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June 11, 2013

Mr. Taylor Brown<br>The Integral Group<br>191 Peachtree Street NE \#4100<br>Atlanta, Georgia 30303<br>Re: Centennial Place, Phase 1<br>Dear Mr. Taylor Brown:

The subject property, known as Centennial Place Phase 1, is an existing affordable multifamily development located at 526 Centennial Olympic Park Drive in Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia. The subject property consists of 181 revenue-producing units originally constructed in 1996. The sponsor has proposed to renovate the property using a combination of conventional debt, below-market debt and tax credit financing. Renovation is planned to commence in 2014 with market entry in 2015. The subject property is an open age community.

The subject property is proposed to consist of a total of 181 revenue-producing units including 1, 2, 3 and 4bedroom garden and townhome apartments. A total of 113 units are proposed to be income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI; a total of 68 units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; a total of 74 units are proposed to benefit from project-based rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing.

The scope of this assignment consists of a comprehensive market analysis for the subject property. The market study was completed in accordance with National Council for Affordable Housing Market Analyst (NCAHMA) guidelines and the Uniform Standards of Professional Practice (USPAP). The completion of this report involved a site visit, interviews with local property managers, and the collection of market data through discussions with persons knowledgeable of the local real estate market. This report is presented in a summary report format, of which this section is a part.

The purpose of the report is to evaluate market need for the subject property as of the effective date of this report. The function of this report is to assess the marketability of the subject property for tax credit appliation purposes. This report should not be used for any other purposes without the express written permission of Allen \& Associates Consulting.

The report has been generated for the benefit of our client The Integral Group. Georgia DCA is hereby named as an additional user of the report. No other person or entity may use the report for any reason whatsoever without our express written permission.

Allen \& Associates has not previously conducted a market study for the subject property.

A summary of our findings and conclusions is found in the following pages. The conclusions reported are based on the conditions that exist as of the effective date of this report. These factors are subject to change and may alter, or otherwise affect the findings and conclusions presented in this report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report presents an accurate evaluation of market conditions for the subject property as of the effective date of this report. While the analysis that follows is based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable, no guarantee is made of its accuracy.

Feel free to contact us with any questions or comments.
Respectfully submitted:
ALLEN \& ASSOCIATES CONSULTING


Jeff Carroll


Debbie Rucker


Frank Victory
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following is a summary of our key findings and conclusions with respect to the subject property:

## Project Description

The subject property, known as Centennial Place Phase 1, is an existing affordable multifamily development located at 526 Centennial Olympic Park Drive in Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia. The subject property consists of 181 revenueproducing units originally constructed in 1996. The sponsor has proposed to renovate the property using a combination of conventional debt, below-market debt and tax credit financing. Renovation is planned to commence in 2014 with market entry in 2015. The subject property is an open age community.

The subject property is proposed to consist of a total of 181 revenue-producing units including 1, 2, 3 and 4-bedroom garden and townhome apartments. A total of 113 units are proposed to be income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI ; a total of 68 units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; a total of 74 units are proposed to benefit from project-based rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing.

A table showing a summary of the proposed unit configuration for the subject property is found below:

| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Tot Units | Net Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 9 | \$568 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$590 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 24 | \$535 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$535 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 30 | \$850 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$651 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$669 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$625 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 4 | \$1,050 |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,050 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$625 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$1,350 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 16 | \$651 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$669 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$651 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$625 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 3 | \$1,175 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 23 | \$1,175 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 18 | \$722 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$735 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$681 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,325 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,325 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$681 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,500 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$774 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$1,650 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$781 |
| Total / Average |  |  | 181 | \$789 |

A table showing the proposed utility configuration for the subject property is found below:

| Proposed Utility Configuration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility / Source | Tenant | Owner |
| Heat / Gas | some | no |
| Heat / Electric | some | no |
| Cooking / Gas | no | no |
| Cooking / Electric | yes | no |
| Other / Electric | yes | no |
| A/C / Electric | yes | no |
| HW / Gas | yes | no |
| HW / Electric | no | no |
| Water | yes | no |
| Sewer | yes | no |
| Trash | no | yes |

A table showing the proposed utility allowances for the subject property is found below:

| Proposed Utility Allowances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | UA |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$179 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$157 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$179 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$157 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$179 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$244 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$226 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$244 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$244 |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$244 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of | No | No | \$244 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market | No | No | \$244 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$244 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$226 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$244 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$244 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market | No | No | \$244 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market | No | No | \$244 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of | No | Yes | \$313 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of | No | Yes | \$300 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of | No | No | \$313 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market | No | No | \$313 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market | No | No | \$313 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of | No | No | \$313 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market | No | No | \$313 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of | No | Yes | \$381 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market | No | No | \$381 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of | No | Yes | \$381 |
| Total / Average |  |  | \$233 |

In the table found below we present a listing of amenities at the subject property along with a summary of amenities found at other properties in the area. The subject property amenities are highlighted for ease of reference; the incidence of amenities at competing properties is shown in percentages:

| Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type |  | Air Conditioning |  |
| 1 Story | 0\% | Central | 91\% |
| 2-4 Story | 64\% | Wall Units | 6\% |
| 5-10 Story | 29\% | Window Units | 2\% |
| >10 Story | 7\% | None | 0\% |
| Project Amenities |  | Heat |  |
| Ball Field | 0\% | Central | 95\% |
| BBQ Area | 37\% | Wall Units | 4\% |
| Billiards | 11\% | Baseboards | 0\% |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | 48\% | Radiators | 0\% |
| Car Care Ctr | 9\% | None | 0\% |
| Comm Center | 67\% |  |  |
| Elevator | 57\% | Parking |  |
| Fitness Center | 69\% | Garage | 3\% |
| Gazebo | 14\% | Covered | 22\% |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | 0\% | Assigned | 1\% |
| Horseshoe Pit | 0\% | Open | 84\% |
| Lake | 1\% | None | 16\% |
| Library | 11\% |  |  |
| Movie Theatre | 6\% | Laundry |  |
| Picnic Area | 39\% | Central | 71\% |
| Playground | 21\% | W/D Units | 24\% |
| Pool | 49\% | W/D Hookups | 38\% |
| Sauna | 0\% |  |  |
| Sports Court | 9\% | Sec |  |
| Walking Trail | 9\% | Call Buttons | 11\% |
|  |  | Cont Access | 73\% |
| Unit Amenities |  | Courtesy Officer | 41\% |
| Blinds | 99\% | Monitoring | 5\% |
| Ceiling Fans | 41\% | Security Alarms | 20\% |
| Carpeting | 91\% | Security Patrols | 13\% |
| Fireplace | 0\% |  |  |
| Patio/Balcony | 57\% |  |  |
| Storage | 20\% | Services |  |
|  |  | After School | 3\% |
| Kitchen Amenities |  | Concierge | 5\% |
| Stove | 100\% | Hair Salon | 3\% |
| Refrigerator | 100\% | Health Care | 1\% |
| Disposal | 68\% | Linens | 0\% |
| Dishwasher | 72\% | Meals | 0\% |
| Microwave | 29\% | Transportation | 4\% |

Our research suggests that an appropriate mix of amenities are proposed for the subject property.

## Site Description/Evaluation

In the table below we summarize the features of the subject property site:

Site FeaturesLongitude

| Zoning | RG-3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Acres | 9.58 |
| Lot Shape | Irregular |
| Frontage | +/- 1,300 feet |
| Parking Areas | Asphalt / Privately-Owned |
| Streets | Asphalt / Privately-Owned |
| Curbs | Concrete / Privately-Owned |
| Sidewalks | Concrete / Privately-Owned |
| Dumpsters | Publicly-Owned |
| Number of Dumpsters | 7 |
| Dumpster Enclosures | Wood / Privately-Owned |
| Perimeter Fence | None |
| Landscaping | Trees, Shrubs \& Lawns |
| Retaining Walls | None |
| Entry Signs | Lighted |
| Number of Entry Signs | 1 |
| Stormwater Management | Catch Basins / Concrete Pipe / Connects to Public System |
| Site Lighting | HID Poles / Publicly-Owned |
| Domestic Water Service | Ductile Iron / Privately-Owned / Connects to Public System |
| Wastewater Service | PVC Pipe / Privately-Owned / Connects to Public System |
| Parking Spaces - Regular | 225 |
| Parking Spaces - Accessible | 8 |
| Parking Spaces - Total | 233 |

We did not observe any nuisances, hazards, detrimental influences or recognized environmental conditions on our inspection of the subject property. The subject property was originally constructed in 1996, after the 1978 ban on lead and asbestos containing construction materials. Nevertheless, we recommend that the sponsor obtain a comprehensive environmental assessment from a qualified professional.

The subject property is located on Centennial Olympic Park Drive, approximately 2 blocks south of North Avenue in Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia. Centennial Olympic Park Drive is a heavily-travelled north-south road carrying approximately 20,000 vehicles per day and providing access to the subject property. North Avenue is a heavily-travelled east-west road carrying approximately 20,000 vehicles per day. We are not aware of any planned road or infrastructure improvements in the immediate vicinity of the subject property. In our opinion, therefore, accessibility is very good by virtue of the location of the subject property relative to existing streets and thoroughfares.

The subject property is clearly visible from Centennial Olympic Park Drive and is exposed to a significant volume of traffic. Consequently, in our opinion visibility is very good by virtue of the exposure of the subject property to existing drive-by traffic volumes.

Multifamily is located to the north, south and west of the subject property; I-75 is located to the east.
A listing of area amenities is summarized in the table found below:

| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Amenity | Miles |
| Bank of America | Bank | 0.39 |
| Family Dollar | Department Store | 1.16 |
| Atlanta Fire Dept. | Fire Department | 1.16 |
| Publix | Grocery Store | 0.64 |
| Emory University Hospital | Hospital | 0.36 |
| Concord Pharmacy | Pharmacy | 0.33 |
| Atlanta Police Dept. | Police | 0.18 |
| US Post Office | Post Office | 0.57 |

Our analysis suggests that the subject property has a good location with respect to local amenities and services.

## Market Area

We defined the primary market area by generating a drive time zone around the subject property and analyzing median rents and average household income levels in the area. We also considered population densities, existing concentrations of multifamily properties and the nearest census tract boundaries in our analysis.

A map depicting our market area follows:


The primary market area includes a population of 126,702 persons and covers a total of 15.1 square miles, making it 4.4 miles across on average.

## Community Demographic Data

The following tables give population data for the nation, state, region and market area since 2000. The data sets, which come from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas, include forecasts through 2017:

| Population |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Population | $281,421,906$ | $8,186,453$ | 816,006 | 88,026 |
| 2012 Population | $314,093,386$ | $10,279,892$ | $1,095,606$ | 126,702 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $32,671,480$ | $2,093,439$ | 279,600 | 38,677 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| 2012 Population |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 Population | $314,093,386$ | $10,279,892$ | $1,095,606$ | 126,702 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $326,729,417$ | $11,099,643$ | $1,206,603$ | 140,532 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $12,636,031$ | 819,751 | 110,997 | 13,829 |

Population for the market area increased from 88,026 in 2000 to 126,702 in 2012. Population is forecasted to increase
2.1 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $1.9,1.5$ and 0.8 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

The following tables give household data for the nation, state, region and market area since 2000. The data sets, which come from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas, include forecasts through 2017:

| Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Households | $105,539,122$ | $3,007,678$ | 321,266 | 35,530 |
| 2012 Households | $118,060,841$ | $3,757,183$ | 418,073 | 53,716 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $12,521,719$ | 749,505 | 96,807 | 18,185 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $118,060,841$ | $3,757,183$ | 418,073 | 53,716 |
| 2017 Households | $122,871,401$ | $4,050,651$ | 456,242 | 59,912 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $4,810,560$ | 293,468 | 38,169 | 6,197 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.8 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |

Households for the market area increased from 35,530 in 2000 to 53,716 in 2012. Households are forecasted to increase 2.2 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $1.8,1.5$ and 0.8 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

The following tables give renter household data for the nation, state, region and market area since 2000. The data sets, which come from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas, include forecasts through 2017:

| Renter Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Households | $35,698,135$ | 977,972 | 153,959 | 26,131 |
| 2012 Households | $39,151,832$ | $1,186,449$ | 192,431 | 37,434 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $3,453,697$ | 208,477 | 38,472 | 11,303 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.8 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $39,151,832$ | $1,186,449$ | 192,431 | 37,434 |
| 2017 Households | $40,750,852$ | $1,274,448$ | 207,707 | 40,984 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $1,599,020$ | 87,999 | 15,276 | 3,550 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |

Renter households for the market area increased from 26,131 in 2000 to 37,434 in 2012. Renter households are forecasted to increase 1.8 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $1.5,1.4$ and 0.8 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

The following table gives average household income data for the region and market area since 2000. The data set, which comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas, includes a forecast through 2017:

| Average Household Income |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Average Income | 56,644 | 56,624 | 74,971 | 45,545 |
| 2012 Average Income | 73,628 | 70,587 | 98,195 | 73,646 |
| 2000-2012 Change | 16,985 | 13,964 | 23,224 | 28,101 |
| Annual Change, \% | $2.2 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Average Income | 73,628 | 70,587 | 98,195 | 73,646 |
| 2017 Average Income | 80,023 | 75,482 | 106,917 | 84,475 |
| 2012-2017 Change | 6,394 | 4,894 | 8,721 | 10,829 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |

Average household income for the market area increased from $\$ 45,545$ in 2000 to $\$ 73,646$ in 2011. Household income is forecasted to increase 2.8 percent annually through 2016.

In our opinion, foreclosed, abandoned and vacant single and multifamily homes are not competitive with the subject property units. Consequently, we do not anticipate an adverse impact from these property types.

## Economic Data

In this section we conduct an analysis of the national, state and regional economy. A map depicting the region is found below.


The following table gives establishment-based employment data for the nation, state and region since 1990. The data set, which comes from Woods \& Poole Economics, includes a forecast through 2017:

|  | Establishment Employment |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region |
| 1990 Employment | $138,331,022$ | $3,664,021$ | 709,582 |
| 2000 Employment | $165,370,978$ | $4,854,288$ | 903,380 |
| 1990-2000 Change | $27,039,956$ | $1,190,267$ | 193,798 |
| Annual Change, \% | $1.8 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2000 Employment | $165,370,978$ | $4,854,288$ | 903,380 |
| 2012 Employment | $180,704,835$ | $5,422,277$ | 927,329 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $15,333,857$ | 567,989 | 23,949 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.7 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Employment | $180,704,835$ | $5,422,277$ | 927,329 |
| 2017 Employment | $191,296,882$ | $5,799,245$ | 983,586 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $10,592,047$ | 376,968 | 56,257 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |

Establishment-based employment for the region increased from 709,582 in 1990 to 927,329 in 2012. Employment is forecasted to increase 1.2 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 1.4 and 1.1 percent for the state and nation, respectively.

The table below gives the distribution of establishment-based employment by industry for the region. Once again, the data set (which comes from Woods \& Poole Economics), includes a forecast through 2017.

| Employment by Industry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | $\%$ of Total |
| Farm | 218 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Forestry, Fishing \& Related Activities | 481 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Mining | 907 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Utilities | 3,801 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Construction | 25,137 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Manufacturing | 28,902 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Wholesale Trade | 41,645 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Retail Trade | 64,094 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Transportation \& Warehousing | 33,856 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Information | 52,234 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Finance \& Insurance | 59,644 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Real Estate \& Related Activities | 53,113 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Professional \& Technical Services | 108,130 | $11.7 \%$ |
| Management \& Related Activities | 17,076 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Administrative \& Waste Services | 72,018 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Educational Services | 22,777 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Health Care \& Social Assistance | 82,599 | $8.9 \%$ |
| Arts, Entertainment \& Recreation | 20,273 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Accomodation \& Food Services | 75,378 | $8.1 \%$ |
| Other Services, Except Public | 49,089 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Federal Civilian Government | 21,329 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Federal Military | 4,969 | $0.5 \%$ |
| State \& Local Government | 89,659 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Total | 927,329 | $100.0 \%$ |

Our analysis suggests that professional \& technical services is the largest employment sector, accounting for 108,130 jobs, or 11.7 percent of regional employment. The regional professional \& technical services sector is forecasted to grow 1.8 percent annually.

The following table gives unemployment rate statistics for the nation, state and region. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics:

| Unemployment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Nation | State | Region |
| 2007 | $4.7 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | $5.8 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | $9.3 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| 2010 | $9.7 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| 2011 | $9.0 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| 2012 | $8.1 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Mar-12 | $8.4 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| Mar-13 | $7.7 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |

Over the past 12 months the unemployment rate for the region decreased from 9.6 to 8.5 percent. This is compared with
8.1 and 7.7 percent for the state and nation, respectively.

The regional economy appears to be stable, with modest growth projected for the forseeable future. Although there is continued uncertainty with the US economy, we do not anticipate an adverse economic environment in the immediate future.

## Project-Specific Affordability and Demand Analysis

In the following tables we present our concluded demand and capture rate estimates for the subject property using the DCA demand methodology:

| Unit Type / <br> Rent Type / Income Limit | Tot Units at Market Entry | Vac Units at Market Entry | Gross <br> Demand | Competing Supply | Net Demand | Capture Rate | Absorption Pd (Mos) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI | 10 | 3 | 5,160 | 94 | 5,066 | 0.1\% | <1 |
| 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI | 26 | 7 | 827 | 53 | 774 | 0.9\% | 2 |
| 1-Bedroom / Market Rate | 30 | 8 | 6,941 | 647 | 6,294 | 0.1\% | <1 |
| 2-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI | 43 | 11 | 5,097 | 110 | 4,987 | 0.2\% | <1 |
| 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI | 8 | 2 | 204 | 91 | 113 | 1.8\% | 2 |
| 2-Bedroom / Market Rate | 33 | 8 | 6,576 | 762 | 5,814 | 0.1\% | <1 |
| 3-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI | 19 | 5 | 4,952 | 30 | 4,922 | 0.1\% | <1 |
| 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI | 5 | 2 | 174 | 14 | 160 | 1.3\% | 3 |
| 3-Bedroom / Market Rate | 3 | 1 | 5,732 | 75 | 5,657 | 0.0\% | <1 |
| 4-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI | 2 | 1 | 4,229 | 0 | 4,229 | 0.0\% | <1 |
| 4-Bedroom / Market Rate | 2 | 1 | 4,481 | 0 | 4,481 | 0.0\% | <1 |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - Subsidized Units |  |  |  | 0.3\% |  |  |  |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units |  |  |  | 1.2\% |  |  |  |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - Market Units |  |  |  | 0.3\% |  |  |  |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - All Units |  |  |  | 0.3\% |  |  |  |
| Project-Wide Absorption Period (Months) |  |  |  | 3 months |  |  |  |

## Competitive Rental Analysis

In conducting our analysis, we obtained information on multifamily properties with 20 or more units in the market area. Our research included conventionally financed multifamily communities as well as properties financed by the local housing authority and the state housing finance agency. Our analysis also included properties financed by and/or subsidized by USDA and/or HUD. Finally, our analysis included properties that are either proposed or currently under construction. The result was a listing of projects with 20 or more units - whether existing, under construction, or proposed - for this area.

After accounting for any unconfirmed properties and any properties that are located outside the defined market area, we arrived at a list of confirmed market area properties. This is the listing of properties upon which our analysis is based. In our opinion, these properties give a credible picture of market conditions as of the effective date of this report.

Our analysis includes a total of 102 confirmed market area properties consisting of 18,788 units. The occupancy rate for these units currently stands at 88 percent. This rate reflects the occupancy for all confirmed market area units, regardless of project status (stabilized, under construction, proposed, etc.).

Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 2,035 stabilized elderly units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 96 percent (1892 units in survey)
- Restricted, 98 percent (90 units in survey)
- Market Rate, 98 percent (53 units in survey)
- 0-Bedroom, 98 percent (336 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 96 percent (1577 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 96 percent (122 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 14,598 stabilized family units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 95 percent (1685 units in survey)
- Restricted, 91 percent (1128 units in survey)
- Market Rate, 95 percent (11785 units in survey)
- 0-Bedroom, 94 percent (1475 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 95 percent ( 5785 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 95 percent ( 6535 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, 91 percent ( 767 units in survey)
- 4 -Bedroom, 100 percent (36 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed restricted rent units:

- 0-Bedroom, $\$ 0.99$ per square foot
- 1-Bedroom, $\$ 0.89$ per square foot
- 2-Bedroom, $\$ 0.74$ per square foot
- 3-Bedroom, $\$ 0.71$ per square foot
- 4-Bedroom, $\$ 0.66$ per square foot

Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed market rate units:

- 0-Bedroom, $\$ 1.53$ per square foot
- 1-Bedroom, $\$ 1.14$ per square foot
- 2-Bedroom, $\$ 1.08$ per square foot
- 3-Bedroom, $\$ 0.98$ per square foot
- 4-Bedroom, $\$ 1.05$ per square foot

In the following table we present our concluded achievable rents and rent advantage for the subject property:

| Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Achievable | Proposed | Advantage |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 9 | \$850 | \$568 | 33.2\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$850 | \$590 | 30.6\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 24 | \$568 | \$535 | 5.8\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$590 | \$535 | 9.3\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 30 | \$850 | \$850 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$1,050 | \$651 | 38.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,050 | \$669 | 36.3\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$651 | \$625 | 4.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 4 | \$1,050 | \$1,050 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,050 | \$1,050 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$651 | \$625 | 4.0\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 16 | \$1,175 | \$651 | 44.6\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,175 | \$669 | 43.1\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$1,175 | \$651 | 44.6\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$651 | \$625 | 4.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 3 | \$1,175 | \$1,175 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 23 | \$1,175 | \$1,175 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 18 | \$1,325 | \$722 | 45.5\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,325 | \$735 | 44.5\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$722 | \$681 | 5.7\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,325 | \$1,325 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,325 | \$1,325 | 0.0\% |


| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$722 | \$681 | 5.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | 0.0\% |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,650 | \$774 | 53.1\% |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$1,650 | \$1,650 | 0.0\% |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,650 | \$781 | 52.7\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 181 | \$992 | \$789 | 20.5\% |

Our analysis suggests an average achievable rent of $\$ 992$ for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of $\$ 789$, yielding an achievable rent advantage of 20.5 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below achievable rents for the area.

## NCAHMA Demand Analysis, Absorption \& Stabilization Estimate

In the following tables we present our concluded demand, capture rate, penetration rate and absorption period estimates for the subject property using the NCAHMA demand methodology:

| Unit Type / <br> Rent Type / Income Limit | Vac Units at Market Entry | Gross Demand | Vacant \& Pipeline Units | Capture Rate Gross | Capture Rate Net | Penetration Rate | Absorption Pd (Mos) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI | 3 | 11,316 | 83 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | <1 |
| 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI | 7 | 1,781 | 25 | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.8\% | 2 |
| 1-Bedroom / Market Rate | 8 | 14,946 | 638 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 4.3\% | <1 |
| 2-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI | 11 | 11,143 | 95 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | <1 |
| 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI | 2 | 434 | 56 | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 13.4\% | 2 |
| 2-Bedroom / Market Rate | 8 | 14,275 | 746 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 5.3\% | <1 |
| 3-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI | 5 | 10,836 | 24 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | <1 |
| 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI | 2 | 390 | 14 | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 4.1\% | 3 |
| 3-Bedroom / Market Rate | 1 | 12,499 | 72 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | <1 |
| 4-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI | 1 | 9,250 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | <1 |
| 4-Bedroom / Market Rate | 1 | 9,785 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | <1 |
|  | Project-Wide Gross Capture Rate |  |  | 0.1\% |  |  |  |
|  | Project-Wide Net Capture Rate |  |  | 0.1\% |  |  |  |
|  | Project-Wide Penetration Rate |  |  | 5.2\% |  |  |  |
|  | Stabilized Occupancy |  |  | 93\% |  |  |  |
|  | Project-Wide Absorption Period |  |  | 3 mos |  |  |  |

In our opinion, the estimated project-level capture rate suggests an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit level capture rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

In our opinion, the estimated project-level penetration rate suggest an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit-level penetration rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

Our analysis suggests that the subject property will stabilize at 93 percent occupancy. We estimate 3 months of absorption and an average absorption rate of 17.6 units per month for this project. In our opinion, the absorption period suggests an appropriate number and mix of units for the subject property.

Absorption rates for multifamily properties depend on a variety of factors: (1) The competitive environment in which the property resides; (2) The pricing of the subject property units relative to competing units, (3) The presence of rent or income restrictions at the subject property; and (4) The availability of any rent concessions or rental assistance at the subject property. Subsidized properties normally lease up at a rate of 15-20 units per month. Unsubsidized properties with rent and income restrictions tyically fill at a rate of 5-10 units per month. Market rate properties normally lease up at a rate of 10-15 units per month.

It is important to note that this analysis does not account for pent-up demand, pre-leasing efforts or rent concessions. In our opinion, an effective pre-leasing effort could result in a month-for-month reduction in the estimated absorption period for this project. In addition, any concessions or rent subsidies not accounted for already in this analysis could cut capture rates and absorption periods significantly.

## Overall Conclusion

In conclusion, the subject property appears to be feasible from a market standpoint. Because of the demonstrated depth of demand in this area and the current occupied status of the subject property, we do not believe the renovation of this property will have an adverse impact on existing projects in the market area. The units appear to be priced appropriately and we anticipate a rapid lease-up after renovation.

Key risks and market factors that may impact the construction, lease up and operation of the subject property are associated with the continued uncertainty in the US economy.


## PROJECT OVERVIEW

## Project Description

The subject property, known as Centennial Place Phase 1, is an existing affordable multifamily development located at 526 Centennial Olympic Park Drive in Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia. The subject property consists of 181 revenue-producing units originally constructed in 1996. The sponsor has proposed to renovate the property using a combination of conventional debt, below-market debt and tax credit financing. Renovation is planned to commence in 2014 with market entry in 2015. The subject property is an open age community.

Select project details are summarized below:
Project Overview

| Property Name | Centennial Place, Phase 1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Number | 526 |
| Street Name | Centennial Olympic Park |
| Street Type | Drive |
| City | Atlanta |
| County | Fulton County |
| State | Georgia |
| Zip | 30313 |
| Units | 181 |
| Year Built | 1996 |
| Year of Market Entry | 2015 |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Rehab |
| Financing Type | Tax Credit |
| Latitude | 33.76858 |
| Longitude | -84.392524 |

The subject property is currently in fair condition. The sponsor has proposed to rehabilitate the subject property to bring it up to "like new" condition using tax credits and/or below-market debt financing. The contemplated rehabilitation scope includes roof, parking lot, landscape, appliance, cabinet, HVAC and tile repairs and/or replacement.

## Location Map

A map showing the location of the subject property follows:


## Unit Configuration

The subject property currently consists of a total of 181 residential units including 1, 2, 3, and 4-bedroom garden and townhome apartments. A total of 113 units are currently income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI ; a total of 68 units are currently set aside as a market rate units; a total of 74 units currently benefit from project-based rental assistance; no units currrently benefit from HOME financing. The subject property currently stands at 94 percent occupancy.

A table showing a summary of the current unit configuration for the subject property is found below:

| Current Unit Configuration |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Tot Units | Net Rent |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 9 | BOI |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | BOI |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 24 | \$610 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$610 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 30 | \$835 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | BOI |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | BOI |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$739 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 4 | \$990 |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$990 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$742 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$1,464 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 16 | BOI |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | BOI |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | BOI |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$742 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 3 | \$1,124 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 23 | \$1,124 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 18 | BOI |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | BOI |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$850 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,565 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,665 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$850 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,665 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | BOI |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$1,850 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | BOI |
| Total / Average |  |  | 181 | \$535 |

The subject property is proposed to consist of a total of 181 revenue-producing units including 1, 2, 3 and 4bedroom garden and townhome apartments. A total of 113 units are proposed to be income restricted to 60\% of AMI; a total of 68 units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; a total of 74 units are proposed to benefit from project-based rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing.

A table showing a summary of the proposed unit configuration for the subject property is found below:

| Proposed Unit Configuration |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Tot Units | Net Rent |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 9 | \$568 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$590 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 24 | \$535 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$535 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 30 | \$850 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$651 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$669 |


| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | $\$ 625$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 4 | $\$ 1,050$ |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | $\$ 1,050$ |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | $\$ 625$ |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | $\$ 1,350$ |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 16 | $\$ 651$ |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | $\$ 669$ |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | $\$ 651$ |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | $\$ 625$ |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 3 | $\$ 1,175$ |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 23 | $\$ 1,175$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 18 | $\$ 722$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | $\$ 735$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | $\$ 681$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | $\$ 1,325$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | $\$ 1,325$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | $\$ 681$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | $\$ 1,500$ |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | $\$ 774$ |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | $\$ 1,650$ |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | $\$ 781$ |
| Total / Average |  |  | 181 | $\$ 789$ |

A table showing the current utility configuration for the subject property is found below:

| Current Utility Configuration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility / Source | Tenant | Owner |
| Heat / Gas | some | no |
| Heat / Electric | some | no |
| Cooking / Gas | no | no |
| Cooking / Electric | yes | no |
| Other / Electric | yes | no |
| A/C / Electric | yes | no |
| HW / Gas | yes | no |
| HW / Electric | no | no |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | yes |

A table showing the proposed utility configuration for the subject property is found below:

| Proposed Utility Configuration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility / Source | Tenant | Owner |
| Heat / Gas | some | no |
| Heat / Electric | some | no |
| Cooking / Gas | no | no |
| Cooking / Electric | yes | no |
| Other / Electric | yes | no |
| A/C / Electric | yes | no |
| HW / Gas | yes | no |
| HW / Electric | no | no |
| Water | yes | no |
| Sewer | yes | no |
| Trash | no | yes |

In the table that follows we compare the subject's current utility allowances (also known as tenant furnished or tenant paid utilities) to the estimated allowances using the HUD Utility Schedule Model:

| Current Utility Allowances |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | UA | HUD UA |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$104 | \$84 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$88 | \$84 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$104 | \$84 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$88 | \$84 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$104 | \$84 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$127 | \$111 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$117 | \$111 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$127 | \$111 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$127 | \$111 |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$127 | \$111 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$127 | \$131 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$127 | \$131 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$149 | \$111 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$145 | \$111 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$149 | \$111 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$149 | \$111 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$149 | \$111 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$149 | \$111 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$149 | \$138 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$145 | \$138 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$149 | \$138 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$149 | \$138 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$149 | \$138 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$149 | \$173 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$149 | \$173 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$170 | \$211 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$170 | \$211 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$170 | \$211 |
| Total / Average |  |  | \$128 | \$108 |

In the table that follows we compare the subject's proposed utility allowances (also known as tenant furnished or tenant paid utilities) to the estimated allowances using the HUD Utility Schedule Model:

Proposed Utility Allowances

| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | UA | HUD UA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$179 | \$211 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$157 | \$211 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$179 | \$211 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$157 | \$211 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$179 | \$211 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$244 | \$285 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$226 | \$285 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$244 | \$285 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$244 | \$285 |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$244 | \$285 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | \$244 | \$285 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | \$244 | \$285 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$244 | \$285 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$226 | \$285 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | \$244 | \$285 |


| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | $\$ 244$ | $\$ 285$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | $\$ 244$ | $\$ 285$ |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | $\$ 244$ | $\$ 285$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | $\$ 313$ | $\$ 358$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | $\$ 300$ | $\$ 358$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | $\$ 313$ | $\$ 358$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | $\$ 313$ | $\$ 358$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | $\$ 313$ | $\$ 358$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | $\$ 313$ | $\$ 393$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | $\$ 313$ | $\$ 393$ |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | $\$ 381$ | $\$ 478$ |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | $\$ 381$ | $\$ 478$ |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | $\$ 381$ | $\$ 478$ |
| Total / Average |  |  | $\$ 233$ | $\$ 274$ |

Current federal regulations require that USDA-RD or the local housing authority approve proposed utility allowances for specific properties. Federal regulations permit the use of the HUD Utility Schedule model for purposes of establishing utility allowances for other properties. The HUD utility allowances are a good measure of the anticipated energy costs for a given property. Our analysis suggests that the proposed utility allowances are lower than those established using the HUD model.

Outputs from the HUD Utility Schedule Model follow; accompanying documentation is available upon request:

| Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilitie and Other Services |  |  | U.S. Department of Housing |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | and Urban Development |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Office of Public and Indian Housing |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prioest |  | A90 |  | Unit Type |  |  | Date (mmiddtyyy |
| Atlanta, GA |  | 1996 or never |  | Garden and high rise apartments |  |  | 51612013 |
| Utility or Service |  | Monthy Dollor Allowances |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | OER | 18 B | 2 ER | 3BR | 4 BR | 5 ER |
| Hesting | a. Notural Gos | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
|  | b. Eottle Gis\% | 16 | 18 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 28 |
|  | c. Electric | 13 | 18 | 23 | 28 | 34 | 39 |
|  | d. Oil 1 Cooll 1 Other | 19 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 33 |
| Cooking | a. Notural Gos | 10. | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|  | b. Botte Gos | 8 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 21 | 24 |
|  | c. Electric | 9 | 12 | 16. | 19. | 23 | 26 |
|  | d. Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Elsctric |  | 36 | 48 | 61 | 73 | 85 | 98 |
| Air Conditioning |  | 6 | 12 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 45 |
| Woter Hesting | 3. Notural Gos | 4 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 17 |
|  | b. Eottle Gos | 13 | 21 | 28 | 36 | 43 | 51 |
|  | c. Electric | 16. | 25 | 34 | 44 | 54 | 64 |
|  | d. Oil/ Cool / Other | 18 | 27 | 37 | 47 | 57 | 66 |
| Woter |  | 24 | 36 | 50 | 63 | 76 | 89 |
| Sewer |  | 61 | 92 | 125 | 159 | 192 | 226 |
| Trash Collsection |  | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| RongelMicrowowe |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Refrigerator |  | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Other - epecify |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities |  |  | U.S. Department of Housing |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | and Urban D | Development |  |  |  |
| and Other Services |  |  | Office of Public and Indian Housing |  |  |  |  |
| Fraiest |  | A9* |  | Unit Type |  |  | Date (momiddty ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( |
| Atlanta, GA |  | 1996 or never |  | Duplexes, row or townhouses |  |  | 51612013 |
| Utility or Service |  | Monthly Dollar Allowances |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 BR | 18R | 2 BR | 3BR | 4 BR | 5BR |
| Heating | a. Notural Gos | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 25 | 28 |
|  | b. Bottle Gos | 35 | 45 | 54 | 64 | 74 | 84 |
|  | c. Electric | 19 | 25 | 30 | 37 | 43 | 49 |
|  | d. Oil $/$ Cosis 1 Other | 18 | 34 | 50 | 65 | 81 | 97 |
| Cooking | ง. Notural Gos | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|  | b. Bottle Gss | 8 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 21 | 24 |
|  | c. Electric | 9 | 12 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 27 |
|  | d. Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Electric |  | 36 | 52 | 67 | 89 | 104 | 120 |
| Air Conditioning |  | 0 | 9 | 23 | 34 | 46 | 58 |
| W'ater Heating | 3. Notural Gos | 4 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 17 |
|  | b. Bottle Gss | 13 | 21 | 28 | 36 | 43 | 51 |
|  | c. Electric | 16 | 25 | 34 | 45 | 55 | 64 |
|  | d. Oil' Cosld Other | 18 | 27 | 37 | 47 | 57 | 66 |
| Water |  | 24 | 36 | 50 | 63 | 76 | 89 |
| Sewer |  | 61 | 92 | 125 | 159 | 192 | 226 |
| Trash Collection |  | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| Ronge/Microwove |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Refrigerator |  | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Other - specify |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Income \& Rent Limits

The subject property is operated subject to certain income restrictions. The following table gives the applicable income limits for this area:

| Income Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Size | $20 \%$ of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| 1.0 Person | $\$ 9,300$ | $\$ 13,950$ | $\$ 18,600$ | $\$ 23,250$ | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 37,200$ |
| 2.0 Person | $\$ 10,620$ | $\$ 15,930$ | $\$ 21,240$ | $\$ 26,550$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 42,480$ |
| 3.0 Person | $\$ 11,940$ | $\$ 17,910$ | $\$ 23,880$ | $\$ 29,850$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 47,760$ |
| 4.0 Person | $\$ 13,260$ | $\$ 19,890$ | $\$ 26,520$ | $\$ 33,150$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 53,040$ |
| 5.0 Person | $\$ 14,340$ | $\$ 21,510$ | $\$ 28,680$ | $\$ 35,850$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 57,360$ |
| 6.0 Person | $\$ 15,400$ | $\$ 23,100$ | $\$ 30,800$ | $\$ 38,500$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 61,600$ |
| 7.0 Person | $\$ 16,460$ | $\$ 24,690$ | $\$ 32,920$ | $\$ 41,150$ | $\$ 49,380$ | $\$ 65,840$ |
| 8.0 Person | $\$ 17,520$ | $\$ 26,280$ | $\$ 35,040$ | $\$ 43,800$ | $\$ 52,560$ | $\$ 70,080$ |

Source: HUD
The subject property is operated subject to certain rent restrictions. The following table gives the maximum housing expense (net rent limit + tenant-paid utilities) for this area:

Maximum Housing Expense

| Unit Type | $20 \%$ of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | 40\% of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 Bedroom | $\$ 232$ | $\$ 348$ | $\$ 465$ | $\$ 581$ | $\$ 697$ | $\$ 930$ |
| 1 Bedroom | $\$ 249$ | $\$ 373$ | $\$ 498$ | $\$ 622$ | $\$ 747$ | $\$ 996$ |
| 2 Bedroom | $\$ 298$ | $\$ 447$ | $\$ 597$ | $\$ 746$ | $\$ 895$ | $\$ 1,194$ |
| 3 Bedroom | $\$ 345$ | $\$ 517$ | $\$ 690$ | $\$ 862$ | $\$ 1,035$ | $\$ 1,380$ |
| 4 Bedroom | $\$ 385$ | $\$ 577$ | $\$ 770$ | $\$ 962$ | $\$ 1,155$ | $\$ 1,540$ |

Source: HUD
The following table sets forth the gross fair market rents (net fair market rents + tenant-paid utilities) that would apply to any Section 8 voucher recipients or any units benefiting from HOME financing at the subject property:

Fair Market Rents

| Unit Type | Gross Rent |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 Bedroom | $\$ 676$ |
| 1 Bedroom | $\$ 737$ |
| 2 Bedroom | $\$ 874$ |
| 3 Bedroom | $\$ 1,158$ |
| 4 Bedroom | $\$ 1,406$ |

Source: HUD

## Household Size Limits

The subject property is operated subject to certain household size limits. The following table gives a breakdown of typical size limits utilized by property managers in this area:

Household Size Limits

| 0 Bedroom | 1 person |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 Bedroom | 2 persons |
| 2 Bedroom | 3 persons |
| 3 Bedroom | 5 persons |
| 4 Bedroom | 6 persons |

## IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

Our improvement analysis includes an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Building Features; (2) Unit Features; and (3) Useful Life Analysis.

## Building Features

Building plans were provided to the analyst for review. Building plans are necessary to identify existing and/or proposed improvements and to analyze the functional utility of the subject property. Our review and/or inspection did not identify any problem areas with respect to the subject property.

It is our understanding that the subject property buildings are proposed to include the following features:

| Building Features |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Foundation | Slab on Grade |
| Construction | Wood Frame Surfaced with Plywood |
| Exterior Walls | Vinyl Siding \& Brick Veneer |
| Floor/Ceiling Assemblies | Wood Joists \& Plywood Subfloor |
| Roof Assemblies | Wood Trusses \& Plywood Sheathing |
| Roof Covering | Asphalt Shingles |
| Roof Type | Gable |
| Electrical Service | 100 amps |
| Wiring | Copper |
| Heating System Individ | as Heat \& Closet-Mounted Air Handler |
| Cooling System Individual | ressor \& Closet-Mounted Air Handler |
| Lighting System | Fluorescent \& Incandescent Fixtures |
| Domestic Water System | CPVC Pipe \& Fittings |
| Wastewater System | Copper Pipe \& Fittings |
| Hot Water | Individual Gas Hot Water Heaters |
| Fire Supression | Smoke Detectors with Battery Backup |
| Buildings - Residential | 22 |
| Buildings - Other | 1 |
| Buildings - Total | 23 |
| Net Rentable Area (SF) | 169,564 |
| Estimated Gross/Net Building Area | 1.15 |
| Estimated Gross Building Area (SF) | 194,999 |
| Net Rentable Floor Area per Unit | 937 |
| Units | 181 |
| Net Rentable Floor Area | 169,564 |
| Estimated Gross/Net Building Area | 1.15 |
| Estimated Gross Building Area (SF) | 194,999 |
| Buildings - Residential | 22 |
| Floor Area/Building | 8,864 |

Source: Sponsor

## Unit Features

Unit plans for the subject property were provided to the analyst for review. Unit plans are necessary to identify existing and/or proposed improvements and to analyze the functional utility of the subject property units. Our review and/or inspection did not identify any problem areas with respect to the subject property.

It is our understanding that the subject property units are proposed to include the following features:

| Walls | Painted Gypsum Wallboard |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ceilings | Painted Gypsum Wallboard |
| Typical Ceiling Height | 8 feet |
| Ranges | Electric Four-Top |
| Range Hoods | Yes |
| Refrigerators | Frost-Free |
| Garbage Disposals | yes |
| Dishwashers | yes |
| Microwaves | no |
| Floor Covering - Entry | Vinyl Sheeting |
| Floor Covering - Bathrooms | Vinyl Sheeting |
| Floor Covering - Kitchen | Vinyl Sheeting |
| Floor Covering - Living Areas | Wall-to-Wall Carpeting |
| Floor Covering - Bedrooms | Wall-to-Wall Carpeting |
| Kitchen Cabinets | Suspended Wood |
| Kitchen Countertops | Laminated |
| Kitchen Sinks | Stainless Steel |
| Bathroom Vanities | Suspended Wood |
| Bathroom Countertops | Laminated |
| Bathroom Sinks | Porcelain |
| Tubs \& Surrounds | Fiberglass |
| Exhaust Fans | Yes |
| Toilets | Porcelain |
| Doors | Wood Hollow-Core Flat Panel |
| Units - Regular | 172 |
| Units - Accessible | 9 |
| Units - Total | 181 |
| Non-Revenue Units (Not Included Above) | na |
| Bedrooms | 331 |
| Full Bathrooms | 261 |
| Half Bathrooms | 34 |

## Project Amenities

In the table found below we present a listing of amenities at the subject property along with a summary of amenities found at other properties in the area. The subject property amenities are highlighted for ease of reference; the incidence of amenities at competing properties is shown in percentages:

| Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type |  | Air Conditioning |  |
| 1 Story | 0\% | Central | 91\% |
| 2-4 Story | 64\% | Wall Units | 6\% |
| 5-10 Story | 29\% | Window Units | 2\% |
| >10 Story | 7\% | None | 0\% |
| Project Amenities |  | Heat |  |
| Ball Field | 0\% | Central | 95\% |
| BBQ Area | 37\% | Wall Units | 4\% |
| Billiards | 11\% | Baseboards | 0\% |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | 48\% | Radiators | 0\% |
| Car Care Ctr | 9\% | None | 0\% |
| Comm Center | 67\% |  |  |
| Elevator | 57\% | Parking |  |
| Fitness Center | 69\% | Garage | 3\% |
| Gazebo | 14\% | Covered | 22\% |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | 0\% | Assigned | 1\% |
| Horseshoe Pit | 0\% | Open | 84\% |
| Lake | 1\% | None | 16\% |
| Library | 11\% |  |  |
| Movie Theatre | 6\% | Laundry |  |
| Picnic Area | 39\% | Central | 71\% |
| Playground | 21\% | W/D Units | 24\% |
| Pool | 49\% | W/D Hookups | 38\% |
| Sauna | 0\% |  |  |
| Sports Court | 9\% | Security |  |
| Walking Trail | 9\% | Call Buttons | 11\% |
| Unit Amenities |  | Cont Access | 73\% |
|  |  | Courtesy Officer | 41\% |
| Blinds | 99\% | Monitoring | 5\% |
| Ceiling Fans | 41\% | Security Alarms | 20\% |
| Carpeting | 91\% | Security Patrols | 13\% |
| Fireplace | 0\% |  |  |
| Patio/Balcony | 57\% |  |  |
| Storage | 20\% | Services |  |
| Kitchen Amenities |  | After School | 3\% |
|  |  | Concierge | 5\% |
| Stove | 100\% | Hair Salon | 3\% |
| Refrigerator | 100\% | Health Care | 1\% |
| Disposal | 68\% | Linens | 0\% |
| Dishwasher | 72\% | Meals | 0\% |
| Microwave | 29\% | Transportation | 4\% |

Source: Sponsor

Our research suggests that an appropriate mix of amenities are proposed for the subject property.

## Useful Life Analysis

The subject property was originally constructed in 1996 and is currently in fair condition. In our opinion, the subject property has a remaining useful life of 25 years in its current condition. If appropriately renovated and maintained, we estimate a remaining useful life of 45 years for this project.

In the course of completing this study, rated the condition of the subject property and the most comparable properties on a $1-5$ scale ( 1 being the worst and 5 being the best). We also evaluated the actual and effective ages of the subject and select comparables. The following table summarizes our findings:

| Property Condition |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Project | Actual Age | Effective Age | Condition |
| Subject | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | 2003 | 4.25 |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | 2010 | 4.50 |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | 2000 | 4.00 |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | 2000 | 4.00 |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | 2000 | 4.00 |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | 1995 | 3.00 |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | 1995 | 3.25 |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | 2005 | 3.50 |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | 2005 | 3.50 |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | 2005 | 4.00 |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | 2011 | 4.75 |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | 2005 | 4.00 |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | 2005 | 4.00 |
| 135 | Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | 1995 | 3.25 |

Source: Allen \& Associates; Sponsor

## SITE DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

Our assessment of the site included an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Tax Map; (2) Survey; (3) Site Plan; (4) Nuisances, Hazards, Detrimental Influences \& Environmental; (5) Traffic Patterns, Access \& Visibility; (6) Topography; (7) Flood Zone; (8) Qualified Census Tract Status; and (9) Difficult to Develop Area Status.

In the table below we summarize the features of the subject property site:

| Site Features |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Property | Centennial Place, Phase 1 |
| Address | 526 Centennial Olympic Park Drive |
| City, State Zip | Atlanta, Georgia 30313 |
| Latitude | 33.768580 |
| Longitude | -84.392524 |
| Zoning | RG-3 |
| Acres | 9.58 |
| Lot Shape | Irregular |
| Frontage | +/- 1,300 feet |
| Parking Areas | Asphalt / Privately-Owned |
| Streets | Asphalt / Privately-Owned |
| Curbs | Concrete / Privately-Owned |
| Sidewalks | Concrete / Privately-Owned |
| Dumpsters | Publicly-Owned |
| Number of Dumpsters | 7 |
| Dumpster Enclosures | Wood / Privately-Owned |
| Perimeter Fence | None |
| Landscaping | Trees, Shrubs \& Lawns |
| Retaining Walls | None |
| Entry Signs | Lighted |
| Number of Entry Signs | 1 |
| Stormwater Management | Catch Basins / Concrete Pipe / Connects to Public System |
| Site Lighting | HID Poles / Publicly-Owned |
| Domestic Water Service | Ductile Iron / Privately-Owned / Connects to Public System |
| Wastewater Service | PVC Pipe / Privately-Owned / Connects to Public System |
| Parking Spaces - Regular | 225 |
| Parking Spaces - Accessible | 8 |
| Parking Spaces - Total | 233 |

## Tax Map

Tax maps are necessary to evaluate the physical characteristics of the subject property. A tax map for the subject property was obtained by the analyst for review. Our review/inspection did not identify any functional obsolescence with respect to the subject property.

## Survey

A survey for the subject property was provided to the analyst for review. Current surveys should be evaluated to ascertain whether there are any easements encumbering the subject property. Our review/inspection suggested that the site is currently encumbered by standard utility easements that do not adversely affect its marketability and that the site is serviced by municipal utilities.

## Site Plan

A site plan for the subject property was provided to the analyst for review. Site plans are necessary to analyze the site improvements, parking configuration, internal traffic flow, location of building improvements and landscaping improvements for the subject property. Our review/inspection suggested that there is no functional obsolescence with respect to the subject property.

## Nuisances, Hazards, Detrimental Influences \& Environmental

We did not observe any nuisances, hazards, detrimental influences or recognized environmental conditions on our inspection of the subject property. The subject property was originally constructed in 1996, after the 1978 ban on lead and asbestos containing construction materials. Nevertheless, we recommend that the sponsor obtain a comprehensive environmental assessment from a qualified professional.

## Traffic Patterns, Access \& Visibility

A traffic map identifying the subject property is found below:


The subject property is located on Centennial Olympic Park Drive, approximately 2 blocks south of North Avenue in Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia. Centennial Olympic Park Drive is a heavily-travelled north-south road carrying approximately 20,000 vehicles per day and providing access to the subject property. North Avenue is a heavily-travelled east-west road carrying approximately 20,000 vehicles per day. We are not aware of any planned road or infrastructure improvements in the immediate vicinity of the subject property. In our opinion, therefore, accessibility is very good by virtue of the location of the subject property relative to existing streets and thoroughfares.

The subject property is clearly visible from Centennial Olympic Park Drive and is exposed to a significant volume of traffic. Consequently, in our opinion visibility is very good by virtue of the exposure of the subject property to existing drive-by traffic volumes.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the access and visibility for the subject property and the most comparable properties on a $1-5$ scale ( 1 being the worst and 5 being the best). The following table summarizes our findings:

| Access \& Visibility |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Project | Access | Visibility |
| Subject | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 3.50 | 3.25 |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 3.50 | 3.25 |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 3.50 | 3.25 |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 3.50 | 3.25 |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 3.50 | 3.25 |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 3.00 | 4.00 |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| 135 | Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 2.75 | 3.00 |

[^0]Topography
The USGS map showing the topography of the subject property and surrounding area is found below:


The topographic map shows that the site is fairly flat and drains to adjacent properties to the south and east. In our opinion, there do not appear to be any significant topographic issues with respect to the subject property.

## Flood Zone

The FEMA map showing the location of the subject property relative to nearby areas prone to flooding (the 100year flood plain is identified in purple; the 500 -year flood plain is identified in yellow) is found below:


According to FEMA map number 13121C0244E dated May 07, 2001, the subject property is located in Zone X. This is an area that is identified as being located outside the 100-year flood zone.

## Qualified Census Tract Status

The federal government has identified census tracts throughout the United States that include high concentrations of low-income households and substandard housing units. These areas, known as Qualified Census Tracts, qualify for special funding under various state and federal programs. A map showing the location of any Qualified Census Tracts in the immediate vicinity of the subject property follows:


Our review suggests that the subject property is located in a Qualified Census Tract. Consequently, the subject property does appear to qualify for special funding under state and federal programs.

## Difficult to Develop Area Status

The federal government has produced a listing of Difficult to Develop Areas throughout the United States that qualify for special funding under various state and federal programs.

Our review suggests that the subject property is not located in a Difficult to Develop Area. Consequently, the subject property does not appear to qualify for special funding under state and federal programs.

## NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

Our assessment of the neighborhood includes an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Aerial Photo; (2) Neighborhood; (3) Surrounding Properties; (4) Crime; (5) Schools; (6) Proximity to Employment; and (7) Proximity to Area Amenities.

## Aerial Photo

A recent aerial photo showing the location of the subject property is found below:


## Neighborhood

Neighborhoods are sometimes thought to evolve through four distinct stages:

- Growth - A period during which the area gains public favor and acceptance.
- Stability - A period of equilibrium without marked gains or loses.
- Decline - A period of diminishing demand.
- Revitalization - A period of renewal, redevelopment, modernization, and increasing demand.

Based on our evaluation of the neighborhood, the subject property is located in an urban area that appears to be in the revitalization stage of its life cycle. Strong population and household growth is anticipated for the next several years.

## Surrounding Properties

Multifamily is located to the north, south and west of the subject property; l-75 is located to the east.
Surrounding property uses are summarized in the table found below:

| Surrounding Properties |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Use | Condition |
| North | Multifamily | Good |
| South | Multifamily | Good |
| East | Interstate | - |
| West | Multifamily | Good |

Source: Allen \& Associates

In the course of completing this study, we rated the surrounding area for the subject property and the most comparable properties on a 1-5 scale ( 1 being the worst and 5 being the best). We also pulled together average household income, median cash rent, and median single-family home values (from the 2000 census) for the neighborhoods in which the subject and the select comparables are located. A map showing single family home values is found on the next page. The following table summarizes our findings:

| Key | Project | Rating | Avg HH Inc | Med Cash Rent | Med SF Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 3.00 | \$25,716 | \$188 | \$87,499 |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 3.00 | \$16,025 | \$197 | \$61,666 |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2.50 | \$16,025 | \$197 | \$61,666 |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2.50 | \$13,164 | \$198 | \$77,307 |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2.50 | \$13,164 | \$198 | \$77,307 |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 3.00 | \$25,716 | \$188 | \$87,499 |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 3.00 | \$25,716 | \$188 | \$87,499 |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 3.00 | \$27,573 | \$479 | \$262,499 |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 3.00 | \$27,573 | \$479 | \$262,499 |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 3.00 | \$11,692 | \$174 | \$22,499 |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2.75 | \$11,692 | \$174 | \$22,499 |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2.50 | \$20,810 | \$168 | \$50,312 |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2.50 | \$20,810 | \$168 | \$50,312 |
| 135 | Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 3.00 | \$28,652 | \$197 | \$24,166 |

Source: Allen \& Associates, Claritas; US Census

## Crime

Claritas maintains crime rate data at the census tract level throughout the United States. A table showing crime rates for the area is found below:

| Crime Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market | Neighborhood |
| Personal Crime Rate | 2.4\% | 2.0\% | 7.6\% | 20.5\% | 12.5\% |
| Property Crime Rate | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 6.5\% | 18.7\% | 11.5\% |

Source: Claritas
Personal crimes include offenses such as rape, murder, robbery and assault. According to Claritas, the personal crime rate in the vicinity of the subject property is 12.5 percent. This is compared with market area, regional, state and national personal crime rates of 20.5, 7.6, 2.0 and 2.4 percent, respectively.

Property crimes include offenses such as burglary, larceny and theft. According to Claritas, the property crime rate in the vicinity of the subject property is 11.5 percent. This is compared with market area, regional, state and national property crime rates of 18.7, 6.5, 2.4 and 2.4 percent, respectively.

Please note: The crime statistics presented above are historical area-wide figures. These statistics make no consideration for changing demographics or the implementation of an affirmative crime prevention program at the subject property.

| Crime Rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Project | Personal | Property |
| Subject | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | $16.6 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | $26.3 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | $26.3 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | $2.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | $2.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | $16.6 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | $16.6 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | $7.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | $7.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | $43.5 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | $43.5 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | $41.8 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | $41.8 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| 135 | Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | $9.0 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ |

Source: Claritas; US Census

## Schools

Claritas maintains educational attainment data at the census tract level throughout the United States. A table showing educational attainment data for the area is found below:

| Educational Attainment |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market | Neighborhood |
| Completed less than 9th grade | 7.6\% | 7.6\% | 5.2\% | 7.9\% | 14.1\% |
| Completed grades 9-12, no diploma | 12.0\% | 13.8\% | 10.9\% | 17.0\% | 18.8\% |
| Completed high school | 28.6\% | 28.7\% | 19.4\% | 19.7\% | 21.3\% |
| Completed some college | 21.1\% | 20.4\% | 18.5\% | 14.9\% | 19.0\% |
| Associate's degree | 6.3\% | 5.2\% | 4.7\% | 4.2\% | 2.4\% |
| Bachelor's degree | 15.5\% | 16.0\% | 26.5\% | 22.3\% | 14.7\% |
| Graduate or professional degree | 8.9\% | 8.3\% | 14.8\% | 14.0\% | 9.7\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Less than high school | 19.6\% | 21.4\% | 16.1\% | 25.0\% | 33.0\% |
| High school or more | 80.4\% | 78.6\% | 83.9\% | 75.0\% | 67.0\% |
| Bachelor's degree or more | 24.4\% | 24.3\% | 41.3\% | 36.2\% | 24.4\% |

Source: Claritas
According to Claritas, educational attainment in the vicinity of the subject property is 67.0 percent. This is compared with market area, regional, state and national high school graduation rates of 75.0, 83.9, 78.6 and 80.4 percent, respectively.

In the course of completing this study, we also pulled together educational attainment statistics for the neighborhoods in which the select comparables are located. The following table summarizes our findings:

| Educational Attainment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Project | HS or more | Bach or more |
| Subject | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | $59.3 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | $51.6 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | $51.6 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |


| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | $50.4 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | $50.4 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | $59.3 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | $59.3 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | $77.1 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | $77.1 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | $52.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | $52.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | $56.4 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | $56.4 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| 135 | Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | $36.6 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |

Source: Claritas; US Census

## Proximity to Employment

The U.S. Census Bureau carries commuting pattern data at the census tract level throughout the United States. A table showing typical commute times for the area is found below:

| Commute to Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market | Neighborhood |
| Less than 5 minutes | 3.3\% | 2.4\% | 1.6\% | 2.6\% | 3.5\% |
| Less than 15 minutes | 28.4\% | 24.5\% | 19.0\% | 29.0\% | 29.8\% |
| Less than 30 minutes | 63.4\% | 58.8\% | 54.7\% | 66.1\% | 63.6\% |
| Less than 45 minutes | 81.9\% | 79.0\% | 77.3\% | 83.1\% | 82.6\% |
| More than 45 minutes | 14.9\% | 18.1\% | 18.3\% | 12.3\% | 13.5\% |
| Worked at home | 3.3\% | 2.8\% | 4.4\% | 4.6\% | 3.9\% |
| Average Commute Time | 23.7 | 25.3 | 26.2 | 22.6 | 23.1 |
| Vehicles per household | 1.70 | 1.80 | 1.49 | 1.00 | 0.72 |

Source: U.S. Census
According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the typical commute time for employees in the vicinity of the subject property is 23.1 minutes. This is compared with market area, region, state and national commute times of 22.6, $26.2,25.3$, and 23.7 minutes, respectively.

Our research also suggests that the average number of vehicles per household in the vicinity of the subject property is 0.72 . This is compared with market area, region, state and national figures of 1.00, 1.49, 1.80, and 1.70 , respectively.

In the course of completing this study, we also pulled together the average commute and average number of vehicles per household for the neighborhoods in which the select comparables are located. The following table summarizes our findings:

| Commute to Work |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Project | Avg Commute | Vehicles $/ \mathrm{HH}$ |
| Subject | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 22.7 | 0.58 |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 26.9 | 0.27 |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 26.9 | 0.27 |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 31.4 | 0.45 |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 31.4 | 0.45 |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 22.7 | 0.58 |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 22.7 | 0.58 |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 23.9 | 0.88 |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 23.9 | 0.88 |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 29.4 | 0.30 |


| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 29.4 | 0.30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 30.3 | 0.71 |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 30.3 | 0.71 |
| 135 | Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 12.4 | 0.67 |

Source: Claritas; US Census

## Proximity to Area Amenities

Our analysis suggests that the subject property has a good location with respect to local amenities and services.

A listing of area amenities is summarized in the table found below:

| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Amenity | Latitude | Longitude | Miles |
| Bank of America | Bank | 33.7708 | -84.3861 | 0.39 |
| Family Dollar | Department Store | 33.7712 | -84.3723 | 1.16 |
| Atlanta Fire Dept. | Fire Department | 33.7482 | -84.3944 | 1.16 |
| Publix | Grocery Store | 33.7704 | -84.3814 | 0.64 |
| Emory University Hospital | Hospital | 33.7681 | -84.3862 | 0.36 |
| Concord Pharmacy | Pharmacy | 33.7686 | -84.3868 | 0.33 |
| Atlanta Police Dept. | Police | 33.7655 | -84.3922 | 0.18 |
| US Post Office | Post Office | 33.7701 | -84.3826 | 0.57 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

In the course of completing this study, we rated the proximity to area amenities for the subject property and the most comparable properties on a 1-5 scale ( 1 being the worst and 5 being the best). The following table summarizes our findings:

| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Project | Rating |
| Subject | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 2.50 |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2.75 |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 3.00 |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2.00 |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2.00 |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 2.50 |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 2.50 |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 2.50 |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 2.50 |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2.75 |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2.25 |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2.25 |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2.25 |
| 135 | Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 2.75 |

## Source: Allen \& Associates

The map found on the following page gives a summary of the site's location relative to entertainment, health clinics, hospitals, places worship, public services, retail, and schools.

Proximity to Area Amenities


## SUBJECT PROPERTY PHOTOS

Photos of the subject property and the surrounding area are found below:



Looking North corner Center/Pine


Looking East corner Center/Pine


Looking South corner Center/Pine


Looking West corner Center/Pine

## MARKET AREA

## Overview

Market areas are influenced by a variety of interrelated factors. These factors include site location, economic, and demographic characteristics (tenure, income, rent levels, etc.), local transportation patterns, physical boundaries (rivers, streams, topography, etc.), census geographies, and the location of comparable and/or potentially competing communities.

In areas where the county seat is the largest city, centrally located, and draws from the entire county, the county may be the market area. In the case where there are potentially competing communities in one county, the market area may be part of the county. In fact, the market area could include portions of adjacent counties. In this case, a combination of county subdivisions may be used to define the market area. In urban or suburban areas, the market area will be adjacent to the site extending to all locations of similar character with residents or potential residents likely to be interested in the project. In this case, county subdivisions, townships, or a combination of census tracts may be used to define the market area.

Allen \& Associates recently conducted a series of property management interviews to better understand market areas and resident moving patterns for multifamily properties. Our study suggested that markets may be classified into the following general categories: urban, suburban and rural. Renters in urban markets are typically willing to move 5 to 10 minutes when looking for a new apartment. Our research also shows that renters in suburban markets are normally willing to move 10 to 15 minutes when looking for a new place to live. Renters in rural markets are typically willing to move 15 to 20 minutes when looking for a new apartment. We considered these general guidelines in our evaluation of the subject property.

Our study suggested that secondary market areas were generally a function of whether the proposed development was family or elderly. Our research suggested that secondary market demand for family properties ranged from 10 to 30 percent. Secondary market demand for elderly properties ranged from 10 to 50 percent. Although seniors move less frequently than younger renters, they are often willing to move longer distances when looking for housing. We considered these general secondary market guidelines in our evaluation of the subject property.

Our primary and secondary market area definitions are found below.

## Primary Market Area

We defined the primary market area by generating a drive time zone around the subject property and analyzing median rents and average household income levels in the area. We also considered population densities, existing concentrations of multifamily properties and the nearest census tract boundaries in our analysis.

Based on our evaluation of the local market, we concluded that the primary market area includes the following Census Tracts:

| $\%$ | Census Tract | County | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8 \%$ | 2 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $80 \%$ | 4 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $45 \%$ | 5 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 6 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $80 \%$ | 7 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 8 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 10 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 11 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 12 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 13 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 14 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $66 \%$ | 15 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 16 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 17 | Fulton | Georgia |


| $100 \%$ | 18 | Fulton | Georgia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $100 \%$ | 19 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 21 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 22 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 23 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $70 \%$ | 24 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 25 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 26 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 27 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 28 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 29 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $95 \%$ | 30 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $33 \%$ | 32 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 33 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 35 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 36 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 37 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $95 \%$ | 38 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $25 \%$ | 39 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $80 \%$ | 43 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $90 \%$ | 44 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $90 \%$ | 46 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $100 \%$ | 48 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $40 \%$ | 49 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $10 \%$ | 58 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $33 \%$ | 84 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $40 \%$ | 85 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $10 \%$ | 87.01 | Fulton | Georgia |
| $33 \%$ | 89.02 |  | Georgia |
| $2 \%$ | 91 |  | Georgia |

The primary market area includes a population of 126,702 persons and covers a total of 15.1 square miles, making it 4.4 miles across on average.

## Secondary Market Area

We also estimate that up to 20 percent of multifamily demand will come from areas outside of the primary market area.

## Market Area Map

Market area, drive time and existing multifamily maps depicting the location of the subject property are presented in the following pages:

Market Area



Drive Time





Existing Multifamily



## ECONOMIC OUTLOOK

In this section we conduct an analysis of the national, state and regional economy. For purposes of this analysis, we define the region as Fulton County, Georgia. A map depicting the region is found on the following page.

## Overview


#### Abstract

Atlanta The subject property is located in Atlanta, the capital of and the most populous city in the state of Georgia. According to Wikipedia, Atlanta had an estimated 2011 population of 432,427 . Atlanta is the cultural and economic center of the Atlanta metropolitan area, home to $5,457,831$ people and the ninth largest metropolitan area in the United States. Atlanta is the county seat of Fulton County, and a small portion of the city extends eastward into DeKalb County.


Atlanta was established in 1837 at the intersection of two railroad lines, and the city rose from the ashes of the Civil War to become a national center of commerce. In the decades following the Civil Rights Movement, during which the city earned a reputation as "too busy to hate" for the progressive views of its citizens and leaders, Atlanta attained international prominence. Atlanta is the primary transportation hub of the Southeastern United States, via highway, railroad, and air, with Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport being the world's busiest airport since 1998. Atlanta is considered an "alpha-world city," and, with a gross domestic product of US $\$ 270$ billion, Atlanta's economy ranks 15 th among world cities and sixth in the nation. Although Atlanta's economy is considered diverse, dominant sectors include logistics, professional and business services, media operations, government administration, and higher education. Topographically, Atlanta is marked by rolling hills and dense tree coverage. Revitalization of Atlanta's neighborhoods, initially spurred by the 1996 Olympics, has intensified in the 21st century, altering the city's demographics, politics, and culture.

Atlanta encompasses 132.4 square miles ( 342.9 km 2 ), of which 131.7 square miles ( 341.1 km 2 ) is land and 0.7 square miles ( 1.8 km 2 ) is water. The city is situated among the foothills of the Appalachian Mountains, and at 1,050 feet ( 320 m ) above mean sea level, Atlanta has the highest elevation out of major cities east of the Mississippi River. Atlanta straddles the Eastern Continental Divide, such that rainwater that falls on the south and east side of the divide flows into the Atlantic Ocean, while rainwater on the north and west side of the divide flows into the Gulf of Mexico. Atlanta sits atop a ridge south of the Chattahoochee River, which is part of the ACF River Basin. Located at the far northwestern edge of the city, much of the river's natural habitat is preserved, in part by the Chattahoochee River National Recreation Area.

The 2010 United States Census reported that Atlanta had a population of 420,003. The population density was 3,154 per square mile ( $1232 / \mathrm{km} 2$ ). The median income for a household in the city was $\$ 45,171$. The per capita income for the city was $\$ 35,453$ with $22.6 \%$ percent of the population living below the poverty line. However, compared to the rest of the country, Atlanta's cost of living is $6.00 \%$ lower than the U.S. average.

Out of the total population five years and older, $83.3 \%$ spoke only English at home, while 8.8\% spoke Spanish, $3.9 \%$ another Indo-European language and $2.8 \%$ an Asian language. Atlanta's dialect has traditionally been a variation of Southern American English. The Chattahoochee River long formed a border between the Coastal Southern and Southern Appalachian dialects. However, by 2003, Atlanta magazine concluded that Atlanta had become significantly "de-Southernized," with a Southern accent considered a handicap in some circumstances. In general, Southern accents are less prevalent among residents of the city and inner suburbs and among younger people, while they are more common in the outer suburbs and among older people; this pattern coexists alongside Southern variations of African American Vernacular English.

Religion, while historically centered around Protestant Christianity, now involves many faiths as a result of the city and metro area's increasingly international population. While Protestant Christianity still maintains a strong presence in the city, in recent decades Catholicism has gained a strong foothold due to migration patterns.

Metro Atlanta also has a considerable number of ethnic Christian congregations, including Korean and Indian churches. Large non-Christian faiths are present in the form of Judaism and Hinduism. Overall, there are over 1,000 places of worship within Atlanta.

Encompassing $\$ 304$ billion, the Atlanta metropolitan area is the eighth-largest economy in the country and 17thlargest in the world. Corporate operations comprise a large portion of the Atlanta's economy, with the city serving as the regional, national, or global headquarters for many corporations. Atlanta contains the country's third largest concentration of Fortune 500 companies, and the city is the global headquarters of corporations such as The Coca-Cola Company, The Home Depot, Delta Air Lines, AT\&T Mobility, UPS, and NewellRubbermaid. Over 75 percent of Fortune 1000 companies conduct business operations in the Atlanta metropolitan area, and the region hosts offices of about 1,250 multinational corporations. Many corporations are drawn to Atlanta on account of the city's educated workforce; as of 2010, nearly $43 \%$ of adults in the city of Atlanta have college degrees, compared to $27 \%$ in the nation as a whole and $41 \%$ in Boston.

Atlanta began as a railroad town and logistics has remained a major component of the city's economy to this day. Atlanta is an important rail junction and contains major classification yards for Norfolk Southern and CSX. Since its construction in the 1950s, Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport has served as a key engine of Atlanta's economic growth. Delta Air Lines, the city's largest employer and the metro area's third largest, operates the world's largest airline hub at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport and has helped make Hartsfield-Jackson the world's busiest airport, both in terms of passenger traffic and aircraft operations. Partly due to the airport, Atlanta has become a hub for diplomatic missions; as of 2012, the city contains 25 general consulates, the seventh-highest concentration of diplomatic missions in the United States.

Media is also an important aspect of Atlanta's economy. The city is a major cable television programming center. Ted Turner established the headquarters of both the Cable News Network (CNN) and the Turner Broadcasting System (TBS) in Atlanta. Cox Enterprises, the country's third-largest cable television service and the publisher of over a dozen major American newspapers, is headquartered in the city. NBC Universal's The Weather Channel is also headquartered in Atlanta.

Largely due to a state-wide tax incentive enacted in 2005, the Georgia Entertainment Industry Investment Act, which awards qualified productions a transferable income tax credit of $20 \%$ of all in-state costs for film and television investments of $\$ 500,000$ or more, Atlanta has become a center for film and television production. Film and television production facilities in Atlanta include Turner Studios, Tyler Perry Studios, Williams Street Productions, and the EUE/Screen Gems soundstages. Film and television production injected $\$ 1$ billion into Georgia's economy in 2010, with Atlanta garnering most of the projects. Atlanta has gained recognition as a center of production of horror and zombie-related productions, with "Atlanta" magazine dubbing the city the "Zombie Capital of the World".

Compared to its peer cities, Atlanta's economy has been disproportionately affected by the 2008 financial crisis and the subsequent recession. The city's economic problems are displayed in its elevated unemployment rate, declining real income levels, and depressed housing market. From 2010-2011, Atlanta saw a $0.9 \%$ contraction in employment and a meager $0.4 \%$ rise in income. As of 2012, the unemployment rate in Atlanta was over $9 \%$, higher than the national average of $8.2 \%$. These dismal statistics have garnered Atlanta recognition as one of the world's worst economic performers, with the city's economy earning a ranking of 189 among 200 global cities, down from a ranking of 89 during the 1990s, when the city realized $1.6 \%$ income growth and $2.6 \%$ employment growth. However, even when the 2008-2009 period is excluded, the 2001-2007 period is still one of the worst on record for Atlanta: the city never recovered the jobs it lost during the Early 2000s recession, and per capita income declined nearly $5 \%$ from 2000 to 2006, the largest decline among major U.S. cities. Thus, Atlanta's current economic crisis was only worsened, and not caused, by the Recession. Adding to the city's employment and income woes is the spectacular collapse of its housing market. Atlanta home prices fell by $2.1 \%$ in January 2012, reaching levels not seen since 1996, a decline that measured among the worst in the country. Compared with a year earlier, the average home price in Atlanta fell 17.3\% in February 2012, the largest annual drop in the history of the index for any city. Atlanta home values average $\$ 85,000$ as of January 2012, second-worst among major metropolitan areas, coming in just behind Detroit. This unprecedented collapse in home prices has led some economists to deem Atlanta the worst housing market in the country.

Atlanta's transportation infrastructure comprises a complex network that includes a heavy rail subway system, multiple interstate highways, the world's busiest airport, and over 45 miles of bike paths.
The Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority (MARTA) provides public transportation in the form of buses and heavy rail. Notwithstanding heavy automotive usage in Atlanta, the city's subway system is the eighth busiest in the country. MARTA rail lines connect many key destinations, such as the airport, Downtown, Midtown, Buckhead, and Perimeter Center. However, significant destinations, such as Emory University, Cumberland and Turner Field, remain unserved. As a result, a 2012 Brookings Institution study placed Atlanta 87th of 100 metro areas for transit accessibility. Emory University operates its Cliff shuttle buses with 200,000 boardings per month, while private minibuses ply Buford Highway. Amtrak, the national rail passenger system, provides service to Atlanta via the "Crescent train" (New York-New Orleans), which stops at Peachtree Station.

With a comprehensive network of freeways that radiate out from the city, automobiles are the dominant mode of transportation in the region. Three major interstate highways converge in Atlanta: I-20 (east-west), I-75 (northwest-southeast), and I-85 (northeast-southwest). The latter two combine in the middle of the city to form the Downtown Connector (l-75/85), which carries more than 340,000 vehicles per day and is one of the ten most congested segments of interstate highway in the United States. Atlanta is mostly encircled by Interstate 285, a beltway locally known as "the Perimeter" that has come to mark the boundary between "Inside the Perimeter" (ITP), the city and close-in suburbs, and "Outside the Perimeter" (OTP), the outer suburbs and exurbs. The heavy reliance on automobiles for transportation in Atlanta has resulted in traffic, commute, and air pollution rates that rank among the worst in the country.

Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, the world's busiest airport as measured by passenger traffic and aircraft traffic, offers air service to over 150 U.S. destinations and more than 80 international destinations in 52 countries, with over 2,700 arrivals and departures daily. Delta Air Lines maintains its largest hubs at the airport. Situated 10 miles ( 16 km ) south of downtown, the airport covers most of the land inside a wedge formed by Interstate 75, Interstate 85, and Interstate 285.

Region Map






## Civilian Employment

The following table and graph give civilian employment and job growth statistics for the nation, state and region since the 1990s. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics:

Civilian Employment

| Civilian Employment |  |  |  |  | Segion | Nation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Nation | State | Reg Growth | State | Region |  |
| 1992 | $119,521,190$ | $3,119,071$ | 314,004 | $0.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $-0.2 \%$ |
| 1993 | $121,307,099$ | $3,265,259$ | 328,405 | $1.5 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| 1994 | $124,243,448$ | $3,391,782$ | 341,172 | $2.4 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| 1995 | $127,134,391$ | $3,522,905$ | 351,615 | $2.3 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| 1996 | $129,254,917$ | $3,638,219$ | 363,784 | $1.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| 1997 | $132,120,921$ | $3,751,699$ | 371,280 | $2.2 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| 1998 | $134,287,067$ | $3,861,646$ | 383,473 | $1.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| 1999 | $136,289,214$ | $3,951,684$ | 388,686 | $1.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2000 | $138,116,744$ | $4,095,362$ | 417,210 | $1.3 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| 2001 | $138,236,481$ | $4,112,868$ | 423,702 | $0.1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| 2002 | $137,926,368$ | $4,135,381$ | 420,232 | $-0.2 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $-0.8 \%$ |
| 2003 | $138,371,208$ | $4,173,787$ | 420,565 | $0.3 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2004 | $139,967,125$ | $4,249,007$ | 426,534 | $1.2 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2005 | $142,299,504$ | $4,375,178$ | 434,002 | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | $145,000,042$ | $4,500,150$ | 449,477 | $1.9 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | $146,388,365$ | $4,587,739$ | 464,406 | $1.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | $146,047,745$ | $4,540,706$ | 461,888 | $-0.2 \%$ | $-1.0 \%$ | $-0.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | $140,696,561$ | $4,289,819$ | 435,514 | $-3.7 \%$ | $-5.5 \%$ | $-5.7 \%$ |
| 2010 | $140,457,475$ | $4,241,718$ | 398,228 | $-0.2 \%$ | $-1.1 \%$ | $-8.6 \%$ |
| 2011 | $141,728,424$ | $4,295,113$ | 409,750 | $0.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| 2012 | $143,574,126$ | $4,371,608$ | 419,127 | $1.3 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | - |

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

Job Growth


## Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

Over the past 12 months civilian employment for the region has increased from 416,173 to 424,144 or 1.9 percent. This is compared with 1.0 and 0.9 percent job growth for the state and nation, respectively.

## Unemployment

The following table and graph give unemployment and unemployment rate statistics for the nation, state and region since the 1990s. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics:

Unemployment

|  |  | Unemployment |  | Unemployment Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Nation | State | Region | Nation | State | Region |
| 1992 | $9,830,747$ | 234,769 | 25,093 | $7.6 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| 1993 | $9,130,642$ | 201,046 | 22,455 | $7.0 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ |
| 1994 | $8,212,253$ | 186,047 | 21,006 | $6.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| 1995 | $7,684,687$ | 177,625 | 19,679 | $5.7 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| 1996 | $7,522,773$ | 175,428 | 18,744 | $5.5 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| 1997 | $6,953,733$ | 176,782 | 17,902 | $5.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| 1998 | $6,475,058$ | 169,300 | 15,978 | $4.6 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| 1999 | $6,123,758$ | 156,096 | 14,934 | $4.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| 2000 | $5,754,864$ | 148,536 | 14,684 | $4.0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2001 | $6,969,907$ | 171,370 | 18,114 | $4.8 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| 2002 | $8,492,282$ | 208,507 | 23,988 | $5.8 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| 2003 | $8,832,205$ | 210,443 | 23,537 | $6.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| 2004 | $8,303,135$ | 209,552 | 22,922 | $5.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | $7,805,458$ | 239,989 | 26,233 | $5.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | $7,151,104$ | 221,938 | 23,657 | $4.7 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | $7,219,573$ | 221,212 | 23,928 | $4.7 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | $8,992,324$ | 305,298 | 32,110 | $5.8 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | $14,426,439$ | 466,078 | 48,390 | $9.3 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| 2010 | $15,087,902$ | 481,799 | 48,717 | $9.7 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| 2011 | $14,017,097$ | 471,938 | 48,583 | $9.0 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| 2012 | $12,654,520$ | 432,357 | 44,509 | $8.1 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $9.1 \%$ |
| Mar-12 | $13,067,714$ | 436,366 | 44,195 | $8.4 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| Mar-13 | $11,989,606$ | 387,943 | 39,401 | $7.7 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |  |

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

Over the past 12 months the unemployment rate for the region decreased from 9.6 to 8.5 percent. This is compared with 8.1 and 7.7 percent for the state and nation, respectively.

## Establishment Employment

The following table gives establishment-based employment data for the nation, state and region since 1990. The data set, which comes from Woods \& Poole Economics, includes a forecast through 2017:

Establishment Employment

|  | Nation | State | Region |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 Employment | $138,331,022$ | $3,664,021$ | 709,582 |
| 2000 Employment | $165,370,978$ | $4,854,288$ | 903,380 |
| 1990-2000 Change | $27,039,956$ | $1,190,267$ | 193,798 |
| Annual Change, \% | $1.8 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2000 Employment | $165,370,978$ | $4,854,288$ | 903,380 |
| 2012 Employment | $180,704,835$ | $5,422,277$ | 927,329 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $15,333,857$ | 567,989 | 23,949 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.7 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Employment | $180,704,835$ | $5,422,277$ | 927,329 |
| 2017 Employment | $191,296,882$ | $5,799,245$ | 983,586 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $10,592,047$ | 376,968 | 56,257 |
| Annual Change, \% | $1.1 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics; Woods \& Poole Economics

Establishment-based employment for the region increased from 709,582 in 1990 to 927,329 in 2012. Employment is forecasted to increase 1.2 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 1.4 and 1.1 percent for the state and nation, respectively.

The table on the next page gives the distribution of establishment-based employment by industry for the region. Once again, the data set (which comes from Woods \& Poole Economics), includes a forecast through 2017.

Our analysis suggests that professional \& technical services is the largest employment sector, accounting for 108,130 jobs, or 11.7 percent of regional employment. The regional professional \& technical services sector is

Our analysis also suggests that state \& local government is the second largest employment sector, accounting for 89,659 jobs, or 9.7 percent of regional employment. The regional state \& local government sector is forecasted to grow 0.4 percent annually.

Finally, our analysis suggests that health care \& social assistance is the third largest employment sector, accounting for 82,599 jobs, or 8.9 percent of regional employment. The regional health care $\&$ social assistance sector is forecasted to grow 2.5 percent annually.

Please note: Economic forecasts rely heavily on historic data. One should take care in using these forecasts because historic economic performance may not be a good indicator of current economic trends.

We do not anticipate significant job formation for the forseeable future. In our opinion, the recovery from the current downturn will be slow.

Employment by Industry

| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 1990-2000 | 2000 | 2000-2012 | 2012 | 2012-2017 | 2017 | \% of Total |
| Farm | 421 | -1.1\% | 376 | -4.4\% | 218 | -0.2\% | 216 | 0.0\% |
| Forestry, Fishing \& Related Activities | 1,010 | 1.6\% | 1,189 | -7.3\% | 481 | 1.5\% | 517 | 0.1\% |
| Mining | 903 | -0.2\% | 886 | 0.2\% | 907 | 1.1\% | 959 | 0.1\% |
| Utilities | 6,612 | -2.7\% | 5,038 | -2.3\% | 3,801 | -1.2\% | 3,577 | 0.4\% |
| Construction | 25,308 | 1.4\% | 28,991 | -1.2\% | 25,137 | 2.4\% | 28,296 | 2.7\% |
| Manufacturing | 42,034 | 0.0\% | 42,010 | -3.1\% | 28,902 | 0.0\% | 28,907 | 3.1\% |
| Wholesale Trade | 50,572 | 0.2\% | 51,746 | -1.8\% | 41,645 | 0.3\% | 42,224 | 4.5\% |
| Retail Trade | 63,036 | 1.1\% | 70,651 | -0.8\% | 64,094 | 1.1\% | 67,845 | 6.9\% |
| Transportation \& Warehousing | 38,695 | 1.8\% | 46,196 | -2.6\% | 33,856 | 1.2\% | 35,866 | 3.7\% |
| Information | 41,064 | 4.8\% | 65,883 | -1.9\% | 52,234 | 0.6\% | 53,919 | 5.6\% |
| Finance \& Insurance | 51,868 | 1.5\% | 59,999 | 0.0\% | 59,644 | 1.1\% | 63,136 | 6.4\% |
| Real Estate \& Related Activities | 28,643 | 1.4\% | 33,012 | 4.0\% | 53,113 | 1.7\% | 57,723 | 5.7\% |
| Professional \& Technical Services | 58,354 | 4.8\% | 93,230 | 1.2\% | 108,130 | 1.8\% | 118,150 | 11.7\% |
| Management \& Related Activities | 22,567 | 0.2\% | 22,958 | -2.4\% | 17,076 | -0.8\% | 16,444 | 1.8\% |
| Administrative \& Waste Services | 44,823 | 6.5\% | 84,211 | -1.3\% | 72,018 | 1.3\% | 76,999 | 7.8\% |
| Educational Services | 9,502 | 6.2\% | 17,387 | 2.3\% | 22,777 | 1.2\% | 24,181 | 2.5\% |
| Health Care \& Social Assistance | 35,034 | 5.0\% | 56,881 | 3.2\% | 82,599 | 2.5\% | 93,241 | 8.9\% |
| Arts, Entertainment \& Recreation | 11,117 | 3.2\% | 15,246 | 2.4\% | 20,273 | 1.7\% | 22,037 | 2.2\% |
| Accomodation \& Food Services | 46,958 | 3.0\% | 63,196 | 1.5\% | 75,378 | 0.9\% | 78,891 | 8.1\% |
| Other Services, Except Public Administration | 30,134 | 2.6\% | 38,981 | 1.9\% | 49,089 | 1.5\% | 52,767 | 5.3\% |
| Federal Civilian Government | 25,040 | -0.7\% | 23,317 | -0.7\% | 21,329 | -0.2\% | 21,130 | 2.3\% |
| Federal Military | 4,980 | -1.1\% | 4,465 | 0.9\% | 4,969 | -0.1\% | 4,934 | 0.5\% |
| State \& Local Government | 70,907 | 0.9\% | 77,531 | 1.2\% | 89,659 | 0.4\% | 91,627 | 9.7\% |
| Total | 709,582 | 2.4\% | 903,380 | 0.2\% | 927,329 | 1.2\% | 983,586 | 100.0\% |

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics; Woods \& Poole Economics

## Annual Per Capita Earnings

The following table gives average annual per capita earnings data for the nation, state and region since 1990. The data set, which comes from Woods \& Poole Economics, includes a forecast through 2017:

Annual Per Capita Earnings

|  | Nation | State | Region |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 Earnings | $\$ 26,565$ | $\$ 25,047$ | $\$ 32,868$ |
| 2000 Earnings | $\$ 39,915$ | $\$ 39,227$ | $\$ 57,873$ |
| 1990-2000 Change | $\$ 13,349$ | $\$ 14,180$ | $\$ 25,005$ |
| Annual Change, \% | $4.2 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2000 Earnings | $\$ 39,915$ | $\$ 39,227$ | $\$ 57,873$ |
| 2012 Earnings | $\$ 54,095$ | $\$ 51,239$ | $\$ 78,132$ |
| 2000-2012 Change | $\$ 14,180$ | $\$ 12,012$ | $\$ 20,259$ |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Earnings | $\$ 54,095$ | $\$ 51,239$ | $\$ 78,132$ |
| 2017 Earnings | $\$ 66,348$ | $\$ 62,727$ | $\$ 96,144$ |
| 2012-2017 Change | $\$ 12,253$ | $\$ 11,487$ | $\$ 18,012$ |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics; Woods \& Poole Economics

Average annual per capita earnings for the region increased from \$32,868 in 1990 to $\$ 78,132$ in 2012. Earnings are forecasted to increase 4.2 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 4.1 and 4.2 percent for the state and nation, respectively.

The table on the next page gives the distribution of average annual per capita earnings by industry for the region. Once again, the data set (which comes from Woods \& Poole Economics), includes a forecast through 2017.

Our analysis suggests that utilities is the highest paying employment sector, with average annual per capita earnings of $\$ 162,831$ for the region. Average annual per capita earnings for the regional utilities sector is forecasted to grow 5.5 percent annually.

Our also analysis suggests that information is the second highest paying employment sector, with average annual per capita earnings of $\$ 158,424$ for the region. Average annual per capita earnings for the regional information sector is forecasted to grow 4.5 percent annually.

Finally, our analysis suggests that federal civilian government is the third highest paying employment sector, with average annual per capita earnings of $\$ 136,575$ for the region. Average annual per capita earnings for the regional federal civilian government sector is forecasted to grow 5 percent annually.

Please note: Woods \& Poole Economics forecasts rely heavily on historic economic data. One should take care in using these forecasts because historic economic performance may not be a good indicator of current economic trends.

Average Earnings by Industry

| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 1990-2000 | 2000 | 2000-2012 | 2012 | 2012-2017 | 2017 |
| Farm | \$4,579 | 2.6\% | \$5,933 | -0.8\% | \$5,389 | 3.4\% | \$6,378 |
| Forestry, Fishing \& Related Activities | \$29,300 | 4.2\% | \$44,154 | 0.2\% | \$45,350 | 3.6\% | \$54,037 |
| Mining | \$42,766 | 6.2\% | \$77,865 | 0.9\% | \$86,684 | 5.2\% | \$111,515 |
| Utilities | \$35,845 | 8.8\% | \$83,572 | 5.7\% | \$162,831 | 5.5\% | \$213,316 |
| Construction | \$36,037 | 6.4\% | \$66,895 | 0.9\% | \$74,354 | 3.1\% | \$86,452 |
| Manufacturing | \$37,722 | 6.8\% | \$72,953 | 4.2\% | \$119,360 | 4.4\% | \$147,969 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$42,146 | 6.7\% | \$80,288 | 3.1\% | \$115,549 | 4.3\% | \$142,764 |
| Retail Trade | \$22,545 | 3.9\% | \$33,046 | 2.2\% | \$42,986 | 3.9\% | \$52,008 |
| Transportation \& Warehousing | \$34,952 | 5.7\% | \$60,961 | 2.5\% | \$81,691 | 4.0\% | \$99,604 |
| Information | \$42,205 | 7.8\% | \$89,738 | 4.9\% | \$158,424 | 4.5\% | \$197,053 |
| Finance \& Insurance | \$42,547 | 7.3\% | \$86,185 | 3.5\% | \$129,968 | 4.8\% | \$164,430 |
| Real Estate \& Related Activities | \$17,160 | 12.1\% | \$53,727 | -3.6\% | \$34,643 | 4.6\% | \$43,337 |
| Professional \& Technical Services | \$46,895 | 5.7\% | \$81,638 | 2.3\% | \$107,227 | 4.2\% | \$131,822 |
| Management \& Related Activities | \$44,184 | 5.8\% | \$77,356 | 3.9\% | \$121,961 | 5.1\% | \$156,452 |
| Administrative \& Waste Services | \$17,632 | 5.7\% | \$30,600 | 3.5\% | \$46,195 | 4.5\% | \$57,596 |
| Educational Services | \$19,859 | 5.0\% | \$32,399 | 2.7\% | \$44,817 | 4.4\% | \$55,613 |
| Health Care \& Social Assistance | \$35,286 | 3.5\% | \$49,897 | 2.9\% | \$70,508 | 4.2\% | \$86,585 |
| Arts, Entertainment \& Recreation | \$24,239 | 7.4\% | \$49,560 | -0.7\% | \$45,728 | 3.8\% | \$54,980 |
| Accomodation \& Food Services | \$16,298 | 5.1\% | \$26,859 | 1.9\% | \$33,776 | 4.0\% | \$41,162 |
| Other Services, Except Public Administration | \$19,605 | 5.1\% | \$32,300 | 0.4\% | \$33,714 | 4.0\% | \$41,075 |
| Federal Civilian Government | \$47,554 | 4.7\% | \$75,105 | 5.1\% | \$136,575 | 5.0\% | \$174,152 |
| Federal Military | \$30,552 | 3.8\% | \$44,250 | 9.0\% | \$124,772 | 5.0\% | \$159,528 |
| State \& Local Government | \$31,933 | 3.7\% | \$45,710 | 2.8\% | \$63,637 | 4.3\% | \$78,720 |
| Total | \$32,868 | 5.8\% | \$57,873 | 2.5\% | \$78,132 | 4.2\% | \$96,144 |

[^1]
## Top Employers

The table on the next page gives a listing of the region's top 50 employers. The data, which was obtained from InfoUSA, includes all industries. Because most economists agree that manufacturing employment is the backbone of our economy, regional manufacturing employers are highlighted for ease of reference.

The top 5 employers include: (1) Children's Health Care-Atlanta (5,000 employees); (2) Coca-Cola Refreshments USA Inc (6,000 employees); (3) Piedmont Hospital (6,000 employees); (4) Alcatel-Lucent (500 employees); and (5) American Cancer Society Inc (547 employees).

It is important to note that the top employer listing is not an exhaustive list. Other employers exist in this marketplace which are not included because data was not available for this survey. In our opinion, however, the list gives a reasonable snapshot of the employer composition for this area.

The following table gives manufacturing employment data for the nation, state and region since 1990. The data set, which comes from Woods \& Poole Economics, includes a forecast through 2017:

|  | Nation | State | Region |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 Employment | $18,123,211$ | 518,426 | 42,034 |
| 2000 Employment | $17,750,623$ | 537,689 | 42,010 |
| 1990-2000 Change | $-372,588$ | 19,263 | -24 |
| Annual Change, \% | $-0.2 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2000 Employment | $17,750,623$ | 537,689 | 42,010 |
| 2012 Employment | $12,405,220$ | 378,622 | 28,902 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $-5,345,403$ | $-159,067$ | $-13,108$ |
| Annual Change, \% | $-2.9 \%$ | $-2.9 \%$ | $-3.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Employment | $12,405,220$ | 378,622 | 28,902 |
| 2017 Employment | $12,334,382$ | 379,553 | 28,907 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $-70,838$ | 931 | 5 |
| Annual Change, \% | $-0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics; Woods \& Poole Economics
Manufacturing employment for the region decreased from 42,034 in 1990 to 28,902 in 2011. Employment is forecasted to increase 0.0 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 0.0 and 0.1 percent for the state and nation, respectively.

Please note: Woods \& Poole Economics forecasts rely heavily on historic economic data. One should take care in using these forecasts because historic economic performance may not be a good indicator of current economic trends.

In the discussion below, we summarize employment news for some of the larger employers in the area:

## Coca-Cola Refreshments USA Inc.

Our employment data source list 6000 people employed by Coca-Cola Refreshments USA Inc. (404) 6762121) in Fulton County After speaking with Scottie in the HR Dept; he stated the number of employees was at 10,000 making them the largest employer.

## Piedmont Hospital

Our employment data source list 6000 people employed by Piedmont Hospital (404) 605-5000) in Fulton County making them the second largest employer. After multiple attempts, we were not able to get a person on the line to confirm our information.

## Children's Health Care-Atlanta

Our employment data source list 5000 people employed by Children's Health Care-Atlanta (404) 785-5252) in Fulton County making them the third largest employer. After multiple attempts, we were not able to get a person on the line to confirm our information.

## Grady Memorial Hospital

Our employment data source list 4800 people employed by Grady Memorial Hospital (404) 616-1000) in Fulton County making them the fourth largest employer. After multiple attempts, we were not able to get a person on the line to confirm our information.

## Georgia Tech

Our employment data source list 4500 people employed by Georgia Tech. (404) 894-2000) in Fulton County making them the fifth largest employer. We spoke with someone in records and she stated that they did not participate in this type of survey.

## Georgia-Pacific Corp.

Our employment data source list 4000 people employed by Georgia-Pacific Corp. (404) 652-4000) in Fulton County making them tied for the sixth largest employer. We spoke with someone in the HR Dept.; she said they would not respond to our survey.

## CNN Studio Tours

Our employment data source list 4000 people employed by CNN Studio Tours (404) 827-2300) in Fulton County making them tied for the sixth largest employer. After multiple attempts, we were not able to get a person on the line to confirm our information

## Verizon Wireless

"Our employment data source list 3000 people employed by Verizon Wireless (678) 339-4000) in Fulton County making them tied for the seventh largest employer. We spoke to Janice in the HR Dept.; she confirmed the numbers of employees. Janice stated that they are waiting on the budget to see what happens next."

## GE Energy

Our employment data source list 3000 people employed by GE Energy (770) 859-6000) in Fulton County making them tied for the seventh largest employer. After multiple attempts, we were not able to get a person on the line to confirm our information.

## Fulton County Govt Ctr Atrium

Our employment data source list 3000 people employed by Fulton County Govt Ctr Atrium (404) 893-0868) in Fulton County making them tied for the seventh largest employer. We spoke with someone in the HR Dept.; she confirmed the number of employees but would not speak to past or future hires or terminations.

## Home Depot Inc.

Our employment data source list 3000 people employed by Home Depot Inc. (770) 433-8211) in Fulton County making them tied for the seventh largest employer. After multiple attempts, we were not able to get a person on the line to confirm our information.

## Turner Field

Our employment data source list 3000 people employed by Turner Field (404) 522-7630) in Fulton County making them tied for the seventh largest employer. After multiple attempts, we were not able to get a person on the line to confirm our information.

Top Employers

| Name | Address | City | State | Zip | Phone | Employees | SIC Code | Industry Description | Type of Operation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children's Health Care-Atlanta | 1001 Johnson Ferry Rd NE | Atlanta | GA | 30342 | (404) 785-5252 | 5000 | 8062 | Hospitals |  |
| Coca-Cola Refreshments USA Inc | 1 Coca Cola Plz NW | Atlanta | GA | 30313 | (404) 676-2121 | 6000 | 2086 | Beverages-Manufacturers | Subsidiary |
| Piedmont Hospital | 1968 Peachtree Rd NW | Atlanta | GA | 30309 | (404) 605-5000 | 6000 | 8062 | Hospitals | Subsidiary |
| Alcatel-Lucent | 800 North Point Pkwy | Alpharetta | GA | 30005 | (770) 750-2339 | 500 | 5065 | Telecommunication Equip/Syst-Whls/Mfrs | Branch |
| American Cancer Society Inc | 250 Williams St NW \# 6000 | Atlanta | GA | 30303 | (404) 320-3333 | 547 | 8611 | Associations | Headquarter |
| Ann Murray Attorney | 303 Peachtree St NE \# 5300 | Atlanta | GA | 30308 | (404) 527-4940 | 500 | 8111 | Attorneys |  |
| Assurant Health | 5300 Johns View St | Alpharetta | GA | 30005 | (770) 763-2419 | 900 | 6411 | Insurance | Branch |
| AT\&T | 1155 Peachtree St NE \# $14 \mathrm{f05}$ | Atlanta | GA | 30309 | (404) 249-2000 | 500 | 4813 | Telephone Companies | Branch |
| Atlanta Corrections Detention | 254 Peachtree St SW | Atlanta | GA | 30303 | (404) 865-8001 | 603 | 9223 | City Govt-Correctional Institutions |  |
| Atlanta Sanitary Svc Bureau | 68 Mitchell St SW \# 4800 | Atlanta | GA | 30303 | (404) 330-6236 | 500 | 9511 | City Government-Environmental Programs |  |
| Atlanta Spirit LLC | 101 Marietta St NW \# 1900 | Atlanta | GA | 30303 | (404) 878-3800 | 500 | 7941 | Professional Sports Clubs \& Promoters | Headquarter |
| Atlantic Moving \& Storage | 1314 Chattahoochee Ave NW | Atlanta | GA | 30318 | (404) 351-5311 | 500 | 4214 | Movers | Branch |
| Avis Rent A Car | 4340 Global Gateway Connector | College Park | GA | 30337 | (404) 530-2700 | 500 | 7514 | Automobile Renting | Branch |
| Buckhead Beef Co | 2194 Marietta Blvd NW | Atlanta | GA | 30318 | (404) 355-4400 | 600 | 2011 | Meat Products (Mfrs) | Subsidiary |
| Byers Engineering Co | 6285 Barfield Rd NE | Atlanta | GA | 30328 | (404) 851-1518 | 500 | 8711 | Engineers-Technical Service | Headquarter |
| Cbeyond Inc | 320 Interstate North Pkwy SE | Atlanta | GA | 30339 | (678) 424-2400 | 650 | 4813 | Telecommunications Services | Headquarter |
| Ceridian | 6600 Peachtree Dunwoody Rd NE | Atlanta | GA | 30328 | (678) 441-2100 | 700 | 9999 | Nonclassified Establishments |  |
| Chick-Fil-A Inc | 5200 Buffington Rd | Atlanta | GA | 30349 | (404) 765-8000 | 600 | 5812 | Restaurant Management | Headquarter |
| Chinese Language Institute | 6160 Peachtree Dunwoody \# C25C | Atlanta | GA | 30328 | (770) 730-0000 | 500 | 8299 | Language Schools |  |
| Christian City Convalescent | 7300 Lester Rd | Union City | GA | 30291 | (770) 703-2600 | 500 | 8051 | Nursing \& Convalescent Homes |  |
| Clark Atlanta University | 223 James P Brawley Dr SW | Atlanta | GA | 30314 | (404) 880-8000 | 600 | 8221 | Schools-Universities \& Colleges Academic |  |
| Compu Credit Corp | 5 Concourse Pkwy NE \# 400 | Atlanta | GA | 30328 | (770) 828-2000 | 800 | 6153 | Credit Card \& Other Credit Plans | Subsidiary |
| Convergent Resources Inc | 219 Perimeter Ctr Pkwy NE \#200 | Atlanta | GA | 30346 | (770) 604-4385 | 500 | 7389 | Call Centers | Branch |
| Cox Enterprises Inc | 6205 Peachtree Dunwoody Rd NE | Atlanta | GA | 30328 | (404) 843-5000 | 625 | 4841 | Television-Cable \& Catv | Headquarter |
| Crownbridge Group LLC | 1579 Monroe Dr NE \# F904 | Atlanta | GA | 30324 | (404) 842-2840 | 500 | 8111 | Legal Clinics |  |
| Delta Community Credit Union | 1025 Virginia Ave | Atlanta | GA | 30354 | (404) 677-4962 | 500 | 6061 | Credit Unions |  |
| Department-Public Wks-Transpor | 68 Mitchell St SW \# 4900 | Atlanta | GA | 30303 | (404) 330-6501 | 500 | 1611 | Parking Area/Lots Maintenance \& Marking |  |
| Empire Distributors Inc | 3755 Atlanta Industrial Parkwa | Atlanta | GA | 30331 | (404) 572-4100 | 601 | 5182 | Liquors-Wholesale | Subsidiary |
| Equifax Inc | 1550 Peachtree St NW | Atlanta | GA | 30309 | (404) 885-8000 | 550 | 7323 | Credit Reporting Agencies | Headquarter |
| Fab Inc | 1225 Old Alpharetta Rd \# 235 | Alpharetta | GA | 30005 | (678) 356-5400 | 800 | 5963 | Food Service-Management |  |
| Gate Gourmet | 2200 Aviation Blvd | Atlanta | GA | 30354 | (404) 530-4400 | 500 | 5812 | Caterers | Branch |
| General Electric Capital Corp | 1001 Windward Concourse | Alpharetta | GA | 30005 | (770) 442-6173 | 550 | 7374 | Data Processing Service |  |
| Georgia Technology Authority | 200 Piedmont Ave SE | Atlanta | GA | 30334 | (404) 656-5670 | 700 | 9121 | Government Offices-State |  |
| Global Payments Inc | 10 Glenlake Pkwy NE | Atlanta | GA | 30328 | (770) 829-8000 | 500 | 7299 | Bill Paying Service | Headquarter |
| Hartsfield Jackson AIRPORT-Atl | 1145 Aviation Blvd | Atlanta | GA | 30354 | (404) 767-4224 | 500 | 4581 | Airports |  |
| Hawker Beechcraft Svc | 3956 Aviation Cir NW | Atlanta | GA | 30336 | (404) 699-9200 | 500 | 4581 | Aircraft Servicing \& Maintenance | Branch |
| HD Supply Inc | 3100 Cumberland Blvd SE \# 1480 | Atlanta | GA | 30339 | (770) 852-9000 | 600 | 5082 | Contractors-Equip/Supls-DIrs/Svc (Whis) | Headquarter |
| Hilton-Atlanta | 255 Courtland St NE | Atlanta | GA | 30303 | (404) 659-2000 | 700 | 7011 | Hotels \& Motels | Branch |
| Image Corp Of America Inc | 6755 Shiloh Rd E \# 107 | Alpharetta | GA | 30005 | (770) 205-8236 | 500 | 5734 | Computer Software |  |
| Impact Solutions | 105 Mauldin Dr \# A | Alpharetta | GA | 30004 | (770) 475-7111 | 650 | 7361 | Employment Agencies \& Opportunities |  |
| Kaiser Permanente Health Plan | 1175 Cascade Pkwy SW | Atlanta | GA | 30311 | (404) 365-0966 | 600 | 8099 | Health Services | Branch |
| Kilpatrick Townsend \& Stockton | 1100 Peachtree St NE \# 2800 | Atlanta | GA | 30309 | (404) 815-6500 | 500 | 8111 | Attorneys | Headquarter |
| Kraft Foods | 1400 Murphy Ave SW | Atlanta | GA | 30310 | (404) 756-6000 | 500 | 5141 | Food Products (Whls) | Branch |
| Kroger | 11877 Douglas Rd \# 101 | Alpharetta | GA | 30005 | (770) 751-3110 | 700 | 5411 | Grocers-Retail | Branch |
| Labor | 148 International Blvd NE \#104 | Atlanta | GA | 30303 | (404) 656-3011 | 900 | 9651 | State Government-Licensing \& Inspection | Branch |
| Macy's | 4300 Ashford Dunwoody Rd NE | Atlanta | GA | 30346 | (770) 396-2800 | 500 | 5311 | Department Stores | Branch |
| Macy's District Office | 223 Perimeter Center Pkwy NE | Atlanta | GA | 30346 | (770) 913-4000 | 800 | 5311 | Department Stores | Branch |
| Manheim Atlanta | 4900 Buffington Rd | Atlanta | GA | 30349 | (404) 762-9211 | 700 | 5012 | Automobile Auctions (Whls) | Branch |
| Manheim Georgia | 7205 Campbellton Rd SW | Atlanta | GA | 30331 | (404) 349-5555 | 700 | 5012 | Automobile Auctions (Whls) | Branch |
| Marsh | 3475 Piedmont Rd NE \# 1200 | Atlanta | GA | 30305 | (404) 995-3000 | 630 | 6411 | Insurance | Branch |

## Housing Unit Completions

Housing unit completions is an excellent measure of housing activity. The statistic tracks changes to the housing stock and accounts for units completed as well as units demolished. The following table gives housing unit completion data for the nation, state and region since 2000. The data set, which comes from the U.S.
Census Bureau and Claritas, includes a forecast through 2017:
Housing Unit Completions

|  | Nation |  | State |  | Region |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Housing Units | 115,904,641 |  | 3,281,737 |  | 348,632 |  |
| Completions, 1, detached | 11,498,573 | 72.7\% | 668,327 | 74.2\% | 64,874 | 54.8\% |
| Completions, 1, attached | 1,027,850 | 6.5\% | 54,245 | 6.0\% | 14,936 | 12.6\% |
| Completions, 2 | 167,401 | 1.1\% | 12,299 | 1.4\% | 1,144 | 1.0\% |
| Completions, 3 to 19 | 2,692,559 | 17.0\% | 108,177 | 12.0\% | 18,350 | 15.5\% |
| Completions, 20 to 49 | 607,557 | 3.8\% | 41,381 | 4.6\% | 9,496 | 8.0\% |
| Completions, 50 or more | -137,020 | -0.9\% | -3,516 | -0.4\% | 9,573 | 8.1\% |
| Completions, Mobile home | 106,978 | 0.7\% | 22,943 | 2.5\% | 90 | 0.1\% |
| Completions, Other | -156,878 | -1.0\% | -3,131 | -0.3\% | -143 | -0.1\% |
| 2000-2012 Completions | 15,807,020 | 100.0\% | 900,724 | 100.0\% | 118,319 | 100.0\% |
| 2012 Housing Units | 131,711,661 |  | 4,182,461 |  | 466,951 |  |
| Completions, 1 , detached | 3,339,358 | 62.5\% | 213,937 | 66.4\% | 20,974 | 48.8\% |
| Completions, 1, attached | 268,193 | 5.0\% | 11,414 | 3.5\% | 2,739 | 6.4\% |
| Completions, 2 | 140,916 | 2.6\% | 7,944 | 2.5\% | 1,204 | 2.8\% |
| Completions, 3 to 19 | 745,229 | 13.9\% | 41,901 | 13.0\% | 11,162 | 26.0\% |
| Completions, 20 to 49 | 183,590 | 3.4\% | 7,587 | 2.4\% | 2,227 | 5.2\% |
| Completions, 50 or more | 215,694 | 4.0\% | 7,252 | 2.2\% | 4,508 | 10.5\% |
| Completions, Mobile home | 444,965 | 8.3\% | 32,274 | 10.0\% | 178 | 0.4\% |
| Completions, Other | 6,353 | 0.1\% | 95 | 0.0\% | -3 | 0.0\% |
| 2012-2017 Completions | 5,344,298 | 100.0\% | 322,404 | 100.0\% | 42,989 | 100.0\% |
| 2017 Housing Units | 137,055,959 |  | 4,504,865 |  | 509,940 |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
In 2000 there were 348,632 housing units in the region. Between 2000 and 2012 a total of 118,319 new units (net of any demolitions) were completed. This resulted in a total of 466,951 housing units in 2012. Between 2012 and 2017 a total of 42,989 new units (net of any demolitions) are anticipated. This results in an estimated total of 509,940 housing units in 2017.

## DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

In this section we conduct an analysis of regional and market area demographic and select housing characteristics. Our analysis uses the regional and market area definitions presented previously in this report.

## Population

The following tables give population data for the nation, state, region and market area since 2000. The data sets, which come from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas, include forecasts through 2017:

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Population | $281,421,906$ | $8,186,453$ | 816,006 | 88,026 |
| 2012 Population | $314,093,386$ | $10,279,892$ | $1,095,606$ | 126,702 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $32,671,480$ | $2,093,439$ | 279,600 | 38,677 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Population | $314,093,386$ | $10,279,892$ | $1,095,606$ | 126,702 |
| 2017 Population | $326,729,417$ | $11,099,643$ | $1,206,603$ | 140,532 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $12,636,031$ | 819,751 | 110,997 | 13,829 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas

Population for the market area increased from 88,026 in 2000 to 126,702 in 2012. Population is forecasted to increase 2.1 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $1.9,1.5$ and 0.8 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

| $<$ 55 Population |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Population | $222,155,469$ | $6,739,722$ | 687,408 | 77,934 |
| 2012 Population | $233,470,475$ | $7,968,327$ | 856,042 | 105,574 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $11,315,006$ | $1,228,605$ | 168,634 | 27,640 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Population | $233,470,475$ | $7,968,327$ | 856,042 | 105,574 |
| 2017 Population | $234,895,608$ | $8,325,942$ | 903,576 | 112,781 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $1,425,133$ | 357,615 | 47,534 | 7,207 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas

The $<55$ population for the market area increased from 77,934 in 2000 to 105,574 in 2012. The $<55$ population is forecasted to increase 1.3 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $1.1,0.9$ and 0.1 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

55+ Population

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Population | $59,266,437$ | $1,446,731$ | 128,598 | 10,092 |
| 2012 Population | $80,622,911$ | $2,311,565$ | 239,564 | 21,129 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $21,356,474$ | 864,834 | 110,966 | 11,037 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Population | $80,622,911$ | $2,311,565$ | 239,564 | 21,129 |
| 2017 Population | $91,833,809$ | $2,773,701$ | 303,027 | 27,751 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $11,210,898$ | 462,136 | 63,463 | 6,622 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
The $55+$ population for the market area increased from 10,092 in 2000 to 21,129 in 2012. The $55+$ population is forecasted to increase 5.6 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 4.8, 3.7 and 2.6 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

| $62+$ Population |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Population | $42,274,158$ | 983,712 | 86,872 | 6,967 |
| 2012 Population | $54,427,526$ | $1,506,590$ | 147,137 | 12,909 |
| $2000-2012$ Change | $12,153,368$ | 522,878 | 60,265 | 5,942 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Population | $54,427,526$ | $1,506,590$ | 147,137 | 12,909 |
| 2017 Population | $62,204,233$ | $1,831,008$ | 193,081 | 17,415 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $7,776,706$ | 324,418 | 45,944 | 4,506 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Claritas; Allen \& Associates
The 62+ population for the market area increased from 6,967 in 2000 to 12,909 in 2012. The $62+$ population is forecasted to increase 6.2 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $5.6,4.0$ and 2.7 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

| $65+$ Population |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Population | $34,991,753$ | 785,275 | 68,990 | 5,627 |
| 2012 Population | $43,200,933$ | $1,161,600$ | 107,525 | 9,386 |
| $2000-2012$ Change | $8,209,180$ | 376,325 | 38,535 | 3,759 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Population | $43,200,933$ | $1,161,600$ | 107,525 | 9,386 |
| 2017 Population | $49,505,843$ | $1,426,996$ | 145,961 | 12,985 |
| $2012-2017$ Change | $6,304,910$ | 265,396 | 38,436 | 3,598 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
The $65+$ population for the market area increased from 5,627 in 2000 to 9,386 in 2012. The $65+$ population is forecasted to increase 6.7 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $6.3,4.2$ and 2.8 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

## Households

The following tables give household data for the nation, state, region and market area since 2000. The data sets, which come from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas, include forecasts through 2017:

| Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nation | State | Region | Market |  |
| 2000 Households | $105,539,122$ | $3,007,678$ | 321,266 | 35,530 |
| 2012 Households | $118,060,841$ | $3,757,183$ | 418,073 | 53,716 |
| $2000-2012$ Change | $12,521,719$ | 749,505 | 96,807 | 18,185 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $118,060,841$ | $3,757,183$ | 418,073 | 53,716 |
| 2017 Households | $122,871,401$ | $4,050,651$ | 456,242 | 59,912 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $4,810,560$ | 293,468 | 38,169 | 6,197 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
Households for the market area increased from 35,530 in 2000 to 53,716 in 2012. Households are forecasted to increase 2.2 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $1.8,1.5$ and 0.8 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.
$<55$ Households

| $<$ 55 Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Households | $69,061,603$ | $2,114,168$ | 238,242 | 27,909 |
| 2012 Households | $69,862,901$ | $2,378,538$ | 274,238 | 39,399 |
| $2000-2012$ Change | 801,298 | 264,370 | 35,996 | 11,490 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.1 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $69,862,901$ | $2,378,538$ | 274,238 | 39,399 |
| 2017 Households | $68,395,100$ | $2,411,164$ | 277,623 | 41,327 |
| $2012-2017$ Change | $-1,467,801$ | 32,626 | 3,385 | 1,928 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $-0.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
The $<55$ households for the market area increased from 27,909 in 2000 to 39,399 in 2012. The $<55$ households are forecasted to increase 1.0 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $0.2,0.3$ and -0.4 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

| $55+$ Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Households | $36,477,519$ | 893,510 | 83,024 | 7,621 |
| 2012 Households | $48,197,940$ | $1,378,645$ | 143,835 | 14,316 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $11,720,421$ | 485,135 | 60,811 | 6,695 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $48,197,940$ | $1,378,645$ | 143,835 | 14,316 |
| 2017 Households | $54,476,301$ | $1,639,487$ | 178,619 | 18,585 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $6,278,361$ | 260,842 | 34,784 | 4,269 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
The 55+ households for the market area increased from 7,621 in 2000 to 14,316 in 2012. The 55+ households are forecasted to increase 5.4 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 4.4, 3.5 and 2.5 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

62+ Households

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Households | $26,422,327$ | 617,312 | 56,952 | 5,452 |
| 2012 Households | $32,900,469$ | 905,007 | 88,490 | 9,030 |
| $2000-2012$ Change | $6,478,142$ | 287,695 | 31,538 | 3,578 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $32,900,469$ | 905,007 | 88,490 | 9,030 |
| 2017 Households | $37,159,502$ | $1,085,681$ | 113,250 | 12,002 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $4,259,034$ | 180,674 | 24,759 | 2,971 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

The 62+ households for the market area increased from 5,452 in 2000 to 9,030 in 2012. The 62+ households are forecasted to increase 5.9 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 5.1, 3.7 and 2.5 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

| $65+$ Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Households | $22,112,959$ | 498,942 | 45,778 | 4,523 |
| 2012 Households | $26,344,409$ | 702,019 | 64,771 | 6,765 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $4,231,450$ | 203,077 | 18,993 | 2,242 |
| Annual Change, \% | $1.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $26,344,409$ | 702,019 | 64,771 | 6,765 |
| 2017 Households | $29,738,017$ | 848,335 | 85,234 | 9,180 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $3,393,608$ | 146,316 | 20,463 | 2,415 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas

The 65+ households for the market area increased from 4,523 in 2000 to 6,765 in 2012. The 65+ households are forecasted to increase 6.3 percent annually through 2017 . This is compared with projected growth of 5.6 , 3.9 and 2.5 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

## Owner Households

The following tables give owner household data for the nation, state, region and market area since 2000. The data sets, which come from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas, include forecasts through 2017:

Owner Households

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Households | $69,840,987$ | $2,029,706$ | 167,307 | 9,399 |
| 2012 Households | $78,909,009$ | $2,570,735$ | 225,641 | 16,281 |
| $2000-2012$ Change | $9,068,022$ | 541,029 | 58,334 | 6,882 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $78,909,009$ | $2,570,735$ | 225,641 | 16,281 |
| 2017 Households | $82,120,549$ | $2,776,204$ | 248,534 | 18,928 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $3,211,540$ | 205,469 | 22,893 | 2,647 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas

Owner households for the market area increased from 9,399 in 2000 to 16,281 in 2012. Owner households are forecasted to increase 3.1 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 2.0 , 1.5 and 0.8 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

| $<$ 55 Owner Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Households | $41,210,444$ | $1,306,251$ | 109,698 | 6,779 |
| 2012 Households | $41,420,477$ | $1,467,645$ | 125,356 | 10,342 |
| 2000-2012 Change | 210,033 | 161,394 | 15,658 | 3,563 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $41,420,477$ | $1,467,645$ | 125,356 | 10,342 |
| 2017 Households | $39,949,673$ | $1,470,892$ | 124,257 | 10,760 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $-1,470,804$ | 3,247 | $-1,099$ | 418 |
| Annual Change, \% | $-0.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $-0.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
The $<55$ owner households for the market area increased from 6,779 in 2000 to 10,342 in 2012. The $<55$ owner households are forecasted to increase 0.8 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $-0.2,0.0$ and -0.7 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

| $55+$ Owner Households |  |  |  | Negion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Market |  |
| 2000 Households | $28,630,543$ | 723,455 | 57,609 | 2,620 |
| 2012 Households | $37,488,532$ | $1,103,090$ | 100,285 | 5,939 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $8,857,989$ | 379,635 | 42,676 | 3,319 |
| Annual Change, \% | $2.3 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $37,488,532$ | $1,103,090$ | 100,285 | 5,939 |
| 2017 Households | $42,170,876$ | $1,305,312$ | 124,277 | 8,168 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $4,682,344$ | 202,222 | 23,992 | 2,229 |
| Annual Change, \% | $2.4 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas

The 55+ owner households for the market area increased from 2,620 in 2000 to 5,939 in 2012. The 55+ owner households are forecasted to increase 6.6 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 4.4, 3.4 and 2.4 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

62+ Owner Households

| 62+ Owner Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Households | $20,658,562$ | 497,511 | 38,719 | 1,878 |
| 2012 Households | $25,372,572$ | 718,049 | 60,017 | 3,586 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $4,714,009$ | 220,538 | 21,299 | 1,708 |
| Annual Change, \% | $1.7 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $25,372,572$ | 718,049 | 60,017 | 3,586 |
| 2017 Households | $28,525,457$ | 856,787 | 76,873 | 5,083 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $3,152,885$ | 138,738 | 16,856 | 1,497 |
| Annual Change, \% | $2.4 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

The 62+ owner households for the market area increased from 1,878 in 2000 to 3,586 in 2012. The 62+ owner households are forecasted to increase 7.2 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 5.1, 3.6 and 2.4 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

65+ Owner Households

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Households | $17,241,999$ | 400,678 | 30,623 | 1,560 |
| 2012 Households | $20,180,017$ | 553,032 | 42,760 | 2,577 |
| $2000-2012$ Change | $2,938,018$ | 152,354 | 12,137 | 1,017 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $20,180,017$ | 553,032 | 42,760 | 2,577 |
| 2017 Households | $22,677,420$ | 664,562 | 56,557 | 3,761 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $2,497,403$ | 111,530 | 13,797 | 1,184 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas

The 65+ owner households for the market area increased from 1,560 in 2000 to 2,577 in 2012. The 65+ owner households are forecasted to increase 7.9 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $5.8,3.7$ and 2.4 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

## Renter Households

The following tables give renter household data for the nation, state, region and market area since 2000. The data sets, which come from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas, include forecasts through 2017:

| Renter Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Households | $35,698,135$ | 977,972 | 153,959 | 26,131 |
| 2012 Households | $39,151,832$ | $1,186,449$ | 192,431 | 37,434 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $3,453,697$ | 208,477 | 38,472 | 11,303 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.8 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $39,151,832$ | $1,186,449$ | 192,431 | 37,434 |
| 2017 Households | $40,750,852$ | $1,274,448$ | 207,707 | 40,984 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $1,599,020$ | 87,999 | 15,276 | 3,550 |
| Annual Change, \% | $0.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
Renter households for the market area increased from 26,131 in 2000 to 37,434 in 2012. Renter households are forecasted to increase 1.8 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 1.5 , 1.4 and 0.8 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

| $<55$ Renter Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Households | $27,851,159$ | 807,917 | 128,544 | 21,130 |
| 2012 Households | $28,442,423$ | 910,894 | 148,882 | 29,057 |
| 2000-2012 Change | 591,264 | 102,977 | 20,338 | 7,927 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $28,442,423$ | 910,894 | 148,882 | 29,057 |
| 2017 Households | $28,445,426$ | 940,273 | 153,366 | 30,567 |
| 2012-2017 Change | 3,003 | 29,379 | 4,484 | 1,510 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
The $<55$ renter households for the market area increased from 21,130 in 2000 to 29,057 in 2012. The $<55$ renter households are forecasted to increase 1.0 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $0.6,0.6$ and 0.0 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

| $55+$ Renter Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Households | $7,846,976$ | 170,055 | 25,415 | 5,002 |
| 2012 Households | $10,709,409$ | 275,555 | 43,550 | 8,377 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $2,862,433$ | 105,500 | 18,135 | 3,376 |
| Annual Change, \% | $2.6 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $10,709,409$ | 275,555 | 43,550 | 8,377 |
| 2017 Households | $12,305,426$ | 334,175 | 54,342 | 10,417 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $1,596,017$ | 58,620 | 10,792 | 2,040 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
The 55+ renter households for the market area increased from 5,002 in 2000 to 8,377 in 2012. The $55+$ renter households are forecasted to increase 4.5 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of $4.5,3.9$ and 2.8 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

| $62+$ Renter Households |  |  |  | Narket |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | M, |
| 2000 Households | $5,763,765$ | 119,801 | 18,233 | 3,574 |
| 2012 Households | $7,527,897$ | 186,958 | 28,473 | 5,444 |
| 2000-2012 Change | $1,764,132$ | 67,156 | 10,240 | 1,870 |
| Annual Change, \% | $2.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $7,527,897$ | 186,958 | 28,473 | 5,444 |
| 2017 Households | $8,634,046$ | 228,894 | 36,377 | 6,918 |
| 2012-2017 Change | $1,106,149$ | 41,936 | 7,904 | 1,474 |
| Annual Change, \% | $2.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Claritas; Allen \& Associates
The 62+ renter households for the market area increased from 3,574 in 2000 to 5,444 in 2012 . The 62+ renter households are forecasted to increase 4.9 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 5.0, 4.1 and 2.8 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

65+ Renter Households

| $65+$ Renter Households |  |  |  | Region |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Market |  |
| 2000 Households | $4,870,960$ | 98,264 | 15,155 | 2,963 |
| 2012 Households | $6,164,392$ | 148,987 | 22,011 | 4,187 |
| $2000-2012$ Change | $1,293,432$ | 50,723 | 6,856 | 1,224 |
| Annual Change, \% | $2.0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Households | $6,164,392$ | 148,987 | 22,011 | 4,187 |
| 2017 Households | $7,060,597$ | 183,773 | 28,677 | 5,419 |
| $2012-2017$ Change | 896,205 | 34,786 | 6,666 | 1,231 |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
The $65+$ renter households for the market area increased from 2,963 in 2000 to 4,187 in 2012. The 65+ renter households are forecasted to increase 5.3 percent annually through 2017. This is compared with projected growth of 5.4, 4.3 and 2.8 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

## Household Income

The following table gives average household income data for the region and market area since 2000. The data set, which comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas, includes a forecast through 2017:

| Average Household Income |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 2000 Average Income | $\$ 56,644$ | $\$ 56,624$ | $\$ 74,971$ | $\$ 45,545$ |
| 2012 Average Income | $\$ 73,628$ | $\$ 70,587$ | $\$ 98,195$ | $\$ 73,646$ |
| 2000-2012 Change | $\$ 16,985$ | $\$ 13,964$ | $\$ 23,224$ | $\$ 28,101$ |
| Annual Change, \% | $2.2 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Average Income | $\$ 73,628$ | $\$ 70,587$ | $\$ 98,195$ | $\$ 73,646$ |
| 2017 Average Income | $\$ 80,023$ | $\$ 75,482$ | $\$ 106,917$ | $\$ 84,475$ |
| 2012-2017 Change | $\$ 6,394$ | $\$ 4,894$ | $\$ 8,721$ | $\$ 10,829$ |
| Annual Change, $\%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Claritas
Average household income for the market area increased from \$45,545 in 2000 to \$73,646 in 2011. Household income is forecasted to increase 2.8 percent annually through 2016.

The following table and graph show the current distribution of household incomes for the market area. The data set comes from the U.S. Census and Claritas:

Households, by Income (Market Area)

| Households, by Income (Market Area) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 02013$ | 7,944 |
| $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 19,999$ | 6,789 |
| $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 29,999$ | 5,408 |
| $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 39,999$ | 4,685 |
| $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ | 4,154 |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 59,999$ | 3,562 |
| $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 69,999$ | 2,774 |
| $\$ 70,000$ to $\$ 79,999$ | 2,320 |
| $\$ 80,000$ or more | 17,319 |



Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

## Owner Household Income

The following table and graph show the current distribution of owner household incomes for the market area. The data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas:

Owner Households, by Income, by Size

| $2013 ~ \$ ~$ |  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7+ Person |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 565 | 65 | 37 | 25 | 13 | 6 | 5 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 351 | 160 | 80 | 45 | 18 | 8 | 7 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 458 | 233 | 95 | 72 | 40 | 18 | 16 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 429 | 246 | 159 | 173 | 42 | 18 | 17 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 485 | 302 | 164 | 114 | 51 | 22 | 20 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 600 | 284 | 130 | 64 | 46 | 20 | 18 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 420 | 275 | 93 | 54 | 26 | 11 | 10 |
| $\$ 70,000$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 327 | 288 | 86 | 56 | 20 | 9 | 8 |
| $\$ 80,000$ | or | more | 3,877 | 3,414 | 1,019 | 664 | 235 | 103 | 93 |



Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

The following table shows the current distribution of <55 owner household incomes for the market area. Once again, the data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas:
<55 Owner Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013 ~ \$ ~$ |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 167 | 16 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 113 | 43 | 14 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 211 | 50 | 12 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 4 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 272 | 68 | 40 | 16 | 9 | 4 | 3 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 372 | 106 | 57 | 42 | 23 | 10 | 9 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 468 | 156 | 78 | 34 | 26 | 11 | 10 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 321 | 193 | 65 | 43 | 16 | 7 | 6 |
| $\$ 70,000$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 236 | 200 | 59 | 49 | 11 | 5 | 4 |
| $\$ 80,000$ | or | more | 2,796 | 2,366 | 694 | 577 | 135 | 59 | 53 |

Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

The following table shows the current distribution of 55+ owner household incomes for the market area. Once again, the data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas:

55+ Owner Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 \$ |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| \$0 | to | \$9,999 | 398 | 49 | 28 | 17 | 9 | 4 | 4 |
| \$10,000 | to | \$19,999 | 238 | 117 | 66 | 22 | 14 | 6 | 6 |
| \$20,000 | to | \$29,999 | 248 | 183 | 83 | 56 | 30 | 13 | 12 |
| \$30,000 | to | \$39,999 | 157 | 178 | 119 | 157 | 33 | 15 | 13 |
| \$40,000 | to | \$49,999 | 112 | 196 | 107 | 72 | 28 | 12 | 11 |
| \$50,000 | to | \$59,999 | 132 | 128 | 52 | 31 | 20 | 9 | 8 |
| \$60,000 | to | \$69,999 | 99 | 82 | 28 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 4 |
| \$70,000 | to | \$79,999 | 91 | 88 | 27 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 3 |
| \$80,000 | or | more | 1,082 | 1,048 | 325 | 87 | 100 | 44 | 39 |

Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates
The following table shows the current distribution of 62+ owner household incomes for the market area. Once again, the data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas:

62+ Owner Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013 ~ \$ ~$ |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 278 | 32 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 183 | 89 | 48 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 3 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 193 | 147 | 58 | 39 | 20 | 9 | 8 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 105 | 121 | 79 | 123 | 22 | 10 | 9 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 68 | 129 | 69 | 47 | 16 | 7 | 6 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 83 | 79 | 34 | 19 | 12 | 5 | 5 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 57 | 43 | 16 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| $\$ 70,000$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 47 | 44 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| $\$ 80,000$ | or | more | 559 | 527 | 174 | 42 | 66 | 29 | 26 |

Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates
The following table shows the current distribution of 65+ owner household incomes for the market area. Once again, the data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas:

65+ Owner Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013 ~ \$ ~$ |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 227 | 24 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 159 | 76 | 40 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 169 | 131 | 48 | 31 | 15 | 7 | 6 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 83 | 97 | 62 | 108 | 17 | 7 | 7 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 49 | 101 | 53 | 36 | 11 | 5 | 4 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 62 | 58 | 25 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 4 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 39 | 26 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| $\$ 70,000$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 28 | 26 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| $\$ 80,000$ | or | more | 335 | 304 | 110 | 23 | 52 | 23 | 20 |

Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

## Renter Household Income

The following table and graph show the current distribution of renter household incomes for the market area. The data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas:

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 $\$$ |  |  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 3,733 | 1,093 | 639 | 348 | 165 | 80 | 64 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 2,533 | 945 | 457 | 225 | 168 | 82 | 65 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,957 | 741 | 323 | 218 | 194 | 94 | 75 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,483 | 801 | 227 | 210 | 146 | 71 | 57 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 1,159 | 722 | 186 | 132 | 107 | 52 | 41 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 816 | 681 | 181 | 87 | 64 | 31 | 25 |
| $\$ 70,000$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 623 | 580 | 162 | 71 | 48 | 23 | 19 |
| $\$ 80,000$ | or | more | 3,231 | 3,008 | 840 | 368 | 250 | 121 | 97 |



Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

The following table shows the current distribution of <55 renter household incomes for the market area. Once again, the data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas:
<55 Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 $\$$ |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,645 | 742 | 401 | 300 | 115 | 56 | 44 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 2,090 | 638 | 503 | 297 | 142 | 69 | 55 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,886 | 654 | 368 | 173 | 106 | 51 | 41 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,755 | 561 | 259 | 165 | 143 | 69 | 55 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,352 | 709 | 158 | 156 | 103 | 50 | 40 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 1,036 | 663 | 146 | 100 | 72 | 35 | 28 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 746 | 634 | 163 | 69 | 52 | 25 | 20 |
| $\$ 70,000$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 574 | 540 | 148 | 56 | 40 | 19 | 15 |
| $\$ 80,000$ | or | more | 2,980 | 2,799 | 770 | 293 | 206 | 100 | 80 |

[^2]The following table shows the current distribution of 55+ renter household incomes for the market area. Once again, the data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas:

55+ Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 $\$$ |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 2,492 | 245 | 106 | 48 | 18 | 9 | 7 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,643 | 454 | 136 | 51 | 23 | 11 | 9 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 647 | 291 | 88 | 53 | 62 | 30 | 24 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 202 | 180 | 64 | 53 | 51 | 25 | 20 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 132 | 92 | 69 | 54 | 44 | 21 | 17 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 123 | 59 | 40 | 32 | 35 | 17 | 14 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 70 | 47 | 18 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 4 |
| $\$ 70,000$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 48 | 40 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 3 |
| $\$ 80,000$ | or | more | 250 | 209 | 70 | 75 | 44 | 21 | 17 |

Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates
The following table shows the current distribution of 62+ renter household incomes for the market area. Once again, the data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas:

62+ Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013 ~ \$ ~$ |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 1,752 | 157 | 52 | 22 | 11 | 5 | 4 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,160 | 346 | 74 | 28 | 11 | 5 | 4 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 433 | 211 | 60 | 36 | 46 | 22 | 18 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 115 | 114 | 40 | 33 | 28 | 14 | 11 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 73 | 50 | 40 | 29 | 24 | 12 | 9 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 76 | 33 | 23 | 19 | 18 | 9 | 7 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 39 | 27 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| $\$ 70,000$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 23 | 23 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| $\$ 80,000$ | or | more | 122 | 118 | 28 | 37 | 22 | 11 | 8 |

Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates
The following table shows the current distribution of 65+ renter household incomes for the market area. Once again, the data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and Claritas:

65+ Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 $\$$ |  | $\$ 9,999$ | 1,435 | 119 | 28 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 3 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | 2 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |  |
| $\$ 10,000$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 952 | 300 | 47 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 342 | 177 | 48 | 29 | 39 | 19 | 15 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 77 | 85 | 29 | 25 | 18 | 9 | 7 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 47 | 32 | 28 | 19 | 15 | 7 | 6 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 55 | 22 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 5 | 4 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 26 | 18 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| $\$ 70,000$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 13 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| $\$ 80,000$ | or | more | 67 | 78 | 10 | 21 | 12 | 6 | 5 |

Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

## Overburdened Owner Households

The following tables give overburdened owner household data for the nation, state, region and market area. The data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau:

Overburdened Owner Households

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $54.5 \%$ | $55.3 \%$ | $50.1 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ |
| 20-24\% of Income Spent on Housing | $14.0 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| 25-29\% of Income Spent on Housing | $9.5 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $6.1 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $15.9 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Our research suggests that 24.2 percent of the owner households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 30.7 percent of the owner households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.
<55 Overburdened Owner Households

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $49.3 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | $43.3 \%$ |
| 20-24\% of Income Spent on Housing | $16.8 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $11.3 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $7.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $15.6 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Our research suggests that 22.8 percent of the <55 owner households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 30.0 percent of the $<55$ owner households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

| 55+ Overburdened Owner Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $62.0 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ |
| $20-24 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $10.0 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $6.9 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $4.7 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $16.4 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Our research suggests that 27.0 percent of the 55+ owner households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 32.4 percent of the $55+$ owner households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

62+ Overburdened Owner Households

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $62.4 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ | $48.6 \%$ |
| 20-24\% of Income Spent on Housing | $9.6 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $6.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $4.7 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $16.7 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests that 27.4 percent of the 62+ owner households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 33.5 percent of the $62+$ owner households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

| 65+ Overburdened Owner Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $62.7 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $48.1 \%$ |
| $20-24 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $9.3 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $6.5 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $4.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $16.9 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Our research suggests that 27.7 percent of the 65+ owner households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 34.2 percent of the $65+$ owner households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

## Overburdened Renter Households

The following tables give overburdened renter household data for the nation, state, region and market area. The data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau:

Overburdened Renter Households

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $35.1 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ |
| $20-24 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $13.8 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $11.3 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $7.9 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $31.9 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Our research suggests that 34.1 percent of the renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 42.7 percent of the renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.
<55 Overburdened Renter Households

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $37.2 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ |
| $20-24 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $14.5 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $10.9 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $7.7 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $29.7 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Our research suggests that 33.6 percent of the $<55$ renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 41.9 percent of the $<55$ renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

| 55+ Overburdened Renter Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $27.3 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ |
| $20-24 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $11.5 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $12.5 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $8.8 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $39.8 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Our research suggests that 36.3 percent of the $55+$ renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 45.8 percent of the $55+$ renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

62+ Overburdened Renter Households

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $24.3 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ |
| $20-24 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $11.2 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $13.0 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $9.2 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $42.3 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $35.3 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; Allen \& Associates

Our research suggests that 35.3 percent of the 62+ renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 46.0 percent of the $62+$ renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

65+ Overburdened Renter Households

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $22.3 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ |
| 20-24\% of Income Spent on Housing | $11.0 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| 25-29\% of Income Spent on Housing | $13.4 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $20.6 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $9.4 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| >35\% of Income Spent on Housing | $44.0 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Our research suggests that 34.5 percent of the $65+$ renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 46.1 percent of the $65+$ renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

## Owner Substandard Units

The U.S. Census Bureau defines substandard housing units as follows: (1) Units without complete plumbing; or (2) Units with 1.00 or more persons per room.

The following tables give owner substandard housing unit data for occupied housing units in the nation, state, region and market area. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau:

Owner Substandard Units

|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | $96.5 \%$ | $97.2 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ | $97.7 \%$ |
| 1.01 to 1.50 persons per room | $1.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $1.1 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Complete Plumbing | $99.5 \%$ | $99.6 \%$ | $99.7 \%$ | $99.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| 1.01 to 1.50 persons per room | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Lacking Complete Plumbing | $0.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard | $96.5 \%$ | $97.2 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ | $97.7 \%$ |
| Substandard | $3.5 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Our research suggests that 2.3 percent of occupied owner housing units in the market area are substandard. This is compared with 2.1, 2.8 and 3.5 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

## Renter Substandard Units

The following tables give renter substandard housing unit data for occupied housing units in the nation, state, region and market area. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau:

Renter Substandard Units

|  | Renter Substandard Units |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nation | State | Region | Market |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | $88.3 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ | $90.2 \%$ |
| 1.01 t 1.50 persons per room | $5.1 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $5.6 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Complete Plumbing | $99.0 \%$ | $99.1 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| 1.01 to 1.50 persons per room | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $0.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Lacking Complete Plumbing | $1.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard | $88.3 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ | $90.2 \%$ |
| Substandard | $11.7 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Our research suggests that 9.8 percent of occupied renter housing units in the market area are substandard. This is compared with 11.0, 10.5 and 11.7 percent for the region, state and nation, respectively.

## Owner Movership

The following tables give owner household movership data for the market area with an estimated breakout by household size. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Housing Survey:

Owner Movership, by Size

|  | Market Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person | Total |
| Owner to Owner | $5.8 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |
| Owner to Renter | $5.6 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| Owner Movership Rate | $11.4 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests an owner movership rate of 16.8 percent, consisting of 9.7 percent owner to owner and 7.1 percent owner to renter movership.

Elderly Owner Movership, by Size
AHS Survey

|  | AHS Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person | Total |
| Owner to Owner | $2.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Owner to Renter | $1.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Owner Movership Rate | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests an elderly owner movership rate of 3.7 percent, consisting of 2.4 percent owner to owner and 1.2 percent owner to renter movership.

## Renter Movership

The following tables give renter household movership data for the market area with an estimated breakout by household size. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Housing Survey:

Renter Movership, by Size

|  | Market Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person | Total |
| Renter to Renter | $11.3 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| Renter to Owner | $2.7 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| Renter Movership Rate | $14.0 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates

Our research suggests a renter movership rate of 33.6 percent, consisting of 25.5 percent renter to renter and 8.1 percent renter to owner movership.

Elderly Renter Movership, by Size

| AHS Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person | Total |
| Renter to Renter | $7.4 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| Renter to Owner | $0.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Renter Movership Rate | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates

Our research suggests an elderly renter movership rate of 8.0 percent, consisting of 7.1 percent renter to renter and 0.9 percent renter to owner movership.

## SUPPLY ANALYSIS

In this section we conduct an analysis of multifamily housing supply for the market area. Our analysis uses the market area definition presented previously in this report.

## Overview

In conducting our analysis, we obtained information on multifamily properties with 20 or more units in the market area. Our research included conventionally financed multifamily communities as well as properties financed by the local housing authority and the state housing finance agency. Our analysis also included properties financed by and/or subsidized by USDA and/or HUD. Finally, our analysis included properties that are either proposed or currently under construction. The result was a listing of projects with 20 or more units whether existing, under construction, or proposed - for this area. Our rental property inventory listing is found in the pages that follow.

A map showing the location of the properties included in the rental property inventory is found in the pages that follow. Properties identified with red pushpins have 100 percent market rate units (market rate properties), properties identified with yellow pushpins have a mixture of market rate / restricted / subsidized units (restricted properties), and properties identified with blue pushpins have 100 percent project-based rental assistance (subsidized properties).

After accounting for any unconfirmed properties and any properties that are located outside the defined market area, we arrived at a list of confirmed market area properties. This is the listing of properties upon which our analysis is based. In our opinion, the properties included on this list give a credible picture of market conditions as of the effective date of this report. This listing is found in the pages that follow.

Our supply analysis includes a breakdown of confirmed market area properties by rent type, project status, year built, and financing source. We also include a rent, unit mix, and amenity summary for confirmed market area properties. Finally, we provide summary of vouchers, concessions, and waiting lists for the properties included in this report. This information is also found in the pages that follow.

Rental Property Inventory

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 100 Midtown Apartments | 33.7815 | -84.3905 | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 330 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 002 | 1016 Lofts | 33.7827 | -84.4116 | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 265 | 5 | 98.1\% |
| 003 | 17 Street Lofts | 33.7918 | -84.3957 | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 118 | 2 | 98.3\% |
| 004 | 450 Piedmont Apartments | 33.7668 | -84.3820 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 254 | 13 | 94.9\% |
| 005 | 131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 33.7718 | -84.3829 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 280 | 280 | 0.0\% |
| 006 | Affordable Assisted Living At Scholars Lar | 33.7507 | -84.4104 | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 007 | Alexan 360 Apartments | 33.7594 | -84.3743 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 598 | 36 | 94.0\% |
| 008 | Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 33.7723 | -84.3849 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 330 | 16 | 95.2\% |
| 009 | Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 33.7711 | -84.3653 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 010 | AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 33.7685 | -84.3675 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 373 | 19 | 94.9\% |
| 011 | Amli Parkside Apartments | 33.7646 | -84.3656 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 301 | 12 | 96.0\% |
| 012 | Arts Center Tower Apartments | 33.7963 | -84.3877 | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 119 | 1 | 99.2\% |
| 013 | Ashby Park Apartments | 33.7622 | -84.4171 | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 66 | 5 | 92.4\% |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 33.7499 | -84.3787 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 154 | 3 | 98.1\% |
| 015 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 33.7499 | -84.3787 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 150 | 150 | 0.0\% |
| 016 | Atlantic Station Mixed Income | 33.7888 | -84.4010 | 2005 | na | Restricted | Family | Non-Inventory | Tax Credit | 130 | 130 | 0.0\% |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 33.7535 | -84.3719 | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 270 | 8 | 97.0\% |
| 018 | Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 33.7732 | -84.4088 | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 136 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 019 | Azalea Gardens Apartments | 33.7639 | -84.4235 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 020 | Baltimore Row Apartments | 33.7682 | -84.3875 | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 15 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 021 | Bass Lofts Apartments | 33.7635 | -84.3516 | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 133 | 11 | 91.7\% |
| 022 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 1 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 134 | 15 | 88.8\% |
| 023 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 2 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 151 | 15 | 90.1\% |
| 024 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 3 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 84 | 15 | 82.1\% |
| 025 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 4 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 157 | 15 | 90.4\% |
| 026 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 5 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 146 | 15 | 89.7\% |
| 027 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 6 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 86 | 13 | 84.9\% |
| 028 | Bottle Works Apartments | 33.7528 | -84.3998 | na | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 029 | Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 33.7725 | -84.3790 | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 296 | 22 | 92.6\% |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 33.7456 | -84.3849 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 269 | 18 | 93.3\% |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 33.7456 | -84.3849 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 152 | 10 | 93.4\% |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 33.7686 | -84.3925 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 181 | 11 | 93.9\% |
| 033 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 33.7686 | -84.3925 | 1996 | 2013 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab | Tax Credit | 181 | 11 | 93.9\% |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 33.7676 | -84.3941 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 177 | 10 | 94.4\% |
| 035 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 33.7676 | -84.3941 | 1996 | 2013 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab | Tax Credit | 177 | 10 | 94.4\% |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 33.7690 | -84.3921 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 185 | 8 | 95.7\% |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 33.7690 | -84.3921 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 195 | 10 | 94.9\% |
| 038 | Chappell Forest Apartments | 33.7670 | -84.4326 | 1965 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 216 | 54 | 75.0\% |
| 039 | City Plaza Apartments | 33.7490 | -84.3922 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 164 | 14 | 91.5\% |
| 040 | City View at Rosa Burney Park | 33.7407 | -84.3959 | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 180 | 4 | 97.8\% |
| 041 | Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 33.7701 | -84.3783 | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 192 | 9 | 95.3\% |
| 042 | Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 33.7613 | -84.3731 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 202 | 10 | 95.0\% |
| 043 | Clermont Hotel Apartments | 33.7739 | -84.3489 | 1920 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized | Conventional | 22 | 6 | 72.7\% |
| 044 | Columbia at Mechanicsville | 33.7415 | -84.4029 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 33.7415 | -84.4029 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 172 | 10 | 94.2\% |
| 046 | Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 33.7400 | -84.4023 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 154 | 3 | 98.1\% |
| 047 | Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 33.7409 | -84.4046 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 163 | 7 | 95.7\% |
| 048 | Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 33.7398 | -84.4070 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 164 | 5 | 97.0\% |
| 049 | Columbia Mechanicsville, Phase 6 | 33.7401 | -84.4024 | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 33.7401 | -84.4024 | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 156 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 051 | Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 33.7446 | -84.3838 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 121 | 1 | 99.2\% |
| 052 | Columbia Tower Apartments | 33.7446 | -84.3838 | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 95 | 0 | 100.0\% |

Rental Property Inventory

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 053 | Community Friendship Apartments | 33.7723 | -84.3849 | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Family | Special Needs | HUD | 11 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 054 | Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 33.7712 | -84.3765 | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Other | 282 | 1 | 99.6\% |
| 055 | Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 33.7557 | -84.4053 | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 182 | 17 | 90.7\% |
| 056 | Cupola Building Apartments | 33.7682 | -84.3925 | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab | Conventional | 14 | 14 | 0.0\% |
| 057 | Edgewood Center Apartments | 33.7544 | -84.3815 | na | 1994 | Subsidized | Family | Special Needs | Tax Credit | 46 | 2 | 95.7\% |
| 058 | Envoy on Northside | 33.7648 | -84.4039 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 261 | 45 | 82.8\% |
| 059 | Fairlie Poplar Project | 33.7569 | -84.3902 | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 12 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 060 | Fairway Court Apartments | 33.7635 | -84.4276 | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 64 | 4 | 93.8\% |
| 061 | Flats (The) Apartments | 33.7885 | -84.4036 | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Student Housing | Conventional | 280 | 1 | 99.6\% |
| 062 | Ford Factory Lofts | 33.7734 | -84.3644 | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 123 | 5 | 95.9\% |
| 063 | Friendship Towers | 33.7430 | -84.4083 | 1978 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Unconfirmed | HUD | 102 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 064 | Friendship Towers | 33.7430 | -84.4083 | 1978 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Unconfirmed | HUD | 102 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 065 | Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 33.7496 | -84.3701 | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 207 | 6 | 97.1\% |
| 066 | Gables 820 West Apartments | 33.7806 | -84.4156 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 248 | 20 | 91.9\% |
| 067 | Gateway at Northside Village | 33.7648 | -84.4039 | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 33.7382 | -84.4040 | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 201 | 10 | 95.0\% |
| 069 | Glenn Auburn Apartments | 33.7535 | -84.3719 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Bond | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 070 | Grady Senior Apartments | 33.7519 | -84.3766 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Elderly | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 33.7990 | -84.4045 | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 351 | 7 | 98.0\% |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 33.7576 | -84.3704 | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 58 | 23 | 60.3\% |
| 073 | Heritage Pointe Apartments | 33.7648 | -84.4308 | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 82 | 13 | 84.1\% |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 33.7632 | -84.4236 | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 43 | 12 | 72.1\% |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 33.7745 | -84.3568 | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 45 | 1 | 97.8\% |
| 076 | Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 33.7978 | -84.4055 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 219 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 077 | Highland View Apartments | 33.7759 | -84.3637 | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 109 | 1 | 99.1\% |
| 078 | Highland Walk Apartments | 33.7613 | -84.3648 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 350 | 4 | 98.9\% |
| 079 | Hillside Villas | 33.7662 | -84.3655 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 080 | Historic District Development Corp | 33.7576 | -84.3704 | 1955 | 1999 | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 081 | Hotel Roxy Lofts | 33.7561 | -84.3917 | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 17 | 3 | 82.4\% |
| 082 | ICON City Apartment Homes | 33.7914 | -84.4005 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 242 | 2 | 99.2\% |
| 083 | Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 33.7641 | -84.3861 | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab | Tax Credit | 120 | 20 | 83.3\% |
| 084 | Intown Lofts and Apartments | 33.7502 | -84.4034 | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 143 | 14 | 90.2\% |
| 085 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 33.7732 | -84.4088 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 56 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 086 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 33.7732 | -84.4088 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 56 | 6 | 89.3\% |
| 087 | Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 33.7818 | -84.3823 | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Other | 150 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 088 | Lake Apartments | 33.7739 | -84.3489 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 089 | Lutheran Towers Apartments | 33.7748 | -84.3831 | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 202 | 18 | 91.1\% |
| 090 | M Street Apartments | 33.7778 | -84.4088 | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 280 | 9 | 96.8\% |
| 091 | Madison House Apartments | 33.7570 | -84.3912 | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation | Other | 140 | 65 | 53.6\% |
| 092 | Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 33.7640 | -84.3748 | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 150 | 10 | 93.3\% |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 33.7579 | -84.4146 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 220 | 58 | 73.6\% |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 33.7565 | -84.4149 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 180 | 54 | 70.0\% |
| 095 | Mariposa Loft Apartments | 33.7603 | -84.3604 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 253 | 2 | 99.2\% |
| 096 | Marquis Vista Apartments | 33.7649 | -84.3826 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 225 | 5 | 97.8\% |
| 097 | Martin Luther King Jr Village | 33.7446 | -84.3838 | na | na | Market Rate | Elderly | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 098 | Mattress Factory Lofts | 33.7474 | -84.3777 | 1864 | 1999 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 218 | 26 | 88.1\% |
| 099 | Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 33.7414 | -84.4035 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 164 | 12 | 92.7\% |
| 100 | MLK Tower Apartments | 33.7446 | -84.3838 | 1969 | 2007 | Market Rate | Elderly | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 101 | Mt. Calvary Apartments | 33.7407 | -84.3959 | 1987 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Bond | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 102 | Muses Lofts | 33.7532 | -84.3912 | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 65 | 6 | 90.8\% |
| 103 | North Highland Steel Apartments | 33.7624 | -84.3596 | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 239 | 6 | 97.5\% |
| 104 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 33.7528 | -84.4005 | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 127 | 49 | 61.4\% |

Rental Property Inventory

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 33.7528 | -84.4005 | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 127 | 3 | 97.6\% |
| 106 | Odyssey Villas Apartments | 33.7601 | -84.4073 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Special Needs | HUD | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 107 | O'Hern House Apartments | 33.7467 | -84.3799 | 1995 | 2010 | Subsidized | Family | Special Needs | Tax Credit | 76 | 20 | 73.7\% |
| 108 | Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 33.7719 | -84.4341 | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized | Conventional | 480 | 149 | 69.0\% |
| 109 | Palmer House Apartments | 33.7661 | -84.3919 | 1968 | na | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | Other | 250 | 1 | 99.6\% |
| 110 | Park District Apartments Homes | 33.7912 | -84.4020 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Bond | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 111 | Park District at Atlantic Station | 33.7912 | -84.4020 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 231 | 2 | 99.1\% |
| 112 | Peachtree and Pine Studio Apartments | 33.7675 | -84.3854 | 1920 | 2008 | Subsidized | Family | Non-Inventory | Tax Credit | 90 | 90 | 0.0\% |
| 113 | Pencil Factory Flats | 33.7500 | -84.3774 | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 188 | 18 | 90.4\% |
| 114 | People's Place Apartments | 33.7467 | -84.3799 | 1995 | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 115 | Piedmont Courtyard Apartments | 33.7780 | -84.3812 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 27 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 116 | Post Biltmore Apartments | 33.7779 | -84.3873 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 117 | Post Parkside Apartments | 33.7818 | -84.3794 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 188 | 8 | 95.7\% |
| 118 | Post Renaissance Apartments | 33.7679 | -84.3778 | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 336 | 17 | 94.9\% |
| 119 | Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 33.7374 | -84.3974 | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 53 | 5 | 90.6\% |
| 120 | Saint Charles Apartments | 33.7754 | -84.3567 | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 20 | 1 | 95.0\% |
| 121 | Samuel W Williams Apartments | 33.7533 | -84.4044 | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up | HUD | 208 | 57 | 72.6\% |
| 122 | Sandcastles Apartments | 33.7754 | -84.3623 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 123 | Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 33.7737 | -84.3851 | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 533 | 31 | 94.2\% |
| 124 | Spanish Oaks Apartments | 33.7699 | -84.3689 | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 21 | 3 | 85.7\% |
| 125 | Telephone Factory Apartments | 33.7677 | -84.3600 | 1930 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 65 | 3 | 95.4\% |
| 126 | Toby Sexton Redevelopment | 33.7382 | -84.4040 | na | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 127 | Townview Station | 33.7970 | -84.3959 | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 267 | 5 | 98.1\% |
| 128 | Twelfth Street West Apartments | 33.7845 | -84.3856 | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 129 | 13 | 89.9\% |
| 129 | Valena Henderson Village | 33.7544 | -84.3785 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 130 | Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 33.7518 | -84.3773 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 124 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 131 | Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 33.7529 | -84.3773 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 98 | 5 | 94.9\% |
| 132 | Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 33.7533 | -84.3775 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 102 | 3 | 97.1\% |
| 133 | Veranda at Centennial | 33.7704 | -84.3935 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 90 | 90 | 0.0\% |
| 134 | Veranda at University Homes | 33.7509 | -84.4111 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 100 | 100 | 0.0\% |
| 135 | Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 33.7466 | -84.4085 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 450 | 10 | 97.8\% |
| 136 | Vineyard Apartments | 33.7601 | -84.4074 | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 44 | 22 | 50.0\% |
| 137 | Virginia Highlands Apartments | 33.7813 | -84.3674 | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 270 | 18 | 93.3\% |
| 138 | Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 33.7692 | -84.3820 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 153 | 7 | 95.4\% |
| 139 | Welcome House Apartments | 33.7469 | -84.3954 | 1992 | 2008 | Restricted | Family | Special Needs | Tax Credit | 209 | 8 | 96.2\% |
| 140 | WestMar Student Lofts | 33.7803 | -84.4151 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Student Housing | Conventional | 368 | 3 | 99.2\% |
| 141 | WestMar Student Lofts | 33.7803 | -84.4151 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Student Housing | Conventional | 368 | 3 | 99.2\% |
| 142 | Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 33.7577 | -84.3774 | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 210 | 30 | 85.7\% |
| 143 | William Booth Towers Apartments | 33.7737 | -84.3485 | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 99 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 144 | Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 33.7865 | -84.3802 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 188 | 3 | 98.4\% |
| 145 | Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | 35.7511 | -84.4113 | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Lease Up | Tax Credit | 100 | 8 | 92.0\% |
| 146 | Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 33.7779 | -84.3873 | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 276 | 10 | 96.4\% |
| 147 | Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 33.7530 | -84.3660 | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 204 | 1 | 99.5\% |
| 148 | Atlantic Square Apartments | 33.7888 | -84.4019 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 172 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 149 | BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Ap | 33.7675 | -84.3659 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 276 | 276 | 0.0\% |
| 150 | Elan Westside Apartments \| | 33.7861 | -84.4109 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 197 | 197 | 0.0\% |

Rental Property Inventory



Rental Property Inventory, Unconfirmed

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 019 | Azalea Gardens Apartments | 33.7639 | -84.4235 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 022 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 1 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 134 | 15 | 88.8\% |
| 023 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 2 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 151 | 15 | 90.1\% |
| 024 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 3 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 84 | 15 | 82.1\% |
| 025 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 4 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 157 | 15 | 90.4\% |
| 026 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 5 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 146 | 15 | 89.7\% |
| 027 | Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 6 | 33.7678 | -84.3719 | 1930 | 1975 | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | HUD | 86 | 13 | 84.9\% |
| 028 | Bottle Works Apartments | 33.7528 | -84.3998 | na | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 038 | Chappell Forest Apartments | 33.7670 | -84.4326 | 1965 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 216 | 54 | 75.0\% |
| 063 | Friendship Towers | 33.7430 | -84.4083 | 1978 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Unconfirmed | HUD | 102 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 064 | Friendship Towers | 33.7430 | -84.4083 | 1978 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Unconfirmed | HUD | 102 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 073 | Heritage Pointe Apartments | 33.7648 | -84.4308 | 1963 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 82 | 13 | 84.1\% |
| 079 | Hillside Villas | 33.7662 | -84.3655 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 088 | Lake Apartments | 33.7739 | -84.3489 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 098 | Mattress Factory Lofts | 33.7474 | -84.3777 | 1864 | 1999 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 218 | 26 | 88.1\% |
| 109 | Palmer House Apartments | 33.7661 | -84.3919 | 1968 | na | Subsidized | Family | Unconfirmed | Other | 250 | 1 | 99.6\% |
| 115 | Piedmont Courtyard Apartments | 33.7780 | -84.3812 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 27 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 122 | Sandcastles Apartments | 33.7754 | -84.3623 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional |  | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 125 | Telephone Factory Apartments | 33.7677 | -84.3600 | 1930 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Unconfirmed | Tax Credit | 65 | 3 | 95.4\% |
| 136 | Vineyard Apartments | 33.7601 | -84.4074 | 1950 | 1991 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 44 | 22 | 50.0\% |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Outside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 043 | Clermont Hotel Apartments | 33.7739 | -84.3489 | 1920 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized | Conventional | 22 | 6 | 72.7\% |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 100 Midtown Apartments | 33.7815 | -84.3905 | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 330 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 002 | 1016 Lofts | 33.7827 | -84.4116 | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 265 | 5 | 98.1\% |
| 003 | 17 Street Lofts | 33.7918 | -84.3957 | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 118 | 2 | 98.3\% |
| 004 | 450 Piedmont Apartments | 33.7668 | -84.3820 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 254 | 13 | 94.9\% |
| 005 | 131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 33.7718 | -84.3829 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 280 | 280 | 0.0\% |
| 007 | Alexan 360 Apartments | 33.7594 | -84.3743 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 598 | 36 | 94.0\% |
| 008 | Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 33.7723 | -84.3849 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 330 | 16 | 95.2\% |
| 009 | Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 33.7711 | -84.3653 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 010 | AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 33.7685 | -84.3675 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 373 | 19 | 94.9\% |
| 011 | Amli Parkside Apartments | 33.7646 | -84.3656 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 301 | 12 | 96.0\% |
| 012 | Arts Center Tower Apartments | 33.7963 | -84.3877 | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 119 | 1 | 99.2\% |
| 013 | Ashby Park Apartments | 33.7622 | -84.4171 | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 66 | 5 | 92.4\% |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 33.7499 | -84.3787 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 154 | 3 | 98.1\% |
| 015 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 33.7499 | -84.3787 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 150 | 150 | 0.0\% |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 33.7535 | -84.3719 | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 270 | 8 | 97.0\% |
| 018 | Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 33.7732 | -84.4088 | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 136 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 020 | Baltimore Row Apartments | 33.7682 | -84.3875 | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 15 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 021 | Bass Lofts Apartments | 33.7635 | -84.3516 | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 133 | 11 | 91.7\% |
| 029 | Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 33.7725 | -84.3790 | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 296 | 22 | 92.6\% |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 33.7456 | -84.3849 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 269 | 18 | 93.3\% |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 33.7456 | -84.3849 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 152 | 10 | 93.4\% |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 33.7686 | -84.3925 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 181 | 11 | 93.9\% |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 33.7676 | -84.3941 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 177 | 10 | 94.4\% |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 33.7690 | -84.3921 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 185 | 8 | 95.7\% |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 33.7690 | -84.3921 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 195 | 10 | 94.9\% |
| 039 | City Plaza Apartments | 33.7490 | -84.3922 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 164 | 14 | 91.5\% |
| 040 | City View at Rosa Burney Park | 33.7407 | -84.3959 | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 180 | 4 | 97.8\% |
| 041 | Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 33.7701 | -84.3783 | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 192 | 9 | 95.3\% |
| 042 | Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 33.7613 | -84.3731 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 202 | 10 | 95.0\% |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 33.7415 | -84.4029 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 172 | 10 | 94.2\% |
| 046 | Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 33.7400 | -84.4023 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 154 | 3 | 98.1\% |
| 047 | Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 33.7409 | -84.4046 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 163 | 7 | 95.7\% |
| 048 | Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 33.7398 | -84.4070 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 164 | 5 | 97.0\% |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 33.7401 | -84.4024 | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 156 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 051 | Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 33.7446 | -84.3838 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 121 | 1 | 99.2\% |
| 052 | Columbia Tower Apartments | 33.7446 | -84.3838 | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 95 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 054 | Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 33.7712 | -84.3765 | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Other | 282 | 1 | 99.6\% |
| 055 | Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 33.7557 | -84.4053 | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 182 | 17 | 90.7\% |
| 056 | Cupola Building Apartments | 33.7682 | -84.3925 | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab | Conventional | 14 | 14 | 0.0\% |
| 058 | Envoy on Northside | 33.7648 | -84.4039 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 261 | 45 | 82.8\% |
| 059 | Fairlie Poplar Project | 33.7569 | -84.3902 | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 12 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 060 | Fairway Court Apartments | 33.7635 | -84.4276 | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 64 | 4 | 93.8\% |
| 062 | Ford Factory Lofts | 33.7734 | -84.3644 | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 123 | 5 | 95.9\% |
| 065 | Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 33.7496 | -84.3701 | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 207 | 6 | 97.1\% |
| 066 | Gables 820 West Apartments | 33.7806 | -84.4156 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 248 | 20 | 91.9\% |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 33.7382 | -84.4040 | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 201 | 10 | 95.0\% |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 33.7990 | -84.4045 | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 351 | 7 | 98.0\% |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 33.7576 | -84.3704 | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 58 | 23 | 60.3\% |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 33.7632 | -84.4236 | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 43 | 12 | 72.1\% |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 33.7745 | -84.3568 | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 45 | 1 | 97.8\% |
| 076 | Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 33.7978 | -84.4055 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 219 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 077 | Highland View Apartments | 33.7759 | -84.3637 | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 109 | 1 | 99.1\% |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 078 | Highland Walk Apartments | 33.7613 | -84.3648 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 350 | 4 | 98.9\% |
| 081 | Hotel Roxy Lofts | 33.7561 | -84.3917 | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 17 | 3 | 82.4\% |
| 082 | ICON City Apartment Homes | 33.7914 | -84.4005 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 242 | 2 | 99.2\% |
| 083 | Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 33.7641 | -84.3861 | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab | Tax Credit | 120 | 20 | 83.3\% |
| 084 | Intown Lofts and Apartments | 33.7502 | -84.4034 | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 143 | 14 | 90.2\% |
| 085 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 33.7732 | -84.4088 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 56 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 086 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 33.7732 | -84.4088 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 56 | 6 | 89.3\% |
| 087 | Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 33.7818 | -84.3823 | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Other | 150 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 089 | Lutheran Towers Apartments | 33.7748 | -84.3831 | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 202 | 18 | 91.1\% |
| 090 | M Street Apartments | 33.7778 | -84.4088 | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 280 | 9 | 96.8\% |
| 091 | Madison House Apartments | 33.7570 | -84.3912 | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation | Other | 140 | 65 | 53.6\% |
| 092 | Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 33.7640 | -84.3748 | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 150 | 10 | 93.3\% |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 33.7579 | -84.4146 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 220 | 58 | 73.6\% |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 33.7565 | -84.4149 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 180 | 54 | 70.0\% |
| 095 | Mariposa Loft Apartments | 33.7603 | -84.3604 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 253 | 2 | 99.2\% |
| 096 | Marquis Vista Apartments | 33.7649 | -84.3826 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 225 | 5 | 97.8\% |
| 099 | Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 33.7414 | -84.4035 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 164 | 12 | 92.7\% |
| 102 | Muses Lofts | 33.7532 | -84.3912 | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 65 | 6 | 90.8\% |
| 103 | North Highland Steel Apartments | 33.7624 | -84.3596 | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 239 | 6 | 97.5\% |
| 104 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 33.7528 | -84.4005 | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 127 | 49 | 61.4\% |
| 105 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 33.7528 | -84.4005 | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 127 | 3 | 97.6\% |
| 108 | Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 33.7719 | -84.4341 | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized | Conventional | 480 | 149 | 69.0\% |
| 111 | Park District at Atlantic Station | 33.7912 | -84.4020 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 231 | 2 | 99.1\% |
| 113 | Pencil Factory Flats | 33.7500 | -84.3774 | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 188 | 18 | 90.4\% |
| 117 | Post Parkside Apartments | 33.7818 | -84.3794 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 188 | 8 | 95.7\% |
| 118 | Post Renaissance Apartments | 33.7679 | -84.3778 | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 336 | 17 | 94.9\% |
| 119 | Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 33.7374 | -84.3974 | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 53 | 5 | 90.6\% |
| 120 | Saint Charles Apartments | 33.7754 | -84.3567 | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 20 | 1 | 95.0\% |
| 121 | Samuel W Williams Apartments | 33.7533 | -84.4044 | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up | HUD | 208 | 57 | 72.6\% |
| 123 | Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 33.7737 | -84.3851 | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 533 | 31 | 94.2\% |
| 124 | Spanish Oaks Apartments | 33.7699 | -84.3689 | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 21 | 3 | 85.7\% |
| 127 | Townview Station | 33.7970 | -84.3959 | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 267 | 5 | 98.1\% |
| 128 | Twelfth Street West Apartments | 33.7845 | -84.3856 | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 129 | 13 | 89.9\% |
| 130 | Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 33.7518 | -84.3773 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 124 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 131 | Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 33.7529 | -84.3773 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 98 | 5 | 94.9\% |
| 132 | Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 33.7533 | -84.3775 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 102 | 3 | 97.1\% |
| 133 | Veranda at Centennial | 33.7704 | -84.3935 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 90 | 90 | 0.0\% |
| 134 | Veranda at University Homes | 33.7509 | -84.4111 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 100 | 100 | 0.0\% |
| 135 | Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 33.7466 | -84.4085 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 450 | 10 | 97.8\% |
| 137 | Virginia Highlands Apartments | 33.7813 | -84.3674 | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 270 | 18 | 93.3\% |
| 138 | Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 33.7692 | -84.3820 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 153 | 7 | 95.4\% |
| 142 | Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 33.7577 | -84.3774 | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 210 | 30 | 85.7\% |
| 143 | William Booth Towers Apartments | 33.7737 | -84.3485 | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 99 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 144 | Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 33.7865 | -84.3802 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 188 | 3 | 98.4\% |
| 145 | Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | 35.7511 | -84.4113 | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Lease Up | Tax Credit | 100 | 8 | 92.0\% |
| 146 | Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 33.7779 | -84.3873 | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 276 | 10 | 96.4\% |
| 147 | Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 33.7530 | -84.3660 | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 204 | 1 | 99.5\% |
| 148 | Atlantic Square Apartments | 33.7888 | -84.4019 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 172 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 149 | BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Ap | 33.7675 | -84.3659 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 276 | 276 | 0.0\% |
| 150 | Elan Westside Apartments \| | 33.7861 | -84.4109 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 197 | 197 | 0.0\% |

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Rent Type

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by rent type:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Total Properties |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| Market Rate |  | 55 | 55 |
| Restricted | 4 | 28 | 32 |
| Subsidized | 14 | 1 | 15 |
| Total | 18 | 84 | 102 |

Total Units

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Rate | 53 | 13,300 | 13,353 |
| Restricted | 90 | 1,214 | 1,304 |
| Subsidized | 2,182 | 1,949 | 4,131 |
| Total | 2,325 | 16,463 | 18,788 |

Vacant Units

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Rate | 1 | 1,660 | 1,661 |
| Restricted | 2 | 151 | 153 |
| Subsidized | 272 | 206 | 478 |
| Total | 275 | 2,017 | 2,292 |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| Market Rate | $98 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Restricted | $98 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Subsidized | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Total | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our analysis includes a total of 102 confirmed market area properties consisting of 18,788 units. The occupancy rate for these units currently stands at 88 percent. This rate reflects the occupancy for all confirmed market area units, regardless of project status (stabilized, under construction, proposed, etc.).

Confirmed market area properties break down by rent type and tenure as shown in the tables above.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Project Status

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by project status:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 11 | 4 |  | 15 |
| Lease Up | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| Total | 14 | 4 |  | 18 |


| Total Properties |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  | 26 | 48 | 74 |
| Lease Up |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Construction |  |  | 4 | 4 |
| Rehabilitation | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Prop Const |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Prop Rehab |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Unstabilized |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Subtotal | 1 | 2 | 7 | 10 |
| Total | 1 | 28 | 55 | 84 |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 1,892 | 90 | 53 | 2,035 |
| Lease Up | 100 |  |  | 100 |
| Construction <br> Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized | 190 |  |  | 190 |
| Subtotal | 290 |  |  | 290 |
| Total | 2,182 | 90 | 53 | 2,325 |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 1,685 | 1,128 | 11,785 | 14,598 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  | 208 | 208 |
| Construction |  |  | 753 | 753 |
| Rehabilitation | 140 |  |  | 140 |
| Prop Const | 51 | 39 | 60 | 150 |
| Prop Rehab | 73 | 47 | 14 | 134 |
| Unstabilized |  |  | 480 | 480 |
| Subtotal | 264 | 86 | 1,515 | 1,865 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,949 | 1,214 | 13,300 | 16,463 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 74 | 2 | 1 | 77 |
| Lease Up | 8 |  |  | 8 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const | 190 |  |  | 190 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 86 | 96 | 627 | 809 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  | 57 | 57 |
| Construction |  |  | 753 | 753 |
| Rehabilitation | 65 |  |  | 65 |
| Prop Const | 51 | 39 | 60 | 150 |
| Prop Rehab | 4 | 16 | 14 | 34 |
| Unstabilized |  |  | 149 | 149 |
| Subtotal | 120 | 55 | 1,033 | 1,208 |
| Total | 206 | 151 | 1,660 | 2,017 |

Source: Allen \& Associates
Our survey includes a total of 89 stabilized market area properties consisting of 16,633 units standing at 95 percent occupancy.

Our survey also includes a total of 13 market area properties consisting of 2,155 units that are not yet stabilized. Unstabilized units (also referred to as pipeline units) include vacant units in lease up, construction, rehabilitation, proposed new construction, and units with proposed renovation plans.

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Elderly |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 96\% | 98\% | 98\% | 96\% | Stabilized | 95\% | 91\% | 95\% | 94\% |
| Lease Up | 92\% |  |  | 92\% | Lease Up |  |  | 73\% | 73\% |
| Construction |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation | 54\% |  |  | 54\% |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab | 95\% | 66\% |  | 75\% |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  | 69\% | 69\% |
| Subtotal | 32\% |  |  | 32\% | Subtotal | 55\% | 36\% | 32\% | 35\% |
| Total | 88\% | 98\% | 98\% | 88\% | Total | 89\% | 88\% | 88\% | 88\% |

Source: Allen \& Associates
Occupancies of stabilized market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family) and rent type (subsidized, restricted or market rate) are found below:


Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 2,035 stabilized elderly units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 96 percent (1892 units in survey)
- Restricted, 98 percent (90 units in survey)
- Market Rate, 98 percent (53 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 14,598 stabilized family units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 95 percent (1685 units in survey)
- Restricted, 91 percent (1128 units in survey)
- Market Rate, 95 percent (11785 units in survey)

Occupancy rates for stabilized market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family) and unit type are found below (supporting data is found in the pages that follow):


Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 2,035 stabilized elderly units in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, 98 percent (336 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 96 percent ( 1577 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 96 percent (122 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 14,598 stabilized family units in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, 94 percent (1475 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 95 percent ( 5785 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 95 percent ( 6535 units in survey)
- 3 -Bedroom, 91 percent ( 767 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, 100 percent (36 units in survey)

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 0-Bedroom Units

| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 5 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 7 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 7 |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 26 | 29 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| Total | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 27 | 32 |

Total Units

| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 12 |  |  |  |  | 57 |  | 1,406 | 1,475 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 140 | 140 |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab | 73 |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  | 97 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 73 |  |  |  | 24 |  |  | 140 | 237 |
| Total | 85 |  |  |  | 24 | 57 |  | 1,546 | 1,712 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  | 64 | 85 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction <br> Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 140 | 140 |
| Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 140 | 152 |
| Total | 4 |  |  |  | 8 | 21 |  | 204 | 237 |

Occupancy Rate


Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 1-Bedroom Units

| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 15 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 23 |
| Lease Up | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Total | 18 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 26 |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 19 |  |  |  | 6 | 15 |  | 71 | 111 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Rehabilitation | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Prop Const | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Subtotal | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 6 | 10 |
| Total | 21 |  |  |  | 7 | 16 |  |  | 77 |

Total Units

| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 1,448 |  | 5 |  | 5 | 69 |  | 50 | 1,577 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 511 |  |  |  | 121 | 337 |  | 4,816 | 5,785 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 34 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 334 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 334 | 80 |
| Rehabilitation | 80 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 24 | 54 |
| Prop Const | 22 |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  | 23 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 144 | 144 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  | 23 | 8 |  | 536 | 669 |
| Subtotal | 102 |  |  |  | 144 | 345 |  | 5,352 | 6,454 |
| Total | 613 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 61 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 64 |
| Lease Up <br> Construction | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const | 172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172 |
| Subtotal | 179 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 179 |
| Total | 240 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 243 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 29 |  |  |  | 17 | 17 |  | 234 | 297 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Rehabilitation | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 334 | 334 |
| Prop Const | 22 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 32 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 54 |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 | 8 |
| Subtotal | 54 |  |  |  | 8 | 8 |  | 404 | 474 |
| Total | 83 |  |  |  | 25 | 25 |  |  |  |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | $96 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $97 \%$ |  | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92\%


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 94\% |  |  |  | 86\% | 95\% |  | 95\% | 95\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97\% | 97\% |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| Rehabilitation | 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60\% |
| Prop Const | 0\% |  |  |  |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | 65\% |  |  |  | 65\% |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69\% | 69\% |
| Subtotal | 47\% |  |  |  | 65\% | 0\% |  | 25\% | 29\% |
| Total | 86\% |  |  |  | 83\% | 93\% |  | 88\% | 88\% |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 2-Bedroom Units

| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 7 |
| Lease Up | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 10 |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 19 |  |  |  | 4 | 17 |  | 67 | 107 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Rehabilitation | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Prop Const | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Subtotal | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 6 | 9 |
| Total | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total Units

| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 111 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 3 | 122 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Lease Up | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90\%


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 832 |  |  |  | 48 | 465 |  | 5,190 | 6,535 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141 | 141 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 279 | 279 |
| Rehabilitation | 60 |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |  | 32 |
| Prop Const | 23 |  |  |  |  | 27 |  | 82 |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 288 | 288 |
| Subtotal | 83 |  |  |  |  | 27 |  | 748 | 858 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 492 |  | 5,938 | 7,393 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 39 |  |  |  | 2 | 29 |  | 287 | 357 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 51 |
| Rehabilitation | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 279 | 279 |
| Prop Const | 23 |  |  |  |  | 27 |  | 32 | 33 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 82 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 | 89 |
| Subtotal | 56 |  |  |  |  | 27 |  | 459 | 542 |
| Total | 95 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |  |
| Stabilized | $95 \%$ |  |  |  | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ |  | $94 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $64 \%$ | $64 \%$ |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Rehabilitation | $45 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0 \%$ |  |  | $45 \%$ |  |
| Prop Const | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0 \%$ |  | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $69 \%$ | $69 \%$ |  |
| Subtotal | $33 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0 \%$ |  | $39 \%$ | $37 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $96 \%$ | $89 \%$ |  |  | $87 \%$ |  |
| Total | $90 \%$ |  |  |  | $98 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 3-Bedroom Units

| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 18 |  |  |  | 2 | 13 |  | 28 | 61 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Subtotal | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 6 |
| Total | 19 |  |  |  | 2 | 14 |  |  |  |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction <br> Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 306 |  |  |  | 6 | 93 |  | 362 | 767 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 33 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 6 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 14 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 48 |
| Subtotal | 6 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 91 | 101 |
| Total | 312 |  |  |  | 6 | 97 |  | 453 | 868 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| ant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 18 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 42 | 70 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | 6 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 14 |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 15 |
| Subtotal | 6 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 30 | 40 |
| Total | 24 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  | 72 | 110 |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | $94 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $89 \%$ |  | $88 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0 \%$ |  | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $69 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0 \%$ |  | $67 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Total | $92 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $86 \%$ |  | $84 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Associates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 4-Bedroom Units

| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 8 |
| Lease Up Construction Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 8 |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 24 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 11 | 36 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 24 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 11 | 36 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction <br> Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& Associates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Year Built

The following tables and graph provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by year built:

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties |  |  |  |
| $<1960$ | Elderly | Family | Total |
| $1960-1969$ |  | 19 | 19 |
| $1970-1979$ | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| $1980-1989$ | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| $1990-1999$ | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| $2000+$ | 1 | 13 | 14 |
| Unknown | 11 | 36 | 47 |
| Total |  | 18 | 84 |

Total Units

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<1960$ |  | 2,718 | 2,718 |
| $1960-1969$ | 95 | 1,595 | 1,690 |
| $1970-1979$ | 694 | 119 | 813 |
| $1980-1989$ | 249 | 1,143 | 1,392 |
| $1990-1999$ | 150 | 2,499 | 2,649 |
| $2000+$ | 1,137 | 8,281 | 9,418 |
| Unknown |  | 118 | 118 |
| Total | 2,325 | 16,473 | 18,798 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Distribution of Confirmed Market Area Units by Year Built


Our research suggests that of the 102 confirmed market area properties (18798 units) included in this report, 19 properties ( 2718 units) were constructed before 1960, 8 properties ( 1690 units) were constructed between 1960 and 1969, 5 properties ( 813 units) between 1970 and 1979, 8 properties ( 1392 units) between 1980 and 1989, 14 properties ( 2649 units) between 1990 and 1999, and 47 properties ( 9418 units) after 2000. In addition, 1 property (118 units) had an unknown date of construction.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Financing Source

The following tables and graph provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by financing source:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Total Properties |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| Conventional |  | 51 | 51 |
| Tax Credit | 10 | 27 | 37 |
| Bond |  | 4 | 4 |
| USDA-RD | 6 |  |  |
| HUD | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| Other | 18 | 84 | 3 |
| Total |  | 102 |  |

Total Units

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conventional |  | 10,520 | 10,520 |
| Tax Credit | 1,120 | 4,644 | 5,764 |
| Bond |  | 961 | 961 |
| USDA-RD | 773 |  |  |
| HUD | 432 | 140 | 981 |
| Other | 2,325 | 16,473 | 18,798 |
| Total |  |  |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests that of the 102 confirmed properties in the market area, 51 properties (consisting of 10520 units) are conventionally financed, 37 properties (consisting of 5764 units) include tax credit financing, 4 properties (consisting of 961 units) are bond financed, 0 properties (consisting of 0 units) are exclusively USDARD financed, and 7 properties (consisting of 981 units) are exclusively HUD financed.

The average project size for this market area is 184 units. The smallest projects are exclusively HUD financed, averaging 140 units in size. The largest projects are bond financed, averaging 240 units in size.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Rent Summary

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the rents charged at confirmed market area properties broken out by unit type:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Sents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Restricted |  |  | Market |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized |  |  | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min | Max | Avg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-Bedroom | $\$ 400$ | $\$ 859$ | $\$ 628$ | $\$ 294$ | $\$ 650$ | $\$ 452$ | $\$ 614$ | $\$ 1,449$ | $\$ 888$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom | $\$ 450$ | $\$ 947$ | $\$ 718$ | $\$ 294$ | $\$ 808$ | $\$ 613$ | $\$ 390$ | $\$ 2,068$ | $\$ 915$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Bedroom | $\$ 737$ | $\$ 1,100$ | $\$ 860$ | $\$ 439$ | $\$ 970$ | $\$ 744$ | $\$ 450$ | $\$ 2,535$ | $\$ 1,190$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-Bedroom | $\$ 817$ | $\$ 1,120$ | $\$ 959$ | $\$ 781$ | $\$ 1,120$ | $\$ 879$ | $\$ 550$ | $\$ 3,035$ | $\$ 1,275$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Bedroom | $\$ 953$ | $\$ 1,023$ | $\$ 988$ | $\$ 953$ | $\$ 953$ | $\$ 953$ | $\$ 933$ | $\$ 1,850$ | $\$ 1,621$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Unit Size

|  | Subsidized |  |  | Restricted |  |  | Market |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg |
| 0-Bedroom | 275 | 595 | 445 | 275 | 744 | 455 | 381 | 744 | 580 |
| 1-Bedroom | 480 | 908 | 704 | 375 | 799 | 685 | 425 | 1,608 | 800 |
| 2-Bedroom | 775 | 1,195 | 1,016 | 705 | 1,221 | 1,004 | 520 | 1,720 | 1,106 |
| 3-Bedroom | 956 | 1,329 | 1,203 | 1,092 | 1,390 | 1,233 | 675 | 2,500 | 1,308 |
| 4-Bedroom | 1,107 | 1,581 | 1,356 | 1,447 | 1,447 | 1,447 | 1,096 | 1,908 | 1,545 |

Rent per Square Foot

|  | Subsidized |  |  |  | Restricted |  |  | Market |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg |  |
| 0-Bedroom | $\$ 1.44$ | $\$ 1.45$ | $\$ 1.41$ | $\$ 0.87$ | $\$ 1.07$ | $\$ 0.99$ | $\$ 1.61$ | $\$ 1.95$ | $\$ 1.53$ |  |
| 1-Bedroom | $\$ 0.94$ | $\$ 1.04$ | $\$ 1.02$ | $\$ 0.78$ | $\$ 1.01$ | $\$ 0.89$ | $\$ 0.92$ | $\$ 1.29$ | $\$ 1.14$ |  |
| 2-Bedroom | $\$ 0.92$ | $\$ 0.95$ | $\$ 0.85$ | $\$ 0.62$ | $\$ 0.79$ | $\$ 0.74$ | $\$ 0.87$ | $\$ 1.47$ | $\$ 1.08$ |  |
| 3-Bedroom | $\$ 0.84$ | $\$ 0.85$ | $\$ 0.80$ | $\$ 0.72$ | $\$ 0.81$ | $\$ 0.71$ | $\$ 0.81$ | $\$ 1.21$ | $\$ 0.98$ |  |
| 4-Bedroom | $\$ 0.65$ | $\$ 0.86$ | $\$ 0.73$ | $\$ 0.66$ | $\$ 0.66$ | $\$ 0.66$ | $\$ 0.85$ | $\$ 0.97$ | $\$ 1.05$ |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed restricted rent units:

- 0-Bedroom, $\$ 0.99$ per square foot
- 1-Bedroom, $\$ 0.89$ per square foot
- 2-Bedroom, $\$ 0.74$ per square foot
- 3-Bedroom, $\$ 0.71$ per square foot
- 4-Bedroom, $\$ 0.66$ per square foot

Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed market rate units:

- 0-Bedroom, $\$ 1.53$ per square foot
- 1-Bedroom, $\$ 1.14$ per square foot
- 2-Bedroom, $\$ 1.08$ per square foot
- 3-Bedroom, $\$ 0.98$ per square foot
- 4-Bedroom, $\$ 1.05$ per square foot

A detailed listing of rents and floor areas for confirmed market area properties by unit type and income target is found in the following pages.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Unit Mix Summary

In the tables and graphs found below we present a breakdown of unit mix for confirmed market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family):

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Unit Mix Summary

| Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom | 333 | 3 |  | 336 |
| 1-Bedroom | 1,710 | 79 | 50 | 1,839 |
| 2-Bedroom | 139 | 8 | 3 | 150 |
| 3-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,182 | 90 | 53 | 2,325 |


| Family |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom | 85 | 81 | 1,546 | 1,712 |
| 1-Bedroom | 613 | 489 | 5,352 | 6,454 |
| 2-Bedroom | 915 | 540 | 5,938 | 7,393 |
| 3-Bedroom | 312 | 103 | 453 | 868 |
| 4-Bedroom | 24 | 1 | 11 | 36 |
| Total | 1,949 | 1,214 | 13,300 | 16,463 |


| Unit Mix |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom | $15 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  | $14 \%$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $78 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| 2-Bedroom | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| 3-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


| Unit Mix |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $31 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| 2-Bedroom | $47 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| 3-Bedroom | $16 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| 4-Bedroom | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests the following unit mix for the 2,325 confirmed elderly units located in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, 14 percent (336 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 79 percent (1,839 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 6 percent (150 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following unit mix for the 16,463 confirmed family units located in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, 10 percent ( 1,712 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 39 percent ( 6,454 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 45 percent ( 7,393 units in survey)
- 3 -Bedroom, 5 percent (868 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, percent (36 units in survey)


## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Amenity Summary

In the table found below we present a summary of amenities found at confirmed market area properties:
Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Amenity Summary

| Building Type |  | Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 Story | $0 \%$ |  | Central | $91 \%$ |
| 2-4 Story | $64 \%$ |  | Wall Units | $6 \%$ |
| $5-10$ Story | $29 \%$ |  | Window Units | $2 \%$ |
| $>10$ Story | $7 \%$ |  | None | $0 \%$ |


| Project Amenities |  | Heat |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ball Field | 0\% | Central | 95\% |
| BBQ Area | 37\% | Wall Units | 4\% |
| Billiards | 11\% | Baseboards | 0\% |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | 48\% | Radiators | 0\% |
| Car Care Ctr | 9\% | None | 0\% |
| Comm Center | 67\% |  |  |
| Elevator | 57\% | Parking |  |
| Fitness Center | 69\% | Garage | 3\% |
| Gazebo | 14\% | Covered | 22\% |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | 0\% | Assigned | 1\% |
| Horseshoe Pit | 0\% | Open | 84\% |
| Lake | 1\% | None | 16\% |
| Library | 11\% |  |  |
| Movie Theatre | 6\% | Laundry |  |
| Picnic Area | 39\% | Central | 71\% |
| Playground | 21\% | W/D Units | 24\% |
| Pool | 49\% | W/D Hookups | 38\% |
| Sauna | 0\% |  |  |
| Sports Court | 9\% | Security |  |
| Walking Trail | 9\% | Call Buttons | 11\% |
|  |  | Cont Access | 73\% |
| Unit Amenities |  | Courtesy Officer | 41\% |
| Blinds | 99\% | Monitoring | 5\% |
| Ceiling Fans | 41\% | Security Alarms | 20\% |
| Upgraded Flooring | 91\% | Security Patrols | 13\% |
| Fireplace | 0\% |  |  |
| Patio/Balcony | 57\% |  |  |
| Storage | 20\% |  |  |
| Kitchen Amenities |  | After School | 3\% |
|  |  | Concierge | 5\% |
| Stove | 100\% | Hair Salon | 3\% |
| Refrigerator | 100\% | Health Care | 1\% |
| Disposal | 68\% | Linens | 0\% |
| Dishwasher | 72\% | Meals | 0\% |
| Microwave | 29\% | Transportation | 4\% |

Our research suggests that 0 percent of confirmed market area properties are 1 story in height, 64 percent are 2-4 stories in height, 29 percent are $5-10$ stories in height, and 7 percent are over 10 stories in height. In addition, surveyed properties benefit from the following project amenities: 48 percent have a business/computer center, 67 percent have a community center, 69 percent have a fitness center, 21 percent have a playground, and 9 percent have a sports court.

Our research also suggests that the following unit amenities are present at surveyed properties: 99 percent have blinds, 91 percent have carpeting, 57 percent have patios/balconies, and 20 percent have outside storage. Surveyed properties also include the following kitchen amenities: 100 percent have a stove, 100 percent have a refrigerator, 68 percent have a disposal, 72 percent have a dishwasher, and 29 percent have a microwave.

In addition, 95 percent of confirmed market area properties have central heat while 91 percent have central air. Our research also suggests that 84 percent of surveyed properties have open parking. A total of 71 percent of area properties have central laundry facilities, while 38 percent have washer/dryer hookups, and 24 percent have washer/dryer units in each residential unit.

A total of 11 percent of confirmed market area properties have call buttons, 73 percent have controlled access, and 20 percent have security alarms.

It is also our understanding that the majority of confirmed market area properties provide cable access.
Finally, in the following pages we provide a summary of vouchers, concessions and waiting lists for the confirmed market area properties included in this report. We also include any absorption information we have uncovered as part of our research.

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy | Concessions | Vouchers | Absorption | Waiting List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 100 Midtown Apartments | 33.7815 | -84.3905 | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 330 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | - |
| 002 | 1016 Lofts | 33.7827 | -84.4116 | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 265 | 5 | 98.1\% | 2\% | 0\% |  |  |
| 003 | 17 Street Lofts | 33.7918 | -84.3957 | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 118 | 2 | 98.3\% | 8\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 004 | 450 Piedmont Apartments | 33.7668 | -84.3820 | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 254 | 13 | 94.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 005 | 131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 33.7718 | -84.3829 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 280 | 280 | 0.0\% | - | 0\% | - | - |
| 007 | Alexan 360 Apartments | 33.7594 | -84.3743 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 598 | 36 | 94.0\% | 4\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 008 | Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 33.7723 | -84.3849 | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 330 | 16 | 95.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 009 | Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 33.7711 | -84.3653 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - |
| 010 | AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 33.7685 | -84.3675 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 373 | 19 | 94.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 011 | Amli Parkside Apartments | 33.7646 | -84.3656 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 301 | 12 | 96.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 012 | Arts Center Tower Apartments | 33.7963 | -84.3877 | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 119 | 1 | 99.2\% | 5\% | 0\% |  | - |
| 013 | Ashby Park Apartments | 33.7622 | -84.4171 | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 66 | 5 | 92.4\% | 5\% | 0\% |  | 10 people |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 33.7499 | -84.3787 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 154 | 3 | 98.1\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | yes |
| 015 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 33.7499 | -84.3787 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 150 | 150 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 33.7535 | -84.3719 | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 270 | 8 | 97.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 400 people |
| 018 | Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 33.7732 | -84.4088 | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 136 | 0 | 100.0\% |  | 0\% | - | - |
| 020 | Baltimore Row Apartments | 33.7682 | -84.3875 | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 15 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 5 people |
| 021 | Bass Lofts Apartments | 33.7635 | -84.3516 | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 133 | 11 | 91.7\% | 1\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 029 | Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 33.7725 | -84.3790 | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 296 | 22 | 92.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 33.7456 | -84.3849 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 269 | 18 | 93.3\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | yes |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 33.7456 | -84.3849 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 152 | 10 | 93.4\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 5 years |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 33.7686 | -84.3925 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 181 | 11 | 93.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 33.7676 | -84.3941 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 177 | 10 | 94.4\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 33.7690 | -84.3921 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 185 | 8 | 95.7\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 33.7690 | -84.3921 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 195 | 10 | 94.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 039 | City Plaza Apartments | 33.7490 | -84.3922 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 164 | 14 | 91.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 040 | City View at Rosa Burney Park | 33.7407 | -84.3959 | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 180 | 4 | 97.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 041 | Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 33.7701 | -84.3783 | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 192 | 9 | 95.3\% | 8\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 042 | Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 33.7613 | -84.3731 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 202 | 10 | 95.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 33.7415 | -84.4029 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 172 | 10 | 94.2\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 1700 people |
| 046 | Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 33.7400 | -84.4023 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 154 | 3 | 98.1\% | 0\% | 1\% |  | 47 people |
| 047 | Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 33.7409 | -84.4046 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 163 | 7 | 95.7\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 2 years on PBRA |
| 048 | Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 33.7398 | -84.4070 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 164 | 5 | 97.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | yes |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 33.7401 | -84.4024 | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 156 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 39.00 | yes |
| 051 | Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 33.7446 | -84.3838 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 121 | 1 | 99.2\% | 0\% | 20\% | - | - |
| 052 | Columbia Tower Apartments | 33.7446 | $-84.3838$ | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 95 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 11\% | - | 200 people |
| 054 | Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 33.7712 | -84.3765 | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Other | 282 | 1 | 99.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 150 people |
| 055 | Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 33.7557 | -84.4053 | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 182 | 17 | 90.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 056 | Cupola Building Apartments | 33.7682 | -84.3925 | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab | Conventional | 14 | 14 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 058 | Envoy on Northside | 33.7648 | -84.4039 | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 261 | 45 | 82.8\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 059 | Fairlie Poplar Project | 33.7569 | -84.3902 | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 12 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 10 people |
| 060 | Fairway Court Apartments | 33.7635 | -84.4276 | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 64 | 4 | 93.8\% | 8\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 062 | Ford Factory Lofts | 33.7734 | -84.3644 | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 123 | 5 | 95.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 065 | Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 33.7496 | -84.3701 | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 207 | 6 | 97.1\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0 people |
| 066 | Gables 820 West Apartments | 33.7806 | -84.4156 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 248 | 20 | 91.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 4 people |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 33.7382 | -84.4040 | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 201 | 10 | 95.0\% | 4\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 33.7990 | -84.4045 | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 351 | 7 | 98.0\% | 9\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 33.7576 | -84.3704 | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 58 | 23 | 60.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 33.7632 | -84.4236 | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 43 | 12 | 72.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 33.7745 | -84.3568 | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 45 | 1 | 97.8\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 076 | Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 33.7978 | -84.4055 | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 219 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 077 | Highland View Apartments | 33.7759 | -84.3637 | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 109 | 1 | 99.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 5 people |
| 078 | Highland Walk Apartments | 33.7613 | -84.3648 | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 350 | 4 | 98.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 081 | Hotel Roxy Lofts | 33.7561 | -84.3917 | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 17 | 3 | 82.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 082 | ICON City Apartment Homes | 33.7914 | -84.4005 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 242 | 2 | 99.2\% | 1\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 083 | Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 33.7641 | -84.3861 | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab | Tax Credit | 120 | 20 | 83.3\% | 8\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 084 | Intown Lofts and Apartments | 33.7502 | -84.4034 | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 143 | 14 | 90.2\% | 4\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 085 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 33.7732 | -84.4088 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 56 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 8 people |
| 086 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 33.7732 | -84.4088 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 56 | 6 | 89.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 8 people |
| 087 | Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 33.7818 | -84.3823 | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Other | 150 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 089 | Lutheran Towers Apartments | 33.7748 | -84.3831 | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 202 | 18 | 91.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 8 people |
| 090 | M Street Apartments | 33.7778 | -84.4088 | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 280 | 9 | 96.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 4 people |
| 091 | Madison House Apartments | 33.7570 | -84.3912 | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation | Other | 140 | 65 | 53.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 092 | Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 33.7640 | -84.3748 | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 150 | 10 | 93.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 33.7579 | -84.4146 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 220 | 58 | 73.6\% | 2\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 33.7565 | -84.4149 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 180 | 54 | 70.0\% | 2\% | 0\% | - | 0 people |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy | Concessions | Vouchers | Absorption | Waiting List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 095 | Mariposa Loft Apartments | 33.7603 | -84.3604 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 253 | 2 | 99.2\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| 096 | Marquis Vista Apartments | 33.7649 | -84.3826 | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 225 | 5 | 97.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 099 | Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 33.7414 | -84.4035 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 164 | 12 | 92.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 1600 people |
| 102 | Muses Lofts | 33.7532 | -84.3912 | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 65 | 6 | 90.8\% | 4\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 103 | North Highland Steel Apartments | 33.7624 | 84.3596 | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 239 | 6 | 97.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 104 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 33.7528 | -84.4005 | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 127 | 49 | 61.4\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | yes |
| 105 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 33.7528 | -84.4005 | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 127 | 3 | 97.6\% | 2\% | 0\% |  | yes |
| 108 | Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 33.7719 | -84.4341 | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized | Conventional | 480 | 149 | 69.0\% | 4\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 111 | Park District at Atlantic Station | 33.7912 | -84.4020 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Bond | 231 | 2 | 99.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 113 | Pencil Factory Flats | 33.7500 | -84.3774 | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 188 | 18 | 90.4\% | 4\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 117 | Post Parkside Apartments | 33.7818 | -84.3794 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 188 | 8 | 95.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 118 | Post Renaissance Apartments | 33.7679 | -84.3778 | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 336 | 17 | 94.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 119 | Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 33.7374 | -84.3974 | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 53 | 5 | 90.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | yes |
| 120 | Saint Charles Apartments | 33.7754 | -84.3567 | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 20 | 1 | 95.0\% | 1\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 121 | Samuel W Williams Apartments | 33.7533 | -84.4044 | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up | HUD | 208 | 57 | 72.6\% | 5\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 123 | Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 33.7737 | -84.3851 | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 533 | 31 | 94.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 124 | Spanish Oaks Apartments | 33.7699 | -84.3689 | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 21 | 3 | 85.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 127 | Townview Station | 33.7970 | -84.3959 | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 267 | 5 | 98.1\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 22 people |
| 128 | Twelfth Street West Apartments | 33.7845 | -84.3856 | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 129 | 13 | 89.9\% | 1\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 130 | Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 33.7518 | -84.3773 | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 124 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 457 people |
| 131 | Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 33.7529 | -84.3773 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 98 | 5 | 94.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 457 people |
| 132 | Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 33.7533 | -84.3775 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 102 | 3 | 97.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 457 people |
| 133 | Veranda at Centennial | 33.7704 | -84.3935 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 90 | 90 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - |  |
| 134 | Veranda at University Homes | 33.7509 | -84.4111 | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 100 | 100 | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 135 | Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 33.7466 | -84.4085 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 450 | 10 | 97.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 500 people |
| 137 | Virginia Highlands Apartments | 33.7813 | -84.3674 | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 270 | 18 | 93.3\% | 2\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 138 | Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 33.7692 | -84.3820 | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 153 | 7 | 95.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 2 month |
| 142 | Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 33.7577 | -84.3774 | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 210 | 30 | 85.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 4 month |
| 143 | William Booth Towers Apartments | 33.7737 | -84.3485 | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 99 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 17 people |
| 144 | Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 33.7865 | -84.3802 | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 188 | 3 | 98.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 1 person |
| 145 | Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | 35.7511 | -84.4113 | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Lease Up | Tax Credit | 100 | 8 | 92.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 196 people |
| 146 | Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 33.7779 | -84.3873 | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 276 | 10 | 96.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - |
| 147 | Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 33.7530 | -84.3660 | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 204 | 1 | 99.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | no |
| 148 | Atlantic Square Apartments | 33.7888 | -84.4019 | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 172 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 10 people |
| 149 | BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Ap | 33.7675 | -84.3659 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 276 | 276 | 0.0\% | - | 0\% | - | - |
| 150 | Elan Westside Apartments | 33.7861 | -84.4109 | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction | Conventional | 197 | 197 | 0.0\% | - | 0\% | - | - |

## RENT COMPARABILITY ANALYSIS

In this section we develop restricted and unrestricted market rent conclusions for the subject property on an "as if complete \& stabilized" basis. Our analysis begins with an evaluation of unrestricted market rents.

## Unrestricted Rent Analysis

In this section we develop an unrestricted market rent conclusion for the subject property units. Our analysis began by selecting comparable rentals to use to develop estimates of market rents for the units at the subject property, assuming that the subject was an unrestricted property. Our selection of comparables was based on location, age, condition, unit mix and amenities of the comparable properties relative to the subject property.

## Rental Property Inventory, by Unit Type

In the following pages we present an inventory of properties included in this analysis. Rents for these properties, broken out by unit type, were used in selecting the rent comparables used in this analysis.

The properties that we consider to be comparable to the subject property are highlighted in the tables found in the following pages. We attempted to select stabilized market rate properties as comparables for purposes of our rent comparability analysis.

Comparables with restricted rents are used when a sufficient number of market rent comparables are not available and when maximum allowable rents for properties with restricted rents exceed prevailing rents in the area. In the event that program rental rates exceed market rental rates, restricted units are, in fact, de facto market rate units.

Rent Comparables, Market Rate, Map
A map showing the location of the properties selected as comparables in this analysis is found in the following pages. Properties identified with red pushpins have market rents, properties identified with yellow pushpins have restricted rents, and properties identified with blue pushpins have subsidized rents. Detailed write-ups for the select rent comparables are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Rent Comparability Grids

Our analysis employed the use of rent comparability grids and resulted in an unrestricted market rent estimate for each of the subject's unit types. These grids and a narrative describing our rent adjustments are found in the following pages.

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0021016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,164 |
| 00317 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,068 |
| 004450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,103 |
| 005131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,102 |
| 008 Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$958 |
| 009 Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,320 |
| 011 Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,232 |
| 012 Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$899 |
| 013 Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$457 |
| 014 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$651 |  |  |  |  | \$651 |  | \$850 |
| 015 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | \$808 |  |  |  |  | \$808 |  | \$900 |
| 017 Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$690 |  |  |  |  | \$690 |  | \$890 |
| 018 Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,050 |
| 021 Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,308 |
| 029 Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$843 |
| 030 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$676 |  |  |  |  | \$676 |  | \$855 |
| 031 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$676 |  |  |  |  | \$676 |  | \$855 |
| 032 Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$610 |  | \$835 |
| 034 Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$610 |  | \$835 |
| 036 Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$610 |  | \$835 |
| 037 Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$610 |  | \$835 |
| 039 City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$628 |  |  | \$938 |
| 040 City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$807 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$732 |
| 041 Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$645 |
| 042 Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$948 |
| 045 Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$576 | \$717 |  | \$790 |
| 046 Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$790 |  |  |  | \$612 | \$612 |  | \$790 |
| 047 Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$790 |
| 048 Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$790 |
| 050 Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$623 | \$623 |  | \$765 |
| 051 Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$717 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$717 |
| 052 Columbia Tower Apartments | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$728 |  | \$294 |  | \$450 |  |  |  |
| 054 Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$720 |
| 056 Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 058 Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$819 |
| 059 Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$560 |  |  | \$700 |
| 060 Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$405 |
| 062 Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |
| 065 Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$712 |  | \$1,081 |
| 066 Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$989 |

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$607 |  |  |  |  | \$607 |  | \$707 |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$656 |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$390 |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$870 |
| 076 | Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$720 |
| 077 | Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$935 |
| 078 | Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,181 |
| 081 | Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$950 |
| 082 | ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,208 |
| 083 | Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | \$575 |  |  |  |
| 084 | Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$811 |
| 085 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$480 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 086 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$480 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 087 | Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$650 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 | Lutheran Towers Apartments | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 | M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$777 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,038 |
| 091 | Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation | \$550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 092 | Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$893 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$565 |  |  |  |  | \$565 |  | \$696 |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$565 |  |  |  |  | \$565 |  | \$696 |
| 095 | Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,275 |
| 096 | Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,020 |
| 099 | Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$790 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$790 |
| 102 | Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$863 |
| 103 | North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,393 |
| 104 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$601 |  |  | \$635 |
| 105 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$599 |
| 108 | Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$442 |
| 111 | Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,128 |
| 113 | Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,275 |
| 117 | Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,270 |
| 118 | Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$955 |
| 119 | Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$450 |  |  |  |
| 120 | Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$642 |
| 121 | Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$458 |
| 123 | Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$878 |
| 124 | Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$650 |
| 127 | Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$699 |
| 128 | Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$689 |
| 130 | Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$800 |  |  |  |  | \$800 |  | \$800 |
| 131 | Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$880 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132 | Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 | Veranda at Centennial | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | \$760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 | Veranda at University Homes | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | \$747 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$650 |  |  |  |  | \$650 |  | \$750 |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,071 |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,218 |
| 142 Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$687 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 143 William Booth Towers Apartments | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$913 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,800 |
| 145 Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Lease Up | \$947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,411 |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,096 |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,150 |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0021016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,749 |
| 00317 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,795 |
| 004450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,554 |
| 005131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,310 |
| 008 Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,414 |
| 009 Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,625 |
| 011 Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,543 |
| 012 Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,170 |
| 013 Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$498 |
| 014 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$744 |  |  |  |  | \$744 |  | \$1,100 |
| 015 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | \$970 |  |  |  |  | \$970 |  | \$1,175 |
| 017 Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$788 |  |  |  |  | \$788 |  | \$1,295 |
| 018 Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,300 |
| 021 Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,548 |
| 029 Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,370 |
| 030 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$776 |  |  |  |  | \$776 |  | \$1,112 |
| 031 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$776 |  |  |  |  | \$776 |  | \$1,112 |
| 032 Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$741 |  | \$1,124 |
| 034 Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$740 |  | \$1,124 |
| 036 Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$740 |  | \$1,244 |
| 037 Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$740 |  | \$1,176 |
| 039 City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,066 |
| 040 City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$887 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$804 |
| 041 Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$916 |
| 042 Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,132 |
| 045 Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$646 | \$773 |  | \$900 |
| 046 Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$900 |
| 048 Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$900 |
| 050 Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$710 | \$710 |  | \$972 |
| 051 Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 052 Columbia Tower Apartments | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 054 Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$768 | \$768 |  | \$856 |
| 056 Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$700 |
| 058 Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$993 |
| 059 Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,100 |
| 060 Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$463 |
| 062 Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,183 |
| 065 Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$848 |  | \$1,311 |
| 066 Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 |

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 068 GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$737 |  |  |  |  | \$726 |  | \$777 |
| 071 Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$856 |
| 072 Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$439 |  |  |
| 074 Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$450 |
| 075 Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,230 |
| 076 Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$920 |
| 077 Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,289 |
| 078 Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,493 |
| 081 Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,300 |
| 082 ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,610 |
| 083 Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,193 |
| 085 Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 086 Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 087 Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 Lutheran Towers Apartments | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$912 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,410 |
| 091 Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation | \$1,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 092 Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$1,045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$760 |  |  |  |  | \$758 |  | \$867 |
| 094 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$759 |  |  |  |  | \$770 |  | \$866 |
| 095 Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,589 |
| 096 Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,434 |
| 099 Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$900 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$900 |
| 102 Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,105 |
| 103 North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,580 |
| 104 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$746 |
| 105 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$748 |
| 108 Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$492 |
| 111 Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,511 |
| 113 Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,318 |
| 117 Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,278 |
| 118 Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,187 |
| 119 Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$545 |  |  |  |
| 120 Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$534 |
| 123 Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$899 |
| 128 Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$830 |  |  |  |  | \$830 |  | \$830 |
| 131 Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132 Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 Veranda at Centennial | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | \$790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 Veranda at University Homes | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | \$830 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$808 |  |  |  |  | \$805 |  | \$870 |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,359 |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,628 |
| 142 Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 143 William Booth Towers Apartments | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,535 |
| 145 Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Lease Up | \$830 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,985 |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,388 |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,545 |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0021016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00317 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 005131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$635 |
| 014 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$820 |  |  |
| 015 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | \$1,120 |  |  |  |  | \$1,120 |  | \$1,400 |
| 017 Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$868 |  |  |  |  | \$868 |  | \$1,350 |
| 018 Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,985 |
| 030 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$856 |  |  |  |  | \$856 |  | \$1,313 |
| 031 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$856 |  |  |  |  | \$856 |  | \$1,313 |
| 032 Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |  | \$1,632 |
| 034 Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |  | \$1,565 |
| 036 Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |  | \$1,615 |
| 037 Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |  | \$1,587 |
| 039 City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$993 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$900 |
| 041 Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$853 | \$853 |  | \$1,100 |
| 046 Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,100 |
| 048 Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,100 |
| 050 Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$781 |  |  | \$999 |
| 051 Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 052 Columbia Tower Apartments | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 054 Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$950 |
| 056 Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$900 |
| 058 Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,200 |
| 059 Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$623 |
| 062 Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units

|  |  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$817 |  |  |  |  | \$817 |  | \$917 |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$550 |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 | Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 | Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 | Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 081 | Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 082 | ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 | Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 | Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,628 |
| 085 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 086 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 087 | Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 | Lutheran Towers Apartments | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 | M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$1,112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 | Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 092 | Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$880 |  |  |  |  | \$882 |  | \$981 |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$950 |  |  |  |  | \$958 |  | \$977 |
| 095 | Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 | Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 099 | Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$1,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,100 |
| 102 | Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 | North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,295 |
| 104 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$575 |
| 111 | Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 | Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,040 |
| 117 | Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 | Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,755 |
| 119 | Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$592 |
| 123 | Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,035 |
| 124 | Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 | Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 | Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 | Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 | Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132 | Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 | Veranda at Centennial | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 | Veranda at University Homes | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$999 |  |  |  |  | \$999 |  | \$1,100 |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 142 Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 143 William Booth Towers Apartments | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 4-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0021016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00317 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 005131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 017 Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 018 Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$953 |  |  |  |  | \$953 |  |  |
| 031 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 032 Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,850 |
| 034 Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 036 Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,850 |
| 037 Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,850 |
| 039 City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$1,023 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$933 |
| 041 Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 046 Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 050 Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 051 Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 052 Columbia Tower Apartments | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 054 Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 056 Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 058 Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 062 Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 4-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 068 GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071 Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 075 Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 081 Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 082 ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 085 Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 086 Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 087 Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 Lutheran Towers Apartments | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 092 Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 094 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 095 Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 099 Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132 Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 Veranda at Centennial | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 Veranda at University Homes | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Rental Property Inventory, 4-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 142 Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 143 William Booth Towers Apartments | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rent Comparables



| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | 033-05Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 014-04 |  |  |  | 017-03Auburn Glenn Apartments |  |  |  | 030-03 <br> Capitol Gateway Apartments, |  |  |  | 045-04 <br> Columbia Mechanicsville |  |  |  | $050-05$ <br> Columbia Parkside at |  |  |  |
| Property Name |  |  | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Address |  | 526 Centennial Olympic | 22 Decatur Street |  |  |  | Auburn Glenn Apartments 49 Boulevard Avenue, SE |  |  |  | 89 Woodward Avenue |  |  |  | 500 McDaniel Street SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City |  | Atlanta | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | 565 McDaniel Street SW |  |  |  |
| State |  | Georgia | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 1.32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.37 |  |  |  | 1.64 |  |  |  | 1.71 |  |  |  |
| Effective Date |  | 06/10/13 | $05 / 13 / 13$33 |  |  |  | 01/05/12 |  |  |  | 05/13/13 |  |  |  | 05/20/13 |  |  |  | 05/20/13 |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 30 |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |
| Occupancy |  | 93\% | 97\% |  |  |  | 96\% |  |  |  | 94\% |  |  |  | 80\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Year Built |  | 1996 | 2009 |  |  |  | 2004 |  |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |
| Year Renovated |  | 2013 | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  |
| Gross Rent |  | \$850 | \$850 |  |  |  | \$890 |  |  |  | \$855 |  |  |  | \$790 |  |  |  | \$765 |  |  |  |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  |
| Net Rent |  | \$850 | \$850 |  |  |  | \$890 |  |  |  | \$855 |  |  |  | \$790 |  |  |  | \$765 |  |  |  |
|  | Adj | Data | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  | \$211UA | \$242UA | \$0 | \$31 | \$31 | \$242UA | \$0 | \$31 | \$31 | \$115UA | \$96 | \$0 | -\$96 | \$242UA | \$0 | \$31 | \$31 | \$242UA | \$0 | \$31 | \$31 |
| Visibility | \$0.00 | 3.25 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Access | \$0.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Proximity to Amenities | \$0.00 | 2.50 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.25 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$50.00 | 4.25 | 4.50 | \$13 | \$0 | -\$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.75 | \$25 | \$0 | -\$25 |
| Effective Age | \$0.00 | 10 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 8 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Location \& Condition |  |  | \$0 | \$13 | \$0 | -\$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$25 | \$0 | -\$25 |
| Bedrooms | \$100.00 | 1 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$50.00 | 1.0 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.25 | 688 | 756 | \$17 | \$0 | -\$17 | 696 | \$2 | \$0 | -\$2 | 757 | \$17 | \$0 | -\$17 | 750 | \$16 | \$0 | -\$16 | 728 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 |
| Bedrooms \& Bathrooms |  |  | \$0 | \$17 | \$0 | -\$17 | \$0 | \$2 | \$0 | -\$2 | \$0 | \$17 | \$0 | -\$17 | \$0 | \$16 | \$0 | -\$16 | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 |
| Ball Field | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Billiards | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Car Care Ctr | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Comm Center | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 |
| Elevator | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$5.00 | yes | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Gazebo | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lake | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Library | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Playground | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Pool | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 |
| Sauna | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Project Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30 | \$30 | \$0 | \$0 | \$50 | \$50 |
| Blinds | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Upgraded Flooring | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$5.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | some | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Storage | \$40.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | some | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 |
| Stove | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Microwave | \$10.00 | no | yes | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 |
| Kitchen Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Window Units | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| A/C Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Baseboards | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Radiators | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heat |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Garage | \$75.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Covered | \$50.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Assigned | \$25.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Open | \$0.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$20.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 |
| W/D Units | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 |
| WID Hookups | \$20.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 |
| W/D Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$20 | \$20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Cont Access | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Security Patrols | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security |  |  | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$850 |  | \$8 |  |  |  | \$94 |  |  |  | \$74 |  |  |  | \$86 |  |  |  | \$8 |  |  |

A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR/1BA/688sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 13 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2009. This property includes a total of 33 1BR/1BA/756sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 850$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of 26 1BR/1BA/696sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 890$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2006. This property includes a total of 32 1BR/1BA/757sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 855$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $51 \mathrm{BR} / 1 \mathrm{BA} / 750$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 790$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2011. This property includes a total of 9 1BR/1BA/727.5sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 765$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 13 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments |  |
| Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 014 | Family | 1BR/1BA/756sf | $\$ 827$ | $\$ 86$ |  |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | 1BR/1BA/696sf | $\$ 947$ | 2 |  |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 1BR/1BA/757sf | $\$ 749$ | $\$ 81$ | $\$ 1$ |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 1BR/1BA/1079sf | $\$ 815$ | $\$ 131$ | $\$ 156$ |


| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 1BR/1BA/750sf | \$863 | \$104 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics | 050 | Family | 1BR/1BA/727.5sf | \$821 | \$146 | 5 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 1BR/1BA/653.5sf | \$591 | \$157 | 7 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 1BR/1BA/653.5sf | \$591 | \$157 | 7 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 1BR/1BA/799sf | \$686 | \$194 | 11 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 1BR/1BA/688sf | \$796 | \$215 | 13 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 1BR/1BA/688sf | \$778 | \$197 | 12 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 1BR/1BA/688sf | \$766 | \$185 | 9 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 1BR/1BA/688sf | \$766 | \$185 | 9 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 850$ for the $1 B R / 1 B A / 688$ sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.


A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR/1BA/875sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 31 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2009. This property includes a total of $282 \mathrm{BR} / 2 \mathrm{BA} / 1079$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging \$1,100 (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of 28 2BR/2BA/1044sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,295$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2006. This property includes a total of 32 2BR/1BA/1043sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,112$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 12 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging \$1,112 (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 27 2BR/2BA/1005sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 900$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 31 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 014 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | $\$ 1,004$ | $\$ 181$ |  |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1044sf | $\$ 1,272$ | $\$ 182$ | 3 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/1BA/1043sf | $\$ 946$ | $\$ 192$ | 5 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1043sf | $\$ 896$ | $\$ 242$ | 11 |


| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1178sf | \$852 | \$285 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | \$1,081 | \$171 | 1 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1005sf | \$917 | \$182 | 4 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1157sf | \$879 | \$220 | 9 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics' | 050 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1076sf | \$902 | \$247 | 12 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics' | 050 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1275sf | \$827 | \$322 | 21 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics' | 050 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1275sf | \$936 | \$337 | 26 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/870sf | \$637 | \$208 | 7 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$656 | \$254 | 13 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/870sf | \$637 | \$208 | 7 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$656 | \$254 | 13 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 2BR/1BA/890sf | \$774 | \$206 | 6 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1067.5sf | \$690 | \$300 | 20 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$904 | \$262 | 15 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1215sf | \$1,288 | \$352 | 28 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1057sf | \$942 | \$357 | 29 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/1BA/0sf | \$1,105 | \$463 | 31 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/0sf | \$1,554 | \$438 | 30 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1057sf | \$925 | \$340 | 27 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1BA/1055sf | \$829 | \$277 | 16 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$1,014 | \$287 | 18 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1231sf | \$1,254 | \$326 | 24 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1050sf | \$975 | \$325 | 22 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$874 | \$232 | 10 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$1,014 | \$287 | 18 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1231sf | \$1,254 | \$326 | 24 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1050sf | \$975 | \$325 | 22 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 1050$ for the 2BR/1BA/875sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | 033-12Centennial Place, Phase 1 |  |  |  |  | 017-06Auburn Glenn Apartments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Columbia Mechanicsville |  |  |  | 045-13Columbia Mechanicsville |  |  |  |
| Property Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Address |  | 526 Centennial Olympic | 322 Decatur Street |  |  |  | Auburn Glenn Apartments 49 Boulevard Avenue, SE |  |  |  | 89 Woodward Avenue |  |  |  | 500 McDaniel Street SW Atlanta |  |  |  | 500 McDaniel Street SWAtlanta |  |  |  |
| City |  | Atlanta | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State |  | Georgia |  |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | $1.32$ |  |  |  | 1.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.64 |  |  |  | 1.64 |  |  |  |
| Effective Date |  | 06/10/13 | 05/13/13 |  |  |  | 01/05/12 |  |  |  | 05/13/13 |  |  |  | 05/20/13 |  |  |  | 05/20/13 |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 2 | 28 |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Occupancy |  | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |  | 96\% |  |  |  | 92\% |  |  |  | 81\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Year Built |  | 1996 | 2009 |  |  |  | 2004 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| Year Renovated |  | 2013 | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  |
| Gross Rent |  | \$1,350 | \$1,100 |  |  |  | \$1,295 |  |  |  | \$1,112 |  |  |  | \$900 |  |  |  | \$900 |  |  |  |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  |
| Net Rent |  | \$1,350 | \$1,100 |  |  |  | \$1,295 |  |  |  | \$1,112 |  |  |  | \$900 |  |  |  | \$900 |  |  |  |
|  | Adj | Data | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  | \$285UA | \$327UA | \$0 | \$42 | \$42 | \$327UA | \$0 | \$42 | \$42 | \$327UA | \$0 | \$42 | \$42 | \$327UA | \$0 | \$42 | \$42 | \$327UA | \$0 | \$42 | \$42 |
| Visibility | \$0.00 | 3.25 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Access | \$0.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Proximity to Amenities | \$0.00 | 2.50 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$50.00 | 4.25 | 4.50 | \$13 | \$0 | -\$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 |
| Effective Age | \$0.00 | 10 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 8 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 8 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Location \& Condition |  |  | \$0 | \$13 | \$0 | -\$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 |
| Bedrooms | \$100.00 | 2 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$50.00 | 1.5 | 2.0 | \$25 | \$0 | -\$25 | 2.0 | \$25 | \$0 | -\$25 | 2.0 | \$25 | \$0 | -\$25 | 2.0 | \$25 | \$0 | -\$25 | 2.0 | \$25 | \$0 | -\$25 |
| Square Feet | \$0.25 | 1,215 | 1,079 | \$0 | \$34 | \$34 | 1,044 | \$0 | \$43 | \$43 | 1,079 | \$0 | \$34 | \$34 | 1,005 | \$0 | \$53 | \$53 | 1,157 | \$0 | \$15 | \$15 |
| Bedrooms \& Bathrooms |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$9 | \$9 | \$0 | \$0 | \$18 | \$18 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9 | \$9 | \$0 | \$0 | \$28 | \$28 | \$0 | \$11 | \$0 | -\$11 |
| Ball Field | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Billiards | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Car Care Ctr | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Comm Center | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$5.00 | yes | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gazebo | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lake | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Library | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Playground | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Pool | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 |
| Sauna | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Project Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30 | \$30 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30 | \$30 |
| Blinds | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Upgraded Flooring | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$5.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Storage | \$40.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 |
| Stove | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Microwave | \$10.00 | no | yes | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Window Units | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| A/C Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Baseboards | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Radiators | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heat |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Garage | \$75.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Covered | \$50.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Assigned | \$25.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Open | \$0.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$20.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 |
| W/D Units | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 |
| WID Hookups | \$20.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 |
| W/D Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$20 | \$20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Cont Access | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Security Patrols | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security |  |  | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$1,350 |  | \$1, |  |  |  | \$1,3 |  |  |  | \$1,1 |  |  |  | \$1,0 |  |  |  | \$98 |  |  |

A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR/1.5BA/1215sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 31 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2009. This property includes a total of $282 \mathrm{BR} / 2 \mathrm{BA} / 1079$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging \$1,100 (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of 28 2BR/2BA/1044sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,295$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 12 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,112$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $272 B R / 2 B A / 1005$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 900$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $22 B R / 2 B A / 1157$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 900$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 31 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 014 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | $\$ 1,114$ | $\$ 89$ | 2 |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1044sf | $\$ 1,382$ | $\$ 107$ | 3 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/1BA/1043sf | $\$ 1,056$ | $\$ 218$ | 13 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1043sf | $\$ 1,006$ | $\$ 168$ | 7 |


| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1178sf | \$962 | \$175 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | \$1,191 | \$79 | 1 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1005sf | \$1,027 | \$127 | 5 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1157sf | \$989 | \$110 | 4 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics' | 050 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1076sf | \$1,012 | \$157 | 6 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics' | 050 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1275sf | \$937 | \$212 | 9 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics | 050 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1275sf | \$1,046 | \$227 | 16 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/870sf | \$747 | \$271 | 23 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$766 | \$225 | 14 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/870sf | \$747 | \$271 | 23 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$766 | \$225 | 14 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 2BR/1BA/890sf | \$884 | \$308 | 27 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1067.5sf | \$800 | \$214 | 10 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$1,014 | \$372 | 29 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1215sf | \$1,398 | \$242 | 17 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1057sf | \$1,052 | \$276 | 25 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/1BA/0sf | \$1,215 | \$573 | 31 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/0sf | \$1,664 | \$548 | 30 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1057sf | \$1,035 | \$259 | 22 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1BA/1055sf | \$939 | \$297 | 26 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$1,124 | \$247 | 18 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1231sf | \$1,364 | \$216 | 11 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1050sf | \$1,085 | \$248 | 20 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$984 | \$342 | 28 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$1,124 | \$247 | 18 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1231sf | \$1,364 | \$216 | 11 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1050sf | \$1,085 | \$248 | 20 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 1350$ for the 2BR/1.5BA/1215sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | 033-18Centennial Place, Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 031-09 |  |  |  | 045-12 |  |  |  | 045-13 |  |  |  |
| Property Name |  |  |  |  |  |  | Auburn Glenn Apartments |  |  |  | Capitol Gateway Apartments, |  |  |  | Columbia Mechanicsville |  |  |  | Columbia Mechanicsville |  |  |  |
| Address |  | 526 Centennial Olympic | 322 Decatur Street |  |  |  | 49 Boulevard Avenue, SE |  |  |  | 89 Woodward Avenue |  |  |  | 500 McDaniel Street SW |  |  |  | 500 McDaniel Street SW |  |  |  |
| City |  | Atlanta | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  |
| State |  | Georgia | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 |  | 1.3 |  |  | 1.44 |  |  |  | 1.37 |  |  |  | 1.64 |  |  |  | 1.64 |  |  |  |
| Effective Date |  | 06/10/13 | 05/13/13 |  |  |  | 01/05/12 |  |  |  | 05/13/13 |  |  |  | 05/20/13 |  |  |  | 05/20/13 |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 23 | 28 |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Occupancy |  | 91\% | 100\% |  |  |  | 96\% |  |  |  | 92\% |  |  |  | 81\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Year Built |  | 1996 | 2009 |  |  |  | 2004 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| Year Renovated |  | 2013 | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  |
| Gross Rent |  | \$1,175 | \$1,100 |  |  |  | \$1,295 |  |  |  | \$1,112 |  |  |  | \$900 |  |  |  | \$900 |  |  |  |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  |
| Net Rent |  | \$1,175 | \$1,100 |  |  |  | \$1,295 |  |  |  | \$1,112 |  |  |  | \$900 |  |  |  | \$900 |  |  |  |
|  | Adj | Data | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  | \$285UA | \$327UA | \$0 | \$42 | \$42 | \$327UA | \$0 | \$42 | \$42 | \$327UA | \$0 | \$42 | \$42 | \$327UA | \$0 | \$42 | \$42 | \$327UA | \$0 | \$42 | \$42 |
| Visibility | \$0.00 | 3.25 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Access | \$0.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Proximity to Amenities | \$0.00 | 2.50 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$50.00 | 4.25 | 4.50 | \$13 | \$0 | -\$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 |
| Effective Age | \$0.00 | 10 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 8 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 8 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Location \& Condition |  |  | \$0 | \$13 | \$0 | -\$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 |
| Bedrooms | \$100.00 | 2 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$50.00 | 2.0 | 2.0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.25 | 1,057 | 1,079 | \$6 | \$0 | -\$6 | 1,044 | \$0 | \$3 | \$3 | 1,079 | \$6 | \$0 | -\$6 | 1,005 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 1,157 | \$25 | \$0 | -\$25 |
| Bedrooms \& Bathrooms |  |  | \$0 | \$6 | \$0 | -\$6 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3 | \$3 | \$0 | \$6 | \$0 | -\$6 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$25 | \$0 | -\$25 |
| Ball Field | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Billiards | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Car Care Ctr | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Comm Center | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$5.00 | yes | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gazebo | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lake | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Library | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Playground | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Pool | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 |
| Sauna | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Project Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30 | \$30 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30 | \$30 |
| Blinds | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Upgraded Flooring | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$5.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Storage | \$40.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 |
| Stove | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Microwave | \$10.00 | no | yes | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Window Units | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| A/C Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Baseboards | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Radiators | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heat |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Garage | \$75.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Covered | \$50.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Assigned | \$25.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Open | \$0.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$20.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 |
| W/D Units | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 |
| WID Hookups | \$20.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 |
| W/D Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$20 | \$20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Cont Access | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Security Patrols | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security |  |  | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$1,175 |  | \$1,0 |  |  |  | \$1,3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,0 |  |  |  | \$975 |  |  |

A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR/2BA/1057sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 31 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2009. This property includes a total of 28 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,100$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of 28 2BR/2BA/1044sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,295$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 12 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,112$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 27 2BR/2BA/1005sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 900$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 2 2BR/2BA/1157sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 900$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 31 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 014 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | $\$ 1,099$ | $\$ 85$ | 2 |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1044sf | $\$ 1,368$ | $\$ 93$ | 3 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/1BA/1043sf | $\$ 1,041$ | $\$ 2$ | 9 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1043sf | $\$ 991$ | $\$ 153$ | 7 |


| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1178sf | \$947 | \$190 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | \$1,176 | \$75 | 1 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1005sf | \$1,013 | \$113 | 4 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1157sf | \$975 | \$125 | 5 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics' | 050 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1076sf | \$997 | \$152 | 6 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics' | 050 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1275sf | \$923 | \$227 | 13 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics | 050 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1275sf | \$1,032 | \$242 | 20 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/870sf | \$732 | \$256 | 23 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$752 | \$211 | 11 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/870sf | \$732 | \$256 | 23 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$752 | \$211 | 11 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 2BR/1BA/890sf | \$870 | \$294 | 27 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1067.5sf | \$785 | \$205 | 10 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$999 | \$357 | 29 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1215sf | \$1,383 | \$256 | 22 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1057sf | \$1,038 | \$262 | 25 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/1BA/0sf | \$1,200 | \$558 | 31 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/0sf | \$1,649 | \$533 | 30 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1057sf | \$1,020 | \$244 | 21 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1BA/1055sf | \$924 | \$282 | 26 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$1,109 | \$232 | 16 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1231sf | \$1,349 | \$230 | 14 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1050sf | \$1,070 | \$233 | 18 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$969 | \$327 | 28 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$1,109 | \$232 | 16 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1231sf | \$1,349 | \$230 | 14 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1050sf | \$1,070 | \$233 | 18 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 1175$ for the 2BR/2BA/1057sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.


A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR/2.5BA/1340sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 21 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of 11 3BR/2BA/1218sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,350$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $83 B R / 2 B A / 1314$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,313$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $143 B R / 2 B A / 1250$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging \$1,100 (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2001. This property includes a total of $143 \mathrm{BR} / 2.5 \mathrm{BA} / 1287 \mathrm{sf}$ units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging \$1,006 (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2001. This property includes a total of $163 B R / 2.5 B A / 1287$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging \$1,006 (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 21 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1218sf | $\$ 1,487$ | $\$ 157$ | 2 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1258sf | $\$ 1,200$ | $\$ 229$ | 6 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1314sf | $\$ 1,426$ | $\$ 113$ | 1 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1250sf | $\$ 1,259$ | $\$ 159$ | 3 |


| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$769 | \$309 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$865 | \$203 | 4 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$769 | \$309 | 12 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$865 | \$203 | 4 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1138sf | \$1,070 | \$312 | 14 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1254sf | \$1,454 | \$329 | 16 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1340sf | \$1,533 | \$308 | 11 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1594sf | \$1,504 | \$336 | 17 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 4BR/2.5BA/1581sf | \$1,630 | \$395 | 19 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1254sf | \$1,437 | \$312 | 14 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/0sf | \$2,070 | \$590 | 21 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1340sf | \$1,438 | \$243 | 7 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1441sf | \$1,512 | \$268 | 9 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 4BR/2BA/1594sf | \$1,622 | \$343 | 18 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1340sf | \$1,438 | \$243 | 7 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1441sf | \$1,512 | \$268 | 9 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 4BR/2BA/1908sf | \$1,544 | \$422 | 20 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 1325$ for the 3BR/2.5BA/1340sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | 033-25Centennial Place, Phase 1 526 Centennial Olympic | 017-09 |  |  |  | 031-12 |  |  |  | 045-19 |  |  |  | 093-15Magnolia Park Apartments, |  |  |  | 094-15 |  |  |  |
| Property Name |  |  | Auburn Glenn Apartments |  |  |  | Capitol Gateway Apartments, |  |  |  | Columbia Mechanicsville |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Magnolia Park Apartments, |  |  |  |
| Address |  |  | 49 Bou | vard | Avenue, |  | oodward Av |  |  |  | 0 McDaniel Stree |  |  |  | Magnolia Park Apartments, 60 Paschal Boulevard NW |  |  |  | 60 Paschal Boulevard NW |  |  |  |
| City |  | Atlanta | Atlanta |  |  |  | AtlantaGeorgia |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  |  | Atlan |  |  |
| State |  | Georgia | Georgia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 1.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.64$05 / 20 / 13$ |  |  |  | 1.39 |  |  |  | Georgia 1.44 |  |  |  |
| Effective Date |  | 06/10/13 | 01/05/12 |  |  |  | 05/13/13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 05/14/13 |  |  |  | 05/14/13 |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |
| Occupancy |  | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |  | 88\% |  |  |  | 86\% |  |  |  | 71\% |  |  |  | 69\% |  |  |  |
| Year Built |  | 1996 | 2004 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  |
| Year Renovated |  | 2013 | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  |
| Gross Rent |  | \$1,500 | \$1,350 |  |  |  | \$1,313 |  |  |  | \$1,100 |  |  |  | \$1,050 |  |  |  | \$1,050 |  |  |  |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$44 |  |  |  | \$44 |  |  |  |
| Net Rent |  | \$1,500 | \$1,350 |  |  |  | \$1,313 |  |  |  | \$1,100 |  |  |  | \$1,006 |  |  |  | \$1,006 |  |  |  |
|  | Adj | Data | Data |  | + | Adj | Data |  | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  | \$393UA | \$412UA | \$0 | \$19 | \$19 | \$412UA | \$0 | \$19 | \$19 | \$412UA | \$0 | \$19 | \$19 | \$221UA | \$172 | \$0 | -\$172 | \$221UA | \$172 | \$0 | -\$172 |
| Visibility | \$0.00 | 3.25 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Access | \$0.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0.00 | 3.00 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Proximity to Amenities | \$0.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.25 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.25 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Condition | \$50.00 | 4.25 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | 4.00 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 |
| Effective Age | \$0.00 | 10 | 13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 8 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 8 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 8 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Location \& Condition |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13 | \$13 |
| Bedrooms | \$100.00 | 3 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$50.00 | 2.5 | 2.0 | \$0 | \$25 | \$25 | 2.0 | \$0 | \$25 | \$25 | 2.0 | \$0 | \$25 | \$25 | 2.5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.25 | 1,594 | 1,218 | \$0 | \$94 | \$94 | 1,314 | \$0 | \$70 | \$70 | 1,250 | \$0 | \$86 | \$86 | 1,287 | \$0 | \$77 | \$77 | 1,287 | \$0 | \$77 | \$77 |
| Bedrooms \& Bathrooms |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$119 | \$119 | \$0 | \$0 | \$95 | \$95 | \$0 | \$0 | \$111 | \$111 | \$0 | \$0 | \$77 | \$77 | \$0 | \$0 | \$77 | \$77 |
| Ball Field | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Billiards | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Car Care Ctr | \$5.00 | no | по | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Comm Center | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$0.00 | no | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gazebo | \$5.00 | yes | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lake | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Library | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Playground | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pool | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sauna | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 |
| Walking Trail | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Project Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30 | \$30 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Blinds | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$5.00 | yes | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Upgraded Flooring | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$5.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$40.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$40 | \$0 | -\$40 | yes | \$40 | \$0 | -\$40 |
| Unit Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$35 | \$0 | -\$35 | \$0 | \$35 | \$0 | -\$35 |
| Stove | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Microwave | \$10.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Window Units | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| A/C Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Baseboards | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Radiators | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heat |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Garage | \$75.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Covered | \$50.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Assigned | \$25.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Open | \$0.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$20.00 | no | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| W/D Units | \$40.00 | yes | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| W/D Hookups | \$20.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| W/D Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$20 | \$20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Cont Access | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 |
| Monitoring | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$5.00 | yes | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Security Patrols | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 |
| Security |  |  | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$1,500 |  | \$1,5 |  |  |  | \$1,4 |  |  |  | \$1,2 |  |  |  | \$89 |  |  |  | \$89 |  |  |

A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR/2.5BA/1594sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 21 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of 11 3BR/2BA/1218sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,350$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $83 B R / 2 B A / 1314$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,313$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $143 B R / 2 B A / 1250$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging \$1,100 (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2001. This property includes a total of $143 \mathrm{BR} / 2.5 \mathrm{BA} / 1287 \mathrm{sf}$ units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging \$1,006 (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2001. This property includes a total of $163 B R / 2.5 B A / 1287$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging \$1,006 (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 21 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1218sf | $\$ 1,516$ | $\$ 186$ | 2 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1258sf | $\$ 1,228$ | $\$ 328$ | 10 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1314sf | $\$ 1,454$ | $\$ 142$ | 3 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1250sf | $\$ 1,288$ | $\$ 188$ | 3 |


| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$798 | \$408 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$893 | \$301 | 4 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$798 | \$408 | 16 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$893 | \$301 | 4 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1138sf | \$1,098 | \$410 | 18 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1254sf | \$1,483 | \$428 | 20 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1340sf | \$1,561 | \$406 | 15 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1594sf | \$1,533 | \$308 | 6 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 4BR/2.5BA/1581sf | \$1,659 | \$366 | 13 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1254sf | \$1,465 | \$410 | 18 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/0sf | \$2,099 | \$689 | 21 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1340sf | \$1,466 | \$341 | 11 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1441sf | \$1,541 | \$316 | 8 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 4BR/2BA/1594sf | \$1,651 | \$315 | 7 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1340sf | \$1,466 | \$341 | 11 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1441sf | \$1,541 | \$316 | 8 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 4BR/2BA/1908sf | \$1,572 | \$393 | 14 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 1500$ for the 3BR/2.5BA/1594sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.


A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 4BR/2.5BA/1581sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 21 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of $113 B R / 2 B A / 1218 s f$ units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,350$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $83 B R / 2 B A / 1314$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,313$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $143 B R / 2 B A / 1250$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging \$1,100 (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Centennial Place, Phase 1 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 1996. This property includes a total of $24 \mathrm{BR} / 2.5 \mathrm{BA} / 1581$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,850$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Centennial Place, Phase 3 is a market rate property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 1997. This property includes a total of $24 \mathrm{BR} / 2 \mathrm{BA} / 1594 \mathrm{sf}$ units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 1,850$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 21 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1218sf | $\$ 1,527$ | $\$ 329$ | 2 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1258sf | $\$ 1,240$ | $\$ 509$ | 12 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1314sf | $\$ 1,466$ | $\$ 285$ | 16 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1250sf | $\$ 1,299$ | $\$ 331$ | 3 |


| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$810 | \$590 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$905 | \$483 | 7 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$810 | \$590 | 16 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$905 | \$483 | 7 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1138sf | \$1,110 | \$592 | 18 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1254sf | \$1,494 | \$609 | 20 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1340sf | \$1,573 | \$588 | 15 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1594sf | \$1,544 | \$489 | 9 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 4BR/2.5BA/1581sf | \$1,671 | \$355 | 5 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1254sf | \$1,477 | \$592 | 18 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/0sf | \$2,110 | \$870 | 21 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1340sf | \$1,478 | \$523 | 13 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1441sf | \$1,553 | \$498 | 10 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 4BR/2BA/1594sf | \$1,662 | \$346 | 4 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1340sf | \$1,478 | \$523 | 13 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1441sf | \$1,553 | \$498 | 10 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 4BR/2BA/1908sf | \$1,584 | \$381 | 6 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 1650$ for the 4BR/2.5BA/1581sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.

## Unrestricted Market Rent Conclusion

Based on our evaluation of the rents at the select comparable properties, and considering the location, quality and amenities of the subject property, we conclude the following market rents for the subject property units, assuming that the subject were an unrestricted property:

| Unrestricted Market Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Market | Current | Advantage |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 9 | \$850 | \$568 | 33.2\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$850 | \$590 | 30.6\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 24 | \$850 | \$535 | 37.1\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$850 | \$535 | 37.1\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 30 | \$850 | \$850 |  |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$1,050 | \$651 | 38.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,050 | \$669 | 36.3\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$1,050 | \$625 | 40.5\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 4 | \$1,050 | \$1,050 |  |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf/ Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,050 | \$1,050 |  |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$1,350 | \$625 | 53.7\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 |  |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf/ $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 16 | \$1,175 | \$651 | 44.6\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf/ $60 \%$ of $\mathrm{AMI} / 60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,175 | \$669 | 43.1\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$1,175 | \$651 | 44.6\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$1,175 | \$625 | 46.8\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 3 | \$1,175 | \$1,175 |  |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 23 | \$1,175 | \$1,175 |  |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 18 | \$1,325 | \$722 | 45.5\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,325 | \$735 | 44.5\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$1,325 | \$681 | 48.6\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,325 | \$1,325 |  |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,325 | \$1,325 |  |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$1,500 | \$681 | 54.6\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 |  |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,650 | \$774 | 53.1\% |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$1,650 | \$1,650 |  |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,650 | \$781 | 52.7\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 181 | \$1,073 | \$789 | 26.5\% |

Our analysis suggests an average unrestricted market rent of $\$ 1,073$ for the subject property. This is compared with an average current rent of $\$ 789$, yielding an unrestricted market rent advantage of 26.5 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below unrestricted market rents for the area.

We selected a total of 13 properties as comparables for purposes of this analysis. The average occupancy at the select rent comparables currently stands at 92 percent.

Occupancy rates for the selected rent comparables are broken out below:

|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | 40\% of AMI | 50\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom | 92\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 93\% |  | 92\% |
| 2-Bedroom | 94\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 94\% |  | 92\% |
| 3-Bedroom | 90\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 89\% |  | 88\% |
| 4-Bedroom | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Total | 93\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 93\% |  | 92\% |

Occupancy rates for all stabilized market area properties are broken out below:

| Occupancy Rate, Stabilized Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | 30\% of AMI | 40\% of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| 0-Bedroom | 98\% |  | 100\% |  | 100\% | 63\% |  | 95\% |
| 1-Bedroom | 95\% |  | 100\% |  | 87\% | 95\% |  | 95\% |
| 2-Bedroom | 95\% |  |  |  | 96\% | 94\% |  | 94\% |
| 3-Bedroom | 94\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 89\% |  | 88\% |
| 4-Bedroom | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  | 100\% |
| Total | 96\% |  | 100\% |  | 90\% | 92\% |  | 95\% |

## Restricted Rent Analysis

In this section we develop a restricted market rent conclusion and an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property units. Our analysis began by selecting comparable rentals to use to develop estimates of market rents for the units at the subject property, assuming that the subject was a restricted property. Our selection of comparables was based on location, age, condition, unit mix and amenities of the comparable properties relative to the subject property.

## Rental Property Inventory, by Unit Type

In the following pages we present an inventory of properties included in this analysis. Rents for these properties, broken out by unit type, were used in selecting the rent comparables used in this analysis.

The properties that we consider to be comparable to the subject property are highlighted in the tables found in the following pages. We attempted to select stabilized restricted rent properties as comparables for purposes of our rent comparability analysis.

Comparables with market rents are used when a sufficient number of restricted rent comparables are not available and when maximum allowable rents for properties with restricted rents exceed prevailing rents in the area. In the event that program rental rates exceed market rental rates, restricted units are, in fact, de facto market rate units.

## Rent Comparables, Restricted Rent, Map

A map showing the location of the properties selected as comparables in this analysis is found in the following pages. Properties identified with red pushpins have market rents, properties identified with yellow pushpins have restricted rents, and properties identified with blue pushpins have subsidized rents. Detailed write-ups for the select rent comparables are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Rent Comparability Grids

Our analysis employed the use of rent comparability grids and resulted in a restricted market rent estimate for each of the subject's unit types. These grids and a narrative describing our rent adjustments are found in the following pages.

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0021016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,164 |
| 00317 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,068 |
| 004450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,103 |
| 005131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,102 |
| 008 Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$958 |
| 009 Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,320 |
| 011 Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,232 |
| 012 Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$899 |
| 013 Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$457 |
| 014 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$651 |  |  |  |  | \$651 |  | \$850 |
| 015 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | \$808 |  |  |  |  | \$808 |  | \$900 |
| 017 Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$690 |  |  |  |  | \$690 |  | \$890 |
| 018 Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,050 |
| 021 Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,308 |
| 029 Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$843 |
| 030 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$676 |  |  |  |  | \$676 |  | \$855 |
| 031 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$676 |  |  |  |  | \$676 |  | \$855 |
| 032 Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$610 |  | \$835 |
| 034 Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$610 |  | \$835 |
| 036 Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$610 |  | \$835 |
| 037 Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$610 |  | \$835 |
| 039 City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$628 |  |  | \$938 |
| 040 City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$807 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$732 |
| 041 Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$645 |
| 042 Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$948 |
| 045 Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$576 | \$717 |  | \$790 |
| 046 Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$790 |  |  |  | \$612 | \$612 |  | \$790 |
| 047 Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$790 |
| 048 Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$790 |
| 050 Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$623 | \$623 |  | \$765 |
| 051 Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$717 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$717 |
| 052 Columbia Tower Apartments | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$728 |  | \$294 |  | \$450 |  |  |  |
| 054 Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$720 |
| 056 Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 058 Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$819 |
| 059 Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$560 |  |  | \$700 |
| 060 Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$405 |
| 062 Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |
| 065 Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$712 |  | \$1,081 |
| 066 Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$989 |

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$607 |  |  |  |  | \$607 |  | \$707 |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$656 |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$390 |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$870 |
| 076 | Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$720 |
| 077 | Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$935 |
| 078 | Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,181 |
| 081 | Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$950 |
| 082 | ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,208 |
| 083 | Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | \$575 |  |  |  |
| 084 | Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$811 |
| 085 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$480 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 086 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$480 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 087 | Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$650 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 | Lutheran Towers Apartments | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 | M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$777 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,038 |
| 091 | Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation | \$550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 092 | Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$893 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$565 |  |  |  |  | \$565 |  | \$696 |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$565 |  |  |  |  | \$565 |  | \$696 |
| 095 | Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,275 |
| 096 | Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,020 |
| 099 | Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$790 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$790 |
| 102 | Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$863 |
| 103 | North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,393 |
| 104 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$601 |  |  | \$635 |
| 105 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$599 |
| 108 | Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$442 |
| 111 | Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,128 |
| 113 | Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,275 |
| 117 | Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,270 |
| 118 | Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$955 |
| 119 | Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$450 |  |  |  |
| 120 | Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$642 |
| 121 | Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$458 |
| 123 | Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$878 |
| 124 | Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$650 |
| 127 | Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$699 |
| 128 | Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$689 |
| 130 | Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$800 |  |  |  |  | \$800 |  | \$800 |
| 131 | Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$880 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132 | Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 | Veranda at Centennial | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | \$760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 | Veranda at University Homes | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | \$747 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$650 |  |  |  |  | \$650 |  | \$750 |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,071 |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,218 |
| 142 Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$687 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 143 William Booth Towers Apartments | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$913 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,800 |
| 145 Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Lease Up | \$947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,411 |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,096 |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,150 |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0021016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,749 |
| 00317 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,795 |
| 004450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,554 |
| 005131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,310 |
| 008 Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,414 |
| 009 Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,625 |
| 011 Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,543 |
| 012 Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,170 |
| 013 Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$498 |
| 014 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$744 |  |  |  |  | \$744 |  | \$1,100 |
| 015 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | \$970 |  |  |  |  | \$970 |  | \$1,175 |
| 017 Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$788 |  |  |  |  | \$788 |  | \$1,295 |
| 018 Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,300 |
| 021 Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,548 |
| 029 Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,370 |
| 030 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$776 |  |  |  |  | \$776 |  | \$1,112 |
| 031 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$776 |  |  |  |  | \$776 |  | \$1,112 |
| 032 Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$741 |  | \$1,124 |
| 034 Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$740 |  | \$1,124 |
| 036 Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$740 |  | \$1,244 |
| 037 Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$740 |  | \$1,176 |
| 039 City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,066 |
| 040 City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$887 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$804 |
| 041 Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$916 |
| 042 Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,132 |
| 045 Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$646 | \$773 |  | \$900 |
| 046 Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$900 |
| 048 Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$900 |
| 050 Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$710 | \$710 |  | \$972 |
| 051 Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 052 Columbia Tower Apartments | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 054 Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$768 | \$768 |  | \$856 |
| 056 Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$700 |
| 058 Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$993 |
| 059 Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,100 |
| 060 Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$463 |
| 062 Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,183 |
| 065 Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$848 |  | \$1,311 |
| 066 Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,395 |

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 068 GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$737 |  |  |  |  | \$726 |  | \$777 |
| 071 Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$856 |
| 072 Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$439 |  |  |
| 074 Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$450 |
| 075 Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,230 |
| 076 Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$920 |
| 077 Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,289 |
| 078 Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,493 |
| 081 Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,300 |
| 082 ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,610 |
| 083 Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,193 |
| 085 Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 086 Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 087 Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 Lutheran Towers Apartments | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$912 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,410 |
| 091 Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation | \$1,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 092 Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$1,045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$760 |  |  |  |  | \$758 |  | \$867 |
| 094 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$759 |  |  |  |  | \$770 |  | \$866 |
| 095 Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,589 |
| 096 Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,434 |
| 099 Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$900 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$900 |
| 102 Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,105 |
| 103 North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,580 |
| 104 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$746 |
| 105 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$748 |
| 108 Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$492 |
| 111 Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,511 |
| 113 Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,318 |
| 117 Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,278 |
| 118 Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,187 |
| 119 Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$545 |  |  |  |
| 120 Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$534 |
| 123 Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$899 |
| 128 Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | \$830 |  |  |  |  | \$830 |  | \$830 |
| 131 Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132 Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | \$975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 Veranda at Centennial | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | \$790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 Veranda at University Homes | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const | \$830 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$808 |  |  |  |  | \$805 |  | \$870 |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,359 |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,628 |
| 142 Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 143 William Booth Towers Apartments | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,535 |
| 145 Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Lease Up | \$830 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,985 |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,388 |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,545 |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0021016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00317 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 005131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$635 |
| 014 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$820 |  |  |
| 015 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | \$1,120 |  |  |  |  | \$1,120 |  | \$1,400 |
| 017 Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$868 |  |  |  |  | \$868 |  | \$1,350 |
| 018 Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,985 |
| 030 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$856 |  |  |  |  | \$856 |  | \$1,313 |
| 031 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$856 |  |  |  |  | \$856 |  | \$1,313 |
| 032 Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |  | \$1,632 |
| 034 Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |  | \$1,565 |
| 036 Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |  | \$1,615 |
| 037 Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | \$850 |  | \$1,587 |
| 039 City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$993 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$900 |
| 041 Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$853 | \$853 |  | \$1,100 |
| 046 Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,100 |
| 048 Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,100 |
| 050 Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$781 |  |  | \$999 |
| 051 Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 052 Columbia Tower Apartments | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 054 Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$950 |
| 056 Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$900 |
| 058 Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,200 |
| 059 Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$623 |
| 062 Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units

|  |  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$817 |  |  |  |  | \$817 |  | \$917 |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$550 |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 | Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 | Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 | Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 081 | Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 082 | ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 | Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 | Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,628 |
| 085 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 086 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 087 | Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 | Lutheran Towers Apartments | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 | M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$1,112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 | Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 092 | Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$880 |  |  |  |  | \$882 |  | \$981 |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$950 |  |  |  |  | \$958 |  | \$977 |
| 095 | Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 | Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 099 | Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$1,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,100 |
| 102 | Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 | North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,295 |
| 104 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$575 |
| 111 | Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 | Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,040 |
| 117 | Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 | Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,755 |
| 119 | Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$592 |
| 123 | Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,035 |
| 124 | Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 | Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 | Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 | Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 | Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132 | Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 | Veranda at Centennial | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 | Veranda at University Homes | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$999 |  |  |  |  | \$999 |  | \$1,100 |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 142 Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 143 William Booth Towers Apartments | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 4-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 | 100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 002 | 1016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 003 | 17 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004 | 450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 005 | 131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 | Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 | Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 | Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 | AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 | Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 | Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 | Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 018 | Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 | Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 | Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 | Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$953 |  |  |  |  | \$953 |  |  |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,850 |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,850 |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,850 |
| 039 | City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 | City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$1,023 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$933 |
| 041 | Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 | Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 046 | Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 | Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 | Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 051 | Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 052 | Columbia Tower Apartments | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 054 | Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 | Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 056 | Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 058 | Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 | Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 | Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 062 | Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 | Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 | Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 4-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 068 GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071 Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 075 Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 076 Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 081 Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 082 ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 085 Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 086 Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 087 Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 089 Lutheran Towers Apartments | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 092 Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 094 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 095 Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 099 Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132 Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 Veranda at Centennial | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 Veranda at University Homes | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Rental Property Inventory, 4-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 142 Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 143 William Booth Towers Apartments | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | 2013 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rent Comparables




A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR/1BA/688sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 13 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2009. This property includes a total of 11 1BR/1BA/756sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 651$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of 28 1BR/1BA/696sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 690$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2006. This property includes a total of 22 1BR/1BA/757sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 676$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 10 1BR/1BA/778sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 676$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2011. This property includes a total of 19 1BR/1BA/727.5sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 623$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 13 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 014 | Family | 1BR/1BA/756sf | $\$ 634$ | $\$ 79$ |  |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | 1BR/1BA/696sf | $\$ 740$ | 3 |  |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 1BR/1BA/757sf | $\$ 567$ | $\$ 73$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 1BR/1BA/778sf | $\$ 710$ | $\$ 120$ | $\$ 70$ |


| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 1BR/2BA/750sf | \$731 | \$139 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics | 050 | Family | 1BR/1BA/727.5sf | \$693 | \$132 | 5 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 1BR/1BA/653.5sf | \$446 | \$143 | 7 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 1BR/1BA/653.5sf | \$446 | \$143 | 7 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 1BR/1BA/799sf | \$560 | \$156 | 11 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 1BR/1BA/688sf | \$529 | \$173 | 13 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 1BR/1BA/688sf | \$521 | \$165 | 12 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 1BR/1BA/688sf | \$509 | \$153 | 9 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 1BR/1BA/688sf | \$509 | \$153 | 9 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 675$ for the 1BR/1BA/688sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.


A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR/1BA/869sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 24 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2009. This property includes a total of 18 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 744$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of $362 B R / 2 B A / 1044$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 788$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 6 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 776$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 5 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 776$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $102 B R / 2 B A / 1005$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 773$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 24 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 014 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | \$660 | \$169 | 5 |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1044sf | \$766 | \$168 | 4 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/1BA/1043sf | \$610 | \$177 | 6 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1043sf | \$560 | \$227 | 14 |


| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1178sf | \$523 | \$264 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | \$747 | \$155 | 1 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | \$747 | \$155 | 1 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1005sf | \$783 | \$165 | 3 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics' | 050 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1076sf | \$708 | \$226 | 13 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics' | 050 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1275sf | \$658 | \$306 | 24 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/866sf | \$529 | \$197 | 7 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$547 | \$239 | 15 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/866sf | \$529 | \$197 | 7 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$547 | \$239 | 15 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1067.5sf | \$614 | \$260 | 19 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1BA/869sf | \$611 | \$220 | 12 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1215sf | \$539 | \$294 | 21 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1041sf | \$529 | \$304 | 23 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/1BA/869sf | \$603 | \$212 | 11 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1041sf | \$522 | \$296 | 22 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$589 | \$201 | 9 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$551 | \$246 | 17 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$589 | \$201 | 9 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$551 | \$246 | 17 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 725$ for the 2BR/1BA/869sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.


A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR/1.5BA/1215sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 24 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2009. This property includes a total of 18 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 744$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of $362 B R / 2 B A / 1044$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 788$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 6 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 776$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 5 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 776$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $102 B R / 2 B A / 1005$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 773$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 24 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 014 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | $\$ 754$ | $\$ 79$ | 3 |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1044sf | $\$ 860$ | $\$ 9$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/1BA/1043sf | $\$ 704$ | $\$ 202$ | 13 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1043sf | $\$ 654$ | $\$ 152$ | 7 |


| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1178sf | \$617 | \$170 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | \$841 | \$65 | 1 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | \$841 | \$65 | 1 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1005sf | \$878 | \$105 | 5 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics | 050 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1076sf | \$802 | \$138 | 6 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics | 050 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1275sf | \$752 | \$212 | 16 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/866sf | \$623 | \$242 | 19 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$641 | \$200 | 11 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/866sf | \$623 | \$242 | 19 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$641 | \$200 | 11 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1067.5sf | \$708 | \$175 | 9 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1BA/869sf | \$705 | \$314 | 24 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1215sf | \$634 | \$200 | 10 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1041sf | \$623 | \$229 | 18 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/1BA/869sf | \$697 | \$306 | 23 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1041sf | \$616 | \$222 | 17 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$684 | \$293 | 21 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$645 | \$208 | 14 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$684 | \$293 | 21 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$645 | \$208 | 14 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 825$ for the 2BR/1.5BA/1215sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | 033-16Centennial Place, Phase 1 |  |  |  |  | 017-05 |  |  |  | 031-06 |  |  |  | 031-08 |  |  |  | 045-11 |  |  |  |
| Property Name |  |  | $014-07$Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 |  |  |  | Auburn Glenn Apartments |  |  |  | Capitol Gateway Apartments, |  |  |  | Capitol Gateway Apartments, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Address |  | 526 Centennial Olympic | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1322 Decatur Street |  |  |  | 49 Boulevard Avenue, SE |  |  |  | 89 Woodward Avenue |  |  |  | 89 Woodward Avenue |  |  |  | Columbia Mechanicsville500 McDaniel Street SW |  |  |  |
| City |  | Atlanta | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  |
| State |  | Georgia | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 1.32 |  |  |  | 1.44 |  |  |  | 1.37 |  |  |  | 1.37 |  |  |  | 1.64 |  |  |  |
| Effective Date |  | 06/10/13 | 05/13/13 |  |  |  | 01/05/12 |  |  |  | 05/13/13 |  |  |  | 05/13/13 |  |  |  | 05/20/13 |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 4 | 18 |  |  |  | 36 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |
| Occupancy |  | 100\% | 94\% |  |  |  | 97\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Year Built |  | 1996 | 2009 |  |  |  | 2004 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| Year Renovated |  | 2013 | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  |
| Gross Rent |  | \$625 | \$744 |  |  |  | \$788 |  |  |  | \$776 |  |  |  | \$776 |  |  |  | \$773 |  |  |  |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  |
| Net Rent |  | \$625 | \$744 |  |  |  | \$788 |  |  |  | \$776 |  |  |  | \$776 |  |  |  | \$773 |  |  |  |
|  | Adj | Data | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Data } \\ \hline \$ 327 U A \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 0$ | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | \$0 | $\$ 42$ | Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  | \$285UA | \$327UA | \$0 | \$42 | \$42 | \$327UA | \$327UA |  |  |  |  | \$42 | \$42 | \$327UA 100 |  |  |  | \$327UA |  |  | \$42 |
| Visibility | \$0.00 | 3.25 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $\begin{array}{llll}3.50 & \text { \$0 } & \$ 0 & \$ 0\end{array}$ |  |  |  | 2.50 $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ <br> 2.50 $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ |  |  |  | 2.50 $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ <br> 2.50 $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ |  |  |  | 4.00 | \$0 $\quad \$ 0$ |  |  |
| Access | \$0.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |  |  | 3.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neighborhood | \$0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2.50 \$0 \$0 \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}2.50 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $2.50 \quad \$ 0 \quad \$ 0 \quad \$ 0$ |  |  |  | 3.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Amenities | \$0.00 | 2.50 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $\begin{array}{llll} 3.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \\ 4.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 3 & \$ 3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll} 2.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \\ 4.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 3 & \$ 3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll} 2.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \\ 4.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 3 & \$ 3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $2.75 \quad \$ 0 \quad \$ 0$ |  |  |  |
| Condition | \$10.00 | 4.25 | 4.50 | \$3 | \$0 | -\$3 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.00 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 10 | 3 | \$7 | \$0 | -\$7 | $13 \quad \$ 0 \quad \$ 3$ \$3 |  |  |  |  | 13 \$0 \$3 \$3 |  |  |  | 4.00 $\$ 0$ $\$ 3$ $\$ 3$ <br> 13 $\$ 0$ $\$ 3$ $\$ 3$ |  |  |  | \$2 | \$0 | -\$2 |
| Location \& Condition |  |  | \$0 $\quad \$ 10$ \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 \$0 \$6 \$6 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { \$0 } & \$ 0 & \$ 6 & \$ 6\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { \$0 } & \$ 0 & \$ 6 & \$ 6\end{array}$ |  |  |  | \$0 \$0 |  |  |  |
| Bedrooms | \$100.00 | 2 | $\begin{array}{cccc} 2 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \\ 2.0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \\ 1,079 & \$ 8 & \$ 0 & -\$ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 2 $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ <br> 2.0 $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ <br> 1,044 $\$ 1$ $\$ 0$ $-\$ 1$ |  |  |  | 2 $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ <br> 2.0 $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ <br> 1,079 $\$ 8$ $\$ 0$ $-\$ 8$ <br>  $\$ 8$ $\$ 0$ $-\$ 8$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { \$0 } & \$ 1 & \$ 1 \\ \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0\end{array}$ |  |  |
| Bathrooms | \$50.00 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | \$0 \$0 |  |  |  |
| Square Feet | \$0.20 | 1,041 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}1,079 & \$ 8 & \$ 0 & -\$ 8\end{array}$ | 1,005 | \$7 |  |  |  |  |  | \$7 |  |  |  |
| Bedrooms \& Bathrooms |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { \$0 } & \$ 8 & \$ 0 & -\$ 8 \\ \text { no } & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { \$0 } & \$ 1 & \$ 0 & -\$ 1 \\ \text { no } & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | \$0 $\$ 8$ $\$ 0$ $-\$ 8$ <br> no $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}\$ 0 & \$ 8 & \$ 0 & -\$ 8\end{array}$ |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$7 | \$7 |
| Ball Field | \$5.00 | no |  |  |  |  | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |  |  | no |  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |  |  | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Billiards | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Car Care Ctr | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Comm Center | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$5.00 | yes | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gazebo | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lake | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Library | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Playground | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Pool | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 |
| Sauna | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Project Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30 | \$30 |
| Blinds | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Upgraded Flooring | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$5.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Storage | \$40.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Unit Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 |
| Stove | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Microwave | \$10.00 | no | yes | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Window Units | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| A/C Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Baseboards | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Radiators | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heat |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Garage | \$75.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Covered | \$50.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Assigned | \$25.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Open | \$0.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$20.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 |
| W/D Units | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 |
| W/D Hookups | \$20.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 |
| W/D Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$20 | \$20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Cont Access | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Security Patrols | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security |  |  | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$825 |  | \$74 |  |  |  | \$85 |  |  |  | \$8 |  |  |  | \$83 |  |  |  | \$86 |  |  |

A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR/2BA/1041sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 24 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2009. This property includes a total of 18 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 744$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of $362 B R / 2 B A / 1044$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 788$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 6 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 776$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 5 2BR/2BA/1079sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 776$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $102 B R / 2 B A / 1005$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 773$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 24 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 014 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | $\$ 744$ | $\$ 8$ |  |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1044sf | $\$ 850$ | $\$$ | $\$ 83$ |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Ph: | 030 | Family | 2BR/1BA/1043sf | $\$ 694$ | $\$$ |  |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Ph: | 030 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1043sf | $\$ 644$ | $\$ 192$ | $\$ 143$ |


| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1178sf | \$607 | \$180 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | \$831 | \$70 | 1 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1079sf | \$831 | \$70 | 1 |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1005sf | \$868 | \$95 | 5 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics' | 050 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1076sf | \$792 | \$142 | 6 |
| Columbia Parkside at Mechanics' | 050 | Family | 2BR/2.5BA/1275sf | \$742 | \$222 | 18 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/866sf | \$614 | \$233 | 19 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$631 | \$190 | 10 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/866sf | \$614 | \$233 | 19 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 2BR/2BA/952sf | \$631 | \$190 | 10 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1067.5sf | \$698 | \$175 | 8 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1BA/869sf | \$695 | \$304 | 24 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1215sf | \$624 | \$209 | 15 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1041sf | \$614 | \$220 | 17 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/1BA/869sf | \$687 | \$296 | 23 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 2BR/2BA/1041sf | \$606 | \$212 | 16 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$674 | \$283 | 21 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$635 | \$198 | 13 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1BA/875sf | \$674 | \$283 | 21 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 2BR/1.5BA/1075sf | \$635 | \$198 | 13 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 825$ for the 2BR/2BA/1041sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | 033-21Centennial Place, Phase 1 |  |  |  |  | 017-08 |  |  |  | 031-11 |  |  |  | 045-18 |  |  |  | 093-14 |  |  |  |
| Property Name |  |  | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 |  |  |  | Auburn Glenn Apartments |  |  |  | Capitol Gateway Apartments, |  |  |  | Columbia Mechanicsville |  |  |  | Magnolia Park Apartments, |  |  |  |
| Address |  | 526 Centennial Olympic | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1322 Decatur Street |  |  |  | 49 Boulevard Avenue, SE |  |  |  | 89 Woodward Avenue |  |  |  | 500 McDaniel Street SW |  |  |  | 60 Paschal Boulevard NW |  |  |  |
| City |  | Atlanta | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |  |
| State |  | Georgia | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 1.32 |  |  |  | 1.44 |  |  |  | 1.37 |  |  |  | 1.64 |  |  |  | 1.39 |  |  |  |
| Effective Date |  | 06/10/13 | 05/13/13 |  |  |  | 01/05/12 |  |  |  | 05/13/13 |  |  |  | 05/20/13 |  |  |  | 05/14/13 |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 3 | 14 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Occupancy |  | 100\% | 93\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  | 83\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Year Built |  | 1996 | 2009 |  |  |  | 2004 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  |
| Year Renovated |  | 2013 | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |  |  |  |
| Gross Rent |  | \$681 | \$820 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 868 \\ \$ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | \$856 |  |  |  | \$853 |  |  |  | \$975 |  |  |  |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  |
| Net Rent |  | \$681 | \$820 |  |  |  | \$868 |  |  |  | \$856 |  |  |  | $\$ 853$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adj |  | Data | Data | - | + | Adj | Data | - | + | Adj | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Data } \\ \hline \$ 412 \mathrm{UA} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 0$ | + | Adj | Data $\quad$ - $\quad$ Adj |  |  |  | Data $\stackrel{\$ 975}{ }+\quad$ Adj |  |  |  |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  | \$358UA | \$412UA | \$0 | \$54 | \$54 | \$412UA |  |  |  |  |  | \$54 | \$54 | \$412UA $\quad \$ 0$ |  |  |  | \$221UA |  | 221UA |  |
| Visibility | \$0.00 | 3.25 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.50 \$0 \$0 \$0 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 2.50 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \\ 2.50 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cccc}4.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0\end{array}$ |  |  |  | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Access | \$0.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $\begin{array}{llll} 3.50 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \\ 2.50 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}3.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Neighborhood | \$0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |  |  | 2.50 \$0 \$0 \$0 |  |  |  | $3.00 \quad \$ 0 \quad \$ 0$ |  |  |  | 2.50 \$0 \$0 \$0 |  |  |  |
| Proximity to Amenities | \$0.00 | 2.50 | 2.75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll} 2.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \\ 4.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 3 & \$ 3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 2.75 \$0 \$0 \$0 |  |  |  | 2.25 \$0 \$0 \$0 |  |  |  |
| Condition | \$10.00 | 4.25 | 4.50 | \$3 | \$0 | -\$3 | $\begin{array}{cccc} 4.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 3 & \$ 3 \\ 13 & \$ 0 & \$ 3 & \$ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cccc}4.00 & \$ 0 & \$ 3 & \$ 3 \\ 8 & \$ 2 & \$ 0 & -\$ 2\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.00 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$0 \$3 \$3 |  |  |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 10 | 3 | \$7 | \$0 | -\$7 |  |  |  |  | 13 \$0 \$3 \$3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2 $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Location \& Condition |  |  | \$0 $\quad \$ 10$ \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 $\quad \$ 0$ |  |  |  | \$0 \$0 \$1 \$1 |  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$1 | \$1 |
| Bedrooms | \$100.00 | 3 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ <br> 2.0 $\$ 0$ $\$ 25$ $\$ 25$ <br> 1,218 $\$ 0$ $\$ 7$ $\$ 7$ <br>  $\$ 0$ $\$ 3$ $\$ 3$ |  |  |  | 3 $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 0$ <br> 2.0 $\$ 0$ $\$ 25$ $\$ 25$ <br> 1,314 $\$ 12$ $\$ 0$ $-\$ 12$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 2.0 \\ 1,250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$0 } \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0 \\ \$ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 0 \\ \$ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 2.5 \\ 1,287 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Bathrooms | \$50.00 | 2.5 | 2.0 | \$0 | \$25 | \$25 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { \$0 } & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \\ \$ 0 & \$ 0 & \$ 0 \\ \$ 7 & \$ 0 & -\$ 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Square Feet | \$0.20 | 1,254 | 1,264 | \$2 | \$0 | -\$2 |  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$1 | \$1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bedrooms \& Bathrooms |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$23 | \$23 | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |  |  |  | \$0 $\quad \$ 0$ |  |  |  | \$0 | \$7 |  |  |
| Ball Field | \$5.00 | no | no \$0 \$0 \$0 |  |  |  | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Billiards | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Car Care Ctr | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Comm Center | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Elevator | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$5.00 | yes | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gazebo | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lake | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Library | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Playground | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pool | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sauna | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 |
| Walking Trail | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Project Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30 | \$30 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Blinds | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Upgraded Flooring | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$5.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage | \$40.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$40 | \$0 | -\$40 |
| Unit Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$35 | \$0 | -\$35 |
| Stove | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$10.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Microwave | \$10.00 | no | yes | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Kitchen Amenities |  |  | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Window Units | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| A/C Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Wall Units | \$30.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Baseboards | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Radiators | \$15.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Heat |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Garage | \$75.00 | some | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Covered | \$50.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Assigned | \$25.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Open | \$0.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| None | \$0.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Parking |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Central | \$20.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| W/D Units | \$40.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | no | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| W/D Hookups | \$20.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | yes | \$20 | \$0 | -\$20 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| W/D Units |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$20 | \$20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Cont Access | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 |
| Monitoring | \$5.00 | no | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$5.00 | yes | yes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | no | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Security Patrols | \$5.00 | no | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | \$5 | \$0 | -\$5 |
| Security |  |  | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | -\$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$925 |  |  |  |  |  | \$97 |  |  |  | \$9 |  |  |  | \$97 |  |  |  | \$80 |  |  |

A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR/2.5BA/1254sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 15 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2009. This property includes a total of $143 B R / 2 B A / 1264$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 820$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of $13 B R / 2 B A / 1218$ sf unit that was selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 868$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 5 3BR/2BA/1314sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 856$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $63 B R / 2 B A / 1250$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 853$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2001. This property includes a total of $13 B R / 2.5 B A / 1287$ sf unit that was selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 975$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 15 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 014 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1264 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 863$ | $\$ 112$ | 2 |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1218 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 975$ | $\$ 127$ | 4 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1286 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 709$ | $\$ 195$ | 7 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1314 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 944$ | $\$ 88$ | 1 |


| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1250sf | \$978 | \$125 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$740 | \$267 | 12 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$802 | \$184 | 5 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$740 | \$267 | 12 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$802 | \$184 | 5 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1138sf | \$909 | \$252 | 10 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1594sf | \$643 | \$299 | 14 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1254sf | \$668 | \$258 | 11 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/0sf | \$954 | \$474 | 15 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1094sf | \$723 | \$243 | 9 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1105sf | \$720 | \$240 | 8 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 925$ for the $3 B R / 2.5 B A / 1254$ sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.


A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR/2.5BA/1594sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 15 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2009. This property includes a total of $143 B R / 2 B A / 1264$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 820$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of $13 B R / 2 B A / 1218$ sf unit that was selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 868$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $53 B R / 2 B A / 1314 s f$ units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 856$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $63 B R / 2 B A / 1250$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 853$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Centennial Place, Phase 1 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 1996. This property includes a total of $23 B R / 2.5 B A / 1594$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 850$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 15 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 014 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1264 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 896$ | $\$ 145$ | 2 |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1218 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 1,008$ | $\$ 160$ | 4 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1286 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 742$ | $\$ 298$ | 1 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1314 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 977$ | $\$ 121$ | 1 |


| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1250sf | \$1,011 | \$158 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$773 | \$370 | 13 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$835 | \$274 | 6 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$773 | \$370 | 13 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$835 | \$274 | 6 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1138sf | \$942 | \$355 | 11 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1594sf | \$676 | \$266 | 5 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1254sf | \$701 | \$361 | 12 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/0sf | \$987 | \$577 | 15 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1094sf | \$756 | \$346 | 10 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1105sf | \$753 | \$343 | 9 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 950$ for the $3 B R / 2.5 B A / 1594$ sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.


A narrative regarding the selection of our rent comparables, the basis for our rent adjustments, and the development of our rent conclusion for the 4BR/2.5BA/1581sf units is presented in this section.

## Selection of Rent Comparables

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 15 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. We selected the 5 most comparable unit types to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A description of the selected comparables is found below:

## Comparable 1

Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2009. This property includes a total of $143 B R / 2 B A / 1264$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 820$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 2

Auburn Glenn Apartments is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2004. This property includes a total of $13 B R / 2 B A / 1218$ sf unit that was selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 868$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 3

Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of 5 3BR/2BA/1314sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 856$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 4

Columbia Mechanicsville Family is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 2007. This property includes a total of $63 B R / 2 B A / 1250$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 853$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Comparable 5

Centennial Place, Phase 1 is a restricted rent property located in Atlanta, Georgia that was originally constructed in 1996. This property includes a total of $23 B R / 2.5 B A / 1594$ sf units that were selected for purposes of this rent comparability analysis. Management is currently charging $\$ 850$ (net of concessions) for this unit type. Further details regarding the utility configuration and amenities associated with this unit type are found in the Appendix to this report.

As noted earlier, our analysis included a total of 15 unit types found at 13 properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Each comparable was ranked according to the total dollar value of adjustments arrived at in our rent comparability analysis. The 5 comparables with the least adjustments were designated as being most comparable for rent comparability purposes. These comparables are highlighted in the table found below:

| Identifying the Best Rent Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Property Key | Project Type | Unit Type | Indicated Rent | Adjustments | Rank |
| Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 014 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1264 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 908$ | $\$ 289$ | 2 |
| Auburn Glenn Apartments | 017 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1218 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 1,020$ | $\$ 304$ | 4 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 030 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1286 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 755$ | $\$ 481$ | 3 |
| Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phi | 031 | Family | $3 B R / 2 B A / 1314 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$ 989$ | $\$ 265$ | 1 |


| Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 045 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1250sf | \$1,024 | \$303 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$785 | \$552 | 13 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 093 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$847 | \$456 | 6 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1077sf | \$785 | \$552 | 13 |
| Magnolia Park Apartments, Phas | 094 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1287sf | \$847 | \$456 | 6 |
| Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& | 135 | Family | 3BR/2BA/1138sf | \$955 | \$538 | 11 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 032 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1594sf | \$688 | \$448 | 5 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1254sf | \$713 | \$543 | 12 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 034 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/0sf | \$999 | \$759 | 15 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 036 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1094sf | \$768 | \$528 | 10 |
| Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 037 | Family | 3BR/2.5BA/1105sf | \$766 | \$526 | 9 |

## Rent Adjustments

The adjustments used in this analysis came from feedback from experienced managers of multifamily properties. We interviewed property management personnel from several national companies to come up with a range of rent adjustments for this analysis. For certain physical features of the subject property, we used the survey average. For location, condition, effective age and other physical features, we identified the adjustments that minimized the sample variance in our data set. This technique, known as statistical extraction, is very similar to the matched-paired approach.

We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive utility adjustments in this analysis. The HUD model - which accounts for building type, building age, and unit size - included a current energy survey for the market area.

Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made.

## Indicated Rent

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 975$ for the $4 B R / 2.5 B A / 1581$ sf units at the subject property.
In arriving at our rent conclusion we considered the number and sum of adjustments as well as the ratio of the adjusted rent to the unadjusted rent for each comparable. We also considered a variety of statistical measures including the mean, median, range and standard deviation of the adjusted rents. We even evaluated the modified mean - the average adjusted rent with the high and low samples eliminated from the data - in arriving at our rent conclusion.

## Restricted Market Rent Conclusion

Based on our evaluation of the rents at the select comparable properties, and considering the location, quality and amenities of the subject property, we conclude the following market rents for the subject property units, assuming that the subject were a restricted property:

| Restricted Market Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Market |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 9 | \$675 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$675 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 24 | \$675 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$675 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 30 | \$675 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$725 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$725 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$725 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 4 | \$725 |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$725 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$825 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$825 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 16 | \$825 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$825 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$825 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$825 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 3 | \$825 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 23 | \$825 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 18 | \$925 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$925 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$925 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$925 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$925 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$950 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | \$950 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$975 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | \$975 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$975 |
| Total / Average |  |  | 181 | \$771 |

Our analysis suggests an average restricted market rent of $\$ 771$ for the subject property.

We selected a total of 13 properties as comparables for purposes of this analysis. The average occupancy at the select rent comparables currently stands at 92 percent.

The occupancy rate of the selected rent compatrables is broken out in the tables below:

| Occupancy Rate, Select Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | 40\% of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom | 92\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 93\% |  | 92\% |
| 2-Bedroom | 94\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 94\% |  | 92\% |
| 3-Bedroom | 90\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 89\% |  | 88\% |
| 4-Bedroom | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Total | 93\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 93\% |  | 91\% |

Occupancy rates for all stabilized market area properties are broken out below:

Occupancy Rate, Stabilized Properties

|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | 40\% of AMI | 50\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-Bedroom | 98\% |  | 100\% |  | 100\% | 63\% |  | 95\% |
| 1-Bedroom | 95\% |  | 100\% |  | 87\% | 95\% |  | 95\% |
| 2-Bedroom | 95\% |  |  |  | 96\% | 94\% |  | 94\% |
| 3-Bedroom | 94\% |  |  |  | 100\% | 89\% |  | 88\% |
| 4-Bedroom | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  | 100\% |
| Total | 96\% |  | 100\% |  | 90\% | 92\% |  | 95\% |

## Achievable Rent Conclusion

The next step in our analysis is to develop an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property. Achievable rents represent the absolute highest rent permissible for the area, considering market rents, program rent limits, and any other applicable rent restrictions on the subject property.

Our analysis begins by establishing the applicable program rent limits for the subject property. Program rent limits include any applicable LIHTC and FMR rent limits. LIHTC rent limits typically apply to units benefitting from tax credit and/or bond financing. The LIHTC rent limits for applicable units at the subject property follow:

| LIHTC Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Gross Rent | Utilities | Net Rent |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 9 | \$747 | \$179 | \$568 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$747 | \$157 | \$590 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 24 | \$747 | \$179 | \$568 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$747 | \$157 | \$590 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$895 | \$244 | \$651 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$895 | \$226 | \$669 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$895 | \$244 | \$651 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$895 | \$244 | \$651 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 16 | \$895 | \$244 | \$651 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$895 | \$226 | \$669 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$895 | \$244 | \$651 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$895 | \$244 | \$651 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 18 | \$1,035 | \$313 | \$722 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,035 | \$300 | \$735 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$1,035 | \$313 | \$722 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$1,035 | \$313 | \$722 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,155 | \$381 | \$774 |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,155 | \$381 | \$774 |
| Total / Average |  |  | 113 | \$882 | \$239 | \$643 |

Our analysis suggests an average net LIHTC rent limit of $\$ 643$ for 113 applicable units at the subject property.

FMR rent limits typically apply to units benefitting from HOME funds. The FMR rent limits for applicable units at the subject property follow:

| FMR Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Gross Rent | Utilities | Net Rent |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |


| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | - | - | - | - |

HOME funding is not proposed for the subject property.
Units benefitting exclusively from tax credits and/or bond financing are subject to LIHTC rent limits. Units benefitting from HOME funds in addition to tax credit and/or bond financing are subject to the lesser of LIHTC rent limits or FMR rent limits. Units benefitting from project-based rental assistance are normally limited to unrestricted market rent. With these parameters in mind, the following table sets forth the concluded program rent limits for applicable units at the subject property:

| Program Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | LIHTC | FMR | Market | Program |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 9 | \$568 | - | \$850 | \$850 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$590 | - | \$850 | \$850 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 24 | \$568 | - | - | \$568 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$590 | - | - | \$590 |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$651 | - | \$1,050 | \$1,050 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$669 | - | \$1,050 | \$1,050 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$651 | - | - | \$651 |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf/ $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$651 | - | - | \$651 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 16 | \$651 | - | \$1,175 | \$1,175 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$669 | - | \$1,175 | \$1,175 |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$651 | - | \$1,175 | \$1,175 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$651 | - | - | \$651 |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 18 | \$722 | - | \$1,325 | \$1,325 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$735 | - | \$1,325 | \$1,325 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$722 | - | - | \$722 |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |


| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | $\$ 722$ | - | - | $\$ 722$ |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | $\$ 774$ | - | $\$ 1,650$ | $\$ 1,650$ |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | $\$ 774$ | - | $\$ 1,650$ | $\$ 1,650$ |
| Total / Average |  |  | 113 | $\$ 643$ | - | $\$ 1,142$ | $\$ 957$ |

Our analysis suggests an average program rent limit of $\$ 957$ for 113 applicable units at the subject property.
Now that we have established program rent limits, we are in a position to develop an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property. Achievable rents represent the absolute highest rent permissible for the area, considering unrestricted and restricted market rents, program rent limits, and any other applicable rent restrictions on the subject property. The following table summarizes our findings:

| Achievable Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Program | Unrestricted | Restricted | Achievable | Proposed | Advantage |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 9 | \$850 | \$850 | \$675 | \$850 | \$568 | 33.2\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$850 | \$850 | \$675 | \$850 | \$590 | 30.6\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 24 | \$568 | \$850 | \$675 | \$568 | \$535 | 5.8\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$590 | \$850 | \$675 | \$590 | \$535 | 9.3\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 30 | - | \$850 | \$675 | \$850 | \$850 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 23 | \$1,050 | \$1,050 | \$725 | \$1,050 | \$651 | 38.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,050 | \$1,050 | \$725 | \$1,050 | \$669 | 36.3\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$651 | \$1,050 | \$725 | \$651 | \$625 | 4.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 4 | - | \$1,050 | \$725 | \$1,050 | \$1,050 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | - | \$1,050 | \$725 | \$1,050 | \$1,050 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$651 | \$1,350 | \$825 | \$651 | \$625 | 4.0\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | - | \$1,350 | \$825 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 16 | \$1,175 | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$1,175 | \$651 | 44.6\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,175 | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$1,175 | \$669 | 43.1\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | Yes | 2 | \$1,175 | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$1,175 | \$651 | 44.6\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$651 | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$651 | \$625 | 4.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 3 | - | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$1,175 | \$1,175 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 23 | - | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$1,175 | \$1,175 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 18 | \$1,325 | \$1,325 | \$925 | \$1,325 | \$722 | 45.5\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,325 | \$1,325 | \$925 | \$1,325 | \$735 | 44.5\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$722 | \$1,325 | \$925 | \$722 | \$681 | 5.7\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | - | \$1,325 | \$925 | \$1,325 | \$1,325 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | - | \$1,325 | \$925 | \$1,325 | \$1,325 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$722 | \$1,500 | \$950 | \$722 | \$681 | 5.7\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | - | \$1,500 | \$950 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | 0.0\% |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,650 | \$1,650 | \$975 | \$1,650 | \$774 | 53.1\% |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | - | \$1,650 | \$975 | \$1,650 | \$1,650 | 0.0\% |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | Yes | 1 | \$1,650 | \$1,650 | \$975 | \$1,650 | \$781 | 52.7\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 181 | \$957 | \$1,073 | \$771 | \$992 | \$789 | 20.5\% |

Our analysis suggests an average achievable rent of $\$ 992$ for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of $\$ 789$, yielding an achievable rent advantage of 20.5 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below achievable rents for the area.

Finally, assuming no rent subsidies, we arrive at the following achievable rents for units at this property:

Achievable Rents, No Rent Subsidies

| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Program | Unrestricted | Restricted | Achievable | Proposed | Advantage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 9 | \$568 | \$850 | \$675 | \$568 | \$568 | 0.0\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$590 | \$850 | \$675 | \$590 | \$590 | 0.0\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 24 | \$568 | \$850 | \$675 | \$568 | \$535 | 5.8\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$590 | \$850 | \$675 | \$590 | \$535 | 9.3\% |
| 1BR-1BA-688sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 30 | - | \$850 | \$675 | \$850 | \$850 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 23 | \$651 | \$1,050 | \$725 | \$651 | \$651 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$669 | \$1,050 | \$725 | \$669 | \$669 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$651 | \$1,050 | \$725 | \$651 | \$625 | 4.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-869sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 4 | - | \$1,050 | \$725 | \$1,050 | \$1,050 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-1BA-875sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | - | \$1,050 | \$725 | \$1,050 | \$1,050 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$651 | \$1,350 | \$825 | \$651 | \$625 | 4.0\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-1215sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | - | \$1,350 | \$825 | \$1,350 | \$1,350 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 16 | \$651 | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$651 | \$651 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$669 | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$669 | \$669 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$651 | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$651 | \$651 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$651 | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$651 | \$625 | 4.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1041sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 3 | - | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$1,175 | \$1,175 | 0.0\% |
| 2BR-2BA-1057sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 23 | - | \$1,175 | \$825 | \$1,175 | \$1,175 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 18 | \$722 | \$1,325 | \$925 | \$722 | \$722 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$735 | \$1,325 | \$925 | \$735 | \$735 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$722 | \$1,325 | \$925 | \$722 | \$681 | 5.7\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1254sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | - | \$1,325 | \$925 | \$1,325 | \$1,325 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1340sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | - | \$1,325 | \$925 | \$1,325 | \$1,325 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$722 | \$1,500 | \$950 | \$722 | \$681 | 5.7\% |
| 3BR-2.5BA-1594sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 1 | - | \$1,500 | \$950 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | 0.0\% |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$774 | \$1,650 | \$975 | \$774 | \$774 | 0.0\% |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / Market Rate / Market Rate | No | No | 2 | - | \$1,650 | \$975 | \$1,650 | \$1,650 | 0.0\% |
| 4BR-2.5BA-1581sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$774 | \$1,650 | \$975 | \$774 | \$781 | -0.9\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 181 | \$401 | \$1,073 | \$771 | \$796 | \$789 | 0.9\% |

## DEMAND ANALYSIS

## Overview

In this section we evaluate demand for the subject property using the recommended demand methodology promulgated by the National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts (NCAHMA). For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as the number of income-qualified renter households (by household size and unit type) that would qualify to live at the subject property at the lesser of the developer's proposed rents or achievable rents. Our analysis assumes retention of $75 \%$ of the residents currently residing at the subject.

Our analysis begins by developing a breakdown of the number of renter households, by income, by size as of the date of market entry for this development. This breakdown, which utilizes demographic data presented earlier in this report, is presented below:

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

Our analysis includes an estimate of demand along with capture rate and penetration rate estimates. Capture rates were computed two ways: (1) On a gross basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand) and (2) On a net basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand minus competing \& pipeline units). Penetration rates are defined as the number of proposed units plus competing \& pipeline units divided by incomequalified demand. In the following pages we provide detailed listings of competing \& pipeline units in the market area broken by unit type.

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 1-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 | 100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 002 | 1016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 003 | 17 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004 | 450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 005 | 131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 140 |
| 007 | Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 008 | Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 009 | Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 | AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 011 | Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 012 | Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 013 | Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 015 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | 22 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 24 |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 020 | Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 | Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 029 | Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 039 | City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 040 | City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 041 | Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 042 | Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 047 | Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 | Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 | Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 056 | Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 058 | Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 059 | Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 | Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 062 | Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 065 | Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 066 | Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 1-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 076 Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 078 Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 081 Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 082 ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| 084 Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 090 M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 091 Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 7 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 8 |
| 094 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 7 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 7 |
| 095 Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 099 Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 102 Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 103 North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 104 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 7 |
| 105 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |
| 111 Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 113 Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 117 Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 118 Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 119 Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| 120 Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 121 Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 123 Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 124 Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 127 Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 128 Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115 |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 83 |  |  |  | 25 | 25 |  | 638 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 | 100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 002 | 1016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 003 | 17 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 004 | 450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 005 | 131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 | Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 008 | Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 009 | Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 | AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 011 | Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 012 | Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 | Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 015 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | 23 |  |  |  |  | 27 |  | 32 |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 020 | Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 | Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 029 | Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 039 | City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 040 | City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 041 | Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 042 | Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 047 | Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 048 | Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 | Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 7 |
| 056 | Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 058 | Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |
| 059 | Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 | Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 062 | Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 065 | Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 066 | Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 3 |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 076 Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 081 Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 082 ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 083 Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 090 M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 091 Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 11 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 10 |
| 094 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 8 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 9 |
| 095 Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 096 Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 099 Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 102 Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 104 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |
| 105 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 108 Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| 111 Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 113 Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 117 Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 118 Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 119 Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |
| 123 Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 128 Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161 |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 95 |  |  |  | 2 | 56 |  | 746 |

[^3]Competing \& Pipeline Units, 3-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 | 100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 002 | 1016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 003 | 17 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004 | 450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 005 | 131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 | Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 | Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 | Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 | AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 | Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 | Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 | Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 015 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | 6 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 | Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 | Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 | Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 039 | City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 | City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 041 | Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 | Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| 047 | Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 048 | Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 | Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 056 | Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 058 | Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 059 | Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 | Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 062 | Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 | Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 | Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 3-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 076 Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 081 Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 082 ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 5 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 5 |
| 094 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 7 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| 095 Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 099 Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 102 Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 111 Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 117 Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 123 Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 124 Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  | 72 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 4-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key | Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001 | 100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 002 | 1016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 003 | 17 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004 | 450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 005 | 131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 | Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 | Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 | Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 | AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 | Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 | Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 | Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 | Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 | Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 | Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 039 | City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 | City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 041 | Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 | Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 | Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 | Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 | Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 056 | Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 058 | Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 | Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 | Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 062 | Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 | Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 | Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 4-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 076 Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 081 Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 082 ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 090 M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 091 Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 094 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 095 Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 099 Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 10 units, 3 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 10 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 3 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 157$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 157$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 449$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 5,383$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | \$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| \$0 | to | \$9,999 | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| \$0 | to | \$19,999 | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| \$0 | to | \$29,999 | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| \$0 | to | \$39,999 | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| \$0 | to | \$49,999 | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| \$0 | to | \$59,999 | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| \$0 | to | \$69,999 | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| \$0 | to | \$79,999 | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| \$0 | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |
| Maximum Allowable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| Maximum Allowable Income |  |  | \$27,900 | \$31,860 | \$35,820 | \$39,780 | \$43,020 | \$46,200 | \$49,380 |
| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| Size Qualified |  |  | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
| Demand Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| HH Below Maximum Income |  |  | 11,296 | 3,247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income |  |  | 2,722 | 505 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 8,574 | 2,742 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 11,316
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 11,316 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 26 units, 7 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 26 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 7 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 535$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 157$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 692$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 1,977$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 23,726$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 49,380$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 11,296 | 3,247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 10,258 | 2,503 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 1,037 | 744 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
1,781

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,781 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Market Rate

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 30 units, 8 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 30 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 8 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 850$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 157$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,007$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,877$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 34,526$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |  |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 21,331 | 9,891 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 12,803 | 3,473 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 8,528 | 6,418 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Demand Estimate 14,946

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 14,946 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 43 units, 11 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 43 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 11 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 226$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 226$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 646$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 7,749$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 49,380$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 11,296 | 3,247 | 1,835 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 4,082 | 758 | 394 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 7,213 | 2,489 | 1,441 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 11,143

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 11,143 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI
In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 8 units, 2 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 8 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 2 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 625$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 226$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 851$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,431$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 29,177$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 49,380$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 0 | 3,247 | 1,835 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 0 | 3,037 | 1,611 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 210 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 434 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Market Rate
In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 33 units, 8 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 33 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 8 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 1,050$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 226$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,276$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 3,646$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 43,749$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | \$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| \$0 | to | \$9,999 | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| \$0 | to | \$19,999 | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| \$0 | to | \$29,999 | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| \$0 | to | \$39,999 | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| \$0 | to | \$49,999 | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| \$0 | to | \$59,999 | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| \$0 | to | \$69,999 | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| \$0 | to | \$79,999 | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| \$0 | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |
| Maximum Allowable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| Maxim | Allowab | Income | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 14,360 | 4,195 | 2,063 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 6,971 | 5,696 | 1,608 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 14,275
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 14,275 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 19 units, 5 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 19 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 5 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 300$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 300$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 857$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 10,286$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size


Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 10,836 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 5 units, 2 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 5 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 2 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 681$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 300$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 981$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,803$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 33,634$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 49,380$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 0 | 0 | 1,835 | 1,185 | 754 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 0 | 0 | 1,771 | 1,040 | 574 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 65 | 145 | 180 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
390

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 390 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Market Rate

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 3 units, 1 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 3 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 1 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 1,325$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 300$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,625$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 4,643$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 55,714$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 15,941 | 5,180 | 2,330 | 1,509 | 921 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 5,390 | 4,712 | 1,342 | 617 | 440 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 12,499

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 12,499 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 4-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 4-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 2 units, 1 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 4-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ |
| Total Units | 2 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 1 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent |  |
| Utilities | $\$ 0$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 381$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $\$ 381$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 1,089$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 13,063$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 49,380$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 11,296 | 3,247 | 1,835 | 1,185 | 754 | 387 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 6,615 | 1,356 | 727 | 472 | 191 | 92 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,680 | 1,891 | 1,108 | 713 | 563 | 295 | 0 |

> Demand Estimate 9,250

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 9,250 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 4-Bedroom, Market Rate

In this section we estimate demand for the 4-Bedroom / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 2 units, 1 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This demand estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 4-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 2 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 1 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 1,650$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 381$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 2,031$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 5,803$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 69,634$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |  |
|  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 17,232 | 6,210 | 2,620 | 1,658 | 1,033 | 500 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,098 | 3,682 | 1,051 | 468 | 327 | 158 | 0 |

> Demand Estimate 9,785

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 9,785 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Subsidized

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the subsidized units at the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Demand Estimate, Subsidized

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Income, 0BR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 1BR | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 2BR | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 3BR | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 4BR | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Income, 0BR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 1BR | $\$ 5,383$ | $\$ 5,383$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 2BR | $\$ 7,749$ | $\$ 7,749$ | $\$ 7,749$ | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 3BR | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 10,286$ | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 4BR | $\$ 13,063$ | $\$ 13,063$ | $\$ 13,063$ | $\$ 13,063$ | $\$ 13,063$ | $\$ 13,063$ | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 5,383$ | $\$ 5,383$ | $\$ 7,749$ | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 13,063$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| HH Below Upper Income | 11,296 | 3,247 | 1,835 | 1,185 | 754 | 387 | - |
| HH Below Lower Income | 2,722 | 505 | 394 | 364 | 138 | 92 | - |
| Subtotal | 8,574 | 2,742 | 1,441 | 821 | 616 | 295 | - |
|  | Demand Estimate |  | 14,488 |  |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 14,488 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI at the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size


Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 2,330 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Market Rate

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the market rate units at the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Demand Estimate, Market Rate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Income, 0BR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 1BR | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 2BR | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 3BR | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 4BR | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Income, 0BR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 1BR | $\$ 34,526$ | $\$ 34,526$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 2BR | $\$ 43,749$ | $\$ 43,749$ | $\$ 43,749$ | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 3BR | $\$ 55,714$ | $\$ 55,714$ | $\$ 55,714$ | $\$ 55,714$ | $\$ 55,714$ | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 4BR | $\$ 69,634$ | $\$ 69,634$ | $\$ 69,634$ | $\$ 69,634$ | $\$ 69,634$ | $\$ 69,634$ | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 34,526$ | $\$ 34,526$ | $\$ 43,749$ | $\$ 55,714$ | $\$ 55,714$ | $\$ 69,634$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| HH Below Upper Income | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | - |
| HH Below Lower Income | 12,803 | 3,473 | 2,063 | 1,509 | 921 | 500 | - |
| Subtotal | 8,528 | 6,418 | 1,608 | 617 | 440 | 158 | - |
|  |  |  |  | 17,769 |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 17,769 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Project-Level

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a project-level demand estimate for the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,443 | 1,010 | 526 | 364 | 138 | 67 | 53 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 9,350 | 2,163 | 1,198 | 726 | 313 | 152 | 121 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,944 | 3,134 | 1,657 | 955 | 501 | 243 | 194 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,852 | 3,888 | 1,981 | 1,197 | 709 | 344 | 274 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 15,303 | 4,766 | 2,216 | 1,435 | 858 | 416 | 332 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,463 | 5,518 | 2,422 | 1,570 | 972 | 471 | 376 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 17,273 | 6,246 | 2,630 | 1,662 | 1,036 | 502 | 401 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,927 | 6,833 | 2,798 | 1,737 | 1,089 | 527 | 421 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | 526 |

Demand Estimate, Project-Level

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Income, Subsidized | \$27,900 | \$31,860 | \$35,820 | \$39,780 | \$43,020 | \$46,200 | - |
| Maximum Income, 20\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 40\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 50\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 60\% of AMI | \$27,900 | \$31,860 | \$35,820 | \$39,780 | \$43,020 | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 80\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, Market Rate | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | - |
| Minimum Income, Subsidized | \$5,383 | \$5,383 | \$7,749 | \$10,286 | \$10,286 | \$13,063 | - |
| Minimum Income, 20\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 40\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 50\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 60\% of AMI | \$23,726 | \$23,726 | \$29,177 | \$33,634 | \$33,634 | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 80\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, Market Rate | \$34,526 | \$34,526 | \$43,749 | \$55,714 | \$55,714 | \$69,634 | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income | \$5,383 | \$5,383 | \$7,749 | \$10,286 | \$10,286 | \$13,063 | - |
| HH Below Upper Income | 21,331 | 9,891 | 3,671 | 2,126 | 1,360 | 659 | - |
| HH Below Lower Income | 2,722 | 505 | 394 | 364 | 138 | 92 | - |
| Subtotal | 18,609 | 9,386 | 3,277 | 1,762 | 1,222 | 567 | - |
|  | Demand Estimate |  |  | 34,823 |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests project-level demand for a total of 34,823 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

## Capture Rates

In this section, we summarize our demand conclusions and estimate the capture rate for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.
Subject Property Units (Total)

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 10 |  |  |  |  | 26 |  | 30 | 66 |
| 2BR | 43 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 33 | 84 |
| 3BR | 19 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 3 | 27 |
| 4BR | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |
| Tot | 74 |  |  |  |  | 39 |  | 68 | 181 |

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 3 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 | 18 |
| 2BR | 11 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 8 | 21 |
| 3BR | 5 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 8 |
| 4BR | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Tot | 20 |  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 18 | 49 |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Unit-level demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level demand may not add up to project-level demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level demand.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 11,316 |  |  |  |  | 1,781 |  | 14,946 | 28,043 |
| 2BR | 11,143 |  |  |  |  | 434 |  | 14,275 | 25,852 |
| 3BR | 10,836 |  |  |  |  | 390 |  | 12,499 | 23,725 |
| 4BR | 9,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,785 | 19,035 |
| Tot | 14,488 |  |  |  |  | 2,330 |  | 17,769 | 34,823 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by gross demand. Underwriters often utilize capture rate limits of 10 to 25 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Gross Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0.4 \%$ |  | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2BR | $0.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $0.5 \%$ |  | $0.1 \%$ |
| 3BR | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0.5 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 4BR | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Tot | $0.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0.5 \%$ |  | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of vacant competing \& pipeline units in the market area by
unit/income type. This information will be used to further refine our capture rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of vacant competing \& pipeline units is found below.

| Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR | 95 |  |  |  |  | 56 |  | 638 | 746 |
| 3BR | 24 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  | 746 | 897 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 202 |  |  |  |  | 95 |  | 1,456 | 1,753 |

The next step in our analysis is to subtract the number of vacant competing \& pipeline units from gross demand to arrive at a net demand estimate for the subject property units. As described earlier, unit-level net demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level net demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level net demand may not add up to project-level net demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level net demand.

| Net Demand (Gross Demand - Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 11,233 |  |  |  |  | 1,756 |  | 14,308 | 27,297 |
| 2BR | 11,048 |  |  |  |  | 378 |  |  | 13,529 |
| 3BR | 10,812 |  |  |  |  | 376 |  | 12,427 | 23,615 |
| 4BR | 9,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,785 |
| Tot | 14,286 |  |  |  |  | 2,235 |  | 16,313 | 33,070 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by net demand. Underwriters often utilize capture rate limits of 10 to 20 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Net Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0.4 \%$ |  | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2BR | $0.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $0.5 \%$ |  |  |
| 3BR | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0.5 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| 4BR | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| Tot | $0.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0.5 \%$ |  | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |

In our opinion, the estimated project-level capture rate suggests an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit level capture rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

## Penetration Rates

In this section, we summarize our demand conclusions and estimate the penetration rate for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.

| rty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 10 |  |  |  |  | 26 |  | 30 | 66 |
| 2BR | 43 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 33 | 84 |
| 3BR | 19 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 3 | 27 |
| 4BR | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |
| Tot | 74 |  |  |  |  | 39 |  | 68 | 181 |
| Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 3 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 | 18 |
| 2BR | 11 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 8 | 21 |
| 3BR | 5 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 8 |
| 4BR | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Tot | 20 |  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 18 | 49 |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Unit-level demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level demand may not add up to project-level demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level demand.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 11,316 |  |  |  |  | 1,781 |  | 14,946 | 28,043 |
| 2BR | 11,143 |  |  |  |  | 434 |  | 14,275 | 25,852 |
| 3BR | 10,836 |  |  |  |  | 390 |  | 12,499 | 23,725 |
| 4BR | 9,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,785 | 19,035 |
| Tot | 14,488 |  |  |  |  | 2,330 |  | 17,769 | 34,823 |

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of vacant competing \& pipeline units in the market area by unit/income type. This information will be used to derive our penetration rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of vacant competing \& pipeline units is found below.

| Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 83 |  |  |  |  | 25 |  | 638 | 746 |
| 2BR | 95 |  |  |  |  | 56 |  | 746 | 897 |
| 3BR | 24 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  | 72 | 110 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 202 |  |  |  |  | 95 |  | 1,456 | 1,753 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute inclusive supply for the market area by unit/income type. Inclusive
supply will be taken into account in our penetration rate estimate for the subject property. For purposes of this estimate, inclusive supply consists of vacant subject property units plus vacant competing \& pipeline units.

| Inclusive Supply (Subject Property Units + Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 86 |  |  |  |  | 32 |  | 646 | 764 |
| 2BR | 106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR | 29 |  |  |  |  | 16 |  | 754 | 918 |
| 4BR | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 | 118 |
| Tot | 222 |  |  |  |  | 106 |  | 1,474 | 1,802 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the penetration rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, penetration rate is defined as inclusive supply divided by gross demand. Underwriters often utilize penetration rate limits of 10 to 20 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Penetration Rates (Inclusive Supply / Gross Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $0.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $1.8 \%$ |  | $4.3 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| 2BR | $1.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $13.4 \%$ |  | $5.3 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| 3BR | $0.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $4.1 \%$ |  | $0.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| 4BR | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Tot | $1.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $4.5 \%$ |  | $8.3 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |

In our opinion, the estimated project-level penetration rate suggest an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit-level penetration rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

## Absorption Period

In this section, we estimate the absorption period for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.

| Subject Property Units (Total) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 10 |  |  |  |  | 26 |  | 30 |
| 2BR | 43 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 33 |
| 3BR | 19 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 3 |
| 4BR | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 3 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 |
| 2BR | 11 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 8 |
| 3BR | 5 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |
| 4BR | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Our analysis uses the unit-level demand estimates derived previously.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 11,316 |  |  |  |  | 1,781 |  | 14,946 |
| 2BR | 11,143 |  |  |  |  | 434 |  | 14,275 |
| 3BR | 10,836 |  |  |  |  | 390 |  | 12,499 |
| 4BR | 9,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,785 |

The next step in our analysis is to apply an annual growth \& movership rate to derive an annual rental household growth \& movership estimate for the market area. Our estimates are found in the tables below.

| Annual Growth \& Movership Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Growth | $1.8 \%$ |
| Movership | $33.6 \%$ |
| Total | $35.4 \%$ |


| Growth \& Movership Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 4,010 |  |  |  |  | 631 |  | 5,296 |
| 2BR | 3,948 |  |  |  |  | 154 |  | 5,058 |
| 3BR | 3,840 |  |  |  |  | 138 |  | 4,429 |
| 4BR | 3,278 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,467 |

The next step in our analysis is to account for secondary market area migration in our annual rental household growth \& movership estimate for the market area. Our estimates are found in the tables below.

| Growth \& Movership Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 5,012 |  |  |  |  | 789 |  | 6,620 |
| 2BR | 4,935 |  |  |  |  | 192 |  | 6,323 |
| 3BR | 4,799 |  |  |  |  | 173 |  | 5,536 |
| 4BR | 4,097 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,334 |

The next step in our analysis is to estimate fair share, or the proportion of growth and movership that we would expect the subject property to capture. The fair share analysis is used extensively in single-family, multifamily, commercial, and retail market studies. The books entitled Market Analysis for Valuation Appraisals (1994, Appraisal Institute) and Market Analysis and Highest \& Best Use (2005, Appraisal institute) provide a good overview of this technique and its application to a variety of property types.

Based on our review of the subject and competing properties, along with their relative conditions/locations, we arrive at the following fair share estimates for the various unit/income types at the subject property.

| Competing Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 27 |
| 1BR | 21 |  |  |  | 7 | 16 |  | 77 |
| 2BR | 21 |  |  |  | 4 | 18 |  | 73 |
| 3BR | 19 |  |  |  | 2 | 14 |  | 32 |
| 4BR | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |


| Fair Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $5.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $5.0 \%$ |  | $2.5 \%$ |
| 2BR | $5.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $5.0 \%$ |  | $2.5 \%$ |
| 3BR | $5.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $5.0 \%$ |  | $2.5 \%$ |
| 4BR | $10.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $10.0 \%$ |  | $5.0 \%$ |

Applying the concluded fair share estimates to annual growth \& movership and dividing by twelve yields the following monthly absorption rate estimates for the various unit/income types at the subject property.

| Monthly Absorption Rate Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 20.9 |  |  |  |  | 3.3 |  | 13.8 |
| 2BR | 20.6 |  |  |  |  | 0.8 |  | 13.2 |
| 3BR | 20.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.7 |  | 11.5 |
| 4BR | 34.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.1 |

The next step in our analysis is to estimate stabilized occupancy by unit/income type for the subject property. These estimates, which were based on data previously presented in the supply analysis and rent comparability analysis sections of this report, are found below.

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Family, Stabilized Occupancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $63 \%$ |  | $95 \%$ |
| 1BR | $94 \%$ |  |  |  | $86 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  | $95 \%$ |
| 2BR | $95 \%$ |  |  |  | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ |  | $94 \%$ |
| 3BR | $94 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $89 \%$ |  | $88 \%$ |
| 4BR | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ |

Occupancy Rate, Select Comparables

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $92 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ |  | $92 \%$ |
| 2BR | $94 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $94 \%$ |  | $92 \%$ |
| 3BR | $90 \%$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ | $89 \%$ |  | $88 \%$ |
| 4BR | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ |

Concluded Stabilized Occupancy Rate

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $93 \%$ |  | $90 \%$ |
| 2BR | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $93 \%$ |  | $90 \%$ |
| 3BR | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $93 \%$ |  | $90 \%$ |
| 4BR | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $93 \%$ |  | $90 \%$ |

Applying the stabilized occupancy rate estimates to the number of vacant subject property units at market entry, yields the number of occupied units by unit/income type at stabilization as set forth below.

| Occupied Units at Stabilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 3 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 7 |
| 2BR | 10 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 7 |
| 3BR | 5 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |
| 4BR | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Dividing the number of occupied units at stabilization by the monthly absorption rate yields an absorption period estimate by unit/income type for the various units at the subject property. Underwriters often utilize absorption period limits of 12 to 18 months for projects similar to the subject property. Our absorption period estimates are found below.

| Absorption Period (Months to Stabilization) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $<1$ |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | $<1$ |
| 2BR | $<1$ |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | $<1$ |
| 3BR | $<1$ |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | $<1$ |
| 4BR | $<1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<1$ |

Our analysis suggests that the subject property will stabilize at 93 percent occupancy. We estimate 3 months of absorption and an average absorption rate of 17.6 units per month for this project. In our opinion, the absorption period suggests an appropriate number and mix of units for the subject property.

Absorption rates for multifamily properties depend on a variety of factors: (1) The competitive environment in which the property resides; (2) The pricing of the subject property units relative to competing units, (3) The presence of rent or income restrictions at the subject property; and (4) The availability of any rent concessions or rental assistance at the subject property. Subsidized properties normally lease up at a rate of 15-20 units per month. Unsubsidized properties with rent and income restrictions tyically fill at a rate of 5-10 units per month. Market rate properties normally lease up at a rate of 10-15 units per month.

As part of our analysis, we inquired about the absorption history for every property we surveyed. The following list summarizes our findings:

| Key | Project | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Tot Units | Abs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 330 | - |
| 002 | 1016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 265 | - |
| 003 | 17 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 118 | - |
| 004 | 450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 254 | - |
| 007 | Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 598 | - |
| 008 | Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 330 | - |
| 010 | AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 373 | - |
| 011 | Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 301 | - |
| 012 | Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 119 | - |
| 013 | Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 66 | - |
| 014 | Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 154 | - |
| 017 | Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 270 | - |
| 018 | Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | 2007 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 136 | - |
| 020 | Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 15 | - |
| 021 | Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 133 | - |
| 029 | Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 296 | - |
| 030 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 269 | - |
| 031 | Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 152 | - |
| 032 | Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 181 | - |
| 034 | Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 177 | - |
| 036 | Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 185 | - |
| 037 | Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 195 | - |
| 039 | City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 164 | - |
| 040 | City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 180 | - |
| 041 | Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 192 | - |
| 042 | Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 202 | - |
| 045 | Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 172 | - |
| 046 | Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences | 2006 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | 154 | - |
| 047 | Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 163 | - |
| 048 | Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 164 | - |
| 050 | Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 156 | 39 |
| 051 | Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | 2007 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | 121 | - |
| 052 | Columbia Tower Apartments | 1969 | 2007 | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | 95 | - |
| 054 | Cosby Spears Towers Apartments | 1972 | 2011 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 282 | - |
| 055 | Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 182 | - |
| 058 | Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 261 | - |
| 059 | Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 12 | - |
| 060 | Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 64 | - |
| 062 | Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 123 | - |
| 065 | Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 207 | - |
| 066 | Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 248 | - |
| 068 | GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 201 | - |
| 071 | Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 351 | - |
| 072 | Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 58 | - |
| 074 | Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 43 | - |
| 075 | Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 45 | - |
| 076 | Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 219 | - |
| 077 | Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 109 | - |
| 078 | Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 350 | - |
| 081 | Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 17 | - |
| 082 | ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 242 | - |
| 084 | Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 143 | - |
| 085 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 56 | - |


| 086 | Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 | 2010 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 56 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 087 | Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments | 1991 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 150 | - |
| 089 | Lutheran Towers Apartments | 1971 | 2012 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 202 | - |
| 090 | M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 280 | - |
| 092 | Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | 1980 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 150 | - |
| 093 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 220 | - |
| 094 | Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 180 | - |
| 095 | Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 253 | - |
| 096 | Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 225 | - |
| 099 | Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 164 | - |
| 102 | Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 65 | - |
| 103 | North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 239 | - |
| 104 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 127 | - |
| 105 | Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 127 | - |
| 111 | Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 231 | - |
| 113 | Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 188 | - |
| 117 | Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 188 | - |
| 118 | Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 336 | - |
| 119 | Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 53 | - |
| 120 | Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 20 | - |
| 123 | Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 533 | - |
| 124 | Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 21 | - |
| 127 | Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 267 | - |
| 128 | Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 129 | - |
| 130 | Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe | 2008 | na | Restricted | Elderly | Stabilized | 124 | - |
| 131 | Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 98 | - |
| 132 | Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe | 2011 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 102 | - |
| 135 | Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 450 | - |
| 137 | Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 270 | - |
| 138 | Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 153 | - |
| 142 | Wheat Street Towers Apartments | 1971 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 210 | - |
| 143 | William Booth Towers Apartments | 1988 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | 99 | - |
| 144 | Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 188 | - |
| 146 | Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 276 | - |
| 147 | Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 204 | - |
| 148 | Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | 172 | - |

## Absorption Analysis

In this section, we analyze the anticipated lease up for the subject property. We begin our analysis by taking the the absorption period conclusions from the previous section and restating them graphically as illustrated below.

Projected Lease Up


Our analysis suggests that the subject property will achieve 70 percent occupancy in 0 months, 80 percent occupancy in 0 months, and 90 percent occupancy in 1 months. We anticipate that the subject property will stabilize at 93 percent occupancy in 3 months.

It is important to note that this analysis does not account for pent-up demand, pre-leasing efforts or rent concessions. In our opinion, an effective pre-leasing effort could result in a month-for-month reduction in the estimated absorption period for this project. In addition, any concessions or rent subsidies not accounted for already in this analysis could cut capture rates and absorption periods significantly.

## Sensitivity Analysis

We also explored the relationship between rent level, capture rates, penetration rates, and absorption period. For purposes of this analysis, we forecasted demand and fill rates at $75 \%, 80 \%, 85 \%, 90 \%, 95 \%$ and $100 \%$ of achievable rent (derived earlier in this report). Our analysis is summarized below:

| Sensitivity Analysis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario | Capture Rate | Penetration Rate | Absorption |
| Developer's Proposed Rent | $0.1 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | 3 months |
| 100\% of Achievable Rent | $0.1 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | 4 months |
| 95\% of Achievable Rent | $0.1 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | 3 months |
| $90 \%$ of Achievable Rent | $0.1 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | 2 months |
| $85 \%$ of Achievable Rent | $0.1 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | 2 months |
| 80\% of Achievable Rent | $0.1 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | 1 months |
| 75\% of Achievable Rent | $0.1 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | 1 months |

Our analysis suggests the following relationship between rent levels and fill rates: At the developer's proposed rent we anticipate a 3-month absorption period; at 100\% of achievable rent we anticipate a 4-month absorption period; at $75 \%$ of achievable rent we anticipate a 1-month absorption period.

## Overview

In this section we evaluate demand for the subject property using the DCA demand methodology. For purposes of this analysis, we define DCA demand as the number of new income-qualified and existing income-qualified overburdened and substandard renter households that would qualify to live at the subject property at the lesser of achievable rents or the sponsor's proposed rents. Our analysis assumes retention of $75 \%$ of the residents currently residing at the subject.

Our analysis begins by developing a breakdown of the number of renter households, by income, by size as of the date of market entry for this development. This breakdown, which utilizes demographic data presented earlier in this report, is presented below:

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

Our analysis included demand and capture rate estimates. Capture rates were computed on a net basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand minus competing, pipeline \& newly-constructed units).

Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units, 1-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0021016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 00317 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 005131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 140 |
| 007 Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 008 Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 009 Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 011 Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 012 Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 013 Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 015 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | 22 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 24 |
| 017 Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 020 Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 029 Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 030 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 031 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 032 Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 034 Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 036 Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 037 Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 039 City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 040 City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 041 Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 042 Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 045 Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 047 Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 050 Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 11 |  |  |  | 8 | 28 |  | 9 |
| 055 Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 056 Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 058 Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 059 Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 062 Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 065 Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 066 Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 068 GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 071 Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 072 Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 075 Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units, 1-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 076 Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 078 Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 081 Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 082 ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 083 Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| 084 Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 090 M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 091 Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 7 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 8 |
| 094 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 7 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 7 |
| 095 Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 096 Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 099 Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 102 Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 103 North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 104 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 7 |
| 105 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |
| 111 Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 113 Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 117 Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 118 Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 119 Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| 120 Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 121 Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 123 Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 124 Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 127 Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 128 Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115 |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 94 |  |  |  | 33 | 53 |  | 647 |

[^4]Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0021016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00317 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 004450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 005131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 008 Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 009 Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 011 Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 012 Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 014 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 015 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | 23 |  |  |  |  | 27 |  | 32 |
| 017 Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 020 Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 029 Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 030 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| 031 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 032 Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 034 Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 036 Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 037 Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 039 City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 040 City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 041 Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 042 Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 045 Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 047 Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 048 Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 050 Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 15 |  |  |  | 11 | 35 |  | 16 |
| 055 Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 7 |
| 056 Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 058 Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |
| 059 Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 062 Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 065 Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 066 Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 068 GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 3 |
| 071 Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 072 Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 074 Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 075 Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 076 Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | 1984 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 077 Highland View Apartments | 1996 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 078 Highland Walk Apartments | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 081 Hotel Roxy Lofts | 1921 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 082 ICON City Apartment Homes | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 083 Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | 1910 | 1996 | Restricted | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 084 Intown Lofts and Apartments | 1920 | 2003 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 090 M Street Apartments | 2004 | 2011 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 091 Madison House Apartments | 1925 | na | Subsidized | Family | Rehabilitation | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 093 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 11 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 10 |
| 094 Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 2 | 2001 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 8 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 9 |
| 095 Mariposa Loft Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 096 Marquis Vista Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 099 Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 102 Muses Lofts | 1869 | 1995 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 North Highland Steel Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 104 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |
| 105 Northside Plaza Apartments | 1992 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 108 Overlook Atlanta Apartments | 1964 | 1993 | Market Rate | Family | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| 111 Park District at Atlantic Station | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 113 Pencil Factory Flats | 1908 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 117 Post Parkside Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 118 Post Renaissance Apartments | 1993 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 119 Rosa Burney Manor Apartments | 1974 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 Saint Charles Apartments | 1955 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 Samuel W Williams Apartments | 1969 | na | Market Rate | Family | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |
| 123 Solace on Peachtree Apartments | 1952 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 Spanish Oaks Apartments | 1925 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 Townview Station | 1985 | 2009 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 128 Twelfth Street West Apartments | 1958 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 135 Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph 1 \& 2 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| 137 Virginia Highlands Apartments | 1989 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 138 Waterford on Piedmont Apartments | 2005 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 144 Windsor at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 146 Biltmore at Midtown Apartments | 2001 | 2013 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 147 Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | 2007 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 Atlantic Square Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 149 BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apa | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 161 |
| 150 Elan Westside Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 110 |  |  |  | 13 | 91 |  | 762 |

[^5]Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units, 3-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0021016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00317 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 005131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 014 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 015 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | 6 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| 017 Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 031 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 032 Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 034 Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 036 Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 037 Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 039 City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 041 Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| 047 Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 048 Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 050 Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 6 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |
| 055 Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 056 Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 058 Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 059 Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 062 Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 068 GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 071 Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 075 Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units, 3-Bedroom Units


[^6]Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units, 4-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 001100 Midtown Apartments | 1965 | 2005 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0021016 Lofts | 1954 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00317 Street Lofts | na | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004450 Piedmont Apartments | 1998 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 005131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 007 Alexan 360 Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 Alexander on Ponce Apartments | 2002 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 009 Amli Ponce Park Apartments | 2013 | na | Market Rate | Family | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Amli Parkside Apartments | 2009 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 012 Arts Center Tower Apartments | 1965 | 1988 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Ashby Park Apartments | 1979 | 1997 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015 Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 2 | 2013 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 017 Auburn Glenn Apartments | 2004 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Baltimore Row Apartments | 1986 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 Bass Lofts Apartments | 1923 | 1996 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | 1920 | 2002 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 1 | 2006 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 031 Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 032 Centennial Place, Phase 1 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 034 Centennial Place, Phase 2 | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 036 Centennial Place, Phase 3 | 1997 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 037 Centennial Place, Phase 4 | 1999 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 039 City Plaza Apartments | 1996 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 City View at Rosa Burney Park | 1987 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 041 Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | 1989 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 042 Cityview Apartments at Freedom | 2003 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 Columbia Mechanicsville Family | 2007 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 047 Columbia Mechanicsville Station | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 048 Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | 2009 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 050 Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville | 2011 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 055 Courtyard at Maple Apartments | 1993 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 056 Cupola Building Apartments | 1930 | 2011 | Market Rate | Family | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 058 Envoy on Northside | 2004 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 059 Fairlie Poplar Project | 1995 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060 Fairway Court Apartments | 1960 | 1998 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 062 Ford Factory Lofts | 1914 | 1982 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065 Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | 1940 | 1997 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 066 Gables 820 West Apartments | 2008 | na | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 068 GE Towers Apartments | 1949 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 071 Hartford Place Apartments | 1969 | 1989 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 072 Henderson Place Apartments | 1955 | 1999 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 074 Heritage Square Apartments | 1963 | 1994 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 075 Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | 1924 | 1990 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units, 4-Bedroom Units


[^7]
## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate the number of income-qualidfied renters for the 1-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 10 units, 3 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 10 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 3 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 157$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 157$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 449$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 5,383$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | \$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| \$0 | to | \$9,999 | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| \$0 | to | \$19,999 | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| \$0 | to | \$29,999 | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| \$0 | to | \$39,999 | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| \$0 | to | \$49,999 | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| \$0 | to | \$59,999 | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| \$0 | to | \$69,999 | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| \$0 | to | \$79,999 | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| \$0 | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |
| Maximum Allowable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| Maximum Allowable Income |  |  | \$27,900 | \$31,860 | \$35,820 | \$39,780 | \$43,020 | \$46,200 | \$49,380 |
| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| Size Qu |  |  | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |

Income-Qualified Renter Household Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 10,770 | 3,136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 2,569 | 494 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 8,201 | 2,642 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Qualified Renter Households 10,844

Our analysis suggests a total of 10,844 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate the number of income-qualidfied renters for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / $60 \%$ of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 26 units, 7 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 26 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 7 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 535$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 157$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 692$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 1,977$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 23,726$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 49,380$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |

Income-Qualified Renter Household Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 10,770 | 3,136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 9,757 | 2,410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 1,013 | 725 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Qualified Renter Households 1,739
Our analysis suggests a total of 1,739 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Market Rate, Market Rate

In this section we estimate the number of income-qualidfied renters for the 1-Bedroom / Market Rate / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 30 units, 8 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 30 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 8 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 850$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 157$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,007$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,877$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 34,526$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |  |  |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |  |

Income-Qualified Renter Household Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 20,672 | 9,557 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 12,284 | 3,358 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 8,388 | 6,199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Qualified Renter Households 14,587
Our analysis suggests a total of 14,587 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate the number of income-qualidfied renters for the 2-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 43 units, 11 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 43 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 11 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 226$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 226$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 646$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 7,749$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 49,380$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No |

Income-Qualified Renter Household Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 10,770 | 3,136 | 1,780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 3,853 | 740 | 380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 6,917 | 2,396 | 1,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Qualified Renter Households 10,712

Our analysis suggests a total of 10,712 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate the number of income-qualidfied renters for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 8 units, 2 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 8 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 2 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent |  |
| Utilities | $\$ 625$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 226$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $\$ 851$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 25 \%$ |
| Months/Year | $\$ 31$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 29,177$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 49,380$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No |

Income-Qualified Renter Household Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 0 | 3,136 | 1,780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 0 | 2,930 | 1,556 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 206 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Qualified Renter Households 429
Our analysis suggests a total of 429 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Market Rate, Market Rate

In this section we estimate the number of income-qualidfied renters for the 2-Bedroom / Market Rate / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 33 units, 8 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 33 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 8 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 1,050$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 226$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,276$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 3,646$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 43,749$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No |

Income-Qualified Renter Household Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 13,879 | 4,046 | 2,004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 6,793 | 5,512 | 1,516 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Qualified Renter Households 13,820
Our analysis suggests a total of 13,820 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate the number of income-qualidfied renters for the 3-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 19 units, 5 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 19 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 5 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 0$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 300$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 300$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 857$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 10,286$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 49,380$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |

Income-Qualified Renter Household Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 10,770 | 3,136 | 1,780 | 1,129 | 704 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 5,633 | 2,149 | 1,273 | 781 | 571 | 0 | 0 |

Qualified Renter Households 10,406

Our analysis suggests a total of 10,406 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate the number of income-qualidfied renters for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 5 units, 2 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 5 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 2 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent |  |
| Utilities | $\$ 681$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 300$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $\$ 981$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,803$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 33,634$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | \$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| \$0 | to | \$9,999 | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| \$0 | to | \$19,999 | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| \$0 | to | \$29,999 | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| \$0 | to | \$39,999 | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| \$0 | to | \$49,999 | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| \$0 | to | \$59,999 | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| \$0 | to | \$69,999 | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| \$0 | to | \$79,999 | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| \$0 | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |
| Maximum Allowable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| Maximum Allowable Income |  |  | \$27,900 | \$31,860 | \$35,820 | \$39,780 | \$43,020 | \$46,200 | \$49,380 |
| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| Size Qu |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |

Income-Qualified Renter Household Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 0 | 0 | 1,780 | 1,129 | 704 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 0 | 0 | 1,715 | 998 | 534 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 65 | 131 | 170 | 0 | 0 |

Qualified Renter Households 365
Our analysis suggests a total of 365 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Market Rate, Market Rate

In this section we estimate the number of income-qualidfied renters for the 3-Bedroom / Market Rate / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 3 units, 1 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 3 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 1 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 1,325$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 300$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 1,625$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 4,643$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 55,714$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |

Income-Qualified Renter Household Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 15,481 | 4,964 | 2,254 | 1,423 | 865 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 5,191 | 4,594 | 1,267 | 585 | 410 | 0 | 0 |

Qualified Renter Households 12,047
Our analysis suggests a total of 12,047 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, 4-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate the number of income-qualidfied renters for the 4-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60\% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 2 units, 1 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 4-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Subsidized |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ |
| Total Units | 2 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 1 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent |  |
| Utilities | $\$ 0$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 381$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $\$ 381$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 1,089$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 13,063$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | $\$ 49,380$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |

Income-Qualified Renter Household Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 10,770 | 3,136 | 1,780 | 1,129 | 704 | 362 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 6,257 | 1,315 | 698 | 452 | 182 | 88 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 4,513 | 1,821 | 1,081 | 676 | 521 | 274 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Qualified Renter Households | 8,887 |  |  |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests a total of 8,887 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, 4-Bedroom, Market Rate, Market Rate

In this section we estimate the number of income-qualidfied renters for the 4-Bedroom / Market Rate / Market Rate units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 2 units, 1 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry. This estimate utilizes the basic assumptions and data found below:

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 4-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Market Rate |
| Income Limit | Market Rate |
| Total Units | 2 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 1 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 1,650$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 381$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 2,031$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 5,803$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 69,634$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ |

Size Qualified

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |

Income-Qualified Renter Household Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 16,778 | 5,935 | 2,509 | 1,565 | 974 | 472 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 3,894 | 3,622 | 1,011 | 443 | 302 | 146 | 0 |

Qualified Renter Households 9,418
Our analysis suggests a total of 9,418 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, Subsidized

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop an income-qualified renter estimate for the subsidized units at the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, Subsidized

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 3Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Income, 0BR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 1BR | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 2BR | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 3BR | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 4BR | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | $\$ 46,200$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Income, 0BR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 1BR | $\$ 5,383$ | $\$ 5,383$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 2BR | $\$ 7,749$ | $\$ 7,749$ | $\$ 7,749$ | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 3BR | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 10,286$ | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 4BR | $\$ 13,063$ | $\$ 13,063$ | $\$ 13,063$ | $\$ 13,063$ | $\$ 13,063$ | $\$ 13,063$ | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 5,383$ | $\$ 5,383$ | $\$ 7,749$ | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 10,286$ | $\$ 13,063$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| HH Below Upper Income | 10,770 | 3,136 | 1,780 | 1,129 | 704 | 362 | - |
| HH Below Lower Income | 2,569 | 494 | 380 | 348 | 133 | 88 | - |
| Subtotal | 8,201 | 2,642 | 1,400 | 781 | 571 | 274 | - |

Qualified Renter Households 13,869
Our analysis suggests a total of 13,869 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop an income-qualified renter estimate for the units restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI at the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | $7+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Income, 0BR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 1BR | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 2BR | - | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 3BR | - | - | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 4BR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 31,860$ | $\$ 35,820$ | $\$ 39,780$ | $\$ 43,020$ | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 0BR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 1BR | $\$ 23,726$ | $\$ 23,726$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 2BR | - | $\$ 29,177$ | $\$ 29,177$ | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 3BR | - | - | $\$ 33,634$ | $\$ 33,634$ | $\$ 33,634$ | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 4BR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 23,726$ | $\$ 23,726$ | $\$ 29,177$ | $\$ 33,634$ | $\$ 33,634$ | - | - |
| HH Below Upper Income | 10,770 | 3,136 | 1,780 | 1,129 | 704 | - | - |
| HH Below Lower Income | 9,757 | 2,410 | 1,556 | 998 | 534 | - | - |
| Subtotal | 1,013 | 725 | 223 | 131 | 170 | - | - |
|  | Qualified Renter Households | 2,263 |  |  |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests a total of 2,263 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, Market Rate

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop an income-qualified renter estimate for the market rate units at the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size


Our analysis suggests a total of 17,245 size- and income-qualified renter households in the market area.
Please note: This estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level.

## Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, Project-Level

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop an income-qualified renter estimate for the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 5,137 | 987 | 507 | 348 | 133 | 64 | 51 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 8,870 | 2,080 | 1,145 | 696 | 298 | 144 | 115 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 11,403 | 3,025 | 1,602 | 922 | 466 | 226 | 180 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 13,360 | 3,765 | 1,925 | 1,140 | 660 | 320 | 255 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 14,844 | 4,567 | 2,152 | 1,350 | 806 | 391 | 312 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 16,002 | 5,288 | 2,337 | 1,482 | 913 | 442 | 353 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 69,999$ | 16,819 | 5,969 | 2,518 | 1,569 | 977 | 473 | 378 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 79,999$ | 17,441 | 6,549 | 2,680 | 1,640 | 1,025 | 497 | 396 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | 493 |

Income-Qualified Renter Estimate, Project-Level

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Income, Subsidized | \$27,900 | \$31,860 | \$35,820 | \$39,780 | \$43,020 | \$46,200 | - |
| Maximum Income, 20\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 40\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 50\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Maximum Income, $60 \%$ of AMI | \$27,900 | \$31,860 | \$35,820 | \$39,780 | \$43,020 | - | - |
| Maximum Income, $80 \%$ of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, Market Rate | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | - |
| Minimum Income, Subsidized | \$5,383 | \$5,383 | \$7,749 | \$10,286 | \$10,286 | \$13,063 | - |
| Minimum Income, 20\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Minimum Income, 40\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 50\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, $60 \%$ of AMI | \$23,726 | \$23,726 | \$29,177 | \$33,634 | \$33,634 | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 80\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, Market Rate | \$34,526 | \$34,526 | \$43,749 | \$55,714 | \$55,714 | \$69,634 | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income | \$5,383 | \$5,383 | \$7,749 | \$10,286 | \$10,286 | \$13,063 | - |
| HH Below Upper Income | 20,672 | 9,557 | 3,521 | 2,008 | 1,275 | 618 | - |
| HH Below Lower Income | 2,569 | 494 | 380 | 348 | 133 | 88 | - |
| Subtotal | 18,103 | 9,064 | 3,141 | 1,660 | 1,142 | 529 | - |
|  | Qualified Renter Households |  |  | 33,640 |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests a total of 33,640 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

## Demand \& Capture Rate Estimate

In this section, we derive our DCA demand and capture rate estimates for the subject property. Our analysis, which begins with the income-qualified renter household estimates developed above, is found below.

| Income Qualified Renter Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 10,844 |  |  |  |  | 1,739 |  | 14,587 | 27,170 |
| 2BR | 10,712 |  |  |  |  | 429 |  | 13,820 | 24,961 |
| 3BR | 10,406 |  |  |  |  | 365 |  | 12,047 | 22,818 |
| 4BR | 8,887 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,418 | 18,305 |
| Tot | 13,869 |  |  |  |  | 2,263 |  | 17,245 | 33,640 |

The next step in our analysis is to account for 2 years of growth to estimate the demand stemming from new income qualified rental households. Our estimates are found below.


The next step in our analysis is to estimate existing demand stemming from income-qualified overburdened renter households in this market area. Our estimates are found below.


The next step in our analysis is to estimate existing demand stemming from income-qualified substandard renter households in this market area. Our estimates are found below.

| Existing Households - Substandard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 1,062 |  |  |  |  | 170 |  | 1,429 | 2,662 |
| 2BR | 1,049 |  |  |  |  | 42 |  |  | 1,354 |
| 3BR | 1,019 |  |  |  |  | 36 |  | 1,180 | 2,235 |
| 4BR | 871 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 923 |
| Tot | 1,359 |  |  |  |  | 222 |  | 1,689 | 3,295 |

The next step in our analysis is to account for elderly homeowners likely to convert to rental housing. This component may not comprise more than 2 percent of total demand. Our estimates are found below.

| Elderly Homeowners Likely to Convert to Rental Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to tally up gross demand for the subject property. Our estimates are found below.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 5,160 |  |  |  |  | 827 |  | 6,941 | 12,929 |
| 2BR | 5,097 |  |  |  |  | 204 |  | 6,576 | 11,878 |
| 3BR | 4,952 |  |  |  |  | 174 |  | 5,732 | 10,858 |
| 4BR | 4,229 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,481 | 8,710 |
| Tot | 6,599 |  |  |  |  | 1,077 |  | 8,206 | 16,007 |

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of vacant competing, pipeline \& newly-constructed units in the market area by unit/income type. This information will be used to further refine our capture rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of vacant competing, pipeline $\&$ newly-constructed units is found below.

| Vacant Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 94 |  |  |  |  | 53 |  | 647 | 794 |
| 2BR | 110 |  |  |  |  | 91 |  | 762 | 963 |
| 3BR | 30 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  | 75 | 119 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 234 |  |  |  |  | 158 |  | 1,484 | 1,876 |

The next step in our analysis is to subtract the number of vacant competing, pipeline \& newly-constructed units from gross demand to arrive at a net demand estimate for the subject property units. As described earlier, unit-level net demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level net demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level net demand may not add up to project-level net demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level net demand.

| Net Demand (Gross Demand - Vacant Competing, Pipeline \& Newly-Constructed Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 5,066 |  |  |  |  | 774 |  | 6,294 | 12,135 |
| 2BR | 4,987 |  |  |  |  | 113 |  | 5,814 | 10,915 |
| 3BR | 4,922 |  |  |  |  | 160 |  | 5,657 | 10,739 |
| 4BR | 4,229 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,481 | 8,710 |
| Tot | 6,365 |  |  |  |  | 919 |  | 6,722 | 14,131 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by net demand. Our estimates are presented below:

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | 3 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 | 18 |
| 2BR | 11 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 8 | 21 |
| 3BR | 5 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 8 |
| 4BR | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Tot | 20 |  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 18 | 49 |

Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Net Demand)

|  | Sub | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $0.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $0.9 \%$ |  | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2BR | $0.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $1.8 \%$ |  | $0.1 \%$ |
| 3BR | $0.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $1.3 \%$ |  | $0.2 \%$ |  |
| 4BR | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Tot | $0.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $1.2 \%$ |  | $0.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |

Our findings are summarized below.

| Project-Wide Capture Rate - Subsidized Units | $0.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units | $1.2 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - Market Units | $0.3 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - All Units | $0.3 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Absorption Period (Months) | 3 months |
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## INTERVIEWS

## Housing Authority

Our analysis included an interview with the local housing authority to identify any competing projects in the market area. All such projects have been accounted for in the supply analysis section of this report.

## Planning \& Zoning

Our analysis included an inerview with the local planning and zoning office to identify any approved projects in the pipeline at this time. All such projects have been accounted for in the supply analysis section of this report.

## Property Management

Our research also included an interview with management at each property included in this report to inquire about the local economy and housing market. Select notes from these interviews follow:

| Property Name: 100 Midtown Apartments | Property Key: 001 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Tara, Leasing Manager | Phone Number: (404) 961-7130 |

Notes: Efficiency units rent per bedroom, primarily to students. Tenants share bathrooms and common living areas. Utilities are charged $\$ 99$ monthly rate per bed. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: 1016 Lofts | Property Key: 002 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Julie, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 815-8877 |

Notes: Rents reflect special pricing. Graystar is building new apartments down the street, but contact could not give name. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: 17 Street Lofts | Property Key: 003 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Crystal, Asst Manager | Phone Number: (404) 815-0224 |
| Notes: Rents reflect special pricing on units as reported. Tenants pay a flat rate which is included in the |  |
| reported rent rate of 1 person at $\$ 25$ and each additional person at $\$ 10$ for water and sewer, and $\$ 7.50$ each |  |
| unit for trash. |  |


| Property Name: 450 Piedmont Apartments | Property Key: 004 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Ciara, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 892-1450 |
| Notes: Property operates with the LRO rental rate system, with daily changing rates. There are new |  |
| apartments nearby off Pondeleon Boulevard and the name will be 131 Ponce Apartments. |  |


| Property Name: 131 Ponce Midtown Apartments | Property Key: 005 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Management | Phone Number: na |
| Notes: Property is currently in construction with 280 units planned for this site. All information was extracted |  |
| from the Balfour Beatty Construction web site. The parking deck will have 2 levels. Units will be available to |  |
| lease in May, 2014. |  |

# Property Name: Affordable Assisted Living At Scholars Landing Property Key: 006 <br> Person Interviewed: Management <br> Phone Number: (404) 221-0360 

Notes: Please see the survey under the property's new name, Veranda At Scholars Landing.

| Property Name: Alexan 360 Apartments | Property Key: 007 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Tonya, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 221-0360 |
| Notes: Property operates with the Yield Star rental rate program, with daily changing rates. Property is located |  |
| on former Overlook Atlanta Gardens Apartments property. Rent reflects special pricing, $\$ 600$ off 1 st month's |  |
| rent with a 12 month lease. Property amenities include 2 saltwater pools and 2 rooftop gardens. There are no |  |
| new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. |  |

> | Property Name: Alexander on Ponce Apartments | Property Key: 008 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Donjoli, Customer Support | Phone Number: (404) 815-8020 |
| Notes: Property has two sites, one at 144 Ponce De Leon and the other at 116 Ponce De Leon. Property uses |  |
| LRO program, with daily changing rents. Property has 2 courtyards and one fireplace. Select units are |  |
| furnished. Property has common parking garage for $\$ 50$ annual premium. There are no new apartments |  |
| nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Highlighted unit's |  |
| rental rate is from our previous survey of this property $1 / 4 / 2012$. |  |

| Property Name: Amli Ponce Park Apartments | Property Key: 009 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Management | Phone Number: na |

Notes: Property is currently under construction at this time. We could not find any information at this time regarding this property.

| Property Name: AMLI Old 4th Ward Apartments | Property Key: 010 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Jake, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 523-4484 |

Notes: Property uses LRO program, with daily changing rates. Property amenities include TV lounge and coffee bar. There are new apartments nearby, Boho 4th Ward and Ameli Ponce Park. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Amli Parkside Apartments | Property Key: 011 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Erin, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 221-0660 |
| Notes: Property operates with the LRO rental rate system, with daily changing rates. There are no new |  |
| apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. |  |


| Property Name: Arts Center Tower Apartments | Property Key: 012 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Nicole, Management | Phone Number: (404) 875-8666 |

Notes: Rent reflects special pricing. Property is offering reduced rates as shown above. Rents include cable/internet package of $\$ 55$ for $1 B R$ and $\$ 60$ for 2BR. Additional storage is available for $\$ 25-35$ monthly premium, average listed below. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2011 survey of this property.

Property Name: Ashby Park Apartments<br>Property Key: 013<br>Person Interviewed: Ms. Moses, Owner<br>Phone Number: (770) 853-4547

Notes: Rents reflect special pricing. Property has $\$ 199$ move in special for first month with 12 month lease.

| Property Name: Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase 1 | Property Key: 014 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Jarelli, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) 523-1012 |

Notes: 2007 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 8 special needs units having HUD subsidies, 54 units having PHA operational subsidies, 31 units are restricted, and 61 units are market rate units. Covered porch is provided as an outdoor gathering area in lieu of gazebo.

## Property Name: Ashley Auburn Pointe, Phase $2 \quad$ Property Key: 015 <br> Person Interviewed: Ms. Jarelli, Asst. Manager Phone Number: (404) 224-1893

Notes: 2011 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 51 units of project based operational rental assistance available to tenants. This is phase of HOPE IV project. Fitness center and pool are shared with Phase 1. Community amenities for Phase 2 include a pavilion with barbeque and picnic areas, well-equipped playground, business/computer center, furnished arts/crafts room, and recycling center. Phase 2 will feature a spacious community room to serve as meeting/event space that leads to a covered exterior gathering space.

| Property Name: Atlantic Station Mixed Income | Property Key: 016 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Dave Bartlett, GA DCA | Phone Number: na |

Notes: 2004 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 33 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. There is a conglomerate of properties operating under the name Atlantic Station, but totally unrelated to this deal. Mr. Bartlett advised that this was a dead deal with TC's returned due to financing issues and was never built.

| Property Name: Auburn Glenn Apartments | Property Key: 017 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Barry, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 584-1300 |

Notes: 2002 Bond awarded for construction of this property with 140 units of Atlanta Housing Authority PHA project based rental assistance available to tenants. Property has 1 non-rental unit. Property is surrounded by 6 colleges: GA State, GA Tech, Morehouse, Clark Atlanta, Spellman, and Emory University. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Avalon Park Seniors Apartments | Property Key: 018 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Mary, Leasing | Phone Number: (404) 792-0539 |

Notes: 2005 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 136 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Azalea Gardens Apartments | Property Key: 019 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Person Interviewed: Management | Phone Number: (404) 584-6101 |
| Notes: Contact advised they were not able to participate in our 2012 survey at this time, therefore no |  |
| information could be confirmed for this report. |  |


| Property Name: Baltimore Row Apartments | Property Key: 020 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Mark Scherer, Owner | Phone Number: (404) 875-6380 |

Person Interviewed: Mr. Mark Scherer, Owner
Phone Number: (404) 875-6380
Notes: These are luxury penthouse residential units, all located above their commercial units. All units are two floors, and some have third floor loft. Select units have patios. Every unit gets two parking spots in common garage, which has it's own elevator taking residents directly to penthouse units. The garage is gated and tenants have their own remote garage door openers. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

Property Name: Bass Lofts Apartments<br>Property Key: 021<br>Person Interviewed: Ms. Sarah, Management<br>Phone Number: (404) 222-9958<br>Notes: Property was originally an old school adapted for reuse. Rent reflects special pricing. Property is offering 2BRs only $\$ 500$ off first month with 12 month lease. Property amenities include elevators in 2 buildings, gated parking and dog washing station. New apartments nearby is 660 Apartments. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2011 survey of this property.

| Property Name: Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 1 | Property Key: 022 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Alycia Brown, Management | Phone Number: (404) 874-6301 |

Notes: HUD property with 134 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2006 survey.

| Property Name: Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 2 | Property Key: 023 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Alycia Brown, Management | Phone Number: (404) 874-6301 |

Notes: HUD property with 151 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2006 survey.

| Property Name: Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 3 | Property Key: 024 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Alycia Brown, Management | Phone Number: (404) 874-6301 |

Notes: HUD property with 84 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2006 survey.

Property Name: Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 4 Property Key: 025<br>Person Interviewed: Ms. Alycia Brown, Management Phone Number: (404) 874-6301

Notes: HUD property with 157 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2006 survey.

| Property Name: Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 5 | Property Key: 026 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Alycia Brown, Management | Phone Number: (404) 874-6301 |

Notes: HUD property with 146 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2006 survey.

| Property Name: Bedford Pine Apartments, Phase 6 | Property Key: 027 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Alycia Brown, Management | Phone Number: (404) 961-7130 |

Notes: HUD property with 86 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2006 survey.

| Property Name: Bottle Works Apartments | Property Key: 028 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Person Interviewed: Management | Phone Number: (404) 215-9896 |
| Notes: Property appears to be units for individual sale, not rental units. Unable to confirm information after |  |
| numerous attempts to contact property. |  |


| Property Name: Camden Midtown Atlanta Apartments | Property Key: 029 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Leah, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) 347-9200 |

Notes: Property uses Yield Star program, with daily changing rents. Select units have sunrooms and others are loft units. Parking is gated. New apartments nearby is Camden Ivy Hall, formerly condominiums, at corner of Piedmont and North. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2011 survey of this property.

Property Name: Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase $1 \quad$ Property Key: 030
Person Interviewed: Ms. Angel,Leasing Agent Phone Number: (404) 586-0411
Notes: 2004 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 89 units of PHA project based rental assistance available to tenants. Property offers 2 types of deposit, the Surety Deposit $\$ 88$ or a $\$ 200$ deposit. A "Look \& Lease" special is being offered and the property has reduced the application fee to $\$ 25$ and the Deposit to $\$ 99$. Property is located beside Washington Rawson Park. Amphitheatre is located onsite. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Capitol Gateway Apartments, Phase 2 | Property Key: 031 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Angel, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 586-0411 |
| Notes: 2005 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 65 units of project based rental assistance |  |
|  |  |
| Lease" special is being offered and they have reduced the application fee to $\$ 25$ and the deposit $\$ 99$. Some |  |
| rental assistance from HUD and some from PHA. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing |  |
| or laying off employees. |  |

Property Name: Centennial Place, Phase 1
Person Interviewed: Ms. Sondra Osadolor, Leasing
Phone Number: (404) 892-0772
Notes: 1995 \& 1996 TC's awarded for new construction of units, formally known as Legacy, Phase 1. Select units have subsidies through PHA. There are no new apartments nearby. Vidalia and Athens style units have a garage and bonus room. HERA limits apply. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Centennial Place, Phase 1 | Property Key: 033 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Taylor Brown, Developer | Phone Number: (404) 858-3127 |

Notes: 1995 \& 1996 TC's awarded for new construction of units, formally known as Legacy, Phase 1. Select
units have subsidies through PHA. 7 units are all electric. Vadalia and Athens style units have a garage and bonus room. Property is applying for 2013 TC/Bond financing to rehab these units.

```
Property Name: Centennial Place, Phase 2 Property Key: 034
Person Interviewed: Ms. Sondra Osadolor, Leasing Phone Number: (404) 892-0772
Notes: 1995 \& 1996 TC's awarded for new construction of units, formally known as Legacy, Phase 2. Select units have subsidies through PHA. There are no new apartments nearby. Vidalia and Athens style units have a garage and bonus room. HERA limits apply. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.
```

| Property Name: Centennial Place, Phase 2 | Property Key: 035 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Taylor Brown, Developer | Phone Number: (404) 858-3127 |
| Notes: 1995 \& 1996 TC's awarded for new construction of units, formally known as Legacy, Phase 2. Select |  |
| units have subsidies through PHA. Vidalia and Athens style units have a garage and bonus room. Property is |  |
| applying for 2013 TC/Bond financing to rehab these units. |  |


| Property Name: Centennial Place, Phase 3 | Property Key: 036 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Sondra Osadolor, Leasing | Phone Number: (404) 892-0772 |

Notes: 1995 \& 1996 TC's awarded for new construction of units, formally known as Legacy, Phase 3. Select units have subsidies through PHA. Vidalia and Athens style units have a garage and bonus room. HERA limits apply. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.


#### Abstract

Property Name: Centennial Place, Phase 4 Property Key: 037 Person Interviewed: Ms. Sondra Osadolor, Leasing Phone Number: (404) 892-0772 Notes: 1995 \& 1996 TC's awarded for new construction of units, formally known as Legacy, Phase 4. Select units have subsidies through PHA. Vidalia and Athens style units have a garage and bonus room. HERA limits apply. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.


Property Name: Chappell Forest Apartments
Person Interviewed: Ms. Easley, Management

Property Key: 038
Phone Number: (404) 874-8232

Notes: After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2005 survey of this property.

| Property Name: City Plaza Apartments | Property Key: 039 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Lamar, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 681-4750 |

Notes: 1996 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. Tenants must pay to park in parking lot next door. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Property has been updating kitchens and bathrooms since 2012.

Property Key: 040
Phone Number: (404) 524-0286

Notes: 2002 Bond awarded for rehabilitation of this property with 154 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. All utilities included in 1BRs, which are located in 10-story high-rise building only. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Cityscape at Midtown Apartments | Property Key: 041 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Dawn, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) 881-6699 |

Notes: Rent reflects special pricing. Property is offering first month free with 13 month lease. Property accepts 6 month lease for additional $\$ 20$ monthly premium. 2010 renovations included 50 units being upgraded. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Cityview Apartments at Freedom | Property Key: 042 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Glenn, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 223-9260 |
| Notes: Property uses LRO program, with daily changing rents. Property has meditation gardens, Zen |  |
| courtyard, and broadband internet. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. |  |

Property Name: Clermont Hotel Apartments Property Key: 043
Person Interviewed: Clermont Lounge Manager Phone Number: (404) 874-4783
Notes: Contact advised property has been closed for 14 months, is in foreclosure, and is for sale at this time. Unable to confirm information after numerous attempts to contact property.

| Property Name: Columbia at Mechanicsville | Property Key: 044 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Wendy Green Management | Phone Number: (904) 268-5500 |
| Notes: 2005 TC's awarded for construction of this property with | 35 units of project based rental assistance |
| available to tenants. This is a duplicate of Mechanicsville Family, Phase 3 which is now referred to as |  |
| Columbia Mechanicsville Family. See our survey on that sheet. |  |


| Property Name: Columbia Mechanicsville Family | Property Key: 045 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Rita, Management | Phone Number: (404) 577-2833 |

Notes: 2005 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 35 units of project based rental assistance and 62 units of public housing available to tenants. There are a 2 non-rental units for management.

| Property Name: Columbia Mechanicsville Sr Residences $\quad$ Property Key: 046 |
| :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Tonya, Management |
| Notes: 2005 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 78 units of project based rental assistance and |
| 78 units of public housing available to tenants. Property has 2 non-rental 2BR units. There are no new |
| apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. |

Person Interviewed: Ms. Dee Dee, Management
Phone Number: (404) 827-9152
Notes: 2006 TC's awarded for construction of this HOPE VI property with 35 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. There is one non-revenue unit for management.

| Property Name: Columbia Mechanicsville Crossing | Property Key: 048 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Jada, Management | Phone Number: (404) 221-0506 |
| Notes: 2006 TC's awarded for construction of this HOPE VI property with 35 units of project based rental |  |
| assistance available to tenants and 63 units of PHA. |  |

Property Name: Columbia Mechanicsville, Phase $6 \quad$ Property Key: 049
Person Interviewed: Mr. Donald, Managemetn Phone Number: (404) 577-2833
Notes: 2009 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 32 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Please see the survey under the property's new name, Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville.

Property Name: Columbia Parkside at Mechanicsville
Property Key: 050
Person Interviewed: Mr. Donald, Management Phone Number: (404) 577-2833
Notes: 2009 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 32 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants.

| Property Name: Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village | Property Key: 051 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Charles James, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) 525-3370 |

Notes: 2003 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 37 units of HUD project based rental assistance and 59 units of PHA rental assistance available to tenants. Property has 1 non-rental employee unit. Property has a dining \& dance room. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

Property Name: Columbia Tower Apartments
Person Interviewed: Mr. David, Asst. Manager
Notes: 2005 TC's awarded for rehabilitation of this assistance available to tenants. Amenities include a community garden and a solarium. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Community Friendship Apartments | Property Key: 053 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Shirley Estelle, Management | Phone Number: 404-527-7140 |

Notes: HUD 201 property with 11 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

# Property Name: Cosby Spears Towers Apartments <br> Property Key: 054 <br> Person Interviewed: Ms. Wanda, Management <br> Phone Number: (404) 249-1305 

Notes: HA property with 282 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Courtyard at Maple Apartments | Property Key: 055 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Michelle, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 577-8850 |
| Notes: 1992 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. There are |  |
| no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off |  |
| employees. |  |

## Property Name: Cupola Building Apartments Property Key: 056 <br> Person Interviewed: Ms. Valerie Edwards, Developer Phone Number: (404) 224-1881

Notes: Property is planned to be an adaptive reuse of this historical facility targeting students. Tenants will have licensed access to pool and fitness center at Centennial Place Apartments, which is adjacent to this property. Unit amenities include granite countertops, stained concrete floors and a stacked washer/dryer in every unit. 12 parking space onsite and 22 more spaces licensed at Centennial Place. Bus stop is located . 5 miles from property so transportation is accessible from this site. After numerous attempts, we were unable to

> | Property Name: Edgewood Center Apartments |
| :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Sophie Jeffers, Asst. Manager |
| Notes: 1992 TC's awarded for adaptive reuse of the old Coca-Cola Building with 46 units of project based 057 |
| rental assistance available to tenants. Property is SRO for homeless and HIV patients. Located in the heart of |
| downtown, property has 24-hour lobby attendant. Each floor has fully equipped kitchen and atrium, plus fully |
| furnished units. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not |
| closing or laying off employees. |

| Property Name: Envoy on Northside | Property Key: 058 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Chris, Asst Manager | Phone Number: (404) 880-0110 |

Notes: 2002 TC's awarded for construction of this property which had 222 units of project based rental assistance. Property has new owners as of August 4, 2011, and is now market rate. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Fairlie Poplar Project | Property Key: 059 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Katherine White, Management | Phone Number: (404) 659-1440 |

Notes: 1996 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. The only parking available is on the street. Tenants take laundry off-site. Two bedroom units are classified as $21 / 2$ bedroom units due to their size. Property has 3 commercial units. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Contact would only verify rental rates and occupancy in this report, all other information is from our previous survey of this property 1-9-

| Property Name: Fairway Court Apartments | Property Key: 060 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Tom, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 755-9300 |

Person Interviewed: Mr. Tom, Manager
Phone Number: (404) 755-9300
Notes: Rent reflects special pricing of first month free with 13 month lease. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

```
Property Name: Flats (The) Apartments
Person Interviewed: Mr. Josh, Leasing Agent Phone Number: (678) 812-5699
Property Key: 061
Notes: Property is rented by the bedroom. Units are furnished. Rents reflect special pricing. Property is offering \(\$ 100\) off monthly rates with 7-12 month lease. Property accepts 3 month lease. Utility cap is \(\$ 15\) for water/sewer. Property has campus shuttle. Parking is located on upper 2 floors of building. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.
```

| Property Name: Ford Factory Lofts | Property Key: 062 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Lindsey, Management | Phone Number: (404) 874-5237 |

Notes: Property amenities include a courtyard. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Friendship Towers | Property Key: 063 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Hunter, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 681-2873 |
| Notes: HUD property with 102 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Friendship Baptist |  |
| Church owns the building. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information |  |
| in this report reflects our 2007 survey of this property. |  |


| Property Name: Friendship Towers | Property Key: 064 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Hunter, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 681-2873 |

Notes: HUD property with 102 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Friendship Baptist Church owns the building. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2007 survey of this property.

| Property Name: Fulton Cotton Mill Lofts | Property Key: 065 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Sharon, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) 522-5638 |

Notes: TC's awarded for rehabilitation of this property without project based rental assistance. Property consists of 207 rental units and 299 privately-owned condominiums. Property amenities include tanning beds. New apartments nearby are Ashley Auburn Pointe and The View. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

Property Name: Gables 820 West Apartments Property Key: 066<br>Person Interviewed: Ms. Alysia, Asst Manager Phone Number: (404) 898-8720

Notes: Property uses LRO system, with daily changing rents and specials. There are new apartments nearby possible called the Elan Apartments. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Gateway at Northside Village | Property Key: 067 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Michael, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 880-0110 |

Notes: 2002 TC's awarded for construction of this property which had 222 units of project based rental assistance. Property has new owners as of August 4, 2011 and is now Market Rate. Please see the survey under the new name, Envoy on Northside Apartments.

| Property Name: GE Towers Apartments | Property Key: 068 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Shanita, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 841-2481 |

Person Interviewed: Ms. Shanita, Leasing Agent
Phone Number: (404) 841-2481
Notes: 2003 TC's awarded for adaptive reuse of former GE Telephone Tower property with 81 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Rents reflect special pricing verified by Chris, Asst Manager, on 4/19/12. Property is offering \$200 off April and \$200 off May rents on all units with move in by April 24 and 12 month lease. There is one 2BR 1BA unit at a special rate of $\$ 265$ off. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

Property Name: Glenn Auburn Apartments Property Key: 069<br>Person Interviewed: Ms. Lynn, Management Phone Number: (404) 584-1300<br>Notes: Please refer to survey listed under property's new name, Auburn Glenn Apartments.

| Property Name: Grady Senior Apartments | Property Key: 070 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Clarissa Doyle, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 659-2200 |
| Notes: 2006 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 38 units of PHA project based rental |  |
| assistance available to tenants. Please refer to survey listed under property's new name, Veranda at Auburn |  |
| Point, 1 Apartments. |  |


| Property Name: Hartford Place Apartments | Property Key: 071 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Jennifer, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) 351-7133 |

Notes: Rents reflect special pricing of 1BRs for $\$ 646$ and 2BRs for $\$ 846$ with 12 month lease. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.


#### Abstract

Property Name: Henderson Place Apartments Property Key: 072 Person Interviewed: Ms. Sands, Management Phone Number: (404) 589-1374 Notes: 1997 TC's awarded for rehabilitation of this property without project based rental assistance. Second site address: 131 Grape Street. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact stated that there have been some job layoffs due to the economy. We were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2009 survey of this property.


| Property Name: Heritage Pointe Apartments | Property Key: 073 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Thomas, Management | Phone Number: (404) 875-5922 |

Notes: Property appears to have been sold in October, 2010. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property in 2011 or 2012, so the information in this report reflects our 2005 survey.

| Property Name: Heritage Square Apartments | Property Key: 074 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Sheilla Dixon, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 222-0169 |

Notes: Property is waiving regular security deposit of 1 month's rent with 6 month lease. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Contact advised they were not able to participate in our 2012 survey at this time, therefore the information in
this report reflects our January 2012 survey of this property.

| Property Name: Highland Club / Braden Fellman Group | Property Key: 075 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Jessica Brown, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 876-6432 |

Person Interviewed: Ms. Jessica Brown, Manager
Phone Number: (404) 876-6432
Notes: Property is a historic building. Rent reflects special pricing, as shown. Property is offering $\$ 25$ off rent for Preferred Employers only. Property accepts 6 month lease for additional $\$ 25$ monthly premium. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2011 survey of this property.

| Property Name: Highland Ridge Apartment Homes | Property Key: 076 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Corinna, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) 351-6777 |

Notes: Property operates with the "LRO" rental rate program which determines the rental rate with supply and demand. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Highland View Apartments | Property Key: 077 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Marla, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 881-6680 |
| Notes: There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or |  |
| laying off employees. |  |

Property Name: Highland Walk Apartments
Person Interviewed: Ms.Marla, Management
Phone Number: (404) 526-9555
Notes: The 9 rooftop terrace units are considerably more costly. Dry cleaning business is located onsite. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Contact advised us that the information on the property's web site was correct.

| Property Name: Hillside Villas | Property Key: 079 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. J.M. Willis, Owner | Phone Number: (404) 523-1040 |
| Notes: Unable to confirm information after numerous attempts to contact property. |  |

Notes: Unable to confirm information after numerous attempts to contact property.

Property Name: Historic District Development Corp
Person Interviewed: Ms. Joan Garner, Historic Dist Dev Corp
Phone Number: (404) 589-1374
Notes: 1997 TC's awarded for rehabilitation of this property without project based rental assistance. Second site address: 131 Grape Street. Please refer to survey listed under property's new name, Henderson Place.

| Property Name: Hotel Roxy Lofts | Property Key: 081 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Curtis, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 523-2423 |
| Notes: Commercial space is located on first floor of building. The 2BR townhomes have basements. There are |  |
| no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off |  |

employees.

Property Name: ICON City Apartment Homes Property Key: 082<br>Person Interviewed: Mr. Dan, Leasing Agent<br>Phone Number: (404) 877-0000<br>Notes: Rents reflect special pricing, as shown. Amenities include a tanning bed. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

> | >  Property Name: Imperial on Peachtree Apartments | Property Key: 083 |
| :--- | :--- |
| > Person Interviewed: Ms. Shekita, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 584-0550 |
| > Notes: 2011 TC's awarded for rehabilitation of this property, first rehabbed with 1995 TC's, with 74 units of |  |
| > project based rental assistance available to tenants. Contact advised in 2011 this is TC property, but no |  |
| evidence found on DCA website. Rent reflects special pricing. Property is offering $\$ 100$ off 1 st month, $\$ 200$ off |  |
| 2nd month, and $\$ 300$ off 3rd month, with approved credit and 12 month lease. There is no parking unless |  |
| tenant pays $\$ 85$ monthly for off-site parking. Property amenities include 24-hour desk clerk. There are no new |  |

| Property Name: Intown Lofts and Apartments | Property Key: 084 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Sharon Wiggins, Mgmt | Phone Number: (404) 522-7598 |

Notes: Rents reflect special pricing. Property is offering one-half off first full month with 12 month lease and is waiving regular $\$ 200$ security deposit with approval. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

> | Property Name: Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 1 | Property Key: 085 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Sandra Delaney, Management | Phone Number: (404) 799-1378 |
| Notes: HUD property with 56 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Property amenities |  |
| include a community balcony. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the |  |
| area are not closing or laying off employees. This property was not contacted during our October, 2012 survey. |  |

[^8]
## Property Name: Juniper \& 10th Highrise Apartments <br> Person Interviewed: Management <br> Property Key: 087

Notes: High-rise property managed by Atlanta Housing Authority with 150 project based rental assistance units available to tenants. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2011 survey of this property.

| Property Name: Lake Apartments | Property Key: 088 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Person Interviewed: Management | Phone Number: (404) 892-2344 |
| Notes: Property is advertised for sale by CoStar Group. Billboard advertises commercial and 1BR residential |  |

space for sale. Unable to confirm information after numerous attempts to contact property.

| Property Name: Lutheran Towers Apartments | Property Key: 089 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Janet, Occupancy/Recert. Spec. | Phone Number: (404) 873-6087 |

Person Interviewed: Ms. Janet, Occupancy/Recert. Spec.
Notes: HUD property with 202 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Vacancies are due to units being set aside for rehab with tenants being moved into guest quarters for 2 weeks. Rehab includes combining some studios to make 1BR 2BA units and the kitchens and baths. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: M Street Apartments | Property Key: 090 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Jayla, King, Manager | Phone Number: (678) 904-9140 |

Notes: Bond property with 62 units having project based rental assistance available to tenants. 2011 renovations include exterior paint, stairs, breezeways, pool, and fitness room with all new equipment. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

## Property Name: Madison House Apartments Property Key: 091

Person Interviewed: Mr. Greg Alexander, Management Phone Number: (404) 659-5831
Notes: Subsidized property with 140 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. The only units vacant are the ones being rehabbed which include the entire 8th and 9th floors. Two bedroom units can be rented by the bedroom on a shared basis with each tenant paying $\$ 550$. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2009 survey of this property.

| Property Name: Maggie Russell Towers Apartments | Property Key: 092 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Shamekia Hardy, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 659-0062 |

Notes: HUD property with 150 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase 1 | Property Key: 093 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Sheldrick, Marketing Director | Phone Number: (404) 523-0740 |

Notes: 1999 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 87 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Rents reflect special pricing, $1 / 2$ off rent (May) if move-in by the 25th with a 12 month lease. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

## Property Name: Magnolia Park Apartments, Phase $2 \quad$ Property Key: 094 <br> Person Interviewed: Mr. Sheldrick, Marketing Director Phone Number: (404) 523-0740

Notes: 1999 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 73 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Rents reflect special pricing, $1 / 2$ off month (May) if move-in by the 25 th with a 12 month lease. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Mr. Danny said they took over the property last year and the area has had alot of criminal activity which has scared people away from renting.

| Property Name: Mariposa Loft Apartments | Property Key: 095 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Danielle, Management | Phone Number: (404) 230-9362 |

Notes: Rent reflects special pricing as shown with 6-12 month lease. Select buildings have elevators. Property amenities include a parking deck with one time $\$ 125$ premium. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Marquis Vista Apartments | Property Key: 096 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Andrea, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (678) 666-0849 |

Person Interviewed: Ms. Andrea, Leasing Agent Phone Number: (678) 666-0849
Notes: Property has $\$ 150$ move-in special, covering $\$ 300$ security deposit, $\$ 50$ application fee, and $\$ 100$ administrative fee with 7-12 month lease. Property amenities include cyber café. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. 5-10-13, per Julie at 855-736-8223, web support only, said that you have to fill out an application on line and send it before the property will contact you with rental amounts. The information in this report is from our previous survey of this

```
Property Name: Martin Luther King Jr Village
Property Key: 097
Person Interviewed: Ms. Emilia Trejos, Management Phone Number: (404) 659-4685
```

Notes: 2005 TC's awarded for rehabilitation of this property with 37 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Please refer to survey listed under property's new name, Columbia Sr Residences at MLK Village.

| Property Name: Mattress Factory Lofts | Property Key: 098 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Edna, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 659-7988 |

Notes: Property adapted for reuse over six years ago, but the last units were completed in 2004. Rents reflect special pricing, 1 month free with 12 month lease. Preferred employer program. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2009 survey of this property.

| Property Name: Mechanicsville Crossing, Phase 4 | Property Key: 099 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Del, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 221-0506 |
| Notes: 2006 TCs awarded for construction of this HOPE VI property with 31 units of HUD project based rental |  |
| assistance available to tenants \& 67 units of PHA Subz. Property offers 10\% Preferred Employers discount. |  |
| There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off |  |
| employees. |  |


| Property Name: MLK Tower Apartments | Property Key: 100 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. David, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) 230-7556 |
| Notes: Please refer to survey listed under property's new name, Columbia Tower Apartments. |  |

Property Name: Mt. Calvary Apartments
Person Interviewed: Management
Notes: Please refer to survey listed under prope

Person Interviewed: Mr. Curtis, Leasing Agent
Phone Number: (404) 523-2423
Notes: Rents reflect special pricing. Property is offering one-half off first month with 12 month lease. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: North Highland Steel Apartments | Property Key: 103 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Elena, Management | Phone Number: (404) 420-8501 |

Notes: There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Contact stated that all the information on the property's web site is correct and that was applied to this report.

> | Property Name: Northside Plaza Apartments | Property Key: 104 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Allison, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 688-9019 |
| Notes: 1992 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. Rent |  |
| reflects special pricing. Property is offering 2BRs $\$ 20$ off first month with 12 month lease. High vacancies due |  |
| to management transitional period. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in |  |
| the area are not closing or laying off employees. Management refused to provide information regarding their |  |
| rental property for this study in 2013 . |  |

| Property Name: Northside Plaza Apartments | Property Key: 105 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Ashley, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 688-9019 |

Notes: 1992 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. Rent reflects special pricing of $\$ 200$ off 1st month rent on $2 B R$ with 12 month lease. Contact advised this property is beyond TC compliance period and is now renting at market rates. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

Property Name: Odyssey Villas Apartments
Person Interviewed: Ms. Latrice McCullough, Manager
Notes: Contact advised this property is HUD subsidized housing for the homeless.

Property Name: O'Hern House Apartments
Person Interviewed: Ms. Carrie Van Zant, Manager

Property Key: 107
Phone Number: (404) 880-9686

Notes: 1991 TC's awarded for construction of this privately-owned, residential facility with 76 SRO units of project based rental assistance available to tenants whom are persistently, severely mentally ill. There are 20 vacant units on top floor of this building due to damage and are unrentable at this time. Contact stated that they have started the process with paperwork to obtain the right to accept section 8 vouchers at this property. Rehab is starting to take place on some units. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property,

## Property Name: Overlook Atlanta Apartments <br> Property Key: 108 <br> Person Interviewed: Ms. Glennis, Manager <br> Phone Number: (404) 799-3718

Notes: Rents reflect special pricing as shown with 6 month lease. Property is also offering first month for $\$ 350$ with 6 month lease. Manager advised property is old and it is difficult to find qualified applicants. Tenants pay flat water/sewer rate to management per month, 1BR \$25, 2BR \$35, and 3BR \$45. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Property will be closing January 31, 2012, and tenants are in process of vacating. Manager is not at liberty to

# Property Name: Palmer House Apartments <br> Property Key: 109 <br> Person Interviewed: Ms. Veronica Armour, Management Phone Number: (404) 875-4644 

Notes: AHA property with 250 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Property has a common patio for residents. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2007 survey.

| Property Name: Park District Apartments Homes | Property Key: 110 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Person Interviewed: Management | Phone Number: (404) 817-0650 |
| Notes: This property is a duplicate of Park District at Atlantic Station. Please refer to that survey sheet. |  |


| Property Name: Park District at Atlantic Station | Property Key: 111 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Raina, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 872-5542 |

Notes: 2004 Bond awarded for construction of this property with 30 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Manager reported property is no longer Bond but operated as Market rate, following new ownership in January, 2011. Rents reflect special pricing as shown. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

> | Property Name: Peachtree and Pine Studio Apartments | Property Key: 112 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Sule Carpenter, Mercy Housing | Phone Number: (404) 873-3887 |

Notes: Project was cancelled. Property applied for, but was not awarded, 2008 TC's awarded for construction of this property on the roof of an existing building (1920's) with 90 units of PHA project based rental assistance available to tenants. These units will be restricted to formerly homeless persons and persons at risk of becoming homeless. Project amenities include garden plots and free transportation. Unit flooring will be vinyl.

| Property Name: Pencil Factory Flats | Property Key: 113 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Tiffany, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 525-7000 |

Notes: Rents reflect special pricing. Property is offering on 2BRs only a "24-hour look and lease" for 1 month free with 13 month lease. The regular $\$ 300$ security deposit is being waived also. The $\$ 100$ administrative fee is refundable. Flooring is either concrete or hardwood with carpet in the bedrooms. New apartments nearby is Ashley at Auburn Point. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our

Property Name: People's Place Apartments
Person Interviewed: Ms. Carrie Van Zant, Management
Notes: Please refer to survey listed under property's new name, O'Hern House.

Property Name: Piedmont Courtyard Apartments
Person Interviewed: Mr. Matt Quinlan, Management

Property Key: 115
Phone Number: (404) 876-2663

Notes: After numerous attempts we were unable to reach this property, so the information reflects our 2008 survey.

Property Name: Post Biltmore Apartments<br>Property Key: 116<br>Person Interviewed: Ms. Meredith, Leasing Agent<br>Phone Number: (404) 888-0822

Notes: Please see the survey under the property's new name, Biltmore at Midtown Apartments.


#### Abstract

Property Name: Post Parkside Apartments Property Key: 117 Person Interviewed: Ms. Jo, Asst. Manager Phone Number: (866) 803-8984 Notes: Property uses LRO system, with daily changing rents. Select units have 10' to 18' ceilings. Other unit amenities include stained concrete floors, textured walls, along with restored maple hardwoods. Property amenities include courtyard with pond, fountain, and gas fireplace and underground parking. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Highlighted units rental rate is from our previous survey, 1/12/2012.


```
Property Name: Post Renaissance Apartments
Person Interviewed: Ms. Stephanie, Asst. Manager
Property Key: 118
```

Notes: Property uses LRO system, with daily changing rents. 2011 renovations included 45 units, with all exterior painting and siding, new roof, refinish pool, and new pool furniture. There are no new apartments nearby.

Property Name: Rosa Burney Manor Apartments
Person Interviewed: Ms. Felecia, Manager

Property Key: 119
Phone Number: (404) 614-0034

Notes: 1995 TC's awarded for rehabilitation of this property without project based rental assistance. Manager in a hurry and only gave rents and vacancies.

| Property Name: Saint Charles Apartments | Property Key: 120 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Laura, Management | Phone Number: (404) 881-6680 |

Notes: Rent reflects special pricing. Property is offering $\$ 100$ off first month with "look \& lease" signing of 12 month lease and is waiving $\$ 300$ security deposit. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2011 survey of this property.

| Property Name: Samuel W Williams Apartments | Property Key: 121 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Hannah, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) 522-5121 |

Notes: Former HUD low and moderate income property, as of 2002. New management as of November 15, 2011, whom considers property to be in "lease up". Rents reflect special pricing. Property has reduced first month's rent to $\$ 199$ with 12 month lease. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Contact advised they were not able to participate in our most recent survey at this time, therefore the information in this report reflects our January

| Property Name: Sandcastles Apartments | Property Key: 122 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Management | Phone Number: (404) 815-9757 |

Person Interviewed: Management
Phone Number: (404) 815-9757
Notes: Unable to confirm information after numerous attempts to contact property.

Property Name: Solace on Peachtree Apartments<br>Property Key: 123<br>Person Interviewed: Ms. Jenny, Asst. Manager<br>Phone Number: (404) 881-8005

Notes: Rent reflects special pricing. Property is offering 1.5 months off but was already deducted from rents provided. Property accepts 6 month lease for additional $\$ 25$ monthly premium. Property has a doorman. 3BR penthouse units include all utilities. There are no new apartments nearby. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2011 survey of this property.

| Property Name: Spanish Oaks Apartments | Property Key: 124 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Jennifer, Management | Phone Number: (404) 876-6432 |

Notes: New apartments nearby is Alta Old Fourth Ward, on Glen Iris Street. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2011 survey of this property.

> | Property Name: Telephone Factory Apartments | Property Key: 125 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Sarah Rosenburg, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 577-0905 |

Notes: 1996 TC's awarded for rehabilitation of this property without project based rental assistance. Ll units have water and sewer included in rent rates. We were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2007 survey.

Property Name: Toby Sexton Redevelopment
Person Interviewed: Mr. Andre Harrison Asst. Manager
Phone Number: (404) 841-2481
Notes: 2003 TC's awarded for adaptive reuse of the GE Telephone Tower property with 60 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Please refer to survey listed under property's new name, GE Towers.

Property Name: Townview Station
Person Interviewed: Ms. Toccara, Leasing Agent
Phone Number: (404) 892-4242
Notes: There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

## Property Name: Twelfth Street West Apartments

Person Interviewed: Ms. Desiree, Manager

Property Key: 128
Phone Number: (404) 874-8481

Notes: Rents reflect special pricing. Property is offering $\$ 100$ off first month with 12 month lease. Renovations are done as needed. Units have either central air/heat or window unit air/heat. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Valena Henderson Village | Property Key: 129 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Management | Phone Number: (000) 000-0000 |
| Notes: 2001 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. These tax |  |
| credits were never funded per Kathy Johnson of DCA. |  |

Property Name: Veranda 1 at Auburn Pointe<br>Property Key: 130<br>Person Interviewed: Ms. Clarissa Doyle, Manager<br>Phone Number: (404) 659-2200

Notes: 2006 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. According to notes in TC application, AHA will provide subsidies to PBRA units and PHA units. The $\$ 50$ application fee only applies to market rate units. Amenities include a community garden, meditation room, and sunroom. Activities include arts and crafts by YMCA and computer classes. Transportation is available twice a month. The $80 \%$ units used to be market units, but have now been converted. There are no new apartments nearby.

| Property Name: Veranda 2 at Auburn Pointe | Property Key: 131 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Clarissa Doyle, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 224-1893 |

Notes: 2008 TC's awarded for construction of this HOPE VI property with 98 units of PHA's project based rental assistance available to tenants. This property replaces Grady Homes, which was demolished. Property amenities include sitting areas on each floor, covered porch, community kitchen, and wellness center. Property will have four 2BR 2BA, not included on original plans. $5 \%$ of the units will be reserved for seniors with special needs. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or

## Property Name: Veranda 3 at Auburn Pointe <br> Property Key: 132 <br> Person Interviewed: Ms. Clarissa Doyle, Manager <br> Phone Number: (404) 224-1893

Notes: 2008 TC's awarded for construction of this HOPE VI property with 102 units of PHA's project based rental assistance available to tenants. This property replaces Grady Homes, which was demolished. Property amenities include an indoor walking path, sitting areas on each floor, covered porch, community kitchen, and wellness center. $5 \%$ of the units will be reserved for seniors with special needs. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

Property Name: Veranda at Centennial
Person Interviewed: Mr. Trey Williams, Developer

Property Key: 133
Phone Number: (404) 224-1893

Notes: Property is applying for 2011 TC's for new construction of this property with 90 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Select units have Juliet Balconies. This is part of Techwood/Clark Howell Homes HOPE VI. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2011 survey of this property.

Property Name: Veranda at University Homes<br>Property Key: 134<br>Person Interviewed: Mr. Brian Harrahy, CWCapital LLC Phone Number: (781) 707-9315<br>Notes: Property is applying for 2010 TC's awarded for construction of this property with 100 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. This will replace the demolished University Homes, PHA units. Project will have energy efficient appliances. The building will be EarthCraft Certified as well as LEED Certified. There will be a computer room that will have free internet access for the residents, but tenants will pay for internet in their units. The property will schedule trips (grocery store, mall, shopping, tour events, doctor

| Property Name: Village of Castleberry Hill, Ph $1 \& 2$ | Property Key: 135 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Leonard, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) 523-1330 |

Notes: TCs awarded for construction of this AHA property with 179 HUD units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Vineyard Apartments | Property Key: 136 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Debra Hood, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 221-0204 |

Notes: Select units have gas heating. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2006 survey of this property.

| Property Name: Virginia Highlands Apartments | Property Key: 137 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Raquel, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) $874-5512$ |
| Notes: Rent reflects special pricing. Property is offering small 1 BRs $\$ 35$ off and small 2BRs $\$ 65$ off monthly |  |
| rates with 13 month lease. Property is also waiving regular $\$ 100$ security deposit. There are no new |  |
| apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. |  |


| Property Name: Waterford on Piedmont Apartments |
| :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Samantha Hicks, Asst. Manager |
| Notes: Property amenities include 24-hour maintenance, putting greens, cyber café, Roman soaking tubs, |
| garden/spa tubs, satellite TV, disability access, and standard cable. Three units are penthouse units. There are |
| no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or |
| laying off employees. Contact stated that the rental amounts for the 2BR units were from $\$ 1580-\$ 1995$, so |
| the highlighted units rental amounts are from our previous survey 1-12-2012. Tenant pays management for |

Property Name: Welcome House Apartments
Property Key: 139
Person Interviewed: Mr. Kenneth, Front Desk
Phone Number: (404) 525-7300
Notes: 1990 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. There are 30 bathrooms shared by all residents. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

Property Name: WestMar Student Lofts
Person Interviewed: Mr. Josh, Leasing Agent

Property Key: 140
Phone Number: (404) 897-1003

Notes: Property is rented by the bedroom. Units are furnished. Property is reducing additional fees from $\$ 200$ to $\$ 50$ with 12 month lease. Utility cap is $\$ 99$ for electricity and water/sewer/trash. Property is adjacent to GA Tech and has complimentary shuttle to GA Tech, GA State, and AUC Center. There will be 40 new luxury units by August, 2012, by converting from standard units. There are no new apartments but there are new restaurants nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

> | >  Property Name: WestMar Student Lofts |
| :--- |
| > Person Interviewed: Mr. Josh, Leasing Agent |
| > Notes: Property is rented by the bedroom. Units are furnished. Property is reducing additional fees from $\$ 200$ |
| > to $\$ 50$ with 12 month lease. Utility cap is $\$ 99$ for electricity and water/sewer/trash. Property is adjacent to GA |
| Tech and has complimentary shuttle to GA Tech, GA State, and AUC Center. There will be 40 new luxury units |
| by August, 2012, by converting from standard units. There are no new apartments but there are new |
| restaurants nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. |

| Property Name: Wheat Street Towers Apartments | Property Key: 142 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Myers, Asst. Manager | Phone Number: (404) 525-5673 |

Notes: HUD property with 210 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. 7 units are preleased at this time. After numerous attempts, we were unable to reach this property, so the information in this report reflects our 2010 survey of this property.

| Property Name: William Booth Towers Apartments | Property Key: 143 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Jim Bosworth, Management | Phone Number: (404) 875-7495 |

Notes: HUD property with 99 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. Property has 1
additional non-rental unit. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

Property Name: Windsor at Midtown Apartments Property Key: 144<br>Person Interviewed: Ms. Kenyatta, Leasing Agent Phone Number: (404) 815-1425<br>Notes: Property operates with the LRO rental rate system, with daily changing rates. A $\$ 20$ monthly amenity fee has been added to the rental rates. The rent range is for lease term, and different amenities in the units. The minimum rental amount is for a 13 month lease. Property amenities include bike rentals. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Contact said that all the rental information is on the property's web site and is accurate. The higher rental rates is for different lease terms.

| Property Name: Veranda at Scholars Landing Apartments | Property Key: 145 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Ms. Candace, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 221-0601 |
| Notes: 2012 TC's awarded for construction of this property with | 100 units project based rental assistance |
| available. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Amenities include a rooftop deck. |  |

Property Name: Biltmore at Midtown Apartments
Property Key: 146
Person Interviewed: Ms. Jennifer, Manager Phone Number: (404) 888-0822
Notes: Property amenities include rooftop terrace. There are no new apartments nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Contact advised that they are now renovating the kitchen and bathrooms of the units, 10 per month, and it could take 4 years to complete.

| Property Name: Montage Old Fourth Ward Apartments | Property Key: 147 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Scott, Manager | Phone Number: (404) 688-1626 |

Notes: Property offers 17 different floor plans. Six units are 2-story with commercial space attached where tenants can work and live. Covered parking is available and if resident wants a closer parking spot the fee is $\$ 25$. New apartments nearby at Market \& Krog Street (possible contractor - Paces Properties Builders). Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

| Property Name: Atlantic Square Apartments | Property Key: 148 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Mr. Mike, Leasing Agent | Phone Number: (404) 810-9504 |

Notes: Property operates with the LRO rental rate system, with daily changing rates. Property has reduced the administrative fee to $\$ 100$ if the unit is rented within 24 hours. Property has "Cyber Café" and "Bark Café". There are no new apartments nearby. New business is Atlantic Station. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees.

Property Name: BoHo Fourth Ward (Bohemian House) Apartm $\epsilon$ Property Key: 149<br>Person Interviewed: Management<br>Phone Number: (877) 992-5974<br>Notes: Property is currently under construction with an opening date of Fall, 2013. Property amenities will include, spin/yoga room, cabana, cyber café with Wi-Fi, outdoor lounge with TV., pet spa and art studio.

| Property Name: Elan Westside Apartments | Property Key: 150 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person Interviewed: Management | Phone Number: (770) 512-4000 |

Notes: Property is currently under construction and is scheduled to start leasing units in November, 2013.

## STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS \& LIMITING CONDITIONS

- The title to the subject property is merchantable, and the property is free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, except as noted.
- No liability is assumed for matters legal in nature.
- Ownership and management are assumed to be in competent and responsible hands.
- No survey has been made by the appraiser. Dimensions are as supplied by others and are assumed to be correct.
- The report was prepared for the purpose so stated and should not be used for any other reason.
- All direct and indirect information supplied by the owner and their representatives concerning the subject property is assumed to be true and accurate.
- No responsibility is assumed for information supplied by others and such information is believed to be reliable and correct. This includes zoning and tax information provided by Municipal officials.
- The signatories shall not be required to give testimony or attend court or be at any governmental hearing with respect to the subject property unless prior arrangements have been made with the client.
- Disclosure of the contents of this report is governed by the By-Laws and Regulations of the Appraisal Institute.
- The legal description is assumed to be accurate.
- This report specifically assumes that there are no site, subsoil, or building contaminates present resulting from residual substances or construction materials, such as asbestos, radon gas, PCB, etc. Should any of these factors exist, the appraiser reserves the right to review these findings, review the value estimates, and change the estimates, if deemed necessary.
- The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. We have not made a specific compliance survey and analysis of this property to determine whether or not it is in conformity with
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is operated as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is constructed/rehabilitated as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is financed as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes the timing set forth in this report.


## CERTIFICATION

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of the appraisal.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- Frank Victory (Allen \& Associates Consulting) made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.
- Jeff Carroll (Allen \& Associates Consulting) did not make a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.
- No one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person signing this certification. Debbie Rucker and Frank Victory (Allen \& Associates Consulting) assisted in compiling the data used in this report.
- As of the date of this report, Jeff Carroll (Allen \& Associates Consulting) has completed the continuing education program of the Appraisal Institute.
- Jeffrey B. Carroll is presently licensed in good standing as a Certified General Real Estate Appraiser in the states of Alabama, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia, allowing him to appraise all types of real estate.

Respectfully submitted:
ALLEN \& ASSOCIATES CONSULTING, INC.


Jeff Carroll


Debbie Rucker


Frank Victory

## DCA CERTIFICATION

I affirm that I have made a physical inspection of the market area and the subject property and that information has been used in the full study of the need and demand for the proposed units. The report was written according to DCA's market study requirements, the information included is accurate and the report can be relied upon by DCA as a true assessment of the low-income housing rental market. In addition, the document is assignable to other lenders that are parties to the DCA loan transaction, subject to prior written authorization by Allen \& Associates Consulting.

To the best of my knowledge, the market can support the demand shown in the study. I understand that any misrepresentation of this statement may result in the denial of further participation in DCA's rental housing programs. I also affirm that I have no interest in the project or relationship with the ownership entity and my compensation is not contingent on this project being funded.

Jeff Carroll


Debbie Rucker


Frank Victory

## MARKET STUDY CHECKLIST

## Executive Summary

## Project Description

2 Proposed number of bedrooms and baths proposed, income lim and utility allowances
Utilities (and utility sources) included in rent.
Section 1
Project design description
Unit and project amenities; parking Section 2
Public programs included Section 1
Target population description
Date of construction/preliminary completion Section 1
If rehabilitation, existing unit breakdwon and rents. Section 1
10 Reference to review/status of project plans Section 2

Location and Market Area
11 Market area/secondary market area description
Section 6
12 Concise description of the site and adjacent parcels
13 Description of site characteristics
14 Site photos/maps
15 Map of community services
16 Visibility and accessibility evaluation
17 Crime information

## Employment and Economy

Employment by industry
Section 7
19 Historical unemployment rate
20 Area major employers
21 Five-year employment growth
22 Typical wages by occupation
23 Discussion of commuting patterns of area workers

## Demographic Characteristics

24 Population and household estimates and projections
Section 8
Area building permits
26 Distribution of income
Households by tenure
ootnote 1
Section 8
Section 8

## Competitive Environment

28 Comparable property profiles
29 Map of comparable properties
30 Comparable property photos
31 Existing rental housing evaluation
32 Comparable property discussion
33 Area vacancy rates, including rates for Tax Credit and government subsidized
34 Comparison of subject property to comparable properties
Availability of Housing Choice Vouchers
Identification of waiting lists
37 Description of overall rental market including share of market-rate and affordable properties
38 List of existing LIHTC properties
39 Discussion of future changes in rental housing stock
Footnote 2
40 Discussion of future changes in owner housing stock

## Analysis/Conclusions

42 Calculation and analysis of Capture Rate
Section 11
43 Calculation and analysis of Penetration Rate
44 Evaluation of proposed rent levels
45 Derivation of Achievable Market Rent and Market Advantage
46 Derivation of Achievable Restricted Rent
47 Precise statement of key conclusions
48 Market strengths and weaknesses impacting project
49 Recommendations and/or modification to project discussion
50 Discussion of subject property's impact on existing housing
Section 11
Section 10
Section 10
Section 10

Absorption projection with issues impacting performance
52 Discussion of risks or other mitigating circumstances impacting project
53 Interviews with area housing stakeholders
Executive Summary
Executive Summary
Executive Summary
Executive Summary
Section 10
Executive Summary

## Other Requirements

54 Preparation date of report
Report Cover
55 Date of field work Report Cover
56 Certifications
Section 12
57 Statement of qualifications
Section 12
58 Sources of data not otherwise identified
59 Utility allowance schedule
Section 12
Section 12

## Footnotes

1 Historical residential building permit data is not available at the census tract level. Consequently, we utilized Claritas housing completion data for purposes of this analysis. This statistic is a superior measure of housing activity because it accounts for housing starts as well as demolitions at the census tract level. The Claritas completion data includes historical and forecast data by building type. The completion data is found in Section 8 for the region and Section 9 for the market area.

2 In Sections 8 and 9 we provide Claritas completion data for the region and market area. This data, which includes historical and forecasted housing unit completions, combines owner and renter data broken out by building type.

## DEFINED TERMS

| Term | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| Absorption Period | The period of time necessary for a newly constructed or renovated property to achieve the Stabilized Level of Occupancy. The Absorption Period begins when the first certificate of occupancy is issued and ends when the last unit to reach the Stabilized Level of Occupancy has a signed lease. Assumes a typical pre-marketing period, prior to the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, of about three to six months. The month that leasing is assumed to begin should accompany all absorption estimates. |
| Absorption Rate | The average number of units rented each month during the Absorption Period. |
| Acceptable Rent Burden | The rent-to-income ratio used to qualify tenants for both income-restricted and non-income restricted units. The Acceptable Rent Burden varies depending on the requirements of funding sources, government funding sources, target markets, and local conditions. |
| Affordable Housing | Housing where the tenant Household pays no more than 30 percent of its annual income on Gross Rent. |
| Amenity | Tangible or intangible benefits offered to a tenant at no fee, typically on-site recreational facilities or planned programs, services and activities. |
| Annual Demand | The total estimated demand present in the market in any one year for the type of units proposed. |
| Area Median Income (AMI) | $100 \%$ of the gross median Household income for a specific Metropolitan Statistical Area, county or non-metropolitan area established annually by HUD. |
| Assisted Housing | Housing where the monthly costs to the tenants are subsidized by federal, state or other programs. |
| Attached Housing | Two or more dwelling units connected with party walls (e.g. townhouses or flats). |
| Basic Rent | The minimum monthly rent that tenants who do not have rental assistance pay to lease units developed through the USDA-RD Section 515 Program, the HUD Section 236 Program and HUD Section 223(d)(3) Below Market Interest Rate Program. The Basic Rent is calculated as the amount of rent required to operate the property, maintain debt service on a subsidized mortgage with a below-market interest rate, and provide a return on equity to the developer in accordance with the regulatory documents governing the property. |
| Below Market Interest Rate Program (BMIR) | Program targeted to renters with income not exceeding 80\% of area median income by limiting rents based on HUD's BMIR Program requirements and through the provision of an interest reduction contract to subsidize the market interest rate to a below-market rate. Interest rates are typically subsidized to effective rates of one percent or three percent. |
| Capture Rate | The percentage of age, size, and income qualified renter Households in the Primary Market Area that the property must capture to achieve the Stabilized Level of Occupancy. Funding agencies may require restrictions to the qualified Households used in the calculation including age, income, living in substandard housing, mover-ship and other comparable factors. The Capture Rate is calculated by dividing the total number of units at the property by the total number of age, size and income qualified renter Households in the Primary Market Area. See Penetration Rate for rate for entire market area. |


| Census Tract | A small, relatively permanent statistical subdivision delineated by a local committee of census data users for the purpose of presenting data. Census tract boundaries normally follow visible features, but may follow governmental unit boundaries and other non-visible features; they always nest within counties. They are designed to be relatively homogeneous units with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions at the time of establishment. Census tracts average about 4,000 inhabitants. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Central Business District (CBD) | The center of commercial activity within a town or city; usually the largest and oldest concentration of such activity. |
| Community Development Corporation (CDC) | Entrepreneurial institution combining public and private resources to aid in the development of socio-economically disadvantaged areas. |
| Comparable Property | A property that is representative of the rental housing choices of the subject's Primary Market Area and that is similar in construction, size, amenities, or age. These Comparables and Competitives are generally used to derive market rent. |
| Competitive Property | A property that is comparable to the subject and that competes at nearly the same rent levels, and tenant profile, such as age, family or income. |
| Concession | Discount given to a prospective tenant to induce the tenant to sign a lease. Concessions typically are in the form of reduced rent or free rent for a specific lease term, or for free amenities which are normally charged separately (i.e. washer/dryer, parking). |
| Condominium | A form of joint ownership and control of property in which specified volumes of space (for example, apartments) are owned individually while the common elements of the property (for example, outside walls) are owned jointly. |
| Contract Rent | 1.The actual monthly rent payable by the tenant, including any rent subsidy paid on behalf of the tenant, to the owner, inclusive of all terms of the lease. (HUD \& RD) 2. The monthly rent agreed to between a tenant and a landlord (Census). |
| Demand | The total number of households in a defined market area that would potentially move into proposed new or renovated housing units. These households must be of the appropriate age, income, tenure and size for a specific proposed development. Components of demand vary and can include household growth; turnover, those living in substandard conditions, rent over-burdened households, and demolished housing units. Demand is project specific. |
| Difficult Development Area (DDA) | An area designated by HUD as an area that has high construction, land, and utility costs relative to the Area Median Gross Income. A project located in a DDA and utilizing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit may qualify for up to $130 \%$ of eligible basis for the purpose of calculating the Tax Credit allocation. |
| Detached Housing | A freestanding dwelling unit, typically single-family, situated on its own lot. |
| Effective Rents | Contract Rent less concessions. |
| Elderly or Senior Housing | Housing where (1) all the units in the property are restricted for occupancy by persons 62 years of age or older or (2) at least $80 \%$ of the units in each building are restricted for occupancy by Households where at least one Household member is 55 years of age or older and the housing is designed with amenities and facilities designed to meet the needs of senior citizens. |
| Extremely Low Income | Person or Household with income below 30\% of Area Median Income adjusted for Household size. |


| Fair Market Rent (FMR) | The estimates established by HUD of the Gross Rents (Contact Rent plus Tenant Paid Utilities) needed to obtain modest rental units in acceptable condition in a specific county or metropolitan statistical area. HUD generally sets FMR so that $40 \%$ of the rental units have rents below the FMR. In rental markets with a shortage of lower priced rental units HUD may approve the use of Fair Market Rents that are as high as the 50 th percentile of rents. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Garden Apartments | Apartments in low-rise buildings (typically two to four stories) that feature low density, ample open-space around buildings, and on-site parking. |
| Gross Rent | The monthly housing cost to a tenant which equals the Contract Rent provided for in the lease plus the estimated cost of all Tenant Paid Utilities. |
| High-rise | A residential building having more than ten stories. |
| Household | One or more people who occupy a housing unit as their usual place of residence. |
| Household Trends | Changes in the number of Households for a particular area over a specific period of time, which is a function of new Household formations (e.g. at marriage or separation) and changes in average Household size. |
| Housing Unit | House, apartment, mobile home, or group of rooms used as a separate living quarters by a single household. |
| Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8 Program) | Federal rent subsidy program under Section 8 of the U.S. Housing Act, which issues rent vouchers to eligible Households to use in the housing of their choice. The voucher payment subsidizes the difference between the Gross Rent and the tenant's contribution of $30 \%$ of adjusted income, (or $10 \%$ of gross income, whichever is greater). In cases where $30 \%$ of the tenants' income is less than the utility allowance, the tenant will receive an assistance payment. In other cases, the tenant is responsible for paying his share of the rent each month. |
| Housing Finance Agency (HFA) | State or local agencies responsible for financing housing and administering Assisted Housing programs. |
| HUD Section 8 Program | Federal program that provides project based rental assistance. Under the program HUD contracts directly with the owner for the payment of the difference between the Contract Rent and a specified percentage of tenants' adjusted income. |
| HUD Section 202 Program | Federal Program, which provides direct capital assistance (i.e. grant) and operating or rental assistance to finance housing designed for occupancy by elderly households who have income not exceeding 50\% of Area Median Income. The program is limited to housing owned by 501(c)(3) nonprofit organizations or by limited partnerships where the sole general partner is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization. Units receive HUD project based rental assistance that enables tenants to occupy units at rents based on $30 \%$ of tenant income. |
| HUD Section 811 Program | Federal program, which provides direct capital assistance and operating or rental assistance to finance housing designed for occupancy by persons with disabilities who have income not exceeding 50\% of Area Median Income. The program is limited to housing owned by 501(c)(3) nonprofit organizations or by limited partnerships where the sole general partner is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization. |


| HUD Section 236 Program | Federal program which provides interest reduction payments for loans <br> which finance housing targeted to Households with income not exceeding <br> $80 \%$ of area median income who pay rent equal to the greater of Basic <br> Rent or 30 percent of their adjusted income. All rents are capped at a HUD <br> approved market rent. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Income Band | The range of incomes of Households that can pay a specific rent but do not <br> have more income than is allowed by the Income Limits of a particular <br> housing program. The minimum household income typically is based on a <br> defined Acceptable Rent Burden percentage and the maximum typically is <br> pre-defined by specific programmatic requirements or by general market <br> parameters. |
| Income Limits | Maximum Household income by county or Metropolitan Statistical Area, <br> adjusted for Household size and expressed as a percentage of the Area <br> Median Income for the purpose of establishing an upper limit for eligibility <br> for a specific housing program. Income Limits for federal, state and local <br> rental housing programs typically are established at 30\%, 50\%, 60\% or <br> $80 \%$ of AMI. HUD publishes Income Limits each year for 30\% median, <br> Very Low Income (50\%), and Low-Income (80\%), for households with 1 <br> through 8 people. |
| Infrastructure | Services and facilities including roads, highways, water, sewerage, <br> emergency services, parks and recreation, etc. Infrastructure includes both <br> public and private facilities. |
| Low Income | Person or Household with gross Household income below 80\% of Area <br> Median Income adjusted for Household size. |
| Low Income Housing Tax | A program to generate equity for investment in affordable rental housing <br> authorized pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code, as <br> amended. The program requires that a certain percentage of units built be <br> restricted for occupancy to households earning 60\% or less of Area Median |
| Credit | Income, and that the rents on these units be restricted accordingly. |


| Market Study | A comprehensive review of the housing market in a defined market area. A <br> market study can be used to determine the demand for specific proposed <br> development or to examine the overall condition of an area's housing <br> market. Project specific market studies are often used by developers, <br> syndicators, and government entities to determine the appropriateness of a <br> proposed development, whereas market specific market studies are used <br> to determine what housing needs, if any, exist within a specific geography. <br> At a minimum, market studies include a review of location, economic <br> conditions, demographics, and existing and proposed housing stock. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Marketability | The manner in which the subject fits into the market; the relative desirability <br> of a property (for sale or lease) in comparison with similar or competing <br> properties in the area. |
| Market Vacancy Rate | Average number of apartment units in any market which are unoccupied <br> divided by the total number of apartment units in the same Market Area, <br> excluding units in properties which are in the lease-up stage. Percentage of <br> rent loss due to concessions and vacancies. |
| Rate Economic | A geographic entity defined by the federal Office of Management and <br> Budget for use by federal statistical agencies, based on the concept of a <br> core area with a large population nucleus, plus adjacent communities <br> havitan Statistical Area |
| having a high degree of economic and social integration with that core. |  |
| Contract or Lease Rent) | Qualification of an MSA requires the presence of a city with 50,000 or more <br> inhabitants, or the presence of an Urbanized Area (UA) and a total |
| population of at least 100,000 (75,000 in New England). The county or |  |
| counties containing the largest city and surrounding densely settled territory |  |
| are central counties of the MSA. Additional outlying counties qualify to be |  |
| included in the MSA by meeting certain other criteria of metropolitan |  |
| character, such as a specified minimum population density or percentage |  |
| of the population that is urban. |  |


| Penetration Rate | The percentage of age and income qualified renter Households in the <br> Primary Market Area that all existing and proposed properties, to be <br> completed within six months of the subject, and which are competitively <br> priced to the subject that must be captured to achieve the Stabilized Level <br> of Occupancy. Funding agencies may require restrictions to the qualified <br> Households used in the calculation including age, income, living in <br> substandard housing, mover ship and other comparable factors. See <br> Capture Rate for property specific rate. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pent-up Demand | A market in which there is a scarcity of supply and vacancy rates are very <br> low. |
| Population Trends | Changes in population levels for a particular area over a specific period of <br> time-which is a function of the level of births, deaths, and net migration. |
| Primary Market Area | See Market Area. <br> Programmatic Rents |
| The proposed rents for a Tax Credit or other income restricted property |  |
| relative to comparable market rate properties and rents being achieved at |  |
| another Low Income Housing Tax Credit or other income restricted |  |
| properties in the market. Can be no greater than maximum rents permitted |  |
| by the Low Income HousingTax Credit or other program regulations. |  |


| Secondary Market Area | The portion of a market area that supplies additional support to an apartment property beyond that provided by the Primary Market Area. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Single-Family Housing | A dwelling unit, either attached or detached, designed for use by one Household and with direct access to a street. It does not share heating facilities or other essential building facilities with any other dwelling. |
| Special Needs Population | Specific market niche that is typically not catered to in a conventional apartment property. This population should exhibit certain criteria, which can be well defined, in order, for example, to assess the need and demand from this source. Examples of special needs populations include: substance abusers, visually impaired person or persons with mobility limitations. |
| Stabilized Level of Occupancy | The underwritten or actual number of occupied units that a property is expected to maintain after the initial rent-up period, expressed as a percentage of the total units. |
| State Data Center (SDC) | A state agency or university facility identified by the governor of each state to participate in the Census Bureau's cooperative network for the dissemination of the census data. |
| Subsidy | Monthly income received by a tenant or by an owner on behalf of a tenant to pay the difference between the apartment's Contract Rent and the amount paid by the tenant toward rent. |
| Substandard Conditions | Housing conditions that are conventionally considered unacceptable which may be defined in terms of lacking plumbing facilities, one or more major systems not functioning properly, or overcrowded conditions. |
| Target Income Band | The Income Band from which the subject property will draw tenants. |
| Target Population | Market niche a development will appeal or cater to. State agencies often use Target Population to refer to various income set asides, elderly v. family, etc. |
| Tenant | One who rents real property from another. |
| Tenant Paid Utilities | The cost of utilities necessary for the habitation of a dwelling unit, which are paid by the tenant. Tenant Paid Utilities do not include costs for telephone or cable service. |
| Tenure | The distinction between owner-occupied and renter-occupied housing units. |
| Townhouse (or Row House) | Single-family attached residence separated from another by party walls, usually on a narrow lot offering small front and back-yards; also called a row house. |
| Turnover Turnover Period | 1. An estimate of the number of housing units in a Market Area as a percentage of total housing units in the Market Area that will likely change occupants in any one year. See Vacancy Period 2. The percent of occupants in a given apartment complex that move in one year. |
| Unmet Housing Need | New units required in the Market Area to accommodate Household growth, homeless Households, and housing in substandard conditions. |
| Unrestricted Rents | The recommended rents for the market rate units at a Mixed-Income Property. |
| Unrestricted Units | The units at a Mixed-Income Property that are not subject to any income or rent restrictions. |
| Vacancy Period | The amount of time that an apartment remains vacant and available for rent. |
| Vacancy Rate- Economic Vacancy Rate - Physical | Maximum potential revenue less actual rent revenue divided by maximum potential rent revenue. The number of total habitable units that are vacant divided by the total number of units in the property. |


| Very Low Income | Person or Household whose gross household income does not exceed <br> $50 \%$ of Area Median Income adjusted for Household size. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Zoning | Classification and regulation of land by local governments according to use <br> categories (zones); often also includes density designations. |

Source: National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analytsts

## DATA SOURCES

Project Description:
Subject Property Developer/Manager/Owner Microsoft MapPoint State Housing Finance Agency
U.S. Department of Housing \& Urban Development
Site Evaluation:
Microsoft TerraServer
Transamerica Flood Insurance
Microsoft MapPoint
Claritas
InfoUSA
U.S. Census
Regional Economy:
Bureau of Labor Statistics
Woods \& Poole Economics
InfoUSA
Claritas
U.S. Census Bureau
Market Area Housing \& Demographic Characteristics:
U.S. Census Bureau
Claritas
Supply Analysis:
U.S. Census Bureau
Claritas
InfoUSA
State Housing Finance Agency
U.S. Department of Housing \& Urban Development USDA Rural Development
Microsoft MapPoint
Developer/Manager/Owner Interviews
Rent Comparability Analysis:
Microsoft MapPoint
Claritas
Bureau of Labor Statistics
U.S. Census Bureau
Marshall Valuation Service
State Housing Finance Agency
U.S. Department of Housing \& Urban Development

## MISCELLANEOUS

## QUALIFICATIONS

Allen \& Associates Consulting is a development consulting firm specializing in affordable housing. Practice areas include low-income housing tax credits, tax-exempt bond transactions, development consulting, market studies, rent comparability studies, appraisals, capital needs assessments, and utility studies.

Allen \& Associates Consulting and its sister organization Allen \& Associates Appraisal maintain offices in Charlotte, North Carolina and Detroit, Michigan, respectively. Allen \& Associates is approved to provide its services throughout the United States.

The following is a listing of key personnel for Allen \& Associates Consulting:

## Jeffrey B. Carroll

Jeffrey B. Carroll is President of Allen \& Associates Consulting. Since 2000, Mr. Carroll has completed over 2650 development consulting assignments in 46 states. Major projects include:

Development Consulting: Bond-Financed New Construction - Retained to manage the development of a 140-unit bond financed townhome community. Responsible for all aspects of the proposed $\$ 15$ million development including project design, zoning, site plan approval, and identification of debt and equity sources for the project.

Disposition Study: Tax Credit Renovation - Handled the disposition of a 134-unit subsidized apartment community. Developed a comprehensive renovation plan and arranged the sale to another party willing to rehabilitate the property with low-income housing tax credits. The owner was initially prepared to sell the property for $\$ 2$ million; our efforts brought them $\$ 5.2$ million.

Development Consulting: Historic Tax Credit Adaptive Reuse - Assisted in putting together the redevelopment plan for a historic school building. The property, originally constructed in 1935, was subsequently renovated into 14 apartment units with a combination of historic and low-income housing tax credits. Our efforts helped breathe new life into the historic building.

Disposition Study: Year 15 Portfolio Review - Provided disposition studies for a variety of property types including tax credit developments, rural development properties, and HUD subsidized properties. Recently retained to evaluate a large portfolio of Year 15 LIHTC properties on behalf of a national tax credit syndicator.

Mr. Carroll is a certified general appraiser, licensed to appraise real estate in the states of Alabama, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia and West Virginia. Mr. Carroll, an associate member of the Appraisal

Institute, is currently completing the requirements necessary to obtain the MAI designation.

Mr. Carroll previously served on the Executive Committee and chaired the Data and Ethics Committees for the National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts, where he successfully completed the peer-review process. Allen \& Associates recently elected to drop its membership from the organization.

In addition, Mr. Carroll has also served as a market study reviewer for the Georgia and Michigan housing finance agencies.

Mr. Carroll has written articles on affordable housing, development, property management, market feasibility, and financial analysis for Urban Land magazine, The Journal of Property Management, Community Management magazine, Merchandiser magazine, HousingThink, and a publication of the Texas A\&M Real Estate Research Center known as Terra Grande.

Mr. Carroll has conducted seminars on affordable housing, development, property management, market feasibility, and financial analysis for the American Planning Association, Community Management magazine, the Georgia Department of Community Affairs, the Manufactured Housing Institute, the National Association of State and Local Equity Funds, the Virginia Community Development Corporation, and the National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts.

Mr. Carroll is also an experienced developer and property manager. His experience includes the development of tax credit apartment communities, conventional market rate apartments, manufactured home communities, and single family subdivisions. He has also managed a portfolio of apartment complexes and manufactured home communities.

The following is a summary of Mr. Carroll's relevant educational background:
Clemson University, Bachelor of Science Degree
Major in Engineering
Minor Concentration in Economics 1983
Harvard University, Master’s Degree in Business Administration
Major in General Management
Minor Concentration in Economics and Real Estate
Appraisal Institute
Appraisal Principles 2001
Appraisal Procedures 2001
Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice 2001
Applied Residential Property Valuation 2001
General Applications 2002
Highest \& Best Use and Market Analysis 2002
Basic Income Capitalization 2002
Advanced Income Capitalization 2002
General Demonstration Report Writing 2003
Advanced Applications ..... 2003
Advanced Sales Comparison \& Cost Approach ..... 2003
Report Writing \& Valuation Analysis ..... 2003
Business Practices \& Ethics ..... 2003
Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Update ..... 2005
Market Analysis for Real Estate ..... 2005
Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice ..... 2006
Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Update ..... 2006
Business Practices \& Ethics ..... 2006
Apartment Appraisal ..... 2006
Real Estate Finance Statistics and Valuation Modeling ..... 2007
Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Update ..... 2007
Business Practices \& Ethics ..... 2007
Advanced Sales Comparison \& Cost Approach ..... 2009
Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Update ..... 2009
Business Practices \& Ethics ..... 2009
Appraisal Principles ..... 2010
Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Update ..... 2010
Appraisal Procedures ..... 2011
Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Update ..... 2011
Real Estate Finance Statistics and Valuation Modeling ..... 2012
Advanced Income Capitalization ..... 2012
ASTM InternationalProperty Condition Assessments E2018.01September 2006
The Institute for Professional and Executive DevelopmentTax Credit Property Disposition

October 2007
National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education
Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education
Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education

January 2002
September 2002
January 2003
September 2003
January 2004
September 2004
January 2005
September 2005
January 2006
May 2006
October 2006
April 2009
April 2010
Oct 2010
April 2011
March 2012

[^9]MAP Training \& Certification
USDA Rural Development
Capital Needs Assessment Provider Training
Accessibility Standards Training

September 2007

Mr. Carroll, who was awarded a scholarship on the Clemson University varsity wrestling team, has served as an assistant coach for a local high school wrestling team. Mr. Carroll resides in Charlotte, North Carolina with his wife Becky and his two children, Luke and Brittany.

## Debbie Rucker

Debbie Rucker is an analyst with Allen \& Associates Consulting, coordinating market research for the company. Mrs. Rucker has worked on over 1500 assignments and has conducted over 30,000 rent surveys.

Mrs. Rucker was also responsible for compiling the database of detailed information on of every tax credit and tax-exempt bond transaction in Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Texas since 1999.

The following is a summary of Mrs. Rucker's relevant educational background:
National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts
Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education
Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education
September 2005
October 2006
Carolinas Council for Affordable Housing Spectrum C ${ }^{3}$ P Certification

October 2008

Mrs. Rucker is active in her church and helps run a local judo club. Mrs. Rucker is the mother of three and resides in Weddington, North Carolina.

## Frank Victory

Frank Victory is an analyst with Allen \& Associates Consulting, assisting in field work and coordinating data collection for the company. Mr. Victory has worked on over 1500 assignments, and has compiled over 150 gigabytes of economic and demographic data.

Mr. Victory was also responsible for compiling the data for a national census-tract level affordable housing demand model.

The following is a summary of Mr. Victory's relevant educational background:
National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts
Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education
September 2005
ASTM International
Property Condition Assessments E2018.01
November 2006
USDA Rural Development
Capital Needs Assessment Provider Training
September 2007

Mr. Victory is active in his church and the community. He was recently named "Charlotte's Hometown Hero" for his charitable work by one of the largest radio and television stations in North Carolina. Mr. Victory is the father of two and resides in Monroe, North Carolina.

## Albert Busedu

Albert Busedu is a research specialist for Allen \& Associates and has completed over 2500 apartment surveys. Mr. Busedu specializes in identifying and obtaining information on small rental properties in remote areas.

Mr. Busedu is a graduate from Oklahoma State University with a Bachelors Degree in Petroleum Geology.

Mr. Busedu, formerly an executive with Honeywell and a WWII and Korean War veteran, is currently the president of a Charlotte condominium homeowners association. Mr. Busedu volunteers for the Saint Vincent de Paul Society taking necessities to people living in the Charlotte Public Housing Projects. He is also active in the Charlotte Amateur Astronomers Club.

## Sandra Fordley

Sandra Fordley is a research specialist for Allen \& Associates and has completed almost 6000 apartment surveys. Ms. Fordley specializes in identifying and obtaining information on tax credit and bond-financed properties.

Ms. Fordley, a licensed real estate professional in Florida and North Carolina, attended Guilford College where she successfully completed several semesters of business courses.

Ms. Fordley formerly managed a spa and health club and was the owner of a health food store for seven years. Ms. Fordley enjoys shopping at health food stores and gourmet cooking. She also enjoys traveling.

## Mary Hinson

Mary Hinson is a research specialist for Allen \& Associates and has completed almost 6000 apartment surveys. Ms. Hinson specializes in identifying and obtaining information on market rate, restricted and subsidized properties.

Mrs. Hinson has over 15 years of multifamily property experience as an onsite manager, regional manager, lease up specialist and analyst for troubled properties. She has managed high rises, garden and townhome properties in Ohio, Georgia, North Carolina and Michigan. In addition, Mrs. Hinson holds an Ohio Real Estate License.

The following is a summary of Mrs. Hinson's relevant educational background:

```
Institute of Real Estate Management
CAM I \& II
Sinclair Community College
```


## Business Management Courses

Mrs. Hinson is active in both her church and her family's horse ranch, and loves the outdoors. Mrs. Hinson resides with her husband and two children in Weddington, North Carolina.

Joyce Riggsbee
Joyce Riggsbee is a research specialist for Allen \& Associates and has completed almost 6000 apartment surveys. Mrs. Riggsbee specializes in identifying and obtaining information on market rate and subsidized properties.

Mrs. Riggsbee played a vital part in compiling a database of tax credit and tax-exempt bond transactions on behalf of the company.

Mrs. Riggsbee is a very active volunteer in Charlotte's New Heart Association, Charlotte Amateur Astronomers Club and the Matthews Help Center. Mrs. Riggsbee is also a national dog show steward.

Jeannine Szrejter
Jeannine Szrejter is a research specialist with Allen \& Associates and has assisted on over 500 assignments throughout the United States.

Mrs. Szrejter, the mother of two grown children, is a grandmother and volunteers her services at a local judo club.

## Patrick Szrejter

Patrick Szrejter is a research specialist with Allen \& Associates and has assisted on over 2000 assignments throughout the United States.

Mr. Szrejter, who recently retired after 20 years in law enforcement, is also a Desert Storm Veteran.

Mr. Szrejter, the father of two grown children, is a grandfather and serves as head instructor for a local judo club. His hobbies include woodworking and remodeling.

Michael W. Lash
Michael W. Lash is President of Lash Engineering, an engineering firm located in Charlotte, North Carolina that works closely with Allen \& Associates Consulting on utility allowance studies and other specific engagements. Since 1981, Mr. Lash has completed hundreds of assignments including the design of industrial, commercial, multifamily, and single family developments. Mr. Lash is an expert in the design of utility systems, including wastewater and storm water treatment facilities.

Mr. Lash is a certified professional engineer, licensed in the states of Louisiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia. Mr. Lash graduated from Louisiana Tech University in Civil Engineering in 1981 and has conducted seminars on advanced wastewater treatment, storm water quality treatment and automated engineering drafting and design with Eagle Point Software.

Mr. Lash is active in his church and volunteers his time teaching karate at a local martial arts academy. Mr. Lash resides in Charlotte, North Carolina with his wife and three children.

## MARKET STUDY SERVICES PROPOSAL AFFORDABLE MULTIFAMILY DEVELOPMENT

## IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY

We propose to perform a market study in conjunction with a proposed affordable multifamily development identified as follows:

AAC Job Number: AAC-2013-111<br>Project Name: Centennial I<br>Location: Atlanta, Georgia

## SCOPE OF THE REPORT

The scope of this assignment shall consist of a comprehensive market study for the subject property. The report we will provide will comply with applicable DCA and USPAP requirements. The completion of the report will involve interviews with local property managers and the collection of market data through discussions with municipal employees and local real estate professionals. The completion of the report shall include a site visit. The report will be presented in a summary report format.

## PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this assignment shall be to evaluate market need for the subject property as of the effective date of the report. The function of the report is to assess the marketability of the subject property for tax credit application purposes. The report may not be used for any other purposes without the express our written permission.

## INTENDED USERS OF THE REPORT

The report shall be generated for the benefit of our client, The Integral Group. DCA is named as an additional user of the report. No other person or entity may use the report for any reason whatsoever without our express written permission.

## REPORT CONTENTS

The report shall address the following elements:

PROJECT OVERVIEW<br>Project Description<br>Location Map<br>Unit Configuration<br>Utilities<br>Income Limits<br>Maximum Housing Expense<br>Fair Market Rents<br>IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS<br>Building Layout<br>Unit Features<br>Amenities

Scope of Work
SITE DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS
Tax Map
Survey
Site Plan
Nuisances, Hazards, Detrimental Influences \& Environmental
Traffic Patterns, Access \& Visibility
Topography
Flood Zone
Qualified Census Tract Status
Difficult to Develop Area Status
NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS
Aerial Photo
Neighborhood
Surrounding Properties
Crime
Schools
Proximity to Employment
Proximity to Area Amenities
SUBJECT PROPERTY PHOTOS
MARKET AREA
Overview
Primary Market Area
Secondary Market Area
Market Area Map
REGIONAL ECONOMY
Civilian Employment
Unemployment
Establishment Employment
Employment by Industry
Average Earnings by Industry
Consumer Price Index
Top Employers
Housing Unit Completions
MARKET AREA DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS
Population
Households
Households, by Tenure
Households, by Income
Households, by Tenure, by Income, by Size
Households, by Tenure, by Unit Size, by Household Size
Households, Movership, by Tenure
Households, Movership, by Tenure, by Size
Households, by Tenure, Overburdened
SUPPLY ANALYSIS
Rental Property Inventory

Rental Property Inventory Map<br>Rental Units, by Project Status<br>Rental Units, by Year Built<br>Rental Units, by Financing Type<br>Rental Units, Average Rents \& Square Footages<br>Rental Units, Occupancy Rates<br>Rental Units, Occupancy Rates, by Year Built<br>Rental Units, Unit Mix<br>Rental Units, Amenities<br>RENT COMPARABILITY ANALYSIS<br>Unrestricted Market Rents<br>Restricted Market Rents<br>Program Rent Limits<br>Achievable Rents<br>Rent Advantage<br>DEMAND ANALYSIS<br>Demand Assumptions<br>Demand Segmentation<br>Absorption Analysis<br>\section*{APPENDIX}<br>Defined Terms<br>Data Sources<br>Qualifications<br>Interviews<br>Rental Property Inventory Data

## FEE FOR CONSULTING SERVICES

We propose to perform the above report for a fee of $\$ 3000$. The entire amount shall be due upon completion of the report. Additional services outside the scope of this proposal will be billed at the rate of $\$ 200$ per hour. We are prepared to commence work immediately. The final report will be completed within 30 days of receipt of the documents necessary to complete the report (see attached list). We will provide a pdf copy of the final report.

## PAST DUE ACCOUNTS

The contracting party agrees to pay interest in the amount of 1.5 percent per month, or the maximum rate that may be lawfully charged, whichever is less, and in all events calculated in accordance with applicable law on any payment considered past due until collected. In addition, the contracting party agrees to pay all costs of collection, including reasonable attorney's fees and expenses should a default in payment or any other obligation by the contracting party occur.

## GOVERNING LAW

The contracting party agrees that this agreement shall be governed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of North Carolina.

## VENUE

The contracting party agrees that should any litigation be required to collect any sums due or enforce any provision of this agreement that the proper jurisdiction and venue shall be Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.

## COPYRIGHT

The contracting party agrees that its use of the contemplated report is subject to a certain copyright and our permission. Should a default in payment or any other obligation by the contracting party occur, the contracting party agrees to return any and all copies of the proposed report to us, including copies made available to third parties, upon written notice.

ACCEPTED AND AGREED:


## Signature

Jeff Carroll
Allen \& Associates Consulting, Inc.
(aka Allen \& Associates and Housing Advisors)
3116 Glen Summit Drive
Charlotte, North Carolina 28270


Trey Williams, Development Director (Name) TB
Integral Development, LLC
191 Peachtree Street, Suite 4100 (Company)

Atlanta, GA 30303

## DOCUMENTS REQUESTED FOR COMPLETION OF REPORT

The following documents, if available, are requested to facilitate the completion of our report:

MARKET STUDY INFORMATION<br>Location Map<br>Survey<br>Site Plan<br>Building Plans<br>Unit Plans<br>Unit/Income Mix<br>Utility Allowances<br>Proposed Rents<br>Description of Amenities \& Services<br>Rent Roll (if rehabilitation)<br>Tax Credit Application (if applicable)<br>Zoning Verification
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THE MACON ..... 1340
3 bedrooms +2.5 baths
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Second Floor


First Floor


Third Floor
Notes:
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For more information, call or visit us today.

E $\qquad$




[^0]:    Source: Allen \& Associates

[^1]:    Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics; Woods \& Poole Economics

[^2]:    Source: U.S. Census, Claritas; Allen \& Associates

[^3]:    Source: Allen \& Associates

[^4]:    Source: Allen \& Associates

[^5]:    Source: Allen \& Associates

[^6]:    Source: Allen \& Associates

[^7]:    Source: Allen \& Associates

[^8]:    Property Name: Johnnie B. Moore Towers, Phase 2 Property Key: 086
    Person Interviewed: Ms. Sandra Delaney, Management Phone Number: (404) 799-8299
    Notes: HUD property with 56 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. This property was not contacted during our October, 2012 survey.

[^9]:    ## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development <br> pment

    Utility Allowance Guidebook