable Financing, HACG Subordinate Loan | - | Restricted | Below Market | 01-Dec-16 | $\$ 1,383,000$ |  |  |  |
| Value, Additional Debt Capacity | - | Restricted | Market | 01-Dec-16 | $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |
| Value, Tax Credit Equity | - | Restricted | Below Market | 01-Dec-16 | $\$ 12,305,000$ |  |  |  |


| Market Value, As Is |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Footnote | Rent | Financing | Eff Date | \$ |
| Market Value, As Is | - | Unrestricted | Market | 30-Jul-15 | \$5,790,000 |


| Marketing \& Exposure Time |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Footnote | Rent | Financing | Eff Date | Mos |
| Marketing Time | - | Restricted | Below Market | 01-Dec-16 | 12 months |
| Exposure Time | - | Restricted | Below Market | 01-Dec-16 | 12 months |

The findings and conclusions reported are based on the conditions that exist as of the effective date of this report. These factors are subject to change and may alter, or otherwise affect the findings and conclusions presented in this report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report presents an accurate evaluation of market conditions for the subject property as of the effective date of this report. While the analysis that follows is based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable, no guarantee is made of its accuracy.

Feel free to contact us with any questions or comments.
Respectfully submitted:

## ALLEN \& ASSOCIATES CONSULTING



Jeff Carroll
Georgia Certified General Appraiser License \# 288716

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROJECT OVERVIEW PAGE 6
IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS ..... PAGE 18
SITE DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS ..... PAGE 24
NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS ..... PAGE 30
SUBJECT PROPERTY PHOTOS ..... PAGE 35
MARKET AREA ..... PAGE 38
ECONOMIC OUTLOOK ..... PAGE 41
DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS ..... PAGE 56
SUPPLY ANALYSIS ..... PAGE 61
RENT COMPARABILITY ANALYSIS ..... PAGE 85
DEMAND ANALYSIS ..... PAGE 152
HIGHEST \& BEST USE ..... PAGE 173
VALUE, LAND, AS IF VACANT ..... PAGE 176
VALUE, AS COMPLETE \& STABILIZED ..... PAGE 178
VALUE, AS IS ..... PAGE 201
APPENDIX ..... PAGE 216

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

## Project Description

The subject property, known as Wilson Homes, is an existing public housing development located at 3400 8th Avenue in Columbus, Muscogee County, Georgia (Parcel \# 014-020-011). The subject property consists of 288 revenue-producing units originally constructed in 1952. The sponsor has proposed to renovate and refinance the property under the RAD program coupled with tax credit and tax-exempt bond financing. Renovation is planned to commence in 2015 with market entry in 2016. The subject property is an open age development.

Select project details are summarized below:

Project Description

| Property Name | Wilson Homes |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Number | 3400 |
| Street Name | 8th |
| Street Type | Avenue |
| City | Columbus |
| County | Muscogee County |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 |
| Units | 288 |
| Year Built | 1952 |
| Year of Market Entry | 2016 |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab |
| Financing Type | Bond |
| Latitude | 32.4956 |
| Longitude | -84.9827 |

## Scope of Renovation

The subject property is currently in fair condition. The sponsor has proposed to rehabilitate the subject property to bring it up to "like new" condition using tax credit financing. The contemplated rehabilitation scope includes roof, parking lot, landscape, appliance, cabinet, HVAC and tile repairs and/or replacement.

## Construction and Lease-Up Schedule

The subject property is part of a multi-property renovation effort which will take up to 36 months to complete. For purposes of this analysis, however, we will look at this property on a stand-alone basis, assuming a November 15, 2015 closing, this yields a date of completion of November 15, 2016. Our demand analysis (found later in this report) suggests a 2-month absorption period. This yields a date of stabilization of January 15, 2017.

## Unit Configuration

The subject property currently consists of 288 revenue-producing units including 1, 2, 3 and 4-bedroom duplex and townhome units. A total of 288 units are currently income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI ; no units are currently set aside as market rate units; a total of 288 units are currently set aside as public housing units; no units currently benefit from HOME financing. The subject property currently stands at 99\% occupancy.

Please Note: The four 1438 sf units actually include 5-bedrooms, but because of a lack of comparables and demographic data for units this size, we treat them as 4-bedroom units for purposes of this analysis.

| BR | BA | SF | Unit Type | Income Limit | Rent <br> Limit | HOME Units | Subs <br> Units | Total Units | Gross Rent | Net Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0 | 544 | Townhome | 60\% | 60\% | No | Yes | 23 | \$482 | \$419 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 623 | Townhome | 60\% | 60\% | No | Yes | 23 | \$482 | \$419 |


| 1 | 1.0 | 579 | Duplex | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 2 | $\$ 482$ | $\$ 419$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0 | 576 | Duplex | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 3 | $\$ 482$ | $\$ 419$ |
| 2 | 1.0 | 733 | Duplex | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 71 | $\$ 553$ | $\$ 479$ |
| 2 | 1.0 | 878 | Duplex | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 10 | $\$ 553$ | $\$ 479$ |
| 2 | 1.0 | 713 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 553$ | $\$ 479$ |
| 2 | 1.0 | 748 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 553$ | $\$ 479$ |
| 2 | 1.0 | 744 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 53 | $\$ 553$ | $\$ 479$ |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,074 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 62 | $\$ 709$ | $\$ 617$ |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,064 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 709$ | $\$ 617$ |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,167 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 805$ | $\$ 691$ |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,197 | Duplex | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 805$ | $\$ 691$ |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,171 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 7 | $\$ 805$ | $\$ 691$ |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,438 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 4 | $\$ 927$ | $\$ 794$ |
| Total/Average | 833 |  |  |  |  |  | 288 | $\$ 599$ | $\$ 519$ |  |

The subject property is proposed to consist of 288 revenue-producing units including 1, 2, 3 and 4-bedroom duplex and townhome units. A total of 288 units are proposed to be income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI ; no units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; a total of 288 units are proposed to benefit from project-based vouchers after RAD conversion; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing. Under the proposed terms of the LIHTC financing, these restrictions will have a term of at least 15 years.

| Proposed Unit Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BR | BA | SF | Unit <br> Type | Income <br> Limit | Rent <br> Limit | HOME <br> Units | Subs <br> Units | Total <br> Units |
| 1 | 1.0 | 544 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 23 | $\$ 482$ | $\$ 419$ |
| 1 | 1.0 | 623 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 23 | $\$ 482$ | $\$ 419$ |
| Rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0 | 579 | Duplex | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 2 | $\$ 482$ | $\$ 419$ |
| 1 | 1.0 | 576 | Duplex | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 3 | $\$ 482$ | $\$ 419$ |
| 2 | 1.0 | 733 | Duplex | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 71 | $\$ 553$ | $\$ 479$ |
| 2 | 1.0 | 878 | Duplex | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 10 | $\$ 553$ | $\$ 479$ |
| 2 | 1.0 | 713 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 553$ | $\$ 479$ |
| 2 | 1.0 | 748 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 553$ | $\$ 479$ |
| 2 | 1.0 | 744 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 53 | $\$ 553$ | $\$ 479$ |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,074 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 62 | $\$ 709$ | $\$ 617$ |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,064 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 709$ | $\$ 617$ |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,167 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 805$ | $\$ 691$ |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,197 | Duplex | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 805$ | $\$ 691$ |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,171 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 7 | $\$ 805$ | $\$ 691$ |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,438 | Townhome | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ | No | Yes | 4 | $\$ 927$ | $\$ 794$ |
| Total/Average | 833 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 288 | $\$ 599$ | $\$ 519$ |

## Income \& Rent Limits

The subject property is operated subject to certain income restrictions. The following table gives the applicable income limits for this area:

| Income Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Size | $20 \%$ of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| 1.0 Person | $\$ 7,560$ | $\$ 11,340$ | $\$ 15,120$ | $\$ 18,900$ | $\$ 22,680$ | $\$ 30,240$ |
| 2.0 Person | $\$ 8,640$ | $\$ 12,960$ | $\$ 17,280$ | $\$ 21,600$ | $\$ 25,920$ | $\$ 34,560$ |
| 3.0 Person | $\$ 9,720$ | $\$ 14,580$ | $\$ 19,440$ | $\$ 24,300$ | $\$ 29,160$ | $\$ 38,880$ |
| 4.0 Person | $\$ 10,800$ | $\$ 16,200$ | $\$ 21,600$ | $\$ 27,000$ | $\$ 32,400$ | $\$ 43,200$ |
| 5.0 Person | $\$ 11,680$ | $\$ 17,520$ | $\$ 23,360$ | $\$ 29,200$ | $\$ 35,040$ | $\$ 46,720$ |
| 6.0 Person | $\$ 12,540$ | $\$ 18,810$ | $\$ 25,080$ | $\$ 31,350$ | $\$ 37,620$ | $\$ 50,160$ |
| 7.0 Person | $\$ 13,400$ | $\$ 20,100$ | $\$ 26,800$ | $\$ 33,500$ | $\$ 40,200$ | $\$ 53,600$ |
| 8.0 Person | $\$ 14,260$ | $\$ 21,390$ | $\$ 28,520$ | $\$ 35,650$ | $\$ 42,780$ | $\$ 57,040$ |

Source: HUD
The subject property is operated subject to certain rent restrictions. The following table gives the maximum housing expense (net rent limit + tenant-paid utilities) for this area:

Maximum Housing Expense

| Unit Type | $20 \%$ of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 Bedroom | $\$ 189$ | $\$ 283$ | $\$ 378$ | $\$ 472$ | $\$ 567$ | $\$ 756$ |
| 1 Bedroom | $\$ 202$ | $\$ 303$ | $\$ 405$ | $\$ 506$ | $\$ 607$ | $\$ 810$ |
| 2 Bedroom | $\$ 243$ | $\$ 364$ | $\$ 486$ | $\$ 607$ | $\$ 729$ | $\$ 972$ |
| 3 Bedroom | $\$ 281$ | $\$ 421$ | $\$ 562$ | $\$ 702$ | $\$ 843$ | $\$ 1,124$ |
| 4 Bedroom | $\$ 313$ | $\$ 470$ | $\$ 627$ | $\$ 783$ | $\$ 940$ | $\$ 1,254$ |

Source: HUD
The following table sets forth the gross fair market rents (net fair market rents + tenant-paid utilities) that would apply to any Section 8 voucher recipients or any units benefiting from HOME financing at the subject property:

Fair Market Rents

| Unit Type | Gross Rent |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 Bedroom | $\$ 536$ |
| 1 Bedroom | $\$ 628$ |
| 2 Bedroom | $\$ 745$ |
| 3 Bedroom | $\$ 1,026$ |
| 4 Bedroom | $\$ 1,319$ |

Source: HUD

## Household Size Limits

The subject property is operated subject to certain household size limits. The following table gives a breakdown of typical size limits utilized by property managers in this area:

Household Size Limits

| 0 Bedroom | 1 person |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 Bedroom | 2 persons |
| 2 Bedroom | 3 persons |
| 3 Bedroom | 5 persons |
| 4 Bedroom | 6 persons |
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## IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

Our improvement analysis includes an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Building Features; (2) Unit Features; (3) Project Amenities, (4) Utility Configuration; and (5) Useful Life Analysis.

## Building Features

The subject property currently consists of 288 revenue-producing units in 58 residential buildings and 2 non-residential buildings. The development currently includes approximately 239,853 square feet of net rentable area and 275,831 square feet of gross building area.

Additional information regarding the subject property's major building systems is found below.
Foundation - Concrete Slab, Basements, Crawl Spaces, etc.
Foundation construction consists of slab on grade. We did not note any foundation issues on our site visit; management did not report any issues with respect to foundations.

## Structural Frame - Floor, Wall, Roof Structural Systems, etc.

The subject property is constructed with wood frame surfaced with plywood. Floor/ceiling assemblies consist of wood joists \& plywood subfloors. Roof assmeblies consist of wood trusses \& plywood sheathing.

Exterior Wall - Exterior Finishes, Doors, Windows, Exterior Stairs, etc.
The subject currently includes brick veneer, steel clad insulated six-panel exterior doors and double hung aluminum double pane windows. Double hung vinyl double pane windows are proposed after renovation.

Roof - Sheathing, Coverings, Warranties, Gutters \& Downspouts, Soffit \& Fascia, etc. The subject property includes gabled roofs covered with asphalt shingles.

Vertical Transportation - Elevator, Interior Stair Systems
None.

## Plumbing - Sanitary, Storm, Sewer, Fixtures, Domestic Hot Water

Visually accessible domestic water piping is constructed of CPVC pipe and fittings. Wastewater lines consist of PVC pipe and fittings. Where visible, domestic water/wastewater piping is not insulated; however, the majority of the system is concealed behind walls and could not be visually inspected. Potable hot water is supplied via individual electric hot water heaters. The recovery of the units is reported to be adequate for the number of fixtures served and no complaints concerning a lack of hot water were raised during the inspection.

HVAC - Heating, Air Conditioning, Ventilation
The subject property is currently heated and cooled with individual exterior-mounted compressors, individual interiormounted electric heaters, and closet-mounted air handlers. Energy-eficient heat pumps are proposed after renovation.

## Electrical and Communications - Distribution, Aluminum Wiring, etc.

The buildings receive electrical power from exterior pad-mounted transformers. Electrical service to units consists of $120 / 240 \mathrm{~V}$ AC with 100 amps available for each panel. The electrical panels were observed and appeared to be in generally good condition. It is reported that the electrical wiring is copper. Properly grounded, three-prong outlets were located in each dwelling unit. The outlets located in the wet areas were not observed to be Ground Fault Circuit Interrupter (GFCI) outlets. Surface-mounted fluorescent and incandescent lighting fixtures are found in the living areas and the bathrooms.

## Fire Suppression

The subject property is not currently equipped with an NFPA-13 fully automatic fire suppression (sprinkler) system. However, hard-wired smoke detectors with battery backup are located in each bedroom area. Management tests smoke detectors regularly. We randomly tested smoke detectors on our site visit; all worked properly. The sponsor has proposed to replace the smoke detectors as part of the planned renovation for this property.

## Unit Features

The subject property currently contains 288 revenue-producing units including 279 regular units and 9 accessible units including 639 bedrooms, 379 full bathrooms and 0 half bathrooms.

The subject property is proposed to contain 288 revenue-producing units including 258 regular units and 30 accessible units, including 639 bedrooms, 379 full bathrooms and 0 half bathrooms.

Additional information regarding the subject property's unit features is found below.

## Walls / Ceilings / Interior Doors

Subject property units include 8 foot ceilings, painted gypsum wallboard \& ceilings, and wood hollow-core six panel interior doors.

## Floor Covering

Floor covering currently consists of VCT in the entryways, kitchens, living areas and bedroomas along with ceramic tile in the bathrooms. The sponsor has proposed to replace the flooring as part of the planned renovation.

## Kitchens

Kitchens include electric four-top ranges, range hoods, frost-free refrigerators, composite wood cabinets, laminated countertops and stainless steel sinks. The sponsor plans to replace the cabinets, countertops and appliances as part of the renovation.

## Bathrooms

Bathrooms include composite wood vanities, cultured marble countertops, porcelain sinks, toilets \& tubs and ceramic surrounds. The bathrooms also include exhaust fans and other accessories.

## Project Amenities

A discussion of the development's project amenities is found below.

## Site \& Common Area Amenities

Site \& common area amenities include a business/computer center, community center, gazebo/patio, herb garden, playground and walking trail.

## Parking

The subject property offers open parking.

## Laundry

The subject property currently features washer/dryer hookups. A central laundry is proposed after renovation.

## Security

Security patrols and cameras are offered at the subject property.

## Services

An after school program and some transportation is offered at the subject property. Some health care screening is proposed after renovation.

Tables comparing the subject property's amenities to that of the most comparable properties are found at the end of
this section.

## Utility Configuration

The subject property currently includes electric heat, cooking and hot water. All utilities - with the exception of cold water, sewer and trash - are currently paid by the resident.

In the table that follows we compare the subject's proposed utility allowances (also known as tenant paid utilities) to the estimated allowances using the HUD Utility Schedule Model:

| BR | BA | SF | Unit Type | Inc Lmt | Rnt Lmt | HOME | Subs | Units | UA | HUD UA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0 | 544 | Townhome | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$63 | \$73 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 623 | Townhome | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$63 | \$73 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 579 | Duplex | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$63 | \$73 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 576 | Duplex | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 3 | \$63 | \$73 |
| 2 | 1.0 | 733 | Duplex | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 71 | \$74 | \$100 |
| 2 | 1.0 | 878 | Duplex | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 10 | \$74 | \$100 |
| 2 | 1.0 | 713 | Townhome | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$74 | \$100 |
| 2 | 1.0 | 748 | Townhome | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$74 | \$100 |
| 2 | 1.0 | 744 | Townhome | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 53 | \$74 | \$100 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,074 | Townhome | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 62 | \$92 | \$132 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,064 | Townhome | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$92 | \$132 |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,167 | Townhome | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$114 | \$160 |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,197 | Duplex | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$114 | \$160 |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,171 | Townhome | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$114 | \$160 |
| 4 | 2.0 | 1,438 | Townhome | 60\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 4 | \$133 | \$160 |
| tal/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 288 | \$80 | \$108 |

Current federal regulations require that USDA-RD or the local housing authority approve proposed utility allowances for specific properties. Federal regulations permit the use of the HUD Utility Schedule model for purposes of establishing utility allowances for other properties. The HUD utility allowances are a good measure of the anticipated energy costs for a given property. Our analysis suggests that the utility allowances are lower than those established using the HUD model.

Tables comparing the subject property's utility configuration to that of the most comparable properties are found at the end of this section. Outputs from the HUD Utility Schedule Model are also found there.

## Useful Life Analysis

The subject property was originally constructed in 1952 and is currently in fair condition. In our opinion, the subject property has a remaining useful life of 20 years in its current condition. If the subject property is renovated and maintained as set forth above, we anticipate a remaining useful life of 40 years for this project.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the condition of the subject property and the most comparable properties on a 1-5 scale ( 1 being the worst and 5 being the best). We also evaluated the actual and effective ages of the subject and select comparables. A table summarizing our findings is found below:

| Rating |  |  |  |  | Rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { ৷ }}{\text { ৷ }}$ |  | त $\frac{0}{U}$ $\frac{0}{4}$ |  |  | 艺 |  |  |
| Sub | Wilson Homes | 1952 | 2005 | 4.00 | 21 | 7 | 8 |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | 2010 | 4.50 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | 2010 | 4.50 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | 2000 | 3.50 | 15 | 13 | 11 |
| 011 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | 2005 | 4.50 | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| 012 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | 2010 | 4.75 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | 2009 | 2012 | 4.75 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 030 | Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2000 | 3.50 | 20 | 13 | 11 |
| 039 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 2001 | 1995 | 3.00 | 11 | 16 | 16 |
| 046 | Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | 2005 | 3.25 | 11 | 7 | 15 |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | 1994 | 1995 | 3.00 | 14 | 16 | 16 |
| 060 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1990 | 3.00 | 17 | 19 | 16 |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | 2009 | 2010 | 4.50 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 066 | Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | 2000 | 3.00 | 8 | 13 | 16 |
| 069 | Midtown Square | 2002 | 2005 | 3.50 | 10 | 7 | 11 |
| 071 | Overlook Club | 1985 | 1995 | 3.50 | 15 | 16 | 11 |
| 072 | Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1990 | 3.00 | 18 | 19 | 16 |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | 2005 | 3.75 | 11 | 7 | 10 |
| 095 | Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | 2010 | 4.50 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 096 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | 2005 | 4.00 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| 107 | Midtown Tower | 1975 | 1985 | 2.50 | 18 | 21 | 21 |


| Amenities Site $\ell$ Common Area Amenties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ） | 害道 | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | －${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 荽 |  |  | $\frac{\stackrel{3}{2} \frac{0}{2}}{\underline{y}}$ |  | 흠 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 倉 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言言 } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Sub | Wison Homes | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no |
| 011 | Ashley Staion，Phase 1 | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | yes |
| 012 | Ashley Staion，Phase 2 | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | yes |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | yes |
| 030 | Eagles Trace | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no |
| 039 | Gardentrok Apartments | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no |
| 046 | Heritage Place Aparments | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 060 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no |
| 066 | Marth＇s Vineyard | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 069 | Mittown Square | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | yes | no |
| 071 | Overlook Club | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | no | no |
| 072 | Overlook Crossing | no | yes | no | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Aparments | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no |
| 095 | Veranda at Ashley Station | no | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 096 | Victory Crossing Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no |
|  | Midtown Tower | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
|  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |  |  | Air Conditioning |  |  |  | Heat |  |  |  |  |  |
| ） | 흄ㅁ르를 | $\frac{\text { 膏 }}{\frac{1}{i n}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{0}{2}$ | 喽 | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{g}} \\ & \text { 亳 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{x}} \\ & \stackrel{\text { wix }}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $3 \stackrel{5}{5}$ | $\frac{3}{2} \frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{5}{2}}{2}$ |  | $3{ }_{3}^{\text {\＃}}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{5}$ |  |
| Sub | Wison Homes | yes | no | no | no | yes | some | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | some | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | some | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | yes | no | yes | some | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | по | no | no | no |  |
| 011 | Ashley Staion，Phase 1 | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | ${ }^{\text {no }}$ | yes | ${ }^{\text {no }}$ | ${ }^{\text {no }}$ | no | yes | no | no | ${ }^{\text {no }}$ | no |  |
| 012 | Ashley Station，Phase 2 | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 030 | Eagles Trace | yes | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 039 | Gardenbrook Apartments | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 046 | Heritage Place Apartments | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | some | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 060 | Libery Garden Townhouses | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 066 | Marth＇s Vineyard | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 069 | Midiown Square | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 071 | Overlook Club | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | some | some | yes | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no |  |
| 072 | Overlook Crossing | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Aparments | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 095 | Veranda at Astley Station | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes |  |  | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 096 | Victory Crossing Apartments | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 107 | Midown Tower | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
|  |  | Pakking |  |  |  |  | Laundry |  |  | Secuirty |  |  |  |  |  | Senices |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \％ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 求 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{0}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{x}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~W}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{3} \frac{0}{5}$ | 咢薪 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 槀 } \\ & \text { 흘 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 츨 } \\ & \text { 高曾 } \end{aligned}$ | 安毫亮荗 |  | 产亭 |  |  | 皆 |  |
| Sub | Wison Homes | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | yes | na | na | some | na | na | some |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | no | no | no | yes | no |  |  |  |  | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |  |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no |  | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no |  | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 011 | Ashley Staion，Phase 1 | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 012 | Ashley Staion，Phase 2 | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no |  | no | some | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| оз0 | Eagles Trace | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no |  | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| ${ }^{039}$ | Gardenbrook Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 046 | Heitage Place Apartments | no | some | no | yes | no | yes | no |  | no | no | no | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 060 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | no | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 066 | Martha＇s Vineyard | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 069 | Midtow Square | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | ${ }^{\text {no }}$ | no | no |
| 071 | Overlok Club | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no |  | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 072 | ${ }^{\text {O }}$ Overlook Crossing | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Aparments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 095 | Veranda at Ashley Station | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| ${ }_{0} 996$ | Victory Crossing Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 107 | Midtown Tower | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |

Utilities

| Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tenant－Paid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner－Paid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 空告 | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\overline{3}}^{\substack{\hat{E} \\ \omega}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{斤}{\pi / 2} \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{i} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 으N } \\ & \text { 告 } 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{0}{訁} \\ & \hline \text { 曾 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{\text {Sx }}^{10}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\vdots}{\stackrel{0}{0}} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y y y y}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ. } \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{i} \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub | Wilson Homes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 011 | Ashley Station，Phase 1 | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 012 | Ashley Station，Phase 2 | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 030 | Eagles Trace | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 039 | Gardenbrook Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |
| 046 | Heritage Place Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 060 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 066 | Martha＇s Vineyard | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 069 | Midtown Square | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 071 | Overlook Club | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 072 | Overlook Crossing | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 095 | Veranda at Ashley Station | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 096 | Victory Crossing Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 107 | Midtown Tower | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |


| Allowances for Tenant－Furnished Uuilities and Other Services |  |  | u．s．Department of Moutina and Grian Devertopnient once it Pusie ond indan theaurg |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ |  | 1996 or nawer |  | Gardive and tigh rise apartmentes． |  |  |  |
| Colimitus．GA |  |  |  | 4／22／2015 |
| Guyrsemer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 OH | 138 |  |  |  | 289 | 3㫨 | 4 BaR | 18a |
| men |  | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 4 Panctias | 13 | is | $\pi$ | 19 | 21 | 21 |
|  | c methe | 6 | II | 113 | 12 | 93 | 11 |
|  | a Davtamiomer | 10 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 17 | tif |
| Dxamo | 4 Alabioulape | 30 | 38 | \＃ | 34 | 35 | 37 |
|  | 6 Esentas | 9 | 9 12 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 26 |
|  | Cometic | 5. | ） 7 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 15 |
|  | 4.80 er | 0 | 0 | g | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Onat bicior |  | 24 | 31 | 38 | 45 | 51 | 58 |
| ar cestaing |  | 4 | 3 | 16 | 23 | 29 | 34 |
| weurlatote | 4 lu － | 5 | 5 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 |
|  |  | 14 | 22 | 10 | 30 | 46 | 54 |
|  | creatic | 8 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 30 | 36 |
|  | atoilcialomer | $1 \square$ | 30 | 27 | 34 | 41 | 411 |
| Wuar |  | 12 | － 15 | 19 | 23 | 76 | 29 |
| 3ewe |  | 18 | 24 | 31 | 37 | 44. | 50 |
| Truen Celection |  | 14 | 414 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| lirgethaumo |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Derpone |  | 6. | － | 6 | 6 | 6. | 4 |
| Oumer－spery |  | $\square$ | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |

## SITE DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

Our assessment of the site included an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Survey; (2) Site Plan; (3) Nuisances, Hazards, Detrimental Influences \& Environmental; (4) Topography; (5) Flood Zone; (6) Difficult to Develop Area Status; (7) Qualified Census Tract Status; and (8) Traffic Patterns, Access \& Visibility.

## Survey

A survey for the subject property was not provided to the analyst for review. Current surveys should be evaluated to ascertain whether there are any easements encumbering the subject property.

## Site Plan

A site plan for the subject property was provided to the analyst for review. Site plans are necessary to analyze the site improvements, parking configuration, internal traffic flow, location of building improvements and landscaping improvements for the subject property. Our review did not identify any problem areas with respect to the subject property. A summary of the development's site features is found below.

## Acres / Lot Shape / Frontage

The subject property includes an irregular-shaped parcel including approximately 28.54 acres and approximately 3300 feet of road frontage.

## Zoning

According to the sponsor, the subject property is currently zoned RMF-2 Residential Multifamily. It is our understanding that the subject property is an approved, legal, conforming use under this classification.

## Parking / Streets / Curbs / Sidewalks

A total of 337 parking spaces are found at this development ( 322 regular / 15 accessible / 1.17 spaces per unit). Privately-owned asphalt parking areas along with privately-owned concrete curbs and sidewalks are found at the subject property. Public transportation is not found in the immediate area. Similar properties normally include 1.5 to 2.0 spaces per unit. In our opinion, therefore, parking appears light for the subject property.

## Dumpsters / Dumpster Enclosures

The subject property includes 10 publicly-owned dumpsters and 10 privately-owned brick enclosures.

## Landscaping / Perimeter Fence / Retaining Walls / Entry Sign

Trees, shrubs \& lawns are proposed for the subject property. A wrought iron perimeter fence is found at this development. Brick retaining walls are found at this property. Three unlighted entry signs are found at this development.

## Stormwater Management / Site Lighting / Water Service / Wastewater Service

Stormwater management consists of catch basins and concrete pipe connecting to a public system. Site lighting consists of publicly-owned HID poles. Domestic water service to buildings consists of ductile iron pipe connecting to a public system. Wastewater service to buildings consists of PVC pipe connecting to a public system.

## Nuisances, Hazards, Detrimental Influences \& Environmental

We did not observe any nuisances, hazards, detrimental influences or recognized environmental conditions on our inspection of the subject property. The subject property was originally constructed in 1952, prior to the 1978 ban on lead and asbestos containing construction materials. Further, the subject property is located immediately west of an active rail line. Consequently, we recommend that the sponsor obtain a comprehensive environmental assessment from a qualified professional.

## Topography

The USGS map showing the topography of the subject property and surrounding area is found below:


The topographic map shows that the site is sloping and drains to adjacent properties to the east. In our opinion, there do not appear to be any topographic issues with respect to the subject property.

## Flood Zone

The map showing the location of the subject property relative to nearby areas prone to flooding (identified in purple) is found below:
Floodlnsights Report For:
Latitude: 32.4956 Longitude: -84.9827
Orignal Input Address: Latitude: 32.4956 Longtude: -84.9827


## FloodMap Legend
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According to FEMA map number 1351580046F dated September 05, 2007, the subject property is located in Zone $X$. This is an area that is identified as being located outside the 100-year flood zone.

## Difficult to Develop Area Status

The subject proprterty is located in Muscogee County, Georgia - an area that is not designated as a Difficult to Develop Area. Consequently, the subject property does not appear to qualify for special DDA funding under state and federal programs.

## Qualified Census Tract Status

The federal government has identified census tracts throughout the United States that include high concentrations of low-income households and substandard housing units. These areas, known as Qualified Census Tracts, qualify for special funding under various state and federal programs. A map showing the Qualified Census Tracts in the immediate vicinity of the subject property follows:


The subject property is located in Census Tract 16.00 - an area that is designated as a Qualified Census Tract. Consequently, the subject property does appear to qualify for special QCT funding under state and federal programs.

## Traffic Patterns, Access \& Visibility

A traffic map identifying the subject property is found below:


## Access

The subject property is located at 3400 8th Avenue, at the northeast corner of the intersection of Veterans Parkway \& New River Road in Columbus, Muscogee County, Georgia. Veterans Parkway is a heavily-travelled north-south road carrying 20961 vehicles per day but an active rail line separates this road from the subject property; New River Road is a moderately-travelled north-south road carrying 9835 vehicles per day. We are not aware of any planned road or infrastructure improvements in the immediate vicinity of the subject property. In our opinion, therefore, accessibility is fair to good by virtue of the location of the subject property relative to existing streets and thoroughfares.

## Visibility

The subject property is clearly visible from Veterans Parkway and is exposed to a significant volume of traffic. Consequently, in our opinion visibility is very good by virtue of the exposure of the subject property to existing driveby traffic volumes.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the access and visibility for the subject property and the most comparable properties on a 1-5 scale (1 being the worst and 5 being the best). A table summarizing our findings is found below:

| Rating |  |  |  | Rank |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { ৷ }}{\text { ৷ }}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\stackrel{U}{U} & 0 \\ \text { 은 } \\ \text { 亿 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ๗} \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { Ư } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\pi}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \ddot{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{त}{\overline{0}}$ |
| Sub | Wilson Homes | 2.00 | 3.50 | 20 | 1 |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 4 | 4 |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 4 | 4 |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | 3.00 | 3.00 | 11 | 12 |
| 011 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 4 | 4 |
| 012 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 4 | 4 |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | 2.75 | 2.50 | 14 | 15 |
| 030 | Eagles Trace | 3.00 | 3.00 | 11 | 12 |
| 039 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 2.75 | 3.25 | 14 | 4 |
| 046 | Heritage Place Apartments | 3.00 | 3.00 | 11 | 12 |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | 3.25 | 3.25 | 4 | 4 |
| 060 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 3.50 | 3.50 | 1 | 1 |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | 2.00 | 2.00 | 20 | 20 |
| 066 | Martha's Vineyard | 2.50 | 2.25 | 16 | 18 |
| 069 | Midtown Square | 2.50 | 2.25 | 16 | 18 |
| 071 | Overlook Club | 3.50 | 2.50 | 1 | 15 |
| 072 | Overlook Crossing | 3.50 | 3.50 | 1 | 1 |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2.50 | 2.50 | 16 | 15 |
| 095 | Veranda at Ashley Station | 3.25 | 3.25 | 4 | 4 |
| 096 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 3.25 | 3.25 | 4 | 4 |
| 107 | Midtown Tower | 2.50 | 2.00 | 16 | 20 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

## NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

## Neighborhood

Our assessment of the neighborhood includes an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Life Cycle; (2) Surrounding Properties; (3) Crime; (4) Schools; and (5) Proximity to Employment.

## Life Cycle

Neighborhoods are sometimes thought to evolve through four distinct stages:

- Growth - A period during which the area gains public favor and acceptance.
- Stability - A period of equilibrium without marked gains or loses.
- Decline - A period of diminishing demand.
- Revitalization - A period of renewal, redevelopment, modernization, and increasing demand.

Based on our evaluation of the neighborhood, the subject property is located in an urban area that appears to be in the stability stage of its life cycle. Modest population growth (1.1\%) is anticipated for the next several years.

## Surrounding Properties

The subject property is located in Columbus, Georgia. The immediate area consists of a mix of land uses.

Vacant industrial in poor condition is located to the north of the subject property; a park is located to the south; Veterans Parkway and an active rail line are located to the east; single family in poor condition is located to the west of the subject property. In our opinion, neighboring land uses do not appear to be complimentary to the use of the subject property. The condition of the neighboring properties does not appear complimentary, either.

Surrounding property uses are summarized in the table found below:

| Surrounding Properties |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Direction | Use | Condition |
| North | Vacant Industrial | Poor |
| South | Park | - |
| East | Veterans Parkway / Railroad | - |
| West | Single Family | Fair |

Source: Allen \& Associates

## Crime

Claritas maintains crime rate data at the census tract level throughout the United States. A table showing crime rates for the area is found below:

| Crime Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal Crime Rate | Nation | State | Region | Market | Nhood |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Crime Rate | $2.4 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Claritas

Personal crimes include offenses such as rape, murder, robbery and assault. According to Claritas, the personal crime rate in the vicinity of the subject property is 2.5 percent. This is compared with market area, regional, state and national personal crime rates of $4.3,3.0,2.0$ and 2.4 percent, respectively.

Property crimes include offenses such as burglary, larceny and theft. According to Claritas, the property crime rate in the vicinity of the subject property is 10.4 percent. This is compared with market area, regional, state and national property crime rates of $7.6,4.6,2.4$ and 2.4 percent, respectively.

Please note: The crime statistics presented above are historical area-wide figures. These statistics make no
consideration for changing demographics or the implementation of an affirmative crime prevention program at the subject property.

## Schools

Claritas maintains educational attainment data at the census tract level throughout the United States. A table showing educational attainment data for the area is found below:

| Educational Attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market | Nhood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than high school | $19.6 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school or more | $80.4 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor's degree or more | $24.4 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Claritas

According to Claritas, educational attainment in the vicinity of the subject property is 45.4 percent. This is compared with market area, regional, state and national high school graduation rates of 70.1, 78.7, 78.6 and 80.4 percent, respectively.

## Proximity to Employment

The U.S. Census Bureau carries commuting pattern data at the census tract level throughout the United States. A table showing typical commute times for the area is found below:

| Commute to Work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market | Nhood |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 minutes | $3.3 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Less than 15 minutes | $28.4 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $35.3 \%$ | $36.5 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Less than 30 minutes | $63.4 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ | $67.5 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Less than 45 minutes | $81.9 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ | $91.8 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| More than 45 minutes | $14.9 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Worked at home | $3.3 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Average Commute Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23.7 | 25.3 | 19.9 | 20.1 | 22.3 |  |  |  |
| Vehicles per household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the typical commute time for employees in the vicinity of the subject property is 22.3 minutes. This is compared with market area, region, state and national commute times of 20.1, 19.9, 25.3, and 23.7 minutes, respectively.

Our research also suggests that the average number of vehicles per household in the vicinity of the subject property is 0.74 . This is compared with market area, region, state and national figures of $1.32,1.58,1.80$, and 1.70, respectively.

Tables comparing select demographics for the subject property's neighborhood to that of the most comparable properties are found at the end of this section.

## Proximity to Area Amenities

The subject property has a good location relative to competing properties with respect to amenities and services. SunTrust Bank, Rosemont Shopping Center, Ray's Food Mart and CVS Pharmacy are all located less than 2 miles away from the subject property. Concentra Urgent Care is located 0.6 miles away. Public transportation is not located in the immediate area.

A listing of some of the area amenities is found below:

|  | Proximity to Area Amenities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Address | Miles |
| Bank | SunTrust Bank <br> 711 Talbotton Rd Columbus, GA 31904 | 1.0 mi S |
| Shopping | Rosemont Shopping Center 3744 Woodruff Rd Columbus, GA 31904 | 1.2 mi E |
| Grocery | Ray's Food Mart 3822 Hamilton Rd Columbus, GA 31904 | 0.2 mi NE |
| Hospital | Concentra Urgent Care - Columbus 1051 Talbotton Rd Columbus, GA 31904 | 0.6 mi S |
| Pharmacy | CVS Pharmacy - Photo 625 Manchester Expy Columbus, GA 31904 | 0.8 mi N |

Source: Allen \& Associates
The map found in the following pages gives a summary of the site's location relative to banks, shopping, grocery stores, hospitals, and pharmacies. A table comparing the subject property's proximity to area amenities to that of the most comparable properties is found at the end of this section.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the neighborhood and the proximity to area amenities for the subject property and the most comparable properties on a 1-5 scale ( 1 being the worst and 5 being the best). The tables on the following pages give these ratings.

Proximity to Area Amenities


| Neighborhood Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Surrounding Area |  |  | Crime Rates |  | Education |  | Commute | Surrounding Area |  |  | Crime Rates |  | Education |  | Commute |  |
| बे |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub | Wilson Homes | \＄17，793 | \＄187 | \＄30，832 | 2．5\％ | 10．4\％ | 45．4\％ | 2．8\％ | 22.43 | 20 | 9 | 21 | 2 | 13 | 21 | 20 | 16 | 2.20 |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | \＄23，998 | \＄153 | \＄35，928 | 5．8\％ | 9．2\％ | 47．5\％ | 3．2\％ | 21.69 | 12 | 19 | 19 | 13 | 10 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 2.20 |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | \＄23，998 | \＄153 | \＄35，928 | 5．8\％ | 9．2\％ | 47．5\％ | 3．2\％ | 21.69 | 12 | 19 | 19 | 13 | 10 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 2.20 |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | \＄36，491 | \＄461 | \＄75，347 | 5．7\％ | 5．1\％ | 79．4\％ | 23．6\％ | 17.46 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4.00 |
| 011 | Ashley Station，Phase 1 | \＄21，301 | \＄170 | \＄55，525 | 6．9\％ | 13．8\％ | 54．1\％ | 10．6\％ | 20.94 | 17 | 13 | 11 | 16 | 17 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 2.50 |
| 012 | Ashley Station，Phase 2 | \＄21，301 | \＄170 | \＄55，525 | 6．9\％ | 13．8\％ | 54．1\％ | 10．6\％ | 20.94 | 17 | 13 | 11 | 16 | 17 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 2.50 |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | \＄39，126 | \＄159 | \＄53，076 | 0．2\％ | 0．5\％ | 74．2\％ | 9．1\％ | 21.43 | 8 | 18 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 3.30 |
| 030 | Eagles Trace | \＄26，122 | \＄185 | \＄45，509 | 3．8\％ | 8．2\％ | 67．8\％ | 3．7\％ | 22.68 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 2.90 |
| 039 | Gardenbrook Apartments | \＄36，491 | \＄461 | \＄75，347 | 5．7\％ | 5．1\％ | 79．4\％ | 23．6\％ | 17.46 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 6 |  | 4.00 |
| 046 | Heritage Place Apartments | \＄40，133 | \＄151 | \＄118，091 | 24．9\％ | 27．2\％ | 88．3\％ | 43．2\％ | 16.79 | 7 | 21 | 5 | 21 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3.20 |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | \＄66，140 | \＄451 | \＄129，454 | 3．5\％ | 11．1\％ | 95．2\％ | 42．3\％ | 15.09 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4.50 |
| 060 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | \＄14，011 | \＄168 | \＄70，999 | 6．9\％ | 9．5\％ | 46．3\％ | 6．0\％ | 20.48 | 21 | 16 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 20 | 14 | 9 | 2.20 |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | \＄23，432 | \＄194 | \＄44，266 | 4．4\％ | 6．8\％ | 60．3\％ | 4．5\％ | 23.07 | 14 | 7 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 2.80 |
| 066 | Martha＇s Vineyard | \＄58，536 | \＄183 | \＄68，707 | 5．4\％ | 13．9\％ | 78．7\％ | 14．2\％ | 18.83 | 3 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 3.40 |
| 069 | Midtown Square | \＄40，248 | \＄183 | \＄71，534 | 4．3\％ | 3．7\％ | 74．2\％ | 14．9\％ | 22.45 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 4 |  | 8 | 17 | 3.60 |
| 071 | Overlook Club | \＄44，412 | \＄387 | \＄142，671 | 9．5\％ | 0．5\％ | 82．3\％ | 30．0\％ | 17.61 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4.10 |
| 072 | Overlook Crossing | \＄44，412 | \＄387 | \＄142，671 | 9．5\％ | 0．5\％ | 82．3\％ | 30．0\％ | 17.61 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4.10 |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | \＄22，337 | \＄163 | \＄43，672 | 4．9\％ | 10．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 2．4\％ | 25.15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 9 | 14 | 17 | 21 | 21 | 2.00 |
| 095 | Veranda at Ashley Station | \＄21，301 | \＄170 | \＄55，525 | 6．9\％ | 13．8\％ | 54．1\％ | 10．6\％ | 20.94 | 17 | 13 | 11 | 16 | 17 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 2.50 |
| 096 | Victory Crossing Apartments | \＄23，432 | \＄194 | \＄44，266 | 4．4\％ | 6．8\％ | 60．3\％ | 4．5\％ | 23.07 | 14 | 7 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 2.80 |
| 107 | Midtown Tower | \＄66，140 | \＄451 | \＄129，454 | 3．5\％ | 11．1\％ | 95．2\％ | 42．3\％ | 15.09 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4.50 |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number within 2.0 miles of Property |  |  |  |  | Nearest to Property，Miles |  |  | Number within 2.0 miles of Property |  |  |  |  | Nearest to Property，Miles |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { 은 } \\ & \frac{\pi}{\pi} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 음 } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ते } \\ & \stackrel{\ddot{O}}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 음 } \\ & \text { ⿳亠口冋口口 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\ddot{U}} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅠㅇ } \\ & \text { ì } \\ & \text { 몽 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { 汇 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { 흥 } \\ & \text { 후 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\ddot{U}} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅡㅇ } \\ & \text { "ì } \\ & \text { ㅁ } \end{aligned}$ |  | 음 흥 त | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㐅} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Sub | Wilson Homes | 15 | 61 | 18 | 8 | 14 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 3.20 |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 6 | 26 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 15 | 19 | 10 | 13 | 19 | 18 | 14 | 19 | 2.20 |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 6 | 26 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 15 | 19 | 10 | 13 | 19 | 18 | 14 | 19 | 2.20 |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | 14 | 121 | 17 | 2 | 12 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 12 | 2 | 19 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 4.00 |
| 011 | Ashley Station，Phase 1 | 22 | 74 | 23 | 6 | 18 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 3.70 |
| 012 | Ashley Station，Phase 2 | 22 | 74 | 23 | 6 | 18 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 3.70 |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | 6 | 30 | 26 | 0 | 4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 15 | 16 | ， | 13 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 2.40 |
| 030 | Eagles Trace | 5 | 21 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 4.8 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 13 | 21 | 1 | 9 | 21 | 2.00 |
| 039 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 19 | 126 | 20 | 6 | 22 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 10 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 4 | 4.50 |
| 046 | Heritage Place Apartments | 22 | 41 | 18 | 4 | 5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 3 | 14 | 17 | 6 | 14 | 21 | 17 | 12 | 2.80 |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | 22 | 69 | 21 | 4 | 18 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 3.50 |
| 060 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 21 | 48 | 20 | 4 | 13 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 9 | 13 | 15 | 6 | 11 | 20 | 19 | 11 | 3.10 |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | 6 | 29 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 15 | 17 | 2 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 2.30 |
| 066 | Martha＇s Vineyard | 13 | 96 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 13 | 3 | 20 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 3.60 |
| 069 | Midtown Square | 9 | 60 | 23 | 0 | 16 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 14 | 12 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 14 | 3.10 |
| 071 | Overlook Club | 22 | 62 | 23 | 4 | 17 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 3.40 |
| 072 | Overlook Crossing | 24 | 63 | 22 | 4 | 16 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 16 | 13 | 8 | 3.40 |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 6 | 31 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 15 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 2.40 |
| 095 | Veranda at Ashley Station | 23 | 75 | 23 | 6 | 18 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3.70 |
| 096 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 6 | 28 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 15 | 18 | 4 | 13 | 15 | 9 | 18 | 17 | 2.30 |
| 107 | Midtown Tower | 22 | 66 | 22 | 4 | 18 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 3.50 |

Source：US Census；Claritas；Google Maps

## SUBJECT PROPERTY PHOTOS

Photos of the subject property and the surrounding area are found below:


Subject Property


Looking North from Entrance


Looking East from Entrance


Looking South from Entrance


Looking West from Entrance


Typical Family Room


Typical Bedroom


Typical Bathroom


Typical Kitchen


Typical Closet


Utility Room


Community Room


Mail Kiosk

## MARKET AREA

## Overview

Market areas are influenced by a variety of interrelated factors. These factors include site location, economic, and demographic characteristics (tenure, income, rent levels, etc.), local transportation patterns, physical boundaries (rivers, streams, topography, etc.), census geographies, and the location of comparable and/or potentially competing communities.

In areas where the county seat is the largest city, centrally located, and draws from the entire county, the county may be the market area. In the case where there are potentially competing communities in one county, the market area may be part of the county. In fact, the market area could include portions of adjacent counties. In this case, a combination of county subdivisions may be used to define the market area. In urban or suburban areas, the market area will be adjacent to the site extending to all locations of similar character with residents or potential residents likely to be interested in the project. In this case, county subdivisions, townships, or a combination of census tracts may be used to define the market area.

Allen \& Associates recently conducted a series of property management interviews to better understand market areas and resident moving patterns for multifamily properties. Our study suggested that markets may be classified into the following general categories: urban, suburban and rural. Renters in urban markets are typically willing to move 5 to 10 minutes when looking for a new apartment. Our research also shows that renters in suburban markets are normally willing to move 10 to 15 minutes when looking for a new place to live. Renters in rural markets are typically willing to move 15 to 20 minutes when looking for a new apartment. We considered these general guidelines in our evaluation of the subject property.

Our study suggested that secondary market areas were generally a function of whether the proposed development was family or elderly. Our research suggested that secondary market demand for family properties ranged from 10 to 30 percent. Secondary market demand for elderly properties ranged from 10 to 50 percent. Although seniors move less frequently than younger renters, they are often willing to move longer distances when looking for housing. We considered these general secondary market guidelines in our evaluation of the subject property.

Our primary and secondary market area definitions are found below.

## Primary Market Area

We defined the primary market area by generating a drive time zone around the subject property and analyzing median rents and average household income levels in the area. We also considered population densities, existing concentrations of multifamily properties and the nearest census tract boundaries in our analysis.

The primary market area includes a population of 91,521 persons and covers a total of 49.0 square miles, making it 7.9 miles across on average.

## Secondary Market Area

We also estimate that up to 20 percent of multifamily demand will come from areas outside of the primary market area.

## Market Area Map

Market area, drive time and existing multifamily maps depicting the location of the subject property are presented in the following pages.

## esri




## ECONOMIC OUTLOOK

In this section we conduct an overview of the local and national economy. We begin our outlook for the US economy.

## US Economic Outlook

We anticipate modest economic growth for the United States the next several years. Although robust growth does not appear to be on the horizon, we do not anticipate a recession in the immediate future, either. In the discussion below we develop a forecast of the US Economy through 2019.

Our evaluation begins with a Real Gross Domestic Product (Real GDP) forecast for the nation. We use this projection, in turn, to drive employment forecasts for the United States.

## Real Gross Domestic Product

Real GDP is a measure of economic output in constant dollars. Increases in Real GDP reflect growth in the economic base as well as increases in productivity.

The table and graph below show Real GDP for the United States since 2000. The data set comes from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Gross Domestic Product |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Real GDP | Growth Rate |
| 2000 | $\$ 11,890.3$ | - |
| 2001 | $\$ 12,059.4$ | $1.42 \%$ |
| 2002 | $\$ 12,311.8$ | $2.09 \%$ |
| 2003 | $\$ 12,638.4$ | $2.65 \%$ |
| 2004 | $\$ 13,126.0$ | $3.86 \%$ |
| 2005 | $\$ 13,591.1$ | $3.54 \%$ |
| 2006 | $\$ 14,028.8$ | $3.22 \%$ |
| 2007 | $\$ 14,352.6$ | $2.31 \%$ |
| 2008 | $\$ 14,184.2$ | $-1.17 \%$ |
| 2009 | $\$ 13,869.7$ | $-2.22 \%$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 14,154.7$ | $2.05 \%$ |
| 2011 | $\$ 14,372.5$ | $1.54 \%$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 14,692.8$ | $2.23 \%$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 15,020.6$ | $2.23 \%$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 15,356.3$ | $2.23 \%$ |
| Source: W\&P Enonomics |  |  |



Real GDP grew from $\$ 11.890$ trillion in 2000 to $\$ 14.353$ trillion in 2007, before dropping to $\$ 14.184$ trillion in 2008 . Real GDP dipped further to $\$ 13.870$ trillion in 2009 . Since then Real GDP has grown to $\$ 15.356$ trillion.

Forecasts for Real GDP growth vary. Woods \& Poole Economics (W\&P) projects 2.24\% growth through 2017, followed by 2.25\% through 2019. The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) projects $2.80 \%$ growth in 2015, followed by $3.00 \%$ percent growth in 2016, dropping off to $2.70 \%$ growth in 2017, $2.20 \%$ in 2018 and $2.10 \%$ in 2019. Finally, the Federal Reserve (FED) projects $2.50 \%$ growth in 2015 , followed by $2.50 \%$ percent growth in 2016, dropping off to $2.20 \%$ growth in $2017,2.15 \%$ in 2018 and $2.15 \%$ in 2019 as shown below.

| Real GDP Growth Forecasts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | W\&P | CBO | FED | Concluded |
| 2012 | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ |
| 2013 | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ |
| 2014 | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ |
| 2015 | $2.24 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ |
| 2016 | $2.24 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2.24 \%$ | $2.70 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ | $2.40 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2.25 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ | $2.15 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ |
| 2019 | $2.25 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $2.15 \%$ | $2.15 \%$ |

Source: W\&P Economics, Congressional Budget Office; Federal Reserve
The CBO has a history of underestimating the cost of government programs and overestimating tax revenues. Consequently, we discount their projection. W\&P flatlines their projection through 2018. Consequently, we discount their projection. Taking this into consideration, we conclude $2.50 \%$ growth in 2015 , followed by $2.60 \%$ percent in $2016,2.40 \%$ in $2017,2.20 \%$ in 2018 , and $2.15 \%$ in 2019. We refer to this as our "base projection" in the discussion that follows.

## Establishment Employment

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks employment two different ways: (1) Establishment Employment (sometimes referred to as At-Place Employment) which consists of a survey of employers in a specific geographic area, regardless of where the employees at the surveyed establishment actually live; and (2) Civilian Employment (sometimes referred to as Resident Employment) which consists of a survey of households in a specific geographic area, regardless of where the surveyed participants actually work. We begin our analysis with Establishment Employment.

The table and graph below show Establishment Employment and Real GDP for the United States since 2000. The data set comes from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) and the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Establishment Employment Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Real GDP | Est Emp |
| 2000 | $\$ 11,890.3$ | $165,371,004$ |
| 2001 | $\$ 12,059.4$ | $165,510,145$ |
| 2002 | $\$ 12,311.8$ | $165,063,008$ |
| 2003 | $\$ 12,638.4$ | $166,019,479$ |
| 2004 | $\$ 13,126.0$ | $169,026,733$ |
| 2005 | $\$ 13,591.1$ | $172,551,350$ |
| 2006 | $\$ 14,028.8$ | $176,124,643$ |
| 2007 | $\$ 14,352.6$ | $179,899,653$ |
| 2008 | $\$ 14,184.2$ | $179,644,834$ |
| 2009 | $\$ 13,869.7$ | $174,225,644$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 14,154.7$ | $173,626,671$ |
| 2011 | $\$ 14,372.5$ | $175,834,720$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 14,692.8$ | $178,203,085$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 15,020.6$ | $180,604,538$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 15,356.3$ | $183,038,210$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 15,740.2$ | $184,885,358$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 16,149.4$ | $187,187,690$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 16,537.0$ | $189,480,679$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 16,900.8$ | $191,641,512$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 17,264.2$ | $193,742,287$ |
| Source: W\&P, Texas A\&M; Allen \& Assoc |  |  |



Establishment Employment grew from 165.4 million in 2000 to 179.9 million in 2007, before dropping to 173.6 million in 2010. Since then it has grown to 183.0 million.

The accompanying graph illustrates the relationship between Establishment Employment and Real GDP. We used historic data to develop a statistical relationship between the two variables. Applying our base projection to Real GDP (discussed previously) and utilizing the statistical relationship between GDP and employment yielded our base projection for Establishment Employment. Our base projection shows Real GDP growing from $\$ 15.356$ trillion in 2014 to $\$ 17.264$ trillion in 2019. This, in turn, will result in Establishment Employment growing from 183.0 million to 193.7 million over this time period.

## Employment by Industry

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks Establishment Employment by major industry. In the table below we present the breakdown for 2009 and 2014. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Establishment Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | 2009 | \% Growth | 2014 | \% of Total | Rank |
| Farm Employment | 2,633,000 | 0.4\% | 2,644,097 | 1.4\% | 18 |
| Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities And Other Employment | 821,007 | 7.5\% | 882,424 | 0.5\% | 22 |
| Mining Employment | 1,124,292 | 29.8\% | 1,459,886 | 0.8\% | 21 |
| Utilities Employment | 590,066 | -1.3\% | 582,642 | 0.3\% | 23 |
| Construction Employment | 9,532,902 | -4.7\% | 9,087,335 | 5.0\% | 10 |
| Manufacturing Employment | 12,491,135 | -2.1\% | 12,226,552 | 6.7\% | 6 |
| Wholesale Trade Employment | 6,150,974 | 2.8\% | 6,320,743 | 3.5\% | 12 |
| Retail Trade Employment | 17,890,428 | 4.0\% | 18,597,157 | 10.2\% | 3 |
| Transportation And Warehousing Employment | 5,568,926 | 5.2\% | 5,859,654 | 3.2\% | 13 |
| Information Employment | 3,312,334 | -1.1\% | 3,274,402 | 1.8\% | 16 |
| Finance And Insurance Employment | 9,480,225 | 4.3\% | 9,887,496 | 5.4\% | 9 |
| Real Estate And Rental And Lease Employment | 7,530,148 | 9.0\% | 8,204,323 | 4.5\% | 11 |
| Professional And Technical Services Employment | 11,760,390 | 8.1\% | 12,717,572 | 6.9\% | 5 |
| Management Of Companies And Enterprises Employment | 2,021,759 | 8.0\% | 2,182,915 | 1.2\% | 19 |
| Administrative And Waste Services Employment | 10,120,479 | 14.8\% | 11,615,468 | 6.3\% | 7 |
| Educational Services Employment | 3,971,349 | 13.9\% | 4,524,198 | 2.5\% | 14 |


|  |  | $18,768,539$ | $10.4 \%$ | $20,712,776$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Care And Social Assistance Employment | $3,754,392$ | $7.7 \%$ | $4,045,066$ | $2.2 \%$ | 1 |
| Arts, Entertainment, And Recreation Employment | $11,992,733$ | $7.9 \%$ | $12,944,920$ | $7.1 \%$ | 4 |
| Accommodation And Food Services Employment | $10,018,566$ | $5.4 \%$ | $10,558,736$ | $5.8 \%$ | 8 |
| Other Services, Except Public Administration Employment | $2,886,994$ | $2.1 \%$ | $2,946,358$ | $1.6 \%$ | 17 |
| Federal Civilian Government Employment | $2,092,005$ | $0.6 \%$ | $2,104,427$ | $1.1 \%$ | 20 |
| Federal Military Employment | $19,713,001$ | $-0.3 \%$ | $19,659,063$ | $10.7 \%$ | 2 |
| State And Local Government Employment | $174,225,644$ | $5.1 \%$ | $183,038,210$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Establishment Employment | Source: W\&P Economics |  |  |  |  |

The data suggests that Health Care and Social Assistance is the largest employment category accounting for 11.3\% of total US employment. State and Local Government is the second largest category accounting for $10.7 \%$ of total employment. Retail Trade is the third largest category accounting for $10.2 \%$ of total employment. Accommodation and Food Services is the fourth largest category accounting for $7.1 \%$ of total employment. Professional and Technical Services is the fifth largest category accounting for 6.9\% of total employment.

The data also suggests that while Establishment Employment grew 5.1\% between 2009 and 2014, Manufacturing Employment decreased $2.1 \%$ from 12.5 million to 12.2 million. This troubling trend has been underway for the past couple of decades and is driven by globalization as well as US corporate tax rates and regulations imposed on US manufacturers. This is a trend worth watching: Manufacturing Employment is the backbone of any nation's economy.

## Earnings by Industry

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks Average Earnings by major industry. In the table below we present the breakdown for 2014. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Average Earnings |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | Earnings | Rank |
| Farm Employment | $\$ 38,468$ | 15 |
| Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities And Other Employment | $\$ 33,244$ | 19 |
| Mining Employment | $\$ 81,070$ | 6 |
| Utilities Employment | $\$ 138,454$ | 1 |
| Construction Employment | $\$ 55,202$ | 12 |
| Manufacturing Employment | $\$ 76,147$ | 9 |
| Wholesale Trade Employment | $\$ 78,032$ | 7 |
| Retail Trade Employment | $\$ 30,867$ | 20 |
| Transportation And Warehousing Employment | $\$ 54,488$ | 13 |
| Information Employment | $\$ 97,623$ | 4 |
| Finance And Insurance Employment | $\$ 73,986$ | 10 |
| Real Estate And Rental And Lease Employment | $\$ 20,925$ | 23 |
| Professional And Technical Services Employment | $\$ 77,185$ | 8 |
| Management Of Companies And Enterprises Employment | $\$ 115,081$ | 2 |
| Administrative And Waste Services Employment | $\$ 33,943$ | 18 |
| Educational Services Employment | $\$ 36,693$ | 16 |
| Health Care And Social Assistance Employment | $\$ 53,392$ | 14 |
| Arts, Entertainment, And Recreation Employment | $\$ 26,662$ | 21 |
| Accommodation And Food Services Employment | $\$ 22,982$ | 22 |
| Other Services, Except Public Administration Employment | $\$ 34,070$ | 17 |
| Federal Civilian Government Employment | $\$ 112,907$ | 3 |
| Federal Military Employment | $\$ 89,187$ | 5 |
| State And Local Government Employment | $\$ 59,694$ |  |
| Average Earnings | $\$ 53,159$ | 11 |

Source: W\&P Economics
The data suggests that Utilities is the highest paid industry averaging $\$ 138,454$ per employee. Management is the second highest paid industry averaging $\$ 115,081$ per employee. Federal Civilian Government is the third highest paid profession averaging $\$ 112,907$ per employee. Information Technology is the fourth highest paid industry averaging $\$ 97,623$ per employee. Federal Military is the fifth highest paid category averaging $\$ 89,187$ per employee. These figures are compared with US Average Earnings of $\$ 53,159$ per employee.

## Civilian Employment

In this section we take a look at Civilian Employment. The table and graph below show Civilian Employment and Establishment Employment for the United States since 2000. The data set comes from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) and the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Texas A\&M Real Estate Center and Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Civilian Employment Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Est Emp | Civ Emp |
| 2000 | $165,371,004$ | $136,891,000$ |
| 2001 | $165,510,145$ | $136,933,000$ |
| 2002 | $165,063,008$ | $136,485,000$ |
| 2003 | $166,019,479$ | $137,736,000$ |
| 2004 | $169,026,733$ | $139,252,000$ |
| 2005 | $172,551,350$ | $141,730,000$ |
| 2006 | $176,124,643$ | $144,427,000$ |
| 2007 | $179,899,653$ | $146,047,000$ |
| 2008 | $179,644,834$ | $145,362,000$ |
| 2009 | $174,225,644$ | $139,877,000$ |
| 2010 | $173,626,671$ | $139,064,000$ |
| 2011 | $175,834,720$ | $139,869,000$ |
| 2012 | $178,203,085$ | $142,469,000$ |
| 2013 | $180,604,538$ | $143,929,000$ |
| 2014 | $183,038,210$ | $146,305,000$ |
| 2015 | $184,885,358$ | $146,809,000$ |
| 2016 | $187,187,690$ | $148,073,000$ |
| 2017 | $189,480,679$ | $149,202,000$ |
| 2018 | $191,641,512$ | $150,230,000$ |
| 2019 | $193,742,287$ | $151,250,000$ |
| Source: W\&P, Texas A\&M; Allen \& Assoc |  |  |



Civilian Employment grew from 136.9 million in 2000 to 146.0 million in 2007, before dropping to 139.1 million in 2010. Since then it has grown to 146.3 million.

The accompanying graph illustrates the relationship between Civilian Employment and Establishment Employment. We used historic data to develop a statistical relationship between the two variables. Utilizing the statistical relationship between the two measures and our forecast for Establishment Employment yielded our base projection for Civilian Employment. Our base projection shows Establishment Employment growing from 183.0 million in 2014 to 193.7 million in 2019. This, in turn, will result in Civilian Employment growing from 146.3 million to 151.3 million over this time period.

## Labor Force and Unemployment

In this section we take a look at Labor Force and Unemployment. The table below shows Civilian Employment, Unemployment and Labor Force statistics for the United States since 2000. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Texas A\&M Real Estate Center and Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Labor Force \& Unemployment Rate Forecast |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Civ Emp | Unemp | Lab Force | Unemp Rate |
| 2000 | $136,891,000$ | $5,703,792$ | $142,594,792$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2001 | $136,933,000$ | $6,753,254$ | $143,686,254$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| 2002 | $136,485,000$ | $8,403,535$ | $144,888,535$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| 2003 | $137,736,000$ | $8,791,660$ | $146,527,660$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| 2004 | $139,252,000$ | $8,104,614$ | $147,356,614$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | $141,730,000$ | $7,616,681$ | $149,346,681$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | $144,427,000$ | $6,963,985$ | $151,390,985$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | $146,047,000$ | $7,042,099$ | $153,089,099$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | $145,362,000$ | $8,950,102$ | $154,312,102$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | $139,877,000$ | $14,342,405$ | $154,219,405$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| 2010 | $139,064,000$ | $14,767,858$ | $153,831,858$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| 2011 | $139,869,000$ | $13,664,480$ | $153,533,480$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| 2012 | $142,469,000$ | $12,557,115$ | $155,026,115$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| 2013 | $143,929,000$ | $11,501,886$ | $155,430,886$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| 2014 | $146,305,000$ | $9,670,480$ | $155,975,480$ | $6.2 \%$ |

Unemployment grew from 5.7 million in 2000 to 9.0 million in 2008 before increasing to 14.3 million in 2009. Unemployment stood at 14.8 million in 2010 before falling to 9.7 million in 2014. The Unemployment Rate grew from $4.0 \%$ in 2000 to $5.8 \%$ in 2008 before increasing to $9.3 \%$ in 2009. Unemployment stood at $9.6 \%$ in 2010 before falling to $6.2 \%$ in 2014. The Labor Force grew from 142.6 million in 2000 to 154.3 million in 2008 and 154.2 in 2009. Thereafter, it has remained relatively constant as unemployed and underemployed workers - frustrated with the difficult job market - have left the labor force. This is evidenced by the Labor Force Participation Rate (the percentage of the population in the labor force), which (according to Woods \& Poole Economics) eroded between 2000 and 2014.

The table and graph below show the Unemployment Rate for the United States for the past 12 months.

| Unemployment Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Month | Unemp Rate |
| Jun-14 | $6.2 \%$ |
| Jul-14 | $6.3 \%$ |
| Aug-14 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Sep-14 | $6.2 \%$ |
| Oct-14 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Nov-14 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Dec-14 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Jan-15 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Feb-15 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Mar-15 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Apr-15 | $5.5 \%$ |
| May-15 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Jun-15 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Source: TAMU; Allen \& Assoc |  |

Source: TAMU; Allen \& Assoc


The Unemployment Rate for the United States came in at 6.2\% in June 2014 and 5.4\% in June 2015.

## Conclusion

Our findings for the base projection are summarized below.

| Base Projection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Real GDP (billion 2005 \$) | $\$ 15,020.6$ | $\$ 15,356.3$ | $\$ 15,740.2$ | $\$ 16,149.4$ | $\$ 16,537.0$ | $\$ 16,900.8$ | $\$ 17,264.2$ |
| Establishment Employment | $180,604,538$ | $183,038,210$ | $184,885,358$ | $187,187,690$ | $189,480,679$ | $191,641,512$ | $193,742,287$ |
| Civilian Employment | $143,929,000$ | $146,305,000$ | $146,809,000$ | $148,073,000$ | $149,202,000$ | $150,230,000$ | $151,250,000$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real GDP Growth \% | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ | $2.40 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ | $2.15 \%$ |
| Est Employment Growth \% | $1.35 \%$ | $1.35 \%$ | $1.01 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ | $1.22 \%$ | $1.14 \%$ | $1.10 \%$ |
| Civilian Employment Growth \% | $1.02 \%$ | $1.65 \%$ | $0.34 \%$ | $0.86 \%$ | $0.76 \%$ | $0.69 \%$ | $0.68 \%$ |

Source: W\&P Economics, Texas A\&M Real Estate Center; Allen \& Associates

Our base projection assumes Real GDP growth of $2.50 \%$ in 2015, 2.60\% in 2016, 2.40\% in 2017, 2.20\% in 2018, and 2.15\% in 2019. Given this projection, we anticipate Establishment Employment of 184.9 million in 2015 and 193.7 million in 2019. In addition, we anticipate Civilian Employment of 146.8 million in 2015 and 151.3 million in 2019.

We also evaluated an optimistic growth scenario. Our findings are summarized below.

| Growth Scenario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Real GDP (billion 2005 \$) | $\$ 15,020.6$ | $\$ 15,356.3$ | $\$ 15,970.5$ | $\$ 16,449.6$ | $\$ 16,860.9$ | $\$ 17,282.4$ | $\$ 17,714.5$ |
| Establishment Employment | $180,604,538$ | $183,038,210$ | $185,648,763$ | $188,750,271$ | $191,293,831$ | $193,704,208$ | $196,174,846$ |
| Civilian Employment | $143,929,000$ | $146,305,000$ | $147,400,343$ | $149,068,939$ | $150,166,727$ | $151,318,477$ | $152,554,459$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real GDP Growth \% | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ |
| Est Employment Growth \% | $1.35 \%$ | $1.35 \%$ | $1.43 \%$ | $1.67 \%$ | $1.35 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ |
| Civilian Employment Growth \% | $1.02 \%$ | $1.65 \%$ | $0.75 \%$ | $1.13 \%$ | $0.74 \%$ | $0.77 \%$ | $0.82 \%$ |

Source: W\&P Economics, Texas A\&M Real Estate Center; Allen \& Associates
Our optimistic scenario assumes Real GDP growth of $4.0 \%$ in 2015, $3.0 \%$ in 2016, $2.50 \%$ in $2017,2.50 \%$ in 2018, and $2.50 \%$ in 2019. Given this projection, we anticipate Establishment Employment of 185.6 million in 2015 and 196.2 million in 2019. In addition, we anticipate Civilian Employment of 147.4 million in 2015 and 152.6 million in 2019.

Finally, we evaluated a pessimistic recession scenario. Our findings are summarized below.

| Recession Scenario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Real GDP (billion 2005 \$) | $\$ 15,020.6$ | $\$ 15,356.3$ | $\$ 14,742.0$ | $\$ 14,447.2$ | $\$ 14,591.6$ | $\$ 14,810.5$ | $\$ 15,106.7$ |
| Establishment Employment | $180,604,538$ | $183,038,210$ | $181,577,270$ | $179,086,487$ | $178,838,754$ | $179,920,152$ | $181,441,199$ |
| Civilian Employment | $143,929,000$ | $146,305,000$ | $144,244,377$ | $142,725,284$ | $143,235,012$ | $144,143,040$ | $145,017,386$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real GDP Growth \% | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $-4.00 \%$ | $-2.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ |
| Est Employment Growth \% | $1.35 \%$ | $1.35 \%$ | $-0.80 \%$ | $-1.37 \%$ | $-0.14 \%$ | $0.60 \%$ | $0.85 \%$ |
| Civilian Employment Growth \% | $1.02 \%$ | $1.65 \%$ | $-1.41 \%$ | $-1.05 \%$ | $0.36 \%$ | $0.63 \%$ | $0.61 \%$ |

Source: W\&P Economics, Texas A\&M Real Estate Center; Allen \& Associates
Our recession scenario assumes Real GDP growth of $-4.0 \%$ in 2015, $-2.0 \%$ in 2016, $1.0 \%$ in 2017, $1.50 \%$ in 2018, and $2.0 \%$ in 2019. Given this projection, we anticipate Establishment Employment of 181.6 million in 2015 and 181.4 million in 2019. In addition, we anticipate Civilian Employment of 144.2 million in 2015 and 145.0 million in 2019.

In our opinion, the recession scenario is unlikely. Recessions are almost always preceded by several months of an inverted yield curve (short term interest rates are higher than long term rates) as depicted in the graph below. Long term rates exceed short term rates today. This suggests that we are not facing a recession in the immediate future. Although growth is slow now, an economic contraction does not appear to be on the horizon.


## Regional Economic Outlook

In this section we conduct an analysis of the regional economy. For purposes of this analysis, we define the Region as Muscogee County, Georgia. A map depicting the Region is found below.


We anticipate moderate economic growth accompanied by modest population growth for the Region over the next several years. The employment base is anticipated to increase over this time period as well. In the discussion below we develop a forecast of the regional economy through 2019.

Our evaluation utilized the base projection for the US economy (developed in the previous section) to drive a base regional economic forecast. Our analysis is found below.

## Employment by Industry

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks Establishment Employment by major industry. In the table below we present the breakdown for 2014 and compare the regional percent distribution to the US percent distribution. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Establishment Employment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | 2014 | Reg $\%$ | US \% |
| Farm Employment | 36 | $0.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities And Other Employment | 123 | $0.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Mining Employment | 202 | $0.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Utilities Employment | 213 | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Construction Employment | 5,478 | $4.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Manufacturing Employment | 6,801 | $5.2 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| Wholesale Trade Employment | 2,911 | $2.2 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Retail Trade Employment | 12,689 | $9.7 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ |
| Transportation And Warehousing Employment | 2,312 | $1.8 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Information Employment | 1,468 | $1.1 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Finance And Insurance Employment | 12,860 | $9.8 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Real Estate And Rental And Lease Employment | 3,707 | $2.8 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Professional And Technical Services Employment | 6,883 | $5.3 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| Management Of Companies And Enterprises Employment | 1,082 | $0.8 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Administrative And Waste Services Employment | 8,577 | $6.6 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| Educational Services Employment | 1,120 | $0.9 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Health Care And Social Assistance Employment | 17,026 | $13.0 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| Arts, Entertainment, And Recreation Employment | 1,606 | $1.2 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Accommodation And Food Services Employment | 11,714 | $9.0 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| Other Services, Except Public Administration Employment | 7,897 | $6.0 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Federal Civilian Government Employment | 6,609 | $5.1 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Federal Military Employment | 5,952 | $4.6 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| State And Local Government Employment | 13,335 | $10.2 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| Establishment Employment | 130,601 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: W\&P Economics
Regional Establishment Employment stood at 130,601 in 2014. The data suggests that Health Care and Social Assistance is the largest employment category accounting for $13.0 \%$ of total regional employment. State and Local Government is the second largest category accounting for $10.2 \%$ of total employment. Finance and Insurance is the third largest category accounting for $9.8 \%$ of total employment. Retail Trade is the fourth largest category accounting for $9.7 \%$ of total employment. Accommodation and Food Services is the fifth largest category accounting for $9.0 \%$ of total employment.

Economists generally classify employment two ways: basic and non-basic. Basic employment, which is considered to be the engine of a local economy, includes industries that rely on external factors to fuel demand. For instance, mining, logging and manufacturers are frequently considered basic employers. Goods for these industries are shipped outside the location where they are produced. Nonbasic employers depend largely on local demand and usually employ local workers. For example, grocery stores and restaurants are sometimes considered non-basic employers.

The Location Quotient (LQ) technique is the most common method of identifying basic industries for a given economy. The LQ technique compares the share of workers in each industry of a given economy with that of a larger reference economy. If the number of workers in the given economy is greater than that of the reference economy, these are considered to be basic industries because they fill needs beyond those of the reference community.

In the table above we highlight the basic industries for the Region. The distribution of employment in these industries exceeds that for the United States. These basic industries represent about 70,635 employees or about $54.1 \%$ of total regional employment. These are the industries that drive the regional economy.

## Earnings by Industry

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks Average Earnings by major industry. In the table below we present the breakdown for 2014. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Average Earnings |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | Earnings | Rank |
| Farm Employment | $\$ 111$ | 23 |
| Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities And Other Employment | $\$ 35,943$ | 15 |
| Mining Employment | $\$ 54,356$ | 10 |
| Utilities Employment | $\$ 115,784$ | 1 |
| Construction Employment | $\$ 40,704$ | 14 |
| Manufacturing Employment | $\$ 61,037$ | 8 |
| Wholesale Trade Employment | $\$ 56,112$ | 9 |
| Retail Trade Employment | $\$ 26,000$ | 16 |
| Transportation And Warehousing Employment | $\$ 48,821$ | 11 |
| Information Employment | $\$ 61,834$ | 6 |
| Finance And Insurance Employment | $\$ 63,447$ | 5 |
| Real Estate And Rental And Lease Employment | $\$ 25,028$ | 18 |
| Professional And Technical Services Employment | $\$ 61,295$ | 7 |
| Management Of Companies And Enterprises Employment | $\$ 109,310$ | 3 |
| Administrative And Waste Services Employment | $\$ 19,766$ | 20 |
| Educational Services Employment | $\$ 21,346$ | 19 |
| Health Care And Social Assistance Employment | $\$ 48,424$ | 12 |
| Arts, Entertainment, And Recreation Employment | $\$ 18,125$ | 22 |
| Acommodation And Food Services Employment | $\$ 19,033$ | 21 |
| Other Services, Except Public Administration Employment | $\$ 25,434$ | 17 |
| Federal Civilian Government Employment | $\$ 83,612$ | 4 |
| Federal Military Employment | $\$ 110,240$ | 2 |
| State And Local Government Employment | $\$ 48,150$ |  |
| Average Earnings | $\$ 47,056$ | 13 |

The data suggests that Utilities is the highest paid industry averaging $\$ 115,784$ per employee. Federal Military is the second highest paid industry averaging $\$ 110,240$ per employee. Management of Companies is the third highest paid profession averaging $\$ 109,310$ per employee. Federal Civilian Government is the fourth highest paid industry averaging $\$ 83,612$ per employee. Finance and Insurance is the fifth highest paid category averaging $\$ 63,447$ per employee. These figures are compared with regional Average Earnings of $\$ 47,056$ per employee.

The highlighted industries represent basic industries for the Region. Average Earnings for these basic industries comes to $\$ 52,851$ or $12.3 \%$ higher than average for the Region.

## Top Employers

The table below gives a listing of the Region's top employers. The data comes from InfoUSA and includes a primary industry description for each employer.

| Top Employers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Employees | SIC Code | Industry Description | Location Type |
| Fort Benning | 32,000 | $9711-09$ | Military Bases | Headquarter |
| Aflac Inc | 4,000 | $6411-12$ | Insurance | - |
| St Francis Hospital | 2,800 | $8062-02$ | Hospitals | Headquarter |
| Total System Svc Inc | 2,000 | $7374-04$ | Credit Card-Merchant Services | Branch |
| Martin Army Community Hospital | 1,700 | $8062-02$ | Hospitals | Branch |
| W C Bradley Char-Broil Plant | 1,700 | $3631-01$ | Barbecue Equipment \& Supplies-Mfrs | - |
| L \& S Svc | 1,000 | $1799-77$ | Contractors | Subsidiary |
| Columbus State University | 800 | $8221-01$ | Schools-Universities \& Colleges Academic | - |
| United States Army | 800 | $8748-07$ | Recreation Program Consultants | Subsidiary |
| Heatcraft Worldwide Refrig | 750 | $3585-07$ | Refrigerating Equip Supls \& Parts-Mfrs |  |

The top employers include: (1) Fort Benning (32000 employees); (2) Aflac Inc (4000 employees) and; (3) St Francis Hospital (2800 employees).

We contacted the largest employers to find out about their staffing plans for the next few years. This is what we were told:
> Our employment data source list 32,000 people employed by Fort Benning (706) 545-2011) in Muscogee County making them the largest employer. We were told that the US Army is always hiring.
> Our employment data source list 4000 people employed by Aflac Inc (706) 323-3431) in Muscogee County making them tied for the second largest employer. After multiple attempts, we were not able to get a person on the line to confirm our information.
> Our employment data source list 2800 people employed by Total System Svc Inc (706) 649-2310) in Muscogee County making them the third largest employer. We received a message in the HR Dept.; it stated that they are currently accepting applications for employment through their website.
> Our employment data source list 2000 people employed by Total System Svc Inc (706) 649-2310) in Muscogee County making them the fourth largest employer. We received a message in the HR Dept.; it stated that they are currently accepting applications for employment through their website.
> Our employment data source list 1700 people employed by W C Bradley Char-Broil Plant (706) 571-7000) in Muscogee County making them tied for the fifth largest employer. After multiple attempts, we were not able to get a person on the line to confirm our information.
> Our employment data source list 1700 people employed by Martin Army Community Hospital (706) 544-2273) in Muscogee County making them tied for the fifth largest employer. After multiple attempts, we were not able to get a person on the line to confirm our information.
$>$ Our employment data source list 1000 people employed by L \& S Svc (706) 682-1021) in Muscogee County making them the seventh largest employer. After multiple attempts, we were not able to get a person on the line to confirm our information.

## Basic Employment

In this section we generate a Basic Employment forecast for the Region using base US Establishment Employment and Civilian Employment forecasts. The table and graph below show employment for the Region and the United States since 2000. The data set comes from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) and the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Basic Employment Forecast |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | US Est Empl | US Civ Empl | Basic Emp |
| 2000 | $165,371,004$ | $136,891,000$ | 49,673 |
| 2001 | $165,510,145$ | $136,933,000$ | 48,354 |
| 2002 | $165,063,008$ | $136,485,000$ | 49,292 |
| 2003 | $166,019,479$ | $137,736,000$ | 52,478 |
| 2004 | $169,026,733$ | $139,252,000$ | 54,255 |
| 2005 | $172,551,350$ | $141,730,000$ | 55,772 |
| 2006 | $176,124,643$ | $144,427,000$ | 57,329 |
| 2007 | $179,899,653$ | $146,047,000$ | 58,471 |
| 2008 | $179,644,834$ | $145,362,000$ | 60,289 |
| 2009 | $174,225,644$ | $139,877,000$ | 64,193 |
| 2010 | $173,626,671$ | $139,064,000$ | 65,023 |
| 2011 | $175,834,720$ | $139,869,000$ | 66,660 |
| 2012 | $178,203,085$ | $142,469,000$ | 67,960 |
| 2013 | $180,604,538$ | $143,929,000$ | 69,286 |
| 2014 | $183,038,210$ | $146,305,000$ | 70,635 |
| 2015 | $184,885,358$ | $146,809,000$ | 70,731 |
| 2016 | $187,187,690$ | $148,073,000$ | 73,635 |
| 2017 | $189,480,679$ | $149,202,000$ | 76,058 |
| 2018 | $191,641,512$ | $150,230,000$ | 78,624 |
| 2019 | $193,742,287$ | $151,250,000$ | 81,121 |
| Source: W\&P Economics; Allen \& Assoc |  |  |  |



Basic Employment increased from 48,354 in 2001 to 60,289 in 2008. Basic Employment increased to 65,023 in 2010 and increased to 70,635 in 2014

The accompanying graph illustrates the relationship between Basic Employment for the Region and US Establishment and US Civilian Employment. We used historic data to develop a statistical relationship between the variables. Utilizing this statistical relationship and our base projections for US Establishment and US Civilian Employment yielded our base projection for Basic Employment for the Region. Our projection shows US Establishment Employment growing from 183.0 million in 2014 to 193.7 million in 2019. US Civilian Employment is projected to grow from 146.3 million in 2014 to 151.3 million in 2019. This, in turn, will result in Basic Employment for the Region increasing from 70,635 to 81,121 over this time period.

## Establishment Employment

In this section we generate an Establishment Employment forecast for the Region using base US Establishment Employment and Civilian Employment forecasts. The table and graph below show employment for the Region and the United States since 2000. The data set comes from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) and the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Establishment Employment Forecast |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | US Est Empl | US Civ Empl | Est Empl |
| 2000 | $165,371,004$ | $136,891,000$ | 120,079 |
| 2001 | $165,510,145$ | $136,933,000$ | 116,678 |
| 2002 | $165,063,008$ | $136,485,000$ | 116,772 |
| 2003 | $166,019,479$ | $137,736,000$ | 118,163 |
| 2004 | $169,026,733$ | $139,252,000$ | 120,051 |
| 2005 | $172,551,350$ | $141,730,000$ | 122,417 |
| 2006 | $176,124,643$ | $144,427,000$ | 124,192 |
| 2007 | $179,899,653$ | $146,047,000$ | 123,536 |
| 2008 | $179,644,834$ | $145,362,000$ | 123,895 |
| 2009 | $174,225,644$ | $139,877,000$ | 122,053 |
| 2010 | $173,626,671$ | $139,064,000$ | 123,429 |
| 2011 | $175,834,720$ | $139,869,000$ | 125,270 |
| 2012 | $178,203,085$ | $142,469,000$ | 127,021 |
| 2013 | $180,604,538$ | $143,929,000$ | 128,799 |
| 2014 | $183,038,210$ | $146,305,000$ | 130,601 |
| 2015 | $184,885,358$ | $146,809,000$ | 129,414 |
| 2016 | $187,187,690$ | $148,073,000$ | 131,444 |
| 2017 | $189,480,679$ | $149,202,000$ | 132,812 |
| 2018 | $191,641,512$ | $150,230,000$ | 134,238 |
| 2019 | $193,742,287$ | $151,250,000$ | 135,672 |
| Source: W\&P Economics; Allen \& Assoc |  |  |  |



Source: W\&P Economics; Allen \& Assoc
Establishment Employment increased from 116,678 in 2001 to 124,192 in 2006. Establishment Employment decreased to 122,053 in 2009 and increased to 130,601 in 2014.

The accompanying graph illustrates the relationship between Establishment Employment for the Region and US Establishment and US Civilian Employment. We used historic data to develop a statistical relationship between the variables. Utilizing this statistical relationship and our base projections for US Establishment and US Civilian Employment yielded our base projection for Establishment Employment for the Region. Our projection shows US Establishment Employment growing from 183.0 million in 2014 to 193.7 million in 2019; US Civilian Employment is projected to grow from 146.3 million in 2014 to 151.3 million in 2019. This, in turn, will result in Establishment Employment for the Region increasing from 130,601 to 135,672 over this time period.

## Civilian Employment

In this section we generate a Civilian Employment forecast for the Region using base US Establishment Employment and Civilian Employment forecasts. The table and graph below show employment for the Region and the United States since 2000. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via the Texas A\&M Real Estate Center.

| Civilian Employment Forecast |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | US Est Empl | US Civ Empl | Civ Emp |
| 2000 | $165,371,004$ | $136,891,000$ | 79,637 |
| 2001 | $165,510,145$ | $136,933,000$ | 78,155 |
| 2002 | $165,063,008$ | $136,485,000$ | 77,880 |
| 2003 | $166,019,479$ | $137,736,000$ | 78,888 |
| 2004 | $169,026,733$ | $139,252,000$ | 79,046 |
| 2005 | $172,551,350$ | $141,730,000$ | 79,487 |
| 2006 | $176,124,643$ | $144,427,000$ | 80,659 |
| 2007 | $179,899,653$ | $146,047,000$ | 81,150 |
| 2008 | $179,644,834$ | $145,362,000$ | 80,960 |
| 2009 | $174,225,644$ | $139,877,000$ | 78,276 |
| 2010 | $173,626,671$ | $139,064,000$ | 73,484 |
| 2011 | $175,834,720$ | $139,869,000$ | 74,543 |
| 2012 | $178,203,085$ | $142,469,000$ | 75,373 |
| 2013 | $180,604,538$ | $143,929,000$ | 75,402 |
| 2014 | $183,038,210$ | $146,305,000$ | 74,579 |
| 2015 | $184,885,358$ | $146,809,000$ | 77,421 |
| 2016 | $187,187,690$ | $148,073,000$ | 76,699 |
| 2017 | $189,480,679$ | $149,202,000$ | 76,595 |
| 2018 | $191,641,512$ | $150,230,000$ | 76,295 |
| 2019 | $193,742,287$ | $151,250,000$ | 75,972 |



Source: Texas A\&M Real Estate Center; Allen \& Assoc
Civilian Employment increased from 77,880 in 2002 to 81,150 in 2007. Civilian Employment decreased to 73,484 in 2010 and
increased to 74,579 in 2014.
The accompanying graph illustrates the relationship between Civilian Employment for the Region and US Establishment and US Civilian Employment. We used historic data to develop a statistical relationship between the variables. Utilizing this statistical relationship and our base projections for US Establishment and US Civilian Employment yielded our base projection for Civilian Employment for the Region. Our projection shows US Establishment Employment growing from 183.0 million in 2014 to 193.7 million in 2019; US Civilian Employment is projected to grow from 146.3 million in 2014 to 151.3 million in 2019. This, in turn, will result in Civilian Employment for the Region increasing from 74,579 to 75,972 over this time period.

## Labor Force and Unemployment

In this section we take a look at Labor Force and Unemployment. The table below shows Civilian Employment, Unemployment and Labor Force statistics for the Region since 2000. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via the Texas A\&M Real Estate Center.

| Labor Force \& Unemployment Rate Forecast |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Civ Emp | Unemp | Lab Force | Unemp Rate |
| 2000 | 79,637 | 4,015 | 83,652 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 2001 | 78,155 | 4,113 | 82,268 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2002 | 77,880 | 4,533 | 82,413 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 2003 | 78,888 | 4,415 | 83,303 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 2004 | 79,046 | 4,689 | 83,735 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | 79,487 | 5,254 | 84,741 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | 80,659 | 4,694 | 85,353 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | 81,150 | 4,451 | 85,601 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | 80,960 | 5,351 | 86,311 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | 78,276 | 7,836 | 86,112 | $9.1 \%$ |
| 2010 | 73,484 | 8,256 | 81,740 | $10.1 \%$ |
| 2011 | 74,543 | 8,283 | 82,826 | $10.0 \%$ |
| 2012 | 75,373 | 8,097 | 83,470 | $9.7 \%$ |
| 2013 | 75,402 | 7,731 | 83,133 | $9.3 \%$ |
| 2014 | 74,579 | 6,928 | 81,507 | $8.5 \%$ |

Unemployment decreased from 4,533 in 2002 to 4,451 in 2007. Unemployment increased to 8,256 in 2010 and decreased to 6,928 in 2014. The Unemployment Rate decreased from $5.5 \%$ in 2002 to $5.2 \%$ in 2007. The Unemployment Rate increased to $10.1 \%$ in 2010 and decreased to $8.5 \%$ in 2014.

The table and graph below show the Unemployment Rate for the Region for the past 12 months.

| Unemployment Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Month | Unemp Rate |
| Apr-14 | $7.8 \%$ |
| May-14 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Jun-14 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Jul-14 | $9.5 \%$ |
| Aug-14 | $9.2 \%$ |
| Sep-14 | $8.5 \%$ |
| Oct-14 | $8.2 \%$ |
| Nov-14 | $7.9 \%$ |
| Dec-14 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Jan-15 | $8.1 \%$ |
| Feb-15 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Mar-15 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Apr-15 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Source: TAMU; Allen \& Assoc |  |



The Unemployment Rate for the Region came in at 7.8\% in April 2014 and 7.3\% in April 2015.

## Population

In this section we generate a Population forecast for the Region using our base Civilian Employment forecast. The table and graph below show Civilian Employment and Population for the Region since 2000. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via the Texas A\&M Real Estate Center and the US Census Bureau.

| Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Civ Emp | Pop |
| 2000 | 79,637 | 186,478 |
| 2001 | 78,155 | 186,375 |
| 2002 | 77,880 | 185,139 |
| 2003 | 78,888 | 180,838 |
| 2004 | 79,046 | 185,057 |
| 2005 | 79,487 | 186,543 |
| 2006 | 80,659 | 189,464 |
| 2007 | 81,150 | 184,704 |
| 2008 | 80,960 | 184,763 |
| 2009 | 78,276 | 188,796 |
| 2010 | 73,484 | 190,371 |
| 2011 | 74,543 | 194,107 |
| 2012 | 75,373 | 195,664 |
| 2013 | 75,402 | 197,245 |
| 2014 | 74,579 | 198,844 |
| 2015 | 77,421 | 198,173 |
| 2016 | 76,699 | 198,662 |
| 2017 | 76,595 | 198,816 |
| 2018 | 76,295 | 200,135 |
| 2019 | 75,972 | 201,295 |

Source: TAMU US Census; Allen \& Assoc


Population decreased from 186,478 in 2000 to 184,763 in 2008. Population increased to 190,371 in 2010 and increased to 198,844 in 2014.

The accompanying graph illustrates the change in Regional Population over time. We used the historic data to develop a statistical relationship between Civilian Employment and Population. Utilizing the statistical relationship and our base Regional Civilian Employment projection yielded our base Regional Population forecast. Our projection shows Regional Population increasing from 198,844 in 2014 to 201,295 in 2019.

## Households

In this section we generate a Regional Household forecast using our base Regional Population projection. The table and graph below show Regional Households since 2000. The data set comes from the US Census Bureau via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Household Forecast |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pop | Pop/HH | HH |
| 2000 | 186,478 | 2.669 | 69,880 |
| 2001 | 186,375 | 2.600 | 71,670 |
| 2002 | 185,139 | 2.588 | 71,546 |
| 2003 | 180,838 | 2.544 | 71,076 |
| 2004 | 185,057 | 2.554 | 72,448 |
| 2005 | 186,543 | 2.541 | 73,414 |
| 2006 | 189,464 | 2.538 | 74,653 |
| 2007 | 184,704 | 2.525 | 73,145 |
| 2008 | 184,763 | 2.528 | 73,081 |
| 2009 | 188,796 | 2.542 | 74,257 |
| 2010 | 190,371 | 2.563 | 74,276 |
| 2011 | 194,107 | 2.553 | 76,018 |
| 2012 | 195,664 | 2.572 | 76,063 |
| 2013 | 197,245 | 2.555 | 77,190 |
| 2014 | 198,844 | 2.540 | 78,286 |
| 2015 | 198,173 | 2.534 | 78,207 |
| 2016 | 198,662 | 2.528 | 78,587 |
| 2017 | 198,816 | 2.522 | 78,835 |
| 2018 | 200,135 | 2.516 | 79,548 |
| 2019 | 201,295 | 2.510 | 80,201 |



Source: W\&P Economics; Allen \& Assoc
Households increased from 69,880 in 2000 to 73,081 in 2008. Households increased to 74,276 in 2010 and increased to 78,286 in 2014. Population per Household decreased from 2.669 in 2000 to 2.528 in 2008. Population per Household increased to 2.563 in 2010 and decreased to 2.540 in 2014.

For projection purposes, we decreased Population per Household from 2.540 in 2014 to 2.510 in 2019. Our base projection shows Population increasing from 198,844 in 2014 to 201,295 in 2019. This, in turn, will result in Households increasing from 78,286 in 2014 to 80,201 in 2019.

Every 10 years the US Census Bureau collects Housing Unit information by structure type. In the table below we present the breakdown for 2000 and 2010.

| Housing Units |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | 2000 | 2010 | $\%$ of Total |
| Housing Units, 1, detached | 49,954 | 55,711 | $68.2 \%$ |
| Housing Units, 1, attached | 2,356 | 1,369 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Housing Units, 2 | 2,609 | 2,482 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Housing Units, 3 to 19 | 13,902 | 15,336 | $18.8 \%$ |
| Housing Units, 20 to 49 | 1,734 | 1,579 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Housing Units, 50 or more | 2,764 | 3,385 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Housing Units, Mobile home | 2,863 | 1,813 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Housing Units, Other | 0 | 2 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Housing Units | 76,182 | 81,677 | $100.0 \%$ |

In 2000, there were 76,182 Housing Units in the Region; in 2010 there were 81,677 units. The 2010 total included 55,711 single family detached units ( $68.2 \%$ of total). The 2010 total also included 4,964 multifamily units ( $20+$ units $/ 6.1 \%$ of total).

## Building Permits

In this section we look at Building Permits. The table and graph below show historical data for the Region since 2000. The data set comes from the US Census.

| Building Permits |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 Family | 2-4 Family | 5+ Family | Total |
| 2000 | 556 | 25 | 394 | 975 |
| 2001 | 506 | 25 | 650 | 1,181 |
| 2002 | 615 | 139 | 68 | 822 |
| 2003 | 704 | 74 | 448 | 1,226 |
| 2004 | 701 | 43 | 349 | 1,093 |
| 2005 | 846 | 49 | 371 | 1,266 |
| 2006 | 710 | 51 | 341 | 1,102 |
| 2007 | 488 | 38 | 296 | 822 |
| 2008 | 286 | 26 | 222 | 534 |
| 2009 | 223 | 23 | 92 | 338 |
| 2010 | 224 | 28 | 87 | 339 |
| 2011 | 217 | 27 | 125 | 369 |
| 2012 | 262 | 33 | 190 | 485 |
| 2013 | 318 | 28 | 201 | 547 |
| 2014 | 333 | 26 | 228 | 587 |
| Source: US Census |  |  |  |  |

Building Permits for the Region increased from 822 in 2002 to 1,266 in 2005, before decreasing to 338 in 2009 and increasing to 587 in 2014.

## Conclusion

Our findings for the base projection are summarized below.

| Base Projection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Real GDP Growth \% | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ | $2.40 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic Employment | 69,286 | 70,635 | 70,731 | 73,635 | 76,058 | 78,624 | 81,121 |
| Establishment Employment | 128,799 | 130,601 | 129,414 | 131,444 | 132,812 | 134,238 | 135,672 |
| Civilian Employment | 75,402 | 74,579 | 77,421 | 76,699 | 76,595 | 76,295 | 75,972 |
| Population | 197,245 | 198,844 | 198,173 | 198,662 | 198,816 | 200,135 | 201,295 |
| Households | 77,190 | 78,286 | 78,207 | 78,587 | 78,835 | 79,548 | 80,201 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic Employment Growth \% | $2.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Est Employment Growth \% | $1.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $-0.9 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Civilian Employment Growth \% | $0.0 \%$ | $-1.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $-0.9 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ | $-0.4 \%$ | $-0.4 \%$ |
| Population Growth \% | $0.8 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $-0.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Household Growth \% | $1.5 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |

Source: W\&P Economics, Texas A\&M Real Estate Center, US Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

Our base projection assumes Real GDP growth of $2.50 \%$ in 2015, $2.60 \%$ in 2016, $2.40 \%$ in 2017, 2.20\% in 2018, and 2.15\% in 2019.
Given this projection, we anticipate Establishment Employment for the Region to increase from 129,414 in 2015 to 135,672 in 2019.
Over this same time period we anticipate Civilian Employment for the Region to decrease from 77,421 to 75,972 . Finally, we anticipate Population for the Region to increase from 198,173 to 201,295.

## DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

## Population

In the table below we give the 2010-2020 ESRI population projection for the Market Area. The data set comes from ESRI.

| Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Population | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 89,139 | - |
| 2011 | 89,615 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2012 | 90,092 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | 90,568 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2014 | 91,045 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2015 | 91,521 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2016 | 92,622 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 2017 | 93,723 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 2018 | 94,825 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 2019 | 95,926 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 2020 | 97,027 | $1.1 \%$ |



In the table below we give the 2010-2020 ESRI 55+ population projection for the Market Area.

| $55+$ Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Population | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 18,891 | - |
| 2011 | 19,378 | $2.6 \%$ |
| 2012 | 19,865 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | 20,352 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 2014 | 20,839 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 2015 | 21,326 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2016 | 21,860 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 2017 | 22,394 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 2018 | 22,929 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 2019 | 23,463 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2020 | 23,997 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2020 ESRI 65+ population projection for the Market Area.

| 65+ Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Population | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 10,060 | - |
| 2011 | 10,300 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 2012 | 10,541 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2013 | 10,781 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2014 | 11,022 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2015 | 11,262 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2016 | 11,683 | $3.7 \%$ |
| 2017 | 12,103 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 2018 | 12,524 | $3.5 \%$ |
| 2019 | 12,944 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2020 | 13,365 | $3.2 \%$ |

Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates


Finally, we interpolated the $55+$ and $65+$ population forecasts to derive a 62+ forecast for the Market Area. The table and graph below give our 2010-2020 projection for the Market Area.

| $62+$ Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Population | Growth $\%$ |
| 2010 | 12,709 | - |
| 2011 | 13,024 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 2012 | 13,338 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 2013 | 13,652 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 2014 | 13,967 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2015 | 14,281 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2016 | 14,736 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 2017 | 15,191 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 2018 | 15,645 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 2019 | 16,100 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 2020 | 16,555 | $2.8 \%$ |



Households
In the table below we give the 2010-2020 ESRI household projection for the Market Area. The data set comes from ESRI.

| Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 34,879 | - |
| 2011 | 35,101 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2012 | 35,323 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2013 | 35,544 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2014 | 35,766 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2015 | 35,988 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2016 | 36,461 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 2017 | 36,934 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 2018 | 37,407 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 2019 | 37,880 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 2020 | 38,353 | $1.2 \%$ |

Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates
In the table below we give the 2010-2020 ESRI 55+ household projection for the Market Area.

| 55+ Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth $\%$ |
| 2010 | 12,930 | - |
| 2011 | 13,232 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2012 | 13,533 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2013 | 13,835 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2014 | 14,136 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2015 | 14,438 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2016 | 14,763 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2017 | 15,088 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2018 | 15,414 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2019 | 15,739 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2020 | 16,064 | $2.1 \%$ |



In the table below we give the 2010-2020 ESRI 65+ household projection for the Market Area.

| $65+$ Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 7,240 | - |
| 2011 | 7,395 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2012 | 7,549 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2013 | 7,704 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2014 | 7,858 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2015 | 8,013 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | 8,285 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 8,558 | $3.3 \%$ |
| 2018 | 8,830 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 2019 | 9,103 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 2020 | 9,375 | $3.0 \%$ |



Finally, we interpolated the $55+$ and $65+$ household forecasts to derive a $62+$ forecast for the Market Area. The table and graph below give our 2010-2020 projection for the Market Area.

| 62+ Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth $\%$ |
| 2010 | 8,947 | - |
| 2011 | 9,146 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2012 | 9,344 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2013 | 9,543 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2014 | 9,742 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2015 | 9,941 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | 10,229 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 2017 | 10,517 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 2018 | 10,805 | $2.7 \%$ |
| 2019 | 11,093 | $2.7 \%$ |
| 2020 | 11,382 | $2.6 \%$ |



Renter Households
In the table below we give the 2010-2020 ESRI renter household projection for the Market Area. The data set comes from ESRI.

| Renter Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 21,016 | - |
| 2011 | 21,402 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2012 | 21,790 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2013 | 22,182 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2014 | 22,578 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2015 | 22,976 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2016 | 23,317 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2017 | 23,658 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2018 | 24,001 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2019 | 24,344 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2020 | 24,689 | $1.4 \%$ |

Source: ESRI; Allen \& Associates


In the table below we give the 2010-2020 ESRI 55+ renter household projection for the Market Area.

| 55+ Renter Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 5,108 | - |
| 2011 | 5,227 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2012 | 5,346 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2013 | 5,465 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2014 | 5,585 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2015 | 5,704 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2016 | 5,832 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2017 | 5,961 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2018 | 6,089 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2019 | 6,218 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2020 | 6,346 | $2.1 \%$ |



In the table below we give the 2010-2020 ESRI 65+ renter household projection for the Market Area.

| $65+$ Renter Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 2,295 | - |
| 2011 | 2,344 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2012 | 2,393 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2013 | 2,442 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2014 | 2,491 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2015 | 2,540 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | 2,626 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 2,713 | $3.3 \%$ |
| 2018 | 2,799 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 2019 | 2,885 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 2020 | 2,972 | $3.0 \%$ |



Finally, we interpolated the 55+ and 65+ renter household forecasts to derive a 62+ forecast for the Market Area. The table and graph below give our 2010-2020 projection for the Market Area.

| $62+$ Renter Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth $\%$ |
| 2010 | 3,139 | - |
| 2011 | 3,209 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2012 | 3,279 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2013 | 3,349 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2014 | 3,419 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2015 | 3,489 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | 3,588 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 2017 | 3,687 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 2018 | 3,786 | $2.7 \%$ |
| 2019 | 3,885 | $2.6 \%$ |
| 2020 | 3,984 | $2.5 \%$ |



Renter Household Income
The following table shows the current distribution of renter household incomes for the Market Area. The data set comes from the US Census Bureau, Claritas and ESRI.

| Renter Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 \$ |  | 2015 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 3,077 | 877 | 568 | 420 | 167 | 64 | 43 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 2,004 | 1,022 | 723 | 449 | 165 | 64 | 42 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 1,545 | 882 | 676 | 397 | 195 | 75 | 50 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 860 | 803 | 549 | 439 | 235 | 90 | 60 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 387 | 491 | 395 | 404 | 276 | 106 | 70 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 179 | 344 | 254 | 284 | 136 | 52 | 35 |
| \$60,000 | \$69,999 | 248 | 210 | 212 | 146 | 65 | 25 | 17 |
| \$70,000 | \$79,999 | 147 | 125 | 126 | 87 | 39 | 15 | 10 |
| \$80,000 | more | 416 | 353 | 356 | 245 | 109 | 42 | 28 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 22,976 |  |  |  |

The following table shows the current distribution of 55+ renter household incomes for the Market Area

| 2015 \$ |  | 2015 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 1,704 | 156 | 36 | 35 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 812 | 317 | 127 | 24 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 431 | 272 | 175 | 36 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 205 | 100 | 71 | 62 | 32 | 12 | 8 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 88 | 115 | 40 | 14 | 14 | 5 | 4 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 47 | 44 | 51 | 33 | 14 | 5 | 3 |
| \$60,000 | \$69,999 | 59 | 57 | 34 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 2 |
| \$70,000 | \$79,999 | 35 | 34 | 20 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| \$80,000 | more | 99 | 96 | 57 | 25 | 13 | 5 | 3 |
| Grand Total |  | 5,704 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The following table shows the current distribution of 65+ renter household incomes for the Market Area.

| 2015 \$ |  | 2015 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 847 | 56 | 14 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 411 | 163 | 47 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 212 | 135 | 39 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 67 | 46 | 35 | 24 | 11 | 4 | 3 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 51 | 50 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| \$60,000 | \$69,999 | 20 | 27 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| \$70,000 | \$79,999 | 12 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \$80,000 | more | 33 | 45 | 11 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Grand Total |  | 2,540 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The following table shows the current distribution of $62+$ renter household incomes for the Market Area.

| 2015 \$ |  | 2015 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 1,104 | 86 | 20 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 532 | 209 | 71 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 277 | 177 | 79 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 108 | 62 | 46 | 35 | 17 | 7 | 4 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 62 | 70 | 25 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 21 | 25 | 27 | 14 | 8 | 3 | 2 |
| \$60,000 | \$69,999 | 32 | 36 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| \$70,000 | \$79,999 | 19 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| \$80,000 | more | 53 | 60 | 25 | 18 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 3,489 |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census, Claritas, ESRI; Allen \& Associates

## Owner Movership

The following tables give owner household movership data for the market area with an estimated breakout by household size. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Housing Survey:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Owner Movership, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person | Total |
| Owner to Owner | $2.2 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Owner to Renter | $2.1 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Owner Movership Rate | $4.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests an owner movership rate of 6.5 percent.
Elderly Owner Movership, by Size

|  | Elderly Owner Movership, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person | Total |
| Owner to Owner | $2.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Owner to Renter | $1.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Owner Movership Rate | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests an elderly owner movership rate of 3.7 percent.

## Renter Movership

The following tables give renter household movership data for the market area with an estimated breakout by household size. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Housing Survey:

| Renter Movership, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Market Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person | Total |
| Renter to Renter | $11.1 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ |
| Renter to Owner | $2.7 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |  |
| Renter Movership Rate | $13.8 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests a renter movership rate of 33.0 percent.

| Elderly Renter Movership, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person | Total |
| Renter to Renter | $7.4 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| Renter to Owner | $0.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Renter Movership Rate | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests an elderly renter movership rate of 8.0 percent.

## SUPPLY ANALYSIS

In this section we conduct an analysis of multifamily housing supply for the market area. Our analysis uses the market area definition presented previously in this report.

## Overview

In conducting our analysis, we obtained information on multifamily properties with 20 or more units in the market area. Our research included conventionally financed multifamily communities as well as properties financed by the local housing authority and the state housing finance agency. Our analysis also included properties financed by and/or subsidized by USDA and/or HUD. Finally, our analysis included properties that are either proposed or currently under construction. The result was a listing of projects with 20 or more units whether existing, under construction, or proposed - for this area. Our rental property inventory listing is found in the pages that follow.

A map showing the location of the properties included in the rental property inventory is found in the pages that follow. Properties identified with red pushpins have 100 percent market rate units (market rate properties), properties identified with yellow pushpins have a mixture of market rate / restricted / subsidized units (restricted properties), and properties identified with blue pushpins have 100 percent project-based rental assistance (subsidized properties).

After accounting for any unconfirmed properties and any properties that are located outside the defined market area, we arrived at a list of confirmed market area properties. This is the listing of properties upon which our analysis is based. In our opinion, the properties included on this list give a credible picture of market conditions as of the effective date of this report. This listing is found in the pages that follow.

Our supply analysis includes a breakdown of confirmed market area properties by rent type, project status, year built, and financing source. We also include a rent, unit mix, and amenity summary for confirmed market area properties. Finally, we provide summary of vouchers, concessions, and waiting lists for the properties included in this report. This information is also found in the pages that follow.

Rental Property Inventory

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 11th Street Loft | 32.4667 | -84.9935 | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 46 | 2 | 95.7\% |
| 002 | 2000 Wynnton Apartments | 32.4688 | -84.9633 | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 11 | 84.7\% |
| 003 | 24th Street Project | 32.4869 | -84.9847 | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 2 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 004 | 4411 First Avenue Apartments | 32.5059 | -84.9910 | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 16 | 1 | 93.8\% |
| 005 | A.H. Chapman Homes | 32.4216 | -84.9404 | 1950 | 1977 | Restricted | Elderly | pemolished/Burr | PHA | 160 | 2 | 98.8\% |
| 006 | Alpine Apartment Project LLC | 32.4198 | -84.9478 | 1950 | na | Subsidized | Family | Special Needs | HUD | 60 | 1 | 98.3\% |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 32.4271 | -84.9444 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 148 | 6 | 95.9\% |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 32.4293 | -84.9402 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 148 | 10 | 93.2\% |
| 009 | Arbor Pointe Phase 3 | 32.4269 | -84.9402 | 2012 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 120 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | 32.5019 | -84.9512 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 011 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 32.4854 | -84.9807 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 184 | 7 | 96.2\% |
| 012 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 32.4862 | -84.9793 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 183 | 7 | 96.2\% |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | 32.4374 | -84.9402 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 232 | 32 | 86.2\% |
| 014 | Avalon Apartments | 32.4198 | -84.9478 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 015 | Baker Village Apartments | 32.4292 | -84.9402 | 1941 | na | Market Rate | Family | Demolished | PHA | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 016 | Booker T Washington, Phase 1 | 32.4225 | -84.9406 | 2014 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 100 | 100 | 0.0\% |
| 017 | Booker T Washington, Phase 2 | 32.4558 | -84.9863 | 2014 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 106 | 106 | 0.0\% |
| 018 | Chapman Homes Senior | 32.4225 | -84.9406 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 100 | 100 | 0.0\% |
| 019 | Cleveland Co | 32.4702 | -84.9617 | 2010 | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 020 | Cloister Apartments | 32.5002 | -84.9511 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 115 | 1 | 99.1\% |
| 021 | Club Hill Apartments, Phases 1 \& 2 | 32.5040 | -84.9501 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 232 | 8 | 96.6\% |
| 022 | Clubview Court Apartments | 32.4994 | -84.9525 | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 023 | Columbus Area Habitat For Humanity | 32.4727 | -84.9574 | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 024 | Columbus Gardens, Phase 1 | 32.4538 | -84.9890 | 1978 | 1995 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 116 | 4 | 96.6\% |
| 025 | Columbus Gardens, Phase 2 | 32.4538 | -84.9890 | na | 1994 | Subsidized | Elderly | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 116 | 116 | 0.0\% |
| 026 | Country Village | 32.4329 | -84.9328 | 1955 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized | Conventional | 45 | 40 | 11.1\% |
| 027 | Dinglewood Court | 32.4656 | -84.9631 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 028 | E.J. Knight Annex | 32.4307 | -84.9428 | 1982 | 2010 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 029 | E.J. Knight Gardens | 32.4317 | -84.9417 | 1980 | 2010 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 52 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 030 | Eagles Trace | 32.4161 | -84.9479 | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 378 | 28 | 92.6\% |
| 031 | Essex Apartments | 32.4657 | -84.9537 | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 6 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 032 | Farrfield Manor | 32.4415 | -84.9237 | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 74 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 033 | Fay Project | 32.4584 | -84.9655 | na | 1994 | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 1 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 034 | Fay Project | 32.4584 | -84.9656 | na | 1994 | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 2 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 035 | Fay Project | 32.4634 | -84.9528 | na | 1994 | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 3 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 036 | Flournoy 11th Street Lofts | 32.4667 | -84.9935 | 2011 | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 037 | Fourth Street Towers \& Houses | 32.4554 | -84.9905 | 1970 | na | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 58 | 27 | 53.4\% |
| 038 | Fowler Investment | 32.4598 | -84.9876 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 039 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 32.4975 | -84.9586 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 2 | 97.2\% |
| 040 | Greystone At Country Club | 32.4859 | -84.9629 | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 184 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 041 | Greystone at Waterford | 32.5004 | -84.9528 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 12 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 042 | Hannah Heights | 32.4359 | -84.9244 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 90 | 1 | 98.9\% |
| 043 | Hardaway Square Apartments | 32.4989 | -84.9504 | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 44 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 044 | Hardaway Townhouses | 32.4985 | -84.9523 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 41 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 045 | Heritage Apartments | 32.4185 | -84.9487 | 1965 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 64 | 4 | 93.8\% |
| 046 | Heritage Place Apartments | 32.4555 | -84.9932 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 79 | 5 | 93.7\% |
| 047 | Hillcrest Apartments | 32.4747 | -84.9578 | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 1 | 97.2\% |
| 048 | Hilltop Apartments | 32.4722 | -84.9634 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 11 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 049 | Holly Hills Apartments | 32.4445 | -84.9287 | 1974 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 220 | 23 | 89.5\% |
| 050 | Housing Authority Of Columbus | 32.4611 | -84.9742 | na | na | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | PHA | 1,699 | 84 | 95.1\% |
| 051 | IMS Columbus | 32.4873 | -84.9783 | 2006 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 052 | Independence Place Properties | 32.4297 | -84.9052 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Military Housing | Conventional | 238 | 69 | 71.0\% |

Rental Property Inventory

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 053 | Johnston Mill Lofts | 32.4936 | -84.9913 | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 335 | 25 | 92.5\% |
| 054 | Jordan Mills | 32.4863 | -84.9758 | na | na | Restricted | Elderly | Burned Down | Tax Credit | 101 | 101 | 0.0\% |
| 055 | Kabar Apartments | 32.4107 | -84.9429 | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 54 | 1 | 98.1\% |
| 056 | Knight Project | 32.4584 | -84.9655 | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 2 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 057 | Kopak Apartments | 32.4728 | -84.9578 | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 29 | 1 | 96.6\% |
| 058 | Landmark Realty Co. | 32.4626 | -84.9607 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | 32.4720 | -84.9650 | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 3 | 87.5\% |
| 060 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 32.4586 | -84.9849 | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 88 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 061 | Lindsey Vistas | 32.4632 | -84.9395 | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 25 | 1 | 96.0\% |
| 062 | Lodge Apartments | 32.4533 | -84.9321 | 1974 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 237 | 14 | 94.1\% |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | 32.4363 | -84.9535 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 192 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 064 | Lynndolyn Apartments | 32.5059 | -84.9910 | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 065 | Magnolia Garden Apartments | 32.4057 | -84.9343 | 1975 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 44 | 1 | 97.7\% |
| 066 | Martha's Vineyard | 32.4878 | -84.9313 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 32 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 067 | Mc Corlew Realty | 32.4108 | -84.9547 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 068 | McLeod Square Apartments | 32.4936 | -84.9876 | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 40 | 2 | 95.0\% |
| 069 | Midtown Square | 32.4744 | -84.9397 | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 144 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 070 | Northwood Apartments | 32.5122 | -84.9538 | 1976 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 80 | 1 | 98.8\% |
| 071 | Overlook Club | 32.4656 | -84.9631 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 73 | 4 | 94.5\% |
| 072 | Overlook Crossing | 32.4672 | -84.9699 | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 164 | 14 | 91.5\% |
| 073 | Parkway Place Apartments | 32.4308 | -84.9258 | 1987 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 208 | 20 | 90.4\% |
| 074 | Peabody Redevelopment Partnership 1 | 32.4877 | -84.9790 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 075 | Peabody Redevelopment Partnership 2 | 32.4877 | -84.9790 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 076 | Peacock Woods Apartments | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 38 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 077 | Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 20 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 078 | Pear Tree Place Apartments | 32.4945 | -84.9756 | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 11 | 2 | 81.8\% |
| 079 | Pembrook Apartments | 32.4679 | -84.9284 | 1968 | 1987 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 128 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 080 | Point East Apartments | 32.4376 | -84.9238 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 72 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 081 | Ralston (The) | 32.4687 | -84.9902 | 1914 | 1977 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 269 | 13 | 95.2\% |
| 082 | Renaissance Villa | 32.4587 | -84.9506 | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | HUD | 72 | 2 | 97.2\% |
| 083 | Riverwind Apartments | 32.4227 | -84.9618 | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 44 | 1 | 97.7\% |
| 084 | Robin's Trace | 32.4244 | -84.9396 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 085 | Rose Hill Apartments | 32.4910 | -84.9813 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 2 | 91.7\% |
| 086 | Rowe Realty Company | 32.4975 | -84.9618 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 087 | Saint Mary's Estates | 32.4446 | -84.9220 | 2005 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Duplicate | HUD | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 088 | Sherwood Arms | 32.4309 | -84.9399 | 1974 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 165 | 3 | 98.2\% |
| 089 | South Gate | 32.4088 | -84.9464 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Hotel | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 090 | South Park | 32.4529 | -84.9690 | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 17 | 2 | 88.2\% |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 32.4373 | -84.9536 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 120 | 5 | 95.8\% |
| 092 | St Mary's Woods Estate | 32.4446 | -84.9220 | 2000 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 48 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 093 | Terbar LLC | 32.4192 | -84.9479 | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 094 | Trace Townhomes | 32.4984 | -84.9523 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 095 | Veranda at Ashley Station | 32.4864 | -84.9793 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Bond | 63 | 2 | 96.8\% |
| 096 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 32.4351 | -84.9534 | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 172 | 15 | 91.3\% |
| 097 | Village On Cherokee | 32.4922 | -84.9637 | 1988 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 098 | Village Square Apartments | 32.4757 | -84.9409 | 1970 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 70 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 099 | Vista Estates | 32.4560 | -84.9470 | 1960 | 1985 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 156 | 18 | 88.5\% |
| 100 | Waverly Terrace Senior | 32.4893 | -84.9780 | 2015 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 80 | 80 | 0.0\% |
| 101 | Wedgefield Court Apartments | 32.4056 | -84.9701 | 1964 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 86 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 102 | Willow Creek Apartments \& Club | 32.4618 | -84.9306 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 285 | 6 | 97.9\% |
| 103 | Willow Glen c/o HA Of Columbus | 32.4564 | -84.9475 | 2008 | na | Subsidized | Family | Special Needs | Bond | 28 | 28 | 0.0\% |
| 104 | Winchester Apartments | 32.5091 | -84.9631 | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 0 | 100.0\% |

Rental Property Inventory

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | Woodcliff Apartments | 32.4485 | -84.9293 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 106 | Woodruff Brokerage Company | 32.5043 | -84.9493 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 107 | Midtown Tower | 32.4721 | -84.9614 | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 25 | 2 | 92.0\% |
| 108 | E.J. Knight Gardens | 32.4317 | -84.9417 | 1980 | 2015 | Subsidized | Family | Prop Rehab | Bond | 52 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 109 | Nicholson Terrace | 32.4731 | -84.9733 | 1965 | 1996 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 100 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 110 | Nicholson Terrace | 32.4731 | -84.9733 | 1965 | 2015 | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Rehab | Bond | 100 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 111 | EE Farley Homes | 32.4581 | -84.9636 | 1958 | 2012 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 102 | 2 | 98.0\% |
| 112 | EE Farley Homes | 32.4581 | -84.9636 | 1958 | 2015 | Subsidized | Family | Prop Rehab | Bond | 102 | 2 | 98.0\% |
| 113 | Chase Homes | 32.4826 | -84.9911 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 108 | 2 | 98.1\% |
| 114 | Elizabeth Canty Homes | 32.4511 | -84.9636 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 259 | 2 | 99.2\% |
| 115 | Rivers Homes | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1963 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 24 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 116 | Warren Williams Homes | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1975 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 160 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 117 | Wilson Homes | 32.4956 | -84.9827 | 1952 | 2010 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 288 | 3 | 99.0\% |
| 118 | Wilson Homes | 32.4956 | -84.9827 | 1952 | 2015 | Subsidized | Family | Prop Rehab | Bond | 288 | 3 | 99.0\% |
| 119 | E.J. Knight Annex | 32.4307 | -84.9428 | 1982 | 2015 | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Rehab | PHA | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% |

## Rental Property Inventory
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Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 11th Street Loft | 32.4667 | -84.9935 | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 46 | 2 | 95.7\% |
| 002 | 2000 Wynnton Apartments | 32.4688 | -84.9633 | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 11 | 84.7\% |
| 003 | 24th Street Project | 32.4869 | -84.9847 | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 2 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 004 | 4411 First Avenue Apartments | 32.5059 | -84.9910 | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 16 | 1 | 93.8\% |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 32.4271 | -84.9444 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 148 | 6 | 95.9\% |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 32.4293 | -84.9402 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 148 | 10 | 93.2\% |
| 009 | Arbor Pointe Phase 3 | 32.4269 | -84.9402 | 2012 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 120 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | 32.5019 | -84.9512 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 011 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 32.4854 | -84.9807 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 184 | 7 | 96.2\% |
| 012 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 32.4862 | -84.9793 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 183 | 7 | 96.2\% |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | 32.4374 | -84.9402 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 232 | 32 | 86.2\% |
| 016 | Booker T Washington, Phase 1 | 32.4225 | -84.9406 | 2014 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 100 | 100 | 0.0\% |
| 017 | Booker T Washington, Phase 2 | 32.4558 | -84.9863 | 2014 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 106 | 106 | 0.0\% |
| 021 | Club Hill Apartments, Phases 1 \& 2 | 32.5040 | -84.9501 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 232 | 8 | 96.6\% |
| 022 | Clubview Court Apartments | 32.4994 | -84.9525 | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 024 | Columbus Gardens, Phase 1 | 32.4538 | -84.9890 | 1978 | 1995 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 116 | 4 | 96.6\% |
| 028 | E.J. Knight Annex | 32.4307 | -84.9428 | 1982 | 2010 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 029 | E.J. Knight Gardens | 32.4317 | -84.9417 | 1980 | 2010 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 52 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 030 | Eagles Trace | 32.4161 | -84.9479 | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 378 | 28 | 92.6\% |
| 032 | Farrfield Manor | 32.4415 | -84.9237 | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 74 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 039 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 32.4975 | -84.9586 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 2 | 97.2\% |
| 041 | Greystone at Waterford | 32.5004 | -84.9528 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 12 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 042 | Hannah Heights | 32.4359 | -84.9244 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 90 | 1 | 98.9\% |
| 043 | Hardaway Square Apartments | 32.4989 | -84.9504 | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 44 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 044 | Hardaway Townhouses | 32.4985 | -84.9523 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 41 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 045 | Heritage Apartments | 32.4185 | -84.9487 | 1965 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 64 | 4 | 93.8\% |
| 046 | Heritage Place Apartments | 32.4555 | -84.9932 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 79 | 5 | 93.7\% |
| 047 | Hillcrest Apartments | 32.4747 | -84.9578 | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 1 | 97.2\% |
| 049 | Holly Hills Apartments | 32.4445 | -84.9287 | 1974 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 220 | 23 | 89.5\% |
| 053 | Johnston Mill Lofts | 32.4936 | -84.9913 | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 335 | 25 | 92.5\% |
| 055 | Kabar Apartments | 32.4107 | -84.9429 | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 54 | 1 | 98.1\% |
| 057 | Kopak Apartments | 32.4728 | -84.9578 | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 29 | 1 | 96.6\% |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | 32.4720 | -84.9650 | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 3 | 87.5\% |
| 060 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 32.4586 | -84.9849 | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 88 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | 32.4363 | -84.9535 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 192 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 066 | Martha's Vineyard | 32.4878 | -84.9313 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 32 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 069 | Midtown Square | 32.4744 | -84.9397 | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 144 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 070 | Northwood Apartments | 32.5122 | -84.9538 | 1976 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 80 | 1 | 98.8\% |
| 071 | Overlook Club | 32.4656 | -84.9631 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 73 | 4 | 94.5\% |
| 072 | Overlook Crossing | 32.4672 | -84.9699 | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 164 | 14 | 91.5\% |
| 073 | Parkway Place Apartments | 32.4308 | -84.9258 | 1987 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 208 | 20 | 90.4\% |
| 076 | Peacock Woods Apartments | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 38 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 077 | Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 20 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 078 | Pear Tree Place Apartments | 32.4945 | -84.9756 | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 11 | 2 | 81.8\% |
| 081 | Ralston (The) | 32.4687 | -84.9902 | 1914 | 1977 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 269 | 13 | 95.2\% |
| 082 | Renaissance Villa | 32.4587 | -84.9506 | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | HUD | 72 | 2 | 97.2\% |
| 085 | Rose Hill Apartments | 32.4910 | -84.9813 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 2 | 91.7\% |
| 088 | Sherwood Arms | 32.4309 | -84.9399 | 1974 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 165 | 3 | 98.2\% |
| 090 | South Park | 32.4529 | -84.9690 | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 17 | 2 | 88.2\% |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 32.4373 | -84.9536 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 120 | 5 | 95.8\% |
| 092 | St Mary's Woods Estate | 32.4446 | -84.9220 | 2000 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 48 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 094 | Trace Townhomes | 32.4984 | -84.9523 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 095 | Veranda at Ashley Station | 32.4864 | -84.9793 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Bond | 63 | 2 | 96.8\% |
| 096 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 32.4351 | -84.9534 | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 172 | 15 | 91.3\% |
| 100 | Waverly Terrace Senior | 32.4893 | -84.9780 | 2015 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 80 | 80 | 0.0\% |
| 104 | Winchester Apartments | 32.5091 | -84.9631 | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 107 | Midtown Tower | 32.4721 | -84.9614 | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 25 | 2 | 92.0\% |
| 109 | Nicholson Terrace | 32.4731 | -84.9733 | 1965 | 1996 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 100 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 111 | EE Farley Homes | 32.4581 | -84.9636 | 1958 | 2012 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 102 | 2 | 98.0\% |
| 113 | Chase Homes | 32.4826 | -84.9911 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 108 | 2 | 98.1\% |
| 114 | Elizabeth Canty Homes | 32.4511 | -84.9636 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 259 | 2 | 99.2\% |
| 115 | Rivers Homes | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1963 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 24 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 116 | Warren Williams Homes | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1975 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 160 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 117 | Wilson Homes | 32.4956 | -84.9827 | 1952 | 2010 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 288 | 3 | 99.0\% |

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Rent Type

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by rent type:

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
|  |  | 33 | 33 |
| Market Rate | 3 | 13 | 16 |
| Restricted | 8 | 7 | 15 |
| Subsidized | 11 | 53 | 64 |
| Total |  |  |  |

Total Units

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Rate | 21 | 2,452 | 2,473 |
| Restricted | 94 | 1,665 | 1,759 |
| Subsidized | 919 | 1,444 | 2,363 |
| Total | 1,034 | 5,561 | 6,595 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| Market Rate | 7 | 154 | 161 |
| Restricted | 80 | 98 | 178 |
| Subsidized | 112 | 113 | 225 |
| Total | 199 | 365 | 564 |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| Market Rate | $67 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Restricted | $15 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Subsidized | $88 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Total | $81 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $91 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our analysis includes a total of 64 confirmed market area properties consisting of 6,595 units. The occupancy rate for these units currently stands at 91 percent. This rate reflects the occupancy for all confirmed market area units, regardless of project status (stabilized, under construction, proposed, etc.).

Confirmed market area properties break down by rent type and tenure as shown in the tables above.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Project Status

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by project status:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 8 | 1 |  | 9 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Total | 8 | 3 |  | 11 |


| Family |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 7 | 12 | 33 | 52 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ Properties


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 824 | 14 | 16 | 854 |
| Lease Up <br> Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const | 95 | 80 | 5 | 180 |
| Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized | 95 | 80 | 5 | 180 |
| Subtotal | 919 | 94 | 21 | 1,034 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |


| otal Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stabilized | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction <br> Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 91 |  | 15 | 106 |
| Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized |  |  | 2,437 | 5,455 |
| Subtotal | 91 |  | 15 | 106 |
| Total | 1,444 | 1,665 | 2,452 | 5,561 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 17 |  | 2 | 19 |
| Lease Up <br> Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized | 95 | 80 | 5 | 180 |
| Subtotal | 95 | 80 | 5 | 180 |
| Total | 112 | 80 | 7 | 199 |



Source: Allen \& Associates
Our survey includes a total of 61 stabilized market area properties consisting of 6,309 units standing at 96 percent occupancy.

Our survey also includes a total of 3 market area properties consisting of 286 units that are not yet stabilized. Unstabilized units (also referred to as pipeline units) include vacant units in lease up, construction, rehabilitation, proposed new construction, and units with proposed renovation plans.

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Elderly |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 98\% | 100\% | 88\% | 98\% | Stabilized | 98\% | 94\% | 94\% | 95\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 88\% | 15\% | 67\% | 81\% | Total | 92\% | 94\% | 94\% | 93\% |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Occupancies of stabilized market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family) and rent type (subsidized, restricted or market rate) are found below:


Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 854 stabilized elderly units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 98 percent (824 units in survey)
- Restricted, 100 percent (14 units in survey)
- Market Rate, 88 percent (16 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 5,455 stabilized family units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 98 percent (1353 units in survey)
- Restricted, 94 percent (1665 units in survey)
- Market Rate, 94 percent (2437 units in survey)

Occupancy rates for stabilized market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family) and unit type are found below (supporting data is found in the pages that follow):


Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 854 stabilized elderly units in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, 97 percent (322 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 99 percent (521 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 82 percent (11 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 5,455 stabilized family units in this market area:

> - 0-Bedroom, 96 percent (72 units in survey)
> - 1-Bedroom, 96 percent (1172 units in survey)
> - 2-Bedroom, 95 percent ( 3171 units in survey)
> - 3-Bedroom, 94 percent (886 units in survey)
> - 4-Bedroom, 94 percent (154 units in survey)

| Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 |

Total

| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 322 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 322 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 8 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 322 |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 330 |


| ni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 8 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 8 |
| Total | 11 |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 19 |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 97\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 97\% |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 94\% |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 | 72 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lonstruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| acant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |

Occupancy Rate

|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96\% | 96\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96\% | 96\% |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 9 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 11 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 15 |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 502 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  | 5 | 521 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 76 |  |  |  | 5 | 27 |  | 4 | 112 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 76 |  |  |  | 5 | 27 |  | 4 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 578 |  |  |  | 5 | 41 |  | 9 | 633 |



| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 76 |  |  |  | 5 | 27 |  | 4 | 112 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 76 |  |  |  | 5 | 27 |  | 4 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 82 |  |  |  | 5 | 27 |  | 4 | 118 |



| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 99\% |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  | 100\% | 99\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| Total | 86\% |  |  |  | 0\% | 34\% |  | 56\% | 81\% |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |  |
| Stabilized | 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 23 | 37 |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Subtotal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Total | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 212 |  |  |  |  | 249 |  | 711 | 1,172 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction <br> Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 21 |
| Subtotal | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 21 |
| Total | 230 |  |  |  |  | 249 |  | 714 | 1,193 |

Vacant Units

| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 3 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 37 | 50 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 21 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 21 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 40 | 71 |

Occupancy Rate


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 5 |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |  |
| Stabilized | 11 |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |  | 36 | 61 |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Subtotal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Total | 12 |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |  | 37 | 63 |  |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 11 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const | 19 |  |  |  | 4 | 28 |  | 1 | 52 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 19 |  |  |  | 4 | 28 |  | 1 | 52 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 19 |  |  |  | 4 | 28 |  | 1 | 52 |
| Total | 19 |  |  |  | 4 | 28 |  | 3 | 54 |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82\% | 82\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 75\% | 14\% |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 724 |  |  |  | 33 | 915 |  | 1,499 | 3,171 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 63 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stabilized | 11 |  |  |  |  | 40 |  | 95 | 146 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 63 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 66 |  |  |  |  | 40 |  | 103 | 209 |


| Occupancy Rate |
| :--- |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 98\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 96\% |  | 94\% | 95\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 92\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 96\% |  | 93\% | 94\% |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  | 8 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  | 8 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  | 8 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  | 8 |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 10 |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |  | 20 | 44 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Subtotal | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Total | 11 |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |  | 21 | 46 |

Total Units

| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 328 |  |  |  | 10 | 397 |  | 151 | 886 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 22 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 346 |  |  |  | 10 | 397 |  | 155 | 908 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 7 |  |  |  |  | 40 |  | 4 | 51 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 22 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 25 |  |  |  |  | 40 |  | 8 | 73 |

Total
Occupancy Rate

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stabilized | $98 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $90 \%$ |  | $97 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ 年

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 4-Bedroom Units

| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |  |  |
| Stabilized | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lonstruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 89 |  |  |  |  | 61 |  | 4 | 154 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 89 |  |  |  |  | 61 |  | 4 | 154 |


|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 9 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 99\% |  |  |  |  | 87\% |  | 100\% | 94\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 99\% |  |  |  |  | 87\% |  | 100\% | 94\% |

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Year Built

The following tables and graph provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by year built:

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| $<1960$ | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| $1960-1969$ | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $1970-1979$ | 1 | 11 | 12 |
| $1980-1989$ | 1 | 10 | 11 |
| $1990-1999$ |  | 4 | 4 |
| $2000+$ | 6 | 15 | 21 |
| Unknown |  | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 11 | 53 | 64 |

Total Units

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<1960$ | 269 | 1,563 | 1,832 |
| $1960-1969$ | 124 | 81 | 205 |
| $1970-1979$ | 116 | 1,240 | 1,356 |
| $1980-1989$ | 40 | 697 | 737 |
| $1990-1999$ |  | 63 | 63 |
| 2000+ | 485 | 1,881 | 2,366 |
| Unknown |  | 36 | 36 |
| Total | 1,034 | 5,561 | 6,595 |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests that of the 64 confirmed market area properties (6595 units) included in this report, 10 properties (1832 units) were constructed before 1960, 4 properties ( 205 units) were constructed between 1960 and 1969, 12 properties ( 1356 units) between 1970 and 1979, 11 properties ( 737 units) between 1980 and 1989, 4 properties ( 63 units) between 1990 and 1999, and 21 properties ( 2366 units) after 2000. In addition, 2 properties ( 36 units) had an unknown date of construction.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Financing Source

The following tables and graph provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by financing source:

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |  |  |  |
| Conventional |  |  |  |  | 30 | 30 |
| Tax Credit | 4 | 15 | 19 |  |  |  |
| Bond | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| USDA-RD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HUD | 3 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Other | 3 | 6 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Total | 11 | 53 | 64 |  |  |  |
| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conventional | Elderly |  |  |  | Family | Total |
| Tax Credit | 416 | 2,061 | 2,061 |  |  |  |
| Bond | 63 | 2,287 | 2,703 |  |  |  |
| USDA-RD |  |  |  |  | 172 | 235 |
| HUD | 391 | 72 | 463 |  |  |  |
| Other | 164 | 969 | 1,133 |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,034 | 5,561 | 6,595 |  |  |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests that of the 64 confirmed properties in the market area, 30 properties (consisting of 2061 units) are conventionally financed, 19 properties (consisting of 2703 units) include tax credit financing, 2 properties (consisting of 235 units) are bond financed, 0 properties (consisting of 0 units) are exclusively USDARD financed, and 4 properties (consisting of 463 units) are exclusively HUD financed.

The average project size for this market area is 103 units. The smallest projects are conventionally financed, averaging 69 units in size. The largest projects are tax credit financed, averaging 142 units in size.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Rent Summary

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the rents charged at confirmed market area properties broken out by unit type:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized |  |  | Restricted |  |  | Market |  |  |
|  | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg |
| 0-Bedroom | $\$ 260$ | $\$ 609$ | $\$ 435$ | $\$ 353$ | $\$ 440$ | $\$ 397$ | $\$ 395$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 671$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $\$ 244$ | $\$ 698$ | $\$ 465$ | $\$ 364$ | $\$ 581$ | $\$ 506$ | $\$ 245$ | $\$ 1,112$ | $\$ 569$ |
| 2-Bedroom | $\$ 295$ | $\$ 633$ | $\$ 490$ | $\$ 375$ | $\$ 667$ | $\$ 552$ | $\$ 265$ | $\$ 1,561$ | $\$ 681$ |
| 3-Bedroom | $\$ 454$ | $\$ 848$ | $\$ 646$ | $\$ 427$ | $\$ 762$ | $\$ 632$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 1,441$ | $\$ 816$ |
| 4-Bedroom | $\$ 549$ | $\$ 965$ | $\$ 757$ | $\$ 684$ | $\$ 700$ | $\$ 692$ | $\$ 670$ | $\$ 670$ | $\$ 670$ |

Unit Size

|  | Subsidized |  |  | Restricted |  |  | Market |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg |
| 0-Bedroom | 250 | 421 | 336 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 360 | 716 | 554 |
| 1-Bedroom | 450 | 787 | 649 | 650 | 1,025 | 730 | 510 | 1,403 | 770 |
| 2-Bedroom | 642 | 1,158 | 957 | 795 | 1,408 | 1,018 | 780 | 2,079 | 1,074 |
| 3-Bedroom | 890 | 1,512 | 1,222 | 1,000 | 1,898 | 1,278 | 1,100 | 1,898 | 1,317 |
| 4-Bedroom | 1,074 | 1,400 | 1,274 | 1,280 | 1,450 | 1,365 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 |

Rent per Square Foot

|  | Subsidized |  |  | Restricted |  |  | Market |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg |
| 0-Bedroom | $\$ 1.04$ | $\$ 1.45$ | $\$ 1.29$ | $\$ 0.78$ | $\$ 0.98$ | $\$ 0.88$ | $\$ 1.10$ | $\$ 1.40$ | $\$ 1.21$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $\$ 0.54$ | $\$ 0.89$ | $\$ 0.72$ | $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 0.57$ | $\$ 0.69$ | $\$ 0.48$ | $\$ 0.79$ | $\$ 0.74$ |
| 2-Bedroom | $\$ 0.46$ | $\$ 0.55$ | $\$ 0.51$ | $\$ 0.47$ | $\$ 0.47$ | $\$ 0.54$ | $\$ 0.34$ | $\$ 0.75$ | $\$ 0.63$ |
| 3-Bedroom | $\$ 0.51$ | $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 0.53$ | $\$ 0.40$ | $\$ 0.43$ | $\$ 0.49$ | $\$ 0.45$ | $\$ 0.76$ | $\$ 0.62$ |
| 4-Bedroom | $\$ 0.51$ | $\$ 0.69$ | $\$ 0.59$ | $\$ 0.48$ | $\$ 0.53$ | $\$ 0.51$ | $\$ 0.42$ | $\$ 0.42$ | $\$ 0.42$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed restricted rent units:

- 0-Bedroom, \$0.88 per square foot
- 1-Bedroom, \$0.69 per square foot
- 2-Bedroom, $\$ 0.54$ per square foot
- 3-Bedroom, $\$ 0.49$ per square foot
- 4-Bedroom, $\$ 0.51$ per square foot

Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed market rate units:

- 0-Bedroom, $\$ 1.21$ per square foot
- 1-Bedroom, $\$ 0.74$ per square foot
- 2-Bedroom, \$0.63 per square foot
- 3-Bedroom, \$0.62 per square foot
- 4-Bedroom, \$0.42 per square foot

A detailed listing of rents and floor areas for confirmed market area properties by unit type and income target is found in the following pages.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Unit Mix Summary

In the tables and graphs found below we present a breakdown of unit mix for confirmed market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family):

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Unit Mix Summary

| Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom | 322 | 8 |  | 330 |
| 1-Bedroom | 578 | 46 | 9 | 633 |
| 2-Bedroom | 19 | 32 | 12 | 63 |
| 3-Bedroom |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 919 | 94 | 21 | 1,034 |


| Family |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  | 72 | 72 |
| 1-Bedroom | 230 | 249 | 714 | 1,193 |
| 2-Bedroom | 779 | 948 | 1,507 | 3,234 |
| 3-Bedroom | 346 | 407 | 155 | 908 |
| 4-Bedroom | 89 | 61 | 4 | 154 |
| Total | 1,444 | 1,665 | 2,452 | 5,561 |


| Unit Mix |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom | $35 \%$ | $9 \%$ |  | $32 \%$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $63 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 2-Bedroom | $2 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| 3-Bedroom |  | $9 \%$ |  | $1 \%$ |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


| Unit Mix |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| 2-Bedroom | $54 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| 3-Bedroom | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| 4-Bedroom | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests the following unit mix for the 1,034 confirmed elderly units located in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, 32 percent (330 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 61 percent (633 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 6 percent ( 63 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, 1 percent (8 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following unit mix for the 5,561 confirmed family units located in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, 1 percent (72 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 21 percent (1,193 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 58 percent ( 3,234 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, 16 percent (908 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, 3 percent (154 units in survey)


## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Amenity Summary

In the table found below we present a summary of amenities found at confirmed market area properties:
Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Amenity Summary

| Building Type |  | Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 Story | $14 \%$ |  | Central | $95 \%$ |
| 2-4 Story | $81 \%$ |  | Wall Units | $3 \%$ |
| $5-10$ Story | $5 \%$ |  | Window Units | $0 \%$ |
| $>10$ Story | $0 \%$ |  | None | $2 \%$ |


| Project Amenities |  | Heat |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ball Field | 0\% | Central | 95\% |
| BBQ Area | 28\% | Wall Units | 3\% |
| Billiards | 5\% | Baseboards | 2\% |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | 36\% | Radiators | 0\% |
| Car Care Ctr | 5\% | None | 0\% |
| Comm Center | 44\% |  |  |
| Elevator | 14\% | Parking |  |
| Fitness Center | 25\% | Garage | 0\% |
| Gazebo | 23\% | Covered | 0\% |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | 0\% | Assigned | 2\% |
| Horseshoe Pit | 0\% | Open | 100\% |
| Lake | 2\% | None | 0\% |
| Library | 13\% |  |  |
| Movie Theatre | 5\% | Laundry |  |
| Picnic Area | 31\% | Central | 64\% |
| Playground | 41\% | W/D Units | 2\% |
| Pool | 41\% | W/D Hookups | 61\% |
| Sauna | 0\% |  |  |
| Sports Court | 17\% | Security |  |
| Walking Trail | 13\% | Call Buttons | 8\% |
|  |  | Cont Access | 20\% |
| Unit Amenities |  | Courtesy Officer | 27\% |
| Blinds | 100\% | Monitoring | 11\% |
| Ceiling Fans | 47\% | Security Alarms | 3\% |
| Upgraded Flooring | 91\% | Security Patrols | 11\% |
| Fireplace | 2\% |  |  |
| Patio/Balcony | 67\% |  |  |
| Storage | 17\% | Services |  |
|  |  | After School | 11\% |
| Kitchen Amenities |  | Concierge | 0\% |
| Stove | 98\% | Hair Salon | 2\% |
| Refrigerator | 100\% | Health Care | 0\% |
| Disposal | 59\% | Linens | 0\% |
| Dishwasher | 59\% | Meals | 0\% |
| Microwave | 34\% | Transportation | 0\% |

Our research suggests that 14 percent of confirmed market area properties are 1 story in height, 81 percent are 2-4 stories in height, 5 percent are 5-10 stories in height, and 0 percent are over 10 stories in height. In addition, surveyed properties benefit from the following project amenities: 36 percent have a business/computer center, 44 percent have a community center, 25 percent have a fitness center, 41 percent have a playground, and 17 percent have a sports court.

Our research also suggests that the following unit amenities are present at surveyed properties: 100 percent have blinds, 91 percent have carpeting, 67 percent have patios/balconies, and 17 percent have outside storage. Surveyed properties also include the following kitchen amenities: 98 percent have a stove, 100 percent have a refrigerator, 59 percent have a disposal, 59 percent have a dishwasher, and 34 percent have a microwave.

In addition, 95 percent of confirmed market area properties have central heat while 95 percent have central air. Our research also suggests that 100 percent of surveyed properties have open parking. A total of 64 percent of area properties have central laundry facilities, while 61 percent have washer/dryer hookups, and 2 percent have washer/dryer units in each residential unit.

A total of 8 percent of confirmed market area properties have call buttons, 20 percent have controlled access, and 3 percent have security alarms.

It is also our understanding that the majority of confirmed market area properties provide cable access.

Finally, in the following pages we provide a summary of vouchers, concessions and waiting lists for the confirmed market area properties included in this report. We also include any absorption information we have uncovered as part of our research.

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy | Concessions | Vouchers | Absorption | Waiting List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 11th Street Loft | 32.4667 | -84.9935 | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 46 | 2 | 95.7\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| 002 | 2000 Wynnton Apartments | 32.4688 | -84.9633 | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 11 | 84.7\% | 4\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 003 | 24th Street Project | 32.4869 | -84.9847 | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 2 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 004 | 4411 First Avenue Apartments | 32.5059 | -84.9910 | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 16 | 1 | 93.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 32.4271 | -84.9444 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 148 | 6 | 95.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13.46 | yes |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 32.4293 | -84.9402 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 148 | 10 | 93.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 14.80 | yes |
| 009 | Arbor Pointe Phase 3 | 32.4269 | -84.9402 | 2012 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 120 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 80.00 | 206 people |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | 32.5019 | -84.9512 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 3 people |
| 011 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 32.4854 | -84.9807 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 184 | 7 | 96.2\% | 0\% | 35\% | - | yes |
| 012 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 32.4862 | -84.9793 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 183 | 7 | 96.2\% | 0\% | 27\% | - | yes |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | 32.4374 | -84.9402 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 232 | 32 | 86.2\% | 0\% | 32\% | 13.65 | - |
| 016 | Booker T Washington, Phase 1 | 32.4225 | -84.9406 | 2014 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 100 | 100 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 017 | Booker T Washington, Phase 2 | 32.4558 | -84.9863 | 2014 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 106 | 106 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 021 | Club Hill Apartments, Phases 1 \& 2 | 32.5040 | -84.9501 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 232 | 8 | 96.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 022 | Clubview Court Apartments | 32.4994 | -84.9525 | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 20 people |
| 024 | Columbus Gardens, Phase 1 | 32.4538 | -84.9890 | 1978 | 1995 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 116 | 4 | 96.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 38 people |
| 028 | E.J. Knight Annex | 32.4307 | -84.9428 | 1982 | 2010 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 029 | E.J. Knight Gardens | 32.4317 | -84.9417 | 1980 | 2010 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 52 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 030 | Eagles Trace | 32.4161 | -84.9479 | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 378 | 28 | 92.6\% | 14\% | 19\% | - |  |
| 032 | Farrield Manor | 32.4415 | -84.9237 | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 74 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 10 people |
| 039 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 32.4975 | -84.9586 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 72 | 2 | 97.2\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| 041 | Greystone at Waterford | 32.5004 | -84.9528 | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 12 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 1 person |
| 042 | Hannah Heights | 32.4359 | -84.9244 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 90 | 1 | 98.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 043 | Hardaway Square Apartments | 32.4989 | -84.9504 | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 44 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 044 | Hardaway Townhouses | 32.4985 | -84.9523 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 41 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4.10 | 7 people |
| 045 | Heritage Apartments | 32.4185 | -84.9487 | 1965 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 64 | 4 | 93.8\% | 0\% | 5\% | - | - |
| 046 | Heritage Place Apartments | 32.4555 | -84.9932 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 79 | 5 | 93.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 047 | Hillcrest Apartments | 32.4747 | -84.9578 | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 1 | 97.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 049 | Holly Hills Apartments | 32.4445 | -84.9287 | 1974 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 220 | 23 | 89.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 053 | Johnston Mill Lofts | 32.4936 | -84.9913 | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 335 | 25 | 92.5\% | 0\% | 3\% |  | no |
| 055 | Kabar Apartments | 32.4107 | -84.9429 | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 54 | 1 | 98.1\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| 057 | Kopak Apartments | 32.4728 | -84.9578 | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 29 | 1 | 96.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | 32.4720 | -84.9650 | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 3 | 87.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 060 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 32.4586 | -84.9849 | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 88 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 50\% | - | 6 people |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | 32.4363 | -84.9535 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 192 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 47\% | 32.00 |  |
| 066 | Martha's Vineyard | 32.4878 | -84.9313 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 32 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 069 | Midtown Square | 32.4744 | -84.9397 | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 144 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 20\% | - | 10 people |
| 070 | Northwood Apartments | 32.5122 | -84.9538 | 1976 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 80 | 1 | 98.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 071 | Overlook Club | 32.4656 | -84.9631 | 1985 | nа | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 73 | 4 | 94.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 072 | Overlook Crossing | 32.4672 | -84.9699 | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 164 | 14 | 91.5\% | 2\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 073 | Parkway Place Apartments | 32.4308 | -84.9258 | 1987 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 208 | 20 | 90.4\% | 8\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 076 | Peacock Woods Apartments | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 38 | 0 | 100.0\% | 6\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 077 | Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | 32.4747 | -84.9603 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 20 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 078 | Pear Tree Place Apartments | 32.4945 | -84.9756 | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 11 | 2 | 81.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 081 | Ralston (The) | 32.4687 | -84.9902 | 1914 | 1977 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 269 | 13 | 95.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 15 people |
| 082 | Renaissance Villa | 32.4587 | -84.9506 | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | HUD | 72 |  | 97.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 20 people |
| 085 | Rose Hill Apartments | 32.4910 | -84.9813 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 24 | 2 | 91.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 088 | Sherwood Arms | 32.4309 | -84.9399 | 1974 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 165 | 3 | 98.2\% | 1\% | 18\% | - | 0 people |
| 090 | South Park | 32.4529 | -84.9690 | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 17 | 2 | 88.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 32.4373 | -84.9536 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 120 | 5 | 95.8\% | 0\% | 17\% | - | 2 people |
| 092 | St Mary's Woods Estate | 32.4446 | -84.9220 | 2000 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 48 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 8 months |
| 094 | Trace Townhomes | 32.4984 | -84.9523 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 28 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 3 person |
| 095 | Veranda at Ashley Station | 32.4864 | -84.9793 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Bond | 63 | 2 | 96.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 096 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 32.4351 | -84.9534 | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 172 | 15 | 91.3\% | 0\% | 9\% | - | 7 people |
| 100 | Waverly Terrace Senior | 32.4893 | -84.9780 | 2015 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 80 | 80 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 104 | Winchester Apartments | 32.5091 | -84.9631 | 1990 | па | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 107 | Midtown Tower | 32.4721 | -84.9614 | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 25 | 2 | 92.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 109 | Nicholson Terrace | 32.4731 | -84.9733 | 1965 | 1996 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 100 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 111 | EE Farley Homes | 32.4581 | -84.9636 | 1958 | 2012 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 102 | 2 | 98.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 113 | Chase Homes | 32.4826 | -84.9911 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 108 | 2 | 98.1\% | - | 0\% | - | - |
| 114 | Elizabeth Canty Homes | 32.4511 | -84.9636 | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 259 | 2 | 99.2\% | - | 0\% | - | - |
| 115 | Rivers Homes | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1963 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | PHA | 24 | 0 | 100.0\% | - | 0\% | - | - |
| 116 | Warren Williams Homes | 32.4672 | -84.9763 | 1975 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 160 | 0 | 100.0\% | - | 0\% | - | - |
| 117 | Wilson Homes | 32.4956 | -84.9827 | 1952 | 2010 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | PHA | 288 | 3 | 99.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |

## RENT COMPARABILITY ANALYSIS

In this section we develop restricted and unrestricted market rent conclusions for the subject property on an "as if complete \& stabilized" basis. Our analysis begins with an evaluation of unrestricted market rents.

## Unrestricted Rent Analysis

In this section we develop an unrestricted market rent conclusion for the subject property units. Our analysis began by selecting comparable rentals to use to develop estimates of market rents for the units at the subject property, assuming that the subject was an unrestricted property. Our selection of comparables was based on location, age, condition, unit mix and amenities of the comparable properties relative to the subject property.

## Rental Property Inventory, by Unit Type

In the following pages we present an inventory of properties included in this analysis. Rents for these properties, broken out by unit type, were used in selecting the rent comparables used in this analysis.

The properties that we consider to be comparable to the subject property are highlighted in the tables found in the following pages. We attempted to select stabilized market rate properties as comparables for purposes of our rent comparability analysis.

Comparables with restricted rents are used when a sufficient number of market rent comparables are not available and when maximum allowable rents for properties with restricted rents exceed prevailing rents in the area. In the event that program rental rates exceed market rental rates, restricted units are, in fact, de facto market rate units.

## Rent Comparables, Market Rate, Map

A map showing the location of the properties selected as comparables in this analysis is found in the following pages. Properties identified with red pushpins have market rents, properties identified with yellow pushpins have restricted rents, and properties identified with blue pushpins have subsidized rents. Detailed write-ups for the select rent comparables are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Rent Comparability Grids

Our analysis employed the use of rent comparability grids and resulted in an unrestricted market rent estimate for each of the subject's unit types. These grids and a narrative describing our rent adjustments are found in the following pages.

Rental Property Inventory, 0-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 | 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$959 |
| 002 | 2000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 003 | 24th Street Project | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004 | 4411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 | Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 | Club Hill Apartments, Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,000 |
| 022 | Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 | Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 039 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 041 | Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 | Hannah Heights | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 043 | Hardaway Square Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 044 | Hardaway Townhouses | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 | Heritage Apartments | 1965 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 046 | Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 | Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 049 | Holly Hills Apartments | 1974 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$395 |
| 053 | Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 | Kabar Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 057 | Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$585 |
| 059 | Lecraw On 13Th | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 | Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 | Midtown Square | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 070 | Northwood Apartments | 1976 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071 | Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 | Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$540 |
| 073 | Parkway Place Apartments | 1987 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 | Peacock Woods Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$550 |
| 077 | Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 | Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 085 | Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 088 | Sherwood Arms | 1974 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 | South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 094 | Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 095 | Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 | Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 | Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,112 |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 003 24th Street Project | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$435 |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$558 |  |  |  |  | \$499 |  | \$625 |
| 008 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$558 |  |  |  |  | \$499 |  | \$625 |
| 010 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$327 |  |  |  |  | \$578 |  | \$680 |
| 012 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$327 |  |  |  |  | \$581 |  | \$680 |
| 013 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$490 |  |  |
| 021 Club Hill Apartments, Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$642 |
| 022 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$560 |
| 030 Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$470 |  |  |
| 039 Gardenbrook Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$560 |
| 041 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Hannah Heights | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$509 |
| 043 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 044 Hardaway Townhouses | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 Heritage Apartments | 1965 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$390 |
| 046 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$557 |
| 047 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$625 |
| 049 Holly Hills Apartments | 1974 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$523 |
| 053 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$536 |  | \$699 |
| 055 Kabar Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$365 |
| 057 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 Lecraw On 13Th | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$694 |
| 060 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 Midtown Square | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 070 Northwood Apartments | 1976 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$575 |
| 071 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$516 |
| 073 Parkway Place Apartments | 1987 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$481 |
| 076 Peacock Woods Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 085 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$450 |
| 088 Sherwood Arms | 1974 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$395 |
| 090 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$245 |
| 091 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 094 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 095 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$456 |  |  |  |  | \$581 |  | \$649 |
| 096 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,561 |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$554 |
| 003 24th Street Project | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$450 |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$450 |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$633 |  |  |  |  | \$596 |  | \$749 |
| 008 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$633 |  |  |  |  | \$596 |  | \$749 |
| 010 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$715 |
| 011 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$393 |  |  |  |  | \$666 |  | \$796 |
| 012 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$393 |  |  |  |  | \$667 |  | \$815 |
| 013 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$525 |  |  |
| 021 Club Hill Apartments, Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$679 |
| 022 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$713 |
| 030 Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$451 |  |  |
| 039 Gardenbrook Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$670 |
| 041 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$700 |
| 042 Hannah Heights | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$593 |
| 043 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$695 |
| 044 Hardaway Townhouses | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$825 |
| 045 Heritage Apartments | 1965 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 046 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$685 |
| 047 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$734 |
| 049 Holly Hills Apartments | 1974 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$613 |
| 053 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$663 |  | \$900 |
| 055 Kabar Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 057 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 Lecraw On 13Th | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$836 |
| 060 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$375 | \$484 |  |  |
| 063 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$593 |  |  |
| 066 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$690 |
| 069 Midtown Square | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$630 |  |  |
| 070 Northwood Apartments | 1976 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$675 |
| 071 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$560 |
| 072 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$650 |
| 073 Parkway Place Apartments | 1987 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$544 |
| 076 Peacock Woods Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$671 |
| 077 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$695 |
| 078 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$450 |
| 085 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$550 |
| 088 Sherwood Arms | 1974 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$490 |
| 090 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$265 |
| 091 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$455 | \$570 |  | \$630 |
| 094 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$700 |
| 095 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$710 |
| 096 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$570 |  |  |
| 104 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$720 |
| 107 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$690 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$702 |
| 003 24th Street Project | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$848 |  |  |  |  | \$676 |  | \$844 |
| 008 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$848 |  |  |  |  | \$676 |  | \$844 |
| 010 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$813 |
| 011 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$454 |  |  |  |  | \$761 |  | \$930 |
| 012 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$454 |  |  |  |  | \$762 |  | \$930 |
| 013 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$600 |  |  |
| 021 Club Hill Apartments, Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$864 |
| 022 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$622 |  |  |
| 039 Gardenbrook Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$770 |
| 041 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$760 |
| 042 Hannah Heights | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$639 |
| 043 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$825 |
| 044 Hardaway Townhouses | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 Heritage Apartments | 1965 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 046 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 049 Holly Hills Apartments | 1974 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 053 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$691 |  | \$1,441 |
| 055 Kabar Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 057 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 Lecraw On 13Th | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$427 | \$595 |  |  |
| 063 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$673 |  |  |
| 066 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$820 |
| 069 Midtown Square | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$717 |  |  |
| 070 Northwood Apartments | 1976 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$775 |
| 071 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$660 |
| 072 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 073 Parkway Place Apartments | 1987 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 Peacock Woods Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$826 |
| 077 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$799 |
| 078 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$500 |
| 085 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 088 Sherwood Arms | 1974 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$515 | \$647 |  | \$695 |
| 094 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 095 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$647 |  |  |
| 104 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Rental Property Inventory, 4-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 003 24th Street Project | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$700 |  |  |
| 021 Club Hill Apartments, Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 022 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$684 |  |  |
| 039 Gardenbrook Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 041 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Hannah Heights | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$670 |
| 043 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 044 Hardaway Townhouses | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 Heritage Apartments | 1965 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 046 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 049 Holly Hills Apartments | 1974 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 053 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Kabar Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 057 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 Lecraw On 13Th | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 Midtown Square | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 070 Northwood Apartments | 1976 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 073 Parkway Place Apartments | 1987 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 Peacock Woods Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 085 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 088 Sherwood Arms | 1974 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 094 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 095 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rent Comparables, Market Rate
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## Rent Adjustments

Our analysis included a property management survey and a technique known as "statistical extraction" to help us identify the best adjustments to use. Statistical extraction, which is similar to the matched pair method, helped us derive the optimal adjustments for our particular data set.

Here's a hypothetical example to illustrate how we derived our rent adjustments. Assume that property managers tell us we should expect rent adjustments ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 0.50$ per square foot for a particular market. Next, assume that we select 25 rent comparables with a sample variance of $\$ 100$. We employ a square foot rent adjustment of $\$ 0.10$ for each comparable resulting in an adjusted sample variance of $\$ 90$. This tells us that the assumed adjustment "explained" some of the variability in the data. We repeat this process for adjustments of $\$ 0.20$, $\$ 0.30, \$ 0.40$ and $\$ 0.50$ which yielded sample variances of $\$ 80, \$ 70$, $\$ 65$ and $\$ 75$, respectively. The $\$ 0.40$ square foot adjustment "explains" the most variance because any other adjustment yields a higher adjusted sample variance. Consequently, a $\$ 0.40$ rent adjustment is the best adjustment for purposes of this example. This is a simplified example because we actually adjusted for numerous variables simultaneously in our analysis.

A discussion of our concluded adjustments is found below.

## Concessions

The first step in our analysis was to account for any concessions at the subject and the comparables. We considered the advertised street rent and concessions being offered and derived a net nent estimate for each comparable. Net rent, defined as advertised street rent minus monthly concessions, represents the cash rent paid by new residents at the various properties. This is the best measure of market value (prior to any other adjustments) for the comparables included in this analysis.

## Tenant-Paid Utilities

The next step in our analysis was to account for differences in tenant-paid utilities between the comparable properties and the subject. We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive our adjustments. The HUD model which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - includes a current utility rate survey for the area. In the event that the tenant-paid utilities associated with a particular property are higher or lower than the subject, adjustments were made to account for the differences. Adjustments reflect the difference between the tenant-paid utilities for the comparable property minus that for the subject.

Please note: Utility adjustments are sometimes made even if the utility configuration of a specific unit is the same as the subject property. Two examples of this are: (1) A 20 year old / 1000 square foot comparable versus a 5 year old / 800 square foot subject; and (2) A 2-bedroom / 1000 square foot / end unit comparable versus a 1-bedroom / 800 square foot / interior unit subject.

## AC Systems

We also adjusted for differing types of air conditioning systems. We classified air conditioning systems three ways: (1) Central units, (2) Thru-wall units; and (3) Window units. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per month for central units; thru-wall units were valued at \$0; window units were valued at \$0.

## Heating Systems

Our analysis included adjustments for differing types of heating systems. We classified heating systems four ways: (1) Central heat, (2) Wall units; (3) Baseboard heat, and (4) Radiators. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of \$60 per month for central heat; wall units were valued at \$40; baseboard heat was valued at \$20; radiators were valued at \$20.

## Technology

We accounted for technology (cable and internet access) offered in the rent for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 40$ per month for cable; internet access was valued at $\$ 20$.

## Bedrooms

The next step in our analysis was to adjust for the number of bedrooms at each of the comparables as compared to
the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 90$ per bedroom.

## Bathrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bathrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 35$ per bathroom.

## Square Feet

Our analysis also included an adjustment for square footage at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.10$ per square foot.

## Visibility

We also accounted for differences in visibility at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review, we assigned a visibility rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 50$ per point for differences in visibility ratings between the subject and the comparables.

## Access

Our analysis also included an adjustment for access at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned an access rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 45$ per point for differences in access ratings between the subject and the comparables.

## Neighborhood

We considered differences in neighborhood at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local demographic and crime data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a neighborhood rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 20$ per point for differences in neighborhood ratings between the subject and the comparables.

## Area Amenities

We also accounted for area amenities for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local amenity data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a local amenity rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 5$ per point for differences in amenity ratings between the subject and the comparables.

## Condition

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the condition of each comparable as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned a condition rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 10$ per point for differences in condition ratings between the subject and the comparables.

## Effective Age

We considered differences in effective age in our analysis. Based on our field review, we estimated the effective age for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our estimates reflected the condition-adjusted age and remaining useful life of each property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 3.00$ per year for differences in effective age between the subject and the comparables.

## Project Amenities

We considered the presence of various project amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Project amenities include the following: ball fields, BBQ areas, billiards, business/computer centers, car care centers, community centers, fitness centers, gazebos, hot tubs/Jacuzzis, horseshoe pits, lakes, libraries, movie theatres, picnic areas, playgrounds, pools, saunas, sports courts and walking trails. Each project amenity was valued at $\$ 5$ per month.

## Elevator

We also accounted for the presence of elevators at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 30$ for buildings with elevators.

## Unit Amenities

We considered the presence of various unit amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Unit amenities include the following: blinds, ceiling fans, carpeting, fireplace and patios/balconies. Each unit amenity was valued at $\$ 10$ per month.

## Storage

We also accounted for the presence of extra storage at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 60$ for extra storage.

## Kitchen Amenities

We considered the presence of various kitchen amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Kitchen amenities include the following: stoves, refrigerators, disposals, dishwashers and microwaves. Each kitchen amenity was valued at $\$ 10$ per month.

## Parking

We also adjusted for differing types of parking configurations. We classified parking five ways: (1) Garage, (2) Covered; (3) Assigned, (4) Open and (5) No parking offered. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of \$0 per month for garages; covered parking was valued at $\$ 0$; assigned parking was valued at $\$ 0$; open parking was valued at $\$ 0$; no parking was valued at $\$ 0$.

## Laundry

We also evaluated differing types of laundry configurations. We classified laundry amenities three ways: (1) Central Laundry, (2) Washer/Dryer Units; and (3) Washer/Dryer Hookups. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of \$20 per month for central laundries; washer/dryer units were valued at $\$ 60$; washer/dryer hookups were valued at $\$ 20$.

## Security

We considered the presence of various security amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Security amenities include the following: call buttons, controlled access, courtesy officers, monitoring, security alarms and security patrols. Each security amenity was valued at $\$ 0$ per month.

## On-Site Management

We accounted for the presence of on-site management at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ for properties with on-site management.

## On-Site Maintenance

We accounted for the presence of on-site maintenance at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ for properties with on-site maintenance.

## Rent Conclusion, 1BR-1BA-623sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR-1BA-623sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 41 unit types found at 14 properties. We selected the 10 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 10 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.


Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 530$ for the 1BR-1BA-623sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 1BR-1BA-570sf units at Heritage Place Apartments (Property \# 046) are the best comparables for the 1BR-1BA-623sf units at the subject property.

Rent Conclusion, As Is
In the table below we derive our "as is" rent conclusion using the "as renovated" rent conclusion developed above:

| Adjustment | Conc Adj | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Ren } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Adj } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | 0.00 | \$63 | \$63 | \$0 |
| AC Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heating Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Technology | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$90 | 1 | 1 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$35 | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 623 | 623 | \$0 |
| Visibility | \$50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 |
| Access | \$45 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$20 | 2.20 | 2.20 | \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$5 | 3.20 | 3.20 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.00 | 2.00 | -\$20 |
| Effective Age | \$3.00 | 2005 | 1970 | -\$105 |
| Project Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$30 | no | no | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$60 | some | some | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Laundry | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| On-Site Management | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | -\$125 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  | \$364 |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  | \$432 |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  | \$393 |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  | \$392 |
| Rent, Concluded, As Is |  |  |  | \$450 |

Our analysis suggests an "as is" rent of $\$ 450$ for the 1BR-1BA-623sf units at the subject property.

Rent Grid, MR, Unit Type 01

| Comparable | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-02 | 007-03 | 008-04 | 011-04 | 012-04 | 039-01 |
| Unit Type | 1BR-1BA-623sf | 1BR-1BA-758sf | 1BR-1BA-758sf | 1BR-1BA-721sf | 1BR-1BA-664sf | 1BR-1BA-680sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | Gardenbrook Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1100 27th Street | 2321 Olive Street | 3561 Hilton Avenue |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31904 | 31904 | 31901 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.48543 | 32.48621 | 32.49750 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.98073 | -84.97933 | -84.95859 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 2.25 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2009 | 2010 | 2006 | 2008 | 2001 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Market Rate |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 596-9111 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 23-Apr-14 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 148 | 184 | 183 | 72 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 2 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 23 | 7 | 7 | 21 | 6 | 18 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 17\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent | \$419 | \$625 | \$625 | \$680 | \$680 | \$560 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$419 | \$625 | \$625 | \$680 | \$680 | \$560 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$22 | see write-up \$22 | see write-up \$14 | see write-up \$11 | see write-up \$38 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 1 | 1 \$0 | 1 \$0 | 1 \$0 | 1 \$0 | 1 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 623 | 758 -\$14 | 758 -\$14 | 721 -\$10 | 664 -\$4 | 680 -\$6 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 2.75 -\$34 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.40 -\$4 | 2.40 -\$4 | 4.00 -\$36 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 3.70 -\$3 | 3.70 -\$3 | 4.50 -\$7 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.75 -\$8 | 3.00 \$10 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$15 | 2010 -\$15 | 2005 \$0 | 2010 -\$15 | 1995 \$30 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$25 | see write-up -\$25 | see write-up \$10 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$10 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | yes -\$60 | yes -\$60 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$30 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$530 | \$512 | \$512 | \$504 | \$489 | \$539 |

Rent Grid, MR, Unit Type 01

| Comparable | Subject | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-02 | 046-01 | 046-02 | 046-03 | 072-02 | 095-04 |
| Unit Type | 1BR-1BA-623sf | 1BR-1BA-500sf | 1BR-1BA-570sf | 2BR-1BA-920sf | 1BR-1BA-739sf | 1BR-1BA-655sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Heritage Place Apartments | Heritage Place Apartments | Heritage Place Apartments | Overlook Crossing | Veranda at Ashley Station |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 510 Broadway 0 | 510 Broadway 0 | 510 Broadway 0 | 1600 Buena Vista Road | 2321 Olive Street |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31901 | 31901 | 31901 | 31906 | 31904 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.45546 | 32.45546 | 32.45546 | 32.46719 | 32.48640 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.99315 | -84.99315 | -84.99315 | -84.96990 | -84.97928 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 0.63 | 1.84 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2001 | 2001 | 2001 | 1975 | 2013 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | 1984 | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Elderly |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 596-8111 | (706) 596-8111 | (706) 596-8111 | (706) 323-6722 | (706) 576-6831 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 164 | 63 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 2 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 9\% | 3\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 23 | 30 | 42 | 7 | 107 | 5 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent | \$419 | \$545 | \$565 | \$685 | \$533 | \$649 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$17 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$419 | \$545 | \$565 | \$685 | \$516 | \$649 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$6 | see write-up \$6 | see write-up \$29 | see write-up \$66 | see write-up \$11 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | cable \| no internet -\$40 | cable \| no internet $\quad-\$ 40$ | cable \| no internet $\quad-\$ 40$ | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 1 | 1 \$0 | 1 \$0 | 2 -\$90 | 1 \$0 | 1 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 623 | 500 \$12 | 570 \$5 | 920 -\$30 | 739 -\$12 | 655 -\$3 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.00 \$25 | 3.00 \$25 | 3.00 \$25 | 3.50 \$0 | 3.25 \$13 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.00 -\$45 | 3.00 -\$45 | 3.00 -\$45 | 3.50 -\$68 | 3.25 -\$56 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 3.10 -\$18 | 3.10 -\$18 | 3.10 -\$18 | 4.10 -\$38 | 2.40 -\$4 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.80 \$2 | 2.80 \$2 | 2.80 \$2 | 3.40 -\$1 | 3.70 -\$3 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 3.25 \$8 | 3.25 \$8 | 3.25 \$8 | 3.00 \$10 | 4.50 -\$5 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 | 1990 \$45 | 2010 -\$15 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$5 | see write-up \$5 | see write-up \$5 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$10 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$30 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$10 |
| Storage | some | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central $\$ 20$ | central \$20 | central \$20 | central \$20 | central $\quad \$ 20$ |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$530 | \$510 | \$523 | \$541 | \$498 | \$557 |

## Rent Conclusion, 2BR-1BA-878sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR-1BA-878sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 41 unit types found at 14 properties. We selected the 10 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 10 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{2}}{\stackrel{\otimes}{\Sigma}}$ |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{*} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes} \\ & \hline \mathbf{Z} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듣 } \\ & \text { त्x } \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub-06 | Wilson Homes | 2BR-1BA-878sf | \$479 | \$0 | \$479 | - | \$0 | \$479 | - |
| 007-03 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 1BR-1BA-758sf | \$625 | \$0 | \$625 | \$274 | -\$9 | \$616 | 8 |
| 007-06 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$754 | \$0 | \$754 | \$251 | -\$140 | \$614 | 6 |
| 007-07 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2BR-1.5BA-974sf | \$744 | \$0 | \$744 | \$224 | -\$113 | \$631 | 3 |
| 008-04 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 1BR-1BA-758sf | \$625 | \$0 | \$625 | \$274 | -\$9 | \$616 | 8 |
| 008-08 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$754 | \$0 | \$754 | \$251 | -\$140 | \$614 | 6 |
| 008-09 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2BR-1.5BA-974sf | \$744 | \$0 | \$744 | \$224 | -\$113 | \$631 | 3 |
| 039-02 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 2BR-1BA-984sf | \$670 | \$0 | \$670 | \$250 | -\$3 | \$667 | 5 |
| 039-03 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 2BR-2BA-900sf | \$660 | \$0 | \$660 | \$277 | -\$30 | \$630 | 10 |
| 046-03 | Heritage Place Apartments | 2BR-1BA-920sf | \$685 | \$0 | \$685 | \$195 | -\$40 | \$645 | 1 |
| 091-03 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-947sf | \$630 | \$0 | \$630 | \$206 | -\$13 | \$617 | 2 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  |  |  | \$614 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  |  |  | \$667 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  |  |  | \$628 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  |  |  | \$627 |  |  |  |
| Rent, Concluded |  |  |  |  |  | \$630 |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 630$ for the 2BR-1BA-878sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 2BR-1BA-920sf units at Heritage Place Apartments (Property \# 046) are the best comparables for the 2BR-1BA-878sf units at the subject property.

Rent Conclusion, As Is
In the table below we derive our "as is" rent conclusion using the "as renovated" rent conclusion developed above:

| Adjustment | Conc Adj | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Ren } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Adj } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | 0.00 | \$74 | \$74 | \$0 |
| AC Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heating Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Technology | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$90 | 2 | 2 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$35 | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 878 | 878 | \$0 |
| Visibility | \$50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 |
| Access | \$45 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$20 | 2.20 | 2.20 | \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$5 | 3.20 | 3.20 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.00 | 2.00 | -\$20 |
| Effective Age | \$3.00 | 2005 | 1970 | -\$105 |
| Project Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$30 | no | no | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$60 | some | some | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Laundry | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| On-Site Management | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | -\$125 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  | \$489 |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  | \$542 |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  | \$503 |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  | \$502 |
| Rent, Concluded, As Is |  |  |  | \$550 |

Our analysis suggests an "as is" rent of \$550 for the 2BR-1BA-878sf units at the subject property.

Rent Grid, MR, Unit Type 02

| Comparable | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-06 | 007-03 | 007-06 | 007-07 | 008-04 | 008-08 |
| Unit Type | 2BR-1BA-878sf | 1BR-1BA-758sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | 2BR-1.5BA-974sf | 1BR-1BA-758sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1440 Benning Drive | 1440 Benning Drive | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1331 Fort Benning Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42712 | 32.42712 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.42926 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94444 | -84.94444 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.94021 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.06 | 2.06 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 2.10 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2009 | 2009 | 2009 | 2010 | 2010 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 10 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 9 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% |
| Street Rent | \$479 | \$625 | \$754 | \$744 | \$625 | \$754 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$479 | \$625 | \$754 | \$744 | \$625 | \$754 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$11 | see write-up \$36 | see write-up \$36 | see write-up \$11 | see write-up \$36 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 2 | 1 \$90 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 | 1 \$90 | 2 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 2.00 -\$35 | 1.50 -\$18 | 1.00 \$0 | 2.00 -\$35 |
| Square Feet | 878 | 758 \$12 | 1069 -\$19 | 974 -\$10 | 758 \$12 | 1069 -\$19 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$15 | $2010-\$ 15$ | 2010 -\$15 | 2010 -\$15 | 2010 -\$15 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | some \$0 | some \$0 | some \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$630 | \$616 | \$614 | \$631 | \$616 | \$614 |

Rent Grid, MR, Unit Type 02

| Comparable | Subject | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-06 | 008-09 | 039-02 | 039-03 | 046-03 | 091-03 |
| Unit Type | 2BR-1BA-878sf | 2BR-1.5BA-974sf | 2BR-1BA-984sf | 2BR-2BA-900sf | 2BR-1BA-920sf | 2BR-2BA-947sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Gardenbrook Apartments | Gardenbrook Apartments | Heritage Place Apartments | Springfield Crossing Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 3561 Hilton Avenue | 3561 Hilton Avenue | 510 Broadway 0 | 3320 North Lumpkin Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31901 | 31901 | 31901 | 31093 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42926 | 32.49750 | 32.49750 | 32.45546 | 32.43727 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94021 | -84.95859 | -84.95859 | -84.99315 | -84.95365 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.10 | 2.25 | 2.25 | 1.68 | 1.30 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2010 | 2001 | 2001 | 2001 | 2001 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Market Rate | Market Rate | Market Rate | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 596-9111 | (706) 596-9111 | (706) 596-8111 | (706) 689-7717 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 23-Apr-14 | 23-Apr-14 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 72 | 72 | 79 | 120 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 7\% | 3\% | 3\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 10 | 9 | 45 | 2 | 7 | 16 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 11\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| Street Rent | \$479 | \$744 | \$670 | \$660 | \$685 | \$630 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$479 | \$744 | \$670 | \$660 | \$685 | \$630 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$36 | see write-up \$61 | see write-up \$61 | see write-up \$18 | see write-up \$36 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | cable \| no internet -\$40 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 2 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 1.00 | 1.50 -\$18 | 1.00 \$0 | 2.00 -\$35 | 1.00 \$0 | 2.00 -\$35 |
| Square Feet | 878 | 974 -\$10 | 984 -\$11 | 900 -\$2 | 920 -\$4 | 947 -\$7 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.00 \$25 | 2.50 \$50 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 -\$56 | 2.75 -\$34 | 2.75 -\$34 | 3.00 -\$45 | 2.50 -\$23 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$2 | 4.00 -\$36 | 4.00 -\$36 | 3.10 -\$18 | 2.00 \$4 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$5 | 4.50 -\$7 | 4.50 -\$7 | 2.80 \$2 | 2.40 \$4 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 3.00 \$10 | 3.00 \$10 | 3.25 \$8 | 3.75 \$3 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$15 | 1995 \$30 | 1995 \$30 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up $\quad \$ 10$ | see write-up \$5 | see write-up -\$5 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$20 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$630 | \$631 | \$667 | \$630 | \$645 | \$617 |

## Rent Conclusion, 3BR-2BA-1064sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR-2BA-1064sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 41 unit types found at 14 properties. We selected the 10 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 10 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{2}}{\stackrel{\otimes}{\Sigma}}$ |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{*} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes} \\ & \hline \mathbf{Z} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듣 } \\ & \text { त्x } \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub-11 Wilson Homes | 3BR-2BA-1064sf | \$617 | \$0 | \$617 | - | \$0 | \$617 | - |
| 007-06 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$754 | \$0 | \$754 | \$269 | -\$14 | \$740 | 5 |
| 007-07 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2BR-1.5BA-974sf | \$744 | \$0 | \$744 | \$295 | \$13 | \$757 | 8 |
| 007-10 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$844 | \$0 | \$844 | \$223 | -\$88 | \$756 | 2 |
| 008-08 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$754 | \$0 | \$754 | \$269 | -\$14 | \$740 | 5 |
| 008-09 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2BR-1.5BA-974sf | \$744 | \$0 | \$744 | \$295 | \$13 | \$757 | 8 |
| 008-13 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$844 | \$0 | \$844 | \$223 | -\$88 | \$756 | 2 |
| 011-18 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$930 | \$0 | \$930 | \$296 | -\$161 | \$769 | 10 |
| 039-04 Gardenbrook Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1250sf | \$770 | \$0 | \$770 | \$271 | \$2 | \$772 | 7 |
| 091-03 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-947sf | \$630 | \$0 | \$630 | \$248 | \$113 | \$743 | 4 |
| 091-06 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$695 | \$0 | \$695 | \$199 | \$18 | \$713 | 1 |
| Adjusted Rent, | nimum |  |  |  | \$713 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, | ximum |  |  |  | \$772 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, | erage |  |  |  | \$750 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, | dified Average |  |  |  | \$752 |  |  |  |
| Rent, Concluded |  |  |  |  | \$730 |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 730$ for the 3BR-2BA-1064sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 3BR-2BA-1290sf units at Springfield Crossing Apartments (Property \# 091) are the best comparables for the 3BR-2BA-1064sf units at the subject property.

Rent Conclusion, As Is
In the table below we derive our "as is" rent conclusion using the "as renovated" rent conclusion developed above:

| Adjustment | Conc Adj | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Ren } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Adj } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | 0.00 | \$92 | \$92 | \$0 |
| AC Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heating Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Technology | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$90 | 3 | 3 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$35 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 1064 | 1,064 | \$0 |
| Visibility | \$50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 |
| Access | \$45 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$20 | 2.20 | 2.20 | \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$5 | 3.20 | 3.20 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.00 | 2.00 | -\$20 |
| Effective Age | \$3.00 | 2005 | 1970 | -\$105 |
| Project Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$30 | no | no | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$60 | some | some | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Laundry | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| On-Site Management | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | -\$125 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  | \$588 |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  | \$647 |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  | \$625 |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  | \$627 |
| Rent, Concluded, As Is |  |  |  | \$650 |

Our analysis suggests an "as is" rent of \$650 for the 3BR-2BA-1064sf units at the subject property.

Rent Grid, MR, Unit Type 03

| Comparable | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-11 | 007-06 | 007-07 | 007-10 | 008-08 | 008-09 |
| Unit Type | 3BR-2BA-1064sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | 2BR-1.5BA-974sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | 2BR-1.5BA-974sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1440 Benning Drive | 1440 Benning Drive | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1331 Fort Benning Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42712 | 32.42712 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.42926 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94444 | -84.94444 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.94021 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.06 | 2.06 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 2.10 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2009 | 2009 | 2009 | 2010 | 2010 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 6 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 9 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| Street Rent | \$617 | \$754 | \$744 | \$844 | \$754 | \$744 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$617 | \$754 | \$744 | \$844 | \$754 | \$744 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$18 | see write-up \$18 | see write-up \$48 | see write-up \$18 | see write-up \$18 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 3 | 2 \$90 | 2 \$90 | 3 \$0 | 2 \$90 | 2 \$90 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 1.50 \$18 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 1.50 \$18 |
| Square Feet | 1064 | 1069 -\$1 | 974 \$9 | 1206 -\$14 | 1069 -\$1 | 974 \$9 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$15 | 2010 -\$15 | 2010 -\$15 | 2010 -\$15 | $2010-\$ 15$ |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | some \$0 | some \$0 | some \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$730 | \$740 | \$757 | \$756 | \$740 | \$757 |

Rent Grid, MR, Unit Type 03

| Comparable | Subject | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-11 | 008-13 | 011-18 | 039-04 | 091-03 | 091-06 |
| Unit Type | 3BR-2BA-1064sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | 3BR-2BA-1250sf | 2BR-2BA-947sf | 3BR-2BA-1290sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | Gardenbrook Apartments | Springfield Crossing Apartments | Springfield Crossing Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1100 27th Street | 3561 Hilton Avenue | 3320 North Lumpkin Road | 3320 North Lumpkin Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31904 | 31901 | 31093 | 31093 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42926 | 32.48543 | 32.49750 | 32.43727 | 32.43727 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94021 | -84.98073 | -84.95859 | -84.95365 | -84.95365 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.10 | 1.83 | 2.25 | 1.30 | 1.30 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2010 | 2006 | 2001 | 2001 | 2001 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Market Rate | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 596-9111 | (706) 689-7717 | (706) 689-7717 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 23-Apr-14 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 184 | 72 | 120 | 120 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 7\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 6 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 8 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 0\% | 50\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent | \$617 | \$844 | \$930 | \$770 | \$630 | \$695 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$617 | \$844 | \$930 | \$770 | \$630 | \$695 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$48 | see write-up \$55 | see write-up \$74 | see write-up \$18 | see write-up \$48 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 3 | 3 \$0 | 3 \$0 | 3 \$0 | 2 \$90 | 3 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.50 -\$18 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 1064 | 1206 -\$14 | 1250 -\$19 | 1250 -\$19 | 947 \$12 | 1290 -\$23 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 2.50 \$50 | 2.50 \$50 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 2.75 -\$34 | 2.50 -\$23 | 2.50 -\$23 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.40 -\$4 | 4.00 -\$36 | 2.00 \$4 | 2.00 \$4 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$5 | 3.70 -\$3 | 4.50 -\$7 | 2.40 \$4 | 2.40 \$4 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 3.00 \$10 | 3.75 \$3 | 3.75 \$3 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$15 | 2005 \$0 | 1995 \$30 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$25 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up -\$5 | see write-up -\$5 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | yes -\$60 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$730 | \$756 | \$769 | \$772 | \$743 | \$713 |

## Rent Conclusion, 4BR-2BA-1171sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 4BR-2BA-1171sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 41 unit types found at 14 properties. We selected the 10 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 10 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-14 | Wilson Homes | 4BR-2BA-1171sf | \$691 | \$0 | \$691 | - | \$0 | \$691 | - |
| 007-06 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$754 | \$0 | \$754 | \$355 | \$64 | \$818 | 7 |
| 007-10 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$844 | \$0 | \$844 | \$280 | -\$9 | \$835 | 2 |
| 008-08 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$754 | \$0 | \$754 | \$355 | \$64 | \$818 | 7 |
| 008-13 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$844 | \$0 | \$844 | \$280 | -\$9 | \$835 | 2 |
| 011-18 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$930 | \$0 | \$930 | \$354 | -\$83 | \$847 | 6 |
| 012-20 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$930 | \$0 | \$930 | \$370 | -\$101 | \$829 | 9 |
| 039-04 | Gardenbrook Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1250sf | \$770 | \$0 | \$770 | \$329 | \$80 | \$850 | 4 |
| 091-03 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-947sf | \$630 | \$0 | \$630 | \$334 | \$191 | \$821 | 5 |
| 091-06 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$695 | \$0 | \$695 | \$256 | \$97 | \$792 | 1 |
| 095-05 | Veranda at Ashley Station | 2BR-2BA-1087sf | \$710 | \$0 | \$710 | \$382 | \$96 | \$806 | 10 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  |  |  | \$792 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  |  |  | \$825 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  |  |  | \$826 |  |  |  |
| Rent, Concluded |  |  |  |  |  | \$830 |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 830$ for the 4BR-2BA-1171sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 3BR-2BA-1290sf units at Springfield Crossing Apartments (Property \# 091) are the best comparables for the 4BR-2BA-1171sf units at the subject property.

Rent Conclusion, As Is
In the table below we derive our "as is" rent conclusion using the "as renovated" rent conclusion developed above:

| Adjustment | Conc Adj | As Ren | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Adj } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | 0.00 | \$114 | \$114 | \$0 |
| AC Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heating Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Technology | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$90 | 4 | 4 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$35 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 1171 | 1,171 | \$0 |
| Visibility | \$50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 |
| Access | \$45 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$20 | 2.20 | 2.20 | \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$5 | 3.20 | 3.20 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.00 | 2.00 | -\$20 |
| Effective Age | \$3.00 | 2005 | 1970 | -\$105 |
| Project Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$30 | no | no | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$60 | some | some | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Laundry | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| On-Site Management | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | -\$125 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  | \$667 |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  | \$725 |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  | \$700 |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  | \$701 |
| Rent, Concluded, As Is |  |  |  | \$750 |

Our analysis suggests an "as is" rent of \$750 for the 4BR-2BA-1171sf units at the subject property.

Rent Grid, MR, Unit Type 04

| Comparable | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-14 | 007-06 | 007-10 | 008-08 | 008-13 | 011-18 |
| Unit Type | 4BR-2BA-1171sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1440 Benning Drive | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1100 27th Street |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31904 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42712 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.42926 | 32.48543 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94444 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.94021 | -84.98073 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.06 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 1.83 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2009 | 2009 | 2010 | 2010 | 2006 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 576-6831 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 06-Apr-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 184 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 7 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 7\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 7 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 2 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 0\% | 50\% |
| Street Rent | \$691 | \$754 | \$844 | \$754 | \$844 | \$930 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$691 | \$754 | \$844 | \$754 | \$844 | \$930 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up -\$4 | see write-up \$26 | see write-up -\$4 | see write-up \$26 | see write-up \$33 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 4 | 2 \$180 | 3 \$90 | 2 \$180 | 3 \$90 | 3 \$90 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.50 -\$18 |
| Square Feet | 1171 | 1069 \$10 | 1206 -\$4 | 1069 \$10 | 1206 -\$4 | 1250 -\$8 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.40 -\$4 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 3.70 -\$3 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$15 | $2010-\$ 15$ | $2010-\$ 15$ | 2010 -\$15 | 2005 \$0 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$25 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | some \$0 | some \$0 | yes -\$60 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$830 | \$818 | \$835 | \$818 | \$835 | \$847 |

Rent Grid, MR, Unit Type 04

| Comparable | Subject | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-14 | 012-20 | 039-04 | 091-03 | 091-06 | 095-05 |
| Unit Type | 4BR-2BA-1171sf | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | 3BR-2BA-1250sf | 2BR-2BA-947sf | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | 2BR-2BA-1087sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | Gardenbrook Apartments | Springfield Crossing Apartments | Springfield Crossing Apartments | Veranda at Ashley Station |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 2321 Olive Street | 3561 Hilton Avenue | 3320 North Lumpkin Road | 3320 North Lumpkin Road | 2321 Olive Street |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31904 | 31901 | 31093 | 31093 | 31904 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.48621 | 32.49750 | 32.43727 | 32.43727 | 32.48640 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.97933 | -84.95859 | -84.95365 | -84.95365 | -84.97928 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 1.83 | 2.25 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.84 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2008 | 2001 | 2001 | 2001 | 2013 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Market Rate | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Elderly |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 596-9111 | (706) 689-7717 | (706) 689-7717 | (706) 576-6831 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 23-Apr-14 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 183 | 72 | 120 | 120 | 63 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 7 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 8 | 11 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 50\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 18\% |
| Street Rent | \$691 | \$930 | \$770 | \$630 | \$695 | \$710 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$691 | \$930 | \$770 | \$630 | \$695 | \$710 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$32 | see write-up \$52 | see write-up -\$4 | see write-up \$26 | see write-up \$8 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 4 | 3 \$90 | 3 \$90 | 2 \$180 | 3 \$90 | 2 \$180 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.50 -\$18 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 1171 | 1250 -\$8 | 1250 -\$8 | 947 \$22 | 1290 -\$12 | 1087 \$8 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 2.50 \$50 | 2.50 \$50 | 3.25 \$13 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 -\$56 | 2.75 -\$34 | 2.50 -\$23 | 2.50 -\$23 | 3.25 -\$56 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.40 -\$4 | 4.00 -\$36 | 2.00 \$4 | 2.00 \$4 | 2.40 -\$4 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 3.70 -\$3 | 4.50 -\$7 | 2.40 \$4 | 2.40 \$4 | 3.70 -\$3 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.75 -\$8 | 3.00 \$10 | 3.75 \$3 | 3.75 \$3 | 4.50 -\$5 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$15 | 1995 \$30 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 | 2010 -\$15 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$25 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up -\$5 | see write-up -\$5 | see write-up \$10 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$30 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$10 |
| Storage | some | yes -\$60 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$20 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$830 | \$829 | \$850 | \$821 | \$792 | \$806 |

## Rent Conclusion, 4BR-2BA-1438sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 4BR-2BA-1438sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 41 unit types found at 14 properties. We selected the 10 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 10 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & .0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{Y}{\overleftrightarrow{Q}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{Z} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-15 Wilson Homes | 4BR-2BA-1438sf | \$794 | \$0 | \$794 | - | \$0 | \$794 |  |
| 007-06 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$754 | \$0 | \$754 | \$401 | \$72 | \$826 | 10 |
| 007-10 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$844 | \$0 | \$844 | \$281 | -\$2 | \$842 | 2 |
| 008-08 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$754 | \$0 | \$754 | \$401 | \$72 | \$826 | 10 |
| 008-13 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$844 | \$0 | \$844 | \$281 | -\$2 | \$842 | 2 |
| 010-02 Armour Landing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1240sf | \$813 | \$0 | \$813 | \$376 | \$66 | \$878 | 7 |
| 011-18 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$930 | \$0 | \$930 | \$346 | -\$75 | \$855 | 5 |
| 012-20 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$930 | \$0 | \$930 | \$362 | -\$93 | \$837 | 6 |
| 039-04 Gardenbrook Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1250sf | \$770 | \$0 | \$770 | \$321 | \$88 | \$858 | 4 |
| 066-02 Martha's Vineyard | 3BR-2BA-1500sf | \$820 | \$0 | \$820 | \$395 | \$50 | \$870 | 9 |
| 091-03 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-947sf | \$630 | \$0 | \$630 | \$380 | \$199 | \$829 | 8 |
| 091-06 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$695 | \$0 | \$695 | \$240 | \$105 | \$800 | 1 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 800$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 878$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 842$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 843$ |
| Rent, Concluded | $\$ 840$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 840$ for the 4BR-2BA-1438sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 3BR-2BA-1290sf units at Springfield Crossing Apartments (Property \# 091) are the best comparables for the 4BR-2BA-1438sf units at the subject property.

Rent Conclusion, As Is
In the table below we derive our "as is" rent conclusion using the "as renovated" rent conclusion developed above:

| Adjustment | Conc Adj | As Ren | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Adj } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | 0.00 | \$133 | \$133 | \$0 |
| AC Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heating Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Technology | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$90 | 4 | 4 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$35 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 1438 | 1,438 | \$0 |
| Visibility | \$50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 |
| Access | \$45 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$20 | 2.20 | 2.20 | \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$5 | 3.20 | 3.20 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.00 | 2.00 | -\$20 |
| Effective Age | \$3.00 | 2005 | 1970 | -\$105 |
| Project Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$30 | no | no | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$60 | some | some | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Laundry | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| On-Site Management | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | -\$125 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  | \$675 |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  | \$753 |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  | \$717 |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  | \$718 |
| Rent, Concluded, As Is |  |  |  | \$760 |

Our analysis suggests an "as is" rent of \$760 for the 4BR-2BA-1438sf units at the subject property.

Rent Grid, MR, Unit Type 05

| Comparable | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-15 | 007-06 | 007-10 | 008-08 | 008-13 | 010-02 |
| Unit Type | 4BR-2BA-1438sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 3BR-2BA-1240sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Armour Landing Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1440 Benning Drive | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 3929 Armour Avenue |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31904 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42712 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.42926 | 32.50187 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94444 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.94021 | -84.95122 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.06 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.58 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2009 | 2009 | 2010 | 2010 | 1985 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Market Rate |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 322-8270 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 27-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 36 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 7\% | 7\% | 0\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 4 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 18 |
| Vacant Units | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent | \$794 | \$754 | \$844 | \$754 | \$844 | \$813 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$794 | \$754 | \$844 | \$754 | \$844 | \$813 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up -\$23 | see write-up \$7 | see write-up -\$23 | see write-up \$7 | see write-up \$26 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | cable \| no internet -\$40 |
| Bedrooms | 4 | 2 \$180 | 3 \$90 | 2 \$180 | 3 \$90 | 3 \$90 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 1438 | 1069 \$37 | 1206 \$23 | 1069 \$37 | 1206 \$23 | 1240 \$20 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.00 \$25 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.00 -\$45 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 2.10 \$2 | 4.00 -\$36 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 2.20 \$5 | 4.00 -\$4 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 3.50 \$5 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$15 | 2010 -\$15 | 2010 -\$15 | 2010 -\$15 | 2000 \$15 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up -\$15 | see write-up \$20 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$10 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | some \$0 | some \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | w/d hookups \$20 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$840 | \$826 | \$842 | \$826 | \$842 | \$878 |

Rent Grid, MR, Unit Type 05

| Comparable | Subject | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-15 | 011-18 | 012-20 | 039-04 | 066-02 | 091-03 |
| Unit Type | 4BR-2BA-1438sf | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | 3BR-2BA-1250sf | 3BR-2BA-1500sf | 2BR-2BA-947sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | Gardenbrook Apartments | Martha's Vineyard | Springfield Crossing Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1100 27th Street | 2321 Olive Street | 3561 Hilton Avenue | 2437 Marthas Loop | 3320 North Lumpkin Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31904 | 31904 | 31901 | 31907 | 31093 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.48543 | 32.48621 | 32.49750 | 32.48784 | 32.43727 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.98073 | -84.97933 | -84.95859 | -84.93133 | -84.95365 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 2.25 | 2.48 | 1.30 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2006 | 2008 | 2001 | 2003 | 2001 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Market Rate | Market Rate | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 596-9111 | (706) 561-2209 | (706) 689-7717 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 23-Apr-14 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 184 | 183 | 72 | 32 | 120 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 4 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 16 |
| Vacant Units | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate | 25\% | 50\% | 50\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| Street Rent | \$794 | \$930 | \$930 | \$770 | \$820 | \$630 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$794 | \$930 | \$930 | \$770 | \$820 | \$630 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$14 | see write-up \$13 | see write-up \$33 | see write-up -\$18 | see write-up -\$23 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 4 | 3 \$90 | 3 \$90 | 3 \$90 | 3 \$90 | 2 \$180 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.50 -\$18 | 2.50 -\$18 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 1438 | 1250 \$19 | 1250 \$19 | 1250 \$19 | 1500 -\$6 | 947 \$49 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 3.25 \$13 | 2.25 \$63 | 2.50 \$50 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 -\$56 | 3.25 -\$56 | 2.75 -\$34 | 2.50 -\$23 | 2.50 -\$23 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.40 -\$4 | 2.40 -\$4 | 4.00 -\$36 | 3.40 -\$24 | 2.00 \$4 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 3.70 -\$3 | 3.70 -\$3 | 4.50 -\$7 | 3.60 -\$2 | 2.40 \$4 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.75 -\$8 | 3.00 \$10 | 3.00 \$10 | 3.75 \$3 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2005 \$0 | 2010 -\$15 | 1995 \$30 | 2000 \$15 | 2005 \$0 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$25 | see write-up -\$25 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$25 | see write-up -\$5 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | yes -\$60 | yes -\$60 | no \$0 | yes -\$60 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$30 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | w/d hookups \$20 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$840 | \$855 | \$837 | \$858 | \$870 | \$829 |

Rent Grid, MR, Unit Type 05

| Comparable | Subject | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-15 | 091-06 |
| Unit Type | 4BR-2BA-1438sf | 3BR-2BA-1290sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Springfield Crossing Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 3320 North Lumpkin Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31093 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.43727 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.95365 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 1.30 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2001 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 689-7717 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 30-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 120 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 5 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |
| Units | 4 | 8 |
| Vacant Units | 1 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate | 25\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent | \$794 | \$695 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$794 | \$695 |
|  | Data | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$7 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 4 | 3 \$90 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 1438 | 1290 \$15 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 2.50 \$50 |
| Access | 2.00 | 2.50 -\$23 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.00 \$4 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.40 \$4 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 3.75 \$3 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2005 \$0 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$5 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$0 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$840 | \$800 |

## Unrestricted Market Rent Conclusion

Based on our evaluation of the rents at the select comparable properties, and considering the location, quality and amenities of the subject property, we conclude the following market rents for the subject property units, assuming that the subject were an unrestricted property:

| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Market | Proposed | Advantage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1BR-1BA-544sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 1BR-1BA-623sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 1BR-1BA-579sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 1BR-1BA-576sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 3 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 2BR-1BA-733sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 71 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-878sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 10 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-713sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-748sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-744sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 53 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1074sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 62 | \$730 | \$617 | 15.5\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1064sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$730 | \$617 | 15.5\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1167sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$830 | \$691 | 16.7\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1197sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$830 | \$691 | 16.7\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1171sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$830 | \$691 | 16.7\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1438sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 4 | \$840 | \$794 | 5.5\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 288 | \$652 | \$519 | 20.4\% |

Our analysis suggests an average unrestricted market rent of $\$ 652$ for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of $\$ 519$, yielding an unrestricted market rent advantage of 20.4 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below unrestricted market rents for the area.

We selected a total of 14 properties as comparables for purposes of this analysis. The average occupancy at the select rent comparables currently stands at 94 percent.

Occupancy rates for the selected rent comparables are broken out below:

|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | 30\% of AMI | 40\% of AMI | 50\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| 1-Bedroom | 99\% |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  | 93\% |
| 2-Bedroom | 96\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 96\% |  | 93\% |
| 3-Bedroom | 96\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 94\% |  | 96\% |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 96\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 96\% |  | 93\% |

Occupancy rates for all stabilized market area properties are broken out below:

| Occupancy Rate, Stabilized Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | 30\% of AMI | 40\% of AMI | 50\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| 0-Bedroom | 97\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96\% |
| 1-Bedroom | 99\% |  |  |  |  | 96\% |  | 95\% |
| 2-Bedroom | 98\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 96\% |  | 94\% |
| 3-Bedroom | 98\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 90\% |  | 97\% |
| 4-Bedroom | 99\% |  |  |  |  | 87\% |  | 100\% |
| Total | 98\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 94\% |  | 94\% |

## Restricted Rent Analysis

In this section we develop a restricted market rent conclusion and an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property units. Our analysis began by selecting comparable rentals to use to develop estimates of market rents for the units at the subject property, assuming that the subject was a restricted property. Our selection of comparables was based on location, age, condition, unit mix and amenities of the comparable properties relative to the subject property.

## Rental Property Inventory, by Unit Type

In the following pages we present an inventory of properties included in this analysis. Rents for these properties, broken out by unit type, were used in selecting the rent comparables used in this analysis.

The properties that we consider to be comparable to the subject property are highlighted in the tables found in the following pages. We attempted to select stabilized restricted rent properties as comparables for purposes of our rent comparability analysis.

Comparables with market rents are used when a sufficient number of restricted rent comparables are not available and when maximum allowable rents for properties with restricted rents exceed prevailing rents in the area. In the event that program rental rates exceed market rental rates, restricted units are, in fact, de facto market rate units.

## Rent Comparables, Restricted Rent, Map

A map showing the location of the properties selected as comparables in this analysis is found in the following pages. Properties identified with red pushpins have market rents, properties identified with yellow pushpins have restricted rents, and properties identified with blue pushpins have subsidized rents. Detailed write-ups for the select rent comparables are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Rent Comparability Grids

Our analysis employed the use of rent comparability grids and resulted in a restricted market rent estimate for each of the subject's unit types. These grids and a narrative describing our rent adjustments are found in the following pages.

Rental Property Inventory, 0-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 053 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 Midtown Square | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 095 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$558 |  |  |  |  | \$499 |  | \$625 |
| 008 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$558 |  |  |  |  | \$499 |  | \$625 |
| 011 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$327 |  |  |  |  | \$578 |  | \$680 |
| 012 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$327 |  |  |  |  | \$581 |  | \$680 |
| 013 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$490 |  |  |
| 030 Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$470 |  |  |
| 053 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$536 |  | \$699 |
| 060 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 Midtown Square | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 095 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$456 |  |  |  |  | \$581 |  | \$649 |
| 096 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$633 |  |  |  |  | \$596 |  | \$749 |
| 008 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$633 |  |  |  |  | \$596 |  | \$749 |
| 011 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$393 |  |  |  |  | \$666 |  | \$796 |
| 012 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$393 |  |  |  |  | \$667 |  | \$815 |
| 013 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$525 |  |  |
| 030 Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$451 |  |  |
| 053 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$663 |  | \$900 |
| 060 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$375 | \$484 |  |  |
| 063 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$593 |  |  |
| 069 Midtown Square | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$630 |  |  |
| 091 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$455 | \$570 |  | \$630 |
| 095 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$710 |
| 096 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$570 |  |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$848 |  |  |  |  | \$676 |  | \$844 |
| 008 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$848 |  |  |  |  | \$676 |  | \$844 |
| 011 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$454 |  |  |  |  | \$761 |  | \$930 |
| 012 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$454 |  |  |  |  | \$762 |  | \$930 |
| 013 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$600 |  |  |
| 030 Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$622 |  |  |
| 053 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$691 |  | \$1,441 |
| 060 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$427 | \$595 |  |  |
| 063 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$673 |  |  |
| 069 Midtown Square | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$717 |  |  |
| 091 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$515 | \$647 |  | \$695 |
| 095 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$647 |  |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Rental Property Inventory, 4-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$700 |  |  |
| 030 Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$684 |  |  |
| 053 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 Midtown Square | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 095 Veranda at Ashley Station | 2013 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Rent Comparables, Restricted Rent
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## Rent Adjustments

Our analysis included a property management survey and a technique known as "statistical extraction" to help us identify the best adjustments to use. Statistical extraction, which is similar to the matched pair method, helped us derive the optimal adjustments for our particular data set.

Here's a hypothetical example to illustrate how we derived our rent adjustments. Assume that property managers tell us we should expect rent adjustments ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 0.50$ per square foot for a particular market. Next, assume that we select 25 rent comparables with a sample variance of $\$ 100$. We employ a square foot rent adjustment of $\$ 0.10$ for each comparable resulting in an adjusted sample variance of $\$ 90$. This tells us that the assumed adjustment "explained" some of the variability in the data. We repeat this process for adjustments of $\$ 0.20$, $\$ 0.30, \$ 0.40$ and $\$ 0.50$ which yielded sample variances of $\$ 80, \$ 70$, $\$ 65$ and $\$ 75$, respectively. The $\$ 0.40$ square foot adjustment "explains" the most variance because any other adjustment yields a higher adjusted sample variance. Consequently, a $\$ 0.40$ rent adjustment is the best adjustment for purposes of this example. This is a simplified example because we actually adjusted for numerous variables simultaneously in our analysis.

A discussion of our concluded adjustments is found below.

## Concessions

The first step in our analysis was to account for any concessions at the subject and the comparables. We considered the advertised street rent and concessions being offered and derived a net nent estimate for each comparable. Net rent, defined as advertised street rent minus monthly concessions, represents the cash rent paid by new residents at the various properties. This is the best measure of market value (prior to any other adjustments) for the comparables included in this analysis.

## Tenant-Paid Utilities

The next step in our analysis was to account for differences in tenant-paid utilities between the comparable properties and the subject. We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive our adjustments. The HUD model which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - includes a current utility rate survey for the area. In the event that the tenant-paid utilities associated with a particular property are higher or lower than the subject, adjustments were made to account for the differences. Adjustments reflect the difference between the tenant-paid utilities for the comparable property minus that for the subject.

Please note: Utility adjustments are sometimes made even if the utility configuration of a specific unit is the same as the subject property. Two examples of this are: (1) A 20 year old / 1000 square foot comparable versus a 5 year old / 800 square foot subject; and (2) A 2-bedroom / 1000 square foot / end unit comparable versus a 1-bedroom / 800 square foot / interior unit subject.

## AC Systems

We also adjusted for differing types of air conditioning systems. We classified air conditioning systems three ways: (1) Central units, (2) Thru-wall units; and (3) Window units. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per month for central units; thru-wall units were valued at \$0; window units were valued at \$0.

## Heating Systems

Our analysis included adjustments for differing types of heating systems. We classified heating systems four ways: (1) Central heat, (2) Wall units; (3) Baseboard heat, and (4) Radiators. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of \$0 per month for central heat; wall units were valued at $\$ 0$; baseboard heat was valued at $\$ 0$; radiators were valued at \$0.

## Technology

We accounted for technology (cable and internet access) offered in the rent for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per month for cable; internet access was valued at \$0.

## Bedrooms

The next step in our analysis was to adjust for the number of bedrooms at each of the comparables as compared to
the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 80$ per bedroom.

## Bathrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bathrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per bathroom.

## Square Feet

Our analysis also included an adjustment for square footage at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.10$ per square foot.

## Visibility

We also accounted for differences in visibility at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review, we assigned a visibility rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 10$ per point for differences in visibility ratings between the subject and the comparables.

## Access

Our analysis also included an adjustment for access at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned an access rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in access ratings between the subject and the comparables.

## Neighborhood

We considered differences in neighborhood at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local demographic and crime data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a neighborhood rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 25$ per point for differences in neighborhood ratings between the subject and the comparables.

## Area Amenities

We also accounted for area amenities for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local amenity data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a local amenity rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 50$ per point for differences in amenity ratings between the subject and the comparables.

## Condition

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the condition of each comparable as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned a condition rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 10$ per point for differences in condition ratings between the subject and the comparables.

## Effective Age

We considered differences in effective age in our analysis. Based on our field review, we estimated the effective age for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our estimates reflected the condition-adjusted age and remaining useful life of each property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 1.00$ per year for differences in effective age between the subject and the comparables.

## Project Amenities

We considered the presence of various project amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Project amenities include the following: ball fields, BBQ areas, billiards, business/computer centers, car care centers, community centers, fitness centers, gazebos, hot tubs/Jacuzzis, horseshoe pits, lakes, libraries, movie theatres, picnic areas, playgrounds, pools, saunas, sports courts and walking trails. Each project amenity was valued at $\$ 0$ per month.

## Elevator

We also accounted for the presence of elevators at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 30$ for buildings with elevators.

## Unit Amenities

We considered the presence of various unit amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Unit amenities include the following: blinds, ceiling fans, carpeting, fireplace and patios/balconies. Each unit amenity was valued at $\$ 10$ per month.

## Storage

We also accounted for the presence of extra storage at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of \$0 for extra storage.

## Kitchen Amenities

We considered the presence of various kitchen amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Kitchen amenities include the following: stoves, refrigerators, disposals, dishwashers and microwaves. Each kitchen amenity was valued at $\$ 0$ per month.

## Parking

We also adjusted for differing types of parking configurations. We classified parking five ways: (1) Garage, (2) Covered; (3) Assigned, (4) Open and (5) No parking offered. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of \$0 per month for garages; covered parking was valued at $\$ 0$; assigned parking was valued at $\$ 0$; open parking was valued at $\$ 0$; no parking was valued at $\$ 0$.

## Laundry

We also evaluated differing types of laundry configurations. We classified laundry amenities three ways: (1) Central Laundry, (2) Washer/Dryer Units; and (3) Washer/Dryer Hookups. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 20$ per month for central laundries; washer/dryer units were valued at \$60; washer/dryer hookups were valued at \$20.

## Security

We considered the presence of various security amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Security amenities include the following: call buttons, controlled access, courtesy officers, monitoring, security alarms and security patrols. Each security amenity was valued at $\$ 10$ per month.

## On-Site Management

We accounted for the presence of on-site management at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ for properties with on-site management.

## On-Site Maintenance

We accounted for the presence of on-site maintenance at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ for properties with on-site maintenance.

## Rent Conclusion, 1BR-1BA-623sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR-1BA-623sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 41 unit types found at 12 properties. We selected the 10 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 10 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ً} \\ & \hline \bar{J} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{y}{*} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\vdots}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\overleftrightarrow{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{Z} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듣 } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub-02 Wilson Homes | 1BR-1BA-623sf | \$419 | \$0 | \$419 |  | \$0 | \$419 |  |
| 007-02 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 1BR-1BA-758sf | \$499 | \$0 | \$499 | \$131 | \$44 | \$543 | 5 |
| 008-03 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 1BR-1BA-758sf | \$499 | \$0 | \$499 | \$131 | \$44 | \$543 | 5 |
| 011-03 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 1BR-1BA-721sf | \$578 | \$0 | \$578 | \$91 | -\$38 | \$540 | 3 |
| 011-13 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2BR-2.5BA-1000sf | \$671 | \$0 | \$671 | \$237 | -\$108 | \$563 | 9 |
| 012-02 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 1BR-1BA-664sf | \$581 | \$0 | \$581 | \$90 | -\$43 | \$538 | 1 |
| 012-03 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 1BR-1BA-664sf | \$581 | \$0 | \$581 | \$90 | -\$43 | \$538 | 1 |
| 012-06 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2BR-1.5BA-888sf | \$664 | \$0 | \$664 | \$241 | -\$98 | \$567 | 10 |
| 012-07 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2BR-1.5BA-888sf | \$664 | \$0 | \$664 | \$241 | -\$98 | \$567 | 10 |
| 013-01 Avalon Apartments | 1BR-1BA-682sf | \$490 | \$0 | \$490 | \$152 | \$62 | \$552 | 7 |
| 030-01 Eagles Trace | 1BR-1BA-733sf | \$490 | \$20 | \$470 | \$156 | \$104 | \$574 | 8 |
| 095-03 Veranda at Ashley Station | 1BR-1BA-655sf | \$581 | \$0 | \$581 | \$127 | -\$40 | \$541 | 4 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 538$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 574$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 551$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 552$ |
| Rent, Concluded | $\$ 530$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 530$ for the 1BR-1BA-623sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 1BR-1BA-664sf units at Ashley Station, Phase 2 (Property \# 012) are the best comparables for the 1BR-1BA-623sf units at the subject property.

Rent Conclusion, As Is
In the table below we derive our "as is" rent conclusion using the "as renovated" rent conclusion developed above:

| Adjustment | Conc Adj | As Ren | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$ } \\ \text { Adj } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | 0.00 | \$63 | \$63 | \$0 |
| AC Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heating Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Technology | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$80 | 1 | 1 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 623 | 623 | \$0 |
| Visibility | \$10 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$25 | 2.20 | 2.20 | \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$50 | 3.20 | 3.20 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.00 | 2.00 | -\$20 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2005 | 1970 | -\$35 |
| Project Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$30 | no | no | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$0 | some | some | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Laundry | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| On-Site Management | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | -\$55 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  | \$483 |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  | \$519 |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  | \$496 |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  | \$497 |
| Rent, Concluded, As Is |  |  |  | \$450 |

Our analysis suggests an "as is" rent of $\$ 450$ for the 1BR-1BA-623sf units at the subject property.

Rent Grid, RR, Unit Type 01

| Comparable | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-02 | 007-02 | 008-03 | 011-03 | 011-13 | 012-02 |
| Unit Type | 1BR-1BA-623sf | 1BR-1BA-758sf | 1BR-1BA-758sf | 1BR-1BA-721sf | 2BR-2.5BA-1000sf | 1BR-1BA-664sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1100 27th Street | 1100 27th Street | 2321 Olive Street |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31904 | 31904 | 31904 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.48543 | 32.48543 | 32.48621 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.98073 | -84.98073 | -84.97933 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 1.83 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2009 | 2010 | 2006 | 2006 | 2008 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 148 | 184 | 184 | 183 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 23 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 24 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent | \$419 | \$499 | \$499 | \$578 | \$671 | \$581 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$419 | \$499 | \$499 | \$578 | \$671 | \$581 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$22 | see write-up \$22 | see write-up \$14 | see write-up \$52 | see write-up \$11 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 1 | 1 \$0 | 1 \$0 | 1 \$0 | 2 -\$80 | 1 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 623 | 758 -\$14 | 758 -\$14 | 721 -\$10 | 1000 -\$38 | 664 -\$4 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$3 | 2.10 \$3 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.40 -\$5 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$50 | 2.20 \$50 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.70 -\$25 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.75 -\$8 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$5 | 2010 -\$5 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 | 2010 -\$5 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$530 | \$543 | \$543 | \$540 | \$563 | \$538 |

Rent Grid, RR, Unit Type 01

| Comparable | Subject | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-02 | 012-03 | 012-06 | 012-07 | 013-01 | 030-01 |
| Unit Type | 1BR-1BA-623sf | 1BR-1BA-664sf | 2BR-1.5BA-888sf | 2BR-1.5BA-888sf | 1BR-1BA-682sf | 1BR-1BA-733sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | Avalon Apartments | Eagles Trace |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 2321 Olive Street | 2321 Olive Street | 2321 Olive Street | 3737 Cusseta Road | 2001 Torch Hill Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31904 | 31904 | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.48621 | 32.48621 | 32.48621 | 32.43738 | 32.41607 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.97933 | -84.97933 | -84.97933 | -84.94019 | -84.94793 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 1.77 | 2.54 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2008 | 2008 | 2008 | 2009 | 1958 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | 2002 |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 689-7883 | (706) 689-6618 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 27-Mar-15 | 27-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 232 | 378 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 32 | 28 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 14\% | 7\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 23 | 25 | 4 | 3 | 54 | 25 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% |
| Street Rent | \$419 | \$581 | \$664 | \$664 | \$490 | \$490 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$20 |
| Net Rent | \$419 | \$581 | \$664 | \$664 | \$490 | \$470 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$11 | see write-up \$59 | see write-up \$59 | see write-up \$27 | see write-up \$35 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 1 | 1 \$0 | 2 -\$80 | 2 -\$80 | 1 \$0 | 1 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.50 \$0 | 1.50 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 623 | 664 -\$4 | 888 -\$27 | 888 -\$27 | 682 -\$6 | 733 -\$11 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 2.50 \$10 | 3.00 \$5 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 2.75 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.40 -\$5 | 3.20 -\$25 | 2.80 -\$15 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.70 -\$25 | 2.40 \$40 | 2.00 \$60 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.75 -\$8 | 4.75 -\$8 | 4.75 -\$8 | 4.75 -\$8 | 3.50 \$5 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$5 | 2010 -\$5 | 2010 -\$5 | 2012 -\$7 | 2000 \$5 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Storage | some | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | w/d hookups $\quad \$ 20$ | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$530 | \$538 | \$567 | \$567 | \$552 | \$574 |

Rent Grid, RR, Unit Type 01

| Comparable | Subject | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-02 | 095-03 |
| Unit Type | 1BR-1BA-623sf | 1BR-1BA-655sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Veranda at Ashley Station |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 2321 Olive Street |
| City | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31904 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.48640 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.97928 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 1.84 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2013 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Elderly |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 576-6831 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 30-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 63 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 2 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 3\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |
| Units | 23 | 14 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent | \$419 | \$581 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$419 | \$581 |
|  | Data | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$11 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 1 | 1 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 623 | 655 -\$3 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$3 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.40 -\$5 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 3.70 -\$25 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$5 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 |
| Elevator | no | yes -\$30 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$10 |
| Storage | some | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central $\quad \$ 20$ |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$10 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$530 | \$541 |

## Rent Conclusion, 2BR-1BA-878sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR-1BA-878sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 41 unit types found at 12 properties. We selected the 10 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 10 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\leftrightarrows} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-06 | Wilson Homes | 2BR-1BA-878sf | \$479 | \$0 | \$479 | - | \$0 | \$479 | - |
| 007-05 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$596 | \$0 | \$596 | \$150 | \$52 | \$648 | 8 |
| 008-07 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | \$596 | \$0 | \$596 | \$150 | \$52 | \$648 | 8 |
| 011-07 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2BR-1.5BA-1075sf | \$665 | \$0 | \$665 | \$134 | -\$15 | \$650 | 5 |
| 011-10 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2BR-2BA-1260sf | \$665 | \$0 | \$665 | \$153 | -\$34 | \$631 | 10 |
| 011-13 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2BR-2.5BA-1000sf | \$671 | \$0 | \$671 | \$121 | -\$14 | \$657 | 1 |
| 012-06 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2BR-1.5BA-888sf | \$664 | \$0 | \$664 | \$124 | -\$3 | \$661 | 2 |
| 012-07 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2BR-1.5BA-888sf | \$664 | \$0 | \$664 | \$124 | -\$3 | \$661 | 2 |
| 060-02 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | 2BR-2BA-920sf | \$484 | \$0 | \$484 | \$145 | \$117 | \$601 | 6 |
| 091-02 | Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-947sf | \$570 | \$0 | \$570 | \$130 | \$77 | \$647 | 4 |
| 096-01 | Victory Crossing Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1002sf | \$570 | \$0 | \$570 | \$148 | \$59 | \$629 | 7 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  |  |  | \$601 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  |  |  | \$661 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  |  |  | \$643 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  |  |  | \$644 |  |  |  |
| Rent, Concluded |  |  |  |  |  | \$630 |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 630$ for the 2BR-1BA-878sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 2BR-2.5BA-1000sf units at Ashley Station, Phase 1 (Property \# 011) are the best comparables for the 2BR-1BA-878sf units at the subject property.

Rent Conclusion, As Is
In the table below we derive our "as is" rent conclusion using the "as renovated" rent conclusion developed above:

| Adjustment | Conc Adj | As Ren | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$ } \\ \text { Adj } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | 0.00 | \$74 | \$74 | \$0 |
| AC Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heating Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Technology | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$80 | 2 | 2 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 878 | 878 | \$0 |
| Visibility | \$10 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$25 | 2.20 | 2.20 | \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$50 | 3.20 | 3.20 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.00 | 2.00 | -\$20 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2005 | 1970 | -\$35 |
| Project Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$30 | no | no | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$0 | some | some | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Laundry | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| On-Site Management | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | -\$55 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  | \$546 |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  | \$606 |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  | \$588 |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  | \$589 |
| Rent, Concluded, As Is |  |  |  | \$550 |

Our analysis suggests an "as is" rent of \$550 for the 2BR-1BA-878sf units at the subject property.

Rent Grid, RR, Unit Type 02

| Comparable | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-06 | 007-05 | 008-07 | 011-07 | 011-10 | 011-13 |
| Unit Type | 2BR-1BA-878sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | 2BR-2BA-1069sf | 2BR-1.5BA-1075sf | 2BR-2BA-1260sf | 2BR-2.5BA-1000sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1100 27th Street | 1100 27th Street | 1100 27th Street |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31904 | 31904 | 31904 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.48543 | 32.48543 | 32.48543 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.98073 | -84.98073 | -84.98073 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 1.83 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2009 | 2010 | 2006 | 2006 | 2006 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 148 | 184 | 184 | 184 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 10 | 15 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 7\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent | \$479 | \$596 | \$596 | \$665 | \$665 | \$671 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$479 | \$596 | \$596 | \$665 | \$665 | \$671 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$36 | see write-up \$36 | see write-up \$47 | see write-up \$47 | see write-up \$41 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 2 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 1.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 1.50 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 878 | 1069 -\$19 | 1069 -\$19 | 1075 -\$20 | 1260 -\$38 | 1000 -\$12 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$3 | 2.10 \$3 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.40 -\$5 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$50 | 2.20 \$50 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.70 -\$25 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$5 | 2010 -\$5 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up $\quad \$ 10$ | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$630 | \$648 | \$648 | \$650 | \$631 | \$657 |

Rent Grid, RR, Unit Type 02

| Comparable | Subject | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-06 | 012-06 | 012-07 | 060-02 | 091-02 | 096-01 |
| Unit Type | 2BR-1BA-878sf | 2BR-1.5BA-888sf | 2BR-1.5BA-888sf | 2BR-2BA-920sf | 2BR-2BA-947sf | 2BR-2BA-1002sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | Liberty Garden Townhouses | Springfield Crossing Apartments | Victory Crossing Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 2321 Olive Street | 2321 Olive Street | 675 6th Avenue | 3320 North Lumpkin Road | 3390 North Lumpkin Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31904 | 31904 | 31901 | 31093 | 30193 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.48621 | 32.48621 | 32.45857 | 32.43727 | 32.43507 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.97933 | -84.97933 | -84.98491 | -84.95365 | -84.95338 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 1.21 | 1.30 | 1.42 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2008 | 2008 | 1984 | 2001 | 2003 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | 1996 | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 323-8833 | (706) 689-7717 | (706) 689-6979 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 183 | 183 | 88 | 120 | 172 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | 4\% | 9\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 10 | 4 | 3 | 43 | 60 | 96 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 8\% |
| Street Rent | \$479 | \$664 | \$664 | \$484 | \$570 | \$570 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$479 | \$664 | \$664 | \$484 | \$570 | \$570 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$48 | see write-up \$48 | see write-up \$81 | see write-up \$36 | see write-up \$36 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 2 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 1.00 | 1.50 \$0 | 1.50 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 878 | 888 -\$1 | 888 -\$1 | 920 -\$4 | 947 -\$7 | 1002 -\$12 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$10 | 3.25 \$3 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.20 \$0 | 2.00 \$5 | 2.70 -\$13 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.10 \$5 | 2.40 \$ 40 | 2.30 \$45 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.75 -\$8 | 4.75 -\$8 | 3.00 \$10 | 3.75 \$3 | 4.00 \$0 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$5 | 2010 -\$5 | 1990 \$15 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$20 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$20 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$630 | \$661 | \$661 | \$601 | \$647 | \$629 |

## Rent Conclusion, 3BR-2BA-1064sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR-2BA-1064sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 41 unit types found at 12 properties. We selected the 10 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 10 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{2}}{\stackrel{\otimes}{\Sigma}}$ |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\overline{0}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{4} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듣 } \\ & \text { त्x } \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub-11 Wilson Homes | 3BR-2BA-1064sf | \$617 | \$0 | \$617 | - | \$0 | \$617 | - |
| 007-09 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$676 | \$0 | \$676 | \$157 | \$69 | \$745 | 2 |
| 008-12 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$676 | \$0 | \$676 | \$157 | \$69 | \$745 | 2 |
| 011-07 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2BR-1.5BA-1075sf | \$665 | \$0 | \$665 | \$178 | \$65 | \$730 | 10 |
| 011-13 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2BR-2.5BA-1000sf | \$671 | \$0 | \$671 | \$177 | \$67 | \$738 | 9 |
| 011-17 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$761 | \$0 | \$761 | \$141 | -\$6 | \$755 | 1 |
| 012-19 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 3BR-2.5BA-1512sf | \$762 | \$0 | \$762 | \$174 | -\$41 | \$721 | 7 |
| 013-03 Avalon Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1000sf | \$600 | \$0 | \$600 | \$174 | \$95 | \$695 | 8 |
| 060-04 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 3BR-2BA-1155sf | \$595 | \$0 | \$595 | \$168 | \$130 | \$725 | 6 |
| 091-05 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$647 | \$0 | \$647 | \$158 | \$73 | \$720 | 4 |
| 096-02 Victory Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1210sf | \$647 | \$0 | \$647 | \$163 | \$68 | \$715 | 5 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  |  | \$695 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  |  | \$755 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  |  | \$729 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  |  | \$730 |  |  |  |
| Rent, Concluded |  |  |  |  | \$730 |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 730$ for the 3BR-2BA-1064sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf units at Ashley Station, Phase 1 (Property \# 011) are the best comparables for the 3BR-2BA-1064sf units at the subject property.

Rent Conclusion, As Is
In the table below we derive our "as is" rent conclusion using the "as renovated" rent conclusion developed above:

| Adjustment | Conc Adj | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Ren } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$ } \\ \text { Adj } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | 0.00 | \$92 | \$92 | \$0 |
| AC Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heating Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Technology | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$80 | 3 | 3 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 1064 | 1,064 | \$0 |
| Visibility | \$10 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$25 | 2.20 | 2.20 | \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$50 | 3.20 | 3.20 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.00 | 2.00 | -\$20 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2005 | 1970 | -\$35 |
| Project Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$30 | no | no | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$0 | some | some | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Laundry | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| On-Site Management | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | -\$55 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  | \$640 |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  | \$700 |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  | \$674 |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  | \$675 |
| Rent, Concluded, As Is |  |  |  | \$650 |

Our analysis suggests an "as is" rent of \$650 for the 3BR-2BA-1064sf units at the subject property.

Rent Grid, RR, Unit Type 03

| Comparable | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-11 | 007-09 | 008-12 | 011-07 | 011-13 | 011-17 |
| Unit Type | 3BR-2BA-1064sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 2BR-1.5BA-1075sf | 2BR-2.5BA-1000sf | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1100 27th Street | 1100 27th Street | 1100 27th Street |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31904 | 31904 | 31904 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.48543 | 32.48543 | 32.48543 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.98073 | -84.98073 | -84.98073 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 1.83 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2009 | 2010 | 2006 | 2006 | 2006 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 148 | 184 | 184 | 184 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 6 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent | \$617 | \$676 | \$676 | \$665 | \$671 | \$761 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$617 | \$676 | \$676 | \$665 | \$671 | \$761 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$48 | see write-up \$48 | see write-up \$29 | see write-up \$23 | see write-up \$55 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 3 | 3 \$0 | 3 \$0 | 2 \$80 | 2 \$80 | 3 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 1.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 1064 | 1206 -\$14 | 1206 -\$14 | 1075 -\$1 | 1000 \$6 | 1250 -\$19 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$3 | 2.10 \$3 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.40 -\$5 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$50 | 2.20 \$50 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.70 -\$25 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$5 | 2010 -\$5 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$730 | \$745 | \$745 | \$730 | \$738 | \$755 |

Rent Grid, RR, Unit Type 03

| Comparable | Subject | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-11 | 012-19 | 013-03 | 060-04 | 091-05 | 096-02 |
| Unit Type | 3BR-2BA-1064sf | 3BR-2.5BA-1512sf | 3BR-2BA-1000sf | 3BR-2BA-1155sf | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | 3BR-2BA-1210sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | Avalon Apartments | Liberty Garden Townhouses | Springfield Crossing Apartments | Victory Crossing Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 2321 Olive Street | 3737 Cusseta Road | 675 6th Avenue | 3320 North Lumpkin Road | 3390 North Lumpkin Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31904 | 31903 | 31901 | 31093 | 30193 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.48621 | 32.43738 | 32.45857 | 32.43727 | 32.43507 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.97933 | -84.94019 | -84.98491 | -84.95365 | -84.95338 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 1.83 | 1.77 | 1.21 | 1.30 | 1.42 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2008 | 2009 | 1984 | 2001 | 2003 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | 1996 | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 689-7883 | (706) 323-8833 | (706) 689-7717 | (706) 689-6979 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 27-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 183 | 232 | 88 | 120 | 172 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 7 | 32 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 14\% | 0\% | 4\% | 9\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 6 | 3 | 82 | 8 | 30 | 76 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 33\% | 32\% | 0\% | 3\% | 9\% |
| Street Rent | \$617 | \$762 | \$600 | \$595 | \$647 | \$647 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$617 | \$762 | \$600 | \$595 | \$647 | \$647 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$54 | see write-up \$48 | see write-up \$99 | see write-up \$48 | see write-up \$48 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 3 | 3 \$0 | 3 \$0 | 3 \$0 | 3 \$0 | 3 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 1064 | 1512 -\$45 | 1000 \$6 | 1155 -\$9 | 1290 -\$23 | 1210 -\$15 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$3 | 2.50 \$10 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$10 | 3.25 \$3 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 \$0 | 2.75 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.40 -\$5 | 3.20 -\$25 | 2.20 \$0 | 2.00 \$5 | 2.70 -\$13 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 3.70 -\$25 | 2.40 \$40 | 3.10 \$5 | 2.40 \$40 | 2.30 \$45 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.75 -\$8 | 4.75 -\$8 | 3.00 \$10 | 3.75 \$3 | 4.00 \$0 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$5 | 2012 -\$7 | 1990 \$15 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | yes \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | w/d hookups \$20 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up $\quad \$ 10$ | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$20 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$20 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$730 | \$721 | \$695 | \$725 | \$720 | \$715 |

## Rent Conclusion, 4BR-2BA-1171sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 4BR-2BA-1171sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 41 unit types found at 12 properties. We selected the 10 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 10 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\otimes}{2} \\ & \stackrel{N}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Y ¢ ¢ |
| Sub-14 Wilson Homes | 4BR-2BA-1171sf | \$691 | \$0 | \$691 | - | \$0 | \$691 | - |
| 007-09 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$676 | \$0 | \$676 | \$205 | \$138 | \$814 | 3 |
| 008-12 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | \$676 | \$0 | \$676 | \$205 | \$138 | \$814 | 3 |
| 011-17 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | \$761 | \$0 | \$761 | \$188 | \$63 | \$824 | 1 |
| 012-19 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 3BR-2.5BA-1512sf | \$762 | \$0 | \$762 | \$221 | \$28 | \$790 | 9 |
| 013-03 Avalon Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1000sf | \$600 | \$0 | \$600 | \$243 | \$164 | \$764 | 10 |
| 013-04 Avalon Apartments | 4BR-2BA-1280sf | \$700 | \$0 | \$700 | \$188 | \$88 | \$788 | 2 |
| 030-06 Eagles Trace | 4BR-1BA-1450sf | \$714 | \$30 | \$684 | \$220 | \$134 | \$818 | 8 |
| 060-04 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 3BR-2BA-1155sf | \$595 | \$0 | \$595 | \$219 | \$199 | \$794 | 7 |
| 091-05 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$647 | \$0 | \$647 | \$205 | \$142 | \$789 | 5 |
| 096-02 Victory Crossing Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1210sf | \$647 | \$0 | \$647 | \$210 | \$137 | \$784 | 6 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  |  | \$764 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  |  | \$824 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  |  | \$798 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  |  | \$799 |  |  |  |
| Rent, Concluded |  |  |  |  | \$830 |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 830$ for the 4BR-2BA-1171sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf units at Ashley Station, Phase 1 (Property \# 011) are the best comparables for the 4BR-2BA-1171sf units at the subject property.

Rent Conclusion, As Is
In the table below we derive our "as is" rent conclusion using the "as renovated" rent conclusion developed above:

| Adjustment | Conc Adj | As Ren | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$ } \\ \text { Adj } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | 0.00 | \$114 | \$114 | \$0 |
| AC Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heating Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Technology | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$80 | 4 | 4 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 1171 | 1,171 | \$0 |
| Visibility | \$10 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$25 | 2.20 | 2.20 | \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$50 | 3.20 | 3.20 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.00 | 2.00 | -\$20 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2005 | 1970 | -\$35 |
| Project Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$30 | no | no | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$0 | some | some | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Laundry | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| On-Site Management | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | -\$55 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  | \$709 |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  | \$769 |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  | \$743 |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  | \$744 |
| Rent, Concluded, As Is |  |  |  | \$750 |

Our analysis suggests an "as is" rent of \$750 for the 4BR-2BA-1171sf units at the subject property.

Rent Grid, RR, Unit Type 04

| Comparable | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-14 | 007-09 | 008-12 | 011-17 | 012-19 | 013-03 |
| Unit Type | 4BR-2BA-1171sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | 3BR-2.5BA-1512sf | 3BR-2BA-1000sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | Avalon Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1100 27th Street | 2321 Olive Street | 3737 Cusseta Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31904 | 31904 | 31903 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.48543 | 32.48621 | 32.43738 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.98073 | -84.97933 | -84.94019 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 1.77 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2009 | 2010 | 2006 | 2008 | 2009 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 689-7883 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 27-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 148 | 184 | 183 | 232 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 32 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 14\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 7 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 82 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 32\% |
| Street Rent | \$691 | \$676 | \$676 | \$761 | \$762 | \$600 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$691 | \$676 | \$676 | \$761 | \$762 | \$600 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$26 | see write-up \$26 | see write-up \$33 | see write-up \$32 | see write-up \$26 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 4 | 3 \$80 | 3 \$80 | 3 \$80 | 3 \$80 | 3 \$80 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 1171 | 1206 -\$4 | 1206 -\$4 | 1250 -\$8 | 1512 -\$34 | 1000 \$17 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 2.50 \$10 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 2.75 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$3 | 2.10 \$3 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.40 -\$5 | 3.20 -\$25 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$50 | 2.20 \$50 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.70 -\$25 | 2.40 \$40 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.75 -\$8 | 4.75 -\$8 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$5 | 2010 -\$5 | 2005 \$0 | 2010 -\$5 | 2012 -\$7 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up \$0 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | w/d hookups \$20 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$830 | \$814 | \$814 | \$824 | \$790 | \$764 |

Rent Grid, RR, Unit Type 04

| Comparable | Subject | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-14 | 013-04 | 030-06 | 060-04 | 091-05 | 096-02 |
| Unit Type | 4BR-2BA-1171sf | 4BR-2BA-1280sf | 4BR-1BA-1450sf | 3BR-2BA-1155sf | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | 3BR-2BA-1210sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Avalon Apartments | Eagles Trace | Liberty Garden Townhouses | Springfield Crossing Apartments | Victory Crossing Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 3737 Cusseta Road | 2001 Torch Hill Road | 675 6th Avenue | 3320 North Lumpkin Road | 3390 North Lumpkin Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31901 | 31093 | 30193 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.43738 | 32.41607 | 32.45857 | 32.43727 | 32.43507 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94019 | -84.94793 | -84.98491 | -84.95365 | -84.95338 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 1.77 | 2.54 | 1.21 | 1.30 | 1.42 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2009 | 1958 | 1984 | 2001 | 2003 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | 2002 | 1996 | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 689-7883 | (706) 689-6618 | (706) 323-8833 | (706) 689-7717 | (706) 689-6979 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 27-Mar-15 | 27-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 232 | 378 | 88 | 120 | 172 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 32 | 28 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 14\% | 7\% | 0\% | 4\% | 9\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 7 | 36 | 25 | 8 | 30 | 76 |
| Vacant Units | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Vacancy Rate | 0\% | 17\% | 8\% | 0\% | 3\% | 9\% |
| Street Rent | \$691 | \$700 | \$714 | \$595 | \$647 | \$647 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$30 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$691 | \$700 | \$684 | \$595 | \$647 | \$647 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$58 | see write-up \$82 | see write-up \$77 | see write-up \$26 | see write-up \$26 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 4 | 4 \$0 | 4 \$0 | 3 \$80 | 3 \$80 | 3 \$80 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 1171 | 1280 -\$11 | 1450 -\$28 | 1155 \$2 | 1290 -\$12 | 1210 -\$4 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 2.50 \$10 | 3.00 \$5 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$ 10 | 3.25 \$3 |
| Access | 2.00 | 2.75 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 3.20 -\$25 | 2.80 -\$15 | 2.20 \$0 | 2.00 \$5 | 2.70 -\$13 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.40 \$40 | 2.00 \$60 | 3.10 \$5 | 2.40 \$40 | 2.30 \$45 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.75 -\$8 | 3.50 \$5 | 3.00 \$10 | 3.75 \$3 | 4.00 \$0 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2012 -\$7 | 2000 \$5 | 1990 \$15 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up -\$10 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | w/d hookups \$20 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$20 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$20 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$830 | \$788 | \$818 | \$794 | \$789 | \$784 |

## Rent Conclusion, 4BR-2BA-1438sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 4BR-2BA-1438sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 41 unit types found at 12 properties. We selected the 10 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 10 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.


Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 840$ for the 4BR-2BA-1438sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 4BR-1BA-1450sf units at Eagles Trace (Property \# 030) are the best comparables for the 4BR-2BA1438sf units at the subject property.

Rent Conclusion, As Is
In the table below we derive our "as is" rent conclusion using the "as renovated" rent conclusion developed above:

| Adjustment | Conc Adj | As Ren | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & \text { Is } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$ } \\ \text { Adj } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | 0.00 | \$133 | \$133 | \$0 |
| AC Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heating Systems | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Technology | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$80 | 4 | 4 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 1438 | 1,438 | \$0 |
| Visibility | \$10 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.00 | 2.00 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$25 | 2.20 | 2.20 | \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$50 | 3.20 | 3.20 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.00 | 2.00 | -\$20 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2005 | 1970 | -\$35 |
| Project Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$30 | no | no | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$0 | some | some | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Laundry | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| On-Site Management | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | \$0 | yes | yes | \$0 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | -\$55 |
| Adjusted Rent, Minimum |  |  |  | \$737 |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum |  |  |  | \$776 |
| Adjusted Rent, Average |  |  |  | \$755 |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average |  |  |  | \$755 |
| Rent, Concluded, As Is |  |  |  | \$760 |

Our analysis suggests an "as is" rent of \$760 for the 4BR-2BA-1438sf units at the subject property.

Rent Grid, RR, Unit Type 05

| Comparable | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-15 | 007-09 | 008-12 | 011-17 | 012-19 | 013-04 |
| Unit Type | 4BR-2BA-1438sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 3BR-2BA-1206sf | 3BR-2.5BA-1250sf | 3BR-2.5BA-1512sf | 4BR-2BA-1280sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | Ashley Station, Phase 1 | Ashley Station, Phase 2 | Avalon Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 1440 Benning Drive | 1331 Fort Benning Road | 1100 27th Street | 2321 Olive Street | 3737 Cusseta Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31904 | 31904 | 31903 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.42712 | 32.42926 | 32.48543 | 32.48621 | 32.43738 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94444 | -84.94021 | -84.98073 | -84.97933 | -84.94019 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 1.77 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 2009 | 2010 | 2006 | 2008 | 2009 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | na | na | na | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 685-0777 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 576-6831 | (706) 689-7883 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 31-Mar-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 06-Apr-15 | 27-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 148 | 148 | 184 | 183 | 232 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 32 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 14\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 4 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 36 |
| Vacant Units | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| Vacancy Rate | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 17\% |
| Street Rent | \$794 | \$676 | \$676 | \$761 | \$762 | \$700 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$794 | \$676 | \$676 | \$761 | \$762 | \$700 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$7 | see write-up \$7 | see write-up \$14 | see write-up \$13 | see write-up \$39 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 4 | 3 \$80 | 3 \$80 | 3 \$80 | 3 \$80 | 4 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 1438 | 1206 \$23 | 1206 \$23 | 1250 \$19 | 1512 -\$7 | 1280 \$16 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 3.25 \$3 | 2.50 \$10 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 | 2.75 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.10 \$3 | 2.10 \$3 | 2.40 -\$5 | 2.40 -\$5 | 3.20 -\$25 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.20 \$50 | 2.20 \$50 | 3.70 -\$25 | 3.70 -\$25 | 2.40 \$40 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.50 -\$5 | 4.75 -\$8 | 4.75 -\$8 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2010 -\$5 | 2010 -\$5 | 2005 \$0 | 2010 -\$5 | 2012 -\$7 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up \$0 |
| Storage | some | some \$0 | some \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | w/d hookups \$20 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$840 | \$821 | \$821 | \$831 | \$798 | \$795 |

Rent Grid, RR, Unit Type 05

| Comparable | Subject | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key | Sub-15 | 030-04 | 030-05 | 030-06 | 091-05 | 096-02 |
| Unit Type | 4BR-2BA-1438sf | 3BR-1BA-1350sf | 3BR-2BA-1350sf | 4BR-1BA-1450sf | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | 3BR-2BA-1210sf |
| Property Name | Wilson Homes | Eagles Trace | Eagles Trace | Eagles Trace | Springfield Crossing Apartments | Victory Crossing Apartments |
| Address | 3400 8th Avenue | 2001 Torch Hill Road | 2001 Torch Hill Road | 2001 Torch Hill Road | 3320 North Lumpkin Road | 3390 North Lumpkin Road |
| City | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus | Columbus |
| State | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 | 31903 | 31903 | 31903 | 31093 | 30193 |
| Latitude | 32.49558 | 32.41607 | 32.41607 | 32.41607 | 32.43727 | 32.43507 |
| Longitude | -84.98271 | -84.94793 | -84.94793 | -84.94793 | -84.95365 | -84.95338 |
| Miles to Subject | 0.00 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 1.30 | 1.42 |
| Year Built | 1952 | 1958 | 1958 | 1958 | 2001 | 2003 |
| Year Rehab | 2015 | 2002 | 2002 | 2002 | na | na |
| Project Rent | Subsidized | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone | (706) 571-2940 | (706) 689-6618 | (706) 689-6618 | (706) 689-6618 | (706) 689-7717 | (706) 689-6979 |
| Effective Date | 30-Jul-15 | 27-Mar-15 | 27-Mar-15 | 27-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 | 30-Mar-15 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 288 | 378 | 378 | 378 | 120 | 172 |
| Vacant Units | 3 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 5 | 15 |
| Vacancy Rate | 1\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 4\% | 9\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 4 | 22 | 30 | 25 | 30 | 76 |
| Vacant Units | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| Vacancy Rate | 25\% | 9\% | 7\% | 8\% | 3\% | 9\% |
| Street Rent | \$794 | \$634 | \$660 | \$714 | \$647 | \$647 |
| Concessions | \$0 | \$26 | \$28 | \$30 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent | \$794 | \$608 | \$632 | \$684 | \$647 | \$647 |
|  | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Utilities | see write-up | see write-up \$23 | see write-up \$23 | see write-up \$63 | see write-up \$7 | see write-up \$7 |
| AC Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Heating Systems | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Technology | no cable \| no internet | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 | no cable \| no internet \$0 |
| Bedrooms | 4 | 3 \$80 | 3 \$80 | 4 \$0 | $3 \quad \$ 80$ | 3 \$80 |
| Bathrooms | 2.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | 1438 | 1350 \$9 | 1350 \$9 | 1450 -\$1 | 1290 \$15 | 1210 \$23 |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.00 \$5 | 3.00 \$5 | 3.00 \$5 | 2.50 \$10 | 3.25 \$3 |
| Access | 2.00 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.25 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.80 -\$15 | 2.80 -\$15 | 2.80 -\$15 | 2.00 \$5 | 2.70 -\$13 |
| Area Amenities | 3.20 | 2.00 \$60 | 2.00 \$60 | 2.00 \$60 | 2.40 \$40 | 2.30 \$45 |
| Condition | 4.00 | 3.50 \$5 | 3.50 \$5 | 3.50 \$5 | 3.75 \$3 | 4.00 \$0 |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2000 \$5 | 2000 \$5 | 2000 \$5 | 2005 \$0 | 2005 \$0 |
| Project Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Elevator | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Unit Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$10 | see write-up $\quad \$ 10$ | see write-up \$10 | see write-up -\$20 | see write-up -\$20 |
| Storage | some | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Parking | open | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 | open \$0 |
| Laundry | central | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 | central \$0 |
| Security | see write-up | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$10 | see write-up \$20 |
| On-Site Management | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| On-Site Maintenance | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Services | see write-up | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 | see write-up \$0 |
| Indicated Rent | \$840 | \$800 | \$824 | \$826 | \$796 | \$792 |

## Restricted Market Rent Conclusion

Based on our evaluation of the rents at the select comparable properties, and considering the location, quality and amenities of the subject property, we conclude the following market rents for the subject property units, assuming that the subject were a restricted property:

| Restricted Market Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Market |
| 1BR-1BA-544sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$530 |
| 1BR-1BA-623sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$530 |
| 1BR-1BA-579sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$530 |
| 1BR-1BA-576sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 3 | \$530 |
| 2BR-1BA-733sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 71 | \$630 |
| 2BR-1BA-878sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 10 | \$630 |
| 2BR-1BA-713sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$630 |
| 2BR-1BA-748sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$630 |
| 2BR-1BA-744sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 53 | \$630 |
| 3BR-2BA-1074sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 62 | \$730 |
| 3BR-2BA-1064sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$730 |
| 4BR-2BA-1167sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$830 |
| 4BR-2BA-1197sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$830 |
| 4BR-2BA-1171sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$830 |
| 4BR-2BA-1438sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 4 | \$840 |
| Total / Average |  |  | 288 | \$652 |

Our analysis suggests an average restricted market rent of $\$ 652$ for the subject property.
We selected a total of 12 properties as comparables for purposes of this analysis. The average occupancy at the select rent comparables currently stands at 94 percent.

The occupancy rate of the selected rent compatrables is broken out in the tables below:

| Occupancy Rate, Select Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | 30\% of AMI | 40\% of AMI | 50\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom | 99\% |  |  |  |  | 98\% |  | 97\% |
| 2-Bedroom | 96\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 96\% |  | 92\% |
| 3-Bedroom | 96\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 90\% |  | 92\% |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  | 87\% |  |  |
| Total | 96\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 94\% |  | 93\% |

Occupancy rates for all stabilized market area properties are broken out below:

| Occupancy Rate, Stabilized Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | 30\% of AMI | 40\% of AMI | 50\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| 0-Bedroom | 97\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96\% |
| 1-Bedroom | 99\% |  |  |  |  | 96\% |  | 95\% |
| 2-Bedroom | 98\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 96\% |  | 94\% |
| 3-Bedroom | 98\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 90\% |  | 97\% |
| 4-Bedroom | 99\% |  |  |  |  | 87\% |  | 100\% |
| Total | 98\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 94\% |  | 94\% |

## Achievable Rent Conclusion

The next step in our analysis is to develop an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property. Achievable rents represent the absolute highest rent permissible for the area, considering market rents, program rent limits, and any other applicable rent restrictions on the subject property.

Our analysis begins by establishing the applicable program rent limits for the subject property. Program rent limits include any applicable LIHTC and FMR rent limits. LIHTC rent limits typically apply to units benefitting from tax credit and/or bond financing. The LIHTC rent limits for applicable units at the subject property follow:

| LIHTC Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | Home | Subsidized | Units | Gross Rent | Utilities | Net Rent |
| 1BR-1BA-544sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$607 | \$63 | \$544 |
| 1BR-1BA-623sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$607 | \$63 | \$544 |
| 1BR-1BA-579sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$607 | \$63 | \$544 |
| 1BR-1BA-576sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 3 | \$607 | \$63 | \$544 |
| 2BR-1BA-733sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 71 | \$729 | \$74 | \$655 |
| 2BR-1BA-878sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 10 | \$729 | \$74 | \$655 |
| 2BR-1BA-713sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$729 | \$74 | \$655 |
| 2BR-1BA-748sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$729 | \$74 | \$655 |
| 2BR-1BA-744sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 53 | \$729 | \$74 | \$655 |
| 3BR-2BA-1074sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 62 | \$843 | \$92 | \$751 |
| 3BR-2BA-1064sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$843 | \$92 | \$751 |
| 4BR-2BA-1167sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$940 | \$114 | \$826 |
| 4BR-2BA-1197sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$940 | \$114 | \$826 |
| 4BR-2BA-1171sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$940 | \$114 | \$826 |
| 4BR-2BA-1438sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 4 | \$940 | \$133 | \$807 |
| Total / Average |  |  | 288 | \$751 | \$80 | \$671 |

Our analysis suggests an average net LIHTC rent limit of $\$ 671$ for 288 applicable units at the subject property.

FMR rent limits typically apply to units benefitting from HOME funds. The FMR rent limits for applicable units at the subject property follow:

| FMR Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Gross Rent | Utilities | Net Rent |
| 1BR-1BA-544sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-623sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-579sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-576sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-733sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-878sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-713sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-748sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-744sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2BA-1074sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2BA-1064sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 4BR-2BA-1167sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 4BR-2BA-1197sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 4BR-2BA-1171sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 4BR-2BA-1438sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |

Total / Average

HOME funding is not proposed for the subject property.
Units benefitting exclusively from tax credits and/or bond financing are subject to LIHTC rent limits. Units benefitting
from HOME funds in addition to tax credit and/or bond financing are subject to the lesser of LIHTC rent limits or FMR rent limits. Units benefitting from project-based rental assistance are normally limited to unrestricted market rent. With these parameters in mind, the following table sets forth the concluded program rent limits for applicable units at the subject property:

| Program Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | LIHTC | FMR | Market | Program |
| 1BR-1BA-544sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$544 | - | \$530 | \$530 |
| 1BR-1BA-623sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$544 | - | \$530 | \$530 |
| 1BR-1BA-579sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$544 | - | \$530 | \$530 |
| 1BR-1BA-576sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 3 | \$544 | - | \$530 | \$530 |
| 2BR-1BA-733sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 71 | \$655 | - | \$630 | \$630 |
| 2BR-1BA-878sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 10 | \$655 | - | \$630 | \$630 |
| 2BR-1BA-713sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$655 | - | \$630 | \$630 |
| 2BR-1BA-748sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$655 | - | \$630 | \$630 |
| 2BR-1BA-744sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 53 | \$655 | - | \$630 | \$630 |
| 3BR-2BA-1074sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 62 | \$751 | - | \$730 | \$730 |
| 3BR-2BA-1064sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$751 | - | \$730 | \$730 |
| 4BR-2BA-1167sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$826 | - | \$830 | \$830 |
| 4BR-2BA-1197sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$826 | - | \$830 | \$830 |
| 4BR-2BA-1171sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$826 | - | \$830 | \$830 |
| 4BR-2BA-1438sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 4 | \$807 | $-$ | \$840 | \$840 |
| Total / Average |  |  | 288 | \$671 | - | \$652 | \$652 |

Our analysis suggests an average program rent limit of $\$ 652$ for 288 applicable units at the subject property.
Now that we have established program rent limits, we are in a position to develop an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property. Achievable rents represent the absolute highest rent permissible for the area, considering unrestricted and restricted market rents, program rent limits, and any other applicable rent restrictions on the subject property. The following table summarizes our findings:

| Achievable Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Program | Unrestricted | Restricted | Achievable | Proposed | Advantage |
| 1BR-1BA-544sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 1BR-1BA-623sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 1BR-1BA-579sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 1BR-1BA-576sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 3 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 2BR-1BA-733sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 71 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-878sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 10 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-713sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-748sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-744sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 53 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1074sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 62 | \$730 | \$730 | \$730 | \$730 | \$617 | 15.5\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1064sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$730 | \$730 | \$730 | \$730 | \$617 | 15.5\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1167sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$830 | \$830 | \$830 | \$830 | \$691 | 16.7\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1197sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$830 | \$830 | \$830 | \$830 | \$691 | 16.7\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1171sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$830 | \$830 | \$830 | \$830 | \$691 | 16.7\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1438sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 4 | \$840 | \$840 | \$840 | \$840 | \$794 | 5.5\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 288 | \$652 | \$652 | \$652 | \$652 | \$519 | 20.4\% |

Our analysis suggests an average achievable rent of $\$ 652$ for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of $\$ 519$, yielding an achievable rent advantage of 20.4 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below achievable rents for the area.

Finally, assuming no rent subsidies, we arrive at the following achievable rents for units at this property:

Achievable Rents, No Rent Subsidies

| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Program | Unrestricted | Restricted | Achievable | Proposed | Advantage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1BR-1BA-544sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 23 | \$544 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 1BR-1BA-623sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 23 | \$544 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 1BR-1BA-579sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$544 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 1BR-1BA-576sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$544 | \$530 | \$530 | \$530 | \$419 | 20.9\% |
| 2BR-1BA-733sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 71 | \$655 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-878sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 10 | \$655 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-713sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$655 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-748sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$655 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-744sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 53 | \$655 | \$630 | \$630 | \$630 | \$479 | 24.0\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1074sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 62 | \$751 | \$730 | \$730 | \$730 | \$617 | 15.5\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1064sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$751 | \$730 | \$730 | \$730 | \$617 | 15.5\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1167sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$826 | \$830 | \$830 | \$826 | \$691 | 16.3\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1197sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 6 | \$826 | \$830 | \$830 | \$826 | \$691 | 16.3\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1171sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 7 | \$826 | \$830 | \$830 | \$826 | \$691 | 16.3\% |
| 4BR-2BA-1438sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$807 | \$840 | \$840 | \$807 | \$794 | 1.6\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 288 | \$671 | \$652 | \$652 | \$651 | \$519 | 20.3\% |

## DEMAND ANALYSIS

## Overview

In this section we evaluate demand for the subject property using the recommended demand methodology promulgated by the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA). For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as the number of income-qualified renter households (by household size and unit type) that would qualify to live at the subject property at the lesser of the developer's proposed rents or achievable rents.

Our analysis begins by developing a breakdown of the number of renter households, by income, by size as of the date of market entry for this development. This breakdown, which utilizes demographic data presented earlier in this report, is presented below:

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| $2015 ~ \$ ~$ |  |  | Renter Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min |  | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 3,189 | 885 | 577 | 429 | 171 | 66 | 43 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 5,220 | 1,915 | 1,314 | 885 | 338 | 130 | 86 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 6,788 | 2,799 | 1,999 | 1,284 | 537 | 207 | 137 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,644 | 3,606 | 2,553 | 1,735 | 779 | 300 | 198 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,028 | 4,094 | 2,956 | 2,156 | 1,070 | 412 | 272 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,201 | 4,436 | 3,207 | 2,443 | 1,202 | 463 | 306 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 8,470 | 4,646 | 3,428 | 2,587 | 1,266 | 487 | 322 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 8,620 | 4,770 | 3,555 | 2,674 | 1,305 | 502 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 9,043 | 5,119 | 3,913 | 2,921 | 1,415 | 545 | 360 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census, Claritas, ESRI; Allen \& Associates

Our analysis includes an estimate of demand along with capture rate and penetration rate estimates. Capture rates were computed two ways: (1) On a gross basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand) and (2) On a net basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand minus competing \& pipeline units). Penetration rates are defined as the number of proposed units plus competing \& pipeline units divided by incomequalified demand. In the following pages we provide detailed listings of competing \& pipeline units in the market area broken by unit type.

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 1-Bedroom Units


Competing \& Pipeline Units, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 11th Street Loft | 1915 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 0022000 Wynnton Apartments | 1970 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 003 24th Street Project | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0044411 First Avenue Apartments | na | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 007 Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 54 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 18 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 008 Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 45 |  |  |  |  | 25 |  | 18 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 010 Armour Landing Apartments | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Ashley Station, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 30 |  |  |  |  | 35 |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 012 Ashley Station, Phase 2 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 27 |  |  |  |  | 22 |  | 26 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 013 Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 017 Booker T Washington, Phase 2 | 2014 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 021 Club Hill Apartments, Phases 1 \& 2 | 1971 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 022 Clubview Court Apartments | 1987 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 E.J. Knight Gardens | 1980 | 2010 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 Eagles Trace | 1958 | 2002 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 276 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |
| 039 Gardenbrook Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 041 Greystone at Waterford | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Hannah Heights | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 043 Hardaway Square Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 044 Hardaway Townhouses | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 Heritage Apartments | 1965 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 046 Heritage Place Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 Hillcrest Apartments | 1940 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 049 Holly Hills Apartments | 1974 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 053 Johnston Mill Lofts | 1890 | 2001 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 71 |  | 155 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 12 |
| 055 Kabar Apartments | 1975 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 057 Kopak Apartments | 1991 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 Lecraw On 13Th | 1994 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 060 Liberty Garden Townhouses | 1984 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 29 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 063 Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 126 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 Martha's Vineyard | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 069 Midtown Square | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 070 Northwood Apartments | 1976 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071 Overlook Club | 1985 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 072 Overlook Crossing | 1975 | 1984 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 073 Parkway Place Apartments | 1987 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 076 Peacock Woods Apartments | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Peacock Woods Apartments 2 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 Pear Tree Place Apartments | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 082 Renaissance Villa | 1981 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 085 Rose Hill Apartments | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 088 Sherwood Arms | 1974 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 090 South Park | 1961 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 091 Springfield Crossing Apartments | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 4 | 60 |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |
| 094 Trace Townhomes | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Victory Crossing Apartments | 2003 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| 104 Winchester Apartments | 1990 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 Midtown Tower | 1975 | 2000 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 111 EE Farley Homes | 1958 | 2012 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 Chase Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 114 Elizabeth Canty Homes | 1952 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 116 Warren Williams Homes | 1975 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 Wilson Homes | 1952 | 2010 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 779 |  |  |  | 33 | 915 |  | 1,507 | 66 |  |  |  |  | 40 |  | 103 |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 3-Bedroom Units


Source: Allen \& Associates

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 4-Bedroom Units


Source: Allen \& Associates

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 51 units, 13 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 51 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 13 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 63$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 63$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 180$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,160$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 3,189 | 885 | 577 | 429 | 171 | 66 | 43 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 5,220 | 1,915 | 1,314 | 885 | 338 | 130 | 86 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 6,788 | 2,799 | 1,999 | 1,284 | 537 | 207 | 137 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,644 | 3,606 | 2,553 | 1,735 | 779 | 300 | 198 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,028 | 4,094 | 2,956 | 2,156 | 1,070 | 412 | 272 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,201 | 4,436 | 3,207 | 2,443 | 1,202 | 463 | 306 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 8,470 | 4,646 | 3,428 | 2,587 | 1,266 | 487 | 322 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 8,620 | 4,770 | 3,555 | 2,674 | 1,305 | 502 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 9,043 | 5,119 | 3,913 | 2,921 | 1,415 | 545 | 360 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 22,680$ | $\$ 25,920$ | $\$ 29,160$ | $\$ 32,400$ | $\$ 35,040$ | $\$ 37,620$ | $\$ 40,200$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 5,612 | 2,401 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 638 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,974 | 2,224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 7,198

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 7,198 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI
In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 146 units, 37 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 146 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 37 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 74$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 74$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 211$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,537$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 3,189 | 885 | 577 | 429 | 171 | 66 | 43 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 5,220 | 1,915 | 1,314 | 885 | 338 | 130 | 86 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 6,788 | 2,799 | 1,999 | 1,284 | 537 | 207 | 137 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,644 | 3,606 | 2,553 | 1,735 | 779 | 300 | 198 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,028 | 4,094 | 2,956 | 2,156 | 1,070 | 412 | 272 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,201 | 4,436 | 3,207 | 2,443 | 1,202 | 463 | 306 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 8,470 | 4,646 | 3,428 | 2,587 | 1,266 | 487 | 322 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 8,620 | 4,770 | 3,555 | 2,674 | 1,305 | 502 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 9,043 | 5,119 | 3,913 | 2,921 | 1,415 | 545 | 360 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 22,680$ | $\$ 25,920$ | $\$ 29,160$ | $\$ 32,400$ | $\$ 35,040$ | $\$ 37,620$ | $\$ 40,200$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 5,612 | 2,401 | 1,930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 797 | 221 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,815 | 2,180 | 1,786 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

> Demand Estimate 8,781

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 8,781 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 68 units, 17 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 68 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 17 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 92$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 92$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 263$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 3,154$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 3,189 | 885 | 577 | 429 | 171 | 66 | 43 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 5,220 | 1,915 | 1,314 | 885 | 338 | 130 | 86 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 6,788 | 2,799 | 1,999 | 1,284 | 537 | 207 | 137 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,644 | 3,606 | 2,553 | 1,735 | 779 | 300 | 198 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,028 | 4,094 | 2,956 | 2,156 | 1,070 | 412 | 272 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,201 | 4,436 | 3,207 | 2,443 | 1,202 | 463 | 306 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 8,470 | 4,646 | 3,428 | 2,587 | 1,266 | 487 | 322 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 8,620 | 4,770 | 3,555 | 2,674 | 1,305 | 502 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 9,043 | 5,119 | 3,913 | 2,921 | 1,415 | 545 | 360 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 22,680$ | $\$ 25,920$ | $\$ 29,160$ | $\$ 32,400$ | $\$ 35,040$ | $\$ 37,620$ | $\$ 40,200$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 5,612 | 2,401 | 1,930 | 1,374 | 658 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 957 | 266 | 173 | 129 | 51 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,655 | 2,136 | 1,757 | 1,246 | 607 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 10,400

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 10,400 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 4-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 4-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 23 units, 6 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 4-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 23 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 6 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 114$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 114$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 326$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 3,909$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 3,189 | 885 | 577 | 429 | 171 | 66 | 43 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 5,220 | 1,915 | 1,314 | 885 | 338 | 130 | 86 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 6,788 | 2,799 | 1,999 | 1,284 | 537 | 207 | 137 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,644 | 3,606 | 2,553 | 1,735 | 779 | 300 | 198 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,028 | 4,094 | 2,956 | 2,156 | 1,070 | 412 | 272 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,201 | 4,436 | 3,207 | 2,443 | 1,202 | 463 | 306 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 8,470 | 4,646 | 3,428 | 2,587 | 1,266 | 487 | 322 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 8,620 | 4,770 | 3,555 | 2,674 | 1,305 | 502 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 9,043 | 5,119 | 3,913 | 2,921 | 1,415 | 545 | 360 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 22,680$ | $\$ 25,920$ | $\$ 29,160$ | $\$ 32,400$ | $\$ 35,040$ | $\$ 37,620$ | $\$ 40,200$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 5,612 | 2,401 | 1,930 | 1,374 | 658 | 276 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 1,116 | 310 | 202 | 150 | 60 | 23 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,496 | 2,091 | 1,728 | 1,224 | 598 | 253 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 10,391

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 10,391 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Subsidized

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the subsidized units at the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 3,189 | 885 | 577 | 429 | 171 | 66 | 43 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 5,220 | 1,915 | 1,314 | 885 | 338 | 130 | 86 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 6,788 | 2,799 | 1,999 | 1,284 | 537 | 207 | 137 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,644 | 3,606 | 2,553 | 1,735 | 779 | 300 | 198 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,028 | 4,094 | 2,956 | 2,156 | 1,070 | 412 | 272 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,201 | 4,436 | 3,207 | 2,443 | 1,202 | 463 | 306 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 8,470 | 4,646 | 3,428 | 2,587 | 1,266 | 487 | 322 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 8,620 | 4,770 | 3,555 | 2,674 | 1,305 | 502 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 9,043 | 5,119 | 3,913 | 2,921 | 1,415 | 545 | 360 |

Demand Estimate, Subsidized

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Income, OBR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 1BR | \$22,680 | \$25,920 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 2BR | \$22,680 | \$25,920 | \$29,160 | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 3BR | \$22,680 | \$25,920 | \$29,160 | \$32,400 | \$35,040 | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 4BR | \$22,680 | \$25,920 | \$29,160 | \$32,400 | \$35,040 | \$37,620 | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income | \$22,680 | \$25,920 | \$29,160 | \$32,400 | \$35,040 | \$37,620 | - |
| Minimum Income, OBR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 1BR | \$2,160 | \$2,160 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 2BR | \$2,537 | \$2,537 | \$2,537 | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 3BR | \$3,154 | \$3,154 | \$3,154 | \$3,154 | \$3,154 | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 4BR | \$3,909 | \$3,909 | \$3,909 | \$3,909 | \$3,909 | \$3,909 | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income | \$2,160 | \$2,160 | \$2,537 | \$3,154 | \$3,154 | \$3,909 | - |
| HH Below Upper Income | 5,612 | 2,401 | 1,930 | 1,374 | 658 | 276 | 0 |
| HH Below Lower Income | 638 | 177 | 144 | 129 | 51 | 23 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,974 | 2,224 | 1,786 | 1,246 | 607 | 253 | 0 |

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 11,090 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Project-Level

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a project-level demand estimate for the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 3,189 | 885 | 577 | 429 | 171 | 66 | 43 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 5,220 | 1,915 | 1,314 | 885 | 338 | 130 | 86 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 6,788 | 2,799 | 1,999 | 1,284 | 537 | 207 | 137 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 7,644 | 3,606 | 2,553 | 1,735 | 779 | 300 | 198 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 8,028 | 4,094 | 2,956 | 2,156 | 1,070 | 412 | 272 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 8,201 | 4,436 | 3,207 | 2,443 | 1,202 | 463 | 306 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 8,470 | 4,646 | 3,428 | 2,587 | 1,266 | 487 | 322 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 8,620 | 4,770 | 3,555 | 2,674 | 1,305 | 502 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 9,043 | 5,119 | 3,913 | 2,921 | 1,415 | 545 | 360 |

Demand Estimate, Project-Level

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Income, Subsidized | $\$ 22,680$ | $\$ 25,920$ | $\$ 29,160$ | $\$ 32,400$ | $\$ 35,040$ | $\$ 37,620$ | - |
| Maximum Income, 20\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 40\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 50\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 60\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 80\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, Market Rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 22,680$ | $\$ 25,920$ | $\$ 29,160$ | $\$ 32,400$ | $\$ 35,040$ | $\$ 37,620$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Income, Subsidized | $\$ 2,160$ | $\$ 2,160$ | $\$ 2,537$ | $\$ 3,154$ | $\$ 3,154$ | $\$ 3,909$ | - |
| Minimum Income, 20\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 40\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 50\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 60\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 80\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, Market Rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,160$ | $\$ 2,160$ | $\$ 2,537$ | $\$ 3,154$ | $\$ 3,154$ | $\$ 3,909$ | - |
| HH Below Upper Income | 5,612 | 2,401 | 1,930 | 1,374 | 658 | 276 | 0 |
| HH Below Lower Income | 638 | 177 | 144 | 129 | 51 | 23 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,974 | 2,224 | 1,786 | 1,246 | 607 | 253 | 0 |

Our analysis suggests project-level demand for a total of 11,090 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

## Capture Rates

In this section, we summarize our demand conclusions and estimate the capture rate for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.
Subject Property Units (Total)

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |
| 2BR | 146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146 |
| 3BR | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| 4BR | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| Tot | 288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 288 |

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| 2BR | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| 3BR | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 4BR | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Tot | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Unit-level demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level demand may not add up to project-level demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level demand.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 7,198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,198 |
| 2BR | 8,781 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,781 |
| 3BR | 10,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,400 |
| 4BR | 10,391 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,391 |
| Tot | 11,090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,090 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by gross demand. Underwriters often utilize capture rate limits of 10 to 25 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Gross Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $0.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.2 \%$ |
| 2BR | $0.4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.4 \%$ |
| 3BR | $0.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.2 \%$ |
| 4BR | $0.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.1 \%$ |
| Tot | $0.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.7 \%$ |

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of vacant competing \& pipeline units in the market area by
unit/income type. This information will be used to further refine our capture rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of vacant competing \& pipeline units is found below.

| Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| 2BR | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |
| 3BR | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 4BR | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Tot | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 113 |

The next step in our analysis is to subtract the number of vacant competing \& pipeline units from gross demand to arrive at a net demand estimate for the subject property units. As described earlier, unit-level net demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level net demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level net demand may not add up to project-level net demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level net demand.

| Net Demand (Gross Demand - Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 7,177 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,177 |
| 2BR | 8,715 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,715 |
| 3BR | 10,375 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,375 |
| 4BR | 10,390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,390 |
| Tot | 10,977 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,977 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by net demand. Underwriters often utilize capture rate limits of 10 to 20 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Net Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $0.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.2 \%$ |
| 2BR | $0.4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.4 \%$ |
| 3BR | $0.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.2 \%$ |
| 4BR | $0.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.1 \%$ |
| Tot | $0.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.7 \%$ |

In our opinion, the estimated project-level capture rate suggests an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit level capture rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

## Penetration Rates

In this section, we summarize our demand conclusions and estimate the penetration rate for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.

|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |
| 2BR | 146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146 |
| 3BR | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| 4BR | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| Tot | 288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 288 |
| Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| 2BR | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| 3BR | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 4BR | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Tot | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Unit-level demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level demand may not add up to project-level demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level demand.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 7,198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,198 |
| 2BR | 8,781 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,781 |
| 3BR | 10,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,400 |
| 4BR | 10,391 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,391 |
| Tot | 11,090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,090 |

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of competing \& pipeline units in the market area by unit/income type. This information will be used to derive our penetration rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of competing \& pipeline units is found below.

| Competing \& Pipeline Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 230 |
| 2BR | 779 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 779 |
| 3BR | 346 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 346 |
| 4BR | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| Tot | 1,444 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,444 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute inclusive supply for the market area by unit/income type. Inclusive
supply will be taken into account in our penetration rate estimate for the subject property. For purposes of this estimate, inclusive supply consists of vacant subject property units plus competing \& pipeline units.

| $8 /$ Inclusive Supply (Subject Property Units + Competing \& Pipeline Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 243 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 243 |
| 2BR | 816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 816 |
| 3BR | 363 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 363 |
| 4BR | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95 |
| Tot | 1,517 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,517 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the penetration rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, penetration rate is defined as inclusive supply divided by gross demand. Underwriters often utilize penetration rate limits of 40 to 50 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Penetration Rates (Inclusive Supply / Gross Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $3.4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2BR | $9.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.3 \%$ |
| 3BR | $3.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3.5 \%$ |
| 4BR | $0.9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.9 \%$ |
| Tot | $13.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $13.7 \%$ |

In our opinion, the estimated project-level penetration rate suggest an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit-level penetration rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

## Absorption Period

In this section, we estimate the absorption period for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.

| Subject Property Units (Total) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR | 146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

| Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Our analysis uses the unit-level demand estimates derived previously.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 7,198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR | 8,781 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR | 10,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR | 10,391 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to apply an annual growth \& movership rate to derive an annual rental household growth \& movership estimate for the market area. Our estimates are found in the tables below.

| Annual Growth \& Movership Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Growth | $1.8 \%$ |
| Movership | $33.0 \%$ |
| Total | $34.8 \%$ |


| Growth \& Movership Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 2,504 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR | 3,055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR | 3,619 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR | 3,615 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to account for secondary market area migration in our annual rental household growth \& movership estimate for the market area. Our estimates are found in the tables below.

| Growth \& Movership Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 3,131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR | 3,819 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR | 4,523 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR | 4,519 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to estimate fair share, or the proportion of growth and movership that we would expect the subject property to capture. The fair share analysis is used extensively in single-family, multifamily, commercial, and retail market studies. The books entitled Market Analysis for Valuation Appraisals (1994, Appraisal Institute) and Market Analysis and Highest \& Best Use (2005, Appraisal institute) provide a good overview of this technique and its application to a variety of property types.

Based on our review of the subject and competing properties, along with their relative conditions/locations, we arrive at the following fair share estimates for the various unit/income types at the subject property.

| Competing Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 1BR | 8 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 24 |
| 2BR | 12 |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |  | 37 |
| 3BR | 11 |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |  | 21 |
| 4BR | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |


| Fair Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $5.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR | $5.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR | $5.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR | $5.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Applying the concluded fair share estimates to annual growth \& movership and dividing by twelve yields the following monthly absorption rate estimates for the various unit/income types at the subject property.

| Monthly Absorption Rate Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 13.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR | 15.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR | 18.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR | 18.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to estimate stabilized occupancy by unit/income type for the subject property. These estimates, which were based on data previously presented in the supply analysis and rent comparability analysis sections of this report, are found below.

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Family, Stabilized Occupancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $96 \%$ |
| 1BR | $99 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $96 \%$ |  | $95 \%$ |
| 2BR | $98 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $96 \%$ |  | $94 \%$ |
| 3BR | $98 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $90 \%$ |  | $97 \%$ |
| 4BR | $99 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $87 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ |

Occupancy Rate, Select Comparables

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $99 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $90 \%$ |  |  |
| 2BR | $96 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $96 \%$ |  | $93 \%$ |
| 3BR | $96 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $90 \%$ |  | $96 \%$ |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  | $87 \%$ |  |  |

Concluded Stabilized Occupancy Rate

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $96 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR | $96 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR | $96 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR | $96 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Applying the stabilized occupancy rate estimates to the number of vacant subject property units at market entry, yields the number of occupied units by unit/income type at stabilization as set forth below.

| Occupied Units at Stabilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Dividing the number of occupied units at stabilization by the monthly absorption rate yields an absorption period estimate by unit/income type for the various units at the subject property. Underwriters often utilize absorption period limits of 12 to 18 months for projects similar to the subject property. Our absorption period estimates are found below.

| Absorption Period (Months to Stabilization) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests that the subject property will stabilize at 96 percent occupancy. We estimate 2 months of absorption and an average absorption rate of 31.4 units per month for this project. In our opinion, the absorption period suggests an appropriate number and mix of units for the subject property.

Absorption rates for multifamily properties depend on a variety of factors: (1) The competitive environment in which the property resides; (2) The pricing of the subject property units relative to competing units, (3) The presence of rent or income restrictions at the subject property; and (4) The availability of any rent concessions or rental assistance at the subject property. Subsidized properties normally lease up at a rate of 15-20 units per month. Unsubsidized properties with rent and income restrictions tyically fill at a rate of 5-10 units per month. Market rate properties normally lease up at a rate of 10-15 units per month.

As part of our analysis, we inquired about the absorption history for every property we surveyed. The following list summarizes our findings:

| Key | Project | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Tot Units | Abs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 007 | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 148 | 13.5 |
| 008 | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 148 | 14.8 |
| 009 | Arbor Pointe Phase 3 | 2012 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 120 | 80.0 |
| 013 | Avalon Apartments | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 232 | 13.7 |
| 044 | Hardaway Townhouses | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 41 | 4.1 |
| 063 | Lumpkin Park | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 192 | 32.0 |

## Absorption Analysis

In this section, we analyze the anticipated lease up for the subject property. We begin our analysis by taking the the absorption period conclusions from the previous section and restating them graphically as illustrated below.

Projected Lease Up


Our analysis suggests that the subject property will achieve 75 percent occupancy in 0 months, 85 percent occupancy in 0 months, and 95 percent occupancy in 1 months. We anticipate that the subject property will stabilize at 96 percent occupancy in 2 months.

It is important to note that this analysis does not account for pent-up demand, pre-leasing efforts or rent concessions. In our opinion, an effective pre-leasing effort could result in a month-for-month reduction in the estimated absorption period for this project. In addition, any concessions or rent subsidies not accounted for already in this analysis could cut capture rates and absorption periods significantly.

## Sensitivity Analysis

We also explored the relationship between rent level, capture rates, penetration rates, and absorption period. For purposes of this analysis, we forecasted demand and fill rates at 75\%, 80\%, 85\%, 90\%, 95\% and 100\% of achievable rent (derived earlier in this report). Our analysis is summarized below:

| Sensitivity Analysis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario | Capture Rate | Penetration Rate | Absorption |
| Developer's Proposed Rent | $0.7 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | 2 months |
| $100 \%$ of Achievable Rent | $0.7 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | 2 months |
| $95 \%$ of Achievable Rent | $0.7 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | 2 months |
| $90 \%$ of Achievable Rent | $0.7 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | 2 months |
| $85 \%$ of Achievable Rent | $0.7 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | 2 months |
| $80 \%$ of Achievable Rent | $0.7 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | 2 months |
| $75 \%$ of Achievable Rent | $0.7 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | 2 months |

Our analysis suggests the following relationship between rent levels and fill rates: At the developer's proposed rent we anticipate a 2-month absorption period; at 100\% of achievable rent we anticipate a 2-month absorption period; at $75 \%$ of achievable rent we anticipate a 2 -month absorption period.

## HIGHEST AND BEST USE

A property's highest and best use is the premise upon which market value is based. The highest and best use of a property can be determined by analyzing the physical, legal, financially feasible, and most productive use of the property being appraised. This use is the reasonably probable and legal use that is physically possible, appropriately market supported, financially feasible and that results in the highest value. The analysis of highest and best use for a property involves two elements: the analysis of the site as though it were vacant and the analysis of the property as improved.

## As Vacant

## Physically Possible Uses

Given the size of the site (28.54 acres), the physically possible uses include commercial, retail, office, single family and multi-family residential.

## Legally Permissible Uses

According to the sponsor, the subject property is currently zoned RMF-2. It is our understanding that the property is a legal, conforming use under this classification. Although the property is currently operated as a rent- and income-restricted development, it can be converted to an unrestricted market rate property as set forth in PIH-2012-32 (HA), REV-1. Consequently, operation as a rent restricted or an unrestricted market rate property are both legally permissible uses.

## Financially Feasible Uses

The determination of financial feasibility involves examination of the economic environment within the subject market. This area is developed and is fairly close to schools, religious worship facilities, shopping areas, parks, a post office, banks, and employment centers. Considering local demographic growth patterns and the prevailing rent levels in the area, affordable multifamily is considered the only legally permissible financially feasible use for this property.

## Maximally Productive Use

Given the physical characteristics of the subject property, the prevailing rent levels, and the proposed rent and income restrictions associated with this development, multifamily development with tax credit and/or belowmarket debt financing is the site's maximally productive use and thus the subject's highest and best use, as if vacant.

## As Improved

In our analysis of highest and best use as improved, we will look at the subject property two ways: (1) On an "as is" basis, and (2) On an "as if renovated" basis. We begin with our "as is" analysis:

## As Is

There are three possible uses for this property on an "as is" basis: (1) Continued operation as a rent and income restricted property in its current condition, (2) Conversion to an unrestricted market rate property in its current condition, and (3) Sale for renovation with tax credits and/or below-market financing.

As noted above, continued operation as a rent restricted or an unrestricted market rate property are both legally permissible uses. Unrestricted market rents significantly exceed the rents currently being charged at the subject property. Consequently, Option 2 is the highest $\&$ best use for the property on an "as is" basis.

## As If Renovated

There are two possible uses for this property on an "as if renovated" basis: (1) Operation as a rent and income restricted property after rehabilitation, and (2) Conversion to an unrestricted market rate property. These two values, developed later in this report, are presented below:

| Option 1: Operation as a Rent and Income Restricted Property | $\$ 4,820,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Option 2: Conversion to an Unrestricted Market Rate Property | $\$ 10,689,000$ |

Renovation of the subject property with tax credits and/or below-market debt will include a new regulatory agreement precluding the sale or conversion of the property into an unrestricted market rate apartment community for at least 15 years (Option 2). Consequently, operation as a rent and income restricted affordable multifamily property (Option 1) is our concluded highest and best use as if renovated.

## VALUATION, LAND, AS IF VACANT

## Overview

Based upon our highest and best use analysis, we utilized the sales comparison approach of affordable multifamily land in the region in order to value the subject property as if vacant. The income approach was not utilized due to lack of parcels like the subject that are land-leased for investment purposes. Without any improvements the cost approach to value is not applicable and was not utilized.

The sales comparison approach to value seeks to identify those sales or offerings that may be comparable in terms of condition, amenities, quality, age, location, type, timing, financing terms and motivation of buyers and sellers. No two properties are precisely comparable so adjustments are normally necessary to account for discernible differences. This approach generally reflects the actions of buyers and sellers in an active marketplace.

According to The Appraisal of Real Estate, Twelfth Edition, (Appraisal Institute, 2001, p. 63):
The sales comparison approach is most useful when a number of similar properties have recently been sold or are currently for sale in the subject property's market. Using this approach, an appraiser produces a value indication by comparing a subject property with similar properties called comparable sales. The sale prices of the properties that are judged to be most comparable tend to indicate a range in which the value indication for the subject property will fall.

## Land Value Analysis

Whenever possible, land value is determined by the sales comparison approach. This method involves comparing the subject site to other similar sites with the same highest and best use and then adjusting the comparable prices for differences. Our highest \& best use conclusion was for affordable multifamily development. Therefore, we analyzed several affordable multifamily land transactions in the region. We evaluated these transactions based on their price per unit. A summary of the land sales we considered is found on the following page:

Land Comparable Analysis


Source: Housing Finance Agency, Public Records; Allen \& Associates

The comparables were analyzed on their selling price per unit. We considered whether any adjustments for property rights, financing terms, conditions of sale, expenditures after purchase, and market conditions were necessary. We also adjusted for location, physical characteristics, economic characteristics, use, and nonrealty components.

All transactions were arm's length transactions. All of the transactions were cash equivalent transactions. Each transaction had the same highest and best use as the subject property and none has an adverse condition of sale. We adjusted all of the comparables for the time between the contract date and the effective date of this report to account for changing market conditions.

## Conclusion

The following table shows our final estimate of value using this approach:

| Land Value |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sales Price per Unit, Minimum | $\$ 4,040$ |
| Sales Price per Unit, Maximum | $\$ 4,160$ |
| Sales Price per Unit, Average | $\$ 4,098$ |
| Land Value per Unit, Indicated | $\$ 4,000$ |
| Units | $\$ 1,152,000$ |
| Land Value, Indicated | $\$ 1,150,000$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates
As our analysis shows, we estimate $\$ 1,150,000$ as the market value of the subject property on an as if vacant basis for April 27, 2015.

Based on published reports we anticipate 9 to 12 months of marketing and exposure time for this property.
Please note: The values provided in this report are subject to the rent and financing assumptions, the construction or rehabilitation, and the operation of the subject property as set forth in this analysis. The conclusions are subject to the timing assumptions as described in this report, including the hypothetical condition that the project is complete and stabilized as of the effective date of this report.

The findings and conclusions reported are based on the conditions that exist as of the effective date of this report. These factors are subject to change and may alter, or otherwise affect the findings and conclusions presented in this report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report presents an accurate evaluation of market conditions for the subject property as of the effective date of this report. While this analysis is based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable, no guarantee is made of its accuracy.

## VALUATION, AS COMPLETE \& STABILIZED

In this section we derive an "as complete \& stabilized" opinion of value of the subject property for December 1, 2016. Our analysis addresses the three traditional approaches to value: The income approach, the sales comparison approach, and the cost approach. Our analysis accounts for any rent and income restrictions associated with the subject property. Our discussion begins with the income approach.

## Income Approach

In this section we will use the income approach to estimate the value of the subject property. The income approach estimates the present value of future financial benefits that can be derived from ownership.

We will use the direct capitalization method in our analysis. After ascertaining the net operating income that can reasonably be expected from the property by competent management, the net operating income is capitalized using a rate appropriate to investments of a similar type and category.

Our discussion begins with the definition of the income approach.

## Definition of the Income Approach

The income approach is defined in The Appraisal of Real Estate, Twelfth Edition, (Appraisal Institute, 2001, p. 64) as:

The present value of the future benefits of property ownership is measured. A property's income streams and its resale value upon reversion may be capitalized into a current, lump-sum value.

## Methodology

Our analysis began with a detailed review of similar properties in order to evaluate the likely operating characteristics of the subject property. Our review included a market rent analysis (found in the Rent Comparability Analysis Section of this report) and an operating expense analysis (found after this section).

In the discussion that follows we will develop an estimate of the value of the proposed development using the direct capitalization method. Our discussion is broken down into the following subsections: (1) Effective Gross Income Estimate, (2) Total Operating Expense Estimate, (3) Overall Capitalization Rate Estimate, and (4) Direct Capitalization Method. We begin with our effective gross income estimate.

## Effective Gross Income Estimate

Our effective gross income estimate consists of the following components:

## Gross Potential Rent

A total of $\$ 1,794,768$ per year. This amount reflects the following rents for the subject property:

| Gross Potential Rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Monthly Rent | Monthly GPR | Annual GPR |
| 1BR-1BA-544sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$419 | \$9,637 | \$115,644 |
| 1BR-1BA-623sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$419 | \$9,637 | \$115,644 |
| 1BR-1BA-579sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$419 | \$838 | \$10,056 |
| 1BR-1BA-576sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 3 | \$419 | \$1,257 | \$15,084 |
| 2BR-1BA-733sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 71 | \$479 | \$34,009 | \$408,108 |
| 2BR-1BA-878sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 10 | \$479 | \$4,790 | \$57,480 |
| 2BR-1BA-713sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$479 | \$2,874 | \$34,488 |
| 2BR-1BA-748sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$479 | \$2,874 | \$34,488 |
| 2BR-1BA-744sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 53 | \$479 | \$25,387 | \$304,644 |
| 3BR-2BA-1074sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 62 | \$617 | \$38,254 | \$459,048 |
| 3BR-2BA-1064sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$617 | \$3,702 | \$44,424 |


| 4BR-2BA-1167sf $/ 60 \%$ of $\mathrm{AMI} / 60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 691$ | $\$ 4,146$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4BR-2BA-1197sf $/ 60 \%$ of $\mathrm{AMI} / 60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | $\$ 49,752$ |  |
| 4BR-2BA-1171sf $/ 60 \%$ of AMI $/ 60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | $\$ 691$ | $\$ 4,146$ |
| 4BR-2BA-1438sf $/ 60 \%$ of AMI $/ 60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 4 | $\$ 691$ | $\$ 4,837$ |
| Total/Average |  |  | 288 | $\$ 794,044$ |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

These rents reflect the lesser of post-RAD conversion rents or achievable rents for the subject property (introduced previously in this report).

Laundry and Vending
A total of \$0 per year or \$0 per unit.

Interest Income
A total of $\$ 6,505$ per year or $\$ 23$ per unit.

## Tenant Charges

A total of \$9,628 per year or \$33 per unit.

## Other Income

A total of \$2,060 per year or \$7 per unit.

## Vacancy \& Collection Loss

A total of $\$ 126,907$ per year or $\$ 441$ per unit. Our analysis assumes 5.0 percent of gross potential income in vacancy loss and 2.0 percent of gross potential income in collection loss. Our vacancy loss estimate is based on the historic performance of the subject property and similar properties (as set forth previously in the supply analysis section of this report). Our collection loss estimate is based on discussions with owners of properties similar to the subject property.

Our effective gross income estimate comes to $\$ 1,686,054$ per year or $\$ 5,854$ per unit. Our estimate represents amounts in current dollars.

## Total Operating Expense Estimate

In this section we will develop a total operating expense estimate for the subject property. Our pro forma, which analyzes operating expenses on a per unit basis, is included at the end of this section.

Our total operating expense estimate consists of the following components:

## Total Maintenance \& Operating

A total of $\$ 484,337$ per year or $\$ 1,682$ per unit.

Includes maintenance \& repairs payroll, maintenance \& repairs supply, maintenance \& repairs contract, painting \& decorating, snow removal, elevator maintenance/contract, grounds, services, furniture \& furniture replacement, and other operating expenses.

## Total Utilities

A total of $\$ 127,833$ per year or $\$ 444$ per unit.
Includes electricity, water, sewer, fuel, garbage \& trash removal, and other utilities.

## Total Administrative

A total of $\$ 570,236$ per year or $\$ 1,980$ per unit.

Includes site management payroll, management fee, project audit expense, project bookkeeping/accounting, legal expenses, advertising, telephone \& answering service, office supplies, office furniture \& equipment,
training expense, health insurance \& other benefits, payroll taxes, workman's compensation, and other administrative expenses.

Total Taxes \& Insurance
A total of $\$ 64,524$ per year or $\$ 224$ per unit.

Includes real estate taxes, special assessments, other taxes, property \& liability insurance, fidelity coverage insurance, and other insurance.

A PILOT of \$18,570 per year (\$64 per unit) is proposed for the subject property.

## Replacement Reserves

A total of $\$ 101,150$ per year or $\$ 351$ per unit. Replacement reserve levels normally range from $\$ 250$ to $\$ 450$ per unit for new and newly-renovated rent restricted properties.

Our total operating expense estimate comes to $\$ 1,348,081$ per year or $\$ 4,681$ per unit.
Based on our review, we have concluded that our pro forma expense budget is a reasonable estimate of operating expenses for purposes of the analysis that follows. Our estimates represent amounts in current dollars.

Our pro forma operating budget is found at the end of this section. A cash flow projection is also provided.

## Capitalization Rate Estimate

In this section we derive an overall capitalization rate opinion for the subject property. Our analysis utilizes the following methods of estimating capitalization rates: (1) Market Extraction; (2) The Debt Service Coverage Method, and (3) The Band of Investment Method. Our discussion begins with market extracted capitalization rates:

## Market Extraction

In the table below we present capitalization rates from a number of recent sales in the region. In our opinion, the highlighted transactions are most similar to the subject property. These transactions do not include any new or newly-renovated rent restricted properties. This is because tax credit and bond-financed properties (the most common rent restricted properties in the marketplace) cannot resell for at least 15 years. Nevertheless, the data gives us some indication of how investors value multifamily properties in the region.

A word of caution is in order here. Unrestricted market rate properties have different buyers, sellers, brokers, lenders, equity investors, management agents, operating income and expenses, and resident bases than restricted rent properties. Consequently, sales of unrestricted market rate properties should not be relied on exclusively when deriving capitalization rates for new or newly-renovated rent restricted properties.

| Capitalization Rate, Market Extracted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Property Name | City, State, Zip | Units | Year Built | Cap Rate |
| 22-Jan-15 | University Crossing | Columbus, GA 31907 | 48 | 2009 | $6.55 \%$ |
| 01-Dec-14 | The Social | Auburn, AL 36832 | 300 | 2003 | $7.10 \%$ |
| 15-Jan-14 | Willow Creek | Columbus, GA 31906 | 285 | 1968 | $8.85 \%$ |
| 15-Nov-13 | Westshore Landing | Auburn, AL 36830 | 112 | 1987 | $7.28 \%$ |
| 31-Oct-13 | Whispering Pines | Lagrange, GA 30241 | 216 | 1982 | $8.93 \%$ |
| 12-Aug-13 | Apartments at the Venue | Valley, AL 36854 | 618 | 2009 | $5.36 \%$ |
| 12-Aug-13 | Village West | Auburn, AL 36832 | 160 | 1980 | $5.36 \%$ |
| 27-Jun-13 | Courtyard II | Columbus, GA 31907 | 114 | 1970 | $8.85 \%$ |
| 10-Jun-10 | Tamarack | Auburn, AL 36832 | 160 | 1974 | $9.10 \%$ |

Market Extracted, Minimum 6.55\%

Market Extracted, Maximum 8.85\%
Market Extracted, Average 8.08\%

As the table shows, capitalization rates for the highlighted transactions range from 6.55 percent to 8.85 percent. This suggests an overall capitalization rate of 8.0 percent.

## Debt Coverage Ratio Method

Lender underwriting criteria normally include a minimum debt coverage ratio and a maximum loan to value for specific property types. Given these criteria, together with the loan constant for the proposed financing, it is possible to estimate the lender's implicit capitalization rate for the specific property. The lender method uses the following formula:

$$
\mathrm{Ro}=\mathrm{Rm} * \mathrm{DCR} * \mathrm{M}
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{Rm}=$ loan constant
DCR = debt coverage ratio
$\mathrm{M}=$ loan to value
Based on the most recent Commercial Loan Direct FNMA rates, a loan for the subject property in today's lending environment would carry a 5.03 percent rate, a 30 -year amortization period, and an 15 -year term. The debt coverage ratio and loan to value for this loan would come to 1.15 and 85 percent, respectively. This results in a loan constant of 0.065 . Using the formula found above, we estimate a capitalization rate of 6.32 percent as found in the following table:

| Capitalization Rate, Debt Coverage Ratio Method |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 10-Year Treasury Rate | $2.28 \%$ |
| Spread Over Base | $2.75 \%$ |
| Interest Rate | $5.03 \%$ |
| Amortization, Years | 30 |
| Term, Years | 15 |
| Debt Coverage Ratio, DCR | 1.15 |
| Loan to Value, M | $85 \%$ |
| Loan Constant, Rm | 0.065 |
|  |  |
| Capitalization Rate, Debt Coverage Ratio Method | $6.32 \%$ |

Source: CLD FNMA Rates; RealtyRates.com

## Band-of-Investment Method

The band of investment method uses current mortgage and equity requirements to estimate an overall capitalization rate. The loan constant and the equity dividend rate are weighted and combined to arrive at an estimate of the overall rate. The band of investment technique uses the following formula:

$$
R o=(M * R m)+[(1-M) * R e]
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R o=\text { the overall rate } & M=\text { loan to value ratio } \\
R m=\text { loan constant } & R e=\text { equity dividend rate }
\end{array}
$$

Using the loan constant of 0.065 from above, an equity dividend rate of 0.075 (based on the most recent RealtyRates.com Investor Survey), and a loan to value of 85 percent, we estimate a capitalization rate of 6.62
percent using the band of investment method as found in the following table:

| Capitalization Rate, Band of Investment Method |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 10-Year Treasury Rate | $2.28 \%$ |
| Spread Over Base | $2.75 \%$ |
| Interest Rate | $5.03 \%$ |
| Amortization, Years | 30 |
| Term, Years | 15 |
| Loan Constant, Rm | 0.065 |
| Equity Dividend Rate, Re | 0.075 |
| Loan to Value, M | $85 \%$ |
| Equity to Value, (1-M) | $15 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Capitalization Rate, Band of Investment Method | $6.62 \%$ |

> Source: CLD FNMA Rates; RealtyRates.com

## Capitalization Rate Conclusion

The following table sets forth our overall capitalization rate conclusion for the subject property using the methods described above:

| Capitalization Rate, Conclusion |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Weight | Value |
| Capitalization Rate, Market Extracted | $33 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ |
| Capitalization Rate, Dedt Coverage Ratio Method | $33 \%$ | $6.32 \%$ |
| Capitalization Rate, Band of Investment Method | $33 \%$ | $6.62 \%$ |
| Capitalization Rate, Conclusion |  |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

The table shows a range of 6.32 to 8.0 percent. In our opinion, 7.0 percent is an appropriate capitalization rate for the subject property.

## Value Estimate

In this section we will estimate the value of the subject property using the direct capitalization method. This technique is summarized below:

1) Estimate of the total rental income which the property is capable of producing based on allowable rental rates.
2) Add the estimated income from other sources to arrive at gross potential income (GPI) for the subject property.
3) Deduct an appropriate vacancy and collection loss factor to arrive at the effective gross income (EGI) for the subject property.
4) Deduct the estimated expenses and reserves to arrive at the estimated annual net operating income (NOI) for the subject property.
5) Capitalize the estimated annual net operating income at an appropriate overall capitalization rate to arrive at an estimate of the value of the subject property.

For purposes of our analysis, we will utilize the income, expense, and capitalization rate estimates derived above.

The following table illustrates our estimate using this approach to value:

|  | Current \$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Total | per Unit | per NRSF |
| Gross Potential Rent | \$1,794,768 | \$6,232 | \$7.48 |
| Laundry and Vending | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Interest Income | \$6,505 | \$23 | \$0.03 |
| Tenant Charges | \$9,628 | \$33 | \$0.04 |
| Other Income | \$2,060 | \$7 | \$0.01 |
| Gross Potential Income | \$1,812,961 | \$6,295 | \$7.56 |
| Vacancy \& Collection Loss | \$126,907 | \$441 | \$0.53 |
| Effective Gross Income | \$1,686,054 | \$5,854 | \$7.03 |
| Total Maintenance \& Operating | \$484,337 | \$1,682 | \$2.02 |
| Total Utilities | \$127,833 | \$444 | \$0.53 |
| Total Administrative | \$570,236 | \$1,980 | \$2.38 |
| Total Taxes \& Insurance | \$64,524 | \$224 | \$0.27 |
| Replacement Reserves | \$101,150 | \$351 | \$0.42 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$1,348,081 | \$4,681 | \$5.62 |
| Net Operating Income | \$337,973 | \$1,174 | \$1.41 |
| Capitalization Rate | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 7.00\% |
| Value, Indicated | \$4,828,187 | \$16,765 | \$20.13 |
| Value, Income Approach | \$4,830,000 | \$16,771 | \$20.14 |

## Source: Allen \& Associates

As the table indicates, we estimate the value of the subject property as $\$ 4,830,000$ using the income approach.

|  | Expense Comparables - \$/Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Historic Operations - \$/Unit |  |  |  |  |  | Sponsor's Budget |  |  | Appraiser's Estimate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Comp 1 | Comp 2 | Comp 3 | Comp 4 | Comp 5 | Min | Max | Avg | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | Min | Max | Avg | Total | per Unit | per NRSF | Total | per Unit | per NRSF |
| Gross Potential Rent | \$7,326 | \$7,374 | \$6,210 | \$6,185 | \$6,218 | \$6,185 | \$7,374 | \$6,663 | \$4,812 | \$4,768 | \$1,147 | \$1,147 | \$4,812 | \$3,576 | \$1,794,768 | \$6,232 | \$7.48 | \$1,794,768 | \$6,232 | \$7.48 |
| Laundry and Vending | \$18 | \$28 | \$2 | \$1 | \$4 | \$1 | \$28 | \$11 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Interest Income | \$3 | \$0 | \$2 | \$2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3 | \$2 | \$45 | \$15 | \$7 | \$7 | \$45 | \$23 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$6,505 | \$23 | \$0.03 |
| Tenant Charges | \$165 | \$319 | \$67 | \$173 | \$126 | \$67 | \$319 | \$170 | \$27 | \$29 | \$44 | \$27 | \$44 | \$33 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$9,628 | \$33 | \$0.04 |
| Other Income | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$35 | \$35 | \$0 | \$35 | \$14 | \$0 | \$14 | \$7 | \$0 | \$14 | \$7 | \$34,313 | \$119 | \$0.14 | \$2,060 | \$7 | \$0.01 |
| Gross Potential Income | \$7,513 | \$7,722 | \$6,282 | \$6,396 | \$6,383 | \$6,282 | \$7,722 | \$6,859 | \$4,885 | \$4,826 | \$1,206 | \$1,206 | \$4,885 | \$3,639 | \$1,829,081 | \$6,351 | \$7.63 | \$1,812,961 | \$6,295 | \$7.56 |
| Vacancy \& Collection Loss | \$411 | \$495 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$495 | \$181 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$128,036 | \$445 | \$0.53 | \$126,907 | \$441 | \$0.53 |
| Effective Gross Income | \$7,102 | \$7,226 | \$6,282 | \$6,396 | \$6,383 | \$6,282 | \$7,226 | \$6,678 | \$4,885 | \$4,826 | \$1,206 | \$1,206 | \$4,885 | \$3,639 | \$1,701,045 | \$5,906 | \$7.09 | \$1,686,054 | \$5,854 | \$7.03 |
| Total Maintenance \& Operating | \$1,290 | \$1,233 | \$1,910 | \$1,333 | \$1,268 | \$1,233 | \$1,910 | \$1,407 | \$2,215 | \$1,917 | \$1,737 | \$1,737 | \$2,215 | \$1,957 | \$578,401 | \$2,008 | \$2.41 | \$484,337 | \$1,682 | \$2.02 |
| Total Utilities | \$542 | \$547 | \$392 | \$524 | \$510 | \$392 | \$547 | \$503 | \$443 | \$418 | \$471 | \$418 | \$471 | \$444 | \$121,242 | \$421 | \$0.51 | \$127,833 | \$444 | \$0.53 |
| Total Administrative | \$1,710 | \$1,928 | \$1,647 | \$1,854 | \$1,631 | \$1,631 | \$1,928 | \$1,754 | \$2,301 | \$2,221 | \$2,096 | \$2,096 | \$2,301 | \$2,206 | \$499,311 | \$1,734 | \$2.08 | \$570,236 | \$1,980 | \$2.38 |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$217 | \$216 | \$0 | \$433 | \$398 | \$0 | \$433 | \$253 | \$64 | \$64 | \$66 | \$64 | \$66 | \$64 | \$27,223 | \$95 | \$0.11 | \$18,570 | \$64 | \$0.08 |
| Property \& Liability Insurance | \$293 | \$293 | \$312 | \$181 | \$175 | \$175 | \$312 | \$251 | \$155 | \$167 | \$157 | \$155 | \$167 | \$160 | \$46,373 | \$161 | \$0.19 | \$45,954 | \$160 | \$0.19 |
| Replacement Reserves | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$101,150 | \$351 | \$0.42 | \$101,150 | \$351 | \$0.42 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$4,053 | \$4,216 | \$4,262 | \$4,326 | \$3,981 | \$3,981 | \$4,326 | \$4,168 | \$5,178 | \$4,787 | \$4,527 | \$4,527 | \$5,178 | \$4,830 | \$1,373,700 | \$4,770 | \$5.73 | \$1,348,081 | \$4,681 | \$5.62 |
| Net Operating Income | \$3,049 | \$3,010 | \$2,020 | \$2,070 | \$2,401 | \$2,020 | \$3,049 | \$2,510 | -\$293 | \$39 | -\$3,321 | -\$3,321 | \$39 | -\$1,192 | \$327,345 | \$1,137 | \$1.36 | \$337,973 | \$1,174 | \$1.41 |

## Sales Comparison Approach

The sales comparison approach to value seeks to identify those sales or offerings that may be comparable in terms of condition, amenities, quality, age, location, type, timing, financing terms, and motivation of buyers and sellers. No two properties are precisely comparable so adjustments must be made to account for discernible differences. This approach generally reflects the actions of buyers and sellers in an active marketplace.

## Definition of the Sales Comparison Approach

According to The Appraisal of Real Estate, Twelfth Edition, (Appraisal Institute, 2001, p. 63).

> The Sales Comparison Approach is most useful when a number of similar properties have recently been sold or are currently for sale in the subject property's market. Using this approach, an appraiser produces a value indication by comparing a subject property with similar properties, called comparable sales. The sale prices of the properties that are judged to be most comparable tend to indicate a range in which the value indication for the subject property will fall.

## Comparable Property Sales

In the previous section we presented capitalization rate data for multifamily sales in the general area. The transactions did not include any new or newly-renovated rent restricted properties, however. This is because deed restrictions for tax credit and bond financed properties (the most common rent restricted properties in the marketplace) prohibit resale for at least 15 years.

It is important to note that unrestricted market rate properties have different buyers, sellers, brokers, lenders, equity investors, management agents, operating income and expenses, and resident bases than restricted rent properties. As a result, sales of unrestricted market rate properties are usually not a good indication of value for rent restricted properties. Consequently, we do not place much weight on the values derived under this approach to value.

In this section we develop our sales comparables. We have elected to use sale price per unit as our unit of comparison. This is one of the most common units of comparison for analyzing multifamily sales. Analysis of the sales comparables involved direct adjustments to the sale prices. In the previous section we identified several transactions which are most similar to the subject property (based primarily on age and location). A summary of these sales is found below with the most comparable properties highlighted. Detailed write-ups are found in the Appendix of this report.

|  | Sales Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Property Name | Units | Cap Rate | Price | NOI | Price/Ut |  |
| 22-Jan-15 | University Crossing | 48 | $6.55 \%$ | $\$ 4,350,000$ | $\$ 284,925$ | $\$ 90,625$ | NOI/Ut |
| 01-Dec-14 | The Social | 300 | $7.10 \%$ | $\$ 21,950,000$ | $\$ 1,558,450$ | $\$ 73,167$ | $\$ 5,195$ |
| 15-Jan-14 | Willow Creek | 285 | $8.85 \%$ | $\$ 10,583,333$ | $\$ 936,625$ | $\$ 37,135$ | $\$ 3,286$ |
| 15-Nov-13 | Westshore Landing | 112 | $7.28 \%$ | $\$ 6,675,000$ | $\$ 485,940$ | $\$ 59,598$ | $\$ 4,339$ |
| 31-Oct-13 | Whispering Pines | 216 | $8.93 \%$ | $\$ 10,400,000$ | $\$ 928,720$ | $\$ 48,148$ |  |
| 12-Aug-13 | Apartments at the Venue | 618 | $5.36 \%$ | $\$ 35,106,595$ | $\$ 1,881,713$ | $\$ 56,807$ | $\$ 4,300$ |
| 12-Aug-13 | Village West | 160 | $5.36 \%$ | $\$ 5,700,000$ | $\$ 305,520$ | $\$ 35,625$ | $\$ 1,910$ |
| 27-Jun-13 | Courtyard II | 114 | $8.85 \%$ | $\$ 7,978,000$ | $\$ 706,053$ | $\$ 69,982$ | $\$ 6,193$ |
| 10-Jun-10 | Tamarack | 160 | $9.10 \%$ | $\$ 3,550,000$ | $\$ 323,050$ | $\$ 22,188$ |  |

Source: Real Capital Analytics; Allen \& Associates

Adjustments
The following adjustments were employed in our analysis of comparable sales.

## Property Rights

All of the transactions involved the transfer of the fee simple interest in the comparable properties and no adjustments were necessary.

## Financing Terms

Each transaction was all cash. Therefore, no adjustments were necessary.

## Conditions of Sale

All comparables were sold on an arm's length basis. Therefore, no further adjustments were made for conditions of sale.

## Market Conditions

The necessary adjustment for changing market conditions between the comparable dates of sale and the date of value have been incorporated as part of the economic characteristics adjustment. By comparing the net operating income (NOI) of each comparable with the projected NOI for the subject as of each date of value, we have included that element of the NOI that is due to changing market conditions.

## Economic Characteristics

The economic characteristics adjustment accounts for all factors that affect the income potential of each comparable relative to that of the subject. By comparing the stabilized net operating income (NOI) of each comparable as of its date of sale with the NOI of the subject property, we account for all physical and economic elements that affect income and value. These elements include location, quality of construction, age and condition, rental rates, unit mix, and size.

The following graph shows the relationship between net operating income and sales price on a per unit basis:


The graph displays an R -squared, which is a correlation coefficient between the items plotted on the graph and the trend line they form. If, for example, all the items plotted fell exactly on the trend line, the R-squared would be 1.00 , or a perfect 100 percent relationship. The chart above shows a high R-squared, suggesting a strong relationship between the variables.

The next step in our analysis was to make an economic adjustment between the most comparable properties and the subject property based on revenue production. In our analysis we use NOI per unit. Net operating income for the comparable properties are found above; net operating income for the subject property comes from the budget found in the income approach section of this valuation.

Our adjustment grid follows:

Economic Characteristic Adjustments

| Property Name | Comp NOI/Ut | Subj NOI/Ut | Adjustment | Comp Price/Ut | Adj Price/Ut |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Crossing | $\$ 5,936$ | $\$ 1,174$ | $-80.23 \%$ | $\$ 90,625$ | $\$ 17,916$ |
| Willow Creek | $\$ 3,286$ | $\$ 1,174$ | $-64.29 \%$ | $\$ 37,135$ | $\$ 13,260$ |
| Courtyard II | $\$ 6,193$ | $\$ 1,174$ | $-81.05 \%$ | $\$ 69,982$ | $\$ 13,260$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales Price per Unit, Minimum |  |  | $\$ 37,135$ | $\$ 13,260$ |  |
| Sales Price per Unit, Maximum |  |  | $\$ 90,625$ | $\$ 17,916$ |  |
| Sales Price per Unit, Average |  |  | $\$ 65,914$ | $\$ 14,812$ |  |

Source: Real Capital Analytics; Allen \& Associates

The adjustments give us guidance in formulating a final opinion of value using the sales comparison approach. The unadjusted sales price varies from $\$ 37,135$ to $\$ 90,625$ with an average of $\$ 65,914$ per unit. The adjusted sales varies from $\$ 13,260$ to $\$ 17,916$ with an average of $\$ 14,812$ per unit. Clearly, the adjustments for economic characteristics explain much of the variance in the unadjusted sale price.

These parameters are used in the following section to arrive at a final estimate of value for the subject property using the sales comparison approach.

## Value Estimate

The per unit value estimates were used to estimate the value of the subject property utilizing the Sales Comparison Approach:

| Value, Sales Comparison Approach |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sales Price per Unit | $\$ 13,260$ |
| Sales Price per Unit, Minimum | $\$ 17,916$ |
| Sales Price per Unit, Maximum | $\$ 14,812$ |
| Sales Price per Unit, Average | $\$ 15,000$ |
|  | 288 |
| Value per Unit, Concluded | $\$ 4,320,000$ |
| Units | $\$ 4,320,000$ |
| Value, Indicated |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

As the table indicates, we estimate the value of the subject property as $\$ 4,320,000$ using the sales comparison approach to value.

## Cost Approach

The cost approach to value entails preparing an estimate of the value of the land and adding an estimate of the replacement cost of the building and improvements, less any physical, economic, or functional depreciation or obsolescence. Implicit in the cost approach is that a knowledgeable buyer would pay no more for a property than it would cost to replace.

Definition of the Cost Approach
The Cost Approach is defined in The Appraisal of Real Estate, Twelfth Edition, (Appraisal Institute, 2001, p. 63) as:

In the cost approach, the value of a property is derived by adding the estimated value of the land to the current cost of constructing a reproduction or replacement for the improvements and then subtracting the amount of depreciation (i.e., deterioration and obsolescence) in the structures from all causes. Entrepreneurial profit and/or incentive may be included in the value indication. This approach is particularly useful in valuing new or nearly new improvements and properties that are not frequently exchanged in the market.

## Methodology

The cost approach is summarized below:

1) Estimate the value of the vacant land for the subject property at its highest \& best use as discussed previously in this report.
2) Estimate the replacement cost for the building and improvements.
3) Add the replacement cost and the land value to estimate the total development cost - the total cost new - for the subject property, including entrepreneurial profit.
4) Estimate and deduct depreciation - including functional obsolescence, physical deterioration and external obsolescence - from total development costs to arrive at an estimate of value for the subject property.

Our discussion is broken down into the following subsections: (1) Land Value, (2) Replacement Cost, (3) Total Development Cost, (4) Depreciation, and (5) Valuation. We begin with our land value estimate.

Land Value
The following table shows our estimate of land value using from the previous section:

|  | Land Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sales Price per Unit, Minimum | $\$ 4,040$ |
| Sales Price per Unit, Maximum | $\$ 4,160$ |
| Sales Price per Unit, Average | $\$ 4,098$ |
|  |  |
| Land Value per Unit, Indicated | $\$ 4,000$ |
| Units | $\$ 1,152,000$ |
| Land Value, Indicated | $\$ 1,150,000$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates

As our analysis shows, we estimate $\$ 1,150,000$ or $\$ 4,000$ per unit as the value of the subject property on an "as if vacant" basis.

## Replacement Cost

In this section we estimate the replacement cost of the subject property. We used Marshall Valuation Service for guidance with respect to these costs. Percentages for general requirements, builder's overhead, builder's profit, contingency, and developer's fees are typical for affordable housing developments. These percentages and the
owner cost estimates came from the appraiser's files for similar projects.
Our replecement cost estimate follows:

| Replacement Cost |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Total | per Unit | per GBSF | Notes |
| Off-Site Improvements | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |  |
| Site Work | \$2,456,064 | \$8,528 | \$8.90 | \$10000/Ut+MVS Multipliers (S99) |
| Unit Structures (New) | \$17,322,237 | \$60,147 | \$62.80 | MVS C/Avg (S12-P16) |
| Appliance Package | \$429,811 | \$1,492 | \$1.56 | MVS Appliance/Avg (S12-P41) |
| Subtotal | \$20,208,112 | \$70,167 | \$73.26 |  |
| General Requirements | \$1,212,487 | \$4,210 | \$4.40 | 6\% of Subtotal |
| Builder's Overhead | \$404,162 | \$1,403 | \$1.47 | 2\% of Subtotal |
| Builder's Profit | \$1,616,649 | \$5,613 | \$5.86 | 8\% of Subtotal |
| Bonding Fee | \$404,162 | \$1,403 | \$1.47 | 2\% of Subtotal |
| Contingency | \$1,010,406 | \$3,508 | \$3.66 | 5\% of Subtotal |
| Contractor Cost | \$24,855,978 | \$86,305 | \$90.11 |  |
| Building Permit | \$144,000 | \$500 | \$0.52 | \$500/Ut from AAC Files |
| Arch./Engin. Design Fee | \$288,000 | \$1,000 | \$1.04 | \$1000/Ut from AAC Files |
| Arch. Supervision Fee | \$72,000 | \$250 | \$0.26 | \$250/Ut from AAC Files |
| Tap Fees | \$288,000 | \$1,000 | \$1.04 | \$1000/Ut from AAC Files |
| Soil Borings | \$72,000 | \$250 | \$0.26 | \$250/Ut from AAC Files |
| Construction Loan Fee | \$72,000 | \$250 | \$0.26 | \$250/Ut from AAC Files |
| Construction Interest | \$144,000 | \$500 | \$0.52 | \$500/Ut from AAC Files |
| Taxes During Construction | \$72,000 | \$250 | \$0.26 | \$250/Ut from AAC Files |
| Insurance During Construction | \$72,000 | \$250 | \$0.26 | \$250/Ut from AAC Files |
| Title and Recording | \$72,000 | \$250 | \$0.26 | \$250/Ut from AAC Files |
| Legal Fees for Closing | \$72,000 | \$250 | \$0.26 | \$250/Ut from AAC Files |
| Permanent Loan Fee | \$72,000 | \$250 | \$0.26 | \$250/Ut from AAC Files |
| Environmental Study | \$2,500 | \$9 | \$0.01 | \$2500 flat from AAC Files |
| Appraisal Fee | \$5,000 | \$17 | \$0.02 | \$5000 flat from AAC Files |
| Market Study | \$5,000 | \$17 | \$0.02 | \$5000 flat from AAC Files |
| Lease Up Reserve | \$1,008,000 | \$3,500 | \$3.65 | \$3500/Ut from AAC Files |
| Owner Cost | \$2,460,500 | \$8,543 | \$8.92 |  |
| Subtotal | \$27,316,478 | \$94,849 | \$99.03 |  |
| Developer's Fees | \$2,731,648 | \$9,485 | \$9.90 | 10\% of Subtotal |
| Replacement Cost | \$30,048,125 | \$104,334 | \$108.94 |  |

Source: Marshall Valuation Service; Allen \& Associates

Our analysis suggests a replacement cost of $\$ 30,048,125$ or $\$ 104,334$ per unit.

## Total Development Cost

The following table shows our estimate of total development cost for the subject property:

|  | Total Development Cost |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\$ /$ Unit |
| Replacement Cost | $\$ 30,048,125$ | $\$ 104,334$ |
| Land Value | $\$ 1,150,000$ | $\$ 3,993$ |
| Total Development Cost | $\$ 31,198,125$ | $\$ 108,327$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Our analysis suggests a total development cost of $\$ 31,198,125$ or $\$ 108,327$ per unit.

## Depreciation

Depreciation, with respect to real estate, is considered a loss in value. A depreciation-based loss in value can result from two factors: obsolescence and physical deterioration.

Obsolescence exists in two forms: Functional and external. Functional obsolescence is any loss in value which results from an inherent deficiency existing from poor design, layout, improper orientation of the building site, etc., which detracts from the desirability or utility of the property. External obsolescence is the diminished utility arising from factors external to the property such as regulatory agreements, deed restrictions, economic forces or environmental changes that affect the supply/demand relationship in the market.

Physical deterioration exists in two forms: Curable and incurable. If the cost to cure can be recovered in the marketplace, the physical deterioration is considered curable. Otherwise, the physical deterioration is considered incurable.

Deed restrictions for tax credit and bond financed properties (the most common rent restricted properties in the marketplace) prohibit resale for at least 15 years. As a result, there are no sales of new or newly-renovated rent restricted properties from which to extract depreciation. Consequently, we estimated depreciation by partitioning net operating income. Our approach follows:

1) Apply the estimated capitalization rate for an unrestricted property (derived later in this report) to total development cost to arrive at the net operating income necessay to support the construction of the subject property. This is sometimes referred to as feasibility net operating income:

| Total Development Cost | $\$ 31,198,125$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cap Rate for Unrestricted Property | $6.80 \%$ |
| Net Operating Income, Feasibility | $\$ 2,121,473$ |

2) Apply the estimated capitalization rate for vacant multifamily land to the vacant land value to arrive at the net operating income attributable to the land. Our land capitalization rate came from RealtyRates.com:

| Land Value | $\$ 1,150,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cap Rate, Vacant Land | $5.00 \%$ |
| Net Operating Income, Vacant Land | $\$ 57,500$ |

3) Deduct the land net operating income (2) from the feasibility net operating income (1) to arrive at the net operating income necessary to support the replacement costs at the subject property:

| Net Operating Income, Feasibility | $\$ 2,121,473$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Operating Income, Vacant Land | $\$ 57,500$ |
| Net Operating Income, Replacement Costs | $\$ 2,063,973$ |

4) Deduct the land net operating income (2) from the net operating income derived in our income approach to arrive at the net operating income necessary to support the depreciated improvements at the subject property:

| Net Operating Income, Income Approach | $\$ 337,973$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Operating Income, Vacant Land | $\$ 57,500$ |
| Net Operating Income, Depreciated Improvements | $\$ 280,473$ |

5) Deduct the depreciated improvement net operating income (4) from the replacement cost net operating income (3) to arrive at the net operating income lost to depreciation at the subject property:

| Net Operating Income, Replacement Costs | $\$ 2,063,973$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Operating Income, Depreciated Improvements | $\$ 280,473$ |
| Net Operating Income, Lost to Depreciation | $\$ 1,783,499$ |

6) Divide the net operating income lost to depreciation (5) by the replacement cost net operating income (3) to arrive at the percentage of depreciation from all sources on an "as complete \& stabilized" basis for the subject property:

| Net Operating Income, Lost to Depreciation | $\$ 1,783,499$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Operating Income, Replacement Costs | $\$ 2,063,973$ |
| Depreciation, All Sources \% | $86.4 \%$ |

7) Multiply the percentage of depreciation from all sources (6) by replacement cost to arrive at the dollar amount of depreciation from all sources on an "as complete \& stabilized" basis for the subject

| Replacement Cost | $\$ 30,048,125$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Depreciation, All Sources \% | $86.4 \%$ |
| Depreciation, All Sources | $\$ 25,964,887$ |

Our analysis suggests $\$ 25,964,887$ of depreciation for the subject property on an "as complete \& stabilized" basis. This figure includes obsolescence (functional and external) as well as phyiscal deterioration (curable and incurable).

In the discussion that follows, we break depreciation out between obsolescence and physical deterioration. Our discussion begins with physical deterioration.

## Physical Deterioration

In this section we estimate physical deterioration - the depreciation representing the curable and incurable physical wear and tear of the subject property. First, we estimate the percent of physical deterioration by dividing the postrenovation effective age by the anticipated useful life (50 years per Marshall Valuation Service). Then we apply the percent of physical deterioration to the replacement cost to arrive at the estimated physical deterioration for the subject property on an "as complete \& stabilized" basis:

|  | Physical Deterioration |
| :--- | :---: |
| Useful Life (Years) | 50 |
| Effective Age (Years) | 10 |
|  |  |
| Replacement Cost | $\$ 30,048,125$ |
| Physical Deterioration \% | $20 \%$ |
| Physical Deterioration |  |
| Source: Marshall Valuation Service; Allen \& Associates |  |

Our analysis suggests $\$ 6,009,625$ of physical deterioration for the subject property on an "as complete \& stabilized" basis. Because the proposed renovation will resolve all curable items, this figure consists entirely of incurable physical deterioration.

## Functional Obsolescence

Having reviewed the proposed plans for the subject property, and having reviewed and compared the subject to competitive rentals, we do not anticipate any functional obsolescence for the subject property. The development, amenities, and unit configurations are all positioned well within the competitive marketplace.

## External Obsolescence

External obsolescence occurs when rents are not high enough to support construction costs. External obsolescence arises from factors external to the property such as regulatory agreements, deed restrictions,
economic forces or environmental changes that affect the supply/demand relationship in the market. Deducting physical deterioration and functional obsolescence from total depreciation yields external obsolescence for the subject property on an "as complete \& stabilized" basis. Our estimate follows:

| External Obsolescence |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Depreciation, All Sources | $\$ 25,964,887$ |
| Physical Deterioration, Curable | $\$ 0$ |
| Physical Deterioration, Incurable | $\$ 6,009,625$ |
| Functional Obsolescence | $\$ 0$ |
| External Obsolescence | $\$ 19,955,262$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Our analysis suggests $\$ 19,955,262$ of external obsolescence for the subject property on an "as complete \& stabilized" basis.

Valuation
The following table summarizes our valuation using the cost approach:

| Value, Cost Approach |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Replacement Cost | $\$ 30,048,125$ |
| Physical Deterioration, Curable | $\$ 0$ |
| Physical Deterioration, Incurable | $\$ 6,009,625$ |
| Functional Obsolescence | $\$ 0$ |
| External Obsolescence | $\$ 25,964,887$ |
| Depreciation, All Sources | $\$ 4,083,238$ |
|  | $\$ 1,150,000$ |
| Depreciated Cost of Improvements | $\$ 5,233,238$ |
| Land Value | $\$ 5,235,000$ |
| Value, Indicated |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

We have analyzed the cost to buy vacant land and build a property offering the same utility as the subject property. All forms of depreciation have been considered. We estimate the value of the subject property via the cost approach to be $\$ 5,235,000$.

## Reconciliation

A discussion of the three approaches to value follows:

## Income Approach

This approach is the most reliable methodology for valuing income-producing real estate such as the subject property. Consequently, the income approach is considered the best indicator of value.

## Sales Comparison Approach

There are no sales of new or newly-renovated rent restricted properties to develop as rent- and incomerestricted sales comparables. Consequently, we used unrestricted market rate properties in our sales comparison approach. As a result, we do not place much weight on the values derived under this approach to value.

## Cost Approach

We do not place much weight on the cost approach. This is because of the large amount of external obsolescence normally associated with projects like the subject property.

The estimated value of the subject property is shown below:

| Value, Reconciled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Weight | Value |
| Value, Income Approach | $80 \%$ | $\$ 4,830,000$ |
| Value, Sales Comparison Approach | $10 \%$ | $\$ 4,320,000$ |
| Value, Cost Approach | $10 \%$ | $\$ 5,235,000$ |
|  |  |  |
| Value, Reconciled |  | $\$ 4,820,000$ |
| Marketing Time |  | 12 Months |
| Exposure Time | 12 Months |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

As our analysis shows, we estimate $\$ 4,820,000$ as the market value of the property subject to restricted rents on an "as complete \& stabilized" basis.

Please note: The values provided in this report are subject to the rent and financing assumptions, the construction or rehabilitation, and the operation of the subject property as set forth in this analysis. The conclusions are subject to the timing assumptions as described in this report.

The findings and conclusions reported are based on the conditions that exist as of the effective date of this report. These factors are subject to change and may alter, or otherwise affect the findings and conclusions presented in this report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report presents an accurate evaluation of market conditions for the subject property as of the effective date of this report. While this analysis is based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable, no guarantee is made of its accuracy.

## Supplemental Values

In this section we derive (1) the "as complete \& stabilized" value subject to unrestricted rents, (2) the value associated with any favorable financing on the subject property, (3) the value of any additional debt capacity at the subject property, and (4) the value of the tax credits associated with the subject property. We begin our discussion by looking at the "as complete \& stabilized" value subject to unrestricted rents:

## Value, As Complete \& Stabilized, Unrestricted

In this section we derive an "as complete \& stabilized" opinion of value for the subject property as of December 1,2016 based on the hypothetical assumption that the subject is an unrestricted market rate property. The purpose of this exercise is to evaluate the effects of the rent restrictions on the subject property. We begin with our restricted rent pro forma (developed earlier) and make the following changes:

## Gross Potential Rent

A total of $\$ 2,253,360$ per year. This amount reflects the following rents for the subject property:

| Gross Potential Rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Monthly Rent | Monthly GPR | Annual GPR |
| 1BR-1BA-544sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$530 | \$12,190 | \$146,280 |
| 1BR-1BA-623sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$530 | \$12,190 | \$146,280 |
| 1BR-1BA-579sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$530 | \$1,060 | \$12,720 |
| 1BR-1BA-576sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 3 | \$530 | \$1,590 | \$19,080 |
| 2BR-1BA-733sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 71 | \$630 | \$44,730 | \$536,760 |
| 2BR-1BA-878sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 10 | \$630 | \$6,300 | \$75,600 |
| 2BR-1BA-713sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$630 | \$3,780 | \$45,360 |
| 2BR-1BA-748sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$630 | \$3,780 | \$45,360 |
| 2BR-1BA-744sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 53 | \$630 | \$33,390 | \$400,680 |
| 3BR-2BA-1074sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 62 | \$730 | \$45,260 | \$543,120 |
| 3BR-2BA-1064sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$730 | \$4,380 | \$52,560 |
| 4BR-2BA-1167sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$830 | \$4,980 | \$59,760 |
| 4BR-2BA-1197sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$830 | \$4,980 | \$59,760 |
| 4BR-2BA-1171sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$830 | \$5,810 | \$69,720 |
| 4BR-2BA-1438sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 4 | \$840 | \$3,360 | \$40,320 |
| Total/Average |  |  | 288 | \$652 | \$187,780 | \$2,253,360 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

These rents reflect unrestricted market rents for the subject property (introduced previously in this report).

## Vacancy \& Collection Loss

A total of $\$ 181,724$ per year. Our analysis assumes 8.0 percent of gross potential income versus 7.0 percent on a restricted rent basis. Our estimate is based on the performance of similar unrestricted properties in the marketplace (as set forth previously in the supply analysis section of this report).

## Total Maintenance \& Operating

A total of $\$ 412,337$ per year. Our analysis assumes $\$ 72,000$ in savings on an unrestricted basis. Our estimate is based on maintenance \& operating expenses at similar properties in the marketplace.

## Total Administrative

A total of $\$ 498,236$ per year. Our analysis assumes $\$ 72,000$ in savings on an unrestricted basis. Our estimate is based on administrative expenses at similar properties in the marketplace.

## Real Estate Taxes

Our analysis assumes that the income approach is used to assess the subject property on an unrestricted basis. In our analysis we use a 6.80 percent capitalization rate, a 40.0 percent assessment ratio and a 4.135 percent tax rate. Applying these rates to the pro forma net operating income results in estimated taxes of $\$ 176,927$ per year on an unrestricted basis.

## Capitalization Rate

Based on the most recent Commercial Loan Direct FNMA rates, a loan for the subject property in today's lending environment would carry a 4.76 percent rate, a 30-year amortization period, and an 10-year term. The debt coverage ratio and loan to value for this loan would come to 1.20 and 80 percent, respectively. This results in a loan constant of 0.063 . Using the formula found above, we estimate a capitalization rate of 6.02 percent as found in the following table:

| Capitalization Rate, Debt Coverage Ratio Method |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 10-Year Treasury Rate | $2.28 \%$ |
| Spread Over Base | $2.48 \%$ |
| Interest Rate | $4.76 \%$ |
| Amortization, Years | 30 |
| Term, Years | 10 |
| Debt Coverage Ratio, DCR | 1.20 |
| Loan to Value, M | $80 \%$ |
| Loan Constant, Rm | 0.063 |
|  |  |
| Capitalization Rate, Debt Coverage Ratio Method | $6.02 \%$ |
| Source: |  |

Using the loan constant of 0.063 from above, an equity dividend rate of 0.075 and a loan to value of 80 percent, we estimate a capitalization rate of 6.51 percent on an unrestricted basis using the band of investment method as found in the following table:

| Capitalization Rate, Band of Investment Method |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 10-Year Treasury Rate | $2.28 \%$ |
| Spread Over Base | $2.48 \%$ |
| Interest Rate | $4.76 \%$ |
| Amortization, Years | 30 |
| Term, Years | 10 |
| Loan Constant, Rm | 0.063 |
| Equity Dividend Rate, Re | 0.075 |
| Loan to Value, M | $80 \%$ |
| Equity to Value, (1-M) | $20 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Capitalization Rate, Band of Investment Method | $6.51 \%$ |
| Source: CLD FNMA Rates; RealtyRates.com |  |

Source: CLD FNMA Rates; RealtyRates.com

The following table sets forth our overall capitalization rate conclusion for the subject property on an unrestricted basis:

Capitalization Rate, Conclusion

| Approach | Weight | Value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Capitalization Rate, Market Extracted | $33 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ |
| Capitalization Rate, Dedt Coverage Ratio Method | $33 \%$ | $6.02 \%$ |
| Capitalization Rate, Band of Investment Method | $33 \%$ | $6.51 \%$ |
| Capitalization Rate, Conclusion |  | $6.80 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates

The table shows a range of 6.02 to 8.0 percent. In our opinion, 6.80 percent is an appropriate capitalization rate for the subject property on an unrestricted basis.

In the table found below, we show these changes and the resulting effect of the rent restrictions on value:

|  | The Effects of Rent Restrictions on Value |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As C\&S, | As C\&S, | Effect |
| Category | Restricted | Unrestricted | (1,794,768 |
| Gross Potential Rent | $\$ 2,253,360$ | $\$ 458,592$ |  |
| Laundry and Vending | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |  |
| Interest Income | $\$ 6,505$ | $\$ 6,505$ |  |
| Tenant Charges | $\$ 9,628$ | $\$ 9,628$ |  |
| Other Income | $\$ 2,060$ | $\$ 2,060$ |  |
| Gross Potential Income | $\$ 1,812,961$ | $\$ 2,271,553$ | $\$ 458,592$ |
| Vacancy \& Collection Loss | $\$ 126,907$ | $\$ 181,724$ | $\$ 54,817$ |
| Effective Gross Income | $\$ 1,686,054$ | $\$ 2,089,829$ | $\$ 403,775$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total Maintenance \& Operating | $\$ 484,337$ | $\$ 412,337$ | $-\$ 72,000$ |
| Total Utilities | $\$ 127,833$ | $\$ 127,833$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Administrative | $\$ 570,236$ | $\$ 498,236$ | $-\$ 72,000$ |
| Real Estate Taxes | $\$ 18,570$ | $\$ 176,927$ | $\$ 158,357$ |
| Property \& Liability Insurance | $\$ 45,954$ | $\$ 45,954$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Replacement Reserves | $\$ 101,150$ | $\$ 101,150$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 1,348,081$ | $\$ 1,362,438$ | $\$ 14,357$ |
| Net Operating Income |  |  |  |
| Cap Rate | $\$ 337,973$ | $\$ 727,391$ | $\$ 389,418$ |
| Value | $7.00 \%$ | $6.80 \%$ | $-0.20 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates
As the table indicates, we estimate that the rent restrictions have a $\$ 5,869,000$ (rounded) impact on value. This leads us to the following hypothetical value conclusion for the subject property as if unrestricted:

| Value, As Complete \& Stabilized, Unrestricted |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Value, As Complete \& Stabilized, Restricted | $\$ 4,820,000$ |
| Effect of Rent Restrictions on Value | $\$ 5,869,000$ |
| Value, As Complete \& Stabilized, Unrestricted | $\$ 10,689,000$ |
| Source: Allen \& Associates |  |

## Favorable Financing

In this section we analyze the proposed sources of funds associated with this transaction in an effort to value any favorable financing. For purposes of this discussion, we define favorable financing as below-market debt including low-interest loans, grants and subordinated financing paid out of available cash flow.

## CB\&T Permanent Loan

The subject property includes a loan with an initial principal balance of $\$ 2,010,309$. The loan carries an interest rate of 5.50 percent. Based on the most recent Commercial Loan Direct FNMA rates, market rate financing for a fully-amortizing 30 -year loan would carry an interest rate of 5.440 percent. In the discussion below we derive the value associated with the proposed financing.

The first step in our analysis is to calculate the present value of the monthly debt service saved over the term of an equivalent market rate loan (see the table below). We begin by subtracting the monthly loan payment at the borrower rate \& terms (Line 9) from the monthly loan payment at the market rate \& terms (Line 4). This figure, which represents monthly debt service saved (Line 11), is spread over the term of the market rate loan and discounted back at market rates to yield the present value of the monthly debt service saved (Line 14). Our calculations are found below with the corresponding HP 12c keystrokes for the reader's reference:

| Present Value of Monthly Debt Service Saved |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | Amount | HP 12c | Description |
| 1 | \$2,010,309 | PV | Initial Loan Balance |
| 2 | 5.440\% | gi | Interest Rate, Market |
| 3 | 30 | gn | Amortization, Market |
| 4 | \$11,339 | PMT | Payment, Market Interest \& Amortization |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 | \$2,010,309 | PV | Initial Loan Balance |
| 7 | 5.500\% | gi | Interest Rate, Borrower |
| 8 | 20 | gn | Amortization, Borrower |
| 9 | \$13,829 | PMT | Payment, Borrower Interest \& Amortization |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 11 | -\$2,490 | PMT | Monthly Debt Service Saved (Line 4 - Line 9) |
| 12 | 5.440\% | gi | Interest Rate, Market |
| 13 | 30 | gn | Term, Market |
| 14 | -\$441,450 | PV | Present Value of Monthly Debt Service Saved |

The next step in our analysis is to calculate the present value of the balloon payment of the loan at the end of the term of an equivalent market rate loan (see the table below). We begin by calculating the monthly payment at borrower rate \& terms (Line 18). Next, we use this monthly payment to figure the future value of the balloon payment due at the end of the term of an equivalent market rate loan (Line 20). Finally, we discount this loan payment at market interest rates and terms to arrive at the present value of the balloon payment (Line 24). Our calculations are found below with the corresponding HP 12c keystrokes for the reader's reference:

| Present Value of Balloon Payment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Line | Amount | HP 12c | Description |
| 15 | $\$ 2,010,309$ | PV | Initial Loan Balance |
| 16 | $5.500 \%$ | g i | Amortization, Borrower |
| 17 | 20 | g n | Payment, Note Interest \& Amortization |
| 18 | $\$ 13,829$ | PMT | Term, Market |
| 19 | 30 | g n | Balloon Payment at End of Term |
| 20 | $\$ 0$ | FV | Set Payment to \$0 |
| 21 | $\$ 0$ | PMT | Interest Rate, Market |
| 22 | $5.440 \%$ | g i | Term, Market |
| 23 | 30 | g n | Present Value of Balloon Payment |
| 24 | $\$ 0$ | PV |  |

Finally, we subtract the present value of the balloon payment (Line 24) from the present value of the monthly debt service saved (Line 14) to arrive at the value associated with the loan at the proposed rates \& terms as shown below:

Favorable Financing Value

| Present Value of Monthly Debt Service Saved | $-\$ 441,450$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Present Value of Balloon Payment | $-\$ 441,450$ |
| Indicated Value | $\$ 0$ |
|  | $\$ 0$ |

Our analysis suggests a favorable financing value of $\$ 0$ (rounded) for this proposed loan.

## HACG Acquisition Loan

The subject property includes a loan with an initial principal balance of $\$ 5,790,000$. The loan carries an interest rate of 3.240 percent. Based on the most recent Commercial Loan Direct FNMA rates, market rate financing for a fully-amortizing 30 -year loan would carry an interest rate of 5.440 percent. In the discussion below we derive
the value associated with the proposed financing.
The first step in our analysis is to calculate the present value of the monthly debt service saved over the term of an equivalent market rate loan (see the table below). We begin by subtracting the monthly loan payment at the borrower rate \& terms (Line 9) from the monthly loan payment at the market rate \& terms (Line 4). This figure, which represents monthly debt service saved (Line 11), is spread over the term of the market rate loan and discounted back at market rates to yield the present value of the monthly debt service saved (Line 14). Our calculations are found below with the corresponding HP 12c keystrokes for the reader's reference:

| Line | Amount | HP 12c | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$5,790,000 | PV | Initial Loan Balance |
| 2 | 5.440\% | gi | Interest Rate, Market |
| 3 | 30 | gn | Amortization, Market |
| 4 | \$32,657 | PMT | Payment, Market Interest \& Amortization |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 | \$5,790,000 | PV | Initial Loan Balance |
| 7 | 3.240\% | gi | Interest Rate, Borrower |
| 8 | grant | gn | Amortization, Borrower |
| 9 | \$0 | PMT | Payment, Borrower Interest \& Amortization |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 11 | \$32,657 | PMT | Monthly Debt Service Saved (Line 4-Line 9) |
| 12 | 5.440\% | gi | Interest Rate, Market |
| 13 | 30 | g n | Term, Market |
| 14 | \$5,790,000 | PV | Present Value of Monthly Debt Service Saved |

The next step in our analysis is to calculate the present value of the balloon payment of the loan at the end of the term of an equivalent market rate loan (see the table below). We begin by calculating the monthly payment at borrower rate \& terms (Line 18). Next, we use this monthly payment to figure the future value of the balloon payment due at the end of the term of an equivalent market rate loan (Line 20). Finally, we discount this loan payment at market interest rates and terms to arrive at the present value of the balloon payment (Line 24). Our calculations are found below with the corresponding HP 12c keystrokes for the reader's reference:

| Present Value of Balloon Payment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Line | Amount | HP 12c | Description |
| 15 | $\$ 5,790,000$ | PV | Initial Loan Balance |
| 16 | $3.240 \%$ | g i | Amortization, Borrower |
| 17 | grant | g n | Payment, Note Interest \& Amortization |
| 18 | $\$ 0$ | PMT | Term, Market |
| 19 | 30 | g n | Balloon Payment at End of Term |
| 20 | $\$ 0$ | FV | Set Payment to \$0 |
| 21 | $\$ 0$ | PMT | Interest Rate, Market |
| 22 | $5.440 \%$ | g i | Term, Market |
| 23 | 30 | g n | Present Value of Balloon Payment |
| 24 | $\$ 0$ | PV |  |

Finally, we subtract the present value of the balloon payment (Line 24) from the present value of the monthly debt service saved (Line 14) to arrive at the value associated with the loan at the proposed rates \& terms as shown below:

Favorable Financing Value

| Present Value of Monthly Debt Service Saved | $\$ 5,790,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Present Value of Balloon Payment | $\$ 0$ |
| Indicated Value | $\$ 5,790,000$ |

Our analysis suggests a favorable financing value of $\$ 5,790,000$ (rounded) for this proposed loan.

## HACG Subordinate Loan

The subject property includes a loan with an initial principal balance of $\$ 1,383,065$. The loan carries an interest rate of 0.250 percent. Based on the most recent Commercial Loan Direct FNMA rates, market rate financing for a fully-amortizing 30 -year loan would carry an interest rate of 5.440 percent. In the discussion below we derive the value associated with the proposed financing.

The first step in our analysis is to calculate the present value of the monthly debt service saved over the term of an equivalent market rate loan (see the table below). We begin by subtracting the monthly loan payment at the borrower rate \& terms (Line 9) from the monthly loan payment at the market rate \& terms (Line 4). This figure, which represents monthly debt service saved (Line 11), is spread over the term of the market rate loan and discounted back at market rates to yield the present value of the monthly debt service saved (Line 14). Our calculations are found below with the corresponding HP 12c keystrokes for the reader's reference:

| Present Value of Monthly Debt Service Saved |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Line | Amount | HP 12c | Description |
| 1 | $\$ 1,383,065$ | PV | Initial Loan Balance |
| 2 | $5.440 \%$ | g i | Interest Rate, Market |
| 3 | 30 | g n | Amortization, Market |
| 4 | $\$ 7,801$ | PMT | Payment, Market Interest \& Amortization |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 | $\$ 1,383,065$ | PV | Initial Loan Balance |
| 7 | $0.250 \%$ | g i | Interest Rate, Borrower |
| 8 | grant | g n | Amortization, Borrower |
| 9 | $\$ 0$ | PMT | Payment, Borrower Interest \& Amortization |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 11 | $\$ 7,801$ | PMT |  |
| 12 | $5.440 \%$ | g i | Monthly Debt Service Saved (Line 4 - Line 9) |
| 13 | 30 | g n | Interest Rate, Market |
| 14 | $\$ 1,383,065$ | PV | Present Value of Monthly Debt Service Saved |

The next step in our analysis is to calculate the present value of the balloon payment of the loan at the end of the term of an equivalent market rate loan (see the table below). We begin by calculating the monthly payment at borrower rate \& terms (Line 18). Next, we use this monthly payment to figure the future value of the balloon payment due at the end of the term of an equivalent market rate loan (Line 20). Finally, we discount this loan payment at market interest rates and terms to arrive at the present value of the balloon payment (Line 24). Our calculations are found below with the corresponding HP 12c keystrokes for the reader's reference:

| Present Value of Balloon Payment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Line | Amount | HP 12c | Description |
| 15 | $\$ 1,383,065$ | PV | Initial Loan Balance |
| 16 | $0.250 \%$ | g i | Interest Rate, Borrower |
| 17 | grant | g n | Amortization, Borrower |
| 18 | $\$ 0$ | PMT | Payment, Note Interest \& Amortization |
| 19 | 30 | g n | Term, Market |
| 20 | $\$ 0$ | FV | Balloon Payment at End of Term |
| 21 | $\$ 0$ | PMT | Set Payment to \$0 |
| 22 | $5.440 \%$ | g i | Interest Rate, Market |
| 23 | 30 | g n | Term, Market |
| 24 | $\$ 0$ | PV | Present Value of Balloon Payment |

Finally, we subtract the present value of the balloon payment (Line 24) from the present value of the monthly debt service saved (Line 14) to arrive at the value associated with the loan at the proposed rates \& terms as shown below:

| Favorable Financing Value |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Present Value of Monthly Debt Service Saved | $\$ 1,383,065$ |
| Present Value of Balloon Payment | $\$ 0$ |
| Indicated Value | $\$ 1,383,065$ |
|  |  |
| Favorable Financing Value | $\$ 1,383,000$ |

Our analysis suggests a favorable financing value of $\$ 1,383,000$ (rounded) for this proposed loan.

## Tax Credit Equity

In this section we estimate the value of the tax credits associated with the subject property. Tax Credit Advisor tracks national equity pricing and publishes annual statistics for the affordable housing industry. According to Tax Credit Advisor, federal equity pricing for most deals today range from $\$ 0.80$ to $\$ 1.00$. State tax credit pricing ranges from $\$ 0.50$ to $\$ 0.70$. Our estimate of tax credit equity value is found below:

| Value, Tax Credit Equity |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Annual Tax Credit, Federal | $\$ 764,395$ |
| Recovery Period, Years | 10 |
| Total Tax Credit, Federal | $\$ 7,643,950$ |
| Equity Dollars per Credit, Federal | $\$ 0.960$ |
| Gross Tax Credit Equity, Federal | $\$ 7,338,192$ |
| Percentage of Ownership Entity | $99.99 \%$ |
| Indicated Value, Tax Credit Equity, Federal | $\$ 7,337,458$ |
|  |  |
| Total Tax Credit, State | $\$ 7,643,950$ |
| Equity Dollars per Credit, State | $\$ 0.650$ |
| Gross Tax Credit Equity, State | $\$ 4,968,568$ |
| Percentage of Ownership Entity | $99.99 \%$ |
| Indicated Value, Tax Credit Equity, State | $\$ 4,968,071$ |
| Value, Tax Credit Equity | $\$ 12,305,000$ |

Source: Tax Credit Advisor; Allen \& Associates

Our analysis suggests a tax credit equity value of \$12,305,000.

Please note: The values provided in this report are subject to the rent and financing assumptions, the construction or rehabilitation, and the operation of the subject property as set forth in this analysis. The conclusions are subject to the timing assumptions as described in this report.

The findings and conclusions reported are based on the conditions that exist as of the effective date of this report. These factors are subject to change and may alter, or otherwise affect the findings and conclusions presented in this report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report presents an accurate evaluation of market conditions for the subject property as of the effective date of this report. While this analysis is based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable, no guarantee is made of its accuracy.

## VALUATION, AS IS

In this section we derive an "as is" opinion of value of the subject property for July 30, 2015. Our analysis addresses the three traditional approaches to value: The income approach, the sales comparison approach, and the cost approach. Consistent with our Highest \& Best Use Analysis, our valuation assumes conversion to an unrestricted market rate property. Our discussion begins with the income approach.

## Income Approach

In this section we will use the income approach to estimate the value of the subject property. The income approach estimates the present value of future financial benefits that can be derived from ownership.

We will use the direct capitalization method in our analysis. After ascertaining the net operating income that can reasonably be expected from the property by competent management, the net operating income is capitalized using a rate appropriate to investments of a similar type and category.

Our discussion begins with the definition of the income approach.

## Definition of the Income Approach

The income approach is defined in The Appraisal of Real Estate, Twelfth Edition, (Appraisal Institute, 2001, p. 64) as:

The present value of the future benefits of property ownership is measured. A property's income streams and its resale value upon reversion may be capitalized into a current, lump-sum value.

## Methodology

Our analysis began with a detailed review of similar properties in order to evaluate the likely operating characteristics of the subject property. Our review included a market rent analysis (found in the Rent Comparability Analysis Section of this report) and an operating expense analysis (found after this section).

In the discussion that follows we will develop an estimate of the value of the proposed development using the direct capitalization method. Our discussion is broken down into the following subsections: (1) Effective Gross Income Estimate, (2) Total Operating Expense Estimate, (3) Overall Capitalization Rate Estimate, and (4) Direct Capitalization Method. We begin with our effective gross income estimate.

## Effective Gross Income Estimate

Our effective gross income estimate consists of the following components:

## Gross Potential Rent

A total of $\$ 1,976,880$ per year. This amount reflects the following rents for the subject property:

| Gross Potential Rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Monthly Rent | Monthly GPR | Annual GPR |
| 1BR / 1BA / 544sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$450 | \$10,350 | \$124,200 |
| 1BR / 1BA / 623sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$450 | \$10,350 | \$124,200 |
| 1BR / 1BA / 579sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$450 | \$900 | \$10,800 |
| 1BR / 1BA / 576sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 3 | \$450 | \$1,350 | \$16,200 |
| 2BR / 1BA / 733sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 71 | \$550 | \$39,050 | \$468,600 |
| 2BR / 1BA / 878sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 10 | \$550 | \$5,500 | \$66,000 |
| 2BR / 1BA / 713sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$550 | \$3,300 | \$39,600 |
| 2BR / 1BA / 748sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$550 | \$3,300 | \$39,600 |
| 2BR / 1BA / 744sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 53 | \$550 | \$29,150 | \$349,800 |
| 3BR / 2BA / 1074sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 62 | \$650 | \$40,300 | \$483,600 |
| 3BR / 2BA / 1064sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$650 | \$3,900 | \$46,800 |


| 4BR / 2BA / 1167sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$750 | \$4,500 | \$54,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 \mathrm{BR} / 2 \mathrm{BA} / 1197 \mathrm{sf}$ / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 6 | \$750 | \$4,500 | \$54,000 |
| $4 \mathrm{BR} / 2 \mathrm{BA} / 1171 \mathrm{sf} / 60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 7 | \$750 | \$5,250 | \$63,000 |
| 4BR / 2BA / 1438sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 4 | \$760 | \$3,040 | \$36,480 |
| Total/Average |  |  | 288 | \$572 | \$164,740 | \$1,976,880 |

Source: Allen \& Associates
These rents reflect the "as is" unrestricted market rate rents for the subject property.

## Laundry and Vending

A total of \$0 per year or \$0 per unit.
Interest Income
A total of $\$ 6,505$ per year or $\$ 23$ per unit.

## Tenant Charges

A total of \$9,628 per year or \$33 per unit.

## Other Income

A total of $\$ 2,060$ per year or $\$ 7$ per unit.

## Vacancy \& Collection Loss

A total of $\$ 159,606$ per year or $\$ 554$ per unit. Our analysis assumes 6.0 percent of gross potential income in vacancy loss and 2.0 percent of gross potential income in collection loss. Our vacancy loss estimate is based on the historic performance of the subject property. Our collection loss estimate is based on discussions with owners of properties similar to the subject property.

Our effective gross income estimate comes to $\$ 1,835,467$ per year or $\$ 6,373$ per unit. Our estimate represents amounts in current dollars.

## Total Operating Expense Estimate

In this section we will develop a total operating expense estimate for the subject property. Our pro forma, which analyzes operating expenses on a per unit basis, is included at the end of this section.

Our total operating expense estimate consists of the following components:

## Total Maintenance \& Operating

A total of $\$ 405,149$ per year or $\$ 1,407$ per unit.
Includes maintenance \& repairs payroll, maintenance \& repairs supply, maintenance \& repairs contract, painting \& decorating, snow removal, elevator maintenance/contract, grounds, services, furniture \& furniture replacement, and other operating expenses.

## Total Utilities

A total of $\$ 127,833$ per year or $\$ 444$ per unit.
Includes electricity, water, sewer, fuel, garbage \& trash removal, and other utilities.

## Total Administrative

A total of $\$ 505,178$ per year or $\$ 1,754$ per unit.
Includes site management payroll, management fee, project audit expense, project bookkeeping/accounting, legal expenses, advertising, telephone \& answering service, office supplies, office furniture \& equipment, training expense, health insurance \& other benefits, payroll taxes, workman's compensation, and other administrative expenses.

## Total Taxes \& Insurance

A total of $\$ 64,524$ per year or $\$ 224$ per unit.

Includes real estate taxes, special assessments, other taxes, property \& liability insurance, fidelity coverage insurance, and other insurance.

Our tax estimate is based on the income approach. Applying a 7.40 percent capitalization rate, a 40.0 percent assessment ratio and a 4.135 percent tax rate to the pro forma net operating income results in estimated taxes of $\$ 110,952$ per year or $\$ 385$ per unit.

## Replacement Reserves

A total of \$144,000 per year or \$500 per unit. Our replacement reserve estimate is based on the historic operation of the subjecty property and the replacement reserve levels for comparable properties.

Our total operating expense estimate comes to $\$ 1,339,066$ per year or $\$ 4,650$ per unit.

Based on our review, we have concluded that our pro forma expense budget is a reasonable estimate of operating expenses for purposes of the analysis that follows. Our estimates represent amounts in current dollars.

Our pro forma operating budget is found at the end of this section. A cash flow projection is also provided.

## Capitalization Rate Estimate

In this section we derive an overall capitalization rate opinion for the subject property. Our analysis utilizes the following methods of estimating capitalization rates: (1) Market Extraction; (2) The Debt Service Coverage Method, and (3) The Band of Investment Method. Our discussion begins with market extracted capitalization rates:

## Market Extraction

In the table below we present capitalization rates from a number of recent sales in the region. In our opinion, the highlighted transactions are most similar to the subject property. In our opinion, the data gives us a good indication of how investors value multifamily properties in the region.

| Capitalization Rate, Market Extracted |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date Property Name | City, State, Zip | Units | Year Built | Cap Rate |
| 22-Jan-15 University Crossing | Columbus, GA 31907 | 48 | 2009 | 6.55\% |
| 01-Dec-14 The Social | Auburn, AL 36832 | 300 | 2003 | 7.10\% |
| 15-Jan-14 Willow Creek | Columbus, GA 31906 | 285 | 1968 | 8.85\% |
| 15-Nov-13 Westshore Landing | Auburn, AL 36830 | 112 | 1987 | 7.28\% |
| 31-Oct-13 Whispering Pines | Lagrange, GA 30241 | 216 | 1982 | 8.93\% |
| 12-Aug-13 Apartments at the Venue | Valley, AL 36854 | 618 | 2009 | 5.36\% |
| 12-Aug-13 Village West | Auburn, AL 36832 | 160 | 1980 | 5.36\% |
| 27-Jun-13 Courtyard II | Columbus, GA 31907 | 114 | 1970 | 8.85\% |
| 10-Jun-10 Tamarack | Auburn, AL 36832 | 160 | 1974 | 9.10\% |
| Market Extracted, Minimum |  |  |  | 6.55\% |
| Market Extracted, Maximum |  |  |  | 8.85\% |
| Market Extracted, Average |  |  |  | 8.08\% |
| Capitalization Rate, Market Extracted |  |  |  | 8.10\% |

Source: Real Capital Analytics

As the table shows, capitalization rates for the highlighted transactions range from 6.55 percent to 8.85 percent. This suggests an overall capitalization rate of 8.10 percent.

## Debt Coverage Ratio Method

Lender underwriting criteria normally include a minimum debt coverage ratio and a maximum loan to value for specific property types. Given these criteria, together with the loan constant for the proposed financing, it is possible to estimate the lender's implicit capitalization rate for the specific property. The lender method uses the following formula:

$$
\mathrm{Ro}=\mathrm{Rm} * \mathrm{DCR} * \mathrm{M}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Rm}=\text { loan constant } \\
& \mathrm{DCR} \text { = debt coverage ratio } \\
& M=\text { loan to value }
\end{aligned}
$$

We anticipate that in today's lending environment a loan for the subject property in its "as is" condition would carry a 6.03 percent rate (a 1 percent premium over the rate for a new or newly-renovated property), a 30-year amortization period, and an 10-year term. The debt coverage ratio and loan to value for this loan would come to 1.20 and 80 percent, respectively. This results in a loan constant of 0.072 . Using the formula found above, we estimate a capitalization rate of 6.93 percent as found in the following table:

| Capitalization Rate, Debt Coverage Ratio Method |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 10-Year Treasury Rate | $2.28 \%$ |
| Spread Over Base | $3.75 \%$ |
| Interest Rate | $6.03 \%$ |
| Amortization, Years | 30 |
| Term, Years | 10 |
| Debt Coverage Ratio, DCR | 1.20 |
| Loan to Value, M | $80 \%$ |
| Loan Constant, Rm | 0.072 |
|  |  |
| Capitalization Rate, Debt Coverage Ratio Method | $6.93 \%$ |
| Source: CLD FNMA Rates; RealtyRates.com |  |

> Source: CLD FNMA Rates; RealtyRates.com

## Band-of-Investment Method

The band of investment method uses current mortgage and equity requirements to estimate an overall capitalization rate. The loan constant and the equity dividend rate are weighted and combined to arrive at an estimate of the overall rate. The band of investment technique uses the following formula:

$$
\mathrm{Ro}=(\mathrm{M} * \mathrm{Rm})+[(1-\mathrm{M}) * \mathrm{Re}]
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Ro }=\text { the overall rate } & M=\text { loan to value ratio } \\
R m=\text { loan constant } & R e=\text { equity dividend rate }
\end{array}
$$

Using the loan constant of 0.072 from above, an equity dividend rate of 0.075 (based on the most recent RealtyRates.com Investor Survey), and a loan to value of 80 percent, we estimate a capitalization rate of 7.27 percent using the band of investment method as found in the following table:

Capitalization Rate, Band of Investment Method

| 10-Year Treasury Rate | $2.28 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Spread Over Base | $3.75 \%$ |
| Interest Rate | $6.03 \%$ |
| Amortization, Years | 30 |
| Term, Years | 10 |
| Loan Constant, Rm | 0.072 |


| Equity Dividend Rate, Re | 0.075 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Loan to Value, M | $80 \%$ |
| Equity to Value, (1-M) | $20 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Capitalization Rate, Band of Investment Method | $7.27 \%$ |

Source: CLD FNMA Rates; RealtyRates.com

## Capitalization Rate Conclusion

The following table sets forth our overall capitalization rate conclusion for the subject property using the methods described above:

| Capitalization Rate, Conclusion |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Weight | Value |
| Capitalization Rate, Market Extracted | $33 \%$ | $8.10 \%$ |
| Capitalization Rate, Dedt Coverage Ratio Method | $33 \%$ | $6.93 \%$ |
| Capitalization Rate, Band of Investment Method | $33 \%$ | $7.27 \%$ |
| Capitalization Rate, Conclusion |  | $7.40 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates
The table shows a range of 6.93 to 8.10 percent. In our opinion, 7.40 percent is an appropriate capitalization rate for the subject property.

## Value Estimate

In this section we will estimate the value of the subject property using the direct capitalization method. This technique is summarized below:

1) Estimate of the total rental income which the property is capable of producing based on allowable rental rates.
2) Add the estimated income from other sources to arrive at gross potential income (GPI) for the subject property.
3) Deduct an appropriate vacancy and collection loss factor to arrive at the effective gross income (EGI) for the subject property.
4) Deduct the estimated expenses and reserves to arrive at the estimated annual net operating income ( NOI ) for the subject property.
5) Capitalize the estimated annual net operating income at an appropriate overall capitalization rate to arrive at an estimate of the value of the complete and stabilized

For purposes of our analysis, we will utilize the income, expense, and capitalization rate estimates derived above.

The following table illustrates our estimate using this approach to value:

| Value, Income Approach |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current \$ |  |  |
| Category | Total | per Unit | per NRSF |
| Gross Potential Rent | $\$ 1,976,880$ | $\$ 6,864$ | $\$ 8.24$ |
| Laundry and Vending | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Interest Income | $\$ 6,505$ | $\$ 23$ | $\$ 0.03$ |
| Tenant Charges | $\$ 9,628$ | $\$ 33$ | $\$ 0.04$ |
| Other Income | $\$ 2,060$ | $\$ 7$ | $\$ 0.01$ |
| Gross Potential Income | $\$ 1,995,073$ | $\$ 6,927$ | $\$ 8.32$ |
| Vacancy \& Collection Loss | $\$ 159,606$ | $\$ 554$ | $\$ 0.67$ |
| Effective Gross Income | $\$ 1,835,467$ | $\$ 6,373$ | $\$ 7.65$ |


| Total Maintenance \& Operating | $\$ 405,149$ | $\$ 1,407$ | $\$ 1.69$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Utilities | $\$ 127,833$ | $\$ 444$ | $\$ 0.53$ |
| Total Administrative | $\$ 505,178$ | $\$ 1,754$ | $\$ 2.11$ |
| Total Taxes \& Insurance | $\$ 64,524$ | $\$ 224$ | $\$ 0.27$ |
| Replacement Reserves | $\$ 144,000$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 0.60$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 1,339,066$ | $\$ 4,650$ | $\$ 5.58$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Income | $\$ 496,401$ | $\$ 1,724$ | $\$ 2.07$ |
| Capitalization Rate | $7.40 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ |
| Value, Indicated | $\$ 6,708,121$ | $\$ 23,292$ | $\$ 27.97$ |
| Value, Income Approach | $\$ 6,710,000$ | $\$ 23,299$ | $\$ 27.98$ |

## Source: Allen \& Associates

As the table indicates, we estimate the value of the subject property as $\$ 6,710,000$ using the income approach.

OPERATING EXPENSE ANALYSIS

|  | Expense Comparables - \$/Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Historic Operations - \$/Unit |  |  |  |  |  | Appraiser's Estimate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Comp 1 | Comp 2 | Comp 3 | Comp 4 | Comp 5 | Min | Max | Avg | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | Min | Max | Avg | Total | per Unit | per NRSF |
| Gross Potential Rent | \$7,326 | \$7,374 | \$6,210 | \$6,185 | \$6,218 | \$6,185 | \$7,374 | \$6,663 | \$4,812 | \$4,768 | \$1,147 | \$1,147 | \$4,812 | \$3,576 | \$1,976,880 | \$6,864 | \$8.24 |
| Laundry and Vending | \$18 | \$28 | \$2 | \$1 | \$4 | \$1 | \$28 | \$11 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Interest Income | \$3 | \$0 | \$2 | \$2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3 | \$2 | \$45 | \$15 | \$7 | \$7 | \$45 | \$23 | \$6,505 | \$23 | \$0.03 |
| Tenant Charges | \$165 | \$319 | \$67 | \$173 | \$126 | \$67 | \$319 | \$170 | \$27 | \$29 | \$44 | \$27 | \$44 | \$33 | \$9,628 | \$33 | \$0.04 |
| Other Income | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$35 | \$35 | \$0 | \$35 | \$14 | \$0 | \$14 | \$7 | \$0 | \$14 | \$7 | \$2,060 | \$7 | \$0.01 |
| Gross Potential Income | \$7,513 | \$7,722 | \$6,282 | \$6,396 | \$6,383 | \$6,282 | \$7,722 | \$6,859 | \$4,885 | \$4,826 | \$1,206 | \$1,206 | \$4,885 | \$3,639 | \$1,995,073 | \$6,927 | \$8.32 |
| Vacancy \& Collection Loss | \$411 | \$495 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$495 | \$181 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$159,606 | \$554 | \$0.67 |
| Effective Gross Income | \$7,102 | \$7,226 | \$6,282 | \$6,396 | \$6,383 | \$6,282 | \$7,226 | \$6,678 | \$4,885 | \$4,826 | \$1,206 | \$1,206 | \$4,885 | \$3,639 | \$1,835,467 | \$6,373 | \$7.65 |
| Total Maintenance \& Operating | \$1,290 | \$1,233 | \$1,910 | \$1,333 | \$1,268 | \$1,233 | \$1,910 | \$1,407 | \$2,215 | \$1,917 | \$1,737 | \$1,737 | \$2,215 | \$1,957 | \$405,149 | \$1,407 | \$1.69 |
| Total Utilities | \$542 | \$547 | \$392 | \$524 | \$510 | \$392 | \$547 | \$503 | \$443 | \$418 | \$471 | \$418 | \$471 | \$444 | \$127,833 | \$444 | \$0.53 |
| Total Administrative | \$1,710 | \$1,928 | \$1,647 | \$1,854 | \$1,631 | \$1,631 | \$1,928 | \$1,754 | \$2,301 | \$2,221 | \$2,096 | \$2,096 | \$2,301 | \$2,206 | \$505,178 | \$1,754 | \$2.11 |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$217 | \$216 | \$0 | \$433 | \$398 | \$0 | \$433 | \$253 | \$64 | \$64 | \$66 | \$64 | \$66 | \$64 | \$110,952 | \$385 | \$0.46 |
| Property \& Liability Insurance | \$293 | \$293 | \$312 | \$181 | \$175 | \$175 | \$312 | \$251 | \$155 | \$167 | \$157 | \$155 | \$167 | \$160 | \$45,954 | \$160 | \$0.19 |
| Replacement Reserves | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$144,000 | \$500 | \$0.60 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$4,053 | \$4,216 | \$4,262 | \$4,326 | \$3,981 | \$3,981 | \$4,326 | \$4,168 | \$5,178 | \$4,787 | \$4,527 | \$4,527 | \$5,178 | \$4,830 | \$1,339,066 | \$4,650 | \$5.58 |
| Net Operating Income | \$3,049 | \$3,010 | \$2,020 | \$2,070 | \$2,401 | \$2,020 | \$3,049 | \$2,510 | -\$293 | \$39 | -\$3,321 | -\$3,321 | \$39 | -\$1,192 | \$496,401 | \$1,724 | \$2.07 |

## Sales Comparison Approach

The sales comparison approach to value seeks to identify those sales or offerings that may be comparable in terms of condition, amenities, quality, age, location, type, timing, financing terms, and motivation of buyers and sellers. No two properties are precisely comparable so adjustments must be made to account for discernible differences. This approach generally reflects the actions of buyers and sellers in an active marketplace.

## Definition of the Sales Comparison Approach

According to The Appraisal of Real Estate, Twelfth Edition, (Appraisal Institute, 2001, p. 63).

> The Sales Comparison Approach is most useful when a number of similar properties have recently been sold or are currently for sale in the subject property's market. Using this approach, an appraiser produces a value indication by comparing a subject property with similar properties, called comparable sales. The sale prices of the properties that are judged to be most comparable tend to indicate a range in which the value indication for the subject property will fall.

## Comparable Property Sales

In this section we develop our sales comparables. We have elected to use sale price per unit as our unit of comparison. This is one of the most common units of comparison for analyzing multifamily sales. Analysis of the sales comparables involved direct adjustments to the sale prices. In the previous section we identified several transactions which are most similar to the subject property (based primarily on age and location). A summary of these sales is found below with the most comparable properties highlighted. Detailed write-ups are found in the Appendix of this report.

| Sales Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Property Name | Units | Cap Rate | Price | NOI | Price/Ut | NOI/Ut |
| 22-Jan-15 | University Crossing | 48 | $6.55 \%$ | $\$ 4,350,000$ | $\$ 284,925$ | $\$ 90,625$ | $\$ 5,936$ |
| 01-Dec-14 | The Social | 300 | $7.10 \%$ | $\$ 21,950,000$ | $\$ 1,558,450$ | $\$ 73,167$ | $\$ 5,195$ |
| 15-Jan-14 | Willow Creek | 285 | $8.85 \%$ | $\$ 10,583,333$ | $\$ 936,625$ | $\$ 37,135$ | $\$ 3,286$ |
| 15-Nov-13 | Westshore Landing | 112 | $7.28 \%$ | $\$ 6,675,000$ | $\$ 485,940$ | $\$ 59,598$ | $\$ 4,339$ |
| 31-Oct-13 | Whispering Pines | 216 | $8.93 \%$ | $\$ 10,400,000$ | $\$ 928,720$ | $\$ 48,148$ | $\$ 4,300$ |
| 12-Aug-13 | Apartments at the Venue | 618 | $5.36 \%$ | $\$ 35,106,595$ | $\$ 1,881,713$ | $\$ 56,807$ | $\$ 3,045$ |
| 12-Aug-13 | Village West | 160 | $5.36 \%$ | $\$ 5,700,000$ | $\$ 305,520$ | $\$ 35,625$ | $\$ 1,910$ |
| 27-Jun-13 | Courtyard II | 114 | $8.85 \%$ | $\$ 7,978,000$ | $\$ 706,053$ | $\$ 69,982$ | $\$ 6,193$ |
| 10-Jun-10 | Tamarack | 160 | $9.10 \%$ | $\$ 3,550,000$ | $\$ 323,050$ | $\$ 22,188$ | $\$ 2,019$ |

Source: Real Capital Analytics; Allen \& Associates
Adjustments
The following adjustments were employed in our analysis of comparable sales.

## Property Rights

All of the transactions involved the transfer of the fee simple interest in the comparable properties and no adjustments were necessary.

## Financing Terms

Each transaction was all cash. Therefore, no adjustments were necessary.

## Conditions of Sale

All comparables were sold on an arm's length basis. Therefore, no further adjustments were made for conditions of sale.

## Market Conditions

The necessary adjustment for changing market conditions between the comparable dates of sale and the date of value have been incorporated as part of the economic characteristics adjustment. By comparing the net
operating income (NOI) of each comparable with the projected NOI for the subject as of each date of value, we have included that element of the NOI that is due to changing market conditions.

## Economic Characteristics

The economic characteristics adjustment accounts for all factors that affect the income potential of each comparable relative to that of the subject. By comparing the stabilized net operating income (NOI) of each comparable as of its date of sale with the NOI of the subject property, we account for all physical and economic elements that affect income and value. These elements include location, quality of construction, age and condition, rental rates, unit mix, and size.

The following graph shows the relationship between net operating income and sales price on a per unit basis:


The graph displays an R-squared, which is a correlation coefficient between the items plotted on the graph and the trend line they form. If, for example, all the items plotted fell exactly on the trend line, the R-squared would be 1.00 , or a perfect 100 percent relationship. The chart above shows a high $R$-squared, suggesting a strong relationship between the variables.

The next step in our analysis was to make an economic adjustment between the most comparable properties and the subject property based on revenue production. In our analysis we use NOI per unit. Net operating income for the comparable properties are found above; net operating income for the subject property comes from the budget found in the income approach section of this valuation.

Our adjustment grid follows:

| Economic Characteristic Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Name | Comp NOI/Ut | Subj NOI/Ut | Adjustment | Comp Price/Ut | Adj Price/Ut |
| University Crossing | $\$ 5,936$ | $\$ 1,724$ | $-70.96 \%$ | $\$ 90,625$ | $\$ 26,315$ |
| Willow Creek | $\$ 3,286$ | $\$ 1,724$ | $-47.55 \%$ | $\$ 37,135$ | $\$ 19,476$ |
| Courtyard II | $\$ 6,193$ | $\$ 1,724$ | $-72.17 \%$ | $\$ 69,982$ | $\$ 19,476$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\$ 37,135$ | $\$ 19,476$ |
| Sales Price per Unit, Minimum |  |  | $\$ 90,625$ | $\$ 26,315$ |  |
| Sales Price per Unit, Maximum |  |  | $\$ 65,914$ | $\$ 21,755$ |  |

Source: Real Capital Analytics; Allen \& Associates

The adjustments give us guidance in formulating a final opinion of value using the sales comparison approach. The unadjusted sales price varies from $\$ 37,135$ to $\$ 90,625$ with an average of $\$ 65,914$ per unit. The adjusted sales varies from $\$ 19,476$ to $\$ 26,315$ with an average of $\$ 21,755$ per unit. Clearly, the adjustments for economic characteristics explain much of the variance in the unadjusted sale price.

These parameters are used in the following section to arrive at a final estimate of value for the subject property using the sales comparison approach.

Value Estimate
The per unit value estimates were used to estimate the value of the subject property utilizing the Sales Comparison Approach:

| Value, Sales Comparison Approach |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sales Price per Unit | $\$ 19,476$ |
| Sales Price per Unit, Minimum | $\$ 26,315$ |
| Sales Price per Unit, Maximum | $\$ 21,755$ |
| Sales Price per Unit, Average | $\$ 22,000$ |
|  | 288 |
| Value per Unit, Concluded | $\$ 6,336,000$ |
| Units | $\$ 6,335,000$ |
| Value, Indicated |  |
|  |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

As the table indicates, we estimate the value of the subject property as $\$ 6,335,000$ using the sales comparison approach to value.

## Cost Approach

The cost approach to value entails preparing an estimate of the value of the land and adding an estimate of the replacement cost of the building and improvements, less any physical, economic, or functional depreciation or obsolescence. Implicit in the cost approach is that a knowledgeable buyer would pay no more for a property than it would cost to replace.

Definition of the Cost Approach
The Cost Approach is defined in The Appraisal of Real Estate, Twelfth Edition, (Appraisal Institute, 2001, p. 63) as:

In the cost approach, the value of a property is derived by adding the estimated value of the land to the current cost of constructing a reproduction or replacement for the improvements and then subtracting the amount of depreciation (i.e., deterioration and obsolescence) in the structures from all causes. Entrepreneurial profit and/or incentive may be included in the value indication. This approach is particularly useful in valuing new or nearly new improvements and properties that are not frequently exchanged in the market.

## Methodology

The cost approach is summarized below:

1) Estimate the value of the vacant land for the subject property at its highest \& best use as discussed previously in this report.
2) Estimate the replacement cost for the building and improvements.
3) Add the replacement cost and the land value to estimate the total development cost - the total cost new - for the subject property, including entrepreneurial profit.
4) Estimate and deduct depreciation - including functional obsolescence, physical deterioration and external obsolescence - from total development costs to arrive at an estimate of value for the subject property.

Our discussion is broken down into the following subsections: (1) Land Value, (2) Replacement Cost, (3) Total Development Cost, (4) Depreciation, and (5) Valuation. We begin with our land value estimate.

Land Value
Our land value estimate was derived previously in the "as complete \& stabilized" section of this report.

As our analysis shows, we estimate $\$ 1,150,000$ or $\$ 3,993$ per unit as the value of the subject property on an "as if vacant" basis.

## Replacement Cost

Our replacement cost estimate was derived previously in the "as complete \& stabilized" section of this report.
As our analysis shows, we estimate $\$ 30,048,125$ or $\$ 104,334$ per unit.

## Total Development Cost

The following table shows our estimate of total development cost for the subject property:

| Total Development Cost |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\$ /$ Unit |
| Replacement Cost | $\$ 30,048,125$ | $\$ 104,334$ |
| Land Value | $\$ 1,150,000$ | $\$ 3,993$ |
| Total Development Cost | $\$ 31,198,125$ | $\$ 108,327$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Our analysis suggests a total development cost of $\$ 31,198,125$ or $\$ 108,327$ per unit.

## Depreciation

Depreciation, with respect to real estate, is considered a loss in value. A depreciation-based loss in value can result from two factors: obsolescence and physical deterioration.

Obsolescence exists in two forms: Functional and external. Functional obsolescence is any loss in value which results from an inherent deficiency existing from poor design, layout, improper orientation of the building site, etc., which detracts from the desirability or utility of the property. External obsolescence is the diminished utility arising from factors external to the property such as regulatory agreements, deed restrictions, economic forces or environmental changes that affect the supply/demand relationship in the market.

Physical deterioration exists in two forms: Curable and incurable. If the cost to cure can be recovered in the marketplace, the physical deterioration is considered curable. Otherwise, the physical deterioration is considered incurable.

In the discussion below we estimate depreciation by partitioning net operating income. Our approach follows:

1) Apply the estimated capitalization rate for an unrestricted property (derived later in this report) to total development cost to arrive at the net operating income necessay to support the construction of the subject property. This is sometimes referred to as feasibility net operating income:

| Total Development Cost | $\$ 31,198,125$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cap Rate for Unrestricted Property | $7.40 \%$ |
| Net Operating Income, Feasibility | $\$ 2,308,661$ |

2) Apply the estimated capitalization rate for vacant multifamily land to the vacant land value to arrive at the net operating income attributable to the land. Our land capitalization rate came from RealtyRates.com:

| Land Value | $\$ 1,150,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cap Rate, Vacant Land | $5.00 \%$ |
| Net Operating Income, Vacant Land | $\$ 57,500$ |

3) Deduct the land net operating income (2) from the feasibility net operating income (1) to arrive at the net operating income necessary to support the replacement costs at the subject property:

| Net Operating Income, Feasibility | $\$ 2,308,661$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Operating Income, Vacant Land | $\$ 57,500$ |
| Net Operating Income, Replacement Costs | $\$ 2,251,161$ |

4) Deduct the land net operating income (2) from the net operating income derived in our income approach to arrive at the net operating income necessary to support the depreciated improvements at the subject property:

| Net Operating Income, Income Approach | $\$ 496,401$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Operating Income, Vacant Land | $\$ 57,500$ |
| Net Operating Income, Depreciated Improvements | $\$ 438,901$ |

5) Deduct the depreciated improvement net operating income (4) from the replacement cost net operating income (3) to arrive at the net operating income lost to depreciation at the subject property:

| Net Operating Income, Replacement Costs | $\$ 2,251,161$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Operating Income, Depreciated Improvements | $\$ 438,901$ |
| Net Operating Income, Lost to Depreciation | $\$ 1,812,260$ |

6) Divide the net operating income lost to depreciation (5) by the replacement cost net operating income (3) to arrive at the percentage of depreciation from all sources on an "as is" basis for the subject property:

| Net Operating Income, Lost to Depreciation | $\$ 1,812,260$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Operating Income, Replacement Costs | $\$ 2,251,161$ |
| Depreciation, All Sources \% | $80.5 \%$ |

7) Multiply the percentage of depreciation from all sources (6) by replacement cost to arrive at the dollar amount of depreciation from all sources on an "as is" basis for the subject property:

| Replacement Cost | $\$ 30,048,125$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Depreciation, All Sources \% | $80.5 \%$ |
| Depreciation, All Sources | $\$ 24,189,749$ |

Our analysis suggests $\$ 24,189,749$ of depreciation for the subject property on an "as is" basis. This figure includes obsolescence (functional and external) as well as phyiscal deterioration (curable and incurable).

In the discussion that follows, we break depreciation out between obsolescence and physical deterioration. Our discussion begins with physical deterioration.

## Physical Deterioration

In this section we estimate physical deterioration - the depreciation representing the curable and incurable physical wear and tear of the subject property. First, we estimate the percent of physical deterioration by dividing the postrenovation effective age by the anticipated useful life (50 years per Marshall Valuation Service). Then we apply the percent of physical deterioration to the replacement cost to arrive at the estimated physical deterioration for the subject property on an "as is" basis:

| Physical Deterioration |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Useful Life (Years) | 50 |
| Effective Age (Years) | 30 |
|  |  |
| Replacement Cost | $\$ 30,048,125$ |
| Physical Deterioration \% | $60 \%$ |
| Physical Deterioration | $\$ 18,028,875$ |
| Physical Deterioration, Curable | $\$ 450,722$ |
| Physical Deterioration, Incurable | $\$ 17,578,153$ |

Source: Marshall Valuation Service; Allen \& Associates

Our analysis suggests $\$ 18,028,875$ of physical deterioration for the subject property on an "as is" basis. Of this, we estimate $\$ 450,722$ of curable physical deterioration with respect to the subject property.

## Functional Obsolescence

Having inspected the subject property, and having reviewed and compared the subject to competitive rentals, we did not observe any functional obsolescence for the subject property. The development, amenities, and unit configurations are all positioned well within the competitive marketplace.

## External Obsolescence

External obsolescence occurs when rents are not high enough to support construction costs. External obsolescence arises from factors external to the property such as regulatory agreements, deed restrictions, economic forces or environmental changes that affect the supply/demand relationship in the market. Deducting physical deterioration and functional obsolescence from total depreciation yields external obsolescence for the subject property on an "as is" basis. Our estimate follows:

External Obsolescence

| Depreciation, All Sources | $\$ 24,189,749$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Physical Deterioration, Curable | $\$ 450,722$ |
| Physical Deterioration, Incurable | $\$ 17,578,153$ |
| Functional Obsolescence | $\$ 0$ |
| External Obsolescence | $\$ 6,160,874$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Our analysis suggests $\$ 6,160,874$ of external obsolescence for the subject property on an "as is" basis.
Valuation
The following table summarizes our valuation using the cost approach:

| Value, Cost Approach | $\$ 30,048,125$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Replacement Cost |  |
| Physical Deterioration, Curable | $\$ 450,722$ |
| Physical Deterioration, Incurable | $\$ 17,578,153$ |
| Functional Obsolescence | $\$ 0$ |
| External Obsolescence | $\$ 24,189,749$ |
| Depreciation, All Sources | $\$ 5,858,376$ |
|  | $\$ 1,150,000$ |
| Depreciated Cost of Improvements | $\$ 7,008,376$ |
| Land Value |  |
| Value, Indicated | $\$ 7,010,000$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates
We have analyzed the cost to buy vacant land and build a property offering the same utility as the subject property. All forms of depreciation have been considered. We estimate the value of the subject property via the cost approach to be $\$ 7,010,000$.

## Reconciliation

In this section we reconcile the three approaches to value. We also account for the cost to re-lease the property with market rate tenants. Our analysis assumes $1 / 2$ year of operating expenses and $1 / 2$ year of debt service. Our reconciliation follows:

## Income Approach

This approach is the most reliable methodology for valuing income-producing real estate such as the subject property. Consequently, the income approach is considered the best indicator of value.

## Sales Comparison Approach

This approach provides good support for the income approach. Consequently, the sales comparison approach is considered a fairly good indicator of value.

## Cost Approach

We do not place much weight on the cost approach. This is because of the large amount of external obsolescence normally associated with projects like the subject property.

The estimated value of the subject property is shown below:

| Value, Reconciled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Weight | Value |
| Value, Income Approach | $70 \%$ | $\$ 6,710,000$ |
| Value, Sales Comparison Approach | $20 \%$ | $\$ 6,335,000$ |
| Value, Cost Approach | $10 \%$ | $\$ 7,010,000$ |
| Value, Indicated | $\$ 6,665,000$ |  |
| Re-leasing Cost (1/2 Yr Op Exp + 1/2 Yr Debt Svc) | $\$ 876,367$ |  |
| Value, Indicated | $\$ 5,788,633$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| Value, Reconciled | $\$ 5,790,000$ |  |
| Marketing Time | 12 Months |  |
| Exposure Time | 12 Months |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

As our analysis shows, we estimate $\$ 5,790,000$ as the market value of the subject property assuming conversion to an unrestricted market rate property on an "as is" basis.

Please note: The values provided in this report are subject to the rent and financing assumptions, the construction or rehabilitation, and the operation of the subject property as set forth in this analysis. The conclusions are subject to the timing assumptions as described in this report.

The findings and conclusions reported are based on the conditions that exist as of the effective date of this report. These factors are subject to change and may alter, or otherwise affect the findings and conclusions presented in this report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report presents an accurate evaluation of market conditions for the subject property as of the effective date of this report. While this analysis is based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable, no guarantee is made of its accuracy.

| Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Armour Landing Apartments |
| Street Number | 3929 |
| Street Name | Armour |
| Street Type | Avenue |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 |
| Phone Number | (706) $322-8270$ |
| Year Built | 1985 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 300$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 30$ |
| Waiting List | 3 people |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 32.5019 |
| Longitude | -84.9512 |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |
| AAC Code | $15-086$ |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | no | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | no | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | no | yes |
|  | Visibility |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | no | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | some | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | some |
| Neighborhood | 4.00 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 4.00 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Dishwasher | no | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.50 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2000 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | S |  |
| Heat |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Parking |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Laundry |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Security |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Services |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |

Armour Landing Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 3929 Armour Avenue in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 36 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1985 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

| Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Gardenbrook Apartments |
| Street Number | 3561 |
| Street Name | Hilton |
| Street Type | Avenue |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31901 |
| Phone Number | (706) $596-9111$ |
| Year Built | 2001 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 99$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 45$ |
| Waiting List | na |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 32.4975 |
| Longitude | -84.9586 |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |
| AAC Code | 039 |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | yes | no | Comm Center | no | yes |
| Sewer | yes | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | no | yes |
|  | Visibility |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.25 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.75 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | no | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | some |
| Neighborhood | 4.00 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 4.50 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.00 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 1995 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Si |  |
| Heat |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Parking |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Laundry |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | S |  |
| Security |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inf |  |
| Services |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |

Gardenbrook Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 3561 Hilton Avenue in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 72 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2001 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 97 percent occupancy.

| Project Information | Heritage Place Apartments |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | 510 |
| Street Number | Broadway |
| Street Name |  |
| Street Type | Columbus |
| City | Georgia |
| State | 31901 |
| Zip | (706) $596-8111$ |
| Phone Number | 2001 |
| Year Built | na |
| Year Renovated | 12 |
| Minimum Lease | $\$ 350$ |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 50$ |
| Other Fees | na |
| Waiting List | Market Rate |
| Project Rent | Family |
| Project Type | Stabilized |
| Project Status | Conventional |
| Financing |  |
| Vouchers | 32.4555 |
| Latitude | -84.9932 |
| Longitude | na |
| Nearest Crossroads | 046 |
| AAC Code | $15-086$ |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Gail, Management |
| Phone Number | (706) $596-8111$ |
| Interview Date | $30-M a r-15$ |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| There are 80 total units at this property with 1 non-revenue unit. |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | no | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | no | yes |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | some |
| Neighborhood | 3.20 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 2.80 | 3.20 | Disposal | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | some | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.25 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Heat |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Parking |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | some | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Si |  |
| Laundry |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inf |  |
| Security |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inf |  |
| Services |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | yes |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | some |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | some |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |

Heritage Place Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 510 Broadway 0 in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 79 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2001 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 94 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Lecraw On 13Th |
| Street Number | 1918 |
| Street Name | 13 th |
| Street Type | Street |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31906 |
| Phone Number | (706) $324-2112$ |
| Year Built | 1994 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 6 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 100$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 45$ |
| Waiting List | Market Rate |
| Project Rent | Family |
| Project Type | Stabilized |
| Project Status | Conventional |
| Financing |  |
| Vouchers | 32.4720 |
| Latitude | -84.9650 |
| Longitude | na |
| Nearest Crossroads | 059 |
| AAC Code |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | no | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | no | yes |
|  | Visibility |  | Pool | no | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.25 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.25 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | yes | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | yes | some |
| Neighborhood | 4.50 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 3.50 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.00 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 1995 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Lecraw On 13Th is an existing multifamily development located at 1918 13th Street in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 24 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1994 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 88 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Martha's Vineyard |
| Street Number | 2437 |
| Street Name | Marthas |
| Street Type | Loop |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31907 |
| Phone Number | (706) $561-2209$ |
| Year Built | 2003 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | 1 month |
| Other Fees | $\$ 35$ |
| Waiting List | Market Rate |
| Project Rent | Family |
| Project Type | Stabilized |
| Project Status | Conventional |
| Financing |  |
| Vouchers | 32.4878 |
| Latitude | -84.9313 |
| Longitude | na |
| Nearest Crossroads | 066 |
| AAC Code | $15-086$ |

Interview Notes
Person Interviewed
Ms. Fanny, Manager
Phone Number
(706) 324-0415

30-Mar-15
Interview Date
Interviewed By
There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.


Location Map


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | no | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | no | yes |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | no | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 2.25 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | yes | some |
| Neighborhood | 3.40 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 3.60 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.00 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2000 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Martha's Vineyard is an existing multifamily development located at 2437 Marthas Loop in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 32 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2003 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Overlook Club |
| Street Number | 100 |
| Street Name | Lockwood |
| Street Type | Court |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31906 |
| Phone Number | (706) $323-5699$ |
| Year Built | 1985 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 250$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 30$ |
| Waiting List | no |
| Project Rent |  |
| Project Type | Market Rate |
| Project Status | Family |
| Financing | Stabilized |
| Vouchers | Conventional |
| Latitude |  |
| Longitude |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code |  |

Interview Notes

| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Samantha, Management |
| Phone Number | (706) 323-5699 |
| Interview Date | 02-Apr-15 |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised |  |
| that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | no | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | yes |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.50 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | some |
| Neighborhood | 4.10 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 3.40 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Dishwasher | some | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | some | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.50 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 1995 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | yes | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | no |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Overlook Club is an existing multifamily development located at 100 Lockwood Court in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 73 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1985 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 95 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Overlook Crossing |
| Street Number | 1600 |
| Street Name | Buena Vista |
| Street Type | Road |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31906 |
| Phone Number | (706) $323-6722$ |
| Year Built | 1975 |
| Year Renovated | 1984 |
| Minimum Lease | 6 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 250$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 35$ |
| Waiting List | na |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 32.4672 |
| Longitude | -84.9699 |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |
| AAC Code | 072 |

Interview Notes

|  | Interview Notes |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Lindsey, Manager |
| Phone Number | (706) 323-6722 |
| Interview Date | $30-M a r-15$ |
| Interviewed By | JS |

Rent reflects special pricing of \$200 off 1BR. Update interiors as needed, repaved parking in 2012, awnings in 2014, and new roofs about 2008. Contact advised Blue Cross is expanding.


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | yes | no |
| Water | yes | no | Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Sewer | yes | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | yes | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | no | yes |
|  | Visibility |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.50 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | some |
| Neighborhood | 4.10 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 3.40 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.00 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 1990 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Heat |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Parking |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Si |  |
| Laundry |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inf |  |
| Security |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inf |  |
| Services |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |

Overlook Crossing is an existing multifamily development located at 1600 Buena Vista Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 164 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1975 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 91 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Midtown Tower |
| Street Number | 1258 |
| Street Name | Cedar |
| Street Type | Avenue |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31906 |
| Phone Number | (706) $587-1775$ |
| Year Built | 1975 |
| Year Renovated | 2000 |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 250$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 35$ |
| Waiting List | na |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 32.4721 |
| Longitude |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code |  |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Mr. Trevor Wood, Owner |
| Phone Number | (706) 587-1775 |
| Interview Date | 31-Mar-15 |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| Tenants pay utilities and cable to management company |  |

Tenants pay utilities and cable to management company.


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | yes | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | no | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | no | yes |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | no | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 2.00 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | yes | some |
| Neighborhood | 4.50 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 3.50 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 2.50 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 1985 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | yes |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | some |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Midtown Tower is an existing multifamily development located at 1258 Cedar Avenue in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 25 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1975 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 92 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Arbor Pointe Phase 1 |
| Street Number | 1440 |
| Street Name | Benning |
| Street Type | Drive |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31903 |
| Phone Number | (706) $685-0777$ |
| Year Built | 2009 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 250$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 19$ |
| Waiting List | yes |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 2007 |
| Longitude |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code |  |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Amy, Leasing Agent |
| Phone Number | (706) 685-0777 |
| Interview Date | $31-\mathrm{Mar-15}$ |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| 2007 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 74 units of |  |
| project based rental assistance and 18 public housing subsidized units |  |
| available to tenants. Property shares amenities with Phase 2 and 3. Ms. |  |
| Twanda Torbert @ 706-571-2800, with Columbus Housing Authority |  |
| gave rents for subsidized units. |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | yes |
|  | Visibility |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.25 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.25 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | some | some |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 2.20 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 4.50 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Arbor Pointe Phase 1 is an existing multifamily development located at 1440 Benning Drive in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 148 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2009 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 96 percent occupancy.

| Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Arbor Pointe Phase 2 |
| Street Number | 1331 |
| Street Name | Fort Benning |
| Street Type | Road |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31903 |
| Phone Number | (706) $685-0777$ |
| Year Built | 2010 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 250$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 19$ |
| Waiting List | yes |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 2008 |
| Longitude |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code |  |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Amy, Leasing Agent |
| Phone Number | (706) 685-0777 |
| Interview Date | $31-$ Mar-15 |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| 2008 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 75 units of |  |
| project based rental assistance or public housing subsidized units |  |
| available to tenants. Property shares amenities with Phase 1 and 3. Ms. |  |
| Twanda Torbert @ 706-571-2800, with Columbus Housing Authority |  |
| gave rents for subsidized units. |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | yes |
|  | Visibility |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.25 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.25 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | some | some |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 2.20 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 4.50 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Si |  |
| Heat |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Parking |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Laundry |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Security |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Services |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |

Arbor Pointe Phase 2 is an existing multifamily development located at 1331 Fort Benning Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 148 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2010 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 93 percent occupancy.

| Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Ashley Station, Phase 1 |
| Street Number | 1100 |
| Street Name | 27 th |
| Street Type | Street |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 |
| Phone Number | (706) $576-6831$ |
| Year Built | 2006 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | Surety Bond |
| Other Fees | $\$ 118$ |
| Waiting List | yes |
| Project Rent |  |
| Project Type | Restricted |
| Project Status | Family |
| Financing | Stabilized |
| Vouchers | Tax Credit |
| Latitude | 65 |
| Longitude | 2004 |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code |  |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Kim, Leasing Agent |
| Phone Number | (706) $576-6831$ |
| Interview Date | $06-A p r-15$ |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| 2004 TC's awarded for construction of this HOPE VI property with 72 |  |
| units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Contact |  |
| advised during our 2015 survey this property no longer has 50\% units |  |
| and the units not subsidized are either 60\% or Market Rate. There are |  |
| no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised that |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | yes |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.25 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.25 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | yes | some |
| Neighborhood | 2.50 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 3.70 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 4.50 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Si |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Heat |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Si |  |
| Parking |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Laundry |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | S |  |
| Security |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inf |  |
| Services |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |

Ashley Station, Phase 1 is an existing multifamily development located at 110027 th Street in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 184 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2006 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 96 percent occupancy.

| Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Ashley Station, Phase 2 |
| Street Number | 2321 |
| Street Name | Olive |
| Street Type | Street |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31904 |
| Phone Number | (706) $576-6831$ |
| Year Built | 2008 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | Surety Bond |
| Other Fees | $\$ 118$ |
| Waiting List | yes |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers | 50 |
| Latitude | 2006 |
| Longitude |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code |  |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Kim, Leasing Agent |
| Phone Number | (706) 576-6831 |
| Interview Date | $06-\mathrm{Apr}$-15 |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| 2006 TC's awarded for construction of this HOPE VI property with 73 |  |
| units of operational subsidies, through HA of Columbus, available to |  |
| tenants. One 4-story building, with 62+ year head of household age |  |
| requirements, has additional amenities suitable for older residents, |  |
| including controlled access and elevator. Contact advised during our |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | no |
| Sewer | no | no |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.25 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
|  |  |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.25 | 2.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.50 | 2.20 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.70 | 3.20 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.75 | 4.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2005 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | yes | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | no |
| Playground | yes | yes |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
| Carpeting | yes | no |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | yes | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | no |
| Dishwasher | yes | no |
| Microwave | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | some | no |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Ashley Station, Phase 2 is an existing multifamily development located at 2321 Olive Street in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 183 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2008 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 96 percent occupancy.

| Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Avalon Apartments |
| Street Number | 3737 |
| Street Name | Cusseta |
| Street Type | Road |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31903 |
| Phone Number | (706) $689-7883$ |
| Year Built | 2009 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 200$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 13$ |
| Waiting List | na |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers | 75 |
| Latitude | 2007 |
| Longitude |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code |  |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed Ms. Stephanie, Leasing Agent |  |
| Phone Number | (706) 689-7883 |
| Interview Date | $27-M a r-15$ |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| 2007 TCs awarded for construction of this property without units of |  |
| project based rental assistance available to tenants. Contact advised |  |
| that Chapman Senior property is being demolished and rebuilt. |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | no |
| Sewer | no | no |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 3.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
|  |  |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.75 | 2.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 3.30 | 2.20 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 2.40 | 3.20 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.75 | 4.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2012 | 2005 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | no |
| Playground | yes | yes |
| Pool | yes | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | no |
| Carpeting | yes | no |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Storage | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | no |
| Dishwasher | yes | no |
| Microwave | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | yes |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | some |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Avalon Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 3737 Cusseta Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 232 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2009 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 86 percent occupancy.

| Property Name | Eagles Trace |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Number | 2001 |
| Street Name | Torch Hill |
| Street Type | Road |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31903 |
| Phone Number | (706) $689-6618$ |
| Year Built | 1958 |
| Year Renovated | 2002 |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 150$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 25$ |
| Waiting List | na |
| Project Rent |  |
| Project Type | Restricted |
| Project Status | Family |
| Financing | Stabilized |
| Vouchers | Tax Credit |
| Latitude | 70 |
| Longitude | 32.4161 |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code | 2002 |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Mr. Alex, Leasing Agent |
| Phone Number | (706) 689-6618 |
| Interview Date | 27-Mar-15 |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| 2002 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project |  |
| based rental assistance. 5 units are employee/non-rental units. Rents |  |
| reflect special pricing for 2BR 1BA for $\$ 465$ and $1 / 2$ off 1 st month for all |  |
| units. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact |  |
| advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off |  |



Location Map


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | yes |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | no | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | no | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | some |
| Neighborhood | 2.90 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 2.00 | 3.20 | Disposal | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.50 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2000 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | yes | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Eagles Trace is an existing multifamily development located at 2001 Torch Hill Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 378 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1958 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 93 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Liberty Garden Townhouses |
| Street Number | 675 |
| Street Name | 6 th |
| Street Type | Avenue |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31901 |
| Phone Number | (706) $323-8833$ |
| Year Built | 1984 |
| Year Renovated | 1996 |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 350$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 50$ |
| Waiting List |  |
| Project Rent | 6 people |
| Project Type | Restricted |
| Project Status | Family |
| Financing | Stabilized |
| Vouchers | Tax Credit |
| Latitude | 494 |
| Longitude |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | no | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | yes |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | no | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.50 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | no | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | some |
| Neighborhood | 2.20 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 3.10 | 3.20 | Disposal | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.00 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 1990 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Heat |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | S |  |
| Parking |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | S |  |
| Laundry |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | S |  |
| Security |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inf |  |
| Services |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |

Liberty Garden Townhouses is an existing multifamily development located at 675 6th Avenue in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 88 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1984 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information | Lumpkin Park |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | 3351 |  |
| Street Number | N Lumpkin |  |
| Street Name | Road |  |
| Street Type | Columbus |  |
| City | Georgia |  |
| State | 31903 |  |
| Zip | (706) $507-7666$ |  |
| Phone Number | 2009 |  |
| Year Built | na |  |
| Year Renovated | 12 |  |
| Minimum Lease | $\$ 250$ |  |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 22$ |  |
| Other Fees | na |  |
| Waiting List |  | Restricted |
| Project Rent | Family |  |
| Project Type | Stabilized |  |
| Project Status | Tax Credit |  |
| Financing | 90 |  |
| Vouchers | 2007 | 32.4363 |
| Latitude | -84.9535 |  |
| Longitude | na |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  | 063 |
| AAC Code |  |  |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Marla, Asst. Manger |
| Phone Number | (706) 507-7666 |
| Interview Date | $30-\mathrm{Mar-15}$ |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| 2007 TCs awarded for construction of this property without units of |  |
| project based rental assistance available to tenants. There are no new |  |
| apartments but new Wal Mart nearby. Contact advised that businesses |  |
| in the area are not closing or laying off employees. |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | yes |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 2.00 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Su |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.00 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Ceiling Fans | no | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | yes | some |
| Neighborhood | 2.80 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 2.30 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 4.50 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | yes |
| W/D Units | yes | no |
| W/D Hookups | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | yes |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | some |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Lumpkin Park is an existing multifamily development located at 3351 N Lumpkin Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 192 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2009 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Midtown Square |
| Street Number | 1400 |
| Street Name | Boxwood |
| Street Type | Blvd |
| City | Columbus |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 31906 |
| Phone Number | (706) $561-1083$ |
| Year Built | 2002 |
| Year Renovated | na |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | Surety Bond |
| Other Fees | $\$ 171$ |
| Waiting List | 10 people |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers | 29 |
| Latitude | 32.4744 |
| Longitude | -84.9397 |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |
| AAC Code | 069 |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Amanda, Asst. Manger |
| Phone Number | (706) 561-1083 |
| Interview Date | 30-Mar-15 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

Management reported Property as Tax Credit, property without project based rental assistance. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | yes | no |
| Water | yes | no | Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Sewer | yes | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | yes | no | Fitness Ctr | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | no | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | yes |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 2.25 | 3.50 | Sports Court | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | no | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | some |
| Neighborhood | 3.60 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 3.10 | 3.20 | Disposal | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.50 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Si |  |
| Heat |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | S |  |
| Parking |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | S |  |
| Laundry |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | S |  |
| Security |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | yes | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inf |  |
| Services |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |

Midtown Square is an existing multifamily development located at 1400 Boxwood Blvd in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 144 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2002 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | Springfield Crossing Apartments |  |
| Street Number | 3320 |  |
| Street Name | North Lumpkin |  |
| Street Type | Road |  |
| City | Columbus |  |
| State | Georgia |  |
| Zip | 31093 |  |
| Phone Number |  | (706) $689-7717$ |
| Year Built | 2001 |  |
| Year Renovated | na |  |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |  |
| Min. Security Dep. |  | $\$ 200$ |
| Other Fees |  | $\$ 50$ |
| Waiting List |  | 2 people |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |  |
| Project Status |  | Stabilized |
| Financing |  | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers | 20 |  |
| Latitude |  | 32.4373 |
| Longitude |  | -84.9536 |
| Nearest Crossroads |  | na |
| AAC Code |  | 0901 |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Tina, Asst. Manager |
| Phone Number | (706) 689-7717 |
| Interview Date | 30-Mar-15 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

2000 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | yes |
|  | Visibility |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 3.50 | Sports Court | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | some |
| Neighborhood | 2.00 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 2.40 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.75 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Heat |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Parking |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Laundry |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Si |  |
| Security |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inf |  |
| Services |  |  |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |

Springfield Crossing Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 3320 North Lumpkin Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 120 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2001 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 96 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | Veranda at Ashley Station |  |
| Street Number | 2321 |  |
| Street Name |  | Olive |
| Street Type | Street |  |
| City | Columbus |  |
| State | Georgia |  |
| Zip | 31904 |  |
| Phone Number |  | (706) $576-6831$ |
| Year Built | 2013 |  |
| Year Renovated | na |  |
| Minimum Lease | ner |  |
| Min. Security Dep. |  | Surety Bond |
| Other Fees | $\$ 118$ |  |
| Waiting List |  | na |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted |
| Project Type | Elderly |  |
| Project Status |  | Stabilized |
| Financing | Bond |  |
| Vouchers |  |  |
| Latitude |  | 32.4864 |
| Longitude |  | -84.9793 |
| Nearest Crossroads |  | na |
| AAC Code |  | 095 |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Kim, Leasing Agent |
| Phone Number | (706) 576-6831 |
| Interview Date | 30-Mar-15 |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| 2012 Bonds awarde of project based ren units pay their own program which dete Contact advised they | perty with 33 HUD units enants. The market rate the "LRO" rental rate upply and demand. at $50 \%$ AMI. |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | no |
| Sewer | no | no |
| Trash | no | no |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | no | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | no | yes |
| Elevator | yes | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | yes |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | yes | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | no | no |
| Playground | no | yes |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Access |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.25 | 2.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Neighborhood |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.50 | 2.20 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.70 | 3.20 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Condition |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.50 | 4.00 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Effective Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2010 | 2005 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | yes |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | some |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Veranda at Ashley Station is an existing multifamily development located at 2321 Olive Street in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 63 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2013 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 97 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | Victory Crossing Apartments |  |
| Street Number | 3390 |  |
| Street Name |  | North Lumpkin |
| Street Type | Road |  |
| City | Columbus |  |
| State | Georgia |  |
| Zip | 30193 |  |
| Phone Number |  | (706) $689-6979$ |
| Year Built | 2003 |  |
| Year Renovated | na |  |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |  |
| Min. Security Dep. |  | $\$ 200$ |
| Other Fees |  | $\$ 25$ |
| Waiting List |  | 7 people |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |  |
| Project Status |  | Stabilized |
| Financing |  | Bond |
| Vouchers | 15 |  |
| Latitude |  | 32.4351 |
| Longitude |  | -84.9534 |
| Nearest Crossroads |  | na |
| AAC Code |  | 090 |


|  | Interview Notes |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Lisa, Leasing Agent |
| Phone Number | (706) 689-8971 |
| Interview Date | 30-Mar-15 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

2002 Bonds awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. There are no new apartments nearby. New Wal Mart. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | no | Comm Center | yes | yes |
| Sewer | no | no | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Gazebo/Patio | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | yes |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | yes |
|  | Visibility |  | Pool | yes | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.25 | 3.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.25 | 2.00 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | some |
| Neighborhood | 2.80 | 2.20 | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 2.30 | 3.20 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | no |
| Open | yes | yes |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| W/D Units | no | no |
| W/D Hookups | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | yes |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | yes |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | some |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | some |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |

Victory Crossing Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 3390 North Lumpkin Road in Columbus, Georgia. The property, which consists of 172 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2003 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 91 percent occupancy.

|  |  |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-Bedroom <br> 1-Bedroom |  |  | 51 |
| 2-Bedroom |  |  | 146 |
| 3-Bedroom |  |  | 68 |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  | 23 |
| Units |  |  | 288 |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  | 0 |
| 1-Bedroom |  |  | 583 |
| 2-Bedroom |  |  | 747 |
| 3-Bedroom |  |  | 1,073 |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  | 1,223 |
| Net Rentable Area (NRSF) |  |  | 239,853 |
|  | Total | per Unit | per NRSF |
| Gross Potential Rent | \$1,794,768 | \$6,232 | \$7.48 |
| Laundry and Vending | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Interest Income | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Tenant Charges | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Other Income | \$34,313 | \$119 | \$0.14 |
| Gross Potential Income | \$1,829,081 | \$6,351 | \$7.63 |
| Vacancy \& Collection Loss | \$128,036 | \$445 | \$0.53 |
| Effective Gross Income | \$1,701,045 | \$5,906 | \$7.09 |
| Total Maintenance \& Operating | \$578,401 | \$2,008 | \$2.41 |
| Total Utilities | \$121,242 | \$421 | \$0.51 |
| Total Administrative | \$499,311 | \$1,734 | \$2.08 |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$27,223 | \$95 | \$0.11 |
| Property \& Liability Insurance | \$46,373 | \$161 | \$0.19 |
| Annual Capital Budget - Reserve | \$101,150 | \$351 | \$0.42 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$1,373,700 | \$4,770 | \$5.73 |
| Net Operating Income | \$327,345 | \$1,137 | \$1.36 |


| DEVELOPMENT BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  | 0 |
| 1-Bedroom |  |  | 51 |
| 2-Bedroom |  |  | 146 |
| 3-Bedroom |  |  | 68 |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  | 23 |
| Units |  |  | 288 |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  | 0 |
| 1-Bedroom |  |  | 670 |
| 2-Bedroom |  |  | 859 |
| 3-Bedroom |  |  | 1,234 |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  | 1,407 |
| Gross Building Area (GBSF) |  |  | 275,831 |
|  | Total | per Unit | per GBSF |
| Off-Site Improvements | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Site Work | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Unit Structures (New) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Unit Structures (Rehabilitation) | \$8,628,698 | \$29,961 | \$31.28 |
| Accessory Buildings | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Asbestos Removal | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Demolition | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal | \$8,628,698 | \$29,961 | \$31.28 |
| General Requirements | \$517,721 | \$1,798 | \$1.88 |
| Builder's Overhead | \$172,574 | \$599 | \$0.63 |
| Builder's Profit | \$517,721 | \$1,798 | \$1.88 |
| Bonding Fee | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Other | \$983,672 | \$3,416 | \$3.57 |
| Contractor Cost | \$10,820,386 | \$37,571 | \$39.23 |
| Building Permit | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Arch./Engin. Design Fee | \$285,100 | \$990 | \$1.03 |
| Arch. Supervision Fee | \$86,700 | \$301 | \$0.31 |
| Tap Fees | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Soil Borings | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Construction Loan Fee | \$56,907 | \$198 | \$0.21 |
| Construction Interest | \$1,109,691 | \$3,853 | \$4.02 |
| Taxes During Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Insurance During Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Cost Certification Fee | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Title and Recording | \$37,113 | \$129 | \$0.13 |
| Legal Fees for Closing | \$194,227 | \$674 | \$0.70 |
| Permanent Loan Fee | \$28,454 | \$99 | \$0.10 |
| Other Permanent Loan Fees | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Credit Enhancement | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Mortgage Banker | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Environmental Study | \$57,282 | \$199 | \$0.21 |
| Structural/Mechanical Study | \$34,210 | \$119 | \$0.12 |
| Appraisal Fee | \$2,500 | \$9 | \$0.01 |
| Market Study | \$2,500 | \$9 | \$0.01 |
| Operating Reserve | \$804,123 | \$2,792 | \$2.92 |
| Tax Credit Fee | \$301,051 | \$1,045 | \$1.09 |
| Other | \$526,724 | \$1,829 | \$1.91 |
| Owner Cost | \$3,526,582 | \$12,245 | \$12.79 |
| Subtotal | \$14,346,968 | \$49,816 | \$52.01 |
| Developer's Fees | \$1,979,381 | \$6,873 | \$7.18 |
| Land | \$1,150,000 | \$3,993 | \$4.17 |
| Existing Improvements | \$4,640,000 | \$16,111 | \$16.82 |
| Owner's Acquisition Costs | \$5,790,000 | \$20,104 | \$20.99 |
| Total Uses of Funds | \$22,116,349 | \$76,793 | \$80.18 |
| Assumed USDA-RD Loan | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| CB\&T Permanent Loan | \$2,010,309 | \$6,980 | \$7.29 |
| HACG Acquisition Loan | \$5,790,000 | \$20,104 | \$20.99 |
| HACG Subordinate Loan | \$1,383,065 | \$4,802 | \$5.01 |
| AHP Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Housing Trust Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$12,305,999 | \$42,729 | \$44.61 |
| Owner Deferred Fees | \$626,976 | \$2,177 | \$2.27 |
| Owner Cash | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Total Sources of Funds | \$22,116,349 | \$76,793 | \$80.18 |

HISTORIC OPERATIONS

|  | 2012 |  |  | 2013 |  |  | 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | per Unit | per NRSF | Total | per Unit | per NRSF | Total | per Unit | per NRSF |
| Gross Potential Rent | \$1,385,799 | \$4,812 | \$5.78 | \$1,373,097 | \$4,768 | \$5.72 | \$330,420 | \$1,147 | \$1.38 |
| Laundry and Vending | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Interest Income | \$13,046 | \$45 | \$0.05 | \$4,357 | \$15 | \$0.02 | \$2,111 | \$7 | \$0.01 |
| Tenant Charges | \$7,857 | \$27 | \$0.03 | \$8,314 | \$29 | \$0.03 | \$12,713 | \$44 | \$0.05 |
| Other Income | \$73 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$4,029 | \$14 | \$0.02 | \$2,079 | \$7 | \$0.01 |
| Gross Potential Income | \$1,406,775 | \$4,885 | \$5.87 | \$1,389,797 | \$4,826 | \$5.79 | \$347,323 | \$1,206 | \$1.45 |
| Vacancy \& Collection Loss | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Effective Gross Income | \$1,406,775 | \$4,885 | \$5.87 | \$1,389,797 | \$4,826 | \$5.79 | \$347,323 | \$1,206 | \$1.45 |
| Total Maintenance \& Operating | \$638,063 | \$2,215 | \$2.66 | \$552,184 | \$1,917 | \$2.30 | \$500,330 | \$1,737 | \$2.09 |
| Total Utilities | \$127,580 | \$443 | \$0.53 | \$120,286 | \$418 | \$0.50 | \$135,634 | \$471 | \$0.57 |
| Total Administrative | \$662,694 | \$2,301 | \$2.76 | \$639,531 | \$2,221 | \$2.67 | \$603,658 | \$2,096 | \$2.52 |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$18,309 | \$64 | \$0.08 | \$18,377 | \$64 | \$0.08 | \$19,024 | \$66 | \$0.08 |
| Property \& Liability Insurance | \$44,548 | \$155 | \$0.19 | \$48,145 | \$167 | \$0.20 | \$45,169 | \$157 | \$0.19 |
| Annual Capital Budget - Reserve | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$1,491,194 | \$5,178 | \$6.22 | \$1,378,523 | \$4,787 | \$5.75 | \$1,303,815 | \$4,527 | \$5.44 |
| Net Operating Income | -\$84,419 | -\$293 | -\$0.35 | \$11,274 | \$39 | \$0.05 | -\$956,492 | -\$3,321 | -\$3.99 |


| EXPENSE COMPARABLES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Name | Ashley Pointe I |  |  | Ashley Pointe II |  |  | Ashley Pointe III |  |  | Ashley Station I |  |  | Ashley Station II |  |  |
| City | Columbus |  |  | Columbus |  |  | Columbus |  |  | Columbus |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Georgia |  |  | Georgia |  |  | Georgia |  |  | Georgia |  |  | Georgia |  |  |
| 0-Bedroom |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| 1-Bedroom |  | 32 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 120 |  |  | 49 |  |  | 100 |  |
| 2-Bedroom |  | 87 |  |  | 88 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 104 |  |  | 75 |  |
| 3-Bedroom |  | 29 |  |  | 28 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 8 |  |
| 4-Bedroom |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Units |  | 148 |  |  | 148 |  |  | 120 |  |  | 184 |  |  | 183 |  |
| 0-Bedroom |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| 1-Bedroom |  | 24,256 |  |  | 24,256 |  |  | 93,600 |  |  | 35,329 |  |  | 66,400 |  |
| 2-Bedroom |  | 92,133 |  |  | 93,192 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 115,440 |  |  | 84,900 |  |
| 3-Bedroom |  | 34,974 |  |  | 33,768 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 38,750 |  |  | 11,576 |  |
| 4-Bedroom |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Estimated Net Rentable Area (NRSF) |  | 151,363 |  |  | 151,216 |  |  | 93,600 |  |  | 189,519 |  |  | 162,876 |  |
| Year |  | 2014 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2014 |  |  | 2014 |  |
|  | Total | per Unit | per NRSF | Total | per Unit | per NRSF | Total | per Unit | per NRSF | Total | per Unit | per NRSF | Total | per Unit | per NRSF |
| Gross Potential Rent | \$1,084,280 | \$7,326 | \$7.16 | \$1,091,376 | \$7,374 | \$7.22 | \$745,208 | \$6,210 | \$7.96 | \$1,138,003 | \$6,185 | \$6.00 | \$1,137,872 | \$6,218 | \$6.99 |
| Laundry and Vending | \$2,700 | \$18 | \$0.02 | \$4,116 | \$28 | \$0.03 | \$232 | \$2 | \$0.00 | \$240 | \$1 | \$0.00 | \$677 | \$4 | \$0.00 |
| Interest Income | \$476 | \$3 | \$0.00 | \$44 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$296 | \$2 | \$0.00 | \$360 | \$2 | \$0.00 | \$72 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Tenant Charges | \$24,468 | \$165 | \$0.16 | \$47,256 | \$319 | \$0.31 | \$8,060 | \$67 | \$0.09 | \$31,880 | \$173 | \$0.17 | \$23,051 | \$126 | \$0.14 |
| Other Income | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$6,392 | \$35 | \$0.03 | \$6,379 | \$35 | \$0.04 |
| Gross Potential Income | \$1,111,924 | \$7,513 | \$7.35 | \$1,142,792 | \$7,722 | \$7.56 | \$753,796 | \$6,282 | \$8.05 | \$1,176,875 | \$6,396 | \$6.21 | \$1,168,051 | \$6,383 | \$7.17 |
| Vacancy \& Collection Loss | \$60,876 | \$411 | \$0.40 | \$73,308 | \$495 | \$0.48 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Effective Gross Income | \$1,051,048 | \$7,102 | \$6.94 | \$1,069,484 | \$7,226 | \$7.07 | \$753,796 | \$6,282 | \$8.05 | \$1,176,875 | \$6,396 | \$6.21 | \$1,168,051 | \$6,383 | \$7.17 |
| Total Maintenance \& Operating | \$190,912 | \$1,290 | \$1.26 | \$182,476 | \$1,233 | \$1.21 | \$229,212 | \$1,910 | \$2.45 | \$245,219 | \$1,333 | \$1.29 | \$232,068 | \$1,268 | \$1.42 |
| Total Utilities | \$80,256 | \$542 | \$0.53 | \$80,920 | \$547 | \$0.54 | \$47,072 | \$392 | \$0.50 | \$96,493 | \$524 | \$0.51 | \$93,244 | \$510 | \$0.57 |
| Total Administrative | \$253,152 | \$1,710 | \$1.67 | \$285,340 | \$1,928 | \$1.89 | \$197,640 | \$1,647 | \$2.11 | \$341,218 | \$1,854 | \$1.80 | \$298,389 | \$1,631 | \$1.83 |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$32,124 | \$217 | \$0.21 | \$31,908 | \$216 | \$0.21 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$79,720 | \$433 | \$0.42 | \$72,820 | \$398 | \$0.45 |
| Property \& Liability Insurance | \$43,380 | \$293 | \$0.29 | \$43,332 | \$293 | \$0.29 | \$37,464 | \$312 | \$0.40 | \$33,374 | \$181 | \$0.18 | \$32,089 | \$175 | \$0.20 |
| Annual Capital Budget - Reserve | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0.00 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$599,824 | \$4,053 | \$3.96 | \$623,976 | \$4,216 | \$4.13 | \$511,388 | \$4,262 | \$5.46 | \$796,024 | \$4,326 | \$4.20 | \$728,610 | \$3,981 | \$4.47 |
| Net Operating Income | \$451,224 | \$3,049 | \$2.98 | \$445,508 | \$3,010 | \$2.95 | \$242,408 | \$2,020 | \$2.59 | \$380,851 | \$2,070 | \$2.01 | \$439,441 | \$2,401 | \$2.70 |

## SALES COMPARABLES



## Property Trade Search Results

APARTMENT | 60 mi. radius from 1901 nina street, columbus, ga
Garden, Mid/High-Rise, Age-Restricted
3 deals (as of $8 / 4 / 2015$ )

|  | Transaction Date Prop Type | Property Name Address City,State/Country | Units <br> Yr.Blt/Renov <br> \# bldgs/firs | Price in mil. \$/Unit Cap Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o Owner / Buyer } H \text { Broker } \\ & \text { S Seller } H \text { Broker } \\ & \$ \text { Lender (loan amt) } \end{aligned}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1$ | Sale Jan'15 Apartment | University Crossing 4226 University Ave Columbus, GA/ US | $\begin{gathered} 48 \text { units } \\ 2009 \\ 1 \text { flr } \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 4.4$ confm'd \$90,625 /unit $6.6 \%$ in place | H\&R Realty from S 4226 University LLC by $\boldsymbol{H}$ Multi Housing Advisors | 76\% occ.; Garden/student hsng property; 96 beds |
|  | Sale <br> Jan'14 <br> Apartment | Willow Creek 3700 Buena Vista Rd Columbus, GA/ US | $\begin{gathered} 285 \text { units } \\ 1968 / 1977 \\ 2 \text { flrs } \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 10.6$ confm'd \$37,135 /unit 8.9\% quoted | O Roco Investments from S MAA REIT by $H$ Multi Housing Advisors | 94\% occ.; Garden property; prior sale: Jul-97 (\$6.1 mil) |
| $3$ | Sale <br> Jun'13 <br> Apartment | Courtyard II 3528 Gentian Blvd Columbus, GA/ US | $\begin{gathered} 114 \text { units } \\ 1970 / 2002 \\ 13 \text { bldgs/2, } 3 \\ \text { flrs } \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 8.0$ confm'd \$69,982 /unit 8.9\% quoted | 0 Greenhill Partners from $\mathbf{S}$ Columbus State University by $\leftrightarrow$ Multi Housing Advisors | 100\% occ.; Garden/student hsng property; 356 beds |

## Property Details

## Location <br> University Crossing <br> 4226 University Ave <br> Columbus, GA (US)

## Characteristics

Property type:Apartment
Subtype:Garden, student hsng Buildings: Stories: 1
Land area: 3.35 acres (145,926 sf) Interest:fee
Avg. unit size:895 sf Parking: Comments:

Units:48 units
Rentable area: $42,936 \mathrm{sf}$ Occupancy:76\% (as of Jan'15) Year built:2009
Year renovated:
Current Walk Score:39-Car-Dependent Major tenants Beds:96


Country: United States
Postal code: 31907
County: Muscogee

Metro:
Market: All Others - GA,NC,SC,TN,KY
Submarket: All Others - GA,NC,SC,TN,KY APN: 069023049

## Transaction

Status: (closed) Jan'15
Price: $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 3 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ (confm'd)
$\$ 90,625$ per unit

Comments:

> Purpose: bought for investment Local Currency: 4,350,000 (USD)  90,625 per unit Yield/Cap rate: $\mathbf{6 . 6 \%}$ (in place)

Portfolio link:

| Players | Contact | Type | All Activity (\$ mil) <br> Acq Disp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Owner/Buyer: H\&R Realty | 1460 Walden Ave <br> Lakewood, NJ 08701 (United States) | Private | \$104 |
| Entity: Shraga Schorr | 1460 Walden Ave Lakewood, New Jersey 08701 (United States) |  |  |
| Seller: $\mathbf{4 2 2 6}$ University <br> LLC | 1143 Brown Ave Columbus, GA 31906 (United States) | Private |  |
| Seller's Broker: Multi Housing Advisors | 2100 Southbridge Pkwy Birmingham, AL 35209 (United States) Website |  |  |
| Financing |  |  |  |


| History |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Date | Trans type | Player | Notes | Amount | Qualifier |  |
| Jan '15 | Sale | OH\&R Realty | Acquired | $\$ 4.4$ | confirmed price |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Property Details

## Location

## Willow Creek

3700 Buena Vista Rd Columbus, GA (US)

## Characteristics

Property type:Apartment Subtype:Garden
Buildings: Stories:2
Land area: Interest:fee
Avg. unit size: 866 sf Parking Comments:


Country: United States
Postal code: 31906
County: Muscogee

Metro:
Market: All Others - GA,NC,SC,TN,KY
Submarket: All Others - GA,NC,SC,TN,KY
APN: 066041002,066041003

## Transaction

Status: (closed) Jan' 14
Price: $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 5 8 3 , 3 3 3}$ (confm'd)
$\$ 37,135$ per unit

Comments:

Purpose: bought for investment
Local Currency: 10,583,333 (USD)
37,135 per unit
8.9\% (quoted)

Portfolio link:

| Players | Contact | Type | All Activity (\$ mil) <br> Acq | Private | $\$ 135$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Financing

| History |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date | Trans type | Player | Notes | Amount | Qualifier |
| Jan'14 | Sale | DRoco Investments | Acquired | $\$ 10.6$ | confirmed price |
| Jul '97 | Sale | DMAA REIT | Acquired | $\$ 6.1$ | confirmed price |

## Location

## Courtyard II

3528 Gentian Blvd
Columbus, GA (US)

## Characteristics

Property type:Apartment
Subtype:Garden, student hsng
Buildings:13
Stories:2, 3
Land area:5.97 acres (260,053 sf) Interest:fee
Avg. unit size: 1,027 sf Parking: Comments

Units:114 units
Rentable area: 117,078 sf Occupancy:100\% (as of Jun'13) Year built:1970
Year renovated:2002
Current Walk Score:31-Car-Dependent Major tenants: Beds:356

Geocode quality: Address level accuracy.


| Country: United States | Metro: |
| :---: | ---: |
| Postal code: 31907 | Market: All Others - GA,NC,SC,TN,KY |
| County: Muscogee | Submarket: All Others - GA,NC,SC,TN,KY |
| Alt. Name/Address: 3536 Gentian Blvd/3546 Gentian Blvd |  |

Country: United States

County: Muscogee
Alt. Name/Address: 3536 Gentian Blvd/3546 Gentian Blvd

## Transaction

Status: $($ closed) Jun'13
Price: $\mathbf{\$ 7 , 9 7 8 , 0 0 0 ~ ( c o n f m ' d ) ~}$
$\mathbf{\$ 6 9 , 9 8 2}$ per unit
Purpose: bought for investment
Local Currency: $7,978,000$ (USD)
69,982 per unit
Yield/Cap rate: $\mathbf{8 . 9 \%}$ (quoted)
Portfolio link:

| Players | Contact | Type | All Activity Acq | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$ mil) } \\ \text { Disp } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Owner/Buyer: Greenhill Partners | 1010 W 9th Ave King of Prussia, PA 19406 (United States) Website | Private | \$31 |  |

Seller: Columbus State University

4225 University Ave
User/Other Columbus, GA 31907 (United
States)
Website
2100 Southbridge Pkwy
Birmingham, AL 35209 (United
States)
Website

## Financing

| History |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Trans type | Player | Notes | Amount | Qualifier |
| Jun '13 | Sale | OGreenhill Partners | Acquired | \$8.0 | confirmed price |

## STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS \& LIMITING CONDITIONS

- The title to the subject property is merchantable, and the property is free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, except as noted.
- No liability is assumed for matters legal in nature.
- Ownership and management are assumed to be in competent and responsible hands.
- No survey has been made by the appraiser. Dimensions are as supplied by others and are assumed to be correct.
- The report was prepared for the purpose so stated and should not be used for any other reason.
- All direct and indirect information supplied by the owner and their representatives concerning the subject property is assumed to be true and accurate.
- No responsibility is assumed for information supplied by others and such information is believed to be reliable and correct. This includes zoning and tax information provided by Municipal officials.
- The signatories shall not be required to give testimony or attend court or be at any governmental hearing with respect to the subject property unless prior arrangements have been made with the client.
- Disclosure of the contents of this report is governed by the By-Laws and Regulations of the Appraisal Institute.
- The legal description is assumed to be accurate.
- This report specifically assumes that there are no site, subsoil, or building contaminates present resulting from residual substances or construction materials, such as asbestos, radon gas, PCB, etc. Should any of these factors exist, the appraiser reserves the right to review these findings, review the value estimates, and change the estimates, if deemed necessary.
- The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. We have not made a specific compliance survey and analysis of this property to determine whether or not it is in conformity with
- Personal property, including kitchen appliances, is included as part of the reconciled value.
- This valuation is subject to the operation of the subject property as described in this report.
- This valuation is subject to the construction/rehabilitation of the subject property as described in this report.
- This valuation is subject to the financing as described in this report.
- This estimate of value is subject to the timing assumptions set forth in this analysis.
- Opinion of value of the fee simple estate using unrestricted market rents is based on the hypothetical condition that the subject property is a conventional market rate property rather than an affordable rent- and income-restricted property.
- The prospective "as complete \& stabilized" value assumes that any current regulatory limitations (including rent and income restrictions) remain in place through the prospective date of value. Prospective values are stated in today's dollars without adjusting for inflation through the date of value.


## CERTIFICATION

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of the appraisal.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.
- No one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person signing this certification. Debbie Rucker and Frank Victory (Allen \& Associates Consulting) assisted in compiling the data used in this report.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- As of the date of this report, I have completed the Standards and Ethics Education Requirements for Candidates of the Appraisal Institute.
- I am presently licensed in good standing as a Certified General Real Estate Appraiser in the states of Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia, allowing me to appraise all types of real estate.

Respectfully submitted:
ALLEN \& ASSOCIATES CONSULTING, INC.


Jeff Carroll
Georgia Certified General Appraiser License \# 288716

## SALES HISTORY OF SUBJECT

In accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, for a property, such as the subject, the appraiser must consider and analyze any sales of the subject property that have occurred within the last three years.

The subject property is currently owned by the Housing Authority of Columbus Georgia (HACG), the entiy that originally developed the property in 1952. It is our understanding that HACG plans to convey the subject property to a to-be-formed single purpose entity (a related entity) for $\$ 5,790,000$ ( $\$ 5,790,000$ seller note / \$0 cash), subject to the approval of the proposed financing described in this report. We were not provided with a copy of the purchase contract for this transaction. We are not aware of any other pending purchase contracts with respect to the subject property.

In our opinion, the property is valued at $\$ 5,790,000$ on an "as is" basis, subject to the approval of the proposed financing described in this report. Consequently, the proposed purchase appears to be a market rate transaction.

## MISCELLANEOUS

JEFFREY B.CARROLL<br>3116 Glen Summit Drive<br>Charlotte, North Carolina 28270<br>Phone: 704-905-2276 | Fax: 704-220-0470<br>E-Mail: jcarroll@mba1988.hbs.edu

## Summary

Founder of Allen \& Associates Consulting, a development consulting firm specializing in affordable housing. Performed over 2950 assignments in 46 states since 2000.

Founder of Tartan Residential, a firm specializing in the acquisition and development of affordable housing.

Co-founder of Delphin Properties, a firm specializing in the acquisition and development of manufactured home communities.

Wrote articles on affordable housing, development, property management, market feasibility, and financial analysis for Urban Land magazine, The Journal of Property Management, Community Management magazine, Merchandiser magazine, HousingThink, and a publication of the Texas A\&M Real Estate Research Center known as Terra Grande.

Conducted seminars on affordable housing, development, property management, market feasibility, and financial analysis for the American Planning Association, Community Management magazine, the Georgia Department of Community Affairs, the Manufactured Housing Institute, the National Association of State and Local Equity Funds, the Virginia Community Development Corporation, and the National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts.

Specialties: Specialties include affordable housing, low-income housing tax credits, tax-exempt bond transactions, multifamily, manufactured housing, development, development consulting, market studies, rent comparability studies, appraisals, capital needs assessments, and utility studies.

## Experience

President | Allen \& Associates Consulting, Inc. | Charlotte, NC | 2000 - present
Founder of Allen \& Associates Consulting, a development consulting firm specializing in affordable housing. Practice areas include low-income housing tax credits, tax-exempt bond transactions, development consulting, market studies, rent comparability studies, appraisals, capital needs assessments, and utility studies. Performed over 2650 development consulting assignments in 46 states since 2000. Major projects include:

- Bond-Financed New Construction - Retained to manage the development of a 140-unit bond financed townhome community. Responsible for all aspects of the proposed $\$ 15$ million development including project design, zoning, site plan approval, and identification of debt and equity sources for the project.
- Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Renovation - Handled the disposition of a 134-unit subsidized apartment community. Developed a comprehensive renovation plan and arranged the sale to another party willing to rehabilitate the property with low-income housing tax credits. The owner was initially prepared to sell the property for $\$ 2$ million; our efforts brought them $\$ 5.2$ million.
- Historic Tax Credit Adaptive Reuse - Assisted in putting together the redevelopment plan for a historic school building. The property, originally constructed in 1935 , was subsequently renovated into 14 apartment units with a combination of historic and low-income housing tax credits. Our efforts helped breathe new life into the historic building.


## President | Tartan Residential, Inc. | Charlotte, NC 1997 - present

Founder of Tartan Residential, a firm specializing in the acquisition and development of affordable housing. Major projects include:

- Empire Building - Adaptive reuse of a historic hotel into 54 apartment units and 31,650 square feet of commercial space in Salisbury, North Carolina. The redevelopment is proposed to be financed with a combination of conventional debt and historic tax credits at an estimated cost of $\$ 9.4$ million. The project was originally built in 1859 and renovated in 1907. The building is currently vacant. This project is currently in the early planning stages.
- Tarheel Building - Renovation of a 16-unit historic apartment building and construction of 12 new units serving seniors in Williamston, North Carolina. The redevelopment is proposed to be financed with a combination of historic and low-income housing tax credits at an estimated cost of $\$ 5$ million. The project was originally built in 1920 and is currently vacant. Our development plans are being reviewed by the state historical preservation office at this time.
- Buchanan's Crossing Subdivision - A proposed 24-unit duplex development serving families in Kansas City. The property is planned to be built at an estimated cost of $\$ 4.4$ million. The project, located on the west side of N 65th Street, will be completely accessible with priority given to families with a member who has a mobility impairment. Construction is planned for late 2014.
- Buchanan's Crossing - A proposed 280-unit bond financed townhome development serving families in Kansas City. To be built in 3 phases at an estimated cost of $\$ 30$ million. This project is currently in the early planning stages.
- Davidson's Green - A proposed 96-unit tax credit financed apartment community serving seniors in Kansas City. To be built in 2 phases at an estimated cost of $\$ 10$ million. This project is currently in the early planning stages.


## Co-Founder | Delphin Properties LLC | Charlotte, NC 1998 - present

Co-founder of Delphin Properties, a firm specializing in the acquisition and development of manufactured home communities. Major projects include:

- Crystal Lakes - A 338-unit manufactured home community serving seniors in Fort Myers, Florida. Purchased the partially-constructed development, completed construction, and sold it for a \$1 million profit.
- Mahler's Glen - A 348-unit development originally planned as a manufactured home community serving families in Garner, North Carolina. Secured zoning and site plan approval, engineered the property (including a private wastewater treatment facility), and sold it to a national homebuilder for a $\$ 2$ million profit.
- Beacon Wood - A 363-unit development originally planned as a manufactured home community serving families in Crockery Township, Michigan. Secured zoning and site plan approval, engineered the property, and sold it to a regional homebuilder for a $\$ 1$ million profit.


## Director of Development | Clayton, Williams \& Sherwood, Inc. | Austin, TX | 1995-1997

Director of Development for Clayton, Williams \& Sherwood, a privately-owned operator of manufactured home communities and apartment complexes. Major projects included:

- Multifamily Development - Managed the construction and lease-up of two apartment communities consisting of 564 units and valued at $\$ 38$ million. Each property leased up in excess of 25 units per month.
- Manufactured Home Community Development - Put together development plans for 4 manufactured home communities and 2 manufactured home subdivisions consisting of 2047 units and valued at $\$ 63$ million.

Assistant to the President | Southwest Property Trust | Dallas, TX | 1993-1995
Assistant to the President for Southwest Property Trust, a large apartment REIT. Provided support to management personnel operating a 12,000-unit apartment portfolio.

## Investment Analyst/Manager | GE Capital | Dallas, TX | 1991-1993

Investment Analyst/Manager for GE Capital's Residential Construction Lending business. Assisted in the management of a $\$ 500$ million investment portfolio including 30 single family residential land development investments and 70 single family construction lines of credit.

## Regional Manager | Clayton, Williams \& Sherwood, Inc. | Newport Beach, CA | 1989-1991

Regional Manager for Clayton, Williams \& Sherwood, a privately-owned operator of manufactured home communities and apartment complexes. Major projects included:

- Multifamily Management - Management of a 1200 -unit apartment portfolio valued at over $\$ 72$ million. Implemented a portfolio-wide 10 percent rent increase while cutting operating expenses 3 percent resulting in a $\$ 7$ million increase in portfolio value.
- Manufactured Home Community Management - Management of a 1200 -unit manufactured home community portfolio valued at over $\$ 36$ million. Implemented a 15 percent rent increase in a 500unit community resulting in a $\$ 4$ million increase in property value.


## Education

Harvard Business School | MBA, General Management, Real Estate, Economics | 1986-1988
Graduated in 1988 with an MBA from Harvard Business School. Emphasis in General Management and Real Estate with a minor concentration in Economics.

Clemson University | BS, Engineering, Economics | 1978-1983
Graduated in 1983 with a BS in Engineering from Clemson University. Minor concentration in Economics. Honors included Dean's List and Alpha Lambda Delta honorary. Elected officer for Phi Delta Theta social fraternity. Awarded scholarship on Clemson's varsity wrestling team.
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## Rent \& Income Limit Calculator

## Beta - Test Version

The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator© has been updated for the FY 2015 HUD Income Limits and is being released in Beta form. The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® is still being tested for potential errors or calculation issues. Before using the numbers from the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator $\odot$, we strongly recommend that you check with the applicable state housing agency to verify that the state agrees with the numbers.

If you would like to engage Novogradac \& Company LLP to calculate the rent \& income limits for your property, please contact Thomas Stagg at thomas.stagg@novoco.com.

You can view demographic information and a detailed list of affordable housing properties in compsMART+.
Click on the icons below to view historical charts.

Program and Location Information
Affordable Housing
Program

IRS Section 42 Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC)


Persons / Bedroom
 Non-Metropolitan
Median Income (2)
HERA Special (3)
Hold Harmless (4)


Rent Floor Election
(6)

HUD Published Income Limits for 2015 (with no adjustments)

| Display Income Limits | Hide Income Limits |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

LIHTC Income Limits for 2015
(Based on 2015 MTSP Income Limits)

|  | Charts | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ Person | 22,680 | 11,340 | 15,120 | 18,900 | 31,752 |  |
| 2 Person | 25,920 | 12,960 | 17,280 | 21,600 | 36,288 |  |
| 3 Person | 29,160 | 14,580 | 19,440 | 24,300 | 40,824 |  |
| 4 Person | 32,400 | 16,200 | 21,600 | 27,000 | 45,360 |  |
| 5 Person | 35,040 | 17,520 | 23,360 | 29,200 | 49,056 |  |
| 6 Person | 37,620 | 18,810 | 25,080 | 31,350 | 52,668 |  |
| 7 Person | 40,200 | 20,100 | 26,800 | 33,500 | 56,280 |  |
| 8 Person | 42,780 | 21,390 | 28,520 | 35,650 | 59,892 |  |
| 9 Person | 45,360 | 22,680 | 30,240 | 37,800 | 63,504 |  |
| 10 Person | 47,940 | 23,970 | 31,960 | 39,950 | 67,116 |  |
| 14 Person | 50,520 | 25,260 | 33,680 | 42,100 | 70,728 |  |
| 12 Person | 53,160 | 26,580 | 35,440 | 44,300 | 74,424 |  |

LIHTC Rent Limits for 2015
(Based on 2015 MTSP/VLI Income Limits)
HOME

The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® does not calculate low-income housing tax credit (LIHTC) limits greater than 50\% LIHTC or $60 \%$ LIHTC limits, depending on the minimum set-aside elected with the IRS on Form 8609 in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 42(i)(3)(A). In other words, if the $20 / 50$ minimum set-aside was elected then $50 \%$ LIHTC is the maximum rent calculated and allowed to qualify as a tax credit unit; or if the 40/60 minimum set-aside was elected then $60 \%$ LIHTC is the maximum allowed to qualify as a tax credit unit.
${ }^{(1)}$ The rent and income limits for each year are effective beginning with the effective date shown above. There is a grace period for 45 days to implement the new rent and income limits, which means that the old limits can be relied upon for 45 days after the effective date of the new limits. For example income limits effective 12/04/2012, can be relied on until $1 / 17 / 2013$. For more information, see Revenue Ruling 94-57, IRS Newsletter \#50 and IRS LIHC Newsletter \#48.

IRS LIHC Newsletter \#48

LIHC Newsletter \#48 and IRS Newsletter \#50 clarifies that for projects placed in service during the 45-day grace period, the owner may choose the new or the old income limits. For example, if a project was placed in service on 1/8/2013 and the 2012 income limits are higher than the 2013 income limits, an owner may use the higher income limits from 2012 to income qualify tenants and set rents accordingly because the project was placed in service with the 45 day grace period.

Please note the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® does not apply a 45-day grace period, therefore, users who want to apply a 45-day grace period should select that they were placed in service as of the prior year.

For HUD FY2013 HUD originally issued income limits on December 4, 2012 then issued revised income limits on December 11, 2012. In IRS LIHC Newsletter \#50, the IRS has stated that the effective date for the revised FY 2013 income limits is December 4, 2012. Based on this guidance the Rent \& income limit calculator uses December 4, 2013 for the effective date for the revised FY 2013 limits. Please see IRS Newsletter \#50 for more detail.
http://www.novoco.com/low income_housing/resource_files/irs_rulings/irs newsletter/lihc newsletter50.pdf
${ }^{(2)}$ An area may lose its rural area status. There is no clear guidance that a project is held harmless at the national non-metropolitan income limits when an area loses its rural status. The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that a project that is not indicated as rural in the current year was also not rural in the prior year, and therefore, does not receive hold harmless treatment based on the prior year national non-metro amount. Please consult your state agency and tax advisor for further clarification.
${ }^{(3)}$ A project uses HERA Special if income was determined prior to $1 / 1 / 2009$ and the project is in a HERA Special county. A project's income limits are held harmless at the prior year income limits if income was determined in the prior year or earlier. Please note that the IRS has informally indicated that the definition of "determined" for purposes of the HERA Special and MTSP Hold Harmless income limits means that a project was placed in service. Please see IRS LIHC Newsletter \#35 for more information about "determined" and projects with buildings that were places in service before and after HUD income limit effective dates.
IRS LIHC Newsletter \#35
${ }^{(4)}$ Internal Revenue Code Section 142(d)(2)(i) indicates that the hold harmless applies on a calendar year. The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that "calendar year" in the hold harmless rule means the HUD Fiscal Year. For example, the 2009 calendar year means the HUD Fiscal Year from 3/19/2009 through 5/13/2010. In other words, the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that "calendar year" in the hold harmless rule means the highest income level achieved during any HUD Fiscal Year.

The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that a rural project will receive hold harmless treatment at the national non-metro amount based on the prior year national non-metro amount if the national non-metro median income were to fall from year to year. If a rural project qualifies for HERA Special and the HERA Special is higher than the national non-metro, then the HERA Special amount will be used. Please note that the IRS has not issued guidance that specifically allows hold harmless treatment at the national non-metro amount for rural projects, however, Internal Revenue Code 42(g)(4) by reference to Internal Revenue Code 142(d)(2)(E) implies that hold harmless treatment would apply at the national non-metro amount for rural projects. Please consult your tax advisor for further clarification.
${ }^{(5)}$ Please note that for acquisition/rehabilitation projects, the IRS guidance indicates that income and rent limits are determined at the later of the acquisition date or when management begins income-qualifying households in the project. For example, if a project was acquired in 2011, the rehabilitation was placed-in-service in 2012, and management began income-qualifying households in 2011 then the project would be considered placed in service in 2011 for income and rent purposes. If a project was acquired in 2011, the rehabilitation was placed-in-service in 2012, and management began income-qualifying households when the rehabilitation placed-in-service in 2012, then the project would be considered placed in service in 2012 for income and rent purposes. Please consult your tax advisor for further
clarification.

## IRS LIHC Newsletter \#35

${ }^{(6)}$ The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes all buildings in a project have a rent floor effective date under Revenue Procedure 94-57 in the same HUD income limit period. However, if your buildings have different a rent floor effective date under Revenue Procedure 94-57 in different HUD income limit periods, then you should run the calculator separately for each group of buildings in a particular HUD income limit period. The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that different AMGI limits ( $40 \%, 35 \%, 30 \%$, etc.) chosen by the user will also have a rent floor under Revenue Procedure 94-57 from the same HUD income and rent limit period that applies to the federal level of $50 \%$ or $60 \%$.

Before using the numbers from the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®, we strongly recommend that you check with the applicable state housing agency to verify that the state agrees with the numbers. The numbers round down to the nearest $\$ 1$. Utility allowances are input by the user and are not reviewed or verified by Novogradac \& Company LLP. Novogradac \& Company LLP provides no assurance of the accuracy of the particular results you may obtain from the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®; which is designed only to be a quick reference tool and is no substitute for professional tax and accounting advice. The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® should not be used for any final financial decisions. IRS guidelines and actual HUD amounts should be used for any final decisions. Novogradac \& Company LLP does not guarantee the accuracy of the amounts shown above. As consideration for your use of this tool, free of any requirement to pay any related monetary compensation to Novogradac \& Company LLP, you agree to hold Novogradac \& Company LLP harmless from any damages and claims related to use of the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®. If you do not agree with the terms of this paragraph, you may not use the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®.
$\qquad$

RESOLUTION APPROVING A FORM OF DECLARATION OF TRUST AND AUTHORIZING ITS EXECUTION AND RECORDING
BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMISSIONERS Of $\qquad$ Columbus, Georgia
** the Housing Authority of

Section 1. That the Chairman and the Secretary
$\qquad$ :
$\qquad$ , shall execute and record a Declaration of Trust with respect to the property of eaid Authority in substantially the form set forth under Section 5 herreof.

Section 2. The Secretary is hereby authorized to determine a proper legal description of the real property comprising the site of Project(s) No(s). $\qquad$ _, and to insert such description in the Declaration of Trust.

Section 3. That the Chairman or Vice-Chairman ia heraby authorized and directed to execute safd Declaration of Trust aset forth under Section 5 hereof and as completed by the ineertion of the legal description of the real property of this Authority pursuant to Section 2 hereof, and the Secretary is hereby authorised and directed to impress the seal of said Authority thereon and to attest ame,

Section 4. The Secretary is directed to cause aaid Declaration of Trust to be recorded in the appropriate office of public records of the County in whioh the project( $s$ ) is located. After recording, the Secretary is directed to tranamit said document, together with two of Housing and Urban Development.

Section 5. The Declaration of Trust referred to herein ehall be in eubstantially the following form:


WHEREAS, The HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF COHAGUSG, GEORG
(herein called the "Local Authority"), a public body corporate and politic duly created and organized pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the laws of the $\qquad$ STATE of GEORGIA and the United States of America (herein called the "Government") pursuant to the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended ( 42 U.S.C. 1401, et seq.) and the Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (5 U.S.C. 624, et seq.) entered into a certain contract dated as of _Jun 30 seq.) (herein called the "Annual Contributions Contract") providing for a loan and for annual contributions to be made by the Government to assist the Local Authority in developing, and in achieving and maintaining the low-rent character of, low. rent housing project(s); and

WHEREAS, as of the date of the execution of this Declaration of Trust the Annual Contributions Contract provides for the development and operation by the

Locel Authority of certain low-rent housing in the $\qquad$
CITY of
$\qquad$ , County of MUSCQGEE $\qquad$ , _ STATE
$\qquad$
of GEORGIA
which will provide approximately 300
dwellings; and which low-rent housing will be known as (Project No. GA004006; ); and

WHEREAS, the rocal Authority (1) proposes to issue and deliver its Bonds and Pemanent Notes to aid, in financing the Projects from time to time provided for under the terms of the Annual Contributions Contract to which Contract reference is hereby made for definitions of the Bonds, Permanent Notes, and Projects, and (2) may from time to time issue and deliver its obligations (herein called "Refunding Bonds") to refund said Bonds and Permanent Notes; and

WHEREAS, each Project and the site or sites thereof will have been constructed or acquired with the proceeds of the Bonds and/or advances by the Government on account of the loan provided for in the Annual Contributions Contract and the Bonds and Permanent Notes will be secured (1) severally, by pledges of specific amounts of the annual contributions payable to the Local Authority by the Government pursuant to said Contract; and (2) by a pledge of certain revenues of the projects financed by an issue or issues of Bonds to the extent and in the manner described in the Annual Contributions Contract and the resolutions of the Local.Authority authorizing such Bonds and Permanent Notes:

NOW, THEREFORE, to assure the Govermment and the holder. or holders of the Bonds, Refunding Bonds, or Permanent Notes, and each of them, of the performance of the Local Authority of the covenants contained in the Annual Contributions Contract and the resolutions of the Local Authority authorizing the issuance of the. Bonds, Refunding Bonds, or Permanent Notes, the Local Authority does hereby acknowledge and declare that it is possessed of and holds in trust for the benefit of the Government and said holders of the Bonds, Refunding Bonds, or Pemanent Notes for the purposes hereinafter stated, the following described real property situated in the
CITY
of MUSCOGEE
TO WIT:

SEE ATIACHED EXHIBIT "A"

Project No. GA004006
and all buildings and fixtures erected or to be erected thereon or appurtenant thereto.
The Local Authority hereby declares and acknowledges that during the existance of the trust hereby create the Government and the holders from time to time of the Bonds, Refunding Bonds, or Permanent Notes issuued or to be issued pursuant to the provisions of the Annual Contributions Contract, have been granted and are possessed of an interest in the above-described Project Property, to wit:

The right to require the Local Authority to remain seized of the title to said property and to refrain from transferring, conveying, assigning, leasing, mortgaging, pledging, or otherwise encumbering or permitting or suffering any transfer, conveyance, assignment, leasing, mortgage, pledge or other encumbrance of said property or any part thereof, appurtenances thereto, or any rent, revenues, income, or receipts therefrom or in connection therewith, or any of the benefits or contributions granted to it by or, pursuant to the Annual Contributions Contract, or any interest in any of the same except that the Local Authority (1) may, to the extent and in the manner provided in Sec. 313 of the Annual Contributions Contract, (a) lease dwellings and other spaces and facilities in any Project, or (b) convey or otherwise dispose of any real or personal property which is determined to be excess to the needs of any Project, or (c) convey or dedicate land for use as streets, alleys, or other public ribhts-of-way, and grant easements for the establishment, operation, and maintenance of public utilities, or (d) enter into and perform contracts for the sale of dwelling units to members of tenant families, as authorized by the United States Housing Act of 1937, 42 U.S.C. 1401, et seq., or (2) with the approval of the Government, release any Project which has not then been financed by an issue or issues of Bonds from the trust hereby created; provided, that nothing herein contained shall be construed as prohibiting the conveyance of title to or the delivery or possession of any Project to the Government in pursuance of Section 501 or Sec. 502 of the Annual Contributions Contract.

The endorsement by a duly authorized officer of the Government (1) upon any conveyance made by the Local Authority of any real or personal property which is determined to be excess to the needs of any Project; or (2) upon any instrument of conveyance or dedication of property, or any interest therein, for use as streets, alleys, or other public rights-of-way, or for the establishment, operation, and maintenance of public utilities; or (3) upon any instrument transferring or conveying a dwelling unit, or an interest therein, to a member of a tenant family; or (4) upon any instrument of release made by the Local Authority of any Project which has not then been financed by an issue or issues of Bonds shall be affective to release such property from the trust hereby created.

When all indebtedness of the Local Authority to the Government, arising under the Annual Contributions Contract, has been fully paid and when all the Bonds, Refunding Bonds, and Permanent Notes, together with interest thereon, have been fully paid, or monies sufficient for the payment thereof have been deposited in trust for such payment in accordance with the respective resolution of the Local Authority, authorizing the issuance of such Bonds, Refunding Bonds, and Permanent Notes, the trust hereby created shall terminate and shall no longer be effective.

Nothing herein contained shall be construed to bestow upon the holder or holders of any of the Bonds or Refunding Bonds, or of the coupons appertaining thereto, or any holder of the Permanent Notes (other than the Government) any right or right of action or proceeding by which the Local Authority might be deprived of title to or possession of any Project.

The terms "Bonds," "Refunding Bonds," and "Permanent Notes," as used herein shall include Temporary Notes, Advance Notes, Preliminary Notes and Promissory Notes, or other evidence of indebtedness issued pursuant to the Authority's Annual Contributions Contract.

IN WITNESS; WHEREOF, the Local Authority by its officers thereunto duly authorized has caused these presents to be signed in its name and its corporate seal to be hereunto affixed and attested this $/ /$ day of qual $319: 90$.


Legal Description of Project Ga-4-6,
The Housing Authority of the City of Columbus, Georgia.

All that tract or parcel of land situate, lying and being In the City of Columbus, Muscogee County, Georgia, embraced within the following boundary lines, to-wit: BEGINNING at a concrete monument located on the south side of 35 th Street, 23.5 feet west of the center line of the tracks of the Central of Georgia Railway Company on the main line of said Company which extends from said city of Columbus to Atlanta, Georgia; thence running southerly and southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the right, a distance of 1029.78 feet to a marker located 21.28 feet from the center line of said railroad tracks (Said marker being also located as follows: Beginning at the aforesaid concrete monument and running thence South 4 degrees 50 seconds west, 329.84 feet to a point on said curve; thence south 12 degrees 48 minutes 50 seconds west, 246.1 feet to a point on said curve; thence south 20 degrees 18 minutes 50 seconds west, 253.6 feet to a point on said curve; thence south 27 degrees 14 minutes 20 seconds west 199.9 feet to said marker.); thence south 30 degrees 16 minutes west 43.33 feet; thence south 29 degrees 32 minutes west,; 531.1 feet; thence south 30 degrees 18 minutes 50 seconds west, 317.8 feet; thence south 86 degrees 49 minutas 50 seconds west, 438.30 feet; thence north 8 degrees 21 minutes east, 49 feet; thence north 15 minutes 30 seconds west, 362.15 feet; thence south 89 degrees 40 minutes 30 seconds west, 149.85 feet to a point located on the east side of Sixth Avenue; thence north along said east side of Sixth Avenue, 75.7 feet to the south side of Belmont Street; thence south 86 degrees 30 minutes 10 seconds east along the south side of Belmont Street, 304.3 feet; thence north 15 degrees 39 minutes 50 seconds east 343.97 feet; thence north 19 degrees 49 minutes 10 seconds east 51.08 feet; thence north 2 degrees 31 minutes 20 seconds west 319.1 feet; thence north 2 degrees 41 minutes west 50.1 feet; thence north 2 degrees 33 minutes west 456.89 feet; thence north 87 degrees 30 minutes 20 seconds east 100 feet; thence south 2 degrees 28 minutes 30 seconds east 55 feet; thence north 87 degrees 31 minutes 10 seconds east 50.03 feet; thence north 2 degrees $28 \mathrm{~min}-$ utes 40 seconds west, 26 feet; thence north 87 degrees 29 minutes 20 seconds east, 145 feet; thence north 2 degrees 29 minutes west, 20.53 feet; thence north 87 degrees 30 minutes east 100.1 feet; thence south 2 degrees 27 minutes 40 seconds east, 46.6 feet; thence north 87 degrees 24 minutes 20 seconds east, 200 feet; thence north 2 degrees 25 minutes 40 seconds west, 62.4 feet; thence south 83 degrees 34 minutes 30 seconds east, 35.9 feet; thence south 81 degrees 32 minutes 10 seconds east, 55.65 feet; thence north 4 degrees 29 minutes east, 80.45 feet to the south side of 35 th Street; thence north 87 degrees 24 minutes east along said south side of 35th street, 215.1 feet to the point of beginning; -- a map or plat of said tract or parcel of land made by T. L. Moss, Jr., Civil Engineer-Surveyor, being recorded in Plat Book 6, folio 237, in the office of the clerk of the Superior Court of Muscogee County, Georgia, and reference being thereto made for a more particular description of said property; -- subject only to the rights of the City of Columbus and Bibb Manufacturing Company with respect to a
certain sewer easement over, upon, along and across said property 15 feet in width (measured $7-1 / 2$ feet on each side of the center line of existing sewers), and embraced within the strips of land designated "Sewer Easement" upon the aforesaid map or plat, said Sewer Easement consisting of a main line extending in a northerlysoutherly direction from the northern boundary of sald property to the southern boundary thereof, together with two branch lines extending easterly and northeasterly from said main line to the eastern boundary of said property, said rights of said City of Columbus and said Bibb Manufacturing Company with respect to said easement being fully set forth in the final decree rendered on June 5, 1951, by the Superior Court of Muscogee County, Georgia, in that certain condemnation proceeding of the Housing Authority of the City of Columbus, Georgia, vs. The City of Columbus, et al., said, proceeding bearing Docket No. 17130 in said Court.


OF ALSCOGES CCUNTY, GEDRGIA

